1 **Microbiome data enhances predictive models of lung function in people with cystic fibrosis**

Conan Y. Zhao[1,2,3], Yiqi Hao[2], Yifei Wang[2,3,4], John J. Varga[2,3,5,6], Arlene A. Stecenko[5,6], Joanna B. Goldberg[5,6], Sam

P. Brown[1,2,3*]

Institutions:

[1]Interdisciplinary Graduate Program in Quantitative Biosciences, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA,

USA.

[2]School of Biological Sciences, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, USA

[3]Center for Microbial Dynamics and Infection, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, USA

[4]The Institute for Data Engineering and Science (IDEaS), Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, USA

[5]Division of Pulmonary, Allergy/Immunology, Cystic Fibrosis and Sleep, Department of Pediatrics, Emory

University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA, USA

[6]Emory + Children's Center for Cystic Fibrosis and Airway Disease Research, Atlanta, GA, 30322, USA

*Corresponding author

E-mails: sam.brown@biology.gatech.edu

Abstract

Background. Microbiome sequencing has brought increasing attention to the polymicrobial context of chronic

infections. However, clinical microbiology continues to focus on canonical human pathogens, which may

overlook informative, but non-pathogenic, biomarkers. We address this disconnect in lung infections in people

with cystic fibrosis (CF).

Methods. We collected health information (lung function, age, BMI) and sputum samples from a cohort of 77

children and adults with CF. Samples were collected during a period of clinical stability and 16S rDNA sequenced

for airway microbiome compositions. We use Elastic Net regularization to train linear models predicting lung

function and extract the most informative features.

Results. Models trained on whole microbiome quantitation outperform models trained on pathogen

quantitation alone, with or without the inclusion of patient metadata. Our most accurate models retain key

pathogens as negative predictors (*Pseudomonas*, *Achromobacter*) along with established correlates of CF

disease state (age, BMI, CF related diabetes). In addition, our models select non-pathogen taxa (*Fusobacterium*,

Rothia) as positive predictors of lung health.

Conclusions. These results support a reconsideration of clinical microbiology pipelines to ensure the provision of

informative data to guide clinical practice.

Keywords. Microbiome; machine learning; cystic fibrosis

Introduction

Bacterial infections often resolve rapidly given effective immune responses, independent of antibiotic

treatment. However, in chronic (long-lasting) cases, infections fail to clear even with appropriate drug

treatment. Chronic infections impose an elevated morbidity and mortality risk to the individual [1] and an

increasing burden on global healthcare systems as at-risk populations grow [2]. Chronic infections typically arise

due to deficits in host barrier defenses and/or immune function, and commonly feature changes in pathogen

growth mode (e.g. biofilm formation [3]) and additional microbial species acquisition, forming complex

multispecies communities [4].

Microbiome sequencing has increasingly underscored the polymicrobial context of chronic infection.

However, clinical microbiology analysis continues to focus only on the 'usual suspects' of established human

pathogens – a relatively short list of organisms with well-established patient health risks. This disconnect

between diverse 'infection microbiomes' and limited clinical microbiology profiling may overlook clinically

important risk markers. To address this, we focus on chronic lung infections in people with cystic fibrosis (CF).

Cystic fibrosis is an autosomal recessive disease characterized by decreased lung mucociliary clearance

and mucus accumulation [5–7]. The resulting environment provides both nutrients for bacterial growth and

protection from host immune responses [8–11], facilitating chronic microbial infections [12–15]. Accessible 16S

rDNA microbiome profiling has shifted CF airway microbiology research away from a historically single-pathogen

focus, as sequencing expectorated sputum has revealed diverse communities of tens to hundreds of taxa,

including numerous non-pathogenic bacteria [13,16,17].

Numerous lung microbiome studies have linked community composition to disease progression and

overall patient health [18–20]. Cross-sectional studies have shown severe disease is associated with pathogen

dominance and loss of taxonomic diversity [18,19,21]. Longitudinal studies have associated decreasing

microbiome diversity with declining lung function [22]. Additionally, abundance of non-pathogenic fermentative

anaerobes (*Veillonella*, *Prevotella*, *Fusobacterium*) is associated with higher lung function [23,24]. While these

associations are observed across multiple studies, their causal interpretation is the subject of some controversy.

These results may reflect community ecological processes within the lung, where species interactions govern

community structure and subsequent harm to the host [12,25,26]. Conversely, these patterns could result from

oral anaerobe contamination during sample collection [27,28]. Under this contamination model, increasing

pathogen load compared to a constant background of oral microbiome contamination generates a spurious link

between oral microbes, microbiome diversity, and patient health [27]. While recent paired sputum-saliva

sampling analysis indicates that oral sample contamination is not a substantial contributor to sputum

microbiome profiles in people with established CF lung disease [29], these conflicting hypotheses highlight the

uncertainty in the role specific taxa present in sputum.

