Maternal glucocorticoids promote offspring growth without inducing oxidative stress or

shortening telomeres in wild red squirrels

Ben Dantzer[1,2], Freya van Kesteren[1], Sarah E. Westrick[1], Stan Boutin[3], Andrew G. McAdam[4],

Jeffrey E. Lane[5], Robert Gillespie[6], Ariana Majer[7], Mark Haussmann[7], Pat Monaghan[6]

[1]Department of Psychology, University of Michigan, MI 48109 Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA

[2]Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Michigan, MI 48109, Ann

Arbor, Michigan, USA

[3]Department of Biological Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T6G 2E9

[4]Department of Integrative Biology, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada N1G 2W1

[5]Department of Biology, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, SK S7N 5E2, Canada

[6]Institute of Biodiversity, Animal Health and Comparative Medicine, College of Medical,

Veterinary and Life Sciences, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, G12 8QQ, UK

[7]Department of Biology, Bucknell University, Lewisburg, PA, 17837, USA

*Corresponding author: dantzer@umich.edu

Abstract

Elevations in glucocorticoid levels (GCs) in breeding females may induce adaptive shifts in offspring life histories. Offspring produced by mothers with elevated GCs may be better prepared to face harsh environments where a faster pace of life is beneficial. We examined how experimentally elevated GCs in pregnant or lactating North American red squirrels (*Tamiasciurus hudsonicus*) affected offspring growth in body mass, structural (skeletal) size, oxidative stress levels (balance of two antioxidants and one measure of oxidative protein damage) in three different tissues (blood, heart, liver), and liver telomere lengths. We predicted that offspring from mothers treated with GCs would grow faster but would also have higher levels of oxidative stress and shorter telomeres, which may predict reduced longevity. Offspring from mothers treated with GCs during pregnancy grew (in body mass) 17.0% faster than those from controls, whereas offspring from mothers treated with GCs during lactation grew 34.8% slower than those from controls. Treating mothers with GCs during pregnancy or lactation did not alter the oxidative stress levels or telomere lengths of their offspring. Fast-growing offspring from any of the treatment groups did not have higher oxidative stress levels or shorter telomere lengths, indicating that offspring that grew faster early in life (~1 to 25 d of age) did not exhibit oxidative costs after this period of growth, when these measures of oxidative stress and telomere lengths were obtained (~70 d of age). Our results indicate that elevations in maternal GCs may induce plasticity in offspring growth without long-term oxidative costs to the offspring that might result in a shortened lifespan.

Keywords: Developmental plasticity, Life history, Maternal effects, Stress

Introduction

Parents can have long-lasting impacts on their offspring across a diversity of taxa. These parental or maternal effects have drawn substantial interest because they suggest that parental characteristics or the parental environment itself could induce adaptive shifts in offspring traits that prepare them for specific environments (i.e., adaptive transgenerational phenotypic plasticity: 1-4). Furthermore, changes in maternal hormone levels, especially glucocorticoids (GCs), are widely suspected to act as a mediator of transgenerational phenotypic plasticity in vertebrates (6-7)

GCs are metabolic hormones released by the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis (8), in response to a variety of ecologically salient cues. In mammals, studies in laboratory animals (9, 10) and in humans (11, 12) show that elevated maternal GCs can generate stable individual differences in offspring physiology and behaviour through the transfer of maternally-derived GCs to offspring across the placenta (10, 12), in milk (13, 14), or through changes in maternal behaviour (15-17). Maternal GCs could also act as an internal cue for offspring to modify their own development (18). Regardless of the pathway, there is much evidence that changes in maternal GCs mediate parental effects whose influence may even persist across generations via epigenetic mechanisms (19).

Some of these changes in offspring characteristics that are caused by elevations in maternal GCs are suspected to reflect adaptive plasticity in offspring life history traits, such as modifying the trade-off between early life growth and lifespan (20-21). Elevated maternal GCs may induce a "faster" life history strategy whereby offspring produced by mothers with elevated GCs grow or develop faster (4, 22). Such adjustments in the "pace of life" may be adaptive, as fast postnatal growth or a quicker developmental time may be beneficial when the risk of

extrinsic mortality is heightened (23-24), which may coincide with elevated maternal GCs.

However, this increased investment in postnatal growth is expected to carry costs for offspring

longevity whereby fast-growing individuals exhibit a shortened lifespan (25-28).

Identifying if maternal stress induces a trade-off between growth and lifespan in wild

animals is needed, as any costs of increased exposure to maternal GCs may be masked by benign

environmental conditions in the laboratory. Accurately documenting lifespan in wild animals

remains challenging in many species, and the stochastic nature of mortality in wild animals may

obscure any mechanistic costs of increased exposure to maternal GCs for offspring.

Consequently, one way to examine if elevated maternal GCs induce a trade-off between growth

and longevity in offspring is to examine how it affects the possible underlying mechanisms of

reduced longevity or physiological correlates that may predict a shortened lifespan. The free-

radical theory of aging (29) provides one framework to examine the mechanisms by which

maternal GCs may induce this trade-off between offspring growth and longevity. Reactive

oxygen species (ROS) produced during aerobic respiration can have damaging effects on cells

(29-30). ROS production may be elevated by increased aerobic respiration due to enhanced

investment in growth or reproduction (28, 31-33) or by increased GCs (34-37). Antioxidants

produced by individuals (enzymatic antioxidants such as superoxide dismutase) as well as those

antioxidants from the external environment (non-enzymatic antioxidants in the diet) can lessen

the impact of ROS production (38). An important type of oxidative damage occurs to the

protective ends of chromosomes, called telomeres. Telomeres are the repetitive DNA sequences

that occur at the ends of eukaryote chromosomes whose length is shortened during each cell

division (39-40), and may also be reduced by the increased production of ROS (28, 41-43). Cells

with a reduced telomere length become senescent and stop dividing unless the enzyme

telomerase, or another elongation process, is produced to elongate the telomeres (44-45).

Telomere length or rate of loss has been found to be predictive (but perhaps not causal: 46) of the

mortality risk of individuals (47-51), though the strength of this relationship may vary among

taxa and in relation to other life history traits. Furthermore, avian or mammalian species with

longer lifespans have been shown to exhibit slower age-specific rates of telomere loss (52-55).

Elevated exposure to maternal GCs may, therefore, induce a life history trade-off

between offspring growth and longevity, because offspring may experience elevated oxidative

damage, decreased antioxidant levels, and/or shortened telomeres, either due to oxidative stress

or increased cell division associated with elevated growth (20, 28, 56-58). Previous studies

across taxa show that elevated exposure to maternal GCs can shorten telomere lengths in

offspring (37, 59-61) or increase their rate of attrition as they age (57), which could cause or be

associated with a shortened lifespan. For example, experimental studies in captive and wild birds

show that offspring that had exogenous GCs added to their eggs or were administered GCs

during chick growth had a heightened physiological stress response, higher levels of oxidative

stress, and shorter telomeres early in life (37, 61). Despite much interest in this topic, few studies

in wild animals have examined if experimental elevations in the GCs of breeding females impact

the oxidative state of offspring or explicitly tested the prediction that elevations in the GCs of

breeding females increase early life growth. Additionally, few studies have tested whether

elevated GCs in breeding females or fast early life growth comes at some cost by promoting

oxidative stress and shortening telomeres in offspring.

We tested the hypothesis that elevations in maternal GCs would promote a faster life

history strategy in offspring of wild North American red squirrels (*Tamiasciurus hudsonicus*).

We treated females with GCs using a protocol that allowed us to increase circulating GCs within

a physiologically-relevant range (62). We treated females with GCs either during pregnancy or

lactation to assess if the timing of exposure to maternal GCs influenced their effects on offspring.

Other than for offspring growth in body mass, we did not have strong *a priori* expectations of

how the timing of elevated maternal GCs would differentially impact offspring because elevated

maternal GCs during pregnancy or lactation can impact offspring through the same pathways:

direct transfer of maternal GCs to offspring across the placenta or through milk

("programming"), altering maternal behaviour, or affecting offspring behaviour (see references

above). However, based upon our previous study (4), we predicted that offspring produced by

mothers treated with GCs during pregnancy would grow faster in body mass. We did not have an

a priori expectation for how treating mothers with GCs during lactation would impact offspring

growth in body mass, though results from a previous study suggested that it should reduce

growth (17).

We measured offspring postnatal growth in body mass prior to weaning (~1 to 25 d of

age) and subsequently obtained measures of oxidative stress when pups were weaned (~70 d of

age). In three tissues (liver, heart muscle, and blood) collected from weaned offspring, we

measured one enzymatic antioxidant (superoxide dismutase), one type of non-enzymatic

antioxidant (total antioxidant capacity), and one type of oxidative damage (protein damage

measured via protein carbonyls). We used multiple tissues because other studies have

highlighted how experimental manipulations can have tissue-specific effects (63). To assess the

cumulative impact of elevated maternal GCs on the oxidative state of offspring and how

offspring growth impacted telomere lengths, we also measured telomere lengths in DNA from

the liver. Although we only measured telomere lengths in one tissue, previous studies indicate

that telomere lengths measured in one somatic tissue are strongly correlated with those in others

(64). Because our estimate of offspring growth from ~1 to 25 d of age was temporally separated

from when we obtained our measures of oxidative stress and telomere lengths (when offspring

were ~70 d), we were able to assess whether there were persistent or cumulative oxidative costs

to fast growth.

