RedMed: Extending drug lexicons for social media applications

Adam Lavertu

Biomedical Informatics Training Program, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

Russ B Altman*

Department of Bioengineering, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

Abstract

In 2017, drug abuse caused over 73,000 deaths in the United States. Emerging drug abuse trends are identified through community surveillance programs, medical claims data, and other healthcare system data. Social media currently exists outside that system and shows promise as an alternative means of monitoring drug abuse, but the data is massive and noisy. Initial attempts to use social media data have relied on exact text matches to drugs of interest, and therefore suffer from the gap between formal drug lexicons and the informal nature of social media. The Reddit comment archive represents an ideal corpus for bridging this gap. We trained a word embedding model, RedMed, to identify and retrieve health entities from Reddit data. We compare the performance of our consumer-generated corpus against publicly available models trained on expert-generated corpora. Our automated pipeline achieves an accuracy of 0.88 and a specificity of >0.9 when classifying across four different term classes. Of all drug mentions, an average of 79% ($\pm0.5\%$) were exact matches to a generic or trademark drug name, 14% ($\pm0.5\%$) were misspellings, 6.4% ($\pm0.3\%$) were synonyms, and 0.13% ($\pm0.05\%$) were pill marks. We find that our system captures an additional 20% of mentions; these would have been missed by approaches that rely solely on exact string matches. We provide a lexicon of misspellings and synonyms for 2,978 drugs and a word embedding model trained on a health-oriented subset of Reddit.

Keywords: Natural Language Processing, Pharmacovigilance, Drug Surveillance, Lexicon, Social Media

1. Introduction

In 2010, there were over 40,000 deaths due to drug overdoses in the United States, in 2017 that number had risen to greater than 73,000 overdose deaths [1]. This rise was primarily due an increase in opioid use disorder. Despite the multi-decade timeline of the opioid epidemic and the existence of several drug abuse monitoring systems, these systems failed to detect the magnitude of the national trend in opioid abuse [2]. A potential source of this failure is their reliance on a few overlapping sources of data; the information sources currently used

---

*Corresponding author
Email address:* `rbaltman@stanford.edu` (Russ B Altman)

for drug abuse monitoring are limited. Specifically, community contacts, epidemiologists spread across the United States, a key component of several surveillance systems, do not exist in all areas of the country and have limited abilities to detect population level trends [2]. In addition, interviews at drug rehab centers and penal facilities, often occur too late in the abuse timeline as interviewees have already achieved a level of abuse that warranted institutionalization [2]. Interviews and survey questions may not capture pertinent aspects of drug trends that are apparent in an observational setting. Finally, data derived from the legal drug acquisition processes, such as prescribing data or electronic health records, are useful for response planning and failure analysis post-epidemic but have limited ability to identify new drug abuse trends. It is often unclear whether these prescriptions are being used in accordance with their originating purpose [2].

The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and others have identified social media as a potentially useful source of real-world evidence for drug monitoring [3, 4]. In 2005, 5% of adults in the United States reported using at least one social media site, in 2018 that percentage was 69% [5]. On an average day, social media users generate more than 2.5 million Reddit comments and more than 500 million Tweets. The high frequency and large volume of these online activities represents an opportunity for monitoring trends in drug abuse, as well as general pharmacovigilance. They offer access to an observational data source that is potentially orthogonal to the data streams currently being utilized. The pseudo-anonymous nature of some of these platforms might make users more inclined to discuss stigmatized behaviors [6].

It is currently difficult to identify social media content about drug abuse at scale. Initial efforts have generally relied on identifying relevant social media content based on exact lexical matching to known generic and trademark drug names [7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. Throughout the rest of this paper, we refer to these exact lexical matches as "known" terms. A few analyses have extended exact match lexicons through the manual curation of ad-hoc single-use lists of search terms for their drugs of interest [12, 13, 14, 15]. A more comprehensive set of social media lexicons is therefore a critical missing element. Unfortunately, due to the informal nature of social media, text derived from these platforms displays greater variability than texts from more formal sources, such as Wikipedia or PubMed [16]. The informality of the medium very likely results in many drug mentions being missed, such as those that are slang terms for and/or misspellings of the drug of interest. This is especially true in the case of drugs of abuse, where a large proportion of the overall mentions may be either misspellings, synonyms, or slang. A few attempts have been made to generate potential misspellings through empirical or algorithmic means, but they have had limited success [13, 14, 17, 18].

Word embedding models can capture semantics and are an ideal method for the discovery of misspellings and synonym terms [19]. These models rely on the distributional hypothesis, that the meaning of a word is represented by the meaning of the words it co-occurs with in context [20]. This hypothesis is represented by word co-occurrence statistics, where a model learns weights while being trained to predict which words are likely to co-occur and which are not. The learned model weights can then be used as vectorized representations of the words themselves. Previous work has demonstrated that these vectorized representations

effectively represent word meanings and can be used in combination with vector operators to facilitate different language tasks [19]. Using word embeddings to represent terms within a social media corpus, we may be able to identify potential misspellings and synonyms of given term based on vector distance.

The Reddit comment archive (RCA) represents a large publicly available corpus of text generated in a social media setting. Reddit is the 5th most visited website in the United States, has more than 330 million active users, and an average of ∼21 billion screen views per month [21, 22]. A primary feature of the Reddit social media platform is the existence of subreddits, moderated discussion boards with a focused topic. Subreddit discussions span a broad range of topic areas, from politics to illicit drug use to health conditions. We focused on a subset of subreddits related to health. A few examples of these health oriented subreddits are 'r/trees', for the discussion of marijuana, 'r/opiates', for the discussion of opiates, 'r/diabetes', discussion of diabetes and diabetes management, and 'r/fitness' focusing on general fitness. A single discussion thread consists of an initiating user submission called a post and associated threads of user comments responding to both the initial post and to other comments.