In the current study, we side-step this causal inference problem and instead assess how informative

expectorated sputum microbiome data (including potential oral contaminants) is of patient lung health using a

machine-learning framework. We hypothesize that the addition of non-pathogen data improves the prediction

of patient lung function, compared to established pathogen data alone. To address this hypothesis we train

predictive models on both lung microbiome and electronic medical record data for a cohort of CF patients. We

find that compared to the benchmark of pathogen data alone, model performance was consistently improved by

the addition of non-pathogen taxa.

Results

Clinical and microbiome data summary

In total, we obtained sputum expectorates from 77 CF children and adults. Pulmonary function,

measured by percent predicted forced expiratory volume in 1 second (ppFEV1), was stratified into four

categories from Severe to Normal. A summary of patient information is presented in Table 1. As expected,

increasing age correlated with worsening lung function (ANOVA; $p < 0.01$). Culture-based detection of

Pseudomonas aeruginosa correlated with decreasing lung function (ANOVA; p<0.001), as did (log-scaled)

bacterial load (p < 0.05).

The majority (>90%) of reads from our sequencing analysis mapped to one of 13 genera (Figure 1a),

consisting of both recognized CF pathogens (red) and orally derived bacteria (black). *Pseudomonas* sequences

accounted for 30.4% of all reads, and were detected in every patient sample. Other established CF pathogens

(*Staphylococcus*, *Achromobacter*, *Haemophilus*, *and Burkholderia*) collectively represented 19.3%, while oral

taxa account for over 45% (Figure 1). Total pathogenic and non-pathogenic taxa abundance were both found to

vary significantly (p << 0.001) with lung function (Table 1).

Microbiome Composition Varies with Lung Function

We analyzed microbiome compositions across broad lung function categories to examine the

relationship between sputum taxonomic profile and patient health. Figure 2a highlights the relative

compositions of six canonical CF pathogens. As expected, *Pseudomonas* was more prevalent in lungs with

reduced function, whereas in normal lungs *Haemophilus* and non-pathogen taxa (gray) were more prevalent.

The non-pathogenic composition is consistently dominated by *Veillonella* and *Streptococcus* regardless of lung

health or pathogen status (Figure 2b). Shannon diversity calculated with all taxa present is significantly greater

for normal lung function (p<0.01, Figure S1a), in line with multiple other studies [30,31]. While Principle

Coordinate Analysis (PCA) did not qualitatively separate compositions by lung function category, we found

ppFEV1 was significantly associated with microbiome composition (Mantel test, r=0.195, p<0.001, Figure S1b).

Integrating microbiome and patient meta-data

To examine multiple confounding variables such as patient age, BMI or CF-related diabetes (CFRD), we

calculated spearman correlations across 14 microbiome, 11 patient metadata, and 6 clinical microbiology

features (Figure 3). Hierarchical clustering reveals a complex autocorrelation structure, but with many expected

consistencies. Overall, there are two main clusters of correlated variables. One correlated with ppFEV1, and

included Shannon diversity index as well as 16S quantitation of *Fusobacterium*, *Haemophilus*, and *Neisseria*. The

other anticorrelated with ppFEV1, and included ppFEV1 decline, pathogen abundance, CFRD and 16S

quantitation of *Pseudomonas* and *Achromobacter*. Unsurprisingly, FEV1 and ppFEV1 cluster together and are

inversely correlated with ppFEV1 decline rate (an average per year loss in ppFEV1 since birth). Additionally, 16S

quantitation results for *Pseudomonas*, *Staphylococcus*, *Burkholderia*, and *Achromobacter* cluster with their

respective culture-based clinical microbiology results. This does not hold for *Stenotrophomonas,* potentially due

to its infrequent detection.

Dimensionality Reduction

The hairball correlation matrix in Figure 3 highlights the statistical challenges for identifying meaningful

lung function predictors. Such challenges include high between-feature correlations and relatively few

independent patient observations (N=77) compared to the initial number of available predictors (86 total,

including 59 bacterial taxa). To mitigate this dimensionality problem, we first restrict our microbiome analysis to

only the top 23 genera in our dataset. These top 23 encompass 97% of the total sequenced reads (Figure 1). We

also calculate three additional summary statistics: % pathogen, % oral taxa, and Shannon diversity. As our

clustering analysis shows reasonable agreement between clinical microbiology detection and rDNA sequencing,

we exclude the binary detection results in favor of 16S quantitation. To address compositionality of 16S data, we

incorporate total bacterial load (universal 16S primer qPCR) as a predictor. In addition, we use a centered log-

ratio (clr) transform on our genus-level relative abundance data before standardizing to mean zero, unit

variance inputs. We refer to this final combination of metadata and 16S data as our "All Features" dataset.

Training Machine Learning Models

To assess if non-pathogenic taxa contain informative biomarkers, we split our samples into 53 training

and 24 testing samples. ElasticNet was used to train predict lung function while performing feature selection

(see methods, Figure 4). We expect that the addition of patient metadata (age, BMI etc) will improve our ability

to predict lung function given the progressive nature of CF. Our null hypothesis, following the work of Jorth et al.

and others[27,28] is that the taxa targeted by clinical microbiology provide adequate explanatory basis for lung

function outcomes, and that the addition of non-pathogen 16S data will not improve model predictions.