We predicted that offspring from mothers treated with GCs during pregnancy would

grow quicker in body mass after birth, but would experience more oxidative stress (manifested as

a reduction in antioxidants and an increase in oxidative damage) and decreased telomere length,

which would be a result of increased oxidative stress or increased cell division associated with

faster growth. Because we have previously found that female red squirrels can ameliorate the

trade-off between offspring number and growth (4, 65), we examined if elevated maternal GCs

altered the trade-off between litter size and offspring growth or structural (skeletal) size. Because

early life exposure to GCs may modify the direction and strength of the association between two

variables (66-67), we also examined if increases in maternal GCs affected the expected negative

relationship between offspring growth and oxidative stress state (33) by assessing the statistical

interaction between offspring growth and maternal treatment.

Materials and Methods

151   *Study area & measuring offspring growth*

We conducted this study as a part of a long-term study of red squirrels in the Yukon,

Canada that takes place on the traditional territory of the Champagne and Aishihik First Nations.

Squirrels in our study population were all marked individually with unique ear tags and

combinations of coloured wire threaded through the ear tags (68). Females in our study

population usually produce one litter in the spring, and rarely produce more than one litter of

offspring to weaning per year (69). Females were captured and handled every ~3 to 21 d to

assess reproductive status through abdominal palpation and nipple condition. Pups were retrieved

from the nest two times. The first nest entry occurred immediately after parturition and the

second nest entry occurred when pups were approximately 25 d of age. At both nest entries, pups

were briefly removed from their nest, sexed, and weighed to the nearest 0.01 g using a portable

balance. At the first nest entry, we marked them uniquely by obtaining a small ear biopsy (for

later paternity analyses) and then we permanently marked pups at the second nest entry with

unique metal ear tags. Because offspring growth in body mass during this period of time is

approximately linear (70), we estimated offspring growth as the change in body mass from the

first to second nest entry divided by the total number of days elapsed between the two measures

of body mass. Red squirrels usually first emerge from their nest around 30-35 d old and are

usually weaned around 70 d old, such that this period of growth from ~1-25 d of age represents a

period in which offspring are only consuming milk from their mother. At the second nest entry,

we measured zygomatic arch width and right hind foot length to the nearest 1 mm using digital

callipers or a ruler, respectively. Because we only obtained these morphological measures at the

second nest entry (when pups were ~25 d old), we were not able to measure the change in

offspring structural size as we did for growth.

174   *Maternal treatments*

175   We used four separate treatment groups to assess the effects of elevated maternal GCs on

offspring over four different years (2012, 2015-2017), although in 2012 we only collected

growth in body mass data. Individual females were treated with GCs either during pregnancy or

lactation ("Pregnancy GCs" and "Lactation GCs"), whereas other females were treated as

controls during pregnancy or lactation ("Pregnancy Controls" or "Lactation Controls"). We

increased maternal GCs either during pregnancy or lactation using an established experimental

protocol (4, 62). Briefly, we treated females in the Pregnancy GCs (n = 45 total litters from 44

unique females) and Lactation GCs (n = 18 litters from 17 unique females) treatment groups with

exogenous cortisol (hydrocortisone, Sigma H4001) dissolved in peanut butter and wheat germ

mixture (8 g of peanut butter, 2 g of wheat germ). Females in the Pregnancy Control (n = 32 total

litters from 32 unique females) or Lactation Control (n = 17 litters from 16 unique females)

treatment groups were fed the same amount of peanut butter and wheat germ mixture but lacking

the hydrocortisone. GC treatments were prepared by dissolving hydrocortisone in 1 mL of 100%

ethanol and then 5 mL of 100% peanut oil before allowing the emulsion to sit overnight so that

the ethanol could evaporate. The following morning, the hydrocortisone emulsion was

thoroughly mixed with the appropriate amount of peanut butter and wheat germ, weighed out

into individual dosages (~10 g each), placed into an individual container, and then frozen at -20

°C until provisioning to the treated squirrels.

Each day during the treatment period, we placed individual dosages into a bucket that

was hung ~7-10 m off the ground on the centre of the squirrel's territory. Squirrels defend these

buckets from all other conspecifics and heterospecifics (62), so we can, therefore, be confident

that the squirrels that were given these treatments were consuming them. The dosage of

hydrocortisone varied among some of the treatment groups but we have previously shown that

either 3, 6, 8, or 12 mg of hydrocortisone per day significantly elevates baseline plasma cortisol

and faecal glucocorticoid metabolite levels but within a physiologically-relevant range (4, 62).

Females in the Pregnancy GCs treatment group were provisioned either with 3 mg (n = 4 litters),

6 mg (n = 6 litters), 8 mg (n = 26 litters), or 12 mg (9 litters) of hydrocortisone whereas females

in the Lactation GCs treatment groups were provisioned either with 8 mg per day (n = 10 litters)

or 12 mg per day (n = 8 litters). Although the dosage administered to females treated during

pregnancy or lactation varied, we grouped those administered GCs in the same treatment group

regardless of dosage (i.e., Pregnancy GCs contained females administered 3-12 mg of

hydrocortisone per day, Lactation GCs contained females administered 8 or 12 mg of

hydrocortisone per day). We did this for three reasons. First, we have previously shown that

females provisioned with 6, 8, or 12 mg of hydrocortisone per day did not differ in their faecal

glucocorticoid metabolite levels (FGM), although there were non-significant trends where

squirrels fed higher dosages of hydrocortisone had higher FGM (62). Second, in preliminary

analyses of the data presented here and in a previous study (4), we found that the effects of

different GC dosages (3, 6, 8, and 12 mg per day) that were provided to pregnant females on

offspring growth were in the same direction (increased postnatal growth). For females treated

with Lactation GCs (8 or 12 mg per day), we also found that the effects on offspring growth

were in the same direction (decreased postnatal growth). Finally, our sample sizes in some of the

treatment groups (3 and 6 mg per day in the Pregnancy GCs treatment group) were too small to

assess whether there were statistical differences among the different dosage groups

We aimed to treat females in the pregnancy treatments from approximately 20 d after

conception until 5 d after birth (or 20-40 d post-conception as red squirrels on average have a

~35 d gestation period), whereas we actually treated females in the pregnancy GCs treatment

group from 24.1 ± 0.9 d (mean ± SE) to 38.9 ± 0.6 d post-conception (mean ± SE treatment

duration: 14.9 d ± 0.8 d) and females in the pregnancy control treatment group from 20.2 ± 0.9 d

to 38.4 ± 0.5 d (treatment duration: 18.2 ± 0.8 d). We aimed to treat females in the lactation

treatments from approximately 5 d after parturition until 15 d post-parturition, whereas we

actually treated females in the Lactation GCs treatment group from 5.4 ± 0.5 d (mean ± SE) to

14.5 ± 0.6 d post-conception (mean ± SE treatment duration: 9.1 d ± 0.1 d) and females in the

Lactation Control treatment group from 4.9 ± 0.4 d to 14.1 ± 0.5 d (treatment duration: 9.2 ± 0.5

d). Given a ~35 d gestation period and a ~70 d lactation period in this population, our pregnancy

treatments corresponded to treating females during the last trimester of gestation and into the

first few days of lactation whereas our lactation treatments corresponded to early lactation before

offspring begin to feed independently (they typically leave the nest on their own for the first time

at ~35 d: Boutin et al., unpub. data). Note that this means that the lactation treatments occurred

during a time when the offspring would not be able to consume any of the treatments themselves

so any effects on offspring were likely due to the maternal phenotype.

Some females in the Pregnancy GCs (n = 3 litters) or Pregnancy Control (n = 2 litters)

treatment groups aborted their litters prior to the first nest entry. Some females lost their litters

(likely due to nest predation: 91) after the first nest entry but before the second nest entry when

we could obtain the second measure of pup body mass to estimate their growth and the only

measures of offspring morphology, thereby reducing our sample sizes to estimate the treatment

effects on offspring growth and size for Pregnancy GCs (n = 23 litters from 23 unique females),

Pregnancy Control (n = 20 litters from 20 unique females), Lactation GCs (n = 16 litters from 15

unique females), and Lactation Control (n = 14 litters from 14 unique females). Below we show

that there was no evidence that the treatments had differential effects on litter survival from the

first to second nest entry (see Results).

245　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　*Tissue sample collection*

Pups are weaned when they are approximately ~70 d of age and generally stay on their

natal territory until dispersal soon after (71). When juvenile squirrels were ~70 d of age, they

were euthanized and tissues (liver and cardiac muscle) were immediately removed, rinsed with

PBS buffer, snap frozen on dry ice, and then stored in liquid nitrogen or in a -80 °C freezer until

analysis. Trunk blood was collected through decapitation and then centrifuged at 10,000 g for 10

min at room temperature to separate plasma and red blood cells.

