A1 Adenosine Receptor Signaling Reduces *Streptococcus pneumoniae*

Adherence to Pulmonary Epithelial Cells by Targeting Expression of Platelet-

Activating Factor Receptor

Manmeet Bhalla[1,¶], Jun Hui Yeoh [1,¶], Claire Lamneck[2], Sydney E. Herring[1], Essi

Y. I. Tchalla[1], John M. Leong[2] and Elsa N. Bou Ghanem[1,*]

[1]Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University at Buffalo School of

Medicine, Buffalo, New York, United States of America

[2]Department of Molecular Biology and Microbiology at Tufts University School of

Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts, United States of America

Short Title: Extracellular adenosine inhibits pneumococcal epithelial binding

[*]Corresponding author

E-mail: elsaboug@buffalo.edu

[¶] These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

Extracellular adenosine production is crucial for host resistance against

Streptococcus pneumoniae (pneumococcus) and is thought to primarily affect

antibacterial immune responses by neutrophils. However, whether extracellular

adenosine alters direct host-pathogen interaction remains unexplored. An

important determinant for lung infection by *S. pneumoniae* is its ability to adhere

to the pulmonary epithelium. Here we explored whether extracellular adenosine

can directly impact bacterial adherence to lung epithelial cells. We found that

treatment of cultured human pulmonary epithelial cells with adenosine

significantly reduced the ability of pneumococci to bind to these cells.

Extracellular adenosine reduced *S. pneumoniae* adherence by acting on the

host. Inhibition of adenosine receptor signaling using a pan antagonist blocked

adenosine's ability to inhibit bacterial binding while treatment with a pan

adenosine receptor agonist mimicked the effects of adenosine. Using specific

receptor agonists, we found that signaling through the A1 adenosine receptor

mediated the reduction in bacterial binding to pulmonary epithelial cells. A1

receptor signaling inhibited bacterial binding by reducing the expression of

platelet-activating factor receptor, a host protein used by *S. pneumoniae* to

adhere to host cells. *In vivo*, A1 was expressed in the lungs and was required for

control of pneumococcal pneumonia as inhibiting it resulted in an increase in

bacterial numbers and reduced host survival in mice. As *S. pneumoniae* remain a

leading cause of community-acquired pneumonia in the elderly, we explored the

role of A1 in the age-driven susceptibility to infection. We found no difference in

A1 pulmonary expression in young versus old mice. Strikingly, triggering A1

signaling boosted the ability of old mice to control *S. pneumoniae* pulmonary

infection. A1 agonist-treated old mice displayed lower bacterial loads, reduced

clinical signs of disease and prolonged survival. This study demonstrates a novel

mechanism by which extracellular adenosine modulates resistance to lung

infection by targeting bacterial-host interactions.

Author Summary

Streptococcus pneumoniae (pneumococcus) can cause life-threatening invasive

infections, such as pneumonia, bacteremia and meningitis worldwide, particularly

in the elderly. Understanding the pathways governing bacterial-host interactions

can help us design therapies to better fight infection. Extracellular adenosine, a

signaling molecule produced by the host, is crucial for resistance against

pneumococcal pneumonia. Extracellular adenosine is thought to control the host

immune responses, however, whether it affects host-pathogen interaction

remains unexplored. Here we found that extracellular adenosine signaling

directly affects pneumococcal-epithelial cell interactions. The ability of *S.*

pneumoniae to adhere to epithelial cells is important for establishing lung

infection. Extracellular adenosine signaling through A1, one of its receptors

expressed in the lungs, inhibited the ability of *S. pneumoniae* to adhere to lung

epithelial cells. Extracellular adenosine acted by reducing the expression of a

host protein used by *S. pneumoniae* to adhere to epithelial cells. Importantly, A1

signaling was crucial for controlling lung infection by *S. pneumoniae* in young

mice and could be targeted to boost the ability of old mice to fight lung infection.

This study may have future implications for using clinically available adenosine-

based therapies to boost the resistance of vulnerable hosts like the elderly to

pneumococcal pneumonia.

Introduction

Streptococcus pneumoniae (pneumococcus) typically reside

asymptomatically in the nasopharynx of healthy individuals but can cause life-

threatening invasive diseases resulting in more than 1 million deaths per year

worldwide (1, 2). The majority of invasive pneumococcal infections occur in

elderly individuals ≥65 years of age (3). As the number of elderly individuals is

projected to double in the coming decades, reaching 2 billion by 2050, invasive

pneumococcal infections pose a serious health concern and an economic burden

which calls for novel interventions to fight this disease (4).

Lung infection is the most common form of invasive pneumococcal

disease (5) and the ability of *S. pneumoniae* to bind to and invade the airway

epithelium is a crucial step for invasive infection (1, 2). *S. pneumoniae* express

several factors that mediate binding to mammalian host cells and in fact many

bacterial virulence elements with diverse functions also double as adherence

factors (1, 2). This includes phosphorylcholine displayed on the bacterial cell wall

that mimics moieties found on host platelet-activating factor (PAF) and allows the

bacteria to bind to the host PAF receptor (6, 7). Platelet-activating factor receptor

(PAFR) is expressed on airway epithelial cells (8-10) and is upregulated during

pneumococcal pneumonia (8). PAFR mediates pneumococcal adhesion to

airway epithelial cells (7) and pharmacologically blocking this receptor decreased

bacterial binding *in vitro* (7, 11). Importantly blocking PAFR also attenuated *S.*

pneumoniae infection in animal models and PAFR$^{-/-}$ mice were more resistant to

pneumococcal lung infection (7, 12).

The extracellular adenosine pathway is an important regulator of host

defense against *S. pneumoniae* infection (13, 14). Upon cellular injury including

that caused by infection, ATP is released from cells and converted to adenosine

by the sequential action of two extracellular enzymes, CD39, which metabolizes

ATP to AMP, and CD73 that de-phosphorylates AMP to adenosine (15).

Extracellular adenosine can then act as a signaling molecule by binding to and

activating one of four G-protein coupled adenosine receptors, A1, A2A, A2B and

A3 (15). The enzymes and receptors of the extracellular adenosine pathway are

expressed by lung epithelial cells (16) and were shown to control acute lung

injury (17), ciliary motility (18), wound healing (19), activation of ion channels

(20), surfactant secretion (21), fibronectin release (22), mucin expression (23)

and production of cytokines (24).

Extracellular adenosine is thought to regulate host resistance to lung

infections by regulating recruitment and function of innate immune cells (13, 25-

29). For example, we previously found that CD73 deficient mice had a thousand-

fold more *S. pneumoniae* in their lungs as compared to wild type controls

following lung challenge (13). However, those studies did not assess whether

decreased adenosine functioned to enhance bacterial binding to lung epithelial

cells. Here, we report that adenosine A1 receptor signaling inhibited binding of

S. pneumoniae to human lung epithelial cells *in vitro* by reducing the expression

of PAFR on infected host cells. Signaling via the A1 receptor was further required

for control of bacterial numbers *in vivo* upon lung infection of mice. Importantly

triggering A1 receptor signaling reversed the susceptibility of old mice to *S.*

pneumoniae infection. This study identifies a novel mechanism by which

adenosine regulates host resistance to bacterial pneumonia and demonstrates

for the first time the feasibility of targeting this pathway to boost resistance of

vulnerable hosts against pneumococcal pneumonia.

Results

Adenosine and AMP, but not ATP inhibits binding of S. pneumoniae to H292

pulmonary epithelial cells

We previously found that extracellular adenosine was required for host

resistance against *S. pneumoniae* lung infection (13). Mice lacking CD73, an

enzyme required for extracellular adenosine production, had significantly higher

bacterial burdens in their lungs as compared to wild type mice (13). Since a

crucial step for establishing lung infection is the ability of *S. pneumoniae* to bind

to the pulmonary epithelium (1, 2), we tested whether extracellular adenosine

directly modulated pneumococcal binding to respiratory epithelial cells. To test

that, human H292 lung epithelial cells were treated with extracellular adenosine

or vehicle control, infected with *S. pneumoniae* and the amount of bacteria that

adhered to the host cells measured. We found that incubation with 100μM of

extracellular adenosine, which is close to the alveolar concentration of adenosine

in human subjects (30), significantly decreased the amount of adherent bacteria

that were attached to the lung epithelial cells by 3-fold (Fig 1A). This was not due

to direct toxicity to the bacteria, as adenosine at the concentration we tested had

no effect on bacterial growth as previously described (13) or bacterial viability

within the timeframe of this assay (Fig S1).

