T cell priming is enhanced by maturation-dependent stiffening of the dendritic cell cortex

Daniel Blumenthal, Lyndsay Avery, Vidhi Chandra, and Janis K. Burkhardt*

Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine,

Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Research Institute and

Perelman School of Medicine at the University of Pennsylvania,

Philadelphia, PA, USA

*Correspondence:
Janis K. Burkhardt
Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine
Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Research Institute
3615 Civic Center Blvd, ARC 815D,
Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA
Tel: 267-426-5410
E-mail: jburkhar@pennmedicine.upenn.edu

ABSTRACT

T cell activation by dendritic cells (DCs) involves forces exerted by the T cell actin cytoskeleton,

which are opposed by the cortical cytoskeleton of the interacting APC. During an immune

response, DCs undergo a maturation process that optimizes their ability to efficiently prime

naïve T cells. Using atomic force microscopy, we find that during maturation, DC cortical

stiffness increases via process that involves actin polymerization. Using stimulatory hydrogels

and DCs expressing mutant cytoskeletal proteins, we find that increasing stiffness lowers the

agonist dose needed for T cell activation. CD4$^+$ T cells exhibit much more profound stiffness-

dependency than CD8$^+$ T cells. Finally, stiffness responses are most robust when T cells are

stimulated with pMHC rather than anti-CD3ε, consistent with a mechanosensing mechanism

involving receptor deformation. Taken together, our data reveal that maturation-associated

cytoskeletal changes alter the biophysical properties of DCs, providing mechanical cues that

costimulate T cell activation.

INTRODUCTION

The initiation of an adaptive immune response requires priming of naïve T cells by professional

antigen presenting cells (APCs). This process involves multiple receptor-ligand interactions,

which occur in concert at a specialized cell-cell contact site called the immunological synapse

(Dustin, 2014). Through these interactions, APCs transmit a highly orchestrated series of signals

that induce T cell activation and direct differentiation of T cell populations (Friedl, den Boer, &

Gunzer, 2005). While the biochemical aspects of this process have been the subject of many

studies, the contribution of mechanical cues is only now being uncovered.

Following initial T cell receptor (TCR) engagement, T cells apply pushing and pulling forces on

interacting APCs (Bashour et al., 2014; Hui, Balagopalan, Samelson, & Upadhyaya, 2015; Husson,

Chemin, Bohineust, Hivroz, & Henry, 2011; Sawicka et al., 2017). These forces are essential for

proper T cell activation (Li et al., 2010; Pryshchep, Zarnitsyna, Hong, Evavold, & Zhu, 2014).

Moreover, force application is responsible, at least in part, for the ability of T cells to rapidly

discriminate between agonist and antagonist antigens (Das et al., 2015; Liu, Chen, Evavold, &

Zhu, 2014). While the mechanism by which force is translated into biochemical cues remains

controversial (Das et al., 2015; Hong et al., 2015; Kim et al., 2009), there is evidence that early

tyrosine phosphorylation events downstream of TCR engagement occur at sites where applied

force is maximal (Bashour et al., 2014). Interestingly, the amount of force a T cell applies is

directly affected by the stiffness of the stimulatory substrate (Husson et al., 2011; Sawicka et al.,

2017). Thus, it appears that force application is mechanically coupled to the T cell's ability to

sense stiffness (mechanosensing). In other cell types, substrate stiffness has been shown to

affect a variety of cell functions including differentiation, migration, growth and survival (Byfield,

Reen, Shentu, Levitan, & Gooch, 2009; Discher, Janmey, & Wang, 2005; Engler, Sen, Sweeney, &

Discher; Lo, Wang, Dembo, & Wang; Oakes et al., 2009; Pelham & Wang, 1997; Solon, Levental,

Sengupta, Georges, & Janmey; Trappmann et al., 2012). Stiffness sensing in T cells has not been

well studied, though there is some evidence that substrate stiffness affects both initial priming

and effector functions (Basu et al., 2016; Judokusumo, Tabdanov, Kumari, Dustin, & Kam, 2012;

O'Connor et al., 2012; Saitakis et al., 2017). Since the physiologically relevant substrate for T cell

priming is the surface of the interacting APC, one might predict that changes in cortical stiffness

of the APC will profoundly influence T cell priming. However, this prediction remains untested,

and studies addressing the role of substrate stiffness in T cell priming did not take into

consideration the physiological stiffness of APCs.

Dendritic cells (DCs) are the dominant APCs that prime T cells *in vivo* (Jung et al., 2002). One of

the hallmarks of DC biology is the process of maturation. Immature DCs are sentinels of the

immune system, specialized for immune surveillance and antigen processing (Mellman &

Steinman, 2001). In response to infection or injury, inflammatory stimuli trigger signaling

pathways that induce molecular reprogramming of the cell. The resulting mature DCs express

high levels of surface ligands and cytokines needed for efficient T cell priming (Burns et al.,

2004). The maturation process is tightly associated with remodeling of the DC actin

cytoskeleton. This process underlies other maturation-associated changes such as

downregulation of endocytosis and increased migratory behavior (Garrett et al., 2000; West,

Prescott, Eskelinen, Ridley, & Watts, 2000). In addition, cytoskeletal remodeling has a direct

impact on the ability of mature DCs to prime T cells (Al-Alwan, Rowden, Lee, & West, 2001;

Comrie, Li, Boyle, & Burkhardt, 2015). Indeed, depolymerization of actin filaments perturbs the

ability of mature peptide-pulsed DCs to activate T cells, indicating that actin plays an important

role on the DC side of the immunological synapse. We hypothesized that maturation-associated

changes in the actin cytoskeleton modulate the stiffness of the DC cortex, and promote T cell

priming by providing physical resistance to the pushing and pulling forces exerted by the

interacting T cell.

In this work, we aimed to better understand the relationship between DC cortical stiffness and T

cell activation. We show that during maturation, DCs undergo a 2-3 fold increase in cortical

stiffness, and that T cell activation is sensitive to stiffness over the same range. Moreover, we

find that stiffness sensitivity is a general trait exhibited by most T cell populations. Since

mechanosensing occurs lowers the threshold signal required for T cell activation, we conclude

that stiffness serves as a novel biophysical costimulatory mechanism that functions in concert

with canonical signaling cues to facilitate T cell priming.

  RESULTS

  Dendritic cell stiffness increases upon maturation.

During maturation, DCs undergo a set of phenotypic changes that transform them into highly

effective APCs (Mellman & Steinman, 2001). We hypothesized that as part of this maturation

process, DCs might also modulate their cortical stiffness. To test this, we used atomic force

microscopy (AFM) to directly measure cortical stiffness of immature and mature DCs. Murine

bone marrow derived DCs (BMDCs) were prepared as described in Materials and Methods and

cultured in the absence or presence of LPS to induce maturation. Cells were plated on Poly L-

lysine (PLL) coated coverslips and allowed to spread for at least 4 hours prior to measurement of

cortical stiffness by AFM micro-indentation. Because the population of LPS-treated cells was

heterogeneous with respect to maturation markers, cells were labeled with fluorescent anti-

CD86, and immature (CD86-negative) or mature (CD86 high) cells were selected for AFM

measurements. As shown in Figure 1A, immature BMDCs were quite soft, with a mean Young's

modulus of 2.2±0.7 kPa. Mature BMDCs were almost two-fold stiffer, with a Young's modulus of

3.5±1.0 kPa. Importantly, the stiffness of CD86-negative BMDCs within the LPS-treated population

was the same as that of untreated, immature DCs. This demonstrates that the observed increase

in stiffness is a property of DC maturation rather than an unrelated response to LPS treatment.

Since BMDCs do not recapitulate all of the properties of classical, tissue resident DCs (Guilliams &

Malissen, 2015; Lutz, Inaba, Schuler, & Romani, 2016; Na, Jung, Gu, & Seok, 2016), we verified our

results by measuring the stiffness of *ex-vivo* DCs purified from spleens of untreated or LPS-injected

mice. Results were very similar; the stiffness of immature splenic DCs was nearly identical to that

of immature BMDCs, and LPS treatment resulted in an increase in stiffness of almost 3-fold (Figure

1B). These results demonstrate that stiffness modulation is a *bona fide* trait of DC maturation.

Moreover, they establish that the biologically relevant range of DC stiffness lies between 2 and 8

kPa.

The maturation-induced increase in stiffness is actin dependent and substrate independent.

One well-known feature of DC maturation is remodeling of the actin cytoskeleton. This process

involves changes in the activation status of Rho GTPases and downstream actin regulatory

proteins, and is known to downregulate antigen uptake and increase cell motility (Garrett et al.,

2000; West et al., 2000). To ask if changes in actin cytoarchitecture also result in increased cortical

stiffness, we treated immature and mature BMDCs with the actin-depolymerizing agents

Cytochalasin-D or Latrunculin-B. Neither drug affected the stiffness of immature BMDCs,

indicating that the basal level of stiffness depends on factors other than the actin cytoskeleton

(Figure 1C). In contrast, both drugs induced a significant decrease in the stiffness of mature DCs,

with Cytochalasin reducing their stiffness to that of immature DCs. We conclude that the

increased cortical stiffness observed upon DC maturation is another feature of actin cytoskeletal

reprogramming.

Some cell types regulate their stiffness in response to the stiffness of their substrate (Byfield et

al., 2009; Tee, Fu, Chen, & Janmey, 2011). To test whether DCs exhibit this behavior, immature

and mature BMDCs were plated on PLL-coated substrates of different compliances (hydrogels of

2 or 25 kPa, or glass surfaces in the GPa range) and allowed to spread on the surface for at least

4 hours prior to AFM measurement. No apparent difference in cell spreading or morphology could

be noted on the different PLL-coated hydrogels (data not shown). Hydrogel compliance was

verified by measuring the elastic modulus of the surface in areas devoid of cells (Figure 1 - Figure

Supplement 1). As shown in Figure 1D, substrate compliance had no effect on cortical stiffness of

either immature or mature BMDCs. In control studies, we could readily detect substrate-

dependent changes in stiffness of normal fibroblast cells (not shown). Thus, we conclude that DCs

maintain a specific cortical stiffness, which is characteristic of their maturation state.

