Maternal glucocorticoids promote offspring growth without inducing oxidative stress or

shortening telomeres in wild red squirrels

Ben Dantzer[1,2], Freya van Kesteren[1], Sarah E. Westrick[1], Stan Boutin[3], Andrew G. McAdam[4],

Jeffrey E. Lane[5], Robert Gillespie[6], Ariana Majer[7], Mark Haussmann[7], Pat Monaghan[6]

[1]Department of Psychology, University of Michigan, MI 48109 Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA

[2]Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Michigan, MI 48109, Ann

Arbor, Michigan, USA

[3]Department of Biological Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T6G 2E9

[4]Department of Integrative Biology, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada N1G 2W1

[5]Department of Biology, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, SK S7N 5E2, Canada

[6]Institute of Biodiversity, Animal Health and Comparative Medicine, College of Medical,

Veterinary and Life Sciences, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, G12 8QQ, UK

[7]Department of Biology, Bucknell University, Lewisburg, PA, 17837, USA

*Corresponding author: dantzer@umich.edu

Running title: Maternal glucocorticoids, growth, and oxidative stress

Summary Statement: We show that experimental increases in glucocorticoids in breeding

female North American red squirrels affects offspring postnatal growth but not levels of

oxidative damage and antioxidants or telomere lengths.

Abstract

Elevations in glucocorticoid levels (GCs) in breeding females may induce adaptive shifts in offspring life histories. Offspring produced by mothers with elevated GCs may be better prepared to face harsh environments where a faster pace of life is beneficial. We examined how experimentally elevated GCs in pregnant or lactating North American red squirrels (*Tamiasciurus hudsonicus*) affected offspring postnatal growth, structural size, oxidative stress levels (two antioxidants and oxidative protein damage) in three different tissues (blood, heart, liver), and liver telomere lengths. We predicted that offspring from mothers treated with GCs would grow faster but would also have higher levels of oxidative stress and shorter telomeres, which may predict reduced longevity. Offspring from mothers treated with GCs during pregnancy were 8.3% lighter around birth but grew (in body mass) 17.0% faster than those from controls, whereas offspring from mothers treated with GCs during lactation grew 34.8% slower than those from controls and did not differ in body mass around birth. Treating mothers with GCs during pregnancy or lactation did not alter the oxidative stress levels or telomere lengths of their offspring. Fast-growing offspring from any of the treatment groups did not have higher oxidative stress levels or shorter telomere lengths, indicating that offspring that grew faster early in life did not exhibit oxidative costs after this period of growth. Our results indicate that elevations in maternal GCs may induce plasticity in offspring growth without long-term oxidative costs to the offspring that might result in a shortened lifespan.

Keywords: Developmental plasticity, Life history, Maternal effects, Stress

Introduction

Parents can have long-lasting impacts on their offspring across a diversity of taxa. These parental effects have drawn substantial interest because they suggest that parental characteristics or the parental environment itself could induce adaptive shifts in offspring traits that prepare them for specific environments (i.e., adaptive transgenerational phenotypic plasticity: Mousseau and Fox, 1998; Agrawal et al., 1999; Galloway and Etterson, 2007; Dantzer et al., 2013). Furthermore, changes in maternal hormone levels, especially glucocorticoids (GCs), are widely suspected to act as a mediator of transgenerational phenotypic plasticity in vertebrates (Love and Williams, 2008; Sheriff et al., 2017).

GCs are metabolic hormones released by the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis (Sapolsky et al., 2000), in response to a variety of ecologically salient cues. In mammals, studies in laboratory animals (Barbazanges et al., 1996; Harris and Seckl, 2011), 10) and in humans (Weinstock, 2005; Lupien et al., 2009) show that elevated maternal GCs can generate stable individual differences in offspring physiology and behaviour through the transfer of maternally-derived GCs to offspring across the placenta (Barbazanges et al., 1996; Lupien et al., 2009), in milk (Zarrow et al., 1970; Casolini et al., 1997), or through changes in maternal behaviour (Herrenkohl and Whitney, 1976; Brummelte and Galea, 2010; Nephew and Bridges, 2011). Maternal GCs could also act as an internal cue for offspring to modify their own development (Nettle et al., 2013). Regardless of the pathway, there is much evidence that changes in maternal GCs mediate parental effects whose influence may even persist across generations via epigenetic mechanisms (Weaver et al., 2004).

Some of these changes in offspring characteristics that are caused by elevations in maternal GCs are suspected to reflect adaptive plasticity in offspring life history traits, such as modifying the trade-off between early life growth and lifespan (Monaghan and Haussmann, 2015; Berghänel et al., 2017). Elevated maternal GCs may induce a "faster" life history strategy whereby offspring produced by mothers with elevated GCs grow or develop faster (Dantzer et al., 2013; Berghänel et al., 2016). Such adjustments in the "pace of life" may be adaptive, as fast postnatal growth or a quicker developmental time may be beneficial when the risk of extrinsic mortality is heightened (Reznick et al., 1990; Stearns et al., 2000), which may coincide with elevated maternal GCs. However, this increased investment in postnatal growth is expected to carry costs for offspring longevity whereby fast-growing individuals exhibit a shortened lifespan

87   (Metcalfe and Monaghan, 2001; Lee et al., 2013; Lemaître et al., 2015; Monaghan and Ozanne,

88   2018).

89   Identifying if maternal stress induces a trade-off between growth and lifespan in wild

animals is needed, as any costs of increased exposure to maternal GCs may be masked by benign

environmental conditions in the laboratory. Accurately documenting lifespan in wild animals

remains challenging in many species, and the stochastic nature of mortality in wild animals may

obscure any mechanistic costs of increased exposure to maternal GCs for offspring.

Consequently, one way to examine if elevated maternal GCs induce a trade-off between growth

and longevity in offspring is to examine how it affects the possible underlying mechanisms of

reduced longevity or physiological correlates that may predict a shortened lifespan. The free-

radical theory of aging (Beckman and Ames, 1998) provides one framework to examine the

mechanisms by which maternal GCs may induce this trade-off between offspring growth and

longevity. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) produced during aerobic respiration can have

damaging effects on cells (Beckman and Ames, 1998; Halliwell and Gutteridge, 2015). ROS

production may be elevated by increased aerobic respiration due to enhanced investment in

growth or reproduction (Fletcher et al., 2012; Blount et al., 2016; Smith et al., 2016; Monaghan

and Ozanne, 2018) or by increased GCs (Kotrschal et al., 2007; You et al., 2009; Costantini et

al., 2011; Herborn et al., 2014). Antioxidants produced by individuals (enzymatic antioxidants

such as superoxide dismutase) as well as those antioxidants from the external environment (non-

enzymatic antioxidants in the diet) can lessen the impact of ROS production (Selman et al.,

2012). An important type of oxidative damage occurs to the protective ends of chromosomes,

called telomeres. Telomeres are the repetitive DNA sequences that occur at the ends of eukaryote

chromosomes whose length is shortened during each cell division (Harley et al., 1990; Aubert

and Lansdorp, 2008), and may also be reduced by the increased production of ROS (von

Zglinicki, 2002; Houben et al., 2008; Reichert and Stier, 2017; Monaghan and Ozanne, 2018).

Cells with a reduced telomere length become senescent, stop dividing, and undergo apoptosis

unless the enzyme telomerase, or another elongation process, is produced to elongate the

telomeres (Bodnar et al., 1998; Rudolph et al., 1999). Telomere length or rate of loss has been

found to be predictive of the mortality risk of individuals (Cawthon et al., 2003; Haussmann et

al., 2005; Bize et al., 2009; Salomons et al., 2009; Boonekamp et al., 2014; Munoz-Lorente et al.,

2019), though the strength of this relationship may vary among taxa and in relation to other life

history traits. Furthermore, avian or mammalian species with longer lifespans have been shown

to exhibit slower age-specific rates of telomere loss (Haussmann et al., 2003; Dantzer and

Fletcher, 2015; Sudyka et al., 2016; Tricola et al., 2018).

Elevated exposure to maternal GCs may, therefore, induce a life history trade-off

between offspring growth and longevity, because offspring may experience elevated oxidative

damage, decreased antioxidant levels, and/or shortened telomeres, either due to oxidative stress

or increased cell division associated with elevated growth (Shalev, 2012; Haussmann and

Heidinger, 2015; Monaghan and Haussmann, 2015; Shalev and Belsky, 2016; Monaghan and

Haussmann, 2018). Previous studies across taxa show that elevated exposure to maternal GCs

can shorten telomere lengths in offspring (Entringer et al., 2011; Haussmann et al., 2012;

Herborn et al., 2014; Marchetto et al., 2016) or increase their rate of attrition as they age

(Haussmann and Heidinger, 2015), which could cause or be associated with a shortened lifespan.

For example, experimental studies in captive and wild birds show that offspring that had

exogenous GCs added to their eggs or were administered GCs during chick growth had a

heightened physiological stress response, higher levels of oxidative stress, and shorter telomeres

early in life (Haussmann et al., 2012; Herborn et al., 2014). Despite much interest in this topic,

few studies in wild animals have examined if experimental elevations in the GCs of breeding

females impact the oxidative state of offspring or explicitly tested the prediction that elevations

in the GCs of breeding females increase early life growth. Additionally, few studies have tested

whether elevated GCs in breeding females or fast early life growth comes at some cost by

promoting oxidative stress and shortening telomeres in offspring.

We tested the hypothesis that elevations in maternal GCs would promote a faster life

history strategy in offspring of wild North American red squirrels (*Tamiasciurus hudsonicus*).

We treated females with GCs using a protocol that allowed us to increase circulating GCs within

a physiologically-relevant range (van Kesteren et al., 2019). We treated females with GCs either

during pregnancy or lactation to assess if the timing of exposure to maternal GCs influenced

their effects on offspring. Other than for offspring growth in body mass, we did not have strong *a*

priori expectations of how the timing of elevated maternal GCs would differentially impact

offspring because elevated maternal GCs during pregnancy or lactation can impact offspring

through the same pathways: direct transfer of maternal GCs to offspring across the placenta or

through milk ("programming"), altering maternal behaviour, or affecting offspring behaviour

(see references above). However, based upon our previous study (Dantzer et al., 2013), we

predicted that offspring produced by mothers treated with GCs during pregnancy would grow

faster in body mass. We did not have an *a priori* expectation for how treating mothers with GCs

during lactation would impact offspring growth in body mass, though results from a previous

study suggested that it should reduce growth (Nephew and Bridges, 2011).

