Immunoglobulin heavy chains are sufficient to determine most B cell clonal relationships

Running title: Heavy chains can determine clones with confidence

Julian Q. Zhou[1], Steven H. Kleinstein[1,2] [*]

[1] Interdepartmental Program in Computational Biology and Bioinformatics, Yale University

[2] Department of Pathology and Department of Immunobiology, Yale School of Medicine

[*] To whom correspondence should be addressed (steven.kleinstein@yale.edu)

Abstract

B cell clonal expansion is vital for adaptive immunity. High-throughput B cell receptor (BCR)

sequencing enables investigating this process, but requires computational inference to identify

clonal relationships. This inference usually relies on only the BCR heavy chain, as most current

protocols do not preserve heavy:light chain pairing. The extent to which paired light chains aids

inference is unknown. Using human single-cell paired BCR datasets, we assessed the ability of

heavy chain-based clonal clustering to identify clones. Of the expanded clones identified, <20%

grouped cells expressing inconsistent light chains. Heavy chains from these misclustered clones

contained more distant junction sequences and shared fewer V segment mutations than the

accurate clones. This suggests that additional heavy chain information could be leveraged to

refine clonal relationships. Conversely, light chains were insufficient to refine heavy chain-based

clonal clusters. Overall, the BCR heavy chain alone is sufficient to identify clonal relationships

with confidence.

Introduction

B cell-mediated immunity relies on immunoglobulin (Ig) antibodies produced as a result of B cell clonal expansion. A B cell receptor (BCR) is the membrane-bound form of an antibody, and is made up of heavy and light polypeptide chains paired in a heterodimeric fashion. Each chain contains a variable (V) region, and together the V regions from the heavy and light chains form the antigen-binding sites (1). The V regions are formed via V(D)J recombination (2). In human, this shuffling process brings together one gene each from numerous IGHV, IGHD, and IGHJ genes for the heavy chain V (VH) region; and one gene each from either IGKV and IGKJ genes, or IGLV and IGLJ genes for, respectively, the κ or the λ light chain V (VL) region. Enzyme-mediated editing of the V(D)J junctions and the pairing of heavy and light chains inject additional diversity (1). During adaptive immune responses, B cells proliferate and further diversify via somatic hypermutation (SHM), forming clones consisting of cells which originated from the same V(D)J recombinant events, yet whose BCRs differ at the nucleotide level. As a result, each BCR is largely unique, with recent estimate suggesting 10^{16}-10^{18} unique paired antibodies in the circulating repertoire (3).

Adaptive Immune Repertoire Receptor sequencing (AIRR-seq) allows for high-throughput profiling of the diverse BCR repertoire via full-length V(D)J sequencing in bulk (4). An ensuing challenge is to computationally infer B cell clonal relationships (5–7). This step is of great importance as the assessment of repertoire properties such as diversity (8) and mutational load (9) depends on proper identification of clones, as does the reconstruction of B cell clonal lineage (10, 11) for tracing isotype switching (12, 13) and the development of antigen-specific (14) and/or broadly neutralizing (15) antibodies. To infer clones, differences at the sequence

nucleotide level, especially the high diversity in the CDR3 region, can serve as "fingerprints"

(16). Likelihood-based (17) and distance-based (18–21) approaches exist. For instance, cells

sharing the same IGHV and IGHJ genes, and whose heavy chain junctional sequences are

sufficiently similar based on a fixed (18–20) or adaptive (21) distance threshold, may be

clustered as clones. For validation, existing methods used simulated and experimental heavy

chain sequences (17, 20, 21), measuring the fractions of sequences inferred to be clonally

unrelated and related of being, respectively, truly unrelated and related (specificity and

sensitivity). Recently, Nouri & Kleinstein reported both metrics at over 98% (21).

The majority of current BCR repertoire studies utilizes bulk sequencing (22, 23), during which

VH:VL pairing is lost (24, 25). In the absence of VH:VL pairing, computational methods for

identifying clones have focused on heavy chain BCR data. This is justified under the assumption

that heavy chain junctional diversity alone should be sufficiently high such that, even without

light chains, the likelihood of clonally unrelated cells being clustered together will be negligibly

small (20). This reasoning has yet to be rigorously tested with experimental data. Recent

breakthroughs in single-cell BCR sequencing technology have enabled the recovery of native

VH:VL pairing (26–28) and have been applied to study vaccine responses (26, 29, 30), antibody

structure (31), and autoimmunity (32). We now have the opportunity to investigate the extent to

which inclusion of light chains impacts the ability to accurately detect B cell clonal relationships.

