A1 Adenosine Receptor Signaling Reduces *Streptococcus pneumoniae*

Adherence to Pulmonary Epithelial Cells by Targeting Expression of Platelet-

Activating Factor Receptor

Manmeet Bhalla[1, ¶], Jun Hui Yeoh [1, ¶], Claire Lamneck[2], Sydney E. Herring[1], Essi

Y. I. Tchalla[1], John M. Leong[2] and Elsa N. Bou Ghanem[1,*]

[1]Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University at Buffalo School of

Medicine, Buffalo, New York, United States of America

[2]Department of Molecular Biology and Microbiology at Tufts University School of

Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts, United States of America

Short Title: Adenosine blunts pneumococcal epithelial binding

[*]Corresponding author

Phone: 716-829-2422

E-mail: elsaboug@buffalo.edu

[¶] These authors contributed equally to this work.

Summary

Extracellular adenosine production is crucial for host resistance against

Streptococcus pneumoniae (pneumococcus) and is thought to affect antibacterial

immune responses by neutrophils. However, whether extracellular adenosine

alters direct host-pathogen interaction remains unexplored. An important

determinant for lung infection by *S. pneumoniae* is its ability to adhere to the

pulmonary epithelium. Here we explored whether extracellular adenosine can

directly impact bacterial adherence to lung epithelial cells. We found signaling via

A1 adenosine receptor significantly reduced the ability of pneumococci to bind

human pulmonary epithelial cells. A1 receptor signaling blocked bacterial binding

by reducing the expression of platelet-activating factor receptor, a host protein

used by *S. pneumoniae* to adhere to host cells. *In vivo*, A1 was required for

control of pneumococcal pneumonia as inhibiting it resulted in increased host

susceptibility. As *S. pneumoniae* remain a leading cause of community-acquired

pneumonia in the elderly, we explored the role of A1 in the age-driven

susceptibility to infection. We found no difference in A1 pulmonary expression in

young versus old mice. Strikingly, triggering A1 signaling boosted host resistance

of old mice to *S. pneumoniae* pulmonary infection. This study demonstrates a

novel mechanism by which extracellular adenosine modulates resistance to lung

infection by targeting bacterial-host interactions.

Introduction

Streptococcus pneumoniae (pneumococcus) typically reside

asymptomatically in the nasopharynx of healthy individuals but can cause life-

threatening invasive diseases resulting in more than 1 million deaths per year

worldwide (Kadioglu, Weiser, Paton, & Andrew, 2008; van der Poll & Opal,

2009). The majority of invasive pneumococcal infections occur in elderly

individuals ≥65 years of age (Chong & Street, 2008). As the number of elderly

individuals is projected to double in the coming decades, reaching 2 billion by

2050, invasive pneumococcal infections pose a serious health concern and an

economic burden which calls for novel interventions to fight this disease (Boe,

Boule, & Kovacs, 2017).

Lung infection is the most common form of invasive pneumococcal

disease (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2016. Active Bacterial

Core Surveillance Report, 2016) and the ability of S. pneumoniae to bind to and

invade the airway epithelium is a crucial step for invasive infection (Kadioglu et

al., 2008; van der Poll & Opal, 2009). S. pneumoniae express several factors that

mediate binding to mammalian host cells and in fact many bacterial virulence

elements with diverse functions also double as adherence factors (Kadioglu et

al., 2008; van der Poll & Opal, 2009). This includes phosphorylcholine displayed

on the bacterial cell wall that mimics moieties found on host platelet-activating

factor (PAF) and allows the bacteria to bind to the host PAF receptor (Briles &

Tomasz, 1973; Cundell, Gerard, Gerard, Idanpaan-Heikkila, & Tuomanen, 1995).

Platelet-activating factor receptor (PAFR) is expressed on airway epithelial cells

(Duitman et al., 2012; Ishizuka et al., 2001; Shivshankar, Boyd, Le Saux, Yeh, &

Orihuela) and is upregulated during pneumococcal pneumonia (Duitman et al.,

2012). PAFR mediates pneumococcal adhesion to airway epithelial cells

(Cundell, Gerard, et al., 1995) and pharmacologically blocking this receptor

decreased bacterial binding *in vitro* (Cundell, Gerard, et al., 1995; Shukla et al.,

2016). Importantly blocking PAFR also attenuated *S. pneumoniae* infection in

animal models and PAFR$^{-/-}$ mice were more resistant to pneumococcal lung

infection (Cundell, Gerard, et al., 1995; Rijneveld et al., 2004).

The extracellular adenosine pathway is an important regulator of host

defense against *S. pneumoniae* infection (Bajgar & Dolezal, 2018; Bou Ghanem,

Clark, Roggensack, et al., 2015). Upon cellular injury including that caused by

infection, ATP is released from cells and converted to adenosine by the

sequential action of two extracellular enzymes, CD39, which metabolizes ATP to

AMP, and CD73 that de-phosphorylates AMP to adenosine (Hasko, Linden,

Cronstein, & Pacher, 2008). Extracellular adenosine can then act as a signaling

molecule by binding to and activating one of four G-protein coupled adenosine

receptors, A1, A2A, A2B and A3 (Hasko et al., 2008). The enzymes and

receptors of the extracellular adenosine pathway are expressed by lung epithelial

cells (Burnstock, Brouns, Adriaensen, & Timmermans, 2012) and were shown to

control acute lung injury (Hoegl et al., 2015), ciliary motility (Allen-Gipson et al.,

2011), wound healing (Allen-Gipson, Wong, Spurzem, Sisson, & Wyatt, 2006),

activation of ion channels (Factor et al., 2007), surfactant secretion (Gobran &

Rooney, 1990), fibronectin release (Roman, Rivera, Roser-Page, Sitaraman, &

Ritzenthaler, 2006), mucin expression (McNamara et al., 2004) and production of

cytokines (Zhong, Wu, Belardinelli, & Zeng, 2006).

Extracellular adenosine is thought to regulate host resistance to lung

infections by regulating recruitment and function of innate immune cells (Aeffner,

Woods, & Davis, 2014, 2015; Barletta, Cagnina, Burdick, Linden, & Mehrad,

2012; Barletta, Ley, & Mehrad, 2012; Bou Ghanem, Clark, Roggensack, et al.,

2015; Theatre et al., 2012). For example, we previously found that CD73

deficient mice had a thousand-fold more *S. pneumoniae* in their lungs as

compared to wild type controls following lung challenge (Bou Ghanem, Clark,

Roggensack, et al., 2015). However, those studies did not assess whether

decreased adenosine functioned to enhance bacterial binding to lung epithelial

cells. Here, we report that adenosine A1 receptor signaling inhibited binding of

S. pneumoniae to human lung epithelial cells *in vitro* by reducing the expression

of PAFR on infected host cells. Signaling via the A1 receptor was further required

for control of bacterial numbers *in vivo* upon lung infection of mice. Importantly

triggering A1 receptor signaling reversed the susceptibility of old mice to *S.*

pneumoniae infection. This study identifies a novel mechanism by which

adenosine regulates host resistance to bacterial pneumonia and demonstrates

for the first time the feasibility of targeting this pathway to boost resistance of

vulnerable hosts against pneumococcal pneumonia.

Results

Adenosine and AMP, but not ATP reduces binding of S. pneumoniae to H292

pulmonary epithelial cells

We previously found that extracellular adenosine was required for host

resistance against *S. pneumoniae* lung infection (Bou Ghanem, Clark,

Roggensack, et al., 2015). Mice lacking CD73, an enzyme required for

extracellular adenosine production, had significantly higher bacterial burdens in

their lungs as compared to wild type mice (Bou Ghanem, Clark, Roggensack, et

al., 2015). Since a crucial step for establishing lung infection is the ability of *S.*

pneumoniae to bind to the pulmonary epithelium (Kadioglu et al., 2008; van der

Poll & Opal, 2009), we tested whether extracellular adenosine directly modulated

pneumococcal binding to respiratory epithelial cells. To test that, we used H292

cells, a human lung epithelial cell line extensively used in modeling pulmonary

bacterial host interaction (Bhowmick et al., 2013; Choi, Lee, Lee, Park, & Lee,

2008; R. T. Clark, Hope, Lopez-Fraga, Schiller, & Lo, 2009; Marks,

Parameswaran, & Hakansson, 2012; Wagner et al., 2007; Yonker et al., 2017).

H292 cells were treated with extracellular adenosine or vehicle control, infected

with *S. pneumoniae* and the amount of bacteria that adhered to the host cells

measured. We found that incubation with 100μM of extracellular adenosine,

which is close to the alveolar concentration of adenosine in human subjects

(Driver, Kukoly, Ali, & Mustafa, 1993), significantly decreased the amount of

adherent bacteria that were attached to the lung epithelial cells by 3-fold (Fig 1A).

This was not due to direct toxicity to the bacteria, as adenosine at the

concentration we tested had no effect on bacterial growth as previously

described (Bou Ghanem, Clark, Roggensack, et al., 2015) or bacterial viability

within the timeframe of this assay (Fig S1).

Adenosine in the extracellular milieu is produced when ATP that has

leaked from damaged cells is metabolized by two surface enzymes, CD39 that

converts ATP to AMP, and CD73, an ecto-5'-nucleotidase that de-phosphorylates

AMP to adenosine (Hasko et al., 2008). When we measured the expression of

these enzymes in H292 cells, we found that mRNA levels of CD73 were readily

detectable. However, CD39 mRNA levels were very low (Fig 1B). Consistent with

that, CD73 protein was abundantly expressed on H292 cells, while CD39 was not

detectable (Fig 1C). To confirm that the antibody we used for detection of CD39

was functional, we stained PMNs isolated from human donors as a positive

control and were able to easily detect CD39 on those cells (Fig 1C).