The RCA data has several advantages for the purpose of identifying drug synonyms and misspellings compared to other previously used corpora. First, the RCA contains comments created by individuals with little or no editing (i.e. unlike Wikipedia), thus it preserves semantics on the individual level (e.g. slang) and preserves spelling errors. Second, the language in the RCA is informal and yields a training corpus that is representative of average language usage on social media. Third, Reddit comments are conversational and contain many individual mentions of a particular terms in context. Word embedding models, which rely on the distributional hypothesis, benefit from having many different examples of terms being used in context. Fourth, Reddit places a 10,000-character limit on comments, which facilitates user expressivity. In contrast, Twitter, limited posts to 140 characters until November 2017 and currently limits them to 280 characters [23]. Fifth, the RCA is publicly archived and fully downloadable without restrictions on data storage and sharing. This facilitates research reproducibility as authors can refer to the archived comment data without concerns about data being deleted post-publications. Recent efforts to replicate studies that used Twitter data have been unable to retrieve 43% of the original dataset [24].

Our work uses the Reddit comment archive, word embedding models, and automated filters to create an empirical lexicon of potential drug misspellings and synonyms. We trained a word embedding model, RedMed, on Reddit data and optimized it for the retrieval of drug synonyms. We used a database of known drug terms and a novel word cluster pruning technique in conjunction with the model to identify candidate drug terms. We then filtered these candidate tokens using lexical edit-distance, phonetics, pill marks, and Google search results. We performed manual validation on a subset of these results and achieved an accuracy of ∼0.88. The utility of this lexicon is demonstrated by increased yield of relevant user posts. These drug term lists represent a novel resource for efforts in social media-oriented pharmacovigilance and drug abuse monitoring.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Dataset

2.1.1. Reddit comment data and subreddit selection

Reddit comments from 2005-2018 and their associated metadata were downloaded from https://pushshift.io [25]. We selected an initial training corpus for the word embedding models by extracting all forums listed in the r/Health and r/Drugs side bars. After an initial hyperparameter optimization utilizing this corpus, we searched the comments for a set of drugs derived from DrugBank [26] and health-related terms derived from SIDER [27, 28]. We then created several different subsets of the corpora, by including/excluding subreddits based on the proportion of comments containing a drug mention.

2.1.2. de novo phrase finding

The lack of a comprehensive list of consumer drug phrases necessitated the *de novo* discovery of phrases. We utilized AutoPhrase [29, 30], a phrase discovery tool, to identify phrases within the initial development corpus. AutoPhrase requires a set of training phrases to calibrate the scoring scheme, we used 70,108 phrases contained in Wikipedia, MeSH, DrugBank, and the Consumer Health Vocabulary [31] as the training data. AutoPhrase was run without dependency parsing and new phrases were those with a score above 0.5.

2.1.3. Corpus preprocessing

Each comment in the corpus was preprocessed using the following steps, (1) all text was normalized to lowercase, (2) text segments corresponding to a discovered phrase were joined into a single token, (3) URLs and links to other users and subreddits were removed, (4) all tokens were split on non-word characters unless they were a phrase from step 1, (5) stop words were filtered from the remaining token sets (Supp. File 3). Duplicate comments were removed.

2.2. Word embedding model

2.2.1. Model training

A continuous bag-of-words model [19] was trained using Python 3.5 and the gensim package v2.3 [32]. We used an embedding size of 64 dimensions, a window size of 7 and a minimum count of 5. A hyperparameter optimization of the model was performed through a grid search over the negative sampling exponent parameter from -1.0 to 1.0 by steps of 0.25 [33]. This resulted in the selection of 0.25 as the negative sampling exponent (default value is 0.75). All remaining hyperparameters were set to the defaults. The model was trained for 25 iterations, at which point the performance on the DrugBank Clustering Coefficient task had plateaued.

2.2.2. DBCC: DrugBank Clustering Coefficient

We used DrugBank to create a similarity task for word embedding model evaluation. Trademark and generic drug names were grouped based on their DrugBank identifier. The DBCC was then used to measure the relative similarity of grouped drugs versus drugs not in the same DrugBank group.

$$DBCC = \Sigma_k^j (\Sigma_i^k b_i - \Sigma_i^k h_i)$$

Where j is the set of all drug groups and k is the set of drugs contained within an individual drug group. We then sum b_i, the pairwise cosine similarities for all drugs within that drug group, and subtract h_i, the cosine similarity of a random drug within the set and a random drug outside the set, for an equivalent number of drug pairs to the drug pairs within the group. The sum of the difference in pairwise similarity across all drug groups is the DBCC score for that model.

2.2.3. Final model performance evaluation

We evaluated our word embedding model on the three different word pair similarity tasks. The first metric is the DBCC, which evaluates the cosine similarity between generic and trademark terms for individual DrugBank drug entries. The second is the UMNSRS Clinical term pair similarity task, where 401 clinical term pairs have human curated similarity ranking [34]. The model similarity ranking was calculated based on the cosine similarity of the vector representations of the terms in a given pair. The third task is the MayoSRS relatedness reference, where human relatedness rankings for 101 clinical term pairs were reported [35]. We evaluated the models based on the Spearman correlation of the human versus model rankings, as for the UMNSRS similarity task.

