**Genomic and phenotypic comparison of environmental and patient-derived isolates of**

***Pseudomonas aeruginosa* suggest that antimicrobial resistance is rare within the**

**environment**

Kay A Ramsay[1*], Samuel J T Wardell[1], Wayne M Patrick[2], Ben Brockway[3], David W Reid[4,5],

Craig Winstanley[6], Scott C Bell[4,5,7], Iain L Lamont[1*]

[1]Department of Biochemistry, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand

[2]School of Biological Sciences, Victoria University of Wellington, Wellington, New Zealand

[3]Department of Medicine, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand.

[6]Institute of Infection and Global Health, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom

[4]Lung Bacteria Group, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, Australia

[5]Department of Thoracic Medicine, The Prince Charles Hospital, Brisbane, Australia

[7]Faculty of Medicine, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia

**Short Running Title:** Antibiotic susceptibility of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

**Key Words:** *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, environmental, antibiotic resistance, genetic variant,

cystic fibrosis

**\*Corresponding Authors**

Kay A Ramsay

Phone: +64 479 7847

Email: kay.ramsay@otago.ac.nz

Iain L Lamont

Phone: +64 3 479 7864

Email: iain.lamont@otago.ac.nz

**Orcid Id**

Kay A Ramsay: 0000-0002-6682-9351

Samuel J T Wardell: NA

Wayne M Patrick: 0000-0002-2718-8053

Ben Brockway: NA

David W Reid: NA

Craig Winstanley: 0000-0002-2662-8053

Scott C Bell: 0000-0001-8651-7139

Iain L Lamont: 0000-0002-7601-6354

**Author Contributions**

| Contribution | Author |
|---|---|
| Conceptualisation | ILL, WMP, CW, SCB |
| Methodology | ILL, KAR |
| Software | SJTW |
| Validation | KAR |
| Formal Analysis | KAR, SJTW |
| Investigation | KAR, SJTW |
| Resources | ILL |
| Data Curation | KAR |
| Writing – Original draft preparation | KAR |
| Writing – Review and editing | KAR, SJTW, WMP, BB, DWR, CW, SCB, ILL |
| Supervision | ILL |
| Funding | ILL, WMP, CW, SCB |

**Abstract**

Patient-derived isolates of the opportunistic pathogen *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* are frequently

resistant to antibiotics due to the presence of sequence variants in resistance-associated genes.

However, the frequency of antibiotic resistance and of resistance-associated sequence variants

in environmental isolates of *P. aeruginosa* has not been well studied. Antimicrobial

susceptibility testing (ciprofloxacin, ceftazidime, meropenem, tobramycin) of environmental

(n=50) and cystic fibrosis (n=42) *P. aeruginosa* isolates was carried out. Following whole

genome sequencing of all isolates, twenty-five resistance-associated genes were analysed for

the presence of likely function-altering sequence variants. Environmental isolates were

susceptible to all antibiotics with one exception, whereas patient-derived isolates had

significant frequencies of resistance to each antibiotic and a greater number of likely resistance-

associated genetic variants. These findings indicate that the natural environment does not act

as a reservoir of antibiotic-resistant *P. aeruginosa,* supporting a model in which antibiotic

susceptible environmental bacteria infect patients and develop resistance during infection.

**Introduction**

As an environmental bacterium, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* has been isolated from many

different niches including water sources and domestic and health-care settings (1-4). While

rarely causing infections in healthy individuals, this opportunistic pathogen can cause a range

of infections in people who are immunocompromised or have predisposing conditions such as

cystic fibrosis (CF) (1). For adults with CF, *P. aeruginosa* is the most prevalent bacterium

causing respiratory infection and *P. aeruginosa* from these infections are frequently resistant

to antibiotics, complicating treatment (5). Resistance is associated with variants in key genes

that reduce the intracellular concentrations of antibiotics or the affinities of target proteins for