Since H292 cells expressed CD73, but not CD39, we hypothesized that

AMP will also be able to decrease binding of *S. pneumoniae* to these cells, since

CD73 can convert it to adenosine, while ATP will have no effect since CD39 is

not present to initiate its dephosphorylation into adenosine. When we performed

the binding assays, we found that treatment of H292 cells with adenosine or AMP

reduced the percentage of bacteria that bound when compared to vehicle control

treatment (Fig 1D). However, we did not observe any significant effect on

bacterial binding to host cells upon treatment with ATP. Taken together, these

data suggest that extracellular adenosine production inhibits the ability of *S.*

pneumoniae to bind to lung epithelial cells *in vitro*.

Extracellular adenosine receptor signaling is required for reduction of S.

pneumoniae binding to pulmonary epithelial cells

Extracellular adenosine can directly alter bacterial virulence (Crane &

Shulgina, 2009; Kao et al., 2017) and also signal in the mammalian host via four

known receptors (Hasko et al., 2008). Therefore we tested whether adenosine

was altering bacterial binding by acting on the bacteria, the host or both. Capsule

expression regulates the ability of *S. pneumoniae* to bind host cells (Cundell,

Weiser, Shen, Young, & Tuomanen, 1995; Hammerschmidt et al., 2005;

Sanchez, Hinojosa, et al., 2011; Sanchez, Kumar, et al., 2011; Trzcinski et al.,

2015). However, treatment of *S. pneumoniae* with adenosine had no effect on

expression of the capsular polysaccharide (Fig S2A). As expected capsular

deficient (Δ*cps)* bacteria bound significantly better than wild type (WT) bacteria

but adenosine treatment of H292 cells still reduced binding of Δ*cps S.*

pneumoniae by 3-fold, comparable to its effect on WT bacteria (Fig S2B).

Further, when we pre-treated *S. pneumoniae* only with adenosine, we saw no

consistent decrease in bacterial binding (Fig 2). This suggests that adenosine

was not mediating its effect through acting directly on the bacteria.

To test if adenosine was mediating its effect by acting on host cells, we

blocked adenosine receptor signaling using a pan-adenosine receptor inhibitor

CGS 15943. We found that the ability of adenosine to blunt bacterial binding to

H292 cells was abrogated when adenosine receptors signaling was blocked (Fig

2). Further, treatment of H292 cells with NECA, a pan adenosine receptors

agonist, mimicked the effects of adenosine, where bacterial binding was reduced

to 39% compared to the vehicle treated controls (Fig 2). The drugs had no direct

effect on bacterial viability as previously shown (Bou Ghanem, Clark,

Roggensack, et al., 2015). These findings suggest that extracellular adenosine

signaling in mammalian hosts inhibits the ability of *S. pneumoniae* to bind to lung

epithelial cells.

H292 cells express extracellular adenosine receptors

There are currently four known adenosine receptors A1, A2A, A2B and

A3. First, we wanted to determine which adenosine receptors are expressed by

H292 cells and whether their expression is affected by bacterial infection. To do

so, we measured expression of adenosine receptors mRNA as is commonly

done in most studies (Factor et al., 2007; Giacomelli et al., 2018; Roman et al.,

2006; Zhong et al., 2006). mRNA for A1, A2A and A2B receptors was readily

detectable, while A3 mRNA was very low (Fig 3A). Upon infection with *S.*

pneumoniae, we saw a slight but not significant increase in mRNA levels for A1,

A2A and A2B with no change in mRNA levels of A3 as compared to uninfected

controls (Fig 3B). We then determined protein levels by flow cytometry and found

that H292 cells expressed all four adenosine receptors and that A2A was more

highly expressed on these cells compared to the other three receptors (Fig 3C).

Adenosine receptor protein levels were not altered upon infection of H292 cells

with *S. pneumoniae* (Fig 3C)*.* We further confirmed our results with western blots

and found that similar to flow cytometry, all 4 adenosine receptors were detected

and *S. pneumoniae* infection slightly (but not significantly) increased levels of A1

by 1.4-fold but did not alter the expression of the other receptors (Fig 3D-E).

These results demonstrate that receptors for extracellular adenosine are

expressed in H292 pulmonary epithelial cells and that infection does not

significantly alter their levels.

A1 receptor signaling reduces binding of S. pneumoniae to pulmonary epithelial

cells

Next, we wanted to identify which adenosine receptor(s) was required for

inhibiting bacterial binding to lung epithelial cells. These receptors bind to

adenosine with different affinities where the higher affinity receptors A1 and A3

have an EC_{50} <0.5μM whereas the intermediate affinity A2A has an EC_{50} >0.6μM

and the low affinity A2B an EC_{50} between 16-64μM (Hasko et al., 2008). To

probe which receptor(s) is involved, we did a dose response, testing the effect of

100, 10, 1 and 0.1μM of adenosine on bacterial binding to H292 cells. We found

that even lower concentrations of adenosine at 10 and 1μM were as equally

efficient at decreasing adherence of *S. pneumoniae* as 100μM, where bacterial

binding was reduced to 35% relative to vehicle treated controls (Fig 4). These

results suggested that the higher affinity adenosine receptors A1, A2A or A3 but

not the low affinity receptor A2B were mediating the effect on bacterial binding

observed.

To pinpoint the exact receptor involved, we treated H292 cells with

specific agonists for each of the different receptors. Since mRNA levels of A3

(Fig 3A) were barely detectable, we decided to proceed with testing the role of

the other three receptors. Consistent with the results of adenosine doses,

agonism of A2B receptor on H292 cells did not result in inhibition of *S.*

pneumoniae binding to these pulmonary epithelial cells and the percentage of

bacteria bound to treated cells were not statistically different than those bound to

control treated cells (Fig 4). Similarly, treatment with the A2A receptor agonist did

not alter bacterial binding to H292 cells (Fig 4). In contrast treatment with the A1

receptor agonist significantly reduced bacterial binding to H292 cells in a dose-

dependent manner (Fig 4). We observed a 3-fold and 2-fold reduction in the

amount of bound bacteria in comparison to control treated cells when cells were

treated with 10 or 1 nM (corresponding to respectively 10x and 1x the drug's

reported Ki (Fig 4). None of the adenosine receptor agonists had a direct effect

on bacterial viability (Fig S1). These findings suggest that specific signaling via

the A1 receptor reduces binding of *S. pneumoniae* to pulmonary epithelial cells.

A1 receptor signaling reduces expression of PAFR on infected pulmonary

epithelial cells

Next we wanted to identify the mechanisms by which adenosine regulated

bacterial binding to lung epithelial cells. *S. pneumoniae* is known to co-opt

several well-characterized host proteins to adhere to mammalian cells including

the Polymeric Immunoglobulin Receptor (PIGR) (Zhang et al., 2000) and PAFR

(Cundell, Gerard, et al., 1995). Therefore, we examined the effect of adenosine

on the expression of these host proteins by flow cytometry at baseline and during

infection. As a control, we also examined the expression of ICAM-1 a host

protein whose expression is upregulated during infection but is not thought to be

a receptor for *S. pneumoniae* (Murdoch, Read, Zhang, & Finn, 2002). We found

that consistent with previous studies, infection of H292 lung epithelial cells by *S.*

pneumoniae increased the expression of all three proteins we examined (Fig 5A-

C). Treatment of H292 cells with adenosine had no significant effect on the

expression of ICAM-1 or PIGR (Fig 5A-B). In contrast treatment of the epithelial

cells with adenosine or A1 receptor agonist prevented upregulation of PAFR in

response to infection and *S. pneumoniae* infected H292 cells treated with these

compounds had significantly lower expression of PAFR as compared to vehicle

treated controls (Fig 5C).

To test if adenosine was mediating its effect through decreasing

expression of PAFR, we blocked PAFR signaling using the specific inhibitor

Apafant. We found that as expected (Cundell, Gerard, et al., 1995; Shukla et al.,

2016), inhibition of PAFR signaling significantly reduced the ability of *S.*

pneumoniae to bind to H292 cells (Fig 6). Addition of the A1 receptor agonists or

adenosine did not further decreased bacterial binding in the presence of the

PAFR inhibitor (Fig 6). These findings suggest that A1 adenosine receptor

signaling reduces bacterial binding to lung epithelial cells by targeting PAFR

receptor.

A1 receptor signaling is required for host resistance to S. pneumoniae lung

infection

We next wanted to confirm the relevance of our findings during lung

infection *in vivo* using a mouse model. First we checked for the expression of

adenosine receptors in the lungs of mice and found that consistent with previous

reports (Factor et al., 2007), A1 and A2A were highly expressed, while less A2B

and A3 was detected (Fig 7A-B). As we observed *in vitro*, *S. pneumoniae*

infection did not affect the expression of adenosine receptors in mouse lungs (Fig

7A-B).

We then evaluated the role of A1 receptor signaling in host resistance to

infection. We treated mice with i.p. injections of DPCPX, a A1 pharmacological

inhibitor that is active *in vivo* prior to infection. The mice were then challenged

intra-tracheally with $\sim 1 \times 10^4$ CFU, a low non-lethal dose of *S. pneumoniae* (Bou

Ghanem, Clark, Du, et al., 2015; Bou Ghanem, Clark, Roggensack, et al., 2015),

and lung bacterial burdens were determined 6 hours post-infection. Since

extracellular adenosine modulates host resistance to infection via regulating the

inflammatory immune response following infection (Aeffner et al., 2014, 2015;

Barletta, Cagnina, et al., 2012; Barletta, Ley, et al., 2012; Bou Ghanem, Clark,

Roggensack, et al., 2015; Theatre et al., 2012), we chose to challenge mice with

this low dose of bacteria and examine bacterial numbers in the lungs at this early

time point, conditions under which we previously found overt pulmonary

inflammation is not yet triggered (Bou Ghanem, Clark, Roggensack, et al., 2015).