Adenosine in the extracellular milieu is produced when ATP that has

leaked from damaged cells is metabolized by two surface enzymes, CD39 that

converts ATP to AMP, and CD73, an ecto-5'-nucleotidase that de-phosphorylates

AMP to adenosine (15). When we measured the expression of these enzymes in

H292 cells, we found that mRNA levels of CD73 were readily detectable.

However, CD39 mRNA levels were very low (Fig 1B). Consistent with that, CD73

protein was abundantly expressed on H292 cells, while CD39 was not detectable

(Fig 1C). To confirm that the antibody we used for detection of CD39 was

functional, we stained PMNs isolated from human donors as a positive control

and were able to easily detect CD39 on those cells (Fig 1C).

Since H292 cells expressed CD73, but not CD39, we hypothesized that

AMP will also be able to decrease binding of *S. pneumoniae* to these cells, since

CD73 can convert it to adenosine, while ATP will have no effect since CD39 is

not present to initiate its dephosphorylation into adenosine. When we performed

the binding assays, we found that treatment of H292 cells with adenosine or AMP

significantly reduced the percentage of bacteria that bound when compared to

vehicle control treatment (Fig 1D). However, we did not observe any significant

effect on bacterial binding to host cells upon treatment with ATP. Taken together,

these data suggest that extracellular adenosine production inhibits the ability of

S. pneumoniae to bind to lung epithelial cells *in vitro*.

Extracellular adenosine receptor signaling is required for reduction of S.

pneumoniae binding to pulmonary epithelial cells

Extracellular adenosine can directly alter bacterial virulence (31, 32) and

also signal in the mammalian host via four known receptors (15). Therefore we

tested whether adenosine was altering bacterial binding by acting on the

bacteria, the host or both. Capsule expression regulates the ability of *S.*

pneumoniae to bind host cells (33-37). However, treatment of *S. pneumoniae*

with adenosine had no effect on expression of the capsular polysaccharide (Fig

S2A). As expected capsular deficient (Δ*cps)* bacteria bound significantly better

than wild type (WT) bacteria but adenosine treatment of H292 cells still reduced

binding of Δ*cps S. pneumoniae* by 3-fold, comparable to its effect on WT bacteria

(Fig S2B). Further, when we pre-treated *S. pneumoniae* only with adenosine, we

saw no consistent decrease in bacterial binding (Fig 2). This suggests that

adenosine was not mediating its effect through acting on the bacteria.

To test if adenosine was mediating its effect by acting on host cells, we

blocked adenosine receptor signaling using a pan-adenosine receptor inhibitor

CGS 15943. We found that the ability of adenosine to blunt bacterial binding to

H292 cells was abrogated when adenosine receptors signaling was blocked (Fig

2). Further, treatment of H292 cells with NECA, a pan adenosine receptors

agonist, mimicked the effects of adenosine, where bacterial binding was reduced

to 39% compared to the vehicle treated controls (Fig 2). The drugs had no direct

effect on bacterial viability as previously shown (13). These findings suggest that

extracellular adenosine signaling in mammalian hosts inhibits the ability of *S.*

pneumoniae to bind to lung epithelial cells.

H292 cells express extracellular adenosine receptors

There are currently four known adenosine receptors A1, A2A, A2B and

A3. First, we wanted to determine which adenosine receptors are expressed by

H292 cells and whether their expression is affected by bacterial infection. To do

so, we measured expression of adenosine receptors mRNA as is commonly

done in most studies (20, 22, 24, 38). mRNA for A1, A2A and A2B receptors was

readily detectable, while A3 mRNA was very low (Fig 3A). Upon infection with *S.*

pneumoniae, we saw a slight but not significant increase in mRNA levels for A1,

A2A and A2B with no change in mRNA levels of A3 as compared to uninfected

controls (Fig 3B). We then determined protein levels by flow cytometry and found

that H292 cells expressed all four adenosine receptors and that A2A was more

highly expressed on these cells compared to the other three receptors (Fig 3C).

Adenosine receptor protein levels were not altered upon infection of H292 cells

with *S. pneumoniae* (Fig 3C). We further confirmed our results with western blots

and found that similar to flow cytometry, all 4 adenosine receptors were detected

and *S. pneumoniae* infection slightly (but not significantly) increased levels of A1

by 1.4-fold but did not alter the expression of the other receptors (Fig 3D-E).

These results demonstrate that receptors for extracellular adenosine are

expressed in H292 pulmonary epithelial cells and that infection does not

significantly alter their levels.

A1 receptor signaling inhibits binding of S. pneumoniae to pulmonary epithelial

cells

Next, we wanted to identify which adenosine receptor(s) was required for

inhibiting bacterial binding to lung epithelial cells. These receptors bind to

adenosine with different affinities where the higher affinity receptors A1 and A3

have an EC_{50} <0.5μM whereas the intermediate affinity A2A has an EC_{50} >0.6μM

and the low affinity A2B an EC_{50} between 16-64μM (15). To probe which

receptor(s) is involved, we did a dose response, testing the effect of 100, 10, 1

and 0.1μM of adenosine on bacterial binding to H292 cells. We found that even

lower concentrations of adenosine at 10 and 1μM were as equally efficient at

decreasing adherence of *S. pneumoniae* as 100μM, where bacterial binding was

reduced to 35% relative to vehicle treated controls (Fig 4). These results

suggested that the higher affinity adenosine receptors A1, A2A or A3 but not the

low affinity receptor A2B were mediating the effect on bacterial binding observed.

To pinpoint the exact receptor involved, we treated H292 cells with

specific agonists for each of the different receptors. Since mRNA levels of A3

(Fig 3A) were barely detectable, we decided to proceed with testing the role of

the other three receptors. Consistent with the results of adenosine doses,

agonism of A2B receptor on H292 cells did not result in inhibition of *S.*

pneumoniae binding to these pulmonary epithelial cells and the percentage of

bacteria bound to treated cells were not statistically different than those bound to

control treated cells (Fig 4). Similarly, treatment with the A2A receptor agonist did

not alter bacterial binding to H292 cells (Fig 4). In contrast treatment with the A1

receptor agonist significantly reduced bacterial binding to H292 cells in a dose-

dependent manner (Fig 4). We observed a 3-fold and 2-fold reduction in the

amount of bound bacteria in comparison to control treated cells when cells were

treated with 10 or 1 nM (corresponding to respectively 10x and 1x the drug's

reported Ki (Fig 4). None of the adenosine receptor agonists had a direct effect

on bacterial viability (Fig S1). These findings suggest that specific signaling via

the A1 receptor reduces binding of *S. pneumoniae* to pulmonary epithelial cells.

A1 receptor signaling reduces expression of PAFR on infected pulmonary

epithelial cells

Next we wanted to identify the mechanisms by which adenosine regulated

bacterial binding to lung epithelial cells. *S. pneumoniae* is known to co-opt

several well-characterized host proteins to adhere to mammalian cells including

the Polymeric Immunoglobulin Receptor (PIGR) (39) and PAFR (7). Therefore,

we examined the effect of adenosine on the expression of these host proteins by

flow cytometry at baseline and during infection. As a control, we also examined

the expression of ICAM-1 a host protein whose expression is upregulated during

infection but is not thought to be a receptor for *S. pneumoniae* (40). We found

that consistent with previous studies, infection of H292 lung epithelial cells by *S.*

pneumoniae increased the expression of all three proteins we examined (Fig 5A-

C). Treatment of H292 cells with adenosine had no significant effect on the

expression of ICAM-1 or PIGR (Fig 5A-B). In contrast treatment of the epithelial

cells with adenosine moderately, but significantly reduced expression of PAFR

on resting uninfected epithelial cells (Fig 5C). Further treatment with adenosine

or A1 receptor agonist prevented upregulation of PAFR in response to infection

and *S. pneumoniae* infected H292 cells treated with these compounds had

significantly lower expression of PAFR as compared to vehicle treated controls

(Fig 5C).

To test if adenosine was mediating its effect through decreasing

expression of PAFR, we blocked PAFR signaling using the specific inhibitor

Apafant. We found that as expected (7, 11), inhibition of PAFR signaling

significantly reduced the ability of *S. pneumoniae* to bind to H292 cells (Fig 6).

Addition of the A1 receptor agonists or adenosine did not further decreased

bacterial binding in the presence of the PAFR inhibitor (Fig 6). These findings

suggest that A1 adenosine receptor signaling reduces bacterial binding to lung

epithelial cells by targeting PAFR receptor.

