An integrated personal and population-based Egyptian genome reference

Inken Wohlers, Axel Künstner, Matthias Munz, Michael Olbrich, Anke Fähnrich, Verónica Calonga-Solís, Caixia Ma, Misa Hirose, Shaaban El-Mosallamy, Mohamed Salama, Hauke Busch* & Saleh Ibrahim*

* These authors contributed equally to this work

Abstract

The human genome is composed of chromosomal DNA sequences consisting of bases A, C, G and T – the blueprint to implement the molecular functions that are the basis of every individual's life. Deciphering the first human genome was a consortium effort that took more than a decade and considerable cost. With the latest technological advances, determining an individual's entire personal genome with manageable cost and effort has come within reach. Although the benefits of the all-encompassing genetic information that entire genomes provide are manifold, only a small number of *de novo* assembled human genomes have been reported to date [1–3], and few have been complemented with population-based genetic variation [4], which is particularly important for North Africans who are not represented in current genome-wide data sets [5–7]. Here, we combine long- and short-read whole-genome next-generation sequencing data with recent assembly approaches into the first *de novo* assembly of the genome of an Egyptian individual. The resulting assembly demonstrates well-balanced quality metrics and is complemented with high-quality variant phasing via linked reads into haploblocks, which we can associate with gene expression changes in blood. To construct an Egyptian genome

reference, we further assayed genome-wide genetic variation occurring in the Egyptian

population within a representative cohort of 110 Egyptian individuals. We show that differences

in allele frequencies and linkage disequilibrium between Egyptians and Europeans may

compromise the transferability of European ancestry-based genetic disease risk and polygenic

scores, substantiating the need for multi-ethnic genetic studies and corresponding genome

references. The Egyptian genome reference represents a comprehensive population data set

based on a high-quality personal genome. It is a proof of concept to be considered by the many

national and international genome initiatives underway. More importantly, we anticipate that

the Egyptian genome reference will be a valuable resource for precision medicine targeting the

Egyptian population and beyond.

Main

With the advent of personal genomics, population-based genetics as part of an individual's

genome is indispensable for precision medicine. Currently, genomics-based precision medicine

compares the patients' genetic make-up to a reference genome [8], a genome model inferred from

individuals of mostly European descent, to detect risk mutations that are related to disease.

However, genetic and epidemiologic studies have long recognized the importance of ancestral

origin in conferring genetic risk for disease. Risk alleles and structural variants (SVs) [9] can be

missing from the reference genome or can have different population frequencies, such that

alternative pathways become disease related in patients of different ancestral origin, which

motivates the establishment of national or international multi-ethnic genome projects [6,7,10]. At

present, there are several population-based sequencing efforts that aim to map specific variants

in the 100,000 genome projects in Asia [11] or England [12]. Furthermore, large-scale sequencing

efforts currently explore population, society and history-specific genomic variations in

individuals in Northern and Central Europe [13,14], North America [7], Asia [15,16] and, recently, the

first sub-Saharan Africans [17,18]. Nonetheless, there is still little genetic data available for many

regions of the world. In particular, North African individuals are not adequately represented in

current genetic data sets, such as the 1000 Genomes [5], TOPMED [7] or gnomAD [6] databases.

Consequently, imminent health disparities between different world populations have been

noted repeatedly for a decade. [19–22]

In recent years, several high-quality *de novo* human genome assemblies [1–4] and, more recently,

pan-genomes [23] have extended human sequence information and improved the *de facto*

reference genome GRCh38 [8]. Nonetheless, it is still prohibitively expensive to obtain all-

embracing genetic information, such as high-quality *de novo* assembled personal genomes for

many individuals. Indeed, previous genetic studies assess only a subset of variants occurring in

the Egyptian population, e.g., single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) on genotyping arrays

[24,25], variants in exonic regions via exome sequencing [26] or variants detectable by short-read

sequencing [27,28].

In this study, we generated a *de novo* assembly of an Egyptian individual and identified single

nucleotide variants (SNVs) and SVs from an additional 109 Egyptian individuals obtained from

short-read sequencing. Those were integrated to generate an Egyptian genome reference. We

anticipate that an Egyptian population genome reference will strengthen precision medicine

efforts that eventually benefit nearly 100 million Egyptians, e.g., by providing allele

frequencies (AFs) and linkage disequilibrium (LD) between variants, information that is

necessary for both rare and common disease studies. Likewise, our genome will be of universal

value for research purposes, since it contains both European and African variant features. Most

genome-wide association studies (GWAS) are performed in Europeans [29], but genetic disease

risk may differ, especially for individuals of African ancestry [30]. Consequently, an Egyptian

genome reference will be well suited to support recent efforts to include Africans in such

genetic studies, for example, by serving as a benchmark data set for SNP array construction and

variant imputation or for fine-mapping of disease loci.

Our Egyptian genome is based on a high-quality human *de novo* assembly for one male

Egyptian individual (see workflow in Suppl. Fig. 1). This assembly was generated from PacBio,

10x Genomics and Illumina paired-end sequencing data at overall 270x genome coverage

(Suppl. Table 1). For this personal genome, we constructed two draft assemblies, one based on

long-read assembly by an established assembler, `FALCON` [31], and another based on the

assembly by a novel assembler, `WTDBG2` [32], which has a much shorter run time with comparable

accuracy (cf. Suppl. Fig. 1). Both assemblies were polished using short reads and further

polishing tools. For the `FALCON`-based assembly, scaffolding was performed, whereas we

found that the `WTDBG2`-based assembly was of comparable accuracy without scaffolding

(Table 1). Sex chromosomal sequences have not been manually curated. The `WTDBG2`-based

assembly was selected as the meta assembly basis, because it performs similarly or better than

the `FALCON`-based assembly, according to various quality control (QC) measures. The former

did not require scaffolding, and thus there are no N bases or scaffolding errors. Overall, it has

about 50% fewer misassemblies. This QC measure holds true even when ignoring

misassemblies in centromeres and in segmental duplications and after correction for structural

variants (Suppl. Table 2). Where larger gaps outside centromere regions occurred, we

complemented this assembly with sequence from the `FALCON`-based assembly (Suppl. Table

3) to obtain a final Egyptian meta-assembly, denoted as EGYPT (for overall assembly strategy,

see Suppl. Fig. 1). Both the base assemblies and the final meta assembly are of high quality and

complementary and they are comparable to the publicly available assemblies of a Korean [2] and

a Yoruba (GenBank assembly accession GCA_001524155.4) individual in terms of genome

length and various quality control (QC) measures, (Table 1, extended version in Suppl. Table

2). Assembly quality is confirmed by quality control (QC) measures assessed by `QUAST-LG`

[33] (Suppl. Table 2), NA-values (Suppl. Fig. 2), k-mer multiplicity with KAT [34] (Suppl. Fig. 3,

4 and 5), QV values of more than 40 and by dot plots of alignment with reference GRCh38

(Suppl. Figs. 6-10).

