Title: Effector prediction and characterization in the oomycete pathogen

Bremia lactucae **reveal host-recognized WY domain proteins that lack the**

canonical RXLR motif

Short title: Effector prediction and characterization in the oomycete pathogen

Bremia lactucae

Kelsey Wood[1,2], Munir Nur[1], Juliana Gil[1,3], Kyle Fletcher[1], Kim Lakeman[4], Ayumi

Gothberg[1], Tina Khuu[1], Jennifer Kopetzky[1], Archana Pandya[1], Mathieu Pel[4], and

Richard Michelmore[1,5, *]

[1]The Genome Center, University of California, Davis, USA

[2]Integrative Genetics & Genomics Graduate Group, University of California, Davis,

USA

[3]Plant Pathology Graduate Group, University of California, Davis, USA

[4]Enza Zaden, Enkhuizen, The Netherlands

[5]Departments of Plant Sciences, Molecular & Cellular Biology, Medical Microbiology

& Immunology, University of California, Davis, USA.

* Corresponding author

E-mail: rwmichelmore@ucdavis.edu

Abstract

Pathogens infecting plants and animals use a diverse arsenal of effector proteins to suppress the host immune system and promote infection. Identification of effectors in pathogen genomes is foundational to understanding mechanisms of pathogenesis, for monitoring field pathogen populations, and for breeding disease resistance. We identified candidate effectors from the lettuce downy mildew pathogen, *Bremia lactucae*, using comparative genomics and bioinformatics to search for the WY domain. This conserved structural element is found in *Phytophthora* effectors and some other oomycete pathogens; it has been implicated in the immune-suppressing function of these effectors as well as their recognition by host resistance proteins. We predicted 54 WY domain containing proteins in isolate SF5 of *B. lactucae* that have substantial variation in both sequence and domain architecture. These candidate effectors exhibit several characteristics of pathogen effectors, including an N-terminal signal peptide, lineage specificity, and expression during infection. Unexpectedly, only a minority of *B. lactucae* WY effectors contain the canonical N-terminal RXLR motif, which is a conserved feature in the majority of cytoplasmic effectors reported in *Phytophthora* spp. Functional analysis effectors containing WY domains revealed eleven out of 21 that triggered necrosis, which is characteristic of the immune response on wild accessions and domesticated lettuce lines containing resistance genes. Only two of the eleven recognized effectors contained a canonical RXLR motif, suggesting that there has been an evolutionary divergence in sequence motifs between genera; this has major consequences for robust effector prediction in oomycete pathogens.

Author Summary

There is a microscopic battle that takes place at the molecular level during infection

of plants and animals by pathogens. Some of the weapons that pathogens battle with

are known as "effectors," which are secreted proteins that enter host cells to alter

physiology and suppress the immune system. Effectors can also be a liability for plant

pathogens because plants have evolved ways to recognize these effectors, triggering

a defense response leading to localized cell death, which prevents the spread of the

pathogen. Here we used computer models to predict effectors from the genome

of *Bremia lactucae*, the causal agent of lettuce downy mildew. Three effectors were

demonstrated to suppress the basal immune system of lettuce. Eleven effectors were

recognized by one or more resistant lines of lettuce. In addition to contributing to our

understanding of the mechanisms of pathogenesis, this study of effectors is useful

for breeding disease resistant lettuce, decreasing agricultural reliance on fungicides.

Introduction

The phylum Oomycota includes some of the most devastating pathogens of

both plants and animals [1]. Although oomycetes resemble fungi in their filamentous

growth and infection structures, they are more closely related to brown algae than to

fungi [2]. Notable oomycetes include the plant pathogens causing late blight of potato

(*Phytophthora infestans*) [3], sudden oak death (*Phytophthora ramorum*) [4], root rot

(*Phytophthora* [5] and *Pythium* spp. [6,7]), white blister rust of *Brassica* spp. (*Albugo*

spp.) [8], and downy mildews (e.g. *Bremia, Peronospora, Plasmopara* spp.) [9], as well

as several important animal pathogens infecting fish (*Saprolegnia* spp.), shellfish

(*Aphanomyces astaci*), and mammals, including humans (*Pythium insidiosum*) [1,10].

Many types of plant and animal pathogens, including the oomycetes, secrete proteins

known as effectors to promote virulence by manipulating the physiology of the host

cells and by suppressing the host immune system [11]. In plants, effectors are also

determinants of resistance or susceptibility through their direct or indirect

interactions with cognate nucleotide-binding leucine rich repeat proteins (NLRs)

encoded by resistance genes [12]. Effectors are secreted from the pathogen and may

act extracellularly or they may be translocated into the cytoplasm [11]. One class of

translocated effectors from plant pathogenic oomycetes of the class Peronosporales,

which includes *Phytophthora* and the downy mildews, are the RXLR effectors, so

called for their N-terminal motif usually consisting of arginine, followed by any amino

acid, then followed by leucine and arginine. RXLR effectors also contain an N-terminal

signal peptide, which designates them for extracellular transport by way of the

endoplasmic reticulum and Golgi apparatus [13]. The RXLR motif is often associated

with a downstream EER motif, both of which have been associated with secretion

and/or translocation of effectors into the plant cell [13,14]. For some RXLR effectors,

such as Avr3a, the RXLR motif has been shown to be cleaved just prior to the EER

sequence and therefore plays a role in secretion rather than uptake into the host cell

[15]. The RXLR motif is similar in sequence to the PEXEL motif (RXLX[EDQ]) of the

distantly related malaria pathogen (*Plasmodium falciparum*) [16] and the TEXEL

motif of *Toxoplasma gondii* (RRLXX) [17], both of which are required for proteolytic

modification in the endoplasmic reticulum and destine effector proteins for

specialized export out of the cell [18].

The downy mildews and the related *Phytophthora* species have different

lifestyles (obligate biotrophy *vs.* facultative hemibiotrophy) [19]; however, their

effectors share similar features. Many effectors in the Peronosporales have RXLR and

EER motifs; although several alternative sequences to RXLR have been found in

downy mildews, including RVRN (ATR5 from *Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis*) [20],

QXLR (*Pseudoperonospora cubensis*) [21], GKLR (*Bremia lactucae*) [22,23], and RXLK

(*Plasmopara halstedii*) [24]. The C-terminal effector domains of RXLR effectors from

Phytophthora and downy mildews also share some common sequence motifs and

structural features, such as the 24 to 30 amino acid W, Y, and L motifs, which were

first identified bioinformatically [25]. Structural analysis on four different RXLR

effectors from *Phytophthora infestans* (Avr3a and PexRD2), *P. capsici* (Avr3a11), and

the downy mildew pathogen *H. arabidopsidis* (ATR1) revealed that the W and Y motifs

form an alpha-helical fold that may play a role in protein-protein interactions [26–

29]. This effector-associated fold, termed the WY domain after its conserved

tryptophan and tyrosine residues, is structurally highly conserved between effectors

from multiple Peronosporales species, despite sharing less than 20% sequence

similarity across the whole domain [26][30]. The WY domain appears to be specific

to the Peronosporales and was predicted to be present in nearly half of the RXLR

effectors of *P. infestans* and a fourth of the RXLR effectors in *H. arabidopsidis* [26].

Functional studies of WY domain containing proteins have indicated that

certain residues in the WY domain are essential for the immune suppressing

functions of *P. sojae* Avr1b [31], *P. infestans* Avr3a [32], and *P. infestans* PexRD2 [33].

Furthermore, mutation of two conserved leucines in the WY domain of PexRD2

disrupted interaction with its target, MAPKKKε, consistent with this domain being

important for protein-protein interactions [33]. WY domain containing proteins

appear to interact with a variety of host targets, with Avr3a from *P. infestans* targeting

the E3 ligase CMPG1 [32], *P. infestans* PexRD54 targeting potato autophagy-related

protein ATG8 [34], PsAvh240 from *P. sojae* targeting an aspartic protease [35], and

PSR2 from *P. sojae* and *P. infestans* suppressing host RNA silencing through

interactions with dsRNA-binding protein DRB4 [36–38]. Mutation analysis of the

regions encoding the seven individual WY domains of PSR2 demonstrated differential

contributions of each domain to virulence of *P. sojae*, suggesting that the WY domain

may act as a module during effector evolution [30]. In addition to its roles in immune

suppression, the WY domain has been shown to be important for immune recognition

of the effector by nucleotide binding-leucine rich repeat (NB-LRR) resistance proteins

[39].

Effector annotation in oomycete genomes has often relied on sequence

similarity to known effectors or on prediction of conserved motifs, such as the RXLR

motif, or in the case of Crinklers, the LXLFLAK motif [3]. Due to the short length and

degeneracy of the RXLR sequence, the motif occurs frequently by chance; therefore,

there is a high false positive rate (>50%) using string-based searches [25]. HMM-

based searches have much lower false positive rates, but the false negative rate may

be higher if the genome of interest has diverged significantly from the species used to

build the HMM. Downy mildews have a narrow host range and pathogenicity-related

proteins are likely to show high lineage-specificity due to co-evolution with their

hosts. There is already evidence that downy mildew effectors show divergence from

the canonical RXLR motif [20,23,24], thus complementary approaches for effector

prediction that utilize other conserved features, such as the WY domain, are

necessary to fully characterize the repertoire. In addition, due to the importance of

the WY domain in effector function [31–33], WY domain containing proteins may be

better candidates for effectors than those containing only the RXLR motif.

