Phenotypic plasticity as a mechanism of cave colonization and adaptation

Helena Bilandžija[1, 2], Breanna Hollifield[1], Mireille Steck[3], Guanliang Meng[4,5], Mandy Ng[1], Andrew D. Koch[6], Romana Gračan[7], Helena Ćetković[2], Megan L. Porter[3], Kenneth J. Renner[6], William R. Jeffery[1]

[1]Department of Biology, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742 USA
[2]Department of Molecular Biology, Ruđer Bošković Institute, Zagreb 10000, Croatia
[3]Department of Biology, University of Hawai'i at Mānoa, Honolulu, HI 96822 USA
[4]BGI-Shenzhen, Shenzhen 518083, China
[5]China National GeneBank, BGI-Shenzhen, Shenzhen 518120, China
[6]Department of Biology, University of South Dakota, Vermillion SD 57069 USA
[7]Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, University of Zagreb, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia

ABSTRACT

A widely accepted model for the evolution of cave animals posits colonization by surface ancestors followed by the acquisition of adaptations over many generations. However, the speed of cave adaptation in some species suggests mechanisms operating over shorter timescales. To address these mechanisms, we used *Astyanax mexicanus*, a teleost with ancestral surface morphs (surface fish, SF) and derived cave morphs (cavefish, CF). We exposed SF to completely dark conditions and identified numerous altered traits at both the gene expression and phenotypic levels. Remarkably, most of these alterations mimicked CF phenotypes. Our results indicate that cave-related traits can appear within a single generation by phenotypic plasticity. In the next generation, plasticity can be further refined. The initial plastic responses are random in adaptive outcome but may determine the subsequent course of evolution. Our study suggests that phenotypic plasticity contributes to the rapid evolution of cave-related traits in *A. mexicanus*.

INTRODUCTION

A major problem in modern biology is understanding how organisms adapt to an environmental change and how complex, adaptive phenotypes originate. Phenotypic evolution can result from standing genetic variation, new mutations, or phenotypic plasticity followed by genetic assimilation, but these processes are often difficult to distinguish in slowly changing environments. This difficulty can be overcome by studying adaptation to more abrupt environmental changes, such as the dramatic transition from life on the Earth's surface to subterranean voids and caves.

A unifying feature of subterranean environments is complete darkness (1,2). Cave-adapted animals have evolved a range of unusual and specialized traits, often called troglomorphic traits, which enable survival in challenging conditions of the subsurface. In cave dwelling animals, visual senses and protection from the effects of sunlight are unnecessary, and consequently eyes and pigmentation are usually reduced or absent. To compensate for lack of vision, other traits, especially those related to chemo- and mechano-receptor sensations, are enhanced. Circadian rhythms that fine-tune organismal physiology with day-night cycles are also distorted, and light dependent behaviors, as well as the neural and endocrine circuits controlling these behaviors, are modified. Because photosynthetic organisms are not present in caves, primary productivity is absent and nutrient availability is usually limited. Survival under conditions of reduced and/or sporadic food resources is possible due to the evolution of modified feeding behaviors and adaptive changes in metabolism, such as lower metabolic rate, increased starvation resistance, and changes in carbohydrate and lipid metabolism (1).

The ancestors of cave dwelling animals originally lived on the surface. Regardless of whether the pioneering animals entered the subsurface accidently (by capture of surface waters or by falling into a pit) or purposely (to take refuge from harsh competition or changing climate conditions), they were suddenly exposed to darkness and subterranean living conditions. How did they overcome the challenges of life in darkness, such as orientation in the absence of light, survival during long periods without food, and detection of mates, in order to successfully establish underground lineages? The preadaptation hypothesis suggests that the ancestors of cave adapted animals were pre-adapted to darkness by already leading semi-nocturnal lifestyles in environments with low-light penetration, such as rotting logs, leaf litter, or in the soil, simplifying their transition to life in caves (3). However, many cave dwelling animals, such as the cavefish *Astyanax mexicanus,* are descended from diurnal surface-dwelling animals with no obvious pre-adaptations to low light. For these animals, the transition to dark subterranean habitats posed significant challenges.

In the present investigation, we used the teleost *Astyanax mexicanus* as a model system to understand the molecular, physiological and morphological basis of cave colonization. *A. mexicanus* is an excellent system for studying adaptation to cave life because it consists of both the ancestral-proxy form and multiple derived forms: surface dwelling (surface fish, SF)

and cave-dwelling conspecifics (cavefish, CF) respectively. Surface fish live in rivers exposed to day-night cycles with abundant food availability. In the karstic Sierra Madre Oriental del Norte mountain range in Mexico, SF have colonized about 30 caves (4), and their cave dwelling descendants have adapted to permanently dark, mostly food restricted subterranean waters.

Recent studies revealed that cavefish lineages are much younger than previously thought, from less than 20,000 (5) to about 200,000 (6) years old, implying that the evolution of numerous cave-related phenotypes may have occurred over relatively short time periods. Furthermore, troglomorphic phenotypes are maintained in *A. mexicanus* cavefish despite the lack of complete isolation between surface fish and cavefish populations (6–9). A remarkably recent origin of troglomorphic traits, also involving likely immigration from surface populations, has also been proposed for the evolution of cave-related traits in other cave dwelling animals, including invertebrates and vertebrates (10–15). What are the mechanisms that enable the rapid evolution of various distinct cave-related phenotypes, and how are they preserved despite the homogenizing process of gene flow from surface populations? Clearly, strong selection must be involved in these cases, but in order for selection to act, it is essential to have phenotypic variants with differences in fitness in the cave environment.

The conspecific surface and cave morphs of *A. mexicanus* are capable of hybridization in the laboratory and thus have been the subjects of extensive genetic analysis (16–18). However, not many mutations have been uncovered in CF, even in the presumably dispensable genes associated with eye degeneration, such as crystallin genes (19,20). A more immediate mechanism for survival is likely necessary when new colonizers first enter caves. This suggests the presence of standing genetic variation and/or phenotypic plasticity followed by genetic assimilation (21), rather than the complete reliance on the accumulation of new mutations to produce the necessary variability. An instance of standing genetic variation has been reported in *A. mexicanus* CF with respect to the evolution of eye reduction (22), but phenotypic plasticity has not been studied extensively in this system (but see (23)). This is surprising since phenotypic plasticity plays an important role in the adaptation of many different organisms to changing environments and the colonization of new habitats (reviewed in (24,25).

To replicate the colonization of the subterranean environment, we placed *A. mexicanus* SF in completely dark conditions for up to two years. By assaying different traits, we discovered that dark-raised SF show numerous phenotypes that are normally associated with CF adaptations to dark environments. The results imply that some cave-adapted traits may have appeared rapidly by phenotypic plasticity in *A. mexicanus*. Our results provide a basis for the evolution of adaptations in the cavefish lineage by genetic assimilation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals and Experimental Conditions

The study groups included *Astyanax mexicanus* SF and CF primarily from Pachón Cave (PA). In some experiments, CF from Tinaja (TI) and Molino (MO) caves were also used. Animals were obtained from the Jeffery Laboratory colony at the University of Maryland, and had undergone 3-5 generations in captivity since their original collection from the caves in 2002 (PA, TI) or 2006 (MO). Fish in the main colony were kept in 40 L tanks in cohorts of 8-15 animals and exposed to a 14-hour light/10-hour dark photoperiod. Spawning was induced every two weeks by extra feeding a few days prior to increasing the water temperature (26). Temperature regime changes were as follows: fish were normally kept in 22˚C, on the first day of spawning temperature was raised to 24˚C, on the second day raised to 26˚C, on the third day returned to 24˚C, and on the fourth day returned to 22˚C. Embryos were spawned in the night and collected in the morning by washing them from the breeding nets. Dead embryos were removed and the remainder transferred to clean fish system water containing methylene blue. The fish were raised and handled according to established University of Maryland and NIH guidelines and all experiments conform to the regulatory standards.

Fish embryos spawned at the same time from different parent tanks were mixed in order to minimize the effects of specific genetic backgrounds and mimic the situation in nature where fish are randomly swept into caves. Mixed embryo clutches were divided in half and randomly assigned to different experimental conditions. One group was initially exposed to darkness either as embryos or young fry (from 1-2 hours to 3 days after spawning) and then raised in complete darkness (D/D) for up to two years. The other group, originating from the same brood, was reared in a normal 14-hour light/10-hour dark photoperiod (L/D). All other conditions were identical between the D/D and L/D study groups. Fish were reared in plastic tanks with no filtration or running water. Depending on their size and age, fish were reared in 1, 3 or 7 liter tanks and transferred to larger tanks as they increased in size. Water was changed periodically at the same time for every tank in the experiment. Fish in each tank were fed the same volume of brine shrimp once per day. Dim red light (25 watts) was used when it was necessary to feed or handle the fish in the dark. Fish groups of the appropriate age were used on a per experiment basis. Fish used in a single experiment were collected for analysis at approximately the same time on the same day. Dead fish were removed when they were observed.

For the F1 generation experiments, SF placed in D/D as embryos and raised for 2 years were spawned using standard procedures as explained above. The spawned embryos (dSF) were divided in two groups within the first 24 hours post-fertilization, and one group was left in D/D conditions and the other group was placed in L/D photoperiod within first 24 hpf. A control group of SF spawned from L/D raised parents was also divided into two groups and raised in L/D or D/D during the period of experimentation.

Information on sample sizes, time lapsed in experimental conditions, and age of the fish
used in specific experiments is provided in the sections corresponding the description of
specific experimental methods.

The following procedure was used for collecting, and when necessary pulverizing, adult fish
for the respective experiments: fish were sacrificed with 0.4 or 0.5 mg/L MS222, tricaine
methanesulfonate (Western Chemical Inc., Ferndale, WA, USA). Fish were maintained in the
dark until they expired and then photographed, weighed, and pulverized in liquid nitrogen
using a mortar and pestle. The pulverized material was divided into several Eppendorf tubes
and stored at -80˚C until further processing. For the brain chemistry experiment, whole
brains were rapidly removed, placed in 1.5 mL Eppendorf tubes, immediately frozen on dry
ice and stored at -80˚C until analysis.