We measured offspring postnatal growth in body mass prior to weaning (~1 to 25 d of

age) and subsequently obtained measures of oxidative stress when pups were weaned (~70 d of

age). In three tissues (liver, heart muscle, and blood) collected from weaned offspring, we

measured one enzymatic antioxidant (superoxide dismutase), one type of non-enzymatic

antioxidant (total antioxidant capacity), and one type of oxidative damage (protein damage

measured via protein carbonyls). We used multiple tissues because other studies have

highlighted how experimental manipulations can have tissue-specific effects (Garratt et al.,

2012). To assess the cumulative impact of elevated maternal GCs on the oxidative state of

offspring and how offspring growth impacted telomere lengths, we also measured telomere

lengths in DNA from the liver. We focused on liver telomere lengths because the liver is a

mitotically active tissue, produces growth hormones, and previous studies have documented a

reduction in telomere length with faster growth (Monaghan and Ozanne, 2018), suggesting that

the liver would be a good tissue to investigate any oxidative costs of growth. Although we only

measured telomere lengths in one tissue, previous studies indicate that telomere lengths

measured in one somatic tissue are strongly correlated with those in others (Friedrich et al.,

2000). Because our estimate of offspring growth from ~1 to 25 d of age was temporally

separated from when we obtained our measures of oxidative stress and telomere lengths (when

offspring were weaned [~70 d]), we were able to assess whether there were persistent or

cumulative oxidative costs to fast growth.

We predicted that offspring from mothers treated with GCs during pregnancy would

grow quicker in body mass after birth, but would experience more oxidative stress (manifested as

a reduction in antioxidants and an increase in oxidative damage) and decreased telomere length,

which would be a result of increased oxidative stress or increased cell division associated with

faster growth. Because we have previously found that female red squirrels can ameliorate the

trade-off between offspring number and growth (Dantzer et al., 2013; Westrick et al., 2019

preprint), we examined if elevated maternal GCs altered the trade-off between litter size and

offspring growth or structural (skeletal) size. Previous studies highlight that elevated maternal

GCs can impact offspring birth weight (Berghänel et al., 2017) so we also examined the

treatment effects on the first measure of body mass. Because early life exposure to GCs may

modify the direction and strength of the association between two variables (Careau et al., 2014;

Merill and Grindstaff, 2018), we also examined if increases in maternal GCs affected the

expected negative relationship between offspring growth and oxidative stress state (Smith et al.,

2016) by assessing the statistical interaction between offspring growth and maternal treatment.

Materials and Methods

189   *Study area & measuring offspring growth*

We conducted this study as a part of a long-term study of red squirrels in the Yukon,

Canada that takes place on the traditional territory of the Champagne and Aishihik First Nations.

Squirrels in our study population were all marked individually with unique ear tags and

combinations of coloured wire threaded through the ear tags (McAdam et al., 2007). Females in

our study population usually produce one litter in the spring, and rarely produce more than one

litter of offspring to weaning per year (Boutin et al., 2006). Adult females were captured and

handled every ~3 to 21 d to assess reproductive status through abdominal palpation and nipple

condition. Pups were retrieved from the nest two times. The first nest entry occurred immediately

after parturition and the second nest entry occurred when pups were approximately 25 d of age.

At both nest entries, pups were briefly removed from their nest, sexed, and weighed to the

nearest 0.01 g using a portable balance. At the first nest entry, we marked them uniquely by

obtaining a small ear biopsy (for later paternity analyses) and then we permanently marked pups

at the second nest entry with unique metal ear tags. Because offspring growth in body mass

during this period of time is approximately linear (McAdam et al., 2002), we estimated offspring

growth as the change in body mass from the first to second nest entry divided by the total

number of days elapsed between the two measures of body mass. Red squirrels usually first

emerge from their nest around 30-35 d old and are usually weaned around 70 d old, such that this

period of growth from ~1-25 d of age represents a period in which offspring are only consuming

milk from their mother. At the second nest entry, we measured zygomatic arch width and right

hind foot length to the nearest 1 mm using digital callipers or a ruler, respectively. Because we

only obtained these morphological measures at the second nest entry (when pups were ~25 d

old), we were not able to measure the change in offspring structural size as we did for growth. All of our procedures were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of Michigan (PRO00007805).

Maternal treatments

We used four separate treatment groups to assess the effects of elevated maternal GCs on offspring over four different years (2012, 2015-2017), although in 2012 we only collected growth in body mass data. Individual adult females were treated with GCs either during pregnancy or lactation ("Pregnancy GCs" and "Lactation GCs"), whereas other females were treated as controls during pregnancy or lactation ("Pregnancy Controls" or "Lactation Controls"). We increased maternal GCs either during pregnancy or lactation using an established experimental protocol (Dantzer et al., 2013; van Kesteren et al., 2019). Briefly, we treated females in the Pregnancy GCs (n = 44 total litters from 43 unique females) and Lactation GCs (n = 18 litters from 17 unique females) treatment groups with exogenous cortisol (hydrocortisone, Sigma H4001) dissolved in peanut butter and wheat germ mixture (8 g of peanut butter, 2 g of wheat germ). Females in the Pregnancy Control (n = 31 total litters from 32 unique females) or Lactation Control (n = 17 litters from 16 unique females) treatment groups were fed the same amount of peanut butter and wheat germ mixture but lacking the hydrocortisone. GC treatments were prepared by dissolving hydrocortisone in 1 mL of 100% ethanol and then 5 mL of 100% peanut oil before allowing the emulsion to sit overnight so that the ethanol could evaporate. The following morning, the hydrocortisone emulsion was thoroughly mixed with the appropriate amount of peanut butter and wheat germ, weighed out into individual dosages (~10 g each), placed into an individual container, and then frozen at -20 °C until provisioning to the treated squirrels.

Each day during the treatment period, we placed individual dosages into a bucket that was hung ~7-10 m off the ground on the centre of the squirrel's territory. Squirrels defend these buckets from all other conspecifics and heterospecifics (van Kesteren et al., 2019), so we can, therefore, be confident that the squirrels that were given these treatments were consuming them. The dosage of hydrocortisone varied among some of the treatment groups but we have previously shown that either 3, 6, 8, or 12 mg of hydrocortisone per day significantly elevates baseline plasma cortisol and faecal glucocorticoid metabolite levels but within a physiologically-relevant range (Dantzer et al., 2013; van Kesteren et al., 2019). Females in the Pregnancy GCs

treatment group were provisioned either with 3 mg (n = 4 litters), 6 mg (n = 6 litters), 8 mg (n =

26 litters), or 12 mg (9 litters) of hydrocortisone whereas females in the Lactation GCs treatment

groups were provisioned either with 8 mg per day (n = 10 litters) or 12 mg per day (n = 8 litters).

Although the dosage administered to females treated during pregnancy or lactation varied, we

grouped those administered GCs in the same treatment group regardless of dosage (i.e.,

Pregnancy GCs contained females administered 3-12 mg of hydrocortisone per day, Lactation

GCs contained females administered 8 or 12 mg of hydrocortisone per day). We did this for three

reasons. First, we have previously shown that females provisioned with 6, 8, or 12 mg of

hydrocortisone per day did not differ in their faecal glucocorticoid metabolite levels (FGM),

although there were non-significant trends where squirrels fed higher dosages of hydrocortisone

had higher FGM (van Kesteren et al., 2019). Second, in preliminary analyses of the data

presented here and in a previous study (Dantzer et al., 2013), we found that the effects of

different GC dosages (3, 6, 8, and 12 mg per day) that were provided to pregnant females on

offspring growth were in the same direction (increased postnatal growth: Table S1). For females

treated with Lactation GCs (8 or 12 mg per day), we also found that the effects on offspring

growth were in the same direction (decreased postnatal growth: Table S1). Finally, our sample

sizes in some of the treatment groups (3 and 6 mg per day in the Pregnancy GCs treatment

group) were too small to assess whether there were statistical differences among the different

dosage groups.

We aimed to treat females in the pregnancy treatments from approximately 20 d after

conception until 5 d after birth (or 20-40 d post-conception as red squirrels on average have a

~35 d gestation period), whereas we actually treated females in the pregnancy GCs treatment

group from 24.2 ± 0.9 d (mean ± SE) to 39.0 ± 0.6 d post-conception (mean ± SE treatment

duration: 14.9 d ± 0.8 d) and females in the pregnancy control treatment group from 20.4 ± 0.8 d

to 38.6 ± 0.5 d (treatment duration: 18.2 ± 0.8 d). We aimed to treat females in the lactation

treatments from approximately 5 d after parturition until 15 d post-parturition, whereas we

actually treated females in the Lactation GCs treatment group from 5.4 ± 0.5 d (mean ± SE) to

14.5 ± 0.6 d post-conception (mean ± SE treatment duration: 9.1 d ± 0.1 d) and females in the

Lactation Control treatment group from 4.9 ± 0.4 d to 14.1 ± 0.5 d (treatment duration: 9.2 ± 0.5

d). Given a ~35 d gestation period and a ~70 d lactation period in this population, our pregnancy

treatments corresponded to treating females during the last trimester of gestation and into the

first few days of lactation, whereas our lactation treatments corresponded to early lactation

before offspring begin to feed independently (they typically leave the nest on their own for the

first time at ~35 d). Note that this means that the lactation treatments occurred during a time

when the offspring would not be able to consume any of the treatments themselves so any effects

on offspring were likely due to the maternal phenotype.

Some females in the Pregnancy GCs (n = 3 litters) or Pregnancy Control (n = 2 litters)

treatment groups aborted their litters prior to the first nest entry (no females in the lactation

treatments aborted their litters prior to the first nest entry). Some females lost their litters (likely

due to nest predation: Studd et al., 2015) after the first nest entry but before the second nest entry

when we could obtain the second measure of pup body mass to estimate their growth and the

only measures of offspring morphology, thereby reducing our sample sizes to estimate the

treatment effects on the first measure of offspring body mass, postnatal growth and body size

(sample sizes shown in Tables 2-4, S1-S5). Below we show that there was no evidence that the

treatments had differential effects on litter survival from the first to second nest entry (see

Results).

288   *Tissue sample collection*

Pups are weaned when they are approximately ~70 d of age and generally stay on their

natal territory until dispersal soon after (Larsen and Boutin, 1994). When juvenile squirrels were

~70 d of age, they were euthanized and tissues (liver and cardiac muscle) were immediately

removed, rinsed with PBS buffer, snap frozen on dry ice, and then stored in liquid nitrogen or in

a -80 °C freezer until analysis. Trunk blood was collected through decapitation and then

centrifuged at 10,000 g for 10 min at room temperature to separate plasma and red blood cells.

295   *Haematocrit*

We measured packed red blood cell volume (haematocrit) as a measure of body condition

as some (though not all) previous studies have found that higher haematocrit levels correspond to

better body condition or improved reproductive performance, at least in some studies of wild

birds (Breuner et al., 2013; Minias, 2015; Fronstin et al., 2016). Before pups were euthanized, we

collected a blood sample from the hind nail into a heparinized capillary tube. Haematocrit was

quantified using a micro-capillary reader after centrifuging blood samples at 10,000 g for 10 min

at room temperature.

