Genomes of intracellular *Leishmania* parasites directly sequenced from patients

Running title: Genomes of *Leishmania* sequenced in clinical samples

Malgorzata A. Domagalska[a#], Hideo Imamura[a], Mandy Sanders[b], Frederik Van den Broeck[a],

Narayan Raj Bhattarai[c], Manu Vanaerschot[a*], Ilse Maes[a], Erika D'Haenens[a**], Keshav Rai[c], Suman

Rijal[c], Matthew Berriman[b], James A. Cotton[b#], Jean-Claude Dujardin[a,d#].

Author Affiliations:

[a] Institute of Tropical Medicine Antwerp, Molecular Parasitology Unit, Antwerp, Belgium;

[b] Wellcome Sanger Institute, Hinxton, United Kingdom

[c] BP Koirala Institute of Health Sciences, Dharan, Nepal

[d] University of Antwerp, Department of Biomedical Sciences, Antwerp, Belgium.

[#] Corresponding authors: email address: Malgorzata A. Domagalska (mdomagalska@itg.be), Jean-

Claude Dujardin (jcdujardin@itg.be), James A. Cotton (jc17@sanger.ac.uk)

* current affiliation: Columbia University, Department of Microbiology and Immunology, New

York, USA

** current affiliation: University Hospital of Ghent, Center for Medical Genetics, Ghent, Belgium

Keywords: Leishmania, whole genome sequencing, genome capture, aneuploidy

Text: 5778 words; abstract: 214 words

Abstract

Whole genome sequencing (WGS) is increasingly used for molecular diagnosis and

epidemiology of infectious diseases. Current *Leishmania* genomic studies rely on DNA

extracted from cultured parasites, which might introduce sampling and biological biases into

the subsequent analyses. Up to now, direct analysis of *Leishmania* genome in clinical

samples is hampered by high levels of human DNA and large variation in parasite load in

patient samples. Here, we present a method, based on target enrichment of *Leishmania*

donovani DNA with Agilent SureSelect technology, that allows the analysis of *Leishmania*

genomes directly in clinical samples. We validated our protocol with a set of artificially

mixed samples, followed by the analysis of 63 clinical samples (bone marrow or spleen

aspirates) from visceral leishmaniasis patients in Nepal. We were able to identify genotypes

using a set of diagnostic SNPs in almost all of these samples (97%) and access

comprehensive genome-wide information in most (83%). This allowed us to perform

phylogenomic analysis, assess chromosome copy number and identify large copy number

variants (CNVs). Pairwise comparisons between the parasite genomes in clinical samples

and derived *in vitro* cultured promastigotes showed a lower aneuploidy in amastigotes as

well as genomic differences, suggesting polyclonal infections in patients. Altogether our

results underline the need for sequencing parasite genomes directly in the host samples.

Importance

Visceral leishmaniasis (VL) is caused by parasitic protozoa of the *Leishmania donovani*

complex and is lethal in the absence of treatment. Whole Genome Sequencing (WGS) of *L.*

donovani clinical isolates revealed hitherto cryptic population structure in the Indian Sub-

Continent and provided insights into the epidemiology and potential mechanisms of drug

resistance. However, several biases are likely introduced during the culture step. We report

here the development of a method that allows determination of parasite genomes directly

in clinical samples, and validate it on bone marrow and splenic aspirates of VL patients in

Nepal. We find genomic differences between the parasites analyzed directly in clinical

samples and the derived isolates, further supporting the need for direct analyses in host

samples. We believe this method is most suitable for clinical studies and for molecular

tracking in the context of elimination programs.

Introduction

      Leishmania (Kinetoplastida, Trypanosomatidae) is a genus of parasitic protozoa transmitted by sand flies to a variety of mammal hosts including humans. Within mammals, the amastigote-stage parasites are strictly intracellular and infect a range of professional phagocytes. *Leishmania* cause a broad spectrum of clinical presentations, the most severe being visceral leishmaniasis (VL) which annually affects up to 90,000 new individuals (1) and has a 10% mortality rate (2). Ongoing control programs, like the one running in the Indian subcontinent (ISC) (3) could be challenged by several factors, including drug resistance (4,5) and emergence of new parasite variants (6,7). More than ever, close surveillance is required to avoid new epidemics, including molecular tracking of the etiological agent.

      Whole genome sequencing (WGS) is increasingly used for highly discriminatory genotyping of parasites. Using that method, we previously resolved the hitherto cryptic population structure of *Leishmania donovani* in the ISC (8). We identified a core group (CG) – associated with the successive VL epidemics in the lowlands – itself structured into (i) six congruent monophyletic groups (ISC2-7) together with (ii) three other groups (ISC8-10) and several ungrouped isolates, with a less certain evolutionary history. We found in the Nepalese highlands an emerging population (ISC1), quite different from the CG (6,9). We described an unprecedented level of aneuploidy and found genetic variants likely to underpin reduced efficacy of antimonial drugs in that region.

      However, such studies suffer a major limitation: they currently rely on sequencing parasites isolated from patients and grown axenically *in vitro*, with two possible biases. First, there could be a major representation bias, because of the variable isolation success and the high frequency (up to 90%) of asymptomatic cases (10), currently unsampled. Second, we have shown experimentally major differences in the genome of *L. donovani* during the

life cycle: in particular, aneuploidy was much lower and affected other chromosomes in the

intra-cellular life stages (amastigotes) of infected hamsters, in comparison to cultivated

promastigotes (11). This genome plasticity may affect gene dosage and therefore have a

biological impact via transcriptome and proteome changes (12). If the phenomenon also

occurs between amastigotes from human subjects and *in vitro* cultivated promastigotes, it

could have a major impact on the search for molecular bio-markers for clinically important

traits such as drug resistance or virulence, as relevant characters present in the host could

be diluted or disappear in culture.

Applying parasite WGS directly in host samples thus appears critical for next

generation genetic analyses of natural populations of *Leishmania*. Such applications are

challenged by the low amounts of *Leishmania* DNA in biological samples and the high

abundance of DNA in the nucleated host cells. Accordingly, methods for enrichment of

parasite DNA are required before undertaking WGS. A series of approaches have been used

for other pathogens, including (i) removal of methylated host DNA (13), (ii) selective whole

genome amplification (14) or (iii) targeted genome enrichment (15). Here, we explored the

last solution. Using Agilent SureSelect technology, we designed an array for application in

the *L. donovani* species complex. In this method an Illumina-based NGS sequencing library is

prepared from the mixed gDNA sample, which is later hybridized with biotinylated RNA

baits complementary to the *Leishmania* genome and the fraction of the input gDNA that

anneals to the baits is then sequenced (further called SuSL-seq). We validated and

optimized this genome capture procedure with artificial mixtures of *Leishmania* and host

DNA to simulate the proportions expected in clinical samples. Finally, we applied the

method on a set of 63 bone marrow and splenic aspirates from Nepalese VL patients. In 12

of them, we compared the karyotype and genome sequence with that of isolates derived

from the same tissue samples.

Results

SuSL-seq analysis of clinical samples: sequencing statistics

Details on the SureSelect bait design are described in Text S1 and in Fig. S1A. SuSL-

seq was optimized on artificial mixtures (*Leishmania* DNA diluted in mammalian DNA in

different ratios: see details and metrics in Text S1 and Figs S1B-C and S2): a percentage of

Leishmania DNA of 0.006% was found to be the lowest limit for suitable analysis of genome

diversity. SuSL-seq was then applied to 63 clinical samples with *Leishmania* DNA percentage

of at least 0.006%, 59 bone marrow and 4 splenic aspirate samples collected from VL

patients in Nepal (Table A1) in the same region where we previously studied genome

diversity of *L. donovani* isolates (Fig. S3).

Among all SuSL-seq samples, the median depth of reads mapped against the *L.*

donovani reference genome was 22.5, the 5th and 95th percentiles of median depth were 2

and 74.16, respectively, while the maximum depth was 126.5 (in BPK89BM) and the

minimum average depth was 0.074 (in BPK161BM). Across all clinical SureSelect libraries,

the mean percentage of reference genome bases covered by at least 5 reads was 61.1%

and, the mean percentage of bases covered by at least 1 read was 78.4%. This coverage

average was skewed by a small number of lower-quality samples. For instance, within a

sequencing batch of 24 samples, over 90% of the genome was covered by at least one read

in 14 samples; in all cases better genome representation was obtained following SureSelect

enrichment (Fig. S4A). Overall, as for the artificial mixtures, we obtained the highest

enrichment for samples with lowest parasite loads. An approximately linear relationship was

found between Leishmania DNA percentage in clinical samples and the proportion of reads

mapping to *Leishmania* after SuSL-seq for samples with up to 1 % of *Leishmania* DNA (Fig.