DC cortical stiffness is primarily controlled by actin polymerization

We next sought to identify the molecular mechanisms controlling DC cortical stiffness. Several

actin regulatory mechanisms are known to change during DC maturation. In particular, mature

DCs upregulate the actin bundling protein fascin (Yamashiro, 2012), they show activation of

myosin-dependent processes (van Helden et al., 2008), and they undergo changes in the

activation and localization of Rho-GTPases, which in turn regulate actin polymerization via the

Arp2/3 complex and formins (Burns, Thrasher, Blundell, Machesky, & Jones, 2001; Garrett et al.,

2000; West et al., 2000). To ask how each of these pathways influences cortical stiffness, we used

small molecule inhibitors and DCs from relevant knockout mice. Note that to facilitate comparison

between experiments, control immature and mature DCs were tested in each experiment, and

results were normalized based on values for mature DCs. First, we tested the role of fascin, which

is known to generate very stiff actin bundles *in vitro* (Demoulin, Carlier, Bibette, & Baudry, 2014).

Surprisingly, the stiffness of BMDCs from fascin^{-/-} mice was indistinguishable from that of WT

BMDCs both before and after LPS-induced maturation (Figure 2A). Next, we tested the

contribution of myosin contractility, which is known to control stiffness and membrane tension in

other cell types (Salbreux, Charras, & Paluch, 2012). As shown in Figure 2B, treating mature

BMDCs with the myosin II inhibitor blebbistatin reduced stiffness by a small, albeit statistically

significant amount. Similar results were obtained with the Rho-kinase (ROCK) inhibitor Y27623,

which indirectly inhibits myosin function.

We next considered the possibility that cortical stiffness is modulated by actin polymerization.

Broadly speaking, actin polymerization is induced by two sets of proteins: formins generate linear

actin filaments, while activators of the Arp2/3 complex produce branched actin structures.

Treatment of DCs with the pan-formin inhibitor SMIFH2 significantly reduced the cortical stiffness

of mature DCs (Figure 2B). A similar reduction was observed after inhibition of Arp2/3-mediated

branched actin polymerization by CK666. DCs express multiple activators of Arp2/3 complex, of

which two have been implicated in maturation-associated changes in actin architecture:

Hematopoietic Lineage Cell-Specific Protein 1 (HS1), the hematopoietic homologue of cortactin

(Huang et al., 2011), and WASp, the protein defective in Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome (Bouma, Burns,

& Thrasher, 2007; Bouma et al., 2011; Calle, Chou, Thrasher, & Jones, 2004). To individually assess

the role of these two proteins, we used BMDCs cultured from HS1 and WASp knockout mice. As

shown in Figure 2A, loss of HS1 had no impact on cortical stiffness of either immature or mature

BMDCs. In contrast, mature WASp knockout BMDCs were significantly less stiff than WT controls.

This difference mirrors that seen after inhibition of Arp2/3 complex by CK666, suggesting that

WASp is the primary activator of Arp2/3 complex-dependent changes in cortical stiffness. The

defect in WASp knockout DCs was observed only after maturation; immature WASp knockout DCs

did not differ in stiffness from WT controls. This is consistent with our finding that the stiffness of

immature DCs is unaffected by actin depolymerizing agents. Taken together, these results show

that activation of formin and WASp-dependent actin polymerization pathways, and to a lesser

extent increased myosin contractility, all contribute to the increased cortical stiffness of mature

DCs.

Stiffness lowers the threshold for activation of CD4^{+} T cells, but not CD8^{+} T cells.

Our findings raise the possibility that changes in DC cortical stiffness, like other maturation-

induced changes, enhance the ability of these cells to prime a T cell response. Previous studies

have shown that T cells are sensitive to the stiffness of stimulatory surfaces (Judokusumo et al.,

2012; O'Connor et al., 2012), and that that the TCR serves as the mechanosensory (Judokusumo

et al., 2012). However, the results are conflicting, and these earlier studies were not performed

within the physiological stiffness range that we have defined for DCs. Thus, we tested T cell

responses on hydrogels with a stiffness range spanning that of immature and mature DCs (2 – 25

kPa). We verified the compliance of the hydrogel surfaces by measuring the elastic modulus of

the surfaces directly by AFM. Hydrogel stiffnesses were found to be similar to those reported by

the manufacturer (Figure 3 - Figure Supplement 1). Surfaces were coated with varying doses of

peptide-loaded major histocompatibility complex (pMHC) molecules, together with a constant

dose of anti-CD28. Surfaces were coated with H-2K^b class I MHC loaded with the N4 (SIINFEKL)

peptide (pMHC-I), or I-A^b class II MHC loaded with the OVA$_{329-337}$ (AAHAEINEA) peptide (pMHC-

II), to stimulate OT-I CD8$^+$ or OT-II CD4$^+$ T cells, respectively. Plastic surfaces, which are commonly

used for stimulation with surface-bound ligands, were included as a familiar reference point. To

test the effects of substrate stiffness on early T cell activation, we measured surface expression

of the activation markers CD25 and CD69, as well as the production of IL-2, all at 24 hours post

stimulation. As shown in Figure 3A-C, CD4$^+$ T cells showed a profound stiffness-dependent

response at 24 hours across all measures. This response was most clearly seen for upregulation

of CD25 and CD69, where increasing substrate stiffness enhanced the CD4+ T cell response in a

graded manner. As expected, for any given substrate stiffness T cell activation increased with

increasing peptide dose. However, comparison between stimulatory surfaces revealed that

increasing substrate stiffness lowered the pMHC-II dose required to obtain the same level of

activation. Over the stiffness range associated with DC maturation (2-8kPa), the dose of TCR signal

needed to induce surface marker upregulation was shifted by 1-2 logs. Analysis of IL-2 production

revealed a similar effect, although the stiffness sensitivity was more bi-modal. IL-2 production

increased almost 3-fold when CD4+ T cells were stimulated on surfaces of 8kPa, as opposed to

2kPa, for the same antigen dose.

Strikingly, the robust stiffness response we observed in CD4$^+$ T cells was not recapitulated for CD8$^+$

T cells, especially when T cell activation was assessed based on surface marker upregulation

(Figure 3D,E). Analysis of IL-2 production did reveal stiffness-enhanced activation of naïve CD8$^+$ T

cells, but this was largely restricted to substrates outside the stiffness range defined for DCs

(12kPa, 25 kPa and plastic).

To determine if the stiffness dependency of CD4^{+} T cells seen at early times after TCR engagement

is maintained at later times, we measured T cell proliferation based on CFSE dilution at 72 hours

post stimulation. Similar to what was observed for early activation markers, increasing substrate

stiffness produced graded increases in CD4^{+} T cell proliferation, and the threshold dose required

to induce robust proliferation shifted as a function of substrate stiffness (Figure 4A,B). This effect

was particularly evident at low doses of pMHC-II (0.1-1ug/ml). Interestingly, although soft

hydrogels (2-4 kPa) elicited only very low levels of CD4^{+} T cell proliferation, these substrates did

induce upregulation of CD25 in a high percentage of CD4^{+} T cells, even in the undivided

populations (Figure 4C,D). This indicates that an activating signal was received, but was

insufficient to drive proliferation.

Since the threshold stimuli (stiffness and dose of pMHC-II) required to induce significant IL-2

production and proliferation were very similar (Figures 3C and 4B), we reasoned that the

threshold for proliferation might be driven by IL-2 availability. To test this, OT-II CD4^{+} T cells were

stimulated on hydrogels with or without addition of 25 U/ml exogenous IL-2. Interestingly,

addition of IL-2 did not rescue the proliferation of T cells stimulated on soft surfaces (Figure 4E).

Thus, we conclude that in addition to influencing the signaling threshold for IL-2 production,

substrate stiffness also affects other IL-2 independent events needed for efficient T cell

proliferation.

Although early activation events in CD8^{+} T cells did not exhibit stiffness sensitivity, we reasoned

that proliferative responses might behave differently. As shown in (Figure 5A,B), CD8^{+} T cells

showed a mild stiffness-dependent proliferation response. The concentration of stimulatory

ligand needed to induce at least one round of division was similar across the entire stiffness range

Over successive rounds, increased stiffness did enhance the extent of proliferation, but the

differences were relatively small (Figure 5B). Interestingly, analysis of CFSE dilution as a function

of CD25 expression reveals evidence that CD8$^+$ T cells exhibit a binary stiffness response (Figure 5

C,D); at low doses of peptide ligand, cells stimulated on very stiff substrates (25kPa or plastic)

survived and proliferated, whereas cells stimulated on softer substrates were mostly lost (Figure

5C). From the standpoint of T cell priming, the significance of this observation is unclear, since

these stiffnesses are well outside the biologically relevant range we measured for DCs.

Taken together, our findings point to a mechanism in which stiffer substrates have a sensitizing

effect on CD4$^+$ T cells, similar to that of classical co-stimulatory molecules such as CD28 (Harding,

McArthur, Gross, Raulet, & Allison, 1992). When considered in this way, the relative lack of

stiffness responses in CD8$^+$ T cells fits with the fact that CD8$^+$ T cells are much less dependent on

costimulatory signals (McAdam, Schweitzer, & Sharpe, 1998).

Degranulation of cytotoxic T cells shows mild stiffness sensitivity.

Whereas naïve T cells are activated by DCs, effector T cells interact with many cell types. In

particular, cytotoxic CD8$^+$ T cells (CTLs) must respond to a variety of possible target cells, which

may differ widely with respect to stiffness. We therefore reasoned that CTL effectors might be

stiffness independent. To test this, *ex vivo* OTI CD8$^+$ T cells were activated on plastic surfaces and

grown in the presence of exogenous IL-2 to produce mature CTLs. To induce and detect release

of cytolytic granules, CTLs were re-stimulated on hydrogels coated with a range of pMHC-I

concentrations in the presence of fluorescent anti-CD107a antibody and analyzed by flow

cytometry. Degranulation showed only a mild stiffness dependency (Figure 5E), and stiffness

tended to affect the magnitude of degranulation rather than whether or not a degranulation

response was triggered. Interestingly, changes in substrate stiffness within the range of defined

for DCs (2-8kPa) had little or no impact on degranulation. Increased degranulation was only seen

on stiffer surfaces (12 and 25 kPa). This could have functional consequences for effector function

in vivo, since target cells in inflamed tissues can reach this stiffness range.