303   *Protein carbonyls*

We measured oxidative damage to proteins (Monaghan et al., 2009) using the protein

carbonyl colorimetric kit by Cayman Chemical (Ann Arbor, USA). Briefly, ~200 mg of cardiac

muscle or liver were homogenized in ~1000 µL of 50mM MES buffer containing 1mM EDTA

using a sonicator, and then centrifuged at 10,000 g for 15 min at 4 °C. The protein concentration

of tissue homogenate supernatant and plasma samples was measured prior to the assay using a

Biotek Take3 protocol (Biotek, Vermont, USA) and samples were diluted in PBS buffer to give a

protein range between 1-10 mg/ml, as recommended by the manufacturer. The average intra-

assay CV for samples for plasma, heart, or liver were 1.2%, 2.8%, and 1.6%, respectively. Inter-

assay CVs for a red squirrel pooled sample run on repeat assays for plasma (n = 7 assays), heart

(n = 6), or liver (n = 7) were 3.5%, 9.0%, and 8.3%, respectively. We also ran a positive control

(oxidized bovine serum albumin) in two different assays and the inter-assay CV was 3.1%.

Superoxide dismutase

We obtained one measure of the levels of enzymatic antioxidants (Monaghan et al., 2009)

by quantifying levels of superoxide dismutase (SOD) using the SOD kit from Cayman Chemical.

SOD was expressed as units/mg/ml protein (quantified using a Biotek Take3 protocol). Red

blood cells were lysed as per the manufacturer's protocol. The average intra-assay CV for

samples for RBCs, heart, or liver were 2.3%, 4.7%, and 3.1%, respectively. Inter-assay CVs for a

red squirrel pooled sample run on repeat assays for RBCs (n = 10 assays), heart (n = 2), or liver

(n = 4) were 16.9%, 4.6%, and 6.5%, respectively.

Total antioxidant capacity

We obtained one measure of the levels of non-enzymatic antioxidants (Monaghan et al.,

2009) by quantifying total antioxidant capacity (TAC) using the TAC kit from Cayman

Chemical. Plasma was diluted in assay buffer and assayed according to the manufacturer's

protocol. Liver and cardiac muscle (~47 mg) were separately homogenized in 250 µL PBS using

a sonicator and the supernatant was diluted in assay buffer and used in the assay. The average

intra-assay CVs for samples for plasma, heart, or liver were 4.7%, 3.2%, and 4.7%, respectively.

The inter-assay CVs for standards run on all the plates for plasma (n = 5 assays) was 15.8%

whereas the inter-assay CV for a red squirrel pooled sample run on repeat for heart (n = 8) or

liver (n = 2) were 15.4% and 5.4%, respectively.

Telomeres

Liver telomere lengths were measured using the telomere restriction fragment (TRF)

assay following established methods (Haussmann and Mauck, 2008). Briefly, 2 to 10 g slices of

liver tissue were homogenized in cell lysis solution and proteinase K (Qiagen, Germantown,

USA). DNA was extracted from the liver homogenates and resuspended in buffer. The

resuspended DNA was restriction digested with 15 U of HinfI, 75U of HaeIII and 40U of RsaI

(New England BioLabs, Ipswich, USA) at 37 °C. DNA was then separated using pulsed field

electrophoresis at 14˚C for 19 hours followed by in-gel hybridization overnight at 37˚ C with a

radioactively labeled telomere-specific oligo (CCCTAA)4. Hybridized gels were placed on a

phosphorscreen (Amersham Biosciences, Buckinghamshire, UK), which was scanned on a

Typhoon Imager (Amersham Biosciences). Densitometry in ImageJ (v. 1.51s) was used to

determine the position and the strength of the radioactive signal in each of the lanes compared

with the molecular marker (Quick-Load1 kb DNA Extend DNA Ladder; New England BioLabs)

to calculate telomere lengths for each sample. Inter-gel variation was accounted for by

calculating the mean TRF length of standard samples run on each gel.

348   *Statistical analyses*

We assessed the effects of maternal treatments on litter survival from the first to second

nest entry (proportion of pups present at both first and second nest entries) and litter size and

litter sex ratio (proportion of litter composed of males) as recorded at the first and second nest

entry using generalized linear mixed-effects models (GLMMs: litter survival and litter sex ratio,

using binomial errors) or a linear mixed-effect model (LMM: litter size). Each of these separate

models contained maternal treatment, birth date, and year as fixed effects. Models for lactation

and pregnancy treatments were run separately. There was one litter from a Pregnancy Control

treatment female where her litter size at the second nest entry was greater than the at the first,

likely because we missed a pup in the nest at the first nest entry, and we therefore excluded this

litter from our analyses of the effects of the treatments on litter survival, litter size, and litter sex

ratio. We confirmed that none of the GLMMs were overdispersed as all dispersion parameters

were <1.

We assessed the effects of maternal treatments on offspring body mass at the first nest

entry soon after parturition (birth mass), growth in postnatal body mass, and a single measure of

size using separate LMMs for pregnancy and lactation treatments. Each of the six LMMs (one

model for birth mass, one model for growth, and one model for size for pregnancy treatments;

one model for birth mass, one model for growth, and one model for size for lactation treatments)

included a fixed effect for maternal treatment and covariates (sex, year [categorical variable],

birth date, litter size) that could impact offspring birth mass, growth, or size. We included a two-

way interaction term between treatment and litter size to identify if elevations in maternal GCs

altered the trade-off between litter size and offspring birth mass, growth, or size, as shown

previously for offspring growth (Dantzer et al., 2013). We included a two-way interaction

between treatment and sex to assess if the treatments had sex-specific effects on birth mass,

growth, and size, as documented in other species (Dantzer et al., 2019). In our model for the

effects of the treatments on offspring birth mass, we included a fixed effect for age of the pups to

control for the impact of age on body mass. We used a principal component analysis (PCA)

using a covariance matrix in the R package ade4 (version 1.7-13, Dray and Dufour, 2007) to

generate a composite score of offspring size. The first principal component axis (PC1, hereafter

"size") explained 69.9% of the variation in offspring size as measured by zygomatic arch width

and hind foot length. Both zygomatic arch width (0.71) and hind foot length (0.71) loaded

positively on PC1, indicating that larger PC1 scores corresponded to offspring with longer hind

feet and wider crania.

Oxidative stress reflects an imbalance between antioxidants and the production of ROS

that can damage proteins, lipids, or DNA (Monaghan et al., 2009). Consequently, the effects of

our treatments on measures of antioxidants should not be viewed in absence of their effects on

our measures of oxidative damage (Costantini and Verhulst, 2009). We used a PCA to create a

composite variable that reflected the oxidative state of an offspring. The PCA was composed of

the two antioxidants (SOD, TAC) and one measure of oxidative damage (PCC). We conducted a

separate PCA (using a correlation matrix) for each tissue type using the package ade4. For some

individuals, we were missing measures of TAC (heart: n = 3; plasma: n = 2) or PCC (heart: n =

2; plasma: n = 4) so we substituted average values for the PCA.

Low scores for Blood PC2, Heart PC2, or Liver PC1 corresponded to squirrels that were

exhibiting oxidative stress as they represented lower levels of the two antioxidants (SOD, TAC)

for blood and liver tissue or just one antioxidant (SOD) for heart tissue and, for heart tissue,

higher levels of protein damage (PCC, Table 1). We used these composite variables describing

oxidative state of each tissue, haematocrit, or telomere length as the response variables in

separate LMMs. Each of these LMMs contained a fixed effect for maternal treatment and

offspring sex, year [categorical variable], birth date, and litter size. Because offspring growth

may impact oxidative stress levels or telomere lengths (Monaghan and Ozanne, 2018), we

included a two-way interaction term between treatment and offspring growth to examine if

mothers with elevated maternal GCs exhibited an altered relationship between growth and the

response variable (Careau et al., 2014; Merill and Grindstaff, 2018). Due to smaller sample sizes

for these variables, we did not include an interaction between sex and treatment. In the model to

assess treatment effects on telomere lengths, we also included a fixed effect for the oxidative

stress levels in the liver (Liver PC1).

All analyses were conducted in R (version 3.5.2, R Development Core Team, 2013) using

lme4 (version 1.1-18-1, Bates et al., 2015) and *P*-values for fixed effects were estimated using

lmerTest (version 3.0-1, Kuznetsova et al., 2017). Continuous predictor variables were

standardized (mean of 0, SD of 1) with birth date, litter size, and growth being standardized

within each grid-year-treatment combination. Assumptions of homoscedasticity, normality of

residuals for our LMMs, and a lack of high leverage observations were confirmed using

diagnostic plots (Zuur et al., 2010). As we only had one estimate of birth mass, growth, size,

oxidative stress, or telomere lengths per individual pup, we did not include random intercept

terms for individual identity in any of the models described above. Whenever we had repeated

observations of the same litter (e.g., multiple estimates of offspring growth from different pups

within the same litter), we included a random intercept term for litter identity. However, we did

not include a random intercept in some models (shown in electronic supplementary materials)

due to model convergence issues that were likely the result of having only a few observations of

multiple pups being measured within one litter or for having a relatively small number of litters.

As we had few observations of the same females across years for most of our response variables

(sample sizes shown above), we did not include a random intercept for maternal identity as this

was usually redundant with litter identity. Random effects were not included in our models of the

effects of the treatments on litter characteristics (survival, size, sex ratio) as we only had a few

females observed across multiple years. We estimated variance inflation factors (VIFs) from our

models to assess multicollinearity among the predictor variables (Zuur et al., 2010) and VIFs

indicated that multicollinearity was not an issue in these models (all VIF < 3 except if included

in an interaction or a multi-level categorical variable).

Results

Effects of treatments on litter survival, litter size, & litter sex ratio

There was no evidence that treating mothers with GCs during pregnancy or lactation caused litter failure or altered litter size or litter sex ratio compared to the controls. For those mothers producing offspring until at least the first nest entry (occurring soon after pups were born), the proportion of the litter that survived from the first to the second nest entry did not differ between mothers treated with GCs during pregnancy (n = 42 litters, 53.8 ± 7% of total pups survived from the first to second nest entry) and the pregnancy (time-matched) controls (n = 29 litters, 63 ± 8%, z = -0.66, P = 0.51), nor between mothers treated with GCs during lactation (n = 18 litters, 79.6 ± 8% pups survived) and the lactation (time-matched) controls (n = 17 litters, 72.6 ± 9.5% pups survived, z = -0.63, P = 0.53).

