An integrated personal and population-based Egyptian genome

reference

Inken Wohlers, Axel Künstner, Matthias Munz, Michael Olbrich, Anke Fähnrich, Caixia Ma,

Misa Hirose, Shaaban El-Mosallamy, Mohamed Salama, Hauke Busch* & Saleh Ibrahim*

* These authors contributed equally to this work

Abstract

The human genome is composed of chromosomal DNA sequences consisting of bases A, C,

G and T – the blueprint to implement the molecular functions that are the basis of every

individual's life. Deciphering the first human genome was a consortium effort that took more

than a decade and considerable cost. With the latest technological advances, determining an

individual's entire personal genome with manageable cost and effort has come within reach.

Although the benefits of the all-encompassing genetic information that entire genomes

provide are manifold, only a small number of *de novo* assembled human genomes have been

reported to date [1–3], and few have been complemented with population-based genetic variation

[4], which is particularly important for North Africans who are not represented in current

genome-wide data sets [5–7]. Here, we combine long- and short-read whole-genome next-

generation sequencing data with recent assembly approaches into the first *de novo* assembly

of the genome of an Egyptian individual. The resulting genome assembly demonstrates well-

balanced quality metrics and comes with high-quality variant phasing into maternal and

paternal haplotypes, which are linked to various gene expression changes in blood. To

construct an Egyptian genome reference, we further assayed genome-wide genetic variation

occurring in the Egyptian population within a representative cohort of more than 100

Egyptian individuals. We show that differences in allele frequencies and linkage

disequilibrium between Egyptians and Europeans may compromise the transferability of

European ancestry-based genetic disease risk and polygenic scores, substantiating the need for

multi-ethnic genetic studies and corresponding genome references. The Egyptian genome

reference represents a comprehensive population data set based on a high-quality personal

genome. It is a proof of concept to be considered by the many national and international

genome initiatives underway. More importantly, we anticipate that the Egyptian genome

reference will be a valuable resource for precision medicine targeting the Egyptian population

and beyond.

Main

With the advent of personal genomics, population-based genetics as part of an individual's

genome is indispensable for precision medicine. Currently, genomics-based precision

medicine compares the patients' genetic make-up to a reference genome [9], a genome model

inferred from individuals of mostly European descent, to detect risk mutations that are related

to disease. However, genetic and epidemiologic studies have long recognized the importance

of ancestral origin in conferring genetic risk for disease. Risk alleles and structural variants

(SVs) [10] can be missing from the reference genome or can have different population

frequencies, such that alternative pathways become disease related in patients of different

ancestral origin, which motivates the establishment of national or international multi-ethnic

genome projects [6,7,11]. At present, there are several population-based sequencing efforts that

aim to map specific variants in the 100,000 genome projects in Asia [12] or England [13].

Furthermore, large-scale sequencing efforts currently explore population, society and history-

specific genomic variations in individuals in Northern and Central Europe [14,15], North

America [7], Asia [16,17] and, recently, the first sub-Saharan Africans [18,19]. Nonetheless, there is

still little genetic data available for many regions of the world. In particular, North African

individuals are not adequately represented in current genetic data sets, such as the 1000

Genomes [5], TOPMED [7] or gnomAD [6] databases. Consequently, imminent health disparities

between different world populations have been noted repeatedly for a decade. [20–23]

In recent years, several high-quality *de novo* human genome assemblies [1–4] and, more

recently, pan-genomes [8] have extended human sequence information and improved the *de*

facto reference genome GRCh38 [9]. Nonetheless, it is still prohibitively expensive to obtain

all-embracing genetic information, such as high-quality *de novo* assembled personal genomes

for many individuals. Indeed, previous genetic studies assess only a subset of variants

occurring in the Egyptian population, e.g., single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) on

genotyping arrays [24,25], variants in exonic regions via exome sequencing [26] or variants

detectable by short-read sequencing [27,28].

In this study, we generated a phased *de novo* assembly of an Egyptian individual and

identified single nucleotide variants (SNVs) and SVs from an additional 109 Egyptian

individuals obtained from short-read sequencing. Those were integrated to generate an

Egyptian genome reference. We anticipate that an Egyptian population genome reference will

strengthen precision medicine efforts that eventually benefit nearly 100 million Egyptians,

e.g., by providing allele frequencies (AFs) and linkage disequilibrium (LD) between variants,

information that is necessary for both rare and common disease studies. Likewise, our

genome will be of universal value for research purposes, since it contains both European and

African variant features. Most genome-wide association studies (GWAS) are performed in

Europeans [29], but genetic disease risk may differ, especially for individuals of African

ancestry [30]. Consequently, an Egyptian genome reference will be well suited to support recent

efforts to include Africans in such genetic studies, for example, by serving as a benchmark

data set for SNP array construction and variant imputation or for fine-mapping of disease loci.

Our Egyptian genome is based on a high-quality human *de novo* assembly for one Egyptian

individual (see workflow in Suppl. Fig. 1). This assembly was generated from PacBio, 10x

Genomics and Illumina paired-end sequencing data at overall 270x genome coverage (Suppl.

Table 1). For this personal genome, we constructed two draft assemblies, one based on long-

read assembly by an established assembler, FALCON [31], and another based on the assembly by

a novel assembler, WTDBG2 [32], which has a much shorter run time with comparable accuracy

(cf. Suppl. Fig. 1). Both assemblies were polished using short reads and various polishing

tools. For the FALCON-based assembly, scaffolding was performed, whereas we found that

the WTDBG2-based assembly was of comparable accuracy without scaffolding (cf. dot plots in

Suppl. Figs. 3-4). The WTDBG2-based assembly was selected as the base because it performs

comparable or better according to various quality control (QC) measures (Suppl. Table 2).

