Comprehensive genome data analysis establishes a triple whammy of carbapenemases, ICEs and multiple clinically-relevant bacteria

João Botelho[1#*], Joana Mourão[2,3,4], Adam P. Roberts[5,6], Luísa Peixe[1*]

[1]UCIBIO/REQUIMTE, Laboratório de Microbiologia, Faculdade de Farmácia, Universidade do Porto, Porto, Portugal.

[2]University of Coimbra, Center for Innovative Biomedicine and Biotechnology, Coimbra, Portugal.

[3]University of Coimbra, Center for Neuroscience and Cell Biology, Coimbra, Portugal.

[4]University of Coimbra, Institute for Interdisciplinary Research, Coimbra, Portugal.

[5]Department of Tropical Disease Biology, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, United Kingdom.

[6]Centre for Drugs and Diagnostics, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, United Kingdom.

[#]New affiliation: Antibiotic Resistance Evolution Group, Max-Planck-Institute for Evolutionary Biology, 24306 Plön, Germany; Department of Evolutionary Ecology and Genetics, Zoological Institute, Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel, 24118 Kiel, Germany.

*Addresses for correspondence: João Botelho, Antibiotic Resistance Evolution Group, Max-Planck-Institute for Evolutionary Biology, 24306 Plön, Germany; Department of Evolutionary Ecology and Genetics, Zoological Institute, Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel, 24118 Kiel, Germany.; e-mail: botelho@evolbio.mpg.de; Luísa Peixe, Laboratório de Microbiologia. Faculdade de Farmácia da Universidade do Porto, Rua Jorge Viterbo Ferreira nº 228, 4050-313 Porto, Portugal; phone: +351 220428500; e-mail: lpeixe@ff.up.pt.

Abstract

Carbapenemases inactivate most β-lactam antibiotics, including carbapenems and have been frequently reported among *Enterobacteriaceae*, *Acinetobacter* spp. and *Pseudomonas* spp. Traditionally, the horizontal gene transfer of carbapenemase-encoding genes (CEGs) has been linked to plasmids. However, given that integrative and conjugative elements (ICEs) are possibly the most abundant conjugative elements among prokaryotes, we conducted an *in-silico* analysis to ascertain the likely role of ICEs in the spread of CEGs among all bacterial genomes (n=182,663). We detected 17,520 CEGs, of which 66 were located within putative ICEs among several bacterial species (including clinically-relevant bacteria as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Escherichia coli*). Most CEGs detected within ICEs belong to the IMP, NDM and SPM metallo-beta-lactamase families, and the serine beta-lactamase KPC and GES families. Different mechanisms were likely responsible for acquisition of these genes. The majority of CEG-bearing ICEs belong to the MPF_G, MPF_T and MPF_F classes and often encode resistance to other antibiotics (e.g., aminoglycosides and fluoroquinolones). This study provides a snapshot of the different CEGs associated with ICEs among available bacterial genomes and sheds light on the underappreciated contribution of ICEs to the spread of carbapenem resistance globally.

Keywords

Integrative and conjugative elements, carbapenemases, antibiotic resistance, clinically-relevant bacteria.

Author Notes

All supporting data has been provided within the article or through supplementary data files. Supplementary material is available with the online version of this article.

Abbreviations

AR, antibiotic resistance; CEG, carbapenemase-encoding gene; HGT, horizontal gene transfer; ICE, integrative and conjugative element; MGE, mobile genetic element; MPF, mating pair formation; NCBI, National Center for Biotechnology Information; T4SS, Type-IV Secretion System; WHO, World Health Organization.

Impact Statement

Carbapenems are commonly used to treat severe infections in humans. Resistance is often mediated by carbapenemases. These enzymes degrade carbapenems and are frequently present in plasmids. Here, we demonstrate that common carbapenemase-encoding genes (CEGs) found in clinical isolates (e.g. bla_{KPC}, bla_{GES}, bla_{IMP}, bla_{NDM}, bla_{VIM}) can also be located within integrative and conjugative elements (ICEs). CEG-bearing ICEs belong to three mating-pair formation families. These mobile elements may be particularly important in bacteria where plasmids do not seem to play a significant role in the spread of antibiotic resistance genes, as *Pseudomonas* spp. This study

72 considerably expands the knowledge of the repertoire of CEGs-bearing ICEs among

73 clinically-relevant bacterial pathogens, such as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Klebsiella*

74 *pneumoniae* and *Escherichia coli*.

Data Summary

All the bacterial genomes scanned in this study have been deposited previously in the

National Center for Biotechnology Information genome database and are listed on the

supplementary tables. The extracted 66 ICEs in fasta format and the outputs for the

profile HMMs scanned on the 386 putative MGEs identified in this study are deposited

on figshare at

https://figshare.com/projects/_Comprehensive_genome_data_analysis_establishes_a

_triple_whammy_of_carbapenemases_ICEs_and_multiple_clinically-

relevant_bacteria/78369.

Introduction

Due to the importance of carbapenems for the treatment of severe infections in

humans, the WHO stated that these antibiotics should be reserved for infections caused

by multidrug resistant Gram-negative bacteria in humans [1]. Recently, the same agency

presented a list of bacterial pathogens for which research and development of new

antibiotics are urgently required, and the top priority pathogens were the carbapenem-

resistant strains of *Acinetobacter baumannii*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and

Enterobacteriaceae [2].

