Serological and metagenomic interrogation of cerebrospinal fluid implicates enteroviruses in pediatric acute flaccid myelitis

Ryan D. Schubert, MD[1,2], Isobel Hawes, BS[1*], Prashanth S. Ramachandran, MBBS[1,2*], Akshaya Ramesh, PhD[1,2*], Emily D. Crawford, PhD[3,4], John E. Pak, PhD[3], Wesley Wu, PhD[3], Carly K. Cheung, BS[3], Brian D. O'Donovan, PhD[5], Cristina M. Tato, PhD[3], Amy Lyden, BS[3], Michelle Tan, BS[3], Rene Sit, BA[3], Gavin Sowa, BS[6], Hannah A. Sample, BS[5], Kelsey C. Zorn, MHS[5], Debarko Banerji, BS[2], Lillian M. Khan, BS[5], Riley Bove, MD[1,2], Stephen L. Hauser, MD[1,2], Amy A. Gelfand, MD, MAS[1], Bethany Johnson-Kerner, MD, PhD[1,2], Kendall Nash, MD[1], Kalpathy S. Krishnamoorthy, MD[7], Tanuja Chitnis, MD[7,8], Joy Z. Ding, MD[9], Hugh J. McMillan, MD, MSc[9], Charles Y. Chiu, MD, PhD[10], Benjamin Briggs, MD, PhD[11], Carol A. Glaser, DVM, MPVM, MD[12], Cynthia Yen, MPH[13], Victoria Chu, MD, MPH[13], Debra A. Wadford, PhD[13], Samuel R. Dominguez, MD, PhD[14], Terry Fei Fan Ng, PhD[15], Rachel L. Marine, PhD[15], Adriana S. Lopez, MHS[15], W. Allan Nix, BS[15], Ariane Soldatos, MD, MPH[16], Mark P. Gorman, MD[17], Leslie Benson, MD[17], Kevin Messacar, MD[14], Jennifer L. Konopka-Anstadt, PhD[15], M. Steven Oberste, PhD[15], Joseph L. DeRisi, PhD[3,5], Michael R. Wilson, MD, MAS[1,2**]

Title Characters with Spaces: 125

Abstract Word Count: 278

Article Word Count: 2082

Number of references: 33

Number of display items: 4 (3 figures, 1 table)

24   Supplemental items: 13 (6 figures, 7 tables)

*Authors contributed equally

Affiliations:

[1]UCSF Weill Institute for Neurosciences, San Francisco, CA, USA

[2]UCSF Department of Neurology, San Francisco, CA, USA

[3]Chan Zuckerberg Biohub, San Francisco, CA, USA

[4]UCSF Department of Microbiology and Immunology, San Francisco, CA, USA

[5]UCSF Department of Biochemistry & Biophysics, San Francisco, CA, USA

[6]UCSF School of Medicine, San Francisco, CA, USA

[7]Department of Neurology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA

[8]Department of Neurology, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA

[9]Division of Neurology, Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario, University of Ottawa, Ottawa,

Ontario, Canada.

[10]Department of Laboratory Medicine and Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases, University

of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, USA

[11]Department of Pediatrics, Division of Infectious Diseases, University of California, San

Francisco, San Francisco, USA

[12]Department of Pediatric Infectious Diseases, Kaiser Permanente Oakland Medical Center,

Oakland, California, USA

[13]Division of Communicable Disease Control, California Department of Public Health,

Richmond, California

[14]Children's Hospital Colorado and Department of Pediatrics, University of Colorado School of

Medicine, Aurora, CO, USA.

[15]Division of Viral Diseases, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA, USA

[16]National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (NINDS), NIH, Bethesda, Maryland,

USA.