Where larger gaps outside centromere regions occurred, we complemented this assembly with

sequence from the FALCON-based assembly (Suppl. Table 3) to obtain a final Egyptian meta-

assembly, denoted as EGYPT (for overall assembly strategy, see Suppl. Fig. 1). We found our

assembly to be comparable to the publicly available assemblies of a Korean [33] and a Yoruba

individual (GenBank assembly accession GCA_001524155.4, unpublished) with respect to

various QC measures [34] (Table 1). Suppl. Fig. 2 compares the assemblies' NA-values, and

Suppl. Figs. 3-7 show dot plots of alignment with reference GRCh38. We performed repeat

annotation and repeat masking for all assemblies (Suppl. Table 4).

The meta-assembly was complemented with high-quality phasing information (Suppl. Table

5). EGYPT SNVs and small insertions and deletions (indels) called using short-read

sequencing data were phased using high-coverage 10x linked-read sequencing data. This

resulted in 98.99% of variants being phased. Furthermore, nearly all (99.41%) of the genes

with lengths less than 100 kb and more than one heterozygous SNP were phased into a single

phase block.

Based on the personal Egyptian genome, we constructed an Egyptian population genome by

considering genome-wide SNV AFs in 109 additional Egyptians (Suppl. Table 6). This

approach enabled the characterization of the major allele (i.e., the allele with highest AF) in

the given Egyptian cohort. To accomplish this, we called variants using short-read data of 13

Egyptians sequenced at high coverage and 97 Egyptians sequenced at low coverage. Although

sequence coverage affects variant-based statistics (Suppl. Fig. 8), due to combined

genotyping, most variants could also be called reliably in low coverage samples (Suppl. Fig.

9). Altogether, we called a total of 19,758,992 SNVs and small indels (Suppl. Fig. 10) in all

110 Egyptian individuals (Fig. 1). The number of called variants per individual varied

between 2,901,883 to 3,934,367 and was correlated with sequencing depth (see Suppl. Figs.

8-9). This relationship was particularly pronounced for low coverage samples. The majority

of variants were intergenic (53.5%) or intronic (37.2%) (Suppl. Fig. 11). Only approximately

0.7% of the variants were located within coding exons, of which 54.4% were non-

synonymous and thus cause a change in protein sequence and possibly structure (Suppl. Fig.

12).

Using short-read sequencing data of 110 Egyptians, we called 121,141 SVs, most of which

were deletions but also included inversions, duplications, insertions and translocations of

various orders of magnitude (Fig. 1, Suppl. Fig. 13-14). Similar to SNVs, the number of SV

calls also varied between individuals (Suppl. Fig. 15) and is slightly affected by coverage

(Suppl. Fig. 16). After merging overlapping SV calls, we obtained an average of 2,773 SVs

per Egyptian individual (Suppl. Table 7, Suppl. Figs. 17-19).

To characterize the Egyptian population with respect to European and African populations

that have been genotyped within the 1000 Genomes Project [5] (Suppl. Table 8), we used SNVs

and short indels for a genotype-based principal component analysis. According to this

analysis, Egyptians are a genetically homogenous population compared to other populations,

sharing genetic components with both Europeans and sub-Saharan Africans (see Fig. 2 and

Suppl. Figs. 20-32). Thus far, there are no North African populations with high-quality

whole-genome sequencing-based genotype data available, and in the European and sub-

Saharan African populations reported by the 1000 Genomes Project, Egyptians are closest to

the European Tuscany population (see Fig. 2 and Suppl. Figs. 20-32), which has been

previously proposed through genetic studies of ancient Egyptian mummies [35].

The mixed European and African ancestry of Egyptians is further supported by mitochondrial

haplogroup assessment from the literature [27] and our own mtDNA sequencing data (overall

n=327). We found that Egyptians have haplogroups most frequently found in Europeans (e.g.,

H, V, T, J, etc.; more than 60%), and many Egyptians also have African (e.g., L with 24.8%)

or Asian/East Asian haplogroups (e.g., M with 6.7%). This indicates that Egyptian genomes

contain genetic components from various major human populations (Suppl. Fig. 33), as has

been shown recently for a few Egyptian individuals in a study that performed genome-wide

admixture analysis of populations from the Arabian Peninsula using SNP arrays [25].

In total, we identified 6,599,037 common Egyptian SNVs (minor allele frequency (MAF) >

5%, genotypes in a minimum of 100 individuals), of which 1,198 are population-specific; i.e.,

they are either rare (MAF < 1%) or not detected in any other population in the 1000 Genomes