antibiotics, relative to antibiotic-susceptible isolates (6). Infection arises from exposure to

environmental sources of *P. aeruginosa* included both the natural and health-care environment (1). Epidemiological studies of isolates obtained from high infection risk areas, such as health-care settings, domestic and community areas show that antibiotic resistant *P. aeruginosa* can be present providing a potential reservoir of infectious resistant bacteria (7-18). Acquisition of *P. aeruginosa* from the natural environment, typically during childhood, is also a major source of infection with a number of studies identifying genotypically indistinguishable strains in the natural environment and the respiratory tract of CF patients (3, 19-22). However antibiotic susceptibility of isolates from natural (non-man-made) environmental sources has had very limited studies and so it is not clear whether the natural environment provides a reservoir of antibiotic-resistant *P. aeruginosa* (7, 23, 24). Using a cohort of clinical isolates as a comparator, here we determined the prevalence of antibiotic resistance in *P. aeruginosa* from the natural environment (river), the domestic and community settings (swimming pool and water tank) by assessing antimicrobial susceptibility profiles and allelic variations in resistance genes of *P. aeruginosa*.

**Materials and Methods**

**Isolate Selection and identification**

Fifty environmental *P. aeruginosa* isolates obtained from water sources in Queensland, Australia and 42 clinical *P. aeruginosa* isolates obtained from CF patients residing in Australia and New Zealand were examined (Supplementary Table 1). Environmental isolates included those obtained from rivers (n=36), swimming pools (n=13) and one sample from a domestic water tank. Individual multi-locus sequence types (MLST) were present in singleton isolates, expect for sequence types (ST) -155, -179, -266 and -381 which were represented once each in both the CF and environmental isolate cohorts. Isolate identification and MLST typing were confirmed using molecular techniques (https://pubmlst.org/ paeruginosa/) (25-27).

## Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing

The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of ciprofloxacin, meropenem, tobramycin and ceftazidime was determined for all the 92 isolates using ETEST® strips and methodology. The breakpoint was determined according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines (https://clsi.org/).

## Whole genome sequencing and bioinformatic analysis

DNA was extracted from each strain using the MoBio UltraClean® Microbial DNA isolation kit in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. Isolates were sequenced to ~50-fold (50x) coverage using illumina MiSeq, 2x150, or 2x300 basepair (bp) paired-end reads. Raw sequence reads were trimmed using Trimmomatic version 0.36 (28). Draft genomes were assembled using SPAdes version 3.12.0 as described previously (29).

## Resistance Gene Analysis

Genome assemblies were used for the comparison of 25 well characterised genes associated with antibiotic resistance, with reference sequences sourced from https://www.pseudomonas.com (Supplementary Table 2). Protein Variation Effect Analyzer (PROVEAN) version 1.1 was utilised to identify and analyse genetic variants within the chosen genes (30). Genetic variants (amino acid substitutions) were categorised in accordance to PROVEAN scores, as predicted function-altering variants (PROVEAN score: $\leq$ -2.5) or variants not predicted to affect function (PROVEAN score: > -2.5). Additionally, each genome was manually screened using tblastn for premature stop codons and deletions which were also classified as function-altering variants (31).

ResFinder version 3.1 was used with a prebuilt ResFinder database, including all relevant antibiotics, to determine whether resistance could be affected by acquired genes (https://cge.cbs.dtu.dk/services/ResFinder/).

## Statistical analysis

SPSS version 25 was used for statistical analysis. Pearson's chi-square test was used to

examine the association between niche and phenotype (susceptible or resistant) and niche and

genotype. When more than 20% of the expected values were less than five, Fisher's exact test

were used. A $P$-value of <0.05 was considered significant.

**Results and Discussion**

A total of 50 isolates of *P. aeruginosa* from the natural environment and 42 isolates from

patients with CF were included in this study. The isolates are genetically diverse and broadly

representative of the *P. aeruginosa* species (Supplementary Figure S1).