[17]Department of Neurology, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA

51
52   **Corresponding Author Information:
53

Name: Dr. Michael Wilson

Address: UCSF, Department of Neurology, Division of Neuroimmunology and Glial Biology,

675 Nelson Rising Lane, NS212, Campus Box 3206, San Francisco, CA 94158

Email: michael.wilson@ucsf.edu

Phone: 415-502-7429

Abstract

Background

Since 2014, the United States has experienced a biennial spike in pediatric acute flaccid myelitis

(AFM). Epidemiologic evidence suggests non-polio enteroviruses (EVs) are a potential etiology,

yet EV RNA is rarely detected in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and only inconsistently identified

from the respiratory tract, serum, or stool.

Methods

We interrogated CSF from children with AFM (n=42) and pediatric controls with other

neurologic diseases (OND) (n=58). Samples were incubated with T7 bacteriophage expressing

481,966 sixty-two amino acid peptides with a fourteen amino acid overlap tiled across all known

vertebrate virus and arbovirus genomes, an adaption of the VirScan method. Antibody-bound

phage were deep sequenced to quantify enriched peptides with normalized counts expressed as

reads per hundred thousand (rpK). EV antibody findings were confirmed with ELISA using

whole viral protein 1 (VP1) from contemporary enterovirus (EV) A71 and D68 strains.

Separately, metagenomic next-generation sequencing (mNGS) of CSF RNA, both unbiased and

with targeted enrichment for EVs, was performed.

Results

The most significantly enriched viral family by VirScan of CSF in AFM versus OND controls

was *Picornaviridae* (mean rpK 11,266 versus mean rpK 950, p-adjusted < 0.001, Wilcoxon

signed-rank test with Bonferroni adjustment). Enriched *Picornaviridae* peptides belonged almost

entirely to the genus *Enterovirus*. The mean EV VP1 ELISA signal in AFM (mean OD 0.51) was

significantly higher than OND controls (mean OD 0.08, p-value < 0.001, Mann-Whitney test).

mNGS did not detect additional enterovirus RNA in CSF.

Conclusion

Despite the rare detection of EV RNA in the CNS of patients with AFM, a pan-viral serologic

assay identified high levels of CSF EV antibodies in AFM CSF compared to CSF from OND

controls. These results provide further evidence for a causal role of non-polio enteroviruses in

AFM.

Introduction

First detected in California in 2012, the United States has experienced seasonal, biennial

increases in the incidence of acute flaccid myelitis (AFM) cases.[1] Since 2014, the Centers for

Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has reported over 500 confirmed cases.[2-6] The nationwide

surges in AFM in 2014, 2016, and 2018 have coincided temporally and geographically with

outbreaks of enterovirus (EV) D68 and EV-A71 infections.[3,7-10] EVs, including poliovirus, are

well recognized for their neuroinvasive capacity and resultant central nervous system (CNS)

pathology, ranging from self-resolving aseptic meningitis to fulminant, sometimes fatal,

brainstem encephalitis, and to myelitis leading to permanent debilitating paralysis.[11]

Despite the temporal association between EV-D68 and EV-A71 outbreaks and AFM and a

mouse model that recapitulates the AFM phenotype with a contemporary EV-D68 strain,[12] the

etiology of AFM has been difficult to confirm.[13,14] Thus, concerns persist that AFM could result

from yet-to-be-identified pathogens or a para-infectious immune response. This is due, in part, to

the fact that less than half of children with AFM have had EV detected in a non-sterile biologic

specimen (nasopharyngeal or oropharyngeal swabs most commonly, rectal and stool samples less

commonly), and no other alternative candidate etiologic agents have been identified in the

remaining children.[4] In addition, only 2% of children with AFM have EV nucleic acid detected

in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF).[15,16]

The immune privileged status of the CNS makes direct detection of viral nucleic acid or indirect

discovery of intrathecal anti-viral antibodies an important step in linking a pathogen to a

neuroinfectious disease. We interrogated CSF from AFM patients (n=42) from recent outbreaks

with unbiased ultra-deep metagenomic next-generation sequencing (mNGS), including with a

novel CRISPR-Cas9 based enrichment technique. Furthermore, to search for virome-wide

antibody signals that might be associated with AFM, we employed the VirScan approach that

was previously developed to detect antibodies to all known human viruses.[17] To improve upon

this detection method we generated a large and more finely tiled peptide library in the T7 phage

display vector described in detail in Methods.