We test this hypothesis by generating four additional feature subsets (CF Pathogens, All 16S Data,

Metadata, and Metadata + Pathogens) and comparing the performance of models trained on each datasets.

Initial-pass, non-bootstrapped model training results are shown in Figures S2 and S3.

Model Generalizability

We assess overfitting using leave-one-out cross-validation and compare the prediction error across folds

against the test set error. For model robustness, we use 1000-fold bootstrap resampling to fit both a baseline

and ensemble of models. Robust features selected by the baseline model will also be selected by a large portion

of the bootstrapped ensemble. We additionally standardize all features (mean=0, S.D.=1) to allow for cross-

feature comparability. As an additional point of comparison, we generate a non-informative control dataset

from the All Features set using within-feature shuffling, scrambling between-feature correlations while

preserving the mean zero, unit variance within-feature structure. Figure 5 shows the results of our baseline

(black points) and ensemble (boxplots) approaches. All models using patient metadata or microbiome data

outperform the negative control.

Addition of non-pathogen data improves model performance

To address the key question of relative model performance, we find that the addition of non-pathogen

taxa significantly improves performance (significantly reduces bootstrapped MSE; Figure 5a), with or without the

addition of patient meta-data. Models trained on all 16S quantitation significantly outperform models trained

only on pathogen quantitation. Interestingly, while microbiome-only and metadata-only models achieve

comparable performance, the combined model achieves greater model performance. Looking broadly across

models, we find reasonable consistency in positive and negative predictor selection between our baseline and

bootstrapped models (Figure S4).

We find multiple features selected across all training sets. *Pseudomonas*, *Achromobacter*, age, and

diabetic status are consistently selected as negative predictors, while *Haemophilus*, *Fusobacterium*, *Rothia*, oral

taxa abundance, and *BMI* are consistently positive predictors. All informative features selected in the

independent models (Figure S4c) were also selected in the All Features model (Figure S4g). A small subset (<

50%) of the bootstrapped models also selected a handful of oral taxa, bacterial load, and CFTR mutation type as

positive predictors of lung function (Figure 5c, gray boxplots). However, a majority of bootstrapped models and

the train/test model did not select these as informative features.

As an additional check against overfitting, we obtain ranges of model errors (measured by mean squared

error of predicted ppFEV1 values) using leave-one-out cross validation (Figure 5b). We do not find significant

differences between cross-validated model errors across our training sets, suggesting that despite the difference

in number of available predictors, our models are not overfitting.

Discussion

People with CF face the challenge of managing long-term chronic infections. Current respiratory

management practice is driven by clinical microbiology identification of specific pathogens in throat cultures or

expectorated sputum samples, alongside measures of respiratory status (changes in symptoms, signs, and/or

lung function). In the current study, we used 16S sequencing to assess sputum microbiome content more

broadly, and ask whether the addition of non-pathogen taxa improves our ability to predict patient lung health,

with or without the inclusion of patient health data. To address this question we applied machine learning tools

to an integrated 77 patient lung microbiome and electronic medical record dataset. Our analysis revealed that

the addition of non-pathogen data improves prediction of patient health, with the most accurate models

selecting patient metadata, pathogen quantitation, and non-pathogen information. Our inclusive 'all data'

models additionally point to a predictive role for specific non-pathogen taxa, in particular the oral anaerobe

genera *Rothia* and *Fusobacterium*.

Despite the significant contribution of non-pathogen data, our results are still broadly consistent with

what might be termed the 'traditional' view of CF microbiology. Established CF pathogens (*P. aeruginosa, S.*

aureus, H. influenzae, B. cenocepacia) are the major drivers of patient outcomes, as evidenced by substantial

improvement in predictive outcomes whenever we include pathogen data (Figure 5a), and the by comparison

relatively weak contribution of the addition of non-pathogen taxa. Note that we specifically use quantitative 16S

measures of pathogen composition to provide a level playing field in the comparison of pathogen and non-

pathogen predictive contribution. Figure 3 highlights that quantitative 16S and qualitative (presence/absence)

clinical microbiology data are in general agreement.

The traditional role of CF pathogens as the central predictors of patient outcomes has been challenged

over the past decade by the advent of microbiome sequencing. Extensive surveys have documented an

association between CF lung function and microbiome diversity, also evident in the current study (Figure 2). At

face value, these results suggest a biological role for these non-pathogen taxa, potentially competing with [32]

or facilitating [33] pathogen taxa and therefore indirectly shaping disease outcomes. Jorth et al. recently

published a forceful rejection of this 'active microbiome' view, stressing the potential causal role of changing

pathogen densities in shaping disease outcomes and viewing shifting diversity metrics as a simple statistical

'relative composition' artifact of shifting pathogen numbers against a roughly constant oral contamination

background [27]. While our analyses provide some support for this view, in particular the constancy of the non-

pathogen microbiome across patients (Figure 2b) and the lack of substantial predictive improvement on addition

of non-pathogen data (Figure 5b), we also see lines of evidence against the contamination hypothesis. First, our

use of center log transformations mitigates the risk of spurious associations due to compositionality (refs) and

yet non-pathogen taxa are still consistently retained. Second, the contamination hypothesis predicts total

bacterial burden to be an important predictor, and yet burden was not retained in our models. Third, our

observation of a consistent retention of specific non-pathogen taxa across multiple models (with and without

the addition of potentially confounding EMR features, including age and BMI) points to the potential for a

distinct causal pathway that is orthologous to age or BMI. We note that the interpretation that oral bacteria are

active players in the lung environment is further buttressed by a recent study on people with established CF

disease (Lu et al. 2020) that used paired sputum and saliva samples to infer the presence of substantial

populations of oral bacteria in the lung.