Using single-cell VH:VL paired BCR data, we assessed the performance of heavy chain-based

computational methods for identifying clones by measuring the extent to which the inferred

clonal members expressed consistent light chains sharing the same V and J genes and junction

length. We conclude that clonal members of the majority of the inferred clones exhibited light

chain consistency. For the majority of the accurately inferred heavy chain-based clones, light

chain information did not lead to further clonal clustering with greater granularity. At least some

of the information gained from paired light chain data was apparent when considering the pattern

of shared mutations in the heavy chain V segment, which is not considered in current distance-

based clonal clustering methods, thus offering the potential for further improvements in heavy

chain-based clonal inference.

Materials and Methods

Single cell immune profiling datasets

Four human datasets (**Supplemental Fig. 1A**) published by 10x Genomics on Aug 1, 2018 and

licensed under Creative Commons Attribution were downloaded

(https://support.10xgenomics.com/single-cell-vdj/datasets) on Nov 3, 2018. Two datasets were

sorted and produced by 10x Chromium direct Ig enrichment of, respectively, CD19+ B cells

isolated from PBMCs from a healthy donor, and GM12878 B-lymphoblastoid cell line. They

contain VH:VL paired reads for individual Ig-expressing cells. The other two datasets were

unsorted and produced by 10x Chromium V(D)J+5' gene expression profiling of, respectively,

PBMCs from a healthy donor, and squamous non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) tumor

cells from a fresh surgical resection. These contain single-cell 5' gene expression measurements,

and targeted enrichment with VH:VL pairing of Igs. All datasets were outputted by Cell Ranger

(v2.2.0), 10x Genomics' analysis pipeline. We used "filtered contigs" and "filtered gene-barcode

matrices" for Ig and gene expression respectively.

A fifth dataset (**Supplemental Fig. 1B**), as described by Croote et al., contains BCR contigs

covering full-length V(D)J segments reconstructed from single-cell RNA-seq of FACS-sorted

CD19+ lymphocytes from six food-allergic individuals (33).

Germline V(D)J gene annotation of BCR contigs

Germline V(D)J gene annotation was performed using IMGT/HighV-QUEST (34) and IgBLAST

(v1.10.0) (35). The germline reference used was IMGT/V-QUEST reference directory sets

release 201839-3 (Sept 26, 2018). The 10x Genomics datasets also contained annotations by Cell

Ranger's native algorithm. IMGT/HighV-QUEST annotations were used as final annotations

post-filtering.

Filtering of BCR contigs and cells

For all datasets, only productively-rearranged BCR contigs with valid V and J gene annotations,

consistent chain annotation (as opposed to, for example, IGHV1 and IGKJ2 for the same contig),

and junctions with nucleotide lengths being a multiple of 3 were used. A contig must meet all

abovementioned criteria based on annotations from all programs used (IMGT/HighV-QUEST,

IgBLAST, and, where applicable, Cell Ranger). Furthermore, only cells with exactly one heavy

chain contig paired with at least one light chain contig were examined. From the two unsorted

10x Genomics datasets with gene expression, we considered only cells displaying a

transcriptomic profile consistent with being a B cell. Taking into account of the high dropout rate

of single cell RNA-seq (36), B cells were defined as any cell with non-zero log-normalized

expression for any one of these genes: pan-B cell markers CD19, CD24, and CD72 (37),

plasmablast markers CD38 and MKI67 (38), and the isotype-encoding genes.

Heavy chain-based B cell clonal clustering

For each dataset, on a per-subject basis, we identified clones among the analyzed cells using

distance-based methods. We used, separately, spectral clustering, implemented via SCOPer

(v0.1.999 as of Nov 5, 2018) (21); and hierarchical clustering (20), implemented via SHazaM

(v0.1.10) and Change-O (v0.4.3) (39). Both methods first partitioned cells into groups sharing

the same combination of IGHV gene, IGHJ gene, and heavy chain junction length (heavy chain

VJL combination), where junction is defined as the IMGT-numbered codon 104 (conserved Cys)

to codon 118 (conserved Phe/Trp) (40). Within each group, based on distances among the heavy

chain junction sequences, a threshold was used to cluster cells within that group into clones. For

spectral clustering, adaptive thresholds were chosen by an unsupervised machine learning

algorithm. For hierarchically clustering, a subject-specific, fixed threshold was chosen upon

inspection of distance-to-nearest-neighbor plots (**Supplemental Fig. 1C**) (20, 41).

Calculation of the number and frequency of nucleotide mutations

The number and frequency of nucleotide mutations were calculated using the

"calcObservedMutations" function from SHazaM (v0.1.10) (39). For heavy chains, IMGT-

numbered IGHV positions 1 through 312 (40) were used. For light chains, IGK/LV positions

leading up to the junction region were used. To calculate the number of IGHV mutations, if any,

shared pairwise between cells from the same clone, we counted the number of positions at which

mutations involving the same nucleotide change were observed in both cells.