A1 receptor signaling is required for host resistance to S. pneumoniae lung

infection

We next wanted to confirm the relevance of our findings during lung

infection *in vivo* using a mouse model. First we checked for the expression of

adenosine receptors in the lungs of mice and found that consistent with previous

reports (20), A1 and A2A were highly expressed, while less A2B and A3 was

detected (Fig 7A-B). As we observed *in vitro*, *S. pneumoniae* infection did not

affect the expression of adenosine receptors in mouse lungs (Fig 7A-B).

We then evaluated the role of A1 receptor signaling in host resistance to

infection. We treated mice with i.p. injections of DPCPX, a A1 pharmacological

inhibitor that is active *in vivo* prior to infection. The mice were then challenged

intra-tracheally with ~1×10^4 CFU, a low non-lethal dose of *S. pneumoniae* (13,

41), and lung bacterial burdens were determined 6 hours post-infection. Since

extracellular adenosine modulates host resistance to infection via regulating the

inflammatory immune response following infection (13, 25-29), we chose to

challenge mice with this low dose of bacteria and examine bacterial numbers in

the lungs at this early time point, conditions under which we previously found

overt pulmonary inflammation is not yet triggered (13). We further confirmed that

the A1 inhibitor had no effect on the baseline numbers of neutrophils,

macrophages and T cells in the lungs (data not shown). We found that inhibition

of A1 receptor signaling *in vivo* resulted in a significant ~10-fold increase in

bacterial numbers in the lungs following pulmonary challenge (Fig 8A). At 6 hours

post infection, the infection was still localized to the lungs and had not spread

systemically. However, when we compared bacteremia at 24 hours following

infection, we found that while none of the control mice were bacteremic, 25% of

A1 inhibited mice had systemic spread of the infection (Fig 8B). Importantly,

inhibition of A1 significantly resulted in increased signs of clinical disease (Fig

8C) and significantly more host death when mice were challenged at an

otherwise non-lethal dose (Fig 8D). These findings demonstrate that specific

signaling via the A1 receptor is required for early host resistance to *S.*

pneumoniae lung infection.

Triggering A1 receptor signaling boosts resistance against S. pneumoniae lung

infection in aged hosts

As pneumococcal infections are particularly a problem in the elderly, we

examined the effect of aging on adenosine receptor expressions in the lung. We

compared pulmonary expression levels of the adenosine receptors in young (2

months) versus old (18-22 months) mice. We found that there were no significant

differences in the expression of any of the adenosine receptors in the lungs of old

mice as compared to young controls either at baseline or following lung

challenge with *S. pneumoniae* (Fig 7B).

We previously found that mice mimic the age-driven susceptibility to *S.*

pneumoniae lung infection observed in humans (41). To test whether A1 receptor

can boost the resistance of old mice to lung infection, mice were treated i.p. with

2-Chloro-N6-cyclopentyladenosine, the A1 agonist prior to infection. Mice were

then challenged intra-tracheally with *S. pneumoniae*, and bacterial burdens in the

lung were determined 6 hours post-infection. As expected, vehicle control treated

old mice were more susceptible to pneumococcal infection and had ~ 100-fold

more bacteria in their lungs at 6 hours (Fig 8A) and blood at 24 hours (Fig 8B) as

compared to young controls. Strikingly, treatment with the A1 agonist boosted the

resistance of old mice to infection. A1 agonist treated old mice had 70-fold less

bacteria in their lungs, a bacterial burden that was indistinguishable from that of

control treated young mice (Fig 8A). Further, by 24 hours post infection, old mice

given the A1 agonist had significantly less bacteria in their systemic circulation

when compared to controls (Fig 8B). Importantly, triggering A1 significantly

decreased clinical sign of sickness (Fig 8C) and slightly delayed host death and

boosted survival of old mice (Fig 8E). These data demonstrate that A1 receptor

can be targeted to boost the innate resistance of aged hosts to *S. pneumoniae*

lung infection.

Discussion

The role of the extracellular adenosine pathway in modulating host

resistance against pulmonary pathogens is becoming better appreciated.

Previous studies using murine models of infection showed that deficiency of

adenosine A2B or A1 receptors was protective against *Klebsiella pneumoniae*

(28) and influenza (26) lung infection respectively, whereas overexpression of

CD39 on airway epithelial cells promoted *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* clearance

from the lungs (25). We previously found that extracellular adenosine production

by CD73 was crucial for control of pulmonary bacterial numbers and systemic

spread following lung challenge with *S. pneumoniae* (13). In all of the above

studies, extracellular adenosine was believed to modulate pathogen clearance by

either controlling the recruitment of immune cells, particularly neutrophils and/or

regulating their antimicrobial activity (13, 25-29). Here we show that apart from its

effect on innate immune cells, extracellular adenosine modulates host resistance

by acting on pulmonary epithelial cells, targeting expression of mammalian

proteins co-opted by *S. pneumoniae* as receptors and reducing the ability of

bacteria to bind host cells.

Few studies have examined the effect of adenosine on bacterial infection

of epithelial cells from different organs (31, 32, 42-44). Adenosine signaling via

the A2B receptor inhibited intracellular persistence of *Chlamydia trachomatis* in

cervical epithelial cells (44) while A2A receptor promoted intracellular replication

of *Porphyromonas gingivalis* in gingival epithelial cells (43). In intestinal epithelial

cells, adenosine promoted bacterial infections, where addition of exogenous

adenosine increased adhesion of *Salmonella* to gut epithelial cells (42), and

expression of the adenosine producing enzyme CD73 on intestinal epithelial cells

promoted the intracellular replication as well as translocation and systemic

spread of *Salmonella* across the gut epithelium (32). Similarly, adenosine altered

the adhesion patterns of enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* to gut epithelial cells

and promoted intestinal infection *in vivo* (31). Thus the effect of adenosine on

bacterial infection of the epithelium may be organ and adenosine-receptor

specific. We demonstrated here that adenosine signaling via the A1 receptor

inhibited adherence of *S. pneumoniae* to H292 epithelial cells and reduced lung

infection *in vivo*, which is to our knowledge, the first report to show an effect of

the extracellular adenosine pathway on bacterial infection of pulmonary epithelial

cells. In certain infections adenosine had a direct effect on bacterial viability and

expression of virulence genes (31, 32). However, that was not the case here as

adenosine neither affected *S. pneumoniae* viability or expression of capsular

polysaccharide, a major determinate of bacterial adhesion, nor did treatment of

the bacteria alone alter their ability to adhere to lung epithelial cells.

Purinergic signaling plays a crucial role in regulating responses in the

airways (16). We found here that adenosine was exerting its effect on bacterial

binding through signaling via adenosine receptor A1 on host epithelial cells. The

pulmonary epithelial cells used *in vitro* in this study were H292 human lung

epithelial cells that are a mix of type I and type II pneumocytes (45). We found

that H292 cells expressed mRNA for A2B, A1 and A2A in decreasing levels while

A3 levels were extremely low. This is consistent with previous studies with A549

cells, a type II pneumocyte cell line (22) as well as primary bronchial epithelial

cells (24). Similar to other studies, despite differences at the mRNA levels, we

could detect protein expression for all four adenosine receptors (38). Lung

epithelial cells are also known to express P2 purinergic receptors that respond to

ADP and/or ATP (16) and ATP and/or ADP impaired replication of *Chlamydia*

trachomatis in cervical epithelial cells (46). However, addition of ATP did not

alter *S. pneumoniae* binding to the lung epithelial cells *in vitro* while AMP

significantly decreased bacterial binding. We believe that AMP but not ATP

decreased bacterial binding since H292 cells express CD73 that can

dephosphorylate AMP into adenosine but lack CD39 that is required for

converting ATP into AMP. *In vivo*, the ability of epithelial cells to produce

adenosine themselves may not be relevant as adenosine can be produced by

many cells that influx into sites of infection and damage including immune cells

(47).

Here, A1 receptor signaling was important for control of *S. pneumoniae*

lung infection *in vivo*. We found that A1 and A2A receptors were expressed in

mouse lungs, while expression of A2B and A3 was lower, similar to what has

been described before (20). A1 receptor is known to play an important role in

acute lung injury by regulating inflammation, particularly pulmonary influx of

neutrophils (26, 48-50). While we cannot differentiate whether the effect of

adenosine *in vivo* is due to a direct effect on the lung epithelium or innate

immune cells, we used a low dose infection and examined bacterial burdens

early on after 6 hours of infection since we previously found that at this dose and

this time point very little PMNs influx into the airways (13).

