A subset of broadly responsive Type III taste cells contribute to the detection of bitter, sweet and umami stimuli

Debarghya Dutta Banik[1], Eric D. Benfey[1], Laura E. Martin[2], Kristen E. Kay[2], Gregory C. Loney[2], Amy R. Nelson[1], Zachary C. Ahart[1], Barrett T. Kemp[1], Bailey R. Kemp[1], Ann-Marie Torregrossa[2,3] and Kathryn F. Medler*[1,3]

[1]Department of Biological Sciences, University at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY 14260, USA.
[2]Department of Psychology, University at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY 14260, USA.
[3]Center for Ingestive Behavior Research, University at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY 14260, USA

*Corresponding author: Email: kmedler@buffalo.edu

ABSTRACT

Taste receptor cells use multiple signaling pathways to detect chemicals in

potential food items. These cells are functionally grouped into different types: Type I

cells act as support cells and have glial-like properties; Type II cells detect bitter, sweet,

and umami taste stimuli; and Type III cells detect sour and salty stimuli. We have

identified a new population of taste cells that are broadly tuned to multiple taste stimuli

including bitter, sweet, sour and umami. The goal of this study was to characterize

these broadly tuned (BR) taste cells. We used an IP_3R3-KO mouse (does not release

calcium (Ca^{2+}) from Type II cells when stimulated with bitter, sweet or umami stimuli) to

characterize the BR cells without any potentially confounding input from Type II cells.

Using live cell Ca^{2+} imaging in isolated taste cells from the IP_3R3-KO mouse, we found

that BR cells are a subset of Type III cells that respond to sour stimuli but also use a

$PLC\beta3$ signaling pathway to respond to bitter, sweet and umami stimuli. Unlike Type II

cells, individual BR cells are broadly tuned and respond to multiple stimuli across

different taste modalities. Live cell imaging in a $PLC\beta3$-KO mouse confirmed that BR

cells use a $PLC\beta3$ signaling pathway to generate Ca^{2+} signals to bitter, sweet and

umami stimuli. Analysis of c-Fos activity in the nucleus of the solitary tract (NTS) and

short term behavioral assays revealed that BR cells make significant contributions to

taste.

Chemicals in the oral cavity are detected by taste receptor cells which are

grouped together in taste buds found in epithelial specializations called papillae. The

current view of taste transduction is that taste buds are comprised of Type I, Type II and

Type III taste receptor cells along with basal cells that are precursors for the

differentiated cells (Finger, 2005). Type I cells are thought to primarily function as

support cells and share some characteristics of glia cells (Lawton, Furness et al., 2000),

while Type II cells detect bitter, sweet or umami stimuli through the activation of specific

GPCRs for each of these taste qualities. Transduction of these taste qualities in Type II

cells is due to a single signaling pathway that is comprised of phospholipase Cβ2

(PLCβ2) which activates the inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate receptor type 3 (IP$_3$R3) on the

endoplasmic reticulum to cause calcium (Ca^{2+}) release (Miyoshi, Abe et al., 2001, Yan,

Sunavala et al., 2001, Zhang, Hoon et al., 2003). This Ca^{2+} release activates the

transient receptor potential cation channel subfamily M members 4 and 5 (TRPM4 and

TRPM5) which depolarize the cell sufficiently to activate the release of ATP through the

calcium homeostasis modulator 1 (CALHM1) channel (Dutta Banik, Martin et al., 2018,

Liu & Liman, 2003, Perez, Huang et al., 2002, Taruno, Vingtdeux et al., 2013, Zhang et

al., 2003, Zhang, Zhao et al., 2007). The expression of PLCβ2, IP$_3$R3 and TRPM5 is

restricted to Type II taste cells within the bud and these cells lack both voltage-gated

Ca^{2+} channels (VGCCs) and conventional chemical synapses (model shown in Figure

1A)(Clapp, Medler et al., 2006, Clapp, Yang et al., 2004, Roper, 2007, Simon, de Araujo

et al., 2006, Yee, Yang et al., 2001). Type III cells detect sour and salt stimuli through

ionotropic receptors that depolarize the cell to activate VGCCs and cause vesicular

neurotransmitter release (see Figure 1A) (Chang, Waters et al., 2010, Huang, Chen et

al., 2006, Huang, Maruyama et al., 2008, Kataoka, Yang et al., 2008, Lewandowski,

Sukumaran et al., 2016, Oka, Butnaru et al., 2013, Ye, Chang et al., 2016). It is

generally assumed that Type III cells do not respond to bitter, sweet or umami stimuli.

We previously reported that some taste cells generate Ca^{2+} signals to both

denatonium, a bitter stimulus and cell depolarization with 50mM KCl (Hacker, Laskowski

et al., 2008). Since cells expressing VGCCs respond to cell depolarization with a Ca^{2+}

signal, these data identified a potentially unique taste cell population that expresses

VGCCs but also responds to denatonium (Hacker et al., 2008). To date, these cells

have not been characterized. We hypothesized that there is a subset of Type III taste

cells that are responsive to denatonium and are potentially sensitive to multiple bitter,

sweet and umami stimuli. To address this question, we used live cell imaging in an

IP_3R3-KO mouse line to evaluate these cells without Ca^{2+} responses from Type II cells.

We identified a subset of Type III cells that respond to bitter, sweet and/or umami stimuli

and determined that these broadly-responsive (BR) taste cells use a PLCβ3 signaling

pathway. The loss of PLCβ3 in the BR cells caused significant deficits in subsequent

taste perception, supporting the conclusion that these BR cells are important for taste.

RESULTS

Bitter, sweet and umami taste evoked responses are still present in IP_3R3-KO

mice

To assess the characteristics of the BR cells, we wished to avoid any potential

signaling input from the Type II cells that respond to bitter, sweet and umami stimuli.

IP_3R3 is part of the canonical signaling pathway in Type II cells that are sensitive to

these stimuli (Clapp et al., 2006, Clapp, Stone et al., 2001, Clapp et al., 2004, Miyoshi

et al., 2001). Therefore, we used a transgenic mouse in which GFP replaces the coding

region of IP_3R3 to evaluate the taste evoked signaling in taste receptor cells that lack

the ability to release Ca^{2+} via IP_3R3. In these mice, GFP labels the cells that should

express IP_3R3 but no longer do so. Initial immunohistochemical analyses found that

taste cells from the CV papillae of wild type mice were successfully labeled with anti-

IP_3R3 antibody (n=3; Figure S1A), while their KO littermates lacked IP_3R3 labeling but

instead have GFP expression (n=6; Figure S1B). Furthermore, anti-IP_3R3 labels the

GFP expressing cells in IP_3R3-heterzygous mice (n=4; Figure S1C); confirming that

GFP expression identifies the taste cells that normally express IP_3R3. To further

characterize this mouse, we evaluated the expression of other proteins that are part of

this established signaling pathway in Type II cells. The GFP expression in the KO mice

co-localized with both $PLC\beta2$ (n=4; Figure S1D) and gustducin (n=3; Figure S1E)

labeling. As expected, gustducin expression was restricted to the IP_3R3-GFP-KO cells

but not all GFP+ cells had gustducin. There was, however, complete overlap between

anti-$PLC\beta2$ labeling and GFP. Co-localization analyses are reported in Figures S1F.

Thus the other components of the signaling pathway normally expressed in Type II cells

are intact but these cells no longer have the capacity to release Ca^{2+} in response to

bitter, sweet or umami stimuli.

We also functionally characterized the ability of the taste cells in this mouse to

respond to taste stimuli. Measurements were made in isolated individual taste cells to

ensure that the measured taste-evoked Ca^{2+} responses were due solely to the activity

of the individual taste cells and not cell-to-cell communication (example of a response in

an isolated cell in Figure 1B). To functionally characterize the IP$_3$R3-GFP-KO mouse,

and to confirm that GFP is expressed in Type II cells, we measured the taste-evoked

Ca^{2+} responses in GFP+ taste cells from the IP$_3$R3-het mouse which express GFP but

also have a functional copy of the IP$_3$R3 gene. These cells responded to taste stimuli

but did not generate a Ca^{2+} signal to 50mM KCl, indicating these cells do not express

VGCCs (Figure 1C) and are likely Type II cells. Alternatively, GFP+ cells from the

IP$_3$R3-KO mouse (lack a functional IP$_3$R3 gene) did not produce Ca^{2+} signals when

stimulated (Figure 1D). When we targeted the GFP- cells in the IP$_3$R3-KO mouse, we

identified taste cells that responded to both taste stimuli and 50mM KCl with a Ca^{2+}

signal (Figure 1E). These data suggest that these BR taste cells do not require input

from Type II cells to respond to bitter, sweet or umami stimuli.

We characterized BR cells by applying taste stimuli to isolated taste cells from

IP$_3$R3-KO mice. Since our goal was to identify all (or most) responsive taste cells, we

used the stimulus concentration that generated a maximal Ca^{2+} signal. For all

experiments, taste stimuli were applied for 40s (application shown with bar in graphs)

and 50mM KCl was applied for 10s (application shown with arrow in graphs). These

concentrations were based on our previous control experiments to identify the lowest

stimulus concentration that generated the maximal Ca^{2+} signal (examples shown in

Figure 1F-H). These stimulus concentrations produce comparable responses in Type II

cells, indicating that the BR and Type II cells respond to the same concentration range

of stimuli.

Type III cells are the only known population of taste cells that express VGCCs

(Medler, Margolskee et al., 2003) and are functionally identified in live cell imaging by

the ability to respond to cell depolarization with a Ca^{2+} influx through the opening of

VGCCs (Clapp et al., 2006, DeFazio, Dvoryanchikov et al., 2006, Lewandowski et al.,

2016, Medler et al., 2003, Richter, Caicedo et al., 2003, Sukumaran, Lewandowski et

al., 2017). Therefore, if a taste cell responded to 50mM KCl with a Ca^{2+} signal, we

concluded that it expresses VGCCs and is a Type III cell. We applied multiple bitter,

sweet and umami stimuli + 50mM KCl to isolated taste receptor cells from IP_3R3-KO

mice (Figure 2A-C). We found that BR cells often responded to multiple stimuli,

including multiple types of stimuli for each taste quality. To evaluate if the loss of IP_3R3

affected this population of BR cells, we compared the percentage of BR cells between

the WT (n=105 mice) and IP_3R3-KO mice (n=115). Because taste-evoked response

sizes are variable, we focused our analysis on the frequency of cell responses.   A

response was recorded if the isolated taste cell had a stable baseline and application of

the taste stimulus caused an increase in fluorescence that was at least 2 standard

deviations above the baseline fluorescence level. We found no significant differences in

the frequency of taste cells that were BR in the IP_3R3-KO mice compared to WT (Figure

2D). This data indicates that the BR cell responses do not rely on Type II cell

responses.

There are three papillae types that house taste buds in different areas of the

tongue: circumvallate (CV), foliate (Fol), and fungiform (Fun). We measured the

response frequency of BR cells for the different papillae types in the WT and IP_3R3-KO

mice and found no significant differences (Figure 2E). Thus, BR cells are present in

multiple taste papillae and the loss of IP_3R3 in Type II cells does not affect the

percentage of taste cells that are BR.