252   *Haematocrit*

We measured packed red blood cell volume (haematocrit) as a measure of body condition

as some (though not all) previous studies have found that higher haematocrit levels correspond to

better body condition or improved reproductive performance, at least in some studies of wild

birds (72, 89, 90). Before pups were euthanized, we collected a blood sample from the hind nail

into a heparinized capillary tube. Haematocrit was quantified using a micro-capillary reader after

centrifuging blood samples at 10,000 g for 10 min at room temperature.

259   *Protein carbonyls*

We measured oxidative damage to proteins (73) using the protein carbonyl colorimetric

kit by Cayman Chemical (Ann Arbor, USA). Briefly, ~200 mg of cardiac muscle or liver were

homogenized in ~1000 µL of 50mM MES buffer containing 1mM EDTA using a sonicator, and

then centrifuged at 10,000 g for 15 min at 4 °C. The protein concentration of tissue homogenate

supernatant and plasma samples was measured prior to the assay using a Biotek Take3 protocol

(Biotek, Vermont, USA) and samples were diluted in PBS buffer to give a protein range between

1-10 mg/ml, as recommended by the manufacturer. The average intra-assay CV for samples for

plasma, heart, or liver were 1.2%, 2.8%, and 1.6%, respectively. Inter-assay CVs for a red

squirrel pooled sample run on repeat assays for plasma (n = 7 assays), heart (n = 6), or liver (n =

7) were 3.5%, 9.0%, and 8.3%, respectively. We also ran a positive control (oxidized bovine

serum albumin) in two different assays and the inter-assay CV was 3.1%.

271   *Superoxide Dismutase*

We obtained one measure of the levels of enzymatic antioxidants (73) by quantifying

levels of superoxide dismutase (SOD) using the SOD kit from Cayman Chemical. SOD was

expressed as units/mg/ml protein (quantified using a Biotek Take3 protocol). Red blood cells

were lysed as per the manufacturer's protocol. The average intra-assay CV for samples for

RBCs, heart, or liver were 2.3%, 4.7%, and 3.1%, respectively. Inter-assay CVs for a red squirrel

pooled sample run on repeat assays for RBCs (n = 10 assays), heart (n = 2), or liver (n = 4) were

16.9%, 4.6%, and 6.5%, respectively.

Total Antioxidant Capacity

We obtained one measure of the levels of non-enzymatic antioxidants (73) by quantifying

total antioxidant capacity (TAC) using the TAC kit from Cayman Chemical. Plasma was diluted

in assay buffer and assayed according to the manufacturer's protocol. Liver and cardiac muscle

(~47 mg) were separately homogenized in 250 μL PBS using a sonicator and the supernatant was

diluted in assay buffer and used in the assay. The average intra-assay CVs for samples for

plasma, heart, or liver were 4.7%, 3.2%, and 4.7%, respectively. The inter-assay CVs for

standards run on all the plates for plasma (n = 5 assays) was 15.8% whereas the inter-assay CV

for a red squirrel pooled sample run on repeat for heart (n = 8) or liver (n = 2) were 15.4% and

5.4%, respectively.

Telomeres

Liver telomere lengths were measured using the telomere restriction fragment (TRF)

assay following established methods (74). Briefly, 2 to 10 g slices of liver tissue were

homogenized in cell lysis solution and proteinase K (Qiagen, Germantown, USA). DNA was

extracted from the liver homogenates and resuspended in buffer. The resuspended DNA was

restriction digested with 15 U of HinfI, 75U of HaeIII and 40U of RsaI (New England BioLabs,

Ipswich, USA) at 37 °C. DNA was then separated using pulsed field electrophoresis at 14˚C for

19 hours followed by in-gel hybridization overnight at 37˚C with a radioactively labeled

telomere-specific oligo (CCCTAA)4. Hybridized gels were placed on a phosphorscreen

(Amersham Biosciences, Buckinghamshire, UK), which was scanned on a Typhoon Imager

(Amersham Biosciences). Densitometry in ImageJ (v. 1.51s) was used to determine the position

and the strength of the radioactive signal in each of the lanes compared with the molecular

marker (Quick-Load1 kb DNA Extend DNA Ladder; New England BioLabs) to calculate

telomere lengths for each sample. Inter-gel variation was accounted for by calculating the mean

TRF length of standard samples run on each gel.

304   *Statistical analyses*

305   We assessed the effects of maternal treatments on litter survival from the first to second

nest entry (proportion of pups present at both first and second nest entries) and litter size and

litter sex ratio (proportion of litter composed of males) as recorded at the first and second nest

entry using generalized linear mixed-effects models (GLMMs: litter survival and litter sex ratio,

using binomial errors) or a linear mixed-effect model (LMM: litter size). Each of these separate

models contained maternal treatment, birth date, and year as fixed effects. Models for lactation

and pregnancy treatments were run separately. There was one litter from a Pregnancy Control

treatment female where her litter size at the second nest entry was greater than the at the first,

likely because we missed a pup in the nest at the first nest entry, and we therefore excluded this

litter from our analyses of the effects of the treatments on litter survival, litter size, and litter sex

ratio. We confirmed that none of the GLMMs were overdispersed as all dispersion parameters

were <1 (0.48 to 0.72).

We assessed the effects of maternal treatments on offspring growth in body mass and a

single measure of size using separate LMMs for pregnancy and lactation treatments. Each of the

four LMMs (one model for growth and one model for size for pregnancy treatments; one model

for growth and one model for size for lactation treatments) included a fixed effect for maternal

treatment and covariates (sex, year [categorical variable], birth date, litter size) that could impact

offspring growth or size. We included a two-way interaction term between treatment and litter

size to identify if elevations in maternal GCs altered the trade-off between litter size and

offspring growth, as shown previously for offspring growth (4). We included a two-way

interaction between treatment and sex to assess if the treatments had sex-specific effects on

growth and size, as documented in other species (75). We used a principal component analysis

(PCA) using a covariance matrix in the R package ade4 (version 1.7-13, 76) to generate a

composite score of offspring size. The first principal component axis (PC1, hereafter "size")

explained 69.8% of the variation in offspring size as measured by zygomatic arch width and hind

foot length. Both zygomatic arch width (0.71) and hind foot length (0.71) loaded positively on

PC1, indicating that larger PC1 scores corresponded to offspring with longer hind feet and wider

crania.

Oxidative stress reflects an imbalance between antioxidants and the production of ROS

that can damage proteins, lipids, or DNA (73). Consequently, the effects of our treatments on

measures of antioxidants should not be viewed in absence of their effects on our measures of

oxidative damage (77). We used a PCA to create a composite variable that reflected the oxidative

state of an offspring. The PCA was composed of the two antioxidants (SOD, TAC) and one

measure of oxidative damage (PCC). We conducted a separate PCA (using a correlation matrix)

for each tissue type using the package ade4. For some individuals, we were missing measures of

TAC (heart: n = 3; plasma: n = 2) or PCC (heart: n = 2; plasma: n = 4) so we substituted average

values for the PCA.

Low scores for Blood PC2, Heart PC2, or Liver PC1 corresponded to squirrels that were

exhibiting oxidative stress as they represented lower levels of the two antioxidants (SOD, TAC)

for blood and liver tissue or just one antioxidant (SOD) for heart tissue and, for heart tissue,

higher levels of protein damage (PCC, Table S1). We used these composite variables describing

oxidative state of each tissue, haematocrit, or telomere length as the response variables in

separate LMMs. Each of these LMMs contained a fixed effect for maternal treatment and

offspring sex, year [categorical variable], birth date, and litter size. Because offspring growth

may impact oxidative stress levels or telomere lengths (28), we included a two-way interaction

term between treatment and offspring growth to examine if mothers with elevated maternal GCs

exhibited an altered relationship between growth and the response variable (66-67). Due to

smaller sample sizes for these variables, we did not include an interaction between sex and

treatment. In the model to assess treatment effects on telomere lengths, we also included a fixed

effect for the oxidative stress levels in the liver (Liver PC1).

All analyses were conducted in R (version 3.5.2, 78) using lme4 (version 1.1-18-1, 79)

and *P*-values for fixed effects were estimated using *lmerTest* (version 3.0-1, 80). Continuous

predictor variables were standardized (mean of 0, SD of 1) with birth date, litter size, and growth

being standardized within each grid-year combination. Assumptions of homoscedasticity,

normality of residuals for our LMMs, and a lack of high leverage observations were confirmed

using diagnostic plots (81). As we only had one estimate of growth, size, oxidative stress, or

telomere lengths per individual pup, we did not include random intercept terms for individual

identity in any of the models described above. Whenever we had repeated observations of the

same litter (e.g., multiple estimates of offspring growth from different pups within the same

litter), we included a random intercept term for litter identity. However, we did not include a

random intercept in some models (shown in electronic supplementary materials) due to model

convergence issues that were likely the result of having only a few observations of multiple pups

being measured within one litter or for having a relatively small number of litters. As we had few

observations of the same females across years for most of our response variables (sample sizes

shown above), we did not include a random intercept for maternal identity as this was usually

redundant with litter identity. Random effects were not included in our models of the effects of

the treatments on litter characteristics (survival, size, sex ratio) as we only had a few females

observed across multiple years. We estimated variance inflation factors (VIFs) from our models

to assess multicollinearity among the predictor variables (81) and VIFs indicated that

multicollinearity was not an issue in these models (all VIF < 3.31 except if included in an

interaction or a multi-level categorical variable).