2.3. Identification of candidate tokens

Candidate tokens are tokens in the embedded space that progress to the filtering stage as potential misspellings and slang terms. We identified these based on cosine similarity between the vector representations of known drug terms and embedded tokens. We determined a cosine similarity cutoff for each seed term via the following method. We identified the 1,500 tokens nearest to the seed term in the embedded space, then we created noisy labels for these 1,500 tokens by labeling phrase tokens containing the seed term as positives. To allow for the inclusion of misspellings and synonym terms as positives, we additionally labeled terms that had a term match score, $f(w_i)$, greater than 0.4 as positives, a heuristic cutoff based on the goal of selecting an average of 20% of the list as positives. The remainder of the 1,500 tokens were labeled as negatives. The term match score was calculated as follows:

$$f(w_i) = jaro(w_i, t_k) \cdot cos(\vec{w_i}, \vec{t_k})$$

Where w_i is a candidate token contained with the 1,500 nearest tokens and t_k is the seed term. $jaro(w_i, t_k)$ is the Jaro-Winkler similarity between the w_i and t_k tokens, calculated using a prefix weight of 0.1. The Jaro-Winkler similarity is a lexical matching based measure of similarity between two strings. The prefix weight controls the relative impact of matches at the beginning of the strings versus matches made later in the string [36]. $cos(\vec{w_i}, \vec{t_k})$ is the cosine similarity between the two tokens' word vectors. The term match scoring function aimed to balance lexical and semantic similarity of two tokens. The nearest-neighbor cosine similarity cutoff used for pruning for that seed term was then determined by maximizing the f1-score over the noisy labels. Any phrase in the nearest 1,500 tokens that contained an exact match to a known drug term was also included in the set of candidate tokens. This process resulted in a set of candidate tokens, derived from the pruned list of nearest

neighbors, and mapped to a seed term, the known drug that served as the clustering centroid. These clusters were then collapsed to the representative generic drug based on DrugBank annotations, resulting in a set of candidate tokens and a set of associated known drug terms (AKDTs).

2.4. Automated filtering

We compared candidate tokens to their AKDTs. The filtration pipeline is sequential, thus a candidate token that is selected for inclusion in the final term list by an earlier filter is removed from the candidate pool at that stage of the process and not seen by downstream filters. Filtration consists of the following components: comparisons between candidate tokens and their AKDTs using lexical and phonetic metrics (2.4.1, 2.4.2, 2.4.5), lexical comparison to a pill impression database (2.4.3), and the term set intersections between the AKDTs and terms that appear in top 10 results from a Google search for the candidate token (2.4.4).

2.4.1. Edit distance

We computed the edit distance between each candidate token and AKDTs using python-Levenshtein v0.12.0. We included candidate tokens that were within 2 edits of a term in their AKDTs in the final term list.

2.4.2. Partial phonetic and edit distance

We compared the phonetic symbols, derived from the Metaphone algorithm [37], for the first 3 letters of a candidate token to terms in their AKDTs. We then compared candidate tokens with exact matches for the first three phonetic characters to their AKDTs based on normalized edit distance. We included those with a normalized edit distance less than 0.5 in the final term list.

2.4.3. Pill impressions

Pill impressions are the markings made on many pills and tablets by the manufacturer. We compared candidate tokens that were between 1 and 6 characters to pill impressions in the National Drug Codes List. A list of drugs with pill impressions exactly matching the candidate token was intersected with the AKDTs. Candidate tokens with any set intersection were included in the final term list. We found the National Drug Codes List, to be incomplete, thus if an exact pill impression hit was not found in the National Drug Codes List, the drugs.com pill identifier service was queried, and the list of drugs captured in the search list was cross-referenced as noted above and candidate tokens that were a direct match were included in the final term list.

2.4.4. Google search

We registered a Google Custom Search API (https://developers.google.com/custom-search/) and queried candidate tokens through it. We used the top 10 search results to evaluate the candidate token, preprocessing the text content of the title and preview snippets in the same way as the Reddit comment data (2.1.3). We intersected the resulting token set with the AKDTs and candidate tokens with matches were included in the final term list.

 2.4.5. Edit distance for low count words

We compared tokens that were captured at the candidate token stage and had less than 30 mentions against all seed sets for lexical similarity. Tokens that met the edit distance criteria in 2.4.1 were remapped to the associated seed term and were included in the final term list.

2.5. Human rater validation

We gave a sample of 74 terms, captured at the candidate term identification stage (2.3), to a group of 12 raters. We presented raters with the associated seed term, the candidate token, and 3 examples of the candidate token being used within Reddit comments. We asked raters to classify the candidate token as known (i.e. an exact match to a drug entity in DrugBank), misspelling, synonym, or other and as an exact reference to, related to, or unrelated to the associated seed term. The related and unrelated classifications were collapsed and designated as non-exact after the rating task was complete. The inter-rater reliability on this 74-term set was used to select a subset of 5 raters with acceptable levels of agreement. Fleiss' κ was $\sim$ 0.7 for the term type classification task and $\sim$ 0.7 for the relatedness task[38, 39, 40]. These 5 raters individually classified an additional 849 terms, resulting in a total of 923 human annotated candidate tokens. We created the automated labels as follows, edit distance (2.4.1) and the phonetic misspellings (2.4.2) were combined into the "misspelling" category, the pill mark (2.4.3), and Google search (2.4.4) were combined into the "synonyms" category and candidate tokens that were not validated by the automatic methods were designated as "other". We labeled the human annotated terms that were classified as exact references to the associated seed term as the class they were annotated to and all inexact terms were labeled as "other". Performance metrics for the automated classification were calculated by comparing the human and automated labels (Fig. 3).

3. Results

3.1. Subreddit filtering

The combination of subreddits listed in the r/Health and r/Drugs side bars resulted in 185 subreddits (Supp. File 1) and contained more than 25 million comments. We filtered subreddits based on their medical content enrichment (Figure 1). The final working corpus was the subset of subreddits that yielded the greatest number of unique drugs captured above an enrichment cutoff of $1e^{-4}$. This resulted in a corpus of more than 500 million comments from 2,644 subreddits (Supp. File 2).