**Environmental isolates are antibiotic susceptible**

All 50 environmental isolates were susceptible to ciprofloxacin, meropenem and tobramycin

and only one of these isolates was resistant to ceftazidime (Figure 1, Supplementary Table 3).

Conversely, a non-susceptible (intermediate or resistant) phenotype was observed for 15 of the

42 CF isolates. Specifically, 10%, 17% 19% and 21% of the CF isolates were non-susceptible

to tobramycin, meropenem, ceftazidime and ciprofloxacin, respectively (Figure 1,

Supplementary Table 4). Overall, the frequency of resistant isolates was significantly less in

the environmental cohort than the CF cohort (ceftazidime $P$=0.010, ciprofloxacin $P$=0.001,

meropenem $P$=0.003, tobramycin $P$=0.040). Due to limited availability of clinical information

we were unable to characterise CF infections as either early/transient or chronic to assess

whether resistance is more prevalent in chronically infected patients. However, when we

categorised the CF isolates according to patient age (adult ≥18 years; adult n=29, paediatric

n=13), isolates from two paediatric patients (15.4%) demonstrated antibiotic resistance

compared with isolates from 13 adults (44.8%) ($P$=0.066) (Figure 1). Overall our findings are

in agreement with a model of bacterial adaptation during infection leading to increased

resistance over time (32). Our results demonstrate that environmental isolates are susceptible

to clinically relevant antibiotics and that the environments we tested are not a reservoir for

antibiotic resistant isolates.

**Environmental isolates have fewer predicted function-altering variants in resistance-**

**associated genes**

We analysed 25 well characterised resistance-associated genes for the presence of likely

function-altering variants that could influence antibiotic susceptibility (Supplementary Table

2). The environmental isolates had strikingly fewer predicted function-altering variants than

the CF isolates. A total of 75 predicted function-altering variants were identified within 18 of

25 resistance genes analysed for the 50 environmental isolates. No predicted function-altering

variants were identified in the remaining seven genes (Supplementary Table 3). In this cohort,

the predicted function-altering variants are generally not sufficient to confer antibiotic

resistance as all except one isolate was fully susceptible to the antibiotics tested. In contrast,

110 predicted function-altering variants affecting all 25 resistance-associated genes were

identified in the 42 CF isolates. Function-altering variants not analysed by PROVEAN,

including premature stop-codons and deletions, were absent in the environmental cohort but

were present in nine CF isolates (premature stop-codons n=8, deletions n=2) (Supplementary

Table 4). Overall, variants in the environmental cohort had a significantly higher PROVEAN

score than those in the CF cohort ($P<0.001$), indicating the presence of fewer amino acid

substitutions that may affect function of resistance-associated proteins (Supplementary Figure

2).

The frequency of function-altering variants within each gene was determined for both cohorts

of isolates (Table 1). Statistical differences were noted for 6 genes in the CF cohort with a

greater number of function-altering variants being present in *amgS* and *fusA*1 (tobramycin

resistance), *gyrA* and *gyrB* (ciprofloxacin resistance) and *mexZ* (broad-spectrum resistance)

genes for isolates in the CF cohort, and in *ampE* (β-lactam resistance) for the natural

environmental isolates (Table 1). Specific variants present in these genes, such as T83I (*gyrA*),

and R504C (*ftsI*) previously associated with resistance were only present in the CF isolate

cohort only (33, 34). However, a strong association between individual gene variants and

resistance phenotype was not observed (Supplementary Table 4) due to the low numbers of

isolates with variants for each gene combined with the multifactorial nature of antibiotic

resistance in *P. aeruginosa*.

ResFinder analysis assessing the presence of horizontally acquired resistance genes identified

a gene *crpP* associated with fluoroquinolone resistance (35). However there was no correlation

between ciprofloxacin resistance and the presence of *crpP* for the isolates in this study

(Supplementary Table 3 and 4). No other horizontally-transferred resistance genes were

identified.