Litter size did not differ between mothers treated with GCs during pregnancy or the controls at the first nest entry (Pregnancy GCs: n = 42 litters, 3.07 ± 0.14 pups, range = 1-5 pups; Pregnancy Controls: n = 31 litters, 2.9 ± 0.17 pups, range = 1-5 pups, effect of treatment, t_{52} = -0.19, P = 0.85) nor at the second nest entry (Pregnancy GCs: n = 23 litters, 2.75 ± 0.24 pups, range = 1-5 pups; Pregnancy Controls: n = 20 litters, 2.70 ± 0.16 pups, range = 1-4 pups, effect of treatment, t_{37} = 0.11, P = 0.92). Litter size also did not differ between mothers treated with GCs during lactation or the controls at the first nest entry (Lactation GCs: n = 18 litters, 2.67 ± 0.2 pups, range = 1-4 pups; Lactation Controls: n = 17 litters, 2.94 ± 0.13 pups, range = 2-4 pups, effect of treatment, t_{30} = -1.11, P = 0.27), nor at the second nest entry (Lactation GCs: n = 16 litters, 2.25 ± 0.2 pups, range = 1-3 pups; Lactation Controls: n = 14 litters, 2.57 ± 0.2 pups, range = 1-4 pup, effect of treatment, t_{25} = -1.31, P = 0.20).

The litter sex ratio (proportion of males) at the first nest entry did not differ between mothers treated with GCs during pregnancy or the controls (Pregnancy GCs: n = 42 litters, 53 ± 4% males; Pregnancy Controls: n = 29 litters, 40.9 ± 5% males, effect of treatment: z = 0.57, P = 0.57), nor at the second nest entry (Pregnancy GCs: n = 23 litters, 59.3 ± 7% males; Pregnancy Controls: n = 19 litters, 46.5 ± 7% males, effect of treatment: z = 0.26, P = 0.80). Similarly, the litter sex ratio at the first nest entry did not differ between mothers treated with GCs during lactation or the controls (Lactation GCs: n = 18 litters, 52.8 ± 7.2% males; Lactation Controls: n = 17 litters, 53.4 ± 7.9% males, effect of treatment: z = 0.09, P = 0.92) nor at the second nest

entry (Lactation GCs: n = 16 litters, 58.3 ± 8.7% males; Lactation Controls: n = 14 litters, 64.9 ±

9.3% males, effect of treatment: z = -0.27, P = 0.79).

Effects of treating pregnant females with GCs on offspring growth, oxidative stress, and telomere

lengths

Offspring from mothers treated with GCs during pregnancy grew 17.0% faster ($t_{41.4}$ =

3.07, P = 0.004, Table 2A, Fig. 1A) but were not larger in structural size (Table 2B, Fig. 1C),

and did not differ in body condition (as reflected in their haematocrit levels: Table S2A) than

those produced by control mothers. After correcting for the effects of age on the first body mass

we recorded when pups were first weighed ("birth mass") as well as other variables (Table 3A),

offspring from mothers treated with GCs during pregnancy were 8.3% smaller than those from

control mothers ($t_{59.8}$ = -2.51, P = 0.015, Table 3A), suggesting that these pups exhibited catch-

up growth after being born at a smaller body mass. There was no indication that the treatments

had sex-specific effects on offspring growth or size (Table 2). There was also no indication of a

trade-off between litter size and offspring growth or size during the years that we studied (Table

2) nor was there any evidence that treating mothers with GCs during pregnancy altered the

relationship between litter size and growth rate, as indicated by the lack of significant

interactions between treatment and litter size for offspring growth and size (Table 2). Because

the treatments had no significant effects on litter size or litter sex ratio (see above), the effects of

the treatments on offspring growth were not simply due to a reduction in litter size.

Offspring from mothers treated with GCs during pregnancy did not have higher oxidative

stress levels in the blood, liver, or heart (Table S3, Fig. 2) and they also did not have shorter

telomere lengths (Table S4, Fig. 3). Offspring from mothers treated with GCs during pregnancy

or provided with supplemental food during pregnancy (controls) that grew faster did not have

higher oxidative stress levels in blood, heart, or liver nor did they have shorter telomere lengths

(Tables S3-S4). There was no indication that growth or its interaction with maternal treatment

impacted oxidative stress levels (Table S3) or liver telomere lengths (Table S4) in offspring from

females treated during pregnancy.

Effects of treating lactating females with GCs on offspring growth, oxidative stress, and telomere

lengths

Offspring from mothers treated with GCs during lactation grew 34.8% slower (t_{27} = −

2.08, P = 0.047, Table 4A, Fig. 1B), but were not significantly smaller in structural size (Table

4B, Fig. 1D), and did not differ in body condition (as reflected in haematocrit levels: Table S2B)

or first body mass (Table 3B) from those of pups from control mothers. There was no indication

that the treatments had sex-specific effects on offspring growth or size, as reflected in the lack of

significant sex by treatment interactions (Table S4). There was also no indication of a trade-off

between litter size and offspring growth or size (Table S4) and no evidence that treating females

with GCs during lactation altered the relationship between litter size and growth rate, as

indicated by the lack of significant interactions between treatment and litter size for offspring

growth and size (Table S4). Because the treatments had no significant effects on litter size or

litter sex ratio (see above), the effects of the treatments on offspring growth were not simply due

to an increase in litter size.

498   Offspring from mothers treated with GCs during lactation did not have higher oxidative

stress levels than those from control mothers in any of the three tissues (blood, heart, liver: Table

S5, Fig. 2B, 2D, 2F) and they also did not have shorter telomere lengths (Table S4, Fig. 3B).

Offspring from mothers treated with GCs during lactation or provided with supplemental food

during lactation (controls) that grew faster did not have higher oxidative stress levels in blood,

heart, or liver nor did they have shorter telomere lengths (Table S4). There was also no

indication that growth or its interaction with maternal treatment impacted oxidative stress levels

(Table S5) or liver telomere lengths (Table S4) in offspring from females treated during

lactation.

Discussion

509   Mothers treated with GCs during pregnancy produced offspring that were lighter around

birth but then exhibited significantly faster postnatal growth prior to weaning, whereas mothers

treated with GCs during lactation tended to produce slower growing offspring that were of

similar mass at birth. There were no treatment effects on offspring structural size, indicating that

while offspring from mothers treated with GCs gained mass at a different rate than the controls,

the treatments did not influence skeletal size. However, we only obtained one measure of

structural size when offspring were ~25 d of age, and therefore did not quantify any treatment

effects in the rate of change in structural size as we did for body mass. Our results differ from a

recent literature analysis across mammals showing that offspring from mothers experiencing late

gestational stress grew more slowly before weaning (Berghänel et al., 2017). One explanation for

the difference between this previous literature analysis and our results is that elevated GCs

simply modulated the trade-off between offspring quantity and quality (e.g., producing small

litters of fast-growing offspring) or ameliorated the trade-off between offspring quantity and

quality (e.g., lessening the effect of increased litter size on the growth rate of each individual

offspring: Stearns, 1992). However, this is unlikely because we found no treatment effects on

litter size nor on the trade-off between litter size and growth rate. Thus, somehow females treated

with GCs during pregnancy produced fast growing offspring without merely reducing their litter

sizes, though it is notable that in the years in which we conducted this study, we also did not

document a trade-off between litter size and offspring growth in any of the GC-treated or control

females, as we have found previously (Dantzer et al., 2013). It is possible that we did not find

evidence of a trade-off between litter size and offspring growth in the present study because both

the GC-treated and control females were fed supplemental food and a previous study showed that

ad libitum food-supplementation ameliorates this trade-off in red squirrels (Dantzer et al., 2013).

GCs are metabolic hormones that have well-known effects on partitioning resources dedicated to

survival vs. reproduction within-individuals (Wingfield et al., 1998). Here, it is possible that GCs

also play a role in the partitioning of resources between mothers and offspring where they

increase investment in offspring (increasing postnatal growth rates without a reduction in litter

size) perhaps at the expense of maternal energy stores.

We did not find support for the hypothesis that elevated maternal GCs during pregnancy

or lactation or increased offspring growth caused an elevation in oxidative stress levels or

shortened telomere lengths in offspring. This differs from previous studies that have found that

offspring growth is positively correlated with oxidative stress levels or inversely correlated with

offspring telomere lengths (Haussmann and Heidinger, 2015; Monaghan and Hausssmann, 2015;

Monaghan and Ozanne, 2018). This is surprising and requires explanation. First, we measured

oxidative stress levels in offspring when they were weaned (~70 d of age) whereas we treated

their mothers with GCs either during pregnancy or early lactation. Thus, it is possible that the

offspring in our study experienced elevated oxidative stress levels but these effects had

disappeared by weaning. A second possibility is an artefact associated with selective

disappearance of poor-quality individuals from those mothers treated with GCs during

pregnancy, such as slow growing individuals with short telomeres dying before we could obtain

our measures of oxidative stress and telomere lengths. This is unlikely as we observed no

treatment effects on litter size or the reduction in litter size from the first to second nest entry.

Finally, the fact that mothers treated with GCs did not produce offspring with elevated oxidative

stress levels or shorter telomeres may have been because of the effects of maternally-derived

GCs on offspring telomerase levels, an enzyme that is capable of rebuilding telomeres or

buffering them from attrition (Blackburn, 2005). We did not measure telomerase levels, but a

previous study showed that long-term exposure of laboratory rats to unpredictable stressors

increased the production of telomerase (Beery et al., 2012). Thus, a testable prediction for future

studies is that treating mothers with GCs promotes increases in telomerase or enzymatic

antioxidant production that has protective effects on offspring. This would be consistent with

predictions from the oxidative shielding hypothesis (Blount et al., 2016) that proposes that

females may reduce their own levels of oxidative damage (perhaps by upregulation of enzymatic

antioxidants) to mitigate their detrimental influence on offspring.

Our results indicate that elevated GCs can impact maternal investment in the current

litter. Females experiencing elevated GCs during pregnancy increased their investment in the

current litter (as indicated by an increase in postnatal growth) whereas females experiencing

elevated GCs during lactation tended to reduce their investment in the current litter, the latter

possibly being because mothers spent less time interacting or nursing their offspring, as in a

previous study in laboratory rats (Nephew and Bridges, 2011). Alternatively, the reduction in

offspring growth when mothers were treated with GCs during lactation could have been because

milk quality or content was altered. Life history theory predicts that such changes in maternal

investment in offspring could alter the survival or future reproduction of mothers (Stearns, 1992)

or increase their oxidative stress levels (Monaghan and Haussmann, 2006; Blount et al., 2016).

However, our previous work in red squirrels shows that their food caching nature results in

unexpected patterns with respect to the potential costs of reproductive investment. Red squirrels

can elevate reproductive output (i.e., producing a second litter or larger litters) in anticipation of

increased future food abundance (Boutin et al., 2006), or produce faster growing offspring when

the fitness payoffs warrant increased investment in the current litter (Dantzer et al., 2013). They

seem to be able to do this without additional access to food except the food that they already

have stored from the previous autumn (Humphries and Boutin, 2000; Boutin et al., 2006;

Dantzer et al., 2013). Thus far, survival costs for this increased reproductive investment in the

current litter exhibited by female red squirrels seem to be small or absent. Female red squirrels

with increased reproductive effort do expend more energy (Humphries and Boutin, 2000;

Fletcher et al., 2012) and experience increased oxidative protein damage (Fletcher et al., 2012)

but we have not yet documented substantive survival costs for females that increase their

reproductive output (Humphries and Boutin, 2000; Descamps et al., 2009). We have not yet

quantified any oxidative or survival costs to mothers who were treated with GCs during

pregnancy and who on average produced faster growing offspring. Unless females upregulate the

production of protective enzymatic antioxidants or telomerase (Blount et al., 2016), it seems

likely that females with elevated GCs would experience increased oxidative damage due to their

elevated reproductive investment, or because of the elevated levels of GCs that they experience.