A specific subset of Type III cells is broadly responsive (BR)

The current understanding is that all Type III cells are sour sensitive and a subset

of these sour-sensitive Type III cells are also salt sensitive (Chang et al., 2010,

Lewandowski et al., 2016, Richter et al., 2003). To confirm that the BR taste cells are

Type III cells, we included sour (citric acid, CA) and salt (NaCl) stimuli in some

experiments (Figure 3). In these experiments, we used a representative stimulus for

bitter (5mM Den), sweet (20mM sucralose) and umami (10mM MPG). All of the taste

cells that responded to 50mM KCl responded to citric acid (CA) with a Ca^{2+} signal while

some of these cells also responded to bitter (B), sweet (S) and/or umami (U) stimuli with

a Ca^{2+} signal (Figure 3A, C, D). A representative imaging trace is shown in Figure 3A,

with the summary of the Type III responses shown in Figure 3C. We did not detect any

Type III cells that responded to all 5 taste qualities (Figure 3B, C, orange region).

However, in addition to the Type III cells that responded to either sour (CA, red region)

or sour and salty (CA + NaCl, blue region) there was another subset of Type III cells

(52%, yellow region) that responded to sour and at least one bitter, sweet, and/or

umami (B, U, S) stimulus. To better understand these BR cells, we increased our

sample size and measured the distribution of the taste responses to bitter, sweet and

umami stimuli within these cells (Figure 3D). Unlike the Type II cells, few BR cells

responded to a single taste quality (bitter, sweet and umami were 5.5, 7 and 5.5%

respectively, blue regions) but were instead most likely to respond to two or three taste

qualities in addition to responding to 50 mM KCl. 39% of the cells responded to two

stimuli (green region) while 43% responded to all three (gray region).

Control experiments using the GAD67-GFP mice as a cellular marker to identify

Type III taste cells (Courtney E Wilson, 2017, Tomchik, Berg et al., 2007, Yoshida,

Miyauchi et al., 2009) found that both GAD67-GFP positive and negative taste cells

responded to taste stimuli + 50mM KCl with Ca^{2+} signals (Figure S2A-B). Approximately

69% (n=98/143 cells tested) of the GAD67-GFP positive cells responded to a bitter,

sweet or umami stimulus as well as 50mM KCl. BR cells were also identified in

C57BL/6 mice (Figure S2C) in agreement with a separate study that reported some

Type III cells from C57BL/6 mice responded to bitter stimuli (Lewandowski et al., 2016).

Together these data suggest that these BR Type III taste cells are present in taste cells

in multiple mouse lines.

BR cells use a PLCβ signaling pathway to respond to bitter, sweet, and/or umami

stimuli.

To identify the type of signaling pathway that generates the taste responses in

the BR cells, we analyzed the amplitudes of the responses to bitter (5mM Den), sweet

(20mM sucralose) and umami (10mM MPG) stimuli in the IP$_3$R3-KO mouse. We found

that the size of the responses to these stimuli did not significantly change in Ca^{2+}-free

Tyrode's, indicating these signals depend on Ca^{2+} release from internal stores (Figure

4A, D, G). We confirmed these results by applying thapsigargin to disrupt the internal

Ca^{2+} stores which abolished the taste evoked Ca^{2+} signals (Figure 4B, E, H). These

responses were also eliminated when the general PLC blocker U73122 was applied

(Figure 4C, F, I), indicating that these taste evoked Ca^{2+} responses in the BR are due to

the activation of a PLC signaling pathway. Representative traces for each experimental

condition are shown in Figure S3.

PLCβ3 expression in peripheral taste cells

To identify other PLCβ isoforms expressed in taste receptor cells, we analyzed

our previously published RNAseq data (Shandilya, Gao et al., 2016). We found that

PLCβ2 and PLCβ3 were expressed at comparable levels and were the predominant

PLCβ isoforms present in taste cells. Since PLCβ2 is only expressed in Type II cells, we

investigated the possibility that PLCβ3 is expressed in peripheral taste cells.

Immunohistochemical experiments in the IP_3R3-KO mouse revealed strong PLCβ3

labeling that was separate from the Type II cells (identified with GFP expression, Figure

S4A). These data were confirmed by examining anti-PLCβ3 labeling in the TRPM5-GFP

mouse as well as co-labeling with PLCβ2 in C57BL/6 mice (additional Type II cell

markers, Figure S4B, C). Co-labeling with the Type I taste marker NTPDase2 found

that PLCβ3 is not expressed in Type I cells (Figure S4D). We next determined if PLCβ3

is expressed in Type III cells using SNAP-25 which is a synaptic protein that is a cellular

marker for Type III taste cells (Clapp et al., 2006, Courtney E Wilson, 2017, Yang,

Crowley et al., 2000). Immunohistochemical analysis found some co-expression of

PLCβ3 and SNAP25 in C57BL/6 mice (Figure S4E) supporting the conclusion that

PLCβ3 is expressed in some Type III cells. Colocalization analyses are shown in S4F.

We also used qPCR to measure the relative amounts of mRNA for PLCβ3 and

PLCβ2 in the different taste papillae (Figure S4G, H) and found that both PLCβ2 and

PLCβ3 are expressed in CV, Fol and Fun papillae. Therefore, both PLCβ2 and PLCβ3

are expressed in peripheral taste cells and PLCβ3 is expressed in a subset of Type III

cells but not either Type I or Type II taste cells.

PLCβ3 contributes to taste evoked signaling in a subset of Type III cells

We next performed live cell imaging on isolated taste receptor cells from PLCβ3-

KO and wild type mice to evaluate how the loss of PLCβ3 affects the responsiveness of

the BR cells. Type III cells in the PLCβ3-KO mouse were still present and responded to

sour and salt stimuli (Figure 5A, B). A summary of the response profiles is shown in

Figure 5C. Some Type III cells responded to sour (CA, red region) or sour + NaCl (blue

region), but none responded to any bitter, sweet, or umami stimuli (yellow region)

tested. Type II cells were still functional and responded to these stimuli (black region).

Example traces of Type II responses are shown in Figure 5D-F. A more comprehensive

analysis of the PLCβ3-KO mice found that the loss of PLCβ3 caused a very significant

reduction in the number of BR taste cells (Figure 5G) and no BR cells were identified in

either the Fol or Fun papillae of the PLCβ3-KO mouse (Figure 5H). While 23.5% of the

CV taste cells were BR in the WT mice, only 2% of the CV taste cells were BR in the

PLCβ3-KO mouse. Immunohistochemical analysis of the PLCβ3-KO mouse confirmed

the absence of any PLCβ3 expression in the taste buds (Figure S5) compared to WT

mice. These data suggest that PLCβ3 is required for transducing most of the taste

evoked responses in BR cells.

Loss of PLCβ3 causes deficits in the taste-evoked activity in the nucleus of the

solitary tract.

Our data so far demonstrate that a subset of Type III taste cells are capable of

responding to bitter, sweet and umami using a PLCβ3 signaling pathway. To determine

if these BR taste cells are important in taste transduction, we measured the effect of BR

cells on the taste signal that is sent to the brain. This was accomplished by measuring

the neural activity in the nucleus of the solitary tract (NTS) using c-Fos labeling as a

marker for activated neurons. The NTS receives input from the gustatory neurons and is

the first synaptic relay from the peripheral taste system. This approach is commonly

used to identify recently activated neurons, including neurons in the taste pathway

(King, Garcea et al., 2000, King, Travers et al., 1999, Stratford & Finger, 2011, Stratford

& Thompson, 2016, Stratford, Thompson et al., 2017). We reasoned that if BR cells are

contributing to the transduction of taste information to the brain, then the loss of PLCβ3

would have a significant impact on the level of c-Fos labeling in the NTS. We used the

IP$_3$R3-KO mouse as a positive control since it has previously been shown to be required

to transmit bitter, sweet and umami taste information to the brain (Hisatsune,

Yasumatsu et al., 2007). Mice (n=3 for each mouse line) were orally infused with

quinine (5mM) or water for 30 min. Background c-Fos labeling was measured using

water as a control. As expected, WT mice had a large number of c-Fos positive cells in

the NTS (Figure 6A, upper left panel), while the IP$_3$R3-KO mice (Figure 6A, upper right

panel) and water control mice (Figure 6A, lower right panel) both had few c-Fos positive

cells. Strikingly, the level of c-Fos labeling in the PLCβ3-KO mice (Figure 6A, lower left

panel) were comparable the c-Fos levels in the IP$_3$R3-KO mice. Thus, loss of either of

these proteins resulted in a significant reduction in c-Fos activity to a level that was

comparable to water (Figure 6B). Control experiments demonstrate that neither IP$_3$R3

nor PLCβ3 are expressed in this region of the NTS (Figure S6) so the recorded deficits

were not due to the loss of either of these proteins in the NTS.

Loss of PLCβ3 significantly affects taste-driven licking for bitter, sweet and

umami

Since our data indicate that the BR cells are contributing to the taste signals that

are sent to the brain, we then performed brief-access licking experiments to determine if

the loss of taste-evoked signals in the PLCβ3-KO mice correlated with loss of taste

sensitivity at the behavioral level. Measurements were also made with IP$_3$R3-KO mice

for comparison. Since the PLCβ3-KO mouse has a mixed genetic background while the

IP$_3$R3-KO mice are in a C57BL/6 background, wild type littermates for both mouse lines

were used as controls (Figure 7). The wild type mice both demonstrated concentration

dependent decreases in licking to denatonium while PLCβ3-KO and IP$_3$R3-KO mice

treated the solution similarly to water except for the highest concentration (20mM Den,

Figure 7A). Wild type mice treated MSG (+ 10μM amiloride) solutions as more palatable

than water up to 400mM and then decreased licking at the highest concentrations (600

and 800mM, Figure 7B). Both of the KO mice treated MSG like water. In the brief

access test for the artificial sweetener, Acesulfame K (AceK), wild type mice increased

licking up to 2 mM and then decreased licking at higher concentrations. Both of the KO

mice treated AceK like water (Figure 7C). Because sucrose is hedonically positive

under wild type conditions, the lick score is calculated as licks to the stimulus minus

licks to water, therefore a score close to zero resembles water. Neither the PLCβ3-KO

nor IP$_3$R3-KO mice showed a concentration-dependent effect on licking to sucrose until

they were presented with the highest concentration. In contrast, both sets of wild type

mice showed strong concentration dependent increases in licking to sucrose (Figure

7D). As a positive control, we also tested sodium chloride since salt is not predicted to

activate a PLCβ signaling pathway in taste cells. For the salt stimulus (NaCl), there

were no significant differences in the licking behavior for either of the KO mice

compared to wild type mice, indicating that the ability to respond to salt is not affected

by loss of either PLCβ3 or IP$_3$R3 (Figure 7E). Thus loss of either IP$_3$R3 or PLCβ3

caused comparable, and almost complete, loss of behavioral responses specifically for

bitter, sweet and umami stimuli.

DISCUSSION

This study has characterized a population of taste cells that express VGCCs,

respond to sour stimulation with a Ca^{2+} signal, but are also responsive to bitter, sweet

and/or umami stimuli. Our data suggest that BR cells are a subset of Type III cells that

are capable of responding to multiple taste stimuli, except sodium chloride. Since the

BR cells always responded to sour, 100% of these cells responded to multiple taste

qualities and approximately 80% of these cells responded to either three or four

modalities (Figure 3D). We also found that the BR cells could respond to multiple stimuli

within the same quality. This is in contrast to Type II cells that are usually narrowly

tuned to a single taste quality. While we are not able to stimulate individual BR cells with

all taste stimuli, our data suggest that the BR cells may be very broadly tuned and act

as a generalist responding cell. It is unclear why BR cells did not respond to the sodium

salt we tested, but other studies have reported that sodium responsive cells appear to

be a separate taste cell population (Lewandowski et al., 2016, Xu, Lewandowski et al.,

2019).

The idea of broadly tuned taste cells in mammals has been put forth by multiple

labs, using a variety of approaches that utilized whole taste buds (Caicedo, Kim et al.,

2002, Gilbertson, Boughter et al., 2001, Kimura & Beidler, 1961, Ozeki, 1971, Ozeki &

Sato, 1972, Sato & Beidler, 1982, Sato & Beidler, 1997, Tonosaki & Funakoshi, 1984).