Methods

Detailed methods for data collection, human subjects review, mNGS, VirScan, bioinformatics,

and independent confirmatory testing with ELISA are provided in the Supplemental Appendix.

Case-Control Design

All AFM cases met the 2018 US Council of State and Territorial Epidemiologists case definition

for probable or confirmed AFM (Supplemental Table 1).[18] Patient samples were collected either

through enrollment in research studies or through public health surveillance. In addition, residual

banked CSF was obtained from children with other neurologic diseases (ONDs) without

suspected primary EV infection for controls.

Metagenomic Sequencing Library Preparation

RNA sequencing libraries were prepared using a previously described protocol optimized and

adapted for miniaturization and automation.[19] Libraries were sequenced on a NovaSeq 6000

machine (Illumina) to generate 150 nucleotide (nt), paired-end reads. Samples were also

sequenced after enrichment for EV-A71 and EV-D68 genomes using FLASH (Finding Low

Abundance Sequences by Hybridization, Supplemental Table 2).[20] All NGS libraries were

depleted of host ribosomal RNA with DASH and spiked-in with External RNA Controls

Consortium (ERCC) sequences as previously described.[21,22]

141   *Metagenomic Bioinformatics*

142   As previously described, pathogens were identified from raw mNGS sequencing reads using

143   IDseq v3.2, a cloud-based, open-source bioinformatics platform designed for detection of

144   microbes from mNGS data.[23]

146   *Pan-Viral CSF Serologic Testing with VirScan*

The previously published VirScan method is a variation on phage immunoprecipitation-

sequencing (PhIP-Seq), displaying viral peptides on the outer surface of bacteriophage for the

purposes of antibody detection followed by deep sequencing.[17,24] Similarly, we constructed a T7

bacteriophage display library comprised of 481,966 sixty-two amino acid peptides with a 14

amino acid overlap tiled across a representative set of full-length, vertebrate, mosquito-borne,

and tick-borne viral genomes downloaded from the UniProt and RefSeq databases in February

2017. After amplification, phage libraries were incubated with 2 µL of patient CSF overnight

and then immunoprecipitated for two rounds. Barcoded phage DNA was sequenced on a HiSeq

4000 machine (Illumina) using 150 nt paired-end reads.

157   *VirScan Bioinformatics*

Sequencing reads were aligned to a reference database comprising the full viral peptide library.

Peptide counts were normalized by dividing by the sum of counts and multiplying by 100,000

(reads per hundred thousand (rpK)).[25-27] Phage results were filtered using a cutoff fold-change of

greater than 10 above the mean background rpK generated from null IPs.

Independent Validation with ELISA

To independently validate our VirScan results, we generated recombinant viral protein 1 (VP1)

from recent AFM-associated EV-A71 and EV-D68 strains and performed ELISA to detect EV

antibodies with AFM CSF samples for which sufficient CSF remained (n=26) and OND controls

(n=50). Signal was measured as the optical density (OD) at 450 nm. For each sample, we

considered the higher of the two (EV-A71 or EV-D68) OD values when analyzing cases and

controls.

Results

Cases and Controls

42 AFM cases and 58 OND controls were included in the study (Supplemental Figure 1). Patient

demographics are described in Table 1. The AFM cases were younger (median age 37.8 months,

interquartile range [IQR], 11 to 64 months) than the OND controls (median age 120 months,

IQR, 66 to 174 months), with a p-value of 0.0497 (as determined by an unpaired parametric t-

test). There was a higher proportion of males in the AFM cases. AFM cases and OND controls

from the Western and Northeastern USA (Supplemental Figure 2) make up the majority of both

categories. Cases from 2018 make up the majority of the AFM cases.