Figure 1: Overview of embedding pipeline. Reddit comments are first filtered based on subreddit for those that contain health related content. The resulting corpus is used for phrase discovery, preprocessed, and used to train a word embedding model. Seed drug terms from DrugBank are used to identify candidate tokens based on their cosine distance to these seed terms. Candidate tokens are then passed through a series of automated filters to yield the final term set.

3.2. Word embedding model comparison

We compared the performance of our final Reddit model against 13 publicly available word vector models using various embedding algorithms and corpora [41, 42, 43, 44]. Some words were not represented in the vectorized models (i.e. out-of-vocabulary error), for some of the evaluation task word pairs. Nonetheless, we first evaluated all 13 models individually based on the subset of terms that were within the vocabulary of that model (Table 1). We also evaluated the top performing models from the first evaluation based on the subset of term pairs that were within the vocabulary of all those models, to improve interpretation of the results (Table 2). The Reddit model consistently performs best on the DBCC task, while achieving close to top performance on the UMNSRS task (Table 1 and Table 2). Other models outperform the Reddit model on the MayoSRS task.

To evaluate the drug term embeddings within the Reddit model we utilized T-SNE [45] to generate a low dimensional representation of a subset of drugs that have a code designation within the Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) Classification System (Figure 2). We visually observe some clustering of sex hormones (G03), antineoplastic agents (L01), and analgesics (N02). The distribution of psycholeptics (N05) and psychoanaleptics (N06) drug classes are broadly overlapping.

Figure 2: T-SNE visualization of Reddit word embedding space for the subset of drugs with ATC codes. Demonstrates nearness of vectors of drugs within the same ATC drug class in the embedded space. Antineoplastic agents (pink), sex hormones (yellow), and antibacterials (green), some of the larger drug classes, demonstrate closeness within and distance between drug classes in the embedded space. Clustering of drugs within the same therapeutic class indicates that learned representations are capturing drug semantics. Overlapping of psycholeptics (orange) and psychoanaleptics (teal) is a result of the similarity of the therapeutic goal of both drug classes, which is to produce calming effects.

Model	# DBPairs	DBCC	# Clin Pairs	Clin ρ	# Mayo Pairs	Mayo ρ	Dim	Corpus	Source
CBOW	841	**0.334**	353	0.645	77	0.441	64	reddit	-
fastText	1639	0.134	350	**0.696**	38	**0.613**	200	pubmed + MIMIC	[41]
Skip-Gram	627	0.199	343	0.655	36	0.498	200	pubmed	[42]
Skip-Gram	712	0.183	345	0.651	36	0.432	200	pubmed + PMC	[42]
Skip-Gram	735	0.158	345	0.637	36	0.36	200	wiki + pubmed + PMC	[42]
GloVe	162	0.076	231	0.421	26	0.214	50	wiki + gigaword	[43]
GloVe	162	0.076	231	0.384	26	0.174	100	wiki + gigaword	[43]
GloVe	162	0.073	231	0.462	26	0.185	200	wiki + gigaword	[43]
GloVe	162	0.077	231	0.439	26	0.242	300	wiki + gigaword	[43]
GloVe	99	0.076	94	0.165	12	0.392	25	twitter	[43]
GloVe	99	0.094	94	0.242	12	0.371	50	twitter	[43]
GloVe	99	0.073	94	0.267	12	0.389	100	twitter	[43]
GloVe	99	0.061	94	0.255	12	0.466	200	twitter	[43]
Skip-Gram	179	0.035	294	0.462	54	0.149	300	google news	[44]

Table 1: Comparison of Reddit word embedding model to publicly available word embedding models, for per model in-vocabulary term pairs. **# DBPairs** is the number of generic drug groupings, for which there were at least 2 drugs captured by the model. **DBCC** is the DBCC score for that model. **# Clin Pairs** and **# Mayo Pairs** is the number of captured, both terms in the term pair were present in the model, for the UMNSRS Clinical term pairs and MayoSRS term pairs, respectively. **Clin** ρ and **Mayo** ρ are the Spearman correlations between the model based similarity ranking and the human similarity rankings for the UMNSRS and MayoSRS tasks, respectively. **Dim** is the length of the word vectors for that model. **Corpus** is a brief indication of the corpus(es) used to train the model. **Source** provides a reference for the work from which each model was derived. The Reddit model was the top performing model for the DBCC task. The fastText model trained on PubMed and MIMIC data was the top performing model for the UMNSRS (Clin) and MayoSRS (Mayo) tasks.

Model	# DBPairs	DBCC	# Clin Pairs	Clin ρ	# Mayo Pairs	Mayo ρ	Dim	Corpus	Source
CBOW	279	**0.181**	327	0.643	35	0.301	64	reddit	-
fastText	279	0.179	327	**0.721**	35	**0.63**	200	pubmed + MIMIC	[41]
Skip-Gram	279	0.177	327	0.673	35	0.504	200	pubmed	[42]
Skip-Gram	279	0.176	327	0.655	35	0.38	200	wiki + pubmed + PMC	[42]
Skip-Gram	279	0.162	327	0.667	35	0.444	200	pubmed + PMC	[42]

Table 2: Comparison of Reddit word embedding model to publicly available word embedding models, for a shared subset of term pairs. For a description of columns see Table 1. The Reddit model was the top performing model for the DBCC task, but the overall DBCC model performance was tighter. The fastText model was the top performing model for the Clin (UMNSRS) and Mayo (MayoSRS) tasks.