Morphological Analysis

After photography, the body length (total: rostrum to tail tip, fork: rostrum to tail fork, and
standard: rostrum to beginning of the tail), the dorsal-central width of the body (at the level
of the operculum and at the beginning of the dorsal fin), the eye diameter, and the pupil
diameter of 8 fish from each D/D and L/D experimental condition were measured using
ImageJ software.

Five fish from each group, kept under the experimental conditions described above for 9
months, beginning 2 hours post fertilization (hpf) were used for melanophore quantification.
Fish were fixed for 1 hr in 4% paraformaldehyde, washed three times in PBS, and examined
under a stereomicroscope. Pigment cells were counted in four different places on the left
side of each fish: in the proximal tail fin stripe, below the dorsal fin, along the dorsal flank of
the trunk, and on the chin. Eyes of four SF kept in D/D and three L/D controls kept in
experimental conditions for 1.5-2.5 years were embedded in paraplast blocks, sectioned,
stained, and morphometric analyses was performed on retinal layers (following (17)).

RNA Sequencing, Differential Expression Analysis, and Identification of Enriched Pathways

Three surface fish kept in D/D and three in L/D experimental conditions for 7 months post-
fertilization were used for RNA sequencing. Prior to RNA extraction, the stomach and liver
were removed from the fish because they compromised RNA quality. Total RNA was isolated
from samples stored in TRIzol reagent according to the manufacturer's instructions
(Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The fragment size, concentration, RNA integrity number
(RIN) and 28S/18S ratio of RNA extracts were determined using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer
(Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA). The cDNA libraries were constructed using TruSeq mRNA
Library Prep Kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). Fragment sizes and concentrations of libraries
were verified using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer and ABI StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR
machine (Thermo Fisher Scientific, , Waltham, MA, USA). The samples were sequenced in a
single Hiseq X-ten lane with a strategy of 150 bp paired-ends (PE), resulting in about 8 Gb
raw data for each sample. To avoid potential adapter contamination, the last 60 bp of all

reads were trimmed. Subsequently, the reads were removed if they contained: 1) more than 50% low quality (Q<35) bases; 2) more than 10 Ns, and 3) were PCR duplications. Cleaned read quality was confirmed in FASTQC (27), and a total of 230,437,086 cleaned reads were retained for transcriptomic analysis. The reads have been submitted to NCBI SRA (accession numbers provided upon acceptance).

A local database was built on the Carbonate Cluster implemented by the National Center for Genome Analysis Support (28) using the *Astyanax mexicanus* draft genome v102.93 (GCA_000372685.1) and associated gene model annotation files. The index of the reference genome was built using Bowtie2 v2.3.2 (29), and paired-end clean reads were aligned to the reference genome using TopHat v2.1.1, with max-intron-length set to 1000 (30). Transcript coverage and differential expression were determined following the Cufflinks pipeline (31). Briefly, mapped reads for each sample were assembled using Cufflinks v2.1.1 with the parameters --frag-bias-correct, --multi-read-correct, --upper-quartile-norm, and --compatible-hits-norm. Transcripts were merged using default Cuffmerge parameters, and Cuffquant with --frag-bias-correct and --multi-read-correct was implemented to quantify transcript expression. Significant changes between transcripts were calculated using Cuffdiff (32) with parameters --compatible-hits-norm, --frag-bias-correct, and --multi-read-correct for light and dark treatments. Results were visualized using the package CummeRbund (33) implemented in R.Studio v1.0.136 (34) using R v3.5.1 (35).

Significantly differentially expressed genes (p_{adj}<0.1) were subjected to Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment analysis as implemented on DAVID Bioinformatics Resources (36,37) and pathways were investigated more closely using Reactome (38).

Real Time Quantitative RT-PCR

Total RNA was isolated from 10 to 12-month old adult SF and PA placed in D/D or L/D conditions within the first few hours after fertilization. After the liver and stomach were removed, the RNA from the remaining body was isolated with Trizol Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions and treated with DNAse to remove genomic DNA if present. Equal amounts of RNA (1.5 μg) were reverse transcribed using Superscript III or IV (Invitrogen, NY, USA). The cDNA concentration was adjusted to 12.5 ng/μL and up to 50 ng were used in each reaction. We tested 12 different HKG (housekeeping genes): (*ube2a*, *rpl13a*, *eef1a1l1*, *nek7*, *tbcb*, *rnf7*, *rpl27*, *ndufa6*, *ap5s1*, *mob4*, *lsm12a*, *actc1b*) using RefFinder, which is located on the Cotton EST Database webpage (39), and integrates currently available major computational programs (geNorm, Normfinder, BestKeeper, and the comparative ΔΔCt method) to compare and rank the candidate reference genes. The geometric mean of the 3 most stable HKG (*tbcb*, *mob4* and *rnf7*) was used for normalization. Primers for genes of interest were designed across exon/intron boundaries except for *pdx1*, where this was not possible (Supplementary Table 1). Primer efficiencies were calculated in Excel from standard curves, and those with

efficiencies between 90 and 110% were used for quantifications. The resulting PCR products

were sequenced to confirm the targeted genes. Relative expression was calculated according

to a formula $\Delta Ct = E ^{\wedge (Ct\ reference - Ct\ target)}$ (E = 1+primer efficiency/100) which enables the

comparison of normalized expression of a gene between multiple samples, instead of ratios

between two samples, taking primer efficiency into account. Statistical significance of

observed expression differences was calculated by ANOVA and adjusted by Bonferroni index.

Starvation Resistance Determinations

SF and PA embryos were placed in L/D vs. D/D experimental conditions within 24 hpf. At 7

dpf (days post fertilization), the first day larval fish were fed brine shrimp, a group of 36

larvae from L/D and 36 from D/D per fish type was fed. Groups of 36 larvae from each

experimental condition and each fish type were not fed. The fish were identically treated in

all other aspects, and the number of living fish was recorded daily. Dead fish were removed

each day.

Statistical analyses for survival analysis were carried out using R version 3.5.3 (R Core Team,

2019). Differences in starvation resistance were compared with a Cox proportional hazards

model using the function coxph() from the R package 'survival' (40). For the parental

generation, the model included the covariates fish (PA vs. SF), light status (D/D vs. L/D), and

fish x light status. For the F1 generation, the model included the covariates fish (dSF vs. SF),

light status (D/D vs. L/D) and fish x light status. If the null hypothesis that all $\beta = 0$ was

rejected, *post-hoc* pairwise comparisons of contrasts were carried out using the function

glht() from the package multcomp with the specification mcp = "Tukey" (41). Proportionality

assumptions for Cox proportional hazards models were tested using the coxphz() function

(40).

Metabolic Rate Determinations

Unhatched SF and PA embryos or 7 dpf larvae were placed individually in air-tight glass vials

filled to the top with fish system water that contained no air bubbles. Half of the vials from

each type were kept in L/D and the other half were wrapped in aluminum foil and kept in

the same way in D/D. After two days, the O_2 remaining in each vial was measured using a

Membrane Inlet Mass Spectrometer (MIMS) Machine (Bay Instruments, Easton, MD, USA).

The amount of O_2 consumed per fish was calculated by subtracting the measured amount of

O_2 in the vials with fish from the mean amount of O_2 measured in blank vials without fish.

This experiment was repeated twice with embryos and once with larvae. The same methods

were used in all replications. For embryos, N = 18 (SF L/D), 19 (SF D/D), 18 (PA L/D), 25 (PA

D/D), and for larvae, N = 20 (SF L/D), 24 (SF D/D), 20 (PA L/D) and 24 (PA D/D).

Cortisol Quantification

We quantified cortisol from adult SF (N = 4) and PA (N = 4) that were maintained in D/D or

L/D experimental conditions for 1.5 to 2 years beginning before 3 dpf. Cortisol was extracted

using previously developed procedures (42,43). Briefly, tissue samples stored at -80°C were
thawed and homogenized in PBS after which 5 mL of diethyl ether was added. Following
centrifugation for 5 min at 3500 x g, the cortisol-containing top layer was removed and
evaporated in a fume hood overnight. The cortisol was reconstituted in PBS overnight at 4°C
and quantified using the Cortisol ELISA Kit (Item № 500360, Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor
MI, USA) following the kit protocol. Cortisol samples from fish within each group were
pooled and assayed in triplicate. The recorded absorbance was compared to a standard
curve to determine the cortisol concentration, and each sample was standardized to protein
concentration determined by Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific,
Waltham, MA, USA).

Hormone Quantifications

Levels of growth hormone (GH) (CSB-E12121Fh) and thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH)
(CSB-EQ02726Fl) were quantified by ELISA using commercially available kits from Cusabio
(Wuhan, China). Adult SF kept in D/D for 1.5 years and PA kept in the experiment for almost
2 years were used. Three fish were used in each group, except for SF D/D in which 4 fish
were used. For comparison of hormone levels between different populations, 3 to 4-month
old SF (N=8), PA (N=5), TI (N=3), and MO (N=4) were used. The fish tissue was weighed, and
3 μl PBS/mg tissue was added to each sample. After two freeze-thaw cycles and
homogenization, the homogenate was centrifuged at 5000 x g for 5 min at 4°C. Equal
volumes of supernatant from each fish type were pooled and immediately loaded on the
plate, 50 μl per well, in triplicate. Assays were performed following the manufacturer's
instructions and concentrations were determined according to the standard curve. Results
were standardized to protein concentration as described above.

Triglyceride Quantifications

We used three fish from each population (SF, PA) and treatment (D/D, L/D) aged
approximately 1 year. Pulverized fish were weighed and 20 μl per mg of 6% NP-40 (Abcam,
Cambridge, UK) was added to each sample. Samples were heated to 80-100°C for 2-5
minutes, and the process was repeated 2-3 times. Insoluble material was removed by
centrifugation for 2 minutes at 13,000 rpm, and equal volumes of supernatants from all
samples of the same fish type were pooled and diluted 20x in ddH$_2$O before ELISA. For ELISA,
50 μL of each sample was assayed in triplicate using the Triglyceride Quantification Assay Kit
(ab65336), (Abcam, Cambridge, UK) according to the manufacturer's instructions.
Concentrations were determined from the standard curve and standardized to protein
concentration as described above.