We found that adenosine and A1 receptor signaling down regulated

expression of PAFR on *S. pneumoniae* infected lung epithelial cells. PAFR is a

host protein used by *S. pneumoniae* to adhere to airway epithelial cells (7). When

PAFR was inhibited, we found that bacterial binding to the epithelium was

reduced by half, consistent with previous reports (7, 11). Triggering A1 receptor

did not further reduce binding when PAFR was inhibited, suggesting that

signaling via A1 receptor reduces pneumococcal binding via targeting PAFR.

PAFR is expressed in the lungs (8) and impairs host resistance against

pneumococcal pneumonia (7, 12, 51). We observed that PAFR expression is up

regulated on lung epithelial cells upon infection, consistent with previous reports

(8). Therefore the reduced expression of PAFR in infected cells treated with

adenosine or the A1 receptor agonist may be an indirect effect of less bacteria

bound, however, we believe that this is unlikely to be a simple reflection of bound

bacteria since expression of both ICAM-1 and PIGR, two other host proteins up

regulated upon infection were not affected by adenosine. It is more likely that

adenosine is indirectly regulating PAFR expression by controlling inflammatory

responses of epithelial cells to infection. Previous studies found that PAFR was

up regulated on epithelial cells activated by cytokines or other factors, which

enhances bacterial binding (7, 10, 52), and adenosine receptor signaling

controlled cytokine responses by epithelial cells (24). This could explain why we

only see A1 receptor signaling reducing expression of PAFR in *S. pneumoniae*

infected but not resting epithelial cells. In addition to bacterial adherence to the

lung epithelium, PAFR also has a role in pneumococcal invasion of epithelial and

endothelial cells and systemic spread of the infection from the lungs to the

circulation *in vivo* (7, 53, 54). However, we did not detect any bacteremia in

either A1 inhibited or control treated mice at the early 6-hour time point following

S. pneumoniae lung challenge.

Changes in extracellular adenosine production, metabolism and signaling

occur with aging (55-57). This is reflected by changed levels and activity of the

EAD-producing and degrading enzymes (58, 59), altered expression of

adenosine receptors (56), and an overall decline in G-protein coupled receptor

signaling (60). This pathway has been reported to play an important role in the

age-related decline of brain (58), metabolic (61) and cardiac function (56), and

importantly, can be targeted to reverse this decline in animal models (62-64).

However, the role of the extracellular adenosine pathway in age-driven

susceptibility to infections remains completely unexplored. We found here that

there was no difference in the expression levels of adenosine receptors in the

lungs of young versus old mice. Strikingly, treatment of old mice with an A1

receptor agonist significantly boosted their resistance to pneumococcal lung

infection where they were able to control early pulmonary bacterial burdens, had

reduced systemic spread of the infection and extended survival compared to

control treated mice. PAFR expression was reported to be upregulated at

baseline in the lungs of old mice (9). Therefore it is possible that triggering A1

receptors boots the resistance of aged hosts to *S. pneumoniae* by reducing

PAFR expression in the lungs. These findings demonstrate that targeting the

adenosine pathway may be a feasible strategy to reverse the innate susceptibility

of aging to lung infection.

S. pneumoniae binds to PAFR via phosphorylcholine displayed on the

bacterial cell wall that mimics moieties found on host platelet-activating factor.

This form of molecular mimicry is shared by other pathogens (65), including

Haemophilus influenzae (66), *Neisseria* (67, 68), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (69)*,*

Acinetobacter baumannii (70) and is used by bacteria to bind to PAFR and

adhere to host cells but can also regulate inflammatory responses in the host

(65, 71). Intriguingly a pilot study found that single nucleotide polymorphisms in

PTAFR gene coding for PAFR was associated with increased risk of invasive

pneumococcal disease in humans, although these results need to be validated

as no correction for multiple testing was performed (72). In conclusion, our

findings regarding the effect of adenosine on PAFR expression and bacterial

binding on lung epithelial cells may have future implications for using clinically

available adenosine-based therapies (15) to boost host resistance to *S.*

pneumoniae and other serious lung infections.

Materials and Methods

Ethics statement

This work was performed in accordance with the recommendations in the Guide

for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals published by the National Institutes

of Health. All procedures were reviewed and approved by the University at

Buffalo Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) and are under

protocol # MIC33018Y.

Mice

Young (2 months) and Old (18-22 months) C57BL/6 mice were purchased from

Jackson Laboratories (Bar Harbor, ME) and the National Institute on Aging

colonies and housed in a specific-pathogen free facility at the University at

Buffalo. Male mice were used in all experiments.

Bacteria

Wild type *S. pneumoniae* TIGR4 strain (serotype 4), were grown at 37°C with 5%

CO_2 in Todd-Hewitt broth (BD Biosciences) supplemented with 0.5% yeast

extract and Oxyrase (Oxyrase) untill mid-exponential phase. Aliquots were then

frozen at -80°C in the growth media with 25% (v/v) glycerol. Before use,

bacterial aliquots were thawed on ice, washed once and diluted in PBS to the

required concentrations. Bacterial titers were confirmed by plating on Tryptic Soy

Agar plates supplemented with 5% sheep blood agar (blood agar plates-

Northeast Laboratory Services). The Δcps deletion mutant was a kind gift from

Andrew Camilli (73).

PMN isolation

PMNs were isolated from the peripheral blood of healthy donors as previously

described (74). Volunteers were recruited in accordance with the University at

Buffalo Human Investigation Review Board (IRB) and signed informed consent

forms.

Bacterial binding assay with H292 cells

Human pulmonary mucopidermoid carcinoma-derived NCI-H292 (H292) cells

were purchased from ATCC and grown at 37°C/ CO_2 in "H292" media consisting

of RPMI 1640 medium (ATCC) with 2 mM L-glutamine, 10% FBS, and 100 U

penicillin/streptomycin following a previously described (45). The day before the

binding assay 2.5 x 10^5 H292 cells were seeded in tissue culture-treated flat

bottom 96-well plates (Corning) and allowed to adhere overnight. The following

day, cells were washed in PBS and antibiotic-free H292 media was added. The

cells were then pretreated for 30 minutes with the indicated drugs or PBS as a

vehicle control and then infected with *S. pneumoniae* at an MOI of 10-20. The

plates were spun down and incubated for 1 hour at 37°C/ CO_2. To determine the

amount of bacteria that adhered, the cells were washed with PBS, lifted with

0.05% Trypsin/EDTA (Invitrogen). Serial dilutions were then plated on blood agar

plates for determination of bacterial colony forming units (CFU). The percent of

bacteria bound were determined with respect to a no cell control where bacteria

were added to the wells and incubated for an hour under the same experimental

conditions (+/-drugs) and then viable bacteria enumerated by plating on blood

agar plates. The amount of bacteria bound to control treated cells was set as 100

% and relative changes in bacterial binding in treated cells was then calculated

and is presented as % bound relative to vehicle treated controls on the graphs.

Adenosine pathway and PAFR drugs

The effect of the extracellular adenosine pathway was tested using the following:

Adenosine (Sigma) diluted in PBS and used at the concentrations indicated on

the graphs. The pan adenosine receptor agonist 5′-(N-Ethylcarboxamido)

adenosine (NECA) used at 1µM. This agonist targets all four receptor with

reported Ki values of 6.2, 14, and 20 nM for human A3, A1 and A2A receptors

respectively and EC50 of 2.4 µM for human A2B. The pan adenosine receptor

inhibitor (ADRI) CGS-15943 used at 1µM. This inhibitor targets all four adenosine

receptors, with Ki values of 3.5, 4.2, 16 and 51 nM for human A1, A2A, A2B and

A3 receptors respectively. The A1 agonist 2-Chloro-N6-cyclopentyladenosine

used at 10, 1, 0.1 nM, A2A agonist CGS21680 used at 270, 27, 2.7nM and A2B

agonist BAY 60-6583 used at 200, 20, 2nM. These concentrations correspond to

the approximate 10xKi, Ki or 0.1Ki for each receptor. Adenosine receptor drugs

were purchased from Tocris or Sigma Aldrich, dissolved in DMSO and dilutions

performed in PBS. The potent PAFR antagonist Apafant was also purchased

from Tocris and used at 40nM corresponding to 2xKi.

Mouse infections

The effect A1 receptor on lung infection was determined using the competitive

inhibitor of A1 receptor, 8-Cyclopentyl-1,3-dipropylxanthine (DPCPX) or A1

agonist 2-Chloro-N6-cyclopentyladenosine. All chemicals were purchased from

Sigma Aldrich, dissolved in DMSO and filter sterilized by passing through a

0.22µm filter. The mice were then given intraperitoneal (i.p.) injections of 1mg/kg

of the A1 inhibitor or 0.1mg/Kg of the A1 agonist or vehicle control at days -1 and

0 (immediately before challenge) relative to infection. Control mice were mock-

treated with the vehicle control. Mice were challenged intra-tracheally with 1-

$2x10^4$ *S. pneumoniae* TIGR4 as previously described (13). Six hours post-

infection, mice were euthanized and lung and blood samples were harvested and

plated on blood agar plates for enumeration of bacterial loads. Following the

infection, mice were monitored daily and scored blindly for signs of sickness

including weight loss, activity, posture and breathing. Based on these criteria, the

mice were given a clinical score of healthy [0] to severely sick [21] as previously

described (75).