To identify a more complete repertoire of candidate effectors in the reference

genome of *B. lactucae* and to test whether the WY domain is informative for

predicting effectors in the Peronosporales, we searched for this domain using an

HMM built from sequences of the WY domain in three *Phytophthora* species [27]. This

revealed additional effector candidates that had not been found using an RXLR-based

search; similar results were also obtained for other downy mildews and well-studied

Phytophthora species. These predicted WY proteins from *B. lactucae* had other

signatures of oomycete effectors, such as presence of a secretion signal, N-terminal

intrinsic disorder, lineage specificity, and expression during infection. A subset of the

predicted WY effectors suppressed the host immune system, while others elicited

programmed cell death in specific genotypes of lettuce, indicative of recognition by

host resistance proteins. Therefore, searches for the WY domains are highly useful for

identification of downy mildew effectors lacking the RXLR motif, which was

previously considered canonical for effectors of the Peronosporales.

Results

Our HMM search initially identified 59 candidate WY effectors encoded by the

gene models predicted in the *B. lactucae* SF5 assembly [40]; however, three pairs of

genes appeared to be allelic based on sequence similarity and read depth, leaving a

total of 55 non-redundant genes encoding candidate effectors (Figure 1A; Supplemental Table 1). Signal peptides were predicted for 43 of these 55 proteins, of which two proteins had a predicted transmembrane helix outside of the signal

Supplemental Table 1). Signal peptides were predicted for 43 of these 55 proteins, of

which two proteins had a predicted transmembrane helix outside of the signal

peptide (Figure 1A). Several predicted WY proteins seemed to be missing their start

codons due to N-terminal truncation when compared to close relatives. One of these

predicted proteins, BLN06, was found to be missing a significant portion of the N-

terminal sequence in SF5 compared to BL24, which was the source isolate for the

cloning of *BLN06* reported in Pelgrom *et al.* [41].

The N-terminal sequences of the 55 predicted WY effectors were examined for

the RXLR motif using both HMMs and string searches. One protein was predicted to

have an RXLR motif by the HMM and an additional 10 proteins were identified by a

string search for [RQGH]xLR or RXL[QKG], while 33 proteins were predicted to have

an EER motif using a string search for [DE][DE][EK] (Figure 1A). To find divergent

RXLR-like motifs in the WY effector candidates, we searched for a highly degenerate

pattern based on mutational studies of the RXLR motif [35] and natural RXLR variants

reported for other downy mildews [5–9]. This revealed an additional 38 proteins with

an RXLR-like motif within the first 60 amino acids after the signal peptide matching

the pattern [RKHGQ][X]{0,1}[LMYFIV][RNK], many of which also had an EER motif

(Figure 1A) (Supplemental Table 1). Therefore, the majority of candidate WY

effectors in *B. lactucae* have a non-canonical RXLR motif. In the C-terminal domain,

the WY effector candidates had one to seven WY domains per protein, with a diversity

of domain architectures (Figure 1). The WY domain from *B. lactucae* showed high

conservation of the characteristic conserved tryptophan (W) residue but appeared to

show equal preference for tyrosine (Y) or phenylalanine (F) for the second

characteristic residue of the domain (Fig. 1B). To investigate whether or not there are

WY effector candidates that are lacking the canonical RXLR motif in other oomycetes,

the predicted open reading frames (ORFs) from several published oomycete genomes

were surveyed for the RXLR motif and the WY domain. Approximately half of the WY

proteins predicted in seven downy mildew species lacked the RXLR motif; in six

Phytophthora spp., the majority of predicted WY proteins had RXLR motifs, but

between 9–21% of secreted WY proteins did not contain this motif (Fig. 2).

Consequently, the repertoire of candidate WY effectors in other oomycetes may be

heavily under-reported.

Intrinsic disorder had previously been reported to be a characteristic of the N-

terminus of oomycete effectors containing the RXLR motif [42]. Therefore, we

investigated whether the predicted degree of structural disorder was a characteristic

of candidate WY effectors lacking a canonical RXLR. The predicted levels of intrinsic

disorder were calculated for proteins containing RXLR motifs, for proteins containing

WY domains but no RXLR motif, as well as for the entire predicted secretome for

comparison. Proteins containing RXLR and/or WY domains had higher levels of

intrinsic disorder at their N-termini after the highly ordered signal peptide than the

entire set of secreted proteins (Fig. 3). Proteins containing a WY domain but lacking

a canonical RXLR motif had on average more disordered N-termini than effectors that

had RXLR but not a WY domain. The WY domain containing region had higher levels

of predicted structure than the RXLR-containing proteins that lacked a WY domain

and the secreted proteins as a whole (Fig. 3), consistent with the WY domains forming

an α-helix bundled structure [27]. This predicted pattern of high intrinsic disorder at

the N-terminus and high structure towards the C-terminus was consistently observed

in all six downy mildews and six *Phytophthora* species analyzed (Suppl. Fig. 2).

Therefore, a high level of intrinsic disorder is a consistent characteristic of the N-

termini of oomycete effectors, regardless of whether they have a canonical RXLR

motif; the functional significance of this remains to be investigated.

To evaluate lineage specificity of effectors due to co-evolution of pathogens

with their hosts, we used BLAST to identify orthologs in other oomycete species. All

of the 39 predicted secreted candidate WY effectors of *B. lactucae* had little sequence

similarity to sequences in other genomes with the best BLASTP hit being only 46%

identity with a protein from *P. infestans* (Fig. 4). Most of the proteins had best-hit

identities between 20 to 30%, which is similar to the level of amino acid conservation

between WY domains in different effectors [27].

A time-course RNA-seq experiment of lettuce seedlings infected with *B.*

lactucae isolate SF5 was analyzed to investigate the expression of the WY effector

candidates during infection. Expression was detected for all candidate WY encoding

genes. The four mostly highly expressed WY containing effectors lacked a canonical

RXLR motif (Fig. 5).

To test whether *B. lactucae* WY effectors were recognized by the host immune

system, 21 randomly-selected WY effectors that differed in their number of WY

domains were chosen to be screened against lettuce germplasm. Genes were cloned

from amplified genomic DNA to attempt to capture both alleles of each effector from

the heterozygous isolate SF5 [40]. In order to capture additional allelic diversity,

some effectors were also cloned from the heterokaryotic isolate C82P24 [40]. Two

alleles were obtained for many effectors; in addition, chimeric sequences appeared to

be obtained for several genes. Clones of all unique sequences for each effector were

retained because they could be informative for dissecting the sequence basis of host

recognition. This resulted in multiple distinct clones (wildtype alleles plus chimeric

sequences) of some effectors.

We screened 215 different accessions of wild and cultivated lettuce (Suppl.

File 2) using *Agrobacterium*-mediated transient expression for the elicitation of cell

death for their reactions to clones representing the 21 WY candidate effectors. These

accessions collectively express the majority of the known *Dm* genes as well as new

resistance factors [36]. Eleven of the 21 WY effectors were recognized by one or more

accessions (Fig. 6). Alleles of the same effector showed similar reactions except for

three genes (Fig. 6). The truncated version of BLN06 cloned from SF5 did not trigger

cell death in LS102, NunDm17, or RYZ2146 (Suppl. Figure 3) in contrast to BLN06

cloned from BL24 [41], suggesting that the recognition of this effector by these

genotypes may be determined by the N-terminal region of the effector after the

secretion signal.

The lines ViAE and ViCQ, which have introgressions from the wild lettuce

species *L. virosa* [43], recognized five effectors: BLN08, BSW03, BSW04m, BSW04p,

and BSW14, as well as the chimeric sequence BSW04m/p (Fig. 6A). The *L. virosa*

accessions that were the resistance donors for ViAE and ViCQ also recognized these

five effectors, but not the chimeric sequence BSW04m/p (Fig. 6B). Many *L. sativa*

cultivars and a few genotypes of *L. saligna* and *L. serriola* were observed to have

necrosis or yellowing in response to the chimeric effector BSW04m/p, suggestive of

a non-specific reaction to this unnatural protein. Some of the genotypes that

recognized BSW04m/p also recognized BSW04p, but not the paralog BSW04m. Three

of these genotypes, Capitan, Ninja, and Femke, share the resistance gene *Dm11*;

therefore, we tested two additional cultivars, Fila and Mondian, which also contain

Dm11 for recognition of BSW04p. These varieties also recognized BSW04m/p and

BSW04p, but not BSW04m. Due to recognition of BSW04p by multiple varieties that

contain *Dm11*, BSW04p is a candidate for the protein encoded by the *Avr11* gene.

BLN08, BSW03, BSW04m, and BSW14 are candidate avirulence proteins for which

cognate *Dm* genes have yet to be identified.

Bioinformatic prediction of subcellular localization using NucPred [44]

suggested that BSW04p had a C-terminal nuclear localization signal (score 0.79). To

investigate whether BSW04p was nuclear localized, N-terminal yellow fluorescent

protein (YFP) fusions were expressed in lettuce using *Agrobacterium*-mediated

transient assays. We also made N-terminal YFP fusions of two other effectors, BLN08

and BSW03, which lacked predicted nuclear localization signals. BSW04p was

localized to the nucleus as predicted, while BLN08 and BSW03 were localized to the

cytoplasm and/or periplasm (Fig. 7). Therefore, predictions of subcellular

localization were accurate for these three effectors and may indicate the cellular

location of their targets during infection.