Serotonin Quantification

Brains were dissected from PA and SF kept in L/D or D/D experimental conditions for 1.5 to 2
years beginning at or before 3 dpf, and from adult SF (N=8), PA (N=5), TI (N=5), and MO
(N=6) kept in the main fish system and HPLC was used to quantify serotonin levels. In

addition, we quantified serotonin (5-HT) in samples of pooled 5 larvae (7 dpf) placed in the
L/D or D/D experimental conditions within first few hours after fertilization, N=6 (SF L/D), 5
(SF D/D), 5 (PA L/D), and 4 (PA D/D). Serotonin and its metabolite, 5-hydroxyindoleacetic
acid (5-HIAA), were analyzed using HPLC with electrochemical detection as described
previously with minor modifications (44). Brains were placed into 100 µL of sodium acetate
buffer (pH 5.0) containing the internal standard alpha-methyl dopamine (αMDA; Merck &
Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ). Fish bodies were placed in either 200 to 400 µL of acetate buffer
containing αMDA based on the amount of tissue present. Both tissue types were disrupted
by sonication using a 4710 Ultrasonic Homogenizer (Cole-Parmer Instrument Co., Chicago IL)
and stored at -80°C. Prior to analysis, the sonicated brain samples were thawed, 4 µL of 1
mg/mL ascorbate oxidase (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) was added to each sample and
the samples were centrifuged at 17,000 g for 15 min. Fish body samples were treated the
same way except that the supernatant was centrifuged a second time through a 0.2 µm
filter. The filtered supernatant was removed and a Waters Alliance e2695 separation module
was used to inject 50 µL of the supernatant onto a C_{18} 4 µm NOVA-PAK radial compression
column (Waters Associates, Inc. Milford, MA) held at 30°C. The initial mobile phase (pH 4.1)
was prepared using 8.6 g sodium acetate, 250 mg EDTA, 14 g citric acid, 80 mg octylsulfonic
acid, and 80 mL methanol in 1 L of distilled water (monoamine standards and chemicals
were purchased through Sigma-Aldrich) and adjusted with small additions of octylsulfonic
acid, glacial acetic acid, and methanol to optimize the separation. Electrochemical detection
was accomplished using an LC 4 potentiostat and glassy carbon electrode (Bioanalytical
Systems, West Lafayette, IN, USA) set at a sensitivity of 0.5 nA/V (brain samples) or 1 nA/V
(body samples) with an applied potential of +0.7 V versus an Ag/AgCl reference electrode.
The pellet was solubilized in 400 µL of 0.4 N NaOH and protein content was analyzed using
the Bradford method (45). A CSW32 data program (DataApex Ltd., Czech Republic) was used
to determine 5-HT and 5-HIAA concentrations in the internal standard mode using peak
heights calculated from standards. Injection versus preparation volumes were corrected and
amine concentrations were normalized by dividing pg amine by µg protein. Data were tested
for differences using a Three Way Analysis of Variance (SigmaStat version 3.5, Systat
Software Inc., San Jose, CA). In analyses that revealed a significant effect between groups,
the Holm-Sidak method was used to conduct pairwise comparisons. All data were tested for
the presence of outliers using the Grubb's test (46). Based on this analysis two brain (PA D/D
night, SF L/D day) and three body samples (PA D/D night, PA L/D day, SF D/D day) were
deleted from the data set. In the end a total number of fish used in the analysis for brains
was 9 (SF L/D day), 10 (SF D/D day), 10 (PA L/D day), 10 (PA D/D day), 7 (SF L/D night), 6 (SF
D/D night), 4 (PA L/D night), 4 (PA D/D night) and for the bodies 10 (SF L/D day), 9 (SF D/D
day), 8 (PA L/D day), 10 (PA D/D day), 7 (SF L/D night), 7 (SF D/D night), 4 (PA L/D night), 5
(PA D/D night).

The F1 offspring of Dark-raised Surface Fish

We measured metabolic rate and starvation resistance in the F1 offspring of dark raised

(dSF) and control SF using the methods described above. Statistical analysis was also done

using the methods described above. N=24 larvae per group in starvation resistance

experiments and N=10 (L/D SF), 13 (D/D SF), 12 (L/D dSF) and 15 (D/D dSF) in metabolic rate

experiments.

RESULTS

Morphological Changes in Dark-raised surface fish

In this study, we exposed SF to D/D from early developmental stages until up to 2 years after spawning, and compared them to L/D controls. As a first step we compared body shape, length and width, eye size, and pigmentation in SF raised under D/D and L/D conditions (Fig 1A). We found no consistent differences in body parameters or eye sizes between D/D and L/D SF (Fig 1B). However, when we compared thickness of retinal layers between the two groups, 5 out of 7 layers were significantly different: D/D fish showed a thinning of the two plexiform layers and a thickening of the two nuclear layers and the photoreceptor layer (Fig 1D, Supplementary Fig 1). Surprisingly, we also observed a significantly higher number of melanophores in the flank of the trunk and below the dorsal fin in D/D compared to L/D SF, although similar levels of pigmentation were noted in other regions of the body (Fig 1C).

Figure 1. A. Surface fish (SF) kept in constant dark (D/D; top frame) vs. light/dark (L/D; bottom frame) photoperiod for 1 year. B. Eye size normalized by body length in D/D vs. L/D SF kept in the experimental conditions for 1 to 2 years. C. Number of melanophores in 1 year-old D/D vs. L/D SF determined in 4 different body regions. D. Thickness of retinal layers in D/D vs. L/D fish: GCL, ganglion cell layer; IPL, inner plexiform layer; INL, inner nuclear layer; OPL, outer plexiform layer; ONL, outer nuclear layer; PCL photoreceptor cell layer; RPE, retinal pigment epithelium measured as a ratio to eye diameter. (Error bars: SD; T-test Ns – not significant, *p<0.05, ** P<0.001).

   Gene Expression Changes in Dark-raised Surface Fish

To understand the effects of exposure to constant darkness at the molecular level, a

comparative transcriptomic analysis was conducted. We used three, 7 month-old surface

fish placed in either D/D or L/D conditions within 1 dpf. RNA sequencing yielded 21.9 to 27.6

million reads from each fish, representing a total of 25,194 genes. Basic statistical data are

included in Supplementary Table 2. We found 356 differentially expressed genes (DEG) at a

significance threshold of $p_{adj}<0.1$ (Supplementary Table 3). Of these, 210 were up-regulated

and 146 were down-regulated in the D/D fish; the set of DEGs contained 67 genes with

unconfirmed functions. We were particularly interested in the genes related to known

aspects of the CF phenotype. Genes involved in circadian regulation, locomotor rhythm and

visual perception were down-regulated in D/D fish, whereas lipid metabolism was the main

functional category enriched in the up-regulated gene set. Two genes involved in

pigmentation were also changed but their expression was higher in D/D, consistent with the

increase in pigment cells described above. We also found significant changes in gene

expression which were not predicted from known CF-associated phenotypes. These genes

function in oxidation-reduction processes, hormone activity, hemostasis, aromatic amino

acid metabolism, gene expression, metabolism of proteins, and signal transduction (Fig 2).

Figure 2. Subset of relevant, enriched GO terms (boxes) for biological processes (top) and molecular functions (bottom) of DEGs (circles) in the transcriptome. Genes tested by RT-PCR are in bold. Red outlines are down-regulated terms and genes, and green outlines are up-regulated.

To validate the RNAseq results, we examined the levels of several DEG by real time PCR (RT-PCR) (Fig 3). We confirmed the down-regulation of the hormone related genes *somatostatin 1 tandem duplicate 2* (*sst1.2*) and *inhibin beta B* (*inhbb*), ros involved *dual oxidase* (*duox*), the circadian rhythm genes *period circadian clock 2* (*per2*) and *cryptochrome-1-like* (*cry3b*), the vision related genes *retinoschisin 1a* (*rs1a*) and *tubby like protein 1a* (*tulp1a*), as well as genes involved in the regulation of metabolism *pancreatic and duodenal homeobox 1* (*pdx1*), and *deptor* in D/D compared to L/D SF. However, the tyrosine metabolism gene *hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase a* (*hpda*), and the tryptophan metabolism genes *tryptophan 2,3-dioxygenase* (*tdo2a*), *aralkylamine N-acetyltransferase 1* (*aanat1*), and *tryptophan hydroxylase 1a* (*tph1a*) showed down-regulation according to RT-PCR results,

whereas they were up-regulated in the transcriptome. This discrepancy may be related to
differences in the age or condition of fish at the time of sampling.

In addition, we quantified some genes which did not show significant differential expression
according to RNAseq results but are important for the cavefish phenotype: *heat shock*
protein 90α (*hsp90aa1.2*), and the *de novo* DNA methyltransferases *dnmt1*, *dnmt3aa*,
dnmt3ab, *dnmt3ba* and *dnmt3bb*. *Hsp90aa1.2, dnmt1* and *dnmt3bb* showed changes in
expression related to photoperiod in *Astyanax*. The RNAseq results and subsequent RT-PCR
validation showed changes in the expression of DEG relative to photoperiod in both SF and
PA CF. Expression of some genes changed in the same direction in both SF and CF (e.g. *inhbb*,
per2, *sst1.2*), whereas others changed in one and not the other fish type, or changed in the
opposite directions in different fish types (e.g. *duox*, *nr1d1*, *tph1a*). Except for *duox* and
dnmt3bb.1, all of the significantly changed genes show the same direction of changes in L/D
vs. D/D SF and in SF vs. PA: *hsp90aa1.2*, *rs1a*, *tdo2a*, *dnmt1*, *per2*, *sst1.2*, *tulp1a*, *aanat1*, and
tph1a.