Results

378   *Effects of Treatments on Litter Survival, Litter Size, & Litter Sex Ratio*

379   There was no evidence that treating mothers with GCs during pregnancy or lactation

caused litter failure or altered litter size or litter sex ratio compared to the controls. For those

mothers producing offspring until at least the first nest entry (occurring soon after pups were

born), the proportion of the litter that survived from the first to the second nest entry did not

differ between mothers treated with GCs during pregnancy (n = 42 litters, $51.8 \pm 9\%$ of total

pups survived from the first to second nest entry) and the pregnancy (time-matched) controls (n

= 29 litters, $62 \pm 9\%$, $z = -1.0$, $P = 0.32$), nor between mothers treated with GCs during lactation

(n = 18 litters, 79.6 ± 8% pups survived) and the lactation (time-matched) controls (n = 17 litters,

72.6 ± 9.5% pups survived, z = -0.62, P = 0.53).

Litter size did not differ between mothers treated with GCs during pregnancy or the

controls at the first nest entry (Pregnancy GCs: n = 42 litters, 3.05 ± 0.14 pups, range = 1-5 pups;

Pregnancy Controls: n = 31 litters, 2.83 ± 0.17 pups, range = 1-5 pups, effect of treatment, t_{52} =

0.18, P = 0.85) nor at the second nest entry (Pregnancy GCs: n = 23 litters, 2.87 ± 0.22 pups,

range = 1-5 pups; Pregnancy Controls: n = 20 litters, 2.70 ± 0.16 pups, range = 1-4 pups, effect

of treatment, t_{37} = 0.23, P = 0.82). Litter size also did not differ between mothers treated with

GCs during lactation or the controls at the first nest entry (Lactation GCs: n = 18 litters, 2.67 ±

0.2 pups, range = 1-4 pups; Lactation Controls: n = 17 litters, 2.94 ± 0.13 pups, range = 2-4 pups,

effect of treatment, t_{30} = -1.29, P = 0.21), nor at the second nest entry (Lactation GCs: n = 16

litters, 2.25 ± 0.2 pups, range = 1-3 pups; Lactation Controls: n = 14 litters, 2.57 ± 0.2 pups,

range = 1-4 pup, effect of treatment, t_{25} = -1.45, P = 0.16).

The litter sex ratio (proportion of males) at the first nest entry did not differ between

mothers treated with GCs during pregnancy or the controls (Pregnancy GCs: n = 42 litters, 53 ±

4% males; Pregnancy Controls: n = 29 litters, 40.9 ± 5% males, effect of treatment: z = 0.62, P =

0.53), nor at the second nest entry (Pregnancy GCs: n = 23 litters, 59.3 ± 7% males; Pregnancy

Controls: n = 19 litters, 46.5 ± 7% males, effect of treatment: z = 0.34, P = 0.73). Similarly, the

litter sex ratio at the first nest entry did not differ between mothers treated with GCs during

lactation or the controls (Lactation GCs: n = 18 litters, 52.8 ± 7.2% males; Lactation Controls: n

= 17 litters, 53.4 ± 7.9% males, effect of treatment: z = 0.10, P = 0.92) nor at the second nest

entry (Lactation GCs: n = 16 litters, 58.3 ± 8.7% males; Lactation Controls: n = 14 litters, 64.9 ±

9.3% males, effect of treatment: z = -0.26, P = 0.79).

Effects of treating pregnant females with GCs on offspring growth, oxidative stress, and telomere

lengths

Offspring from mothers treated with GCs during pregnancy grew 17.0% faster ($t_{42.3}$ =

3.17, P = 0.003, Table S2A, Fig. 1A) but were not larger in structural size (Table S2B, Fig. 1C),

and did not differ in body condition (as reflected in their haematocrit levels: Table S3A) than

those produced by control mothers. There was no indication that the treatments had sex-specific

effects on offspring growth or size (Table S2). There was also no indication of a trade-off

between litter size and offspring growth or size during the years that we studied (Table S2) nor

was there any evidence that treating mothers with GCs during pregnancy altered the relationship

between litter size and growth rate, as indicated by the lack of significant interactions between

treatment and litter size for offspring growth and size (Table S2). Because the treatments had no

significant effects on litter size or litter sex ratio (see above), the effects of the treatments on

offspring growth were not simply due to a reduction in litter size.

Offspring from mothers treated with GCs during pregnancy did not have higher oxidative

stress levels in the blood, liver, or heart (Table S4, Fig. 2) and they also did not have shorter

telomere lengths (Table S5, Fig. 3). Offspring from mothers treated with GCs during pregnancy

or provided with supplemental food during pregnancy (controls) that grew faster did not have

higher oxidative stress levels in blood, heart, or liver nor did they have shorter telomere lengths

(Tables S4-S5). There was no indication that growth or its interaction with maternal treatment

impacted oxidative stress levels (Table S4) or liver telomere lengths (Table S5) in offspring from

females treated during pregnancy.

Effects of treating lactating females with GCs on offspring growth, oxidative stress, and telomere

lengths

Offspring from mothers treated with GCs during lactation grew 34.8% slower, but did not grow significantly slower ($t_{26.3} = -1.71$, $P = 0.098$, Table S6A, Fig. 1B), were not significantly smaller in structural size (Table S6B, Fig. 1D), and did not differ in body condition (as reflected in haematocrit levels: Table S3B) from those of pups from control mothers. There was no indication that the treatments had sex-specific effects on offspring growth or size, as reflected in the lack of significant sex x treatment interactions (Table S6). There was also no indication of a trade-off between litter size and offspring growth or size (Table S6) and no evidence that treating females with GCs during lactation altered the relationship between litter size and growth rate, as indicated by the lack of significant interactions between treatment and litter size for offspring growth and size (Table S6). Because the treatments had no significant effects on litter size or litter sex ratio (see above), the effects of the treatments on offspring growth were not simply due to an increase in litter size.

Offspring from mothers treated with GCs during lactation did not have higher oxidative stress levels than those from control mothers in any of the three tissues (blood, heart, liver: Table S7, Fig. 2B, 2D, 2F) and they also did not have shorter telomere lengths (Table S5, Fig. 3B). Offspring from mothers treated with GCs during lactation or provided with supplemental food during lactation (controls) that grew faster did not have higher oxidative stress levels in blood, heart, or liver nor did they have shorter telomere lengths (Table S7). There was also no indication that growth or its interaction with maternal treatment impacted oxidative stress levels (Table S7) or liver telomere lengths (Table S5) in offspring from females treated during lactation.

Discussion

Mothers treated with GCs during pregnancy produced faster growing offspring whereas

mothers treated with GCs during lactation tended to produce slower growing offspring. There

were no treatment effects on offspring structural size, indicating that while offspring from

mothers treated with GCs gained mass at a different rate than the controls, the treatments did not

influence skeletal size. However, we only obtained one measure of structural size when offspring

were ~25 d of age, and therefore did not quantify any treatment effects in the rate of change in

structural size as we did for body mass. Our results differ from a recent literature analysis across

mammals showing that offspring from mothers experiencing late gestational stress grew more

slowly before weaning (21). One explanation for the difference between this previous literature

analysis and our results is that elevated GCs simply modulated the trade-off between offspring

quantity and quality (e.g., producing small litters of fast-growing offspring) or ameliorated the

trade-off between offspring quantity and quality (e.g., lessening the effect of increased litter size

on the growth rate of each individual offspring: 82). However, this is unlikely because we found

no treatment effects on litter size nor on the trade-off between litter size and growth rate. Thus,

somehow females treated with GCs during pregnancy produced fast growing offspring without

merely reducing their litter sizes, though it is notable that in the years in which we conducted this

study, we also did not document a trade-off between litter size and offspring growth in any of the

treated or control females, as we have found previously (4). GCs are metabolic hormones that

have well-known effects on partitioning resources dedicated to survival vs. reproduction within-

individuals (92). Here, it is possible that GCs also play a role in the partitioning of resources

between mothers and offspring where they increase investment in offspring (increasing growth

rates without a reduction in litter size) perhaps at the expense of maternal energy stores.

We did not find support for the hypothesis that elevated maternal GCs during pregnancy

or lactation or increased offspring growth elevated oxidative stress levels or shortened telomere

lengths in offspring, as other studies have predicted (20, 28, 57). This is surprising and requires

explanation. First, we measured oxidative stress levels in offspring when they were weaned (~70

d of age) whereas we treated their mothers with GCs either during pregnancy or early lactation.

Thus, it is possible that the offspring in our study experienced elevated oxidative stress levels but

these effects had disappeared by weaning. A second possibility is an artefact associated with

selective disappearance of poor-quality individuals from those mothers treated with GCs during

pregnancy, such as slow growing individuals with short telomeres dying before we could obtain

our measures of oxidative stress and telomere lengths. This is unlikely as we observed no

treatment effects on litter size or the reduction in litter size from the first to second nest entry.