[5] and gnomAD databases [6] as well as TOPMed [7] (Suppl. Table 9). These numbers are

comparable to population-specific variant numbers reported previously for 1000 Genomes

populations [36]. Four SNVs likely have a molecular impact (Suppl. Table 10), indicated by a CADD [37] deleteriousness score greater than 20. SNP rs143563851 (CADD 24.2) has recently been identified in 1% of individuals of a cohort of 211 Palestinians in a study that performed targeted sequencing of blood group antigen synthase GBGT1 [38]. SNP rs143614333 (missense variant in gene CR2, CADD 23.6) is in ClinVar [39], with three submitters reporting that the variant is of uncertain clinical significance. Additionally, we obtained 49 variants with no dbSNP [40] rsID (Suppl. Table 11). These numbers of population-specific SNPs, of which some likely have an immediate impact on clinical characteristics and diagnostics, indicate insufficient coverage of the genetic diversity of the world's population for precision medicine and thus the need for local genome references. To detect a putative genetic contribution of Egyptian population-specific SNPs towards molecular pathways, phenotypes or disease, we performed gene set enrichment analysis for all 461 protein-coding genes that were annotated to population-specific SNPs by Ensembl VEP [41]. Enrichr, a gene list enrichment tool incorporating 153 gene sets and pathway databases [42], reports that genes from obesity-related traits of the GWAS catalog 2019 collection are over-represented (adj. p-value: 1.02E-6; 49 of 804 genes), which might hint at population-specific metabolism regulation that is linked to body weight.

Variants that are not protein coding may have a regulatory effect that affects gene and eventually protein expression. Using blood expression data obtained from RNA sequencing for the EGYPT assembly individual in conjunction with 10x sequencing-based phased variant data, we identified genes whose expression differs between maternal and paternal haplotypes (see Suppl. Fig. 34 for the analysis overview and Suppl. Figs. 35-36 for the results). We report 1,180 such genes (Suppl. Table 12). Of these, variants contained in haplotypes of 683 genes (58%) have previously reported expression quantitative trait loci (eQTLs) in blood according to Qtlizer [43], for 380 genes supported by multiple studies. For 370 genes (31%), the

strongest associated blood eQTL SNV is haplotypically expressed, and for 131 genes, the best

eQTL has been previously reported by multiple studies. Concordance of haplotypic

expression with eQTLs indicates that a common variant may affect gene expression;

discordance hints towards a rare variant.

We investigated the impact of Egyptian ancestry on disease risk by integrating Egyptian

variant data with the GWAS catalog [44], a curated database of GWAS. According to the

GWAS catalog, most published GWAS are performed in Europeans [29], and only a single

study has been performed in Egyptians [45] (by one of our groups). Furthermore, only 2% of

individuals included in GWAS are of African ancestry [29]. AFs, LD and genetic architecture

can differ between populations, such that results from European GWAS cannot necessarily be

transferred [30]. This lack of transferability also compromises the prediction of an individual's

traits and disease risk using polygenic scores: such scores are estimated to be approximately

one-third as informative in African individuals compared to Europeans [46]. From the GWAS

catalog, we constructed a set of 4,008 different, replicated, high-quality tag SNPs (i.e., one

strongest associated SNP per locus) from European ancestry GWAS for 584 traits and

diseases. We compared the tag SNPs' AFs and proxy SNPs in the Egyptian cohort (n=110)

and Europeans from 1000 Genomes (n=503) (Suppl. Table 13). Egyptian AFs of tag SNPs are

comparable to European AFs, with a tendency to be lower (Fig. 3a). There are variants

common in Europeans (AF>5%) but rare in Egyptians (AF<5%) (Suppl. Fig. 37). A total of

261 tag SNPs are not present in the Egyptian cohort (~7%), clearly indicating a need to

perform GWAS in non-European populations to further elucidate disease risk conferred by

these loci. We investigated differences in LD structure using an approach that is used for fine-

mapping of GWAS data, which identifies proxy variants (illustrated in Fig. 3c). Proxy

variants are variants correlated with the tag GWAS SNP, i.e., in high LD (here, $R^2>0.8$). The

post-GWAS challenge is the identification of a causal variant from a set of variants in LD (tag

SNP and proxy variants). We found that the number of proxy variants was much lower in the

Egyptian cohort (Fig. 3b), likely due to shorter haplotype blocks known from African

populations. This indicates that LD differences between Egyptians and Europeans may

compromise GWAS transferability and European ancestry-based polygenic scores. However,

Egyptian proxy variants are usually included in the larger set of European proxy variants (Fig.

3d). An example is variant rs2075650 (a locus sometimes attributed to gene TOMM40),

which has been linked to Alzheimer's disease in seven GWASs (cf. Suppl. Fig. 38). This tag

SNP has seven proxy variants in Europeans but only two proxy variants in Egyptians. One

European proxy, rs72352238, has also been reported as a GWAS tag SNP, but it is not a

proxy of rs2075650 in Egyptians and may thus fail replication and transfer of GWAS results

from the European to the Egyptian population.

With our Egyptian genome reference, it will be possible to perform comprehensive integrated

genome and transcriptome comparisons for Egyptian individuals and shed light on personal as

well as population-wide common genetic variants. Fig. 4 visualizes the various types of data

of this resource in the integrative genomics viewer [47] (IGV). Here, we selected the DNA

repair-associated gene BRCA2, which, if mutated, is linked to breast cancer and other cancer

types [48]. IGV depicts the sample coverage based on sequencing data from PacBio, 10x

Genomics and Illumina (whole genome as well as RNA) for the personal EGYPT genome

together with common Egyptian SNPs. Variants previously assessed in a breast cancer

GWAS [48] are displayed as Manhattan plot; note the three significant GWAS SNPs between

positions 32,390 and 32,400 kb. The bottom compares the identified SNVs and indels from

the Korean and Yoruba *de novo* assembly with our *de novo* EGYPT assembly. Visual

inspection already yields significantly different variants. This integrative view sheds light on

both small and structural variations at the personal and population-based genome levels.