The evolution of carbapenem-resistance in bacteria is often driven by the horizontal gene transfer (HGT) of carbapenemase-encoding genes (CEGs) [3, 4]. Carbapenemases are beta-lactamases able to hydrolyse carbapenems as well as most of other beta-lactam antibiotics. These enzymes are members of the serine beta-lactamases classes A and D, and the class B metallo-beta-lactamases [5]. The CEGs are often located on integrons or transposons that themselves target mobile genetic elements (MGEs) such as plasmids [3, 4], which makes the dissemination of these genes unpredictably complex within bacterial communities. Recently, it was demonstrated that another type of MGE, the integrative and conjugative elements (ICEs), are likely to play a significant role as vehicles for the dissemination of CEGs among *P. aeruginosa* [6]. Besides genes conferring antibiotic resistance, ICEs may harbour additional cargo genes that provide an adaptive advantage over other elements. Some of these examples include the presence of the siderophore yersiniabactin encoded within the ICEKp in hypervirulent clonal-group CG23 *Klebsiella pneumoniae* [7]; the Tn*5252*-related ICEs carrying bacteriocin clusters in *Streptococcus suis* [8]; the type I-C CRISPR-Cas systems identified within pKLC102-like ICEs in *P. aeruginosa* [9] and the type III restriction-modification systems from SXT/R391-related ICEs in *Shewanella* spp. [10].

ICEs are self-transmissible MGEs that can integrate into and excise from the genome (as transposons and phages do) and can exist as circular, sometimes replicable, extrachromosomal elements and be transferred by conjugation (as some plasmids do) [11–14]. ICE*clc* from *Pseudomonas knackmussii* [15], SXT from *Vibrio cholerae* [16], pKLC102 from *P. aeruginosa* [17], and Tn*4371* from *Ralstonia oxalatica* [18] are among the most well studied ICEs. ICEs appear to have a bipartite lifestyle that shifts between vertical and horizontal transmission [12, 19, 20]. HGT by conjugation requires three main

components: a relaxase (MOB), a mating pair formation (MPF) system, and a type-IV

coupling protein, with the last two forming a spanning-membrane multi-protein

complex named type-IV secretion system (T4SS) [21]. Until now, eight MPF classes were

proposed (B, C, F, FA, FATA, G, I and T), based on the phylogeny of VirB4, the only

ubiquitous protein among the T4SS. The MPF_T is widely distributed both in conjugative

plasmids and ICEs, while MPF_F is more prevalent in plasmids and MPF_G on ICEs [11].

Given that ICEs were identified in most bacterial clades and were proposed to be more

prevalent than conjugative plasmids [11], we conducted an *in silico* analysis to explore

the distribution of CEG-bearing ICEs among all sequenced bacterial genomes. Our results

demonstrate that CEG-bearing ICEs belong to three MPF families and are primarily

located in several clinically-relevant bacterial pathogens. Our analysis highlights the

importance of thoroughly investigating these elements as important vehicles for the

spread of antibiotic resistance (AR), particularly to carbapenems.

Material and methods

Bacterial genomes and carbapenemases search

In **Figure 1,** we present the workflow used in this study, from the acquisition of bacterial

genomes to the identification and characterization of putative ICEs. We retrieved all

bacterial genomes available in NCBI Reference Sequence Database (RefSeq, accessed on

21/03/2020), including complete and draft genome sequences, using ncbi-genome-

download v0.2.12 (https://github.com/kblin/ncbi-genome-download). We downloaded

over 6,000 curated AR protein sequences from AMRfinder database

(https://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pathogen/Antimicrobial_resistance/AMRFinderPlus/data

base/3.6/2020-01-22.1/) [22] and we built an in-house database only including the

proteins that code for a carbapenemase (n=1014, **Table S1**). We then blasted the

genomes against the extracted carbapenemases using diamond v0.9.29.130

(http://www.diamondsearch.org/index.php) [23], using minimum 100% identity and

subject cover and with the sensitive mode enabled.

Tracing ICEs among the bacterial genomes

The RefSeq protein files from the CEG-bearing genomes identified by diamond were

extracted. We used the hmmsearch function of the HMMER3 software package v3.3

(http://hmmer.org/) [24] to search the proteomes against the standalone version of

MOBfamDB, a curated hidden Markov models (HMM) relaxase database

(https://castillo.dicom.unican.es/mobscan_about/) [25]. We also used this function to

search the pfam v33.0 database for tyrosine or serine recombinase accessions numbers

(Pfam IDs PF00589 and PF07508). The hmmsearch command was used with default

parameters and an E-value threshold of 0.01. The CEG-bearing genomes with relaxase

and integrase hits were further analysed. We used the Find Repeats tool from Geneious

Prime® 2020.0.4 (https://www.geneious.com) to inspect the hits for direct repeats. To

delimit CEG-harbouring ICEs, we manually scanned candidate terminal regions with

direct repetitions of the 3' end from tRNA genes located next to the integrase-encoding

gene. When no tRNA gene was identified next to this gene, we scanned the presence of

direct repeats next to the integrase-encoding gene and next to candidate terminal

regions. To assist in identifying putative terminal regions, we looked for blocks of DNA

with variation in GC content. To predict the MPF families, the translated coding

sequences of delimited ICEs were analysed on the standalone CONJscan module of

MacSyFinder v1.0.5 (https://github.com/gem-pasteur/macsyfinder) [26, 27]. To identify

the multi-locus sequence type of the genomes containing CEG-bearing ICEs, we used

mlst v2.16.1 (https://github.com/tseemann/mlst), which scans the genomes against

PubMLST typing schemes (https://pubmlst.org/) [28].