We also tested candidate effectors for their ability to suppress PAMP-triggered

immunity (PTI). Twenty-one effectors were transiently expressed in *Nicotiana*

benthamiana and the level of reactive oxygen species (ROS) production induced by

flg22 was measured. Three effectors significantly suppressed ROS production to a

similar extent as two known bacterial suppressors of PTI (Fig. 8). The level of

induction of ROS by flg22 was significantly higher with some effectors; however,

there was no induction of ROS in the absence of flg22. Therefore, in this assay, at least

three effectors suppress PTI and some may actually increase PTI responsiveness.

Discussion

Effectors play a critical role in interactions between pathogens and their hosts.

Accurate prediction and annotation of effector repertoires is foundational for

functional genomics studies of pathogens. Due to their economic importance in

agriculture, an increasing number of *Phytophthora* and downy mildew pathogens are

being sequenced. Prior to this study, the majority of cloned avirulence genes have

encoded proteins with a RXLR motif [45]. This was not surprising considering that

most of these studies used the RXLR motif as their primary, or only, criterion to

identify candidate effectors. Our results demonstrate that there are many candidate

effectors containing the effector-related WY domain that lack the canonical RXLR

motif, especially in the downy mildews, but also in *Phytophthora* spp. In *B. lactucae,*

we have shown that these non-RXLR, WY domain containing effectors show

characteristics of RXLR effectors, such as N-terminal intrinsic disorder, lineage

specificity, and expression during infection. Furthermore, some of these proteins can

act as suppressors of the host immune system, while others trigger the hypersensitive

response in resistant host cultivars. Thus, despite lacking the canonical RXLR-motif,

these WY domain containing proteins have both virulence and avirulence activity.

Consequently, numerous candidate effector genes are likely to have been missed in

the genomic analysis of other species within the Peronosporales. Bioinformatics

pipelines for predicting effectors in both *Phytophthora* spp*.* and downy mildew

pathogens should include an HMM for the WY domain because it may be more

informative of function and result in fewer false positives than string searches for the

RXLR motif.

Many WY effectors were initially predicted to not have a signal peptide and

therefore not to be secreted; this could have been due to misannotation or reflect

biological reality. Several had a predicted signal peptide downstream of the ATG in

the gene model; these signal peptides started with a methionine, which was

supported as the correct start in manual curation using RNAseq data. Therefore,

studies that rely on the presence of a signal peptide when using predicted ORFs may

be missing true effectors with misannotated start codons. Some WY effectors had

clearly lost the signal peptide due to N-terminal deletion; this was observed by

comparisons within effector families. The truncated effectors are unlikely to be

functional because they are missing the secretion signal and would not therefore be

secreted from the cell. Signal peptide loss may be an evolutionary strategy for the

pathogen to evade recognition of effectors.

Although very few of the WY effectors from *B. lactucae* contained the canonical

RXLR motif, nearly all of them contained a degenerate RXLR motif. However, the

degenerate RXLR regular expression should not be used on its own to search for genes

encoding RXLR proteins due to the high false positive rate (>50%). Functional studies

are needed to ascertain which of these degenerate motifs can function similarly to the

canonical RXLR motif in protein secretion [15]. Despite lacking the canonical RXLR

motif, the WY effectors had other features similar to RXLR proteins such as high N-

terminal intrinsic disorder and the presence an EER motif. N-terminal intrinsic

disorder has been predicted for RXLR effectors of *Phytophthora* and is also a common

feature of bacterial effectors [46]. The biological significance of these features

remains to be studied, particularly whether these intrinsically disordered domains

are important in post-translational modification or protein-protein interactions as is

the case for intrinsically disordered regions in other organisms [47,48].

The number of WY domains per protein varied considerably, from one to

seven in *B. lactucae*. Many pathogen effectors exhibit rapid evolution and divergence

due to selective pressure of evolving host targets and host resistance proteins [25].

Duplication of domains may allow for evolution of novel effector functions or for

evasion of host recognition while retaining function [30]. Variation in the number of

repeated domains is reminiscent of NB-LRR proteins, which recognize effectors

(and/or effector activity) and also have leucine-rich repeated domains [49,50].

Duplication of regions encoding WY domains may have happened within a gene

through replication errors or between different genes through illegitimate

recombination. The genomic sequences of the repeats will be analyzed in multiple

isolates to reveal the origins of these duplications and domain expansion or

contraction.

Analysis of the amino acid sequences of the WY domain in *B. lactucae* showed

that it may be better considered as a W[Y/F] domain, due to the equal preference for

phenylalanine and tyrosine for the second characteristic residue. This substitution

has a BLOSUM score of 3, indicating that it is fairly common. Both tyrosine and

phenylalanine are aromatic amino acids; however, the hydroxyl group on tyrosine

makes it slightly bulkier, more polar, and introduces a potential phosphorylation site.

Variation in this region is not uncommon in other oomycete species: the fifth of the

seven domains in Psr2 of *P. sojae* has an F instead of a Y and is similar in structure to

the single domain of ATR1 in *H. arabidopsidis* that has a cysteine at the Y position [30].

Further structural characterization is needed to reveal whether these substitutions

alter protein structure and their biological function.

In oomycetes, WY domain containing effectors have been shown to have

several functions including PTI suppression [51]. At least three WY effectors from *B.*

lactucae were able to suppress the host immune system by interfering with pathogen-

triggered production of ROS. Suppression of host defenses is critical to the survival of

B. lactucae and therefore it is not surprising that multiple effectors target the basal

immune system. Identification of the host targets of these effectors will determine

which steps in the signal transduction cascade are modulated by each effector or may

reveal candidates for susceptibility genes in the host that are required for successful

proliferation of *B. lactucae*.

Effectors are powerful tools for the discovery and characterization of host

resistance genes [52]. Eleven of the *B. lactucae* effectors tested were recognized by

one or more lettuce lines. Their cognate R genes will be identified using mapping of

segregating $F_{2:3}$ and recombinant inbred line populations. Recognition of four *B.*

lactucae effectors (BLG01, BLN08, BLR31, and BLR38) has been successfully mapped

[23,41,53]. BLG01 and BLN08 have been shown to be recognized broadly by *L. saligna*

[23,53]. Our study confirms the results for BLNO8 and revealed BSW14 as an

additional effector recognized by *L. saligna*. BLN08 and BSW14 share little sequence

similarity (besides containing WY domains). Non-host resistance in *L. saligna* is

clearly complex [54], but these results show that it is mediated in part by recognition

of multiple effectors.

Not all RXLR candidate effectors have WY domains and the presence of a WY

domain is not required for the avirulence activity of all effectors; for example, the *B.*

lactucae effectors BLG01 and BLG03 do not contain WY domains, yet they elicit an

immune response in lettuce [23]. Structural elucidation of an RXLR effector lacking

the WY motif, *H. arabidopsidis* ATR13, revealed that it contained a helical fold that

was distinct from the WY fold [55]. It would be informative to determine and compare

the protein structures of additional RXLR effectors to determine whether there are

other conserved C-terminal domains that may be involved in effector function in a

similar way as the WY domain.

Both RXLR and WY effectors provide tools for monitoring pathogen

populations and effector-driven resistance breeding. Analysis of diverse, global

isolates will allow the characterization of individual effector repertoires as well as the

development of the pan-repertoire for a whole pathogen species. Effectors also will

be highly instrumental in cloning their cognate resistance genes as well as the

identification of effector targets in the host. In addition, screens for resistance using

transient expression of individual effectors will allow the pyramiding of resistance

genes with different specificities that will maximize the evolutionary hurdle for the

pathogen to become virulent. Ultimately, knowledge of effector repertoires will allow

data-driven deployment of resistance genes leading to more durable disease

resistance [56].

Materials and Methods

Effector prediction

To search for the WY domain, a Hidden Markov Model (HMM) was built using

HMMer v3.1 [57] based on the inferred amino acid sequences of WY domains from *P.*

infestans, *P. soja*e, and *P. ramorum.* These sequences were obtained from the

supplemental material of Boutemy *et al*. [27] who predicted genes encoding WY

domains based on motif searches of candidate RXLR effectors and identified a 49

amino acid long motif that spanned the WY domain in the crystal structures of

Avr3a11 and PexRD2 [26]. Candidate WY effectors were predicted using this HMM to

search translated predicted ORF sequences (>80 amino acids) as well as gene models

in the draft genome of *B. lactucae* isolate SF5 [40]. Sequences with a positive HMM bit

score were considered to be putative WY domain effectors as in [27]. Signal peptide

prediction was performed on candidate WY effectors using SignalP v 4.1 [58] and

PhobiusSP [59]. Default settings were altered for SignalP v 4.1 to have sensitivity

similar to SignalP v 3.0. Output was compared between SignalP v 4.1 sensitive and the

combination of SignalP4.0 + SignalP 3.0, and identical results were obtained from the

two methods. Gene models were more accurate for predicting signal peptides than

translated ORFs — in part due to misannotated start codons upstream of the probable

true start codon and signal peptides in the ORFs. However, on several occasions the

gene model was missing a signal peptide found in the ORF – these gene models were

manually updated to reflect this likely true start site. SignalP v 4.1 in sensitive mode

was better able to predict signal peptides in proteins with misannotated start codons

compared to SignalP v 4.0 or v 5.0.