Results

We performed clonal relationship inference for five single-cell, VH:VL paired, human BCR

datasets, using only the heavy chain sequence from each cell. The datasets included four publicly

available ones from 10x Genomics and one described by Croote et al. (33) (**Materials &**

Methods). Of these, the B-lymphoblastoid GM12878 cell line dataset served as positive control,

as any clone present in a cell line culture can be expected to comprise genetically identical clonal

members. A distance-based spectral clustering method (21) was applied to identify clones for

each dataset. The datasets contained between 3 and 157 non-singleton clones (i.e., clones

containing at least two cells) (**Table 1**). Due to the small number of clones in each of the six

food-allergic individuals in the Croote et al. dataset, we aggregated those results for display after

performing analysis on a per-subject basis.

Heavy chain-based clonal clustering is accurate for over 80% of clones

To assess the extent to which heavy chain-based clonal clustering captures the underlying

biological truth of B cell clonal relationships, we examined whether cells clustered into the same

clone based on their heavy chains alone expressed consistent light chains. Specifically, within

the same clone, cells that are truly clonally related should carry light chains comprised of the

same combination of IGK/LV gene, IGK/LJ gene, and junction sequences of identical lengths

(hereafter referred to as the V-J-junction-length, or VJL, combination). An inferred clone was

considered accurate if all of its clonal members carried light chains with the same VJL

combination, and "misclustered" otherwise. Using spectral clustering with adaptive thresholds,

83% to 97% of the inferred clones were accurate (**Figure 1A**). Another distance-based

hierarchical clustering method using a fixed distance threshold (20) yielded similar results

(**Figure 1B**), and therefore we focus on presenting results from spectral clustering hereafter. To

test the possibility that the observed accuracy arose by chance, we randomly permuted the

VH:VL pairings of the cells, while maintaining their heavy chain-based clustering structures.

Across 100 permutations, only 1% to 6% (SD 1% to 8%) of the inferred clones were accurate by

chance (**Figure 1A**). Overall, these results show that heavy chain-based clonal clustering can

determine clonal relationships with reasonable confidence (>80%) in terms of light chain

consistency.

We next investigated the possibility that the observed level of confidence was deflated by factors

unrelated to the clonal clustering method itself. We considered the possibility that the

misclustered clones (**Supplemental Fig. 2A**) arose due to erroneous barcoding during

sequencing preparation, which has the potential to link together heavy and light chains from

unrelated cells. We reasoned that incorrectly paired heavy and light chains would show a

decreased correlation in their SHM frequencies relative to correctly paired chains. Thus, we

computed the Pearson correlation coefficient between IGHV and IGK/LV mutation frequencies

for cells expressing non-majority light chain VJL combinations from misclustered clones. We

found no significant difference (p=0.926, Fisher's r-to-z transformation and z-test) (42) between

the levels of correlation for misclustered clones (0.761) and for accurate clones (0.769),

suggesting that erroneous barcoding was unlikely a concern. In addition, we considered the

possibility of poor germline V/J gene annotation for the light chains leading to a false appearance

of light chain inconsistency. Since higher SHM frequencies are associated with increasing V(D)J

annotation errors, we compared the light chain mutation frequency in misclustered and accurate

clones. We found that the average IGK/LV mutation frequency across cells expressing non-

majority light chain VJL combinations in misclustered clones was not significantly higher than

that across cells in accurate clones (p=0.999; **Supplemental Fig. 2B**). Overall, these results

suggest that the observed confidence for accurately identifying clones using heavy chain-based

clonal clustering was not deflated by a false appearance of light chain inconsistency created by

erroneous barcoding or incorrect light chain germline gene annotation.

Characteristics of misclustered clones suggest room for improvement in heavy chain-based

clustering

Current distance-based, heavy chain-based clonal clustering methods utilize information

confined to VJL combination and distances between junction sequences to identify clonally-

related sequences. We investigated the characteristics of the heavy chains of cells from

misclustered clones to determine whether there was additional information in the heavy chains

that could improve the clustering. Gupta et al. noted that shorter heavy chain junction lengths

rendered lower and possibly insufficient diversity for effectively distinguishing clonal members

from non-clonal ones (20). However, we found no significant difference between the heavy

chain junction lengths of accurate clones and those of misclustered clones (p=0.486; **Figure 2A**).

Thus, it is unlikely that heavy chain junction length could serve as an effective indicator for

misclustered clones.