We performed repeat annotation and repeat masking for all assemblies (Suppl. Table 4).

The meta-assembly was complemented with high-quality phasing information (Suppl. Table

5). EGYPT SNVs and small insertions and deletions (indels) called using short-read sequencing

data were phased using high-coverage 10x linked-read sequencing data. This resulted in

3,834,900 of 4,008,080 autosomal variants being phased (95.7%). Furthermore, nearly all

(99.41%) of the genes with lengths less than 100 kb and more than one heterozygous SNP were

phased into a single phase block. We identified 22 runs of homozygosity (ROH) (Suppl. Table

6), out of which 16 are larger than 5 Mb and sum up to overall 192 MB, which indicates

consanguinity at the level of parental third-degree relationship [35].

Based on the personal Egyptian genome, we constructed an Egyptian population genome by

considering genome-wide SNV AFs in 109 additional Egyptians (Suppl. Table 7). This

approach enabled the characterization of the major allele (i.e., the allele with highest AF) in the

given Egyptian cohort. To accomplish this, we called variants using short-read data of 13

Egyptians sequenced at high coverage and 97 Egyptians sequenced at low coverage. Although

sequence coverage affects variant-based statistics (Suppl. Fig. 11), due to combined

genotyping, most variants could also be called reliably in low coverage samples (Suppl. Fig.

12). We called a total of 19,758,992 SNVs and small indels (Suppl. Fig. 13) in all 110 Egyptian

individuals (Fig. 1). The number of called variants per individual varied between 2,901,883 to

3,934,367 and was correlated with sequencing depth (see Suppl. Figs. 11-12). This relationship

was particularly pronounced for low coverage samples. The majority of variants were intergenic

(53.5%) or intronic (37.2%) (Suppl. Fig. 14). Only approximately 0.7% of the variants were

located within coding exons, of which 54.4% were non-synonymous and thus cause a change

in protein sequence and, possibly, structure (Suppl. Fig. 15).

Using short-read sequencing data of 110 Egyptians, we called 121,141 SVs, most of which

were deletions, but also included inversions, duplications, insertions and translocations of

various orders of magnitude (Fig. 1, Suppl. Fig. 16-17). Similar to SNVs, the number of SV

calls also varied between individuals (Suppl. Fig. 18) and is slightly affected by coverage

(Suppl. Fig. 19). After merging overlapping SV calls, we obtained an average of 2,773 SVs per

Egyptian individual (Suppl. Table 8, Suppl. Figs. 20-22).

Using the EGYPT *de novo* assembly, we searched for unique insertions that are common in

Egyptians. Towards this, we first mapped all short-read data against the GRCh38 reference

genome and to other decoy or alternative haplotype sequences from the GATK bundle. All

reads that could not be mapped were subsequently mapped against the EGYPT *de novo*

assembly. A similar approach was recently applied to identify novel, unique insertions in *de*

novo assemblies of 17 individuals from 5 populations using 10x genomics sequencing [36].

Altogether we identified 40 unique insertions longer than 500 bp with a total length of 40kb,

for which we required for every base in the identified region to have a minimal coverage of 5

reads in at least 10 Egyptian individuals (Suppl. Table 9). Of these sequences, 28 have been

mentioned before by Wong *et al.* [36], and 10 more in different studies within the last 15 years [37]

[38 39 40]. Two out of the 40 insertions are most likely novel. In addition, one region contains three

unique insertions, of which two contain additional, novel sequences longer than 500 bases.

Closer inspection reveals that these sequences are located within a region of two 50 kb gaps

(i.e. N sequences) in the GRCh38 reference genome at chromosome loci chr13:111,703,856-

111,753,855 and chr13:111,793,442-111,843,441 with about 40 kb of reference sequence

between the gaps. The EGYPT, AK1 and YORUBA assembly sequences that cover this 140

kb reference sequence from chr13:111,703,856 to 111,843,441 are very similar (Suppl. Figs.

23, 24 and 25). They all align about 4 kb from the 40 kb reference sequence between the gaps,

only, but at the very beginning of the respective assembly sequence (Suppl. Figs. 26, 27 and

28). Performing a BLAST search of the 140 kb EGYPT assembly sequence reveals an overall

44 kb alignment in five, mainly consecutive, large alignment blocks to "Homo sapiens

chromosome 13 clone WI2-2182D8" (AC188786.1) from position 1 to 44,382, see Suppl. Fig.

29. This large reference genome region that contains the largest gap covering sequence reported

for AK1 [2] is not resolved yet.

Overall, we identified 330 single nucleotide variants and indels in 36 of 40 non-reference

sequences (Suppl. Table 10). The percentage of reads that could not be mapped to GRCh38 or

GATK bundle sequences, but which were mappable against the *de novo* assembly is on average

8.6%, but for some individuals up to 34.2% (cv. Suppl. Fig. 30). Previously unmapped short

reads of 110 Egyptians covered positions for more than 19 Mb of the Egyptian *de novo*

assembly. Unique sequences that are commonly shared among Egyptians illustrate that

additional reference genomes are needed to capture the genetic diversity that are neither

assessable by short read sequencing nor with the current human reference genome.

In addition, the large number of assembly positions to which such short reads map which could

not be mapped to the reference genome GRCh38 (including widely used supplementary

sequences included in the GATK bundle), indicate a need for further assembly-based reference

data and for new approaches to better capture genetic diversity.

Genotype principal component analysis of the Egyptian cohort shows a homogeneous group

for which the assembly individual is representative (Suppl. Figs. 31-37).

We genetically characterized the Egyptian population with respect to 143 other populations of

the world using variant data of 5,429 individuals in total. For this, we combined five different

data sets: (1) a recently published whole genome sequencing (WGS)-based variant data set from

929 individuals of the Human Genome Diversity Project (HGDP), covering 51 populations [41];

(2) 2,504 individuals from 26 populations of the 1000 Genomes project for which phase 3

genotypes are available [5]; (3) WGS-based variant data from 108 Qatari individuals [42]; (4) SNP

array-based variant data of 478 individuals from five countries of the Arabian Peninsula [25]; (5)

1,305 individuals from 68 African, European, Western and Southern Asian populations that

were compiled from 8 different publications into a recent SNP array-based variant data set [43].