RXLR prediction

A combination of string searches and HMMs were used to search for the RXLR motif

in oomycete predicted WY proteins. The following strings were used based on

variants observed in downy mildews: [RQGH]xLR or RXL[QKG] for RXLR and

[DE][DE][KR] for EER. The Whisson *et al.* HMM [14] was also tested, although this did

not reveal any more RXLR effector candidates. To search for additional non-canonical

RXLR motifs in WY candidates, a highly degenerate string of

[RKHGQ][X]{0,1}[LMYFIV][RNK] was used.

Estimation of false positive rates for effector prediction

We determined false positive rates for each motif by analyzing multiple permutations

of the non-redundant secretome using the same pipeline as described above for

effector prediction. At least ten random permutations of the sequence space were

created using the MEME fasta-shuffle-letters program (with a kmer size of 1) using

peptides starting after the cleavage site identified by SignalP v4.1. The false positive

rate for each motif was estimated as the average frequency of detection in the

permutated sequences divided by the observed frequency in the original sequences.

The estimated false positive rate for the RXLR and WY motif searches are given in

Table 1.

Table 1. False positive rates for RXLR and WY HMM and string searches across

14 oomycete genomes

Motif	String/HMM	False Positive Rate (Average +SD)	Range
RXLR	RXLR	45.2% ± 3.4%	32-54%
RXLR	[RQGH]XLR or RXL[QKG]	56% ± 2%	50–64%
RXLR	Whisson HMM [14]	1.5% ± 0.8%	0.1-3.3%
EER	[DE][DE][KR]	49.4% ± 2.6%	41-62%
RXLR-EER	RXLR + [DE][DE][KR]	9.4% ± 3.0%	4-16%
Degenerate RXLR	[RKHGQ][X]{0,1}[LMYFIV][RNK]	74% ± 0.8%	71-77%
WY	Boutemy HMM [27]	0.05% ± 0.09%	0-0.3%

Intrinsic Disorder

PONDR VSL2 [60] was used to calculate levels of intrinsic disorder for groups

of candidate effectors, grouped by the presence of an RXLR-like motif, EER-like motif,

and/or WY domain. PONDR VSL2 is a meta-predictor of protein disorder that utilizes

neural networks and amino acid context to give a weighted disorder score at each

amino acid position. The average sequence disorder was calculated at each amino

acid position in each group of effectors and aligned on the first amino acid. Plots were

generated from the average positional disorder scores calculated from all peptides in

a group. The entire non-redundant, predicted secretome was used as a reference for

comparison.

Sequence comparison and determination of lineage specificity

To generate a neighbor-joining tree of the WY effector candidates, whole protein amino acid alignments were performed using MUSCLE 3.8.425 [61] implemented in Geneious 11.0.5 (http://www.geneious.com) with default settings. A UPGMA tree was built in Geneious with bootstrap resampling (100 replicates). The resulting tree was annotated using the Interactive Tree of Life [62] and stylistically refined in the GNU Image Manipulation Program (http://www.gimp.org). To build a sequence logo for the WY domain from *B. lactucae,* a multiple sequence alignment of WY domains was built using MUSCLE 3.8.425 [61], was manually corrected for alignment errors, and the sequence logo generated using Weblogo (http://weblogo.berkeley.edu/logo.cgi).

To determine if the candidate WY proteins are unique to *B. lactucae,* BLASTp [63] was used to search for orthologs in other oomycete species. BLASTp-based sequence comparisons with an e-value threshold of 0.01 were performed against the following oomycete genomes: *Albugo laibachii* [8], *H. arabidopsidis* [64], *P. capsici* [65], *P. infestans* [3], *Phytophthora parasitica* [66], *P. ramorum* [5], *P. sojae* [5], *Pythium ultimum* [7], *Saprolegnia parasitica* [67], *Pseudoperonospora cubensis* [21], *Plasmopara halstedii* [24], *Plasmopara viticola* JL-7-2 [68], INRA-PV221 [69], *Peronospora tabacina* [70], and *Peronospora effusa* [71].

RNA-seq analysis

Messenger RNA was isolated from cotyledons of lettuce cv. Cobham Green infected with isolate SF5 of *B. lactucae* with Dynabeads™ mRNA DIRECT™

Purification kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) per manufacturer

recommendations for plant tissue. Library construction was done following the

protocol of Zhong *et al.* [72]. The resulting libraries were sequenced in single-end

mode in a HiSeq 3000 at the UC Davis DNA Technologies Core

(http://dnatech.genomecenter.ucdavis.edu/). The quality of the libraries was

assessed using FastQC V0.11.2 [73]. Bacterial and human contaminants were filtered

with BWA-MEM [74] mapping against custom references of microbial and human

databases. The remaining reads were mapped to a joint reference made up of the *L.*

sativa cv. Salinas (GenBank: GCF_002870075.1) and *B. lactucae* isolate SF5 (GenBank:

GCA_004359215.1) reference assemblies using STAR v2.6.0 [75]. STAR was run with

the options "--sjdbOverhang 99 --sjdbGTFtagExonParentGene Parent --quantMode

GeneCounts". The strand specific read counts for each replicate were calculated from

the reads per gene table output by STAR. Reads counts were normalized for gene

length by dividing the read counts by the length of the gene it was mapped to (Reads

Per Kilobase: RPK). The total RPK of the *B. lactucae* portion of each replicate was

calculated and used to divide the RPK to calculate the transcripts per million (TPM)

for each gene. A subset of the genes that encode putative WY domains were taken

from this total, the average TPM for three replicates of each time point was calculated

(excluding replicate 2 at 72 hours, due to low coverage) and the log10(1+average

TPM) was calculated for each time point. These values were plotted using Heatmap2

[76] from the package gplots, clustering of putative effectors by expression was

performed with hclust [77].

Gateway cloning

Effector candidates without their signal peptide were cloned into the

pEarleyGate100 (pEG100) vector for plant expression [78] using Gateway cloning

(Thermo Fisher Scientific). Candidate effector genes were amplified from genomic

DNA isolated from spores of *B. lactucae* isolate SF5 or C82P24 using Phusion High

Fidelity Polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and primers (Suppl. File 3) that

amplified each ORF after the predicted signal peptide cleavage site. The Kozak

sequence (ACCATG) was added to the forward primer for correct translational

initiation. PCR products were purified using polyethylene glycol (PEG) precipitation

to remove primers and primer-dimers, recombined into pDONR207 using BP Clonase

II (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and transformed into chemically competent *Escherichia*

coli DH10B cells. The resulting entry clones were sequenced using primers designed

to pDONR207 to confirm gene identity and identify alleles. The entry clones were

then recombined into pEG100 using LR Clonase II and transformed into *E. coli* to

generate expression clones. The expression clones were then transformed into

Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain C58rif$^+$ using electroporation. Kanamycin-resistant

colonies were confirmed for the transgene using gene-specific primers.

Agrobacterium-mediated transient assays

Agroinfiltration and transient expression experiments were performed using

conditions optimized for lettuce [79]. *A. tumefaciens* was grown overnight from

glycerol stocks and resuspended in 10 mM $MgCl_2$ to OD=0.3–0.5. The youngest fully

expanded leaves of 3 to 4-week-old greenhouse grown lettuce plants were infiltrated

with the *A. tumefaciens* cultures using a needless syringe. Leaves were examined four

to five days post-infiltration for signs of macroscopic cell death, indicative of immune

recognition by host resistance proteins. *A. tumefaciens* containing pEG100 empty

vector or pEG100:GFP were used as negative controls; *A. tumefaciens* containing T-

DNAs that expressed HopM1 or AvrPto, which are *Pseudomonas syringae* effectors

known to elicit cell death in lettuce [80], were used as positive controls. To control

for false negatives, leaves that did not show cell death in response to the positive

control HopM1 were excluded from the analysis. To control for false positives, leaves

that showed cell death in response to the empty vector or GFP control were also

excluded from the analysis. In addition, any cell death observed in the initial

screening was confirmed by repeating infiltrations on at least four plants for effectors

found to cause cell death.

Subcellular localization prediction and characterization

Nuclear localization was predicted using NucPred [44] and nuclear

localization motifs predicted using LOCALIZER [81]. For localization experiments,

effectors were cloned without their signal peptide into pEG104 [31] as described

above resulting in an N-terminal YFP fusion. Three to five days post-Agroinfiltration,

lettuce leaves expressing N-terminal YFP fusions of effectors were examined for

subcellular localization. Nuclear staining was performed by incubating cut leaf tissue

in 18 nM DAPI in water for at least five minutes. Imaging was performed on a Zeiss

LSM 710 laser scanning confocal microscope with a 40x objective lens.

PTI suppression assay

Effectors were expressed in five-week-old plants of *N. benthamiana* using

Agroinfiltration as described above. Two days post-infiltration, two leaf discs (3.8

mm) were taken from each infiltration site away from the leaf veins using a cork

borer. Leaf discs were floated abaxial side up in 200 μL of distilled water in a 96-well

white assay plate and incubated at room temperature for 24 hrs. To measure

suppression of ROS production [82], the water was removed and 100 μL of assay

solution was added to the leaf discs, which contained 17 μg/mL luminol (Sigma-

Aldrich, St Louis, MO) and 10 μg/mL horseradish peroxidase type 6A (Sigma-Aldrich).