Engagement the TCR complex by pMHC elicits the most prominent stiffness response

Many current models for TCR mechanosensing are founded on the notion of TCR deformation

following engagement of cognate pMHC (Ma, Janmey, & Finkel, 2008). According to this concept,

forces applied by the T cell on the TCR-pMHC bond result in conformational changes within TCR$\alpha\beta$

(primarily the β chain), which are transmitted to intracellular components of the TCR/CD3

complex, leading to the initiation of downstream signaling (Das et al., 2015; Lee et al., 2015;

Swamy et al., 2016). Given this, we wondered whether engaging the TCR complex through the

CD3ε chain, as compared to direct TCRαβ engagement, would differentially affect T cell

mechanosensing. To test this, CD4$^+$ OT-II T cells were stimulated on hydrogels coated with anti-

CD3ε antibodies, anti-TCRβ antibodies, or pMHC-II monomers. T cell activation was measured at

72 hours based on proliferation (CFSE dilution) and expression of CD25 (Figure 6). All three ligands

induced a stiffness-dependent response for both proliferation and CD25 expression, but the

responses differed in significant ways. In general, stimulating T cells with pMHC-II resulted in the

strongest responses on the hydrogel surfaces; only on plastic surfaces did anti-CD3ε stimulation

yield a similarly strong response (Figure 6A,C). (Figure 6A). Importantly, on surfaces with a

stiffness similar to that of mature DCs (8kPa), stimulation with pMHC resulted in robust

proliferation, while stimulation with anti-CD3ε yielded a minimal response. On softer substrates

in the 2-4kPa range, pMHC elicited some proliferation, whereas stimulation with anti-CD3 did not.

Interestingly, stimulation with anti-TCRβ resulted in a mixed response. At high doses of antigen,

stimulation with anti-TCRβ yielded clear proliferative responses on substrates within the

biologically-relevant stiffness range. Analysis of CD25 expression patterns revealed a similar trend

(Figure 6D-F); on soft surfaces, pMHC yielded the strongest response, and anti-TCRβ was more

effective than anti-CD3ε. Taken together, these results indicate that T cells sense substrate

stiffness best through direct engagement of TCRαβ.

The increased stiffness of mature DCs enhances their ability to prime T cells

Our hydrogel assays show that T cell activation is enhanced by changes in stiffness over the range

observed for DC maturation, consistent with the idea that modulation of cortical stiffness is a

biophysical mechanism by which DCs control T cell activation. To test this directly, we sought

conditions under which we could manipulate the stiffness of mature DCs. We took advantage of

our finding that mature WASp knockout BMDCs are approximately 20% softer than WT controls

(Figure 2A; data are presented as absolute values in Figure 7A). WT and WASp$^{-/-}$ BMDCs were

pulsed with increasing concentrations of OVA$_{323-339}$ peptide and co-cultured with OT-II CD4$^+$ T cells.

T cell proliferation was measured by CFSE dilution. As shown in Figure 7B, WASp$^{-/-}$ BMDCs did not

prime T cells as efficiently as WT BMDCs at low OVA concentrations. Higher concentrations of

OVA rescued this defect, showing that loss of WASp shifts the dose of peptide needed rather than

affecting T cell priming *per se,* in keeping with the view that DC stiffness provides a costimulatory

signal. We next attempted to test T cell priming activity of DCs that are stiffer than WT cells. We

tested several genetic manipulations, most of which did not significantly increase the cortical

stiffness of mature BMDCs. We did find that overexpression of a constitutively active form of

WASp (I294T, CA-WASp (Beel et al., 2009)) increased cortical stiffness of mature BMDCs by

approximately 20% relative to WT cells (Figure 7A), but these BMDCs failed to prime T cells more

efficiently (Figure 7C). Expression of CA-WASp only enhances BMDC stiffness to approximately

5kPa, and based on our hydrogel studies, this increase is unlikely to be sufficient to enhance T cell

activation. It seems likely that conditions that stiffen DCs to 10kPa or more would further enhance

T cell responses, but we were unable to test this directly, and it is not clear whether this happens

in vivo. Nonetheless, the studies using WASp-/- DCs show that changes in DC stiffness within the

range observed during maturation has a significant impact on their ability to efficiently prime a T

cell response.

DISCUSSION

Recent work from several labs clearly shows that T cell activation involves mechanical cues. We

have previously shown that the DC cytoskeleton constrains the mobility of stimulatory ligands

on the DC surface, enhancing T cell activation by opposing the forces exerted by the T cell on the

corresponding receptors (Comrie et al., 2015). In the current study, we elucidate a second

mechanism whereby the DC cytoskeleton enhances T cell activation. We show that actin

remodeling during DC maturation increases the cortical stiffness of DCs by 2-3 fold, and that T

cell activation is enhanced by increases in stiffness over the same range. Importantly, increased

stiffness lowers the threshold dose of TCR ligand needed for T cell activation, as expected if

substrate stiffness serves as a costimulatory signal. In keeping with this concept, CD4^{+} T cells

showed more profound stiffness-sensitivity than CD8^{+} T cells, especially at early times in the

activation process. Together, these results indicate that stiffening of the DC cortex during

maturation provides biophysical cues that work together with canonical costimulatory cues to

enhance T cell priming.

Modulation of actin architecture has long been appreciated as an essential feature of DC

maturation. Changes in the DC actin cytoskeleton facilitate the transition from highly endocytic

tissue-resident cells to migratory cells specialized for antigen presentation (Burns et al., 2004;

Burns et al., 2001). Our findings reveal a new facet of this process. We show that immature DCs

are very soft, and that upon maturation, their cortical stiffness is increased by 2-3 fold. This is

true for cultured BMDCs treated with LPS *in vitro*, as well and splenic DCs harvested from LPS-

treated mice. A similar trend was reported by Bufi et al for human monocyte-derived DCs (Bufi

et al., 2015), although that study reported lower absolute Young's modulus values. While we

used AFM indentation, Bufi et al. used microplate rheology. Since different methods for

measuring cell mechanical properties produce absolute Young's modulus values that can vary by

as much as 100 fold (Wu et al., 2018), it seems likely that the apparent discrepancy in absolute

values stems from technical differences between the two studies. Nevertheless, it is clear from

both studies that the stiffness of the DC cortex is modulated during maturation.

The observed increase in stiffness depends on changes in actin architecture; whereas

depolymerization of actin filaments has no effect on the stiffness of immature DCs, the increase

associated with maturation depends on intact filaments, and is sensitive to inhibitors of actin

polymerizing molecules. While it remains to be determined exactly which actin regulatory

pathways control cortical stiffness in mature DCs, our data show that both Arp2/3 complex and

formins are involved. Moreover, we find that DCs lacking the Arp2/3 activator WASp are

abnormally soft. In keeping with these findings, DC maturation is known to induce changes in

the activation state and localization of Rho family GTPases, especially Cdc42, a molecule that

can activate both WASp and formins (Garrett et al., 2000; Vargas et al., 2016; West et al., 2000).

Since the overall levels of active Cdc42 are diminished during DC activation, it seems likely that

the observed increase in cortical stiffness results from redistribution of the active pool.

We show that DC cortical stiffness is a cell-intrinsic property that is unaffected by substrate

stiffness. In this respect, DCs are different from other cell types that adapt their stiffness to

differences in substrate compliance (Byfield et al., 2009; Tee et al., 2011). The ability of DCs to

maintain constant stiffness despite changing environmental cues is reminiscent of previous work

showing that DCs rapidly change their method of locomotion in order to maintain consistent

migration speed and shape while crossing over different surfaces (Renkawitz et al., 2009). This

behavior has been proposed to allow DCs to pass through tissues with widely different

mechanical properties. In the same way, we propose that the ability of DCs to regulate cortical

stiffness as a function of maturation state in spite of environmental cues reflects the importance

of this property for priming an appropriate T cell response.

A central finding of this paper is that changes in DC stiffness serves as a costimulatory signal for

T cell priming. By using a matrix of different hydrogels spanning the biologically relevant range

defined for immature and mature DCs (2 – 8 kPa), coated with increasing pMHC concentrations,

we found that stimulatory substrates with lower stiffness required higher concentrations of

pMHC to achieve T cell activation. Similarly, when compared to WT DCs, softer WASp knockout

DCs required higher concentrations of OVA peptide to induce the same level of proliferation.

Our results indicate that increases in cortical stiffness, together with diminished ligand mobility

(Comrie et al., 2015), represent biophysical cues that are modulated in parallel with

upregulation of costimulatory ligands and cytokines as a fundamental part of DC maturation.

When interacting T cells engage pMHC complexes and costimulatory ligands on the DC surface,

they integrate this biophysical input along with other canonical costimulatory signals.

In addition to lowering the antigenic threshold for T cell activation, changes in stiffness may

present a new signaling mechanism by which DCs control T cell fate and differentiation. Bufi et

al. showed previously that human monocyte-derived DCs responding to different maturation

signals vary in their stiffness (Bufi et al., 2015). Interestingly, they found that treatment with the

tolerizing cytokines TNFα and prostaglandin E2 results in DCs that are even softer than

immature cells. Tolerogenic DCs exhibiting partially immature phenotypes have been shown to

induce differentiation of regulatory T cells (Doan, McNally, Thomas, & Steptoe, 2009; Gleisner,

Rosemblatt, Fierro, & Bono, 2011; Gordon, Ma, Churchman, Gordon, & Dawicki, 2014). This

effect is usually attributed to low expression of T cell ligands or cytokines, but based on our

data, we propose that biophysical properties of the DC cortex also play a role. Going forwards, it

will be important to ask how DC stiffness is modulated in response to different environmental

cues, and whether this further shapes T cell responses.

While we demonstrate T cell stiffness responses on soft surfaces emulating DCs, others have

reported T cell stiffness responses on very stiff surfaces (Judokusumo et al., 2012; O'Connor et

al., 2012). We found that very stiff substrates (25kPa hydrogels and plastic surfaces in the GPa

range) elicit strong responses. This was true for proliferation, IL-2 secretion and degranulation.

Similarly, recent analysis of human CD4^{+} effector T cells shows that re-stimulation on soft

surfaces induces upregulation of genes related to cytokine signaling and proliferation, while

restimulation on very stiff surfaces (100 kPa) triggers expression of an additional genetic

program that includes metabolic proteins related to glycolysis and respiratory electron transport

(Saitakis et al., 2017). The physiological relevance of these augmented responses is unclear,

since T cells probably never encounter such stiff stimulatory surfaces *in vivo*. Nonetheless, such

findings raise important questions about traditional *in vitro* assays of T cell function, which often

utilize glass or plastic stimulatory surfaces.

The observation that T cells respond to APC stiffness is best understood in the context of

evidence that T cells exert force on an interacting APC through the TCR complex (Bashour et al.,

2014; Blumenthal & Burkhardt, 2020; Hui et al., 2015; Husson et al., 2011; Li et al., 2010;

Sawicka et al., 2017), with the amount of force corresponding to APC stiffness (Husson et al.,

2011; Sawicka et al., 2017). Apart from being a requirement for activation (Li et al., 2010;

Pryshchep et al., 2014), force transduction has been shown to promote peptide discrimination

by influencing bond lifetimes (Das et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2014). Importantly, it appears that the

TCR's ability to sense stiffness is closely related to its ability to transduce force-dependent

signals during T cell-APC interaction. Indeed, there is evidence that signaling downstream of TCR

engagement is increased on stiffer substrates (Judokusumo et al., 2012) and that the location of

early tyrosine phosphorylation events corresponds to sites of maximum traction force (Bashour

et al., 2014). We propose that stiffer substrates allow T cells to exert more force through TCR

interactions, and consequently induce more effective signaling. This accounts for the co-

stimulatory property of substrate stiffness on T cell activation.