Finally, the fact that mothers treated with GCs did not produce offspring with elevated oxidative

stress levels or shorter telomeres may have been because of the effects of maternally-derived

GCs on offspring telomerase levels, an enzyme that is capable of rebuilding telomeres or

buffering them from attrition (83). We did not measure telomerase levels, but a previous study

showed that long-term exposure of laboratory rats to unpredictable stressors increased the

production of telomerase (84). Thus, a testable prediction for future studies is that treating

mothers with GCs promotes increases in telomerase or enzymatic antioxidant production that has

protective effects on offspring. This would be consistent with predictions from the oxidative

shielding hypothesis (32) that proposes that females may reduce their own levels of oxidative

damage (perhaps by upregulation of enzymatic antioxidants) to mitigate their detrimental

influence on offspring.

Our results indicate that elevated GCs can impact maternal investment in the current

litter. Females experiencing elevated GCs during pregnancy increased their investment in the

current litter whereas females experiencing elevated GCs during lactation tended to reduce their

investment in the current litter, the latter possibly being because mothers spent less time

interacting or nursing their offspring, as in a previous study in laboratory rats (12). Life history

theory predicts that such changes in maternal investment in offspring could alter the survival or

future reproduction of mothers (82) or increase their oxidative stress levels (32, 85). However,

our previous work in red squirrels shows that their food caching nature results in unexpected

patterns with respect to the potential costs of reproductive investment. Red squirrels can elevate

reproductive output (i.e., producing a second litter or larger litters) in anticipation of increased

future food abundance (69), or produce faster growing offspring when the fitness payoffs warrant

increased investment in the current litter (4). They seem to be able to do this without additional

access to food except the food that they already have stored from the previous autumn (4, 69,

86). Thus far, survival costs for this increased reproductive investment in the current litter

exhibited by female red squirrels seem to be small or absent. Female red squirrels with increased

reproductive effort do expend more energy (31, 86) and experience increased oxidative protein

damage (31) but we have not yet documented substantive survival costs for females that increase

their reproductive output (86, 87). We have not yet quantified any oxidative or survival costs to

mothers who were treated with GCs during pregnancy and who on average produced faster

growing offspring. Unless females upregulate the production of protective enzymatic

antioxidants or telomerase (32), it seems likely that females with elevated GCs would experience

increased oxidative damage due to their elevated reproductive investment, or because of the

elevated levels of GCs that they experience. For example, previous studies in red squirrels (31)

and other species (32) highlight that increased reproductive investment or increased exposure to

GCs (34-37) may elevate oxidative damage in breeding females with elevated GCs during

reproduction.

Although it is not known whether increased antioxidants, reduced oxidative damage, or

elongated telomeres actually cause an increase in longevity (46), our results suggest that fast

growing offspring or those from mothers treated with GCs during pregnancy or lactation would

not experience a reduction in lifespan. Our results and our previous study in red squirrels (4)

show that maternal GCs during pregnancy or lactation can induce plasticity in offspring growth

and that this plasticity should be adaptive for the fluctuating environments experienced by

Yukon red squirrels (4). Specifically, when population density is elevated, females have elevated

GCs and fast offspring growth increases offspring survival (4). We have shown here that females

with elevated GCs during pregnancy produce faster growing offspring whereas females with

elevated GCs during lactation produce slower growing offspring. This suggests that plasticity in

GC levels during female reproduction (e.g., females have elevated GCs during pregnancy but

exert strong negative feedback on further GC production during lactation) would maximize

female reproductive success when density is high. However, we did not find support for the

hypothesis that elevated maternal GCs induce a faster pace of life where offspring grow faster

and are more competitive early in life but this comes at some oxidative cost that may predict a

shortened lifespan. Future studies should assess oxidative stress using an even broader array of

measures than the few measures we used here, and will of course need to assess if elevated

maternal GCs actually impact offspring lifespan.

Data Accessibility: All data files will be made available on FigShare.

Funding: Research was supported by the National Science Foundation to B.D. (1749627) and to

B.D. and A.G.M. (1110436) as well as funds from the University of Michigan to B.D. The

Kluane Red Squirrel Project is supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research

Council of Canada to S.B., A.G.M., and J.E.L.

Acknowledgements: We thank the Champagne and Aishihik First Nations and Agnes

MacDonald for allowing us to study squirrels on their traditional territory. Special thanks to the

field technicians that helped collect these data, especially to Monica Cooper, Stacie Evans, Zach

Fogel, Claire Hoffmann, Noah Israel, Sean Konkolics, Laura Porter, Matt Sehrsweeney, Sam

Sonnega, and Jess Steketee. This is publication xx of the Kluane Red Squirrel Project.

References

1) Mousseau TA, Fox CW. 1998. Maternal Effects as Adaptations. Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford,
   UK

2) Agrawal AG, Laforsch C, Tollrian R. 1999. Transgenerational induction of defences in
   animals and plants. Nature 401:60-63.

3) Galloway LF, Etterson JR. 2007. Transgenerational plasticity is adaptive in the wild. Science
   318:1134-1136.

4) Dantzer B, Newman AE, Boonstra R, Palme R, Boutin S, Humphries MM, McAdam AG.

2013. Density triggers maternal hormones that increase adaptive offspring growth in a wild mammal. Science 340:1215-1217.

5) Love OP, Williams TD. 2008. The adaptive value of stress-induced phenotypes: effects of maternally derived corticosterone on sex-biased investment, cost of reproduction, and maternal fitness. Am Nat 172:E135-E149.

6) Sheriff MJ, Bell A, Boonstra R, Dantzer B, Lavergne SG, McGhee KE, MacLeod KJ, Winandy L, Zimmer C, Love OP. 2017. Integrating ecological and evolutionary context in the study of maternal stress. Integrative and Comparative Biology 57, 437-449.

8) Sapolsky RM, Romero LM, Munck AU. 2000. How do glucocorticoids influence stress responses? Integrating permissive, suppressive, stimulatory, and preparative actions. Endocrine Reviews 21:55-89.

9) Harris A, Seckl J. 2011. Glucocorticoids, prenatal stress and the programming of disease. Horm Behav 59:279-289.

10) Barbazanges A, Piazza PV, Le Moal M, Maccari S. 1996. Maternal glucocorticoid secretion mediates long-term effects of prenatal stress. J Neurosci 16:3943-3949.

11) Weinstock M. 2005. The potential influence of maternal stress hormones on development and mental health of the offspring. Brain Behav Immun 19:296-308.

12) Lupien SJ, McEwen BS, Gunnar MR, Heim C. 2009. Effects of stress throughout the lifespan on the brain, behavior and cognition. Nat Rev Neurosci 10:434-445.

13 Zarrow MX, Philpott JE, Denenberg VH. 1970. Passage of 14C-4 corticosterone from the rat mother to the foetus and neonate. Nature 226:1058-1059

14) Casolini P, Cigliana G, Alemá GS, Ruggieri V, Angelucci L, Catalani, A. 1997. Effect of

increased maternal corticosterone during lactation on hippocampal corticosteroid

receptors, stress response and learning in offspring in the early stages of life.

Neuroscience 79:1005-1012.

15) Herrenkohl LR, Whitney JB. 1976. Effects of prepartal stress on postpartal nursing behavior,

litter development and adult sexual behavior. Physiol Behav 17:1019-1021.

16) Brummelte S, Galea LAM. 2010. Chronic corticosterone during pregnancy and postpartum

affects maternal care, cell proliferation and depressive-like behavior in the dam. Horm

Behav 58:769-779.

17) Nephew BC, Bridges RS. 2011. Effects of chronic social stress during lactation on maternal

behavior and growth in rats. Stress 14, 677-684.

18) Nettle D, Frankenhuis WE, Rickard IJ. 2013. The evolution of predictive adaptive responses

in human life history. Proceedings of the Royal Society B 280, 20131343.

19) Weaver ICG, Cervoni N, Champagne FA, D'Alessio AC, Sharma S, Seckl JR, Dymov S,

Szyf M, Meaney MJ. 2004. Epigenetic programming by maternal behavior. Nat Neurosci

7:847-854.

20) Monaghan P, Haussmann MF. 2015. The positive and negative consequences of stressors

during early life. Early Human Development 91, 643-647.

21) Berghänel, A, Heistermann, M, Schülke, O, Ostner J. 2017. Prenatal stress accelerates

offspring growth to compensate for reduced maternal investment across mammals. PNAS

114, E10658-E10666.

22) Berghänel, A, Heistermann, M, Schülke, O, Ostner J. 2016. Prenatal stress effects in a wild,

long-lived primate: predictive adaptive responses in an unpredictable environment.

Proceedings of the Royal Society B 283, 20161304.

23) Reznick DA, Bryga H, Endler JA. 1990. Experimentally induced life-history evolution in a

natural population. Nature 346, 357-359.

24) Stearns SC, Ackermann M, Doebeli M, Kaiser M. 2000. Experimental evolution of aging,

growth, and reproduction in fruitflies. PNAS 97, 3309-3313.

25) Metcalfe NB, Monaghan P. 2001. Compensation for a bad start: grow now, pay later? Trends

Ecol Evol 16:254-260.

26) Lee W-S, Monaghan P, Metcalfe NB. 2013. Experimental demonstration of the growth rate-

lifespan trade-off. Proceedings of the Royal Society B 280, 20122370.