We further confirmed that the A1 inhibitor had no effect on the baseline numbers

of neutrophils, macrophages and T cells in the lungs (data not shown). We found

that inhibition of A1 receptor signaling *in vivo* resulted in a significant ~10-fold

increase in bacterial numbers in the lungs of young mice following pulmonary

challenge (Fig 8A). At 6 hours post infection, the infection was still localized to

the lungs and had not spread systemically. However, when we compared

bacteremia at 24 hours following infection, we found that while none of the

control mice were bacteremic, 25% of A1 inhibited mice had systemic spread of

the infection (Fig 8B). Importantly, inhibition of A1 resulted in significantly more

host death when mice were challenged at an otherwise non-lethal dose (Fig 8D).

These findings demonstrate that specific signaling via the A1 receptor is required

for early host resistance to *S. pneumoniae* lung infection.

Triggering A1 receptor signaling boosts resistance against S. pneumoniae lung

infection in aged hosts

As pneumococcal infections are particularly a problem in the elderly, we

examined the effect of aging on adenosine receptor expressions in the lung. We

compared pulmonary expression levels of the adenosine receptors in young (2

months) versus old (18-22 months) mice. We found that there were no significant

differences in the expression of any of the adenosine receptors in the lungs of old

mice as compared to young controls either at baseline or following lung

challenge with *S. pneumoniae* (Fig 7B).

We previously found that mice mimic the age-driven susceptibility to *S.*

pneumoniae lung infection observed in humans (Bou Ghanem, Clark, Du, et al.,

2015). To test whether A1 receptor can boost the resistance of old mice to lung

infection, mice were treated i.p. with 2-Chloro-N6-cyclopentyladenosine, the A1

agonist prior to infection. Mice were then challenged intra-tracheally with *S.*

pneumoniae, and bacterial burdens in the lung were determined 6 hours post-

infection. As expected, vehicle control treated old mice were more susceptible to

pneumococcal infection and had ~ 100-fold more bacteria in their lungs at 6

hours (Fig 8A) and blood at 24 hours (Fig 8B) as compared to young controls.

Strikingly, treatment with the A1 agonist boosted the resistance of old mice to

infection. A1 agonist treated old mice had 70-fold less bacteria in their lungs, a

bacterial burden that was indistinguishable from that of control treated young

mice (Fig 8A). Further, by 24 hours post infection, old mice given the A1 agonist

had significantly less bacteria in their systemic circulation when compared to

controls (Fig 8B). Importantly, triggering A1 significantly decreased clinical sign of

sickness (Fig 8C) and boosted survival of old mice (Fig 8E). We also confirmed

that treatment with the A1 agonist boosted survival of young mice that were

challenged with a lethal bacterial dose (Fig 8F). These data demonstrate that A1

receptor can be targeted to boost the innate resistance of aged hosts to *S.*

pneumoniae lung infection.

Discussion

The role of the extracellular adenosine pathway in modulating host

resistance against pulmonary pathogens is becoming better appreciated.

Previous studies using murine models of infection showed that deficiency of

adenosine A2B or A1 receptors was protective against *Klebsiella pneumoniae*

(Barletta, Cagnina, et al., 2012) and influenza (Aeffner et al., 2014) lung infection

respectively, whereas overexpression of CD39 on airway epithelial cells

promoted *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* clearance from the lungs (Theatre et al.,

2012). We previously found that extracellular adenosine production by CD73 was

crucial for control of pulmonary bacterial numbers and systemic spread following

lung challenge with *S. pneumoniae* (Bou Ghanem, Clark, Roggensack, et al.,

2015). In all of the above studies, extracellular adenosine was believed to

modulate pathogen clearance by either controlling the recruitment of immune

cells, particularly neutrophils and/or regulating their antimicrobial activity (Aeffner

et al., 2014, 2015; Barletta, Cagnina, et al., 2012; Barletta, Ley, et al., 2012; Bou

Ghanem, Clark, Roggensack, et al., 2015; Theatre et al., 2012). Here we show

that apart from its effect on innate immune cells, extracellular adenosine

modulates host resistance by acting on pulmonary epithelial cells, targeting

expression of mammalian proteins co-opted by *S. pneumoniae* as receptors and

reducing the ability of bacteria to bind host cells.

Few studies have examined the effect of adenosine on bacterial infection

of epithelial cells from different organs (Crane & Shulgina, 2009; Kao et al., 2017;

Pettengill, Lam, & Ojcius, 2009; Spooner, DeGuzman, Lee, & Yilmaz, 2014;

Walia et al., 2004). Adenosine signaling via the A2B receptor inhibited

intracellular persistence of *Chlamydia trachomatis* in cervical epithelial cells

(Pettengill et al., 2009) while A2A receptor promoted intracellular replication of

Porphyromonas gingivalis in gingival epithelial cells (Spooner et al., 2014). In

intestinal epithelial cells, adenosine promoted bacterial infections, where addition

of exogenous adenosine increased adhesion of *Salmonella* to gut epithelial cells

(Walia et al., 2004), and expression of the adenosine producing enzyme CD73

on intestinal epithelial cells promoted the intracellular replication as well as

translocation and systemic spread of *Salmonella* across the gut epithelium (Kao

et al., 2017). Similarly, adenosine altered the adhesion patterns of

enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* to gut epithelial cells and promoted intestinal

infection *in vivo* (Crane & Shulgina, 2009). Thus the effect of adenosine on

bacterial infection of the epithelium may be organ and adenosine-receptor

specific. We demonstrated here that adenosine signaling via the A1 receptor

blinted adherence of *S. pneumoniae* to H292 epithelial cells and reduced lung

infection *in vivo*, which is to our knowledge, the first report to show an effect of

the extracellular adenosine pathway on bacterial infection of pulmonary epithelial

cells. In certain infections adenosine had a direct effect on bacterial viability and

expression of virulence genes (Crane & Shulgina, 2009; Kao et al., 2017).

However, that was not the case here as adenosine neither affected *S.*

pneumoniae viability or expression of capsular polysaccharide, a major

determinate of bacterial adhesion, nor did treatment of the bacteria alone alter

their ability to adhere to lung epithelial cells.

Purinergic signaling plays a crucial role in regulating responses in the

airways (Burnstock et al., 2012). We found here that adenosine was exerting its

effect on bacterial binding through signaling via adenosine receptor A1 on host

epithelial cells. The pulmonary epithelial cells used *in vitro* in this study were

H292 human lung epithelial cells that are a mix of type I and type II pneumocytes

(Bhowmick et al., 2013). We found that H292 cells expressed mRNA for A2B, A1

and A2A in decreasing levels while A3 levels were extremely low. This is

consistent with previous studies with A549 cells, a type II pneumocyte cell line

(Roman et al., 2006) as well as primary bronchial epithelial cells (Zhong et al.,

2006). Similar to other studies, despite differences at the mRNA levels, we could

detect protein expression for all four adenosine receptors (Giacomelli et al.,

2018). Lung epithelial cells are also known to express P2 purinergic receptors

that respond to ADP and/or ATP (Burnstock et al., 2012) and ATP and/or ADP

impaired replication of *Chlamydia trachomatis* in cervical epithelial cells

(Pettengill et al., 2012). However, addition of ATP did not alter *S. pneumoniae*

binding to the lung epithelial cells *in vitro* while AMP significantly decreased

bacterial binding. We believe that AMP but not ATP decreased bacterial binding

since H292 cells express CD73 that can dephosphorylate AMP into adenosine

but lack CD39 that is required for converting ATP into AMP. *In vivo*, the ability of

epithelial cells to produce adenosine themselves may not be relevant as

adenosine can be produced by many cells that influx into sites of infection and

damage including immune cells (Eltzschig, Macmanus, & Colgan, 2008).

Here, A1 receptor signaling was important for control of *S. pneumoniae*

lung infection *in vivo*. We found that A1 and A2A receptors were expressed in

mouse lungs, while expression of A2B and A3 was lower, similar to what has

been described before (Factor et al., 2007). A1 receptor is known to play an

important role in acute lung injury by regulating inflammation, particularly

pulmonary influx of neutrophils (Aeffner et al., 2014; Fernandez, Sharma, LaPar,

Kron, & Laubach, 2013; Ngamsri, Wagner, Vollmer, Stark, & Reutershan, 2010;

Sun et al., 2005). While we cannot differentiate whether the effect of adenosine

in vivo is due to a direct effect on the lung epithelium or innate immune cells, we

used a low dose infection and examined bacterial burdens early on after 6 hours

of infection since we previously found that at this dose and this time point very

little PMNs influx into the airways (Bou Ghanem, Clark, Roggensack, et al.,

2015).

We found that adenosine and A1 receptor signaling down regulated

expression of PAFR on *S. pneumoniae* infected lung epithelial cells. PAFR is a

host protein used by *S. pneumoniae* to adhere to airway epithelial cells (Cundell,

Gerard, et al., 1995). When PAFR was inhibited, we found that bacterial binding

to the epithelium was reduced by half, consistent with previous reports (Cundell,

Gerard, et al., 1995; Shukla et al., 2016). Triggering A1 receptor did not further

reduce binding when PAFR was inhibited, suggesting that signaling via A1

receptor reduces pneumococcal binding via targeting PAFR. PAFR is expressed

in the lungs (Duitman et al., 2012) and impairs host resistance against

pneumococcal pneumonia (Cundell, Gerard, et al., 1995; Iovino, Brouwer, van de

Beek, Molema, & Bijlsma, 2013; Rijneveld et al., 2004). We observed that PAFR

expression is up regulated on lung epithelial cells upon infection, consistent with

previous reports (Duitman et al., 2012). Therefore the reduced expression of

PAFR in infected cells treated with adenosine or the A1 receptor agonist may be

an indirect effect of less bacteria bound, however, we believe that this is unlikely

to be a simple reflection of bound bacteria since expression of both ICAM-1 and

PIGR, two other host proteins up regulated upon infection were not affected by

adenosine. It is more likely that adenosine is indirectly regulating PAFR

expression by controlling inflammatory responses of epithelial cells to infection.