For example, previous studies in red squirrels (Fletcher et al., 2012) and other species (Blount et

al., 2016) highlight that increased reproductive investment or increased exposure to GCs

(Kotrschal et al., 2007; You et al., 2009; Costantini et al., 2011) may elevate oxidative damage in

breeding females with elevated GCs during reproduction.

Although it is not known whether increased antioxidants, reduced oxidative damage, or

elongated telomeres actually cause an increase in longevity (Simons, 2015; but see Munoz-

Lorente et al. 2019), our results suggest that fast growing offspring or those from mothers treated

with GCs during pregnancy or lactation would not experience a reduction in lifespan. Our results

and our previous study in red squirrels (Dantzer et al., 2013) show that maternal GCs during

pregnancy or lactation can induce plasticity in offspring growth and that this plasticity should be

adaptive for the fluctuating environments experienced by Yukon red squirrels (Dantzer et al.,

2013). Specifically, when population density is elevated, females have elevated GCs and fast

offspring growth increases offspring survival (Dantzer et al., 2013). We have shown here that

females with elevated GCs during pregnancy produce faster growing offspring, whereas females

with elevated GCs during lactation produce slower growing offspring. This suggests that

plasticity in GC levels during female reproduction (e.g., females have elevated GCs during

pregnancy but exert strong negative feedback on further GC production during lactation) would

maximize female reproductive success when density is high. However, we did not find support

for the hypothesis that elevated maternal GCs induce a faster pace of life where offspring grow

faster and are more competitive early in life but this comes at some oxidative cost that may

predict a shortened lifespan. Future studies should assess oxidative stress using an even broader

array of measures than the few measures we used here, and will of course need to assess if

elevated maternal GCs actually impact offspring lifespan. Our results to date indicate that the

increases in maternal GCs during pregnancy in response to population density result in an

adaptive maternal effect on offspring postnatal growth and do not pose a developmental

constraint on offspring postnatal growth.

Acknowledgements: We thank the Champagne and Aishihik First Nations and Agnes

MacDonald for allowing us to study squirrels on their traditional territory. Special thanks to the

field technicians that helped collect these data, especially to Monica Cooper, Stacie Evans, Zach

Fogel, Claire Hoffmann, Noah Israel, Sean Konkolics, Laura Porter, Matt Sehrsweeney, Sam

Sonnega, and Jess Steketee. This is publication 105 of the Kluane Red Squirrel Project.

Competing Interests: None

Funding: Research was supported by the National Science Foundation to B.D. (1749627) and to

B.D. and A.G.M. (1110436) as well as funds from the University of Michigan to B.D. The

Kluane Red Squirrel Project is supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research

Council of Canada to S.B., A.G.M., and J.E.L.

Data Availability: All data files are available on FigShare from the first author

(https://figshare.com/account/home#/projects/71585). All other requests for data or analysis code

will be fulfilled by the first author.

References

Agrawal AG, Laforsch C, Tollrian R. 1999. Transgenerational induction of defences in animals
    and plants. Nature 401:60-63.

Aubert G, Lansdorp PM. 2008. Telomeres and aging. Physiol Rev 88, 557-579.

Barbazanges A, Piazza PV, Le Moal M, Maccari S. 1996. Maternal glucocorticoid secretion
    mediates long-term effects of prenatal stress. J Neurosci 16:3943-3949.

Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B., & Walker, S. (2015). Fitting linear mixed-effects models
    using lme4. J Stat Softw, 67(1). http://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v067.i01

Beckman KB, Ames BN. 1998. The free radical theory of aging matures. Physiol Rev
    78:547-581.

Beery AK, Lin J, Biddle JS, Francis DD, Blackburn EH, Epel ES. 2012. Chronic stress
    elevates telomerase activity in rats. Biol Lett 8, 1063-1066.

Berghänel, A, Heistermann, M, Schülke, O, Ostner J. 2016. Prenatal stress effects in a wild,
    long-lived primate: predictive adaptive responses in an unpredictable environment. Proc
    R Soc B 283, 20161304.

Berghänel, A, Heistermann, M, Schülke, O, Ostner J. 2017. Prenatal stress accelerates
    offspring growth to compensate for reduced maternal investment across mammals. PNAS
    114, E10658-E10666.

Bize P, Criscuolo F, Metcalfe NB, Nasir L, Monaghan P. 2009. Telomere dynamics rather
    than age predict life expectancy in the wild. Proc Roy Soc B 276, 1679-1683.

Blackburn, EH. 2005. Telomeres and telomerase: their mechanisms of action and the effects
    of altering their functions. FEBS Letters 7, 859-862.

Blount JD, Vitikainen EIK, Stott I, Cant MA. 2016. Oxidative shielding and the cost of
    reproduction. Biol Rev 91, 483-497.

Bodnar AG, Ouellette M, Frolkis M, Holt SE, Chiu CP, Morin GB, Harley CB, Shay JW,

Lichtsteiner S, Wright WE. 1998. Extension of life-span by introduction of telomerase

into normal human cells. Science 279, 349-352.

Boonekamp JJ, Mulder GA, Salomons HM, Dijkstra C, Verhulst S. 2014. Nestling telomere

shortening, but not telomere length, reflects telomere developmental stress and predicts

survival in wild birds. Proc Roy Soc B 281, 20133287.

Boutin S, Wauters LA, McAdam AG, Humphries MM, Tosi G, Dhondt AA. 2006.

Anticipatory reproduction and population growth in seed predators. Science 314, 1928-

1930.

Breuner CW, Delehanty B, Boonstra R. 2013. Evaluating stress in natural populations of

vertebrates: total CORT is not good enough. Functional Ecology 27, 24-36.

Brummelte S, Galea LAM. 2010. Chronic corticosterone during pregnancy and postpartum

affects maternal care, cell proliferation and depressive-like behavior in the dam. Horm

Behav 58:769-779.

Careau V, Buttemer WA, Buchanan KL. 2014. Developmental stress can uncouple

relationships between physiology and behaviour. Biol Lett 10, 20140834.

Casolini P, Cigliana G, Alemá GS, Ruggieri V, Angelucci L, Catalani, A. 1997. Effect of

increased maternal corticosterone during lactation on hippocampal corticosteroid

receptors, stress response and learning in offspring in the early stages of life.

Neuroscience 79:1005-1012.

Cawthon RM, Smith KR, O'Brien E, Sivatchenko A, Kerber RA. 2003. Association between

telomere length in blood and mortality in people aged 60 years or older. Lancet 36, 393-

395.

Costantini D, Verhulst S. 2009. Does high antioxidant capacity indicate low oxidative stress?

Func Ecol 23, 506-509.

Costantini D, Marasco V, Møller AP. 2011. A meta-analysis of glucocorticoids as

modulators of oxidative stress in vertebrates. J Comp Physiol B 181, 447-456.

Dantzer B, Fletcher QE. 2015. Telomeres shorten more slowly in slow-aging wild animals

than in fast-aging ones. Exp Gerontol 71, 38-47.

Dantzer B, Newman AE, Boonstra R, Palme R, Boutin S, Humphries MM, McAdam AG. 2013.

Density triggers maternal hormones that increase adaptive offspring growth in a wild

mammal. Science 340:1215-1217.

Dantzer B, Dubuc C, Goncalves IB, Cram DL, Bennett NC, Ganswindt A, Heistermann M,

Duncan C, Gaynor D, Clutton-Brock TH. 2019. The development of individual

differences in cooperative behaviour: maternal glucocorticoid hormones later helping

behaviour of offspring in wild meerkats. Philo Trans Roy Soc B 374, 20180117.

Descamps S, Boutin S, McAdam AG, Bearteux D, Gaillard J-M. 2009. Survival costs of

reproduction vary with age in North American red squirrels. Proc Roy Soc B 276, 1129-

1135.

Dray S, Dufour A-B. 2007. The ade4 package: implementing the duality diagram for ecologists.

J Stat Softw 22(4). http://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v022.i04

Entringer S, Epel ES, Kumsta R, Lin J, Hellhammer DH, Blackburn EH, Wüst S, Wadhwa

PD. 2011. Stress exposure in intrauterine life is associated with shorter telomere length in

young adulthood. PNAS 108, E513-E518.

Fletcher QE, Selman C, Boutin S, McAdam AG, Woods SB, Seo AY, Leeuwenburgh C,

Speakman JR, Humphries MM. 2012. Oxidative damage increases with reproductive

energy expenditure and is reduced by food-supplementation. Evolution 67:1527-1536.

Friedrich U, Griese EU, Schwab M, Fritz P, Thon KP, Klotz U. 2000. Telomere length in

different tissues of elderly patients. Mech Ageing Dev 119, 90-99.

Fronstin RB, Christians JK, Williams TD. 2016. Experimental reduction of haematocrit

affects reproductive performance in European starlings. Func Ecol 30, 398-409.

Galloway LF, Etterson JR. 2007. Transgenerational plasticity is adaptive in the wild. Science

318:1134-1136.

Garratt M, McArdle F, Stockley P, Vasilaki A, Beynon RJ, Jackson MJ, Hurst JL. 2012.

Tissue-dependent changes in oxidative damage with male reproductive effort in house

mice. Func Ecol 26, 423-433.

Halliwell B, Gutteridge J. 2015. Free Radicals in Biology and Medicine. Oxford University

Press.

Harley CB, Futcher AB, Greider CW. 1990. Telomeres shorten during ageing of human

fibroblasts. Nature 31, 458-460.

Harris A, Seckl J. 2011. Glucocorticoids, prenatal stress and the programming of disease. Horm

Behav 59:279-289.

Haussmann MF, Heidinger BJ. 2015. Telomere dynamics may link stress exposure and

ageing across generations. Biol Lett 11, 20150396.

Haussmann MF, Mauck RA. 2008. New strategies for telomere-based age estimation.

Molec Ecol Res 8, 264-274.

Haussmann MF, Longenecker AS, Marchetto NM, Juliano SA, Bowden RM. 2012.

Embryonic exposure to corticosterone modifies the juvenile stress response, oxidative

stress and telomere length. Proc Roy Soc B 279:1447-1456.

Haussmann MF, Winkler DW, O'Reilly KM, Huntington CE, Nisbet ICT, Vleck CM. 2003.

Telomeres shorten more slowly in long-lived birds and mammals than in short-lived

ones. Proc Roy Soc B 270, 1387-1392.

Haussmann MF, Winkler DW, Vleck CM. 2005. Longer telomeres associated with higher

survival in birds. Biology Letters 1, 212-214.