Our 'all data' models highlight *Rothia* and *Fusobacterium* as positive predictors of lung function across

our 77 patients, in models that already take into account pathogen data. When we include features already

known to correlate with lung health, such as age, BMI, and CFRD status, our models not only these features, but

additionally retain *Rothia* and *Fusobacterium* as positive predictors. The retention of these specific taxa in both

this full model and in partial models (Figure S4) suggests that these taxa provide potentially valuable predictive

information on current patient health. Of course, this analysis does not allow inference to causal mechanism or

even direction of causality. It is entirely possible that these taxa are simply bio-markers of dimensions of

improved health that are largely independent of age, BMI, and other established positive predictors that are

already accounted for in the model. It is also possible that these specific taxa play a more active causal role, for

instance holding specific pathogens at bay via competitive interspecific mechanisms [34].

Interestingly, our All Features models also highlight *Haemophilus*, a canonical CF pathogen, as a positive

predictor of lung function. *Haemophilus influenzae* infections are most common in younger CF patients [8,35],

hence we would expect a positive association in a model that is not controlled for age (Figure S4c, S4d).

However we see that the positive weighting on *Haemophilus* is retained in models that also account for age as a

positive predictor of lung function. A second possibility is that the positive weighting of *Haemophilus* is due to

pathogen-pathogen competition and the relatively less severe nature of *Haemophilus* infections in adults (i.e.,

Haemophilus is 'best of a bad job'). Figure 2a illustrates that we only appreciably detect two and rarely three

coexisting pathogens of the six we find across all patients. The relative scarcity of multi-pathogen communities

implies that *Haemophilus* presence coincides with the absence of other more severe pathogens – and indeed we

see a dominance of negative correlations among pathogens (Figure 3). In this context we cannot preclude a

protective role of *Haemophilus* against more severe pathogens in older patients.

A caveat of this analysis is the dependency of machine learning performance and robustness on

particular distributions of data, and the failure of linear algorithms such as LASSO and ElasticNet on microbiome-

like data [36–38]. This is in part due to the compositionality constraint of microbiome data, which can be

mitigated by using absolute quantitation [39]. However, training on absolute abundances introduces additional

caveats, as order-of-magnitude differences in qPCR sample quantitation can in turn over-represent samples with

higher bacterial loads. We address these issues by using a centered-log transform on relative abundance data

and including log-scaled bacterial load as a potential feature to select. While some bootstrapped models

selected bacterial load as a positive predictor (Figure 5c), the majority of models did not. This further suggests

that the majority of microbiome information is encoded in the relative ratios of taxa abundance, which is

broadly consistent with previous findings [27,28].

Finally, our study is limited to a cross-sectional analysis, limiting us to making predictions on lung

function state at the same time-point as microbiome sample and patient medical record collection. Assessing

and refining our predictive machine learning algorithms on subsequent lung function data is an important future

goal. Our primary objective is to predict future disease states and preemptively identify patients in need of

medical intervention using early warning microbiome markers. To this effect, we plan to continue our analysis

on a cohort of patients across time to evaluate predictive capacity for future health status.

We note that the major predictors identified in our models have been identified in various studies, and

taken piecemeal there is less insight. The value of this work lies in the systematic integration of these multiple

data sources (from both EMR and microbiome data sources). Our model comparisons (with / without EMR

predictors) allow an assessment of the impact of oral bacteria, with and without key potential confounds.

Ignoring these confounds could lead to spurious retention of microbiome taxa that correlate strongly with e.g.

age or BMI. In addition, our analyses allows assessment of disparate factors on a common predictive scale –

indicating for example that the impact of 1 standard deviation shift in *Fusobacterium* abundance is comparable

to a 1 S.D. shift in BMI. Our model comparison approach lends more confidence to the conclusion that the

retained oral taxa are associated with patient outcomes via causal pathways that are largely independent of age

or BMI, being robust to their presence or absence in the predictive models. The research agenda of pursuing the

nature of the causal pathways linking oral bacteria in the lung with patient outcomes is now on a firmer footing

as a result of our study.

In summary, our study finds that inclusion of non-pathogenic taxa significantly improves model

prediction accuracy of patient health status. We identify two oral-derived taxa (*Fusobacterium, Rothia*) that are

independently informative of lung function, which may be either biomarkers or potential probiotics. Our results

call attention to the potential predictive utility of oral microbes (regardless of their functional roles) in the

clinical assessment of CF patient health.

Methods

Subjects

All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical

standards of the institutional and national research committees. Authorization was obtained from each patient

enrolled according to the protocol approved by the Emory University Institutional Review Board (IRB00042577).