Ultra-Deep Metagenomic Next-Generation Sequencing Rarely Detects Enterovirus in

AFM

We obtained an average of 433 million 150 nt paired-end reads per sample (range, 304 - 569

million reads per sample). Based on the ERCC RNA spike-ins, we estimated that our mean limit

of detection was 5.48 attograms (range, 3.92 to 17.47 attograms).[21] EV-A71 was detected in one

AFM sample at 71.31 rpM (1497.3 rpM in FLASH-NGS, Supplemental Table 3). This sample

was previously known to be EV-A71 positive by Sanger sequencing. No other pathogenic

organisms were detected in this or any of the other AFM samples.

190   ***CSF VirScan Testing Detects Enterovirus in AFM***

The most significantly enriched viral family by VirScan of CSF in AFM cases (n = 42) versus

OND controls (n = 58) was *Picornaviridae* (mean rpK 11,266, IQR 16,324 versus mean rpK 950

IQR 948, p-adjusted = 1 x 10^{-7} Wilcoxon signed-rank test with Bonferroni adjustment,

Supplemental Table 4). Enriched *Picornaviridae* peptides belonged almost entirely to the genus

Enterovirus (Figure 1A-C, Supplemental Table 5). Peptides mapping to *Caliciviridae*,

Ascoviridae, *Baculoviridae* and unclassified viruses were also significantly enriched in AFM

relative to OND controls (p-adjusted = 0.004, 0.025, 0.021, and 0.039, respectively by Wilcoxon

signed-rank test with Bonferroni adjustment) but with a mean rpK 6.4 to 74.7 times lower than

for *Picornaviridae* (Figure 1A and Supplemental Table 4). We detected 3.4-fold more

Caliciviridae in AFM versus OND (mean rpK 151 IQR 132 versus mean rpK 44 IQR 0, p-

adjusted < 0.01). We did not further consider viruses with non-human hosts.

Enriched EV peptides were derived from proteins across the EV genome (Figure 2A,

Supplemental Table 6). Among capsid protein sequences, KVPALQAAEIGA in VP1 has been

previously reported to be an immunodominant linear EV epitope.[28] Peptides containing this and

related overlapping epitopes were enriched in our data across AFM patients, with multiple

sequence alignment revealing a consensus motif of PxLxAxExG (Figure 2B). Another

immunodominant epitope was to a conserved, linear portion of $3D^{pol}$ (Figure 2C).

210   ***Enterovirus VP1 ELISA confirms VirScan Findings***

Consistent with the VirScan data, the mean EV VP1 ELISA signal in AFM (n = 26, mean OD

0.51 IQR 0.56) was significantly higher than OND controls (n = 50, mean OD 0.08 IQR 0.06, p-

value < 0.001 by Mann-Whitney test, Figure 3 and Supplemental Table 7). Mean EV signal

detected by phage and ELISA demonstrated a linear correlation (R^2 = 0.48, p-value < 0.001,

Supplemental Figure 2). Among AFM patients, mean CSF EV antibody detected by either

ELISA or VirScan did not differ based on whether EV RNA had been previously detected (n

=15) or not (n = 11, mean OD 0.41 versus 0.65 by ELISA; mean rpK 6,444 vs 13,975 by

VirScan, p-value = not significant for both comparisons). We attempted to identify whether a

patient was infected with either EV-A71 or EV-D68 using both VirScan and ELISA but both

assays yielded cross-reactivity, an expected issue with EV ELISA (Supplemental Figure 3). We

did not observe an obvious independent effect of geography, year, or season on either the

VirScan total EV or the ELISA VP1 EV data (Supplemental Figures 4-6).