All individuals and their annotations are provided in Suppl. Table 11, data sources are described

in Suppl. Table 12. A principal component analysis of the data shows a genetic continuum

between Europeans, Africans, East Asians and Americans along the first three principal

components, see Suppl. interactive HTML-based Fig. PCA_interactive.html. Egyptians are

located on the European-African axis and close to Europeans. Their genetic variance spreads

to a small degree in the direction of the Asian axis, akin to further individuals from the Middle

East (see Fig. 2c). To preclude a technical bias when intersecting WGS with SNP array data,

we compared the analysis results when using whole genome data, only, or when intersecting

WGS data with SNP arrays and found comparable results in both cases (Suppl. Fig. 38). The

Egyptian PCA location is further supported by an admixture analysis. Our analysis specifies

k=24 as the optimal number of genetic components for the entire data set, i.e. having the

smallest cross validation error (see Suppl. Fig. 39 for results for k=10 to k=25). Accordingly,

the genetics of Egyptian individuals comprises four distinct population components that sum

up to 75% on average. Egyptians have a Middle Eastern, a European / Eurasian, a North African

and an East African component with 27%, 24%, 15% and 9% relative influence, respectively

(see Fig. 2a). According to our cohort, Egyptians show genetically little heterogeneity, with

little variance in the proportion of individual components between the individuals (Suppl. Figs

40 and 41). With a focus on populations from the Horn of Africa, the four components we

identified have been described before by Hodgeson *et al.* [44] in a cohort of 2,194 individuals

from 81 populations (mainly 1000 Genomes and HGDP) and substantially fewer variants

(n=16,766), but including also 31 Egyptians. They and others hypothesize that most non-

African ancestry, i.e. the Eurasian / European and Middle Eastern components in the

populations from North Africa and the Horn of Africa is resulting from prehistoric back-to-

Africa migration [44] [24]. Recently, Serra-Vidal *et al*. describe North Africa as a "melting pot of

genetic components", attributing most genetic variation in the region also to prehistoric times

[45]. Here, we confirm previously identified genetic components, yet using 2.5 times as many

individuals, and using WGS data for the majority of them. This is thus the hitherto most

comprehensive data set on genetic diversity world-wide and in this region.

The European, African and Asian ancestry components of Egyptians are further supported by

mitochondrial haplogroup assessment from mtDNA sequencing of 227 individuals in additiona

to 100 available from the literature [27]. mtDNA sequencing revealed that Egyptians have

haplogroups most frequently found in Europeans (e.g., H, V, T, J, etc.; >60%), African (e.g.,

L with 24.8%) or Asian/East Asian haplogroups (e.g., M with 6.7%). Overall, this supports the

admixture and PCA analysis and the notion that Egypt's transcontinental geographical location

shaped Egyptian genetics (Suppl. Fig. 42).

Lastly, we characterized the Egyptian population with respect to runs of homozygosity. The

distribution of overall length of ROHs larger than 5 Mb is comparable for the Egyptian

population and Middle Eastern populations and, to lesser extent, also for other North African

and Western Asian populations. In comparison, Europeans and Sub-Saharan Africans have

usually shorter ROHs, see Fig. 2b. Abundance of long ROHs is typical for the Greater Middle

East [26] and reflects the common practice of consanguineous marriages in this region.

In total, we identified 6,599,037 common Egyptian SNVs (minor allele frequency (MAF) >

5%, genotypes in a minimum of 100 individuals), of which 1,198 are population-specific; i.e.,

they are either rare (MAF < 1%) or not detected in any other population in the 1000 Genomes

[5], gnomAD database [6] or TOPMed [7] (Suppl. Table 13). These numbers are comparable to

population-specific variant numbers reported previously for 1000 Genomes populations [46].

Four SNVs likely have a molecular impact (Suppl. Table 14), indicated by a CADD [47]

deleteriousness score greater than 20. SNP rs143563851 (CADD 24.2) has recently been

identified in 1% of individuals of a cohort of 211 Palestinians in a study that performed targeted

sequencing of blood group antigen synthase GBGT1 [48]. SNP rs143614333 (missense variant in

gene CR2, CADD 23.6) is in ClinVar [49], with three submitters reporting that the variant is of

uncertain clinical significance. Additionally, we obtained 49 variants with no dbSNP [50] rsID

(Suppl. Table 15). These numbers of population-specific SNPs, of which some are likely to

have an immediate impact on clinical characteristics and diagnostics, indicate insufficient

coverage of the genetic diversity of the world's population for precision medicine and thus the

need for local genome references. To detect a putative genetic contribution of Egyptian

population-specific SNPs towards molecular pathways, phenotypes or disease, we performed

gene set enrichment analysis for all 461 protein-coding genes that were annotated to population-

specific SNPs by Ensembl VEP [51]. Enrichr, a gene list enrichment tool incorporating 153 gene

sets and pathway databases [52], reports that genes from obesity-related traits of the GWAS

catalog 2019 collection are over-represented (adj. p-value: 1.02E-6; 49 of 804 genes), which

might hint at population-specific metabolism regulation that is linked to body weight.

Variants that are not protein coding may have a regulatory effect that affects gene and

eventually protein expression. Using blood expression data obtained from RNA sequencing for

the EGYPT assembly individual in conjunction with 10x sequencing-based phased variant data,

we identified genes with haplotype-dependent expression patterns (see Suppl. Fig. 43 for the

analysis overview and Suppl. Figs. 44-45 for the results). We report 1,180 such genes (Suppl.

Table 16). Of these, variants contained in haplotypes of 683 genes (58%) have previously

reported expression quantitative trait loci (eQTLs) in blood according to Qtlizer [53], for 380

genes supported by multiple studies. For 370 genes (31%), the strongest associated blood eQTL

SNV is haplotypically expressed, and for 131 genes, the best eQTL has been previously

reported by multiple studies. Concordance of haplotypic expression with eQTLs indicates that

a common variant may affect gene expression; discordance hints towards a rare variant.

We investigated the impact of Egyptian ancestry on disease risk by integrating Egyptian variant

data with the GWAS catalog [54], a curated database of GWAS. According to the GWAS catalog,

most published GWAS are performed on Europeans [29], and only a single study has been

performed on Egyptians [55] (by one of the co-authors). Furthermore, only 2% of individuals

included in GWAS are of African ancestry [29]. AFs, LD and genetic architecture can differ

between populations, such that results from European GWAS cannot necessarily be transferred

[30]. This lack of transferability also compromises the prediction of an individual's traits and

disease risk using polygenic scores: such scores are estimated to be approximately one-third as

informative in African individuals compared to Europeans [56]. From the GWAS catalog, we

constructed a set of 4,008 different, replicated, high-quality tag SNPs (i.e., one strongest

associated SNP per locus) from European ancestry GWAS for 584 traits and diseases. We

compared the tag SNPs' AFs and proxy SNPs in the Egyptian cohort (n=110) and Europeans

from 1000 Genomes (n=503) (Suppl. Table 17). Egyptian AFs of tag SNPs are comparable to

European AFs, with a tendency to be lower (Fig. 3a). There are variants common in Europeans

(AF>5%) but rare in Egyptians (AF<5%) (Suppl. Fig. 46). A total of 261 tag SNPs are not

present in the Egyptian cohort (~7%), clearly indicating a need to perform GWAS in non-

