1 Transcription factor LSF facilitiates lysine methylation of α-tubulin by microtubule-

2 associated SET8

- 3
- 4 Hang Gyeong Chin^{1,2}, Pierre Olivier Estève¹, Cristian Ruse¹, Jiyoung Lee⁵, Scott E. Schaus³,
- 5 Sriharsa Pradhan¹* and Ulla Hansen^{2, 4}*
- 6
- ⁷ ¹New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA 01938, USA
- 8 ²MCBB Graduate Program, Graduate School of Arts and Sciences, Boston University, Boston,
- 9 MA 02215, USA
- ³Center for Molecular Discovery, Boston University, Boston, MA 02215, USA
- ⁴Department of Biology, Boston University, Boston, MA 02215, USA
- ⁵Biological Resource Center, Korea Research Institute of Bioscience and Biotechnology
- 13 (KRIBB), Ipsin-gil, Jeongeup-si, Jeollabuk-do, 56212, South Korea
- 14 *Correspondent
- 15 *Corresponding Author:
- 16 Ulla Hansen, Ph.D.
- 17 Department of Biology, Boston University
- 18 5 Cummington Mall
- 19 Boston, MA 02215, USA
- 20 e-mail:uhansen@bu.edu
- 21 Phone: (617) 353-8730
- 22 FAX: (617) 353-8484
- 23
- 24 **Running title:** LSF-enhanced tubulin methylation by SET8

1 Abstract

- 2 Microtubules are critical for mitosis, cell motility, and protein and organelle transport, and are a
- 3 validated target for anticancer drugs. However, tubulin regulation and recruitment in these
- 4 cellular processes is less understood. Post-translational modifications of tubulin are proposed to
- 5 regulate microtubule functions and dynamics. Although many such modifications have been
- 6 investigated, tubulin methylations and enzymes responsible for methylation have only recently
- 7 begun to be described. Here we report that N-lysine methyl transferase KMT5A
- 8 (SET8/PR-Set7), which methylates histone H4K20, also methylates α -tubulin. Furthermore, the
- 9 transcription factor LSF binds both tubulin and SET8, and enhances α-tubulin methylation *in*
- 10 *vitro*, countered by FQI1, a specific small molecule inhibitor of LSF. Thus, the three proteins
- 11 SET8, LSF, and tubulin, all essential for mitotic progression, interact with each other. Overall,
- 12 these results point to dual functions for both SET8 and LSF not only in chromatin regulation, but
- 13 also for cytoskeletal modification.
- 14
- 15 Keywords: KMT5A/LSF/Lysine methylation/Transcription factor/Tubulin modification

1 Introduction

2 Microtubules (MTs), the polymerized heterodimers of α -tubulin and β -tubulin, are major 3 cytoskeletal components that play important roles in key cellular processes such as structural 4 support, localization of organelles, and chromosome segregation (Janke, 2014; Verhey and 5 Gaertig, 2007). A number of post-translational modifications (PTMs) of tubulins have been 6 reported, which contribute to the functional diversity of MTs and affect MT dynamics and 7 organization (Song and Brady, 2015). This led to the hypothesis of a tubulin code (Verhey et al., 8 2007), where tubulin modifications specify biological outcomes through changes in higher-order 9 microtubule structure by recruiting and interacting with effector proteins. Notably, tubulin 10 methylation has been less studied than other types of tubulin modification, such as tyrosination, 11 glutamylation, glycylation, acetylation, and phosphorylation, although in the parallel histone 12 code hypothesis, methylation is the most common and well-understood modification. 13 SET8/PR-Set7 is a N-lysine methyltransferase responsible for the monomethylation of 14 both histone and non-histone proteins in higher eukaryotes (Dillon et al., 2005). It is functionally 15 characterized as a histone H4 lysine 20-specific monomethyltransferase (Fang et al., 2002); this 16 modification is a specific mark for transcriptional repression and is also enriched during mitosis 17 (Nishioka et al., 2002; Rice et al., 2002). SET8 is required for cell proliferation, chromosome 18 condensation, and cytokinesis, since deletion or RNAi mediated depletion of the enzyme impairs 19 all these functions. Previous findings, in particular, suggested that SET8 and H4K20me1 are required for mitotic entry (Wu and Rice, 2011). SET8 also mediates monomethylation of other 20 21 substrates, including p53, which results in repression of p53 target genes (Shi *et al.*, 2007). 22 However, how H4K20me1 is regulated and how it functions to promote cell cycle progression 23 remains an open question, including the possibility that other non-histone substrates may be 24 involved.

LSF, previously characterized widely as a transcription factor, is an oncogene in hepatocellular carcinoma, being signficantly overexpressed in hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines and patient samples (Fan *et al.*, 2011;Gu *et al.*, 2015;Kim *et al.*, 2017;Seol *et al.*, 2016;Yoo *et al.*, 2010;Zhang *et al.*, 2017), as well as in other cancer types (Kotarba *et al.*, 2018). LSF is also generally required for cell cycle progression and cell survival (Hansen *et al.*, 2009;Powell *et al.*, 2000;Rajasekaran *et al.*, 2015). Initially, LSF was described as a regulator of G1/S progression (Powell *et al.*, 2000) and essential for inducing expression of the gene encoding thymidylate

synthase (TYMS) in late G1. The additional involvement of LSF in mitosis resulted from
characterization of the effects of Factor Quinolinone Inhibitor 1 (FQI1), a specific small
molecule inhibitor of LSF (Rajasekaran *et al.*, 2015). FQI1 not only abrogates the DNA-binding
and corresponding transcriptional activities of LSF (Rajasekaran *et al.*, 2015), but also specific
LSF-protein interactions (Chin *et al.*, 2016). Finally, FQI1 inhibits growth of hepatocellular
carcinoma tumors in multiple mouse models, and causes cell death via mitotic defects in
hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines (Grant *et al.*, 2012;Rajasekaran *et al.*, 2015).

8 In this study, we demonstrate that these three regulators of mitosis, SET8, LSF, and 9 tubulin, all interact with each other both *in vitro* and within cells. Furthermore, we demonstrate 10 that SET8 is a microtubule-associated methyltransferase that methylates lysines on α -tubulin. In 11 parallel to how transcription factors stimulate histone modification by interacting both with the 12 chromatin writers and the DNA, LSF stimulates methylation of tubulin by SET8. These results 13 suggest that LSF and SET8 have biological implications beyond gene transcription and histone 14 methylation, respectively.

15

16 **Results**

17 SET8 directly interacts with tubulin

Analysis of two commercial tubulin preparations (>97% and >99% pure, respectively) by mass 18 19 spectroscopy identified anticipated associated proteins (e.g. MAP1, MAP2), but surprisingly also peptides covering SET8 (Appendix Fig S1A; Appendix Table S1). The presence of SET8 in 20 21 these preparations was indicated by immunoblotting, although it is a minor component 22 (Appendix Fig S1B). This raised the question of whether SET8 might target MT-related 23 substrates. Indeed, initial overexpression of GFP-SET8 in a COS7 cell line indicated that the 24 majority of GFP-SET8 was localized in the cytoplasm (Fig 1A). Upon screening for association 25 with various cytoplasmic structural features by staining with relevant fluorescence dyes or 26 antibodies along with GFP-SET8 expression, GST-SET8 significantly co-localized only with α -27 tubulin, indicating MTs. MT co-localization was observed at stages through the cell cycle (Fig 28 1A, Appendix Fig S1C). Most obvious was in G1 phase, when SET8 exhibited the same pattern 29 as the filamentous tubulin distributed throughout the cytoplasm, emphasized by the yellow in the 30 merged image. In S phase, as expected from previous studies, some SET8 was also nuclear (Fig 31 1A). Although SET8 is often considered to be a nuclear protein, localization of SET8 also in the

cytoplasm of human cells has been documented by others, in a cell type-specific manner (Thul *et al.*, 2017). Furthermore, biochemical fractionation confirmed localization of endogenous SET8
 in both the nucleus and the cytoplasm of human HEK293T cells (Fig 1B), which were used in
 subsequent experiments.

