Altered EEG resting-state large-scale brain network dynamics in euthymic bipolar disorder patients

Alena Damborská[1,2*], Camille Piguet[3], Jean-Michel Aubry[3,4], Alexandre G. Dayer[3,4], Christoph M. Michel [1,5], Cristina Berchio[1,3]

[1]Functional Brain Mapping Laboratory, Campus Biotech, Department of Basic Neurosciences, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland
[2]Department of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, Masaryk University and University Hospital Brno, Brno, Czech Republic
[3]Department of Psychiatry, Geneva University Hospital, Service of Psychiatric Specialties, Mood Disorders, Geneva, Switzerland
[4]Department of Psychiatry, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland
[5]Lemanic Biomedical Imaging Centre (CIBM), Geneva, Switzerland

* Correspondence:
Alena Damborská
adambor@med.muni.cz

Keywords: EEG microstate, Large-scale brain networks, Resting state, Dynamic brain activity, Bipolar disorder, High-density EEG.

Abstract

Background: Neuroimaging studies provided evidence for disrupted resting-state functional brain network activity in bipolar disorder (BD). Electroencephalographic (EEG) studies found altered temporal characteristics of functional EEG microstates during depressive episode within different affective disorders. Here we investigated whether euthymic patients with BD show deviant resting-state large-scale brain network dynamics as reflected by altered temporal characteristics of EEG microstates.

Methods: We used high-density EEG to explore between-group differences in duration, coverage and occurrence of the resting-state functional EEG microstates in 17 euthymic adults with BD in on-medication state and 17 age- and gender-matched healthy controls. Two types of anxiety, state and trait, were assessed separately with scores ranging from 20 to 80.

Results: Microstate analysis revealed five microstates (A-E) in global clustering across all subjects. In patients compared to controls, we found increased occurrence and coverage of microstate A that did not significantly correlate with anxiety scores.

Conclusion: Our results provide neurophysiological evidence for altered large-scale brain network dynamics in BD patients and suggest the increased presence of A microstate to be an electrophysiological trait characteristic of BD.

1   Introduction

Bipolar disorder (BD) is a common and severe psychiatric disorder, with an important personal and societal burden (Cloutier et al., 2018; Eaton et al., 2012). The worldwide prevalence of BD is considered to range between 1% and 3% (Merikangas et al., 2011; Ferrari et al., 2016). BD patients are frequently misdiagnosed and often identified at late stages of disease progression, which can lead to inadequate treatment (Hirschfeld, 2007) and worse functional prognosis (Vieta et al., 2018). A better

understanding of the underlying pathophysiology is needed to identify objective biomarkers of BD that
would improve diagnostic and/or treatment stratification of patients.

Possible candidates for neurobiological biomarkers in BD could arise from the abnormalities of
functional brain networks. Evidence from brain imaging studies consistently points to abnormalities in
circuits implicated in emotion regulation and reactivity. Particularly, attenuated frontal and enhanced
limbic activations are reported in BD patients (Chen et al., 2011; Houenou et al., 2011; Kupferschmidt
and Zakzanis, 2011). Interestingly, regions implicated in the pathophysiology of the disease, such as
the inferior frontal gyrus, the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC), and the amygdala present altered
activation patterns even in unaffected first-degree relatives of BD patients (Piguet et al., 2015), pointing
towards brain alterations that could underlie disease vulnerability. Moreover, evidence from functional
magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies showed aberrant resting-state functional connectivity
between frontal and meso-limbic areas in BD when compared to healthy controls (Vargas et al., 2013).
A recently developed functional neuroanatomic model of BD suggests, more specifically, decreased
connectivity between ventral prefrontal networks and limbic brain regions including the amygdala
(Strakowski et al., 2012; Chase and Phillips, 2016). The functional connectivity abnormalities in BD
in brain areas associated with emotion processing were shown to vary with mood state. A resting-state
functional connectivity study of emotion regulation networks demonstrated that subgenual anterior
cingulate cortex (sgACC)-amygdala coupling is critically affected during mood episodes, and that
functional connectivity of sgACC plays a pivotal role in mood normalization through its interactions
with the ventrolateral PFC and posterior cingulate cortex (Rey et al., 2016). Nevertheless, although
different fMRI metrics allowed to report deviant patterns of large-scale networks and altered resting-
state functional connectivity (Rey et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2016) in BD, the precise temporal dynamics
of the functional brain networks at rest remain to be determined.

Large-scale neural networks dynamically and rapidly re-organize themselves to enable efficient
functioning (de Pasquale et al., 2018; Bressler and Menon, 2010). Fast dynamics of the resting-state
large-scale neural networks can be studied on sub-second temporal scales with EEG microstate analysis
(Pascual-Marqui et al., 1995; Van de Ville et al., 2010; Michel and Koenig, 2018). EEG microstates
are defined as short periods (60-120 ms) of quasi-stable electric potential scalp topography (Lehmann
et al., 1987; Koenig et al., 2002). Therefore, microstate analysis can cluster the scalp's topographies of
the resting-state EEG activity into the set of a few microstate classes including the four canonical
classes A-D (Michel and Koenig, 2018) and more recent additional ones (Custo et al., 2017; Bréchet
et al., 2019). Since each microstate class topography reflects a coherent neuronal activity (Khanna et
al., 2015; Michel and Koenig, 2018), the temporal characteristics, such as duration, occurrence and
coverage, may be linked to the expression of spontaneous mental states and be representative of the
contents of consciousness (Changeux and Michel, 2004; Lehmann, 1990). Numerous studies reported
abnormalities in temporal properties of resting-state EEG microstates in neuropsychiatric disorders (for
review see Khanna et al., 2015; Michel and Koenig, 2018). Evidence from microstate studies suggests
that altered resting-state brain network dynamics may represent a marker of risk to develop
neuropsychiatric disorders (Tomescu et al., 2014, 2015; Andreou et al., 2014), predict clinical variables
of an illness (Gschwind et al., 2016), or help to assess the efficacy of a treatment (Atluri et al., 2018;
Sverak et al., 2018). Only two studies investigated resting-state EEG in BD patients (Strik et al., 1995;
Damborská et al., 2019). These studies examined patients during a depressive episode within different
affective disorders. Adaptive segmentation of resting-state EEG showed abnormal microstate
topographies and reduced overall average microstate duration in patients that met criteria for unipolar
or bipolar mood disorders or for dysthymia (Strik et al., 1995). Using a *k*-means cluster analysis, an
increased occurrence of microstate A with depression as an effect related to the symptom severity was
observed during a period of depression in unipolar and bipolar patients (Damborská et al., 2019).