European populations to further elucidate disease risk conferred by these loci. We investigated

differences in LD structure using an approach that is used for fine-mapping of GWAS data,

which identifies proxy variants (illustrated in Fig. 3c). Proxy variants are variants correlated

with the tag GWAS SNP, i.e., in high LD (here, $R^2>0.8$). The post-GWAS challenge is the

identification of a causal variant from a set of variants in LD (tag SNP and proxy variants). We

found that the number of proxy variants was much lower in the Egyptian cohort (Fig. 3b), likely

due to shorter haplotype blocks known from African populations. This indicates that LD

differences between Egyptians and Europeans may compromise GWAS transferability and

European ancestry-based polygenic scores. However, Egyptian proxy variants are usually

included in the larger set of European proxy variants (Fig. 3d). An example is variant rs2075650

(a locus sometimes attributed to gene TOMM40), which has been linked to Alzheimer's disease

in seven GWASs (cf. Suppl. Fig. 47). This tag SNP has seven proxy variants in Europeans but

only two proxy variants in Egyptians. One European proxy, rs72352238, has also been reported

as a GWAS tag SNP, but it is not a proxy of rs2075650 in Egyptians and may thus fail

replication and transfer of GWAS results from the European to the Egyptian population.

With our Egyptian genome reference, it will be possible to perform comprehensive integrated

genome and transcriptome comparisons for Egyptian individuals in the future. This will shed

light on personal as well as population-wide common genetic variants. As an example for

personalized medicine for Egyptian specific genetics we visualize the complete genetic

information of the DNA repair-associated gene BRCA2 from our study in the integrative

genomics viewer [57] (IGV) and the variant phasing information within the 10x Genomics

browser LOUPE in Fig. 4 and Suppl. Fig 48, respectively. BRCA2 is linked to the progression

and treatment of breast cancer and other cancer types [58], if mutated. The IGV depicts the sample

coverage based on sequencing data from PacBio, 10x Genomics and Illumina (whole genome

as well as RNA) for the personal EGYPT genome together with common Egyptian SNPs.

Variants previously assessed in a breast cancer GWAS [58] are displayed as Manhattan plot; note

the three significant GWAS SNPs between positions 32,390 and 32,400 kb. The bottom

compares the identified SNVs and indels from the Korean and Yoruba *de novo* assembly with

our *de novo* EGYPT assembly. Visual inspection of both small and structural variations at the

personal and population-based genome levels already yields significantly different variants,

which might be important for genetic counselling and detection of inherited risks for cancer.

In conclusion, we constructed the first Egyptian – and North African – genome reference, which

is an essential step towards a comprehensive, genome-wide knowledge base of the world's

genetic variations. The wealth of information it provides can be immediately utilized to study

in-depth personal genomics and common Egyptian genetics and its impact on molecular

phenotypes and disease. This reference will pave the way towards a better understanding of the

Egyptian, African and global genomic landscape for precision medicine.

Methods

Sample acquisition

Samples were acquired from 10 Egyptian individuals. For nine individuals, high-coverage

Illumina short-read data were generated. For the assembly individual, high-coverage short-read

data were generated as well as high-coverage PacBio data and 10x data. Furthermore, we used

public Illumina short-read data from 100 Egyptian individuals from Pagani *et al.* [27]. See

Supplementary Tables 1 and 7 for an overview of the individuals and the corresponding raw

and result data generated in this study.

PacBio data generation

For PacBio library preparation, the SMRTbell DNA libraries were constructed following the

manufacturer's instructions (Pacific Bioscience, www.pacb.com). The SMRTbell DNA

libraries were sequenced on the PacBio Sequel and generated 298.2 GB of data.

Sequencing data from five PacBio libraries were generated at overall 99x genome coverage.

Illumina short-read data generation

For 350 bp library construction, the genomic DNA was sheared, and fragments with sizes of

approximately 350 bp were purified from agarose gels. The fragments were ligated to adaptors

and amplified using PCR. The generated libraries were then sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq

X Ten using PE150 and generated 312.8 GB of data.

For the assembly individual, sequencing data from five libraries was generated at overall 90x

genome coverage. For nine additional individuals, one library each was generated, amounting

to an overall 305x coverage of sequencing data. For the 100 individuals of Pagani *et al.* [27], three

were sequenced at high coverage (30x) and 97 at low coverage (8x). The average coverage over

SNV positions for all 110 samples is provided in Supplementary Table 7.

RNA sequencing data generation

For RNA sequencing, ribosomal RNA was removed from total RNA, double-stranded cDNA

was synthesized, and then adaptors were ligated. The second strand of cDNA was then

degraded to generate a directional library. The generated libraries with insert sizes of 250-300

bp were selected and amplified and then sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq using PE150.

Overall, 64,875,631 150 bp paired-end sequencing reads were generated.

10x sequencing data generation

For 10x genomic sequencing, the Chromium Controller was used for DNA indexing and

barcoding according to the manufacturer's instructions (10x Genomics,

www.10xgenomics.com). The generated fragments were sheared, and then adaptors were

ligated. The generated libraries were sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq X Ten using PE150 and

generated 272.7 GB of data. Sequencing data from four 10x libraries was generated at overall

80x genome coverage.

Construction of draft *de novo* assemblies and meta-assembly

We used `WTDBG2` [32] for human *de novo* assembly followed by its accompanying polishing tool

`WTPOA-CNS` with PacBio reads and in a subsequent polishing run with Illumina short reads.

This assembly was further polished using `PILON` [59] with short-read data (cf. Suppl. Methods:

WTDBG2-based assembly).

An alternative assembly was generated by using `FALCON` [60], `QUIVER` [61], `SSPACE-`

`LONGREAD` [62], `PBJELLY` [63], `FRAGSCAFF` [64] and `PILON` [59] (cf. Suppl. Methods: *FALCON-*

based assembly).

Proceeding from the `WTDBG2`-based assembly, we constructed a meta-assembly. Regions larger

than 800 kb that were not covered by this base assembly and were not located within centromere

regions were extracted from the alternative `FALCON`-based assembly (Suppl. Table 3). See

Suppl. Fig. 1 for an overview of our assembly strategy, including meta-assembly construction

(cf. Suppl. Methods: *Meta-assembly construction*).

Assembly quality and characteristics were assessed with `QUAST-LG` [33]. Additionally, we

removed misassemblies in centromeres or in segmental duplication regions from the `QUAST-`

`LG` report and furthermore removed structural variants from misassemblies (cf. Suppl. Methods:

Assembly comparison and QC). The extraction of coordinates for meta-assembly construction

was performed using `QUAST-LG` output. K-mer multiplicity was assessed with `KAT` [34].

Following Porubsky *et al.* [65], we computed QV as the number of homozygous variants divided

by the effective genome size. Towards this, we mapped all short reads to the assembly using

`BWA MEM` and perform variant calling using `FREEBAYES` with default parameters. We kept

only homozygous variants with a minimum quality of 10 using `VCFTOOLS`. Single-nucleotide

differences were counted as difference of 1 bp, indel differences as the length differences

between reference and alternative allele. Based on `SAMTOOLS` command "stats", we computed

the sum of bases with short read coverage as effective genome size.