Sample collection and 16S analysis

Expectorated sputum samples were obtained from CF patients attending the Children's Healthcare of

Atlanta and Emory University CF Care Center from January 2015 to August 2016. De-identified patient

information including age, sex, height, BMI, CFTR genotype, degree of glucose control (HbA1c), and ppFEV1)

were obtained (Table 1). Among these CF patients, 39 were diagnosed with CF-related diabetes patients (CFRD)

by a CF endocrinologist. HbA1c value was missing for one CFRD subject.

All patients were clinically stable, defined as having no increase in respiratory symptoms compared to

baseline, and no acute illness or new medication for three weeks prior to sputum collection. Upon collection,

sputum samples were diluted 1:3 (mass:volume) with PBS supplemented with 50 mM EDTA. Diluted samples

were then homogenized by being repeatedly drawn through a syringe and 18-gauge needle. The resulting

sputum homogenates were aliquot and stored at -80 °C until all 77 samples were collected. Microbiology culture

results were obtained for sputum samples sent to the Clinical Microbiology laboratory on the same day as

samples for sequencing were collected.

DNA was purified from sputum homogenate with the MoBio Power Soil kit (MoBio, Carlsbad, CA). The

16S V4 region was amplified and sequenced using Illumina MiSeq, yielding an average of 137,708 sequences per

sample. Sequences were quality filtered and amplicon sequence variants were obtained using the QIIME2 deblur

plugin. Taxonomic assignments were classified against both SILVA and Greengenes 16S reference databases and

assigned based on highest taxonomic resolution. To mitigate compositional effects, 16S data were center-log

transformed prior to all analyses. Nucleotides are uploaded to BioProject accession no. PRJNA666192.

Statistical and Quantitative Analysis

Patient samples were binned by ppFEV1 (Normal: >80%, Mild: 80-60%, Moderate: 60-40%, Severe:

<40%). Variance across lung function categories in patient metadata and 16S metadata was tested using ANOVA.

Variation between microbiome composition and ppFEV1 was tested using Mantel tests on Bray-Curtis distances

at 9999 permutations. Within-sample and among-sample diversity was calculated using the Shannon diversity

index and Bray-Curtis based PCoA on 16S quantitation agglomerated to the genus level [40]. Associations

between continuous variables were tested using Spearman correlations. A full pairwise correlation matrix was

calculated, with rows and columns ordered by hierarchical clustering [41].

Machine Learning

We use ElasticNet to fit regularized linear models predicting lung function (ppFEV1) from patient

metadata, microbiome composition, and clinical microbiology results [42]. ElasticNet solves a penalized linear

regression model using a weighted average of L1 (LASSO) and L2 (ridge regression) penalties. This limits over-

fitting by penalizing non-zero coefficients. We split our samples using a simple 70:30 train-test holdout, where

models are trained on 53 samples and used to predict on the remaining 24. All input features were standardized

(mean=0, S.D.=1) prior to model training to allow between-feature interpretability. From our full dataset, we

create 4 additional data subsets: CF Pathogens, All 16S Data, Metadata, and Metadata + Pathogens. We include

within-feature shuffling on the full set as a non-informative negative control.

We employ two methods to assess model robustness, and compare model performance using mean

squared error (MSE). We generate 1000 bootstrap resampled sets from the training set and fit an ensemble of

regularized linear models to obtain distributions for each model coefficient. We identify key metadata and taxa

robustly selected (nonzero coefficients) across the ensemble of models. We assess model generalizability using

leave-one-out cross-validation on the training set and compare resulting MSE ranges.

Acknowledgements. We thank Karan Kapuria and Eunbi Park for help with bio-informatic pipeline development,

and Peng Qiu for machine learning guidance. We acknowledge the technical support and provision of the clinical

data by the CF Biospecimen Registry at the Children's Healthcare of Atlanta and Emory University CF Discovery

Core. This research was supported in part through research cyber-infrastructure resources and services provided

by the Partnership for an Advanced Computing Environment (PACE) at Georgia Tech.

Disclaimer. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or

preparation of the manuscript.

Financial Support. This work was funded by the CDC (BAA 2016-N-17812, BAA 2017-OADS-01), NIH

(HR56L142857, R21AI143296) and the Cystic Fibrosis Foundation (BROWN19I0, BROWN19P0), as well as the

Emory and Children's Center for Cystic Fibrosis and Airways Disease Research for a pilot award to Drs. Stecenko

and Goldberg.

Potential Conflicts of Interest. The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

References

1.   Persoon A, Heinen MM, Vleuten CJM van der, Rooij MJ de, Kerkhof PCM van de, Achterberg T van. Leg

ulcers: a review of their impact on daily life. J Clin Nurs [Internet]. **2004**; 13(3):341–54. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15009337

2.   Guest JF, Ayoub N, McIlwraith T, et al. Health economic burden that different wound types impose on

the UK's National Health Service. Int Wound J. **2017**; 14(2):322–330.