Characterization of the CEG-bearing ICEs

Screening of AR genes among ICEs was performed using amrfinder v3.6.10

(https://github.com/ncbi/amr) [22]. The genetic platforms involved in the acquisition of

CEGs   by   ICEs   were   annotated   using   Galileo^{TM} AMR

(https://galileoamr.arcbio.com/mara/) (Arc Bio, Cambridge, MA) [29]. We ran our

extracted ICEs against REBASE (http://rebase.neb.com/rebase/rebase.html) to look for

restriction-modification   systems   [30].   We   used   CRISPRCasFinder

(https://crisprcas.i2bc.paris-saclay.fr/CrisprCasFinder/Index)   to   look   for   CRISPR

(clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats) arrays and their associated

(Cas) proteins within ICE sequences [31]. Secondary metabolite biosynthetic gene

clusters   were   traced   using   antismash   v5.1.2

(https://antismash.secondarymetabolites.org/#!/start) [32]. We used eggNOG-mapper

v2 (http://eggnog-mapper.embl.de/) for functional annotation based on orthology

assignments of the ICE proteomes [33].

Results

Carbapenemase-encoding genes are mainly found in Proteobacteria

We retrieved a total of 182,663 bacterial genomes from NCBI (16,798 complete genomes and 165,865 genomes assembled at the chromosome, scaffold or contig level). We identified a total of 17,520 CEGs, with 1,422 CEGs on 1,236 complete genomes (including 512 chromosomes and 724 plasmids) and 16,098 CEGs on 16,038 draft genomes (**Table S2**). We identified a total of 377 carbapenemase variants among the 17,520 hits. Our results show that CEGs are mostly located on Proteobacteria and dominated by clinically-relevant pathogens as *A. baumannii*, *K. pneumoniae*, *Escherichia coli* and *P. aeruginosa* (**Table S2**). These genomes encode a wide diversity of carbapenemases, including OXA-23 (15.6%, n=2,739/17,520), KPC-2 (13.2%), KPC-3 (8.9%) and NDM-1 (6.7%). As we are tracing MGEs integrated in the chromosome, the 724 plasmid hits in complete genomes were excluded from the analysis. Among the hits on the 16,038 draft genomes, we filtered out sequences with the word 'plasmid' present on the fasta-header (n=131, **Table S3**). To maximise the chances of detecting entire ICEs, we filtered out sequences shorter than 40kb (n=10,050). All the excluded ones are available for analysis in **Table S4**. The remaining sequences from draft genomes (n=5,857) were inspected for the presence of CEG-harbouring ICEs.

A large proportion of CEG-bearing ICEs belong to three families and target clinically-relevant gram-negative bacteria

We identified a total of 66 putative ICEs, including 42 newly characterized elements

associated with 17 different CEGs (**Table 1** and **Figure 2**). We could predict the

boundaries from 55 of these elements (**Table S5**). The terminal region of the remaining

11 putative ICEs could not be determined due to a fragmented contig or assembly gaps

within the sequence. Nearly half of the putative ICEs (48.5%, n=32/66) were integrated

at the 3' end of a tRNA^{Gly} gene. Integration next to random genes was also observed

(**Figure 3** and **Table S5**). The bacterial hosts housing these elements belong to 23

sequence types (STs) (**Table S5**).

Using the CONJscan module of MacSyFinder we identified the MPF family for 62 hits

(incomplete MPF classes were predicted for the remaining 4 hits) and we noted that

these hits belong to three families: MPF$_G$ (69%, n=43/62), MPF$_T$ (26%) and MPF$_F$ (5%)

(**Figure 2**, **Table 1**, **Table S5** and **Table S6**). In our results, the MPF$_G$ class was only

associated with MOB$_H$, MPF$_T$ with MOB$_P$ and MPF$_F$ with MOBF (**Figure 3**). All ICEs here

identified carried a tyrosine recombinase, with the majority of them (56%, n=37/66)

belonging to the P4 integrase, C-terminal catalytic domain family (INT_P4_C). The

shufflon-specific DNA recombinase Rci and bacteriophage Hp1_like integrase, C-

terminal catalytic domain family (INT_Rci_Hp1_C) and the DNA breaking-rejoining

enzymes, C-terminal catalytic domain family (DNA_BRE_C) integrases were also

identified in our collection (36% and 8%, respectively) (**Table 1** and **Table S5**). INT_P4_C

and INT_Rci_Hp1_C integrases were associated with ICEs belonging to the MPF$_G$ and

MPF$_T$ classes, while DNA_BRE_C was found on MPF$_F$ ICEs (**Figure 3**). ICEs from the MPF$_G$

and MPF$_T$ classes were particularly promiscuous, being responsible for the spread of

several CEGs of the metallo-beta-lactamase family such as bla_{NDM-1}, bla_{SPM-1} and bla_{IMP}

variants among clinically-relevant pathogens as *P. aeruginosa*, *K. pneumoniae* and *E.*

coli. ICEs of the MPF$_F$ class carrying blaIMP$_{-6}$ or bla_{KPC-2} were restricted to *E. coli* and *K.*

pneumoniae. The bla_{SPM-1} gene was exclusively identified in *P. aeruginosa* and in ICEs of

the MPF$_T$ class (**Table 1**).

We analysed the types of integrase, relaxase and MPF classes present among four model

ICEs: ICE*clc*, pKLC102, SXT and Tn*4371*. The MPF$_G$-INT_P4_C ICEs here identified are

related to ICE*clc*, since this ICE belongs to the same class, carries a MOB$_H$ relaxase and

also an INT_P4_C integrase. The MPF$_T$-INT_Rci_Hp1_C ICEs belong to the Tn*4371* family,

which also carries the MOB$_P$ relaxase. No conserved domain family could be attributed

for SXT; however, the MPF$_F$ ICEs here reported should be related to this model ICE that

also uses a MOB$_H$ relaxase.

Additionally, we also identified 386 hits encoding an integrase and a relaxase in the

vicinity of CEGs (**Table S7**). For these hits, however, we could not predict if the CEG is

located on a plasmid or an ICE, since the contig is fragmented and tracing the boundaries

of the element is not possible, or the sequences have assembly gaps that make this

prediction challenging. Also, some plasmids may encode a tyrosine or serine

recombinase, and some ICEs may encode replicases and partition systems that are

typical of plasmids [34], which can hinder the accurate prediction of genetic platforms

when the sequence has poor quality or is highly fragmented due to short-read

sequencing approaches.