Repeatmasking

Repeatmasking was performed by using REPEATMASKER [66] with RepBase version 3.0

(Repeatmasker Edition 20181026) and Dfam_consensus (http://www.dfam-consensus.org) (cf.

Suppl. Methods: *Repeat annotation*).

Unique inserted sequences

We trimmed Illumina short sequencing reads of 110 Egyptian individuals using FASTP 0.20.0

with default parameters, mapped the output reads to GRCh38 and GATK bundle sequences

using BWA 0.7.15-r1140 and sorted by chromosomal position using SAMTOOLS 1.3.1.

Subsequently, we extracted reads that did not map to GRCh38 using SAMTOOLS with

parameter F13 (i.e. read paired, read unmapped, mate unmapped) and repeated the mapping

and sorting using the Egyptian *de novo* assembly. We merged the read-group specific BAM

files for each sample and calculated the per base read depth using SAMTOOLS. Afterwards, we

aggregated the results via custom scripts and extracted uniquely inserted sequences from the

Egyptian *de novo* assembly. Insertions were defined as contiguous regions of at least 500 bp

having a coverage of more than 5 reads per base in 10 or more samples. Lastly, we BLASTed

the obtained sequences against the standard databases (option nt) for highly similar sequences

(option megablast) using a custom script. For the uniquely inserted sequences that we identified,

we created a pileup over all BAM files containing the reads that did not map to GRCh38 using

SAMTOOLS. Based on these pileups, we then called the variants using BCFTOOLS. Variants

with quality of more than 10 were kept.

Phasing

Phasing was performed for the assembly individual's SNVs and short indels obtained from

combined genotyping with the other Egyptian individuals, i.e., based on short-read data. These

variants were phased using 10x data and the 10x Genomics LONGRANGER WGS pipeline with

four 10x libraries provided for one combined phasing. See Supplementary Methods *Variant*

phasing for details.

SNVs and small indels

Calling of SNVs and small indels was performed with `GATK` 3.8 [67] using the parameters of the

best practice workflow. Reads in each read group were trimmed using `Trimmomatic` [68] and

subsequently mapped against reference genome hg38 using `BWA-MEM` [69] version 0.7.17. Then,

the alignments for all read groups were merged sample-wise and marked for duplicates. After

the base recalibration, we performed variant calling using `HaplotypeCaller` to obtain

GVCF files. These files were input into GenotypeGVCFs to perform joint genotyping. Finally,

the variants in the outputted VCF file were recalibrated, and only those variants that were

flagged as "PASS" were kept for further analyses. We used `FastQC` [70], `Picard Tools` [71]

and `verifyBamId` [72] for QC (cf. Suppl. Methods: *Small variant QC*).

Variant annotation

428   Variant annotation was performed using `ANNOVAR` [73] and `VEP` [51] (cf. Suppl. Methods: *Small*

429   *variant annotation*)

Structural variants

432   SVs were called using `DELLY2` [74] with default parameters as described on the `DELLY2` website

433   for germline SV calling (https://github.com/dellytools/delly) (cf. Suppl. Methods: *Structural*

434   *variant QC*). Overlapping SV calls in the same individual were collapsed by the use of custom

435   scripts. See Supplementary Methods *Collapsing structural variants* for details.

Population genetics

For population genetic analyses, we compared the Egyptian variant data with variant data from

five additional sources specified in Suppl. Table 12. Individuals together with their annotations

are listed in Suppl. Table 11. Variant data was merged to contain only variants present in all

data sets and subsequently filtered and LD pruned. Genotype principal component analysis was

computed using `SMARTPCA` [75] from the `EIGENSOFT` package. Admixture was computed with

`ADMIXTURE` [76] (cf. Suppl. Methods: *Population genetics* and *SNP array-based Egyptian*

variant data). Runs of homozygosity were computed on the same files that were used for PC

computation and admixture using `PLINK —homozyg`. ROHs with size larger than 5 Mb were

summed to obtain overall length of ROHs per individual.

Mitochondrial haplogroups

Haplogroup assignment was performed for 227 individuals using `HAPLOGREP 2` [77].

Furthermore, mitochondrial haplogroups were obtained from Pagani *et al.* [27] for 100

individuals. See Supplementary Methods *Mitochondrial haplogroups* for details.

Population-specific variants

Our set of common Egyptian SNVs comprises variants with genotypes in a minimum of 100

individuals whose alternative allele has a frequency of more than 5%. Those common Egyptian

SNVs that are otherwise rare, i.e., have an AF of less than 1% in the 1000 Genomes, and

gnomAD populations as well as in TOPMed were considered Egyptian-specific. AFs were

annotated using the Ensembl API. Furthermore, a list of Egyptian common variants without

dbSNP rsID was compiled, see Supplementary Methods *Small variant annotation* for details.

Haplotypic expression analysis

RNA sequencing reads were mapped and quantified using `STAR` (Version 2.6.1.c) [78].

Haplotypic expression analysis was performed by using `PHASER` and `PHASER GENE AE`

(version 1.1.1) [79] with Ensembl version 95 annotation on the 10x-phased haplotypes using

default parameters. See Supplementary Methods *Haplotypic expression* for details.

GWAS catalog data integration

GWAS catalog associations for GWAS of European ancestry were split into trait-specific data

sets using Experimental Factor Ontology (EFO) terms. For every trait, a locus was defined as

an associated variant +/- 1 MB, and only loci that were replicated were retained. For proxy

computation, we used our Egyptian cohort (n=110) and the European individuals of 1000

Genomes (n=503). For details, see Supplementary Methods *Data integration with the GWAS*

catalog.

Integrative genomics view

We implemented a workflow to extract all Egyptian genome reference data for view in the IGV

[57]. This includes all sequencing data mapped to GRCh38 (cf. Suppl. Methods *Sequencing read*

mapping to GRCh38) as well as all assembly differences (cf. Suppl. Methods *Alignment to*

GRCh38 and *Assembly-based variant identification*) and all Egyptian variant data. See

Supplementary Methods *Gene-centric integrative data views* for details.

Ethics statement

This study was approved by the Mansoura Faculty of Medicine Institutional Review Board

(MFM-IRB) Approval Number RP/15.06.62. All subjects gave written informed consent in

accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. This study and its results are in accordance with

the Jena Declaration (https://www.uni-

jena.de/unijenamedia/Universit%C3%A4t/Abteilung+Hochschulkommunikation/Presse/Jenae

r+Erkl%C3%A4rung/Jenaer_Erklaerung_EN.pdf).

References

1. Cao, H. *et al.* De novo assembly of a haplotype-resolved human genome. *Nat. Biotechnol.*

33, 617–622 (2015).