In conclusion, we constructed the first Egyptian – and North African – genome reference,

which is an essential step towards a comprehensive, genome-wide knowledge base of the

world's genetic variations. The wealth of information it provides can be immediately utilized

to study in-depth personal genomics and common Egyptian genetics and its impact on

molecular phenotypes and disease. This reference will pave the way towards a better

understanding of the Egyptian, African and global genomic landscape for precision medicine.

Methods

Sample acquisition

Samples were acquired from 10 Egyptian individuals. For nine individuals, high-coverage

Illumina short-read data were generated. For the assembly individual, high-coverage short-

read data were generated as well as high-coverage PacBio data and 10x data. Furthermore, we

used public Illumina short-read data from 100 Egyptian individuals from Pagani *et al.* [27]. See

Supplementary Tables 1 and 6 for an overview of the individuals and the corresponding raw

and result data generated in this study.

PacBio data generation

For PacBio library preparation, the SMRTbell DNA libraries were constructed following the

manufacturer's instructions (Pacific Bioscience, www.pacb.com). The SMRTbell DNA

libraries were sequenced on the PacBio Sequel and generated 298.2 GB of data.

Sequencing data from five PacBio libraries were generated at overall 99x genome coverage.

Illumina short-read data generation

For 350 bp library construction, the genomic DNA was sheared, and fragments with sizes of

approximately 350 bp were purified from agarose gels. The fragments were ligated to

adaptors and amplified using PCR. The generated libraries were then sequenced on the

Illumina HiSeq X Ten using PE150 and generated 312.8 GB of data.

For the assembly individual, sequencing data from five libraries was generated at overall 90x

genome coverage. For nine additional individuals, one library each was generated, amounting

to an overall 305x coverage of sequencing data. For the 100 individuals of Pagani *et al.* [27],

three were sequenced at high coverage (30x) and 97 at low coverage (8x). The average

coverage over SNV positions for all 110 samples is provided in Supplementary Table 6.

RNA sequencing data generation

For RNA sequencing, ribosomal RNA was removed from total RNA, double-stranded cDNA

was synthesized, and then adaptors were ligated. The second strand of cDNA was then

degraded to generate a directional library. The generated libraries with insert sizes of 250-300

bp were selected and amplified and then sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq using PE150.

Overall, 64,875,631 150 bp paired-end sequencing reads were generated.

10x sequencing data generation

For 10x genomic sequencing, the Chromium Controller was used for DNA indexing and

barcoding according to the manufacturer's instructions (10x Genomics,

www.10xgenomics.com). The generated fragments were sheared, and then adaptors were

ligated. The generated libraries were sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq X Ten using PE150

and generated 272.7 GB of data. Sequencing data from four 10x libraries was generated at

overall 80x genome coverage.

Construction of draft *de novo* assemblies and meta-assembly

We used `WTDBG2` [32] for human *de novo* assembly followed by its accompanying polishing

tool `WTPOA-CNS` with PacBio reads and in a subsequent polishing run with Illumina short

reads. This assembly was further polished using `PILON` [49] with short-read data (cf. Suppl.

Methods: *WTDBG2-based assembly*).

An alternative assembly was generated by using `FALCON` [50], `QUIVER` [51]`, SSPACE-`

`LONGREAD` [52], `PBJELLY` [53], `FRAGSCAFF` [54] and `PILON` [49] (cf. Suppl. Methods:

FALCON-based assembly).

Proceeding from the `WTDBG2`-based assembly, we constructed a meta-assembly. Regions

larger than 800 kb that were not covered by this base assembly and were not located within

centromere regions were extracted from the alternative `FALCON`-based assembly (Suppl.

Table 3). See Suppl. Fig. 1 for an overview of our assembly strategy, including meta-

assembly construction (cf. Suppl. Methods: *Meta-assembly construction*).

Assembly quality and characteristics were assessed with `QUAST-LG` [55] (cf. Suppl. Methods:

Assembly comparison and QC). The extraction of coordinates for meta-assembly construction

was performed using `QUAST-LG` output.

Repeatmasking

Repeatmasking was performed by using `REPEATMASKER` [56] with RepBase version 3.0

(Repeatmasker Edition 20181026) and Dfam_consensus (http://www.dfam-consensus.org)

(cf. Suppl. Methods: *Repeat annotation*).

Phasing

Phasing was performed for the assembly individual's SNVs and short indels obtained from

combined genotyping with the other Egyptian individuals, i.e., based on short-read data.

These variants were phased using 10x data and the 10x Genomics `LONGRANGER WGS`

pipeline with four 10x libraries provided for one combined phasing. See Supplementary

Methods *Variant phasing* for details.

SNVs and small indels

Calling of SNVs and small indels was performed with `GATK` 3.8 [57] using the parameters of the best practice workflow. Reads in each read group were trimmed using `Trimmomatic` [58] and subsequently mapped against reference genome hg38 using `BWA-MEM` [59] version 0.7.17. Then, the alignments for all read groups were merged sample-wise and marked for duplicates. After the base recalibration, we performed variant calling using `HaplotypeCaller` to obtain GVCF files. These files were input into GenotypeGVCFs to perform joint genotyping. Finally, the variants in the outputted VCF file were recalibrated, and only those variants that were flagged as "PASS" were kept for further analyses. We used `FastQC` [60], `Picard Tools` [61] and `verifyBamId` [62] for QC (cf. Suppl. Methods: *Small variant QC*).

