TSC2-deficiency potentiates a catabolic signaling switch that differentiates neural and neural crest lineage development and progressive disease manifestations

Sean P. Delaney*[1,2,3], Lisa M. Julian*[1,3], Adam Pietrobon[1,2,3], Julien Yockell-Lelièvre[1], Carole Doré[1], Ting T. Wang[4], Valerie C. Doyon[1,2], Angela Raymond[1], David A. Patten[3,5], Mary-Ellen Harper[3,5], Hongyu Sun[4], William L. Stanford[1,2,3,5†]

[1]Ottawa Hospital Research Institute, Regenerative Medicine Program, Ottawa, ON, Canada; [2]Department of Cellular & Molecular Medicine, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada; [3]Ottawa Institute of Systems Biology, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; [4]Department of Neuroscience, Carleton University, Ottawa, ON, Canada; [5]Department of Biochemistry, Microbiology & Immunology, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada.

*equal contribution, †corresponding author

Correspondence:
William L. Stanford
Ottawa Hospital, 501 Smyth Rd, Box 511
Ottawa, ON K1H 8L6 CANADA
613-737-8899   ext. 75495
wstanford@ohri.ca

SUMMARY:

TSC2 inactivating mutations elicit mTORC1 hyperactivation and underlie neurological dysfunction and the development of neural and mesenchymal tumors in the monogenic disease tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC). We present a multi-lineage model of TSC2-deficiency employing CRISPR-Cas9 engineering in human pluripotent stem cells (hPSCs) and differentiation into neural and neural crest lineages, cell types predicted to drive TSC manifestations. Temporal RNA-sequencing reveals a massive proteostatic stress response underlying early neuroepithelial induction of TSC2-deficient cells, which is resolved upon neural crest cell (NCC) specification but persists in neural precursor cells (NPCs). This culminates in long-term endosomal and metabolic reprogramming as cells age, and sensitivity of $TSC2^{-/-}$ NPCs, but not NCCs, to death via proteasome inhibition independent of mTORC1 activity. Thus, TSC2-deficiency induces lineage-specific stress adaptations which confer differential sensitivity to a commonly targeted pathway. These results exemplify the complexity of elucidating underlying biological mechanisms and therapeutic approaches for multisystem diseases, illustrating the power of utilizing hPSC disease models with tissue-specific relevance.

KEYWORDS: Tuberous sclerosis, lysosome, autophagy, proteasome, stem cell, metabolism, mTOR, neural crest, neural precursors, lymphangioleiomyomatosis, progressive disease

Highlights:

- TSC2-deficient hPSC-derived neural crest cells (NCCs) and neural precursor cells (NPCs) model critical aspects of mesenchymal and neurological manifestations in Tuberous Sclerosis Complex (TSC)

- RNA-seq analyses over a developmental time course reveal activation of a proteostatic stress response upon early neural induction of $TSC2^{-/-}$ cells, which is selectively maintained in NPCs while resolved in NCCs

- Differential stress response adaptation by $TSC2^{-/-}$ NPCs and NCCs leads to cell type-specific metabolic profiles, lysosome-autophagy signaling, and activation of mTORC1-independent pathways in aging cultures

- Repurposed proteasome inhibitors, but not mTORC1 inhibitors, are selectively toxic to $TSC2^{-/-}$ NPCs but not NCCs

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

The figure is a scientific graphical abstract. Text labels within the figure include:

TSC2^{-/-} hPSCs

Neuralization

Legend box:
- Endoplasmic reticulum
- Native protein
- Mis-folded protein
- Lysosome
- Autophagosome
- Mitochondria
- Phosphorylation