Previous studies found that PAFR was up regulated on epithelial cells activated

by cytokines or other factors, which enhances bacterial binding (Cundell, Gerard,

et al., 1995; Ishizuka et al., 2001; Mushtaq et al., 2011), and adenosine receptor

signaling controlled cytokine responses by epithelial cells (Zhong et al., 2006).

This could explain why we only see A1 receptor signaling reducing expression of

PAFR in *S. pneumoniae* infected but not resting epithelial cells. In addition to

bacterial adherence to the lung epithelium, PAFR also has a role in

pneumococcal invasion of epithelial and endothelial cells and systemic spread of

the infection from the lungs to the circulation *in vivo* (Cundell, Gerard, et al.,

1995; Fillon et al., 2006; Radin et al., 2005). However, we did not detect any

bacteremia in either A1 inhibited or control treated mice at the early 6-hour time

point following *S. pneumoniae* lung challenge.

Changes in extracellular adenosine production, metabolism and signaling

occur with aging (Chen & Chern, 2011; Headrick, 1996; Willems, Ashton, &

Headrick, 2005). This is reflected by changed levels and activity of the EAD-

producing and degrading enzymes (Crosti et al., 1987; Mackiewicz et al., 2006),

altered expression of adenosine receptors (Willems et al., 2005), and an overall

decline in G-protein coupled receptor signaling (Yeo & Park, 2002). This pathway

has been reported to play an important role in the age-related decline of brain

(Mackiewicz et al., 2006), metabolic (Rolband, Furth, Staddon, Rogus, &

Goldberg, 1990) and cardiac function (Willems et al., 2005), and importantly, can

be targeted to reverse this decline in animal models (Headrick et al., 2003;

Hesdorffer et al., 2012; Reaven, Chang, & Hoffman, 1989). However, the role of

the extracellular adenosine pathway in age-driven susceptibility to infections

remains completely unexplored. We found here that there was no difference in

the expression levels of adenosine receptors in the lungs of young versus old

mice. Strikingly, treatment of old mice with an A1 receptor agonist significantly

boosted their resistance to pneumococcal lung infection where they were able to

control early pulmonary bacterial burdens, had reduced systemic spread of the

infection and extended survival compared to control treated mice. PAFR

expression was reported to be upregulated at baseline in the lungs of old mice

(Shivshankar et al.). Therefore it is possible that triggering A1 receptors boots the

resistance of aged hosts to *S. pneumoniae* by reducing PAFR expression in the

lungs. These findings demonstrate that targeting the adenosine pathway may be

a feasible strategy to reverse the innate susceptibility of aging to lung infection.

S. pneumoniae binds to PAFR via phosphorylcholine displayed on the

bacterial cell wall that mimics moieties found on host platelet-activating factor.

This form of molecular mimicry is shared by other pathogens (S. E. Clark &

Weiser, 2013), including *Haemophilus influenzae* (Swords et al., 2000), *Neisseria*

(Serino & Virji, 2002; Weiser, Goldberg, Pan, Wilson, & Virji, 1998),

Pseudomonas aeruginosa (Barbier et al., 2008)*, Acinetobacter baumannii*

(Smani et al., 2012) and is used by bacteria to bind to PAFR and adhere to host

cells but can also regulate inflammatory responses in the host (S. E. Clark &

Weiser, 2013; Hergott et al., 2015). Intriguingly a pilot study found that single

nucleotide polymorphisms in *PTAFR* gene coding for PAFR was associated with

increased risk of invasive pneumococcal disease in humans, although these

results need to be validated as no correction for multiple testing was performed

(Lingappa et al., 2011). In conclusion, our findings regarding the effect of

adenosine on PAFR expression and bacterial binding on lung epithelial cells may

have future implications for using clinically available adenosine-based therapies

(Hasko et al., 2008) to boost host resistance to *S. pneumoniae* and other serious

lung infections.

Experimental Procedures

Mice

Young (2 months) and Old (18-22 months) C57BL/6 mice were purchased from

Jackson Laboratories (Bar Harbor, ME) and the National Institute on Aging

colonies and housed in a specific-pathogen free facility at the University at

Buffalo. Male mice were used in all experiments. This work was performed in

accordance with the recommendations in the Guide for the Care and Use of

Laboratory Animals published by the National Institutes of Health. All procedures

were reviewed and approved by the University at Buffalo Institutional Animal

Care and Use Committee.

Bacteria

Wild type *S. pneumoniae* TIGR4 strain (serotype 4), were grown at 37°C with 5%

CO_2 in Todd-Hewitt broth (BD Biosciences) supplemented with 0.5% yeast

extract and Oxyrase (Oxyrase) untill mid-exponential phase. Aliquots were then

frozen at -80°C in the growth media with 25% (v/v) glycerol. Before use,

bacterial aliquots were thawed on ice, washed once and diluted in PBS to the

required concentrations. Bacterial titers were confirmed by plating on Tryptic Soy

Agar plates supplemented with 5% sheep blood agar (blood agar plates-

Northeast Laboratory Services). The Δcps deletion mutant was a kind gift from

Andrew Camilli (Shainheit, Mule, & Camilli, 2014).

PMN isolation

PMNs were isolated from the peripheral blood of healthy donors as previously

described (Bou Ghanem et al., 2017). Volunteers were recruited in accordance

with the University at Buffalo Human Investigation Review Board (IRB) and

signed informed consent forms.

Bacterial binding assay with H292 cells

Human pulmonary mucopidermoid carcinoma-derived NCI-H292 (H292) cells

were purchased from ATCC and grown at 37°C/ CO_2 in "H292" media consisting

of RPMI 1640 medium (ATCC) with 2 mM L-glutamine, 10% FBS, and 100 U

penicillin/streptomycin following a previously described (Bhowmick et al., 2013).

The day before the binding assay 2.5×10^5 H292 cells were seeded in tissue

culture-treated flat bottom 96-well plates (Corning) and allowed to adhere

overnight. The following day, cells were washed in PBS and antibiotic-free H292

media was added. The cells were then pretreated for 30 minutes with the

indicated drugs or PBS as a vehicle control and then infected with *S.*

pneumoniae at an MOI of 10-20. The plates were spun down and incubated for 1

hour at 37°C/ CO_2. To determine the amount of bacteria that adhered, the cells

were washed with PBS, lifted with 0.05% Trypsin/EDTA (Invitrogen) and

vigorously vortexed to produce a homogeneous solution. Serial dilutions were

then plated on blood agar plates for determination of bacterial colony forming

units (CFU). The percent of bacteria bound were determined with respect to a no

cell control where bacteria were added to the wells and incubated for an hour

under the same experimental conditions (+/-drugs) and then viable bacteria

enumerated by plating on blood agar plates. The amount of bacteria bound to

control treated cells was set as 100 % and relative changes in bacterial binding in

treated cells was then calculated and is presented as % bound relative to vehicle

treated controls on the graphs. The effect of adenosine treatment on bacterial

binding was also confirmed by performing binding assays on H292 cells seeded

on glass slides and lysed with water (not shown).

Adenosine pathway and PAFR drugs

The effect of the extracellular adenosine pathway was tested using the following:

Adenosine (Sigma) diluted in PBS and used at the concentrations indicated on

the graphs. The pan adenosine receptor agonist 5′-(N-Ethylcarboxamido)

adenosine (NECA) used at 1µM. This agonist targets all four receptor with

reported Ki values of 6.2, 14, and 20 nM for human A3, A1 and A2A receptors

respectively and EC50 of 2.4 µM for human A2B. The pan adenosine receptor

inhibitor (ADRI) CGS-15943 used at 1µM. This inhibitor targets all four adenosine

receptors, with Ki values of 3.5, 4.2, 16 and 51 nM for human A1, A2A, A2B and

A3 receptors respectively. The A1 agonist 2-Chloro-N6-cyclopentyladenosine

used at 10, 1, 0.1 nM, A2A agonist CGS21680 used at 270, 27, 2.7nM and A2B

agonist BAY 60-6583 used at 200, 20, 2nM. These concentrations correspond to

the approximate 10xKi, Ki or 0.1Ki for each receptor. Adenosine receptor drugs

were purchased from Tocris or Sigma Aldrich, dissolved in DMSO and dilutions

performed in PBS. The potent PAFR antagonist Apafant was also purchased

from Tocris and used at 40nM corresponding to 2xKi.

Mouse infections

The effect A1 receptor on lung infection was determined using the competitive

inhibitor of A1 receptor, 8-Cyclopentyl-1,3-dipropylxanthine (DPCPX) or A1

agonist 2-Chloro-N6-cyclopentyladenosine. All chemicals were purchased from

Sigma Aldrich, dissolved in DMSO and filter sterilized by passing through a

0.22µm filter. The mice were then given intraperitoneal (i.p.) injections of 1mg/kg

of the A1 inhibitor or 0.1mg/Kg of the A1 agonist or vehicle control at days -1 and

0 (immediately before challenge) relative to infection. Control mice were mock-

treated with the vehicle control. Mice were challenged intra-tracheally with 1-

$2x10^4$ *S. pneumoniae* TIGR4 as previously described (Bou Ghanem, Clark,

Roggensack, et al., 2015). Six hours post-infection, mice were euthanized and

lung and blood samples were harvested and plated on blood agar plates for

enumeration of bacterial loads. Following the infection, mice were monitored

daily and scored blindly for signs of sickness including weight loss, activity,

posture and breathing. Based on these criteria, the mice were given a clinical

score of healthy [0] to severely sick [21] as previously described (Mook-

Kanamori, Geldhoff, Troost, van der Poll, & van de Beek, 2012).