Variant annotation

Variant annotation was performed using `ANNOVAR` [63] and `VEP` [41] (cf. Suppl. Methods: *Small variant annotation*)

Structural variants

SVs were called using `DELLY2` [64] with default parameters as described on the `DELLY2` website for germline SV calling (https://github.com/dellytools/delly) (cf. Suppl. Methods: *Structural variant QC*). Overlapping SV calls in the same individual were collapsed by the use of custom scripts. See Supplementary Methods *Collapsing structural variants* for details.

Genotype-based principal components

1000 Genomes phase 3 variant data were obtained for all European and African individuals and merged with the Egyptian variant data. Variants were excluded if their MAF was less than 5% among individuals in the 1000 Genomes database, they violated Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, or they were multi-allelic or within regions of high LD and/or of known

inversions. LD pruning was performed, and the remaining SNPs passed on to the `SMARTPCA`

program [65] of the `EIGENSOFT` package for PC computation. See Supplementary Methods

Genotype-based principal components for details.

Mitochondrial haplogroups

Haplogroup assignment was performed for 227 individuals using `HAPLOGREP 2` [66].

Furthermore, mitochondrial haplogroups were obtained from Pagani *et al.* [27] for 100

individuals. See Supplementary Methods *Mitochondrial haplogroups* for details.

Population-specific variants

Our set of common Egyptian SNVs comprises variants with genotypes in a minimum of 100

individuals whose alternative allele has a frequency of more than 5%. Those common

Egyptian SNVs that are otherwise rare, i.e., have an AF of less than 1% in the 1000 Genomes,

and gnomAD populations as well as in TOPMed were considered Egyptian-specific. AFs

were annotated using the Ensembl API. Furthermore, a list of Egyptian common variants

without dbSNP rsID was compiled, see Supplementary Methods *Small variant annotation* for

details.

Haplotypic expression analysis

RNA sequencing reads were mapped and quantified using `STAR` (Version 2.6.1.c) [67].

Haplotypic expression analysis was performed by using `PHASER` and `PHASER GENE AE`

(version 1.1.1) [68] with Ensembl version 95 annotation on the 10x-phased haplotypes using

default parameters. See Supplementary Methods *Haplotypic expression* for details.

GWAS catalog data integration

GWAS catalog associations for GWAS of European ancestry were split into trait-specific data sets using Experimental Factor Ontology (EFO) terms. For every trait, a locus was defined as an associated variant +/- 1 MB, and only loci that were replicated were retained. For proxy computation, we used our Egyptian cohort (n=110) and the European individuals of 1000 Genomes (n=503). For details, see Supplementary Methods *Data integration with the GWAS catalog.*

Integrative genomics view

We implemented a workflow to extract all Egyptian genome reference data for view in the IGV [47]. This includes all sequencing data mapped to GRCh38 (cf. Suppl. Methods *Sequencing read mapping to GRCh38*) as well as all assembly differences (cf. Suppl. Methods *Alignment to GRCh38* and *Assembly-based variant identification*) and all Egyptian variant data. See Supplementary Methods *Gene-centric integrative data views* for details.

Ethics statement

This study was approved by the Mansoura Faculty of Medicine Institutional Review Board (MFM-IRB) Approval Number RP/15.06.62. All subjects gave written informed consent in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. This study and its results are in accordance with the Jena Declaration (https://www.uni-jena.de/unijenamedia/Universit%C3%A4t/Abteilung+Hochschulkommunikation/Presse/Jenaer+Erkl%C3%A4rung/Jenaer_Erklaerung_EN.pdf).

References

1. Cao, H. *et al.* De novo assembly of a haplotype-resolved human genome. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **33**, 617–622 (2015).

2. Seo, J.-S. *et al. De novo* assembly and phasing of a Korean human genome. *Nature* **538**,

243–247 (2016).

3. Shi, L. *et al.* Long-read sequencing and de novo assembly of a Chinese genome. *Nat*

Commun **7**, 12065 (2016).

4. Cho, Y. S. *et al.* An ethnically relevant consensus Korean reference genome is a step

towards personal reference genomes. *Nat Commun* **7**, 13637 (2016).

5. The 1000 Genomes Project Consortium. A global reference for human genetic variation.

Nature **526**, 68–74 (2015).

6. Karczewski, K. J. *et al.* Variation across 141,456 human exomes and genomes reveals the

spectrum of loss-of-function intolerance across human protein-coding genes. *bioRxiv*

531210 (2019) doi:10.1101/531210.

7. Taliun, D. *et al.* Sequencing of 53,831 diverse genomes from the NHLBI TOPMed

Program. *bioRxiv* 563866 (2019) doi:10.1101/563866.

8. Schneider, V. A. *et al.* Evaluation of GRCh38 and de novo haploid genome assemblies

demonstrates the enduring quality of the reference assembly. *Genome Res.* **27**, 849–864

(2017).

9. Levy-Sakin, M. *et al.* Genome maps across 26 human populations reveal population-

specific patterns of structural variation. *Nature Communications* **10**, 1025 (2019).

10. Stark, Z. *et al.* Integrating Genomics into Healthcare: A Global Responsibility. *Am. J.*

Hum. Genet. **104**, 13–20 (2019).

11. GenomeAsia 100k. *GenomeAsia 100k* http://www.genomeasia100k.com/.

12. Turnbull, C. *et al.* The 100 000 Genomes Project: bringing whole genome sequencing to

the NHS. *BMJ* **361**, k1687 (2018).