One of the two paired leaf discs was exposed to 100 nM of flg22 peptide (flg+) and the

other was not exposed to any elicitor as a control for endogenous ROS production.

The known bacterial suppressors of PTI, HopS2, and HopX1 [83], were used as

positive controls for suppression of ROS production; GFP was used as the negative

control. Luminescence was measured on a FilterMax F5 Plate Reader (Molecular

Devices, San Jose, CA) promptly after adding in the assay solution and was measured

every two minutes for a total of 42 minutes. Each assay was replicated sixteen times.

Acknowledgements

We thank Keri Cavanaugh, Alyssa Schweickert, Dasan Gann, Catherine Lopez, Amber

Robbins, Natalie Hamada, Pauline Sanders, and Sebastian Reyes Chin Wo (all UC

Davis) for wet lab and computational assistance. We thank Gitta Coaker (UC Davis)

for assistance with the ROS assay. We thank Brigitte Maisonneuve (INRA, Montfavet,

France) for providing the FrRsal-1, ViAE, and ViCQ lines of lettuce and Ales Lebeda

570 (Palacký University, Olomouc, Czech Republic) and Alex Beharav (The Hebrew

571 University, Tel Aviv, Israel) for lines of *L. saligna*. We thank Lien Bertier, Dasan Gann,

572 Anne Giesbers, and Elizabeth Georgian for helpful comments on earlier versions of

573 this manuscript. The work was supported by an NSF Graduate Fellowship and a USDA

574 Fellowship #2018-67011-28053 to KW and the NSF/USDA AFRI Microbial

575 Sequencing Program award #2009-65109-05925 to RWM.

Author Contributions

578 KW, JG, MP, and RWM conceived and designed the experiments. KW, JG, TK, MN, AP,

579 AG, JK, and KL performed the experiments. KW, JG, KF, TK, MN, AP, AG, JK, and KL

580 analyzed the data. KW, JG, and RWM wrote the paper. All authors approved the final

581 submission.

Data sources

584 The reference genome assembly of *B. lactucae* is available from NCBI, GenBank ID:

585 GCA_004359215.1. RNAseq reads of lettuce cv. Cobham Green infected with *B.*

586 *lactucae* isolate SF5 is available at NCBI SRA BioProject PRJNA523226. Sequences of

587 effector proteins are available in Supplementary data. The all data for interactions

588 between effectors and individual lines of *Lactuca* spp. are available at

589 http://bremia.ucdavis.edu/BIL/BIL_interaction.php.

References

592 1. Derevnina L, Petre B, Kellner R, Dagdas YF, Sarowar MN, Giannakopoulou A, et

al. Emerging oomycete threats to plants and animals. Philos Trans R Soc B Biol

Sci. 2016;371. doi:10.1098/rstb.2015.0459

2.   Baldauf SL. The Deep Roots of Eukaryotes. 2003;300: 1703–1707.

doi:10.1126/science.1085544

3.   Haas BJ, Kamoun S, Zody MC, Jiang RHY, Handsaker RE, Cano LM, et al.

Genome sequence and analysis of the Irish potato famine pathogen

Phytophthora infestans. Nature. 2009;461: 393–398.

doi:10.1038/nature08358

4.   Rizzo DM, Garbelotto M, Hansen EM. Phytophthora ramorum: Integrative

Research and Management of an Emerging Pathogen in California and Oregon

Forests. Annu Rev Phytopathol. 2005;43: 309–335.

doi:10.1146/annurev.phyto.42.040803.140418

5.   Tyler BM, Tripathy S, Zhang X, Dehal P, Jiang RHY, Aerts A, et al. Phytophthora

genome sequences uncover evolutionary origins and mechanisms of

pathogenesis. Science. 2006;313: 1261–1266. doi:10.1126/science.1128796

6.   Adhikari BN, Hamilton JP, Zerillo MM, Tisserat N, Lévesque CA, Buell CR.

Comparative genomics reveals insight into virulence strategies of plant

pathogenic oomycetes. PLoS One. 2013;8: e75072.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0075072

7.   Lévesque CA, Brouwer H, Cano L, Hamilton JP, Holt C, Huitema E, et al.

Genome sequence of the necrotrophic plant pathogen Pythium ultimum

reveals original pathogenicity mechanisms and effector repertoire. Genome

Biol. 2010;11: 1–22. doi:10.1186/gb-2010-11-7-r73

8.   Kemen E, Gardiner A, Schultz-Larsen T, Kemen AC, Balmuth AL, Robert-

Seilaniantz A, et al. Gene gain and loss during evolution of obligate parasitism

in the white rust pathogen of Arabidopsis thaliana. PLoS Biol. 2011;9:

e1001094. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001094

9.   Thines M, Choi Y. Evolution, Diversity, and Taxonomy of the Peronosporaceae,

with Focus on the Genus Peronospora. Phytopathology. 2015;106: 6–18.

10. Phillips AJ, Anderson VL, Robertson EJ, Secombes CJ, van West P. New insights

into animal pathogenic oomycetes. Trends Microbiol. 2007;16: 13–19.

doi:10.1016/j.tim.2007.10.013

11. Bozkurt TO, Schornack S, Banfield MJ, Kamoun S. Oomycetes, effectors, and all

that jazz. Curr Opin Plant Biol. Elsevier Ltd; 2012;15: 483–92.

doi:10.1016/j.pbi.2012.03.008

12. Jones JDG, Dangl JL. The plant immune system. Nature. 2006;444: 323–329.

doi:10.1038/nature05286

13. Birch PRJ, Armstrong M, Bos J, Boevink P, Gilroy EM, Taylor RM, et al. Towards

understanding the virulence functions of RXLR effectors of the oomycete plant

pathogen Phytophthora infestans. J Exp Bot. 2009;60: 1133–1140.

doi:10.1093/jxb/ern353

14. Whisson SC, Boevink PC, Moleleki L, Avrova AO, Morales JG, Gilroy EM, et al. A

translocation signal for delivery of oomycete effector proteins into host plant

cells. Nature. 2007;450: 115–118. doi:10.1038/nature06203

15.   Wawra S, Trusch F, Matena A, Apostolakis K, Linne U, Zhukov I, et al. The

RxLR Motif of the Host Targeting Effector AVR3a of Phytophthora infestans Is

Cleaved Before Secretion. Plant Cell. 2017;29: tpc.00552.2016.

doi:10.1105/tpc.16.00552

16.   Hiller NL, Hiller NL, Bhattacharjee S, Ooij C Van, Liolios K, Harrison T, et al. A

Host-Targeting Signal in Virulence Proteins Reveals a Secretome in Malarial

Infection. Science (80-). 2012;1934: 1934–1938.

doi:10.1126/science.1102737

17.   Coffey MJ, Sleebs BE, Uboldi AD, Garnham A, Franco M, Marino ND, et al. An

aspartyl protease defines a novel pathway for export of Toxoplasma proteins

into the host cell. Elife. 2015;4: 1–34. doi:10.7554/eLife.10809

18.   Boddey JA, O'Neill MT, Lopaticki S, Carvalho TG, Hodder AN, Nebl T, et al.

Export of malaria proteins requires co-translational processing of the PEXEL

motif independent of phosphatidylinositol-3-phosphate binding. Nat

Commun. 2016;7. doi:10.1038/ncomms10470

19.   Thines M. Bridging the gulf: Phytophthora and downy mildews are connected

by rare grass parasites. Ausubel FM, editor. PLoS One. Public Library of

Science; 2009;4: e4790. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0004790

20.   Bailey K, Cevik V, Holton N, Byrne-Richardson J, Sohn KH, Coates M, et al.

Molecular cloning of ATR5(Emoy2) from Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis, an

avirulence determinant that triggers RPP5-mediated defense in Arabidopsis.

Mol Plant Microbe Interact. 2011;24: 827–838. doi:10.1094/MPMI-12-10-

0278

21.   Tian M, Win J, Savory E, Burkhardt A, Held M, Brandizzi F, et al. 454 Genome

sequencing of Pseudoperonospora cubensis reveals effector proteins with a

QXLR translocation motif. Mol Plant Microbe Interact. The American

Phytopathological Society; 2011;24: 543–53. doi:10.1094/MPMI-08-10-0185

22.   Stassen J, Seidl M. Effector identification in the lettuce downy mildew Bremia

lactucae by massively parallel transcriptome sequencing. Mol Plant Pathol.