Maintaining the taste cells in the bud allowed for measurements in a more "native"

environment and also allowed for stimuli to be apically applied. Sato and Beidler (Sato

& Beidler, 1982, Sato & Beidler, 1997) inserted a microelectrode into a taste cell

through the taste pore, thus leaving the taste bud intact within the tongue of an

anesthetized rat. Using this minimally invasive approach, they identified that most taste

cells (~85%) responded to more than one type of taste stimuli. Similarly, Gilbertson et

al (Gilbertson et al., 2001) apically applied four basic taste stimuli to taste buds within

the epithelium using a modified Ussing chamber. Their approach allowed for the focal

application of taste stimuli to the apical end of the taste cells that were still within the

bud. Using patch clamp analysis, they found approximately 73% of the cells responded

to two or more taste stimuli (Gilbertson et al., 2001). Ninomiya's group analyzed Type II

and Type III taste cells in excised mouse taste buds using gustducin-GFP to identify

Type II cells and GAD67-GFP to identify Type III cells (Yoshida et al., 2009). They

found that Type II taste cells (gustducin-GFP+) were selective in their responses to

bitter, sweet or umami stimuli while all Type III cells (GAD67-GFP+) responded to sour

stimuli. Interestingly, they found about 25% of the GAD67-GFP+ cells responded to

multiple taste stimuli, including sweet, bitter and umami in addition to sour (Yoshida et

al., 2009). Roper's lab used calcium imaging in a slice preparation of taste buds in

which they focally applied taste stimuli (Caicedo et al., 2002, Tomchik et al., 2007) and

also found that a large percentage of the taste cells were broadly tuned. These studies

also concluded that Type II cells are more selective in their responsiveness while Type

III cells are sometimes broadly tuned (Tomchik et al., 2007).

All of these earlier studies used intact taste buds and in some cases, the authors

concluded that the broadly responsive Type III cells were likely receiving input from the

neighboring Type II cells (Tomchik et al., 2007). A key difference between our work and

these earlier studies, is that we used isolated taste cells that were not in contact with

neighboring cells. This allowed us to analyze how individual taste cells respond without

any potential input from neighboring cells. While we do not rule out the possibility that

taste cells receive input from other taste cells, our data show that BR Type III cells do

not require neighboring input to respond to bitter, sweet and/or umami stimuli. Taken

with these earlier studies (Caicedo et al., 2002, Gilbertson et al., 2001, Kimura &

Beidler, 1961, Ozeki, 1971, Ozeki & Sato, 1972, Sato & Beidler, 1982, Sato & Beidler,

1997, Tomchik et al., 2007, Tonosaki & Funakoshi, 1984, Yoshida et al., 2009), our data

support the idea that there are both selectively and broadly responsive cells in taste

buds. In agreement with others (Tomchik et al., 2007, Yoshida et al., 2009), our data

indicate that these broadly responsive cells are a subset of Type III taste cells and we

have now shown that BR cells can independently respond to bitter, sweet and umami

stimuli.

Many studies focused on the upstream components of the taste pathway have

also reported that generalist and specialist cell populations exist. Studies of individual

afferent gustatory neurons and geniculate ganglion cells found that some gustatory

nerves are highly specific to a particular taste stimulus (specialists) while many of these

cells are broadly tuned (generalists) (Barretto, Gillis-Smith et al., 2015, Breza, Nikonov

et al., 2010, Frank, Lundy et al., 2008, Gilbertson et al., 2001, Hellekant, Danilova et al.,

1997, Wu, Dvoryanchikov et al., 2015, Zhang, Jin et al., 2019). At the first synaptic relay

of central taste processing, some neurons in the rostral nucleus of the solitary tract

(rNTS) respond 'best' to a particular taste stimulus, while others are broadly tuned

(Geran & Travers, 2006, Lemon & Smith, 2005). Specialists and generalists cells have

also been described in the gustatory cortex (Accolla, Bathellier et al., 2007, Carleton,

Accolla et al., 2010, Fontanini & Katz, 2006, Katz, Simon et al., 2001, Samuelsen,

Gardner et al., 2013). Our data demonstrate that taste receptor cells, the first step in the

taste pathway, mirror the functional heterogeneity that is found higher up in the taste

processing; some taste cells are selective (Type II taste cells) to a particular stimuli,

while others are broadly tuned (BR taste cells) to multiple taste stimuli.

While we previously reported that PLCβ3 is expressed in a subset of taste cells

that are not Type II cells (Hacker et al., 2008), we have now demonstrated PLCβ3 is

present in a subset of Type III cells. This idea is supported by recent RNA-sequencing

analysis of individual Type II and Type III cells (Sukumaran et al., 2017) which identifies

PLCβ3 expression in 30% of Type III cells. We searched this RNA-seq dataset of Type

III cells (Sukumaran et al., 2017) to determine if other signaling components associated

with PLCβ signaling are expressed in Type III taste cells. We found that the alpha G-

protein Gαq which activates PLCβ is expressed in these cells. We also identified IP_3R1

expression in Type III cells, which is a downstream target for PLC (Sukumaran et al.,

2017). In Type II cells, PLCβ2 activates IP_3R3 which causes a Ca^{2+} release to activate

TRPM4 and TRPM5 (see Figure 1). Our search of the RNA-seq dataset did not find

TRPM5 in Type III cells, but did identify TRPM4 expression, suggesting a possible

downstream target of the Ca^{2+} release signal in the BR cells.

These data are surprising because it is currently thought that Type III cells

primarily respond to sour or salt stimuli. Several studies focused on understanding

Type III taste cells targeted and ablated PKD2L1 expressing cells since PKD2L1 is

thought to be expressed in all Type III taste cells. They found that loss of these cells

abolished the gustatory nerve responses to sour but did not alter gustatory nerve

signaling to bitter, sweet and umami (Oka et al., 2013, Zocchi, Wennemuth et al., 2017).

A separate study reported that Type III cells are heterogeneous and do not uniformly

express the same cell markers (Courtney E Wilson, 2017), so it may be that the BR

cells are separate from the PKD2L1 expressing Type III cells.   Recently, OTOP1, which

is expressed in Type III taste cells, has been proposed as the sour receptor (Teng,

Wilson et al., 2019, Tu, Cooper et al., 2018, Zhang et al., 2019). Nerve recordings from

OTOP1-KO mice were significantly reduced for sour stimuli but also showed some

reduction in their responsiveness to bitter, sweet and umami (Teng et al., 2019).   Taken

together, these data highlight a heterogeneity in the characteristics of Type III taste cells

that has not yet been well defined.

After taste receptors for bitter, sweet and umami were molecularly identified

(Adler, Hoon et al., 2000, Chandrashekar, Hoon et al., 2006, Chandrashekar, Mueller et

al., 2000, Nelson, Chandrashekar et al., 2002, Nelson, Hoon et al., 2001), multiple labs

focused on identifying where these receptors are expressed in taste cells. While most

taste receptors (T1Rs and T2Rs) are thought to be expressed only in Type II taste cells

(Zhang et al., 2003), there is some heterogeneity in their expression (Hoon, Adler et al.,

1999, Kim, Kusakabe et al., 2003, Kusakabe, Kim et al., 2005, Stone, Barrows et al.,

2007, Yoshida & Ninomiya, 2016) and one RNA-seq dataset reported very low levels of

expression for both T1R1 and T1R3 in some Type III cells (Sukumaran et al., 2017).

This study evaluated 14 identified Type III cells, so it is possible that a larger analysis

would identify more Type III cells that express these taste GPCRs (Sukumaran et al.,

2017). In addition to these studies, multiple laboratories have independently concluded

that the identified taste receptors are not solely responsible for transducing all bitter,

sweet and umami stimuli. For instance, the detection of some carbohydrates is not

impaired by the loss of T1R2 or T1R3, the identified sweet receptors (Kusuhara,

Yoshida et al., 2013, Ohkuri, Yasumatsu et al., 2009, Treesukosol, Blonde et al., 2009,

Treesukosol, Smith et al., 2011, Treesukosol & Spector, 2012, Zukerman, Glendinning

et al., 2009), and umami stimuli appear to be transduced by receptors in addition to the

T1R1+T1R3 heterodimer (Chaudhari, Landin et al., 2000, Chaudhari, Maruyama et al.,

2005, Chaudhari, Pereira et al., 2009, Delay, Hernandez et al., 2006, Eddy, Eschle et

al., 2017, Maruyama, Pereira et al., 2006, Pal Choudhuri, Delay et al., 2015, Pal

Choudhuri, Delay et al., 2016, Shigemura, Shirosaki et al., 2009). The glucose

transporter which has been implicated in detecting sweet stimuli (Sukumaran, Yee et

al., 2016), was also expressed in some Type III cells in the RNA-seq profiling study. Our

findings support the idea that there may be additional taste receptor mechanisms,

outside of those canonically identified, involved in the detection of bitter, sweet and

umami stimuli. While our study is not focused on identifying the receptors that activate

the PLCβ3 signaling pathway, our data indicate that future studies are needed to

identify which taste receptors are important in BR cells.

Even though the BR cells are only a subset of cells within the bud, our data

suggest that these cells make a significant contribution to taste. We measured the

neural activity in the NTS using c-Fos labeling as a marker for activated neurons to

determine how the loss of PLCβ3 affects central processing of taste information from

the periphery (Figure 6). We used oral infusions of taste stimuli in awake and behaving

animals because it removes any potential confounds due to anesthetization that is used

in other approaches. Since the NTS receives input from the gustatory neurons and is

the first synaptic relay from the peripheral taste system, these data confirmed that loss

of PLCβ3 in the taste cells significantly reduced the taste signal that is sent to the brain.

The loss of PLCβ3 also caused very significant impairments in the behavioral responses

to bitter, sweet and umami stimuli (Figure 7). These deficits were comparable to the loss

of IP$_3$R3 in the Type II cells which has a well-established role in the transduction of

bitter, sweet and umami stimuli. The effects of losing either protein were specific to

these taste stimuli and did not affect sodium taste (Figure 7E).

Since PLCβ3 and IP$_3$R3 are not expressed in the NTS (Figure S6) or the

geniculate ganglia (Dvoryanchikov, Hernandez et al., 2017), our data suggest that input

from both of these signaling molecules in taste cells is required for normal taste

behavior. Moreover, if Type II and BR taste cells independently signal to the central

taste system, then the loss of input from either cell population would be predicted to

reduce the NTS activity proportionally to their contribution in taste processing. However,

our data do not support this prediction since the loss of either PLCβ3 (in BR cells) or

IP$_3$R3 (in Type II cells) reduced c-Fos labeling in the NTS to background (water) levels.

This suggests that input from both Type II (IP$_3$R3 expressing) *and* BR (PLCβ3

expressing) cells may be required for an optimal taste response. When either cell

population is non-functional there is a severe deficit in the NTS activity that translates

into a comparable behavior deficit. This type of synergistic relationship is present in

multiple sensory systems (Ala-Laurila & Rieke, 2014, Brill, Meyer et al., 2015, Carr &

Konishi, 1990, Gupta, Singh et al., 2016, Joris, Smith et al., 1998, Oertel, Bal et al.,

2000, Sakmann, 2017) but to our knowledge, it has not previously been described in the

taste system. Future studies are needed to better understand this potential relationship.