13. Maretty, L. *et al.* Sequencing and de novo assembly of 150 genomes from Denmark as a

population reference. *Nature* **548**, 87–91 (2017).

14. Leslie, S. *et al.* The fine-scale genetic structure of the British population. *Nature* **519**,
309–314 (2015).
15. Chiang, C. W. K., Mangul, S., Robles, C. & Sankararaman, S. A Comprehensive Map of
Genetic Variation in the World's Largest Ethnic Group-Han Chinese. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **35**,
2736–2750 (2018).
16. Bai, H. *et al.* Whole-genome sequencing of 175 Mongolians uncovers population-specific
genetic architecture and gene flow throughout North and East Asia. *Nat. Genet.* (2018)
doi:10.1038/s41588-018-0250-5.
17. Sherman, R. M. *et al.* Assembly of a pan-genome from deep sequencing of 910 humans of
African descent. *Nat. Genet.* (2018) doi:10.1038/s41588-018-0273-y.
18. Choudhury, A. *et al.* Whole-genome sequencing for an enhanced understanding of genetic
variation among South Africans. *Nat Commun* **8**, 2062 (2017).
19. Bustamante, C. D., Burchard, E. G. & De la Vega, F. M. Genomics for the world. *Nature*
475, 163–165 (2011).
20. Popejoy, A. B. & Fullerton, S. M. Genomics is failing on diversity. *Nature* **538**, 161–164
(2016).
21. Wojcik, G. L. *et al.* Genetic analyses of diverse populations improves discovery for
complex traits. *Nature* **570**, 514–518 (2019).
22. Martin, A. R. *et al.* Clinical use of current polygenic risk scores may exacerbate health
disparities. *Nat. Genet.* **51**, 584–591 (2019).
23. Sherman, R. M. *et al.* Assembly of a pan-genome from deep sequencing of 910 humans of
African descent. *Nature Genetics* **51**, 30 (2019).
24. Henn, B. M. *et al.* Genomic ancestry of North Africans supports back-to-Africa
migrations. *PLoS Genet.* **8**, e1002397 (2012).

25. Fernandes, V. *et al.* Genome-Wide Characterization of Arabian Peninsula Populations:

Shedding Light on the History of a Fundamental Bridge between Continents. *Mol. Biol.*

Evol. **36**, 575–586 (2019).

26. Scott, E. M. *et al.* Characterization of Greater Middle Eastern genetic variation for

enhanced disease gene discovery. *Nat. Genet.* **48**, 1071–1076 (2016).

27. Pagani, L. *et al.* Tracing the Route of Modern Humans out of Africa by Using 225 Human

Genome Sequences from Ethiopians and Egyptians. *Am J Hum Genet* **96**, 986–991

(2015).

28. ElHefnawi, M. *et al.* Whole genome sequencing and bioinformatics analysis of two

Egyptian genomes. *Gene* **668**, 129–134 (2018).

29. Sirugo, G., Williams, S. M. & Tishkoff, S. A. The Missing Diversity in Human Genetic

Studies. *Cell* **177**, 1080 (2019).

30. Kim, M. S., Patel, K. P., Teng, A. K., Berens, A. J. & Lachance, J. Genetic disease risks

can be misestimated across global populations. *Genome Biol.* **19**, 179 (2018).

31. Chin, C.-S. *et al.* Phased diploid genome assembly with single-molecule real-time

sequencing. *Nature Methods* **13**, 1050–1054 (2016).

32. Ruan, J. & Li, H. Fast and accurate long-read assembly with wtdbg2. *bioRxiv* 530972

(2019) doi:10.1101/530972.

33. Seo, J.-S. *et al.* De novo assembly and phasing of a Korean human genome. *Nature* **538**,

243–247 (2016).

34. Mikheenko, A., Prjibelski, A., Saveliev, V., Antipov, D. & Gurevich, A. Versatile

genome assembly evaluation with QUAST-LG. *Bioinformatics* **34**, i142–i150 (2018).

35. Schuenemann, V. J. *et al.* Ancient Egyptian mummy genomes suggest an increase of Sub-

Saharan African ancestry in post-Roman periods. *Nature Communications* **8**,

ncomms15694 (2017).

36. Choudhury, A. *et al.* Population-specific common SNPs reflect demographic histories and

highlight regions of genomic plasticity with functional relevance. *BMC Genomics* **15**, 437

(2014).

37. Rentzsch, P., Witten, D., Cooper, G. M., Shendure, J. & Kircher, M. CADD: predicting

the deleteriousness of variants throughout the human genome. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **47**,

D886–D894 (2019).

38. Abusibaa, W. A. *et al.* Expression of the GBGT1 Gene and the Forssman Antigen in Red

Blood Cells in a Palestinian Population. *Transfusion Medicine and Hemotherapy* (2019)

doi:10.1159/000497288.

39. Landrum, M. J. *et al.* ClinVar: improving access to variant interpretations and supporting

evidence. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **46**, D1062–D1067 (2018).

40. Sherry, S. T. *et al.* dbSNP: the NCBI database of genetic variation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **29**,

308–311 (2001).

41. McLaren, W. *et al.* The Ensembl Variant Effect Predictor. *Genome Biology* **17**, 122

(2016).

42. Kuleshov, M. V. *et al.* Enrichr: a comprehensive gene set enrichment analysis web server

2016 update. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **44**, W90-97 (2016).

43. Munz, M. *et al.* Qtlizer: comprehensive QTL annotation of GWAS results. *bioRxiv*

495903 (2019) doi:10.1101/495903.