Flow cytometry

For examining adenosine receptor expression in the lungs, mice were perfused

with 10ml PBS, the lungs harvested and minced into small pieces. The lungs

were then digested for 1 hour with RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% FBS, 1

mg/ml Type II collagenase (Worthington), and 50 U/ml Deoxyribonuclease I

(Worthington) at $37^{o}C$/ 5% CO_2. Single-cell suspensions were obtained by

mashing the digested lungs, and the red blood cells were removed by treatment

with a hypotonic lysis buffer (Lonza). For examining expression of host proteins

on H292 cells, the cells were infected with *S. pneumoniae* at an MOI of 2 as

described in the binding assay. The cells were resuspended in FACS buffer

(HBSS/ 1% FBS) then treated with Fc block (anti-mouse clone 2.4G2 and anti-

human clone 3G8) purchased from BD and stained with specific antibodies. The

following conjugated anti-human antibodies were used: PAFR (040582;

Cederlane), ICAM (clone HA58; Biolegend), CD73 (clone TY/11.8; eBioscience),

CD39 (clone24DMS-1; eBioscience) and PIGR (PA535340; Invitrogen). For

staining for adenosine receptor antibodies, the cells were permeabilized using

the BD Cytofix/Cytoperm kit. The following unconjugated primary rabbit

polyclonal anti-adenosine receptor antibodies were purchased from abcam and

used: anti-mouse and human A2a (ab3461), A2b (ab222901) and A3 (ab203298)

as well as anti-mouse A1 (ab82477). Rabbit polyclonal IgG (ab37415) purchased

from abcam and used as an isotype control. For anti-human A1 a primary rabbit

monoclonal antibody was used (clone ERP6 179, ab124780). Secondary PE-

conjugated anti-Rabbit IgG was used (12473981; Invitrogen). Fluorescence

intensities were measured on a BD FACS Fortessa and data were analyzed

using FlowJo.

RNA extraction and qPCR

RNA was extracted from 2×10^5 H292 using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) as per

manufacturer's protocol. TURBO DNA-free kit (Invitrogen) was used to digest

DNA from the RNA samples prior conversion into cDNA. For each sample, 500ng

of RNA was converted into cDNA using SuperScript VILO^{TM} cDNA synthesis kit

(Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer's protocol. RT-PCR was

performed using CFX96 Touch™ Real-Time PCR Detection System from Bio-

Rad and CT (cycle thresh-hold) values were determined using the following

TaqMan probes from Life Technologies (Thermo Fischer Scientific): GAPDH

(Hs99999905_m1), A1 (Hs00181231_m1), A2A (Hs00169123_m1), A3

(Hs00252933_m1), and A2B (Hs00386497_m1). All samples were run in

duplicates. Data were analyzed by the comparative threshold cycle ($2^{-\Delta CT}$)

method, normalizing the CT values obtained for target gene expression to those

for GAPDH of the same sample. For comparison of adenosine receptor levels

upon infection, relative quantity of transcripts (RQ) values were calculated by the

ΔΔCT method by using the formula RQ =$2^{-(\Delta\Delta CT)}$ (Livak & Schmittgen, 2001).

The ΔΔCT values were obtained by subtracting ΔCT value of the infected from

that of the uninfected control.

Western blotting

H292 cells were solubilized in radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer (1%

Triton X-100, 0.25% sodium deoxycholate, 0.05% SDS, 50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5],

2 mM EDTA, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 1 mM sodium

orthovanadate, 10 mg/liter each of aprotinin and leupeptin). Lysates were

centrifuged and protein concentrations of the supernatants were quantified using

bicinchoninic acid kit (Pierce). Equal protein quantities of each sample were

migrated on Mini-PROTEAN TGX Stain-Free Precast Gels (BioRad) and

transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes. Incubations with

primary antibodies at 1:1000 dilutions were done overnight at 4°C. Incubation

with secondary antibodies (1: 5000 dilutions) coupled to horseradish peroxidase

was done for 1 hour at room temperature. ChemiDoc XRS+ system (BioRad)

was used for detection of all Western blots using Clarity Western ECL Substrate

(BioRad). Blots were stripped in stripping buffer containing glycine, Triton-X 100

and SDS, and probed for GAPDH, which served as a loading control. Primary

antibodies against A1 (ab124780), A2A (ab3461), A2B (ab222901) and A3

(ab203298) were all obtained from Abcam. Primary antibodies against GAPDH

(MA5-15738) and horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies

(31460 and 31430) were purchased from Invitrogen. Images were quantified

using ImageJ (version 1.51e) software. Integrated pixel densities in bands

corresponding to proteins of interest were measured, and the background was

subtracted. Integrated pixel densities for GAPDH as loading controls were also

determined and corrected for the background. Background-corrected integrated

pixel densities of the protein of interest were normalized to those of the loading

control.

Statistics

All statistical analysis was performed using Prism7 (Graph Pad). CFU data were

log-transformed to normalize distribution. For all graphs, the mean values +/- SD

are presented. Significant differences were determined by 1-sample t-test,

Student's t-test or one-way ANOVA followed by the Tukey's multiple

comparisons test as indicated. Survival analysis was performed using the log-

rank (Mantel-Cox) test. *p* values less than 0.05 were considered significant (as

indicated by asterisks).

Figure Legends

Fig 1. Extracellular adenosine reduces binding of *S. pneumoniae* to

pulmonary epithelial cells. (A) H292 cells were treated with adenosine (ADE,

100μM) or vehicle control (VC) for 30 minutes and then infected with *S.*

pneumoniae TIGR4 for 1 hour. The cells were then washed and the amount of

bound bacteria were enumerated by plating on blood agar plates. (B) RNA was

isolated from uninfected H292 cells and the expression of the indicated enzyme

mRNA was measured by RT-qPCR and normalized to GAPDH. (C) Expression

of CD73 (left panel) on H292 cells and CD39 on H292 cells and PMNs (right

panel) was assessed by flow cytometry. Histograms shown are representative

data from one of three separate experiments. (D) H292 cells were treated with

either ADE, ATP, AMP (100μM) or vehicle control (VC) for 30 minutes and then

infected with *S. pneumoniae* TIGR4 for 1 hour. The number of bound bacteria

was enumerated by plating on blood agar plates. The percent of bacterial

binding was then calculated relative to vehicle control treatment that was set to a

100. (A and D) Data shown are pooled from four separate experiments (n=4

biological replicates) where each condition was tested in triplicate (n=3 technical

replicates) per experiment. Asterisks indicate significance calculated by (A)

Student's t-test and (B) one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test.

Fig 2. Signaling via extracellular adenosine receptors is required for

reduction of *S. pneumoniae* binding to pulmonary epithelial cells. H292

cells were treated with either 100μM ADE, 100μM ADE in the presence of 1μM

the pan adenosine receptors inhibitor (ADRI) CGS 15943, 1µM the pan

adenosine receptors agonist 5'-*N*-Ethylcarboxamidoadenosine (NECA) or vehicle

control (VC) for 30 minutes and then infected with *S. pneumoniae* TIGR4 for 1

hour. The number of bound bacteria was enumerated by plating on blood agar

plates and the percent bacterial binding calculated relative to vehicle control.

Data shown are pooled from three separate experiments where each condition

was tested in triplicate. Asterisks indicate significance calculated by one-way

ANOVA followed by Tukey's test.

Fig 3. Expression of adenosine receptors on H292 cells. (A) RNA was

isolated from uninfected H292 cells and the expression of the indicated

adenosine receptor mRNA was measured by RT-qPCR and normalized to

GAPDH. (B) RNA was isolated from H292 cells mock treated (uninfected) or

challenged with *S. pneumoniae* TIGR4 MOI of 2 for 1 hour and the relative

change in expression of the indicated adenosine receptor mRNA upon infection

was calculated by the ΔΔCT method. Data shown are pooled from three separate

experiments. H292 cells were infected with *S. pneumoniae* TIGR4 MOI of 2 for 1

hour or mock treated with PBS (uninfected). (C) Expression of adenosine

receptors was assessed by flow cytometry. The percentage of cells expressing

the receptors was then measured. Data shown are pooled from three separate

experiments. (D) Expression of adenosine receptors was assessed by Western

Blotting. One representative blot for each receptor is shown. (E) Western Blot

band intensities were analyzed using ImageJ and expression of infected sample

relative to uninfected controls is shown. Data presented are pooled from two

experiments. (B and E) Adenosine receptor mRNA or protein levels upon

infection were not significantly different from 1 by one-sample t-test.

Fig 4. Signaling via A1 receptor is required for reduces binding of *S.*

***pneumoniae in vitro* and *in vivo*.** (A) H292 cells were treated for 30 minutes

with either the indicated concentrations of ADE, vehicle control (VC) or at the

following concentrations of A1 agonist 2-Chloro-N6-cyclopentyladenosine

(10, 1, 0.1 nM), A2A agonist CGS21680 (270, 27, 2.7nM) or A2B agonist BAY

60-6583 (200, 20, 2nM) corresponding to the approximate 10xKi, Ki or 0.1Ki for

each receptor as indicated on the graph. The cells were then infected with *S.*

pneumoniae TIGR4 for 1 hour. The number of bound bacteria was determined by

plating on blood agar plates and the percent bacterial binding calculated relative

to vehicle control treatment. Data shown are pooled from three separate

experiments where each condition was tested in triplicate. Asterisks indicate

significant differences as compared to VC calculated by one-way ANOVA

followed by Tukey's test.