In conclusion, our findings show that isolates from *P. aeruginosa* from natural environments

have low frequencies of antibiotic resistance, and of genetic variants associated with resistance,

compared to isolates from patients with CF. These findings indicate that the natural

environment is unlikely to act as a reservoir of antibiotic-resistant *P. aeruginosa*. They are

consistent with a model in which patients are infected by antibiotic-sensitive *P. aeruginosa*

from the environment which then evolves to become antibiotic-resistant during infection (32).

**Acknowledgements**

We would like to acknowledge and thank the individuals with cystic fibrosis for providing

samples. We thank the members of the CF healthcare teams at The Prince Charles Hospital,

Lady Cilento Children's Hospital, Royal Hobart Hospital, Royal Children's Hospital,

Melbourne and Westmead Children's Hospital, Australia and Dunedin Public Hospital, New

Zealand and the staff of the various microbiology departments for their ongoing support of

research. We thank Dr Timothy Kidd, Professor Claire Wainwright and Professor Keith

Grimwood for access to the Australian isolate collection. We thank Professor Roger Lévesque

and colleagues at the Institute of Integrative Biology and Systems at Université Laval, Québec

for carrying out whole genome sequencing of isolates analysed in this study.

**Funding**

This work was supported by The Health Research Council of New Zealand (grant 17/372)

(K.A.R, W.M.P and I.L.L) a University of Otago Doctoral Scholarship (S.J.T-W), Australian

National Health and Medical Research Council Project (grant 455919), The Prince Charles

Hospital Foundation and Queensland Health HRF (S.C.B). WMP gratefully acknowledges

receipt of funding from the Wellington Medical Research Foundation and the Maurice and

Phyllis Paykel Trust.

**Transparency declarations**

K.A.R, S.J.T-W, W.M.P, B.B, D.W.R and I.L.L have nothing to declare. S.C.B is a Member

of Advisory Board, Member of Writing group, Site Principle Investigator, author on several

Rempex sponsored studies, a member of advisory boards for Vertex, Abbvie and Galapagos

and has received support to attend meetings including advisory boards and investigator

meetings. CW was a member of a Chiesi Limited CF Microbiology Advisory Board (12

September 2018).

Table 1: Comparison of predicted function altering variants identified in the CF and

environmental isolates (n = 92).

| Gene | Predicted function altering variants[$] | | P-value† |
| | Environment (n=50) | CF (n=42) | |
|---|---|---|---|
| amgR | 0 | 1 | 0.457* |
| amgS | 0 | 5 | 0.017* |
| ampC | 15 | 17 | 0.293 |
| ampD | 9 | 5 | 0.418 |
| ampDh2 | 1 | 3 | 0.328* |
| ampDh3 | 6 | 6 | 0.746 |
| ampE | 14 | 4 | 0.026 |
| ampG | 0 | 1 | 0.457* |
| ampR | 7 | 3 | 0.336* |
| aph3'IIb | 19 | 19 | 0.204 |
| ftsI | 1 | 5 | 0.089* |
| fusA1 | 0 | 16 | <0.001 |
| galU | 0 | 1 | 0.457* |
| gyrA | 1 | 10 | 0.001 |
| gyrB | 0 | 6 | 0.007* |
| mexR | 1 | 1 | 1.00* |
| mexZ | 1 | 14 | <0.001 |
| mpl | 1 | 4 | 0.174* |
| nalC | 1 | 3 | 0.328* |
| nalD | 0 | 3 | 0.091* |
| nfxB | 3 | 5 | 0.462* |
| nuoG | 3 | 2 | 1.00* |
| oprD | 41 | 36 | 0.631 |
| parC | 1 | 1 | 1.00* |
| parE | 2 | 3 | 0.657* |

210 [$]PROVEAN predicted function-altering variants, premature stop codons and deletions.