27) Lemaître J-F, Berger V, Bonenfant C, Douhard M, Gamelon M, Plard F, Gaillard J-M. 2015.

Early-late life trade-offs and the evolution of ageing in the wild. Proceedings of the Royal

Society B 282, 20150209.

28) Monaghan P, Ozanne SE. 2018. Somatic growth and telomere dynamics in vertebrates:

relationships, mechanisms and consequences. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal

Society of London B 373, 20160446.

29) Beckman KB, Ames BN. 1998. The free radical theory of aging matures. Physiol Rev

78:547-581.

30) Halliwell B, Gutteridge J. 2015. *Free Radicals in Biology and Medicine*. Oxford University

Press.

31) Fletcher QE, Selman C, Boutin S, McAdam AG, Woods SB, Seo AY, Leeuwenburgh C,

Speakman JR, Humphries MM. 2012. Oxidative damage increases with reproductive

energy expenditure and is reduced by food-supplementation. Evolution 67:1527-1536.

32 Blount JD, Vitikainen EIK, Stott I, Cant MA. 2016. Oxidative shielding and the cost of

reproduction. Biological Reviews 91, 483-497.

33) Smith SM, Nager RG, Costantini D. 2016. Meta-analysis indicates that oxidative stress is

both a constraint on and a cost of growth. Ecology and Evolution 6, 2833-2842.

34) Kotrschal A, Illmonen P, Penn DJ. 2007. Stress impacts telomere dynamics. Biology Letters

3, 128-130.

35) You JM, Yun SJ, Nam KN, Kang C, Won R, Lee EH. 2009. Mechanism of glucocorticoid-

induced oxidative stress in rat hippocampal slice cultures. Canadian Journal of

Physiology and Pharmacology 87, 440-447.

36) Costantini D, Marasco V, Møller AP. 2011. A meta-analysis of glucocorticoids as

modulators of oxidative stress in vertebrates. Journal of Comparative Physiology B 181,

447-456.

37) Herborn KA, Heidinger BJ, Boner W, Noguera JC, Adam A, Daunt F, Monaghan P. 2014.

Stress exposure in early post-natal life reduces telomere length: an experimental

demonstration in a long-lived seabird. Proceedings of the Royal Society B 281,

20133151.

38) Selman C, Blount JD, Nussey DH, Speakman JR. 2012. Oxidative damage, ageing, and life-

history evolution: where now? Trends Ecol Evol 27:570-577.

39) Harley CB, Futcher AB, Greider CW. 1990. Telomeres shorten during ageing of human

fibroblasts. Nature 31, 458-460.

40) Aubert G, Lansdorp PM. 2008. Telomeres and aging. Physiol Rev 88, 557-579.

41) von Zglinicki T. 2002. Oxidative stress shortens telomeres. Trends Biochem Sci 27, 339-344.

42) Houben JM, Moonen HJ, van Schooten FJ, Hageman GJ. 2008. Telomere length assessment:

biomarker of chronic oxidative stress? Free Radic Biol Med 44, 235-246.

43) Reichert S, Stier A. 2017. Does oxidative stress shorten telomeres *in vivo*? A review.

Biology Letters 13, 20170463.

44) Bodnar AG, Ouellette M, Frolkis M, Holt SE, Chiu CP, Morin GB, Harley CB, Shay JW,

Lichtsteiner S, Wright WE. 1998. Extension of life-span by introduction of telomerase

into normal human cells. Science 279, 349-352.

45) Rudolph KL, Chang S, Lee HW, Blasco M, Gottlieb GJ, Greider C, DePinho RA. 1999.

Longevity, stress response, and cancer in aging telomerase-deficient mice. Cell 96, 701-

712.

46) Simons MJP. 2015. Questioning causal involvement of telomeres in aging. Ageing Res Rev

24, 191-196.

47) Cawthon RM, Smith KR, O'Brien E, Sivatchenko A, Kerber RA. 2003. Association between

telomere length in blood and mortality in people aged 60 years or older. Lancet 36, 393-

395.

48) Haussmann MF, Winkler DW, Vleck CM. 2005. Longer telomeres associated with higher

survival in birds. Biology Letters 1, 212-214.

49) Bize P, Criscuolo F, Metcalfe NB, Nasir L, Monaghan P. 2009. Telomere dynamics rather

than age predict life expectancy in the wild. Proceedings of the Royal Society B 276,

1679-1683.

50) Salomons HM, Mulder GA, van de Zande L, Haussmann MF, Linskens MHK, Verhulst S.

2009. Telomere shortening and survival in free-living corvids. Proceedings of the Royal

Society B 276, 3157-3165.

51) Boonekamp JJ, Mulder GA, Salomons HM, Dijkstra C, Verhulst S. 2014. Nestling telomere

shortening, but not telomere length, reflects telomere developmental stress and predicts

survival in wild birds. Proceedings of the Royal Society B 281, 20133287.

52) Haussmann MF, Winkler DW, O'Reilly KM, Huntington CE, Nisbet ICT, Vleck CM. 2003.

Telomeres shorten more slowly in long-lived birds and mammals than in short-lived

ones. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B 270, 1387-1392.

53) Dantzer B, Fletcher QE. 2015. Telomeres shorten more slowly in slow-aging wild animals

than in fast-aging ones. Experimental Gerontology 71, 38-47.

54) Sudyka J, Arct A, Drobniak S, Gustafsson L, Cichon M. 2016. Longitudinal studies confirm

faster telomere erosion in short-lived bird species. Journal of Ornithology 157, 373-375.

55) Tricola GM, Simons MJP, Atema E, Boughton RK, Brown JL, Dearborn DC, Eimes JA,

Huntington CE, Kitaysky AS, Juola FA, Lank DB, Litwa HP, Mulder EGA, Nisbet ICT,

Okanoya K, Safran R, Schoech SJ, Schreiber EZ, Thompson PM, Verhulst S,

Wheelwright NT, Winkler DW, Young R, Vleck CM, Haussmann MF. 2018. The rate of

telomere loss is related to maximum lifespan in birds. Philosophical Transactions of the

Royal Society B 373, 20160445.

56) Shalev I. 2012. Early life stress and telomere length: investigating the connection and

possible mechanisms: a critical survey of the evidence base, research methodology and

basic biology. BioEssays 34, 943-952.

57) Haussmann MF, Heidinger BJ. 2015. Telomere dynamics may link stress exposure and

ageing across generations. Biology Letters 11, 20150396.

58) Shalev I, Belsky J. 2016. Early-life stress and reproductive cost: a two-hit developmental

model of accelerated aging? Medical Hypotheses 90, 41-47.

59) Entringer S, Epel ES, Kumsta R, Lin J, Hellhammer DH, Blackburn EH, Wüst S, Wadhwa

PD. 2011. Stress exposure in intrauterine life is associated with shorter telomere length in

young adulthood. PNAS 108, E513-E518.

60) Haussmann MF, Longenecker AS, Marchetto NM, Juliano SA, Bowden RM. 2012.

Embryonic exposure to corticosterone modifies the juvenile stress response, oxidative

stress and telomere length. Proc Roy Soc B 279:1447-1456.

61) Marchetto NM, Glynn RA, Ferry ML, Ostojic M, Wolff SM, Yao R, Haussmann MF. 2016.

Prenatal stress and newborn telomere length. Am J Obstet Gynecol 215, 94.e1-8.

62) van Kesteren F, Delehanty B, Westrick SE, Palme R, Boonstra R, Lane JE, Boutin S,

McAdam AG, Dantzer B. 2018. Experimental increases in glucocorticoids alter function

of the HPA axis in wild red squirrels without negatively impacting survival and

reproduction. Physiological and Biochemical Zoology *in press*.

63) Garratt M, McArdle F, Stockley P, Vasilaki A, Beynon RJ, Jackson MJ, Hurst JL. 2012.

Tissue-dependent changes in oxidative damage with male reproductive effort in house

mice. Functional Ecology 26, 423-433.

64) Friedrich U, Griese EU, Schwab M, Fritz P, Thon KP, Klotz U. 2000. Telomere length in

different tissues of elderly patients. Mechanisms of Ageing and Development 119, 90-99.

65) Westrick SE, Taylor RW, Boutin S, Lane JE, McAdam AG, Dantzer B. Fitness benefits of a

motivated mom. BioRxiv [Preprint] March 31 2019 [cited May 31 2019].

doi:10.1101/594093

66) Careau V, Buttemer WA, Buchanan KL. 2014. Developmental stress can uncouple

relationships between physiology and behaviour. Biology Letters 10, 20140834.

67) Merill L, Grindstaff JL. 2018. Early life stress strengthens trait covariance: a plastic response

that results in reduced flexibility. The American Naturalist 192, 593-604.

68) McAdam AG, Boutin S, Sykes AK, Humphries MM. 2007. Life histories of female red

squirrels and their contributions to population growth and lifetime fitness Ecoscience 14,

362-369.

69) Boutin S, Wauters LA, McAdam AG, Humphries MM, Tosi G, Dhondt AA. 2006.

Anticipatory reproduction and population growth in seed predators. Science 314, 1928-

1930.

70) McAdam AG, Boutin S, Réale D, Berteaux D. 2002. Maternal effects and the potential for

evolution in a natural population of animals Evolution 56, 846-851.