A central component of distance-based clonal clustering is the choice of a distance threshold that

determines how dissimilar the junction sequence can be before it is unlikely for cells to be

clonally related. To determine whether a better choice of distance threshold had the potential to

correct misclustered clones, we compared the maximum pairwise distance between heavy chain

junction sequences of cells in accurate clones, with the minimum pairwise distance between cells

carrying light chains with different VJL combinations in misclustered clones. Cells with

inconsistent light chains in misclustered clones had significantly more dissimilar heavy chain

junction sequences compared with cells in accurate clones (p=$3.3*10^{-10}$; **Figure 2B**). This

implies that some of the misclustered clones could have been corrected by using a numerically

lower (stricter) distance threshold, and that this lower threshold would not break apart the

accurate clones.

True B cell clones are expected to share mutations resulting from SHM followed by clonal

expansion and/or positive selection (19, 21). Hershberg & Prak suggested a minimum threshold

of four shared mutations for inferring clones (19). We investigated whether cells in misclustered

clones shared fewer mutations in their IGHVs compared to cells in accurate clones. To do so, we

compared the minimum number of shared mutations between cells in accurate clones, with the

maximum number of shared mutations between cells carrying light chains with different VJL

combinations in misclustered clones. We found that cells carrying inconsistent light chains in

misclustered clones shared significantly fewer mutations compared with cells in accurate clones

(p=$3.5*10^{-9}$; **Figure 2C**). Using Hershberg & Prak's threshold of four shared mutations (19), 26

of the 30 misclustered clones (87%) would not have been clustered together based on their heavy

chains (thus increasing specificity). On the other hand, within 4 of these misclustered clones, a

subset of cells with consistent light chains would also become separated (thus reducing

sensitivity). While the tradeoff between sensitivity and specificity needs further investigation,

these results suggest that the extent of shared mutations in the IGHV is a potentially useful

characteristic to consider in distance-based clonal clustering methods.

Light chain information is insufficient for refining heavy chain-based clones

Given the availability of paired light chains in the single-cell datasets that we analyzed, we

assessed the value added from that information. For misclustered clones (**Supplemental Fig.**

2A), this was trivial as any light chain inconsistency was immediately resolved by regrouping the

cells into smaller clusters based on their light chain VJL combinations. For accurate clones,

while the cells express consistent light chains, it is possible that these clusters may still contain

multiple true clones grouped together. We thus investigated the extent to which further clustering

cells based on the similarity of their light chain junctions (analogous to heavy chain-based

clustering) would further split the accurate clones. When clustering using the heavy chains, a

threshold around 0.2 normalized Hamming distance tended to separate clonally related cells from

unrelated ones (**Supplemental Fig. 1C**). However, applying this same threshold to cluster light

chains within accurate clones added virtually no information. The light chain junction regions of

cells in accurate clones were highly similar and significantly more so compared with their heavy

chain counterparts (Bonferroni-corrected p's<0.001) (**Supplemental Fig. 2C**). In all but four of

the accurate clones, the light chain junction sequence of a clonal member was at most 0.2

normalized Hamming distance away from the junction sequence of another clonal member most

similar to itself (**Supplemental Fig. 2C**). In other words, clonal members tended to carry light

chains with junction sequences that were at least 80% similar to each other.

We next investigated whether there would be further clustering based on light chain junctions at

lower distance thresholds ranging, in increasing order of stringency, from 0.15 to 0.05

normalized Hamming distance, while bearing in mind that one could always artificially yield

further clustering by imposing an increasingly stricter clustering threshold. At each clustering

threshold, we determined the percentage of heavy chain-based clones that were further clustered

on the basis of distances between their light chain junction sequences (**Table 2**). On average, 5%

of the heavy chain-based accurate clones inferred via spectral clustering were further clustered at

0.15, the most lenient threshold explored. Even at 0.05, the strictest threshold explored, only

23.2% of the accurate clones were further clustered. This threshold is approaching the mean light

chain SHM frequency, which ranges from 0.01 to 0.05 across the datasets, raising questions as to

whether such further clustering is artificial rather than biological. Overall, light chain

information does not support clonal clustering with greater granularity for the majority of heavy

chain-based accurate clones.

Discussion

In this study, we investigated the accuracy of heavy chain-based clonal inference. With single-cell VH:VL paired BCR datasets, we performed B cell clonal inference using only the heavy chains, effectively treating the datasets as if bulk-sequenced and unpaired. Over 80% of the inferred clones were accurate as defined by light chain consistency. Within the majority of these accurate clones, an additional round of clustering using the light chain sequence failed to yield finer resolution (<10% at a threshold of 0.1 normalized Hamming distance). Including a requirement that members of a clone share mutations between heavy chain sequences would have corrected 87% of the misclustered clones, though at the expense of also breaking up the accurately clustered part in 13% of these misclustered clones. Overall, while there remains additional information from the heavy chain that could be leveraged for improvement, we found heavy-chain based clustering alone capable of identifying clonal relationships with reasonable confidence.