2. Seo, J.-S. *et al. De novo* assembly and phasing of a Korean human genome. *Nature* **538**,

243–247 (2016).

3. Shi, L. *et al.* Long-read sequencing and de novo assembly of a Chinese genome. *Nat*

Commun **7**, 12065 (2016).

4. Cho, Y. S. *et al.* An ethnically relevant consensus Korean reference genome is a step

towards personal reference genomes. *Nat Commun* **7**, 13637 (2016).

5. The 1000 Genomes Project Consortium. A global reference for human genetic variation.

Nature **526**, 68–74 (2015).

6. Karczewski, K. J. *et al.* Variation across 141,456 human exomes and genomes reveals the

spectrum of loss-of-function intolerance across human protein-coding genes. *bioRxiv*

531210 (2019) doi:10.1101/531210.

7. Taliun, D. *et al.* Sequencing of 53,831 diverse genomes from the NHLBI TOPMed

Program. *bioRxiv* 563866 (2019) doi:10.1101/563866.

8. Schneider, V. A. *et al.* Evaluation of GRCh38 and de novo haploid genome assemblies

demonstrates the enduring quality of the reference assembly. *Genome Res.* **27**, 849–864

(2017).

9. Levy-Sakin, M. *et al.* Genome maps across 26 human populations reveal population-

specific patterns of structural variation. *Nature Communications* **10**, 1025 (2019).

10. Stark, Z. *et al.* Integrating Genomics into Healthcare: A Global Responsibility. *Am. J.*

Hum. Genet. **104**, 13–20 (2019).

11. GenomeAsia 100k. *GenomeAsia 100k* http://www.genomeasia100k.com/.

12. Turnbull, C. *et al.* The 100 000 Genomes Project: bringing whole genome sequencing to

the NHS. *BMJ* **361**, k1687 (2018).

13. Maretty, L. *et al.* Sequencing and de novo assembly of 150 genomes from Denmark as a

population reference. *Nature* **548**, 87–91 (2017).

14. Leslie, S. *et al.* The fine-scale genetic structure of the British population. *Nature* **519**,

309–314 (2015).

15. Chiang, C. W. K., Mangul, S., Robles, C. & Sankararaman, S. A Comprehensive Map of

Genetic Variation in the World's Largest Ethnic Group-Han Chinese. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **35**,

2736–2750 (2018).

16. Bai, H. *et al.* Whole-genome sequencing of 175 Mongolians uncovers population-specific

genetic architecture and gene flow throughout North and East Asia. *Nat. Genet.* (2018)

doi:10.1038/s41588-018-0250-5.

17. Sherman, R. M. *et al.* Assembly of a pan-genome from deep sequencing of 910 humans of

African descent. *Nat. Genet.* (2018) doi:10.1038/s41588-018-0273-y.

18. Choudhury, A. *et al.* Whole-genome sequencing for an enhanced understanding of genetic

variation among South Africans. *Nat Commun* **8**, 2062 (2017).

19. Bustamante, C. D., Burchard, E. G. & De la Vega, F. M. Genomics for the world. *Nature*

475, 163–165 (2011).

20. Popejoy, A. B. & Fullerton, S. M. Genomics is failing on diversity. *Nature* **538**, 161–164

(2016).

21. Wojcik, G. L. *et al.* Genetic analyses of diverse populations improves discovery for

complex traits. *Nature* **570**, 514–518 (2019).

22. Martin, A. R. *et al.* Clinical use of current polygenic risk scores may exacerbate health

disparities. *Nat. Genet.* **51**, 584–591 (2019).

23. Levy-Sakin, M. *et al.* Genome maps across 26 human populations reveal population-

specific patterns of structural variation. *Nat Commun* **10**, 1025 (2019).

24. Henn, B. M. *et al.* Genomic ancestry of North Africans supports back-to-Africa

migrations. *PLoS Genet.* **8**, e1002397 (2012).

25. Fernandes, V. *et al.* Genome-Wide Characterization of Arabian Peninsula Populations:

543   Shedding Light on the History of a Fundamental Bridge between Continents. *Mol. Biol.*

544   *Evol.* **36**, 575–586 (2019).

545   26. Scott, E. M. *et al.* Characterization of Greater Middle Eastern genetic variation for

546   enhanced disease gene discovery. *Nat. Genet.* **48**, 1071–1076 (2016).

547   27. Pagani, L. *et al.* Tracing the Route of Modern Humans out of Africa by Using 225 Human

548   Genome Sequences from Ethiopians and Egyptians. *Am J Hum Genet* **96**, 986–991

549   (2015).

550   28. ElHefnawi, M. *et al.* Whole genome sequencing and bioinformatics analysis of two

551   Egyptian genomes. *Gene* **668**, 129–134 (2018).

552   29. Sirugo, G., Williams, S. M. & Tishkoff, S. A. The Missing Diversity in Human Genetic

553   Studies. *Cell* **177**, 1080 (2019).

554   30. Kim, M. S., Patel, K. P., Teng, A. K., Berens, A. J. & Lachance, J. Genetic disease risks

555   can be misestimated across global populations. *Genome Biol.* **19**, 179 (2018).

556   31. Chin, C.-S. *et al.* Phased diploid genome assembly with single-molecule real-time

557   sequencing. *Nature Methods* **13**, 1050–1054 (2016).

558   32. Ruan, J. & Li, H. Fast and accurate long-read assembly with wtdbg2. *bioRxiv* 530972

559   (2019) doi:10.1101/530972.

560   33. Mikheenko, A., Prjibelski, A., Saveliev, V., Antipov, D. & Gurevich, A. Versatile

561   genome assembly evaluation with QUAST-LG. *Bioinformatics* **34**, i142–i150 (2018).

562   34. Mapleson, D., Garcia Accinelli, G., Kettleborough, G., Wright, J. & Clavijo, B. J. KAT: a

563   K-mer analysis toolkit to quality control NGS datasets and genome assemblies.

564   *Bioinformatics* **33**, 574–576 (2017).

565   35. Sund, K. L. & Rehder, C. W. Detection and reporting of homozygosity associated with

566   consanguinity in the clinical laboratory. *Hum. Hered.* **77**, 217–224 (2014).

36. Wong, K. H. Y., Levy-Sakin, M. & Kwok, P.-Y. De novo human genome assemblies

reveal spectrum of alternative haplotypes in diverse populations. *Nat Commun* **9**, 3040

(2018).

37. Tuzun, E. *et al.* Fine-scale structural variation of the human genome. *Nat. Genet.* **37**, 727–

732 (2005).

38. Kidd, J. M. *et al.* Mapping and sequencing of structural variation from eight human

genomes. *Nature* **453**, 56–64 (2008).

39. Fan, X., Chaisson, M., Nakhleh, L. & Chen, K. HySA: a Hybrid Structural variant

Assembly approach using next-generation and single-molecule sequencing technologies.

Genome Res. **27**, 793–800 (2017).