The presence of broadly tuned taste cells raises an interesting question about

how taste information is coded to the brain. One school of thought is that taste

information is carried in a labeled line; individual taste cells respond to a particular taste

stimulus and this specificity is maintained throughout the taste system (Wang, Gillis-

Smith et al., 2018). If this is correct, then it is unclear what role BR cells would have in

coding taste information. Conversely, another school of thought is that taste information

is coded using an across fiber approach. Gilbertson et al (Gilbertson et al., 2001)

applied a basic tenet of information theory to this question which suggests that

multicomponent messages have a greater capacity to transmit information. Encoding

information with patterns of activity across broadly tuned cells allows for the

transmission of more information than a system using specifically tuned cells

(Gilbertson et al., 2001, Pfaff, 1975). Having broadly tuned cells allows the taste

system to better discriminate between chemicals with similar characteristics. The

presence of specialist and generalist cell populations within the taste system suggests

that taste coding may be incorporating aspects of both labeled line and across fiber

coding. Future studies are needed to address this possibility.

Our study has demonstrated that a subset of Type III cells responds to bitter,

sweet and/or umami stimuli using a PLCβ3 signaling pathway. These cells are broadly

tuned to multiple stimuli, including stimuli from distinct taste modalities. This subset of

Type III cells appears to have an important role in taste since the loss of either IP_3R3 (in

Type II cells) or $PLC\beta3$ (in BR cells) causes similar deficits in both NTS responses and

taste driven behaviors. We conclude that peripheral taste transduction is more complex

than is currently appreciated.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Mice

Animals were cared for in compliance with the University at Buffalo Institutional

Animal Care and Use Committee. Multiple mouse lines were used in this study. Both

sexes were used and mice ranged in age from 1 to 6 months. Taste cells for qPCR

analyses were collected from C57BL/6 mice. The IP_3R3-KO mouse was generated in a

C57BL/6 background and was obtained from the Mutant Mouse Resources and

Research Center (MMRRC:032884-JAX). This mouse has a targeted mutation in which

exon 1 is replaced with a MAPT/GFP fusion (Hegg, Jia et al., 2010) which results in the

expression of GFP in place of a functional IP_3R3 receptor. Thus, these mice lack a

functional IP_3R3 receptor and express GFP in cells that would otherwise have

expressed IP_3R3. Heterozygous mice express both GFP and the IP_3R3 receptor. The

$PLC\beta3$-KO mouse was generously provided by Dr. Sang-Kyou Han (Han, Mancino et

al., 2006). The mutation in these mice disrupts the catalytic domain of phospholipase C

and was generated in a 129SV agouti mouse strain that was crossed with CD1 mice

(Xie, Samoriski et al., 1999). These mice were maintained in this mixed background.

Immunohistochemical analyses were also performed in the TRPM5-GFP mouse strain

which expresses GFP in all taste cells that express TRPM5. These mice have been

backcrossed into the C57BL/6 background and were used as another marker of Type II

taste cells (Clapp et al., 2006) to evaluate the expression patterns of PLCβ3. These

mice were generously provided by Dr. Robert Margolskee.

Taste Receptor Cell Isolation

Taste receptor cells were harvested from CV, Fol and Fun papillae of adult mice

as previously described (Hacker et al., 2008, Hacker & Medler, 2008, Laskowski &

Medler, 2009, Maliphol, Garth et al., 2013, Rebello, Maliphol et al., 2013, Rebello &

Medler, 2010, Szebenyi, Laskowski et al., 2010). Briefly, mice were sacrificed using

carbon dioxide and cervical dislocation. Tongues were removed and an enzyme

solution containing 0.7mg/mL Collagenase B (Roche, Basel, Switzerland), 3mg/mL

Dispase II (Roche), and 1mg/mL Trypsin Inhibitor (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) was

injected beneath the lingual epithelium. After the tongues were incubated in oxygenated

Tyrode's solution for approximately 17 min, the epithelium was peeled from the

underlying muscle and pinned serosal side up before it was incubated in Ca^{2+}-free

Tyrode's for approximately 25 min. Cells were removed from taste papillae using

capillary pipettes with gentle suction and placed onto coverslips coated with Cell-Tak

(Corning, Corning, NY).

Ca^{2+} Imaging

All measurements of intracellular calcium (Ca^{2+}) were performed in isolated taste

receptor cells that were no longer in contact with other taste cells. Cells were loaded for

20 minutes at room temperature (RT) with 2 µM Fura2-AM (Molecular Probes,

Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) containing Pluronic F-127 (Molecular Probes). Loaded cells

were then washed in Tyrode's solution under constant perfusion for 20min. Multiple

taste stimuli and high potassium (50mM KCl) solutions were individually applied and

Ca^{2+} responses were recorded. Cells were visualized using an Olympus IX73

microscope with a 40x oil immersion lens and images were captured with a Hamamatsu

ORCA-03G camera (Hamamatsu Photonics K.K., SZK Japan). Excitation wavelengths

of 340nm and 380nm were used with an emission wavelength of 510nm. Cells were

kept under constant perfusion using a gravity flow perfusion system (Automate

Scientific, San Francisco, CA). Images were collected every 4s using Imaging

Workbench 6.0 (Indec Biosystems, Santa Clara, CA). Experiments were graphed and

analyzed using Origin 9.2 software (OriginLab, Northhampton, MA).

Intracellular Ca^{2+} levels were measured as a ratio of fluorescence intensities.

Fluorescence values were calibrated using the Fura-2 Ca^{2+} Imaging Calibration kit

(Invitrogen). The effective dissociation constant K_d was calculated to be 180nM, which

was used in the following equation to calculate Ca^{2+} concentration:

$[Ca^{2+}] = K_d [(R-R_{min})/(R_{max}-R)](S_{f2}/S_{b2})$

$[Ca^{2+}] = 0.180 [(R-0.338)/(26.81-R)](22.19)$

R is the ratio value of fluorescence obtained after exciting cells at 340 and 380nm. Data

from cells were analyzed if the cell had a stable Ca^{2+} baseline within the range of 65nM

and 200nM. An evoked response was defined as measurable if the increase in

fluorescence was at least two standard deviations above baseline.

Immunohistochemistry

Mice were deeply anesthetized by intraperitoneal injections of sodium

pentobarbitol, 40 mg/kg (Patterson Veterinary, Mason, MI). Mice were then injected

intracardially with heparin (Sigma) and 1% sodium nitrate (Sigma) followed by perfusion

with approximately 30mL of 4% paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Ft.

Washington, PA) in 0.1M phosphate buffer (PB), pH 7.2. After perfusion, the tongues

were removed and placed in 4% paraformaldehyde/0.1M PB for 1-2h followed by a 4°C

overnight incubation in 20% sucrose/0.1M PB, pH 7.2. For some experiments, tongues

were immersion fixed overnight in 4% paraformaldehyde/0.1M PB, pH 7.2 at 4°C with

20% sucrose. Regardless of the fixation method, the next day, 40μm sections were cut

and washed in PBS 3X10 min at RT. For some experiments, antigen retrieval was

performed by placing sections in 10 mM sodium citrate, pH 8.5 at 80°C for 5 min. This

was done to disrupt the cross-bridges formed by fixation and expose antigen binding

sites.

Sections were incubated in blocking solution (0.3% Triton X-100, 1% normal goat

serum and 1% bovine serum albumin in 0.1M PB) for 1-2h at RT. Primary antibody was

added to the sections in blocking solution and incubated for 2 hours at RT followed by

overnight exposure to primary antibody at 4°C. Controls with no primary antibody were

included in each experiment. All primary antibodies were diluted in blocking solution.

Mouse anti-IP$_3$R3 (Transduction Labs, Lexington, KY) was used at 1:50 following

antigen retrieval. Rabbit anti-PLCβ3 (Abcam) was used at 1:200, rabbit anti-PLCβ2

(Santa Cruz Laboratories, Santa Cruz, CA) was used at 1:1000, rabbit anti-gustducin

(Santa Cruz) was used at 1:200 and anti-NTPDase2 (1:100(Bartel, Sullivan et al.,

2006). Mouse anti-SNAP-25 (Genway Biotech, San Diego, CA) was used at 1:200

following antigen retrieval. Following overnight incubation in primary antibody, sections

were washed in PBS 3X10 min at RT and then incubated with the appropriate

secondary antibody (Cy5, 1:500; Rhod, 1:250; Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories,

West Grove, PA) at RT for 2h in the dark. Controls were performed for double labeling

experiments to ensure secondary antibodies were not binding to primary antibodies

raised in different organisms. After secondary antibody incubation, sections were

washed in PBS (3x10 min) and mounted on Superfrost Plus slides (VWR, Radnor, PA)

using Fluoromount G (Southern Biotechnology Associates, Birmingham, AL) and

coverslipped. All images were obtained using a Zeiss LSM 710 Confocal Microscope

(Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). Stacks were collected using Zen software (Zeiss) and

images were processed using Adobe Photoshop CS5 software adjusting only

brightness and contrast.

Real-Time PCR of Isolated Taste Cells

Taste receptor cells from CV, Fol or Fun papillae were isolated from the papillae

as described above and then centrifuged for 20min at 13,000 RPM. RNA was purified

using the NucleoSpin RNA XS Kit (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany) according to kit

instructions. PCR analysis was performed for GAPDH to ensure sample quality and

check for genomic contamination. Contaminated samples were discarded and new

samples were collected. Real-Time PCR was performed using a BioRad MiniOpticon

system (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA), with BioRad SYBR Green reagents (Bio-

Rad Laboratories). Primers used for these experiments were: **PLCβ2**, *Fwd*:

CAATTGAGGGGCAGCTGAGA *Rev*: TTCTAGGCTGCATCTGGGC; **PLCβ3**, *Fwd*:

TCCTGGTGGTCAGGGAT *Rev*: CTGCCTGTCTCTGCTATCCG; **GAPDH** *Fwd*:

ACAGTCAGCCGCATCTTCTT, *Rev*: ACGACCAAATCCGTTGACTC.

For real-time PCR analyses, each sample was run in triplicate. If there was more

than 5% difference between the replicates, the data were discarded. Multiple biological

repeats were used for each papillae type (CV, n=6; Fol, n=6; Fun, n=5). Data was

normalized to GAPDH expression for each sample to correct for any loading differences

and reported as fold differences.

Oral Infusions

Wild type, IP$_3$R3-KO and PLCβ3-KO mice (n=3 for each) were tested to measure

the effects of quinine stimulation on the c-Fos immunoreactivity in the nucleus of the

solitary tract (NTS). Water infusions (n=3) were performed to measure background

responses. Surgical procedures were conducted using sterile technique while mice

were anesthetized via 2% - 4% isoflurane anesthesia. Guided by a 21G needle, a small

length of PE-90 tubing was passed through the animal's cheek, emerging into the oral

cavity by the rear molars. The tubing was threaded through Teflon washers and heat

flared to secure on both the external and oral sides. Mice were given an analgesic

(0.5mg/ml of carprofen) and allowed to recover. After recovery, they were infused with

either quinine (5mM) or water into the oral catheter for 30 minutes (0.2ml/min, 30 min

infusion). Following the infusion, animals were returned to their cages and left

undisturbed for 45 minutes.

Brain Histology and Analysis

After the 45 minute post-infusion period, mice were perfused as described above

and the hindbrains were removed. The following day, hindbrains were sectioned into

40μm coronal sections which were washed in TBS (pH 7.5), 3x10 min each. Sections

were incubated in 1:100 H_2O_2 in TBS for 15 min, followed by 3X10 min TBS washes.