Adaptive Response: ↑ ↑ ↓ (P)S6

NCCs — Model Mesenchymal TSC

NPCs — Model Neurological TSC

Full resolution

Cumulative Effects

Δ metabolic state

Proteasome Inhibition

WT | TSC2^{-/-} | WT | TSC2^{-/-}

INTRODUCTION

Inactivating mutations in *TSC1* or, more commonly, *TSC2* underlie the neoplastic disorders tuberous

sclerosis complex (TSC) and lymphangioleiomyomatosis (LAM) (Dabora et al., 2001). TSC is a

multisystem disease whereby typically loss of heterozygosity for *TSC1* or *TSC2* drives development of

neurocognitive deficits and low-grade neural and mesenchymal-like tumors in the brain, kidneys,

heart, eyes, lungs, and skin (Delaney et al., 2014). Affecting 30% of female TSC patients, and also

occurring spontaneously through somatically acquired mutations, LAM takes its form as a progressive

pulmonary disease in which cystic growths of aberrant smooth muscle-like cells expand throughout

the lung interstitium. The age of onset of distinct TSC manifestations spans a wide range; for instance,

although they persist throughout life cortical tubers and sub-ependymal astrocytomas that develop in

the brain arise during embryonic development and can be observed prenatally, while LAM occurs only

in post-pubescent females and has a median age of diagnosis of 35 (Henske and McCormack, 2012;

Moss et al., 2001). Molecular and functional features are also unique, with neurological TSC tumors

expressing markers of immature neuronal differentiation, enhanced gliogenesis and neuronal

hyperactivity (Bateup et al., 2013; Goto et al., 2011; Talos et al., 2012), while mesenchymal TSC cells

display aberrant smooth muscle and adipocyte differentiation (Dixon et al., 2011; Krymskaya, 2008),

increased migratory capacity (Crooks et al., 2004; Yu et al., 2001), and expression of female hormone

receptors and melanocytic antigens in some populations (Gao et al., 2014; Hoon et al., 1994) . Thus,

the biological consequences of TSC1 or TSC2 deficiency can vary across all stages of development and

are likely lineage-dependent. Uncovering the mechanisms driving potential lineage-specific biological

responses and adaptations to TSC2 deficiency would contribute greatly to our understanding of TSC

etiology and how the TSC-mTOR pathway impacts tissue development and homeostasis more broadly.

Acting together within an inhibitory heterocomplex, TSC1 and TSC2 normally function to negatively regulate mTORC1 signaling. As a dynamic signaling network regulating anabolic and catabolic processes, mTORC1 is a critical regulator of development and tissue homeostasis, whose dysregulation is associated with multiple diseases (Saxton and Sabatini, 2017). In TSC, the genetic loss of *TSC1* or *TSC2* leads to constitutive mTORC1 activation and has significant effects on cell biology including uncontrolled growth and proliferation, reprogramming of energy metabolism, and altered organelle biogenesis and function (Delaney et al., 2014; Henske and McCormack, 2012). Given the tight connection between TSC1 and TSC2-deficiency and mTORC1 hyperactivation, and the functional impact of this signaling event in TSC, pharmacological mTORC1 inhibition with rapamycin analogues has emerged as a leading clinical strategy to treat many TSC manifestations. Although providing broad clinical benefit, this approach is not curative and produces purely cytostatic relief in responsive patients (Canpolat et al., 2018; Franz and Capal, 2017; McCormack et al., 2011); therefore, there is currently a strong focus within the field to identify novel therapeutic strategies to treat this disease. Currently, most available experimental TSC models include TSC1 and TSC2-deficient rodent strains, fibroblasts derived from these animals, and transformed patient tumor-derived cell lines (Darling et al., 2010; Goncharova et al., 2012; Kwiatkowski, 2010; Yu et al., 2004). Although informative, these tools do not represent the cell lineages that are implicated in driving tumor development in TSC patients or, importantly, are not of human origin. These caveats strongly limit the capacity of current TSC models to accurately model the multisystem features of this disease. Development of a human TSC model that reflects tumor-relevant cell lineages is crucial to truly understand the biology driving lineage-specific manifestations of TSC and to uncover appropriate therapeutic approaches. Murine models utilizing promoter driven *TSC1* and *TSC2* knockouts have largely established the cell of origin for neurological TSC tumors as neural stem and progenitor cells (NPCs) (Feliciano et al., 2011; Magri et

al., 2013; Zhou et al., 2011). Although recent human mesenchymal models of TSC do recapitulate multiple aspects of TSC tumors (Julian et al., 2017), the origin of the mesenchymal features of TSC is less clear. The mesenchymal stem cell-like qualities of neural crest cells (NCCs) provides the appropriate opportunity to model multiple aspects of mesenchymal TSC tumors in a progenitor cell lineage. Supportive of a NCC model, mesenchymal TSC tumors are broadly composed of mixed cell types that reflect NCC progeny, including adipocytes, smooth muscle cells, and melanocytes, and cutaneous TSC tumors are found in proximity to sites populated by NCCs during development (Simoes-Costa and Bronner, 2013) . Furthermore, NCCs emerge simultaneously with NPCs, the known origin of TSC brain tumors, at the interface of the neural plate and non-neural ectoderm during neurulation (Bronner, 2012).