Fig 5. Signaling via A1 receptor reduces expression of PAFR on H292 cells.

H292 cells were treated for 30 minutes with vehicle control (VC), ADE (100µM),

or A1 agonist 2-Chloro-N6-cyclopentyladenosine (10nM) as indicated. The cells

were then infected with *S. pneumoniae* TIGR4 MOI of 2 or mock treated

(uninfected) for 1 hour. (A-C) Expression of ICAM-1, PIGR and PAFR was

assessed by flow cytometry. The Mean florescent intensities (MFI) as well as the

percentage of cells expressing the indicated ligands were then measured. (A-C)

Data shown are pooled from three separate experiments where each condition

was tested in triplicate. Asterisks indicate significant differences calculated by

one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test.

Fig 6. A1 receptor signaling does not further decrease bacterial binding

when PAFR is inhibited. H292 cells were treated for 30 minutes with the

indicated vehicle control (VC), ADE (100µM), A1 agonist 2-Chloro-N6-

cyclopentyladenosine (10nM) or PAFR inhibitor (40nM). The cells were then

infected with *S. pneumoniae* TIGR4 MOI of 20 for 1 hour. The number of bound

bacteria was enumerated by plating on blood agar plates and the percent

bacterial binding calculated relative to vehicle control. Data shown are pooled

from four separate experiments where each condition was tested in triplicate.

Asterisks indicate significant differences vs. vehicle control treated cells

calculated by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test.

Fig 7. Pulmonary expression of adenosine receptors in mice are not altered

by aging or *S. pneumoniae* infection. Young and old C57BL/6J mice were

infected with *S. pneumoniae* intra-tracheally. The lungs were harvested six hours

post infection and digested into a single cell suspension and the expression of

adenosine receptors analyzed by flow cytometry. (A) Histograms shown are

representative data from young mice and (B) bar graphs are quantification of the

Mean Florescent Intensities (MFI) of the indicated adenosine receptor from

young and old mice and are pooled from six separate experiments with n=9-12

mice per group.

Fig 8. Signaling via A1 receptor is important for the control of pulmonary

pneumococcal burden *in vivo*. Young mice mock-treated or treated with

DPCPX, an A1 receptor inhibitor and old mice mock-treated or treated with 2-

Chloro-N6-cyclopentyladenosine, an A1 receptor agonist were inoculated intra-

tracheally with ~1-2x10^4 CFU of *S. pneumoniae* TIGR4. (A) Bacterial burdens in

the lungs were determined 6 hours post-infection. Data shown are pooled from

n=9 young and n=4 old mice per group. (B-E) To follow the infection over time,

mice were challenged with ~1-2x10^4 CFU of *S. pneumoniae* TIGR4. Bacterial

burdens in the blood (B) and clinical scores (C) were determined 24 hours post-

infection. (A-B) Dashed lines indicate the limit of detection. Asterisks indicate

significant differences calculated by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test.

Survival of control and A1 inhibited young mice (D) as well as control and A1

agonist-treated old mice (E) and was assessed at the indicated time points

following challenge. (F) Young mice control treated or administered A1 receptor

agonist were infected with ~1-2x10^5 CFU, a lethal dose of *S. pneumoniae* TIGR4

and survival was followed over time. Fractions denote survivors at 7 days after

challenge over the total number of mice. Asterisks indicate a statistical

significance by the log-Rank (Mantel-Cox) test. Data were pooled from two

independent experiments.

Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge Andrew Camilli for bacterial strains as well as

Anthony Campagnari and Ira Blader for their feedback on the manuscript.

Research reported in this publication was supported by the National Institute On

Aging of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number R00AG051784.

The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily

represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

References

Aeffner, F., Woods, P. S., & Davis, I. C. (2014). Activation of A1-adenosine

receptors promotes leukocyte recruitment to the lung and attenuates acute

lung injury in mice infected with influenza A/WSN/33 (H1N1) virus. *J Virol,*

88(17), 10214-10227. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01068-14

Aeffner, F., Woods, P. S., & Davis, I. C. (2015). Ecto-5'-nucleotidase CD73

modulates the innate immune response to influenza infection but is not

required for development of influenza-induced acute lung injury. *Am J*

Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol, 309(11), L1313-1322. doi:

10.1152/ajplung.00130.2015

Allen-Gipson, D. S., Blackburn, M. R., Schneider, D. J., Zhang, H., Bluitt, D. L.,

Jarrell, J. C., . . . Wyatt, T. A. (2011). Adenosine activation of A(2B)

receptor(s) is essential for stimulated epithelial ciliary motility and

clearance. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol, 301*(2), L171-180. doi:

10.1152/ajplung.00203.2010

Allen-Gipson, D. S., Wong, J., Spurzem, J. R., Sisson, J. H., & Wyatt, T. A.

(2006). Adenosine A2A receptors promote adenosine-stimulated wound

healing in bronchial epithelial cells. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol,*

290(5), L849-855. doi: 10.1152/ajplung.00373.2005

Bajgar, A., & Dolezal, T. (2018). Extracellular adenosine modulates host-

pathogen interactions through regulation of systemic metabolism during

immune response in Drosophila. *PLoS Pathog, 14*(4), e1007022. doi:

10.1371/journal.ppat.1007022

Barbier, M., Oliver, A., Rao, J., Hanna, S. L., Goldberg, J. B., & Alberti, S. (2008).

Novel phosphorylcholine-containing protein of Pseudomonas aeruginosa

chronic infection isolates interacts with airway epithelial cells. *J Infect Dis,*

197(3), 465-473. doi: 10.1086/525048

Barletta, K. E., Cagnina, R. E., Burdick, M. D., Linden, J., & Mehrad, B. (2012).

Adenosine A(2B) receptor deficiency promotes host defenses against

gram-negative bacterial pneumonia. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med, 186*(10),

1044-1050. doi: 10.1164/rccm.201204-0622OC

Barletta, K. E., Ley, K., & Mehrad, B. (2012). Regulation of neutrophil function by

adenosine. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol, 32*(4), 856-864. doi:

10.1161/ATVBAHA.111.226845

Bhowmick, R., Tin Maung, N. H., Hurley, B. P., Ghanem, E. B., Gronert, K.,

McCormick, B. A., & Leong, J. M. (2013). Systemic disease during

Streptococcus pneumoniae acute lung infection requires 12-lipoxygenase-

dependent inflammation. *J Immunol, 191*(10), 5115-5123. doi:

10.4049/jimmunol.1300522

Boe, D. M., Boule, L. A., & Kovacs, E. J. (2017). Innate immune responses in the

ageing lung. *Clin Exp Immunol, 187*(1), 16-25. doi: 10.1111/cei.12881

Bou Ghanem, E. N., Clark, S., Du, X., Wu, D., Camilli, A., Leong, J. M., &

Meydani, S. N. (2015). The alpha-tocopherol form of vitamin E reverses

age-associated susceptibility to streptococcus pneumoniae lung infection

by modulating pulmonary neutrophil recruitment. *J Immunol, 194*(3), 1090-

1099. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1402401

Bou Ghanem, E. N., Clark, S., Roggensack, S. E., McIver, S. R., Alcaide, P.,

Haydon, P. G., & Leong, J. M. (2015). Extracellular Adenosine Protects

against Streptococcus pneumoniae Lung Infection by Regulating

Pulmonary Neutrophil Recruitment. *PLoS Pathog, 11*(8), e1005126. doi:

10.1371/journal.ppat.1005126

Bou Ghanem, E. N., Lee, J. N., Joma, B. H., Meydani, S. N., Leong, J. M., &

Panda, A. (2017). The Alpha-Tocopherol Form of Vitamin E Boosts

Elastase Activity of Human PMNs and Their Ability to Kill Streptococcus

pneumoniae. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol, 7*, 161. doi:

10.3389/fcimb.2017.00161

Briles, E. B., & Tomasz, A. (1973). Pneumococcal Forssman antigen. A choline-

containing lipoteichoic acid. *J Biol Chem, 248*(18), 6394-6397.

Burnstock, G., Brouns, I., Adriaensen, D., & Timmermans, J. P. (2012).

Purinergic signaling in the airways. *Pharmacol Rev, 64*(4), 834-868. doi:

10.1124/pr.111.005389

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2016. Active Bacterial Core

Surveillance Report, E. I. P. N., Streptococcus pneumoniae, 2016.

Available via the internet: http://www.cdc.gov/abcs/reports-

findings/survreports/spneu16.pdf. (2016).

Chen, J. F., & Chern, Y. (2011). Impacts of methylxanthines and adenosine

receptors on neurodegeneration: human and experimental studies. *Handb*

Exp Pharmacol(200), 267-310. doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-13443-2_10

Choi, C. H., Lee, J. S., Lee, Y. C., Park, T. I., & Lee, J. C. (2008). Acinetobacter

baumannii invades epithelial cells and outer membrane protein A

mediates interactions with epithelial cells. *BMC Microbiol, 8*, 216. doi:

10.1186/1471-2180-8-216

Chong, C. P., & Street, P. R. (2008). Pneumonia in the elderly: a review of the

epidemiology, pathogenesis, microbiology, and clinical features. *South*

Med J, 101(11), 1141-1145; quiz 1132, 1179. doi:

10.1097/SMJ.0b013e318181d5b5

00007611-200811000-00024 [pii]

Clark, R. T., Hope, A., Lopez-Fraga, M., Schiller, N., & Lo, D. D. (2009). Bacterial

particle endocytosis by epithelial cells is selective and enhanced by tumor

necrosis factor receptor ligands. *Clin Vaccine Immunol, 16*(3), 397-407.

doi: 10.1128/CVI.00210-08

Clark, S. E., & Weiser, J. N. (2013). Microbial modulation of host immunity with

the small molecule phosphorylcholine. *Infect Immun, 81*(2), 392-401. doi:

10.1128/IAI.01168-12

Crane, J. K., & Shulgina, I. (2009). Feedback effects of host-derived adenosine

on enteropathogenic Escherichia coli. *FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol,*

57(3), 214-228. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-695X.2009.00598.x

Crosti, F., Ciboddo, G. F., Barbieri, M. C., Inversi, F., Pavoni, D., Quarenghi, S., .