Trait markers of BD based on neurobiological findings can be considered as biomarkers of illness
(Piguet et al., 2016; Berchio et al., 2017). These trait markers of BD can be studied during the periods
of remission, or euthymia. No microstate study on spontaneous activity, however, has been performed
on euthymic BD patients to the best of our knowledge. Thus, the main goal of the current study was to
explore group differences between euthymic patients with BD and healthy controls in terms of resting-
state EEG microstate dynamics. We hypothesized that BD patients during remission will show altered
temporal characteristics of EEG microstates such as duration, coverage, and occurrence.

2   Materials and Methods

2.1   Subjects

Data were collected from 17 euthymic adult patients with BD and 17 healthy control (HC) subjects.
The patients were recruited from the Mood Disorders Unit at the Geneva University Hospital. A
snowball convenience sampling was used for the selection of the BD patients. Control subjects were
recruited by general advertisement. All subjects were clinically evaluated using clinical structured
interview (DIGS: Diagnostic for Genetic Studies, (Nurnberger et al., 1994). BD was confirmed in the
experimental group by the usual assessment of the specialized program, an interview with a
psychiatrist, and a semi-structured interview and relevant questionnaires with a psychologist.
Exclusion criteria for all participants were a history of head injury, current alcohol or drug abuse.
Additionally, a history of psychiatric or neurological illness and of any neurological comorbidity were
exclusion criteria for controls and bipolar patients, respectively. Symptoms of mania and depression
were evaluated using the Young Mania Rating Scale (YMRS) (Young et al., 1978) and the
Montgomery-Åsberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS) (Williams and Kobak, 2008), respectively.
Participants were considered euthymic if they scored < 6 on YMRS and < 12 on MADRS at the time
of the experiment, and were stable for at least 4 weeks before. All patients were medicated, receiving
pharmacological therapy including antipsychotics, antidepressants and mood stabilizers, and had to be
under stable medication for at least 4 weeks. The experimental group included both BD I ($n = 10$) and
BD II ($n = 7$) types.
To check for possible demographic or clinical differences between groups, subject characteristics such
as age, education or level of depression were compared between groups using independent t-tests.
Anxiety is highly associated with BD (Simon et al., 2004; 2007) and is a potential confounding variable
when investigating microstate dynamics at rest. For example, decreased duration of EEG microstates
at rest in patients with panic disorder has been reported (Wiedemann et al., 1998). To check for possible
differences in anxiety symptoms, all subjects were assessed with the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory
(STAI) (Spielberger et al., 1970). Anxiety as an emotional state (state-anxiety) and anxiety as a
personal characteristic (trait-anxiety) were evaluated separately. Scores of both state- and trait-anxiety
range from 20 to 80, higher values indicating greater anxiety. The scores were compared between
patients and controls using independent t-tests.
This study was carried out in accordance with the recommendations of the Ethics Committee for
Human Research of the Geneva University Hospital, with written informed consent from all subjects.
All subjects gave written informed consent in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The
protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee for Human Research of the Geneva University
Hospital, Switzerland.

2.2   EEG recording and pre-processing

The EEG was recorded with a high density 256-channel system (EGI System 200; Electrical Geodesic
Inc., OR, USA), sampling rate of 1kHz, and Cz as acquisition reference. Subjects were sitting in a

comfortable upright position and were instructed to stay as calm as possible, to keep their eyes closed
and to relax for 6 minutes. They were asked to stay awake.
To remove muscular artifacts originating in the neck and face the data were reduced to 204 channels.
Two to four minutes of EEG data were selected based on visual assessment of the artifacts and band-
pass filtered between 1 and 40 Hz. Subsequently, in order to remove ballistocardiogram and oculo-
motor artifacts, infomax-based Independent Component Analysis (Jung et al., 2000) was applied on all
but one or two channels rejected due to abundant artifacts. Only components related to physiological
noise, such as ballistocardiogram, saccadic eye movements, and eye blinking, were removed based on
the waveform, topography and time course of the component. The cleaned EEG recordings were down-
sampled to 125 Hz and the previously identified noisy channels were interpolated using a three-
dimensional spherical spline (Perrin et al., 1989), and re-referenced to the average reference. All the
preprocessing steps were done using MATLAB and the freely available Cartool Software 3.70
(https://sites.google.com/site/cartoolcommunity/home), programmed by Denis Brunet.

2.3   EEG data analysis

To estimate the optimal set of topographies explaining the EEG signal, a standard microstate analysis
was performed using *k*-means clustering (see Supplementary Fig. 1). The polarity of the maps was
ignored in this procedure (Brunet et al., 2011; Murray et al, 2008; Pascual-Marqui et al., 1995). To
determine the optimal number of clusters, we applied a meta-criterion that is a combination of seven
independent optimization criteria (for details see Bréchet et al., 2019). In order to improve the signal-
to-noise ratio, only the data at the time points of the local maximum of the Global Field Power (GFP)
were clustered (Pascual-Marqui et al., 1995; Koenig et al., 2002; Britz et al, 2010, Tomescu et al.,
2014). The GFP is a scalar measure of the strength of the scalp potential field and is calculated as the
standard deviation of all electrodes at a given time point (Michel et al., 1993; Brunet et al., 2011;
Murray et al., 2008). The cluster analysis was first computed at the individual level yielding one set of
representative maps for each subject. Clustering at the group level followed, in which the individual
representative maps of patients and controls were clustered separately. Then all participants'
representative maps were clustered at global level yielding one set of maps that represented the data of
all subjects. This one set of global representative maps entered the fitting process and the presence of
each global map in every subject was determined. This enabled us to compare groups in terms of the
presence of these global maps in the original data, i. e. to compare the microstate temporal
characteristics between patients and controls.