Cells from four of the misclustered clones (13%) carried closely related heavy chains sharing a reasonable number of mutations in the V segment, yet they expressed light chains with different VJL combinations. There are several possibilities for how such clones could arise. During B cell maturation, the heavy chain rearranges first and the cell proliferates before the light chain rearranges (1). Thus, it is possible that the misclustered clonal relationships we detect represent daughter cells of the same heavy chain VDJ-rearranged B cell that developed different light chains. Alternatively, a group of identical, autoreactive, immature B cells could have undergone receptor editing, during which independent rearrangements gave rise to different light chains paired with an identical heavy chain rearrangement. In these scenarios, the heavy chain-based

clonal clustering accurately reflects the underlying biology. However, we believe that these

scenarios are unlikely as the chance that cells with identical heavy chains but different light

chains would developmentally share the same temporal and/or spatial trajectories, in addition to

being sampled together for sequencing, is expected to be very small.

When examining light chain consistency of cells from an inferred clone, if more than one light

chain sequence was associated with a cell, we checked every sequence present for match against

the clonal majority VJL combination. We did not, however, consider the possible complication

where there was partial sampling in such a cell. Hypothetically, should two clonally related B

cells with dual light chains each have a different light chain sequenced, our analysis would have

considered a heavy chain-based clone containing these cells misclustered. However, such B cells

have been reported to be rare, especially outside autoimmunity, with dual-κ and dual-λ cells

estimated to occupy about 2-10% (43, 44) and 0.2% (45) of the normal murine repertoire,

consistent with the percentages of cells with multiple light chains observed in the single-cell

datasets here (1.1%-7.4%; all cells from the Croote et al. dataset had exactly one light chain).

Our results suggest several ways that heavy chain-based clonal clustering could be improved. For

example, heavy chain junctions were more similar to each other in accurate clones than in

misclustered clones, suggesting that the clustering threshold was perhaps too lenient for the

latter. We also observed that accurate clones shared more mutations in their V segment. Some

likelihood-based methods, such as *partis*, implicitly take into consideration shared mutations via

the use of a multi-Hidden Markov Model that simultaneously emits multiple sequences (17).

While computationally slower compared to the distance-based methods explored here, future

studies should explore the potential benefit of such methods that use both junction distance and

shared mutation patterns.

Clonal relationship inference is a crucial early step fundamental to computational BCR repertoire

analyses. As the volume of unpaired BCR data continues to grow as studies take advantage of

the relatively low cost of bulk BCR sequencing, current clonal clustering methods can determine

most clonal relationships with reasonable confidence using heavy chains only, and their

performance may continue to improve by taking into account of additional characteristics such as

the number of shared mutations in the heavy chains.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Dr. Nima Nouri for critical reading of this manuscript. This work was

supported by the High Performance Computing facilities operated by, and the staff of, the Yale

Center for Research Computing.

Funding

This work was supported in part by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) under award number

R01AI104739.

References

1. Murphy, K., and C. Weaver. 2017. *Janeway's Immunobiology*, 9th Edition. Garland Science, New York, NY.

2. Tonegawa, S., C. Steinberg, S. Dube, and A. Bernardini. 1974. Evidence for somatic generation of antibody diversity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 71: 4027–4031.

3. Briney, B., A. Inderbitzin, C. Joyce, and D. R. Burton. 2019. Commonality despite exceptional diversity in the baseline human antibody repertoire. *Nature* 566: 393–397.

4. Robins, H. 2013. Immunosequencing: applications of immune repertoire deep sequencing. *Curr. Opin. Immunol.* 25: 646–652.

5. Greiff, V., E. Miho, U. Menzel, and S. T. Reddy. 2015. Bioinformatic and Statistical Analysis of Adaptive Immune Repertoires. *Trends Immunol.* 36: 738–749.

6. Yaari, G., and S. H. Kleinstein. 2015. Practical guidelines for B-cell receptor repertoire sequencing analysis. *Genome Med.* 7: 121.

7. Chaudhary, N., and D. R. Wesemann. 2018. Analyzing Immunoglobulin Repertoires. *Front. Immunol.* 9.

8. Gibson, K. L., Y.-C. Wu, Y. Barnett, O. Duggan, R. Vaughan, E. Kondeatis, B.-O. Nilsson, A. Wikby, D. Kipling, and D. K. Dunn-Walters. 2009. B-cell diversity decreases in old age and is correlated with poor health status. *Aging Cell* 8: 18–25.