Figure 3. Normalized relative expression levels of genes in D/D and L/D SF and PA
determined by RT-PCR. (Error bars: SD; ANOVA with Bonferroni adjustments p<0.05 * for SF
D/D vs. SF L/D; * for PA D/D vs. PA L/D; ^{X} for SF vs. PA,).

|

Starvation Resistance in Dark-raised Surface Fish

A major challenge facing animals that colonize caves is low food availability due to limited or
absent primary productivity. To determine how a surface ancestor may have coped with this
difficulty, we raised SF and PA larvae in D/D and L/D beginning <24 hours post fertilization
(hpf) without feeding (N=36 larvae/group). D/D and L/D controls were fed daily portions of
brine shrimp from 7 dpf, when larvae normally lose their reliance on yolk and begin feeding.
By 15 dpf, about 25% more SF and over 65% more PA unfed larvae were alive in D/D
compared to L/D conditions. By 18 dpf, only a few unfed embryos were still alive, and they
were all from the D/D conditions (8 PA and 2 SF) (Fig 4). The results show that dark raised SF
and CF are more resistant to starvation than siblings raised under a normal photoperiod and
that PA larvae survive starvation better than SF larvae regardless of the lighting conditions.

Figure 4. Survival curve of starvation resistance in *Astyanax mexicanus* surface fish (SF) and
Pachón cavefish (PA) raised in complete darkness (D/D) or a normal photoperiod (L/D).
Graphs show the percent of surviving fish (from the initial 36) on each day. Groups of SF and
PA larvae from each condition were starved starting at 7 dpf. Vertical drops represent
individuals lost at a given time point. Groups in the legend that share a superscript are not
statistically different, p values calculated by Cox proportional hazards model followed by
generalized linear hypothesis test.

Metabolic Rate Decrease in Dark-raised Surface Fish

We hypothesized that fish in D/D survived starvation longer because of lower energy
expenditure. To test this possibility, we exposed SF and PA to D/D vs. L/D conditions within
first 24 hpf after spawning and measured O_2 consumption at 2.5 dpf and 7.5 dpf. The results
showed that SF and PA larvae raised in D/D have decreased metabolic rates when compared

to SF and PA larvae raised in L/D conditions. This result was found to be significant in SF each
time this experiment was conducted (3 replicates) for both 2.5 and 7.5-day-old larvae.
Furthermore, D/D PA showed a decrease in O_2 consumption at 2.5 but not at 7.5 dpf when
compared to larvae raised in L/D. In addition, PA and SF metabolic rates were not
significantly different at 2.5 dpf, whereas at 7.5 dpf PA has a lower metabolic rate than SF
larvae raised in L/D conditions. At 2.5 dpf metabolic rate is similar between SF and PA, and
darkness caused it to be reduced in both types of fish. However, by 7.5 dpf the metabolic
rate in PA was reduced compared to L/D SF, and PA did not show a plastic response to
darkness, whereas at the same age SF metabolic rate was still affected by darkness (Fig 5). In
summary, the results support the hypothesis that D/D fish survive starvation longer because
of lower metabolic rates.

Figure 5. Average oxygen consumption at 2.5 and 7.5 dpf in SF and PA larvae kept in D/D
versus L/D conditions (N = 18 - 25 larvae/group). (Error bars represent standard deviation,
ns: not significant, *p<0.05, **p<0.01 as calculated by ANOVA and Tukey HSD Test.)

Cortisol Increases in Dark-raised Surface Fish

We hypothesized that exposure to constant darkness may represent a chronic stressor and
tested this possibility by comparing cortisol levels in both L/D and D/D SF and PA. Surface
fish raised in D/D conditions had significantly higher cortisol levels than L/D SF (Fig 6). In
contrast, PA did not show a significant change in cortisol levels after exposure to D/D. This

result was confirmed in three biological replicates using fish of different ages and different
periods of dark exposure and shows that dark raised SF exhibit higher cortisol levels than SF
raised on a normal photoperiod.

Figure 6. Mean cortisol levels in adult surface fish (SF) and Pachón cavefish (PA) kept in D/D
or L/D conditions for 1.5 to 2 years (N=4/group). (Error bars represent SD in three technical
replicates, ANOVA and Tukey HSD Test: Ns – not significant, *p<0.05, **p<0.01).

Fat Increase in Dark-raised Surface Fish

Our RNAseq results show that genes involved in many aspects of fat metabolism were up-
regulated in D/D versus L/D reared SF. To confirm this on a phenotypic level, we quantified
triglyceride content in SF and PA raised to adulthood under D/D and L/D conditions. In fish
raised under L/D conditions, PA had higher triglyceride levels than SF. Surface fish raised in
D/D conditions had markedly higher triglyceride levels than L/D SF. Triglyceride levels in D/D
PA were also higher than levels measured in L/D PA, although the difference is more modest
than that observed for SF (Fig 7). These results suggest that dark raised SF have higher levels
of triglyceride metabolism that SF raised on a normal photoperiod.

Figure 7. Mean triglyceride levels in SF and PA raised under D/D versus L/D conditions for
approximately 1 year since < 24 hpf. (Error bars represent standard deviation. *p<0.01;
ANOVA and Tukey HSD Test).

Hormone Levels Change in Dark-raised Surface Fish

Because of morphological changes in the pituitary and thyroid glands in dark raised SF (47)
and differential expression of some of the genes associated with pituitary hormones (e.g.
sst1.2, *inhbb*, *ghrl*) in the dark-raised SF transcriptome, we quantified the levels of pituitary
hormones TSH and GH in SF and PA adults raised in either L/D or D/D conditions. TSH levels
were lower in D/D SF (p<0.05) when compared to L/D SF. In contrast, TSH levels in PA raised
in L/D and D/D conditions were similar, and PA had higher TSH levels than SF regardless of
lighting conditions (Fig 8A). When TSH levels were compared between SF and three
populations of CF, there was a trend for higher TSH levels in TI (P=0.07), but not MO
(P=0.16), and TSH levels where significantly higher in PA (Fig 8B). The levels of GH were
higher in both SF and PA raised in D/D conditions when compared to fish raised in L/D (Fig
9A). All three populations of CF had higher GH levels than SF (Fig 9B).

Figure 8. A. Mean Thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH) levels normalized by protein
concentration in adult surface fish (SF) and Pachón cavefish (PA) kept in D/D or L/D
conditions for 1.5 to 2 years. B. Mean TSH levels in SF and 3 different CF populations: Pachón
(PA), Tinaja (TI) and Molino (MO) caves. (Error bars represent SD in three technical
replicates. N ranges from 3-8 fish/group; * p<0.05; ** p<0.01 as calculated by ANOVA and
Tukey HSD Test. In B. ns or * denotes significance in comparison to SF.)

Figure 9. A. Mean growth hormone (GH) levels normalized by protein concentration in adult
surface fish (SF) and Pachón (PA) cavefish kept in D/D or L/D conditions for 1.5 (SF) and 2
years (PA) since < 3 dpf. B. Mean GH levels in 3-4 month old SF and 3 different CF
populations: PA, Tinaja (TI), and Molino (MO). (Error bars represent SD in three technical
replicates. N = 3 to 8/group. *p<0.05; **p<0.01 as calculated by ANOVA and Tukey HSD Test.
In B, **p<0.01 compared to SF).

Serotonin Decrease in Dark-raised Surface Fish

According to the RNAseq analysis, the tryptophan metabolism pathway was significantly up-
regulated in D/D versus L/D SF, while real time qPCR suggested lower expression of the
tdo2a, *aanat1* and *tph1a* genes in dark–raised SF. Therefore, we compared serotonin (5-HT)
levels in D/D and L/D SF and PA adults by HPLC. Since serotonin levels fluctuate according to
a daily rhythm (48), samples for this assay were collected between 11 AM and 3 PM (day) or
11 PM and 3 AM (night) regardless of rearing conditions. Serotonin concentrations were

lower in the brains (Fig 10A) and the bodies (Fig 10B) of D/D compared to L/D SF. Likewise, the 5-HT metabolite 5-HIAA was lower in the brain of D/D SF (Supplementary Fig 2). It was not possible to quantify 5-HIAA in the body because it was masked by several interfering peaks. Serotonin levels in the SF brain, but not the body, were significantly lower at night when compared to samples collected during the day. In PA brains collected during the day, 5-HT levels were lower in fish raised in D/D when compared to L/D conditions but 5-HT levels were similar in D/D and L/D brains at night. Modest, but significant, changes in body 5-HT were evident in PA with increases in D/D relative to L/D collected during the day, and the opposite change occurred in bodies collected during the night. Serotonin levels were significantly lower in PA brains and bodies when compared to SF regardless of experimental conditions (D/D, L/D, day/night). Comparison of SF brain 5-HT with TI, MO and PA fish showed that all three cave populations have lower brain 5-HT (Fig 10C). In contrast to adults, 5-HT was significantly higher in PA compared to SF at 7 dpf, and darkness did not affect 5-HT levels in either larval fish types (Fig 10D).

Figure 10. Serotonergic system changes in adults and larvae of light/dark (L/D)- and dark/dark (D/D)-reared surface fish and cavefish. A, B. Serotonin levels in adult brains (A) and bodies (B) of D/D and L/D reared surface fish (SF) and Pachón cavefish (PA) collected in the middle of the day (DAY) and the middle of the night (NIGHT). (Error bars represent the standard error of the means.) C. Mean serotonin levels in brains of adult SF and 3 different CF populations: Molino (MO), Tinaja (TI) and PA. D. Mean serotonin levels in pooled samples of 5 larvae aged 7 dpf placed in the experiment within first few hours post fertilization. (Error bars SEM; ns – not significant, *p<0.05; **p<0.01 as calculated by ANOVA and post-

521 hoc Tukey HSD Test. In C, **p<0.01 vs SF. The number of each fish type subjected to analysis
522 ranged from 4 to 10 per group.)

