Title: Biogeographical patterns in soil bacterial communities across the Arctic region

Authors:

Lucie A Malard[1], *

Muhammad Zohaib Anwar[2]

Carsten S Jacobsen[2]

David A Pearce[1,3]

Author affiliations:

[1]Faculty of Health and Life Sciences

Northumbria University

Newcastle-upon-Tyne NE1 8ST

United Kingdom

[2]Department of Environmental Sciences

Aarhus University

4000 Roskilde

Denmark

[3]British Antarctic Survey

High Cross Madingley Road

Cambridge

CB3 0ET

United Kingdom

*Corresponding Author:

Lucie Malard: lucie.malard@northumbria.ac.uk

Proposed keywords: 16S rRNA, Arctic soil, microbial diversity, indicator species, core microbiome,
biogeography

LAM and DAP conceived and designed the study and sampling strategy. LAM carried the fieldwork
and laboratory work. MZA conducted bioinformatics processing and statistical analysis. LAM drafted
the manuscript and MZA, DAP and CSJ revised and approved the final version.

Abstract

The considerable microbial diversity of soils, their variety and key role in biogeochemical cycling has

led to growing interest in their global distribution and the impact that environmental change might

have at the regional level. In the broadest study of Arctic soil bacterial communities to date, we used

high-throughput DNA sequencing to investigate the bacterial diversity from 200 independent Arctic

soil samples from 43 sites. We quantified the impact of spatial and environmental factors on bacterial

community structure using variation partitioning analysis, illustrating a non-random distribution

across the region. pH was confirmed as the key environmental driver structuring Arctic soil bacterial

communities, while total organic carbon, moisture and conductivity were shown to have little effect.

Specialist taxa were more abundant in acidic and alkaline soils while generalist taxa were more

abundant in acidoneutral soils. Of 48,147 bacterial taxa, a core microbiome composed of only 13 taxa

that were ubiquitously distributed and present within 95% of samples was identified, illustrating the

high potential for endemism in the region. Overall, our results demonstrate the importance of spatial

and edaphic factors on the structure of Arctic soil bacterial communities.

Introduction

Frozen soils in the Arctic region store over 1500 Pg of carbon (Koven et al., 2011; Mackelprang et al.,

2011) and as Arctic warming is exacerbated and permafrost thaw accelerates, the depth of the active

layer is increasing. As previously frozen carbon becomes available, it is expected that microbial activity

will increase, which may lead to increased atmospheric release rates of climate active gases such as

carbon dioxide (CO_2), methane (CH_4) and nitrous oxide (N_2O) (Ma et al., 2007; Mackelprang et al.,

2011). Carbon-climate feedback studies of permafrost affected regions use temperature, soil moisture

and precipitation as the main drivers of decomposition rates (Koven et al., 2011; Schuur et al., 2015).

While models are useful to gain a global understanding of the impact of climate change on permafrost

thaw and greenhouse gas release, the accuracy of results obtained is highly variable when compared

with data collected in the field or laboratory (Schuur et al., 2015) due to empirical and modelling

uncertainties which still need to be addressed (Bradford et al., 2016).

As microorganisms drive biogeochemical cycling and participate in the uptake and release of CO_2, CH_4

and N_2O, microbial data should be incorporated in climate models. Current models use soil properties

to model changes in fluxes, without considering microbial communities and the changes in community

composition induced by climate change (Bardgett et al., 2008; Nazaries et al., 2013). Adding microbial

information into models will improve their predictions; however, detailed microbial data is still

required, with a focus on the microbial community, diversity, function, stability and long-term changes

in these communities (Graham et al., 2012; Nazaries et al., 2013). While global surveys of microbial

diversity have already been conducted (Tedersoo et al., 2014; Delgado-Baquerizo et al., 2018), the

number of Arctic samples is restricted (Malard and Pearce, 2018) and therefore, microbial data is still

lacking for most permafrost-affected regions.

To accurately model spatial distribution patterns of microbes and incorporate microbial data from

permafrost-affected regions in climate models in the near future, the first step is to produce a baseline

database of microbial diversity with respect to biogeographical distribution. Biogeography is the study

of biodiversity across space and time, it gives insights into ecological mechanisms such as speciation,

extinction, dispersal and species interactions (Martiny et al., 2006; Fierer, 2008), improving our

understanding of community assembly. Theoretically, distant and isolated habitats are expected to

present high endemicity as a consequence of intrinsic dispersal limitations and environmental filtering

(Mittelbach and Schemske, 2015; Kleinteich et al., 2017; Bahram et al., 2018). Thus, isolated, pristine

ecosystems with limited human presence, such as the Arctic region, should harbour endemic

communities. However, microbial communities may be less constrained by geographical barriers and

thus, have long been considered ubiquitous (Finlay, 2002; O'Malley, 2007). Yet, recent studies have

uncovered patterns of microbial biogeography on global scales (Fierer and Jackson, 2006; Lauber et

al., 2009; Tedersoo et al., 2014; Henschel et al., 2015; Bahram et al., 2018; Delgado-Baquerizo et al.,

2018). They demonstrated that microbial distribution is non-random, generally influenced by biotic and

abiotic factors such as plant cover, soil pH, C/N ratio or precipitation, and that communities vary from

one region to another. However, whether these patterns apply to Arctic microbial communities is still

subject to debate as these studies tend to have a low number of Arctic samples and Arctic studies have

generally focused on small scales patterns in restricted areas, as shown by Metcalfe et al. (2018)

highlighting the focuses on Abisko, Sweden and Toolik lake, Alaska. Large scale studies are therefore

required to improve our understanding not only of the spatial distribution of microorganisms but also

on the processes of community assembly. Producing a baseline database of diversity in the region will

enable the accurate modelling of spatial distribution, linking with patterns of functional processes to

evaluate the potential consequences of environmental change on ecosystem properties.

In this study, we conducted a Pan-Arctic survey of bacterial communities in Arctic soils to provide a

baseline of Arctic bacterial diversity. We addressed the following questions: (i) Are bacterial taxa

ubiquitously distributed or are there biogeographical patterns ? (ii) What are the key factors influencing

bacterial community structure ? (iii) Are there taxa closely associated with the key edaphic properties and

what can we learn from them? (iv) If bacterial taxa are not ubiquitous, is there a core microbiome?