71) Larsen KW, Boutin S. 1994. Movements, survival, and settlement of red squirrel

(*Tamiasciurus hudsonicus*) offspring. Ecology 75, 214-223.

72) Breuner CW, Delehanty B, Boonstra R. 2013. Evaluating stress in natural populations of

vertebrates: total CORT is not good enough. Functional Ecology 27, 24-36.

73) Monaghan P, Metcalfe NB, Torres, R. 2009. Oxidative stress as a mediator of life history

trade-offs: mechanisms, measurements and interpretation. Ecol Lett 12:75-92.

74) Haussmann MF, Mauck RA. 2008. New strategies for telomere-based age estimation.

Molecular Ecology Resources 8, 264-274.

75) Dantzer B, Dubuc C, Goncalves IB, Cram DL, Bennett NC, Ganswindt A, Heistermann M,

Duncan C, Gaynor D, Clutton-Brock TH. 2019. The development of individual

differences in cooperative behaviour: maternal glucocorticoid hormones later helping

behaviour of offspring in wild meerkats. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society

B 374, 20180117.

76) Dray S, Dufour A-B. 2007. The ade4 package: implementing the duality diagram for

ecologists. Journal of Statistical Software 22(4). http://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v022.i04

77) Costantini D, Verhulst S. 2009. Does high antioxidant capacity indicate low oxidative stress?

Functional Ecology 23, 506-509.

78) R Development Core Team. 2013. R: a language and environment for statistical computing.

Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.

79) Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B., & Walker, S. (2015). Fitting linear mixed-effects models

using lme4. Journal of Statistical Software, 67(1). http://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v067.i01

80) Kuznetsova, A., Brockhoff, P., & Christensen, R. (2017). lmerTest: Tests in linear mixed

effects models. Journal of Statistical Software, 82, 1–26. doi: 10.18637/jss.v082.i13

81) Zuur AF, Ieno EN, Elphick CS. 2010. A protocol for data exploration to avoid common

statistical problems. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 1, 3-14.

82) Stearns SC. 1992. The Evolution of Life Histories. Oxford University Press, London.

83) Blackburn, EH. 2005. Telomeres and telomerase: their mechanisms of action and the effects

of altering their functions. FEBS Letters 7, 859-862.

84) Beery AK, Lin J, Biddle JS, Francis DD, Blackburn EH, Epel ES. 2012. Chronic stress

elevates telomerase activity in rats. Biology Letters 8, 1063-1066.

86) Monaghan P, Haussmann MF. 2006. Do telomere dynamics link lifestyle and lifespan?

Trends in Ecology and Evolution 21, 47-53.

87) Humphries MM, Boutin S. 2000. The determinants of optimal litter size in free-ranging red

squirrels. Ecology 81, 2867-2877.

88) Descamps S, Boutin S, McAdam AG, Bearteux D, Gaillard J-M. 2009. Survival costs of

reproduction vary with age in North American red squirrels. Proceedings of the Royal

Society B 276, 1129-1135.

89) Fronstin RB, Christians JK, Williams TD. 2016. Experimental reduction of haematocrit

affects reproductive performance in European starlings. Functional Ecology 30, 398-409.

90) Minias P. 2015. The use of haemoglobin concentrations to assess physiological condition in

birds: a review. Conservation Physiology 3, cov007.

91) Studd EK, Boutin S, McAdam AG, Krebs CJ, Humphries MM. 2015. Predators, energetics

and fitness drive neonatal reproductive failure in red squirrels. Journal of Animal

Ecology 84, 249-259.

92) Wingfield JC, Maney DL, Breuner CL, Jacobs JD, Lynn S, Ramenofsky M, Richardson RD.

1998. Ecological bases of hormone-behavior interactions: the "emergency life history

stage". American Zoologist 38, 191-206.

Figure 1. Effects of treating pregnant or lactating female red squirrels with glucocorticoids
(GCs) on offspring postnatal growth and structural size. A) Offspring from females treated
with GCs during pregnancy grew significantly faster than those from controls. **B)** Offspring from
females treated with GCs during lactation grew significantly slower than those from controls. **C**
& D) There were no treatment effects on the structural size of offspring. Offspring growth was
measured as the change in body mass from ~1 to ~25 d of age. Offspring size is a composite
variable where high scores of PC1 correspond to offspring with larger zygomatic arch widths and
longer hind foot lengths when pups were ~25 d of age. Results in Tables S2 & S6. Upper and
lower hinges correspond to the first and third quartiles while white diamonds indicate means and
horizontal line indicate medians.

Figure 2. Effects of treating pregnant or lactating female red squirrels with glucocorticoids (GCs) on oxidative stress levels in blood, liver, and heart tissue from weaned juvenile red squirrels. There were no significant treatment effects on oxidative stress levels in the blood (**A, B**), heart (**C, D**), or liver (**E, F**) for offspring produced by mothers treated with GCs during pregnancy or lactation. Values on y-axes reflect a composite variable generated by separate principal component analyses for blood, heart, and liver tissue where high scores correspond to low levels of one or two of the antioxidants (TAC, SOD) and, for heart, higher levels of protein damage (PCC; see Table S1). Results in Tables S4 & S7. Note differences between y-axes among the panels.

801 **Figure 3. Effects of treating pregnant or lactating female red squirrels with glucocorticoids**
802 **(GCs) on mean liver telomere lengths in weaned offspring. A & B)** There were no significant
803 treatment effects on liver telomere lengths. Results shown in Table S5.
804

805
806

1   *Electronic Supplementary Material*

3   Maternal glucocorticoids promote offspring growth without inducing oxidative stress or

4   shortening telomeres in wild red squirrels

6   Ben Dantzer[1,2], Freya van Kesteren[1], Sarah E. Westrick[1], Stan Boutin[3], Andrew G. McAdam[4],

7   Jeffrey E. Lane[5], Robert Gillespie[6], Ariana Majer[7], Mark Haussmann[7], Pat Monaghan[6]

9   **Supplementary Results**

Table S1. Results from principal component analyses to derive axes of variation of oxidative stress state in weaned pups. For each principal component shown, high values correspond to lower oxidative stress levels as they reflect samples with low levels of two (Blood PC2, Liver PC1) or one (Heart PC2) antioxidants (total antioxidant capacity, superoxide dismutase) and for heart tissue, higher levels of oxidative protein damage (protein carbonyls).

Measurement	Tissue		
	Blood PC2	**Heart PC2**	**Liver PC1**
Total Antioxidant Capacity	-0.57	-0.0007	-0.70
Superoxide Dismutase	-0.82	-0.71	-0.70
Protein Carbonyls	0.03	0.71	0.09
Prop. Variance Explained	34.3%	34.3%	38.5%

36 **Table S3. Effects of treating female red squirrels with GCs during (A) pregnancy or (B)**
37 **lactation on offspring haematocrit levels (packed red blood cell volume) collected from**
38 **weaned offspring**. Results for the pregnancy model contained random intercept term for litter
39 identity (pregnancy: $\sigma^2 = 18.5$) whereas results for the lactation model are from a general linear
40 model.
41

(A)

Variable	b	SE	*t*	df	*P*-value
Intercept (2015, Control, Female)	**47.3**	**2.9**	**16.4**	**17.7**	**<0.0001**
Year (2016)	-5.86	3.3	-1.78	16.7	0.093
Year (2017)	-5.70	3.1	-1.82	16.8	0.086
Sex (Male)	1.86	1.1	1.71	17.0	0.10
Birth date	-0.61	1.5	-0.39	18.0	0.69
Growth	-1.25	1.4	-0.89	29.8	0.38
Litter size	-0.84	1.3	-0.69	18.1	0.51
Treatment (GCs)	-0.12	2.1	-0.06	18.7	0.95
Treatment (GCs) x Growth	1.99	2.4	0.84	29.3	0.41

Results based upon 39 offspring from 25 litters across 3 years

(B)

Variable	b	SE	t	df	P-value
Intercept (2015, Control, Female)	**44.7**	**2.6**	**16.9**	**15**	**<0.0001**
Year (2016)	**-8.75**	**4.3**	**-2.03**	**15**	**0.06**
Year (2017)	-3.18	2.2	-1.43	15	0.17
Sex (Male)	**4.65**	**2.1**	**2.18**	**15**	**0.045**
Birth date	**1.86**	**1.6**	**1.12**	**15**	**0.25**
Growth	-2.23	1.9	-1.19	15	0.25
Litter size	0.69	1.9	0.35	15	0.73
Treatment (GCs)	0.82	2.7	0.30	15	0.76
Treatment (GCs) x Growth	2.80	2.4	1.18	15	0.26

Results based upon 24 offspring from 16 litters across 3 years

42
43
44

Table S4. Effects of treating pregnant red squirrels with GCs on oxidative stress levels in (A) blood, (B) heart, and (C) liver tissue from weaned offspring. High PC scores correspond to low levels of antioxidants and, for heart, higher levels of protein damage (see Table 1). Models for blood and liver tissues contained random intercept term for litter ID (blood: $\sigma^2 = 0.36$; liver: $\sigma^2 = 0.06$).