Progeny of Dark Raised Surface Fish Show Plasticity in Starvation Resistance and Metabolic
Rate

To test whether the plastic changes in the fish reared in the dark during the first generation
are maintained and transferred to the next generation, we induced spawning in D/D reared
SF. We measured starvation resistance and metabolic rate in F1 embryos (dSF) developing in
D/D or in L/D during the second generation, as well as the offspring of L/D reared controls
exposed to L/D or D/D conditions. The F1 larvae reared in L/D showed no differences in
starvation resistance (Fig 11) or metabolic rate (Fig 12), regardless of whether they were the
progeny of L/D or D/D parents. Their cohorts raised in darkness showed a modification in
these phenotypes: dSF larvae derived from D/D parents showed slightly enhanced plasticity
and a minor shift toward lower metabolic rate and higher starvation resistance (although not
statistically significant) than larvae raised from L/D parents.

Figure 11. Survival curve of starvation resistance in F1 offspring of surface fish kept in normal
light/dark photoperiod (SF) and surface fish raised in total darkness for 2 years (dSF). Graphs
show the percent of surviving fish (from the initial 24) on each day. A. One group of larvae
from each fish type (SF, dSF) and each lighting condition (D/D, L/D) was starved starting at 7
dpf (a vs. b p<0.0001). Vertical drops represent individuals lost at a given time point, groups
in the legend that share a superscript are not statistically different, p values calculated by
Cox proportional hazards model followed by generalized linear hypothesis test.

Figure 12. Average oxygen consumption of 11 dpf F1 offspring surface fish kept in the
normal light/dark photoperiod (SF) and surface fish kept in total darkness for 2 years (dSF).
Each group of offspring was exposed to D/D or L/D conditions within first 24 hpf. (Error bars
represent standard deviation; *$p < 0.05$, as calculated by ANOVA and Tukey HSD Test.).

DISCUSSION

Contrary to the widely accepted view that cave-adaptations result from long-term genetic processes (49–51), our results indicate that some cave-related traits can appear within a single generation by phenotypic plasticity. Exposure to constant darkness can trigger rapid metabolic, neurological, morphological and molecular changes necessary for survival of *A. mexicanus* SF colonizers, which may have allowed for the adaptations evident in extant CF. The initial plastic responses can be adaptive or non-adaptive. Subsequently, in the case of an adaptive response, plasticity would be further selected for, or in the case of non-adaptive or mal-adaptive responses, plasticity would be selected against. Our findings strongly implicate phenotypic plasticity as an important mechanism of cave colonization and rapid evolution of cave-related traits and open the possibility that genetic assimilation may be an underlying mechanism of adaptive evolution in *A. mexicanus* cavefish*.

Phenotypic plasticity is often the first response of organisms exposed to an environmental change. The ability to quickly respond to novel environmental conditions is essential for initial survival and, eventually, for adaptation to occur on the genetic level (24,25,52). Darkness is a hallmark of the subterranean realm, the initial stress new cave colonizers encounter, and the only environmental component shared by all underground habitats (2). Building on the work of Rohner et al. (22), we hypothesized that stress caused by perpetual darkness may be an important facilitator of the rapid appearance of novel and beneficial traits that enabled ancestral SF to endure the environmental shift associated with their entry and ultimately their adaptation to life in caves. To test this hypothesis, we used contemporary *A. mexicanus* SF as a proxy of the ancestral SF colonizers, and exposed them to complete darkness to simulate the most important change that the original SF ancestors of CF experienced when colonizing caves. Indeed, we found an increase in cortisol level in D/D exposed SF supporting our initial premise of darkness activating a stress response.

We expected to find plastic changes in D/D reared SF involving pituitary and thyroid hormonal regulation, adipose tissue, and body shape based on the previous work on dark-raised *A. mexicanus* SF, Chica CF, and Los Sabinos CF (47). Furthermore, experiments on *Poecillia mexicana*, another teleost with cave adapted lineages, showed that darkness caused degenerate changes in the spine and promoted sexual isolation between adjacent surface and cave lineages (53–55). Although our study was unable to replicate the changes in body shape seen by Rasquin (1949)*, we confirmed her observation of increased body fat. We also confirmed changes in pituitary and thyroid hormone metabolism, both in gene expression and hormone levels. Down-regulation of *sst1.2*, a homologue of somatostatin - growth hormone-inhibiting hormone, is consistent with increased GH in D/D SF. In addition, the changes in TSH and GH levels we observed in dark raised SF are consistent with the reduction of basophils and the predominance of acidophils in the pituitary gland of D/D SF reported previously (47). These results suggest that one of the immediate responses to cave colonization and darkness may be changes in the endocrine system. In fact, endocrine

signaling, along with epigenetic changes, activation of heat shock proteins, and
transcriptional regulation, are the major molecular mechanisms involved in regulating plastic
responses (56–58). Our transcriptome analyses revealed that genes and GO terms involved
in all of the above processes showed significant changes in response to darkness. In addition
to hormonal signaling, there are changes in genes involved in the stress response, several
different signal transduction pathways, protein metabolism including protein folding and
posttranslational modifications, and genes showing transcription factor or epigenetic
regulator activities. Furthermore, using RT-PCR we found that the expression of *hsp90aa1.2*,
dnmt1 and *dnmt3bb*, genes related to stress or epigenetic processes (59) were changed in
D/D SF. These results suggest that multiple molecular mechanisms underlying phenotypic
plasticity may be mobilized by exposure of SF to darkness.

Our RNAseq results contained functional categories enriched in both the up- and the down-
regulated dataset: hormone activity (as mentioned above) and oxidation-reduction
processes. Changes in oxidation-reduction processes may be related to increased stress
following the exposure to a changed environment. Alternatively, these changes may be light-
dependent since light activates the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) which, in
turn, act as messengers between photoreception and the circadian clock (60,61). Pathways
involved in the response to oxidative stress, photoreception, and circadian regulation were
down-regulated. Thus, the absence of light may directly reduce ROS production,
photoreception, and circadian control by modifying a single signaling cascade.

Our study revealed many traits that change in SF upon exposure to darkness. Strikingly, all
these traits are associated with the cave lifestyle, and almost all of them change to resemble
CF adaptive phenotypes. For example, compared to SF, CF have higher starvation resistance
(62), lower metabolic rate (63,64), higher basal cortisol level (43), and lower serotonin levels
(but see 62). When exposed to darkness, in addition to mimicking higher GH and triglyceride
levels in CF (see above), we found that SF show higher starvation resistance, lower metabolic
rates, higher cortisol levels, and lower serotonin levels. The results demonstrate that for
these traits SF begin to resemble CF in the same generation following exposure to complete
darkness. Because the plastic phenotypes are under genetic control in multiple
independently evolved CF populations and irreversible when CF are transferred to light in
the laboratory (4,66), the results are consistent with the possibility that the initial dark-
induced plastic responses in SF became fixed in CF-like descendants via genetic assimilation.
However, not all CF traits could be replicated by exposing SF to darkness. Therefore,
although important, plasticity caused by constant darkness is not the only path for the
appearance of cavefish traits. Other mechanisms, including plasticity caused by
environmental factors other than darkness (e.g. changes in nutrient availability), standing
genetic variation, new mutations, or any combination of these processes, might also be
involved.

Although darkness is an ecologically relevant cue, the induction of changes in SF by the absence of light does not necessarily imply that they are adaptive for cave life. Plasticity can result in adaptive, non-adaptive as well as maladaptive responses (67,68). It is self-explanatory how increased triglyceride content, starvation resistance or decreased metabolic rate can increase fitness in the (food-limited) cave environment, but the effects of neurotransmitter or hormone changes are less intuitive. Therefore, we hypothesized that, if a trait is adaptive, it would be present in multiple independently evolved cavefish populations (69). As a proof of principle, higher starvation resistance and triglyceride content (62) conform to this criterion. We tested neurotransmitter and hormone levels and showed that GH and serotonin change in multiple CF concordantly to the initial SF plastic response. Conversely, TSH showed the opposite direction of changes, suggesting that the initial SF plastic response was not adaptive.

Also opposite to the expected direction of change, melanophore numbers increase in D/D SF. The increase in melanophores in dark-raised SF was modest and confined to specific regions of the body, but consistent with up-regulation of some melanosome-related genes in the transcriptome. This result was unexpected but considered reliable, since SF x CF hybrids can also show hyper-melanization (70), and neutral mutations are a likely cause of melanophore reduction in *A. mexicanus* CF (71). We found a surprising result in another classic troglomorphic trait, eye degeneration: although visual perception was a GO term associated with down-regulated DEGs in D/D SF transcriptome, we were unable to detect changes in eye size. However, D/D SF exhibited well-developed retinal layers, and we even recorded thickening of the inner and outer nuclear layer, and the photoreceptor layer. These regions are cell proliferation and intercalation centers so it is possible that darkness activates mitotic activity in these retinal layers as a compensation for defective transmission of light from the lens and induced retinal stress (72). Our results are consistent with studies showing teleosts change body pigmentation and retinal phenotypes in response to light levels (73–75). For example, *Astyanax* F2 hybrids with small or absent eyes also show hyperpigmentation, which has been explained as background adaptation due to the lack of visual input (76). Overall, our results suggest that plasticity lacks the propensity to produce adaptive variants, and non-adaptive, even maladaptive, effects seem to be as likely as adaptive outcomes.

Most of the plastic traits still show sensitivity to darkness in CF (e.g. GH, starvation resistance, metabolic rate; and triglyceride levels), but the magnitude of response is smaller than in SF. However, some traits have completely lost plasticity in CF, such as TSH levels and in particular pigmentation and eyes, which are absent in Pachón and other fully troglomorphic CF. The process of replacing a plastic trait by a monomorphic trait desensitized from environmental stimuli is called canalization (77). Our results suggest that different traits can have different extents of canalization during the evolution of CF, implying that the rate of canalization is not proportional to the time lapse since colonization of the new habitat. The loss of plasticity may be a consequence of drift because a phenotype is

never expressed in a derived environment or it can be adaptive if maintenance of plasticity is
costly or only one phenotype is favored in the environment (78). Importantly, the three
traits that have been completely canalized in CF, showed plastic response in SF that was non-
adaptive or potentially maladaptive. This suggests that natural selection acting against
plasticity was involved in the evolution of these traits in CF. A novel aspect of the evolution
of classical troglomorphic traits, the reduction of eyes and pigmentation, may be that they
are a consequence of strong selection against non- or mal-adaptive plastic responses during
colonization of caves by surface ancestors.