Methods

Sample collection

Soil samples were collected across the Arctic region between April 2017 and September 2017, the GPS

coordinates of each site were recorded with a portable GPS [Fig. 1]. All sites were generally remote

with limited human presence; however, in Iceland and Norway where tourist activities are frequent,

we aimed to sample at least 500 m away from human-related activities. At each location, 3 to 5 soil

samples were collected within 100 m^2 under the most common vegetation, for a total of 200

independent Arctic samples across 43 sites, with the aim to cover the broadest geographical area

possible. Despite the extent, it should be noted that large areas of Canada and Russia remain

uncharacterized and under-represented, highlighting areas where sampling should be prioritized in

the future. Approximately 150 g of soil per sample was collected from the top 15 cm, avoiding plant

roots and rocks and using an ethanol-cleaned shovel and Whirl-Pak bags (Nasco, WI, USA). Remaining

plant roots and rocks were removed manually in a class II microbiological safety cabinet; samples were

homogenized by manual mixing and frozen at -20 °C before transportation to the United Kingdom.

Samples were conserved at – 20 °C until analysed.

Soil properties

We focused our investigation on environmental variables previously identified as influencing bacterial

communities and included pH, conductivity, moisture and organic carbon. Moisture content was

measured gravimetrically for each soil sample after drying at 150 °C for 24 h and total organic content

(TOC) was measured gravimetrically by heating previously dried soils to 550 °C for 4 h. pH and

conductivity were measured in the laboratory in a 1:5 freshly thawed soil to water ratio, using a

Mettler-Toledo FE20 pH meter (Mettler-Toledo Instruments co., Shanghai, China) and a CMD500

conductivity meter (WPA, Cambridge, UK).

DNA extraction

Soil DNA was extracted for each sample using the PowerSoil kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), for a total

of 400 DNA extracts. Each sample was PCR amplified using the universal primers 515F-806R, as per

the Schloss lab standard operating Procedure (Kozich et al., 2013) and the Earth Microbiome Project

(Thompson et al., 2017), under the following conditions: initial denaturation at 95°C for 2 min then 30

cycles of 20 s denaturation at 95°C; primer annealing at 55°C for 15 s; elongation at 72°C for 5 min

then a final elongation at 72°C for 10 min. Negative controls, DNA extraction kit controls and

ZymoBIOMICS mock communities (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA, USA) were included alongside the soil

DNA and sequenced. PCR amplicons were cleaned and normalized using SequalPrep Plate

Normalization Kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and combined into four pools. Each pool was

quantified using fragment size determined by BioAnalyzer hsDNA assay (Agilent technologies, Santa

Clara, CA, USA) and concentration by Qubit hsDNA kit (Invitrogen). The library was supplemented with

5% PhiX and loaded on an Illumina MiSeq V2 500 cycles cartridge.

Illumina Sequencing and Data Processing

Raw amplicon sequences were demultiplexed with the associated barcodes. Cutadapt (Martin, 2011)

was used for adaptor and primer clipping. Forward and reverse reads longer than 240 bp were merged

(98 % ± 0.8 % / sample) using FLASH (fast length adjustment of short reads) (Magoč and Salzberg, 2011)

for a total of 20 million reads (~50,000 ± 30,000 reads/sample) initially. Vsearch (Rognes et al., 2016)

was used for downstream analyses. Quality filtering was carried out with an expected error < 1.5.

Dereplication was performed to identify unique sequences. A two-step chimera detection method was

used, first by aligning against ChimeraSlayer Gold database provided with SILVA (Pruesse et al., 2007),

second by using the *de novo* detection module in vsearch. *De novo* operational taxonomic unit (OTU)

calling was performed on high-quality trimmed sequences at 97% similarity level using the USEARCH

(Edgar, 2010) algorithm for clustering implemented in vsearch to generate operational taxonomical

units (OTUs). Unique chimera filtered sequences were aligned using the Python Nearest Alignment

Space Termination (PyNAST) (Caporaso et al., 2009) tool with a relaxed neighbour-joining tree built

using FastTree (Price et al., 2010). The taxonomy was determined using the Classification Resources

for Environmental Sequence Tags (CREST) (Lanzén et al., 2012) classifier with a confidence threshold

of 0.80 against SILVA release 128 as a reference database.

Samples less than at least 2000 reads/sample were filtered from the OTU table in order to have

sufficient reads as described in Caporaso et al. (2010a). After filtering, 386 samples were used for the

statistical analyses, corresponding to 386 DNA extracts from 200 independent samples and ~19.5

million reads (50,609 ± 26,700 reads/sample) assigned against 48,147 OTUs.

Data Availability

The dataset is deposited at European Nucleotide Archive / SRA under the accession number

PRJEB29109.

Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses were performed with a combination of QIIME1 V 1.90 (Caporaso et al., 2010b)

and the R environment (Team, 2013). Multiple rarefactions were performed in QIIME with the

smallest sample size as maximum depth. Alpha diversity (richness, Shannon and Simpson indices)

were calculated in QIIME on the matrices resulting from the multiple rarefactions. The differences in

alpha diversity indices were tested in QIIME using non-parametric (Monte-Carlo) tests across different

pH categories with a Bonferroni correction.

The non-rarefied OTU-table was normalized using cumulative-sum scaling (CSS) in QIIME (Paulson et

al., 2013). The resulting OTU-table was input into R for subsequent analyses. Using the phyloseq

package (McMurdie and Holmes, 2013), we calculated the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity distance and

visualized the ordination using principal coordinate analysis (PCoA). Using the cmdscale, envfit and

ordisurf functions in the vegan package (Dixon, 2003), we calculated and visualized the significant

correlations between edaphic properties and bacterial community dissimilarity in relation to the pH

gradient. Permutational multivariate analyses of variance (PerMANOVA) was conducted using the

adonis function with 999 permutations. Pearson's correlation with 999 permutations was used to

evaluate the collinearity of environmental variables.