3.3. Automated validation

Table 3 shows examples of the automated classification categories. We evaluated the classifications made by the automated filtering pipeline against human annotations (Figure 3). The classification accuracy was 0.88 across the 4 classes, with specificity of greater than or equal to 0.90 across all term classes (Table 4). Sensitivity was high (>0.85) for the known, misspelling, and other classifications, but low for the synonym class.

generic	phrases	misspelling	pill marks	synonym
alprazolam	xanax_addiction (0.59)	zanax (0.62)	g3722 (0.69)	green_hulks (0.71)
oxycodone	80mg_oxy (0.84)	percaset (0.75)	m523 (0.69)	30mg_blues (0.81)
marijuana	cannabis_indica (0.59)	cannibis (0.85)	-	indica_heavy_strain (0.52)
cocaine	buy_pure_cocaine (0.28)	cocane (0.71)	-	c17h21no4 (0.67)

Table 3: Examples of discovered terms and phrases with cosine similarity to the generic term indicated. **Phrases** consists of tokens that contained exact matches to known trademark or generic drug names, and **misspellings** are errors in the spelling of those terms. **Pill marks** were short tokens that matched an entry within a pill impression database. **Synonyms** are candidate tokens whose Google search results contained the associated known drug. Discovered phrases capture relevant information about the drug of interest, such as drug abuse, variation of drug forms (i.e. dosage, strains), and desired attributes (i.e. purity). The misspellings capture both phonetic and lexical errors. Drugs that are primarily delivered in a pill form were sometimes referenced by their pill impressions. Synonym based references to drugs that contain limited lexical similarity to the trademark and generic drug names would likely be missed without semantic information.

Class	Sens.	Spec.
known	0.86	0.98
misspelling	0.92	0.96
synonym	0.37	0.95
other	0.90	0.90

Figure 3: Confusion matrix of human vs. automated validation of candidate token mappings. The five internally consistent human annotators had a high level of agreement with the automated validation pipeline classifications for all term categories except synonyms.

Table 4: Sensitivity and specificity for individual term classes. All four classes had high specificity and the known, misspelling, and other classes had high sensitivity.

3.4. Proportions of term mentions by class

We searched all publicly available Reddit comments, from 2005-2018, and counted the number of mentions of each term in the discovered term sets. We calculated the proportion of

terms for each drug that belonged to each of the 4 classification categories. A subset of drugs and their term proportion breakdowns are presented in Figure 4. Out of all mentions, an average of 79% (± 0.54%) were known, 14% (± 0.47%) were misspellings, 6.4% (± 0.34%) were synonyms, and 0.13% (±0.054%) were pill marks.

Figure 4: Proportion of all term mentions derived from each of the term types for an exemplary set of seven seed terms. Reddit comment term counts for 2005-2018 were generated for drug terms included in the final term lists. Different term types contribute varying proportions of the overall mentions of a particular drug, with synonyms and misspellings contributing notable proportions of observations. If a search used only known terms as defined by DrugBank drug entries, only the known proportion would be recovered.

4. Discussion

In this work, we present both a word embedding model trained on a medically enriched subset of Reddit comments and a set of drug misspellings and slang terms derived from that model. We leveraged edit-distance metrics, existing drug databases, and internet searches to filter candidate tokens in a sequential process (Figure 1).

Although there are several published word embedding models, there were a few reasons we needed to train our own. First, many publicly available word-embedding models were trained on expert generated corpora, such as PubMed, where the underlying semantics, vocabulary, and syntax would be quite different from that found in social media [46]. Second, the process through which the data was prepared for these models tries to limit the vocabulary size through preprocessing steps that would have explicitly removed the types of lexical variation we were interested in, such as misspellings. Third, only unigrams or subwords were embedded, while this works for many downstream modeling applications, it would have limited our ability to discover phrases. Phrases are necessary for identifying particular drug synonyms (e.g. "nose_candy", a slang reference to "cocaine"). Fourth, models trained on social media data, such as Twitter, were not enriched for health-related data and therefore lacked the majority of drug terms we were interested in.

All three term-pair similarity tasks used to evaluate the word embedding models were defined by medical terminology experts, either database curators or clinicians. Given that our Reddit corpus was derived from comments generated by the general public in an informal setting, we would expect our model to be at a disadvantage for these tasks [46, 47]. The model performance comparison (Table 1 and Table 2) show that despite our model being trained on this layperson corpus, it achieved competitive performance on the UMNSRS task. The RedMed model also outperforms other models on the DBCC task, an expected result as the DBCC task was used to evaluate hyperparameter settings for our model. Even though other publicly available models outperformed our model on these term-pair similarity tasks, the other publicly available models were poorly suited for our end goal of misspelling and synonym identification. Thus, our model is performing competitively on the similarity-based tasks despite being trained on the Reddit corpus.

We evaluated the RedMed model based on evaluation task labels defined by experts, yet using cosine similarity to a seed term we were able to retrieve relevant candidate terms that include misspellings, slang terms, and pill marks. We find the effectiveness of these retrievals to be somewhat surprising. In order for the vector representations to be similar the modeling process requires the words surrounding the known and generic drug names to be similar to the words surrounding the candidate terms within the corpus. We are not as surprised with regards to misspellings, as this seems more likely to happen as the context in which a user misspells a drug is likely the same context in which a user would correctly spell the drug. We are view the similarity between drug names and their slang terms as more impressive. We might expect users discussing drug abuse to primarily rely on slang terms and pill marks in that context, while users that reference drugs by their proper names might be primarily discussing drugs with regards to their therapeutic indications. For example, the context surrounding "oxycodone" might be primarily oriented towards pain management, while the context surrounding "80mg_oxy" might be centered around drug abuse. Our results indicate that there is enough context overlap between these terms for the model to capture that slang terms are indeed referencing generic and trademark drugs.

The T-SNE visualization offers more evidence of the drug similarities captured by the model (Figure 2). We see tight clustering of particular drug classes, such as antineoplastic agents, sex hormones, and antibacterial agents. We view this as further demonstration that the RedMed model is capturing relevant information about drugs. The distribution of psycholeptics and psychoanaleptics drug classes within the embedded space is overlapping, potentially a result of their shared targeting of psychological phenotypes.