40. Kehr, B. *et al.* Diversity in non-repetitive human sequences not found in the reference

genome. *Nat. Genet.* **49**, 588–593 (2017).

41. Bergström, A. *et al.* Insights into human genetic variation and population history from

929 diverse genomes. *Science* **367**, (2020).

42. Rodriguez-Flores, J. L. *et al.* Indigenous Arabs are descendants of the earliest split from

ancient Eurasian populations. *Genome Res.* **26**, 151–162 (2016).

43. Busby, G. Genotype data for a set of 163 worldwide populations. **3**, (2020).

44. Hodgson, J. A., Mulligan, C. J., Al-Meeri, A. & Raaum, R. L. Early back-to-Africa

migration into the Horn of Africa. *PLoS Genet.* **10**, e1004393 (2014).

45. Serra-Vidal, G. *et al.* Heterogeneity in Palaeolithic Population Continuity and Neolithic

Expansion in North Africa. *Curr. Biol.* **29**, 3953-3959.e4 (2019).

46. Choudhury, A. *et al.* Population-specific common SNPs reflect demographic histories and

highlight regions of genomic plasticity with functional relevance. *BMC Genomics* **15**, 437

(2014).

47. Rentzsch, P., Witten, D., Cooper, G. M., Shendure, J. & Kircher, M. CADD: predicting

the deleteriousness of variants throughout the human genome. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **47**,

D886–D894 (2019).

48. Abusibaa, W. A. *et al.* Expression of the GBGT1 Gene and the Forssman Antigen in Red

Blood Cells in a Palestinian Population. *Transfusion Medicine and Hemotherapy* (2019)

doi:10.1159/000497288.

49. Landrum, M. J. *et al.* ClinVar: improving access to variant interpretations and supporting

evidence. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **46**, D1062–D1067 (2018).

50. Sherry, S. T. *et al.* dbSNP: the NCBI database of genetic variation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **29**,

308–311 (2001).

51. McLaren, W. *et al.* The Ensembl Variant Effect Predictor. *Genome Biology* **17**, 122

(2016).

52. Kuleshov, M. V. *et al.* Enrichr: a comprehensive gene set enrichment analysis web server

2016 update. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **44**, W90-97 (2016).

53. Munz, M. *et al.* Qtlizer: comprehensive QTL annotation of GWAS results. *bioRxiv*

495903 (2019) doi:10.1101/495903.

54. Buniello, A. *et al.* The NHGRI-EBI GWAS Catalog of published genome-wide

association studies, targeted arrays and summary statistics 2019. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **47**,

D1005–D1012 (2019).

55. Bejaoui, Y. *et al.* Genome-wide association study of psoriasis in an Egyptian population.

Exp. Dermatol. **28**, 623–627 (2019).

56. Duncan, L. *et al.* Analysis of polygenic risk score usage and performance in diverse

human populations. *Nat Commun* **10**, 3328 (2019).

57. Robinson, J. T. *et al.* Integrative genomics viewer. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **29**, 24–26 (2011).

58. Michailidou, K. *et al.* Association analysis identifies 65 new breast cancer risk loci.

Nature **551**, 92–94 (2017).

59. Walker, B. J. *et al.* Pilon: an integrated tool for comprehensive microbial variant detection

and genome assembly improvement. *PLoS ONE* **9**, e112963 (2014).

60. Chin, C.-S. *et al.* Phased diploid genome assembly with single-molecule real-time

sequencing. *Nat. Methods* **13**, 1050–1054 (2016).

61. Chin, C.-S. *et al.* Nonhybrid, finished microbial genome assemblies from long-read

SMRT sequencing data. *Nat. Methods* **10**, 563–569 (2013).

62. Boetzer, M. & Pirovano, W. SSPACE-LongRead: scaffolding bacterial draft genomes

using long read sequence information. *BMC Bioinformatics* **15**, (2014).

63. English, A. C. *et al.* Mind the Gap: Upgrading Genomes with Pacific Biosciences RS

Long-Read Sequencing Technology. *PLoS ONE* **7**, e47768 (2012).

64. Adey, A. *et al.* In vitro, long-range sequence information for de novo genome assembly

via transposase contiguity. *Genome Research* **24**, 2041–2049 (2014).

65. Porubsky, D. *et al.* A fully phased accurate assembly of an individual human genome.

bioRxiv 855049 (2019) doi:10.1101/855049.

66. SMIT, A. F. A. Repeat-Masker Open-3.0. *http://www.repeatmasker.org* (2004).

67. McKenna, A. *et al.* The Genome Analysis Toolkit: A MapReduce framework for

analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome Research* **20**, 1297–1303

(2010).

68. Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina

sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2114–2120 (2014).

69. Li, H. & Durbin, R. Fast and accurate long-read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler

transform. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 589–595 (2010).

70. Andrews, S. FASTQC - A quality control tool for high throughput sequence data.

http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/.

71. Picard Toolkit. http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/.

72. Jun, G. *et al.* Detecting and Estimating Contamination of Human DNA Samples in

Sequencing and Array-Based Genotype Data. *The American Journal of Human Genetics*

91, 839–848 (2012).

73. Wang, K., Li, M. & Hakonarson, H. ANNOVAR: functional annotation of genetic

variants from high-throughput sequencing data. *Nucleic Acids Res* **38**, e164–e164 (2010).

74. Rausch, T. *et al.* DELLY: structural variant discovery by integrated paired-end and split-

read analysis. *Bioinformatics* **28**, i333–i339 (2012).

75. Patterson, N., Price, A. L. & Reich, D. Population structure and eigenanalysis. *PLoS*

Genet. **2**, e190 (2006).

76. Alexander, D. H., Novembre, J. & Lange, K. Fast model-based estimation of ancestry in

unrelated individuals. *Genome Res.* **19**, 1655–1664 (2009).

77. Kloss-Brandstätter, A. *et al.* HaploGrep: a fast and reliable algorithm for automatic

classification of mitochondrial DNA haplogroups. *Hum. Mutat.* **32**, 25–32 (2011).

78. Dobin, A. *et al.* STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* bts635 (2012)

doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635.

79. Castel, S. E., Mohammadi, P., Chung, W. K., Shen, Y. & Lappalainen, T. Rare variant

phasing and haplotypic expression from RNA sequencing with phASER. *Nat Commun* **7**,

12817 (2016).

Supplementary information

Supplementary Tables 1-17: An_Egyptian_genome_reference_supplementary_tables.xlsx

Supplementary Methods and Supplementary Figures 1-48:

An_Egyptian_genome_reference_supplement.pdf

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge support on coordination of the project and assembly work w.r.t the `FALCON`-

based assembly through Ms. Lu Wang from the Novogene (UK) Company Limited. IW, HB

and SI acknowledge funding by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German

Research Foundation) under Germany`s Excellence Strategy – EXC 22167-390884018.