5 To determine whether endogenous cellular SET8 associates with tubulins, we 6 immunoprecipitated protein complexes from HEK293T cell extracts. Using antibody against 7 SET8, α -tubulin was also precipitated, as well as β -tubulin, although to a considerably lesser 8 extent (Fig 1C). Conversely, upon expression of Flag-tagged α -tubulin or β -tubulin in the cells, 9 endogenous SET8 co-immunoprecipitated with both, to roughly similar extents compared to the 10 level of expression of the tagged tubulin (Fig 1D). As α - and β -tubulins stably heterodimerize in 11 cells, in vitro experiments were required in order to determine whether either of these 12 interactions was direct. To this end, purified recombinant proteins fusing maltose binding protein 13 (MBP) to either α -tubulin (TUBA1A) or β -tubulin (TUBB) were individually tested for 14 interactions with His-tagged SET8 purified from E. coli. SET8 directly interacted only with 15 α -tubulin, but not with β -tubulin (Fig 1E). Conversely, recombinant proteins fusing glutathione 16 S-transferase (GST) to either full-length, or the N- or C-terminal overlapping portions of human 17 SET8 were tested for interactions in vitro with a purified tubulin preparation. The purified 18 heterodimeric tubulin interacted only with the full-length and N-terminal portion of SET8, even 19 though th C-terminal SET8 fusion protein was present at a higher level than the others (Fig 1F), 20 indicating specificity of this interaction. Taken together, these data demonstrate that α -tubulin 21 and SET8 directly interact with each other, whereas β -tubulin is only in a complex with SET8 in 22 the presence of α -tubulin.

23

24 SET8 methylates α-tubulin

SET8 was characterized historically as a nucleosomal H4K20 specific methyltransferase, and subsequently as a regulator of the non-histone protein p53. However, since SET8 bound strongly to α -tubulin, we tested whether tubulins could be a novel substrate of the enzyme. Purified mammalian α/β -tubulin was incubated with the cofactor S-adenosyl-L-[methyl-³H] methionine (AdoMet) and purified, recombinant GST-SET8. In the presence of both SET8 and AdoMet, radioactivity was incorporated into a protein band migrating at the position of α - and β -tubulins, in addition to a less pronounced automethylation of GST-SET8 (Fig 2A, lane 3), but no

1 radioactive product was present at the position of α - and β -tubulins when either tubulin or SET8 2 was omitted from the reaction (Fig 2A, lanes 2 and 4). Interestingly, when histone H4 was also 3 included in the reaction, the amount of tubulin modification was reduced (Fig 2A, lane 1), 4 indicating that histone H4 strongly competed with tubulins for the methylation activity of SET8. 5 Furthermore, histone H4 also competed with SET8 itself as a substrate, as shown by the 6 significant reduction in SET8 automethylation in the presence of histone H4. 7 Since purified tubulin is composed of α -and β -tubulin heterodimers, we sought to 8 determine which species is methylated by SET8. Recombinant fusion proteins of either α-tubulin 9 or β-tubulin with MBP were purified and incubated with SET8 along with the radioactive methyl 10 donor. Upon incubation of SET8 with α/β tubulin and AdoMet, both SET8 and tubulin(s) were 11 labeled. However, only MBP-α-tubulin, but not MBP-β-tubulin was methylated, along with 12 SET8 itself, when the individual recombinant proteins were tested (Fig 2B). These data indicate 13 that α -tubulin is the target for SET8. Mass spectrometry was used to determine which lysine 14 residue(s) of α -tubulin were methylated by SET8. In the control samples without exogenous 15 SET8, lysine methylation of α -tubulin on K304, and of β -tubulin on K19 and K297 were 16 detected (Appendix Fig S2A), none of which have previously been reported. As anticipated from the previous data (Fig 2B), incubation with exogenous SET8 did not induce detectable 17 18 methylation at any other sites on β-tubulin. However, SET8 did induce methylation of three 19 additional lysine residues of α -tubulin - K280, K311 and K352 - which were all monomethylated 20 (Fig 2C, Appendix Fig S2A). Of these three lysines, only K311 is located on the outside surface 21 of MTs, whereas K352 is at the interface between α -tubulin and the β -tubulin in the adjacent 22 heterodimer, and K280 is on the inside surface of MTs (Fig 2D, Appendix Fig S2B,C). In 23 addition, only the sequence surrounding K311 (RHGK311) resembles those of other known SET8 24 target sequences: histone H4 (RHRK20) and p53 (RHKK382) (Shi et al., 2007). In contrast, the 25 sequences of the other α-tubulin sites, SAEK₂₈₀ and TGFK₃₅₂, do not resemble other known 26 physiological SET8 tarets. To determine the relative efficiency of targeting these lysines *in vitro*, 27 each was independently mutated in the context of the full-length MBP- α -tubulin, and purified 28 proteins were tested for incorporation of radioactivity upon incubation with SET8. In order to 29 test the relevant degree of methylation by SET8 at the identified sites, each lysine was mutated 30 indivually to serine, maintaining a similar structure and hydrophilicity, but removing the charge. 31 Consistent with K311 being the best sequence match with other SET8 targets, only mutation of

1 K311 was no longer detectably modified by SET8, whereas mutation of K280, K304, or K352 2 did not appreciably affect the amount of methylation of the substrates (Fig 2E). 3 In order to test further the inherent targeting of the various α -tubulin sites by SET8, 4 peptides spanning these three sites (K280, K311, K352), as well as K40, reported to be 5 methylated by SETD2 (Park et al., 2016), and K304, modified in purified porcine tubulin 6 (Appendix Fig S2A), were incubated with purified wild type SET8 in vitro. Only the K311-7 containing peptide was robustly methylated (Appendix Fig S3A, Appendix Table S2). In 8 addition, radioactive incorporation into the K311-containing peptide was absent when incubated 9 with catalytically inactive SET8 (D338A) in vitro, and methylation abolished if the K311 residue 10 was either mutated (K311A, K311S) or already modified (K311Me, K311Ac) (Appendix Fig 11 S3B, Appendix Table S2). Although the *in vitro* targeting of the α -tubulin K311-containing 12 peptide by SET8 is robust (Appendix Fig S3C), SET8 methylates histone H4 much more 13 efficiently, consistent with the ability of Histone H4 to strongly compete against tubulin for 14 methylation by SET8 (Fig 2A). In contrast, but consistent with the previous report (Park et al., 15 2016), methylation by the only other reported tubulin methyltransferase, SETD2, of the α -tubulin 16 K40-containing peptide was not detectable over background, despite some methylation of 17 histone H3 by this enzyme in vitro (Appendix Fig S3D). 18 Taken together, these observations indicated that SET8 methyltransferase has the 19 capacity to directly methylate α -tubulin, particularly at K311. 20 21 Transcription factor LSF associates with both SET8 and tubulin 22 DNA-binding proteins recruit chromatin writers to modify histones (Brownell et al., 1996;Hassig 23 and Schreiber, 1997; Struhl, 1999; Taunton et al., 1996), suggesting the possibility that tubulin-24 binding proteins might similarly recruit SET8 to target sites on microtubules resulting in tubulin modification. Our previous studies showed that the transcription factor LSF interacts with 25 26 DNMT1, and addition of an inhibitor of the LSF-DNMT1 interaction resulted in alterations in 27 the genomic DNA methylation profile (Chin *et al.*, 2016); this is consistent with recruitment of 28 DNMT1 to DNA by LSF in order to facilitate DNA methylation at specific sites. Since DNMT1 29 complexes with SET8, and both SET8 and LSF (Rajasekaran et al., 2015) are required for 30 mitotic progression, we proposed the novel hypothesis that the transcription factor LSF might 31 also recruit SET8 to microtubules in order to facilitate α -tubulin methylation by SET8. In support