Flow cytometry

For examining adenosine receptor expression in the lungs, mice were perfused

with 10ml PBS, the lungs harvested and minced into small pieces. The lungs

were then digested for 1 hour with RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% FBS, 1

mg/ml Type II collagenase (Worthington), and 50 U/ml Deoxyribonuclease I

(Worthington) at $37^{o}C$/ 5% CO_2. Single-cell suspensions were obtained by

mashing the digested lungs, and the red blood cells were removed by treatment

with a hypotonic lysis buffer (Lonza). For examining expression of host proteins

on H292 cells, the cells were infected with S. pneumoniae at an MOI of 2 as

described in the binding assay. The cells were resuspended in FACS buffer

(HBSS/ 1% FBS) then treated with Fc block (anti-mouse clone 2.4G2 and anti-

human clone 3G8) purchased from BD and stained with specific antibodies. The

following conjugated anti-human antibodies were used: PAFR (040582;

Cederlane), ICAM (clone HA58; Biolegend), CD73 (clone TY/11.8; eBioscience),

CD39 (clone24DMS-1; eBioscience) and PIGR (PA535340; Invitrogen). For

staining for adenosine receptor antibodies, the cells were permeabilized using

the BD Cytofix/Cytoperm kit. The following unconjugated primary rabbit

polyclonal anti-adenosine receptor antibodies were purchased from abcam and

used: anti-mouse and human A2a (ab3461), A2b (ab222901) and A3 (ab203298)

as well as anti-mouse A1 (ab82477). Rabbit polyclonal IgG (ab37415) purchased

from abcam and used as an isotype control. For anti-human A1 a primary rabbit

monoclonal antibody was used (clone ERP6 179, ab124780). Secondary PE-

conjugated anti-Rabbit IgG was used (12473981; Invitrogen). Fluorescence

intensities were measured on a BD FACS Fortessa and data were analyzed

using FlowJo.

RNA extraction and qPCR

RNA was extracted from 2×10^5 H292 using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) as per

manufacturer's protocol. TURBO DNA-free kit (Invitrogen) was used to digest

DNA from the RNA samples prior conversion into cDNA. For each sample, 500ng

of RNA was converted into cDNA using SuperScript VILO^{TM} cDNA synthesis kit

(Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer's protocol. RT-PCR was

performed using CFX96 Touch™ Real-Time PCR Detection System from Bio-

Rad and CT (cycle thresh-hold) values were determined using the following

TaqMan probes from Life Technologies (Thermo Fischer Scientific): GAPDH

(Hs99999905_m1), A1 (Hs00181231_m1), A2A (Hs00169123_m1), A3

(Hs00252933_m1), and A2B (Hs00386497_m1). All samples were run in

duplicates. Data were analyzed by the comparative threshold cycle ($2^{-\Delta CT}$)

method, normalizing the CT values obtained for target gene expression to those

for GAPDH of the same sample. For comparison of adenosine receptor levels

upon infection, relative quantity of transcripts (RQ) values were calculated by the

ΔΔCT method by using the formula RQ $=2^{\wedge-}$ (ΔΔCT) (76). The ΔΔCT values

were obtained by subtracting ΔCT value of the infected from that of the

uninfected control.

Western blotting

H292 cells were solubilized in radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer (1%

Triton X-100, 0.25% sodium deoxycholate, 0.05% SDS, 50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5],

2 mM EDTA, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 1 mM sodium

orthovanadate, 10 mg/liter each of aprotinin and leupeptin). Lysates were

centrifuged and protein concentrations of the supernatants were quantified using

bicinchoninic acid kit (Pierce). Equal protein quantities of each sample were

migrated on Mini-PROTEAN TGX Stain-Free Precast Gels (BioRad) and

transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes. Incubations with

primary antibodies at 1:1000 dilutions were done overnight at 4^oC. Incubation

with secondary antibodies (1: 5000 dilutions) coupled to horseradish peroxidase

was done for 1 hour at room temperature. ChemiDoc XRS+ system (BioRad)

was used for detection of all Western blots using Clarity Western ECL Substrate

(BioRad). Blots were stripped in stripping buffer containing glycine, Triton-X 100

and SDS, and probed for GAPDH, which served as a loading control. Primary

antibodies against A1 (ab124780), A2A (ab3461), A2B (ab222901) and A3

(ab203298) were all obtained from Abcam. Primary antibodies against GAPDH

(MA5-15738) and horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies

(31460 and 31430) were purchased from Invitrogen. Images were quantified

using ImageJ (version 1.51e) software. Integrated pixel densities in bands

corresponding to proteins of interest were measured, and the background was

subtracted. Integrated pixel densities for GAPDH as loading controls were also

determined and corrected for the background. Background-corrected integrated

pixel densities of the protein of interest were normalized to those of the loading

control.

Statistics

All statistical analysis was performed using Prism7 (Graph Pad). CFU data were

log-transformed to normalize distribution. For all graphs, the mean values +/- SD

are presented. Significant differences were determined by 1-sample t-test or

Student's t-test as indicated. Survival analysis was performed using the log-rank

(Mantel-Cox) test. p values less than 0.05 were considered significant (as

indicated by asterisks).

Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge Andrew Camilli for bacterial strains as well as

Anthony Campagnari and Ira Blader for their feedback on the manuscript.

Legends

Fig 1. Extracellular adenosine reduces binding of *S. pneumoniae* to

pulmonary epithelial cells. (A) H292 cells were treated with adenosine (ADE,

100µM) or vehicle control (VC) for 30 minutes and then infected with *S.*

pneumoniae TIGR4 for 1 hour. The cells were then washed and the amount of

bound bacteria were enumerated by plating on blood agar plates. (B) RNA was

isolated from uninfected H292 cells and the expression of the indicated enzyme

mRNA was measured by RT-qPCR and normalized to GAPDH. (C) Expression

of CD73 (left panel) on H292 cells and CD39 on H292 cells and PMNs (right

panel) was assessed by flow cytometry. Histograms shown are representative

data from one of three separate experiments. (D) H292 cells were treated with

either ADE, ATP, AMP (100µM) or vehicle control (VC) for 30 minutes and then

infected with *S. pneumoniae* TIGR4 for 1 hour. The number of bound bacteria

was enumerated by plating on blood agar plates. The percent of bacterial

binding was then calculated relative to vehicle control treatment that was set to a

100. (A and D) Data shown are pooled from four separate experiments (n=4

biological replicates) where each condition was tested in triplicate (n=3 technical

replicates) per experiment. Asterisks indicate significance calculated by Student's

t-test.

Fig 2. Signaling via extracellular adenosine receptors is required for

reduction of *S. pneumoniae* binding to pulmonary epithelial cells. H292

cells were treated with either 100µM ADE, 100µM ADE in the presence of 1µM

the pan adenosine receptors inhibitor (ADRI) CGS 15943, 1µM the pan

adenosine receptors agonist 5'-*N*-Ethylcarboxamidoadenosine (NECA) or vehicle

control (VC) for 30 minutes and then infected with *S. pneumoniae* TIGR4 for 1

hour. The number of bound bacteria was enumerated by plating on blood agar

plates and the percent bacterial binding calculated relative to vehicle control.

Data shown are pooled from three separate experiments where each condition

was tested in triplicate. Asterisks indicate significance calculated by Student's t-

test.

Fig 3. Expression of adenosine receptors on H292 cells. (A) RNA was

isolated from uninfected H292 cells and the expression of the indicated

adenosine receptor mRNA was measured by RT-qPCR and normalized to

GAPDH. (B) RNA was isolated from H292 cells mock treated (uninfected) or

challenged with *S. pneumoniae* TIGR4 MOI of 2 for 1 hour and the relative

change in expression of the indicated adenosine receptor mRNA upon infection

was calculated by the ΔΔCT method. Data shown are pooled from three separate

experiments. H292 cells were infected with *S. pneumoniae* TIGR4 MOI of 2 for 1

hour or mock treated with PBS (uninfected). (C) Expression of adenosine

receptors was assessed by flow cytometry. The percentage of cells expressing

the receptors was then measured. Data shown are pooled from three separate

experiments. (D) Expression of adenosine receptors was assessed by Western

Blotting. One representative blot for each receptor is shown. (E) Western Blot

band intensities were analyzed using ImageJ and expression of infected sample

relative to uninfected controls is shown. Data presented are pooled from two

experiments. (B and E) Adenosine receptor mRNA or protein levels upon

infection were not significantly different from 1 by one-sample t-test.