3.   Malone M, Bjarnsholt T, McBain AJ, et al. The prevalence of biofilms in chronic wounds: a systematic

review and meta-analysis of published data. J Wound Care [Internet]. **2017**; 26(1):20–25. Available from:

http://www.magonlinelibrary.com/doi/10.12968/jowc.2017.26.1.20

4.   Stacy A, McNally L, Darch SE, Brown SP, Whiteley M. The biogeography of polymicrobial infection. Nat

Rev Microbiol [Internet]. **2016**; 14(2):93–105. Available from:

http://www.nature.com/articles/nrmicro.2015.8

5.   Perez-Vilar J, Boucher RC. Reevaluating gel-forming mucins' roles in cystic fibrosis lung disease. Free

Radic Biol Med. **2004**; 37(10):1564–1577.

6.   Henke MO, Ratjen F. Mucolytics in cystic fibrosis. Paediatr Respir Rev. **2007**; 8(1):24–29.

7.   Rubin BK. Mucus, Phlegm, and Sputum in Cystic Fibrosis. Respir Care. **2010**; 54(6):726–732.

8.   Bals R, Weiner DJ, Wilson JM. The innate immune system in cystic fibrosis lung disease. J Clin Invest.

1999; 103(3):303–307.

9.   Dickson RP, Martinez FJ, Huffnagle GB. The role of the microbiome in exacerbations of chronic lung

diseases. Lancet. **2014**; 384(9944):691–702.

10. Rieber N, Hector A, Carevic M, Hartl D. Current concepts of immune dysregulation in cystic fibrosis. Int J

Biochem Cell Biol [Internet]. Elsevier Ltd; **2014**; 52:108–112. Available from:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biocel.2014.01.017

11. Yonker LM, Cigana C, Hurley BP, Bragonzi A. Host-pathogen interplay in the respiratory environment of

cystic fibrosis. J Cyst Fibros. **2015**; 14(4):431–439.

12.   Conrad D, Haynes M, Salamon P, Rainey PB, Youle M, Rohwer F. Cystic fibrosis therapy: A community

356   ecology perspective. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol. **2013**; 48(2):150–156.

357   13.   Frayman KB, Armstrong DS, Grimwood K, Ranganathan SC. The airway microbiota in early cystic fibrosis

358   lung disease. Pediatr Pulmonol. **2017**; 52(11):1384–1404.

359   14.   Lucas SK, Yang R, Dunitz JM, Boyer HC, Hunter RC. 16S rRNA gene sequencing reveals site-specific

360   signatures of the upper and lower airways of cystic fibrosis patients. J Cyst Fibros [Internet]. European

361   Cystic Fibrosis Society; **2018**; 17(2):204–212. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcf.2017.08.007

362   15.   Fodor AA, Klem ER, Gilpin DF, et al. The Adult Cystic Fibrosis Airway Microbiota Is Stable over Time and

363   Infection Type, and Highly Resilient to Antibiotic Treatment of Exacerbations. PLoS One. **2012**; 7(9).

364   16.   Huang YJ, LiPuma JJ. The Microbiome in Cystic Fibrosis. Clin Chest Med [Internet]. **2016**; 37(1):59–67.

365   Available from: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0272523115001379

366   17.   Whelan FJ, Waddell B, Syed SA, et al. Culture-enriched metagenomic sequencing enables in-depth

367   profiling of the cystic fibrosis lung microbiota. Nat Microbiol [Internet]. Springer US; **2020**; 5(February):1–

368   12. Available from: http://www.nature.com/articles/s41564-019-0643-y

369   18.   Coburn B, Wang PW, Diaz Caballero J, et al. Lung microbiota across age and disease stage in cystic

370   fibrosis. Sci Rep [Internet]. Nature Publishing Group; **2015**; 5:1–12. Available from:

371   http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep10241

372   19.   Acosta N, Heirali A, Somayaji R, et al. Sputum microbiota is predictive of long-term clinical outcomes in

373   young adults with cystic fibrosis. Thorax. **2018**; 73(11):1016–1025.

374   20.   Hahn A, Burrell A, Ansusinha E, et al. Airway microbial diversity is decreased in young children with cystic

375   fibrosis compared to healthy controls but improved with CFTR modulation. Heliyon [Internet]. Elsevier

376   Ltd; **2020**; 6(6):e04104. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e04104

21.   Muhlebach MS, Zorn BT, Esther CR, et al. Initial acquisition and succession of the cystic fibrosis lung

microbiome is associated with disease progression in infants and preschool children. PLoS Pathog. **2018**;

14(1):1–20.

22.   Zhao J, Schloss PD, Kalikin LM, et al. Decade-long bacterial community dynamics in cystic fibrosis airways.

Proc Natl Acad Sci. **2012**; 109(15):5809–5814.

23.   Zemanick ET, Wagner BD, Robertson CE, et al. Assessment of airway microbiota and inflammation in

cystic fibrosis using multiple sampling methods. Ann Am Thorac Soc. **2015**; 12(2):221–229.

24.   O'Neill K, Bradley JM, Johnston E, et al. Reduced bacterial colony count of anaerobic bacteria is

associated with a worsening in lung clearance index and inflammation in cystic fibrosis. PLoS One. **2015**;

10(5).