Here we present a human pluripotent stem cell (hPSC)-based model of the multi-lineage manifestations of TSC. We employed a CRISPR/Cas9 genomic engineering approach to introduce inactivating mutations in the *TSC2* locus in four well-characterized hPSC lines, allowing us to establish a robust modeling system permitting analysis of phenotypes driven by TSC2-deficiency among multiple genetic backgrounds. Given the sex-specificity of LAM, two male and two female hPSC lines were included: WA01 (H1, male), WA07 (H7, female), and WA09 (H9, female) embryonic stem cells, as well as 168 1d2 induced hPSCs (168; male, healthy control derived, characterized in (Chen et al., 2017)). We directed this resource of isogenic stem cells through two parallel neural lineage induction protocols to favor the generation of either NPCs or NCCs. We show that $TSC2^{-/-}$ NPCs and neuronal and glial derivatives, as well as $TSC2^{-/-}$ NCCs, accurately model critical features of neurological and mesenchymal TSC tumors, respectively. Additionally, we provide a comprehensive resource of RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq) datasets capturing the transcriptional landscape over a developmental time course of neural progenitor and neural crest induction of all four paired wild type (*WT*) and $TSC2^{-/-}$

hPSC lines. Our analysis revealed wide-spread, developmental stage specific, transcriptional alterations in differentiating $TSC2^{-/-}$ cells, and uncovered a large-scale cellular response to an unfolded protein endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress initiated upon neural lineage induction. We demonstrate that this is selectively resolved in $TSC2^{-/-}$ NCCs but sustained in $TSC2^{-/-}$ NPCs as they are maintained and aged in culture, resulting in long-term alterations in endosomal signaling, proteostasis and metabolic profiles specific to TSC neural tumor cells. Finally, we show that $TSC2^{-/-}$ NPCs are selectively sensitized to proteasome inhibition with clinically relevant compounds irrespective of mTORC1 inhibition with rapamycin, suggesting this therapeutic approach holds promise as a stand-alone therapy or complimentary treatment to existing regimens for the neurological, but not mesenchymal, features of TSC. Collectively, these results exemplify the strength of our multisystem hPSC modeling approach to uncover unappreciated lineage-specific mechanisms and therapeutic vulnerabilities with high relevance to diverse TSC disease manifestations.

RESULTS

Generation of *a TSC2$^{-/-}$* hPSC library using CRISPR/Cas9

To reflect the genetic diversity of patients affected by TSC and permit robust validation of disease-relevant phenotypes, we utilized multiple, well-characterized hPSC lines within this study. As TSC affects both men and women, with LAM affecting exclusively females, two male (H1 & 168 hPSCs) and two female (H7 & H9 hPSCs) lines were selected (Figure 1A). These parental lines were modified at the AAVS1 locus with CRISPR/Cas9 to express mCherry and Zeocin resistance to aid in live cell assays and future *in vivo* studies (supplementary materials). Due to the higher prevalence and more severe disease phenotypes associated with mutations in *TSC2* compared to *TSC1* (Dabora et al., 2001), the *TSC2* locus was targeted for genetic modification via CRISPR/Cas9. There is a wide array of

documented pathogenic mutations in *TSC2* (over 2600 unique variants) with no mutational 'hotspot'

within coding regions or tendency towards a particular mutation type (e.g. small deletion, nonsense

mutation) (Au et al., 2007; Fokkema et al., 2011; Martin et al., 2017). To effectively knockout TSC2

expression, exon 3 was selected for targeting due to its ubiquity across all documented variants and

its proximity to the N-terminus of its protein product. To achieve the highest possible editing

precision across all four *TSC2* knockout cell lines, a homology directed repair strategy was employed.