Table 1 – Diversity and characterization of carbapenemases-encoding genes in integrative and conjugative elements-associated genomes.

MPF family	CEG	Integrase type	Relaxase type	Bacterial Species	MGEs flanking the CEGs	ICE length (kb)[1]	References
MPF$_G$ (n=43)	AFM-1 (n=1)	INT_P4_C	MOB$_H$	*Bordetella trematum*	Flanked by IS*91* family ISs	130	This study
	DIM-1 (n=1)	INT_P4_C	MOB$_H$	*Pseudomonas aeruginosa*	Class I In flanked by IS*6100*	89	This study, [6]
	GES-5 (n=5)	INT_P4_C	MOB$_H$	*Pseudomonas aeruginosa*	Class I In within Tn*3*-like Tn	93-116	This study, [6]
	GES-24 (n=1)	INT_P4_C	MOB$_H$	*Pseudomonas aeruginosa*	Class I In within Tn*3*-like Tn	63	This study
	IMP-1 (n=4)	INT_P4_C, INT_Rci_Hp1_C	MOB$_H$	*Pseudomonas aeruginosa*	Class I In within Tn*3*-like Tn	76-109	This study, [6]

IMP-13 (n=9)	INT_P4_C, INT_Rci_Hp1_C	MOB$_H$	*Pseudomonas aeruginosa*	Class I In within Tn*3*-like Tn	65-89	This study, [6]
IMP-14 (n=2)	INT_P4_C	MOB$_H$	*Achromobacter xylosoxidans*	Class I In within Tn*3*-like Tn	106-122	This study
IMP-16 (n=1)	INT_P4_C	MOB$_H$	*Pseudomonas monteilii*	Class I In within Tn*3*-like Tn	86	This study
IMP-54 (n=1)	INT_P4_C	MOB$_H$	*Pseudomonas aeruginosa*	Class I In within Tn*3*-like Tn	91	This study
KPC-2 (n=1)	INT_P4_C	MOB$_H$	*Pseudomonas aeruginosa*	Tn*4401*	115	This study, [35]
NDM-1 (n=12)	INT_P4_C	MOB$_H$	*Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Pseudomonas asiatica, Morganella morganii*	Next to (or flanked by) IS*91* family ISs	97-167	This study, [36, 37]

	VIM-2 (n=1)	INT_P4_C	MOB$_H$	*Pseudomonas aeruginosa*	Class I In within Tn*3*-like Tn	65	This study
	VIM-4 (n=4)	INT_P4_C	MOB$_H$	*Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Alcaligenes faecalis*	Class I In within Tn*3*-like Tn	88-102	This study
MPF$_T$ (n=16)	AFM-1 (n=1)	INT_P4_C	MOB$_P$	*Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*	Flanked by IS*91* family ISs	77	This study
	KPC-2 (n=1)	INT_Rci_Hp1_C	MOB$_P$	*Pseudomonas* sp.	Next to IS*Kpn6* and IS*26*	62	This study, [6]
	NDM-1 (n=3)	INT_P4_C, INT_Rci_Hp1_C	MOB$_P$	*Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Pseudomonas asiatica*	Flanked by IS*91* family ISs	73-74	This study, [38]
	SPM-1 (n=11)	INT_Rci_Hp1_C	MOB$_P$	*Pseudomonas aeruginosa*	Flanked by IS*CR3*-like elements	44-58	This study, [39]

MPF$_F$ (n=3)	IMP-6 (n=1)	DNA_BRE_C	MOB$_F$	*Escherichia coli*	Class I In within Tn*3*-like Tn	118	This study
	KPC-2 (n=2)	DNA_BRE_C	MOB$_F$	*Klebsiella pneumoniae*	Tn*4401*	75	This study
Incomplete MPF$_G$ (n=2)	IMP-8 (n=1)	DNA_BRE_C	MOB$_H$	*Enterobacter cloacae*	Class I In within Tn*3*-like Tn	124	This study, [40]
	NDM-1 (n=1)	INT_P4_C	MOB$_H$	*Pseudomonas aeruginosa*	Flanked by IS*CR3*-like elements	98	This study
Incomplete MPF$_T$ (n=1)	KPC-2 (n=1)	INT_Rci_Hp1_C	NA	*Achromobacter* sp.	Next to IS*Kpn6* and IS*26*	43	This study
Incomplete MPF$_F$ (n=1)	NDM-5 (n=1)	DNA_BRE_C	NA	*Escherichia coli*	Flanked by IS*CR1* and IS*26*	54	This study, [41]

Abbreviations: CEG, carbapenemase-encoding gene; DNA_BRE_C, DNA breaking-rejoining enzymes, C-terminal catalytic domain; ICE, Integrative and Conjugative Elements; In, Integron; INT_P4_C, P4 integrase, C-terminal catalytic domain; INT_Rci_Hp1_C, Shufflon-specific DNA recombinase Rci and Bacteriophage Hp1_like integrase, C-terminal catalytic domain family; MPF, Mating Pair Formation; NA, no hit; ST, Sequence Type.

253   [1]Some sequences are not complete. For more details, please refer to **Table S5**.