Sections were then incubated in anti-c-Fos (ab190289; Abcam, 1:1000) diluted in

blocking buffer (3% Normal Donkey Serum in TBS-TritonX) for 1 hr at RT. This was

followed by an overnight incubation at 4°C. The next day, sections were washed 3x10

min in TBS and then incubated for 2 hrs in anti-rabbit secondary antibody (711-065-152,

Jackson Immunoresearch, 1:1000) in blocking buffer. After incubation, sections were

kept in an avidin-biotin mixture (Elite kit; Vector Laboratories) in TBS-TritonX for 1 hr.

Tissue sections were washed (3x10 min in TBS) and then stained with DAB (3,3'-

diaminobenzidine-HCL; Vector Laboratories) for 5 min. Stained tissue sections were

washed (3x5 min in TBS) and mounted onto slides using Fluoromount-G (Southern

Biotechnology Associates). Sections were examined using a light microscope (10-100

X) equipped with a digital camera.

Analyses of digital images were performed on the intermediate rostral nucleus

tractus solitarius (iRNTS; ~500 μm caudal to the dorsal cochlear nucleus) which

receives afferents from both the glossopharyngeal and chorda tympani nerves and

displays dense c-Fos-like immunoreactivity in response to intraoral delivery of quinine

(Harrer & Travers, 1996, King et al., 1999). A template tracing of the nucleus was made

by an experimenter blind to genotype and stimulus condition and this template was

applied to all sections. The number of FLI-positive neurons within the template was

counted by hand by an experimenter blind to genotype. Data were analyzed with a one

way ANOVA with follow up Student's t tests to identify individual differences.

Significance level was set at $p < 0.05$.

Analysis of Licking Behavior

Unconditioned licking responses to varying concentrations of taste stimuli were

recorded in a test chamber designed to measure brief-access licking (Davis MS80 Rig;

Dilog Instruments and Systems, Tallahassee, FL). This apparatus consisted of a

Plexiglas cage with a wire mesh floor. An opening at the front of the cage allowed

access to one of sixteen spill-proof glass drinking tubes that reside on a sliding platform.

A mechanical shutter opened and closed to allow the mouse access to one of the tubes

for a user-specified length of time. A computer controlled the movement of the platform,

order of tube presentation, opening and closing of the shutter, duration of tube access

and interval between tube presentations. Each individual lick was detected by a contact

lickometer and recorded on a computer via DavisPro collection software (Dilog

Instruments and Systems).

Mice were adapted to the test chamber and trained to drink from the sipper tubes

for 5 consecutive days as previously described (Martin, Nikonova et al., 2017,

Torregrossa, Nikonova et al., 2014). During training, mice were 20-h water deprived. On

the first day of training, the mouse was presented with a single stationary bottle of water

for 30 min. On the second day, a tube containing water was presented but this time the

mouse was given 180s to initiate licking and once licking was recorded the mouse was

given 30s access to the tube. At the conclusion of either the 30s access or the 180s

limit, the shutter was closed again for 10s. Each of the 8 tubes, all containing water, was

presented 3 times. During the remaining three days of training, the mouse was given 30

min to initiate licking to one of eight tubes of water. Once the mouse began licking, it

was given 10s to lick before the shutter closed for 10s, after which a new tube was

presented.

During testing, animals were allowed to take as many trials as possible in 30 min.

Mice were tested on varying concentrations of sucrose (0,3,10,30,60,100,300,1000

mM), acesulfame K (0,0.5,2,6,8,16,20,32 mM), MSG with 10 µM amiloride

(0,25,50,75,100,400,600,800 mM), denatonium benzoate (0,0.1,0.3,1,3,5,10,20 mM),

and NaCl (0,3,10,30,60,100,300,1000 mM), in that order. Each stimulus was presented

in randomized blocks on Monday, Wednesday and Friday in a single week. Animals

were 22-h water deprived for all testing except sucrose, when animals were tested

water replete. Once the animal began licking the tube, they were allowed 10 seconds of

access before the shutter closed.

For stimuli tested in the water deprived condition (acesulfame K, MSG + amiloride,

denatonium benzoate, and NaCl), lick ratios were calculated by dividing the average

number of licks at each concentration by the average number of licks to water. For

stimuli tested while the animals were water replete (sucrose) licks scores were

calculated by subtracting the average number of licks at each concentration by the

average number of licks to water. These corrections are used to standardize for

individual differences in lick rate and are based on water need. Lick scores and licks

relative to water are compared by repeated measures ANOVA with genotype as

between factors variable and concentration as a repeated measures within factors

variable. Significant interaction terms were followed by Tukey's Honestly Significant

Difference tests. Statistical analyses were performed in Statistica.

Solutions

All chemicals were purchased from Sigma Chemical (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis,

MO) unless otherwise noted. Tyrode's solution contained 140mM NaCl, 5mM KCl, 3mM

$CaCl_2$, 1mM $MgCl_2$, 10mM HEPES, 10mM glucose, and 1mM pyruvate, pH 7.4. Ca^{2+}-

free Tyrode's contained 140mM NaCl, 5mM KCl, 2.7mM BAPTA, 2mM EGTA, 10mM

HEPES, 10mM glucose, 1mM pyruvate, pH 7.4. Nominal Ca^{2+}free Tyrode's contained

140mM NaCl, 5mM KCl, 10mM HEPES, 10mM glucose, 1mM pyruvate, pH 7.4. Hi KCl

solution contained 50mM KCl, 90mM NaCl, 3mM $CaCl_2$, 1mM $MgCl_2$, 10mM HEPES,

10mM glucose, 1mM pyruvate, pH 7.4. All taste-solutions, 2μM U73122 (Tocris, Bristol,

United Kingdom), and 2μM thapsigargin (Tocris) were prepared in normal Tyrode's.

Multiple taste stimuli were analyzed for bitter (5mM denatonium benzoate (Den), sweet

(20mM sucralose, 2mM saccharin, 50mM sucrose, 20mM Acesulfame K (Ace K)), and

umami (10mM monopotassium glutamate (MPG)). 50mM sucrose contained 90mM

NaCl instead of 140mM. Salt (250mM sodium chloride, NaCl) and sour (50mM citric

acid, CA, pH4) stimuli were tested using the same protocol as Lewandowski et al

(Lewandowski et al., 2016).

Statistics

Analysis of the taste responsiveness was performed using an interactive Chi-

square analysis with Yate's correction for continuity (Preacher, 2001). Significant

differences were reported if $p < 0.05$. For real-time PCR analyses, a one-way ANOVA

with $p < 0.05$ set as the limit of significance was used to identify any significant

differences between the relative expression of PLCβ2 and PLCβ3 in the different

papillae types. Comparisons between two experimental conditions were made using a

two-tailed Student's T test with $p < 0.05$ set as the limit of significance.

Colocalization analysis

Image stacks (n=5, 1 μm each) were acquired from labelled sections obtained

from three different animals and regions of interest (ROI) were drawn to include the

area inside clearly identifiable taste buds. Colocalization analysis was performed on the

ROIs using ImageJ Fiji software (NIH). Colocalization was determined based on

Pearson's coefficient using the Colocalization_Finder plugin. If the Pearson's coefficient

value was greater than 0.9, we considered it to be 100% colocalization due to the

variability in immunofluorescence intensity. If the Pearson's coefficient was less than

0.05, we considered this to be no colocalization. A Pearson's coefficient higher than

0.05 but lower than 0.9 was considered as partial colocalization.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
The authors thank Drs. Sue Kinnamon and Stefan Roberts for comments. This work
was supported by NSF1256950 to KFM. The authors thank Alan Siegel and the UB
North Campus Imaging facility funded by NSF-MRI DBI0923133 for the confocal
images. The authors thank Jhanna Flora for generating our model images.
696

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS
DDB and EDB did live cell imaging, immunohistochemistry, data analysis and
contributed to writing the manuscript. DDB also did infusion experiments. LEM
performed brief-access lick behavior experiments, infusion experiments and data
analysis. KEK performed infusion experiments and data analysis. GCL conceived and
analyzed infusion experiments. ARN did immunohistochemistry, qPCR and data
analysis. ZCA and BRK did live cell imaging and data analysis. BTK did
immunohistochemistry. AMT supervised brief-access lick behavior, infusion
experiments, analyzed and interpreted the data, and edited the manuscript. KFM
conceived and supervised the project, did live cell imaging, data analysis and wrote the
manuscript.
708
709   The authors declare no competing interests.
710
711

REFERENCES

Accolla R, Bathellier B, Petersen CC, Carleton A (2007) Differential spatial
representation of taste modalities in the rat gustatory cortex. *J Neurosci* 27: 1396-404
Adler E, Hoon MA, Mueller KL, Chandrashekar J, Ryba NJ, Zuker CS (2000) A novel
family of mammalian taste receptors. *Cell* 100: 693-702
Ala-Laurila P, Rieke F (2014) Coincidence detection of single-photon responses in the
inner retina at the sensitivity limit of vision. *Curr Biol* 24: 2888-98
Barretto RP, Gillis-Smith S, Chandrashekar J, Yarmolinsky DA, Schnitzer MJ, Ryba NJ,
Zuker CS (2015) The neural representation of taste quality at the periphery. *Nature* 517:
373-6
Bartel DL, Sullivan SL, Lavoie EG, Sevigny J, Finger TE (2006) Nucleoside triphosphate
diphosphohydrolase-2 is the ecto-ATPase of type I cells in taste buds. *J Comp Neurol*
497: 1-12
Breza JM, Nikonov AA, Contreras RJ (2010) Response latency to lingual taste
stimulation distinguishes neuron types within the geniculate ganglion. *J Neurophysiol*
103: 1771-84
Brill MF, Meyer A, Rossler W (2015) It takes two-coincidence coding within the dual
olfactory pathway of the honeybee. *Front Physiol* 6: 208
Caicedo A, Kim KN, Roper SD (2002) Individual mouse taste cells respond to multiple
chemical stimuli. *J Physiol* 544: 501-9
Carleton A, Accolla R, Simon SA (2010) Coding in the mammalian gustatory system.
Trends Neurosci 33: 326-34
Carr CE, Konishi M (1990) A circuit for detection of interaural time differences in the
brain stem of the barn owl. *J Neurosci* 10: 3227-46
Chandrashekar J, Hoon MA, Ryba NJ, Zuker CS (2006) The receptors and cells for
mammalian taste. *Nature* 444: 288-94
Chandrashekar J, Mueller KL, Hoon MA, Adler E, Feng L, Guo W, Zuker CS, Ryba NJ
(2000) T2Rs function as bitter taste receptors. *Cell* 100: 703-11
Chang RB, Waters H, Liman ER (2010) A proton current drives action potentials in
genetically identified sour taste cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 107: 22320-5
Chaudhari N, Landin AM, Roper SD (2000) A metabotropic glutamate receptor variant
functions as a taste receptor. *Nat Neurosci* 3: 113-9
Chaudhari N, Maruyama Y, Roper S, Trubey K (2005) Multiple pathways for signaling
glutamate taste in rodents. *Chem Senses* 30 Suppl 1: i29-i30
Chaudhari N, Pereira E, Roper SD (2009) Taste receptors for umami: the case for
multiple receptors. *Am J Clin Nutr* 90: 738S-742S
Clapp TR, Medler KF, Damak S, Margolskee RF, Kinnamon SC (2006) Mouse taste
cells with G protein-coupled taste receptors lack voltage-gated calcium channels and
SNAP-25. *BMC Biol* 4: 7
Clapp TR, Stone LM, Margolskee RF, Kinnamon SC (2001) Immunocytochemical
evidence for co-expression of Type III IP3 receptor with signaling components of bitter
taste transduction. *BMC Neurosci* 2: 6
Clapp TR, Yang R, Stoick CL, Kinnamon SC, Kinnamon JC (2004) Morphologic
characterization of rat taste receptor cells that express components of the
phospholipase C signaling pathway. *J Comp Neurol* 468: 311-21