Using a single stranded oligonucleotide containing a 35-base 'stop-codon' donor sequence (Gagnon et

al., 2014), a frame shift-inducing insertion containing stop codons in all frames was introduced into

TSC2 exon 3, confirmed by PmeI digestion of PCR amplicons of the target site (Figure 1B).

Homozygous integration of the stop-codon sequence (*TSC2$^{-/-}$*) resulted in complete ablation of

TSC2 expression, with no detectable protein by immunofluorescence or western blot (Figure 1C, S1A).

Much like their *WT* counterparts, *TSC2$^{-/-}$* hPSCs maintain characteristic undifferentiated colony

morphology and can be maintained in an undifferentiated state indefinitely and exhibit normal

expression levels of hallmark pluripotency markers OCT4, SOX2, and NANOG (Figure 1D),

demonstrating that the pluripotency regulatory network functions unperturbed. Furthermore, TSC2-

deficiency does not overtly affect pluripotency, as all *TSC2$^{-/-}$* hPSCs were able to form tissues of

ectodermal, mesodermal, and endodermal origin during *in vivo* teratoma assays (Figure 1E, S1B). A

defining characteristic of both neurological and mesenchymal TSC lesions is their inability to properly

regulate mTORC1 signaling, attributed to loss of function of the inhibitory TSC1/2 complex. Under

maintenance conditions, both undifferentiated *WT* and *TSC2$^{-/-}$* hPSCs exhibited active mTORC1

signaling as indicated by the phosphorylation of mTOR (Ser2448) and the downstream effectors of the

mTORC1 complex, p70 S6K (S6K; Thr389) and S6 ribosomal protein (S6; Ser235/236). However, when

exposed to stress conditions (6h at 1% O_2), *TSC2$^{-/-}$* hPSCs maintain constitutive phosphorylation

Figure 1: Generation of *a TSC2⁻/⁻* hPSC library

(A) Schematic summary of TSC stem cell modeling strategy. (B) Homologous recombination strategy to knockout *TSC2* utilized an ssODN containing a 'STOP-codon' donor sequence containing the unique restriction site, PmeI. PmeI digestion of PCR amplicons containing the target cut site reveals homozygous integration of the donor sequence in *TSC2⁻/⁻* hPSC lines. (C) Imaging flow cytometry image capture following staining with TSC2 antibody revealing clonal populations of *TSC2⁻/⁻* cells. (D) Quantification of fluorescence intensity of pluripotency markers OCT4, SOX2, and NANOG in each hPSC line under maintenance conditions, normalized to respective parental *WT* hPSC lines. Values are the mean ± SEM (n = 12; 3x for each cell line). (E) Haemotoxylin and eosin staining of H9 *WT* and *TSC2⁻/⁻* teratoma explants. Arrows indicate examples of immature tissues of ectodermal, endodermal, and mesodermal origin. Scale bar, 100µm. (F) Schematic of expected phosphorylation status of mTORC1 effectors under supportive and stress conditions. Western blots probing phosphorylated mTOR (P-mTOR), phosphorylated S6K (P-S6K), and phosphorylated S6 (P-S6) of samples treated for 6h under no treatment, 1% O_2, and +100nM rapamycin conditions. Densitometry quantification of western blots displaying the ratio of phosphorylated to total protein of mTOR, S6K, and S6 (n = 8; 2x for each cell line). Statistical significance was determined using two-way ANOVA and Tukey's post hoc analysis. (G) Schematic representation of monolayer-NPC and EB-NCC differentiation protocols. Red asterisks indicate time points harvested for RNA sequencing.

of the mTORC1 axis, while *WT* cells can repress this pathway (Figure 1F, S1C). Thus, all four *TSC2* knockout hPSC lines successfully recapitulate the mTORC1 molecular signaling abnormalities observed in TSC-associated lesions.