A variable repertoire of CEG-bearing integrons and transposons target ICEs

We identified 17 CEG variants among the 66 putative ICEs, dominated by bla_{NDM-1} and bla_{SPM-1} (**Table 1**). Insertion sequences (ISs, e.g. ISCR3-like elements) were frequently linked to the acquisition of bla_{SPM-1} and bla_{NDM-1} [38, 39], while bla_{IMP}, bla_{VIM}, and bla_{GES} were found on class I integrons frequently integrated into Tn*3*-family transposons [6]. The bla_{KPC-2} gene was typically found within Tn*4401*-like transposons, which are capable of conferring a high frequency of transposition [42]. The recently identified AFM-1 metallo-beta-lactamase (Genbank accession number MK143105.1) was here identified in two ICEs inserted in *Bordetella trematum* and *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* genomes (**Table 1**). We also found bla_{NDM-1} genes in ICEs integrated into the genomes of a recently proposed *Pseudomonas asiatica* species, which is spreading in hospital settings in Myanmar [43]. Besides CEGs, the ICEs identified in this study also harbour genes conferring resistance to other antibiotics, such as aminoglycosides, fluoroquinolones, macrolides, and tetracyclines (**Table S8**), widening the spectrum of transmissible AR genes selectable by carbapenems due to linkage.

Acquisition of additional traits by ICEs include competitive weapons as bacteriocins and siderophores

Besides genes conferring antibiotic resistance, the CEG-bearing ICEs here identified harbour other cargo genes that may confer a selective advantage to the ICE host. We found DUF692 domains typical of bacteriocin production genes among the 6 MPF_G ICEs

from *P. asiatica* strains and the *P. aeruginosa* N15-01092, 1334/14 and ST773 strains

(**Table S5**). All these ICEs carry a *bla*$_{NDM-1}$ gene and a similar copy of the bacteriocin-

encoding gene. Curiously, the bacteriocin production gene was not identified in the

MPF$_T$ ICE from *P. asiatica* strain MY569. Additionally, we found the siderophore

aerobactin operon within an ICE in *E. coli* strain E302 (**Table S5**). This operon is usually

found in enterobacterial plasmids, and was also identified in a pathogenicity island in

uropathogenic *E. coli* strain CFT073 [44]. We identified no CRISPR-Cas systems among

the ICEs here identified. Nearly half of them (47.0%, n=31/66) carried complete or

incomplete restriction-modification systems belonging to type II, III and IV (**Table S9**).

The majority of the proteins encoded within the 66 ICEs refer to replication,

recombination, transcription and intracellular trafficking functions (**Figure 4**). Several

proteins, however, encoded for unknown functions (34.0%, n=1,615/4,754, **Table S10**),

highlighting the lack of knowledge on the ICE proteome.

Discussion

We have set out to comprehensively identify the CEGs among all bacterial genomes

deposited in NCBI and the CEG-bearing ICE sequences. Our study considerably expands

the knowledge of the repertoire of CEGs-bearing ICEs. We uncovered 66 putative ICEs

that may be involved in HGT of CEGs amongst bacterial genomes. To expand our

predictions, we also used the CONJscan module of Macsyfinder to trace the MPF families

likely involved in HGT. Our analysis on the co-occurrence of relaxases with MPF families

(**Table 1** and **Table S5**) is in agreement with the combinations observed by Guglielmini

and colleagues [11]; all ICEs belonging to the MPF_G class carried a MOB_H relaxase, and

the MOB_P and MOB_F relaxases were linked to MPF_T and MPF_F, respectively. All MPF_G

class ICEs here described present a MPF class-relaxase-integrase profile that resembles

that from ICE*clc*. Even though pKLC102 is also a representative of the MPF_G class and

carries a MOB_H relaxase, it uses a DNA_BRE_C integrase instead of a INT_P4_C. The

absence of CEG-carrying ICEs from the pKLC102 family was already reported [6].

The scenario observed for the acquisition of the most important CEGs by ICEs (ISs for

bla_{NDM-1} and bla_{SPM-1}, class I integrons for bla_{IMP} and bla_{VIM} and Tn*4401* for bla_{KPC-2})

resembles that of plasmids [3] and provide additional support to the notion that the line

separating these elements is blurred [13, 14]. We now show that besides plasmids, this

promiscuous repertoire of integrons and transposons frequently targets ICEs of different

MPF families. Even though CEGs might rapidly spread worldwide, local selection is likely

required for them to reach fixation, as can be seen for the clonal expansion of *P.*

aeruginosa ST277 harbouring bla_{SPM-1} [39]. Surprisingly, we noted that this gene was not

detected beyond the same clonal lineage. Indeed, all hits were identified in *P.*

aeruginosa ST277 strains from Brazil and within a MPF$_T$ ICE family, indicating that these

ICEs are transferring vertically and/or horizontally within this ST. Understanding the

limitations on HGT of this family of ICE may be translatable to other, more transferable,

ICEs and could underpin a control strategy to prevent the spread of these elements in

the future.

Although *bla*$_{KPC}$ and *bla*$_{OXA}$ were the most frequently identified CEGs within the analysed

genomes (**Table S2**), we only found 5 ICEs carrying *bla*$_{KPC-2}$ and 2 ICEs with *bla*$_{OXA}$ genes

(**Table S8**). The *bla*$_{KPC}$ genes are mostly located in Tn*4401* transposons that target *K.*

pneumoniae plasmids, while the *bla*$_{OXA}$ genes are frequently associated with ISs that

tend to target *Acinetobacter* spp. chromosomes and plasmids [3]. These genes may take

advantage of the copy number and the higher genetic plasticity of plasmids [34]. This

plasticity may increase the rate of appearance of novel mutations and the high copy

number may amplify the effect due to the increased gene dosage [45].

In addition to AR, ICEs can be involved in other adaptive traits such as carbon-source

utilization, symbiosis, restriction-modification, siderophore and bacteriocin synthesis

[14]. Since bacteria commonly inhabit highly competitive environments, the production

of specific secondary metabolites (such as bacteriocins and siderophores) may confer a

selective advantage to the host [46]. We speculate that the presence of these

metabolites within the ICEs here characterized may promote their stability by

preferentially selecting for cells harbouring the ICE. CRISPR-Cas systems are rarely found

on MGEs, and the type I-C systems carried within pKLC102-related ICEs are one of these

few examples [9]. Since none of the ICEs here described belong to the pKLC102 family,

the absence of CRISPR-Cas systems within our dataset was expected.