1A). For samples with higher *Leishmania* content, no further increase was observed beyond

around 60-70% of reads aligning to BPK282v2 reference genome (Fig. 1A). Eleven samples

had low coverage, with less than 10% of the genome covered at a depth of 5x (Fig. 1B).

These low-coverage samples also had the lowest parasite load, and were excluded from the

analyses described below, so 52 samples were studied in greater detail.

SuSL-seq analysis of clinical samples: genetic variants

Using an improved version of the BPK282 reference genome BPK282v2 (11), we

were able to call SNPs in these 52 samples, of which 51 were identified as belonging to CG

and one belonging to the genetically distinct ISC1 sub-population (8). We identified 197

variable sites that had sequence coverage and could therefore be reliably genotyped in all

51 CG clinical samples; these sites were also characterized in 191 previously sequenced

parasite isolates from the CG (8) and 9 newly sequenced isolates (see below). The 197 sites

were sufficient to reconstruct a phylogeny consistent with our previously published analysis

(8), placing all clinical SureSelect samples within the previously identified ISC diversity for *L.*

donovani (dots in Fig. 2A). Out of the 51 CG samples, 40 clustered in one of the previously

defined groups (ISC3-6, and ISC9). Five samples clustered at the base of ISC4. Six clinical

samples, clustered with isolates previously designated as 'ungrouped' (Fig.2A and Figs S5A

and B), forming a novel monophyletic group that we have named ISC11. We verified the

accuracy of our experimental and bio-informatic pipeline by including in the phylogenomic

analyses SuSL-seq data from artificial mixtures (DNA of BPK282 diluted in human DNA): as

expected, they clustered in the ISC6 group with the original BPK282 sequence data (not

shown).

Notably, among the 11 samples that were excluded from the phylogenomic analysis

because of poor genome-wide coverage, nine could be attributed to a specific ISC group, on

the basis of diagnostic SNPs specific to these groups (labeled with * in Table A1). The

remaining two samples, BPK211BM and BPK161BM, had sequence data with too low

coverage for any genotyping (Dataset S1A). Analysis of genotype distribution per village

revealed sympatric genotypic diversity: for instance, in Itahari, four different ISC types were

sampled over 11 days in June 2002 and three were sampled over four months in 2003

(Dataset S1B). Interestingly, in this village, two cohabiting members of the same family were

sampled during each of these two periods: in both cases, two different ISC types were

identified. We also searched for small indels that were unique to clinical samples. We

identified six unique indels: five were located in non-coding regions, and one introduced a

1-bp frameshift in the LdAQP1 gene (Dataset S1C).

Next, we examined chromosome copy numbers. Consistent with our observations in

artificially mixed samples (Text S1), the apparent accuracy of our somy estimates in clinical

samples depended on the *Leishmania* DNA percentages, as those with low percentage had

lower normalized read depth and genome coverage (Dataset S1A). For example,

chromosome 31 is known to be tetrasomic in all *Leishmania* species and isolates sequenced

so far, except under experimental drug resistance selection where somy can be even higher

(9). As expected, S-values (normalized coverage depth, see Materials and Methods) of

chromosome 31 were close to 4 in samples with high genome coverage. These values,

however, were lower in samples with lower genome coverage, even though the somy of

chromosome 31 was distinctively higher than 2 except for the very lowest coverage

samples. To distinguish real somy variation and technical artefacts, we classified samples

into group of similar genome coverage into those with high (36 samples, genome coverage

above 89.1%), medium (16 samples, coverage 56.6-86.9%) and low quality (9 samples,

coverage 9.8%-45.8%) somy calls (Dataset S1A and Fig. S6A). Two samples (coverage 1.1%

and 0.8%), were excluded from this analysis because their depth was too low to quantify

somy. The high-quality samples provided a clear picture of the average ploidy of amastigote

populations in human hosts: most chromosomes showed an S-value around 2 (thus overall

disomic), with only chromosome 31 showing consistently high somy around 4 (Fig. 2B).

Other exceptions were chromosomes 16 and 18 which showed S-value of 1 (thus overall

monosomic) in BPK89BM, and in BPK146BM, respectively (Fig. 2B and Fig. S6A1).

Chromosome 17 showed a S-value of 1 or lower than 2 (suggestive of mosaicism between

monosomic and disomic variants) in seven samples: BPK276BM, BPK104BM, BPK146BM,

BPK161BM, BPK198BM, BPK191BM, BPK4BM (Fig. 2B and Fig. S6A1-3). We detected S-

values between 2 and 3 (potentially indicating the presence of mosaicism between disomic

and trisomic variants) of chromosome 20, 23, 32 and 33 in BPK821BM. (Fig. S6A1).

We detected two main local copy number variants (CNVs) specific of CG (termed M-

and H-locus, 8) in all 51 SuSL-seq CG samples. When we compared average normalized

depth for these two CNVs between clinical and previously published promastigote samples

for each genetic group, we found good agreement for M-locus with correlation R^2=0.941

and p-value=2.96 x 10^{-4}, and slightly lower depth for H-locus in clinical samples with

correlation R^2=0.571, p-value=4.84 x 10^{-2} (Fig. 2C). The ISC1 group contained many other

CNVs that were used to test the accuracy of local depth measured in SureSelect platform.

We found correlation (R^2=0.9921, p-value=5.3449e-14) between the depth in the ISC1-

specific CNVs in the previously analyzed promastigote samples and the depth in the

BPK72BM clinical sample (Fig. S6B).

Comparison of paired clinical and cultured isolate samples

For 12 of the SuSL-seq clinical samples, paired cultured parasite isolates derived

from the same clinical material were available. We compared the genotypes within each of

these 12 pairs, at three levels: SNPs, indels and chromosome copy number. SNP analysis

revealed that nine SuSL-seq samples were assigned to different ISC genotype groups to the

matched cultured isolates (Table 1), with samples assigned to different core group

genotypes differing by 9-26 homozygous sites and 3-13 heterozygous positions. In two

cases, the clinical samples were genotyped as being in the CG and the isolate was assigned

to ISC1; these pairs of samples were far more distinct, differing in 29942 and 34146

homozygous SNPs and 4370 and 167 heterozygous sites respectively. For the 3 paired

samples with matching ISC genotypes, we found only minor nucleotide differences (0-9

homozygous and 3-7 heterozygous SNPs). We found differences in Indel variants in 10 out of

12 samples: in one of them (BPK081) a single base insertion was observed in LdAQP1

(described in detail above) in the bone marrow, which was not present in the paired culture

(Table 1). Finally, chromosome copy number differed in 10 paired samples, while in BPK087

and BPK081 we found no significant differences in somy values, and parasites remained

overall disomic in culture (Fig. 3A). Accordingly, none of the 12 paired samples revealed

identical genomic features between the clinical samples and the derived isolates.

We then focused on the nine paired samples for which different ISC types were

detected in the bone marrow and the derived isolates. Considering the sympatric ISC

genotype diversity highlighted above, it is possible that some patients harbor polyclonal

infections, with a given genotype dominant in a human host and another one dominant in

culture, because of fitness differences in the respective environments. This possibility was

explored by further genetic analyses and by competition experiments.

First, we found a second and minor genotype in the SuSL-seq mapped reads of two

clinical samples (BPK471BM and BPK296BM), at a proportion estimated as 9.2%, and 6%,

respectively (Fig.3B). Secondly, we tested for the presence of more than one genotype in

un-enriched clinical samples by applying ISC single locus genotyping (ISC-SLG) combined

with NGS-based deep amplicon sequencing using four previously described diagnostic

SNPs/insertion allowing discrimination between the ISC3, ISC4, ISC5 and ISC6 genotypes

(16). Short regions flanking the SNP/indel were amplified, sequenced and the frequency of

both alleles in all the tested samples was estimated. In order to increase the chance of

detecting the presence of minor genotypes, we selected clinical samples with the highest

parasite loads and/or highest total DNA contents. In addition, we included polyclonal clinical

samples BPK471BM and BPK296BM (see above), two clinical sample-isolate pairs (BPK077

and BPK276) and cloned lines, which served as positive or negative controls of the presence

of polymorphism at the diagnostic SNP/indel sites. The estimated precentage of *Leishmania*

DNA and number of *Leishmania* genome equivalents in the clinical samples varied between

0.05-2.85%, and 12-60901, respectively (Dataset S1D). We could confirm the principal ISC

genotypes defined by SuSL-seq in all clinical samples (Dataset S1D). Alternative alleles

containing SNP variants could be observed in most of the clinical samples, but their

frequency was not significantly different from the frequency found in the control cloned

lines (Fig. S7 and Dataset S1D). For the AQP1 insertion, the situation was similar with the

exception of the sample BPK120BM (defined as belonging to ISC9), which indicated the

presence of a small population of ISC5 genotype (z-score:3.2; p-value: 0.0014). The

reciprocal was found in BPK276BM, an ISC5 sample in which a small proportion of WT alleles

was encountered and we found no alleles containing the ISC5-specific AQP1 insertion in the

paired clinical isolate. Although these results are not significant (p-value: 0.139), they are

consistent with the observed ISC5 genotype in BPK276BM, and ISC6 genotype in the derived

isolate (Dataset S1D).