Fig 4. Signaling via A1 receptor is required for inhibiting binding of *S.*

***pneumoniae in vitro* and *in vivo*.** (A) H292 cells were treated for 30 minutes

with either the indicated concentrations of ADE, vehicle control (VC) or at the

following concentrations of A1 agonist 2-Chloro-N6-cyclopentyladenosine

(10, 1, 0.1 nM), A2A agonist CGS21680 (270, 27, 2.7nM) or A2B agonist BAY

60-6583 (200, 20, 2nM) corresponding to the approximate 10xKi, Ki or 0.1Ki for

each receptor as indicated on the graph. The cells were then infected with *S.*

pneumoniae TIGR4 for 1 hour. The number of bound bacteria was determined by

plating on blood agar plates and the percent bacterial binding calculated relative

to vehicle control treatment. Data shown are pooled from three separate

experiments where each condition was tested in triplicate. Asterisks indicate

significant differences as compared to VC calculated by Student's t-test.

Fig 5. Signaling via A1 receptor reduces expression of PAFR on H292 cells.

H292 cells were treated for 30 minutes with vehicle control (VC), ADE (100µM),

or A1 agonist 2-Chloro-N6-cyclopentyladenosine (10nM) as indicated. The cells

were then infected with *S. pneumoniae* TIGR4 MOI of 2 or mock treated

(uninfected) for 1 hour. (A-C) Expression of ICAM-1, PIGR and PAFR was

assessed by flow cytometry. The Mean florescent intensities (MFI) as well as the

percentage of cells expressing the indicated ligands were then measured. (A-C)

Data shown are pooled from three separate experiments where each condition

was tested in triplicate. Asterisks indicate significant differences calculated by

Student's t-test.

Fig 6. A1 receptor signaling does not further decrease bacterial binding

when PAFR is inhibited. H292 cells were treated for 30 minutes with the

indicated vehicle control (VC), ADE (100µM), A1 agonist 2-Chloro-N6-

cyclopentyladenosine (10nM) or PAFR inhibitor (40nM). The cells were then

infected with *S. pneumoniae* TIGR4 MOI of 20 for 1 hour. The number of bound

bacteria was enumerated by plating on blood agar plates and the percent

bacterial binding calculated relative to vehicle control. Data shown are pooled

from four separate experiments where each condition was tested in triplicate.

Asterisks indicate significant differences vs. vehicle control treated cells

calculated by Student's t-test.

Fig 7. Pulmonary expression of adenosine receptors in mice are not altered

by aging or *S. pneumoniae* infection. Young and old C57BL/6J mice were

infected with *S. pneumoniae* intra-tracheally. The lungs were harvested six hours

post infection and digested into a single cell suspension and the expression of

adenosine receptors analyzed by flow cytometry. (A) Histograms shown are

representative data from young mice and (B) bar graphs are quantification of the

Mean Florescent Intensities (MFI) of the indicated adenosine receptor from

young and old mice and are pooled from six separate experiments with n=9-12

mice per group.

Fig 8. Signaling via A1 receptor is important for the control of pulmonary

pneumococcal burden *in vivo*. Young mice mock-treated or treated with

DPCPX, an A1 receptor inhibitor and old mice mock-treated or treated with 2-

Chloro-N6-cyclopentyladenosine, an A1 receptor agonist were inoculated intra-

tracheally with ~1-2x10^4 CFU of *S*. *pneumoniae* TIGR4. (A) Bacterial burdens in

the lungs were determined 6 hours post-infection. Data shown are pooled from

n=9 young and n=4 old mice per group. (B-E) To follow the infection over time,

mice were challenged with ~1-2x10^4 CFU of *S. pneumoniae* TIGR4. Bacterial

burdens in the blood (B) and clinical scores (C) were determined 24 hours post-

infection. Asterisks indicate significant differences calculated by Student's t-test. Survival of control and A1 inhibited young mice (D) as well as control and A1 agonist-treated old mice (E) and was assessed at the indicated time points following challenge. Fractions denote survivors at 7 days after challenge over the total number of mice. Asterisks indicate a statistical significance by the log-Rank (Mantel-Cox) test. Data were pooled from two independent experiments.

References

1.   van der Poll T, Opal SM. Pathogenesis, treatment, and prevention of pneumococcal pneumonia. Lancet. 2009;374(9700):1543-56.

2.   Kadioglu A, Weiser JN, Paton JC, Andrew PW. The role of Streptococcus pneumoniae virulence factors in host respiratory colonization and disease. Nature reviews Microbiology. 2008;6(4):288-301.

3.   Chong CP, Street PR. Pneumonia in the elderly: a review of the epidemiology, pathogenesis, microbiology, and clinical features. South Med J. 2008;101(11):1141-5; quiz 32, 79.

4.   Boe DM, Boule LA, Kovacs EJ. Innate immune responses in the ageing lung. Clinical and experimental immunology. 2017;187(1):16-25.

5.   Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2016. Active Bacterial Core Surveillance Report EIPN, Streptococcus pneumoniae, 2016. Available via the internet: http://www.cdc.gov/abcs/reports- findings/survreports/spneu16.pdf. 2016.

6.   Briles EB, Tomasz A. Pneumococcal Forssman antigen. A choline-

containing lipoteichoic acid. The Journal of biological chemistry.

1973;248(18):6394-7.

7.   Cundell DR, Gerard NP, Gerard C, Idanpaan-Heikkila I, Tuomanen EI.

Streptococcus pneumoniae anchor to activated human cells by the receptor for

platelet-activating factor. Nature. 1995;377(6548):435-8.

8.   Duitman J, Schouten M, Groot AP, Borensztajn KS, Daalhuisen JB,

Florquin S, et al. CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein delta facilitates bacterial

dissemination during pneumococcal pneumonia in a platelet-activating factor

receptor-dependent manner. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2012;109(23):9113-8.

9.   Shivshankar P, Boyd AR, Le Saux CJ, Yeh IT, Orihuela CJ. Cellular

senescence increases expression of bacterial ligands in the lungs and is

positively correlated with increased susceptibility to pneumococcal pneumonia.

Aging Cell.10(5):798-806.

10.   Ishizuka S, Yamaya M, Suzuki T, Nakayama K, Kamanaka M, Ida S, et al.

Acid exposure stimulates the adherence of Streptococcus pneumoniae to

cultured human airway epithelial cells: effects on platelet-activating factor

receptor expression. American journal of respiratory cell and molecular biology.

2001;24(4):459-68.

11.   Shukla SD, Fairbairn RL, Gell DA, Latham RD, Sohal SS, Walters EH, et

al. An antagonist of the platelet-activating factor receptor inhibits adherence of

both nontypeable Haemophilus influenzae and Streptococcus pneumoniae to

cultured human bronchial epithelial cells exposed to cigarette smoke.

International journal of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. 2016;11:1647-55.

12.   Rijneveld AW, Weijer S, Florquin S, Speelman P, Shimizu T, Ishii S, et al.

Improved host defense against pneumococcal pneumonia in platelet-activating

factor receptor-deficient mice. J Infect Dis. 2004;189(4):711-6.

13.   Bou Ghanem EN, Clark S, Roggensack SE, McIver SR, Alcaide P,

Haydon PG, et al. Extracellular Adenosine Protects against Streptococcus

pneumoniae Lung Infection by Regulating Pulmonary Neutrophil Recruitment.

PLoS pathogens. 2015;11(8):e1005126.

14.   Bajgar A, Dolezal T. Extracellular adenosine modulates host-pathogen

interactions through regulation of systemic metabolism during immune response

in Drosophila. PLoS pathogens. 2018;14(4):e1007022.

15.   Hasko G, Linden J, Cronstein B, Pacher P. Adenosine receptors:

therapeutic aspects for inflammatory and immune diseases. Nature reviews Drug

discovery. 2008;7(9):759-70.

16.   Burnstock G, Brouns I, Adriaensen D, Timmermans JP. Purinergic

signaling in the airways. Pharmacological reviews. 2012;64(4):834-68.

17.   Hoegl S, Brodsky KS, Blackburn MR, Karmouty-Quintana H, Zwissler B,

Eltzschig HK. Alveolar Epithelial A2B Adenosine Receptors in Pulmonary

Protection during Acute Lung Injury. J Immunol. 2015;195(4):1815-24.