One caveat of our studies is that these results do not yet expose the complete set of

CEG-bearing ICEs present in all bacteria. There is an inherent bias in the number of times

we detect a particular CEG in certain bacterial genomes as some are over represented

in the database compared to others. It is possible that certain observations will flatten

out as more genomes are analysed. Less than 10% of the bacterial genomes currently

present on NCBI are complete. This is a major drawback since putative ICEs present in

draft genomes tend to be fragmented due to the presence of repetitive regions that are

not resolved using short-read sequencing. Also, the relaxase and the T4SS encoded by

ICEs resemble those of plasmids [11, 13, 47]. Plus, it is possible that ICEs and plasmids

have swapped conjugation modules throughout their evolutionary history [11]. We

believe that a more thorough exploration of this issue, especially regarding the precise

delimitation of ICEs, will be an important further step toward an improved

understanding of the contribution of these elements to bacterial adaptation and

evolution of AR.

While we have chosen to focus on CEG-bearing genomes, our computational approach

can be applied to trace other relevant AR genes and other cargo genes that may confer

a selective advantage to the ICE host. Leveraging knowledge linking the accurate

prediction of ICE sequences to the carriage of AR genes, will not only improve our

understanding of HGT, but may also uncover potential approaches to tackle the spread

of AR.

Data bibliography

The DNA sequences used in this study are available in NCBI, under the accession

numbers provided in supplementary tables S1-S9.

Funding information

This work was supported by the Applied Molecular Biosciences Unit - UCIBIO which is

financed by national funds from FCT (UIDB/04378/2020).

Author contributions

J. B. conceptualized the project. J. B. ran the analyses. J.B. and J. M. analysed the data.

J.B. wrote the manuscript. J. M., A. P. R. and L. P. edited and revised the manuscript. All

authors read, commented on and approved the final manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

References

1.   EFSA Panel on Biological Hazards (BIOHAZ). Scientific Opinion on Carbapenem

resistance in food animal ecosystems. *EFSA J* 2013; **11**.

2.   World Health Organization. GLOBAL PRIORITY LIST OF ANTIBIOTIC-RESISTANT BACTERIA

TO GUIDE RESEARCH, DISCOVERY, AND DEVELOPMENT OF NEW ANTIBIOTICS.

http://www.who.int/news-room/detail/27-02-2017-who-publishes-list-of-bacteria-for-

380 which-new-antibiotics-are-urgently-needed. Accessed 11 Aug 2018.

381 3. Partridge SR, Kwong SM, Firth N, Jensen SO. Mobile Genetic Elements Associated with

382  Antimicrobial Resistance. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2018; **31**: e00088-17.

383 4. Botelho J, Grosso F, Peixe L. Antibiotic resistance in Pseudomonas aeruginosa –

384  Mechanisms, epidemiology and evolution. *Drug Resist Updat* 2019; **44**: 100640.

385 5. Queenan AM, Bush K. Carbapenemases: the versatile beta-lactamases. *Clin Microbiol*

386  *Rev* 2007; **20**: 440–58, table of contents.

387 6. Botelho J, Roberts AP, León-Sampedro R, Grosso F, Peixe L. Carbapenemases on the

388  move: it's good to be on ICEs. *Mob DNA* 2018; **9**: 37.

389 7. Lam MMC, Wyres KL, Duchêne S, Wick RR, Judd LM, Gan YH, et al. Population genomics

390  of hypervirulent Klebsiella pneumoniae clonal-group 23 reveals early emergence and

391  rapid global dissemination. *Nat Commun* 2018; **9**.

392 8. Libante V, Nombre Y, Coluzzi C, Staub J, Guédon G, Gottschalk M, et al. Chromosomal

393  Conjugative and Mobilizable Elements in Streptococcus suis: Major Actors in the

394  Spreading of Antimicrobial Resistance and Bacteriocin Synthesis Genes. *Pathog (Basel,*

395  *Switzerland)* 2019; **9**.

396 9. van Belkum A, Soriaga LB, LaFave MC, Akella S, Veyrieras J-B, Barbu EM, et al.

397  Phylogenetic Distribution of CRISPR-Cas Systems in Antibiotic-Resistant

398  Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *MBio* 2015; **6**: e01796-15.

399 10. Fang Y, Wang Y, Li Z, Liu Z, Li X, Diao B, et al. Distribution and Genetic Characteristics of

400  SXT/R391 Integrative Conjugative Elements in Shewanella spp. From China. *Front*

401  *Microbiol* 2018; **9**: 920.

402 11. Guglielmini J, Quintais L, Garcillán-Barcia MP, de la Cruz F, Rocha EPC. The Repertoire of

ICE in Prokaryotes Underscores the Unity, Diversity, and Ubiquity of Conjugation. *PLoS*

Genet 2011; **7**: e1002222.

12.   Carraro N, Burrus V. The dualistic nature of integrative and conjugative elements. *Mob*

Genet Elements 2015; **5**: 98–102.

13.   Cury J, Touchon M, Rocha EPC. Integrative and conjugative elements and their hosts:

composition, distribution and organization. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2017; **45**: 8943–8956.

14.   Johnson CM, Grossman AD. Integrative and Conjugative Elements (ICEs): What They Do

and How They Work. *Annu Rev Genet* 2015; **49**: 577–601.

15.   Gaillard M, Vallaeys T, Vorhölter FJ, Minoia M, Werlen C, Sentchilo V, et al. The clc

element of Pseudomonas sp. strain B13, a genomic island with various catabolic

properties. *J Bacteriol* 2006; **188**: 1999–2013.