In order to retrieve the temporal characteristics of the microstates, the fitting procedure consisted in
calculating the spatial correlation between every representative map identified at the global level across
all subjects and the individual subject's scalp potential map in every instant of the pre-processed EEG
recording. Each continuous time point of the subject's EEG (not only the GFP peaks) was then assigned
to the microstate class of the highest correlation (winner-takes-all), again ignoring polarity (Brunet et
al., 2011; Bréchet et al., 2019; Michel and Koenig, 2018; Santarnecchi et al., 2017). Temporal
smoothing parameters (window half size = 3, strength (Besag Factor) = 10) ensured that the noise
during low GFP did not artificially interrupt the temporal segments of stable topography (Brunet et al.,
2011; Pascual-Marqui et al., 1995). These segments of stable topography assigned to a given microstate
class were then evaluated. For each subject, three temporal parameters were calculated for each
microstate class: (i) occurrence, (ii) coverage, and (iii) duration. Occurrence indicates how many
temporal segments of a given microstate class occur in one second. The coverage in percent represents
the summed amount of time spent in a given microstate class as a portion of the whole analyzed period.
The duration in milliseconds indicates the most common amount of time that a given microstate class
is continuously present. The global explained variance for a specific microstate class was calculated
by summing the squared spatial correlations between the representative map and its corresponding

assigned scalp potential maps at each time point weighted by the GFP (Murray et al., 2008). Global
explained variances of all microstate classes were then summed yielding the portion of data explained
with the set of representative maps.
Microstate analysis was performed using the freely available Cartool Software 3.70,
(https://sites.google.com/site/cartoolcommunity/home), programmed by Denis Brunet. Mann-Whitney
U test was used to investigate group differences for temporal parameters of each microstate. Multiple
comparisons were corrected using the false discovery rate (FDR) method (Benjamini, 2010).
Spearman's rank correlations were calculated between the MADRS, YMRS, STAI-state, and STAI-
trait scores and significant microstate parameters to check for possible relationships between symptoms
and microstate dynamics. Eyes closed spontaneous EEG dynamics are mostly dominated by alpha
activity (Niedermeyer, 2011). To provide an estimate of the absolute alpha power of each group,
individual EEG data were Fourier transformed (Hanning windowing function, common averge
reference). Mann-Whitney U test was used to investigate group differences for mean alpha (8-14Hz)
power across 204 channels. To evaluate the role of the alpha rhythm in the appearance of the
microstates, the Spearman's rank correlation was calculated between Alpha Power and those
microstate parameters, in which significant group differences were found. All statistical evaluations
were performed by the routines included in the program package Statistica'13 (1984-2018, TIBCO,
Software Inc, Version 13.4.0.14).

3   Results

3.1   Clinical and demographic variables

There were no significant differences in age and level of education between the patient and the control
groups. In both groups, very low mean scores on depression and mania symptoms were observed,
which did not significantly differ between the two groups. BD patients showed higher scores on state
and trait scales of the STAI. For all subject characteristics, see Table 1.

3.2   Microstate results

The meta-criterion used to determine the most dominant topographies revealed five resting-state
microstate maps across patients, healthy controls, and all subjects, explaining 82.1 %, 83.1 %, and 82.2
% of the global variance, respectively (Fig. 1). The topographies resembled those previously reported
as A, B, C, and D maps (Khanna et al., 2015; Michel and Koenig, 2018; Koenig et al., 2002; Britz et
al., 2010) and one of the three recently identified additional maps (Custo et al., 2017). We labeled these
scalp maps from A to E in accordance with the previous literature on microstates. The scalp
topographies showed left posterior-right anterior orientation (map A), a right posterior-left anterior
orientation (map B), an anterior-posterior orientation (map C), a fronto-central maximum (map D), and
a parieto-occipital maximum (map E).
Since some microstate parameters showed a non-homogeneity of variances in the two groups (Levene's
tests for the microstate C coverage and microstates A and C duration; p<0.01), we decided to calculate
Mann-Whitney U test to investigate group differences for temporal parameters of each microstate.
We found significant between-group differences for microstate classes A and B. Both microstates
showed increased presence in patients in terms of occurrence and coverage. The two groups did not
differ in any temporal parameter of microstates C, D, or E. The results of the temporal characteristics
of each microstate are summarized in Table 2 and Fig. 2.

3.3   Clinical correlations

Correlations with clinical parameters were calculated for those microstate parameters, in which
significant group differences were found. The results of Spearman's rank correlation revealed a
significant positive association between the coverage of the microstate B and the STAI-state (r = 0.40;
p<0.05) and STAI-trait (r = 0.54; p<0.05) scores. The results of Spearman's rank correlation revealed
a significant positive association between the occurrence of the microstate B and the STAI-trait (r =
0.47; p<0.05) scores. The results of Spearman's rank correlation revealed no significant associations
between the STAI-state or STAI-trait scores and the occurrence or coverage of the microstate A (all
absolute r-values < 0.35).
The results of Spearman's rank correlation revealed no significant associations between the MADRS
and YMRS scores and the occurrence or coverage of the microstate A and B (all absolute r-values <
0.30).

3.4   Alpha rhythm

234 The Mann-Whitney U test showed significantly decreased alpha power (p < 0.03, Z-value 2.7) in the
235 BD compared to HC group (see Fig. 3). The results of Spearman's rank correlation revealed no
236 significant associations between the alpha power and occurrence or coverage of microstates A and B
237 (all absolute r-values < 0.40).