To evaluate the spatial component, the geographic locations of the sampling sites were transformed into

cartesian coordinates using the SoDA package (Chambers, 2008) and the euclidean distance was

calculated using the vegan package. The presence of a linear trend was tested by redundancy

discriminant analysis and ANOVA as prescribed in Borcard et al. (2018). A significant linear trend was

identified, violating the second-order stationarity assumption where the mean of the variable and its

spatial covariance are the same over the study area and its variance is finite. In other words, spatial

correlation coefficients cannot be tested for significance if an overall trend is present in the data

(Franklin and Mills, 2007; Borcard et al., 2018) and therefore, the data was detrended by linear

regression of the x,y coordinates. To carry meaningful spatial analysis, constructing spatial variables

representing spatial structures at all relevant scales is necessary (Borcard et al., 2018). To do so, we

used distance-based Moran's Eigenvector Maps (dbMEM) on detrended data and x,y coordinates using

the adespatial R package (Dray et al., 2017). Significance of the spatial vectors was assessed using

ANOVA. Forward selection was carried out to identify significant dbMEM vectors.

Variation partitioning analysis (VPA) was used to assess the impact of environmental and spatial

factors on Bray-Curtis dissimilarity. The linear trend was considered to represent a source of variation

like any other and therefore, we performed variation partitioning analysis using the environmental

variables, x,y coordinates (trend) and significant dbMEM vectors.

Generalist and specialist taxa were identified by selecting taxa present in over 95 % of samples within

each unique and overlapped pH category. Indicator taxa were determined by the Dufrene-Legendre

indicator species analysis using the multipatt function (Cáceres and Legendre, 2009) to identify abundant

OTUs that were specifically associated with the different pH ranges. The phylogenetic tree of indicator

species was built using the aligned sequences from the identified indicator OTUs and input into FastTree.

The tree was visualized using the Interactive Tree of Life (iTOL) online tool (Letunic and Bork, 2016).

Results

Bacterial community composition

We identified 48,147 bacterial taxa, mainly classified as Proteobacteria (20 %) and Planctomycetes

(15%). Acidobacteria represented 13% while Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Chloroflexi and

Verrucomicrobia each accounted for 4 – 6 % of the community. Of these 48,147 OTUs, only 135 had

overall abundances over 0.1% across all 386 samples and were defined as abundant taxa. They

accounted for 32% of all the reads, illustrating the dominance of a few taxa over the rest of the

community. Within these abundant taxa, Acidobacteria dominated the sub-community at

approximately 32% with Blastocatellia (12.8%) and Acidobacteria subgroup6 (7.2%) as abundant

classes. Alphaproteobacteria (10.6%) and Betaproteobacteria (6.6%) were the most commonly

identified Proteobacteria (21% overall) and Verrucomicrobia was largely represented by

Spartobacteria (17.2%). Actinobacteria (10.7%), Chloroflexi (7%) and Bacteroidetes (3.5%) were also

among the abundant phyla classified.

Spatial and environmental factors influencing bacterial communities

Distance-decay curves were used to evaluate the influence of spatial factors on bacterial community

structure. Figure 2A illustrate the increase in community dissimilarity with increasing geographic

distance (linear regression: R^2 = 0.108, p <2.2 x 10^{-16}). The best-fitted power model (R^2 = 0.221, p =

0.005) suggested the presence of spatial autocorrelation, also qualified as dispersal limitation, within

the first 500 m. For a more accurate estimate of dispersal limitation, we plotted the same graph within

0 and 500 m [Fig. 2B] and observed spatial autocorrelation approximately up to 20 m.

To determine the influence of environmental factors on the bacterial community structure, we used the

adonis function with 999 permutations. Results indicated that pH explained 18.7 % of the variance in

bacterial community composition overall [Table 1]. In comparison, conductivity, moisture and total

organic carbon (TOC) explained almost 10 % of the variance all together. The measured environmental

parameters explained 29 % of the variance in the bacterial sub-community, leaving 71 % unexplained.

To quantify the influence of edaphic properties and spatial factors, we conducted variation

partitioning on the bacterial community. We built spatial vectors using distance-based Moran's

Eigenvector Maps (dbMEM) on x,y geographic coordinates. Three vectors (MEMs) were produced;

after forward selection, two significant MEMs were identified for the total community and used in

further analyses. The variation partitioning analysis differentiated the effect of environmental

factors, linear trend and spatial vectors on Bray-Curtis dissimilarity [Fig.3]. Results indicated that the

environmental factors (X1 = [a]+[d]+[g]+[f]) explained 30.7 % of the variance in the community. These

results are equivalent to the finding of the adonis test [Table 1], confirming the success of the

variation partitioning analysis. Non-spatially structured environmental variables (fraction [a])

explained 15 % of the variation while spatial factors only (fractions [b], [e] and [c]) explained

approximately 10 % of variation. Of fraction X1 (environmental factors), 15 % represented spatially

structured environmental variables, also called induced spatial dependence, where the spatial

structure of these environmental variables induced a similar spatial structure in the response data

[detailed results in Table S1]. In total, 63.3 % of the total bacterial community dissimilarity could not

be explained by environmental and spatial factors after R^2 adjustment.

pH as a key environmental factor

Of the environmental variables we measured, we identified pH as the primary factor structuring Arctic

soil bacterial communities. The Pearson correlations indicated that pH did not have strong collinearity

(coefficient over |0.8|) with any other variable measured [Table S2]. The clustering of samples by pH

range was clearly observed on the principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) of bacterial communities [Fig.

4A]. The envfit analysis confirmed that pH was the key measured factor driving the ordination,

although TOC, conductivity and moisture all had some influence. Using ordisurf, we visualised the PCoA

ordination of the bacterial community in relation to the pH gradient [Fig. S1]. The Bray-Curtis

dissimilarity heatmap and dendrogram [Fig. 4B] identified three main clusters illustrating community

differences. The first cluster was composed of acidic samples in a pH gradient from Norwegian soils at

pH = 4.07 (± 0.35) to samples from Alaska (pH = 4.64 ± 0.41) and West Greenland (pH = 4.90 ± 0.85).

The second cluster included the lower acidoneutral range of samples from East Greenland (pH = 5.96

± 0.69), Svalbard (pH = 5.65 ± 0.53) and Iceland (pH = 5.84 ± 0.46). Finally, the last cluster included the

higher range of acidoneutral and alkaline soils from Russia (pH = 6.19 ± 0.22) and Canada (pH = 7.94 ±

0.67). The full spectrum of soil pH was covered from pH = 3.5 to pH = 9.0 and geographical locations

often had samples from more than one pH category [Fig. S2]. As pH was the primary factor we identified

influencing bacterial community structure, we defined three pH categories to focus on: acidic,

acidoneutral and alkaline.