The mechanism by which force application on the TCR is translated into biochemical signals

remains controversial. Nevertheless, there is evidence to suggest that force applied on the TCR

complex induces conformational changes within TCRαβ that exposes ITAM sites on the CD3 and

TCRζ chains for phosphorylation and downstream signaling [57,58]. Importantly, conformational

changes are mainly attributed to extension of the CβFG loop region within TCRβ (Das et al.,

2015), which serves as a lever to push down on the CD3 complex (Sun, Kim, Wagner, & Reinherz,

2001), exposing ITAM sites (Xu et al., 2008). In support of this idea, we found that the way in

which the TCR is engaged influences T cell stiffness sensing. Within the biologically relevant

stiffness range (2-8 kPa), T cells were activated only when TCRαβ was engaged directly; indirect

engagement through anti-CD3 resulted in almost no response. We postulate that direct TCRαβ

engagement leads to conformational changes that are transmitted appropriately for efficient

initiation of downstream signaling, whereas engagement of CD3 induces smaller changes and

more limiting downstream signaling. This effect may be most evident on soft substrates,

because force-dependent signaling is limiting in this setting. We note that on these soft

substrates, pMHC induced stronger T cell activation than anti-TCRβ. This may reflect the

involvement of CD4 in the former, which leads to more efficient recruitment of Lck to the TCR

complex.

Although our focus here is on the role of stiffness sensing in priming of naïve T cells, we find that

effector CD8$^+$ T cells also exhibit stiffness-dependent degranulation responses. Similarly, Saitakis

et al. have shown that restimulating CD4$^+$ effector T cells on surfaces of different stiffness

induces differential gene expression and cytokine production (Saitakis et al., 2017). Since DCs

increase their cortical stiffness during maturation, a stiffness dependent mechanism for naïve T

cell priming makes biological sense. Effector T cells, however, interact with a variety of APCs. In

particular, cytotoxic CD8$^+$ T cells are expected to kill any infected cell throughout the body with

no stiffness bias. The physiological significance of stiffness sensitivity for effector T cells remains

unclear. One intriguing possibility is that mechanosensing is an obligate component of the

feedback loop that underlies force-dependent TCR triggering.

The IS is often described as a platform for information exchange between the T cell and APC.

Together with our recent work on ligand mobility, the findings presented here indicate that the

mechanical properties of the APC side of the IS influence T cell priming, likely because they

augment force-dependent conformational changes in TCRs, integrins, and potentially other

molecules. Going forward, it will be important to determine how these properties are

modulated during DC maturation, and whether there are also local changes induced by signaling

events taking place at the IS. In addition, it will be important to tease apart the molecular events

through which T cells sense and respond to these mechanical cues.

 MATERIALS AND METHODS

 <u>**Key Resource Table**</u>

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
antibody	APC/APC-Cy7 anti-CD4 (rat, clone GK1.5)	Biolegend	Biolegend:100411/10041; RRID:100411/AB_312698	Flow (1:350)
antibody	APC/PE-Cy7 anti-CD8 a (rat, clone 53-6.7)	Biolegend	Biolegend: 00711/100721; RRID:AB_312750/AB_312760	Flow (1:350)
antibody	anti-CD3ε (A. hamster, clone 145-2C11)	BioXCell	BioXcell: BE0001-1; RRID: AB_1107634	0.003 μg/mL - 10 μg/mL
antibody	anti-CD3ε (Armenian hamster, clone PV1)	BioXCell	BioXcell:BE0015-5; RRID:AB_1107628	1 μg/mL - 2 μg/mL
antibody	Anti-CD86 (rat, clone GL-1)	BioXCell	BioXcell: BE0025; RRID: AB_1107678	Stain (1:100)
antibody	APC-Cy7 anti-CD86 (rat, clone GL-1)	BioLegend	BioLegend:105029; RRID:AB_2074993	Flow (1:100)
antibody	PE anti-MHC-II (rat, clone M5/114.15.2)	BioLegend	BioLegend:107607; RRID:AB_313322	Flow (1:100)
antibody	APC anti-CD11c (rat, clone N418)	BioLegend	BioLegend:117309; RRID:AB_313778	Flow (1:100)
antibody	PE-antiCD107a (LAMP-1) (rat, clone 1D4B)	BioLegend	BioLegend:121611; RRID:AB_1732051	2 μg/mL
chemical compound, drug	Cytochalasin-D	EMD Millipore	EMD Millipore: 250255; CAS:22144-77-0	10 μM
chemical compound, drug	Latrunculin-B	EMD Millipore	EMD Millipore: 428020; CAS:76343-94-7	10 μM
chemical compound, drug	(S)-nitro-Blebbistatin	Cayman Chemical	Cayman Chemical:13891; CAS:856925-75-2	50 μM
chemical compound, drug	CK666	EMD Millipore	Millipore: 182515; CAS:442633-00-3	100 μM
chemical compound, drug	Y27632	SIGMA	SIGMA:688000; CAS:146986-50-7	25 μM
chemical compound, drug	SMIFH2	SIGMA	SIGMA:344092; CAS:340316-62-3	10 μM

chemical compound, drug	Escherichia coli 026:B6; LPS	SIGMA	SIGMA:L2762; ECN:297-473-0	200 ng/mL
chemical compound, drug	Collagenase D	SIGMA	SIGMA: COLLD-RO; EC#:3.4.25.3	2 mg/mL
chemical compound, drug	IL-2	NIAID, NIH	N/A	25U;100U
chemical compound, drug	streptavidin-coated polystyrene beads	Spherotech	Spherotech: SVP-60-5	
chemical compound, drug	EZ-link NHS biotin kit	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Thermo Fisher Scientific: 21217	
chemical compound, drug	CFSE	Invitrogen	Invitrogen: C34570	
commercial assay or kit	CF555 Mix-n-Stain	Biotium	Biotium:92234	
commercial assay or kit	MACS pan-dendritic cell isolation kit	Miltenyi Biotec	Miltenyi: 130-100-875	
commercial assay or kit	mouse IL-2 ELISA kit	Invitrogen	Invitrogen: 88-7024-88	
gene (mouse)	*Was*; Wiskott–Aldrich syndrome gene	DOI:10.1084/jem.20091245	MGI:105059; NCBI Gene: 22376	
other	Hydrogel surfaces (96 well plates)	Matrigen	EasyCoat Softwell 96G	Customized plates
other	AFM 1 µM spherical polystyrene probe	Novascan	Novascan: PT.PS	Si_3N_4 cantilever k=0.06 N/m
peptide, recombinant protein	Peptide MHC-II Complex	NIH Tetramer Core	I-A^b	Sequence: HAAHAEINEA
peptide, recombinant protein	Ovalbumin 323–339; $OVA_{323–339}$	Anaspec	Anaspec: AS-27025; LOT:1755317	Sequence: ISQAVHAAHAEINEAGR
recombinant DNA reagent	pLX301 (plasmid)	Addgene	Addgene: 25895; RRID: Addgene_25895	DOI:10.1038/nmeth.1638
software, algorithm	FlowJo	FlowJo LLC	RRID:SCR_008520	
software, algorithm	NanoScope Analysis	Broker	N/A	
strain, strain background (Mice)	OT-II Transgenic mice / OT-II	Jackson Laboratories	Stock: 004194; RRID:IMSR_JAX:004194	B6.Cg-Tg(TcraTcrb)425Cbn/J

strain, strain background (Mice)	OT-I Transgenic mice / OT-I	Jackson Laboratories	Stock: 003831; RRID:IMSR_JAX:003831	C57BL/6-Tg(TcraTcrb)1100Mjb/J
strain, strain background (Mice)	*Was$^{-/-}$*; WASP-,WASp-KO	Jackson Laboratories	Stock: 003292; RRID: IMSR_JAX:003292	129S6/SvEvTac-Was^{tm1Sbs}/J
strain, strain background (Mice)	*Hlcsp1$^{-/-}$*; HS1-KO	David Rawlings, MD.	PMCID: PMC394441	
strain, strain background (Mice)	*Fcsn1-/-*	KOMP Repository, UC Davis	MGI:5605764	Fscn1$^{tm1.1(KOMP)}$Vlcg

Inhibitors and antibodies

Cytochalasin-D and Latrunculin-B were from EMD Millipore, (S)-nitro-Blebbistatin was from Cayman Chemical, CK666 was from Calbiochem, and Y27632 and SMIFH2 were from Sigma-Aldrich. Flow cytometry antibodies: rat anti-CD4 APC/APC-Cy7 (clone RM4-5), rat anti-CD8a APC/PE-Cy7 (clone 53-6.7), Armenian hamster anti-CD69 APC (clone H1.2F3) and rat anti-CD25 PE (clone PC61) were all from BioLegend. Surface coating antibodies: Armenian hamster anti-CD3ε (clone 2C11), and Armenian hamster anti-CD28 (Clone PV1) were from BioXCell. Biotinylated Armenian hamster anti-CD3ε (clone 2C11) was from Invitrogen, and biotinylated mouse anti-TCRVβ5.1/5.2 (clone MR9-4) was from BD Bioscience. Dendritic cell staining: anti-CD86 CF555 was made by conjugating purified rat anti-CD86 (BioXCell) with CF555 conjugated dye from Biotium as per the manufacturer's protocol.

Mice

All mice were originally obtained from The Jackson Laboratory and housed in the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia animal facility, according to guidelines put forth by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. C57BL/6 mice (WT) were purchased from Jackson Labs. HS1-KO mice on the C57BL/6 background have been previously described(Taniuchi et al., 1995) and were a kind gift from doctor David Rawlings at the University of Washington. *Was$^{-/-}$* mice were

purchased from Jackson labs (Snapper et al., 1998) and fully backcrossed to a C57BL/6

background. All mouse strains were used as a source of bone marrow from which to generate

BMDCs. Mice bearing a gene trap mutation in the Fscn1 gene (Fscn1[tm1(KOMP)Vlcg]), which abrogates

expression of the protein Fascin 1, were generated by the KOMP Repository at UC Davis, using

C57BL/6 embryonic stem cells generated by the Texas A&M Institute for Genomic Medicine.