44. Buniello, A. *et al.* The NHGRI-EBI GWAS Catalog of published genome-wide

association studies, targeted arrays and summary statistics 2019. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **47**,

D1005–D1012 (2019).

45. Bejaoui, Y. *et al.* Genome-wide association study of psoriasis in an Egyptian population.

Exp. Dermatol. **28**, 623–627 (2019).

46. Duncan, L. *et al.* Analysis of polygenic risk score usage and performance in diverse

human populations. *Nat Commun* **10**, 3328 (2019).

47. Robinson, J. T. *et al.* Integrative genomics viewer. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **29**, 24–26 (2011).

48. Michailidou, K. *et al.* Association analysis identifies 65 new breast cancer risk loci.

Nature **551**, 92–94 (2017).

49. Walker, B. J. *et al.* Pilon: an integrated tool for comprehensive microbial variant detection

and genome assembly improvement. *PLoS ONE* **9**, e112963 (2014).

50. Chin, C.-S. *et al.* Phased diploid genome assembly with single-molecule real-time

sequencing. *Nat. Methods* **13**, 1050–1054 (2016).

51. Chin, C.-S. *et al.* Nonhybrid, finished microbial genome assemblies from long-read

SMRT sequencing data. *Nat. Methods* **10**, 563–569 (2013).

52. Boetzer, M. & Pirovano, W. SSPACE-LongRead: scaffolding bacterial draft genomes

using long read sequence information. *BMC Bioinformatics* **15**, (2014).

53. English, A. C. *et al.* Mind the Gap: Upgrading Genomes with Pacific Biosciences RS

Long-Read Sequencing Technology. *PLoS ONE* **7**, e47768 (2012).

54. Adey, A. *et al.* In vitro, long-range sequence information for de novo genome assembly

via transposase contiguity. *Genome Research* **24**, 2041–2049 (2014).

55. Mikheenko, A., Prjibelski, A., Saveliev, V., Antipov, D. & Gurevich, A. Versatile

genome assembly evaluation with QUAST-LG. *Bioinformatics* **34**, i142–i150 (2018).

56. SMIT, A. F. A. Repeat-Masker Open-3.0. *http://www.repeatmasker.org* (2004).

57. McKenna, A. *et al.* The Genome Analysis Toolkit: A MapReduce framework for

analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome Research* **20**, 1297–1303

(2010).

58. Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina

sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2114–2120 (2014).

59. Li, H. & Durbin, R. Fast and accurate long-read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler

transform. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 589–595 (2010).

60. Andrews, S. FASTQC - A quality control tool for high throughput sequence data.

http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/.

61. Picard Toolkit. http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/.

62. Jun, G. *et al.* Detecting and Estimating Contamination of Human DNA Samples in

Sequencing and Array-Based Genotype Data. *The American Journal of Human Genetics*

91, 839–848 (2012).

63. Wang, K., Li, M. & Hakonarson, H. ANNOVAR: functional annotation of genetic

variants from high-throughput sequencing data. *Nucleic Acids Res* **38**, e164–e164 (2010).

64. Rausch, T. *et al.* DELLY: structural variant discovery by integrated paired-end and split-

read analysis. *Bioinformatics* **28**, i333–i339 (2012).

65. Patterson, N., Price, A. L. & Reich, D. Population structure and eigenanalysis. *PLoS*

Genet. **2**, e190 (2006).

66. Kloss-Brandstätter, A. *et al.* HaploGrep: a fast and reliable algorithm for automatic

classification of mitochondrial DNA haplogroups. *Hum. Mutat.* **32**, 25–32 (2011).

67. Dobin, A. *et al.* STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* bts635 (2012)

doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635.

68. Castel, S. E., Mohammadi, P., Chung, W. K., Shen, Y. & Lappalainen, T. Rare variant

phasing and haplotypic expression from RNA sequencing with phASER. *Nat Commun* **7**,

12817 (2016).

Supplementary information

Supplementary Tables 1-13: An_Egyptian_genome_reference_supplementary_tables.xlsx

Supplementary Methods and Supplementary Figures 1-38:

An_Egyptian_genome_reference_supplement.pdf

Acknowledgements

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge support on coordination of the project and assembly work w.r.t the

`FALCON`-based assembly through Ms. Lu Wang from the Novogene (UK) Company Limited.

HB and IW acknowledge funding by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German

Research Foundation) under Germany`s Excellence Strategy – EXC 22167-390884018. All

authors acknowledge computational support from the OMICS compute cluster at the

University of Lübeck.

Author information

Medical Systems Biology Division, Lübeck Institute of Experimental Dermatology and

Institute for Cardiogenetics, University of Lübeck, Lübeck, Germany

Inken Wohlers, Axel Künstner, Matthias Munz, Michael Olbrich, Anke Fähnrich & Hauke

Busch

Novogene (UK) Company Limited, Babraham Research Campus, Cambridge, United

Kingdom

Caixia Ma

Medical Experimental Research Center (MERC), Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt

Mohamed Salama & Shaaban El-Mosallamy

Institute of Global Health and Human Ecology, The American University in Cairo, Cairo,

Egypt

Mohamed Salama

Genetics Division, Lübeck Institute of Experimental Dermatology, University of Lübeck,

Lübeck, Germany

Misa Hirose & Saleh Ibrahim

571 Contributions

572 H.B, S.I. and M.S. conceived the study. I.W, A.K, M.M., H.B. and S.I. designed the study.

573 I.W., A.K., M.M., M.O and A.F. performed data analysis. C.M. constructed the `FALCON`-

574 based assembly. M.S. and S.E-M. compiled the Egyptian cohort and provided samples. M.H.