To test if the inheritance of some of the plastic phenotypic changes described here occur
during the next generation, we induced spawning in D/D SF and investigated starvation
resistance and metabolic rate in the offspring (dSF), traits shown to exhibit plasticity in the
parental D/D generation. Neither of these traits showed differences between offspring
spawned in the light or dark when maintained in L/D. However, when maintained in D/D,
dSF showed continued and enhanced plasticity of both traits, as well as a potential adaptive-
tuning of the character states, suggesting that plasticity is evolvable and can be an
evolutionary adaptation itself. Increased plasticity was previously suggested to be an
important stepping stone in the process of adaptations to extreme environments (52,79).
Therefore, consistent with the Baldwin effect (80), the evolution of cave related traits may
have proceeded through initial selection for continued plasticity and refinement of the
characters, followed by selection of individuals carrying the most improved variants of the
phenotype. Higher growth hormone and triglyceride levels, as well as lower body serotonin
levels in PA than D/D SF, also imply refinement of the initial SF plastic response in CF. In
nature SF are periodically swept into caves and plasticity in many traits that enable survival
may be critical to extend their lifespan long enough to leave offspring. According to our
results, the next generation may be slightly better equipped for coping with the cave
environment by increased plasticity and small adaptive switches in traits.

One of the reasons the role of plasticity in the adaptive evolution has been contested is its
randomness in the production of outcomes where non-adaptive and mal-adaptive responses
are as likely as adaptive responses. However, our study suggests that even the initial non-
adaptive plastic responses may eventually produce an adaptive outcome. Some traits,
including the hallmarks of troglomorphic adaptations (the loss of eyes and pigmentation)
initially show non-adaptive plastic responses but the complete canalization of the opposite
character state in CF indicates strong selection against plasticity in SF colonizers. Non-
adaptive plasticity may have a greater role in evolution than previously appreciated because
it may increase the strength of selection against plasticity, and perhaps, in some cases, may
affect the whole trait itself. Conversely, in cases where plasticity produces adaptive
outcomes, initial selection will act to enhance plasticity, and plasticity will be maintained in
the derived lineage for many generations. Overall, plasticity has a critical role in producing
variability (adaptive or not) on which selection can act (for or against) to produce individuals
with optimal fitness in the new environment.

We also uncovered two cases in which the plasticity of a single trait changes within an individual over the course of development. Both metabolic rate and serotonin showed shifts in plasticity depending on developmental stage. Metabolic rate initially (at 2.5 dpf) changes in response to the light regime but by 7.5 dpf this response is lost in PA. In contrast, serotonin levels are plastic in adults but not in 7 dpf larvae. Interestingly, switches in plasticity occur at the same time as switches in character state. At 2.5 dpf there is no difference in metabolic rate between SF and PA, but by 7.5 dpf, the metabolic rate in PA is lower than in SF, agreeing with previous studies done on adults (63,64). In the case of serotonin, character states show opposite relationships at different stages: they are lower in larvae but higher in adult SF compared to PA. Therefore, for metabolic rate and serotonin levels, both the trait and its plasticity change concordantly, suggesting that the underlying mechanisms of character development may also influence the ability to respond to environmental stimuli. These two examples show that plasticity is not an intrinsically fixed property of a trait but can develop by itself. In our examples, plasticity develops concordantly with the traits it affects, and it can develop in a direction of expansion or reduction. The development of metabolic rate in PA is accompanied by canalization of the same trait. In the case of serotonin, development of the 5-HT system is accompanied by an increase in its plasticity.

Phenotypic plasticity can fill several gaps in the current model of cave colonization and the evolution of cave dwelling organisms. Our study shows how a colonizing surface ancestor, having no adaptations to caves and presented with the challenges of low food availability, orientation, and reproduction in complete darkness, could have overcome a transition to the extreme subterranean environment and establish a cave-adapted lineage. Further, due to plasticity it is possible to evolve adaptations within a very short timeframe; in fact, numerous adaptive traits can arise within a single generation in response to only one, albeit the most relevant, environmental cue: darkness. Finally, plasticity may enable the maintenance of distinct phenotypes in the face of gene flow from ancestral surface-dwelling populations. The incorporation of phenotypic plasticity as one of the mechanisms underlying cave colonization and adaptive evolution provides a solution to dilemmas associated with drift or selection (81) acting alone to induce cave-related phenotypes in *A. mexicanus*. Because multiple successful transitions by the same surface ancestors are not unique to *Astyanax* (82,83), some animals groups have colonized caves repeatedly in different times and geographical regions, and several unique cave dwellers are closely related to highly invasive (and plastic) surface dwelling species (84,85), it is possible that plasticity may be a general phenomenon in the colonization and adaptation to cave environments.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors declare no competing interests.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by NIH grant EY024941 to WRJ. HB was supported from the
European Union Seventh Framework Programme (FP7 2007-2013) under grant agreement
no. 291823 Marie Curie FP7-PEOPLE-2011-COFUND – NEWFELPRO as part of the project
EACAA, grant agreement No. 50. MP and MS were supported by NSF grant #1556819. We
wish to thank all members of the Jeffery lab, especially Ruby Dessiatoun for taking care of
the fish.

REFERENCES

1.   Culver DC, Pipan T. The biology of caves and other subterranean habitats. USA: Oxford
University Press; 2009. 256 p.

2.   Pipan T, Culver DC. Convergence and divergence in the subterranean realm: a
reassessment. Biol J Linn Soc [Internet]. 2012 [cited 2013 Sep 21];107(1):1–14.
Available from: http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1095-
8312.2012.01964.x/full

3.   Vandel A. Biospeleology : the biology of cavernicolous animals. Pergamon Press; 1965.
550 p.

4.   Gross JB. The complex origin of Astyanax cavefish. BMC Evol Biol [Internet]. 2012 Jun
[cited 2014 Jan 31];12(1):105. Available from: http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-
2148/12/105/abstract

5.   Fumey J, Hinaux H, Noirot C, Thermes C, Rétaux S, Casane D. Evidence for late
Pleistocene origin of Astyanax mexicanus cavefish. BMC Evol Biol [Internet]. 2018 Dec
18 [cited 2019 Jan 8];18(1):43. Available from:
https://bmcevolbiol.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12862-018-1156-7

6.   Herman A, Brandvain Y, Weagley J, Jeffery WR, Keene AC, Kono TJY, et al. The role of
gene flow in rapid and repeated evolution of cave-related traits in Mexican tetra,
Astyanax mexicanus. Mol Ecol [Internet]. 2018 Nov 1 [cited 2019 Feb 8];27(22):4397–
416. Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/mec.14877

7.   Chakraborty R, Nei M. Dynamics of gene differentiation between incompletely
isolated populations of unequal sizes. Theor Popul Biol [Internet]. 1974 Jun 1 [cited
2019 Jan 8];5(3):460–9. Available from:
https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0040580974900641

8.   Bradic M, Beerli P, García-de León FJ, Esquivel-Bobadilla S, Borowsky RL. Gene flow

and population structure in the Mexican blind cavefish complex (*Astyanax*
mexicanus). BMC Evol Biol [Internet]. 2012 [cited 2013 Jul 11];12(1):9. Available from:
http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2148/12/9/

9.   Wilkens H, Hüppop K. Sympatric speciation in cave fishes? J Zool Syst Evol Res
[Internet]. 1986 Apr 27 [cited 2019 Feb 8];24(3):223–30. Available from:
http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.1439-0469.1986.tb00630.x

10.   Behrmann-Godel J, Nolte AW, Kreiselmaier J, Berka R, Freyhof J. The first European
cave fish. Curr Biol [Internet]. 2017 Apr 3 [cited 2019 Feb 8];27(7):R257–8. Available
from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28376329

11.   Niemiller ML, Fitzpatrick BM, Miller BT. Recent divergence with gene flow in
Tennessee cave salamanders (Plethodontidae: Gyrinophilus) inferred from gene
genealogies. Mol Ecol [Internet]. 2008 May [cited 2019 Feb 8];17(9):2258–75.
Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18410292

12.   Klaus S, Mendoza JCE, Liew JH, Plath M, Meier R, Yeo DCJ. Rapid evolution of
troglomorphic characters suggests selection rather than neutral mutation as a driver
of eye reduction in cave crabs. Biol Lett [Internet]. 2013 Apr [cited 2013 Jul 11];9(2).
Available from: http://rsbl.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/9/2/20121098

13.   Zhang Y, Li S. Ancient lineage, young troglobites: recent colonization of caves by
Nesticella spiders. BMC Evol Biol [Internet]. 2013 Sep 4 [cited 2019 Jan 8];13(1):183.
Available from: http://bmcevolbiol.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1471-2148-
13-183

14.   Schilthuizen M, Cabanban AS, Haase M. Possible speciation with gene flow in tropical
cave snails. J Zool Syst Evol Res [Internet]. 2005 May 1 [cited 2019 Feb 8];43(2):133–8.
Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.1439-0469.2004.00289.x

15.   Villacorta C, Jaume D, Oromí P, Juan C. Under the volcano: phylogeography and
evolution of the cave-dwelling Palmorchestia hypogaea (Amphipoda, Crustacea) at La
Palma (Canary Islands). BMC Biol [Internet]. 2008 Jan 31 [cited 2019 Jan 8];6(1):7.
Available from: http://bmcbiol.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1741-7007-6-7

16.   Protas M, Tabansky I, Conrad M, Gross JB, Vidal O, Tabin CJ, et al. Multi-trait evolution
in a cave fish, Astyanax mexicanus. Evol Dev [Internet]. 2008;10(2):196–209. Available
from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-142X.2008.00227.x

17.   O'Quin KE, Yoshizawa M, Doshi P, Jeffery WR. Quantitative genetic analysis of retinal
degeneration in the blind cavefish *Astyanax mexicanus*. PLoS One [Internet]. 2013
[cited 2013 Jul 12];8(2):e57281. Available from:
http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0057281