. . Rugarli, C. (1987). Evidence for adenosine adenosinedeaminase

lymphocyte system impairment in ageing. *Boll Ist Sieroter Milan, 66*(4),

282-288.

Cundell, D. R., Gerard, N. P., Gerard, C., Idanpaan-Heikkila, I., & Tuomanen, E.

I. (1995). Streptococcus pneumoniae anchor to activated human cells by

the receptor for platelet-activating factor. *Nature, 377*(6548), 435-438. doi:

10.1038/377435a0

Cundell, D. R., Weiser, J. N., Shen, J., Young, A., & Tuomanen, E. I. (1995).

Relationship between colonial morphology and adherence of

Streptococcus pneumoniae. *Infect Immun, 63*(3), 757-761.

Driver, A. G., Kukoly, C. A., Ali, S., & Mustafa, S. J. (1993). Adenosine in

bronchoalveolar lavage fluid in asthma. *Am Rev Respir Dis, 148*(1), 91-97.

doi: 10.1164/ajrccm/148.1.91

Duitman, J., Schouten, M., Groot, A. P., Borensztajn, K. S., Daalhuisen, J. B.,

Florquin, S., . . . Spek, C. A. (2012). CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein

delta facilitates bacterial dissemination during pneumococcal pneumonia

in a platelet-activating factor receptor-dependent manner. *Proc Natl Acad*

Sci U S A, 109(23), 9113-9118. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1202641109

Eltzschig, H. K., Macmanus, C. F., & Colgan, S. P. (2008). Neutrophils as

sources of extracellular nucleotides: functional consequences at the

vascular interface. *Trends Cardiovasc Med, 18*(3), 103-107. doi:

10.1016/j.tcm.2008.01.006

Factor, P., Mutlu, G. M., Chen, L., Mohameed, J., Akhmedov, A. T., Meng, F. J., .

. . Matalon, S. (2007). Adenosine regulation of alveolar fluid clearance.

Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 104(10), 4083-4088. doi:

10.1073/pnas.0601117104

Fernandez, L. G., Sharma, A. K., LaPar, D. J., Kron, I. L., & Laubach, V. E.

(2013). Adenosine A1 receptor activation attenuates lung ischemia-

reperfusion injury. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg, 145*(6), 1654-1659. doi:

10.1016/j.jtcvs.2013.01.006

Fillon, S., Soulis, K., Rajasekaran, S., Benedict-Hamilton, H., Radin, J. N.,

Orihuela, C. J., . . . Tuomanen, E. I. (2006). Platelet-activating factor

receptor and innate immunity: uptake of gram-positive bacterial cell wall

into host cells and cell-specific pathophysiology. *J Immunol, 177*(9), 6182-

6191.

Giacomelli, C., Daniele, S., Romei, C., Tavanti, L., Neri, T., Piano, I., . . .

Trincavelli, M. L. (2018). The A2B Adenosine Receptor Modulates the

Epithelial- Mesenchymal Transition through the Balance of cAMP/PKA

and MAPK/ERK Pathway Activation in Human Epithelial Lung Cells. *Front*

Pharmacol, 9, 54. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2018.00054

Gobran, L. I., & Rooney, S. A. (1990). Adenosine A1 receptor-mediated inhibition

of surfactant secretion in rat type II pneumocytes. *Am J Physiol, 258*(2 Pt

1), L45-51. doi: 10.1152/ajplung.1990.258.2.L45

Hammerschmidt, S., Wolff, S., Hocke, A., Rosseau, S., Muller, E., & Rohde, M.

(2005). Illustration of pneumococcal polysaccharide capsule during

adherence and invasion of epithelial cells. *Infect Immun, 73*(8), 4653-

4667. doi: 10.1128/IAI.73.8.4653-4667.2005

Hasko, G., Linden, J., Cronstein, B., & Pacher, P. (2008). Adenosine receptors:

therapeutic aspects for inflammatory and immune diseases. *Nat Rev Drug*

Discov, 7(9), 759-770. doi: 10.1038/nrd2638

Headrick, J. P. (1996). Impact of aging on adenosine levels, A1/A2 responses,

arrhythmogenesis, and energy metabolism in rat heart. *Am J Physiol,*

270(3 Pt 2), H897-906.

Headrick, J. P., Willems, L., Ashton, K. J., Holmgren, K., Peart, J., & Matherne,

G. P. (2003). Ischaemic tolerance in aged mouse myocardium: the role of

adenosine and effects of A1 adenosine receptor overexpression. *J*

Physiol, 549(Pt 3), 823-833. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.2003.041541

Hergott, C. B., Roche, A. M., Naidu, N. A., Mesaros, C., Blair, I. A., & Weiser, J.

N. (2015). Bacterial exploitation of phosphorylcholine mimicry suppresses

inflammation to promote airway infection. *J Clin Invest, 125*(10), 3878-

3890. doi: 10.1172/JCI81888

Hesdorffer, C. S., Malchinkhuu, E., Biragyn, A., Mabrouk, O. S., Kennedy, R. T.,

Madara, K., . . . Goetzl, E. J. (2012). Distinctive immunoregulatory effects

of adenosine on T cells of older humans. *FASEB J, 26*(3), 1301-1310. doi:

10.1096/fj.11-197046

Hoegl, S., Brodsky, K. S., Blackburn, M. R., Karmouty-Quintana, H., Zwissler, B.,

& Eltzschig, H. K. (2015). Alveolar Epithelial A2B Adenosine Receptors in

Pulmonary Protection during Acute Lung Injury. *J Immunol, 195*(4), 1815-

1824. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1401957

Iovino, F., Brouwer, M. C., van de Beek, D., Molema, G., & Bijlsma, J. J. (2013).

Signalling or binding: the role of the platelet-activating factor receptor in

invasive pneumococcal disease. *Cell Microbiol, 15*(6), 870-881. doi:

10.1111/cmi.12129

Ishizuka, S., Yamaya, M., Suzuki, T., Nakayama, K., Kamanaka, M., Ida, S., . . .

Sasaki, H. (2001). Acid exposure stimulates the adherence of

Streptococcus pneumoniae to cultured human airway epithelial cells:

effects on platelet-activating factor receptor expression. *Am J Respir Cell*

Mol Biol, 24(4), 459-468. doi: 10.1165/ajrcmb.24.4.4248

Kadioglu, A., Weiser, J. N., Paton, J. C., & Andrew, P. W. (2008). The role of

Streptococcus pneumoniae virulence factors in host respiratory

colonization and disease. *Nat Rev Microbiol, 6*(4), 288-301. doi:

10.1038/nrmicro1871

Kao, D. J., Saeedi, B. J., Kitzenberg, D., Burney, K. M., Dobrinskikh, E., Battista,

K. D., . . . Kominsky, D. J. (2017). Intestinal Epithelial Ecto-5'-Nucleotidase

(CD73) Regulates Intestinal Colonization and Infection by Nontyphoidal

Salmonella. *Infect Immun, 85*(10). doi: 10.1128/IAI.01022-16

Lingappa, J. R., Dumitrescu, L., Zimmer, S. M., Lynfield, R., McNicholl, J. M.,

Messonnier, N. E., . . . Crawford, D. C. (2011). Identifying host genetic risk

factors in the context of public health surveillance for invasive

pneumococcal disease. *PLoS One, 6*(8), e23413. doi:

10.1371/journal.pone.0023413

Livak, K. J., & Schmittgen, T. D. (2001). Analysis of relative gene expression

data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T))

Method. *Methods, 25*(4), 402-408. doi: 10.1006/meth.2001.1262

Mackiewicz, M., Nikonova, E. V., Zimmermann, J. E., Romer, M. A., Cater, J.,

Galante, R. J., & Pack, A. I. (2006). Age-related changes in adenosine

metabolic enzymes in sleep/wake regulatory areas of the brain. *Neurobiol*

Aging, 27(2), 351-360. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2005.01.015

Marks, L. R., Parameswaran, G. I., & Hakansson, A. P. (2012). Pneumococcal

interactions with epithelial cells are crucial for optimal biofilm formation

and colonization in vitro and in vivo. *Infect Immun, 80*(8), 2744-2760. doi:

10.1128/IAI.00488-12

McNamara, N., Gallup, M., Khong, A., Sucher, A., Maltseva, I., Fahy, J., &

Basbaum, C. (2004). Adenosine up-regulation of the mucin gene, MUC2,

in asthma. *FASEB J, 18*(14), 1770-1772. doi: 10.1096/fj.04-1964fje

Mook-Kanamori, B., Geldhoff, M., Troost, D., van der Poll, T., & van de Beek, D.

(2012). Characterization of a pneumococcal meningitis mouse model.

BMC Infect Dis, 12, 71. doi: 10.1186/1471-2334-12-71

Murdoch, C., Read, R. C., Zhang, Q., & Finn, A. (2002). Choline-binding protein

A of Streptococcus pneumoniae elicits chemokine production and

expression of intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (CD54) by human alveolar

epithelial cells. *J Infect Dis, 186*(9), 1253-1260. doi: 10.1086/344527

Mushtaq, N., Ezzati, M., Hall, L., Dickson, I., Kirwan, M., Png, K. M., . . . Grigg, J.