575 performed mtDNA library preparation and sequencing. I.W., H.B. and S.I. wrote the

576 manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

578 Competing interests

579 The authors declare no competing interests.

581 Data availability

582 All summary data of the Egyptian genome reference are available at `www.egyptian-`

583 `genome.org`. The Egyptian genome reference will be publicly available upon journal

584 publication.

586 Code availability

Computational tools and parameters used are specified in the Supplementary Methods.

Workflows have been implemented to permit reproducible data analyses by using Snakemake

as workflow management system, Git for version control of workflow code and Conda

(especially Bioconda) for managing software environments.

Corresponding authors

Correspondence to Hauke Busch or Saleh Ibrahim.

Table 1: Default assembly quality measures according to `QUAST-LG`*. The extended* `QUAST-LG` *report is*

provided in Suppl. Table 2. Yoruba is a chromosome-level assembly. Best quality for every measure is denoted in

bold.

Genome statistics	EGYPT	EGYPT_wtdbg2	EGYPT_falcon	AK1	YORUBA
Genome fraction (%)	94.174	92.247	95.924	95.177	**95.391**
Duplication ratio	1.01	**0.999**	1.018	1.023	1.088
	20,908	20,613	**21,176**	21,047	21,077
# genomic features	(3,226 part)	(3,229 part)	**(1578 part)**	(1,396 part)	(1,721 part)
Largest alignment	**75,492,126**	**75,492,126**	56,458,009	58,219,133	65,512,502
Total aligned length	2,800,100,449	2,713,712,375	**2,865,356,241**	2,829,006,639	2,832,740,986
NGA50	**11,187,777**	**11,187,777**	8,226,500	13,028,687	19,529,238
LGA50	71	71	95	66	**43**
Misassemblies					
# misassemblies	**1,276**	**1,276**	3,499	1,952	1,756
Misassembled contigs length	**2,137,050,584**	2,137,050,584	2,851,404,290	2,657,569,650	3,053,643,982
Mismatches					
# mismatches per 100 kbp	139	138.72	143.64	**126.92**	141.56
# indels per 100 kbp	32.09	**31.74**	40.06	32.77	46.95
# N's per 100 kbp	**0**	**0**	209.01	1285.7	7180.2
Statistics without reference					
# contigs	3,235	3,106	**1,615**	2,832	1,647
Largest contig	88,566,048	88,566,048	84,324,762	113,921,103	**248,986,603**
Total length	2,836,714,529	2,750,324,638	2,916,268,178	2,904,207,228	**3,088,335,497**
Total length (>= 1000 bp)	2,837,367,164	2,750,799,236	2,916,433,762	2,904,207,228	**3,088,485,407**
Total length (>= 10000 bp)	2,828,723,737	2,742,501,225	2,914,302,309	2,904,207,228	**3,086,359,078**
Total length (>= 50000 bp)	2,803,817,652	2,718,165,929	2,895,137,452	2,855,011,855	**3,059,626,724**
K-mer-based statistics					
K-mer-based compl. (%)	86.01	85.15	**87.75**	87.68	85.82
# k-mer-based misjoins	1,654	1,649	1,786	**1,345**	1,453

Figure 1: Number of various genetic variant types identified in the Egyptian cohort. Left: The number of SNVs

and indels. Right: The number of SV calls: deletions, inversions, duplications and translocations. Additionally,

408 insertions have been called.

Figure 2: PCA plot of different populations from the 1000 Genomes Project and 110 Egyptian genomes from

Pagani et al. as well as from our own study.

Figure 3: AF and proxy SNP comparisons for 3,698 GWAS tag SNPs called in a minimum of 100 Egyptians. a)

AF differences. b) Number of proxies. c) Illustration of the proxy SNP comparison. A European GWAS tag SNP

(center) and variants in Europeans (top) and Egyptians (bottom). Lines denote variants in high LD. The tag SNP

has 7 proxy variants in Europeans and 3 in Egyptians. Light blue/red variants are no proxy variants in

Europeans/Egyptians. Two proxy variants are shared. Thus 2 of 7 European (~29%) and 2 of 3 Egyptian (~67%)

variants are shared. Further 5 of 7 European proxies are European-only (~71%) and 1/3 Egyptian proxies are

Egyptian-only (~33%). d) European shared: Percentage of European proxy SNPs shared with Egyptian proxy

SNPs. European only: Percentage of European proxy SNPs not shared with Egyptian proxies. Egyptian shared /

Egyptian only respectively.

Figure 4: Integrative view of Egyptian genome reference data for the gene BRCA2, which is associated with breast cancer. The rows denote from top to bottom: Genome location

on chromosome 13 of the magnified region for BRCA2 (first and second row); GWAS data for breast cancer risk [48]; Variants that are common in the cohort of 110 Egyptians;

Read coverage of genetic region based on 10x Genomics, Illumina paired-end and PacBio sequencing data; Coverage and reads of RNA sequencing data; BRCA2 gene

annotation from Ensembl; Repeats annotated by REPEATMASKER; *SNVs and indels identified by comparison of assemblies AK1, YOURUBA and EGYPT with GRCh38. The*

colors denote base substitutions (green), deletions (blue) and insertions (red).