2012;13: 719–31. doi:10.1111/j.1364-3703.2011.00780.x

23.   Stassen JHM, Boer E den, Vergeer PWJ, Andel A, Ellendorff U, Pelgrom K, et al.

Specific In Planta Recognition of Two GKLR Proteins of the Downy Mildew

Bremia lactucae Revealed in a Large Effector Screen in Lettuce. Mol Plant

Microbe Interact. The American Phytopathological Society; 2013;26: 1259–

1270. doi:10.1094/MPMI-05-13-0142-R

24.   Sharma R, Xia X, Cano LM, Evangelisti E, Kemen E, Judelson H, et al. Genome

analyses of the sunflower pathogen Plasmopara halstedii provide insights into

effector evolution in downy mildews and Phytophthora. BMC Genomics. BMC

Genomics; 2015;16: 741. doi:10.1186/s12864-015-1904-7

25.   Jiang RHY, Tripathy S, Govers F, Tyler BM. RXLR effector reservoir in two

Phytophthora species is dominated by a single rapidly evolving superfamily

with more than 700 members. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008;105: 4874–

4879. doi:10.1073/pnas.0709303105

26.   Win J, Krasileva K V, Kamoun S, Shirasu K, Staskawicz BJ, Banfield MJ.

Sequence divergent RXLR effectors share a structural fold conserved across

plant pathogenic oomycete species. PLoS Pathog. 2012;8: e1002400.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002400

27.   Boutemy L, King S, Win J, Hughes R, Clarke T, Blumenschein T, et al. Structures

of Phytophthora RXLR effector proteins a conserved but adaptable fold

underpins functional diversity. J Biol Chem. 2011;286: 35834–42.

doi:10.1074/jbc.M111.262303

28.   Chou S, Krasileva K V, Holton JM, Steinbrenner AD, Alber T, Staskawicz BJ.

Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis ATR1 effector is a repeat protein with

distributed recognition surfaces. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2011;108: 13323–

13328. doi:10.1073/pnas.1109791108

29.   Yaeno T, Li H, Chaparro-Garcia A, Schornack S, Koshiba S, Watanabe S, et al.

Phosphatidylinositol monophosphate-binding interface in the oomycete RXLR

effector AVR3a is required for its stability in host cells to modulate plant

immunity. Proc Natl Acad Sci. National Academy of Sciences; 2011;108:

14682–14687. doi:10.1073/PNAS.1106002108

30.   He J, Ye W, Choi DS, Wu B, Zhai Y, Guo B, et al. Structural analysis of

Phytophthora suppressor of RNA silencing 2 (PSR2) reveals a conserved

modular fold contributing to virulence. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2019;2:

201819481. doi:10.1073/pnas.1819481116

31.   Dou D, Kale SD, Wang X, Chen Y, Wang Q, Wang X, et al. Conserved C-terminal

motifs required for avirulence and suppression of cell death by Phytophthora

sojae effector Avr1b. Plant Cell. 2008;20: 1118–1133.

doi:10.1105/tpc.107.057067

32.   Bos JIB, Armstrong MR, Gilroy EM, Boevink PC, Hein I, Taylor RM, et al.

Phytophthora infestans effector AVR3a is essential for virulence and

manipulates plant immunity by stabilizing host E3 ligase CMPG1. Proc Natl

Acad Sci U S A. National Academy of Sciences; 2010;107: 9909–14.

doi:10.1073/pnas.0914408107

33.   King SRF, McLellan H, Boevink PC, Armstrong MR, Bukharova T, Sukarta O, et

al. Phytophthora infestans RXLR Effector PexRD2 Interacts with Host

MAPKKK{varepsilon} to Suppress Plant Immune Signaling. Plant Cell.

2014;26: 1345–59. doi:10.1105/tpc.113.120055

34.   Maqbool A, Hughes RK, Dagdas YF, Tregidgo N, Zess E, Belhaj K, et al.

Structural basis of host Autophagy-related protein 8 (ATG8) binding by the

Irish potato famine pathogen effector protein PexRD54. J Biol Chem. 2016;8:

jbc.M116.744995. doi:10.1074/jbc.M116.744995

35.   Guo B, Wang H, Yang B, Jiang W, Jing M, Li H, et al. Phytophthora sojae effector

PsAvh240 inhibits a host aspartic protease secretion to promote infection.

Mol Plant. Chinese Society for Plant Biology; 2019;

doi:10.1016/j.molp.2019.01.017

36.   Qiao Y, Liu L, Xiong Q, Flores C, Wong J, Shi J, et al. Oomycete pathogens

encode RNA silencing suppressors. Nat Genet. Nature Publishing Group;

2013;45: 330–3. doi:10.1038/ng.2525

37.   Xiong Q, Ye W, Choi D, Wong J, Qiao Y, Tao K, et al. Phytophthora Suppressor

726   of RNA Silencing 2 Is a Conserved RxLR Effector that Promotes Infection in

727   Soybean and Arabidopsis thaliana. Mol Plant- …. 2014;27: 1379–1389.

728   Available: http://apsjournals.apsnet.org/doi/abs/10.1094/MPMI-06-14-

729   0190-R

730   38.   Hou Y, Zhai Y, Feng L, Karimi HZ, Rutter BD, Zeng L, et al. A Phytophthora

731   Effector Suppresses Trans-Kingdom RNAi to Promote Disease Susceptibility.

732   Cell Host Microbe. Elsevier Inc.; 2019;25: 153–165.e5.

733   doi:10.1016/j.chom.2018.11.007

734   39.   Goritschnig S, Steinbrenner AD, Grunwald DJ, Staskawicz BJ. Structurally

735   distinct Arabidopsis thaliana NLR immune receptors recognize tandem WY

736   domains of an oomycete effector. New Phytol. 2016;210: 984–96.

737   40.   Fletcher K, Gil J, Bertier LD, Kenefick A, Wood KJ, Zhang L, et al. Genomic

738   signatures of heterokaryosis in the oomycete pathogen Bremia lactucae. Nat

739   Commun. 2019;10: 2645. doi:10.1101/516526

740   41.   Pelgrom AJE, Eikelhof J, Elberse J, Meisrimler CN, Raedts R, Klein J, et al.

741   Recognition of lettuce downy mildew effector BLR38 in Lactuca serriola

742   LS102 requires two unlinked loci. Molecular Plant Pathology. 2018: 240–253.

743   doi:10.1111/mpp.12751

744   42.   Shen D, Li Q, Sun P, Zhang M, Dou D. Intrinsic disorder is a common structural

745   characteristic of RxLR effectors in oomycete pathogens. Fungal Biol. Elsevier

746   Ltd; 2017;121: 911–919. doi:10.1016/j.funbio.2017.07.005

747   43.   Maisonneuve B. Lactuca virosa, a source of disease resistance genes for

748   lettuce breeding: results and difficulties for gene introgression. Eucarpia leafy

749   Veg '03. 2003;2003: 61–67. Available:

750   http://www.leafyvegetables.nl/download/12_061-067_maisonneuve.pdf

751   44.   Brameier M, Krings A, MacCallum RM. NucPred - Predicting nuclear

752   localization of proteins. Bioinformatics. 2007;23: 1159–1160.

753   doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btm066

754   45.   Anderson RG, Deb D, Fedkenheuer K, Mcdowell JM. Recent Progress in RXLR

755   Effector Research. Mol Plant Microbe Interact. 2015;28: 1063–1072.

756   doi:10.1094/MPMI-01-15-0022-CR

757   46.   Marin M, Uversky VN, Ott T. Intrinsic Disorder in Pathogen Effectors: Protein

758   Flexibility as an Evolutionary Hallmark in a Molecular Arms Race. Plant Cell.

759   2013;25: 3153–3157. doi:10.1105/tpc.113.116319

760   47.   Uversky VN. Intrinsic disorder-based protein interactions and their

761   modulators. Curr Pharm Des. 2013;19: 4191–213. Available:

762   http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23170892

763   48.   Iakoucheva LM, Brown CJ, Lawson JD, Obradović Z, Dunker AK. Intrinsic

764   Disorder in Cell-signaling and Cancer-associated Proteins. J Mol Biol.

765   2002;323: 573–584. doi:10.1016/S0022-2836(02)00969-5

766   49.   Meyers BC, Kozik A, Griego A, Kuang H, Michelmore RW. Genome-Wide

767   Analysis of NBS-LRR – Encoding Genes in Arabidopsis. 2003;15: 809–834.

768   doi:10.1105/tpc.009308.During

769   50.   Hofberger JA, Jones JDG. A Novel Approach for Multi-Domain and Multi-Gene

770   Family Identification Provides Insights into Evolutionary Dynamics of Disease

771   Resistance Genes in Core Eudicot Plants Netherlands Chinese Academy of

772   Sciences / Max Planck Partner Institute for Computational. 2014;31: 1–35.

773   51.   He Q, McLellan H, Hughes RK, Boevink PC, Armstrong M, Lu Y, et al.

774   *Phytophthora infestans* effector SFI 3 targets potato UBK to suppress early

775   immune transcriptional responses. New Phytol. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd

776   (10.1111); 2018; nph.15635. doi:10.1111/nph.15635

777   52.   Vleeshouwers VGAA, Oliver RP. Effectors as tools in disease resistance

778   breeding against biotrophic, hemibiotrophic, and necrotrophic plant

779   pathogens. Mol Plant Microbe Interact. 2014;27: 196–206.

780   doi:10.1094/MPMI-10-13-0313-IA

781   53.   Giesbers AKJ, Pelgrom AJE, Visser RGF, Niks RE, Van den Ackerveken G,

782   Jeuken MJW. Effector-mediated discovery of a novel resistance gene against

783   *Bremia lactucae* in a nonhost lettuce species. New Phytol. 2017;

784   doi:10.1111/nph.14741

785   54.   Giesbers AKJ, Boer E Den, Braspenning DNJ, Bouten TPH, Specken JW, van

786   Kaauwen MPW, et al. Bidirectional backcrosses between wild and cultivated

787   lettuce identify loci involved in nonhost resistance to downy mildew. Theor

788   Appl Genet. Springer Berlin Heidelberg; 2018; 1–16. doi:10.1007/s00122-

789   018-3112-8

55.   Leonelli L, Pelton J, Schoeffler A, Dahlbeck D, Berger J, Wemmer DE, et al.

Structural elucidation and functional characterization of the

Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis effector protein ATR13. Tyler B, editor. PLoS

Pathog. Public Library of Science; 2011;7: e1002428.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002428

56.   Michelmore RW, Christopoulou M, Caldwell KS. Impacts of resistance gene

genetics, function, and evolution on a durable future. Annu Rev Phytopathol.