Because these mice proved to have an embryonic lethal phenotype, fetal liver chimeras were used

as a source of bone marrow precursors. Heterozygous mating was performed, and fetal livers

were collected after 15 days of gestation and processed into a single-cell suspension by mashing

through a 35-µm filter. Embryos were genotyped at the time of harvest. Cells were resuspended

in freezing media (90% FCS, 10% DMSO) and kept at −80 °C until used. Thawed cells were washed,

counted, resuspended in sterile PBS and injected i.v. into sub-lethally irradiated 6-week-old

C57BL/6 recipients, 1×10^6 cells per mouse. Chimeras were used as a source for fascin KO bone

marrow ~6 weeks after transfer. OT-I T cells were prepared from heterozygous OT-I TCR Tg mice,

which express a TCR specific for ovalbumin 257-264 (amino acid sequence SIINFEKL) presented

on H-2K[b] (Hogquist et al., 1994). OT-II T cells were prepared from heterozygous OT-II TCR Tg mice,

which express a TCR specific for ovalbumin 323–339 (amino acid sequence

ISQAVHAAHAEINEAGR) presented on I-A[b] (Barnden, Allison, Heath, & Carbone, 1998).

Cell culture

Unless otherwise specified, all tissue culture reagents were from Invitrogen/Life Technologies.

GM-CSF was produced from the B78H1/GMCSF.1 cell line (Levitsky et al., 1996). HEK-293T cells

(ATCC) were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 25mM Hepes,

penicillin/streptomycin, GlutaMAX, and non-essential amino acids.

Generation of bone marrow derived dendritic cells (BMDCs) was similar to (Inaba et al., 1992).

Briefly, mouse long bones were flushed with cold PBS, the resulting cell solution was passed

through a 40 µm strainer, and red blood cells were lysed by ACK lysis. Cells were washed once

with RPMI-1640 and then either frozen for later use in RPMI-1640 containing 20% FBS, 10%

DMSO, or plated in 10 cm bacterial plates in BMDC culture media (RPMI-1640, 10% FBS,

penicillin/streptomycin, GlutaMax and 1% GM-CSF supernatant). On day 3 of culture, dishes were

supplemented with 10 ml of BMDC culture media. On Day 6, 10 ml of media were replaced, by

carefully collecting media from the top of the dish and slowly adding fresh media. BMDC

differentiation was verified by flow cytometry, showing 80-90% CD11c positive cells. BMDC

maturation was induced on days 7 or 8; immature BMDCs were harvested and re-plated in a 6cm

tissue culture dish in 5 ml of BMDC media supplemented with 200 ng/ml LPS (*Escherichia coli*

026:B6; Sigma-Aldrich) for at least 24h. Maturation was verified by flow cytometry, with mature

BMDCs defined as Live/CD11c$^+$/CD86^{high}/MHC-II^{High} cells. To generate splenic DCs, spleens from

C57BL/6 mice were cut to smaller pieces and digested with Collagenase D (2 mg/ml, Sigma) for 30

min at 37°C, 5%CO_2. Cells were washed and labeled for separation by negative selection using a

MACS pan-dendritic cell isolation kit (Miltenyi Biotec).

Primary mouse T cells were purified from lymph nodes and spleens using MACS negative selection

T cell isolation kits (Miltenyi Biotec). In the case of CD4$^+$ T cells, ex vivo cells were used, as isolation

yielded high fractions of naïve cells. In the case of CD8$^+$ T cells, naïve T cells were isolated, as ~45%

of T cells isolated from OT-I mice showed some level of activation. To generate cytotoxic CD8$^+$ T

cells (CTLs), purified murine CD8$^+$ cells were activated on 24-well plates coated with anti-CD3ε

and anti-CD28 (2C11 and PV1, 10µg/ml and 2 µg/ml respectively) at 1x10^6 cells per well. After

24h, cells were removed from activation and mixed at a 1:1 volume ratio with complete T cell

media (DMEM supplemented with penicillin/streptomycin, 10% FBS, 55µM β-mercaptoethanol

GlutaMAX, and non-essential amino acids), containing recombinant IL-2 (obtained through the

NIH AIDS Reagent Program, Division of AIDS, NIAID, NIH from Dr. Maurice Gately, Hoffmann - La

Roche Inc (Lahm & Stein, 1985)), to give a final IL-2 concentration of 100 units/ml. Cells were

cultured at 37°C and 10% CO_2, and passaged as needed to be kept at 0.8×10^6 cells/ml for 7 more

days. CTLs were used at days 8 or 9 after activation.

Plasmid construction, viral production, and transduction of DCs:

A constitutively active form of WASp (CA-WASp) was engineered by subcloning WASp cDNA into

a pLX301 vector (Addgene), introducing an I294T point mutation (Westerberg et al., 2010) by site-

directed mutagenesis, and confirming by sequencing. To generate recombinant lentivirus, HEK-

293T cells were co-transfected using the calcium phosphate method with psPAX2 and pMD2.G,

together with the DNA of interest in pLX301. For transduction, BMDCs were plated in untreated

6 well plates at 2×10^6 cells/well in 3 ml of BMDC media. BMDC transduction was carried out on

day 2 of culture; lentiviral supernatants were harvested from HEK-293T cells 40hr post

transfection, supplemented with 8 µg/ml Polybrene (Sigma-Aldrich), and used immediately to

transduce BMDCs by spin-infection at 1000xG, 37°C for 2hr. After resting the cells for 30 min at

37°C, 5% CO_2, lentivirus-containing media was replaced with normal BMDC culture media. On day

5 of culture, puromycin (Sigma-Aldrich) was added to a final concentration of 2 µg/ml to allow

selection of transduced BMDCs. Maturation of transduced cells was induced on day 8 by adding

200 ng/ml of LPS in puromycin-free media.

Flow Cytometry

All cells were stained with Live/Dead aqua (ThermoFisher) following labeling with appropriate

antibodies in FACS buffer (PBS, 5% FBS, 0.02% NaN_3, and 1 mM EDTA). Flow cytometry was

performed using either the Cytoflex LX or CytoFlex S cytometer (Beckman Coulter) and analyzed

using FlowJo software (FlowJo LLC). T cells were gated based on size, live cells, and expression of

CD4 or CD8 (depending on the experiment). DCs were incubated for 10 min on ice with the Fc

blocking antibody 2.4G2 prior to staining. DCs were gated based on size, live cells, and CD11c

expression. Mature DCs were further gated based on high expression of MHC-II, CD86 or CD80.

T cell activation on stimulatory gel surfaces

96 well plates coated with polyacrylamide hydrogels spanning a stiffness range of 2 – 25 kPa were

obtained from Matrigen. Hydrogel stiffness was verified by AFM at different locations around the

hydrogel surface (Figure 3 - Figure Supplement 1). Surfaces were first coated with 10 µg/ml of

NutrAvidin (ThermoFisher) and 2 µg/ml anti-CD28 (clone PV1) overnight at 4°C. Primary amines

in the NutrAvidin form covalent bonds with quinone functional groups within the hydrogels. The

gel pore size is on the order of tens of nanometers, such that cells can only interact with ligands

bound on the gel surface. Surfaces were then washed twice with 200 µL of PBS and coated with

varying concentrations of biotinylated pMHC monomers (NIH tetramer core facility) for 2 hours

at 37°C. Where antibodies were used for coating, surfaces were coated with varying

concentrations of either biotinylated anti-CD3ε (clone 2C11), or biotinylated anti-TCRvβ5.1/5.2

(clone MR9-4). Surfaces were than washed 2 times with 200 µL of PBS, and blocked for 10 min

with T cell media containing 10% FBS prior to addition of 2.0×10^5 cells/well. Control studies

showed that stimulatory ligands bound slightly less well to stiffer hydrogel surfaces (Figure 3 -

Figure Supplement 2). Stiffer surfaces show the same or higher activating properties across all

assays, ruling out the possibility that differences in T cell activation are due to differential ligand

binding. Importantly, initial experiments included a 1 kPa hydrogel surface that yielded no

response across all assays. Therefore, data from this condition is not shown and was not included

in repeated experiments. For experiments where exogenous IL-2 was added, media was

supplemented with IL-2 to a final concentration of 25 U/ml. To measure IL-2 secretion,

supernatants were harvested 22-24 hours post stimulation, and IL-2 concentration was measured

using a mouse IL-2 ELISA kit (Invitrogen). For early activation marker expression assays, cells were

plated immediately after isolation, and harvested 22-24 hours post stimulation for flow cytometry

analysis. For CFSE dilution assays, purified cells were washed once with PBS and stained for 3 min

with 2.5 µM CFSE (ThermoFisher). After quenching the excess CFSE by addition of 1 ml FBS for 30

seconds, cells were washed and plated. Cells were harvested 68-72 hours post stimulation for

flow cytometry analysis. For ligand comparison assays, surfaces were first coated with 10 µg/ml

of NutrAvidin (ThermoFisher) and 2 µg/ml anti-CD28 (PV1) overnight at 4°C. Surfaces were then

washed twice with 200 µL of PBS and coated with varying concentrations of biotinylated ligands

(anti-TCRVβ5.1/5.2, anti-CD3ε, or pMHC-II monomers) for 2 hours at 37°C.

Cytotoxic T cell degranulation assays

Assays were conducted on day 8 or 9 of culture. $2x10^5$ CTLs were plated onto surfaces coated with

various concentrations of pMHC-I in the presence of 2 µg/ml PE-conjugated anti-CD107a. After 3

hours of re-stimulation, CD107a labeling was quantified by flow cytometry analysis. Cells were

gated based on size, live cells, and expression of CD8$^+$. CD107a mean fluorescence intensity (MFI)

was extracted using FlowJo.

T cell priming assays

Priming assays were carried out in round bottom 96 well plates. $5x10^4$ LPS-matured BMDCs were

plated in each well and pulsed with OVA$_{323–339}$ peptide at various concentrations (0.1 – 1 µg/ml).

$1.5x10^5$ CFSE stained, OT-II CD4$^+$ T cells were added to each well and incubated for 68-72 hours.

Cells were then harvested and analyzed using flow cytometry.

Atomic force microscopy (AFM)

All experiments were carried out at room temperature using a Bruker Bioscope Catalyst AFM

mounted on a Nikon TE200 inverted microscope. Micro-indentation measurements were made

with a spherical tip from Novascan. The tip was comprised of a 1 µm silicon dioxide particle

mounted on a silicon nitride cantilever with a nominal spring constant of 0.06 N/m; each

cantilever was calibrated using the thermal fluctuation method. The AFM was operated in fluid

contact mode, with 2 Hz acquisition. Total vertical cantilever displacement was set to 5 μm,

producing a maximal approach/retraction speed of ~20 μm/sec. Maximal deflection (Trigger

threshold) was adjusted for each cantilever to apply a maximal force of 6 nN on the measured cell

(e.g. for a 0.06 N/m cantilever, the trigger threshold was set to 100 nm). The actual indentation

depth was ~1.5 μm depending on the measured cell stiffness (Figure 1 – Supplement Figure 2).