Courtney E Wilson TF, Sue C Kinnamon (2017) Type III cells in anterior taste fields are
more immunohistochemically diverse than those of posterior taste fields in mice.
Chemical Senses bjx055
DeFazio RA, Dvoryanchikov G, Maruyama Y, Kim JW, Pereira E, Roper SD, Chaudhari
N (2006) Separate populations of receptor cells and presynaptic cells in mouse taste
buds. *J Neurosci* 26: 3971-80
Delay ER, Hernandez NP, Bromley K, Margolskee RF (2006) Sucrose and monosodium
glutamate taste thresholds and discrimination ability of T1R3 knockout mice. *Chem*
Senses 31: 351-7
Dutta Banik D, Martin LE, Freichel M, Torregrossa AM, Medler KF (2018) TRPM4 and
TRPM5 are both required for normal signaling in taste receptor cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*
U S A 115: E772-E781
Dvoryanchikov G, Hernandez D, Roebber JK, Hill DL, Roper SD, Chaudhari N (2017)
Transcriptomes and neurotransmitter profiles of classes of gustatory and
somatosensory neurons in the geniculate ganglion. *Nat Commun* 8: 760
Eddy MC, Eschle BK, Delay ER (2017) Comparison of the Tastes of L-Alanine and
Monosodium Glutamate in C57BL/6J Wild Type and T1r3 Knockout Mice. *Chem*
Senses 42: 563-573
Finger TE (2005) Cell types and lineages in taste buds. *Chem Senses* 30 Suppl 1: i54-5
Fontanini A, Katz DB (2006) State-dependent modulation of time-varying gustatory
responses. *J Neurophysiol* 96: 3183-93
Frank ME, Lundy RF, Jr., Contreras RJ (2008) Cracking taste codes by tapping into
sensory neuron impulse traffic. *Prog Neurobiol* 86: 245-63
Geran LC, Travers SP (2006) Single neurons in the nucleus of the solitary tract respond
selectively to bitter taste stimuli. *J Neurophysiol* 96: 2513-27
Gilbertson TA, Boughter JD, Jr., Zhang H, Smith DV (2001) Distribution of gustatory
sensitivities in rat taste cells: whole-cell responses to apical chemical stimulation. *J*
Neurosci 21: 4931-41
Gupta N, Singh SS, Stopfer M (2016) Oscillatory integration windows in neurons. *Nat*
Commun 7: 13808
Hacker K, Laskowski A, Feng L, Restrepo D, Medler K (2008) Evidence for two
populations of bitter responsive taste cells in mice. *J Neurophysiol* 99: 1503-14
Hacker K, Medler KF (2008) Mitochondrial calcium buffering contributes to the
maintenance of Basal calcium levels in mouse taste cells. *J Neurophysiol* 100: 2177-91
Han SK, Mancino V, Simon MI (2006) Phospholipase Cbeta 3 mediates the scratching
response activated by the histamine H1 receptor on C-fiber nociceptive neurons.
Neuron 52: 691-703
Harrer MI, Travers SP (1996) Topographic organization of Fos-like immunoreactivity in
the rostral nucleus of the solitary tract evoked by gustatory stimulation with sucrose and
quinine. *Brain Res* 711: 125-37
Hegg CC, Jia C, Chick WS, Restrepo D, Hansen A (2010) Microvillous cells expressing
IP3 receptor type 3 in the olfactory epithelium of mice. *Eur J Neurosci* 32: 1632-45
Hellekant G, Danilova V, Ninomiya Y (1997) Primate sense of taste: behavioral and
single chorda tympani and glossopharyngeal nerve fiber recordings in the rhesus
monkey, Macaca mulatta. *J Neurophysiol* 77: 978-93

Hisatsune C, Yasumatsu K, Takahashi-Iwanaga H, Ogawa N, Kuroda Y, Yoshida R,
Ninomiya Y, Mikoshiba K (2007) Abnormal Taste Perception in Mice Lacking the Type 3
Inositol 1,4,5-Trisphosphate Receptor. *J Biol Chem* 282: 37225-37231
Hoon MA, Adler E, Lindemeier J, Battey JF, Ryba NJ, Zuker CS (1999) Putative
mammalian taste receptors: a class of taste-specific GPCRs with distinct topographic
selectivity. *Cell* 96: 541-51
Huang AL, Chen X, Hoon MA, Chandrashekar J, Guo W, Trankner D, Ryba NJ, Zuker
CS (2006) The cells and logic for mammalian sour taste detection. *Nature* 442: 934-8
Huang YA, Maruyama Y, Stimac R, Roper SD (2008) Presynaptic (Type III) cells in
mouse taste buds sense sour (acid) taste. *J Physiol* 586: 2903-12
Joris PX, Smith PH, Yin TC (1998) Coincidence detection in the auditory system: 50
years after Jeffress. *Neuron* 21: 1235-8
Kataoka S, Yang R, Ishimaru Y, Matsunami H, Sevigny J, Kinnamon JC, Finger TE
(2008) The candidate sour taste receptor, PKD2L1, is expressed by type III taste cells in
the mouse. *Chem Senses* 33: 243-54
Katz DB, Simon SA, Nicolelis MA (2001) Dynamic and multimodal responses of
gustatory cortical neurons in awake rats. *J Neurosci* 21: 4478-89
Kim MR, Kusakabe Y, Miura H, Shindo Y, Ninomiya Y, Hino A (2003) Regional
expression patterns of taste receptors and gustducin in the mouse tongue. *Biochem*
Biophys Res Commun 312: 500-6
Kimura K, Beidler LM (1961) Microelectrode study of taste receptors of rat and hamster.
J Cell Comp Physiol 58: 131-9
King CT, Garcea M, Spector AC (2000) Glossopharyngeal nerve regeneration is
essential for the complete recovery of quinine-stimulated oromotor rejection behaviors
and central patterns of neuronal activity in the nucleus of the solitary tract in the rat. *J*
Neurosci 20: 8426-34
King CT, Travers SP, Rowland NE, Garcea M, Spector AC (1999) Glossopharyngeal
nerve transection eliminates quinine-stimulated fos-like immunoreactivity in the nucleus
of the solitary tract: implications for a functional topography of gustatory nerve input in
rats. *J Neurosci* 19: 3107-21
Kusakabe Y, Kim MR, Miura H, Shindo Y, Ninomiya Y, Hino A (2005) Regional
expression patterns of T1r family in the mouse tongue. *Chem Senses* 30 Suppl 1: i23-4
Kusuhara Y, Yoshida R, Ohkuri T, Yasumatsu K, Voigt A, Hubner S, Maeda K, Boehm
U, Meyerhof W, Ninomiya Y (2013) Taste responses in mice lacking taste receptor
subunit T1R1. *J Physiol* 591: 1967-85
Laskowski AI, Medler KF (2009) Sodium-calcium exchangers contribute to the
regulation of cytosolic calcium levels in mouse taste cells. *J Physiol* 587: 4077-89
Lawton DM, Furness DN, Lindemann B, Hackney CM (2000) Localization of the
glutamate-aspartate transporter, GLAST, in rat taste buds. *Eur J Neurosci* 12: 3163-71
Lemon CH, Smith DV (2005) Neural representation of bitter taste in the nucleus of the
solitary tract. *J Neurophysiol* 94: 3719-29
Lewandowski BC, Sukumaran SK, Margolskee RF, Bachmanov AA (2016) Amiloride-
Insensitive Salt Taste Is Mediated by Two Populations of Type III Taste Cells with
Distinct Transduction Mechanisms. *J Neurosci* 36: 1942-53
Liu D, Liman ER (2003) Intracellular Ca2+ and the phospholipid PIP2 regulate the taste
transduction ion channel TRPM5. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 100: 15160-5

Maliphol AB, Garth DJ, Medler KF (2013) Diet-induced obesity reduces the
responsiveness of the peripheral taste receptor cells. *PLoS One* 8: e79403
Martin LE, Nikonova LV, Kay K, Paedae AB, Contreras RJ, Torregrossa AM (2017)
Salivary proteins alter taste-guided behaviors and taste nerve signaling in rat. *Physiol*
Behav 184: 150-161
Maruyama Y, Pereira E, Margolskee RF, Chaudhari N, Roper SD (2006) Umami
responses in mouse taste cells indicate more than one receptor. *J Neurosci* 26: 2227-34
Medler KF, Margolskee RF, Kinnamon SC (2003) Electrophysiological characterization
of voltage-gated currents in defined taste cell types of mice. *J Neurosci* 23: 2608-17
Miyoshi MA, Abe K, Emori Y (2001) IP(3) receptor type 3 and PLCbeta2 are co-
expressed with taste receptors T1R and T2R in rat taste bud cells. *Chem Senses* 26:
259-65
Nelson G, Chandrashekar J, Hoon MA, Feng L, Zhao G, Ryba NJ, Zuker CS (2002) An
amino-acid taste receptor. *Nature* 416: 199-202
Nelson G, Hoon MA, Chandrashekar J, Zhang Y, Ryba NJ, Zuker CS (2001)
Mammalian sweet taste receptors. *Cell* 106: 381-90
Oertel D, Bal R, Gardner SM, Smith PH, Joris PX (2000) Detection of synchrony in the
activity of auditory nerve fibers by octopus cells of the mammalian cochlear nucleus.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 97: 11773-9
Ohkuri T, Yasumatsu K, Horio N, Jyotaki M, Margolskee RF, Ninomiya Y (2009) Multiple
sweet receptors and transduction pathways revealed in knockout mice by temperature
dependence and gurmarin sensitivity. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol* 296:
R960-71
Oka Y, Butnaru M, von Buchholtz L, Ryba NJ, Zuker CS (2013) High salt recruits
aversive taste pathways. *Nature* 494: 472-5
Ozeki M (1971) Conductance change associated with receptor potentials of gustatory
cells in rat. *J Gen Physiol* 58: 688-99
Ozeki M, Sato M (1972) Responses of gustatory cells in the tongue of rat to stimuli
representing four taste qualities. *Comp Biochem Physiol A Comp Physiol* 41: 391-407
Pal Choudhuri S, Delay RJ, Delay ER (2015) L-Amino Acids Elicit Diverse Response
Patterns in Taste Sensory Cells: A Role for Multiple Receptors. *PLoS One* 10:
e0130088
Pal Choudhuri S, Delay RJ, Delay ER (2016) Metabotropic glutamate receptors are
involved in the detection of IMP and L-amino acids by mouse taste sensory cells.
Neuroscience 316: 94-108
Perez CA, Huang L, Rong M, Kozak JA, Preuss AK, Zhang H, Max M, Margolskee RF
(2002) A transient receptor potential channel expressed in taste receptor cells. *Nat*
Neurosci 5: 1169-76
Pfaff DW (1975) Theoretical consideration of cross-fiber pattern coding in the neural
signalling of pheromones and other chemical stimuli. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 1: 79-
93
Preacher KJ (2001) Calculation for the chi-square test: An interactive calculation tool for
chi-square tests of goodness of fit and independence [Computer software]. . In p
Available from http://quantpsy.org.
Rebello MR, Maliphol AB, Medler KF (2013) Ryanodine Receptors Selectively Interact
with L Type Calcium Channels in Mouse Taste Cells. *PLoS One* 8: e68174