Herborn KA, Heidinger BJ, Boner W, Noguera JC, Adam A, Daunt F, Monaghan P. 2014.

Stress exposure in early post-natal life reduces telomere length: an experimental

demonstration in a long-lived seabird. Proc Roy Soc B 281, 20133151.

Herrenkohl LR, Whitney JB. 1976. Effects of prepartal stress on postpartal nursing behavior,

litter development and adult sexual behavior. Physiol Behav 17:1019-1021.

Houben JM, Moonen HJ, van Schooten FJ, Hageman GJ. 2008. Telomere length assessment:

biomarker of chronic oxidative stress? Free Radic Biol Med 44, 235-246.

Humphries MM, Boutin S. 2000. The determinants of optimal litter size in free-ranging red

squirrels. Ecology 81, 2867-2877.

van Kesteren F, Delehanty B, Westrick SE, Palme R, Boonstra R, Lane JE, Boutin S,

McAdam AG, Dantzer B. 2018. Experimental increases in glucocorticoids alter function

of the HPA axis in wild red squirrels without negatively impacting survival and

reproduction. Physiol Biochem Zool *in press*.

Kotrschal A, Illmonen P, Penn DJ. 2007. Stress impacts telomere dynamics. Biol Lett

3, 128-130.

Kuznetsova, A., Brockhoff, P., & Christensen, R. (2017). lmerTest: Tests in linear mixed

effects models. J Stat Softw, 82, 1–26. doi: 10.18637/jss.v082.i13

Larsen KW, Boutin S. 1994. Movements, survival, and settlement of red squirrel

(*Tamiasciurus hudsonicus*) offspring. Ecology 75, 214-223.

Lee W-S, Monaghan P, Metcalfe NB. 2013. Experimental demonstration of the growth rate-

lifespan trade-off. Proc Roy Soc B 280, 20122370.

Lemaître J-F, Berger V, Bonenfant C, Douhard M, Gamelon M, Plard F, Gaillard J-M. 2015.

Early-late life trade-offs and the evolution of ageing in the wild. Proc R Soc B 282,

20150209.

Love OP, Williams TD. 2008. The adaptive value of stress-induced phenotypes: effects of

maternally derived corticosterone on sex-biased investment, cost of reproduction, and

maternal fitness. Am Nat 172:E135-E149.

Lupien SJ, McEwen BS, Gunnar MR, Heim C. 2009. Effects of stress throughout the lifespan on

the brain, behavior and cognition. Nat Rev Neurosci 10:434-445.

McAdam AG, Boutin S, Réale D, Berteaux D. 2002. Maternal effects and the potential for

evolution in a natural population of animals Evolution 56, 846-851.

McAdam AG, Boutin S, Sykes AK, Humphries MM. 2007. Life histories of female red

squirrels and their contributions to population growth and lifetime fitness Ecoscience 14,

362-369.

Marchetto NM, Glynn RA, Ferry ML, Ostojic M, Wolff SM, Yao R, Haussmann MF. 2016.

Prenatal stress and newborn telomere length. Am J Obstet Gynecol 215, 94.e1-8.

Merill L, Grindstaff JL. 2018. Early life stress strengthens trait covariance: a plastic response

that results in reduced flexibility. Am Nat 192, 593-604.

Metcalfe NB, Monaghan P. 2001. Compensation for a bad start: grow now, pay later? Trends

772   Ecol Evol 16:254-260.

773   Monaghan P, Haussmann MF. 2006. Do telomere dynamics link lifestyle and lifespan?

774   Trends Ecol Evol 21, 47-53.

775   Monaghan P, Haussmann MF. 2015. The positive and negative consequences of stressors

776   during early life. Early Hum Dev 91, 643-647.

777   Monaghan P, Ozanne SE. 2018. Somatic growth and telomere dynamics in vertebrates:

778   relationships, mechanisms and consequences. Philos Trans Royal Soc London B 373,

779   20160446.

780   Monaghan P, Metcalfe NB, Torres, R. 2009. Oxidative stress as a mediator of life history

781   trade-offs: mechanisms, measurements and interpretation. Ecol Lett 12:75-92.

782   Mousseau TA, Fox CW. 1998. Maternal Effects as Adaptations. Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford,

783   UK.

784   Nephew BC, Bridges RS. 2011. Effects of chronic social stress during lactation on maternal

785   behavior and growth in rats. Stress 14, 677-684.

786   Nettle D, Frankenhuis WE, Rickard IJ. 2013. The evolution of predictive adaptive responses

787   in human life history. Proceedings of the Royal Society B 280, 20131343.

788   R Development Core Team. 2013. R: a language and environment for statistical computing.

789   Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.

790   Reichert S, Stier A. 2017. Does oxidative stress shorten telomeres *in vivo*? A review.

791   Biol Lett 13, 20170463.

792   Reznick DA, Bryga H, Endler JA. 1990. Experimentally induced life-history evolution in a

793   natural population. Nature 346, 357-359.

Rudolph KL, Chang S, Lee HW, Blasco M, Gottlieb GJ, Greider C, DePinho RA. 1999.

Longevity, stress response, and cancer in aging telomerase-deficient mice. Cell 96, 701-

712.

Salomons HM, Mulder GA, van de Zande L, Haussmann MF, Linskens MHK, Verhulst S.

2009. Telomere shortening and survival in free-living corvids. Proc Roy Soc B 276,

3157-3165.

Sapolsky RM, Romero LM, Munck AU. 2000. How do glucocorticoids influence stress

responses? Integrating permissive, suppressive, stimulatory, and preparative actions.

Endocrine Reviews 21:55-89.

Selman C, Blount JD, Nussey DH, Speakman JR. 2012. Oxidative damage, ageing, and life-

history evolution: where now? Trends Ecol Evol 27:570-577.

Shalev I. 2012. Early life stress and telomere length: investigating the connection and

possible mechanisms: a critical survey of the evidence base, research methodology and

basic biology. BioEssays 34, 943-952.

Shalev I, Belsky J. 2016. Early-life stress and reproductive cost: a two-hit developmental

model of accelerated aging? Med Hypotheses 90, 41-47.

Sheriff MJ, Bell A, Boonstra R, Dantzer B, Lavergne SG, McGhee KE, MacLeod KJ, Winandy

L, Zimmer C, Love OP. 2017. Integrating ecological and evolutionary context in the

study of maternal stress. Integrative and Comparative Biology 57, 437-449.

Simons MJP. 2015. Questioning causal involvement of telomeres in aging. Ageing Res Rev

24, 191-196.

Smith SM, Nager RG, Costantini D. 2016. Meta-analysis indicates that oxidative stress is

both a constraint on and a cost of growth. Ecol Evol 6, 2833-2842.

Stearns SC. 1992. The Evolution of Life Histories. Oxford University Press, London.

Stearns SC, Ackermann M, Doebeli M, Kaiser M. 2000. Experimental evolution of aging,

growth, and reproduction in fruitflies. PNAS 97, 3309-3313.

Studd EK, Boutin S, McAdam AG, Krebs CJ, Humphries MM. 2015. Predators, energetics

and fitness drive neonatal reproductive failure in red squirrels. J Anim Ecol 84, 249-259.

Sudyka J, Arct A, Drobniak S, Gustafsson L, Cichon M. 2016. Longitudinal studies confirm

faster telomere erosion in short-lived bird species. J Ornithol 157, 373-375.

Tricola GM, Simons MJP, Atema E, Boughton RK, Brown JL, Dearborn DC, Eimes JA,

Huntington CE, Kitaysky AS, Juola FA, Lank DB, Litwa HP, Mulder EGA, Nisbet ICT,

Okanoya K, Safran R, Schoech SJ, Schreiber EZ, Thompson PM, Verhulst S,

Wheelwright NT, Winkler DW, Young R, Vleck CM, Haussmann MF. 2018. The rate of

telomere loss is related to maximum lifespan in birds. Philo Trans Roy Soc B 373,

20160445.

Weaver ICG, Cervoni N, Champagne FA, D'Alessio AC, Sharma S, Seckl JR, Dymov S,

Szyf M, Meaney MJ. 2004. Epigenetic programming by maternal behavior. Nat Neurosci

7:847-854.

Weinstock M. 2005. The potential influence of maternal stress hormones on development and

mental health of the offspring. Brain Behav Immun 19:296-308.

Westrick SE, Taylor RW, Boutin S, Lane JE, McAdam AG, Dantzer B. Attentive red squirrel

mothers have faster-growing pups and higher lifetime reproductive success. BioRxiv

[Preprint - October 29 2019]. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/594093

Wingfield JC, Maney DL, Breuner CL, Jacobs JD, Lynn S, Ramenofsky M, Richardson RD.

1998. Ecological bases of hormone-behavior interactions: the "emergency life history

stage". Am Zool 38, 191-206.

You JM, Yun SJ, Nam KN, Kang C, Won R, Lee EH. 2009. Mechanism of glucocorticoid-

induced oxidative stress in rat hippocampal slice cultures. Can J Physiol Pharmacol 87,

440-447.

Zarrow MX, Philpott JE, Denenberg VH. 1970. Passage of 14C-4 corticosterone from the rat

mother to the foetus and neonate. Nature 226:1058-1059

von Zglinicki T. 2002. Oxidative stress shortens telomeres. Trends Biochem Sci 27, 339-344.

Zuur AF, Ieno EN, Elphick CS. 2010. A protocol for data exploration to avoid common

statistical problems. Methods Ecol Evol 1, 3-14.