9. Wang, C., Y. Liu, L. T. Xu, K. J. L. Jackson, K. M. Roskin, T. D. Pham, J. Laserson, E. L. Marshall, K. Seo, J.-Y. Lee, D. Furman, D. Koller, C. L. Dekker, M. M. Davis, A. Z. Fire, and S. D. Boyd. 2014. Effects of Aging, Cytomegalovirus Infection, and EBV Infection on Human B Cell Repertoires. *J. Immunol.* 192: 603–611.

10. Hoehn, K. B., G. Lunter, and O. G. Pybus. 2017. A Phylogenetic Codon Substitution Model

for Antibody Lineages. *Genetics* 206: 417–427.

11. Davidsen, K., and F. A. I. Matsen. 2018. Benchmarking Tree and Ancestral Sequence

Inference for B Cell Receptor Sequences. *Front. Immunol.* 9.

12. Looney, T. J., J.-Y. Lee, K. M. Roskin, R. A. Hoh, J. King, J. Glanville, Y. Liu, T. D. Pham,

C. L. Dekker, M. M. Davis, and S. D. Boyd. 2016. Human B-cell isotype switching origins of

IgE. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* 137: 579-586.e7.

13. Horns, F., C. Vollmers, D. Croote, S. F. Mackey, G. E. Swan, C. L. Dekker, M. M. Davis,

and S. R. Quake. 2016. Lineage tracing of human B cells reveals the in vivo landscape of human

antibody class switching. *eLife* 5: e16578.

14. Trück, J., M. N. Ramasamy, J. D. Galson, R. Rance, J. Parkhill, G. Lunter, A. J. Pollard, and

D. F. Kelly. 2015. Identification of Antigen-Specific B Cell Receptor Sequences Using Public

Repertoire Analysis. *J. Immunol.* 194: 252–261.

15. Zhu, J., X. Wu, B. Zhang, K. McKee, S. O'Dell, C. Soto, T. Zhou, J. P. Casazza, N. C. S.

Program, J. C. Mullikin, P. D. Kwong, J. R. Mascola, L. Shapiro, J. Becker, B. Benjamin, R.

Blakesley, G. Bouffard, S. Brooks, H. Coleman, M. Dekhtyar, M. Gregory, X. Guan, J. Gupta, J.

Han, A. Hargrove, S. Ho, T. Johnson, R. Legaspi, S. Lovett, Q. Maduro, C. Masiello, B.

Maskeri, J. McDowell, C. Montemayor, J. Mullikin, M. Park, N. Riebow, K. Schandler, B.

Schmidt, C. Sison, M. Stantripop, J. Thomas, P. Thomas, M. Vemulapalli, and A. Young. 2013.

De novo identification of VRC01 class HIV-1–neutralizing antibodies by next-generation

sequencing of B-cell transcripts. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 110: E4088–E4097.

16. Dunn-Walters, D., C. Townsend, E. Sinclair, and A. Stewart. Immunoglobulin gene analysis

as a tool for investigating human immune responses. *Immunol. Rev.* 284: 132–147.

17. Ralph, D. K., and F. A. Matsen Iv. 2016. Likelihood-Based Inference of B Cell Clonal

Families. *PLOS Comput. Biol.* 12: e1005086.

18. Kepler, T. B., S. Munshaw, K. Wiehe, R. Zhang, J.-S. Yu, C. W. Woods, T. N. Denny, G. D.

Tomaras, S. M. Alam, M. A. Moody, G. Kelsoe, H.-X. Liao, and B. F. Haynes. 2014.

Reconstructing a B-Cell Clonal Lineage. II. Mutation, Selection, and Affinity Maturation. *Front.*

Immunol. 5.

19. Hershberg, U., and E. T. L. Prak. 2015. The analysis of clonal expansions in normal and

autoimmune B cell repertoires. *Phil Trans R Soc B* 370: 20140239.

20. Gupta, N. T., K. D. Adams, A. W. Briggs, S. C. Timberlake, F. Vigneault, and S. H.

Kleinstein. 2017. Hierarchical Clustering Can Identify B Cell Clones with High Confidence in Ig

Repertoire Sequencing Data. *J. Immunol.* 198: 2489–2499.

21. Nouri, N., and S. H. Kleinstein. 2018. A spectral clustering-based method for identifying

clones from high-throughput B cell repertoire sequencing data. *Bioinforma. Oxf. Engl.* 34: i341–

i349.

22. Hou, X.-L., L. Wang, Y.-L. Ding, Q. Xie, and H.-Y. Diao. 2016. Current status and recent

advances of next generation sequencing techniques in immunological repertoire. *Genes Immun.*

17: 153–164.

23. Nielsen, S. C. A., and S. D. Boyd. Human adaptive immune receptor repertoire analysis—

Past, present, and future. *Immunol. Rev.* 284: 9–23.