4   Discussion

Our study presents the first evidence for altered resting-state EEG microstate dynamics in euthymic
patients with BD. Patients were stable and did not significantly differ in their depressive or manic
symptomatology from healthy controls at the time of experiment. Despite this fact, they showed
abnormally increased presence of microstates A and B, the latter correlating with the anxiety level.
In an earlier combined fMRI-EEG study the microstate A was associated with the auditory network
(Britz et al., 2010). Moreover, generators of the functional EEG microstates were estimated in recent
studies, where sources of the microstate A showed left-lateralized activity in the temporal lobe, insula,
mPFC, and occipital gyri (Custo et al., 2017; Bréchet et al., 2019).
In the fMRI literature as well, resting-state functional connectivity alterations of the insula (Yin et al.,
2018), the auditory network (Reinke et al., 2013), and the mPFC (Gong et al., 2019) were reported in
BD patients. Verbal episodic memory deficits and language-related symptoms in BD patients were
suggested to be associated with a diminished functional connectivity within the auditory/temporal
gyrus and to be compensated by increased fronto-temporal functional connectivity (Reinke et al.,
2013). The mPFC was also identified as a major locus of shared abnormality in BD and schizophrenia
(Öngür et al., 2010), showing reduced default mode network connectivity from the mPFC to the
hippocampus and fusiform gyrus, as well as increased connectivity between the mPFC and primary
visual cortex in BD. Hypoconnectivity of the default mode network from the left posterior cingulate
cortex to the bilateral mPFC and bilateral precuneus, and reduced salience connectivity of the left
sgACC to the right inferior temporal gyrus in BD patients (Gong et al., 2019) was observed in
unmedicated BD patients. In euthymic BD subjects compared to healthy controls, resting-state
functional connectivity of the insula (Minuzzi et al., 2018) and amygdala (Li et al., 2018) to other
brain regions was reported to be increased and decreased, respectively. In summary, the evidence from
fMRI studies shows both hypoconnectivity (Gong et al., 2019; Öngür et al., 2010) and
hyperconnectivity (Minuzzi et al., 2018; Reinke et al., 2013; Öngür et al., 2010) pointing to complex
alterations of functional resting-state networks. Our findings of increased presence of the microstate A
in euthymic BD patients might be related to the hyperconnectivity of the underlying networks that
involve the temporal lobe, insula, mPFC, and occipital gyri.

Anxiety symptoms were previously associated with greater severity and impairment in BD (Simon et
al., 2004) and euthymic bipolar patients tend to present high residual level of anxiety (Albert et al.,
2008), as it was the case here. No significant correlation was found between the increased anxiety
scores and the increased occurrence or coverage of the microstate A. Our results therefore indicate that
this alteration of microstate dynamics might represent a characteristic feature of BD that is not affected
by anxiety. The demonstrated alterations in microstate A dynamics during clinical remission might
reflect (i) an impaired resting-state large-scale brain network dynamics as a trait characteristic of the
disorder and/or (ii) a compensatory mechanism needed for clinical stabilization of the disorder.
Our study is the first to examine EEG microstate dynamics of spontaneous activity in BD patients
during remission. The here demonstrated group difference of BD patients vs. controls, is not congruent
with the previously reported reduced duration of the EEG microstates during a depressive episode
(Strik et al., 1995). The experimental group in that study was not restricted to bipolar patients, however,
and included also patients who met the criteria for unipolar depression or dysthymia. Moreover, authors
examined the overall microstate duration and did not examine distinct microstates separately. These
and other aspects, such as different clustering methods used, make it difficult to compare our findings
with that early evidence of disrupted microstate dynamics in depression. Nevertheless, in our recent
resting-state study we showed positive associations of depressive symptoms with the occurrence of
microstate A in a heterogenous group of patients with affective disorders (Damborská et al., 2019).

The microstate B was previously associated with the visual network (Britz et al., 2010; Custo et al.,
2014, 2017; Bréchet et al., 2019) and thoughts related to the conscious experience of an episodic
autobiographic memory, i.e. mental visualization of the scene (Bréchet et al., 2019). In our group of
BD patients, we found an abnormally increased presence of microstate B that was associated with a
higher anxiety. In particular, the occurence together with coverage and only the coverage were
positively correlated with scores of trait and state anxiety, respectively. The observed change in
microstate B dynamics might be, therefore, more related to a relatively stable disposition than to the
actual emotional state. Previous studies also suggest that anxiety may influence visual processing
(Phelps et al., 2006; Laretzaki et al., 2010) and that connections between amygdala and visual cortex
might underlie enhanced visual processing of emotionally salient stimuli in patients with social fobia
(Goldin et al., 2009). Our finding of increased presence of microstate B positively associated with
anxiety level in euthymic BD patients is consistent with these observations. Additionally, a more
regular appearance for microstate B and increased overall temporal dependencies among microstates
were recently reported in mood and anxiety disorders, suggesting a decreased dynamicity in switching
between different brain states in these psychiatric conditions (Al Zoubi et al., 2019). Another
microstate study on anxiety disorders reported a decreased overall resting-state microstate duration in
panic disorder (Wiedemann et al., 1998). That early study, however, did not assess temporal
characteristics of different microstates separately and it is therefore difficult to compare those findings
with our observations. Further evidence is needed to determine, whether the increased presence of
microstate B in our experimental group is a characteristic feature of BD or anxiety, or whether it is
related to both conditions.

We found an unchanged duration but a higher occurence and coverage of A and B microstates in BD
patients. In other words, an unchanged sustainability in time and still increased presence of these
microstates in patients compared to healthy controls were observed. Possible explanation for this
finding appears to be a redundance in activation of the sensory and autobiographic memory networks
during spontaneous mentation in euthymic BD patients. Changes in A and B microstate occurence,
duration, and coverage have been reported in several psychiatric conditions such as schizophrenia,
dementia, narcolepsy, multiple sclerosis, panic disorder, etc. (for review see Michel and Koenig, 2018).
In schizophrenia patients, both increased (Andreou et al., 2014) and decreased (Nishida et al., 2013;
Lehmann et al., 2005) durations of microstate B as well as increased occurrence of microstate A

(Lehmann et al., 2005) were reported. Increases in duration and occurrence of microstates A and B
were also observed in patients with multiple sclerosis, moreover predicting depression scores and other
clinical variables (Gschwind, et al., 2016). It was suggested that multiple sclerosis affects the "sensory"
(visual, auditory) rather than the higher-order (salience, central executive) functional networks (Michel
and Koenig, 2018). Our findings of impaired dynamics in microstates A and B suggest a similar
interpretation for the BD. Although the pathophysiology in multiple sclerosis differs from that in
depression, the increased presence of A and B microstates might reflect aberrant temporal functioning
of sensory-related and memory networks in both diseases. Evidence from fMRI studies points to
topographical dysbalances between the default mode and sensorimotor networks in BD patients with
opposing patterns in depression and mania (Martino et al., 2016). Cyclothymic and depressive
temperaments were associated with opposite changes in the sensorimotor network variability in the
resting state signal measured by fractional standard deviation of Blood-Oxygen-Level Dependent
signal (Conio et al., 2019). Our findings of altered microstates A and B dynamics is consistent with
this fMRI evidence of impaired sensorimotor network in affective disorders, and moreover suggests
that neural correlates of these deficits are prominent even during the euthymic state in BD patients.