16.   Waldor MK, Tschäpe H, Mekalanos JJ. A new type of conjugative transposon encodes

resistance to sulfamethoxazole, trimethoprim, and streptomycin in Vibrio cholerae

O139. *J Bacteriol* 1996; **178**: 4157–4165.

17.   Klockgether J, Reva O, Larbig K, Tümmler B. Sequence Analysis of the Mobile Genome

Island pKLC102 of Pseudomonas aeruginosa C. *J Bacteriol* 2004; **186**: 518–534.

18.   Springael D, Kreps S, Mergeay M. Identification of a catabolic transposon, Tn4371,

carrying biphenyl and 4- chlorobiphenyl degradation genes in Alcaligenes eutrophus A5.

J Bacteriol 1993; **175**: 1674–1681.

19.   Botelho J, Schulenburg H. The Role of Integrative and Conjugative Elements in

Antibiotic Resistance Evolution. *Trends Microbiol* 2020; **0**.

20.   Delavat F, Miyazaki R, Carraro N, Pradervand N, van der Meer JR. The hidden life of

integrative and conjugative elements. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 2017; **41**: 512–537.

21.   Smillie C, Garcillán-Barcia MP, Francia MV, Rocha EPC, de la Cruz F. Mobility of

plasmids. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 2010; **74**: 434–52.

22.   Feldgarden M, Brover V, Haft DH, Prasad AB, Slotta DJ, Tolstoy I, et al. Validating the

AMRFinder Tool and Resistance Gene Database by Using Antimicrobial Resistance

Genotype-Phenotype Correlations in a Collection of Isolates. *Antimicrob Agents*

Chemother 2019; **63**.

23.   Buchfink B, Xie C, Huson DH. Fast and sensitive protein alignment using DIAMOND. *Nat*

Methods 2015; **12**: 59–60.

24.   Eddy SR. Accelerated profile HMM searches. *PLoS Comput Biol* 2011; **7**: e1002195.

25.   Garcillán-Barcia MP, Redondo-Salvo S, Vielva L, de la Cruz F. MOBscan: Automated

Annotation of MOB Relaxases. *Methods in Molecular Biology*. 2020. Humana Press Inc.,

pp 295–308.

26.   Abby SS, Néron B, Ménager H, Touchon M, Rocha EPC. MacSyFinder: A Program to

Mine Genomes for Molecular Systems with an Application to CRISPR-Cas Systems. *PLoS*

One 2014; **9**: e110726.

27.   Abby SS, Cury J, Guglielmini J, Néron B, Touchon M, Rocha EPC. Identification of protein

secretion systems in bacterial genomes. *Sci Rep* 2016; **6**: 23080.

28.   Jolley KA, Bray JE, Maiden MCJ. Open-access bacterial population genomics: BIGSdb

software, the PubMLST.org website and their applications. *Wellcome Open Res* 2018; **3**:

124.

29.   Partridge SR, Tsafnat G. Automated annotation of mobile antibiotic resistance in Gram-

negative bacteria: the Multiple Antibiotic Resistance Annotator (MARA) and database. *J*

Antimicrob Chemother 2018; **73**: 883–890.

30.   Roberts RJ, Vincze T, Posfai J, Macelis D. REBASE—a database for DNA restriction and

modification: enzymes, genes and genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2015; **43**: D298–D299.

31.   Couvin D, Bernheim A, Toffano-Nioche C, Touchon M, Michalik J, Néron B, et al.

CRISPRCasFinder, an update of CRISRFinder, includes a portable version, enhanced

performance and integrates search for Cas proteins. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2018; **46**: W246–

W251.

32.   antiSMASH 5.0: updates to the secondary metabolite genome mining pipeline | Nucleic

Acids Research | Oxford Academic.

https://academic.oup.com/nar/article/47/W1/W81/5481154. Accessed 21 Feb 2020.

33.   eggNOG 5.0: a hierarchical, functionally and phylogenetically annotated orthology

resource based on 5090 organisms and 2502 viruses | Nucleic Acids Research | Oxford

Academic. https://academic.oup.com/nar/article/47/D1/D309/5173662. Accessed 24

Feb 2020.

34.   Cury J, Oliveira PH, de la Cruz F, Rocha EPC. Host Range and Genetic Plasticity Explain

the Coexistence of Integrative and Extrachromosomal Mobile Genetic Elements. *Mol*

Biol Evol 2018; **35**: 2230–2239.

35.   Abril D, Marquez-Ortiz RA, Castro-Cardozo B, Moncayo-Ortiz JI, Olarte Escobar NM,

Corredor Rozo ZL, et al. Genome plasticity favours double chromosomal Tn4401b-bla

KPC-2 transposon insertion in the Pseudomonas aeruginosa ST235 clone. *BMC*

Microbiol 2019; **19**.

36.   Urbanowicz P, Izdebski R, Baraniak A, Żabicka D, Ziółkowski G, Hryniewicz W, et al.

Pseudomonas aeruginosa with NDM-1, DIM-1 and PME-1 β-lactamases, and RmtD3 16S

rRNA methylase, encoded by new genomic islands. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2019.

37.   Khan A, Shropshire WC, Hanson B, Dinh AQ, Wanger A, Ostrosky-Zeichner L, et al.

Simultaneous infection with enterobacteriaceae and pseudomonas aeruginosa

harboring multiple carbapenemases in a returning traveler colonized with candida

auris. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2020; **64**.

38.   Ding Y, Teo JWP, Drautz-Moses DI, Schuster SC, Givskov M, Yang L. Acquisition of

resistance to carbapenem and macrolide-mediated quorum sensing inhibition by

Pseudomonas aeruginosa via ICETn43716385. *Commun Biol* 2018; **1**: 57.