Bacterial diversity by pH category

We compared the observed richness and Shannon diversity index by pH range [Fig. 5A, B] using a

Monte-Carlo test to assess differences. Alpha-diversity was significantly lower in acidic samples than

in acidoneutral and alkaline soils [Table S3]

In terms of community composition, we differentiated the abundant community, composed of only

135 OTUs, from the total community composed of 48,147 OTUs and observed the changes in relative

abundance by pH range. In the total community, Acidobacteria, Armatimonadetes, Planctomycetes and

Verrucomicrobia decreased with increasing pH. On the other hand, Bacteroidetes, Chloroflexi and

Gemmatimonadetes increased in alkaline soils; Proteobacteria remained stable throughout. In the

abundant community, Acidobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Gemmatimonadetes and SPAM increased with

increasing pH while Actinobacteria, Planctomycetes and Verrucomicrobia remained stable [Fig. 6].

Generalist vs specialist taxa

The differentiation of specialist from generalist taxa associated with pH range was conducted by

considering all taxa identified in this study and present in a minimum 95% of all samples from each pH

category [Fig. 7]. 125 acidic specialist OTUs were identified and unique to acidic soil samples. Of these

125 unique OTUs, most belonged to the Acidobacteria (27%), Verrucomicrobia (20%), Actinobacteria

(14%), Planctomycetes (14%) and Proteobacteria (14%). At the class level, Acidobacteria group 1

dominated at 14%, while the rest of the identified classes had balanced relative abundances oscillating

between 5 and 8%. Shared OTUs, or generalists were present in low numbers in acidic soils. Only 12

OTUs were shared with acidoneutral soils only, which were dominated by Alphaproteobacteria (65%),

mainly Rhizobiales, while 3 OTUs were shared with alkaline only, belonging to the Spartobacteria

(Verrucomicrobia), Phycisphaerae (Planctomycetes) and Chitinophagia (Bacteroidetes) classes. In

comparison, acidoneutral soils had 76 shared taxa with alkaline soils, against 12 shared OTUs with

acidic. Taxa shared with alkaline soils were mainly classified as Blastocatellia (Acidobacteria),

Spartobacteria and Acidobacteria subgroup 6. Taxa exclusively found in acidoneutral soils belonged

mainly to Actinobacteria (20%), Verrucomicrobia (20%) and Acidobacteria (19%). At the class level,

unique taxa living in acidoneutral soils were dominated by Spartobacteria, Alphaproteobacteria and

Holophagae. Alkaline soils presented a combination of both, a high number of shared (79 OTUs in

total) and 125 exclusive taxa. Alkaline unique taxa were mostly composed of Acidobacteria (36%) and

Proteobacteria (22%). From these unique taxa, the Acidobacteria subgroup 6 (20%), Blastocatellia

(12%) and Alphaproteobacteria (12%) dominated the community.

Indicator species

We conducted the indicator species analysis on the abundant taxa only as they may be more useful for

monitoring purposes. We identified 17 unique taxa-habitat associations. In acidic soils, 10 indicator

species, mainly Acidobacteria group 1 and 2 and Ca. Methylacidiphilum were identified. The 6 OTUs

associated with Acidoneutral soils were mainly Acidobacteria group 4 (Blastocatellia) and

Spartobacteria (Verrucomicrobia). Finally, only 1 OTU was identified as an indicator species for alkaline

soils and belonged to the Holophagae (Acidobacteria). We also conducted the indicator species analysis

of abundant taxa to combine pH ranges and identified 84 OTUs. 21 were identified as indicator species

of acidic and acidoneutral soils combined, and mainly belonged to the Verrucomicrobia. Only 2 OTUs

were associated with acidic and alkaline soils, Acidothermus (Actinobacteria) and Candidatus

Xiphinematobacter (Verrucomicrobia), further illustrating the low overlap of taxa between these

ecosystems and inferring the large ecosystem differences. Finally, 61 OTUs were associated with

acidoneutral and alkaline soils, mainly belonging to the Acidobacteria and Proteobacteria phyla (see

table S3 for the detailed list). The phylogenetic tree [Fig.S3] illustrates the classification of the

identified abundant indicator taxa with the pH range associated. Although displaying diversity, they

were mainly classified as Acidobacteria and Verrucomicrobia, with the vast majority of taxa

associated with acidoneutral and alkaline soils.

 The core microbiome

While some taxa displayed biogeographical patterns with non-random distribution across space and

environmental gradients, others were cosmopolitan and identified in over 95 % of all samples. The core

microbiome represented 0.026 % of bacterial communities and accounted for 2.7 % of all reads. It was

composed of 13 OTUs, mainly Proteobacteria and Acidobacteria, notably belonging to the Rhizobiales

and Acidobacteria subgroup 6. The Alphaproteobacteria, especially the Bradyrhizobiaceae family was

most abundant in acidic soils and decreased with increasing pH. The Betaproteobacteria, especially

the Comamonadaceae family, decreased with decreasing pH. The Acidobacteria were highest in the

acidoneutral soils. Although relative abundances differed by pH range, these 13 OTUs were present in

all categories. Figure S4 illustrates the taxonomic classes of the core microbiome and distribution

between each pH category.

Discussion

The coverage of this study allowed the identification of a core microbiome, present in over 95 % of

the samples analyzed and composed of only 13 OTUs. The most abundant of these taxa belonged to

the Bradyrhizobiaceae family. This is one of the most common families worldwide, as identified by

Delgado-Baquerizo et al. (2018). However, whether this is the same taxa remains unclear and

highlights the need for global studies incorporating extreme environments. The identification of a

core Arctic soil microbiome is novel and this low number of cosmopolitan OTUs illustrates the potential

for endemism. For the rest of the bacterial community, spatial and edaphic factors influenced

distribution across the region.