Finally, to test whether different growth rates could explain the different genotypes

observed between direct clinical samples and those grown in vitro, we set up a growth-

competition experiment. We inoculated flasks with cloned strains BPK091 and BPK077 (ISC4

and ISC6, respectively), and BPK067 and BPK091 (ISC3, and ISC4, respectively) together in

the following ratios (strain1/strain2): 90/10, 50/50, and 10/90. Using Sanger sequencing to

monitor the presence of diagnostic SNPs that could distinguish the two genetic groups at

different time points during *in vitro* cultivation, we were able to detect which of the two co-

cultured strains was dominant. We reproducibly observed for both parasite combinations

that one strain consistently dominated the culture, even if it was only present as 10% of the

initial inoculum (Fig. S8). Interestingly, BPK091, which was present in both mixes, became

dominant after 21 passages when co-cultured with BPK077 but after only five passages it

was out-competed by BPK067.

Discussion

We demonstrated here proof-of-principle for the sequencing of *Leishmania* genomes directly from clinical samples of patients with VL. Tested on 63 clinical samples from Nepal, SuSL-seq showed a high analytical sensitivity: we were able to (i) perform phylogenomic analyses on 82.5% of samples, (ii) assign 97% of samples to previously defined ISC genotypes using a set of diagnostic SNPs, (iii) estimate chromosome copy number in 82.5% of samples and (iv) identify large local CNVs in 83%. With the current design of the baits, our method should be applicable to all the parasites causing visceral leishmaniasis i.e. *L. infantum* and *L. donovani*, in East Africa, the Mediterranean basin and Latin America. Further work is required to test SuSL-seq on other host samples (animal reservoir, insect vectors, or different host tissues). It would be desirable to improve its performance in *Leishmania* samples with low parasite load and/or low amount of total DNA: here, the combination of SureSelect with other enrichment methods might prove useful.

Our study sheds new light on the biology of *Leishmania* in the human host. A first aspect concerned the karyotype of amastigotes. Massive aneuploidy has been described in cultivated *Leishmania* promastigotes of all species (17). In particular, sequencing of 204 *L. donovani* genomes (8) revealed strong differences in karyotype between parasite strains, with a baseline overall disomy and up to 22 polysomic chromosomes (essentially 3N and 4N) in single strains. In sharp contrast, we did not find high levels of aneuploidy in the amastigotes present in clinical samples of the same region. Besides chromosome 31 which is constitutively tetrasomic in all *Leishmania* species, most chromosomes in amastigotes of clinical samples were inferred to be disomic, and only a few monosomic or with intermediate coverage depth, the latter likely reflecting mosaic aneuploidy in the analyzed parasite populations, with mixtures of cells of different karyotypes (18). Overall, the

differences in aneuploidy observed between clinical samples and derived isolates are

consistent with our previous findings where we reported close to diploid karyotype in

parasites adapted to Syrian golden hamster, and highly dynamic aneuploidy during

propagation of *L. donovani* in vitro (11). This genomic plasticity was mirrored at

transcriptome level and might provide a rapid adaptation in response to environmental

cues.

A second potential finding is related to the observed genotypic differences between

12 clinical samples and derived cultured isolates. Strictly speaking and considering different

types of genetic variants (SNPs, indels an somy), none of the 12 isolates showed an identical

genotype to the parasites present in the paired bone marrow samples. We cannot exclude

that the 1-nt indel in the LdAQP1 of BPK81BM 'mutated' during culture maintenance and

restored the reading frame in the corresponding isolate's genome. However, knowing that

frame shifts in this gene have been linked to *Leishmania* antimony resistance (9), this result

shows the risk of losing drug resistance markers when genotyping isolates. The differences

in karyotype as observed in 11/12 paired samples could also be acquired during culture, but

could also have resulted from selection from a mosaic background, as shown experimentally

(18). More generally, however, the SNP differences that led to isolates and SuSL-seq

samples representing different ISC genotypes did not appear to be explained by mutations

appearing *in vitro*; each ISC group is characterized by a set of diagnostic SNPs and the

observed switch of ISC genotypes concerned all diagnostic SNPs in these sample pairs. In

two cases, the isolate and clinical samples differed at tens of thousands of sites, and clearly

represented the two very different populations of parasites circulating in VL patients in

Nepal. We excluded SNP-calling artefacts, and the clinical work followed specific procedures

for labeling, processing and tracking all samples. Thus, excluding experimental mistakes as

the reason for these results, the most likely explanation is polyclonality of the infection in

the host. In such a scenario, different clones would be most abundant in the host and the

promastigote culture medium, due to their differences in respective fitness in these two

environments.

We have attempted to verify the polyclonality hypothesis through a set of direct and

indirect experiments. On one hand, in two clinical samples we detected minor SuSL-seq

reads (above 6%) with the signature of a second ISC genotype. We also tried to detect the

second genotype directly in selected bone marrow samples with amplicon sequencing of 4

loci, which contain SNPs/AQP1 indel specific for different genetic groups from ISC. However,

we were unable to find any statistically robust evidence for presence of a second genotype

with this method, except in one case, BPK120BM. This is most likely due to a sensitivity issue

of the amplicon sequencing method which was applied: (i) without enrichment (in most

cases, in presence of more than 99% of human DNA, Dataset S1D), (ii) to find minor variants

and (iii) targeting one single variant within (iv) a single copy gene. The two clinical samples

BPK296BM and BPK471BM illustrate this problem well: they were clearly shown to be

polyclonal from SuSL-seq data, while the second clone could not be detected from amplicon

sequencing, most likely due to the low number of *Leishmania* genome equivalents

(respectively, 43 and 12). On the other hand, the plausibility of this hypothesis was

indirectly validated by a competition experiment in which we co-cultivated strains of

different ISC genotypes in the same tube: even if a strain constituted only 10% of the initial

inoculum, it could rapidly and in a reproducible way dominate over time in culture.

Further work is required to assess the extent of polyclonality among *L. donovani*

infections. The phenomenon is common in *Plasmodium*, especially in high transmission

areas (19). Among trypanosomatids, it has been reported in *Trypanosoma cruzi*, where

among others multiple genotypes were found to be transmitted congenitally (20) and

temporal variation of genotypes was observed in asymptomatic carriers (21). In *Leishmania*,

mixed infections of different species were reported in wild rodents (22), horses (23) and

humans (24,25). A recent study documented the possibility of polyclonality in *L. donovani*

from East Africa, as different genotypes were isolated from different organs of the same

patient (26). A similar observation was made on a Spanish dog in which two different

zymodemes of *L. infantum* were collected from the skin and a lymph node (27).

Furthermore, natural *Leishmania* hybrids are regularly reported all over the world (see for

instance 28,29) and in our previous study in the ISC (8), we found 8 isolates of hybrid origin

(out of 192 isolates of the CG, i.e. 4.2%). This could only occur in sand flies as a result of

polyclonal infections.

The present work highlights the complexity of *L. donovani* infections in the human

host. It appears that a patient can be infected by multiple clones showing different

genotypes, which possibly vary at the somy level. This genetic diversity could offer an

adaptive advantage to the whole population of cells, by providing 'individual' solutions to

different environments, leading to different dominant genomes in the patient and in

culture. We showed that this did not have a major impact so far for phylogenomic studies.

However, if any link is to be made between parasite genome variation and clinical

phenotypes – for example treatment outcome or pathogenicity – analyzing *Leishmania*

directly from clinical samples is necessary, as both the genotype and aneuploidy in the

mammalian host and in vitro culture can differ.

Material and Methods

DNA extraction and next generation sequencing. DNA from parasite cultures and clinical samples (bone marrow and spleen aspirates) was extracted using QiaAmp DNA blood mini kit (Qiagen, Venlo, the Netherlands) following the manufacturer's instructions, and DNA concentrations were verified using the Qubit broad-range DNA quantification kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA). Sequencing was done following the manufacturer's standard cluster generation and sequencing protocols

Standard Whole Genome Sequencing was applied to isolates. Genomic DNA was sheared into 400bp fragments by focused ultrasonication (Covaris Inc., Woburn, USA). Amplification-free Illumina libraries were prepared (30) and 125bp PE reads were generated on the Illumina HiSeq v4.