39.   Nascimento APB, Ortiz MF, Martins WMBS, Morais GL, Fehlberg LCC, Almeida LGP, et

al. Intraclonal Genome Stability of the Metallo-β-lactamase SPM-1-producing

Pseudomonas aeruginosa ST277, an Endemic Clone Disseminated in Brazilian Hospitals.

Front Microbiol 2016; **7**: 1946.

40.   Zhan Z, Hu L, Jiang X, Zeng L, Feng J, Wu W, et al. Plasmid and chromosomal integration

of four novel blaIMP-carrying transposons from Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Klebsiella

pneumoniae and an Enterobacter sp. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2018; **73**: 3005–3015.

41.   McCracken MG, Adam HJ, Blondeau JM, Walkty AJ, Karlowsky JA, Hoban DJ, et al.

Characterization of carbapenem-resistant and XDR Pseudomonas aeruginosa in Canada:

results of the CANWARD 2007–16 study. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2019; **74**: iv32–iv38.

42.   Cuzon G, Naas T, Nordmann P. Functional characterization of Tn4401, a Tn3-based

transposon involved in blaKPC gene mobilization. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2011;

55: 5370–3.

43.   Tohya M, Tada T, Watanabe S, Kuwahara-Arai K, Zin KN, Zaw NN, et al. Emergence of

carbapenem-resistant pseudomonas asiatica producing NDM-1 and VIM-2 Metallo-

Lactamases in Myanmar. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2019; **63**.

44.   Banerjee R, Weisenhorn E, Schwartz KJ, Myers KS, Glasner JD, Perna NT, et al. Tailoring

a Global Iron Regulon to a Uropathogen. *MBio* 2020; **11**.

45.   San Millan A, Escudero JA, Gifford DR, Mazel D, MacLean RC. Multicopy plasmids

498   potentiate the evolution of antibiotic resistance in bacteria. *Nat Ecol Evol* 2016; **1**: 0010.

499   46.   Inglis RF, Bayramoglu B, Gillor O, Ackermann M. The role of bacteriocins as selfish

500   genetic elements. *Biol Lett* 2013; **9**: 20121173.

501   47.   Guglielmini J, Néron B, Abby SS, Garcillán-Barcia MP, de la Cruz F, Rocha EPC. Key

502   components of the eight classes of type IV secretion systems involved in bacterial

503   conjugation or protein secretion. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2014; **42**: 5715–27.

Figure 1 – Overview of the workflow followed in this study. All assemblies available in

NCBI RefSeq were downloaded and blasted against an in-house database of

carbapenemases using diamond blastx (Step 1). NCBI annotated proteins from CGE-

bearing genomes were then extracted (Step 2) and used for the identification of relaxase

and serine or tyrosine recombinase (Step 3). Search of directed repeats and delimitation

of putative ICEs was also performed. CONJscan was used to identify the MPF family of

each element. We then looked for AR genes, restriction-modification systems, CRISPR

arrays and their associated (Cas) proteins as well as secondary metabolites within

extracted ICEs. We also characterized the functional annotations of their proteomes and

the MLST of the genomes carrying a CEG-bearing ICE.

Figure 2 – Sankey diagram showing the contribution of different MPF families for the

spread of CEGs among several bacterial genomes. The left, centre and right axis

represents the association between the identified carbapenemases, MPF type and the

bacterial genus, respectively, while the width of each connection is proportional to the

number of positive hits.

Figure 3 – Schematic representation of the different insertion site-integrase-CEGs-MPF-

relaxase profiles identified in this study. The figure is not to scale and the relative

position of the different modules (integration, conjugation, accessory CEGs) is for

illustrative purposes to show the various relationships observed.

Figure 4 – Grouped bar chart representing the incidence of each eggNOG function

broken down by category (represented by different colours) in the CEG-bearing ICE

sequences.

182.663 total genones

Bacterial genomes from RefSeq NCBI

Step A
Diamond blastx against database of carbapenemases

02 **17.520 CEGs hits**

Hits with CEGs

Step B
Extract protein annotations from CEG–bearing hits

03 **17.274 genomes with CEGs hits**

Proteomes

Step C
Identification of profile HMMs for relaxases/integrases and delimitation of CEGs–bearing ICEs

04 **Identification of 66 ICEs**

Putative ICEs

05 **ICE characterization:**
– CONJscan (MPF system)
– AMRFinder (AR genes)
– Galileo™ AMR (annotation)
– REBASE (RM system)
– CRISPRCasFinder
– antismash (metabolites)
– eggNOG–mapper

Abbreviations: AR, Antibiotic Resistance; CEGs, Carbapenemase–Encoding Genes; HMMs, Hidden Markov Models; ICEs, Integrative and Conjugative Elements; MPF, Mating–Pair Formation; RM, Restriction–Modification.

Abbreviations: Ax, Achromobacter xylosoxidans; Af, Alcaligenes faecalis; Bt, Bordetella trematum; Ec, Escherichia coli; Kp, Klebsiella pneumoniae; Mm, Morganella morganii; Pas, Pseudomonas asiatica; Pm, Pseudomonas monteilii; Psp, Pseudomonas sp.; Sm, Stenotrophomonas maltophilia.

Abbreviations: CDS, CoDing Sequence; CEGs, Carbapenemase–Encoding Genes; DNA_BRE_C, DNA breaking–rejoining enzymes_C–terminal catalytic domain; INT_P4_C, P4 integrase_C–terminal catalytic domain; INT_Rci_Hp1_C, Shufflon–specific DNA recombinase Rci and Bacteriophage Hp1_like integrase_C–terminal catalytic domain family; MPF, Mating–Pair Formation; tRNA, transfer RNA gene.

582