1 of this hypothesis, there is precedent for some DNA-binding transcription factors also binding

2 microtubules (Alexandrova et al., 1995; Dong et al., 2000; Giannakakou et al., 2000; Maxwell et

3 al., 1991;Niklinski et al., 2000;Ziegelbauer et al., 2001), although for the purpose of

4 sequestering the transcription factors in the cytoplasm and/or facilitating their transport into the
5 nucleus.

6 To test this hypothesis, multiple assays were initially performed to evaluate whether LSF 7 interacts with SET8 and tubulin(s). In vitro, direct interaction between recombinant, purified SET8 and purified LSF was evaluated by a GST pull-down assay (Fig 3A). Using fusion proteins 8 9 between GST and either full length SET8, or its N- or C-terminal fragments, the His-LSF bound 10 specifically to the N-terminal region of SET8 (Fig 3A), the same domain that bound purified 11 tubulin (Fig 1F). The binding of purified α/β -tubulin to purified GST-LSF was also evaluated 12 and mapped to specific regions within LSF. Both α - and β -tubulins showed similar binding 13 profiles to the panel of LSF fusion proteins, as expected given their stable heterodimeric 14 structure (Fig 3B). Binding of tubulins to the full-length GST-LSF was greater than to the control 15 GST, although weak compared to some of the other fusion proteins, due to the sensitivity of the 16 full-length GST-LSF fusion protein to cleavage in bacterial culture, resulting in significant 17 purification of the GST domain alone in the preparation. However, the tubulins interacted 18 strongly with two specific domains of LSF whose GST fusion proteins were stable: the DNA 19 binding domain (DBD), and to a lesser extent, the SAM domain (Fig 3B). Further analysis 20 suggests that it is the C-terminal portion of the DBD that contains the tubulin interaction surface 21 in this domain, since the GST-LSF 2 protein also binds both tubulins to a high degree. Finally, 22 purified His-LSF also interacted in parallel assays with purified recombinant full-length GST- α -23 tubulin (Fig 3C). These *in vitro* protein-protein interaction results indicate that all pairwise 24 interactions among SET8, LSF, and α -tubulin occur through direct binding with each other. 25 To examine whether interactions of LSF with both SET8 and tubulin also take place in 26 cells, multiple approaches were taken. First, upon co-expression of GFP-SET8 and 3xFlag-27 tagged LSF in transient transfection assays, the two proteins significantly co-localized, 28 predominantly in the cytoplasm (Fig 3D). Second, the presence of complexes between 29 endogenous cellular proteins were tested by co-immunoprecipitation experiments using lysates 30 from the human HEK293 cell line. With antibodies against endogenous LSF, but not control 31 antibodies, both endogenous SET8 and endogenous α -tubulin co-immunoprecipitated with LSF

1 (Fig 3E). Reciprocally, SET8 antibodies, but not control antibodies, also co-immunoprecipitated

2 endogenous LSF (Fig 3F). Finally, the possibility of relevant LSF-tubulin interactions was

3 investigated by analyzing whether LSF was present in commercial, highly purified tubulin

4 preparations. These preparations are obtained in part by multiple rounds of

5 polymerization/depolymerization of the tubulin, and are more than 97-99% pure. They are well

6 known to contain additional proteins that are defined as microtubule-associated proteins (MAPs).

7 Immunoblots using a LSF monoclonal antibody did indeed detect a band comigrating with LSF,

8 albeit at a very low level (Fig 3G). LSF was reproducibly detected in this manner in multiple

9 commercially purified preparations of tubulin.

10 Taken together, these results demonstrate that LSF interacts directly with both SET8 and

11 α-tubulin *in vitro*, and also associates with both *in vivo*. Furthermore, LSF, although a

12 transcription factor, appears to be a previously unidentified MAP.

13

14 LSF promotes tubulin methylation by SET8

15 The demonstration of pairwise, physical interactions between LSF, tubulin, and SET8 set the 16 stage for directly testing the hypothesis that LSF could mediate the methylation of α -tubulin by SET8. Thus, recombinant GST-SET8 and the methyl donor were incubated with tubulin in the 17 18 presence of increasing concentrations of purified His-LSF (Fig 4A). Tubulin methylation 19 increased upon increasing LSF from a 1:4 to 2:1 molar ratio of LSF:GST-SET8, suggesting that 20 LSF can mediate tubulin methylation by SET8. Note that in this experiment, there was more 21 SET8 relative to tubulin than in other experiments (Fig 4A, bottom), resulting in a greater degree 22 of automethylation of SET8 compared to tubulin methylation (Fig 4A, top), presumably due to 23 substrate competition between SET3 itself and α -tubulin. A similar experiment was performed 24 using recombinant MBP-α-tubulin as substrate for SET8, which also showed that increasing levels of LSF enhanced methylation of the MBP-α-tubulin (Appendix Fig S4A). 25 26 The LSF small molecule inhibitor, FQI1, inhibits LSF binding to DNA (Grant et al., 27 2012), as well as binding of LSF to certain protein partners (Chin et al., 2016). To determine

28 whether FQI1 would also diminish the interaction in cells between LSF and tubulin, cell lysates

29 from vehicle- versus FQI1-treated cells were analyzed by co-immunoprecipitation assays. These

30 demonstrated a significant reduction in the LSF-tubulin interaction after FQI1 incubation (Fig

31 5B). Since FQI1 can inhibit the LSF-tubulin interaction *in vivo*, it was used to interrogate