Discussion

We combined unbiased ultra-deep mNGS with an adaption of the VirScan method[17] for

comprehensively detecting anti-viral antibodies to query CSF from a relatively large (n=42) and

geographically diverse subset of children presenting with AFM since 2014.[17] Ultra-deep mNGS

combined with FLASH enrichment for EV-A71 and EV-D68 confirmed the presence of EV

RNA in a single sample that was previously known to be positive for EV-A71 by PCR and failed

to discover any other pathogen. There are a number of possible reasons for the lack of detectable

EV nucleic acid in the CSF of AFM patients by mNGS or other methods. Clinically,

radiologically and similarly to poliomyelitis, the CNS tissue involved in AFM is often restricted

to the anterior horn cells in the cervical spinal cord, making it possible that little to no virus is

shed into the CSF. In addition, children with AFM typically present with neurologic symptoms a

median of 5-7 days after prodromal illness onset, decreasing the probability of RNA detection.[29]

Lack of consistent identification of viral nucleic acid in CSF is not limited to AFM, rather

it is common to a wide range of neuroinvasive viruses, including poliovirus, rabies, West Nile

virus, and other arboviruses.[30] As a result, detection of intrathecal antibody production through

CSF serologic testing is the gold standard for diagnosis of many neuroinvasive viruses, notably

West Nile virus and varicella zoster virus.[31,32] Thus, we supplemented CSF mNGS with VirScan

to comprehensively profile CSF anti-viral antibodies in AFM cases and OND controls. VirScan

revealed high levels of CSF immunoreactivity to immunodominant EV epitopes in AFM,

independent of whether EV RNA had previously been detected in clinical testing of CSF or

nonsterile sites. Independent testing with EV-A71 and EV-D68 VP1 ELISAs confirmed these

findings. VirScan and whole VP1 ELISA were not able to consistently identify specific binding

to individual EV types, likely owing to cross-reactive immune responses to conserved, linear EV

antigens.[33] There was a non-significant trend towards greater enrichment of EV antibodies in

patients without directly detectable virus in a peripheral site, possibly owing to the rise in titer

that occurs in the weeks following an infection.

This study has important limitations. First, detection of a serologic response to a virus at a single

time point by itself does not fulfill Koch's postulates for establishing causality between a virus

and a particular disease. However, these serologic data support the specificity of the CSF

antibody response to EVs in AFM, helping fulfill the Bradford Hill criteria for making a causal

association.[13,14] Second, further work will be necessary to establish, in a prospective manner, the

diagnostic sensitivity and specificity for CSF EV serology, and thus we have only reported

population level means and medians for our results. Third, our cases and controls were not

optimally matched. Controls had OND, but case and control populations were not similar by age,

year, or season, which are important risk factors for enterovirus infection in the United States.

However, we did not see a significant effect of year or season on EV signal by VirScan or

ELISA in the OND controls. Fourth, we did detect a statistically increased amount of signal to

Caliciviridae in the AFM cases. However, the magnitude of signal was much less than for

Picornaviridae and *Enterovirus*, and its clinical relevance is unclear as this family of viruses is

not typically associated with neuroinvasive disease. We chose to report these preliminary

findings, despite the limitations of the study design, because of the public health urgency of

understanding the etiology of AFM. A prospective study with matched cases and controls is

necessary to confirm our findings.

AFM is a potentially devastating neurologic syndrome whose incidence of reported cases has

risen in the US since 2014 with biennial peaks. In addition, cases have now been detected in 14

other countries across 6 continents.[29] There are no proven treatments for AFM, and like

poliomyelitis, a vaccine may ultimately be the most effective prevention strategy. However, it is

important to first achieve consensus around the likely etiologic agents. While continued

vigilance for other possible etiologies of AFM is warranted, together, our combined mNGS,

VirScan, and viral protein ELISA interrogation of AFM CSF supports the notion that EV

infection likely underlies the majority of AFM cases tested in this study. These results offer a

roadmap for rapid development of EV CSF antibody assays to enable efficient clinical diagnosis

of EV-associated AFM in the future.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by a National Multiple Sclerosis Society-American Brain

Foundation Clinician Scientist Development Award FAN-1608-25607 (RDS), NIH grants

K08NS096117 (MRW) and K23AI28069 (KM), the Chan Zuckerberg Biohub (JLD, CMT, JEP,

EDC, WW, CKC, AL, MT, RS), an endowment from the Rachleff family (MRW), and the

Sandler and William K. Bowes, Jr. Foundations (MRW, KCZ, HAS, CYC, LMK, and JLD). We

would like to thank the patients and their families for their participation in this study.