Analysis of force-distance curves was carried out using the Nanoscope Analysis software (Bruker).

The Young's modulus was extracted using the Hertzian model for spherical tips with a contact

point-based fitting on the extend curve data. For each individual cell, two separate measurements

were conducted at different locations near, but not directly over the nucleus. The reported cell

stiffness value represents the average between these independent measurements. Note that

when measurements of cortical stiffness were made over the nucleus, no significant differences

in Young's modulus values were found (not shown). To measure BMDC stiffness, $1x10^5$ cells

(untreated or LPS matured) were seeded onto Poly L-lysine coated coverslips and allowed to

spread for 4 hours at 37°C, 5% CO_2. Prior to data acquisition, cells were incubated for 10 min with

the Fc blocking antibody 2.4G2, washed and stained for CD86 for 20 min, then washed and

mounted on the AFM. All antibody incubations and data acquisition steps were performed in L-

15 media (Gibco) supplemented with 2mg/ml glucose. For treated cell measurements, drugs

[Latrunculin-B (10 μM), Cytochalasin-D (10 μM), s-nitro-Blebbistatin (50 μM), Y27632 (25 μM),

CK666 (100 μM), or SMIFH2 (10 μM)] were pre-incubated with the cells at 37°C, 5% CO_2 for 30 min

prior to Fc blocking and maintained in the cultures throughout staining and data acquisition.

Statistical Methods

All datasets were subjected to outlier analysis prior to execution of statistical testing. Outliers

were defined as data points with values outside the range of mean +/- 2.5xStDev, and were

deleted from the dataset. Testing for a statistically significant difference between experimental

groups was done using an unpaired one-way ANOVA test with a post-hoc Tukey correction for

multiple comparisons.

Throughout the paper, data shown represents biological, and not technical, replicates. For

BMDC assays, a single experiment constitutes measurement of multiple cells from a fresh DC

culture, starting from frozen or freshly harvested bone marrow. For splenic DCs, a single

experiment constitutes measurement of multiple cells freshly purified from the spleen of a

single mouse. In each experiment, WT or untreated cells were measured side by side with

treated cells as a standard control. For T cell assays, a single experiment constitutes cells freshly

purified from spleen and lymph nodes of a single animal. All CFSE dilution assays were executed

in technical duplicates, although a single data set is presented. When needed, figure legends

describe the quantity of technical repeats used in an experiment.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Florin Tuluc and Jennifer Murray from the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia

Flow Cytometry core. We thank the biomechanics core of the Institute of Translational Medicine

and Therapeutics (ITMAT) at the University of Pennsylvania for use of the Atomic Force

Microscope and the NIH tetramer core facility for provision of MHC-peptide complexes. We thank

Dr. Shuixing Li and Dr. Nathan Roy for expert technical assistance, Dr. Nathan Roy and Mr. Tanner

Robertson for critical reading of the manuscript, and members of the Burkhardt laboratory for

many helpful discussions. This work was supported by NIH grants R01 GM104867 and R21

AI32828 to JKB.

ETHICS STATMENT

All studies, breeding and maintenance of animals was performed under Animal Care and Use

Protocol #667, as approved by The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Institutional Animal Care

and Use Committee.

 FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. DC maturation induces an actin-dependent increase in cortical stiffness.

(A) BMDCs were untreated or matured by treatment with LPS, and cortical stiffness was

measured by AFM micro-indentation. Fluorescent anti-CD86 labeling was used to select

immature (CD86-negative) or mature (CD86 high) cells. (B) E*x-vivo* DCs were purified from

spleens of untreated mice or from mice injected with LPS 24 hours prior to harvesting the

spleen, and analyzed as in A. (C) Immature or LPS matured BMDCs either left untreated or

treated with 10µm of the actin de-polymerizing agents Latrunculin-B or Cytochalasin-D prior to

AFM measurements. (D) Immature or LPS matured BMDCs were plated on substrates of

different stiffness prior to AFM measurements. Data are pooled from 2-3 independent

experiments. Each data point represents an average of two stiffness measurements at different

locations around a single cell nucleus. Error bars denote standard deviation. n.s non-significant,

**p<0.01, ****p<0.0001 calculated by an unpaired one-way ANOVA, post-hoc Tukey corrected

test.

Figure 2. Effects of actin regulatory proteins on DC cortical stiffness.

Murine BMDCs from WT mice or mice lacking key actin-associated proteins were untreated or

matured by treatment with LPS, and cortical stiffness was measured by AFM micro-indentation.

To facilitate comparison between experiments, results were normalized to values of mature WT

BMDCs in each experiment. (A) Cortical stiffness of BMDCs from mice lacking important actin

modulating proteins. (B) Cortical stiffness of WT BMDCs treated with cytoskeletal inhibitors.

CK666 (100 µM) was used to inhibit branched actin polymerization by Arp2/3. SMIFH2 (10 µm)

was used to inhibit linear actin polymerization by formins. Acto-myosin contractility was

inhibited directly with Blebbstatin (50 µM) or indirectly with the Rho-kinase inhibitor Y27623 (25

µM). All drugs had no effect on immature BMDCs (data not shown). Data points for untreated

WT BMDCs (both immature and mature) were pooled from all experiments as a reference. The

dashed line represents the average stiffness of untreated mature WT BMDCs from all

experiments. Data are pooled from 2-3 independent experiments. Each data point represents an

average of two stiffness measurements at different locations around a single cell nucleus. Error

bars denote standard deviation. n.s non-significant, *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001,

****p<0.0001 calculated by an unpaired one-way ANOVA, with post-hoc Tukey correction.

Figure 3. CD4+ and CD8+ T cells demonstrate vastly different stiffness responses.

Murine T cells were purified from lymph nodes and spleen and activated on stimulatory

acrylamide hydrogel surfaces with a stiffness range of 2 – 25 kPa (and plastic). Stimulatory

surfaces were coated with the indicated concentrations of pMHC-I or pMHC-II together with 2

µg/ml anti-CD28 to stimulate OT-I CD8+ or OTI-II CD4+ T cells, respectively. (A,B,D,E) Cells were

harvested 24 hours post activation and expression of early activation markers was measured by

flow cytometry. Data represent averages +/- SEM of percent positive cells from N=3

independent experiments. (A,B) CD4+ T cells show profound stiffness dependent expression of

both markers. (C,F) Cell supernatants were collected at 24 hours and IL-2 expression was

analyzed by ELISA. Data represent means +/- StDev from 3-6 replicate samples from one

representative experiment, N=2 experiments.

Figure 4. CD4 T cell proliferation is stiffness dependent and IL-2 independent.

OT-II CD4+ T cells were purified from lymph nodes and spleen and activated on stimulatory

acrylamide hydrogel surfaces with a stiffness range of 2 – 25 kPa (and plastic). Stimulatory

surfaces were coated with the indicated concentrations of pMHC-II together with 2 µg/ml anti-

CD28. (A,B) Proliferation of CD4+ T cells was measured by CFSE dilution at 72 hours post

activation, showing profound stiffness-dependent proliferation. (A) Representative CFSE dilution

matrix from a single experiment. (B) Average division index from 5 independent experiments. (C)

Representative plots of CD25 expression as a function of CFSE dilution at 72 hours from a single

experiment shows that upregulation of CD25 on T cell membrane precedes proliferation. (D)

Average percent of T cells expressing CD25 from 5 independent experiments. (E) Division index

of CD4^{+} T cells activated with or without addition of 25 U/ml of exogenous IL-2. Data in B, D, and

E represent averages +/- SEM from at least 3 independent experiments.

Figure 5. CD8^{+} T cell proliferation and degranulation show moderate stiffness dependency

Naïve OT-I CD8^{+} T cells were purified from lymph nodes and spleen and activated on stimulatory

acrylamide hydrogel surfaces with a stiffness range of 2 – 25 kPa (and plastic). Stimulatory

surfaces were coated with the indicated concentrations of pMHC-I together with 2 µg/ml anti-

CD28 (A,B) Proliferation of CD8^{+} T cells was measured by CFSE dilution at 72 hours post

activation, showing only moderate stiffness-dependent proliferation. (A) Representative CFSE

dilution matrix from a single experiment. Note that the threshold pMHC-I concentration needed

to induce proliferation is very similar between the different stiffness surfaces. (B) Average

division index from 3 independent experiments. (C) representative plot of CD25 expression as a

function of CFSE dilution at 72 hours from a single experiment shows a binary stiffness response.

Note that with low doses of pMHC-I, only cells stimulated on very stiff substrates (25kPa or

plastic) survived and proliferated. Percent of live cells is given for each condition. (D) Average

percent of T cells expressing CD25 from 3 independent experiments. Levels of CD25 membrane

expression are very similar between the different substrates, probably reflecting the fact that

only T cells that upregulate CD25 survive. (E) Cytotoxic CD8^{+} T cells on days 8 or 9 of culture

were restimulated on hydrogel surfaces with a range of pMHC-I concentrations, and

degranulation was measured based on surface exposure of CD107a (N=3). Data in B, D, and E

represent averages +/- SEM from at least 3 independent experiments.

Figure 6. Stimulation of TCR with pMHC leads to the strongest stiffness-dependent response.

OT-II CD4$^+$ T cells were stimulated on acrylamide hydrogels of different stiffnesses coated with

the indicated range of stimulatory ligands. Proliferation and membrane expression of CD25 were

measured 72 hours post activation by flow cytometry. (A,D) Stimulation with anti-CD3ε

antibody. (B,E) Stimulation with anti-TCRβ antibody. (C,F) Stimulation with pMHC-II. Plots show

the average division index or CD25 expression from 3 independent experiment. Gray areas

denote a similar range of stimulatory ligand molar concentrations to aid comparison.

Figure 7. DC cortical stiffness acts as a costimulatory signal for T cell activation

WT or WASp$^{-/-}$ BMDCs, or WT BMDCs transduced with constituently active form of WASp (CA-

WASp) were untreated or matured by treatment with LPS. (A) Cortical stiffness was measured

by AFM micro-indentation. Each data point represents an average of two stiffness

measurements at different locations around a single cell nucleus. Error bars denote standard

deviation. ***$p < 0.001$, ****$p < 0.0001$ calculated by an unpaired one-way ANOVA, comparing

mature WT with all other treatments, with post-hoc Tukey correction. (B,C) LPS-matured BMDCs

were pulsed with a range of OVA$_{323-339}$ peptide concentrations and co-cultured with *ex-vivo* OT-II

CD4$^+$ T cells for 72 hrs. Proliferation was measured by CFSE dilution. (D,E) Proliferation index

pooled from two independent experiments. (F-G) Division index values pooled from two

independent experiments. Error bars represent StDev.