It is known that EEG microstate dynamics during eyes closed and eyes open resting-state is different.
Manipulations of visual input showed increased occurrence and coverage of microstate B and shorter
duration of microstate A in the eyes open condition in healthy young adults (Seitzman et al. 2017).
Further studies are needed to investigate, whether the here observed abnormalities of the A and B
microstates in BD patients are still present in the eyes open condition.

BD patients were previously shown to display lower alpha power as compared to healthy controls
(Basar et al., 2012), as it was the case here. We failed, however, to find any significant correlation
between the altered microstate dynamics and decreased alpha power. Our findings, therefore, further
support the previously reported independence of microstates from EEG frequency power fluctuations
(Britz et al., 2010).

In summary, results of the current study seem to indicate that dysfunctional activity of resting-state
brain networks underlying microstates A and B is a detectable impairment in BD during an euthymic
state. The presence of microstate A and B represents measures that might be implicated in clinical
practice, although using these parameters for early identification of BD at individual level could prove
challenging. If future studies confirm the same pattern in prodromal or vulnerable subjects, it could
help detection of at-risk subjects and therefore the possiblility for early intervention. The present study
has, however, some limitations. Our low sample size made it impossible to examine any potential
influence of medication on the microstate parameters by comparing patients receiving a specific drug
with those not receiving it. Possible effects of medication on our results should be therefore taken into
account. Due to the same reason, it was not possible to examine any potential influence of subtypes of
BD on microstate results.

5   Conclusions

Our study described altered EEG resting-state microstate temporal parameters in euthymic bipolar
patients. Our findings provide an insight into the resting-state global brain network dynamics in BD.
Since the increased presence of microstate A is not unique to BD patients, having been reported also
in other psychiatric disorders (see Michel and Koenig, 2018), it might be considered only as a non-
specific electrophysiological marker of BD. Moreover, studies examining possible interactions
between microstate dynamics and BD symptoms are needed to better understand the dysfunction of
large-scale brain network resting-state dynamics in this affective disorder.

6   Table legends

Table 1. [a] Edinburgh inventory (Oldfield, 1971); [b] Education levels: 1 = no high school, 2 = high school,
3 = university studies

7   Tables

Table 1. Subject characteristics

Characteristic	Healthy controls (n = 17)	Bipolar patients (n = 17)	t-value	p-value
Age: mean ± SD	36.6 ± 14.5	35.9 ± 11.9	-0.17	0.87
Gender: male, n	12	12		
Handedness[a]: right, n	14	14		
Education[b]: mean ± SD	2.3 ± 0.6	2.4 ± 0.5	0.63	0.53
MADRS: mean ± SD	1.4± 1.6	2.3 ±2.9	1.09	0.29
YMRS: mean ± SD	0.86 ±1.4	0.76 ± 1.4	-0.18	0.86
STAI-state: mean ± SD	26.7±4.8	36.9±15.2	-2.13	**0.04**
STAI-trait: mean ± SD	27.4±5.2	42.9±13.3	-3.7	**0.001**

364
365
366   Table 2. Mann-Whitney U test for group comparisons in the investigated microstate parameters

Microstate	A	B	C	D	E
Occurrence (s^{-1})					
Patients (mean±s.d.)	4.5±1.1	3.9±1.1	5.2±1.1	3.9±2.2	1.9±1.2
Controls (mean±s.d.)	3.4±1.2	3.0±1.1	5.0±1.1	3.4±2.1	2.0±1.3
Z-value	2.51	2.51	-0.03	0.65	-0.14
uncorrected p-value	0.01	0.01	0.97	0.51	0.89
FDR corrected p-value	**0.03**	**0.03**	0.97	0.85	0.97
Coverage (%)					
Patients (mean±s.d.)	18.9±6.5	16.0±7.8	25.1±8.6	18.5±12.3	6.4±5.1
Controls (mean±s.d.)	13.3±4.9	11.4±6.0	34.7±16.8	17.0±12.0	7.0±5.3
Z-value	2.62	2.79	-1.69	0.17	-0.21
uncorrected p-value	0.009	0.005	0.09	0.86	0.84
FDR corrected p-value	**0.02**	**0.02**	0.15	0.86	0.86
Duration (ms)					
Patients (mean±s.d.)	26±4	25±4	29±4	26±6	21±4
Controls (mean±s.d.)	24±1	24±3	35±9	27±6	22±4

Z-value	1.38	1.03	-2.27	0.17	-0.69
uncorrected *p*-value	0.17	0.30	0.02	0.86	0.49
FDR corrected *p*-value	0.42	0.50	0.12	0.86	0.61

8   Figures

Supplementary Figure 1. Microstate analysis: **(A)** resting-state EEG from subsample of 16 out of 204
electrodes; **(B)** global field power (GFP) curve with the GFP peaks (vertical lines) in the same EEG
period as shown in (A); **(C)** potential maps at successive GFP peaks, indicated in (B), from the first 1
s period of the recording; **(D)** set of five cluster maps best explaining the data as revealed by K-means
clustering of the maps at the GFP peaks; **(E)** the original EEG recording shown in (A) with
superimposed color-coded microstate segments. Note that each time point of the EEG recording was
labelled with the cluster map, shown in (D), with which the instant map correlated best. The duration
of segments, occurrence, and coverage for all microstates were computed on thus labeled EEG
recording.

382
383 Figure 1. The five microstate topographies identified in the group clusterings across patients (BD) and
384 healthy controls (HC) and in the global clustering across all subjects (BD+HC). Note that similar five
385 dominant microstate topographies were identified in all three clusterings.

386
387
388

389
Figure 2. Temporal dynamics of EEG microstates in patients with bipolar disorder (BD) and in healthy
controls (HC). In each subplot, the raw data are plotted on top of the boxplot showing the mean (▫),
95% confidence interval (box plot area), 1 standard deviation (whiskers), and significant differences

(*). In all plots, x-axes represent the subject group; y-axes represent the occurrence (upper plots) or
coverage (lower plots). Note significantly increased occurrence and coverage of the microstate A and
B in the BD compared to HC group (FDR corrected p < 0.05).

396
397
398

399
Figure 3. The alpha power in patients with bipolar disorder (BD) and healthy controls (HC). Raw data
are plotted on top of each boxplot showing the mean (▫), 95% confidence interval (box plot area), and
non-outlier range (whiskers). The x-axis represents the subject group; the y-axis represents the average
alpha (8-14 Hz) power across 204 channels. Note significantly decreased alpha power in the BD
compared to HC group (p < 0.03, Z value 2.7).