18.   Allen-Gipson DS, Blackburn MR, Schneider DJ, Zhang H, Bluitt DL, Jarrell

JC, et al. Adenosine activation of A(2B) receptor(s) is essential for stimulated

epithelial ciliary motility and clearance. American journal of physiology Lung

cellular and molecular physiology. 2011;301(2):L171-80.

19.   Allen-Gipson DS, Wong J, Spurzem JR, Sisson JH, Wyatt TA. Adenosine

A2A receptors promote adenosine-stimulated wound healing in bronchial

epithelial cells. American journal of physiology Lung cellular and molecular

physiology. 2006;290(5):L849-55.

20.   Factor P, Mutlu GM, Chen L, Mohameed J, Akhmedov AT, Meng FJ, et al.

Adenosine regulation of alveolar fluid clearance. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.

2007;104(10):4083-8.

21.   Gobran LI, Rooney SA. Adenosine A1 receptor-mediated inhibition of

surfactant secretion in rat type II pneumocytes. The American journal of

physiology. 1990;258(2 Pt 1):L45-51.

22.   Roman J, Rivera HN, Roser-Page S, Sitaraman SV, Ritzenthaler JD.

Adenosine induces fibronectin expression in lung epithelial cells: implications for

airway remodeling. American journal of physiology Lung cellular and molecular

physiology. 2006;290(2):L317-25.

23.   McNamara N, Gallup M, Khong A, Sucher A, Maltseva I, Fahy J, et al.

Adenosine up-regulation of the mucin gene, MUC2, in asthma. FASEB journal :

official publication of the Federation of American Societies for Experimental

Biology. 2004;18(14):1770-2.

24.   Zhong H, Wu Y, Belardinelli L, Zeng D. A2B adenosine receptors induce

IL-19 from bronchial epithelial cells, resulting in TNF-alpha increase. American

journal of respiratory cell and molecular biology. 2006;35(5):587-92.

25.   Theatre E, Frederix K, Guilmain W, Delierneux C, Lecut C, Bettendorff L,

et al. Overexpression of CD39 in mouse airways promotes bacteria-induced

inflammation. J Immunol. 2012;189(4):1966-74.

26.   Aeffner F, Woods PS, Davis IC. Activation of A1-adenosine receptors

promotes leukocyte recruitment to the lung and attenuates acute lung injury in

mice infected with influenza A/WSN/33 (H1N1) virus. Journal of virology.

2014;88(17):10214-27.

27.   Aeffner F, Woods PS, Davis IC. Ecto-5'-nucleotidase CD73 modulates the

innate immune response to influenza infection but is not required for

development of influenza-induced acute lung injury. American journal of

physiology Lung cellular and molecular physiology. 2015;309(11):L1313-22.

28.   Barletta KE, Cagnina RE, Burdick MD, Linden J, Mehrad B. Adenosine

A(2B) receptor deficiency promotes host defenses against gram-negative

bacterial pneumonia. American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine.

2012;186(10):1044-50.

29.   Barletta KE, Ley K, Mehrad B. Regulation of neutrophil function by

adenosine. Arteriosclerosis, thrombosis, and vascular biology. 2012;32(4):856-

64.

30.   Driver AG, Kukoly CA, Ali S, Mustafa SJ. Adenosine in bronchoalveolar

lavage fluid in asthma. The American review of respiratory disease.

1993;148(1):91-7.

31.   Crane JK, Shulgina I. Feedback effects of host-derived adenosine on

enteropathogenic Escherichia coli. FEMS immunology and medical microbiology.

2009;57(3):214-28.

32.   Kao DJ, Saeedi BJ, Kitzenberg D, Burney KM, Dobrinskikh E, Battista KD,

et al. Intestinal Epithelial Ecto-5'-Nucleotidase (CD73) Regulates Intestinal

Colonization and Infection by Nontyphoidal Salmonella. Infect Immun.

2017;85(10).

33.   Cundell DR, Weiser JN, Shen J, Young A, Tuomanen EI. Relationship

between colonial morphology and adherence of Streptococcus pneumoniae.

Infect Immun. 1995;63(3):757-61.

34.   Sanchez CJ, Hinojosa CA, Shivshankar P, Hyams C, Camberlein E,

Brown JS, et al. Changes in capsular serotype alter the surface exposure of

pneumococcal adhesins and impact virulence. PloS one. 2011;6(10):e26587.

35.   Trzcinski K, Li Y, Weinberger DM, Thompson CM, Cordy D, Bessolo A, et

al. Effect of Serotype on Pneumococcal Competition in a Mouse Colonization

Model. mBio. 2015;6(5):e00902-15.

36.   Hammerschmidt S, Wolff S, Hocke A, Rosseau S, Muller E, Rohde M.

Illustration of pneumococcal polysaccharide capsule during adherence and

invasion of epithelial cells. Infect Immun. 2005;73(8):4653-67.

37.   Sanchez CJ, Kumar N, Lizcano A, Shivshankar P, Dunning Hotopp JC,

Jorgensen JH, et al. Streptococcus pneumoniae in biofilms are unable to cause

invasive disease due to altered virulence determinant production. PloS one.

2011;6(12):e28738.

38.   Giacomelli C, Daniele S, Romei C, Tavanti L, Neri T, Piano I, et al. The

A2B Adenosine Receptor Modulates the Epithelial- Mesenchymal Transition

through the Balance of cAMP/PKA and MAPK/ERK Pathway Activation in Human

Epithelial Lung Cells. Frontiers in pharmacology. 2018;9:54.

39.   Zhang JR, Mostov KE, Lamm ME, Nanno M, Shimida S, Ohwaki M, et al.

The polymeric immunoglobulin receptor translocates pneumococci across human

nasopharyngeal epithelial cells. Cell. 2000;102(6):827-37.

40.   Murdoch C, Read RC, Zhang Q, Finn A. Choline-binding protein A of

Streptococcus pneumoniae elicits chemokine production and expression of

intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (CD54) by human alveolar epithelial cells. J

Infect Dis. 2002;186(9):1253-60.

41.   Bou Ghanem EN, Clark S, Du X, Wu D, Camilli A, Leong JM, et al. The

alpha-tocopherol form of vitamin E reverses age-associated susceptibility to

streptococcus pneumoniae lung infection by modulating pulmonary neutrophil

recruitment. J Immunol. 2015;194(3):1090-9.

42.   Walia B, Castaneda FE, Wang L, Kolachala VL, Bajaj R, Roman J, et al.

Polarized fibronectin secretion induced by adenosine regulates bacterial-

epithelial interaction in human intestinal epithelial cells. The Biochemical journal.

2004;382(Pt 2):589-96.

43.   Spooner R, DeGuzman J, Lee KL, Yilmaz O. Danger signal adenosine via

adenosine 2a receptor stimulates growth of Porphyromonas gingivalis in primary

gingival epithelial cells. Molecular oral microbiology. 2014;29(2):67-78.

44.   Pettengill MA, Lam VW, Ojcius DM. The danger signal adenosine induces

persistence of chlamydial infection through stimulation of A2b receptors. PloS

one. 2009;4(12):e8299.

45.   Bhowmick R, Tin Maung NH, Hurley BP, Ghanem EB, Gronert K,

McCormick BA, et al. Systemic disease during Streptococcus pneumoniae acute

lung infection requires 12-lipoxygenase-dependent inflammation. J Immunol.

2013;191(10):5115-23.

46.   Pettengill MA, Marques-da-Silva C, Avila ML, d'Arc dos Santos Oliveira S,

Lam VW, Ollawa I, et al. Reversible inhibition of Chlamydia trachomatis infection

in epithelial cells due to stimulation of P2X(4) receptors. Infect Immun.

2012;80(12):4232-8.

47.   Eltzschig HK, Macmanus CF, Colgan SP. Neutrophils as sources of

extracellular nucleotides: functional consequences at the vascular interface.

Trends in cardiovascular medicine. 2008;18(3):103-7.

48.   Ngamsri KC, Wagner R, Vollmer I, Stark S, Reutershan J. Adenosine

receptor A1 regulates polymorphonuclear cell trafficking and microvascular

permeability in lipopolysaccharide-induced lung injury. J Immunol.

2010;185(7):4374-84.

49.   Fernandez LG, Sharma AK, LaPar DJ, Kron IL, Laubach VE. Adenosine

A1 receptor activation attenuates lung ischemia-reperfusion injury. The Journal of

thoracic and cardiovascular surgery. 2013;145(6):1654-9.