1 whether the interaction of LSF and tubulin was important for stimulating the SET8-mediated 2 methylation of tubulin *in vitro*. Since LSF is already present in the tubulin preparations, initially, 3 FQI1 was added to reactions containing only SET8, methyl donor, and purified tubulin. Tubulin 4 methylation decreased with increasing concentrations of FQI1 (Fig 5C), consistent with the 5 presence of LSF and its ability to enhance SET8-dependent tubulin methylation. Whether FQI1 6 specifically inhibits LSF in these assays was tested in two ways. First, it was demonstrated that 7 the presence of FQI1 prevented any increase in tubulin methylation upon addition of purified 8 His-LSF (Appendix Fig S4B, compare lanes 7 and 8). Second, the possibility that FQI1 directly 9 inhibits SET8 catalytic activity was tested using Histone H4, instead of α-tubulin, as a substrate. 10 Limiting amounts of histone H4 were added in this experiment to enhance the sensitivity of the 11 assay. FQI1 did not inhibit methylation of histone H4 by SET8, in contrast to its effect on α-tubulin methylation (Fig 5D). In addition, when SET8 was incubated with whole cell extract in 12 13 the presence of the radioactive methyl donor, FQI1 did not appreciably diminish methylation of 14 any of the other proteins in the extract (Appendix Fig S4B, compare lanes 1 and 2). 15 These data indicate that LSF enhances tubulin methylation by SET8, and conversely that 16 FQI1, which abrogates the tubulin-LSF interaction (Fig 4B), impedes methylation of α-tubulin by SET8. Overall, these data support a model that LSF recruits SET8 to tubulin, and/or that LSF 17 18 binding as a ternary complex to SET8 and tubulin activates the methylase activity of SET8 19 already associated with tubulin (Fig 4E). To probe whether LSF recruits SET8 to tubulin, we 20 again used FQI1 to disrupt the LSF-tubulin interactions. FQI1 did diminish co-21 immunoprecipitation of endogenous tubulin with SET8 antibodies (Appendix Fig S4C), 22 supporting the recruitment model. However, a caveat to this straightforward interpretation was 23 that SET8 immunoprecipitation was also somewhat diminished, although less so, after 24 incubation of the cells with FQI1.

25

26 **Discussion**

A number of post-translational modifications of microtubules are well established, including the enzymes responsible for these modifications. However, thus far, only limited insights have been obtained regarding their biological roles, and tubulin PTMs have generally remained less amenable to straightforward *in vitro* functional studies. A number of posttranslational modifications of tubulins have been reported, along with their modifying enzymes, including

1 acetylation of lysine 40 in α -tubulin by α TAT1 (MEC-17 in *C. elegans*), deacetylation of the 2 same residue by Sirt2 (Sirtuin type2), HDAC5, and HDAC6, and polyglutamylation of both 3 α - and β -tubulins at multiple C-terminal glutamate residues by TTLL4, 5, and 7 (Ikegami *et al.*, 4 2006; Janke et al., 2005). Although extensive research has been carried out on various modified 5 sites and has identified the relevant enzymes, only one study has previously identified lysine 6 methylation of tubulin (Park et al., 2016), which is the focus of this report. Walker's group 7 reported that SETD2, known as a histone methyltransferase for a chromatin activation mark, 8 H3K36me3, also methylates a-tubulin at K40. Furthermore, the loss of SETD2-mediated tubulin 9 methylation resulted in mitotic microtubule defects and genomic instability. Here, we describe a 10 distinct, novel lysine methylation of α -tubulin at K311 and identify the enzyme responsible for 11 its modification as SET8. In addition, we identify methylation of β -tubulin purified from 12 mammalian brain at K19 and K297, and are pursuing identification of the enzymes responsible. 13 Importantly, we also demonstrate the surprising finding that a transcription factor, LSF, 14 moonlights as a microtubule-associated protein, and that it can recruit SET8 to tubulin and/or 15 enhance its activity on tubulin to facilitate the modification. The recruitment mechanism mirrors 16 mechanisms of targeting of histone writers to chromatin, expanding the model of the parallel 17 nature between the generation of the histone and tubulin codes. Furthermore, these data indicate 18 that transcription factors more generally may be able to regulate tubulin modifications, and 19 thereby microtubule dynamics. Although several transcription factors have previously been 20 reported to bind microtubules, including c-myc (Alexandrova et al., 1995; Niklinski et al., 2000), 21 MIZ-1 (Ziegelbauer et al., 2001), p53 (Giannakakou et al., 2000; Maxwell et al., 1991), and Smads (Dong et al., 2000), in all these cases the biological relevance proposed or demonstrated 22 23 was to sequester the transcription factors in the cytoplasm, and/or to help transport the 24 transcription factor into the nucleus. Thus, all previous indications had to do with regulation of 25 transcription activity, not of microtubule function. This is the first case in which binding of a 26 transcription factor directly to microtubules would lead to altered microtubule modifications, and 27 to altered function. We propose that this presents a new paradigm that may well occur with other transcription factors, as well. 28

Tubulin PTMs are generally thought to regulate protein-protein interactions within the microtubule cytoskeleton, thereby regulating signaling events in the cell. To date, a large variety of microtubule associated proteins (MAPs) have been characterized, many of which stabilize and

destabilize microtubules, are associated with the coupling of molecular motors and microtubules,
 and play critical roles in spindle formation (Stanton *et al.*, 2011).

3 Proper mammalian cell cycle progression requires precise modulation of SET8 levels, 4 which suggested that SET8 and H4K20me1 function as novel regulators of cell cycle 5 progression, although the previous focus has been on regulation of S phase (Milite *et al.*, 2016). 6 With our demonstration that SET8 can also methylate α -tubulin, the roles of non-histone 7 substrates must also be considered as causes for SET8-mediated regulation of the cell cycle, and 8 in particular of mitosis when SET8 is most abundant (Rice et al., 2002). As in mammals, SET8 9 is essential for life in D. melanogaster, as SET8 mutants die during larval development. 10 However, upon replacement of all *Drosophila* histone H4 genes with multiple copies of a mutant 11 histone H4K20A, the flies survived to adulthood without apparent DNA replication defects 12 (McKay et al., 2015). Thus, contrary to the prevailing view, histone H4 was not the critical target 13 for SET8. Given the minimal biological effects in *Drosophila* of mutating histone H4K20, we 14 propose that α-tubulin methylation is a strong candidate for mediating critical SET8 15 consequences. Notably, *D. melanogaster* and human α -tubulins are 98% identical with all the 16 lysines throughout the sequence being conserved. Mitosis is viewed as a vulnerable target for inhibition in cancer (Komlodi-Pasztor et al., 17 18 2012). In that light, it is notable that LSF promotes oncogenesis in hepatocellular carcinoma, the 19 sixth most common cancer worldwide and the second highest cause of cancer-related death 20 globally (Laursen, 2014). LSF is overexpressed in human hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines, 21 and over 90% of human hepatocellular carcinoma patient samples, showing significant 22 correlation with stages and grades of the disease (Yoo et al., 2010). The lead LSF inhibitor, 23 FQI1, induces apoptosis in an aggressive hepatocellular carcinoma cell line in vitro and 24 significantly inhibits tumor growth in multiple mouse hepatocellular carcinoma models, with no 25 observable toxicity to normal tissues (Grant et al., 2012; Rajasekaran et al., 2015). These new 26 findings that LSF interacts with tubulin and SET8, and that FQI1 disrupts the LSF-tubulin 27 interaction, may be related to the impact of the LSF inhibitors in hepatocellular carcinoma cells 28 and tumors. Expression both of particular tubulins (e.g. TUBA1B) and of SET8 are upregulated 29 in hepatocellular carcinoma tumor samples, as compared to normal liver (Guo et al., 2012;Lu et 30 al., 2013). Moreover, SET8 is required to maintain the malignant phenotype of various cancer 31 types (Hou et al., 2016). Given the current lack of effective treatments, further investigation into

1 the relevance of the LSF-tubulin-SET8 pathway to hepatocellular carcinoma and other cancer

2 types in which LSF is oncogenic may aid in targeted and effective treatment.