To model both the central nervous system and mesenchymal manifestations that develop in TSC, we conceived parallel lineage induction approaches to generate cultures enriched for neural precursor cells (NPCs) or mesenchymal-like neural crest cells (NCCs) based on small molecule dual SMAD signaling inhibition (dSMADi) of hPSCs (Figure 1A, 1G). dSMADi promotes rapid exit from pluripotency and induction of a predominant dorsal neuroectoderm (NE) fate, with consequent potential to promote enrichment of NE-derived NPCs or NCCs by defined culture conditions (Chambers et al., 2009; Tchieu et al., 2017). Validating previous findings and our rationale, RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) of end-point cultures revealed that dSMADi of high density adherent monolayer hPSCs resulted in a dominant NPC gene signature (Figure 1G, S1D); conversely, an embryoid body (EB) induction approach permitted NCC marker gene enrichment (Figure 1G, S1D). In this latter protocol, EBs are neuralized for 5 days and then plated onto a fibronectin substrate, which permits outward migration of HNK-1$^+$/SOX9$^+$/p75$^+$ NCCs from PAX6$^+$ NPC-rich cell clusters as they are progressively induced (Figure S1E-G). Thus, we employed the 12-day adherent monolayer and EB-based dSMADi induction strategies (Figure 1G) to respectively establish cultures enriched for NPCs and NCCs from each of our four isogenic paired *WT* and *TSC2$^{-/-}$* hPSC lines. Notably, *WT* and *TSC2$^{-/-}$* end-point cultures similarly expressed appropriate lineage-associated genes (Figure S1D). This included a substantial increase in expression, compared to the undifferentiated state, of NCC determinant genes such as *SOX9*, *PAX*3, *RXRG, ZEB1, LEF1* and *NGFR* (encodes p75) uniquely in EB-derived NCC cultures, and a monolayer NPC-specific enrichment of central nervous system (CNS) neural progenitor markers including *PAX6, SHH, NEUROG2, OTX1,* and *GLIS3*.

TSC2^{-/-} NPCs model key features of TSC neurological manifestations

TSC2-deficiency results in cognitive abnormalities and the growth of low-grade tumors in the developing brain, specifically cortical tubers, subependymal nodules (SENs) and subependymal giant cell astrocytomas (SEGAs), that persist throughout life and contain molecular features indicative of aberrant neural lineage differentiation, maturation, and organelle dysfunction (Goto et al., 2011). In addition to dysplastic neurons and astrocytes, which to date have been the predominant focus within the field in understanding TSC brain tumors, enlarged cells that demonstrate atypical neural progenitor identity are primary constituents of these tumors (Martin et al., 2017; Zhou et al., 2011). To ultimately model both progenitor-like and mature TSC brain tumor cell types, we induced hPSC cultures into NPCs using the high density monolayer dSMADi protocol (Figure 1G). Within 96 hours, we observed that _TSC2^{-/-}_ cells were visibly enlarged and had accumulated an abundance of globular structures which persisted throughout the differentiation time course (Figure S2A). This struck us as being highly reminiscent of vesicle accumulation observed in mTORC1 hyperactive cells in patient tumors (Goto et al., 2011). _WT_ and _TSC2^{-/-}_ cultures were harvested 12 days following initiation of NPC induction and maintained in neural progenitor expansion medium for multiple passages to examine long-term progenitor phenotypes. _TSC2^{-/-}_ cultures exhibited increased phosphorylation of S6 (P-S6) under fully supplemented media conditions, indicative of mTORC1 hyperactivation (Figure 2A&B, S2B), and were larger than their _WT_ counterparts (Figure S2A&C). Both _WT_ and _TSC2^{-/-}_ cells expressed the NPC lineage markers SOX2, NESTIN and PAX6, demonstrating a progressive increase in expression of NPC determinants and the glial marker GFAP in _TSC2^{-/-}_ cells as they aged in culture (Figure 2A&C, S2D-F). Mitochondrial content was also progressively increased in _TSC2^{-/-}_ NPCs (Figure 2A&D). These phenotypes reflect the aberrant organelle and lineage development observed in patient tumors and

Figure 2: *TSC2*$^{-/-}$ **hPSC-derived NPCs and NCCs model key features of TSC neural and mesenchymal manifestations**