50.   Sun CX, Young HW, Molina JG, Volmer JB, Schnermann J, Blackburn

MR. A protective role for the A1 adenosine receptor in adenosine-dependent

pulmonary injury. The Journal of clinical investigation. 2005;115(1):35-43.

51.   Iovino F, Brouwer MC, van de Beek D, Molema G, Bijlsma JJ. Signalling

or binding: the role of the platelet-activating factor receptor in invasive

pneumococcal disease. Cellular microbiology. 2013;15(6):870-81.

52.   Mushtaq N, Ezzati M, Hall L, Dickson I, Kirwan M, Png KM, et al.

Adhesion of Streptococcus pneumoniae to human airway epithelial cells exposed

to urban particulate matter. The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology.

2011;127(5):1236-42 e2.

53.   Radin JN, Orihuela CJ, Murti G, Guglielmo C, Murray PJ, Tuomanen EI.

beta-Arrestin 1 participates in platelet-activating factor receptor-mediated

endocytosis of Streptococcus pneumoniae. Infect Immun. 2005;73(12):7827-35.

54.   Fillon S, Soulis K, Rajasekaran S, Benedict-Hamilton H, Radin JN,

Orihuela CJ, et al. Platelet-activating factor receptor and innate immunity: uptake

of gram-positive bacterial cell wall into host cells and cell-specific

pathophysiology. J Immunol. 2006;177(9):6182-91.

55.   Chen JF, Chern Y. Impacts of methylxanthines and adenosine receptors

on neurodegeneration: human and experimental studies. Handbook of

experimental pharmacology. 2011(200):267-310.

56.   Willems L, Ashton KJ, Headrick JP. Adenosine-mediated cardioprotection

in the aging myocardium. Cardiovascular research. 2005;66(2):245-55.

57. Headrick JP. Impact of aging on adenosine levels, A1/A2 responses,

arrhythmogenesis, and energy metabolism in rat heart. The American journal of

physiology. 1996;270(3 Pt 2):H897-906.

58. Mackiewicz M, Nikonova EV, Zimmermann JE, Romer MA, Cater J,

Galante RJ, et al. Age-related changes in adenosine metabolic enzymes in

sleep/wake regulatory areas of the brain. Neurobiology of aging. 2006;27(2):351-

60.

59. Crosti F, Ciboddo GF, Barbieri MC, Inversi F, Pavoni D, Quarenghi S, et

al. Evidence for adenosine adenosinedeaminase lymphocyte system impairment

in ageing. Bollettino dell'Istituto sieroterapico milanese. 1987;66(4):282-8.

60. Yeo EJ, Park SC. Age-dependent agonist-specific dysregulation of

membrane-mediated signal transduction: emergence of the gate theory of aging.

Mech Ageing Dev. 2002;123(12):1563-78.

61. Rolband GC, Furth ED, Staddon JM, Rogus EM, Goldberg AP. Effects of

age and adenosine in the modulation of insulin action on rat adipocyte

metabolism. Journal of gerontology. 1990;45(5):B174-8.

62. Reaven GM, Chang H, Hoffman BB. Impaired insulin-mediated inhibition

of lipolysis and glucose transport with aging. Hormone and metabolic research =

Hormon- und Stoffwechselforschung = Hormones et metabolisme.

1989;21(4):168-71.

63. Headrick JP, Willems L, Ashton KJ, Holmgren K, Peart J, Matherne GP.

Ischaemic tolerance in aged mouse myocardium: the role of adenosine and

effects of A1 adenosine receptor overexpression. The Journal of physiology.

2003;549(Pt 3):823-33.

64. Hesdorffer CS, Malchinkhuu E, Biragyn A, Mabrouk OS, Kennedy RT,

Madara K, et al. Distinctive immunoregulatory effects of adenosine on T cells of

older humans. FASEB journal : official publication of the Federation of American

Societies for Experimental Biology. 2012;26(3):1301-10.

65. Clark SE, Weiser JN. Microbial modulation of host immunity with the small

molecule phosphorylcholine. Infect Immun. 2013;81(2):392-401.

66. Swords WE, Buscher BA, Ver Steeg Ii K, Preston A, Nichols WA, Weiser

JN, et al. Non-typeable Haemophilus influenzae adhere to and invade human

bronchial epithelial cells via an interaction of lipooligosaccharide with the PAF

receptor. Molecular microbiology. 2000;37(1):13-27.

67. Weiser JN, Goldberg JB, Pan N, Wilson L, Virji M. The phosphorylcholine

epitope undergoes phase variation on a 43-kilodalton protein in Pseudomonas

aeruginosa and on pili of Neisseria meningitidis and Neisseria gonorrhoeae.

Infect Immun. 1998;66(9):4263-7.

68. Serino L, Virji M. Genetic and functional analysis of the phosphorylcholine

moiety of commensal Neisseria lipopolysaccharide. Molecular microbiology.

2002;43(2):437-48.

69. Barbier M, Oliver A, Rao J, Hanna SL, Goldberg JB, Alberti S. Novel

phosphorylcholine-containing protein of Pseudomonas aeruginosa chronic

infection isolates interacts with airway epithelial cells. J Infect Dis.

2008;197(3):465-73.

70.   Smani Y, Docobo-Perez F, Lopez-Rojas R, Dominguez-Herrera J, Ibanez-

Martinez J, Pachon J. Platelet-activating factor receptor initiates contact of

Acinetobacter baumannii expressing phosphorylcholine with host cells. The

Journal of biological chemistry. 2012;287(32):26901-10.

71.   Hergott CB, Roche AM, Naidu NA, Mesaros C, Blair IA, Weiser JN.

Bacterial exploitation of phosphorylcholine mimicry suppresses inflammation to

promote airway infection. The Journal of clinical investigation.

2015;125(10):3878-90.

72.   Lingappa JR, Dumitrescu L, Zimmer SM, Lynfield R, McNicholl JM,

Messonnier NE, et al. Identifying host genetic risk factors in the context of public

health surveillance for invasive pneumococcal disease. PloS one.

2011;6(8):e23413.

73.   Shainheit MG, Mule M, Camilli A. The core promoter of the capsule

operon of Streptococcus pneumoniae is necessary for colonization and invasive

disease. Infect Immun. 2014;82(2):694-705.

74.   Bou Ghanem EN, Lee JN, Joma BH, Meydani SN, Leong JM, Panda A.

The Alpha-Tocopherol Form of Vitamin E Boosts Elastase Activity of Human

PMNs and Their Ability to Kill Streptococcus pneumoniae. Frontiers in cellular

and infection microbiology. 2017;7:161.

75.   Mook-Kanamori B, Geldhoff M, Troost D, van der Poll T, van de Beek D.

Characterization of a pneumococcal meningitis mouse model. BMC infectious

diseases. 2012;12:71.

76.   Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression data using

real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. Methods.

2001;25(4):402-8.

1003

Supporting Information Legends

Figure S1. Effect of adenosine pathway drugs on bacterial viability. *S.*

pneumoniae TIGR4 were treated with PBS control, adenosine (ADE, 100µM), A1

agonist 2-Chloro-N6-cyclopentyladenosine (10, 1, 0.1 nM), A2A agonist

CGS21680 (270, 27, 2.7nM) or A2B agonist BAY 60-6583 (200, 20, 2nM)

corresponding to the approximate 10xKi, Ki or 0.1Ki for each receptor for 1 hour.

The number of viable bacteria was determined by plating on blood agar plates

Data shown are pooled from three separate experiments where each condition

was tested in triplicate.

1013

Figure S2. Adenosine does not alter expression of bacterial capsular

polysaccharide. (A) *S. pneumoniae* TIGR4 were treated with adenosine (ADE,

100µM) or vehicle control (VC) for 30 minutes and expression of capsular

polysaccharide measured by flow cytometry. Left panel, representative data from

one of three separate experiments where each condition was tested in triplicate

are shown. Right panel, representative histogram with the gating strategy using

Δcps *S. pneumoniae* TIGR4 as a negative control is shown. (B) H292 cells were

treated with adenosine (ADE, 100µM) or vehicle control (VC) for 30 minutes and

then infected with WT or Δ*cps S. pneumoniae* TIGR4 at an MOI of 20 for 1 hour.

The number of bound bacteria was determined by plating on blood agar plates

and the percent bacterial binding calculated relative to binding of WT bacteria to

vehicle control treated cells. Data shown are pooled from three separate

experiments where each condition was tested in triplicate. Asterisks indicate

significant differences calculated by Student's t-test.

1028

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

A.

Figure 7

A.

B.

Figure 8