853
854

855 **Table 1. Results from principal component analyses to derive axes of variation of oxidative**
856 **stress state in weaned pups.** For each principal component shown, high values correspond to
857 lower oxidative stress levels as they reflect samples with low levels of two (Blood PC2, Liver
858 PC1) or one (Heart PC2) antioxidants (total antioxidant capacity, superoxide dismutase) and for
859 heart tissue, higher levels of oxidative protein damage (protein carbonyls).
860

Measurement	Tissue		
	Blood PC2	**Heart PC2**	**Liver PC1**
Total Antioxidant Capacity	-0.57	-0.0007	-0.70
Superoxide Dismutase	-0.82	-0.71	-0.70
Protein Carbonyls	0.03	0.71	0.09
Prop. Variance Explained	34.3%	34.3%	38.5%

861
862
863

Table 2. Effects of treating female red squirrels with glucocorticoids (GCs) during
pregnancy on offspring postnatal growth (A) and structural size (B). Offspring growth is the
linear change in body mass from ~1 d to ~25 d of age. Offspring size is a composite variable
where high scores of PC1 correspond to offspring (one estimate of size obtained at ~25 d of age)
with larger zygomatic arch widths and longer hind foot lengths. Models contained random
intercept term for litter identity (growth model: $\sigma^2 = 0.07$; size model: $\sigma^2 = 0.29$).
870

(A)

Offspring trait	Variable	b	SE	t	df	P-value
Growth	**Intercept (2012, Control, Female)**	**1.81**	**0.13**	**14.2**	**35.6**	**<0.0001**
	Year (2015)	-0.09	0.22	-0.42	34.5	0.67
	Year (2016)	**-0.58**	**0.13**	**-4.3**	**34.2**	**<0.0001**
	Year (2017)	**-0.74**	**0.13**	**-5.8**	**34.2**	**<0.0001**
	Sex (Male)	**0.074**	**0.03**	**2.10**	**72.6**	**0.04**
	Birth date	-0.001	0.05	-0.03	34.6	0.98
	Litter size	0.06	0.09	0.67	37.0	0.50
	Treatment (GCs)	**0.28**	**0.09**	**3.07**	**41.4**	**0.004**
	Treatment (GCs) x Sex (Male)	-0.08	0.05	-1.64	73.8	0.11
	Treatment (GCs) x Litter size	-0.08	0.11	-0.68	36.7	0.50

Results based upon 114 offspring from 43 litters across 4 years

(B)

Offspring trait	Variable	b	SE	t	df	P-value
Size (PC1)	Intercept (2015, Control, Female)	0.14	0.41	0.35	31.9	0.72
	Year (2016)	**-1.14**	**0.43**	**-2.65**	**28.9**	**0.013**
	Year (2017)	-0.17	0.43	-0.39	29.4	0.70
	Sex (Male)	0.27	0.16	1.69	59.6	0.09
	Birth date	0.14	0.11	1.25	25.9	0.22
	Litter size	0.02	0.21	0.11	33.2	0.92
	Treatment (GCs)	0.10	0.25	0.39	44.8	0.70
	Treatment (GCs) x Sex (Male)	-0.10	0.22	-0.42	60.8	0.67
	Treatment (GCs) x Litter size	-0.21	0.27	-0.77	32.2	0.44

Results based upon 88 offspring from 34 litters across 3 years

871
872

Table 3 Effects of treating female red squirrels with different dosages of glucocorticoids (GCs) during (A) pregnancy or (B) lactation on the first body mass measure of offspring ("birth mass"). Offspring body mass was recorded soon after birth. Models contained random intercept term for litter identity (pregnancy model: $\sigma^2 = 2.3$; lactation model: $\sigma^2 = 0.83$).

(A)

Treatment Period	Variable	b	SE	t	df	P-value
Pregnancy	**Intercept (2012, Control, Female)**	**14.64**	**0.50**	**29.4**	**53.1**	**<0.0001**
	Age of pup	**4.81**	**0.22**	**21.8**	**49.0**	**<0.0001**
	Year (2015)	0.04	1.1	0.04	48.7	0.97
	Year (2016)	-0.13	0.60	-0.22	47.9	0.83
	Year (2017)	0.59	0.52	1.14	48.8	0.26
	Sex (Male)	0.32	0.23	1.35	117.8	0.18
	Birth date	-0.20	0.24	-0.83	47.9	0.41
	Litter size	-0.35	0.50	-0.70	53.4	0.49
	Treatment (GCs)	**-1.22**	**0.49**	**-2.51**	**59.8**	**0.015**
	Treatment (GCs) x Sex (Male)	0.42	0.31	1.33	119.4	0.18
	Treatment (GCs) x Litter size	0.59	0.57	1.03	52.6	0.31

Results based upon 168 offspring from 57 litters across 4 years

(B)

Treatment Period	Variable	b	SE	t	df	P-value
Lactation	**Intercept (2015, Control, Female)**	**13.9**	**0.47**	**29.5**	**37.9**	**<0.0001**
	Age of pup	**5.02**	**0.23**	**23.2**	**22.2**	**<0.0001**
	Year (2016)	0.43	0.81	0.53	19.9	0.59
	Year (2017)	0.76	0.43	1.75	21.6	0.09
	Sex (Male)	0.13	0.36	0.36	49.3	0.72
	Birth date	0.13	0.24	0.54	22.1	0.59
	Litter size	0.29	0.39	0.75	22.5	0.46
	Treatment (GCs)	0.20	0.51	0.39	42.8	0.70
	Treatment (GCs) x Sex (Male)	0.26	0.47	0.55	49.5	0.58
	Treatment (GCs) x Litter size	-0.22	0.47	-0.48	24.0	0.64

Results based upon 73 offspring from 30 litters across 3 years

Table 4. Effects of treating female red squirrels with GCs during lactation on offspring
postnatal growth (A) and structural (skeletal) size (B). Offspring growth is the linear change
in body mass from ~1 d to ~25 d of age. Offspring size is a composite variable where high scores
of PC1 correspond to offspring (~25 d of age) with larger zygomatic arch widths and longer hind
foot lengths. Models contained random intercept term for litter identity (growth model: σ^2 =
0.19; size model: $\sigma^2 = 0.69$).
886

(A)

Offspring trait	Variable	b	SE	t	df	P-value
Growth	**Intercept (2015, Control, Female)**	**1.88**	**0.17**	**10.9**	**25.8**	**<0.0001**
	Year (2016)	-0.12	0.35	-0.34	22.2	0.74
	Year (2017)	-0.29	0.18	-1.57	22.6	0.13
	Sex (Male)	-0.007	0.06	-0.11	41.04	0.91
	Birth date	**0.29**	**0.10**	**2.97**	**22.6**	**0.007**
	Litter size	0.09	0.16	0.55	22.8	0.59
	Treatment (GCs)	**-0.37**	**0.18**	**-2.08**	**27.0**	**0.047**
	Treatment (GCs) x Sex (Male)	0.09	0.08	1.06	41.2	0.30
	Treatment (GCs) x Litter size	0.07	0.19	0.38	23.0	0.71

Results based upon 72 offspring from 30 litters across 3 years

(B)

Offspring trait	Variable	b	SE	t	df	P-value
Size (PC1)	Intercept (2015, Control, Female)	0.14	0.36	0.40	43.8	0.69
	Year (2016)	0.04	0.56	0.07	18.8	0.94
	Year (2017)	**0.70**	**0.31**	**2.29**	**23.2**	**0.03**
	Sex (Male)	0.22	0.29	0.76	46.1	0.45
	Birth date	**0.56**	**0.16**	**3.37**	**22.6**	**0.003**
	Litter size	-0.10	0.28	-0.37	25.3	0.71
	Treatment (GCs)	-0.56	0.37	-1.51	44.1	0.14
	Treatment (GCs) x Sex (Male)	-0.02	0.37	-0.06	45.0	0.95
	Treatment (GCs) x Litter size	0.64	0.33	1.90	25.6	0.068

887 Results based upon 67 offspring from 30 litters across 3 years
888

Figure 1. Effects of treating pregnant or lactating female red squirrels with glucocorticoids

(GCs) on offspring postnatal growth and structural size. A) Offspring from females treated

with GCs (n = 62 pups) during pregnancy grew significantly faster than those from controls (n =

52, $t_{41.4}$ = 3.07, P = 0.004). **B)** Offspring from females treated with GCs during lactation (n = 36)

grew significantly slower than those from controls (n = 36, t_{27} = –2.08, P = 0.047). **C & D)**

Offspring from mothers treated with GCs either during pregnancy (n = 46) or lactation (n = 35)

did not differ in structural size compared to those from controls (pregnancy: n = 42; lactation: n

= 32, Tables 2 & 4). Offspring growth was measured as the change in body mass from ~1 to ~25

d of age. Offspring size is a composite variable where high scores of PC1 correspond to

offspring with larger zygomatic arch widths and longer hind foot lengths when pups were ~25 d

of age. Results in Tables 2 & 4. Upper and lower hinges correspond to the first and third

quartiles while white diamonds indicate means and horizontal line indicate medians.

901
902

Figure 2. Effects of treating pregnant or lactating female red squirrels with glucocorticoids (GCs) on oxidative stress levels in blood, liver, and heart tissue from weaned juvenile red squirrels. There were no significant treatment effects on oxidative stress levels in the blood (**A, B**), heart (**C, D**), or liver (**E, F**) for offspring produced by mothers treated with GCs during pregnancy (n = 20 pups) or lactation (n = 13) compared with the controls (pregnancy: n = 21; lactation: n = 14, statistical results in Tables S3 & S5). Values on y-axes reflect a composite variable generated by separate principal component analyses for blood, heart, and liver tissue where high scores correspond to low levels of one or two of the antioxidants (TAC, SOD) and, for heart, higher levels of protein damage (PCC; see Table 1). Note differences between y-axes among the panels.

914 **Figure 3. Effects of treating pregnant or lactating female red squirrels with glucocorticoids**

915 **(GCs) on mean liver telomere lengths in weaned offspring. A & B)** There were no significant

916 treatment effects on liver telomere lengths for offspring produced by mothers treated with GCs

917 during pregnancy (n = 20 pups) or lactation (n = 13) compared with the controls (pregnancy: n =

918 21; lactation: n = 14, statistical results in Table S4).

1   *Electronic Supplementary Material*

3 **Maternal glucocorticoids promote offspring growth without inducing oxidative stress or**

4 **shortening telomeres in wild red squirrels**

6 Ben Dantzer[1,2], Freya van Kesteren[1], Sarah E. Westrick[1], Stan Boutin[3], Andrew G. McAdam[4],

7 Jeffrey E. Lane[5], Robert Gillespie[6], Ariana Majer[7], Mark Haussmann[7], Pat Monaghan[6]

9   **Supplementary Results**

Table S1. Preliminary analyses for effects of treating female red squirrels with different dosages of glucocorticoids (GCs) during (A) pregnancy or (B) lactation on offspring postnatal growth. Offspring growth is the linear change in body mass from ~1 d to ~25 d of age. The dosages of GCs are listed below with 0 mg (pregnancy control treatment, n = 52 pups; lactation control treatment, n = 36 pups) as the reference value. Models contained random intercept term for litter identity (pregnancy model: $\sigma^2 = 0.059$; lactation model: $\sigma^2 = 0.23$). Note that these results were used to justify our decision of lumping the different pregnancy GCs treatment groups (with different dosages of GCs) together.