(2011). Adhesion of Streptococcus pneumoniae to human airway epithelial

cells exposed to urban particulate matter. *J Allergy Clin Immunol, 127*(5),

1236-1242 e1232. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2010.11.039

Ngamsri, K. C., Wagner, R., Vollmer, I., Stark, S., & Reutershan, J. (2010).

Adenosine receptor A1 regulates polymorphonuclear cell trafficking and

microvascular permeability in lipopolysaccharide-induced lung injury. *J*

Immunol, 185(7), 4374-4384. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1000433

Pettengill, M. A., Lam, V. W., & Ojcius, D. M. (2009). The danger signal

adenosine induces persistence of chlamydial infection through stimulation

of A2b receptors. *PLoS One, 4*(12), e8299. doi:

10.1371/journal.pone.0008299

Pettengill, M. A., Marques-da-Silva, C., Avila, M. L., d'Arc dos Santos Oliveira, S.,

Lam, V. W., Ollawa, I., . . . Ojcius, D. M. (2012). Reversible inhibition of

Chlamydia trachomatis infection in epithelial cells due to stimulation of

P2X(4) receptors. *Infect Immun, 80*(12), 4232-4238. doi:

10.1128/IAI.00441-12

Radin, J. N., Orihuela, C. J., Murti, G., Guglielmo, C., Murray, P. J., & Tuomanen,

E. I. (2005). beta-Arrestin 1 participates in platelet-activating factor

receptor-mediated endocytosis of Streptococcus pneumoniae. *Infect*

Immun, 73(12), 7827-7835. doi: 10.1128/IAI.73.12.7827-7835.2005

Reaven, G. M., Chang, H., & Hoffman, B. B. (1989). Impaired insulin-mediated

inhibition of lipolysis and glucose transport with aging. *Horm Metab Res,*

21(4), 168-171. doi: 10.1055/s-2007-1009183

Rijneveld, A. W., Weijer, S., Florquin, S., Speelman, P., Shimizu, T., Ishii, S., &

van der Poll, T. (2004). Improved host defense against pneumococcal

pneumonia in platelet-activating factor receptor-deficient mice. *J Infect*

Dis, 189(4), 711-716. doi: 10.1086/381392

Rolband, G. C., Furth, E. D., Staddon, J. M., Rogus, E. M., & Goldberg, A. P.

(1990). Effects of age and adenosine in the modulation of insulin action on

rat adipocyte metabolism. *J Gerontol, 45*(5), B174-178.

Roman, J., Rivera, H. N., Roser-Page, S., Sitaraman, S. V., & Ritzenthaler, J. D.

(2006). Adenosine induces fibronectin expression in lung epithelial cells:

implications for airway remodeling. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol,*

290(2), L317-325. doi: 10.1152/ajplung.00118.2005

Sanchez, C. J., Hinojosa, C. A., Shivshankar, P., Hyams, C., Camberlein, E.,

Brown, J. S., & Orihuela, C. J. (2011). Changes in capsular serotype alter

the surface exposure of pneumococcal adhesins and impact virulence.

PLoS One, 6(10), e26587. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0026587

Sanchez, C. J., Kumar, N., Lizcano, A., Shivshankar, P., Dunning Hotopp, J. C.,

Jorgensen, J. H., . . . Orihuela, C. J. (2011). Streptococcus pneumoniae in

biofilms are unable to cause invasive disease due to altered virulence

determinant production. *PLoS One, 6*(12), e28738. doi:

10.1371/journal.pone.0028738

Serino, L., & Virji, M. (2002). Genetic and functional analysis of the

phosphorylcholine moiety of commensal Neisseria lipopolysaccharide. *Mol*

Microbiol, 43(2), 437-448.

Shainheit, M. G., Mule, M., & Camilli, A. (2014). The core promoter of the

capsule operon of Streptococcus pneumoniae is necessary for

colonization and invasive disease. *Infect Immun, 82*(2), 694-705. doi:

10.1128/IAI.01289-13

Shivshankar, P., Boyd, A. R., Le Saux, C. J., Yeh, I. T., & Orihuela, C. J. Cellular

senescence increases expression of bacterial ligands in the lungs and is

positively correlated with increased susceptibility to pneumococcal

pneumonia. *Aging Cell, 10*(5), 798-806. doi: 10.1111/j.1474-

9726.2011.00720.x

Shukla, S. D., Fairbairn, R. L., Gell, D. A., Latham, R. D., Sohal, S. S., Walters,

E. H., & O'Toole, R. F. (2016). An antagonist of the platelet-activating

factor receptor inhibits adherence of both nontypeable Haemophilus

influenzae and Streptococcus pneumoniae to cultured human bronchial

epithelial cells exposed to cigarette smoke. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon*

Dis, 11, 1647-1655. doi: 10.2147/COPD.S108698

Smani, Y., Docobo-Perez, F., Lopez-Rojas, R., Dominguez-Herrera, J., Ibanez-

Martinez, J., & Pachon, J. (2012). Platelet-activating factor receptor

initiates contact of Acinetobacter baumannii expressing phosphorylcholine

with host cells. *J Biol Chem, 287*(32), 26901-26910. doi:

10.1074/jbc.M112.344556

Spooner, R., DeGuzman, J., Lee, K. L., & Yilmaz, O. (2014). Danger signal

adenosine via adenosine 2a receptor stimulates growth of Porphyromonas

gingivalis in primary gingival epithelial cells. *Mol Oral Microbiol, 29*(2), 67-

78. doi: 10.1111/omi.12045

Sun, C. X., Young, H. W., Molina, J. G., Volmer, J. B., Schnermann, J., &

Blackburn, M. R. (2005). A protective role for the A1 adenosine receptor in

adenosine-dependent pulmonary injury. *J Clin Invest, 115*(1), 35-43. doi:

10.1172/JCI22656

Swords, W. E., Buscher, B. A., Ver Steeg Ii, K., Preston, A., Nichols, W. A.,

Weiser, J. N., . . . Apicella, M. A. (2000). Non-typeable Haemophilus

influenzae adhere to and invade human bronchial epithelial cells via an

interaction of lipooligosaccharide with the PAF receptor. *Mol Microbiol,*

37(1), 13-27.

Theatre, E., Frederix, K., Guilmain, W., Delierneux, C., Lecut, C., Bettendorff, L.,

. . . Oury, C. (2012). Overexpression of CD39 in mouse airways promotes

bacteria-induced inflammation. *J Immunol, 189*(4), 1966-1974. doi:

10.4049/jimmunol.1102600

Trzcinski, K., Li, Y., Weinberger, D. M., Thompson, C. M., Cordy, D., Bessolo, A.,

. . . Lipsitch, M. (2015). Effect of Serotype on Pneumococcal Competition

in a Mouse Colonization Model. *MBio, 6*(5), e00902-00915. doi:

10.1128/mBio.00902-15

van der Poll, T., & Opal, S. M. (2009). Pathogenesis, treatment, and prevention

of pneumococcal pneumonia. *Lancet, 374*(9700), 1543-1556. doi:

10.1016/S0140-6736(09)61114-4

Wagner, C., Khan, A. S., Kamphausen, T., Schmausser, B., Unal, C., Lorenz, U.,

. . . Steinert, M. (2007). Collagen binding protein Mip enables Legionella

pneumophila to transmigrate through a barrier of NCI-H292 lung epithelial

cells and extracellular matrix. *Cell Microbiol, 9*(2), 450-462. doi:

10.1111/j.1462-5822.2006.00802.x

Walia, B., Castaneda, F. E., Wang, L., Kolachala, V. L., Bajaj, R., Roman, J., . . .

Sitaraman, S. V. (2004). Polarized fibronectin secretion induced by

adenosine regulates bacterial-epithelial interaction in human intestinal

epithelial cells. *Biochem J, 382*(Pt 2), 589-596. doi: 10.1042/BJ20040021

Weiser, J. N., Goldberg, J. B., Pan, N., Wilson, L., & Virji, M. (1998). The

phosphorylcholine epitope undergoes phase variation on a 43-kilodalton

protein in Pseudomonas aeruginosa and on pili of Neisseria meningitidis

and Neisseria gonorrhoeae. *Infect Immun, 66*(9), 4263-4267.

Willems, L., Ashton, K. J., & Headrick, J. P. (2005). Adenosine-mediated

cardioprotection in the aging myocardium. *Cardiovasc Res, 66*(2), 245-

255. doi: 10.1016/j.cardiores.2004.11.008

Yeo, E. J., & Park, S. C. (2002). Age-dependent agonist-specific dysregulation of

membrane-mediated signal transduction: emergence of the gate theory of

aging. *Mech Ageing Dev, 123*(12), 1563-1578.

Yonker, L. M., Pazos, M. A., Lanter, B. B., Mou, H., Chu, K. K., Eaton, A. D., . . .

Hurley, B. P. (2017). Neutrophil-Derived Cytosolic PLA2alpha Contributes

to Bacterial-Induced Neutrophil Transepithelial Migration. *J Immunol,*

199(8), 2873-2884. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1700539

Zhang, J. R., Mostov, K. E., Lamm, M. E., Nanno, M., Shimida, S., Ohwaki, M., &

Tuomanen, E. (2000). The polymeric immunoglobulin receptor

translocates pneumococci across human nasopharyngeal epithelial cells.

Cell, 102(6), 827-837.

Zhong, H., Wu, Y., Belardinelli, L., & Zeng, D. (2006). A2B adenosine receptors

induce IL-19 from bronchial epithelial cells, resulting in TNF-alpha

increase. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol, 35*(5), 587-592. doi:

10.1165/rcmb.2005-0476OC

1123

1124   **Figures**

Figure 1

1125

1126

Figure 2

1127

Figure 3

1128

Figure 4

Figure 5

1130

Figure 6

A.

Figure 7

1132

Figure 8

1133