Disclaimer

The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the author(s) and do not

necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the

National Institutes of Health or the California Department of Public Health.

Figure 1. Enterovirus Immunoreactivity in Acute Flaccid Myelitis on a Pan-Viral Phage

Display Assay. (A) Viral families detected by VirScan or phage display immunoprecipitation

with next-generation sequencing (PhIP-Seq) sorted by their Baltimore classification. Heatmap

color intensity was calculated by subtracting the mean reads per hundred thousand sequenced

(rpK) in the other neurologic disease (OND) cerebrospinal fluid sample set (n=58) from that

observed in acute flaccid myelitis (AFM) CSF (n=42). The maximum and minimum color

intensities reflect +11,000 and -11,000 rpK, respectively. The strongest intensity is observed in

302   the *Picornaviridae* family (boldface type). **(B)** Genus *Enterovirus* demonstrating the strongest

303   enrichment in family *Picornaviridae*. **(C)** Violin plot of the proportion of *Enterovirus* phage per

304   patient with mean and first and third quartile indicated by horizontal lines; Mann-Whitney test

305   corrected for multiple comparisons with a Bonferroni adjustment.

Figure 2. Primary Enterovirus Antigens Identified by Pan-Viral Phage Display in Acute Flaccid Myelitis. We identified 263 unique, enriched antigens with taxonomic identifications mapping to enterovirus (EV) across all acute flaccid myelitis (AFM) cerebrospinal fluid samples (n=42). **(A)** 252 of 263 EV derived peptides were mapped by BLASTP to the 2,193 amino acid polyprotein of EV-A71 (Genbank Accession AXK59213.1) as a model reference. The relative recovery of these peptides by VirScan is plotted as the $\log_2$ of the sum of the differences in the mean signal generated in the AFM and other neurologic disease (OND) cohorts, using a moving average of 32 amino acids, advanced by 4 amino acid steps. **(B)** Multiple sequence alignment of representative enriched EV-derived peptides for the VP1 (blue bar) and 3D (red bar) proteins. Sequences from EV-D68 (Genbank Accession AIT52326.1) and EV-A71 (Genbank Accession

317   AXK59213.1) are included for reference. Amino acids are shaded to indicate shared identity

318   among peptides.

Figure 3. Independent Validation of Pan-Viral Phage Display with Purified Enterovirus

VP1 Capsid Protein. (A) Violin plot that enterovirus (EV) signal generated by ELISA can be

found at similar levels in patients with both previously detected (n=15) and previously

undetected (n=11) EV infections (p = ns). In both AFM cohorts, there was a significantly greater

amount of signal generated by ELISA compared with pediatric other neurologic disease (OND)

controls (n=50) (p < 0.001 for both comparisons, Mann-Whitney test). (B) Similar results by

VirScan with no differences seen when comparing EV signal in those with previously detected

(n=23) and previously undetected (n=19) EV infection (p = ns). When each group was compared

to the OND controls (n=58), both demonstrated significant enrichment of EV signal (p < 0.001;

Mann-Whitney signed-rank test with Bonferroni adjustment for multiple comparisons).