9   Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial
relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

10   Author Contributions

AD – designed the study, performed the analysis, and wrote the initial draft; JMA, AGD and CP – were
responsible for clinical assessment; CMM – served as an advisor; CB – collected the HD-EEG data
and was responsible for the overall oversight of the study. All authors revised the manuscript.

11   Funding

This study was supported by the European Union Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under
the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 739939, by the Swiss National Science Foundation
(grant No. 320030_184677), and by the National Centre of Competence in Research (NCCR)
"SYNAPSY–The Synaptic Basis of Mental Diseases" (NCCR Synapsy Grant # "51NF40 – 185897").
The funding sources had no role in the design, collection, analysis, or interpretation of the study.

12   Acknowledgments

Special thanks go to Anne-Lise Kung, psychologist, for her involvement in clinical data collection.

13   References

Albert, U., Rosso, G., Maina, G., Bogetto, F., (2008). Impact of anxiety disorder comorbidity on
quality of life in euthymic bipolar disorder patients: differences between bipolar I and II subtypes. J.
Affective Disord. 105, 297-303.
424
425 Al Zoubi, O., Mayeli, A., Tsuchiyagaito, A., Misaki, M., Zotev, V., Refai, H., et al. (2019). EEG
426 microstates temporal dynamics differentiate individuals with mood and anxiety disorders from healthy
427 subjects. Front. Human Neurosci.;13.
428
429 Andreou, C., Faber, P.L., Leicht, G., Schoettle, D., Polomac, N., Hanganu-Opatz, I.L., et al. (2014).
430 Resting-state connectivity in the prodromal phase of schizophrenia: Insights from EEG microstates.
431 Schizophr. Res. 152, 513-520.
432
433 Atluri, S., Wong, W., Moreno, S., Blumberger, D.M., Daskalakis, Z.J., Farzan, F., (2018). Selective
434 modulation of brain network dynamics by seizure therapy in treatment-resistant depression.
435 NeuroImage Clin. 20, 1176-1190.
436
437 Basar, E., Güntekin, B., Atagün, I., Turp Gölbas, B., Tülay, E., Özerdem, A. (2012). Brain's alpha
438 activity is highly reduced in euthymic bipolar disorder patients. Cogn. Neurodynamics 6, 11-20.
439
440 Benjamini Y., (2010). Discovering the false discovery rate. J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B Stat. Methodol. 72,
441 405-416.
442
443 Berchio C., Piguet C., Michel C.M., Cordera P., Rihs T.A., Dayer A.G., et al. (2017). Dysfunctional
444 gaze processing in bipolar disorder. NeuroImage Clin. 16, 545-556.
445
446 Bréchet, L., Brunet, D., Birot, G., Gruetter, R., Michel C.M., Jorge, J., (2019). Capturing the
447 spatiotemporal dynamics of self-generated, task-initiated thoughts with EEG and fMRI. Neuroimage,
448 194, 82-92.

449 Bressler, S.L., Menon, V., (2010) Large-scale brain networks in cognition: emerging methods and
450 principles. Trends Cogn. Sci. 14, 277–290.
451
452 Britz, J., Van De Ville D., Michel, C.M., (2010). BOLD correlates of EEG topography reveal rapid
453 resting-state network dynamics. NeuroImage, 52, 1162-1170.
454
455 Brunet, D., Murray, M,M., Michel, C.M., (2011). Spatiotemporal analysis of multichannel EEG:
456 CARTOOL. Comput. Intell. Neurosci. 813870
457
458 Changeux, J.P. and Michel, C.M., (2004). Mechanism of neural Integration at the Brain-scale Level.
459 In: Grillner, S., Graybiel, A.M. (Eds.), Microcircuits. MIT Press, Cambridge, pp. 347-370.
460

461 Chase, H.W., Phillips, M.L. (2016) Elucidating Neural Network Functional Connectivity
462 Abnormalities in Bipolar Disorder: Toward a Harmonized Methodological Approach. Biol. Psychiatry
463 Cogn. Neurosci. Neuroimaging 1, 288-298.
464
465 Chen, C.H., Suckling, J., Lennox, B.R., Ooi, C., Bullmore, E.T., (2011). A quantitative meta-analysis
466 of fMRI studies in bipolar disorder. Bipolar Disord. 13, 1-15.
467
468 Cloutier, M., Greene, M., Guerin, A., Touya, M., Wu, E., (2018). The economic burden of bipolar I
469 disorder in the United States in 2015. J. Affective Disord., 226, 45-51.
470
471 Conio, B., Magioncalda, P., Martino, M., Tumati, S., Capobianco, L., Escelsior, A., et al. (2019).
472 Opposing patterns of neuronal variability in the sensorimotor network mediate cyclothymic and
473 depressive temperaments. Hum. Brain Mapp. 40, 1344-1352.
474
475 Custo, A., Vulliemoz, S., Grouiller, F., Van De Ville, D., Michel, C., (2014). EEG source imaging of
476 brain states using spatiotemporal regression. Neuroimage 96, 106-116
477
478 Custo, A., Van De Ville, D., Wells, W.M., Tomescu, M.I., Brunet, D., Michel, C.M., (2017).
479 Electroencephalographic Resting-State Networks: Source Localization of Microstates. Brain
480 Connectivity 7, 671-682.
481
482 Damborská, A., Tomescu, M.I., Honzírková, E., Barteček, R., Hořínková, J., Fedorová, S. et al. (2019)
483 EEG resting-state large-scale brain network dynamics are related to depressive symptoms. Frontiers in
484 Psychiatry, 548 (10).
485
486 Eaton, W.W., Alexandre, P., Bienvenu, O.J., Clarke, D., Martins, S.S., Nestadt, G., et al. (2012). The
487 Burden of Mental Disorders, in: Eaton W.W. (Ed.), Public Mental Health, Oxford University Press
488
489 Ferrari, A.J., Stockings, E., Khoo, J.P., Erskine, H.E., Degenhardt, L., Vos, T., et al. (2016). The
490 prevalence and burden of bipolar disorder: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013.
491 Bipolar Disord.; 18, 440-450.
492
493 Goldin, P.R., Manber, T., Hakimi, S., Canli, T., Gross, J.J. (2009). Neural bases of social anxiety
494 disorder: Emotional reactivity and cognitive regulation during social and physical threat. Arch. Gen.
495 Psychiatry 66, 170-180.
496
497 Gong J., Chen G., Jia Y., Zhong S., Zhao L., Luo X., et al. (2019). Disrupted functional connectivity
498 within the default mode network and salience network in unmedicated bipolar II disorder. Prog. Neuro-
499 Psychopharmacol. Biol. Psychiatry 88, 11-18.
500
501 Gschwind, M., Hardmeier, M., Van De Ville, D., Tomescu, M.I., Penner, I., Naegelin, Y., et al. (2016).
502 Fluctuations of spontaneous EEG topographies predict disease state in relapsing-remitting multiple
503 sclerosis. NeuroImage Clin. 12, 466-477.
504
505 Hirschfeld, R.M.A., (2007). Screening for bipolar disorder. Am. J. Managed Care 13, S164-S169.
506