Deep sequencing was applied to quantify parasite load in batch 1 of Bone Marrow (BM) samples. Genomic DNA was sheared into 150bp fragments by focused ultrasonication (Covaris Inc., Woburn, USA) and libraries were prepared using the SureSelect Automated Library Prep Kit (Agilent, Santa Clara, USA). Index tagged samples were amplified using KAPA HiFi DNA polymerase, (KAPA Biosystems, Wilmington, USA) and quantified using an Accuclear DNA Kit (Biotium, Fremont, USA), 75bp PE reads were generated on the Illumina HiSeq 2500.

SureSelect was used for *Leishmania* genome capture. Genomic DNA was sheared into 150bp fragments by focused ultrasonication (Covaris Inc., Woburn, USA) and libraries were prepared, either as described above, or using the NEBNext Ultra II DNA Library prep Kit (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, USA). Samples were tagged with a unique index, amplified and quantified by qPCR (Text S1). Samples were then pooled into batches for SureSelect

enrichment, based on qPCR estimates of parasite load, so that each batch had as little

variation in parasite load as possible: we aimed for only a 2-fold variation within a batch,

while optimising the use of the sequencing data. Based on our experience of enrichment

levels obtained in the experimental samples, batch sizes varied from 6 or 7 samples per

batch where they had less than 0.05% *Leishmania* gDNA content up to 8 samples for higher

parasite load. Samples were pooled within batches in an equimolar fashion. Pooled material

was taken forward for hybridization, capture and enrichment using the standard SureSelect

Target Enrichment System XT2 protocol recommended by the manufacturer (Agilent

Technologies   https://www.agilent.com/cs/library/usermanuals/Public/G9630-90000.pdf).

Custom designed oligonucleotide baits were used at a 1:10 stock dilution. 75bp paired-end

reads were generated on the Illumina HiSeq 2500.

Amplicon sequencing was used to explore the presence of minor genetic variants in

clinical samples. In the first step we used the primers listed in Text S1 to amplify fragments

that contained diagnostic SNPs/insertion for ISC3, ISC4, ISC5 and ISC5 groups using

Advantage 2 Polymerase Mix (TAKARA, Kusatsu, Japan). 2µl of template with varying DNA

concentration were used in a total of 50µl PCR reaction (Dataset S1D). Touch down PCR with

the following conditions was used: 95˚C for 5 mins followed by 16 cycles of 95˚C for 30s,

68˚C to 6O˚C (decrease of 0.5˚C with each cycle) for 30s, 68˚C for 30sec, followed by 19

cycles of 95˚C for 30s, 60˚C for 30s, 68˚C for 30s and a final step at 68˚C for 10min. Then, a

second round amplification was performed, using 5µl of template, to add indexed Illumina

adapters (31). PCR conditions of the second round were 95˚C for 3 mins followed by 10

rounds of 98˚C for 20s, 65˚C for 30s, 72˚C for 30s finishing with 5 mins at 72˚C. The final

products were purified using AMPure XP SPRI beads and quantified on a plate reader using

an AccuClear DNA quantification kit (Biotium, Fremont, USA) followed by equimolar pooling.

Due to low base diversity of the amplicons, 20% PhiX was spiked into the resulting pool and

300bp PE reads were generated on the Illumina MiSeq.

DNA read mapping, SNP and indel calling. SuSL-seq reads from clinical samples and

data from 204 (54 strains, 150 isolates) previously sequenced *L. donovani* genomes (8) were

mapped to the improved reference *L. donovani* genome LdBPKv2 (11), using Smalt v7.4

(http://www.sanger.ac.uk/science/tools/smalt-0). The methods were reported elsewhere

(11). Briefly, Smalt options for exhaustive searching for optimal alignments (-x) and random

mapping of multiple hit reads were used. Reads were mapped when mapping base identity

was greater than or equal to 80% to the reference genome (-y 0.8), to eliminate spuriously

mapped reads mainly from human DNA. For the sequence analyses, all reads mapped to the

reference, not limited to bait locations, were analyzed. For phylogenetic analyses and for

evaluating the accuracy of SuSL-seq data, we used the population SNP and indel calling

mode of UnifiedGenotyper in Genome Analysis Toolkit v3.4 with the SNP cut off 4000 used

(GATK: https://software.broadinstitute.org/gatk/). No lower or higher depth cut off was

used in the population SNP calling since the depth of some SuSL-seq samples was quite low.

Reference genome masking and SNP screening. To mask repetitive regions and

regions prone to generate false positive SNPs due to the presence of non-*Leishmania* DNA in

clinical samples, we masked 7,453 regions that spanned a total of 43,200 bases. These

regions were identified by blast similarity hits (8) and by using SNPs that were generated by

pure human DNA SureSelect analysis and samples with lower amount of *Leishmania* DNA.

The masked positions were provided in MaskSuSL4.bed file. We also excluded a SNP cluster

containing more than 7 SNPs within 60 neighboring bases to exclude false SNPs from

simulated *Leishmania* DNA samples mixed with mouse DNA Mpd1 and Mpd2. This was

effective to eliminate non-*Leishmania* reads with a dozen of SNPs but with highest mapping

score 60.

Somy estimation. For somy estimation, 2 different methods were used. For samples

with consistently high-quality mapping against *Leishmania*, such as genomic libraries from

cultured promastigotes we used the median depth across each chromosome (11,32). For

samples whose read coverage depth was more variable, such as amplified and unamplified

clinical samples, depth was calculated in 1000bp windows to allow a more uniform analysis

of coverage variation (11). Previous studies have shown some correlation between read

coverage depth and chromosome length for some sequencing runs (11). To correct this bias,

we applied local median correction (11). This method calculates somy based on the median

coverage values of chromosomes with similar lengths. In the calculation of somy, the first

7,000 bases and last 2,000 bases of each chromosome were excluded because these regions

tend to be repetitive telomeric regions and their depth was not reliable. Similarly, certain

over-amplified chromosome regions were excluded from depth calculation for SuSL-seq

samples: these regions were listed in Dataset S1E. The range of monosomy, disomy,

trisomy, tetrasomy, and pentasomy was defined to be the full cell-normalized chromosome

depth or S-value: $S < 1.5$, $1.5 \leq S < 2.5$, $2.5 \leq S < 3.5$, $3.5 \leq S < 4.5$, and $4.5 \leq S < 5.5$,

respectively (11).

Gene copy number variation. Local copy number variants were detected as

described elsewhere (8). In brief, we calculated an average normalised haploid depth of H-

locus (Ld23: 90426 - 104470) and M-locus (Ld36: 2558460 - 2576450) for each strain and

then obtained an average normalised haploid depth and standard deviation for each group.

For ISC1-specific CNVs, we calculated an average normalised haploid depth for each gene

for each ISC1 strain and then obtained an average normalised haploid depth and standard

deviation for each CG group, using the depth of 191 sequenced strains (promastigotes). ISC1

specific gene CNVs were then defined to be genes whose normalized haploid depth differed

more than ± 0.3 from the baseline average depth of other ISC groups. The value 0.3 was

chosen here because we have observed many long CNVs in trisomic chromosomes, which

were amplified or deleted in 1 of the 3 homologous chromosomes.

Phylogenetic analysis. For phylogenetic analysis, 197 variables sites represented by

394 bases were used to perform neighbor-joining analysis using the p-distance model with

1000 bootstrap replicates as performed in MEGA 7.0.21 (33) and and Splitstree v4 (34).

These analyses used 191 promastigote strains plus 51 clinical samples (one clinical sample

from ISC1 was excluded because phylogenetically too divergent).   Artificial mixtures of

Leishmania and human DNA (Leishmania DNA percentage of 0.06%) were used to measure

the accuracy to multiple infections of the phylogenetic analyses.

Identifying minor ISC genotypes and polyclonal infections with SuSL-seq reads. Two

methods were used to identify minor ISC genotypes, respectively based on (i) SNP motifs

specific of ISC groups and (ii) alternative allele frequency from sequenced reads.

We first extracted genotype specific 23-mer motifs that contained a SNP or

reference base at the center. For ISC3, ISC4, ISC5, ISC6 and ISC7 groups, we created 26, 53,

38, 26 and 14 motifs that matched SNPs common to these groups, respectively. These group

specific SNPs were identified using 191 samples analyzed in the previous study (8) and

mapped to the pacbio reference (11). To identify minor genotype reads which may have

been missed by the standard SNP calling, we counted the reads in the alignment files that

contained genotype specific motifs. These motifs were listed in Dataset S1D and used to

estimate the proportion of ISC3, ISC4, ISC5, ISC6 and ISC7 in each sample.