211 †Chi-square value; [*]Fisher's Exact Test used in place of chi-square test

212 P-value <0.05 is considered significant

Figure 1: Distribution of antimicrobial susceptibility results of environmental (ENV; n=50) and cystic fibrosis (CF; n=42) isolates for ciprofloxacin, ceftazidime, meropenem and tobramycin. The horizontal red lines represent the antibiotic breakpoint as defined by the CLSI. The CF isolates have been further differentiated into samples collected from adult (black circles) and paediatric (orange circles) patients. The MIC results have been log transformed ($\log_{10}$) for ease of graphical representation.

**References:**

1.　　Gellatly SL, Hancock RE. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: new insights into pathogenesis

and host defenses. Pathog Dis. 2013;67(3):159-173. doi: 10.1111/2049-632x.12033

2.　　Decraene V, Ghebrehewet S, Dardamissis E, Huyton R, Mortimer K, Wilkinson D,

Shokrollahi K, Singleton S, Patel B, Turton J, Hoffman P, Puleston R. An outbreak of

multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in a burns service in the North of England:

challenges of infection prevention and control in a complex setting. J Hosp Infect. 2018. doi:

10.1016/j.jhin.2018.07.012

3.　　Kidd TJ, Ritchie SR, Ramsay KA, Grimwood K, Bell SC, Rainey PB. *Pseudomonas*

*aeruginosa* exhibits frequent recombination, but only a limited association between genotype

and ecological setting. PLoS One. 2012;7(9):e44199. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0044199

4.　　Purdy-Gibson ME, France M, Hundley TC, Eid N, Remold SK. *Pseudomonas*

*aeruginosa* in CF and non-CF homes is found predominantly in drains. J Cyst Fibros.

2015;14(3):341-346. doi: 10.1016/j.jcf.2014.10.008

5.　　Elborn JS. Cystic fibrosis. Lancet. 2016;388(10059):2519-2531. doi: 10.1016/s0140-

6736(16)00576-6

6.　　Lister PD, Wolter DJ, Hanson ND. Antibacterial-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*:

clinical impact and complex regulation of chromosomally encoded resistance mechanisms.

Clin Microbiol Rev. 2009;22(4):582-610. doi: 10.1128/cmr.00040-09

7.　　Bhuiya M, Sarkar MKI, Sohag MH, Ali H, Roy CK, Akther L, Sarker AF. Enumerating

antibiotic susceptibility patterns of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated from different sources in

Dhaka City. Open Microbiol J. 2018;12:172-180. doi: 10.2174/1874285801812010172

8.　　Devarajan N, Kohler T, Sivalingam P, van Delden C, Mulaji CK, Mpiana PT, Ibelings

BW, Pote J. Antibiotic resistant Pseudomonas spp. in the aquatic environment: A prevalence

240   study under tropical and temperate climate conditions. Water Res. 2017;115:256-265. doi:

241   10.1016/j.watres.2017.02.058

242   9.   Ebrahimpour M, Nikokar I, Ghasemi Y, Sedigh Ebrahim-Saraie H, Araghian A,

243   Farahbakhsh M, Ghassabi F. Antibiotic resistance and frequency of class 1 integrons among

244   *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates obtained from wastewaters of a burn center in Northern Iran.

245   Ann Ig. 2018;30(2):112-119. doi: 10.7416/ai.2018.2202

246   10.   Kummerer K. Antibiotics in the aquatic environment--a review--part II. Chemosphere.