3 Material and Methods

4 Cell Culture, immunoprecipitation, and immunofluorescence

5 HEK293T and COS7 cells were cultured in DMEM media supplemented with 10% fetal bovine

6 serum. FQI1 (Millipore/Sigma #438210) treatment of HEK293T cells was for 24 hours at 37°C

7 with 2.5 μM FQI1.

8 Immunoprecipitation (IP) and immunofluorescence experiments were carried out as

9 described previously (Andrews and Faller, 1991;Estève *et al.*, 2006). For the

10 immunoprecipitation, 1 mg of total HEK293T cellular extract was incubated with 5 µg of anti-

11 SET8 antibody (Active Motif, 61009), anti-LSF antibody (Millipore, 17-10252), or mouse anti-

12 FLAG antibody (F1804, Sigma-Aldrich). The immunoprecipitates were blotted with anti-α-

13 tubulin, anti-β-tubulin (Sigma T9026, T8328), anti-SET8 (Abcam, ab3798), anti-Pr-Set7 (D11)

14 (Santa Cruz, sc-377034), anti-LSF (BD Biosciences) antibodies or anti-FLAG (CST, 14793), as

15 per the manufacturer's dilution recommendations. Cellular extracts were also

16 immunoprecipitated with normal IgG (Cell Signaling Technology) as a negative control for all IP

17 experiments.

18 For immunofluorescence to detect α -tubulin and SET8 co-localization, COS7 cells were

19 grown on coverslips and transfected with a GFP-SET8 expression plasmid. After cells were fixed

20 with paraformaldehyde, the cells were incubated with anti- α -tubulin and the microtubules

21 visualized with an anti-mouse IgG coupled with Alexa Fluor 488 (Molecular Probes) using a

22 confocal microscope (Zeiss LSM510). For the detection of SET8 and LSF co-localization, COS7

cells were co-transfected with GFP-SET8 and 3XFlag-LSF expression plasmids; the epitope

24 tagged LSF was detected by mouse anti-FLAG antibody (F3165, Sigma-Aldrich) and visualized

25 with an anti-mouse IgG coupled with Alexa Fluor 488 (Molecular Probes). DAPI was used to

26 stain nuclear DNA. For analysis of FQI1 effects on mitosis, paraformaldehyde-fixed cells were

27 analyzed for microtubules with anti- α -tubulin antibody (Abcam #AB7750) and stained with

28 DAPI (Invitrogen) for visualizing genomic DNA. Samples were imaged using a Zeiss

29 Axioimager M1 microscope utilizing 63x and 100x magnifications.

30

1 GST and MBP pull down assays

2 LSF, SET8 and α-tubulin cDNAs were cloned into the pGEX-5X-1 (GE Healthcare) or

- 3 pMalC4X (New England Biolabs) vector and GST-tagged or MBP-tagged proteins were
- 4 captured using Glutathione Sepharose beads (GE Healthcare) or amylose resin (New England
- 5 Biolabs), respectively. Sepharose beads containing approximately 10 µg of fusion protein were
- 6 incubated for 2 hours at 4°C with purified tubulin (MP-biomedical), recombinant His-tagged
- 7 LSF, or recombinant His-tagged SET8, the latter two being purified from E. coli. Proteins bound
- 8 to the beads were resolved by 10-20% SDS-PAGE. LSF, SET8 and α -tubulin were visualized by
- 9 immunoblotting by using anti-LSF (BD Biosciences), anti-SET8 (Active Motif) or anti-α-tubulin
- 10 (Sigma-Aldrich), respectively.
- 11

12 *In vitro* methylation assays

- 13 Approximately 1 µg of recombinant GST-SET8 (in 50% glycerol) and 2 µg of the purified
- 14 tubulin (MP-Bioscience, #0877115), recombinant MBP-α-tubulin, or recombinant MBP-β-
- 15 tubulin were incubated with 6 μM radioactively labeled [³H] AdoMet (Perkin Elmer
- 16 #NET155V001MC) in 1X HMT buffer containing 5 mM Tris pH 8.0, 0.5 mM DTT at room
- 17 temperature for overnight. As indicated, histone H4 (NEB #2504S), recombinant His-LSF
- 18 protein, or FQI1 inhibitor were added to the reaction. Samples were separated by electrophoresis
- 19 through a 10% Tricine Gel (Invitrogen) and the gel was stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue
- 20 (shown in grayscale in the figures) and incubated with EN3HANCE (PerkinElmer) solution. The
- 21 gel was dried and exposed to autoradiography film for 1 week. For the peptide assays, the
- 22 specific peptides of α-tubulin were synthesized from AnaSpec Inc. Sequences are listed in
- 23 Appendix Table S2. Two µg of each peptide and 2 µg of purified wild type or mutant SET8 or
- 24 full-length SETD2 (Active Motif) were incubated with radioactively labeled [³H] AdoMet at
- 25 room temperature overnight. Samples were spotted onto P81 filters (Whatman 3698325) and the
- 26 filters were washed 3 times with 0.3 M ammonium bicarbonate. The level of incorporated
- 27 [³H]CH₃ was determined using liquid scintillation counting.
- 28

29 Mass-spectrometric analysis

- 30 For identification of proteins in the tubulin preparations, >97% purified porcine tubulin
- 31 (rPeptide, #T-1201-1) and >99% purified bovine tubulin (MP-Bioscience, #0877112) were

1 separated by electrophoresis through a 10-20% Tris-Glycine polyacrylamide gel. The gel was

- 2 stained with Coomassie Blue; sections of the gels around 55 kDa, and below 55 kDa,
- 3 respectively, were excised (Appendix Fig S1A). Excised gel band were analyzed by the Taplin
- 4 Mass Spectrometry Facility, Harvard Medical School. For identification of tubulin
- 5 modifications, purified tubulin (MP-Bio, #0877115) was incubated with nonradioactive AdoMet,
- 6 with or without recombinant GST-SET8, overnight at room temperature and the samples were
- 7 separated by electrophoresis through a 10% Tris-glycine gel. Excised gel bands were digested
- 8 with either subtilisin or trypsin in 0.01% ProteaseMax in 50 mM NH₄HCO₃ for 1 hr at 50°C.
- 9 Digestion was quenched with trifluoroacetic acid and samples were dried. Each digest was
- 10 individually reconstituted and analyzed by direct injection onto an analytical column 25 cm 100
- 11 µm ID Aqua 3 µm with Easy n1000 nLC-QExactive at 300 nL/min. Acquired HCD spectra were
- 12 searched using Proteome Discoverer 2.0 and SWISSPROT June 2015 database using nonspecific
- 13 cleavage and Cys = 57.02146 static modification. Dynamic modifications were set for Met =
- 14 15.99492. Searches were semi-specific with K= 14.016 dynamic modification. Results were
- 15 filtered with Percolator for high confidence spectrum matches.
- 16