24. Georgiou, G., G. C. Ippolito, J. Beausang, C. E. Busse, H. Wardemann, and S. R. Quake.

2014. The promise and challenge of high-throughput sequencing of the antibody repertoire. *Nat.*

Biotechnol. 32: 158–168.

25. Friedensohn, S., T. A. Khan, and S. T. Reddy. 2017. Advanced Methodologies in High-

Throughput Sequencing of Immune Repertoires. *Trends Biotechnol.* 35: 203–214.

26. DeKosky, B. J., G. C. Ippolito, R. P. Deschner, J. J. Lavinder, Y. Wine, B. M. Rawlings, N.

Varadarajan, C. Giesecke, T. Dörner, S. F. Andrews, P. C. Wilson, S. P. Hunicke-Smith, C. G.

Willson, A. D. Ellington, and G. Georgiou. 2013. High-throughput sequencing of the paired

human immunoglobulin heavy and light chain repertoire. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 31: 166–169.

27. Busse, C. E., I. Czogiel, P. Braun, P. F. Arndt, and H. Wardemann. 2014. Single-cell based

high-throughput sequencing of full-length immunoglobulin heavy and light chain genes. *Eur. J.*

Immunol. 44: 597–603.

28. Zheng, G. X. Y., J. M. Terry, P. Belgrader, P. Ryvkin, Z. W. Bent, R. Wilson, S. B. Ziraldo,

T. D. Wheeler, G. P. McDermott, J. Zhu, M. T. Gregory, J. Shuga, L. Montesclaros, J. G.

Underwood, D. A. Masquelier, S. Y. Nishimura, M. Schnall-Levin, P. W. Wyatt, C. M. Hindson,

R. Bharadwaj, A. Wong, K. D. Ness, L. W. Beppu, H. J. Deeg, C. McFarland, K. R. Loeb, W. J.

Valente, N. G. Ericson, E. A. Stevens, J. P. Radich, T. S. Mikkelsen, B. J. Hindson, and J. H.

Bielas. 2017. Massively parallel digital transcriptional profiling of single cells. *Nat. Commun.* 8:

14049.

29. Lavinder, J. J., Y. Wine, C. Giesecke, G. C. Ippolito, A. P. Horton, O. I. Lungu, K. H. Hoi,

B. J. DeKosky, E. M. Murrin, M. M. Wirth, A. D. Ellington, T. Dörner, E. M. Marcotte, D. R.

Boutz, and G. Georgiou. 2014. Identification and characterization of the constituent human

serum antibodies elicited by vaccination. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 111: 2259–2264.

30. Tan, Y.-C., L. K. Blum, S. Kongpachith, C.-H. Ju, X. Cai, T. M. Lindstrom, J. Sokolove, and

W. H. Robinson. 2014. High-throughput sequencing of natively paired antibody chains provides

evidence for original antigenic sin shaping the antibody response to influenza vaccination. *Clin.*

Immunol. 151: 55–65.

31. DeKosky, B. J., O. I. Lungu, D. Park, E. L. Johnson, W. Charab, C. Chrysostomou, D.

Kuroda, A. D. Ellington, G. C. Ippolito, J. J. Gray, and G. Georgiou. 2016. Large-scale sequence

and structural comparisons of human naive and antigen-experienced antibody repertoires. *Proc.*

Natl. Acad. Sci. 113: E2636–E2645.

32. Roy, B., R. S. Neumann, O. Snir, R. Iversen, G. K. Sandve, K. E. A. Lundin, and L. M.

Sollid. 2017. High-Throughput Single-Cell Analysis of B Cell Receptor Usage among

Autoantigen-Specific Plasma Cells in Celiac Disease. *J. Immunol.* 199: 782–791.

33. Croote, D., S. Darmanis, K. C. Nadeau, and S. R. Quake. 2018. High-affinity allergen-

specific human antibodies cloned from single IgE B cell transcriptomes. *Science* 362: 1306–

1309.

34. Li, S., M.-P. Lefranc, J. J. Miles, E. Alamyar, V. Giudicelli, P. Duroux, J. D. Freeman, V. D.

A. Corbin, J.-P. Scheerlinck, M. A. Frohman, P. U. Cameron, M. Plebanski, B. Loveland, S. R.

Burrows, A. T. Papenfuss, and E. J. Gowans. 2013. IMGT/HighV QUEST paradigm for T cell

receptor IMGT clonotype diversity and next generation repertoire immunoprofiling. *Nat.*

Commun. 4: 2333.

35. Ye, J., N. Ma, T. L. Madden, and J. M. Ostell. 2013. IgBLAST: an immunoglobulin variable

domain sequence analysis tool. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 41: W34–W40.