Rebello MR, Medler KF (2010) Ryanodine receptors selectively contribute to the
formation of taste-evoked calcium signals in mouse taste cells. *Eur J Neurosci* 32:
1825-35
Richter TA, Caicedo A, Roper SD (2003) Sour taste stimuli evoke Ca2+ and pH
responses in mouse taste cells. *J Physiol* 547: 475-83
Roper SD (2007) Signal transduction and information processing in mammalian taste
buds. *Pflugers Arch* 454: 759-76
Sakmann B (2017) From single cells and single columns to cortical networks: dendritic
excitability, coincidence detection and synaptic transmission in brain slices and brains.
Exp Physiol 102: 489-521
Samuelsen CL, Gardner MP, Fontanini A (2013) Thalamic contribution to cortical
processing of taste and expectation. *J Neurosci* 33: 1815-27
Sato T, Beidler LM (1982) The response characteristics of rat taste cells to four basic
taste stimuli. *Comp Biochem Physiol A Comp Physiol* 73: 1-10
Sato T, Beidler LM (1997) Broad tuning of rat taste cells for four basic taste stimuli.
Chem Senses 22: 287-93
Shandilya J, Gao Y, Nayak TK, Roberts SG, Medler KF (2016) AP1 transcription factors
are required to maintain the peripheral taste system. *Cell Death Dis* 7: e2433
Shigemura N, Shirosaki S, Ohkuri T, Sanematsu K, Islam AA, Ogiwara Y, Kawai M,
Yoshida R, Ninomiya Y (2009) Variation in umami perception and in candidate genes
for the umami receptor in mice and humans. *Am J Clin Nutr* 90: 764S-769S
Simon SA, de Araujo IE, Gutierrez R, Nicolelis MA (2006) The neural mechanisms of
gustation: a distributed processing code. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 7: 890-901
Stone LM, Barrows J, Finger TE, Kinnamon SC (2007) Expression of T1Rs and
gustducin in palatal taste buds of mice. *Chem Senses* 32: 255-62
Stratford JM, Finger TE (2011) Central representation of postingestive chemosensory
cues in mice that lack the ability to taste. *J Neurosci* 31: 9101-10
Stratford JM, Thompson JA (2016) MSG-Evoked c-Fos Activity in the Nucleus of the
Solitary Tract Is Dependent upon Fluid Delivery and Stimulation Parameters. *Chem
Senses* 41: 211-20
Stratford JM, Thompson JA, Finger TE (2017) Immunocytochemical organization and
sour taste activation in the rostral nucleus of the solitary tract of mice. *J Comp Neurol*
525: 271-290
Sukumaran SK, Lewandowski BC, Qin Y, Kotha R, Bachmanov AA, Margolskee RF
(2017) Whole transcriptome profiling of taste bud cells. *Scientific reports* 7: 7595
Sukumaran SK, Yee KK, Iwata S, Kotha R, Quezada-Calvillo R, Nichols BL, Mohan S,
Pinto BM, Shigemura N, Ninomiya Y, Margolskee RF (2016) Taste cell-expressed
alpha-glucosidase enzymes contribute to gustatory responses to disaccharides. *Proc
Natl Acad Sci U S A* 113: 6035-40
Szebenyi SA, Laskowski AI, Medler KF (2010) Sodium/calcium exchangers selectively
regulate calcium signaling in mouse taste receptor cells. *J Neurophysiol* 104: 529-38
Taruno A, Vingtdeux V, Ohmoto M, Ma Z, Dvoryanchikov G, Li A, Adrien L, Zhao H,
Leung S, Abernethy M, Koppel J, Davies P, Civan MM, Chaudhari N, Matsumoto I,
Hellekant G, Tordoff MG, Marambaud P, Foskett JK (2013) CALHM1 ion channel
mediates purinergic neurotransmission of sweet, bitter and umami tastes. *Nature* 495:
223-6

Teng B, Wilson CE, Tu YH, Joshi NR, Kinnamon SC, Liman ER (2019) Cellular and Neural Responses to Sour Stimuli Require the Proton Channel Otop1. *Curr Biol* 29: 3647-3656 e5

Tomchik SM, Berg S, Kim JW, Chaudhari N, Roper SD (2007) Breadth of tuning and taste coding in mammalian taste buds. *J Neurosci* 27: 10840-8

Tonosaki K, Funakoshi M (1984) Intracellular taste cell responses of mouse. *Comp Biochem Physiol A Comp Physiol* 78: 651-6

Torregrossa AM, Nikonova L, Bales MB, Villalobos Leal M, Smith JC, Contreras RJ, Eckel LA (2014) Induction of salivary proteins modifies measures of both orosensory and postingestive feedback during exposure to a tannic acid diet. *PLoS One* 9: e105232

Treesukosol Y, Blonde GD, Spector AC (2009) T1R2 and T1R3 subunits are individually unnecessary for normal affective licking responses to Polycose: implications for saccharide taste receptors in mice. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol* 296: R855-65

Treesukosol Y, Smith KR, Spector AC (2011) Behavioral evidence for a glucose polymer taste receptor that is independent of the T1R2+3 heterodimer in a mouse model. *J Neurosci* 31: 13527-34

Treesukosol Y, Spector AC (2012) Orosensory detection of sucrose, maltose, and glucose is severely impaired in mice lacking T1R2 or T1R3, but Polycose sensitivity remains relatively normal. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol* 303: R218-35

Tu YH, Cooper AJ, Teng B, Chang RB, Artiga DJ, Turner HN, Mulhall EM, Ye W, Smith AD, Liman ER (2018) An evolutionarily conserved gene family encodes proton-selective ion channels. *Science* 359: 1047-1050

Wang L, Gillis-Smith S, Peng Y, Zhang J, Chen X, Salzman CD, Ryba NJP, Zuker CS (2018) The coding of valence and identity in the mammalian taste system. *Nature* 558: 127-131

Wu A, Dvoryanchikov G, Pereira E, Chaudhari N, Roper SD (2015) Breadth of tuning in taste afferent neurons varies with stimulus strength. *Nat Commun* 6: 8171

Xie W, Samoriski GM, McLaughlin JP, Romoser VA, Smrcka A, Hinkle PM, Bidlack JM, Gross RA, Jiang H, Wu D (1999) Genetic alteration of phospholipase C beta3 expression modulates behavioral and cellular responses to mu opioids. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 96: 10385-90

Xu J, Lewandowski BC, Miyazawa T, Shoji Y, Yee K, Bryant BP (2019) Spilanthol Enhances Sensitivity to Sodium in Mouse Taste Bud Cells. *Chem Senses* 44: 91-103

Yan W, Sunavala G, Rosenzweig S, Dasso M, Brand JG, Spielman AI (2001) Bitter taste transduced by PLC-beta(2)-dependent rise in IP(3) and alpha-gustducin-dependent fall in cyclic nucleotides. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* 280: C742-51

Yang R, Crowley HH, Rock ME, Kinnamon JC (2000) Taste cells with synapses in rat circumvallate papillae display SNAP-25-like immunoreactivity. *J Comp Neurol* 424: 205-15

Ye W, Chang RB, Bushman JD, Tu YH, Mulhall EM, Wilson CE, Cooper AJ, Chick WS, Hill-Eubanks DC, Nelson MT, Kinnamon SC, Liman ER (2016) The K+ channel KIR2.1 functions in tandem with proton influx to mediate sour taste transduction. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 113: E229-38

Yee CL, Yang R, Bottger B, Finger TE, Kinnamon JC (2001) "Type III" cells of rat taste
buds: immunohistochemical and ultrastructural studies of neuron-specific enolase,
protein gene product 9.5, and serotonin. *J Comp Neurol* 440: 97-108
Yoshida R, Miyauchi A, Yasuo T, Jyotaki M, Murata Y, Yasumatsu K, Shigemura N,
Yanagawa Y, Obata K, Ueno H, Margolskee RF, Ninomiya Y (2009) Discrimination of
taste qualities among mouse fungiform taste bud cells. *J Physiol* 587: 4425-39
Yoshida R, Ninomiya Y (2016) Taste information derived from T1R-expressing taste
cells in mice. *Biochem J* 473: 525-36
Zhang J, Jin H, Zhang W, Ding C, O'Keeffe S, Ye M, Zuker CS (2019) Sour Sensing
from the Tongue to the Brain. *Cell* 179: 392-402 e15
Zhang Y, Hoon MA, Chandrashekar J, Mueller KL, Cook B, Wu D, Zuker CS, Ryba NJ
(2003) Coding of sweet, bitter, and umami tastes: different receptor cells sharing similar
signaling pathways. *Cell* 112: 293-301
Zhang Z, Zhao Z, Margolskee R, Liman E (2007) The transduction channel TRPM5 is
gated by intracellular calcium in taste cells. *J Neurosci* 27: 5777-86
Zocchi D, Wennemuth G, Oka Y (2017) The cellular mechanism for water detection in
the mammalian taste system. *Nat Neurosci* 20: 927-933
Zukerman S, Glendinning JI, Margolskee RF, Sclafani A (2009) T1R3 taste receptor is
critical for sucrose but not Polycose taste. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol* 296:
R866-76
1004

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Current taste cell models and experimental setup. A) Current models of

the signal transduction pathways in Type II taste cells (left) that respond to bitter, sweet,

and umami stimuli as well as Type III taste cells (right) that respond to sour and salty

stimuli. B) Example of an isolated taste cell that was stimulated with MPG (10mM).

The DIC image is shown first, followed by an image of Fura 2-AM loading. The next two

images show the ratio (340/380) at different time points as the cell is stimulated and

then recovers to baseline. The corresponding calcium (Ca^{2+}) changes are shown in the

imaging trace. C) The IP_3R3-HET-GFP+ cell responded to denatonium, but did not

respond to any of the other stimuli applied. D) The IP_3R3-KO-GFP+ cell did not respond

to any stimulus applied. E) The IP_3R3-KO-GFP- cell responded to denatonium, MPG

and 50mM KCl (denoted with arrow, Hi K), identifying it as a BR cell. Concentration

gradients for (F) bitter (denatonium, DEN), (G) umami (MPG) and (H) sweet (saccharin,

SAC). BR cells respond to a wide range of stimulus concentrations.

1019

Figure 2. BR taste cells respond to multiple taste stimuli independently of the

IP_3R3 protein expressed in Type II cells. Representative traces of BR taste cells that

were stimulated with multiple bitter (A), sweet (B), or umami (C) stimuli as well as 50mM

KCl (arrow, Hi K). D) Chi square analysis with Yate's correction for continuity was used

to compare the frequency of evoked Ca^{2+} responses to different taste stimuli between

wild type (WT) and IP_3R3-KO (KO) mice (p=0.062). E) Chi square analysis with Yate's

correction for continuity was used to compare the frequency of evoked Ca^{2+} responses

by BR cells from wild type (WT) and IP$_3$R3-KO (KO) mice for circumvallate (CV,

p=0.09), foliate (Fol,p=0.89) and fungiform (Fun,p=0.7) taste cells.

1029

Figure 3. Some Type III cells respond to bitter, sweet and umami stimuli.

A) Representative trace of taste cells from IP$_3$R3-KO mice that responded to bitter

(5mM denatonium, Den), sweet (20mM sucralose, Sucr), umami (10mM

monopotassium glutamate, MPG), 50mM citric acid (CA), and 50mM KCl (Hi K). B)

Representative trace of a separate subset of Type III cells that responded to 250mM

NaCl, 50mM CA and 50mM KCl but were not sensitive to the bitter, sweet, umami

stimuli tested. C) Summary of taste cells from IP$_3$R3-KO mice that responded to 50mM

KCl and CA with Ca^{2+} signals (n=65), 28% only responded to CA (n=18), 20%

responded to CA and NaCl (n=13), and 52% responded to CA and bitter, sweet and/or

umami stimuli (B,U,S, n=34) while no cells responded to all taste stimuli. D) A

summary of the response profiles for a larger group of BR Type III cells (n=127) that

either responded to bitter (n=7), sweet (n=9), umami (n=7), bitter + sweet (n=13), bitter

+ umami (n=15), sweet + umami (n=21) or bitter, sweet + umami (n=55).