Figure 1 – Figure Supplement 1. Validation of hydrogel compliances.

Direct AFM measurements of hydrogel compliance, validating the stiffness of hydrogels used for

measuring the effects of substrate compliance on DC stiffness

Figure 1 – Figure Supplement 2. Indentation length in AFM measurements of DC cortical

stiffness.

Indentation length was defined as the distance between initial deflection to maximal cantilever

displacement. (A) an example of a force curve and the extraction of the *de-facto* indentation

length. (B) quantification of indentation length for immature and mature DCs.

Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 1. Validation of hydrogel compliances.

Direct AFM measurements of hydrogel compliance, validating the stiffness of hydrogel surfaces

used for activation of T-cells.

Figure 3 - Figure Supplement 2. Binding of stimulatory antibodies to various hydrogel surfaces

Hydrogel surfaces were coated with 10 ug/ml of DyLight800 conjugated NutrAvidin

(ThermoFisher) for 2.5 hours, than washed 3 times with 200 μL of PBS, with 5 min incubations.

Imaging was done on a LI-COR Odyssey reader. (A) Fluorescence image of coated and uncoated

hydrogel plates (B) Quantification of mean fluorescent intensity for the center of each well. Each

data point represents mean +/- StDev pooled from two independent hydrogel wells.

References

References

Al-Alwan, M. M., Rowden, G., Lee, T. D., & West, K. A. (2001). The dendritic cell cytoskeleton is
critical for the formation of the immunological synapse. *J Immunol, 166*(3), 1452-1456.

Barnden, M. J., Allison, J., Heath, W. R., & Carbone, F. R. (1998). Defective TCR expression in
transgenic mice constructed using cDNA-based alpha- and beta-chain genes under the
control of heterologous regulatory elements. *Immunology and Cell Biology, 76*(1), 34-40.
doi:10.1046/j.1440-1711.1998.00709.x

Bashour, K. T., Gondarenko, A., Chen, H., Shen, K., Liu, X., Huse, M., . . . Kam, L. C. (2014). CD28
and CD3 have complementary roles in T-cell traction forces. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A,*
111(6), 2241-2246. doi:10.1073/pnas.1315606111

Basu, R., Whitlock, B. M., Husson, J., Le Floc'h, A., Jin, W., Oyler-Yaniv, A., . . . Huse, M. (2016).
Cytotoxic T Cells Use Mechanical Force to Potentiate Target Cell Killing. *Cell, 165*(1), 100-
110. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.01.021

Beel, K., Cotter, M. M., Blatny, J., Bond, J., Lucas, G., Greene, F., . . . Vandenberghe, P. (2009). A
LARGE KINDRED WITH X-LINKED NEUTROPENIA WITH AN I294T MUTATION OF THE
WISKOTT-ALDRICH SYNDROME GENE. *British journal of haematology, 144*(1), 120-126.
doi:10.1111/j.1365-2141.2008.07416.x

Blumenthal, D., & Burkhardt, J. K. (2020). Multiple actin networks coordinate
mechanotransduction at the immunological synapse. *J Cell Biol, 219*(2).
doi:10.1083/jcb.201911058

Bouma, G., Burns, S., & Thrasher, A. J. (2007). Impaired T-cell priming in vivo resulting from
dysfunction of WASp-deficient dendritic cells. *Blood, 110*(13), 4278-4284.
doi:10.1182/blood-2007-06-096875

Bouma, G., Mendoza-Naranjo, A., Blundell, M. P., de Falco, E., Parsley, K. L., Burns, S. O., &
Thrasher, A. J. (2011). Cytoskeletal remodeling mediated by WASp in dendritic cells is
necessary for normal immune synapse formation and T-cell priming. *Blood, 118*(9), 2492-
2501. doi:10.1182/blood-2011-03-340265

Bufi, N., Saitakis, M., Dogniaux, S., Buschinger, O., Bohineust, A., Richert, A., . . . Asnacios, A.
(2015). Human Primary Immune Cells Exhibit Distinct Mechanical Properties that Are
Modified by Inflammation. *Biophysical Journal, 108*(9), 2181-2190.
doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2015.03.047

Burns, S., Hardy, S. J., Buddle, J., Yong, K. L., Jones, G. E., & Thrasher, A. J. (2004). Maturation of
DC is associated with changes in motile characteristics and adherence. *Cell Motil*
Cytoskeleton, 57(2), 118-132. doi:10.1002/cm.10163

Burns, S., Thrasher, A. J., Blundell, M. P., Machesky, L., & Jones, G. E. (2001). Configuration of
human dendritic cell cytoskeleton by Rho GTPases, the WAS protein, and differentiation.
Blood, 98(4), 1142-1149.

Byfield, F. J., Reen, R. K., Shentu, T. P., Levitan, I., & Gooch, K. J. (2009). Endothelial actin and cell
stiffness is modulated by substrate stiffness in 2D and 3D. *J Biomech, 42*(8), 1114-1119.
doi:10.1016/j.jbiomech.2009.02.012

Calle, Y., Chou, H. C., Thrasher, A. J., & Jones, G. E. (2004). Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome protein and
the cytoskeletal dynamics of dendritic cells. *Journal of Pathology, 204*(4), 460-469.
doi:10.1002/path.1651

Comrie, W. A., Li, S., Boyle, S., & Burkhardt, J. K. (2015). The dendritic cell cytoskeleton promotes
T cell adhesion and activation by constraining ICAM-1 mobility. *J Cell Biol, 208*(4), 457-
473. doi:10.1083/jcb.201406120

Das, D. K., Feng, Y., Mallis, R. J., Li, X., Keskin, D. B., Hussey, R. E., . . . Lang, M. J. (2015). Force-
dependent transition in the T-cell receptor beta-subunit allosterically regulates peptide

discrimination and pMHC bond lifetime. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 112*(5), 1517-1522.
doi:10.1073/pnas.1424829112
Demoulin, D., Carlier, M. F., Bibette, J., & Baudry, J. (2014). Power transduction of actin filaments
ratcheting in vitro against a load. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 111*(50), 17845-17850.
doi:10.1073/pnas.1414184111
Discher, D. E., Janmey, P., & Wang, Y.-l. (2005). Tissue Cells Feel and Respond to the Stiffness of
Their Substrate. *Science, 310*(5751), 1139-1143. doi:10.1126/science.1116995
Doan, T., McNally, A., Thomas, R., & Steptoe, R. J. (2009). Steady-state dendritic cells continuously
inactivate T cells that escape thymic negative selection. *Immunol Cell Biol, 87*(8), 615-622.
doi:10.1038/icb.2009.46
Dustin, M. L. (2014). The immunological synapse. *Cancer Immunol Res, 2*(11), 1023-1033.
doi:10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-14-0161
Engler, A. J., Sen, S., Sweeney, H. L., & Discher, D. E. Matrix Elasticity Directs Stem Cell Lineage
Specification. *Cell, 126*(4), 677-689. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2006.06.044
Friedl, P., den Boer, A. T., & Gunzer, M. (2005). Tuning immune responses: diversity and
adaptation of the immunological synapse. *Nat Rev Immunol, 5*(7), 532-545.
doi:10.1038/nri1647
Garrett, W. S., Chen, L. M., Kroschewski, R., Ebersold, M., Turley, S., Trombetta, S., . . . Mellman,
I. (2000). Developmental control of endocytosis in dendritic cells by Cdc42. *Cell, 102*(3),
325-334.
Gleisner, M. A., Rosemblatt, M., Fierro, J. A., & Bono, M. R. (2011). Delivery of alloantigens via
apoptotic cells generates dendritic cells with an immature tolerogenic phenotype.
Transplant Proc, 43(6), 2325-2333. doi:10.1016/j.transproceed.2011.06.007
Gordon, J. R., Ma, Y., Churchman, L., Gordon, S. A., & Dawicki, W. (2014). Regulatory dendritic
cells for immunotherapy in immunologic diseases. *Front Immunol, 5*, 7.
doi:10.3389/fimmu.2014.00007
Guilliams, M., & Malissen, B. (2015). A Death Notice for In-Vitro-Generated GM-CSF Dendritic
Cells? *Immunity, 42*(6), 988-990. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2015.05.020
Harding, F. A., McArthur, J. G., Gross, J. A., Raulet, D. H., & Allison, J. P. (1992). CD28-mediated
signalling co-stimulates murine T cells and prevents induction of anergy in T-cell clones.
Nature, 356(6370), 607-609. doi:10.1038/356607a0
Hogquist, K. A., Jameson, S. C., Heath, W. R., Howard, J. L., Bevan, M. J., & Carbone, F. R. (1994).
T cell receptor antagonist peptides induce positive selection. *Cell, 76*(1), 17-27.
doi:10.1016/0092-8674(94)90169-4
Hong, J., Persaud, S. P., Horvath, S., Allen, P. M., Evavold, B. D., & Zhu, C. (2015). Force-Regulated
In Situ TCR-Peptide-Bound MHC Class II Kinetics Determine Functions of CD4+ T Cells. *J
Immunol, 195*(8), 3557-3564. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1501407
Huang, Y., Biswas, C., Klos Dehring, D. A., Sriram, U., Williamson, E. K., Li, S., . . . Burkhardt, J. K.
(2011). The actin regulatory protein HS1 is required for antigen uptake and presentation
by dendritic cells. *Journal of Immunology, 187*(11), 5952-5963.
doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1100870
Hui, K. L., Balagopalan, L., Samelson, L. E., & Upadhyaya, A. (2015). Cytoskeletal forces during
signaling activation in Jurkat T-cells. *Mol Biol Cell, 26*(4), 685-695. doi:10.1091/mbc.E14-
03-0830
Husson, J., Chemin, K., Bohineust, A., Hivroz, C., & Henry, N. (2011). Force generation upon T cell
receptor engagement. *PLoS One, 6*(5), e19680. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0019680
Inaba, K., Inaba, M., Romani, N., Aya, H., Deguchi, M., Ikehara, S., . . . Steinman, R. M. (1992).
Generation of large numbers of dendritic cells from mouse bone marrow cultures

supplemented with granulocyte/macrophage colony-stimulating factor. *The Journal of Experimental Medicine, 176*(6), 1693-1702. doi:10.1084/jem.176.6.1693

Judokusumo, E., Tabdanov, E., Kumari, S., Dustin, M. L., & Kam, L. C. (2012). Mechanosensing in T lymphocyte activation. *Biophysical Journal, 102*(2), L5-7. doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2011.12.011

Jung, S., Unutmaz, D., Wong, P., Sano, G., De los Santos, K., Sparwasser, T., . . . Lang, R. A. (2002). In vivo depletion of CD11c+ dendritic cells abrogates priming of CD8+ T cells by exogenous cell-associated antigens. *Immunity, 17*(2), 211-220.