In the second approach, a GATK population VCF file for bone marrow and

promastigotes were converted to a table that contained alternative allele frequency. In

addition, total read depth, reference read depth, alternative allele read depth and genotype

information created for VCF file were kept along alternative allele frequency. We checked

alternative allele frequencies at group specific SNP sites to identify polyclonal samples. A

mean of alternative allele frequency was calculated by averaging alternative allele

frequencies at all ISC specific SNP sites per sampling, excluding missing depth sites.

Identifying minor ISC groups using Amplicon sequencing. We applied a read count

method and an exact motif matching method to identify minor ISC groups using amplicon

sequencing. The read count method was based on samtools pileup and included reads

marked as PCR duplicates, counting all four bases to access back ground base error rates.

The exact motif matching method was based on a 17 mer for each diagnostic SNP and a 22

mer for the diagnostic insertion and they were listed in Dataset S1F and G.

Parasite cultures and competition experiment. Twelve clinical isolates paired to

bone marrow samples were cultivated on HOMEM. Three *L. donovani* cloned strains were

used in this experiment BPK067/0/cl2, BPK077/0/cl5, BPK091/0/cl9, respectively belonging

to the ISC3, ISC6, and ISC4 genetic groups (8). The parasites were grown on Tobie's blood

agar (35) with a saline overlay at 26°C to mimic the growth conditions during parasite

isolation from clinical samples (36). The parasites were inoculated to the concentration of

5×10^5ml^{-1}, and passaged to fresh medium every 3-4 days. For each strain mixture, 3

replicates were prepared, and the pure strains were grown in duplicates. The proportion

between two parasites strains was roughly verified at the start of the experiment, and was

further assessed approximately every 5 passages.

To follow the proportions between two different parasite strains in culture, a

diagnostic SNPs, which allow distinction among different ISC genetic groups were used (16).

Specifically, the Ld36_p5263_F1* and Ld36_p5263_R1, and Ld33_p3644_F1 and

Ld33_p3644_R1* primer pairs were used to distinguish between ISC3 and reference, and

ISC6 and reference genotypes, respectively. The presence of these alleles was verified by

standard Sanger sequencing, where primers mentioned above with * were used to

sequence the amplified products. PCR amplicons were sequenced at Baseclear (Leiden, The

Netherlands) with ABI 3730 (XL) DNA Analyzer, and the chromatograms were analyzed using

the 4peaks software (Nucleobytes). The ability to detect both alleles was first verified by

sequencing the PCR products amplified from DNA mixed in the same proportions as the

parasites in the competition experiment. With this method, it was not possible to detect the

exact proportions of the two alleles, but the relations between them (i.e. which allele was

present in higher proportion) was assessed.

Data Release. Raw data was deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA)

with the accession number ERP110990

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by Belgian Science Policy Office (TRIT, P7/41), Flemish Fund for Scientific Research (G.0.B81.12), and Department of Economy, Science and Innovation in Flanders ITM-SOFIB (SINGLE project, to J.C.D., M.V.A. and M.A.D.). MB, MJS and JAC are supported by Wellcome via their core support for the Wellcome Sanger Institute (grant 206194). We acknowledge the support of the core pipeline staff at WSI, in particular Peter Ellis and Sara Widaa, and the help of Owen Hardy from Agilent technologies for assistance in designing the SureSelect array. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, or preparation of the manuscript.

References

1. Burza S, Croft SL, Boelaert M. 2018. Leishmaniasis. Lancet 392:951-970. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31204-2

2. Alvar J, Vélez ID, Bern C, Herrero M, Desjeux P, Cano J, Jannin J, den Boer M; WHO Leishmaniasis Control Team. 2012. Leishmaniasis worldwide and global estimates of its incidence. PLoS One 7:e35671. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0035671

3. Rijal S, Sundar S, Mondal D, Das P, Alvar J, Boelaert M. 2019. Eliminating visceral leishmaniasis in South Asia: the road ahead. BMJ 364:k5224. https://www.bmj.com/content/364/bmj.k5224

4. Dujardin JC, Decuypere S. 2013. Epidemiology of leishmaniasis in the time of drug resistance. In: Drug Resistance in *Leishmania* Parasites. Consequences, Molecular

Mechanism and Possible Treatments. Eds Ponte-Sucre A, Padron-Nieves M, Diaz E.

Spinger-Verlag, Wienen. pp 65-83. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-7091-1125-3_4

5.   Dujardin JC. 2018. Epidemiology of leishmaniasis in the time of drug resistance (the

Miltefosine era). In: Drug resistance in *Leishmania* parasites: consequences,

molecular mechanisms and possible treatments. Eds Ponte-Sucre A & Padron-Nieves

M. Springer International Publishing, pp 85-107. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-

74186-4_4

6.   Cuypers B, Berg M, Imamura H, Dumetz F, De Muylder G, Domagalska MA, Rijal S,

Bhattarai NR, Maes I, Sanders M, Cotton JA, Meysman P, Laukens K, Dujardin JC.

2018. Integrated genomic and metabolomic profiling of ISC1, an emerging

Leishmania donovani population in the Indian subcontinent. Infect Genet Evol

62:170-178. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2018.04.021

7.   Karunaweera ND, Ferreira MU. 2018. Leishmaniasis: current challenges and

prospects for elimination with special focus on the South Asian region. Parasitology.

145:425-429. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182018000471

8.   Imamura H, Downing T, Van den Broeck F, Sanders MJ, Rijal S, Sundar S, Mannaert A,

Vanaerschot M, Berg M, De Muylder G, Dumetz F, Cuypers B, Maes I, Domagalska M,

Decuypere S, Rai K, Uranw S, Bhattarai NR, Khanal B, Prajapati VK, Sharma S, Stark O,

Schönian G, De Koning HP, Settimo L, Vanhollebeke B, Roy S, Ostyn B, Boelaert M,

Maes L, Berriman M, Dujardin JC, Cotton JA. 2016. Evolutionary genomics of

epidemic visceral leishmaniasis in the Indian subcontinent. Elife 5:e12613.

https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.12613.001

9.   Dumetz F, Cuypers B, Imamura H, Zander D, D'Haenens E, Maes I, Domagalska MA,

Clos J, Dujardin JC, De Muylder G. 2018. Molecular Preadaptation to Antimony

Resistance in *Leishmania donovani* on the Indian Subcontinent. mSphere 3:e00548-

17. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.00548-17

10. Ostyn B, Gidwani K, Khanal B, Picado A, Chappuis F, Singh SP, Rijal S, Sundar S,

Boelaert M. 2011. Incidence of symptomatic and asymptomatic *Leishmania donovani*

infections in high-endemic foci in India and Nepal: a prospective study. PLoS Negl

Trop Dis 5:e1284. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0001284

11. Dumetz F, Imamura H, Sanders M, Seblova V, Myskova J, Pescher P, Vanaerschot M,

Meehan CJ, Cuypers B, De Muylder G, Späth GF, Bussotti G, Vermeesch JR, Berriman

M, Cotton JA, Volf P, Dujardin JC, Domagalska MA. 2017. Modulation of Aneuploidy

in *Leishmania donovani* during Adaptation to Different In Vitro and In Vivo

Environments and Its Impact on Gene Expression. MBio 8:e00599-17.

https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00599-17

12. Cuypers B. 2018. A systems biology approach for a comprehensive understanding of

molecular adaptation in *Leishmania donovani*. PhD thesis, University of Antwerp.

https://anet.be/record/opacuantwerpen/c:lvd:14740884/E

13. Feehery GR, Yigit E, Oyola SO, Langhorst BW, Schmidt VT, Stewart FJ, Dimalanta ET,

Amaral-Zettler LA, Davis T, Quail MA, Pradhan S. 2013. A method for selectively

enriching microbial DNA from contaminating vertebrate host DNA. PLoS One

8:e76096. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0076096

14. Oyola SO, Ariani CV, Hamilton WL, Kekre M, Amenga-Etego LN, Ghansah A, Rutledge

GG, Redmond S, Manske M, Jyothi D, Jacob CG, Otto TD, Rockett K, Newbold CI,

Berriman M, Kwiatkowski DP. 2016. Whole genome sequencing of *Plasmodium*

falciparum from dried blood spots using selective whole genome amplification.