2013;51: 291–319. doi:10.1146/annurev-phyto-082712-102334

57.   Eddy SR. Accelerated Profile HMM Searches. PLoS Computational Biology.

2011. p. e1002195. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002195

58.   Petersen TN, Brunak S, von Heijne G, Nielsen H. SignalP 4.0: discriminating

signal peptides from transmembrane regions. Nat Methods. Nature Publishing

Group; 2011;8: 785–786. doi:10.1038/nmeth.1701

59.   Käll L, Krogh A, Sonnhammer ELL. Advantages of combined transmembrane

topology and signal peptide prediction-the Phobius web server. Nucleic Acids

Res. 2007;35: 429–432. doi:10.1093/nar/gkm256

60.   Peng K, Radivojac P, Vucetic S, Dunker AK, Obradovic Z. Length-dependent

prediction of protein in intrinsic disorder. BMC Bioinformatics. 2006;7: 1–17.

doi:10.1186/1471-2105-7-208

61.   Edgar RC. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high

throughput. Nucleic Acids Res. 2004;32: 1792–7. doi:10.1093/nar/gkh340

62.   Letunic I, Bork P. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL): an online tool for

phylogenetic tree display and annotation. Bioinformatics. 2007;23: 127–8.

doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btl529

63.   Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. Basic local alignment

search tool. J Mol Biol. 1990;215: 403–410. doi:10.1016/S0022-

2836(05)80360-2

64.   Baxter L, Tripathy S, Ishaque N, Boot N, Cabral A, Kemen E, et al. Signatures of

adaptation to obligate biotrophy in the Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis

genome. Science (80-). 2010;330: 1549–1551. doi:10.1126/science.1195203

65.   Lamour KH, Mudge J, Gobena D, Hurtado-Gonzales OP, Schmutz J, Kuo A, et al.

Genome sequencing and mapping reveal loss of heterozygosity as a

mechanism for rapid adaptation in the vegetable pathogen Phytophthora

capsici. [Internet]. Molecular plant-microbe interactions : MPMI. 2012. pp.

1350–60. doi:10.1094/MPMI-02-12-0028-R

66.   Broad Institute. Phytophthora parasitica INRA-310 Genome sequencing and

assembly. [Internet]. 2018 [cited 6 Jun 2018]. Available:

https://data.nal.usda.gov/dataset/phytophthora-parasitica-inra-310-

genome-sequencing-and-assembly

67.   Jiang RHY, de Bruijn I, Haas BJ, Belmonte R, Löbach L, Christie J, et al.

Distinctive Expansion of Potential Virulence Genes in the Genome of the

Oomycete Fish Pathogen Saprolegnia parasitica. PLoS Genet. 2013;9:

e1003272. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003272

68.   Yin L, An Y, Qu J, Li X, Zhang Y, Dry I, et al. Genome sequence of Plasmopara

viticola and insight into the pathogenic mechanism. Sci Rep. Nature

Publishing Group; 2017;7: 1–12. doi:10.1038/srep46553

69. Dussert Y, Couture C, Mazet ID, Gouzy J, Piron M-C, Kuchly C, et al. Genome

assembly and annotation of Plasmopara viticola, the grapevine downy mildew

pathogen [Internet]. Portail Data Inra; 2019. doi:doi/10.15454/4NYHD6

70. Derevnina L, Reyes-Chin Wo S, Martin F, Wood K, Froenicke L, Spring O, et al.

Genome Sequence and Architecture of the Tobacco Downy Mildew Pathogen,

Peronospora tabacina. Mol plant-microbe Interact. 2015;28: 1198–1215.

doi:10.1094/MPMI-05-15-0112-R

71. Fletcher K, Klosterman SJ, Derevnina L, Martin F, Bertier LD, Koike S, et al.

Comparative genomics of downy mildews reveals potential adaptations to

biotrophy. BMC Genomics. BMC Genomics; 2018;19: 8–10.

doi:10.1186/s12864-018-5214-8

72. Zhong S, Joung JG, Zheng Y, Chen YR, Liu B, Shao Y, et al. High-throughput

illumina strand-specific RNA sequencing library preparation. Cold Spring

Harb Protoc. 2011;6: 940–949. doi:10.1101/pdb.prot5652

73. Andrews S. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data

[Internet]. 2010.

74. Li H. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with

BWA-MEM. 2013;00: 1–3. doi:10.1186/s13756-018-0352-y

75. Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, et al. STAR:

Ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics. 2013;29: 15–21.

doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635

76.   Warnes GR, Bolker B, Bonebakker L, Gentleman R, Huber W, Liaw A, et al.

Package 'gplots' [Internet]. 2012. Available: http://cran.r-project.org

77.   Team RC. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. [Internet].

Vienna, Austria.: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2014. Available:

http://www.r-project.org/

78.   Earley KW, Haag JR, Pontes O, Opper K, Juehne T, Song K, et al. Gateway-

compatible vectors for plant functional genomics and proteomics. Plant J.

2006;45: 616–629. doi:10.1111/j.1365-313X.2005.02617.x

79.   Wroblewski T, Tomczak A, Michelmore R. Optimization of Agrobacterium-

mediated transient assays of gene expression in lettuce, tomato and

Arabidopsis. Plant Biotechnol J. 2005;3: 259–273. Available:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17173625

80.   Wroblewski T, Caldwell KS, Piskurewicz U, Cavanaugh KA, Xu H, Kozik A, et al.

Comparative large-scale analysis of interactions between several crop species

and the effector repertoires from multiple pathovars of Pseudomonas and

Ralstonia. Plant Physiol. 2009;150: 1733–49. doi:10.1104/pp.109.140251

81.   Sperschneider J, Catanzariti AM, Deboer K, Petre B, Gardiner DM, Singh KB, et

al. LOCALIZER: Subcellular localization prediction of both plant and effector

proteins in the plant cell. Sci Rep. Nature Publishing Group; 2017;7: 1–14.

doi:10.1038/srep44598

82.   Felix G, Duran JD, Volko S, Boller T. Plants have a sensitive perception system

for the most conserved domain of bacterial flagellin. Plant J. 1999;18: 265–

276. doi:10.1046/j.1365-313X.1999.00265.x

83.   Jamir Y, Guo M, Oh HS, Petnicki-Ocwieja T, Chen S, Tang X, et al. Identification

of Pseudomonas syringae type III effectors that can suppress programmed

cell death in plants and yeast. Plant J. 2004;37: 554–565. doi:10.1046/j.1365-

313X.2003.01982.x

Figure legends

Figure 1. WY effector candidates from *B. lactucae* isolate SF5. (A) UPGMA

consensus tree of the 59 predicted WY effectors from *B. lactucae* isolate SF5 based

on whole protein amino acid sequence alignment using MUSCLE. Sequences that

appear to be allelic are indicated with asterisks next to the sequence name.

Bootstrap values and branch lengths are given for closely related proteins. Signal

peptides are shown as circles (red circle for SP; white circle for no SP). RXLR motifs

are shown as triangles (black triangle for RXLR ([RQGH]xLR or RXL[QKG]); grey

triangle for degenerate RXLR ([RKHGQ][X]{0,1}[LMYFIV][RNK]), white triangle for

no RXLR-like sequence) followed by an inverted triangle for EER motifs (yellow

triangle for [DE][DE][RK], white triangle for no EER-like sequence). WY domain

architecture is shown using blue rectangles, with a black line representing the total

length of the protein and rectangle position representing the location of the WY

motif as predicted by HMMer 3.0. (B) Sequence logo for the WY domain from *B.*

lactucae built from a multiple sequence alignment of WY domains predicted by

HMMer 3.0.

Figure 2. Distribution of predicted secreted WY effectors with or without RXLR

and/or EER motifs in the genomes of downy mildew pathogens and

***Phytophthora* species.** HMMer was used to search predicted secretomes for each

species for the WY domain. For the WY domaining containing proteins, the presence

of RXLR and EER were determined by searching for [RQGH]XLR or RXL[QKG] within

the first 60 amino acids after the signal peptide and [DE][DE][KR] within the first

100 amino acids after the signal peptide. For the non-WY domain containing

proteins, the RXLR and EER was determined by searching for a strict "RXLR" with

[DE][DE][KR], within the first 60 and 100 amino acids, respectively, plus proteins

found by searching for the RXLR-EER domain using the RXLR-EER HMM from [14].

Figure 3. Intrinsic disorder in the first 150 N-terminal amino acid sequences

of RXLR and/or WY containing candidate effectors in *B. lactucae*. Proteins were

categorized as WY with no RXLR (yellow), WYs with RXLR (black), RXLR+EER (with

or without WY, purple), and the total predicted secretome (blue). RXLR and EER

motifs were as described in Fig 2. Average positional disorder scores [42] with

standard error are shown for each group of proteins.

Figure 4. Lineage specificity of *B. lactucae* candidate secreted WY effectors.