25.   Quinn RA, Whiteson K, Lim YW, et al. Ecological networking of cystic fibrosis lung infections. npj Biofilms

Microbiomes [Internet]. Springer US; **2016**; 2(1):4. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41522-

016-0002-1

26.   Klepac-Ceraj V, Lemon KP, Martin TR, et al. Relationship between cystic fibrosis respiratory tract bacterial

communities and age, genotype, antibiotics and Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Environ Microbiol [Internet].

2010; 12(5):1293–1303. Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2010.02173.x

27.   Jorth P, Ehsan Z, Rezayat A, et al. Direct Lung Sampling Indicates That Established Pathogens Dominate

Early Infections in Children with Cystic Fibrosis. Cell Rep [Internet]. ElsevierCompany.; **2019**; 27(4):1190-

1204.e3. Available from: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S2211124719304255

28.   Goddard AF, Staudinger BJ, Dowd SE, et al. Direct sampling of cystic fibrosis lungs indicates that DNA-

based analyses of upper-airway specimens can misrepresent lung microbiota. Proc Natl Acad Sci. **2012**;

109(34):13769–13774.

29.   Lu J, Carmody LA, Opron K, et al. Parallel Analysis of Cystic Fibrosis Sputum and Saliva Reveals

Overlapping Communities and an Opportunity for Sample Decontamination. mSystems. **2020**; 5(4):1–16.

30.   Carmody LA, Zhao J, Schloss PD, et al. Changes in cystic fibrosis airway microbiota at pulmonary

exacerbation. Ann Am Thorac Soc. **2013**; 10(3):179–187.

31.   Flight WG, Smith A, Paisey C, et al. Rapid Detection of Emerging Pathogens and Loss of Microbial Diversity

Associated with Severe Lung Disease in Cystic Fibrosis. J Clin Microbiol. **2015**; 53(7):2022–2029.

32.   Quinn RA, Whiteson K, Lim YW, et al. Ecological networking of cystic fibrosis lung infections. npj Biofilms

Microbiomes [Internet]. Springer US; **2016**; 2(1):0–1. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41522-

016-0002-1

33.   Flynn JM, Niccum D, Dunitz JM, Hunter RC. Evidence and Role for Bacterial Mucin Degradation in Cystic

Fibrosis Airway Disease. PLoS Pathog. **2016**; 12(8):1–21.

34.   McNally L, Brown SP. Building the microbiome in health and disease: Niche construction and social

conflict in bacteria. Philos Trans R Soc B Biol Sci. **2015**; 370(1675).

35.   Bogaert D, Keijser B, Huse S, et al. Variability and diversity of nasopharyngeal microbiota in children: A

metagenomic analysis. PLoS One. **2011**; 6(2).

36.   Rush ST, Lee CH, Mio W, Kim PT. The Phylogenetic LASSO and the Microbiome. **2016**; (August). Available

from: http://arxiv.org/abs/1607.08877

37.   Leng C, Tran MN, Nott D. Bayesian adaptive Lasso. Ann Inst Stat Math. **2014**; 66(2):221–244.

38.   Banerjee P, Garai B, Mallick H, Chowdhury S, Chatterjee S. A Note on the Adaptive LASSO for Zero-

Inflated Poisson Regression. J Probab Stat. **2018**; 2018:1–9.

39.   Jian C, Luukkonen P, Yki-Jarvinen H, Salonen A, Korpela K. Quantitative PCR provides a simple and

accessible method for quantitative microbiome profiling. bioRxiv [Internet]. **2018**; :478685. Available

from: https://www.biorxiv.org/content/early/2018/11/27/478685

40.   McMurdie PJ, Holmes S. phyloseq: An R Package for Reproducible Interactive Analysis and Graphics of

Microbiome Census Data. Watson M, editor. PLoS One [Internet]. **2013**; 8(4):e61217. Available from:

https://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0061217

41.   Wei T, Simko V, Levy M, Xie Y, Jin Y, Zemla J. corrplot: Visualization of a correlation matrix. R Packag

426   [Internet]. **2017**; . Available from:

427   https://github.com/taiyun/corrplot%0Ahttps://github.com/taiyun/corrplot/issues

428 42. Yuan G-X, Ho C-H, Lin C-J. An improved GLMNET for l1-regularized logistic regression. Proc 17th ACM

429   SIGKDD Int Conf Knowl Discov data Min - KDD '11 [Internet]. New York, New York, USA: ACM Press; 2011.

430   p. 33. Available from: http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?doid=2020408.2020421