36. Neu, K. E., Q. Tang, P. C. Wilson, and A. A. Khan. 2017. Single-Cell Genomics:

Approaches and Utility in Immunology. *Trends Immunol.* 38: 140–149.

37. LeBien, T. W., and T. F. Tedder. 2008. B lymphocytes: how they develop and function.

Blood 112: 1570–1580.

38. Fink, K. 2012. Origin and Function of Circulating Plasmablasts during Acute Viral

Infections. *Front. Immunol.* 3.

39. Gupta, N. T., V. Vander Heiden, M. Uduman, D. Gadala-Maria, G. Yaari, and S. H.

Kleinstein. 2015. Change-O: a toolkit for analyzing large-scale B cell immunoglobulin repertoire

sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* 31: 3356–3358.

40. Lefranc, M.-P., C. Pommié, M. Ruiz, V. Giudicelli, E. Foulquier, L. Truong, V. Thouvenin-

Contet, and G. Lefranc. 2003. IMGT unique numbering for immunoglobulin and T cell receptor

variable domains and Ig superfamily V-like domains. *Dev. Comp. Immunol.* 27: 55–77.

41. Glanville, J., T. C. Kuo, H.-C. von Büdingen, L. Guey, J. Berka, P. D. Sundar, G. Huerta, G.

R. Mehta, J. R. Oksenberg, S. L. Hauser, D. R. Cox, A. Rajpal, and J. Pons. 2011. Naive

antibody gene-segment frequencies are heritable and unaltered by chronic lymphocyte ablation.

Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 108: 20066–20071.

42. Cohen, J., P. Cohen, S. G. West, and L. S. Aiken. 2003. *Applied Multiple*

Regression/Correlation Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences, Third Edition. Lawrence Erlbaum

Associates, Mahwah, New Jersey.

43. Casellas, R., Q. Zhang, N.-Y. Zheng, M. D. Mathias, K. Smith, and P. C. Wilson. 2007. Igκ

allelic inclusion is a consequence of receptor editing. *J. Exp. Med.* 204: 153–160.

44. Velez, M.-G., M. Kane, S. Liu, S. B. Gauld, J. C. Cambier, R. M. Torres, and R. Pelanda.

2007. Ig Allotypic Inclusion Does Not Prevent B Cell Development or Response. *J. Immunol.*

179: 1049–1057.

45. Pelanda, R. 2014. Dual immunoglobulin light chain B cells: Trojan horses of autoimmunity?

Curr. Opin. Immunol. 27: 53–59.

Table 1: Numbers of heavy chain-based clones and clone sizes

Dataset	Total number of clones	Number of non-singleton clones	Clone size of non-singleton clones (number of clones)
CD19+ B cells	8268	157	2 (136); 3(15); 4 (4); 5 (2)
B cells from NSCLC tumor	1247	98	2 (81); 3 (9); 4 (4); 6 (2); 8 (1); 14 (1)
B cells from food-allergic individuals	952	12	2 (10); 3 (1); 5 (1)
B cells from healthy PBMCs	1105	8	2 (6); 3 (2)
GM12878 cell line	5	3	2 (1); 5 (1); 790 (1)

459 **Table 2: Percentage of accurate heavy chain-based clones**

460 **further clustered based on light chains**

Clustering threshold for light chain junctions	*0.05*	*0.10*	*0.15*
Dataset	Percentage of heavy chain-based clones undergoing further clustering		
CD19+ B cells	20.3	6.8	3.0
B cells from NSCLC tumor	32.6	18.9	16.8
B cells from food-allergic individuals	40.0	10.0	0.0
B cells from healthy PBMCs	0.0	0.0	0.0
Average	23.2	8.9	5.0

Figure 1. Performance of heavy chain-based **(A)** spectral clustering with adaptive threshold and **(B)** hierarchical clustering with fixed threshold. Solid and shaded bars show percentages of non-singleton inferred clones in which clonal members all carried light chains with the same V-J-junction-length (VJL) combination. Numbers at the top indicate the denominators. A background distribution was generated by permuting VH:VL pairings 100 times while maintaining inferred clonal lineage structures. Hollow bars show average percentages of accurate clones across permutations with standard errors. * denotes empirical one-sided, Bonferroni-corrected p-value < 0.05.

Figure 2. Characteristics of misclustered clones. **(A)** Heavy chain junction lengths of accurate

clones compared with misclustered clones. **(B)** The maximum pairwise distance between heavy

chain junction sequences in accurate clones, compared with the minimum pairwise distance

between cells expressing inconsistent light chains in misclustered clones. **(C)** The minimum

pairwise shared heavy chain V segment mutations in accurate clones, compared with the

maximum pairwise shared heavy chain V segment mutations between cells expressing

inconsistent light chains in misclustered clones.