Secreted WY proteins predicted in isolate SF5 of *B. lactucae* were used as a query for

BLASTp against other oomycete translated ORFs. Isolate C82P24 was queried for *B.*

lactucae. The best BLAST hit percent identity for each protein was calculated. The

box plot shows the distribution of the best BLAST hits per *B. lactucae* WY protein

from each species, with each dot representing an individual data point. No hits were

observed to *Albugo laibachii, Saprolegnia parasitica,* or *Pythium ultimum.*

Figure 5. RNA-seq expression levels during infection for the *B. lactucae* WY

effector candidates. The transcripts per million (TPM) of each WY-encoding gene

was normalized by the total number of reads per kilobase assigned to *B. lactucae*

from RNAseq analysis of infected cotyledons over a seven-day time-course. This

value was log10(+1) transformed to enable visualization. The four highest

expressed WY encoding genes do not have recognizable RxLR translocation

associated motifs.

Figure 6. Results of the screen for recognition of *B. lactucae* WY effector by

diverse genotypes of lettuce. Candidate effectors were expressed in lettuce leaves

using Agroinfiltration and leaves were scored for their reaction four to seven days

post-infiltration. Qualitative scores were converted into numeric scores for data

analysis: 0 = no reaction (green), 1 = mild chlorosis (blue-green), 2 = chlorosis

(yellow), 3 = mild necrosis or mixture of chlorosis and necrosis (pink), and 4 = full

necrosis (magenta). The figure shows average scores for each effector on each

genotype; only accessions and effectors that had a necrotic or chlorotic response are

shown. Scores for all accessions screened and sample sizes can be found at

http://bremia.ucdavis.edu/BIL/BIL_interaction.php. Two groups of 28 and 11

accessions of *L. saligna* that had identical reactions are shown together; the

individual accessions making up each group are shown in Supplementary Table 2.

Figure 7. Example Agroinfiltration results of reactions of *L. sativa* ViAE and

ViCQ and their progenitor R gene donors *L. virosa* LS238 and LS241 to several

***B. lactucae* effectors.** Photos are representative of a typical leaf. *B. lactucae*

candidate effectors either elicited necrosis (brown areas) indicative of an immune

recognition response (magenta text) or did not elicit a response (aqua text). GFP

and HopM1 were used as negative and positive controls for necrosis, respectively.

Leaves were collected five days post-infiltration and the first column for each

accession shows the uncleared leaf tissue; the second column shows leaf tissue

cleared in ethanol.

Figure 8. Subcellular localization of three *B. lactucae* WY effectors in lettuce.

Confocal images of lettuce expressing YFP fusion proteins. For YFP-SW4, split

images of (A) DAPI, (B) Chloroplast autofluorescence, (C) YFP, and (D) Merged show

nuclear localization. For YFP-SW1 (E) and YFP-SW3 (F), merged images of DAPI

(blue), chlorophyll autofluorescence (red), and YFP (yellow) show cytoplasmic or

periplasmic localization.

Figure 9. Effect of *B. lactucae* candidate WY effectors on flg22-triggered

immune response. Luminescence plots measuring effect of candidate effectors on

flg22-triggered PTI induction in *N. benthamiana* leaf discs. (A) Luminescence

averaged across biological replicates (14–24 reps each) for each effector over time.

(B) Bar plots of the average area under the curve (AUC) for luminescence over 40

minutes for each effector (N=14–24), with flg22 (red) or without flg22 (aqua).

Asterisks indicate averages in the flg22+ condition that are statistically different

from the average of GFP in the flg22+ condition (t-test, $p < 0.05$).

List of Supplemental Materials:

Supplemental Table 1. RXLR-like sequences observed within 100 amino acids of
the N-terminus of *B. lactucae* WY proteins, sorted by first amino acid.
984
985   **Supplemental Figure 1.** The number of RXLR-like motifs detected in the first 100
986   amino acids of the N-terminus of *B. lactucae* WY effectors.
987
988   **Supplemental Figure 2.** Intrinsic disorder of first 150 amino acids of RXLR and WY
989   containing candidate effectors mined from other oomycete genomes.
990
991   **Supplemental Figure 3.** Agroinfiltration results from BLN06-SF5 and sequence
992   comparison between BLN06 between SF5 and BL24.
993
994   **Supplemental File 1.** Sequences and NCBI reference numbers of the 59 WY
995   proteins predicted from the *B. lactucae* SF5 genome assembly.
996
997   **Supplemental File 2.** Lettuce genotypes tested for recognition of *B. lactucae*
998   effectors.
999
1000   **Supplemental File 3.** Primers used in Gateway Cloning of the *B. lactucae* effectors.
1001
1002

Figures and Tables

Figure 1. WY effector candidates from *B. lactucae* isolate SF5.

Figure 2. Distribution of predicted secreted WY effectors with or without RXLR and/or EER motifs in the genomes of downy mildew pathogens and *Phytophthora* species.

Figure 3. Intrinsic disorder in the first 150 N-terminal amino acid sequences

of RXLR and/or WY containing candidate effectors in *B. lactucae*.

Figure 4. Lineage specificity of *B. lactucae* candidate secreted WY effectors.

Figure 5. RNA-seq expression levels during infection for the *B. lactucae* WY

effector candidates.

Genotype	species	BLR13	BLR36	BLN06	BLN08	BSW03	BSW04m	BSW04m/p	BSW04p	BSW11a	BSW11b	BSW13	BSW14	BSW19	GFP	+control
CGN14312	indica	1.3	3.0	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	1.5	1.5	1.5	2.8	1.0	0.8	3.9
CGN14316	indica	0.8	1.5	0.0	0.5	0.4	1.5	2.3	1.0	1.0	1.8	1.6	0.6	0.0	0.4	3.8
CGN5282	saligna	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.5	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	4.0
CGN5309	saligna	0.0	2.8	0.0	3.8	0.3	0.6	0.3	1.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	4.0	0.0	0.7	4.0
PI491000	saligna	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	1.5	1.6	0.0	0.0	3.9
CGN5329	saligna	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.4	0.1	0.9	1.0	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.8	2.5	0.3	3.9
CGN5330	saligna	0.0	0.0	0.8	3.3	0.0	0.6	1.0	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.5	0.0	0.1	4.0
CGN5322	saligna	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.5	0.2	0.1	1.0	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.1	4.0
PI491208	saligna	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.6	0.6	0.6	2.0	3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.7	4.0
CGN5318	saligna	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.5	0.8	0.3	2.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.1	3.7
PI491207	saligna	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	1.1	2.0	2.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.2	4.0
25 acc.	saligna	0.0	0.2	0.0	3.0	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.5	0.1	0.1	3.9
11 acc.	saligna	0.0	2.9	0.0	3.6	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	4.0	0.0	0.2	4.0
CGN5309	saligna	0.0	2.5	0.0	3.7	0.3	0.6	0.3	1.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	4.0	0.0	0.3	4.0
CGN5314	saligna	0.0	3.3	0.0	3.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.4	4.0
CGN5315	saligna	0.0	1.8	0.0	3.9	0.3	1.0	0.8	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	4.0
Blonde	sativa	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	1.0	0.0	2.0	2.7	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.2	4.0
Capitan	sativa	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.2	0.2	3.3	3.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	3.8
Capsule	sativa	0.0	0.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	4.0
Femke	sativa	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.4	3.8	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0
Fenston	sativa	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.6	1.3	1.5	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	3.6
FrRsal-1	sativa	0.0	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	3.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.8
Ninja	sativa	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	2.0	3.4	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	4.0
Pennlake	sativa	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.1	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.5
PI491226	sativa	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.7	0.6	0.5	1.0	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.1	3.9
RYZ2164	sativa	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0
Salvius	sativa	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.6
UCDM14	sativa	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.4	0.0	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.4	3.8
Versaï	sativa	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.7	-	0.0	3.2	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0
ViAE	sativa	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.4	3.5	3.9	2.0	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.8	0.0	0.1	3.7
ViCQ	sativa	1.0	0.0	0.0	3.9	2.8	3.8	3.3	3.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	0.0	0.1	3.8
Arm999	serriola	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.6	4.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0
CGN14280	serriola	2.2	0.0	0.5	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	3.3
ISR-380	serriola	0.5	0.5	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	-	0.3	1.0	2.5	0.4	4.0
LS102	serriola	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	2.3	1.3	0.2	4.0
PI491108	serriola	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.3	0.0	0.0	4.0
PI491178	serriola	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0
CGN14305	virosa	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.7	0.9	1.5	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.4	3.6
CGN4683	virosa	-	-	-	3.5	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	4.0
CGN5333	virosa	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3	1.5	0.0	3.9
CGN9365	virosa	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.8	0.0	0.0	4.0
LS238	virosa	0.0	0.0	-	1.8	2.0	3.9	0.0	3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	3.4
LS241	virosa	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.5	2.3	3.9	0.0	3.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.5	0.0	0.0	3.1

Color Key	Score
No reaction	0
Mild chlorosis	1
Chlorosis	2
Mild Necrosis	3
Necrosis	4
No data	-

Figure 6. Results of the screen for recognition of *B. lactucae* WY effector by diverse genotypes of lettuce.

Figure 7. Example Agroinfiltration results of reactions of *L. sativa* ViAE and ViCQ and their respective progenitor R gene donors *L. virosa* LS241 and LS238 to several *B. lactucae* effectors.

31

32 **Figure 8. Subcellular localization of three *B. lactucae* WY effectors in lettuce.**

Figure 9. Effect of *B. lactucae* candidate WY effectors on flg22-triggered

immune response.