(A)

Offspring trait	Variable	b	SE	t	df	P-value
Blood PC2	Intercept (2015, Control, Female)	-0.08	0.5	-0.17	12.0	0.87
	Year (2016)	-0.14	0.6	-0.24	12.4	0.82
	Year (2017)	-0.29	0.5	-0.54	12.3	0.59
	Sex (Male)	0.59	0.3	2.06	22.9	0.051
	Birth date	-0.57	0.3	-2.05	16.7	0.057
	Growth	-0.09	0.3	-0.32	22.7	0.75
	Litter size	-0.37	0.2	-1.63	17.7	0.12
	Treatment (GCs)	0.05	0.4	0.13	15.1	0.89
	Treatment (GCs) x Growth	-0.61	0.5	-1.24	20.4	0.23

(B)

Offspring trait	Variable	b	SE	t	df	P-value
Heart PC2	**Intercept (2015, Control, Female)**	**-0.95**	**0.4**	**-2.16**	**32**	**0.038**
	Year (2016)	**1.29**	**0.5**	**2.48**	**32**	**0.019**
	Year (2017)	0.98	0.5	1.99	32	0.055
	Sex (Male)	-0.08	0.3	-0.24	32	0.81
	Birth date	0.52	0.3	1.97	32	0.057
	Growth	0.006	0.3	0.02	32	0.98
	Litter size	**0.49**	**0.2**	**2.23**	**32**	**0.033**
	Treatment (GCs)	0.31	0.3	0.86	32	0.39
	Treatment (GCs) x Growth	0.35	0.5	0.74	32	0.46

(C)

Offspring trait	Variable	b	SE	t	df	P-value
Liver PC1	**Intercept (2015, Control, Female)**	**-0.93**	**0.3**	**-2.78**	**12.5**	**0.016**
	Year (2016)	0.58	0.4	1.47	13.0	0.16
	Year (2017)	**1.75**	**0.4**	**4.65**	**12.6**	**0.0005**
	Sex (Male)	-0.001	0.2	-0.006	28.6	0.99
	Birth date	0.11	0.2	0.55	17.7	0.59
	Growth	-0.11	0.2	-0.51	19.5	0.61
	Litter size	0.05	0.2	0.29	20.1	0.78
	Treatment (GCs)	-0.37	0.3	-1.38	15.4	0.19
	Treatment (GCs) x Growth	-0.31	0.3	-0.89	18.3	0.38

Results from 41 offspring from 26 litters over 3 years.

(A)

Variable	b	SE	t	df	P-value
Intercept (2015, Control, Female)	**19.93**	**1.2**	**16.01**	**16.0**	**<0.0001**
Year (2016)	**4.37**	**1.4**	**3.20**	**14.0**	**0.006**
Year (2017)	-2.37	1.6	-1.48	20.4	0.15
Sex (Male)	0.40	0.8	0.52	26.9	0.60
Birth date	0.80	0.6	1.23	18.2	0.23
Growth	-0.45	0.7	-0.63	20.3	0.54
Litter size	-0.24	0.5	-0.45	19.9	0.66
Liver PC1	0.20	0.6	0.34	30.9	0.73
Treatment (GCs)	0.62	0.9	0.67	16.7	0.51
Treatment (GCs) x Growth	1.27	1.2	1.1	19.2	0.29

Results based upon 41 offspring from 26 litters across 3 years

(B)

Variable	b	SE	t	df	P-value
Intercept (2015, Control, Female)	**18.8**	**1.7**	**11.01**	**14.9**	**<0.0001**
Year (2016)	**2.14**	**3.6**	**0.59**	**10.3**	**0.56**
Year (2017)	0.44	2.4	0.18	15.6	0.86
Sex (Male)	0.22	0.9	0.24	10.5	0.81
Birth date	-0.04	1.1	-0.04	10.9	0.97
Growth	-0.03	0.8	-0.04	16.9	0.96
Litter size	0.66	1.5	0.43	11.0	0.68
Liver PC1	-0.49	0.8	-0.63	15.3	0.54
Treatment (GCs)	0.39	1.5	0.25	10.4	0.81
Treatment (GCs) x Growth	1.09	1.1	0.95	17.0	0.35

Results based upon 27 offspring from 18 litters across 3 years

Table S6. Effects of treating female red squirrels with GCs during lactation on offspring
postnatal growth (A) and structural (skeletal) size (B). Offspring growth is the linear change
in body mass from ~1 d to ~25 d of age. Offspring size is a composite variable where high scores
of PC1 correspond to offspring (~25 d of age) with larger zygomatic arch widths and longer hind
foot lengths. Models contained random intercept term for litter identity (growth model: σ^2 =
0.24; size model: σ^2 = 0.69).
75

(A)

Offspring trait	Variable	b	SE	t	df	P-value
Growth	**Intercept (2015, Control, Female)**	**1.86**	**0.21**	**8.9**	**25.7**	**<0.0001**
	Year (2016)	-0.45	0.44	-1.03	22.4	0.31
	Year (2017)	-0.26	0.2	-1.29	22.6	0.21
	Sex (Male)	-0.005	0.06	-0.09	40.7	0.93
	Birth date	**0.19**	**0.11**	**1.69**	**22.7**	**0.10**
	Litter size	0.09	0.21	0.41	22.9	0.69
	Treatment (GCs)	**-0.37**	**0.21**	**-1.71**	**26.3**	**0.098**
	Treatment (GCs) x Sex (Male)	0.09	0.08	1.05	40.9	0.30
	Treatment (GCs) x Litter size	-0.01	0.24	-0.06	23.1	0.95

Results based upon 72 offspring from 30 litters across 3 years

(B)

Offspring trait	Variable	b	SE	t	df	P-value
Size (PC1)	Intercept (2015, Control, Female)	0.17	0.43	0.40	43.7	0.69
	Year (2016)	-0.7	0.71	-0.98	19.9	0.34
	Year (2017)	**0.74**	**0.34**	**2.18**	**22.6**	**0.04**
	Sex (Male)	0.19	0.3	0.63	43.1	0.53
	Birth date	**0.41**	**0.18**	**2.22**	**21.8**	**0.037**
	Litter size	-0.22	0.37	-0.60	25.9	0.55
	Treatment (GCs)	-0.67	0.43	-1.55	43.4	0.13
	Treatment (GCs) x Sex (Male)	0.04	0.37	0.10	42.5	0.92
	Treatment (GCs) x Litter size	0.60	0.42	1.44	26.5	0.16

76 Results based upon 67 offspring from 30 litters across 3 years
77

78 **Table S7. Effects of treating lactating red squirrels with GCs on oxidative stress levels in**
79 **(A) blood, (B) heart, and (C) liver tissue from weaned offspring.** High PC scores correspond
80 to low levels of antioxidants and, in heart tissue, higher levels of protein damage (Table 1). The
81 model for liver contained a random intercept term for litter identity (liver: $\sigma^2 = 0.24$).
82

(A)

Offspring trait	Variable	b	SE	*t*	df	*P*-value
Blood PC2	Intercept (2015, Control, Female)	0.41	0.5	0.80	18	0.43
	Year (2016)	-0.13	0.9	-0.15	18	0.88
	Year (2017)	-0.82	0.5	-1.67	18	0.11
	Sex (Male)	-0.51	0.5	-1.11	18	0.28
	Birth date	-0.19	0.3	-0.61	18	0.55
	Growth	0.36	0.3	1.18	18	0.25
	Litter size	-0.42	0.4	-0.97	18	0.35
	Treatment (GCs)	0.47	0.5	1.02	18	0.32
	Treatment (GCs) x Growth	-0.20	0.4	-0.49	18	0.63

(B)

Offspring trait	Variable	b	SE	t	df	*P*-value
Heart PC2	Intercept (2015, Control, Female)	-1.33	0.4	-3.37	18	0.0034
	Year (2016)	0.57	0.7	0.83	18	0.42
	Year (2017)	1.0	0.4	2.64	18	0.016
	Sex (Male)	0.33	0.3	0.93	18	0.36
	Birth date	0.21	0.2	0.89	18	0.38
	Growth	-0.04	0.2	-0.18	18	0.86
	Litter size	0.27	0.3	0.82	18	0.42
	Treatment (GCs)	0.68	0.3	1.92	18	0.07
	Treatment (GCs) x Growth	-0.24	0.3	-0.75	18	0.46

(C)

Offspring trait	Variable	b	SE	t	df	*P*-value
Liver PC1	**Intercept (2015, Control, Female)**	**-1.06**	**0.4**	**-2.78**	**13.2**	**0.015**
	Year (2016)	1.48	0.77	1.92	6.8	0.098
	Year (2017)	**2.21**	**0.4**	**5.68**	**9.2**	**0.0003**
	Sex (Male)	-0.03	0.3	-0.1	16.0	0.92
	Birth date	-0.31	0.2	-1.24	8.8	0.24
	Growth	-0.13	0.2	-0.59	16.8	0.56
	Litter size	-0.52	0.3	-1.49	8.6	0.17
	Treatment (GCs)	-0.17	0.4	-0.46	8.9	0.66
	Treatment (GCs) x Growth	-0.04	0.3	-0.12	13.9	0.90

83 Results from 27 offspring from 18 litters over 3 years.
84