Malar J 15:597. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-016-1641-7

15. Doyle RM, Burgess C, Williams R, Gorton R, Booth H, Brown J, Bryant JM, Chan J,

Creer D, Holdstock J, Kunst H, Lozewicz S, Platt G, Romero EY, Speight G, Tiberi S,

Abubakar I, Lipman M, McHugh TD, Breuer J. 2018. Direct Whole-Genome

Sequencing of Sputum Accurately Identifies Drug-Resistant *Mycobacterium*

tuberculosis Faster than MGIT Culture Sequencing. J Clin Microbiol. 56:e00666-18.

https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00666-18

16. Rai K, Bhattarai NR, Vanaerschot M, Imamura H, Gebru G, Khanal B, Rijal S, Boelaert

M, Pal C, Karki P, Dujardin JC, Van der Auwera G. 2017. Single locus genotyping to

track *Leishmania donovani* in the Indian subcontinent: Application in Nepal. PLoS

Negl Trop Dis 11:e0005420. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0005420

17. Rogers MB, Hilley JD, Dickens NJ, Wilkes J, Bates PA, Depledge DP, Harris D, Her Y,

Herzyk P, Imamura H, Otto TD, Sanders M, Seeger K, Dujardin JC, Berriman M, Smith

DF, Hertz-Fowler C, Mottram JC. 2011. Chromosome and gene copy number

variation allow major structural change between species and strains of *Leishmania*.

Genome Res 2011 21:2129-42. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.122945.111

18. Prieto Barja P, Pescher P, Bussotti G, Dumetz F, Imamura H, Kedra D, Domagalska M,

Chaumeau V, Himmelbauer H, Pages M, Sterkers Y, Dujardin JC, Notredame C, Späth

GF. 2017. Haplotype selection as an adaptive mechanism in the protozoan pathogen

Leishmania donovani. Nat Ecol Evol. 1:1961-1969. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-

017-0361-x

19. Pacheco MA, Lopez-Perez M, Vallejo AF, Herrera S, Arévalo-Herrera M, Escalante AA.

2016. Multiplicity of Infection and Disease Severity in *Plasmodium vivax*. PLoS Negl

Trop Dis 10:e0004355. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0004355

20. Llewellyn MS, Messenger LA, Luquetti AO, Garcia L, Torrico F, Tavares SB, Cheaib B,

Derome N, Delepine M, Baulard C, Deleuze JF, Sauer S, Miles MA. 2015. Deep

sequencing of the *Trypanosoma cruzi* GP63 surface proteases reveals diversity and

diversifying selection among chronic and congenital Chagas disease patients. PLoS

Negl Trop Dis 9:e0003458. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0003458

21. Sánchez LV, Bautista DC, Corredor AF, Herrera VM, Martinez LX, Villar JC, Ramírez JD.

2013. Temporal variation of *Trypanosoma cruzi* discrete typing units in

asymptomatic Chagas disease patients. Microbes Infect 15:745-8.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micinf.2013.06.008

22. Ferreira Ede C, Cruz I, Cañavate C, de Melo LA, Pereira AA, Madeira FA, Valério SA,

Cunha HM, Paglia AP, Gontijo CM. 2015. Mixed infection of *Leishmania infantum* and

Leishmania braziliensis in rodents from endemic urban area of the New World. BMC

Vet Res 11:71. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12917-015-0392-y

23. Soares IR, Silva SO, Moreira FM, Prado LG, Fantini P, Maranhão Rde P, da Silva Filho

JM, Melo MN, Palhares MS. 2013. First evidence of autochthonous cases of

Leishmania (Leishmania) infantum in horse (Equus caballus) in the Americas and

mixed infection of *Leishmania infantum* and *Leishmania (Viannia) braziliensis*. Vet

Parasitol 197:665-9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2013.06.014

24. Veland N, Valencia BM, Alba M, Adaui V, Llanos-Cuentas A, Arevalo J, Boggild AK.

2013. Simultaneous infection with *Leishmania (Viannia) braziliensis* and *L. (V.)*

lainsoni in a Peruvian patient with cutaneous leishmaniasis. Am J Trop Med Hyg

88:774-7 https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.12-0594

25. Shirian S, Oryan A, Hatam GR, Daneshbod Y. 2012. Mixed mucosal leishmaniasis

infection caused by *Leishmania tropica* and *Leishmania major*. J Clin Microbiol

50:3805-8. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01469-12

26. Zackay A, Cotton JA, Sanders M, Hailu A, Nasereddin A, Warburg A, Jaffe CL. 2018.

Genome wide comparison of Ethiopian *Leishmania donovani* strains reveals

differences potentially related to parasite survival. PLoS Genet 14:e1007133.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1007133

27. Pratlong F, Portus M, Rispail P, Moreno G, Bastien P, Rioux JA. 1989. Simultaneous

presence in dogs of 2 zymodemes of the *Leishmania infantum* complex. Ann

Parasitol Hum Comp 64:312-4. https://doi.org/10.1051/parasite/1989644312

28. Rogers MB, Downing T, Smith BA, Imamura H, Sanders M, Svobodova M, Volf P,

Berriman M, Cotton JA, Smith DF. 2014. Genomic confirmation of hybridisation and

recent inbreeding in a vector-isolated *Leishmania* population. PLoS Genet

10:e1004092. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1004092

29. Odiwuor S, De Doncker S, Maes I, Dujardin JC, Van der Auwera G. 2011. Natural

Leishmania donovani/Leishmania aethiopica hybrids identified from Ethiopia. Infect

Genet Evol 11:2113-8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2011.04.026

30. Kozarewa I, Ning Z, Quail MA, Sanders MJ, Berriman M, Turner DJ. 2009.

Amplification-free Illumina sequencing-library preparation facilitates improved

mapping and assembly of (G+C)-biased genomes. Nat Methods 6:291-5.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1311

31. Bronner IF, Quail MA, Turner DJ, Swerdlow H. 2014. Improved Protocols for Illumina

Sequencing. Curr Protoc Hum Genet 80:18.2.1-42.

https://doi.org/10.1002/0471142905.hg1802s80

32. Downing T, Imamura H, Decuypere S, Clark TG, Coombs GH, Cotton JA, Hilley JD, de

Doncker S, Maes I, Mottram JC, Quail MA, Rijal S, Sanders M, Schönian G, Stark O,

Sundar S, Vanaerschot M, Hertz-Fowler C, Dujardin JC, Berriman M. 2011. Whole

genome sequencing of multiple *Leishmania donovani* clinical isolates provides

insights into population structure and mechanisms of drug resistance. Genome Res

21:2143-56. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.123430.111

33. Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, Stecher G, Nei M, Kumar S. 2011. MEGA5:

molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary

distance, and maximum parsimony methods. Mol Biol Evol 28:2731-9.

https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msr121

34. Huson DH, Bryant D. 2006. Application of phylogenetic networks in evolutionary

studies. Mol Biol Evol. 23:254-67. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cit102

35. Tobie, E. J., Von Brand, T. & Mehlman, B. 1950. Cultural and physiological

observations on *Trypanosoma rhodesiense* and *Trypanosoma gambiense*. Journal of

Parasitology 36:48-54.

36. Yardley V, Croft SL, De Doncker S, Dujardin JC, Koirala S, Rijal S, Miranda C, Llanos-

Cuentas A, Chappuis F. 2005. The sensitivity of clinical isolates of *Leishmania* from

Peru and Nepal to miltefosine. Am J Trop Med Hyg 73:272-5.

Legends

Fig. 1. Performance of SuSL-sequencing on clinical samples. **A**. Enrichment of *Leishmania*

DNA from clinical samples by SureSelect; Y axis shows percentage of reads mapping to the *L.*

donovani reference genome following SureSelect enrichment. X axis is the pre-enrichment

percentage of *Leishmania* DNA, estimated by either whole-genome sequencing or qPCR;

dotted lines indicate no enrichment and 10-, 100- and 1000-fold enrichment. **B**. Read

mapping statistics in the 63 samples after SureSelect enrichment. Upper panel shows

evenness of genome coverage as the proportion of bases covered with a minimum of

number of sequencing reads from 1 to 100 as indicated by the seven different lines. Lower

panel shows the percentage of reads mapping to the *Leishmania* reference genome for each

sample, shown on the x-axis. The x-axis is common to both panels. BM, Bone Marrow; SP,

Spleen aspirate.

Fig. 2. Genomic diversity among clinical samples. A. SNPs. Phylogenetic tree based on

SureSelect enriched clinical samples (black circle) and previously sequenced isolates (8).

Diagram is a neighbor-joining phylogeny based on 197 variable sites. ISC2-ISC10 were sub-

populations previously defined (8); ISC11 is a novel group that includes clinical samples and

isolates previously designated as 'ungrouped'. Full sample identifiers are shown in Fig. S5A.

B. Karyotypes (High quality samples). Y axis shows normalized somy estimate for each

chromosome (x axis). Points show central estimate and bars show one standard deviation

around these estimates, calculated by the binned depth method. **C.** Local CNVs. Average

copy number per cell of H- and M-loci per ISC group in SureSelect enriched clinical samples

(Bone Marrow or Spleen aspirate; BM/SP) and in cultured isolates (promastigotes, Prom).