Although, we evaluated the RedMed model on our target task of drug entity identification, exploration of the embedded space yields other concepts that would likely be of interest to public health researchers. For example, looking at terms clustering around "kratom", a legal opioid receptor family agonist, reveals terms such as "kratom_addiction", "maeng_da_kratom", and "previous_opiate_addiction". The safety of kratom is currently being actively evaluated by the FDA and even this small list of neighboring terms hints at a few potential directions for investigating kratom within the public sphere [48].

Our inclusion of phrases in the embedding model was a critical component of this effort, as phrases were necessary for identifying particular slang, such as "green_hulks", that could not have been identified from the individual tokens (Figure 3). We allowed misspellings and other unknown English words to remain within the corpus through the preprocessing stage. We were able to leverage both phrases and misspellings as noisy true positives during the candidate term search process (2.3), a crucial component of our pipeline. Additionally, the decision to not limit the corpus to known English words enabled the discovery of methods of referencing drugs we were not expecting, such as pill marks. Given that internet forums contain discussions between individuals at various stages of drug use or abuse, referencing pill marks is a specific means of identifying a particular drug and pill marks allow for drug identification long after pills may have been removed from their original containers.

The labels we derived from our filtration process achieved high accuracy across the categories for which we saw high human inter-rater agreement, "known", "misspellings", and "other". For the assignment of a "known" label, our pipeline performs a database lookup and performance primarily relies on the completeness of the database. A misspelling label is assigned based on edit-distance, so primary sources of error are misspellings outside the edit-distance cut-off. We addressed this by allowing a greater edit-distance if the prefix of the word was phonetically identical to the drug to which it was mapped. "other" was assigned to a term if the above metrics were not satisfied and Google search results did not contain any mentions. We selected Google search as the final validation metric, as we viewed it as mirroring what a human annotator might do for difficult cases. Of course, there are additional nuances in the search results with regards to the specificity of the search term that a human might recognize that our filtration process missed.

Approximately 14% of all drug mentions captured by our term sets were misspellings, this is consistent with a smaller scale study of drug misspellings where Jiang et al. investigated 6 drugs and found around 10% of mentions were misspellings, with higher rates for drugs like codeine and ibuprofen [15]. Misspellings combined with slang terms account for about 20% of the overall mentions. Therefore, social media data collection activities that rely explicitly on exact matches are potentially introducing a large bias into the dataset. For some drugs the problem maybe worse; for instance, while there were 1,812,032 mentions of "marijuana" on Reddit from 2005-2018, there were 5,223,134 mentions of "weed". While researchers might know to include "weed" in their searches for marijuana posts, other slang terms may not be as obvious to researchers and may represent a large proportion or even a majority of the mentions.

Our ability to identify drug misspellings and synonyms was limited by the overall levels of discussion of particular drugs on the platform. We cannot effectively identify drug misspellings and synonyms for drugs that have few or no mentions within our dataset. This results in relatively few terms for low word count drugs, such as simvastatin. Generally, we still manage to find a few common misspellings and have good coverage of commonly discussed drug.

Some low frequency misspellings and synonym terms were clustered with a different drug

than they were actually referencing, resulting in those terms being missed by our approach. For example, "dillaudid", a misspelling of the trademark drug "dilaudid" clustered close to "oxycodone" in the embedded space, even though the generic form of "dilaudid" is hydromorphone. We addressed this issue by allowing low count terms, which are more likely to have poor quality embeddings, to be remapped based on our misspelling metrics. Even with this additional step it is unlikely we were unable to fully recover all misspellings. We also note that drug names with alternate meanings outside of the drug context, appear further from their drug classes within the embedded space. The trademark drug names "Salazar" and "Camila" are examples of this effect, as they likely primarily to refer people rather than trademark drugs. This resulted in the vector representations of these drugs that were different than those of other drugs in the embedded space and may have added noise to the clustering process.

Our Google search validation is limited by false negatives when the primary meaning of a candidate token differed from its usage on Reddit. For example, "real_coke", which refers to real cocaine on Reddit is primarily associated with a soft drink in Google search results. Additionally, Google searches yielded false positives when search results for a relatively general token, such as "painkillers", returned a reference to the mapped drug in question.

Our term counts were calculated across all comments in the comment archive, without considering the context in which the term was mentioned. While the majority of tokens were not polysemous, certain terms may have suffered from polysemy and thus potentially increased counts with irrelevant mentions.

5. Conclusions

We present the RedMed model and lexicon, providing an empirical study of drug misspellings and synonym usage in Reddit comment data. We trained the RedMed word embedding model specifically for the task of investigating health-related entities in social media. Although our model is trained on non-technical documents, it performs well on several benchmark medical similarity tasks. We used our method to identify drug misspellings and synonyms. Using the resulting term sets we derived empirical estimates for the rate of misspellings and synonyms usage based on ∼3,000 drugs. The RedMed lexicon provides an improved set of search terms for drug-related analysis of social media data. Our RedMed model and lexicon are available on Zenodo (https://zenodo.org/record/3238718). Additionally, a python package for text analysis in accordance with our lexicon is available at https://github.com/alavertu/redmed.git.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements

422 We'd like to thank the editorial staff and the reviewers for their feedback during the publishing process. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation [DGE − 1656518],
424 National Institutes of Health [R24GM61374, R24GM115264, GM102365, LM05652] and the Food and Drug Administration [U01FD004979].

References

[1] National Institute on Drug Abuse, Overdose Death Rates (2019).
URL http://www.webcitation.org/78sjJSp5m

[2] Committee on Pain Management and Regulatory Strategies to Address Prescription Opioid Abuse, Pain Management and the Opioid Epidemic, The National Academies Press, 2017. doi:10.17226/24781.
URL https://www.nap.edu/catalog/24781

[3] A. Sarker, R. Ginn, A. Nikfarjam, K. O'Connor, K. Smith, S. Jayaraman, T. Upadhaya, G. Gonzalez, Utilizing social media data for pharmacovigilance: A review., Journal of biomedical informatics 54 (2015) 202–212. doi:10.1016/j.jbi.2015.02.004.