507 Houenou, J., Frommberger, J., Carde, S., Glasbrenner, M., Diener, C., Leboyer, M., et al. (2011).
508 Neuroimaging-based markers of bipolar disorder: Evidence from two meta-analyses. J. Affective
509 Disord. 132, 344-355.
510
511 Jung, T., Makeig, S., Westerfield, M., Townsend, J., Courchesne, E.c Sejnowski, T. J., (2000).
512 Removal of eye activity artifacts from visual event-related potentials in normal and clinical subjects.
513 Clin. Neurophysiol. 111, 1745-1758.
514
515 Khanna, A., Pascual-Leone, A., Michel, C.M., Farzan F., (2015). Microstates in resting-state EEG:
516 Current status and future directions. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 49, 105-113.
517
518 Koenig, T., Prichep, L., Lehmann, D., Sosa, P.V., Braeker, E., Kleinlogel, H., et al. (2002). Millisecond
519 by millisecond, year by year: Normative EEG microstates and developmental stages. Neuroimage 16,
520 41-48.
521
522 Kupferschmidt, D.A., Zakzanis, K.K., (2011). Toward a functional neuroanatomical signature of
523 bipolar disorder: Quantitative evidence from the neuroimaging literature. Psychiatry Res.
524 Neuroimaging 193, 71-79.
525
526 Laretzaki, G., Plainis, S., Argyropoulos, S., Pallikaris, I.G., Bitsios, P. (2010). Threat and anxiety affect
527 visual contrast perception. J Psychopharmacol 24, 667-675.
528
529 Lehmann, D., Ozaki, H., Pal, I., (1987). EEG alpha map series: brain micro-states by space-oriented
530 adaptive segmentation. Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol. 67, 271-288.
531
532 Lehmann D., (1990). Brain Electric Microstates and Cognition: The Atoms of Thought. In: John E.R.,
533 Harmony T., Prichep L.S., Valdés-Sosa M., Valdés-Sosa P.A. (eds) Machinery of the Mind.
534 Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, pp. 209-224.
535
536 Lehmann, D., Faber, P.L., Galderisi, S., Herrmann, W.M., Kinoshita, T., Koukkou, M., et al. (2005).
537 EEG microstate duration and syntax in acute, medication-naïve, first-episode schizophrenia: A multi-
538 center study. Psychiatry Res. Neuroimaging, 138, 141-156.
539
540 Li, G., Liu, P., Andari, E., Zhang, A., Zhang, K., (2018). The role of amygdala in patients with
541 euthymic bipolar disorder during resting state. Front. Psychiatry 9(SEP).
542
543 Martino, M., Magioncalda, P., Huang, Z., Conio, B., Piaggio, N., Duncan, N.W., et al. (2016).
544 Contrasting variability patterns in the default mode and sensorimotor networks balance in bipolar
545 depression and mania. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A 113, 4824-4829.
546
547 Merikangas, K.R., Jin, R., He, J. P., Kessler, R.C., Lee, S., Sampson, N.A., et al. (2011). Prevalence
548 and correlates of bipolar spectrum disorder in the World Mental Health Survey Initiative. Arch. Gen.
549 Psychiatry 68, 241-251.
550
551 Michel, C.M., Brandeis, D., Skrandies, W., Pascual, R., Strik, W.K., Dierks, T. et al. (1993). Global
552 field power: a 'time-honoured' index for EEG/EP map analysis. Int. J. Psychophysiol. 15, 1-2.
553

554   Michel, C.M. and Koenig, T., (2018). EEG microstates as a tool for studying the temporal dynamics
555   of whole-brain neuronal networks: A review. Neuroimage 180, 577-593.
556
557   Minuzzi, L., Syan, S.K., Smith, M., Hall, A., Hall, G.B.C., Frey, B.N., (2018). Structural and functional
558   changes in the somatosensory cortex in euthymic females with bipolar disorder. Aust. New Zealand J.
559   Psychiatry 52, 1075-1083.
560
561   Murray, M.M., Brunet, D., Michel, C.M., (2008). Topographic ERP analyses: A step-by-step tutorial
562   review. Brain Topogr. 20, 249-264.
563
564   Niedermeyer, E. Niedermeyer's electroencephalography: basic principles, clinical applications, and
565   related fields. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2011.
566
567   Nishida, K., Morishima, Y., Yoshimura, M., Isotani, T., Irisawa, S., Jann, K., et al. (2013). EEG
568   microstates associated with salience and frontoparietal networks in frontotemporal dementia,
569   schizophrenia and Alzheimer's disease. Clin. Neurophysiol. 124, 1106-1114.
570
571   Nurnberger Jr., J.I., Blehar, M.C., Kaufmann, C.A., York-Cooler, C., Simpson, S.G., Harkavy-
572   Friedman, J., et al. (1994). Diagnostic interview for genetic studies: Rationale, unique features, and
573   training. Arch. Gen. Psychiatry 51, 849-859.
574
575   Oldfield, R.C., (1971). The assessment and analysis of handedness: The Edinburgh inventory.
576   Neuropsychologia 9, 97-113.
577
578   Öngür, D., Lundy, M., Greenhouse, I., Shinn, A.K., Menon, V., Cohen, B.M., et al. (2010). Default
579   mode network abnormalities in bipolar disorder and schizophrenia. Psychiatry Res Neuroimaging 183,
580   59-68.
581
582   Pascual-Marqui, R.D., Michel, C.M., Lehmann, D., (1995). Segmentation of Brain Electrical Activity
583   into Microstates; Model Estimation and Validation. IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng. 42, 658-665.
584
585   de Pasquale. F., Corbetta, M., Betti. V., Della Penna, S., (2018). Cortical cores in network dynamics.
586   Neuroimage 180, 370-382.
587
588   Perrin, F., Pernier, J., Bertrand, O., Echallier, J. F., (1989). Spherical splines for scalp potential and
589   current density mapping. Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol. 72, 184-187.
590
591   Phelps, E.A., Ling, S., Carrasco, M. (2006) Emotion facilitates perception and potentiates the
592   perceptual benefits of attention. Psychol. Sci. 17, 292-299.
593
594   Piguet, C., Dayer, A., Aubry, J.M., (2016). Biomarkers and vulnerability to bipolar disorders. Schweiz
595   Arch. Neurol. Psychiatr. 167, 57-67.
596
597   Piguet, C., Fodoulian, L., Aubry, J.M., Vuilleumier, P., Houenou, J., (2015). Bipolar disorder:
598   Functional neuroimaging markers in relatives. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 57, 284-296.
599