247   2009;75(4):435-441. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2008.12.006

248   11.   Odumosu BT, Ajetunmobi O, Dada-Adegbola H, Odutayo I. Antibiotic susceptibility

249   pattern and analysis of plasmid profiles of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* from human, animal and

250   plant sources. Springerplus. 2016;5(1):1381. doi: 10.1186/s40064-016-3073-9

251   12.   Olga P, Apostolos V, Alexis G, George V, Athena M. Antibiotic resistance profiles of

252   *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated from various Greek aquatic environments. FEMS Microbiol

253   Ecol. 2016;92(5):fiw042. doi: 10.1093/femsec/fiw042

254   13.   Ruiz L, Dominguez MA, Ruiz N, Vinas M. Relationship between clinical and

255   environmental isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in a hospital setting. Arch Med Res.

256   2004;35(3):251-257. doi: 10.1016/j.arcmed.2004.02.005

257   14.   Spindler A, Otton LM, Fuentefria DB, Corcao G. Beta-lactams resistance and presence

258   of class 1 integron in Pseudomonas spp. isolated from untreated hospital effluents in Brazil.

259   Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek. 2012;102(1):73-81. doi: 10.1007/s10482-012-9714-2

260   15.   Tumeo E, Gbaguidi-Haore H, Patry I, Bertrand X, Thouverez M, Talon D. Are

261   antibiotic-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated from hospitalised patients recovered in

262   the hospital effluents? Int J Hyg Environ Health. 2008;211(1-2):200-204. doi:

263   10.1016/j.ijheh.2007.02.010

16.     Walsh TR, Weeks J, Livermore DM, Toleman MA. Dissemination of NDM-1 positive

bacteria in the New Delhi environment and its implications for human health: an environmental

point prevalence study. Lancet Infect Dis. 2011;11(5):355-362. doi: 10.1016/s1473-

3099(11)70059-7

17.     Schiavano GF, Carloni E, Andreoni F, Magi S, Chironna M, Brandi G, Amagliani G.

Prevalence and antibiotic resistance of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in water samples in central

Italy and molecular characterization of *oprD* in imipenem resistant isolates. PLoS One.

2017;12(12):e0189172. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0189172

18.     Gad GF, El-Domany RA, Zaki S, Ashour HM. Characterization of *Pseudomonas*

*aeruginosa* isolated from clinical and environmental samples in Minia, Egypt: prevalence,

antibiogram and resistance mechanisms. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2007;60(5):1010-1017. doi:

10.1093/jac/dkm348

19.     Kidd TJ, Ramsay KA, Vidmar S, Carlin JB, Bell SC, Wainwright CE, Grimwood K.

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* genotypes acquired by children with cystic fibrosis by age 5-years.

J Cyst Fibros. 2015;14(3):361-369. doi: 10.1016/j.jcf.2014.12.007

20.     Ranganathan SC, Skoric B, Ramsay KA, Carzino R, Gibson AM, Hart E, Harrison J,

Bell SC, Kidd TJ. Geographical differences in first acquisition of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in

cystic fibrosis. Ann Am Thorac Soc. 2013;10(2):108-114. doi: 10.1513/AnnalsATS.201209-

077OC

21.     Rosenfeld M, Emerson J, McNamara S, Thompson V, Ramsey BW, Morgan W, Gibson

RL. Risk factors for age at initial *Pseudomonas acquisition* in the cystic fibrosis epic

observational cohort. J Cyst Fibros. 2012;11(5):446-453. doi: 10.1016/j.jcf.2012.04.003

22.     Romling U, Wingender J, Muller H, Tummler B. A major *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

clone common to patients and aquatic habitats. Appl Environ Microbiol. 1994;60(6):1734-

1738. doi:

23.    Kittinger C, Lipp M, Baumert R, Folli B, Koraimann G, Toplitsch D, Liebmann A,

Grisold AJ, Farnleitner AH, Kirschner A, Zarfel G. Antibiotic Resistance Patterns of

Pseudomonas spp. Isolated from the River Danube. Front Microbiol. 2016;7:586. doi:

10.3389/fmicb.2016.00586

24.    Liew SM, Rajasekaram G, Puthucheary SA, Chua KH. Antimicrobial susceptibility and

virulence genes of clinical and environmental isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. PeerJ.