17 Biochemical fractionation of HEK293T cells

- 18 Subcellular fractions from cultured HEK293T cells were obtained using the Cell Fractionation
- 19 Kit (CST, #9038) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Cytoplasm, nuclear, and whole cell
- 20 extracts were separated by electrophoresis through a 10-20% 10-20% Tris-Glycine and the
- 21 resulting membrane immunoblotted with anti-MEK1/2 (D1A5, #8727), Anti-histone H3(CST,
- 22 #9715), anti-β-actin (CST, #4970), anti-SET8 (Active Motif, #61009).
- 23

24 Acknowledgements

- 25 We thank W. Jack, C. Carlow, and G.M. Cooper for critical reading of the manuscript, D. Comb,
- 26 Sir R.J. Roberts and J. Ellard for encouragement, and L. Brown for the preparation of FQI1.
- 27 Basic research support for H.G.C., P.O.E, C.R. and S.P. was provided by New England Biolabs,
- 28 Inc. U.H. was supported by Ignition Awards from Boston University and a Johnson & Johnson
- 29 Clinical Innovation Award through Boston University. S.E.S. was supported by the NIH (R01
- 30 GM078240 and R24 GM111625).
- 31

1 Author Contributions

- 2 H.G.C. conceived the initial idea and designed and performed most of the experiments. P.O.E.
- 3 performed the microscopy and imaging. C.R. performed the mass spectrometry analysis. J.L.
- 4 contributed to discussions and suggested guidelines for mass spectroscopy. S.E.S. provided
- 5 information on storage and usage of FQI1. U.H. and S.P. supervised the work. H.G.C., U.H., and
- 6 S.P. wrote the manuscript, which was reviewed by all authors.
- 7

8 **Conflict of Interest**

- 9 The authors declare no conflicts of interest.
- 10

11 References

- Alexandrova N, Niklinski J, Bliskovsky V, Otterson GA, Blake M, Kaye FJ, Zajac-Kaye M
 (1995) The N-terminal domain of c-Myc associates with α-tubulin and microtubules in vivo
- 15 and in vitro. *Mol Cell Biol*, **15**, 5188-5195.
- Andrews NC, Faller DV (1991) A rapid micropreparation technique for extraction of DNA binding proteins from limiting numbers of mammalian cells. *Nucleic Acids Res*, 19, 2499.
- Brownell JE, Zhou J, Ranalli T, Kobayashi R, Edmondson DG, Roth SY, Allis CD (1996)
 Tetrahymena histone acetyltransferase A: A homolog to yeast Gcn5p linking histone
- 20 acetylation to gene activation. *Cell*, **84**, 843-851.
- Chin HG, Ponnaluri VKC, Zhang G, Estève P-O, Schaus SE, Hansen U, Pradhan S (2016)
 Transcription factor LSF-DNMT1 complex dissociation by FQI1 leads to aberrant DNA
 methylation and gene expression. *Oncotarget*, 7, 83627-83640.
- Dillon SC, Zhang X, Trievel RC, Cheng X (2005) The SET-domain protein superfamily: protein
 lysine methyltransferases. *Genome Biol*, 6, 227.
- Dong C, Li Z, Alvarez R, Jr., Feng X-H, Goldschmidt-Clermont PJ (2000) Microtubule binding
 to Smads may regulate TGFβ activity. *Mol Cell*, 5, 27-34.
- Estève P-O, Chin HG, Smallwood A, Feehery GR, Gangisetty O, Karpf AR, Carey MF, Pradhan
 S (2006) Direct interaction between DNMT1 and G9a coordinates DNA and histone
 methylation during replication. *Genes Dev*, 20, 3089-3103.
- Fan R-H, Li J, Wu N, Chen P-S (2011) Late SV40 factor: A key mediator of Notch signaling in
 human hepatocarcinogenesis. *World J Gastroenterol*, 17, 3420-3430.

Fang J, Feng Q, Ketel CS, Wang H, Cao R, Xia L, Erdjument-Bromage H, Tempst P, Simon JA,
 Zhang Y (2002) Purification and functional characterization of SET8, a nucleosomal histone
 H4-lysine 20-specific methyltransferase. *Curr Biol*, **12**, 1086-1099.

- Giannakakou P, Sackett DL, Ward Y, Webster KR, Blagosklonny MV, Fojo T (2000) p53 is
 associated with cellular microtubules and is transported to the nucleus by dynein. *Nat Cell Biol*, 2, 709-717.
- Grant TJ, Bishop JA, Christadore LM, Barot G, Chin HG, Woodson S, Kavouris J, Siddiq A,
 Gredler R, Shen X-N, Sherman J, Meehan T, Fitzgerald K, Pradhan S, Briggs LA, Andrews
 WH, Sarkar D, Schaus SE, Hansen U (2012) Antiproliferative small molecule inhibitors of
 transcription factor LSF reveal oncogene addiction to LSF in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*, 109, 4503-4508.
- Gu Y, Li H, Zhao L, Zhao S, He W, Rui L, Su C, Zheng H, Su R (2015) GRP78 confers the
 resistance to 5-FU by activating the c-Src/LSF/TS axis in hepatocellular carcinoma.
 Oncotarget, 6, 33658-33674.
- Guo Z, Wu C, Wang X, Wang C, Zhang R, Shan B (2012) A polymorphism at the miR-502
 binding site in the 3'-untranslated region of the histone methyltransferase *SET8* is associated
 with hepatocellular carcinoma outcome. *Int J Cancer*, **131**, 1318-1322.
- Hansen U, Owens L, Saxena UH (2009) Transcription factors LSF and E2Fs: Tandem cyclists
 driving G0 to S? *Cell Cycle*, 8, 2146-2151.
- Hassig CA, Schreiber SL (1997) Nuclear histone acetylases and deacetylases and transcriptional
 regulation: HATs off to HDACs. *Curr Opin Chem Biol*, 1, 300-308.
- Hou L, Li Q, Yu Y, Li M, Zhang D (2016) SET8 induces epithelial-mesenchymal transition and
 enhances prostate cancer cell metastasis by cooperating with ZEB1. *Mol Med Rep*, 13, 1681 1688.
- Ikegami K, Mukai M, Tsuchida J, Heier RL, Macgregor GR, Setou M (2006) TTLL7 is a
 mammalian β-tubulin polyglutamylase required for growth of MAP2-positive neurites. J
 Biol Chem, 281, 30707-30716.
- Janke C (2014) The tubulin code: molecular components, readout mechanisms, and functions. J
 Cell Biol, 206, 461-472.
- Janke C, Rogowski K, Wloga D, Regnard C, Kajava AV, Strub J-M, Temurak N, van Dijk J,
 Boucher D, van Dorsselaer A, Suryavanshi S, Gaertig J, Eddé B (2005) Tubulin
 polyglutamylase enzymes are members of the TTL domain protein family. *Science*, 308,
 1758-1762.
- Kim JS, Son SH, Kim MY, Choi D, Jang I-S, Paik SS, Chae JH, Uversky VN, Kim CG (2017)
 Diagnostic and prognostic relevance of CP2c and YY1 expression in hepatocellular
 carcinoma. *Oncotarget*, 8, 24389-24400.

Komlodi-Pasztor E, Sackett DL, Fojo AT (2012) Inhibitors targeting mitosis: tales of how great

drugs against a promising target were brought down by a flawed rationale. Clin Cancer Res,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

18, 51-63.