Error bars show one standard deviation around the mean estimate. Only a single sample

was available from bone marrow aspirates in ISC1, hence no standard deviation is shown.

Fig. 3. Somy comparison between matched clinical samples and culture parasites. A.

Inferred somy for 3 samples for which   SureSelect-enriched bone marrow (BM) samples and

cultured isolates (MO) from the same patients had matching ISC genotypes. Y axis shows

normalized somy estimate for each chromosome (x axis). Points show central estimate and

bars show one standard deviation around these estimates. Somy estimates and standard

deviations for the bone marrow samples were based on the binned depth method while

corresponding values for isolates were based on depth of each position. **B.** Evidence for

polyclonal infections. Bars represent the proportion of sequencing reads showing the ISC-

specific genotype or the reference (REF) genotype at loci with ISC-specific alleles. 1)

Genotypes at ISC9 and ISC4 loci for SureSelect-enriched bone marrow sample (BPK471BM).

2) Genotypes at ISC4 and ISC3 loci for SureSelect-enriched bone marrow sample BPK296BM.

Table 1. Genotype differences observed in 12 paired samples: clinical samples analyzed by

SureSelect and derived isolates sequenced classically. Total base difference* =

Homozygous difference x 2 + Heterozygous difference. Almost diploid**: S-value was 2 in all

chromosomes except the tetrasomic chromosome 31. Notes: (1) the S-values of

chromosome 11 in all bone marrow samples were slightly higher due to amplification

artefact and therefore, their values were assumed to be disomic. (2) The S-values of

chromosome 31 of BPK87BM and BPK80BM were lower than the expected tetrasomic

values due to lower genome coverage.

Supplementary material (10 items)

Fig. S1 to Fig. S8

Dataset S1

Text S1

Legends

Fig. S1. A. Distribution of probes across *L. donovani* BPK282 reference genome. Most of

the reference genome is covered by only a single probe. Some regions are not covered to

avoid repetitive regions and regions with homology to mammalian hosts. Changes in the

genome assembly subsequent to the bait design and the presence of additional probes to

capture *L. infantum* have led to some regions being covered by multiple probes sequences.

B. Much of the variation in depth in coverage in clinical samples is due to the presence of

multiple probe sequences. Plots show the total coverage density across all clinical samples

for regions of the reference genome covered by 0, 1, 2 or more probe sequences. **C.** Depth

of read coverage in SureSelect enriched samples across two regions of the *Leishmania*

genome. Lines show normalized coverage depth (actual coverage per base pair divided by

genome-wide mean coverage) for one clinical sample (BPK543, blue) and the sum for all

clinical samples (orange). Grey shading shows regions where SureSelect probes map to the

genome. Mean coverage for BPK452 was 9.2 reads, for the summed clinical samples 2447.8.

Fig. S2. Performance of SuSL-sequencing on artificial mixtures of *Leishmania* **and mammal** DNA at three different *Leishmania* DNA percentages: 0.06, 0.006 and 0.0006 %. **A.** Summary statistics for sequencing data from these experiments, showing the total number of reads in each library, the proportion of reads mapping to the *L. donovani* reference genome, and the enrichment factor, calculated as the ratio of the proportion of reads mapping to *L. donovani* in the SureSelect libraries to the proportion of *Leishmania* promastigote DNA included in the pre-pulldown DNA mixtures. **B.** Evenness of genome coverage. The y-axis shows proportion of bases covered with a minimum number of sequencing reads-- read depth along the x-axis -- by reads samples from SureSelect sequencing data from artificial mixtures. 104M, 78M, 52M, 26M and 13M indicate the total number of reads (in millions) sampled from each library; 0.06, 0.006 and 0.0006 are the input proportions of *Leishmania* DNA in percent. For lower *Leishmania* DNA percentages, the higher read depth did not result in higher genome base coverage. **C.** Inferred somy from SureSelect on artificial mixtures. BPK282, pure DNA from promastigote; LD06-0006, simulated clinical samples at three *Leishmania* DNA percentages (0.06%, 0.006% and 0.0006% respectively). Y axis shows normalized somy estimate for each chromosome (x axis). Points show central estimate and bars show one standard deviation around these estimates from four replicates of each *Leishmania* DNA percentages 0.06%, 0.006%, 0.0006%, respectively.

Fig. S3. Map of Nepal showing geographical origin of clinical samples and cultured promastigote isolates. Colours represent different genotype groups defined elsewhere (8).

Circles represent Nepalese promastigote isolates from ref 8, crosses bone marrow and

spleen samples.

Fig. S4. A. Genome sequencing of clinical samples: percentage of the genome covered by

more than 1 read, with and without SuSL enrichment. B. Relationship between qPCR

estimate of *Leishmania* DNA concentration (y axis) and the proportion of sequencing reads

mapping to the *L. donovani* reference genome before SureSelect enrichment in clinical

samples for which both qPCR and pre-enrichment sequence data are available, confirming

accuracy of the qPCR estimates. Batches were formed of samples with similar proportions of

Leishmania DNA pre-enrichment.

Fig. S5. A. Phylogenetic tree (Neighbor-joining) based on bone marrow (BM), spleen (SP),

isolates paired to clinical samples (MO) and previously sequenced lines (labelled A1 or B2,

ref 8). The tree is identical to the main one (Fig 2A), except that the labels of the samples

are indicated. Bone marrow (BM) and spleen (SP) samples are labelled in blue; isolates that

are paired to clinical samples are labelled in red, previously sequenced lines are labelled in

black. ISC2-ISC10 were sub-populations previously defined (ref 8). See Fig.2A for comments

on ISC11. **B.** Results from STRUCTURE analyses from five replicate runs (2×10^6 MCMC chains

following 10^6 burn-in steps) under the Admixture model assuming 1-15 *K* clusters. The plot

on the left shows the estimated loglikelihood of all runs for each *K* cluster. Barplots on the

right summarize the assignment probabilities of every *L. donovani* sequence to each

inferred cluster assuming 5, 6, 7, 8 or 9 clusters. Arrows denote the CG samples sequenced

in this study.

Fig. S6. A. Somy in bone marrow and spleen samples. BM=Bone marrow and SP=spleen.

The y-axis and x-axis represent somy and chromosome, respectively. S-values and standard

deviation error bars are given in black filled circle and vertical line, respectively. The S- and

standard deviation values for the bone marrow samples were based on the binned depth

method. The samples were sorted in the order of higher genome coverage, the 3 categories

reflect resolution of somy estimation 1) High: whose genome coverage ranging from 99.45%

to 89.10%. 2) Medium: whose genome coverage ranging from 86.93% to 56.60%. 3) Low:

whose genome coverage ranging from 45.80% to 9.80%. In the lower Leishmania DNA

concentration samples, it is still possible to identify higher copy number in chromosome 31.

S-values of chromosome 2 and 11 were higher for almost all samples but these higher values

were also observed in artificial mixtures of BPK282 where chromosome 2 and 11 were

definitively disomic. Close inspection of read depth did not reveal any particular evidences

to support their S-values to be higher than 2. **B.** Correlation between gene depth at 303

ISC1-specific CNV genes identified in promastigote samples. Correlation was moderately

high r^2 = 0.68, p-value = 10^{-76} and slope = 0.882 indicating that SuSL-seq can be used to

confirm existing group-specific CNVs. On the plot, colored dots represent counts in log10

scale and probability density distributions were given on the corresponding axes.

Fig. S7. Allele frequency for the amplicon diagnostic of ISC5 genotype estimated with NGS-

based deep amplicon sequencing. Blue line represents the frequency for the non-ISC5

allele, while the orange line represents the ISC5-specific allele, which contains a 2bp-

insertion in the AQP1 locus. Arrows indicate the two clinical samples in which a second

allele was detected above the background, being statistically significant for

BPK120_ISC5_BM. BM, Bone Marrow; SP, Spleen aspirate; MO, cultured isolate; NC,

Negative Control, a cloned strain where the diagnostic ISC5-specific allele is absent; PC,

Positive Control, a cloned strain characterized by the presence of the diagnostic ISC5-

specific allele.

Fig. S8. Growth competition experiment. Flasks were inoculated with individual cloned

strains or mixtures of two cloned strains in the following ratios: 90/10, 50/50 and 10/90.

Cultures were analyzed at the beginning of the experiment (Start), after 5, 15, 20 and 24

passages (P5, P15, P20 and P24 respectively). Diagnostic PCR that allows to distinguish

between the two compared strains was used to monitor the presence of each strain in

culture. A selected fragment of chromatogram containing the variable nucleotide (labelled

with red circle) is shown. Arrows point at the samples were the changes in dominance

occurred. **A**. Competition between the BPK091, and BPK077 strains (ISC4, and ISC6,

respectively). **B**. Competition between the BPK091, and BPK067 strains (ISC4, and ISC3,

respectively).