References:

Uncategorized References

1.   Ayscue P, Van Haren K, Sheriff H, et al. Acute flaccid paralysis with anterior myelitis -
California, June 2012-June 2014. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2014;63:903-6.
2.   Sejvar JJ, Lopez AS, Cortese MM, et al. Acute Flaccid Myelitis in the United States,
August-December 2014: Results of Nationwide Surveillance. Clinical infectious diseases : an
official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America 2016;63:737-45.
3.   Van Haren K, Ayscue P, Waubant E, et al. Acute Flaccid Myelitis of Unknown Etiology in
California, 2012-2015. JAMA 2015;314:2663-71.
4.   Greninger AL, Naccache SN, Messacar K, et al. A novel outbreak enterovirus D68 strain
associated with acute flaccid myelitis cases in the USA (2012-14): a retrospective cohort study.
The Lancet Infectious diseases 2015;15:671-82.
5.   McKay SL, Lee AD, Lopez AS, et al. Increase in Acute Flaccid Myelitis - United States,
2018. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2018;67:1273-5.
6.   Chow FC, Bacchetti P, Kim AS, Price RW, Hsue PY. Effect of CD4+ cell count and viral
suppression on risk of ischemic stroke in HIV infection. Aids 2014;28:2573-7.
7.   Messacar K, Pretty K, Reno S, Dominguez SR. Continued biennial circulation of
enterovirus D68 in Colorado. J Clin Virol 2019;113:24-6.
8.   Aliabadi N, Messacar K, Pastula DM, et al. Enterovirus D68 Infection in Children with
Acute Flaccid Myelitis, Colorado, USA, 2014. Emerging infectious diseases 2016;22:1387-94.
9.   Iverson SA, Ostdiek S, Prasai S, et al. Notes from the Field: Cluster of Acute Flaccid
Myelitis in Five Pediatric Patients - Maricopa County, Arizona, 2016. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly
Rep 2017;66:758-60.
10.   Messacar K, Burakoff A, Nix WA, et al. Notes from the Field: Enterovirus A71 Neurologic
Disease in Children - Colorado, 2018. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2018;67:1017-8.
11.   Jubelt B, Lipton HL. Enterovirus/picornavirus infections. Handb Clin Neurol
2014;123:379-416.
12.   Hixon AM, Yu G, Leser JS, et al. A mouse model of paralytic myelitis caused by
enterovirus D68. PLoS pathogens 2017;13:e1006199.
13.   Dyda A, Stelzer-Braid S, Adam D, Chughtai AA, MacIntyre CR. The association between
acute flaccid myelitis (AFM) and Enterovirus D68 (EV-D68) - what is the evidence for causation?
Euro Surveill 2018;23.
14.   Messacar K, Asturias EJ, Hixon AM, et al. Enterovirus D68 and acute flaccid myelitis-
evaluating the evidence for causality. Lancet Infect Dis 2018;18:e239-e47.
15.   Messacar K, Schreiner TL, Van Haren K, et al. Acute flaccid myelitis: A clinical review of
US cases 2012-2015. Ann Neurol 2016;80:326-38.
16.   Moline H, Kalaskar A, Pomputius WF, 3rd, et al. Notes from the Field: Six Cases of Acute
Flaccid Myelitis in Children - Minnesota, 2018. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2019;68:356-8.
17.   Xu GJ, Kula T, Xu Q, et al. Viral immunology. Comprehensive serological profiling of
human populations using a synthetic human virome. Science 2015;348:aaa0698.