Verónica Calonga-Solís was supported by a DAAD scholarship. All authors acknowledge

computational support from the OMICS compute cluster at the University of Lübeck.

674   Author information

Medical Systems Biology Division, Lübeck Institute of Experimental Dermatology and Institute

for Cardiogenetics, University of Lübeck, Lübeck, Germany

Inken Wohlers, Axel Künstner, Matthias Munz, Michael Olbrich, Anke Fähnrich, Verónica

Calonga-Solís & Hauke Busch

Department of Genetics, Federal University of Paraná (UFPR), Curitiba, Brazil

Verónica Calonga-Solís

Novogene (UK) Company Limited, Babraham Research Campus, Cambridge, United Kingdom

Caixia Ma

Medical Experimental Research Center (MERC), Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt

Mohamed Salama & Shaaban El-Mosallamy

Institute of Global Health and Human Ecology, The American University in Cairo, Cairo,

Egypt

Mohamed Salama

Genetics Division, Lübeck Institute of Experimental Dermatology, University of Lübeck, Lübeck, Germany

Misa Hirose & Saleh Ibrahim

Contributions

H.B, S.I. and M.S. conceived the study. I.W, A.K, M.M., H.B. and S.I. designed the study. I.W., A.K., M.M., M.O, A.F. and V. C.-S. performed data analysis. C.M. constructed the FALCON-based assembly. M.S. and S.E-M. compiled the Egyptian cohort and provided samples. M.H. performed mtDNA library preparation and sequencing. I.W., H.B. and S.I. wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Data availability

All summary data of the Egyptian genome reference are available at `www.egyptian-genome.org`, where also variant allele frequencies can be queried online. Raw sequencing data and variant data are available at EGA under study ID EGAS00001004303. De novo assemblies are available at NCBI under BioProject ID PRJNA613239.

Code availability

Computational tools used are specified in the Supplementary Methods. Workflows use Snakemake and Conda (especially Bioconda) for reproducible data analysis and are provided on request.

719 **Corresponding authors**

720 Correspondence to Hauke Busch or Saleh Ibrahim.

Genome statistics	EGYPT	EGYPT_wtdbg2	EGYPT_falcon	AK1	YORUBA
Genome fraction (%)	94.174	92.247	95.924	95.177	**95.391**
Duplication ratio	1.01	**0.999**	1.018	1.023	1.088
	20,908	20,613	**21,176**	21,047	21,077
# genomic features	(3,226 part)	(3,229 part)	**(1578 part)**	(1,396 part)	(1,721 part)
Largest alignment	**75,492,126**	**75,492,126**	56,458,009	58,219,133	65,512,502
Total aligned length	2,800,100,449	2,713,712,375	**2,865,356,241**	2,829,006,639	2,832,740,986
NGA50	**11,187,777**	**11,187,777**	8,226,500	13,028,687	19,529,238
LGA50	71	71	95	66	**43**
Misassemblies					
# misassemblies	**1,276**	**1,276**	3,499	1,952	1,756
Misassembled contigs length	**2,137,050,584**	2,137,050,584	2,851,404,290	2,657,569,650	3,053,643,982
Mismatches					
# mismatches per 100 kbp	139	138.72	143.64	**126.92**	141.56
# indels per 100 kbp	32.09	**31.74**	40.06	32.77	46.95
# N's per 100 kbp	**0**	**0**	209.01	1285.7	7180.2
Statistics without reference					
# contigs	3,235	3,106	**1,615**	2,832	1,647
Largest contig	88,566,048	88,566,048	84,324,762	113,921,103	**248,986,603**
Total length	2,836,714,529	2,750,324,638	2,916,268,178	2,904,207,228	**3,088,335,497**
Total length (>= 1000 bp)	2,837,367,164	2,750,799,236	2,916,433,762	2,904,207,228	**3,088,485,407**
Total length (>= 10000 bp)	2,828,723,737	2,742,501,225	2,914,302,309	2,904,207,228	**3,086,359,078**
Total length (>= 50000 bp)	2,803,817,652	2,718,165,929	2,895,137,452	2,855,011,855	**3,059,626,724**
K-mer-based statistics					
K-mer-based compl. (%)	86.01	85.15	**87.75**	87.68	85.82
# k-mer-based misjoins	1,654	1,649	1,786	**1,345**	1,453

Figure 1: Number of various genetic variant types identified in the Egyptian cohort. Left: The number of SNVs

and indels. Right: The number of SV calls: deletions, inversions, duplications and translocations. Additionally,

408 insertions have been called.

Figure 2: Population genetic characterization of the Egyptian population a) The four largest admixture

components in the Egyptian population for African, European and Western Asian populations. b) Box plots for

total length of runs of homozygosity for the Egyptians and several populations from relevant world regions (one

Qatari not shown). c) Principal component analysis with individuals from populations world-wide.

a)

b)

c)

d)

Figure 3: AF and proxy SNP comparisons for 3,698 GWAS tag SNPs called in a minimum of 100 Egyptians. a)

AF differences. b) Number of proxies. c) Illustration of the proxy SNP comparison. A European GWAS tag SNP

(center) and variants in Europeans (top) and Egyptians (bottom). Lines denote variants in high LD. The tag SNP

has 7 proxy variants in Europeans and 3 in Egyptians. Light blue/red variants are no proxy variants in

Europeans/Egyptians. Two proxy variants are shared. Thus 2 of 7 European (~29%) and 2 of 3 Egyptian (~67%)

variants are shared. Further 5 of 7 European proxies are European-only (~71%) and 1/3 Egyptian proxies are

Egyptian-only (~33%). d) European shared: Percentage of European proxy SNPs shared with Egyptian proxy

SNPs. European only: Percentage of European proxy SNPs not shared with Egyptian proxies. Egyptian shared /

Egyptian only respectively.

Chromosome
Detailed Cutout
Breast Cancer GWAS
Egyptian common SNPs
10x Genomics
Illumina Paired-End
PacBio Sequencing
RNA Sequencing
BRCA2 Gene Annotation
Annotated Repeats
AK1
YORUBA

EGYPT

Figure 4: Integrative view of Egyptian genome reference data for the gene BRCA2, which is associated with breast cancer. The rows denote from top to bottom: Genome location on chromosome 13 of the magnified region for BRCA2 (first and second row); GWAS data for breast cancer risk [58]; Variants that are common in the cohort of 110 Egyptians; Read coverage of genetic region based on 10x Genomics, Illumina paired-end and PacBio sequencing data; Coverage and reads of RNA sequencing data; BRCA2 gene annotation from Ensembl; Repeats annotated by REPEATMASKER*; SNVs and indels identified by comparison of assemblies AK1, YOURUBA and EGYPT with GRCh38. The colors denote base substitutions (green), deletions (blue) and insertions (red). The corresponding variant phasing for the EGYPT individual is displayed in Suppl. Fig. 48.*