Dataset S1. A. The sequence depth and coverage statistics: The average values of median

depth of 36 chromosomes, the depth standard deviation, the genome coverage of

SureSelect enriched and raw samples were given for each sample. Low sequence depth

samples were marked as LOWDEP, indicating that these samples were not included in the

main phylogenetic tree because of their missing depth and coverage. Somy detection

accuracy classification was assigned to each sample. High corresponds to 36 high genome

coverage samples, Medium corresponds to 16 medium range genome coverage samples

and Low corresponds to 9 low genome coverage samples. NA corresponds to 2 samples with

almost no informative genome coverage. Further descriptions were found in the text. **B.**

Sympatric genotypic diversity at VDC (village development committee, the lowest

administrative unit in Nepal) level. VDCs where 2 different ISC genotypes (or more) were

identified. See more details on the corresponding samples in Supplementary Table 1. *,

because of lower sequence quality, ISC genotype was defined by a set of diagnostic SNPs. [1]

Patients 80, 207 and 208 belong to the same family: they presented 2 different genotypes

sampled at 10 months of interval. [2] Patients 89 and 91 belong to the same family: they

presented 2 different genotypes sampled at the same time. **C.** New insertions identified in

SureSelect samples: These indels were in non-repetitive regions and supported with depth

greater than 60. They were only identified in SureSelect samples. This illustrated that

previously unknown indels can be detected in SureSelect samples when there was sufficient

depth. These indel calls were verified on the IGV alignment viewer to ensure the accuracy of

indel alternative allele frequencies. **D**. *Leishmania* genome equivalents in samples used for

NGS-based amplicon sequencing. The table shows the percentage of Leishmania DNA in the

sample, the total amount of DNA, the amount of *Leishmania* DNA and the corresponding

Leishmania genome equivalents used in each reaction to amplify short DNA fragments

containing the diagnostic SNPs/AQP1 insertion for specific ISC genotypes. SuSL-seq

genotype denotes the genotype assessed based on the phylogenomic clustering, while

amplicon-seq genotype is based on the 4 diagnostic SNPs described by Rai et al. (ref 16). $,

there are no ISC group-specific SNPs for ISC9 and ISC11, hence we could only report the

negative results for ISC3-6 diagnostic SNPs. **E.** Excluded regions for somy estimation. For all

chromosomes, the first 7000 bases and the last 2000 bases were excluded for depth

evaluation. In addition, positions (x) in the following ranges were excluded because of the

depth errors associated with SureSelect enrichment and because of the known major

repetitive or amplified regions (see remarks). **F.** Genotyping using group specific SNP

motifs: The table shows the ISC group, chromosome, position, reference allele, SNP allele,

SNP score based on GATK, reference base motif and SNP base motif. All the diagnostic SNPs

were homozygous SNPs except those of ISC7. Here ISC7 genotype was not detected: in a

previous study (8), we found that genotype exclusively in India. A diagnostic SNP and

reference base are located at the 12th base. **G.** ISC5 AQP1 insertion motifs: The reference

base motif and AQP1 insertion base motif, along with neighboring motives that includes 2

base insertions of any base combinations at a given position are shown. The frequency

counts of these motives were given for each sample and   these neighboring motives were

used to estimate the error rates for detecting any two-base insertion nearby. These data

indicated that the insertion detection error rates were extremely low. ISC5 strains showed

higher insertion error rate but these were likely associated with base errors in the detection

of the AQP1 insertion.

Appendix (1 item)

Table A1. List of Nepalese clinical samples studied here: date of sampling and geographical

origin, type of sample, treatment received (AmB, Amphotericin B; SAG, Sodium Antimony

Gluconate; MIL, Miltefosine) and outcome, ISC group (according to the results of current

study), *because of lower sequence quality, ISC genotype was defined based on a set of

diagnostic SNPs, remarks about (i) treatment provided before admission or (ii) family links

between patients, isolation outcome and code of successful paired isolates.

Table 1. Genotype differences observed in 12 paired samples: clinical samples analyzed by

SureSelect and derived isolates sequenced classically. Total base difference* =

Homozygous difference x 2 + Heterozygous difference. Almost diploid**: S-value was 2 in all

chromosomes except the tetrasomic chromosome 31. Notes: (1) the S-values of

chromosome 11 in all bone marrow samples were slightly higher due to amplification

artefact and therefore, their values were assumed to be disomic. (2) The S-values of

chromosome 31 of BPK87BM and BPK80BM were lower than the expected tetrasomic

values due to lower genome coverage.

Homozygous difference	Heterozygous difference	Indel difference	Karyotype (bone marrow)	Karyotype (derived isolate)
20	7	4	almost diploid**	trisomy on ch5 and 11
17	8	3	almost diploid	trisomic on ch2, 4, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 20, 26 ,33 and 35. tetrasomic on ch23
21	13	4	almost diploid	regular
14	8	8	almost diploid	trisomic on ch20, 26 and 33
34146	167	6275	almost diploid	monosomic on ch17
29942	4370	8281	almost diploid	trisomic on ch23, 33 and 35
26	3	3	almost diploid	trisomic on ch2, 5, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 20, 23 ,27 and 32. tetrasomic on ch33
18	4	1	monosomic on ch17	trisomic on ch4, 11, 20, 23. tetrasomic on ch5
9	3	4	almost diploid	trisomic on ch1, 7, 8, 10, 13. 15, 20, 22, 23. tetrasomic on ch9
3	7	LdAQP1: frameshift G/GA insertion	almost diploid	regular
0	5	0	almost diploid	trisomic on ch8, 9, 13 and 23
1	9	0	almost diploid	trisomic on ch1, 7, 8, 10, 13, 15, 20, 22 and 23. tetrasomic on ch9

Fig. 1. Performance of SuSL-sequencing on clinical samples. A. Enrichment of *Leishmania* DNA from clinical samples by SureSelect; The y-axis shows percentage of reads mapping to the *L. donovani* reference genome following SureSelect enrichment. The x-axis is the pre-enrichment percentage of *Leishmania* DNA, estimated by either whole-genome sequencing or qPCR; dotted lines indicate no enrichment and 10-, 100- and 1000-fold enrichment. **B.** Read mapping statistics in the 63 samples after SureSelect enrichment. The upper panel shows evenness of genome coverage as the proportion of bases covered with a minimum of number of sequencing reads from 1 to 100 as indicated by the seven different lines. The lower panel shows the percentage of reads mapping to the *Leishmania* reference genome for each sample, shown on the x-axis. The x-axis is common to both panels. BM, Bone Marrow; SP, Spleen aspirate.

Fig. 2. Genomic diversity among clinical samples. A. SNPs. Phylogenetic tree based on SureSelect enriched clinical samples (black circle) and previously sequenced isolates (8). Diagram is a neighbor-joining phylogeny based on 197 variable sites. ISC2-ISC10 were sub-populations previously defined (8); ISC11 is a novel group that includes clinical samples and isolates previously designated as 'ungrouped'. Full sample identifiers are shown in Fig. S5A. **B.** Karyotypes (High quality samples). Y axis shows normalized somy estimate for each chromosome (x axis). Points show central estimate and bars show one standard deviation around these estimates, calculated by the binned depth method. **C.** Local CNVs. Average copy number per cell of H- and M-loci per ISC group in SureSelect enriched clinical samples (Bone Marrow or Spleen aspirate; BM/SP) and in cultured isolates (promastigotes, Prom). Error bars show one standard deviation around the mean estimate. Only a single sample was available from bone marrow aspirates in ISC1, hence no standard deviation is shown.

Fig. 3. Somy comparison between matched clinical samples and culture parasites.
A. Inferred somy for 3 samples for which SureSelect-enriched bone marrow (BM) samples and cultured isolates (MO) from the same patients had matching ISC genotypes. The y-axis shows normalized somy estimate for each chromosome (the x-axis). Points show central estimate and bars show one standard deviation around these estimates. Somy estimates and standard deviations for the bone marrow samples were based on the binned depth method while corresponding values for isolates were based on depth of each position. **B.** Evidence for polyclonal infections. The bars represent the proportion of sequencing reads showing the ISC-specific genotype or the reference (REF) genotype at loci with ISC-specific alleles. 1) Genotypes at ISC9 and ISC4 loci for SureSelect-enriched bone marrow sample BPK471BM. 2) Genotypes at ISC4 and ISC3 loci for SureSelect-enriched bone marrow sample BPK296BM.