2019;7:e6217. doi: 10.7717/peerj.6217

25.    Anuj SN, Whiley DM, Kidd TJ, Bell SC, Wainwright CE, Nissen MD, Sloots TP.

Identification of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* by a duplex real-time polymerase chain reaction

assay targeting the *ecfX* and the *gyrB* genes. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 2009;63(2):127-131.

doi: 10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2008.09.018

26.    Jolley KA, Bray JE, Maiden MCJ. Open-access bacterial population genomics: BIGSdb

software, the PubMLST.org website and their applications. Wellcome Open Res. 2018;3:124.

doi: 10.12688/wellcomeopenres.14826.1

27.    Matsuda K, Tsuji H, Asahara T, Kado Y, Nomoto K. Sensitive quantitative detection

of commensal bacteria by rRNA-targeted reverse transcription-PCR. Appl Environ Microbiol.

2007;73(1):32-39. doi: 10.1128/aem.01224-06

28.    Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina

sequence data. Bioinformatics. 2014;30(15):2114-2120. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170

29.    Freschi L, Jeukens J, Kukavica-Ibrulj I, Boyle B, Dupont MJ, Laroche J, Larose S,

Maaroufi H, Fothergill JL, Moore M, Winsor GL, Aaron SD, Barbeau J, Bell SC, Burns JL,

Camara M, Cantin A, Charette SJ, Dewar K, Deziel E, Grimwood K, Hancock RE, Harrison

JJ, Heeb S, Jelsbak L, Jia B, Kenna DT, Kidd TJ, Klockgether J, Lam JS, Lamont IL, Lewenza

S, Loman N, Malouin F, Manos J, McArthur AG, McKeown J, Milot J, Naghra H, Nguyen D,

Pereira SK, Perron GG, Pirnay JP, Rainey PB, Rousseau S, Santos PM, Stephenson A, Taylor

V, Turton JF, Waglechner N, Williams P, Thrane SW, Wright GD, Brinkman FS, Tucker NP,

Tummler B, Winstanley C, Levesque RC. Clinical utilization of genomics data produced by

the international *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* consortium. Front Microbiol. 2015;6:1036. doi:

10.3389/fmicb.2015.01036

30.    Choi Y, Sims GE, Murphy S, Miller JR, Chan AP. Predicting the functional effect of

amino    acid    substitutions    and    indels.    PLoS    One.    2012;7(10):e46688.    doi:

10.1371/journal.pone.0046688

31.    Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, Madden TL.

BLAST+:    architecture    and    applications.    BMC    Bioinformatics.    2009;10:421.    doi:

10.1186/1471-2105-10-421

32.    Sousa AM, Pereira MO. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* diversification during infection

development    in    cystic    fibrosis    lungs-a    review.    Pathogens.    2014;3(3):680-703.    doi:

10.3390/pathogens3030680

33.    Lopez-Causape C, Cabot G, Del Barrio-Tofino E, Oliver A. The versatile mutational

resistome    of    *Pseudomonas    aeruginosa*.    Front    Microbiol.    2018;9:685.    doi:

10.3389/fmicb.2018.00685

34.    Rehman A, Patrick WM, Lamont IL. Mechanisms of ciprofloxacin resistance in

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: new approaches to an old problem. J Med Microbiol. 2019;68(1):1-

10. doi: 10.1099/jmm.0.000873

35.    Chavez-Jacobo VM, Hernandez-Ramirez KC, Romo-Rodriguez P, Perez-Gallardo RV,

Campos-Garcia J, Gutierrez-Corona JF, Garcia-Merinos JP, Meza-Carmen V, Silva-Sanchez

J, Ramirez-Diaz MI. CrpP Is a Novel Ciprofloxacin-Modifying Enzyme Encoded by the

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* pUM505 Plasmid. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2018;62(6). doi:

10.1128/aac.02629-17