Kim, S. T., Takeuchi, K., Sun, Z. Y., Touma, M., Castro, C. E., Fahmy, A., . . . Reinherz, E. L. (2009). The alphabeta T cell receptor is an anisotropic mechanosensor. *J Biol Chem, 284*(45), 31028-31037. doi:10.1074/jbc.M109.052712

Lahm, H. W., & Stein, S. (1985). Characterization of recombinant human interleukin-2 with micromethods. *J Chromatogr, 326*, 357-361.

Lee, M. S., Glassman, C. R., Deshpande, N. R., Badgandi, H. B., Parrish, H. L., Uttamapinant, C., . . . Kuhns, M. S. (2015). A Mechanical Switch Couples T Cell Receptor Triggering to the Cytoplasmic Juxtamembrane Regions of CD3zetazeta. *Immunity, 43*(2), 227-239. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2015.06.018

Levitsky, H. I., Montgomery, J., Ahmadzadeh, M., Staveley-O'Carroll, K., Guarnieri, F., Longo, D. L., & Kwak, L. W. (1996). Immunization with granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor-transduced, but not B7-1-transduced, lymphoma cells primes idiotype-specific T cells and generates potent systemic antitumor immunity. *J Immunol, 156*(10), 3858-3865.

Li, Y. C., Chen, B. M., Wu, P. C., Cheng, T. L., Kao, L. S., Tao, M. H., . . . Roffler, S. R. (2010). Cutting Edge: mechanical forces acting on T cells immobilized via the TCR complex can trigger TCR signaling. *J Immunol, 184*(11), 5959-5963. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.0900775

Liu, B., Chen, W., Evavold, B. D., & Zhu, C. (2014). Accumulation of dynamic catch bonds between TCR and agonist peptide-MHC triggers T cell signaling. *Cell, 157*(2), 357-368. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2014.02.053

Lo, C.-M., Wang, H.-B., Dembo, M., & Wang, Y.-l. Cell Movement Is Guided by the Rigidity of the Substrate. *Biophysical Journal, 79*(1), 144-152. doi:10.1016/S0006-3495(00)76279-5

Lutz, M. B., Inaba, K., Schuler, G., & Romani, N. (2016). Still Alive and Kicking: In-Vitro-Generated GM-CSF Dendritic Cells! *Immunity, 44*(1), 1-2. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2015.12.013

Ma, Z., Janmey, P. A., & Finkel, T. H. (2008). The receptor deformation model of TCR triggering. *FASEB J, 22*(4), 1002-1008. doi:10.1096/fj.07-9331hyp

McAdam, A. J., Schweitzer, A. N., & Sharpe, A. H. (1998). The role of B7 co-stimulation in activation and differentiation of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells. *Immunol Rev, 165*, 231-247. doi:10.1111/j.1600-065x.1998.tb01242.x

Mellman, I., & Steinman, R. M. (2001). Dendritic cells: specialized and regulated antigen processing machines. *Cell, 106*(3), 255-258.

Na, Y. R., Jung, D., Gu, G. J., & Seok, S. H. (2016). GM-CSF Grown Bone Marrow Derived Cells Are Composed of Phenotypically Different Dendritic Cells and Macrophages. *Mol Cells, 39*(10), 734-741. doi:10.14348/molcells.2016.0160

O'Connor, R. S., Hao, X., Shen, K., Bashour, K., Akimova, T., Hancock, W. W., . . . Milone, M. C. (2012). Substrate rigidity regulates human T cell activation and proliferation. *Journal of Immunology, 189*(3), 1330-1339. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1102757

Oakes, P. W., Patel, D. C., Morin, N. A., Zitterbart, D. P., Fabry, B., Reichner, J. S., & Tang, J. X. (2009). Neutrophil morphology and migration are affected by substrate elasticity. *Blood, 114*(7), 1387-1395. doi:10.1182/blood-2008-11-191445

Pelham, R. J., & Wang, Y.-l. (1997). Cell locomotion and focal adhesions are regulated by substrate flexibility. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 94*(25), 13661-13665.

Pryshchep, S., Zarnitsyna, V. I., Hong, J., Evavold, B. D., & Zhu, C. (2014). Accumulation of serial
forces on TCR and CD8 frequently applied by agonist antigenic peptides embedded in
MHC molecules triggers calcium in T cells. *J Immunol, 193*(1), 68-76.
doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1303436
Renkawitz, J., Schumann, K., Weber, M., Lammermann, T., Pflicke, H., Piel, M., . . . Sixt, M. (2009).
Adaptive force transmission in amoeboid cell migration. *Nat Cell Biol, 11*(12), 1438-1443.
doi:10.1038/ncb1992
Saitakis, M., Dogniaux, S., Goudot, C., Bufi, N., Asnacios, S., Maurin, M., . . . Hivroz, C. (2017).
Different TCR-induced T lymphocyte responses are potentiated by stiffness with variable
sensitivity. *Elife, 6*. doi:10.7554/eLife.23190
Salbreux, G., Charras, G., & Paluch, E. (2012). Actin cortex mechanics and cellular morphogenesis.
Trends Cell Biol, 22(10), 536-545. doi:10.1016/j.tcb.2012.07.001
Sawicka, A., Babataheri, A., Dogniaux, S., Barakat, A. I., Gonzalez-Rodriguez, D., Hivroz, C., &
Husson, J. (2017). Micropipette force probe to quantify single-cell force generation:
application to T-cell activation. *Mol Biol Cell, 28*(23), 3229-3239. doi:10.1091/mbc.E17-
06-0385
Snapper, S. B., Rosen, F. S., Mizoguchi, E., Cohen, P., Khan, W., Liu, C. H., . . . Alt, F. W. (1998).
Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome protein-deficient mice reveal a role for WASP in T but not B cell
activation. *Immunity, 9*(1), 81-91.
Solon, J., Levental, I., Sengupta, K., Georges, P. C., & Janmey, P. A. Fibroblast Adaptation and
Stiffness Matching to Soft Elastic Substrates. *Biophysical Journal, 93*(12), 4453-4461.
doi:10.1529/biophysj.106.101386
Sun, Z. J., Kim, K. S., Wagner, G., & Reinherz, E. L. (2001). Mechanisms contributing to T cell
receptor signaling and assembly revealed by the solution structure of an ectodomain
fragment of the CD3 epsilon gamma heterodimer. *Cell, 105*(7), 913-923.
doi:10.1016/s0092-8674(01)00395-6
Swamy, M., Beck-Garcia, K., Beck-Garcia, E., Hartl, F. A., Morath, A., Yousefi, O. S., . . . Schamel,
W. W. (2016). A Cholesterol-Based Allostery Model of T Cell Receptor Phosphorylation.
Immunity, 44(5), 1091-1101. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2016.04.011
Taniuchi, I., Kitamura, D., Maekawa, Y., Fukuda, T., Kishi, H., & Watanabe, T. (1995). Antigen-
receptor induced clonal expansion and deletion of lymphocytes are impaired in mice
lacking HS1 protein, a substrate of the antigen-receptor-coupled tyrosine kinases. *EMBO*
J, 14(15), 3664-3678.
Tee, S. Y., Fu, J., Chen, C. S., & Janmey, P. A. (2011). Cell shape and substrate rigidity both regulate
cell stiffness. *Biophys J, 100*(5), L25-27. doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2010.12.3744
Trappmann, B., Gautrot, J. E., Connelly, J. T., Strange, D. G. T., Li, Y., Oyen, M. L., . . . Huck, W. T. S.
(2012). Extracellular-matrix tethering regulates stem-cell fate. *Nature Materials, 11*, 642.
doi:10.1038/nmat3339
van Helden, S. F., Oud, M. M., Joosten, B., Peterse, N., Figdor, C. G., & van Leeuwen, F. N. (2008).
PGE2-mediated podosome loss in dendritic cells is dependent on actomyosin contraction
downstream of the RhoA-Rho-kinase axis. *J Cell Sci, 121*(Pt 7), 1096-1106.
doi:10.1242/jcs.020289
Vargas, P., Maiuri, P., Bretou, M., Saez, P. J., Pierobon, P., Maurin, M., . . . Lennon-Dumenil, A. M.
(2016). Innate control of actin nucleation determines two distinct migration behaviours
in dendritic cells. *Nat Cell Biol, 18*(1), 43-53. doi:10.1038/ncb3284
West, M. A., Prescott, A. R., Eskelinen, E. L., Ridley, A. J., & Watts, C. (2000). Rac is required for
constitutive macropinocytosis by dendritic cells but does not control its downregulation.
Curr Biol, 10(14), 839-848.

Westerberg, L. S., Meelu, P., Baptista, M., Eston, M. A., Adamovich, D. A., Cotta-de-Almeida, V., .
. . Snapper, S. B. (2010). Activating WASP mutations associated with X-linked neutropenia
result in enhanced actin polymerization, altered cytoskeletal responses, and genomic
instability in lymphocytes. *The Journal of Experimental Medicine, 207*(6), 1145-1152.
doi:10.1084/jem.20091245
Wu, P. H., Aroush, D. R., Asnacios, A., Chen, W. C., Dokukin, M. E., Doss, B. L., . . . Wirtz, D. (2018).
A comparison of methods to assess cell mechanical properties. *Nat Methods, 15*(7), 491-
498. doi:10.1038/s41592-018-0015-1
Xu, C., Gagnon, E., Call, M. E., Schnell, J. R., Schwieters, C. D., Carman, C. V., . . . Wucherpfennig,
K. W. (2008). Regulation of T cell receptor activation by dynamic membrane binding of
the CD3epsilon cytoplasmic tyrosine-based motif. *Cell, 135*(4), 702-713.
doi:10.1016/j.cell.2008.09.044
Yamashiro, S. (2012). Functions of fascin in dendritic cells. *Crit Rev Immunol, 32*(1), 11-21.

Blumenthal et al. "T-cell priming is enhanced by maturation-dependent stiffening of the dendritic cell cortex"

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 5

Blumenthal et al. "T-cell priming is enhanced by maturation-dependent stiffening of the dendritic cell cortex"

Figure 6

Figure 7