(A)

Treatment Period	Variable	b	SE	t	df	P-value
Pregnancy	**Intercept (2012, Female, 0 mg)**	**1.56**	**0.17**	**9.3**	**32.0**	**<0.0001**
	Year (2015)	0.06	0.21	0.31	32.2	0.76
	Year (2016)	-0.26	0.18	-1.41	31.7	0.17
	Year (2017)	**-0.41**	**0.18**	**-2.28**	**31.6**	**0.03**
	Sex (Male)	0.037	0.02	1.45	74.5	0.15
	Birth date	-0.001	0.05	-0.01	32.8	0.99
	Litter size	0.035	0.05	0.72	34.2	0.48
	Treatment (GC dosage)					
	3 mg GCs (n = 9 pups)	0.37	0.24	1.52	30.9	0.14
	6 mg GCs (n = 7 pups)	**0.68**	**0.20**	**3.45**	**32.4**	**0.001**
	8 mg GCs (n = 45 pups)	0.12	0.09	1.40	33.1	0.17
	12 mg GCs (n = 1 pup)	**0.91**	**0.31**	**2.95**	**36.9**	**0.005**

Results based upon 114 offspring from 43 litters across 4 years

(B)

Treatment Period	Variable	b	SE	t	df	P-value
Lactation	**Intercept (2015, 0 mg, Female)**	**1.96**	**0.19**	**9.9**	**23.7**	**<0.0001**
	Year (2016)	-0.12	0.34	-0.35	22.1	0.73
	Year (2017)	-0.49	0.25	-1.94	22.5	0.065
	Sex (Male)	0.04	0.04	1.10	42.5	0.27
	Birth date	**0.25**	**0.10**	**2.46**	**22.8**	**0.021**
	Litter size	0.15	0.09	1.53	23.1	0.14
	Treatment (GCs)					
	8 mg GCs (n = 24 pups)	-0.16	0.22	-0.74	22.1	0.47
	12 mg GCs (n = 13 pups)	-0.55	0.27	-1.99	23.1	0.058

Results based upon 72 offspring from 30 litters across 3 years

Table S2. Effects of treating female red squirrels with GCs during (A) pregnancy or (B) lactation on offspring haematocrit levels (packed red blood cell volume) collected from weaned offspring. Results for the pregnancy model contained random intercept term for litter identity (pregnancy: $\sigma^2 = 18.8$) whereas results for the lactation model are from a general linear model.

(A)

Treatment Period	Variable	b	SE	t	df	P-value
Pregnancy	**Intercept (2015, Control, Female)**	**46.6**	**3.3**	**14.2**	**18.5**	**<0.0001**
	Year (2016)	-4.93	3.5	-1.42	17.0	0.17
	Year (2017)	-4.78	3.3	-1.45	16.5	0.17
	Sex (Male)	1.88	1.1	1.75	16.2	0.10
	Birth date	-0.39	1.2	-0.31	18.4	0.76
	Growth	-1.14	1.3	-0.88	29.9	0.38
	Litter size	-0.75	1.2	-0.62	17.4	0.54
	Treatment (GCs)	-0.45	2.1	-0.21	18.8	0.84
	Treatment (GCs) x Growth	1.85	2.2	0.85	29.7	0.40

Results based upon 39 offspring from 25 litters across 3 years

(B)

Treatment Period	Variable	b	SE	t	df	P-value
Lactation	**Intercept (2015, Control, Female)**	**44.5**	**2.3**	**19.4**	**15**	**<0.0001**
	Year (2016)	**-6.35**	**2.9**	**-2.17**	**15**	**0.046**
	Year (2017)	-4.16	2.3	-1.77	15	0.096
	Sex (Male)	**5.27**	**2.0**	**2.57**	**15**	**0.021**
	Birth date	**3.99**	**1.8**	**2.20**	**15**	**0.04**
	Growth	-2.13	1.7	-1.23	15	0.24
	Litter size	2.76	1.9	1.46	15	0.16
	Treatment (GCs)	2.27	2.3	0.98	15	0.34
	Treatment (GCs) x Growth	2.56	2.3	1.09	15	0.29

Results based upon 24 offspring from 16 litters across 3 years

29 **Table S3. Effects of treating pregnant red squirrels with GCs on oxidative stress levels in**
30 **(A) blood, (B) heart, and (C) liver tissue from weaned offspring.** High PC scores correspond
31 to low levels of antioxidants and, for heart, higher levels of protein damage (see Table 1).
32 Models for blood and liver tissues contained random intercept term for litter ID (blood: $\sigma^2 =$
33 0.32; liver: $\sigma^2 = 0.04$).
34

(A)

Offspring trait	Variable	b	SE	t	df	P-value
Blood PC2	Intercept (2015, Control, Female)	-0.61	0.53	-1.14	14.0	0.27
	Year (2016)	0.44	0.57	0.76	13.8	0.46
	Year (2017)	0.32	0.54	0.59	13.6	0.56
	Sex (Male)	**0.58**	**0.28**	**2.06**	**23.8**	**0.050**
	Birth date	**-0.50**	**0.22**	**-2.29**	**18.9**	**0.034**
	Growth	-0.15	0.25	-0.62	23.5	0.54
	Litter size	-0.37	0.21	-1.79	17.4	0.09
	Treatment (GCs)	0.21	0.37	0.57	16.6	0.57
	Treatment (GCs) x Growth	-0.57	0.42	-1.33	21.0	0.20

(B)

Offspring trait	Variable	b	SE	t	df	P-value
Heart PC2	Intercept (2015, Control, Female)	-0.69	0.51	-1.34	32	0.19
	Year (2016)	0.99	0.55	1.78	32	0.084
	Year (2017)	0.62	0.52	1.19	32	0.24
	Sex (Male)	-0.09	0.34	-0.26	32	0.79
	Birth date	0.34	0.22	1.52	32	0.14
	Growth	-0.003	0.25	-0.01	32	0.99
	Litter size	0.41	0.21	1.94	32	0.06
	Treatment (GCs)	0.27	0.37	0.73	32	0.47
	Treatment (GCs) x Growth	0.39	0.43	0.91	32	0.37

(C)

Offspring trait	Variable	b	SE	t	df	P-value
Liver PC1	**Intercept (2015, Control, Female)**	**-1.02**	**0.38**	**-2.71**	**12.4**	**0.018**
	Year (2016)	0.60	0.41	1.48	12.1	0.16
	Year (2017)	**1.76**	**0.38**	**4.63**	**12.1**	**0.0006**
	Sex (Male)	0.02	0.24	0.09	28.7	0.93
	Birth date	0.04	0.16	0.25	18.0	0.81
	Growth	-0.21	0.18	-1.14	18.6	0.27
	Litter size	0.09	0.15	0.57	17.3	0.57
	Treatment (GCs)	-0.28	0.27	-1.02	14.6	0.32
	Treatment (GCs) x Growth	-0.07	0.31	-0.24	16.3	0.81

Results from 41 offspring from 26 litters over 3 years.

Table S4. Effects of treating female red squirrels with GCs during (A) pregnancy or (B) lactation on liver telomere lengths (kb) of weaned offspring. Telomeres measured in DNA from liver tissue using the TRF method. Models contained random intercept term for litter identity (pregnancy: $\sigma^2 = 0.96$; lactation: $\sigma^2 = 6.7$).

(A)

Treatment Period	Variable	b	SE	*t*	df	*P*-value
Pregnancy	**Intercept (2015, Control, Female)**	**20.59**	**1.40**	**14.7**	**15.5**	**<0.0001**
	Year (2016)	**3.69**	**1.41**	**2.61**	**13.0**	**0.022**
	Year (2017)	-3.07	1.63	-1.88	19.0	0.076
	Sex (Male)	0.33	0.76	0.43	26.4	0.67
	Birth date	0.54	0.54	1.01	18.2	0.32
	Growth	-0.30	0.62	-0.49	20.7	0.63
	Litter size	-0.39	0.51	-0.76	17.7	0.45
	Liver PC1	0.23	0.57	0.41	30.8	0.69
	Treatment (GCs)	0.42	0.92	0.45	15.5	0.65
	Treatment (GCs) x Growth	1.18	1.04	1.14	17.5	0.27

Results based upon 41 offspring from 26 litters across 3 years

(B)

Treatment Period	Variable	b	SE	t	df	*P*-value
Lactation	**Intercept (2015, Control, Female)**	**19.03**	**1.97**	**9.67**	**14.9**	**<0.0001**
	Year (2016)	2.88	2.61	1.10	11.5	0.29
	Year (2017)	0.29	2.68	0.11	15.8	0.91
	Sex (Male)	0.12	1.04	0.11	11.1	0.91
	Birth date	-0.09	1.24	-0.07	12.1	0.94
	Growth	-0.08	0.86	-0.09	16.6	0.93
	Litter size	0.57	1.52	0.37	11.9	0.71
	Liver PC1	-0.41	0.85	-0.48	16.2	0.64
	Treatment (GCs)	0.52	1.49	0.35	11.3	0.73
	Treatment (GCs) x Growth	1.03	1.17	0.88	14.1	0.39

Results based upon 27 offspring from 18 litters across 3 years

Table S5. Effects of treating lactating red squirrels with GCs on oxidative stress levels in (A) blood, (B) heart, and (C) liver tissue from weaned offspring. High PC scores correspond to low levels of antioxidants and, in heart tissue, higher levels of protein damage (Table 1). The model for liver contained a random intercept term for litter identity (liver: $\sigma^2 = 0.33$).

(A)

Offspring trait	Variable	b	SE	*t*	df	*P*-value
Blood PC2	Intercept (2015, Control, Female)	0.21	0.52	0.40	18	0.69
	Year (2016)	-0.16	0.73	-0.22	18	0.83
	Year (2017)	-0.56	0.57	-0.99	18	0.34
	Sex (Male)	-0.53	0.49	-1.06	18	0.30
	Birth date	-0.23	0.39	-0.59	18	0.56
	Growth	0.39	0.33	1.17	18	0.26
	Litter size	-0.42	0.46	-0.92	18	0.37
	Treatment (GCs)	0.44	0.44	1.01	18	0.32
	Treatment (GCs) x Growth	-0.29	0.47	-0.62	18	0.54

(B)

Offspring trait	Variable	b	SE	t	df	*P*-value
Heart PC2	**Intercept (2015, Control, Female)**	**-1.14**	**0.41**	**-2.78**	**18**	**0.012**
	Year (2016)	0.55	0.57	0.97	18	0.35
	Year (2017)	0.84	0.44	1.87	18	0.08
	Sex (Male)	0.36	0.39	0.92	18	0.37
	Birth date	0.23	0.31	0.76	18	0.46
	Growth	-0.09	0.26	-0.36	18	0.72
	Litter size	0.27	0.36	0.74	18	0.47
	Treatment (GCs)	0.58	0.34	1.68	18	0.11
	Treatment (GCs) x Growth	-0.16	0.37	-0.44	18	0.66

(C)

Offspring trait	Variable	b	SE	t	df	*P*-value
Liver PC1	**Intercept (2015, Control, Female)**	**-1.35**	**0.40**	**-3.38**	**11.9**	**0.005**
	Year (2016)	0.71	0.62	1.14	9.6	0.28
	Year (2017)	**2.24**	**0.47**	**4.81**	**9.9**	**0.0007**
	Sex (Male)	0.12	0.31	0.40	14.1	0.69
	Birth date	-0.18	0.31	-0.59	11.4	0.57
	Growth	-0.29	0.23	-1.25	17.6	0.22
	Litter size	-0.35	0.37	-0.93	10.7	0.37
	Treatment (GCs)	-0.12	0.37	-0.32	10.1	0.75
	Treatment (GCs) x Growth	-0.13	0.33	-0.40	17.9	0.69

Results from 27 offspring from 18 litters over 3 years.