- Kotarba G, Krzywinska E, Grabowska AI, Taracha A, Wilanowski T (2018) TFCP2/TFCP2L1/UBP1 transcription factors in cancer. Cancer Lett, 420, 72-79. Laursen L (2014) A preventable cancer. Nature, 516, S2-S3. Lu C, Zhang J, He S, Wan C, Shan A, Wang Y, Yu L, Liu G, Chen K, Shi J, Zhang Y, Ni R (2013) Increased α -tubulin1b expression indicates poor prognosis and resistance to chemotherapy in hepatocellular carcinoma. Dig Dis Sci, 58, 2713-2720. Maxwell SA, Ames SK, Sawai ET, Decker GL, Cook RG, Butel JS (1991) Simian virus 40 large T antigen and p53 are microtubule-associated proteins in transformed cells. Cell Growth *Differ*, **2**, 115-127. McKay DJ, Klusza S, Penke TJR, Meers MP, Curry KP, McDaniel SL, Malek PY, Cooper SW, Tatomer DC, Lieb JD, Strahl BD, Duronio RJ, Matera AG (2015) Interrogating the function of metazoan histones using engineered gene clusters. Dev Cell, 32, 373-386. Milite C, Feoli A, Viviano M, Rescigno D, Cianciulli A, Balzano AL, Mai A, Castellano S, Sbardella G (2016) The emerging role of lysine methyltransferase SETD8 in human diseases. Clin Epigenetics, 8, 102. Niklinski J, Claassen G, Meyers C, Gregory MA, Allegra CJ, Kaye FJ, Hann SR, Zajac-Kaye M (2000) Disruption of Myc-tubulin interaction by hyperphosphorylation of c-Myc during mitosis or by constitutive hyperphosphorylation of mutant c-Myc in Burkitt's lymphoma. Mol Cell Biol, 20, 5276-5284. Nishioka K, Rice JC, Sarma K, Erdjument-Bromage H, Werner J, Wang Y, Chujkov S, Valenzuela P, Tempst P, Steward R, Lis JT, Allis CD, Reinberg D (2002) PR-Set7 is a nucleosome-specific methyltransferase that modifies lysine 20 of histone H4 and is associated with silent chromatin. Mol Cell, 9, 1201-1213. Park IY, Powell RT, Tripathi DN, Dere R, Ho TH, Blasius TL, Chiang YC, Davis IJ, Fahey CC, Hacker KE, Verhey KJ, Bedford MT, Jonasch E, Rathmell WK, Walker CL (2016) Dual Chromatin and Cytoskeletal Remodeling by SETD2. Cell, 166, 950-962. Powell CMH, Rudge TL, Zhu O, Johnson LF, Hansen U (2000) Inhibition of the mammalian transcription factor LSF induces S-phase-dependent apoptosis by downregulating thymidylate synthase expression. EMBO J, 19, 4665-4675. Rajasekaran D, Siddiq A, Willoughby JLS, Biagi JM, Christadore LM, Yunes SA, Gredler R, Jariwala N, Robertson CL, Akiel MA, Shen X-N, Subler MA, Windle JJ, Schaus SE, Fisher PB, Hansen U, Sarkar D (2015) Small molecule inhibitors of Late SV40 Factor (LSF) abrogate hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC): Evaluation using an endogenous HCC model. Oncotarget, 6, 26266-26277.
 - 18

- 1 Rice JC, Nishioka K, Sarma K, Steward R, Reinberg D, Allis CD (2002) Mitotic-specific
- 2 methylation of histone H4 Lys 20 follows increased PR-Set7 expression and its localization
 3 to mitotic chromosomes. *Genes Dev*, 16, 2225-2230.
- Seol HS, Lee SE, Song JS, Rhee J-K, Singh SR, Chang S, Jang SJ (2016) Complement proteins
 C7 and CFH control the stemness of liver cancer cells via LSF-1. *Cancer Lett*, 372, 24-35.
- Shi X, Kachirskaia I, Yamaguchi H, West LE, Wen H, Wang EW, Dutta S, Appella E, Gozani O
 (2007) Modulation of p53 function by SET8-mediated methylation at lysine 382. *Mol Cell*,
 27, 636-646.
- Song Y, Brady ST (2015) Post-translational modifications of tubulin: pathways to functional
 diversity of microtubules. *Trends Cell Biol*, 25, 125-136.
- Stanton RA, Gernert KM, Nettles JH, Aneja R (2011) Drugs that target dynamic microtubules: a
 new molecular perspective. *Med Res Rev*, **31**, 443-481.
- Struhl K (1999) Fundamentally different logic of gene regulation in eukaryotes and prokaryotes.
 Cell, 98, 1-4.
- Taunton J, Hassig CA, Schreiber SL (1996) A mammalian histone deacetylase related to the
 yeast transcriptional regulator Rpd3p. *Science*, 272, 408-411.
- 17 Thul PJ, Åkesson L, Wiking M, Mahdessian D, Geladaki A, Ait Blal H, Alm T, Asplund A,
- 18 Björk L, Breckels LM, Bäckström A, Danielsson F, Fagerberg L, Fall J, Gatto L, Gnann C,
- 19 Hober S, Hjelmare M, Johansson F, Lee S, Lindskog C, Mulder J, Mulvey CM, Nilsson P,
- 20 Oksvold P, Rockberg J, Schutten R, Schwenk JM, Sivertsson Å, Sjöstedt E, Skogs M,
- 21 Stadler C, Sullivan DP, Tegel H, Winsnes C, Zhang C, Zwahlen M, Mardinoglu A, Pontén
- F, von Feilitzen K, Lilley KS, Uhlén M, Lundberg E (2017) A subcellular map of the human
 proteome. *Science*, **356**, eaal3321.
- 24 Verhey KJ, Gaertig J (2007) The tubulin code. Cell Cycle, 6, 2152-2160.
- Wu S, Rice JC (2011) A new regulator of the cell cycle: the PR-Set7 histone methyltransferase.
 Cell Cycle, 10, 68-72.
- Yoo BK, Emdad L, Gredler R, Fuller C, Dumur CI, Jones KH, Cook-Jackson C, Su Z, Chen D,
 Saxena UH, Hansen U, Fisher PB, Sarkar D (2010) Transcription factor Late SV40 Factor
 (LSF) functions as an oncogene in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 107,
 8357-8362.
- Zhang X, Sun F, Qiao Y, Zheng W, Liu Y, Chen Y, Wu Q, Liu X, Zhu G, Chen Y, Yu Y, Pan Q,
 Wang J (2017) TFCP2 Is Required for YAP-Dependent Transcription to Stimulate Liver
 Malignancy. *Cell Rep*, 21, 1227-1239.
- Ziegelbauer J, Shan B, Yager D, Larabell C, Hoffmann B, Tjian R (2001) Transcription factor
 MIZ-1 is regulated via microtubule association. *Mol Cell*, **8**, 339-349.
- 36