18.   Yoshizawa M, Yamamoto Y, O'Quin KE, Jeffery WR. Evolution of an adaptive behavior
and its sensory receptors promotes eye regression in blind cavefish. BMC Biol
[Internet]. 2012 [cited 2013 Jul 12];10(1):108. Available from:
http://www.biomedcentral.com/1741-7007/10/108/

19.   Hinaux H, Poulain J, Da Silva C, Noirot C, Jeffery WR, Casane D, et al. De Novo

Sequencing of Astyanax mexicanus Surface Fish and Pachón Cavefish Transcriptomes
Reveals Enrichment of Mutations in Cavefish Putative Eye Genes. PLoS One [Internet].
2013 Jan [cited 2013 Jun 14];8(1):e53553. Available from:
http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0053553

20.   Ma L, Parkhurst A, Jeffery WR. The role of a lens survival pathway including sox2 and
αA-crystallin in the evolution of cavefish eye degeneration. Evodevo [Internet]. 2014
[cited 2019 Feb 23];5:28. Available from:
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25210614

21.   Waddington CH. Genetic assimilation of an acquired character. Evolution (N Y)
[Internet]. 1953 Jun [cited 2018 Jul 1];7(2):118. Available from:
https://www.jstor.org/stable/2405747?origin=crossref

22.   Rohner N, Jarosz DF, Kowalko JE, Yoshizawa M, Jeffery WR, Borowsky RL, et al. Cryptic
variation in morphological evolution: HSP90 as a capacitor for loss of eyes in cavefish.
Science [Internet]. 2013 Dec 13 [cited 2018 Jun 27];342(6164):1372–5. Available from:
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24337296

23.   Reyes WD. Via Sapientiae: Effects of temperature and water flow on morphology of
Astyanax mexicanus (Teleostei: Characidae) [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2019 Jan 8].
Available from: https://via.library.depaul.edu/csh_etd/112

24.   Fox RJ, Donelson JM, Schunter C, Ravasi T, Gaitán-Espitia JD. Beyond buying time: the
role of plasticity in phenotypic adaptation to rapid environmental change. Philos Trans
R Soc B Biol Sci [Internet]. 2019 Mar 18 [cited 2019 May 20];374(1768):20180174.
Available from: http://www.royalsocietypublishing.org/doi/10.1098/rstb.2018.0174

25.   Morris MRJ. Plasticity-Mediated Persistence in New and Changing Environments. Int J
Evol Biol [Internet]. 2014 Oct 15 [cited 2019 May 20];2014. Available from:
https://www.hindawi.com/journals/ijeb/2014/416497/

26.   Jeffery WR, Strickler AG, Guiney S, Heyser DG, Tomarev SI. Prox 1 in eye degeneration
and sensory organ compensation during development and evolution of the cavefish
Astyanax. Dev Genes Evol [Internet]. 2000 [cited 2013 Jul 11];210(5):223–230.
Available from: http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s004270050308

27.   Andrews S. FastQC: A quality control tool for high throughput sequence data.
http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/. 2010;

28.   Stewart, C.A., Welch, V., Plale, B., Fox, G., Pierce, M., Sterling T. Indiana University
Pervasive Technology Institute [Internet]. 2017. Available from:
https://scholarworks.iu.edu/dspace/handle/2022/21675

29.   Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods
[Internet]. 2012 Apr 4 [cited 2019 Feb 15];9(4):357–9. Available from:
http://www.nature.com/articles/nmeth.1923

30.   Kim D, Pertea G, Trapnell C, Pimentel H, Kelley R, Salzberg SL. TopHat2: accurate
alignment of transcriptomes in the presence of insertions, deletions and gene fusions.
Genome Biol [Internet]. 2013 Apr 25 [cited 2019 Feb 15];14(4):R36. Available from:

http://genomebiology.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/gb-2013-14-4-r36

31.   Trapnell C, Williams BA, Pertea G, Mortazavi A, Kwan G, van Baren MJ, et al. Transcript
assembly and quantification by RNA-Seq reveals unannotated transcripts and isoform
switching during cell differentiation. Nat Biotechnol [Internet]. 2010 May 2 [cited
2019 Feb 15];28(5):511–5. Available from: http://www.nature.com/articles/nbt.1621

32.   Trapnell C, Roberts A, Goff L, Pertea G, Kim D, Kelley DR, et al. Differential gene and
transcript expression analysis of RNA-seq experiments with TopHat and Cufflinks. Nat
Protoc [Internet]. 2012 Mar 1 [cited 2019 Feb 15];7(3):562–78. Available from:
http://www.nature.com/doifinder/10.1038/nprot.2012.016

33.   Goff LA, Trapnell C, Kelley D. CummeRbund: Visualization and exploration of Cufflinks
high-throughput sequencing data [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2019 Feb 15]. Available from:
https://www.bioconductor.org/packages/devel/bioc/vignettes/cummeRbund/inst/do
c/cummeRbund-manual.pdf

34.   R Studio T. RStudio: Integrated development environment for R [Internet]. Citeseer.
Boston, MA; 2015 [cited 2019 Feb 15]. Available from: http://www.rstudio.com/.

35.   Team R. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. 2018 [cited 2019
Feb 15]; Available from: ftp://ftp.uvigo.es/CRAN/web/packages/dplR/vignettes/intro-
dplR.pdf

36.   Huang DW, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA. Systematic and integrative analysis of large
gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. Nat Protoc [Internet]. 2009 Jan 1
[cited 2019 Feb 15];4(1):44–57. Available from:
http://www.nature.com/articles/nprot.2008.211

37.   Huang DW, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA. Bioinformatics enrichment tools: paths toward
the comprehensive functional analysis of large gene lists. Nucleic Acids Res [Internet].
2009 Jan 1 [cited 2019 Feb 15];37(1):1–13. Available from:
https://academic.oup.com/nar/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/nar/gkn923

38.   Fabregat A, Sidiropoulos K, Garapati P, Gillespie M, Hausmann K, Haw R, et al. The
Reactome pathway Knowledgebase. Nucleic Acids Res [Internet]. 2016 Jan 4 [cited
2019 Feb 15];44(D1):D481–7. Available from: https://academic.oup.com/nar/article-
lookup/doi/10.1093/nar/gkv1351

39.   Xie F, Sun G, Stiller JW, Zhang B. Genome-wide functional analysis of the cotton
transcriptome by creating an integrated EST database. PLoS One [Internet]. 2011
[cited 2019 Feb 15];6(11):e26980. Available from:
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22087239

40.   Therneau TM, Grambsch PM. Modeling survival data: Extending the Cox model. New
York, NY: Springer New York; 2000. (Statistics for Biology and Health).

41.   Hothorn T, Bretz F, Westfall P. Simultaneous inference in general parametric models.
Biometrical J [Internet]. 2008 Jun [cited 2019 May 16];50(3):346–63. Available from:
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18481363

42. Canavello PRP, Cachat JMJ, Beeson EC, Laffoon AL AL, Grimes C, Haymore WAM, et al.
Measuring endocrine (cortisol) responses of zebrafish to stress. In: Kalueff AV, Cachat
J, editors. Zebrafish Neurobehavioral Protocols [Internet]. Humana Press; 2011 [cited
2019 Feb 15]. p. 135–42. Available from:
https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Allan_Kalueff/publication/226711321_Measuri
ng_Endocrine_Cortisol_Responses_of_Zebrafish_to_Stress/links/0fcfd50b37ef3bea17
000000.pdf

43. Gallo ND, Jeffery WR. Evolution of space dependent growth in the teleost *Astyanax*
mexicanus. PLoS One [Internet]. 2012;7(8):e41443. Available from:
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3409856/

44. Renner K, Luine V. Analysis of temporal and dose-dependent effects of estrogen on
monoamines in brain nuclei. Brain Res [Internet]. 1986 Feb 26 [cited 2017 Nov
27];366(1–2):64–71. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3754476

45. Bradford MM. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram
quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Anal Biochem
[Internet]. 1976 May 7 [cited 2017 Nov 27];72:248–54. Available from:
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/942051

46. Rohlf FJ, Soka RR. Statistical tables [Internet]. 2nd ed. San Francisco: W.H. Freeman
and Co; 1981 [cited 2017 Nov 27]. 219 p. Available from:
http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/bimj.19700120315

47. Rasquin P. The influence of light and darkness on thyroid and pituitary activity of the
characin Astyanax mexicanus and its cave derivatives. Bulletin of the AMNH ; v. 93,
article 7. 1949 [cited 2018 Jun 19]; Available from:
http://digitallibrary.amnh.org/handle/2246/1190

48. Fingerman SW. Circadian rhythms of brain 5-hydroxytryptamine and swimming
activity in the teleost, Fundulus grandis. Comp Biochem Physiol C [Internet]. 1976
[cited 2019 Apr 25];54(1):49–53. Available from:
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/6207

49. Barr TC. Cave ecology and the evolution of troglobites. In: Dobzhansky T, Hecht MK,
Steere WC, editors. Evolutionary Biology [Internet]. US: Springer; 1968 [cited 2013 Sep
28]. p. 35–102. Available from: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-1-4684-8094-
8_2

50. Culver DC. Cave Life [Internet]. Cambridge, MA and London, England: Harvard
University Press; 1982 [cited 2017 Jul 19]. Available from:
http://www.degruyter.com/view/books/harvard.9780674330214/harvard.978067433
0214/harvard.9780674330214.xml

51. Juan C, Guzik MT, Jaume D, Cooper SJB. Evolution in caves: Darwin's 'wrecks of
ancient life' in the molecular era. Mol Ecol [Internet]. 2010 Sep [cited 2012 Apr
24];19(18):3865–80. Available from:
http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04759.x/full

52. Lande R. Adaptation to an extraordinary environment by evolution of phenotypic
plasticity and genetic assimilation. J Evol Biol [Internet]. 2009 Jul 1 [cited 2019 May
20];22(7):1435–46. Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.1420-
9101.2009.01754.x