[4] S. Gottlieb, FDA statement (2018).
URL http://www.webcitation.org/78skbUCXr

[5] Pew Research Center, Social Media Fact Sheet (2018).
URL http://www.webcitation.org/78sknTtmj

[6] C. L. Hanson, B. Cannon, S. Burton, C. Giraud-Carrier, An exploration of social circles and prescription drug abuse through twitter, J Med Internet Res 15 (9) (2013) e189. doi:10.2196/jmir.2741.
URL http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24014109

[7] C. C. Freifeld, J. S. Brownstein, C. M. Menone, W. Bao, R. Filice, T. Kass-Hout, N. Dasgupta, Digital drug safety surveillance: monitoring pharmaceutical products in twitter., Drug safety 37 (5) (2014) 343–350. doi:10.1007/s40264-014-0155-x.

[8] A. Nikfarjam, A. Sarker, K. O'Connor, R. Ginn, G. Gonzalez, Pharmacovigilance from social media: mining adverse drug reaction mentions using sequence labeling with word embedding cluster features, Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association : JAMIA 22 (3) (2015) 671–681. doi:10.1093/jamia/ocu041.
URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25755127https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4457113/

[9] P. Carbonell, M. A. Mayer, A. Bravo, Exploring brand-name drug mentions on Twitter for pharmacovigilance., Studies in health technology and informatics 210 (2015) 55–59.

[10] J. Kalyanam, T. Katsuki, G. R. Lanckriet, T. K. Mackey, Exploring trends of non-medical use of prescription drugs and polydrug abuse in the twittersphere using unsupervised machine learning, Addictive Behaviors 65 (2017) 289 – 295. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2016.08.019.
URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0306460316302994

[11] A. Park, M. Conway, Tracking Health Related Discussions on Reddit for Public Health Applications, AMIA ... Annual Symposium proceedings. AMIA Symposium 2017 (2018) 1362–1371.

URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29854205https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5977623/

[12] A. Nikfarjam, G. H. Gonzalez, Pattern mining for extraction of mentions of Adverse Drug Reactions from user comments, AMIA ... Annual Symposium proceedings. AMIA Symposium 2011 (2011) 1019–1026.
URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22195162https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3243273/

[13] S. S. Simpson, N. Adams, C. M. Brugman, T. J. Conners, Detecting Novel and Emerging Drug Terms Using Natural Language Processing: A Social Media Corpus Study, JMIR Public Health Surveill 4 (1) (2018) e2. doi:10.2196/publichealth.7726.
URL http://publichealth.jmir.org/2018/1/e2/https://doi.org/10.2196/publichealth.7726http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29311050

[14] N. Adams, E. E. Artigiani, E. D. Wish, Choosing Your Platform for Social Media Drug Research and Improving Your Keyword Filter List, Journal of Drug Issues (2019) 0022042619833911doi:10.1177/0022042619833911.
URL https://doi.org/10.1177/0022042619833911

[15] K. Jiang, T. Chen, L. Huang, R. A. Calix, G. R. Bernard, A Data-Driven Method of Discovering Misspellings of Medication Names on Twitter, Studies in health technology and informatics 247 (2018) 136–140.
URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29677938https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/PMC6009827/

[16] T. Baldwin, P. Cook, M. Lui, A. MacKinlay, L. Wang, How Noisy Social Media Text, How Diffrnt Social Media Sources?, in: Proceedings of the Sixth International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing, Asian Federation of Natural Language Processing, Nagoya, Japan, 2013, pp. 356–364.
URL https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/I13-1041

[17] A. Sarker, G. Gonzalez-Hernandez, An unsupervised and customizable misspelling generator for mining noisy health-related text sources, Journal of Biomedical Informatics 88 (2018) 98–107. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbi.2018.11.007.
URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1532046418302168

[18] P. Pimpalkhute, A. Patki, A. Nikfarjam, G. Gonzalez, Phonetic spelling filter for keyword selection in drug mention mining from social media, AMIA Summits on Translational Science Proceedings 2014 (2014) 90.

[19] T. Mikolov, K. Chen, G. Corrado, J. Dean, Efficient estimation of word representations in vector space, arXiv preprint arXiv:1301.3781.

[20] J. R. Firth, A synopsis of linguistic theory 1930-55., Studies in Linguistic Analysis (special volume of the Philological Society) 1952-59 (1957) 1–32.

[21] Alexa, reddit.com Traffic Statistics (2019).
URL http://www.webcitation.org/78slF14Po

[22] Reddit Inc., Press.
URL http://www.webcitation.org/78slKkggX

[23] A. Rosen, Tweeting Made Easier.
URL http://www.webcitation.org/78slQ2VNd

[24] A. Magge, A. Sarker, A. Nikfarjam, G. Gonzalez-Hernandez, Comment on: "Deep learning for pharmacovigilance: recurrent neural network architectures for labeling adverse drug reactions in Twitter posts", Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association 26 (6) (2019) 577–579. doi:10.1093/jamia/ocz013.
URL https://doi.org/10.1093/jamia/ocz013

[25] J. Baumgarten, Reddit data dump.
URL https://files.pushshift.io/reddit/

[26] D. S. Wishart, Y. D. Feunang, A. C. Guo, E. J. Lo, A. Marcu, J. R. Grant, T. Sajed, D. Johnson, C. Li, Z. Sayeeda, N. Assempour, I. Iynkkaran, Y. Liu, A. Maciejewski, N. Gale, A. Wilson, L. Chin, R. Cummings, D. Le, A. Pon, C. Knox, M. Wilson, DrugBank 5.0: a major update to the DrugBank database for 2018., Nucleic acids research 46 (D1) (2018) D1074–D1082. doi:10.1093/nar/gkx1037.