Arctic bacterial community assembly

The distance-decay curve [Fig.2A,2B] illustrated the influence of geography on bacterial

communities. The spatial autocorrelation is a proxy for dispersal limitation, which was restricted to

approximately 20 m [Fig.2B]. However, the lack of small-scale data is problematic in estimating the real

autocorrelation range. The variation partitioning analysis quantified the importance of both,

selection (deterministic) and dispersal (stochastic) on the bacterial community structure.

Environmental variables explained 30 % of the total variation (selection), of which 15 % was spatially

structured, corresponding to the induced spatial dependence, as described in Borcard et al. (2018).

Spatial components (trend + MEMs) alone explained 9 % of the variation, illustrating the spatial

autocorrelation (Borcard et al., 2018), or dispersal.

Here, we focused on environmental variables previously identified as influencing bacterial

communities elsewhere and included pH, conductivity, moisture and organic carbon. However, 63 %

of the variation remained unexplained by either environmental or spatial factors in the variation

partitioning analysis. This unexplained variance could be the result of unmeasured biotic or abiotic

factors, directly or indirectly influencing bacterial communities. Examples of unmeasured edaphic

properties could include total nitrogen, zinc, calcium oxide or nickel, all shown to have an influence

on bacterial community structure in the Arctic (Wojcik et al., 2018). Other ecosystem properties such

as ice presence, active layer depth or soil texture are likely to have some influence. Climatic and

topographic variables such as temperature, precipitation (Yergeau and Kowalchuk, 2008; Castro et al.,

2010; Nielsen and Ball, 2015**)** and altitude (Shen et al., 2013; Xu et al., 2014) are also known to

influence bacterial assemblage and were not included in this study. Vegetation cover is a known driver

of bacterial community assemblage in the Arctic region (as reviewed by Malard and Pearce (2018))

which was not included in this analysis. Biotic interactions may also be a major part of the unexplained

variance observed and could include competition and predation within bacterial communities or with

other members of the soil biota (Wardle, 2006; Singh et al., 2009). Other processes influencing

bacterial communities include diversification and drift, both likely to account for some of the

unexplained variance (Martiny et al., 2006).

The importance of pH

We evaluated the impact of environmental variables known to influence global soil bacterial

communities. Overall, pH explained the most variation (18.7 %) in bacterial community structure and

diversity while TOC, moisture and conductivity explained between 2 and 5 % of the variance

respectively. The identification of pH as a key factor influencing Arctic soil bacterial community

composition is in line with previous Arctic studies over both, small and large scales (Männistö et al.,

2006; Chu et al., 2010; Ganzert et al., 2014; Siciliano et al., 2014; Schostag et al., 2015). However,

this study is the first to quantify the magnitude of influence, highlighting the large variation in

bacterial community remaining unexplained. While pH has been identified globally as a major factor

influencing microbial diversity and community structure (Fierer and Jackson, 2006; Lauber et al.,

2009; Tedersoo et al., 2014; Delgado-Baquerizo et al., 2018), the underlying processes and

mechanisms by which it does remain unclear. Studies have demonstrated that the soil pH is correlated

with other elements of the geochemistry and has a strong impact on nutrient and water availability as

well as solubility and adsorption (Gray et al., 2014). For instance, acidic pH increases aluminium, hydrogen

and manganese solubility, retarding plant root growth due to high toxicity (Clark and Baligar, 2000;

Singh et al., 2017). Acidic soils also have nutrient deficiencies such as calcium magnesium and

potassium but also decreased phosphorus and molybdenum solubilities (Baligar and Clark, 2000; Gray et

al., 2014). Alkaline soils are generally the result of low precipitation and high evapotranspiration,

leading to low water availability and in common with acidic soils, nutrient deficiencies are found with

decreased phosphorus, iron, copper or zinc for instance (Baligar and Clark, 2000). In similar ways,

acidic and alkaline soils are generally considered harsh environments requiring a wide range of

adaptations from microorganisms while acidoneutral soils are considered the optimum environment

for microbial life (Fierer and Jackson, 2006; Rousk et al., 2010); such differences in soil composition

are likely driving the observed differences in microbial community composition by pH range.

Distribution of generalist and specialist taxa

Microbial communities are assembled by deterministic (selection) and stochastic (dispersal)

processes. It has been hypothesized that communities primarily structured by deterministic processes

will host more specialist taxa, highly adapted to the ecosystem, while communities influenced by

dispersal will harbour primarily generalist taxa, more resilient to change (Pandit et al., 2009; Graham

and Stegen, 2017; Sriswasdi et al., 2017). While specialist taxa are restricted to certain niches, they

can be locally abundant; shared taxa, or generalists, are distributed across many niches (Barberán et

al., 2012). In most cases specialist will be more abundant because generalists rapidly become

specialists to adapt to their ecosystems, despite generalists having evolutionary advantages (Sriswasdi

et al., 2017).

In this study, bacterial communities were specialist-dominated in acidic soils, generalist-dominated in

acidoneutral soils and a mixed community in alkaline samples. The higher abundance of specialists in

acidic soils (considered the harshest systems) could illustrate the need for environmental adaptations

to survive in these ecosystems and suggests that deterministic processes likely structure microbial

communities. Geographically, the first cluster identified by the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix [Fig. 4B]

grouped all acidic samples from northern Norway, western Greenland and Alaska, illustrating the

similarities of their bacterial communities despite the large distances separating these locations,

further suggesting the strong influence of selection over dispersal. The dominance of generalists in

acidoneutral soils illustrates the lower environmental pressure to have specific survival adaptations

and infers the dominance of stochastic processes in community structuring. Eastern Greenland,

Svalbard and Iceland were grouped together by the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix. Geographically,

these locations connected through the Greenland sea, a possible route of dispersal through

aerosolization and long-distance aeolian transport (Šantl-Temkiv et al., 2018). Finally, alkaline soils

hosted a mixed-community of specialists and generalists, suggesting the combination of both,

selection and dispersal, in community structuring. The abundance of generalist taxa in alkaline soils,

generally considered a harsh system in many ways similar to acidic soils, highlights the adaptability of

generalists to a wide range of environmental conditions. Interestingly, the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity

matrix clustered the Canadian (alkaline) and Russian (acidoneutral) samples together. The Russian

samples were on the higher end of the acidoneutral pH scale and the grouping of these samples

illustrates the blurred boundary between acidoneutral and alkaline pH categories. While

environmental selection may be driven by many variables, dispersal likely occurs via long-distance

aeolian dispersal (Šantl-Temkiv et al., 2018).