Tables & Figures

	Severe	Moderate	Mild	Normal	P
N	14	25	15	23	
ppFEV1*	32.9	46.5	74.9	101.2	
(RANGE)	(19.7-39.2)	(40.8-59.6)	(61.6-79.8)	(80.4-119.5)	
Age*	31.5	32	24	20	0.007
(RANGE)	(21-61)	(10-63)	(17-51)	(9-66)	
Male	6	11	7	11	
CFTR Genotype					
Homo-dF508	5	12	7	13	
Hetero-dF508	9	10	8	10	
Other/other	0	0	3	0	
BMI*	19.43	20.73	22.23	21.51	0.094
(RANGE)	(16.27-25.69)	(16.70-29.81)	(19.38-26.07)	(16.65-33.91)	
CF-related diabetes (%)	11 (78.6)	14 (56.0)	8 (53.3)	6 (26.1)	0.015
HbA1c*	6.25	5.9**	5.7	5.5	0.009
(Range)	(5.3-11.9)	(4.9-8.4)	(5.0-7.6)	(5.1-7.1)	
Clinical Micro					
PA (%)	10 (71.4)	20 (80.0)	10 (66.7)	5 (21.7)	<1.1e-4
SA (%)	8 (57.1)	12 (48.0)	10 (66.7)	16 (69.6)	0.454
MRSA (%)	4 (28.6)	6 (24.0)	6 (40.0)	4 (17.4)	0.486
BC (%)	0 (0.0)	1 (4.0)	1 (6.7)	0 (0.0)	0.553
AX (%)	3 (21.4)	1 (4.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (8.7)	0.147
STE (%)	0 (0.0)	1 (4.0)	3 (20.0)	2 (8.7)	0.191
16S metadata					
% pathogen	0.857	0.589	0.532	0.195	9.05e-5
% Non-pathogen	0.135	0.404	0.597	0.783	3.01e-5

Table 1. Summary of patient clinical data, stratified by lung function. *Lung function classes are defined as follows: Normal (ppFEV1 > 80); Mild (60 < ppFEV1 ≤ 80); Moderate (40 < ppFEV1 ≤ 60); and Severe (ppFEV1 < 40). Quantitative metrics are reported using the median and ranges. *Median reported values. **Two patients did not have reported HbA1c values. Significant differences between lung function categories tested by ANOVA, p-values shown. BC: Burkholderia, AX: Achromobacter, STE: Stenotrophomonas*

Figure 1. CF lung microbiomes are dominated by oral anaerobes and opportunistic pathogens *We analyzed CF*

sputum expectorate (N=77) using 16S sequencing and an in-house QIIME 2-based bioinformatics pipeline to

resolve strain-level OTUs. Samples were rarefied to 17000 reads. We identified 217 OTUs across 59 genera and at

least 81 species. Overall, we find that CF sputum samples are dominated by oral anaerobes and opportunistic

pathogens. **a)** *Sequences mapped to 14 genera comprised 90% (red line) of the total reads obtained. 95% (blue*

line) of all reads mapped to 21 genera. Total cumulative read fraction represented in shaded region.

Pseudomonas was the most prevalent genus, followed by Streptococcus and Veillonella. **b)** *Binning reads by*

sample shows variation in relative abundance. Pseudomonas comprises >10% of reads in the majority of our

samples. While over 6% of the total reads mapped to Achromobacter, only 4 samples were comprised of >10%

Achromobacter.

Figure 2. CF Lung microbiome composition varies with lung function and pathogen dominance. *Relative*

*abundances of **(a)** 6 canonical CF pathogens and **(b)** other taxa (the grey bar taxa in (a)). Microbiome*

compositions grouped by disease severity, classified by ppFEV1 score: normal (80+), mild (60-80), moderate (40-

60), and severe (<40).

Figure 3. Lung function varies with patient meta-data. Spearman correlations (R::corrplot) across all patient

metadata (blue), clinical micro results (maroon), and microbiome data (orange, clr-transformed) reveal a

complex correlation structure. We used a centered-log transform on 16S data to mitigate compositional effects.

Rows and columns were ordered by hierarchical clustering, which identified clusters of metadata and

microbiome variables with similar correlation patterns.

Figure 4. Machine Learning Overview. *Machine learning models are trained on different input data tables using varying data resampling methods. (**a**) Features are categorized by information source (microbiome or patient metadata). The 16S data is further split into pathogens and other taxa in agreement with Figure 2. We use elastic net regularization to select informative features that predict ppFEV1. (**b**) We randomly selected 24 patient samples to withhold as a test set and train our models on the remaining 53 samples. To assess overfitting, we use leave-one-out cross validation on our training set. (**c**) We additionally implement 1000-fold bootstrap resampling to assess the robustness of our model fits.*

Figure 5. Bootstrapped ElasticNet-identified predictors of lung function. *ML models were trained using varying*

input datasets. ***a)*** *1000-fold bootstrapping and* ***b)*** *leave one out cross-validation (LOOCV) were used to generate*

prediction error (MSE) ranges across feature subsets. Models trained on all of the data show lower error

compared to other feature subsets. Adding 16S pathogen quantitation decreases model error. Models trained on

all 16S data outperform models using only 16S quantitation (p < 0.01, t test). Regardless of input features,

models trained on the full sample set (black points) are greater than median LOOCV MSEs (boxplots). ***c)***

Coefficient ranges for train/test (black points) and bootstrapped models (boxplots) trained on standardized input

datasets (blue: metadata, orange: 16S pathogens, yellow: 16S other taxa) show consistency between both

machine learning strategies. Both cases select Pseudomonas *and* Achromobacter *as negative predictors*.