1043

Figure 4. Taste-evoked Ca^{2+} release in BR cells from IP$_3$R3-KO mice is dependent

upon PLC activity and Ca^{2+} release from internal stores.   A) Bitter-evoked taste

responses (5mM Denatonium, n=6) persist in the absence of extracellular calcium

(Ca^{2+}-free) and are abolished by the SERCA pump inhibitor thapsigargin (B, n=7) as

well as the PLC blocker U73122 (C, n=7). D) Responses to sweet stimuli (50mM

sucrose, n=6) persist in Ca^{2+}-free and are abolished by thapsigargin (E, n=4) and

U73122 (F, n=6). G) Umami stimuli (10mM MPG) persist in Ca^{2+}-free (n=7) and were

abolished by thapsigargin (H, n=4) and U73122 (I, n=4). Representative data for each

experiment are shown in Figure S3. Comparisons of the response amplitudes for each

taste stimulus found no significant differences between experiments (One way ANOVA,

Den, p=0.933; Suc, p=0.623; MPG, p=0.134).

1055

Figure 5. PLCβ3 is required for the detection of bitter, sweet and umami stimuli in

BR cells. A) A representative trace of taste cells from PLCβ3-KO mice that responded

to 50mM citric acid (CA) and KCl (50mM, Hi K) but did not respond to bitter (5mM

denatonium, Den), sweet (20mM sucralose, Sucr) or umami (10mM MPG) stimuli. B) A

representative trace from a separate subset of cells that responded to 250mM NaCl, CA

and KCl but were not sensitive to the bitter, sweet, umami stimuli tested. C) Summary

of responsive taste cells from PLCβ3-KO mice (n=38): CA (n=18); CA +NaCl (n=3); CA

and bitter, sweet and/or umami stimuli (B, U, S) (n=0); and B,U, or S only (n=17).

Representative taste-evoked responses for bitter (D), sweet (E) and umami (F) in the

PLCβ3-KO mice. None of these cells responded to CA or KCl.

G) Chi square analysis with Yate's correction for continuity was used to compare the

frequency of BR cells in wild type (WT, n=53 mice) and PLCβ3-KO (KO, n=68) mice

(***, p=0) for a larger number of cells. H) The percentage of BR taste cells from the CV,

Fol and Fun papillae of the PLCβ3-KO mice and WT mice were compared (***, p<0.001

for CV and Fol; **, p=0011 for Fun).

1071

Figure 6. Loss of PLCβ3 or IP₃R3 causes deficits in the taste-evoked neural activity in the nucleus of solitary tract.

Oral infusion of bitter (quinine) and water elicited c-Fos immunoreactivity in the intermediate rostral nucleus tractus solitarius (IRNTS). The schematic diagram indicates the region in the hindbrain that was analyzed. (A, B) Loss of either PLCβ3 (PLCβ3-KO) or IP₃R3 (IP₃R3-KO) caused a significant reduction in the c-Fos immunoreactivity in the IRNTS compared to WT mice. The residual c-Fos labeling in each of the KO mice was comparable to the c-Fos labelling generated by water infusion. For all experiments, 3 mice of each genotype were used (***, $p < 0.001$; **, $p < 0.01$). The red outlined area on the DIC images denotes the analyzed region for each. Data were analyzed with a one way ANOVA with follow up Student's t tests to identify individual differences. Significance level was set at $p < 0.05$.

Figure 7. Loss of PLCβ3 or IP₃R3 affects behavioral responses to taste stimuli.

Average lick data (±standard deviation) from brief-access behavioral tests compare the responses of IP₃R3-KO (red line) and PLCβ3-KO (pink line) to WT (IP₃R3-WT, black line; PLCβ3-WT, blue line). A) Lick ratios (stimulus/water) of the WT mice for the bitter stimulus denatonium (DEN, 0, 0.1, 0.3, 1, 3, 5, 10, 20mM) were significantly different from the IP₃R3-KO and PLCβ3-KO responses. B) Lick ratios of the WT mice for the umami stimulus monosodium glutamate + 10μM amiloride (MSG, 0, 25, 50, 75, 100, 400, 600, 800mM) were significantly different from the IP₃R3-KO and PLCβ3-KO mice. C) Lick ratios of the WT mice for the artificial sweetener acesulfame K (ACEK, 0, 0.5, 2, 6, 8, 16, 20, 32mM) were significantly different from the IP₃R3-KO and PLCβ3-KO mice.

D) Lick scores (stimulus-water) of the WT mice for sucrose (0, 3, 10, 30, 60,100, 300, 1000mM) were significantly different from the IP_3R3-KO and $PLC\beta3$-KO responses. E) No significant differences were detected between the WT and KO mice for any concentration of NaCl (0, 3, 10, 30, 60, 100, 300, 1000mM) tested. For all experiments, 5 mice of each genotype were used (***, $p < 0.001$; **, $p < 0.01$; *, $p < 0.05$). Data were compared by repeated measures ANOVA. Significant interaction terms were followed by Tukey's Honestly Significant Difference tests.

Figure S1. Characterization of the IP_3R3-KO mice. A) Laser scanning confocal micrographs (LSCMs, stack of 5 slices, $1\mu m$ each) from WT mice identified anti-IP_3R3 labeling in taste receptor cells from the CV (n=3). B) IP_3R3-KO mice express GFP in lieu of IP_3R3 and were not labeled by anti-IP_3R3 (n=6). C) LSCMs of the IP_3R3-het mouse identified strong co-localization between anti-IP_3R3 labeling and GFP expression. D) Anti-$PLC\beta2$ labeling in IP_3R3-KO mice found that $PLC\beta2$ co-localizes with GFP, indicating that IP_3R3-KO-GFP is specific to Type II cells (LSCMs: stack of 5 slices, $1\mu m$ each; n=4). E) α-gustducin is present in a subset of the IP_3R3-KO-GFP taste cells in the CV (LSCMs: stack of 10 slices, $1\mu m$ each; n=3). Asterisks identify some GFP expressing cells that do not express gustducin. Scale bars = 20 μm. F) Co-localization analysis identified the average ($\pm$ standard deviation) overlapping expression for each target protein with the GFP expression, n=3 for each.

Figure S2. Dual responsive cells are present in multiple mouse lines. Control imaging experiments were performed using GAD67-GFP mice and C57BL/6 mice.

GAD67-GFP is expressed in a large subset of Type III mouse taste cells (DeFazio et al.,

2006). A-B) Representative traces of BR taste cells that responded to bitter

(denatonium=Den), sweet (sucralose=Sucr) and/or umami stimuli (MPG) and 50mM KCl

(Hi K) in GAD67-GFP mice. BR taste cells were present in both GAD67-GFP + (A) and

GFP- (B) taste cells. C) Experiments in C57BL/6 mice also identified the presence of

BR taste cells.

Figure S3. Taste-evoked Ca^{2+} release in IP$_3$R3-KO mice is dependent upon PLC

activity and Ca^{2+} release from internal stores. Representative data related to Figure

4. Open columns represent the time that the taste stimulus is presented. The

application of Ca^{2+} free Tyrode's is indicated by the dashed lines. The stimulus

presented during this time is also in Ca^{2+} free Tyrode's. The gray hatched columns

represent the application of either thapsigargin (Thap) or U73122, both of which are

irreversible inhibitors. A) Bitter-evoked taste responses (5mM Den) persist in the

absence of extracellular calcium (Ca^{2+}-free) and are abolished by the SERCA pump

inhibitor thapsigargin (B) as well as the PLC blocker U73122 (C). D) Responses to

sweet stimuli (20mM sucralose, Sucr) persist in Ca^{2+}-free and are abolished by

thapsigargin (E) and U73122 (F). G) Umami stimuli (10mM MPG) persist in Ca^{2+}-free

and were abolished by thapsigargin (H) and U73122 (I).

Figure S4. Expression of PLCβ3 in taste cells. A) LSCMs (stack of 5 slices, 1μm

each) of PLCβ3 immunostaining in the IP$_3$R3-KO-GFP mice reveals that PLCβ3 is

expressed in a separate population from the GFP positive taste cells in the CV. B) Anti-

PLCβ3 labeling in the CV of TRPM5-GFP mice determined that PLCβ3 is expressed in

taste cells lacking GFP expression (LSCMs: stack of 5 slices, 1μm each; n=4). C) Co-

labeling with anti-PLCβ2 and anti-PLCβ3 in the CV of C57BL/6 mice revealed that these

PLCβs are expressed in separate taste cell populations (LSCMs: stack of 5 slices, 1μm

each; n=3). D) Co-labeling with anti-NTDPase2 and anti-PLCβ3 in the CV of C57BL/6

mice determined that these markers are expressed in separate taste cell populations

(LSCMs: stack of 5 slices, 1μm each; n=3). Scale bar = 20μm.   E)

Immunohistochemical analyses (LSCMs: stack of 5 slices, 1μm each) using anti-PLCβ3

and anti-SNAP25 revealed some co-localization between PLCβ3 and SNAP25 in CV

papillae. Scale bar = 10μm. F) Co-localization analysis identified the average (±

standard deviation) overlapping expression for PLCβ3 with TRPM5-GFP, anti-PLCβ2,

IP$_3$R3-GFP or anti-SNAP25 expression, n=3 for each. mRNA was isolated from taste

cells originating in the different papillae types from C57BL/6 mice. Taste cells were

analyzed from at least five different mice for each. Values were normalized to GAPDH

expression and are presented as a ratio to values from the CV papillae for (G) PLCβ2

and (H) PLCβ3. (***, p<0.001).

1157

1158 **Figure S5. Characterization of the PLCβ3-KO mice.** A) LSCMs (stack of 5 slices,

1159 1μm each) from WT mice identified anti-PLCβ3 labeling in taste receptor cells from the

1160 CV (n=3). B) CV taste cells from the PLCβ3-KO mice were not labeled by anti-PLCβ3

1161 (n=3). Scale bar = 10μm.

1162

Figure S6. Neither IP₃R3 nor PLCβ3 is expressed in IRNTS.

CV papillae and IRNTS sections were evaluated in parallel. A) Analyses of the brain

sections from the IP₃R3-KO mice revealed no GFP labelling in the IRNTS.

Immunohistochemical analysis using anti-PLCβ3 also did not detect any PLCβ3

expression. Scale bar=50μm. B) LSCM analyses (n=5 sections, 1 μm each) of the

tongues from the same mice identified the expression of IP₃R3-KO-GFP and PLCβ3 in

the taste receptor cells from the CV papillae (n=3). Scale bar=10μm.

1170

FIGURE 1

FIGURE 2

FIGURE 3

FIGURE 4

FIGURE 5

A

WT   IP₃R3-KO

PLCβ3-KO   Water

B

FIGURE 6

FIGURE 7

Comparison	Average overlap, standard deviation
GFP vs anti-IP$_3$R3 in het	0.94±0.02
GFP vs anti-PLCβ2	0.97±0.01
GFP vs anti-gustducin	0.31±0.04

Figure S1

Figure S2

FIGURE S3

F

Comparison	Average overlap, std
TRPM5-GFP vs anti-PLCβ3	0.03±0.006
anti-PLCβ2 vs anti-PLCβ3	0.02±0.02
IP₃R3-GFP vs anti-PLCβ3	0.03±0.02
anti-PLCβ3 vs anti-SNAP25	0.62±0.03

FIGURE S4

PLCβ3   **DIC**   **Overlay**

A

WT

B

KO

FIGURE S5

DIC	IP$_3$R3-GFP	Anti-PLCβ3

FIGURE S6