The importance of indicator taxa

The indicator species analysis determined OTU-pH range associations identifying different classes of

Acidobacteria and Verrucomicrobia, primarily, characteristic of each pH range. The identification of

abundant indicator species with strong habitat associations opens the possibility of predicting the

presence and abundance of these taxa across the Arctic region. This is especially important for taxa

such as Ca. Methylacidiphilum, a known methanotroph unlike others as it belongs to the

Verrucomicrobia phylum instead of the Proteobacteria (Dunfield et al., 2007; Khadem et al., 2010).

While natural CH_4 emissions come primarily from wetlands, the identification of these phylotypes in

acidic soils suggests wetland may not be the only major source of northern methane emissions.

Understanding the distribution and abundance of such indicator taxa, combined with field gas

measurements may allow large-scale estimates of climate-active gases release rates in the region,

from soils and wetlands (Wartiainen et al., 2006; Jørgensen et al., 2015).

Concluding remarks

This study investigated patterns in soil bacterial diversity across the Arctic and demonstrated that

bacterial communities differed across the region. However, the dispersal range was limited to

approximately 20 m and the variation in communities across the Arctic was primarily driven by

environmental rather than spatial factors. The key edaphic property influencing communities we

identified was pH, in accordance with that seen elsewhere. However, 63 % of the variation remained

unexplained, calling for more in-depth investigations of the drivers of bacterial community structure

in the region. We identified unique and indicator taxa closely associated to the soil pH. Finally, a core

microbiome composed of only 13 ubiquitously distributed taxa was identified, highlighting the

potential for endemism in the region. While this study brings a deeper understanding of Arctic

bacterial community assemblages, this is also a baseline for future functional studies in the region,

which will be critical to forecast the ecological consequences of environmental change.

Acknowledgements

437   This work was supported by a grant from the European Commission's Marie Sklowdowska Curie

438   Actions program under project number 675546. The authors also thank Dr Paul Mann, Dr Charles

439   Greer, Dr Svetlana Evgrafova, Dr Bill Holben, Sam Pannoni, Edwin Sia and UNIS for their participation

440   and support in fieldwork. MiSeq sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene was performed by the NU-OMICS

441   sequencing service (Northumbria University).

Conflict of interest

443   The authors report no conflict of interests.

References

Bahram, M., Hildebrand, F., Forslund, S.K., Anderson, J.L., Soudzilovskaia, N.A., Bodegom, P.M. et al.
(2018) Structure and function of the global topsoil microbiome. *Nature*: 1.
Barberán, A., Bates, S.T., Casamayor, E.O., and Fierer, N. (2012) Using network analysis to explore co-
occurrence patterns in soil microbial communities. *The ISME journal* **6**: 343.
Bardgett, R.D., Freeman, C., and Ostle, N.J. (2008) Microbial contributions to climate change through
carbon cycle feedbacks. *The ISME journal* **2**: 805.
Borcard, D., Gillet, F., and Legendre, P. (2018) *Numerical ecology with R*: Springer.
Bradford, M.A., Wieder, W.R., Bonan, G.B., Fierer, N., Raymond, P.A., and Crowther, T.W. (2016)
Managing uncertainty in soil carbon feedbacks to climate change. *Nature Climate Change* **6**: 751.
Cáceres, M.D., and Legendre, P. (2009) Associations between species and groups of sites: indices and
statistical inference. *Ecology* **90**: 3566-3574.
Caporaso, J.G., Bittinger, K., Bushman, F.D., DeSantis, T.Z., Andersen, G.L., and Knight, R. (2009)
PyNAST: a flexible tool for aligning sequences to a template alignment. *Bioinformatics* **26**: 266-267.
Caporaso, J.G., Lauber, C.L., Walters, W.A., Berg-Lyons, D., Lozupone, C.A., Turnbaugh, P.J. et al.
(2010a) Global patterns of 16S rRNA diversity at a depth of millions of sequences per sample.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences: 201000080.
Caporaso, J.G., Kuczynski, J., Stombaugh, J., Bittinger, K., Bushman, F.D., Costello, E.K. et al. (2010b)
QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. *Nature methods* **7**: 335.
Castro, H.F., Classen, A.T., Austin, E.E., Norby, R.J., and Schadt, C.W. (2010) Soil microbial community
responses to multiple experimental climate change drivers. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **76**: 999-1007.
Chambers, J. (2008) *Software for data analysis: programming with R*: Springer Science & Business
Media.
Chu, H., Fierer, N., Lauber, C.L., Caporaso, J.G., Knight, R., and Grogan, P. (2010) Soil bacterial
diversity in the Arctic is not fundamentally different from that found in other biomes. *Environmental
Microbiology* **12**: 2998-3006.
Clark, R.B., and Baligar, V.C. (2000) Acidic and alkaline soil constraints on plant mineral nutrition.
Plant-Environment Interactions, 2nd Ed; Wilkinson, RE, Ed: 133-177.

Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Oliverio, A.M., Brewer, T.E., Benavent-González, A., Eldridge, D.J., Bardgett,
R.D. et al. (2018) A global atlas of the dominant bacteria found in soil. *Science* **359**: 320-325.
Dixon, P. (2003) VEGAN, a package of R functions for community ecology. *Journal of Vegetation*
Science **14**: 927-930.
Dray, S., Blanchet, G., Borcard, D., Guenard, G., Jombart, T., Larocque, G. et al. (2017) Adespatial:
multivariate multiscale spatial analysis. R package version 0.0-9. In.
Dunfield, P.F., Yuryev, A., Senin, P., Smirnova, A.V., Stott, M.B., Hou, S. et al. (2007) Methane
oxidation by an extremely acidophilic bacterium of the phylum Verrucomicrobia. *Nature* **450**: 879.
Edgar, R.C. (2010) Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. *Bioinformatics* **26**:
2460-2461.
Fierer, N. (2008) Microbial biogeography: patterns in microbial diversity across space and time. In
Accessing uncultivated microorganisms: American Society of Microbiology, pp. 95-115.
Fierer, N., and Jackson, R.B. (2006) The diversity and biogeography of soil bacterial communities.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **103**: 626-631.
Finlay, B.J. (2002) Global dispersal of free-living microbial eukaryote species. *Science* **296**: 1061-1063.
Franklin, R.B., and Mills, A.L. (2007) Statistical analysis of spatial structure in microbial communities.
In *The Spatial Distribution of Microbes in the Environment*: Springer, pp. 31-60.
Ganzert, L., Bajerski, F., and Wagner, D. (2014) Bacterial community composition and diversity of five
different permafrost-affected soils of Northeast Greenland. *FEMS microbiology ecology* **89**: 426-441.
Graham, D.E., Wallenstein, M.D., Vishnivetskaya, T.A., Waldrop, M.P., Phelps, T.J., Pfiffner, S.M. et al.
(2012) Microbes in thawing permafrost: the unknown variable in the climate change equation. *The*
ISME journal **6**: 709.
Graham, E., and Stegen, J. (2017) Dispersal-based microbial community assembly decreases
biogeochemical function. *Processes* **5**: 65.
Gray, N., McCann, C., Christgen, B., Ahammad, S., Roberts, J., and Graham, D. (2014) Soil
geochemistry confines microbial abundances across an arctic landscape; implications for net carbon
exchange with the atmosphere. *Biogeochemistry* **120**: 307-317.
Henschel, A., Anwar, M.Z., and Manohar, V. (2015) Comprehensive meta-analysis of ontology
annotated 16S rRNA profiles identifies beta diversity clusters of environmental bacterial
communities. *PLoS computational biology* **11**: e1004468.
Jørgensen, C.J., Johansen, K.M.L., Westergaard-Nielsen, A., and Elberling, B. (2015) Net regional
methane sink in High Arctic soils of northeast Greenland. *Nature Geoscience* **8**: 20.
Khadem, A.F., Pol, A., Jetten, M.S., and den Camp, H.J.O. (2010) Nitrogen fixation by the
verrucomicrobial methanotroph 'Methylacidiphilum fumariolicum'SolV. *Microbiology* **156**: 1052-
1059.
Kleinteich, J., Hildebrand, F., Bahram, M., Voigt, A.Y., Wood, S.A., Jungblut, A.D. et al. (2017) Pole-to-
pole connections: Similarities between Arctic and Antarctic microbiomes and their vulnerability to
environmental change. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution* **5**: 137.
Koven, C.D., Ringeval, B., Friedlingstein, P., Ciais, P., Cadule, P., Khvorostyanov, D. et al. (2011)
Permafrost carbon-climate feedbacks accelerate global warming. *Proceedings of the National*
Academy of Sciences **108**: 14769-14774.
Kozich, J.J., Westcott, S.L., Baxter, N.T., Highlander, S.K., and Schloss, P.D. (2013) Development of a
dual-index sequencing strategy and curation pipeline for analyzing amplicon sequence data on the
MiSeq Illumina sequencing platform. *Applied and environmental microbiology*: AEM. 01043-01013.
Lanzén, A., Jørgensen, S.L., Huson, D.H., Gorfer, M., Grindhaug, S.H., Jonassen, I. et al. (2012) CREST—
classification resources for environmental sequence tags. *PloS one* **7**: e49334.
Lauber, C.L., Hamady, M., Knight, R., and Fierer, N. (2009) Pyrosequencing-based assessment of soil
pH as a predictor of soil bacterial community structure at the continental scale. *Applied and*
environmental microbiology **75**: 5111-5120.
Letunic, I., and Bork, P. (2016) Interactive tree of life (iTOL) v3: an online tool for the display and
annotation of phylogenetic and other trees. *Nucleic acids research* **44**: W242-W245.

Ma, W.K., Schautz, A., Fishback, L.-A.E., Bedard-Haughn, A., Farrell, R.E., and Siciliano, S.D. (2007) Assessing the potential of ammonia oxidizing bacteria to produce nitrous oxide in soils of a high arctic lowland ecosystem on Devon Island, Canada. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **39**: 2001-2013.

Mackelprang, R., Waldrop, M.P., DeAngelis, K.M., David, M.M., Chavarria, K.L., Blazewicz, S.J. et al. (2011) Metagenomic analysis of a permafrost microbial community reveals a rapid response to thaw. *Nature* **480**: 368-371.

Magoč, T., and Salzberg, S.L. (2011) FLASH: fast length adjustment of short reads to improve genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics* **27**: 2957-2963.

Malard, L.A., and Pearce, D.A. (2018) Microbial diversity and biogeography in Arctic soils. *Environmental microbiology reports*.

Männistö, M.K., Tiirola, M., and Häggblom, M.M. (2006) Bacterial communities in Arctic fjelds of Finnish Lapland are stable but highly pH-dependent. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* **59**: 452-465.

Martin, M. (2011) Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. *EMBnet journal* **17**: 10-12.

Martiny, J.B.H., Bohannan, B.J., Brown, J.H., Colwell, R.K., Fuhrman, J.A., Green, J.L. et al. (2006) Microbial biogeography: putting microorganisms on the map. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* **4**: 102-112.

McMurdie, P.J., and Holmes, S. (2013) phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive analysis and graphics of microbiome census data. *PloS one* **8**: e61217.

Mittelbach, G.G., and Schemske, D.W. (2015) Ecological and evolutionary perspectives on community assembly. *Trends in ecology & evolution* **30**: 241-247.

Nazaries, L., Murrell, J.C., Millard, P., Baggs, L., and Singh, B.K. (2013) Methane, microbes and models: fundamental understanding of the soil methane cycle for future predictions. *Environmental microbiology* **15**: 2395-2417.

Nielsen, U.N., and Ball, B.A. (2015) Impacts of altered precipitation regimes on soil communities and biogeochemistry in arid and semi-arid ecosystems. *Global Change Biology* **21**: 1407-1421.

O'Malley, M.A. (2007) The nineteenth century roots of 'everything is everywhere'. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* **5**: 647-651.

Pandit, S.N., Kolasa, J., and Cottenie, K. (2009) Contrasts between habitat generalists and specialists: an empirical extension to the basic metacommunity framework. *Ecology* **90**: 2253-2262.

Paulson, J.N., Stine, O.C., Bravo, H.C., and Pop, M. (2013) Differential abundance analysis for microbial marker-gene surveys. *Nature methods* **10**: 1200.