18.   Revision to the Standardized Surveillance and Case Definition for Acute Flaccid Myelitis.
2017. (Accessed April 25, 2019, at
https://c.ymcdn.com/sites/www.cste.org/resource/resmgr/2017PS/2017PSFinal/17-ID-01.pdf.)
19.   Mayday MY, Khan LM, Chow ED, Zinter MS, DeRisi JL. Miniaturization and optimization
of 384-well compatible RNA sequencing library preparation. PloS one 2019;14:e0206194.
20.   Quan J, Langelier, C, Kuchta, A, et al. FLASH: A next-generation CRISPR diagnostic for
multiplexed detection of antimicrobial resistance sequences. Nucleic Acids Research In press
2019.
21.   Baker SC, Bauer SR, Beyer RP, et al. The External RNA Controls Consortium: a progress
report. Nat Methods 2005;2:731-4.
22.   Gu W, Crawford ED, O'Donovan BD, et al. Depletion of Abundant Sequences by
Hybridization (DASH): using Cas9 to remove unwanted high-abundance species in sequencing
libraries and molecular counting applications. Genome Biol 2016;17:41.
23.   Ramesh A, Nakielny, S, Hsu, J, et al. Etiology of fever in Ugandan children: identification
of microbial pathogens using metagenomic next-generation sequencing and IDseq, a platform
for unbiased metagenomic analysis. Biorxiv 2019.
24.   Pou C, Nkulikiyimfura D, Henckel E, et al. The repertoire of maternal anti-viral antibodies
in human newborns. Nat Med 2019;25:591-6.
25.   Zhang J, Kobert K, Flouri T, Stamatakis A. PEAR: a fast and accurate Illumina Paired-End
reAd mergeR. Bioinformatics 2014;30:614-20.
26.   Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods
2012;9:357-9.
27.   Exploration of Anti-Yo and Anti-Hu paraneoplastic neurological disorders by PhIP-Seq
reveals a highly restricted pattern of antibody epitopes. 2018. (Accessed April 25, 2019, at
https://doi.org/10.1101/502187.)
28.   Gao F, Wang YP, Mao QY, et al. Enterovirus 71 viral capsid protein linear epitopes:
identification and characterization. Virol J 2012;9:26.
29.   Messacar K, Tyler KL. Enterovirus D68-Associated Acute Flaccid Myelitis: Rising to the
Clinical and Research Challenges. JAMA 2019.
30.   Ramachandran PS, Wilson MR. Diagnostic Testing of Neurologic Infections. Neurologic
clinics 2018;36:687-703.
31.   Gilden D, Cohrs RJ, Mahalingam R, Nagel MA. Varicella zoster virus vasculopathies:
diverse clinical manifestations, laboratory features, pathogenesis, and treatment. Lancet Neurol
2009;8:731-40.
32.   Chabierski S, Barzon L, Papa A, et al. Distinguishing West Nile virus infection using a
recombinant envelope protein with mutations in the conserved fusion-loop. BMC Infect Dis
2014;14:246.
33.   Samuelson A, Forsgren M, Johansson B, Wahren B, Sallberg M. Molecular basis for
serological cross-reactivity between enteroviruses. Clin Diagn Lab Immunol 1994;1:336-41.
411

412 **Table 1. Characteristics of the Patients at Baseline**

	AFM Cases	OND Controls
N	42	58
Age – median (IQR), months	38 (11 to 64)	120 (66-174)
Sex – no. (%)		
Female	13 (31)	32 (55)
Male	29 (69)	26 (45)
Region – no. (%)		
United States		
West	20 (48)	37 (64)
South	7 (17)	4 (7)
Midwest	3 (7)	4 (7)
Northeast	11 (26)	9 (16)
International		
South America	0 (0)	2 (3)
Canada	1 (2)	0 (0)
North Atlantic Island	0 (0)	1 (2)
Middle East	0 (0)	1 (2)
Year – no. (%)		
2014	5 (12)	10 (17)
2015	0 (0)	14 (24)
2016	2 (5)	12 (21)
2017	0 (0)	8 (14)
2018	34 (81)	14 (24)
Season – no. (%)		
Spring	1 (2)	18 (31)
Summer	12 (29)	8 (14)
Fall	24 (57)	20 (34)
Winter	5 (12)	12 (21)
Suspected Etiology – no. (%)		
Infectious	-	23 (40)
Autoimmune	-	22 (38)
Non-inflammatory	-	6 (10)
Malignancy	-	3 (5)
Unavailable	-	4 (7)

415 NB: Percentages may not total 100 because of rounding.