[27] M. Kuhn, M. Campillos, I. Letunic, L. J. Jensen, P. Bork, A side effect resource to capture phenotypic effects of drugs., Molecular systems biology 6 (2010) 343. doi:10.1038/msb.2009.98.

[28] M. Kuhn, I. Letunic, L. J. Jensen, P. Bork, The SIDER database of drugs and side effects., Nucleic acids research 44 (D1) (2016) D1075–9. doi:10.1093/nar/gkv1075.

[29] J. Shang, J. Liu, M. Jiang, X. Ren, C. R. Voss, J. Han, Automated Phrase Mining from Massive Text Corpora, IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering 30 (10) (2018) 1825–1837. doi:10.1109/TKDE.2018.2812203.

[30] J. Liu, J. Shang, C. Wang, X. Ren, J. Han, Mining Quality Phrases from Massive Text Corpora, in: Proceedings of the 2015 ACM SIGMOD International Conference on Management of Data, SIGMOD '15, ACM, New York, NY, USA, 2015, pp. 1729–1744. doi:10.1145/2723372.2751523.
URL http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2723372.2751523

[31] Q. T. Zeng, T. Tse, G. Divita, A. Keselman, J. Crowell, A. C. Browne, S. Goryachev, L. Ngo, Term identification methods for consumer health vocabulary development., Journal of medical Internet research 9 (1) (2007) e4. doi:10.2196/jmir.9.1.e4.

[32] R. Řehůřek, P. Sojka, Software Framework for Topic Modelling with Large Corpora, in: Proceedings of the LREC 2010 Workshop on New Challenges for NLP Frameworks, ELRA, Valletta, Malta, 2010, pp. 45–50, http://is.muni.cz/publication/884893/en.

[33] H. Caselles-Dupré, F. Lesaint, J. Royo-Letelier, Word2Vec Applied to Recommendation: Hyperparameters Matter, in: Proceedings of the 12th ACM Conference on Recommender Systems, RecSys '18, ACM, New York, NY, USA, 2018, pp. 352–356. `doi:10.1145/3240323.3240377`.
URL `http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/3240323.3240377`

[34] S. Pakhomov, B. McInnes, T. Adam, Y. Liu, T. Pedersen, G. B. Melton, Semantic Similarity and Relatedness between Clinical Terms: An Experimental Study, AMIA ... Annual Symposium proceedings. AMIA Symposium 2010 (2010) 572–576.
URL `https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21347043https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3041430/`

[35] T. Pedersen, S. V. S. Pakhomov, S. Patwardhan, C. G. Chute, Measures of semantic similarity and relatedness in the biomedical domain., Journal of biomedical informatics 40 (3) (2007) 288–299. `doi:10.1016/j.jbi.2006.06.004`.

[36] W. E. Winkler, String Comparator Metrics and Enhanced Decision Rules in the Fellegi-Sunter Model of Record Linkage.

[37] L. Philips, Hanging on the metaphone, Computer Language 7.

[38] J. L. Fleiss, Measuring nominal scale agreement among many raters., Psychological Bulletin 76 (5) (1971) 378–382. `doi:10.1037/h0031619`.

[39] A. J. Conger, Integration and generalization of kappas for multiple raters., Psychological Bulletin 88 (2) (1980) 322–328. `doi:10.1037/0033-2909.88.2.322`.

[40] J. L. Fleiss, B. Levin, M. C. Paik, Statistical methods for rates and proportions, John Wiley & Sons, 2013.

[41] Q. Chen, Y. Peng, Z. Lu, BioSentVec: creating sentence embeddings for biomedical texts, arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.09302.

[42] S. Moen, T. S. S. Ananiadou, Distributional semantics resources for biomedical text processing, Proceedings of LBM (2013) 39–44.

[43] J. Pennington, R. Socher, C. Manning, Glove: Global vectors for word representation, in: Proceedings of the 2014 conference on empirical methods in natural language processing (EMNLP), 2014, pp. 1532–1543.

[44] Google, word2vec (2013).
URL `https://code.google.com/archive/p/word2vec/`

[45] L. van der Maaten, G. Hinton, Visualizing data using t-SNE, Journal of machine learning research 9 (Nov) (2008) 2579–2605.

[46] S. V. S. Pakhomov, G. Finley, R. McEwan, Y. Wang, G. B. Melton, Corpus domain effects on distributional semantic modeling of medical terms., Bioinformatics (Oxford, England) 32 (23) (2016) 3635–3644. `doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btw529`.

574 [47] Y. Wang, S. Liu, N. Afzal, M. Rastegar-Mojarad, L. Wang, F. Shen, P. Kingsbury, H. Liu, A comparison of word embeddings for the biomedical natural language process-
576 ing., Journal of biomedical informatics 87 (2018) 12–20. `doi:10.1016/j.jbi.2018.09.008`.

578 [48] U.S. Food and Drug Administration, FDA and Kratom (2019). URL `http://www.webcitation.org/78su4HgDl`

Filter for Comments from Health Enriched Subreddits

Phrase Discovery

CBOW Modeling

Seed Terms

Candidate Term Discovery

oxycotin

θ

oxycontin

Automated Filtering

Lexical Similarity	+	Database Lookups	+	Internet Searches

	Automated			
Human	known	misspelling	synonym	other
known	0.86 (48)	0.00 (0)	0.09 (5)	0.05 (3)
misspelling	0.03 (3)	0.92 (84)	0.02 (2)	0.02 (2)
synonym	0.20 (9)	0.13 (6)	0.37 (17)	0.30 (14)
other	0.01 (6)	0.03 (24)	0.05 (40)	0.90 (658)