53. Riesch R, Plath M, Schlupp I. Speciation in caves: experimental evidence that
permanent darkness promotes reproductive isolation. Biol Lett [Internet]. 2011 Dec
23 [cited 2019 Jan 16];7(6):909–12. Available from:
http://rsbl.royalsocietypublishing.org/cgi/doi/10.1098/rsbl.2011.0237

54. Riesch R, Reznick DN, Plath M, Schlupp I. Sex-specific local life-history adaptation in
surface- and cave-dwelling Atlantic mollies (Poecilia mexicana). Sci Rep [Internet].
2016 Sep 10 [cited 2019 Jan 16];6(1):22968. Available from:
http://www.nature.com/articles/srep22968

55. Torres-Dowdall J, Karagic N, Plath M, Riesch R. Evolution in caves: selection from
darkness causes spinal deformities in teleost fishes. Biol Lett [Internet]. 2018 Jun 6
[cited 2019 Feb 22];14(6):20180197. Available from:
http://rsbl.royalsocietypublishing.org/lookup/doi/10.1098/rsbl.2018.0197

56. Beldade P, Mateus A, Keller R. Evolution and molecular mechanisms of adaptive
developmental plasticity. Mol Ecol [Internet]. 2011 Apr [cited 2018 Jul 1];20(7):1347–
63. Available from: https://physoc.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/j.1365-
294X.2011.05016.x

57. Aubin-Horth N, Renn SCP. Genomic reaction norms: using integrative biology to
understand molecular mechanisms of phenotypic plasticity. Mol Ecol [Internet]. 2009
Sep [cited 2018 Jul 1];18(18):3763–80. Available from:
http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2009.04313.x

58. Kelly SA, Panhuis TM, Stoehr AM. Phenotypic plasticity: Molecular mechanisms and
adaptive significance. Compr Physiol [Internet]. 2012 Apr [cited 2019 Apr 29];2:1417–
39. Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/cphy.c110008

59. Gore A V., Tomins KA, Iben J, Ma L, Castranova D, Davis AE, et al. An epigenetic
mechanism for cavefish eye degeneration. Nat Ecol Evol [Internet]. 2018 Jul 28 [cited
2018 Jun 27];2(7):1155–60. Available from: http://www.nature.com/articles/s41559-
018-0569-4

60. Hirayama J, Cho S, Sassone-Corsi P. Circadian control by the reduction/oxidation
pathway: catalase represses light-dependent clock gene expression in the zebrafish.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A [Internet]. 2007 Oct 2 [cited 2019 Feb 13];104(40):15747–52.
Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17898172

61. Pagano C, Siauciunaite R, Idda ML, Ruggiero G, Ceinos RM, Pagano M, et al. Evolution
shapes the responsiveness of the D-box enhancer element to light and reactive
oxygen species in vertebrates. Sci Rep [Internet]. 2018 Dec 4 [cited 2019 Feb
13];8(1):13180. Available from: http://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-018-31570-8

62. Aspiras AC, Rohner N, Martineau B, Borowsky RL, Tabin CJ. Melanocortin 4 receptor

mutations contribute to the adaptation of cavefish to nutrient-poor conditions. Proc
Natl Acad Sci U S A [Internet]. 2015 Aug 4 [cited 2019 Feb 26];112(31):9668–73.
Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26170297

63. Hüppop K. Oxygen consumption of Astyanax fasciatus (Characidae, Pisces): A
comparison of epigean and hypogean populations. Environ Biol Fishes. 1986;17:299–
308.

64. Moran D, Softley R, Warrant EJ. Eyeless Mexican cavefish save energy by eliminating
the circadian rhythm in metabolism. Mistlberger RE, editor. PLoS One [Internet]. 2014
Sep 24 [cited 2018 Dec 28];9(9):e107877. Available from:
https://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0107877

65. Elipot Y, Hinaux H, Callebert J, Launay J-M, Blin M, Rétaux S. A mutation in the enzyme
monoamine oxidase explains part of the Astyanax cavefish behavioural syndrome. Nat
Commun [Internet]. 2014 Apr 10 [cited 2017 Nov 27];5:3647. Available from:
http://www.nature.com/doifinder/10.1038/ncomms4647

66. Jeffery WR. Cavefish as a model system in evolutionary developmental biology. Dev
Biol [Internet]. 2001 [cited 2013 Jul 11];231(1):1–12. Available from:
http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0012160600901210

67. Langerhans RB, DeWitt TJ. Plasticity constrained: over-generalized induction cues
cause maladaptive phenotypes. Evol Ecol Res [Internet]. 2002 [cited 2019 May
21];4(6):857–70. Available from: http://www.evolutionary-
ecology.com/abstracts/v04/1422.html

68. Ghalambor CK, McKay JK, Carroll SP, Reznick DN. Adaptive versus non-adaptive
phenotypic plasticity and the potential for contemporary adaptation in new
environments. Funct Ecol [Internet]. 2007 Jun 1 [cited 2019 Jan 8];21(3):394–407.
Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2007.01283.x

69. Prevorcnik S, Blejec A, Sket B. Racial differentiation in Asellus aquaticus (L.)
(Crustacea: Isopoda: Asellidae). Arch für Hydrobiol [Internet]. 2004 Jun 1 [cited 2019
Jul 19];160(2):193–214. Available from:
http://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/10.1127/0003-9136/2004/0160-0193

70. Gross JB, Powers AK, Davis EM, Kaplan SA. A pleiotropic interaction between vision
loss and hypermelanism in Astyanax mexicanus cave x surface hybrids. BMC Evol Biol
[Internet]. 2016 Dec 30 [cited 2019 Feb 24];16(1):145. Available from:
http://bmcevolbiol.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12862-016-0716-y

71. Protas M, Conrad M, Gross JB, Tabin C, Borowsky R. Regressive evolution in the
Mexican cave tetra, Astyanax mexicanus. Curr Biol. 2007;17(5):452–4.

72. Alunni A, Menuet A, Candal E, Pénigault J-B, Jeffery WR, Rétaux S. Developmental
mechanisms for retinal degeneration in the blind cavefishAstyanax mexicanus. J Comp
Neurol [Internet]. 2007 Nov 10 [cited 2019 May 16];505(2):221–33. Available from:
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17853442

73. Tarboush R, Chapman GB, Connaughton VP. Retinal development and photoreceptor

synaptic ultrastructure are altered by abnormal rearing light regimes [Internet]. Vol.
20, Eur. J. Anat. 2016 [cited 2019 Jul 24]. Available from:
https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/4b67/f2f0acc031725f5a1a78025a33fd8e2c5c40.pdf

74.   Epp LG. Development of pigmentation in the eyeless mutant of the Mexican
axolotl,Ambystoma mexicanum, Shaw. J Exp Zool [Internet]. 1972 Aug 1 [cited 2019
Jul 24];181(2):169–80. Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/jez.1401810204

75.   Kay JN, Finger-Baier KC, Roeser T, Staub W, Baier H. Retinal Ganglion Cell Genesis
Requires lakritz, a Zebrafish atonal Homolog. Neuron [Internet]. 2001 May 1 [cited
2019 Jul 24];30(3):725–36. Available from:
https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0896627301003129

76.   Gross JB, Powers AK, Davis EM, Kaplan SA. A pleiotropic interaction between vision
loss and hypermelanism in Astyanax mexicanus cave x surface hybrids. BMC Evol Biol.
2016 Dec;16(1):145.

77.   Waddington CH. Canalization of development and genetic assimilation of acquired
characters. Nature [Internet]. 1959 Jun 13 [cited 2018 Jul 1];183(4676):1654–5.
Available from: http://www.nature.com/doifinder/10.1038/1831654a0

78.   Schwander T, Leimar O. Genes as leaders and followers in evolution. Trends Ecol Evol
[Internet]. 2011 [cited 2019 Apr 19];26:143–51.

79.   Lande R. Evolution of phenotypic plasticity in colonizing species. Mol Ecol [Internet].
2015 May [cited 2019 May 20];24(9):2038–45. Available from:
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25558898

80.   Crispo E. The Baldwin effect and genetic assimilation: revisiting two mechanisms of
evolutionary change mediated by phenotypic plasticity. 2007 Nov 1 [cited 2019 Apr
25];61(11):2469–79. Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.1558-
5646.2007.00203.x

81.   Cartwright RA, Schwartz RS, Merry AL, Howell MM. The importance of selection in the
evolution of blindness in cavefish. BMC Evol Biol [Internet]. 2017 Dec 7 [cited 2019 Jul
26];17(1):45. Available from:
http://bmcevolbiol.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12862-017-0876-4

82.   Verovnik R, Sket B, Trontelj P. Phylogeography of subterranean and surface
populations of water lice *Asellus aquaticus* (Crustacea: Isopoda). Mol Ecol [Internet].
2004 Jun [cited 2012 Apr 25];13(6):1519–32. Available from:
http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1365-
294X.2004.02171.x/abstract?userIsAuthenticated=false&deniedAccessCustomisedMe
ssage=

83.   Carlini DB, Manning J, Sullivan PG, Fong DW. Molecular genetic variation and
population structure in morphologically differentiated cave and surface populations of
the freshwater amphipod Gammarus minus. Mol Ecol [Internet]. 2009 May 1 [cited
2017 Jul 31];18(9):1932–45. Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.1365-
294X.2009.04161.x

84. Bilandžija H, Morton B, Podnar M, Ćetković H. Evolutionary history of relict Congeria
(Bivalvia: Dreissenidae): Unearthing the subterranean biodiversity of the Dinaric Karst.
Front Zool. 2013;10(1).

85. Kupriyanova EK, ten Hove HA, Sket B, Zakšek V, Trontelj P, Rouse GW. Evolution of the
unique freshwater cave-dwelling tube worm Marifugia Cavatica (Annelida:
Serpulidae). Syst Biodivers [Internet]. 2009;7(04):389–401. Available from:
http://journals.cambridge.org/action/displayAbstract;jsessionid=FCC75C400FAD23E4
2E56BED6AD121377.journals?fromPage=online&aid=6632892

1070