1 Figure Legends

1	Tigui		
2	Figure 1. SET8 associates with tubulin in cells and directly interacts with α -tubulin <i>in vitro</i> .		
3	A	Colocalization of SET8 and α -tubulin in COS7 cells. GFP-SET8 (green) was expressed in	
4		asynchronous cells, tubulin was detected with anti- α -tubulin antibody (red) and DNA	
5		with DAPI (blue). Yellow in the merged image indicates colocalization of SET8 and	
6		α -tubulin. Images are from cells identified as being in the indicated stages of cell cycle	
7		progression. Scale bars: 10 µm.	
8	В	Endogenous SET8 is localized in both nucleus and cytoplasm in HEK293T cells. Whole	
9		cell extract (WCE), cytoplasmic, and nuclear fractions were separated and analyzed for	
10		the presence of SET8, β -actin (present in both), MEK1/2 (predominantly cytoplasmic	
11		marker), and histone H3 (nuclear marker).	
12	С	Co-immunoprecipitation from HEK293T cells of endogenous tubulins with endogenous	
13		SET8, using SET8 antibody (Ab). Right lane: >99% pure tubulin (MP Biomedical,	
14		#0877112) as a positive control. Immunoprecipitates were analyzed using antibodies	
15		against the indicated proteins by immunoblotting (IB).	
16	D	Co-immunoprecipitation from HEK293T cells of endogenous SET8 with transiently	
17		expressed Flag-tagged tubulins, as detected by immunoprecipitation with antibody	
18		against Flag.	
19	Ε	MBP-pull down analysis of purified His-SET8 with MBP- α -tubulin, but not MBP-	
20		β -tubulin. Top: Immunoblot (IB) in which biotinylated molecular weight markers are	
21		visualized; bottom: Coomassie staining of the same gel (shown in grayscale) in which	
22		standard molecular weight markers are visualized. *Expected positions of migration of	
23		the MBP-proteins.	
24	F	GST-pull down analysis of purified porcine brain tubulin to full-length or the indicated	
25		overlapping segments of SET8 fused to GST. Top: immunoblot (IB) in which	
26		biotinylated molecular weight markers are visualized; bottom: Ponceau staining of the	
27		same gels (shown in grayscale) in which standard molecular weight markers are	
28		visualized. *Expected positions of migration of the GST-proteins.	
29			

1	Figur	e 2. Histone methytransferase SET8 methylates α-tubulin at K311.
2	A	Purified porcine tubulin (rPeptide, # T-1201-1) is methylated by SET8. Lane 1: histone
3		H4 (1 μ g) was added in addition to tubulin as substrates. Top: autoradiogram of
4		methyltransferase assays, showing methylation of tubulin (*), histone H4, and
5		automethylation of GST-SET8. $\#$ indicates the migration of [³ H]-labeled impurities,
6		which migrated at a similar position to that of Histone H4. Bottom: Coomassie-staining
7		of the same gel (shown in grayscale) indicating relative levels of the components in the
8		reaction.
9	В	Recombinant human MBP- α -tubulin (*), but not MBP- β -tubulin, is methylated by SET8.
10		Autoradiogram (top) and Coomassie-staining (bottom) are as described in A. Protein
11		bands <50 kDa are from the purified GST-SET8 preparation, and are more evident in this
12		experiment than in other reactions.
13	С	Mass spectrum and table of expected m/z of the peptide fragments (with observed
14		fragments in red) confirming methylation on K311 of α -tubulin after incubation of
15		purified tubulin with SET8.
16	D	The 3-dimensional structure of the α/β -tubulin heterodimer (PDB ID 1JFF; purple:
17		α -tubulin; blue: β -tubulin), indicating positions of lysines in α -tubulin targeted by SET8
18		in vitro (green). Inside and outside surfaces of the MT structure are indicated.
19	Ε	Mutation solely of K311 in recombinant MBP-a-tubulin (K311S) substantially reduced
20		methylation by GST-SET8 in vitro. Autoradiogram (top) and Coomassie-staining
21		(bottom) are as described in A.
22		
23	Figur	e 3. LSF interacts directly with SET8 and tubulin.
24	A	GST-pull down analysis of purified His-LSF to full-length or the indicated overlapping
25		segments of SET8 fused to GST. Top: immunoblot (IB) in which biotinylated molecular
26		weight markers are visualized; bottom: Ponceau staining of the same gels (shown in
27		grayscale) in which standard molecular weight markers are visualized. *Expected
28		positions of migration of the GST-proteins.
29	В	GST-pull down analysis of purified porcine tubulin to purified full-length or the indicated
30		overlapping segments of LSF fused to GST. Gels are as described in A. * or bracket:
31		Expected positions of migration of the GST-proteins.

1	С	GST-pull down analysis of recombinant, purified His-tagged LSF to purified α -tubulin
2		fused to GST. Gels are as described in A, except that the protein gel was stained with
3		Coomassie.
4	D	Plasmids expressing 3xFLAG-LSF and GFP-SET8 were transfected into COS7 cells.
5		Anti-FLAG antibody was visualized with a red fluorescing secondary antibody, and DNA
6		was visualized with DAPI. The merged image indicates colocalization of GFP-SET8 with
7		FLAG-LSF (yellow), concentrated largely near the nuclear membrane (Manders
8		correlation coefficient of LSF and SET8 colocalization is 0.9, as determined via the
9		Image J 3D analysis). The majority of overexpressed 3XFlagLSF was cytoplasmic with
10		only a minority detected in the nucleus.
11	Ε	Specific co-immunoprecipitation of endogenous SET8 (top) and endogenous α -tubulin
12		(bottom) from HEK293 cellular extracts, using antibodies to LSF as compared to control
13		IgG.
14	F	Specific co-immunoprecipitation of endogenous LSF from HEK293 cellular extracts,
15		using antibodies to SET8 as compared to control IgG.
16	G	Immunoblotting of purified porcine brain tubulin (rPeptide, >97%) shows the presence of
17		LSF, using a LSF monoclonal antibody. Representative also of results obtained using a
18		separate source of purified tubulin: MP Biomedical >99%. Positive control for LSF
19		migration: 293T WCE (HEK293T cell whole cell extract). Top: immunoblot; bottom:
20		Ponceau staining using standard molecular weight markers.
21		
22	Figur	e 4. LSF and FQI1 oppositely affect methylation of tubulin by SET8.
23	Α	Tubulin (>99%, MP Biomedical) methylation reactions were performed with addition of
24		the indicated, increasing range of concentrations of LSF. Top: autoradiogram of
25		methyltransferase assays, showing methylation of tubulin (*) and automethylation of
26		GST-SET8. The higher relative levels of GST-SET8 to α/β -tubulin in this experiment led
27		to greater initial automethylation of SET8 relative to tubulin methylation. # indicates the
28		migration of [3H]-labeled impurities. Bottom: Coomassie-staining of the same gel (shown
29		in grayscale) indicating relative levels of the components in the reaction. As in Fig 2B,
30		protein bands <50 kDa are from the purified GST-SET8 preparation, and are more
31		evident in this experiment.

1	В	Co-immunoprecipitation of endogenous α -tubulin with endogenous LSF from HEK293T
2		cell lysates was disrupted upon treatment of the cells with 2.5 μ M FQI1 for 24 hr.
3	С	Tubulin (>99%, MP Biomedical) methylation reactions were performed with addition of
4		the indicated, increasing range of concentrations of FQI1. At 100 μ M FQI1 (lane 4),
5		methylation is decreased ~3-fold. Gels are labeled as in A.
6	D	Histone H4 methylation reactions at limiting amounts of histone H4 (200 ng) were
7		performed with addition of the indicated, increasing range of concentrations of FQI1.
8		Gels are labeled as in A.
9	Ε	Model for the recruitment and/or activation of SET8 at microtubules by LSF, and the
10		subsequent methylation of α -tubulin by SET8.
11		