Price, M.N., Dehal, P.S., and Arkin, A.P. (2010) FastTree 2–approximately maximum-likelihood trees for large alignments. *PloS one* **5**: e9490.

Pruesse, E., Quast, C., Knittel, K., Fuchs, B.M., Ludwig, W., Peplies, J., and Glöckner, F.O. (2007) SILVA: a comprehensive online resource for quality checked and aligned ribosomal RNA sequence data compatible with ARB. *Nucleic acids research* **35**: 7188-7196.

Rognes, T., Flouri, T., Nichols, B., Quince, C., and Mahé, F. (2016) VSEARCH: a versatile open source tool for metagenomics. *PeerJ* **4**: e2584.

Rousk, J., Bååth, E., Brookes, P.C., Lauber, C.L., Lozupone, C., Caporaso, J.G. et al. (2010) Soil bacterial and fungal communities across a pH gradient in an arable soil. *The ISME journal* **4**: 1340-1351.

Šantl-Temkiv, T., Gosewinkel, U., Starnawski, P., Lever, M., and Finster, K. (2018) Aeolian dispersal of bacteria in southwest Greenland: their sources, abundance, diversity and physiological states. *FEMS microbiology ecology* **94**: fiy031.

Schostag, M., Stibal, M., Jacobsen, C.S., Bælum, J., Taş, N., Elberling, B. et al. (2015) Distinct summer and winter bacterial communities in the active layer of Svalbard permafrost revealed by DNA-and RNA-based analyses. *Frontiers in microbiology* **6**: 399.

Schuur, E., McGuire, A.D., Schädel, C., Grosse, G., Harden, J., Hayes, D. et al. (2015) Climate change and the permafrost carbon feedback. *Nature* **520**: 171-179.

Shen, C., Xiong, J., Zhang, H., Feng, Y., Lin, X., Li, X. et al. (2013) Soil pH drives the spatial distribution
of bacterial communities along elevation on Changbai Mountain. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **57**:
204-211.
Siciliano, S.D., Palmer, A.S., Winsley, T., Lamb, E., Bissett, A., Brown, M.V. et al. (2014) Soil fertility is
associated with fungal and bacterial richness, whereas pH is associated with community composition
in polar soil microbial communities. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **78**: 10-20.
Singh, B.K., Dawson, L.A., Macdonald, C.A., and Buckland, S.M. (2009) Impact of biotic and abiotic
interaction on soil microbial communities and functions: A field study. *Applied Soil Ecology* **41**: 239-
248.
Singh, S., Tripathi, D.K., Singh, S., Sharma, S., Dubey, N.K., Chauhan, D.K., and Vaculík, M. (2017)
Toxicity of aluminium on various levels of plant cells and organism: a review. *Environmental and
Experimental Botany* **137**: 177-193.
Sriswasdi, S., Yang, C.-c., and Iwasaki, W. (2017) Generalist species drive microbial dispersion and
evolution. *Nature communications* **8**: 1162.
Team, R.C. (2013) R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
Tedersoo, L., Bahram, M., Põlme, S., Kõljalg, U., Yorou, N.S., Wijesundera, R. et al. (2014) Global
diversity and geography of soil fungi. *science* **346**: 1256688.
Thompson, L.R., Sanders, J.G., McDonald, D., Amir, A., Ladau, J., Locey, K.J. et al. (2017) A communal
catalogue reveals Earth's multiscale microbial diversity. *Nature* **551**.
Wardle, D.A. (2006) The influence of biotic interactions on soil biodiversity. *Ecology letters* **9**: 870-
886.
Wartiainen, I., Hestnes, A.G., McDonald, I.R., and Svenning, M.M. (2006) Methylobacter
tundripaludum sp. nov., a methane-oxidizing bacterium from Arctic wetland soil on the Svalbard
islands, Norway (78 N). *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **56**: 109-
113.
Wojcik, R., Donhauser, J., Frey, B., Holm, S., Holland, A., Anesio, A.M. et al. (2018) Linkages between
geochemistry and microbiology in a proglacial terrain in the High Arctic. *Annals of Glaciology* **59**: 95-
110.
Xu, M., Li, X., Cai, X., Gai, J., Li, X., Christie, P., and Zhang, J. (2014) Soil microbial community
structure and activity along a montane elevational gradient on the Tibetan Plateau. *European journal
of soil biology* **64**: 6-14.
Yergeau, E., and Kowalchuk, G.A. (2008) Responses of Antarctic soil microbial communities and
associated functions to temperature and freeze–thaw cycle frequency. *Environmental microbiology*
10: 2223-2235.

Figure 1: Map of sampling sites within the Arctic Region, as characterised by the Arctic circle (black

line), the 10 °C isotherm (red) and the tree line boundary (green). 200 individual soil samples at 43

different sites were collected for this study, all within at least one of the definition of the Arctic region.

Figure 2: Distance-decay curves with the linear regression (red), the best-fitted power model (green).

(A) Community dissimilarity of the total bacterial community by geographic distance in km. (B) Zoom

into Figure 2A from 0 to 500 m to assess the spatial autocorrelation distance.

Figure 3: Venn diagram illustrating the results of the variation partitioning analysis and indicating the amount of variation in bacterial community explained by environmental variables and spatial factors including the linear trend. Detailed results are available in Table S1.

Figure 4: (A) PCoA of the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity of bacterial communities across the Arctic region by

pH range. (B) Bray-Curtis dissimilarity heatmap and dendrogram illustrating the clustering of samples

into three pH categories.

625   *Figure 5: Alpha diversity differences in the total communities by pH category. (A) Richness by observed*

626   *OTUs. (B) Shannon index.*

629 *Figure 6: Relative abundance of the total and abundant communities by pH range classified at the*

630 *phylum level.*

Figure 7: Venn diagram illustrating generalist (shared) and specialist (unique) OTUs by pH category.

633   *Table 1: The relative importance of environmental factors on bacterial communities, calculated by adonis.*

Variable	Total	
	R^2	Pr(>F)
pH	0.187	0.0001***
Conductivity	0.048	0.0001***
Moisture	0.027	0.0001***
TOC	0.021	0.0001***
Residuals	0.717	N/A