Reinke, B., Van de Ven, V., Matura, S., Linden, D.E.J., Oertel-Knöchel, V. (2013). Altered intrinsic
functional connectivity in language-related brain regions in association with verbal memory
performance in euthymic bipolar patients. Brain Sci. 3, 1357-1373.

Rey, G., Piguet, C., Benders, A., Favre, S., Eickhoff, S.B., Aubry, J.M., et al. (2016). Resting-state
functional connectivity of emotion regulation networks in euthymic and non-euthymic bipolar disorder
patients. Eur. Psychiatry 34, 56-63.

Santarnecchi, E., Khanna, A.R., Musaeus, C.S., Benwell, C.S.Y., Davila, P., Farzan, F., et al. (2017).
EEG Microstate Correlates of Fluid Intelligence and Response to Cognitive Training. Brain Topogr.
30, 502-520.

Seitzman, B.A., Abell, M., Bartley, S.C., Erickson, M.A., Bolbecker, A.R., Hetrick, W.P. (2017).
Cognitive manipulation of brain electric microstates. Neuroimage 146, 533-543.

Simon, N.M., Otto, M.W., Wisniewski, S.R., Fossey, M., Sagduyu, K., Frank, E., et al. (2004). Anxiety
disorder comorbidity in bipolar disorder patients: Data from the first 500 participants in the Systematic
Treatment Enhancement Program for Bipolar Disorder (STEP-BD). Am. J. Psychiatry 161, 2222-2229.

Simon, N.M., Zalta, A.K., Otto, M.W., Ostacher, M.J., Fischmann, D., Chow, C.W., et al. (2007). The
association of comorbid anxiety disorders with suicide attempts and suicidal ideation in outpatients
with bipolar disorder. J. Psychiatr. Res. 41, 255-264.

Spielberger, C.D., Gorsuch, R.L., Lushene, R.E., (1970). Manual for the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory.

Strakowski, S.M., Adler, C.M., Almeida, J., Altshuler, L.L., Blumberg, H.P., Chang, K.D., et al. (2012)
The functional neuroanatomy of bipolar disorder: A consensus model. Bipolar Disord. 14, 313-325.

Strik, W.K., Dierks, T., Becker, T., Lehmann, D., (1995). Larger topographical variance and decreased
duration of brain electric microstates in depression. J. Neural Transmission, 99, 213-222.

Sverak, T., Albrechtova, L., Lamos, M., Rektorova, I., Ustohal, L., (2018). Intensive repetitive
transcranial magnetic stimulation changes EEG microstates in schizophrenia: A pilot study. Schizophr.
Res. 193, 451-452.

Tomescu, M.I., Rihs, T.A., Becker, R., Britz, J., Custo, A., Grouiller F., et al. (2014). Deviant dynamics
of EEG resting state pattern in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome adolescents: A vulnerability marker of
schizophrenia? Schizophr. Res. 157, 175-181.

Tomescu, M.I., Rihs, T.A., Roinishvili, M, Karahanoglu, F.I., Schneider, M., Menghetti, S., et al.
(2015). Schizophrenia patients and 22q11.2 deletion syndrome adolescents at risk express the same
deviant patterns of resting state EEG microstates: A candidate endophenotype of schizophrenia.
Schizophr. Res. Cogn. 2, 159-165.

Van De Ville, D., Britz, J., Michel, C.M., (2010). EEG microstate sequences in healthy humans at rest
reveal scale-free dynamics. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 107, 18179–18184.

Vargas, C., López-Jaramillo, C., Vieta, E., (2013). A systematic literature review of resting state
network-functional MRI in bipolar disorder. J. Affective Disord. 150, 727-735.

Vieta, E., Salagre, E., Grande, I., Carvalho, A.F., Fernandes, B.S., Berk, M., et al. (2018). Early
intervention in Bipolar disorder. Am. J. Psychiatry 175, 411-426.

Wang, Y., Zhong, S., Jia, Y., Sun, Y., Wang, B., Liu, T., et al. (2016). Disrupted resting-state
functional connectivity in nonmedicated bipolar disorder. Radiology 280, 529-536.

Wiedemann, G., Stevens, A., Pauli, P., Dengler, W., (1998). Decreased duration and altered topography
of electroencephalographic microstates in patients with panic disorder. Psychiatry Res. Neuroimaging
84, 37-48.

Williams, J.B.W. and Kobak K.A., (2008). Development and reliability of a structured interview guide
for the Montgomery Asberg depression rating scale (sigma). Br. J. Psychiatry 192, 52-58.

Yin, Z., Chang, M., Wei, S., Jiang, X., Zhou, Y., Cui, L., et al. (2018). Decreased functional
connectivity in insular subregions in depressive episodes of bipolar disorder and major depressive
disorder. Front. Neurosci. 12(NOV).

Young R.C., Biggs, J.T., Ziegler, V.E., Meyer, D.A., (1978). A rating scale for mania: Reliability,
validity and sensitivity. Br. J. Psychiatry 133, 429-435.

14   Data Availability Statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this manuscript will be made available by the authors,
without undue reservation, to any qualified researcher.