(A) Immunofluorescence images of *WT* and *TSC2*$^{-/-}$ NPC maintenance cultures, displaying phenotypic markers of neurological TSC tumors: P-S6, Nestin/PAX6, GFAP/SOX2, and Mitotracker deep red. Scale bar, 50µm. (B) Ratio of P-S6 to total S6 fluorescence intensity in maintenance NPCs, relative to *WT* levels. Values are ± SEM (n=3; 1x H9, 2x 168 between passages 2-5). (C) Quantification of fluorescence intensity of the lineage markers Nestin (neural; top) and GFAP (glial; bottom) over early, mid, and late passage ranges in culture, relative to *WT* NPCs. For all relevant figures, passage (p) ranges are defined as: early (p0-2), mid (3-5), late (p6-8). Values are the mean ± SEM (For Nestin: [n=3 early (1 each H7, H9, 168), n=5 mid (2x H1, 1 each H7, H9, 168), n=3 late (1x H1, 2x H7)]; for GFAP: [n=5 early (2x H1, 2x H7, 1x 168), n=4 mid (1x H9, 3x 168), n=3 late (1x H1, 2x H7)]). (D) Relative intensity of Mitotracker deep red staining in NPCs over early, mid, and late passage ranges, normalized to *WT* NPCs. Values are the mean ± SEM (n=5 early (1x H1, 2x each H9 and 168), n=3 mid (1x H9, 2x 168), n=4 late (2x 168, 1 each H1 and H9) (E) Representative images of *WT* and *TSC2*$^{-/-}$ neurons and quantification of the morphological features soma size (left) and neurite outgrowths per cell (right) imaged by endogenous mCherry expression, expressed relative to *WT*. Values are mean ± SEM (n=3 H9). Scale bar, 50µm. (F) Quantification of the amplitude of AMPA receptor-mediated spontaneous excitatory post-synaptic currents (sEPSCs) measured in H7 and H9 *WT* (n=6 cells), *TSC2*$^{-/-}$ (n=7 cells) and *TSC2*$^{-/-}$ +rapamycin (n=6 cells) neurons in culture. Values are mean ± SEM. (G) Percentage of NCC populations expressing NCC specific lineage markers SOX9 and HNK-1, and NPC specific marker PAX6. Values are mean ± SEM (n = 9; ≥ 2x for each cell line). (H) Quantification of P-S6 immunofluorescence intensity of NCC maintenance cultures exposed to 24h of no treatment, media starvation, and +100nM rapamycin. Values are normalized to no treatment samples within each cell lineage, displaying the mean ± SEM (n = 10; ≥ 2x for each cell line). Statistical significance was determined using two-way ANOVA and Sidak's post hoc analysis. (I) Mean displacement over 6h of NCC cultures under maintenance conditions evaluated through time lapse imaging (see also supplemental multimedia). Values are the mean ± SEM. Statistical significance was determined using one-way ANOVA and Tukey's post hoc analysis. (J) Percentage of NCCs reactive to HMB45 immunofluorescence staining. Values are the mean ± SEM (n = 14, ≥ 3x for each cell line). Statistical significance was determined using unpaired t-test. (K) Representative immunofluorescence TSC marker staining of *WT* and *TSCS2*$^{-/-}$ NCCs. Scale bar, 50µm. (L) Western blot of maintenance NCCs probing for VEGFD and PDGFRß, quantified via densitometry and normalized to *WT* protein levels using GAPDH. Values are mean ± SEM (n = 8; 2x for each cell line).

imply a dynamic regulation of cell fate and mitochondrial content in NPCs lacking *TSC2*. Notably, SOX2 expression was increased in *TSC2*$^{-/-}$ cells not only in the nucleus but also unexpectedly in the cytoplasm, displaying a punctate staining pattern, at later passages (Figure S2G). Additionally, putative vesicle accumulation observed during lineage induction was visibly reduced over the first passage in maintenance cultures but re-emerged progressively over subsequent passages. Altogether these data reveal that *TSC2*$^{-/-}$ NPC cultures reflect multiple phenotypes reflective of patient brain tumors in TSC and can be exploited to model both disease initiation and progression.

Neuronal differentiation produced *TSC2*$^{-/-}$ cultures with enlarged soma and an expanded neurite network (Figure 2E) and an increased capacity for gliogenesis in mature cultures (Figure S2H),

modeling neuronal structure, network and neural and glial cell fate phenotypes observed in TSC brain

lesions. To determine whether *TSC2*^{-/-} cultures exhibited functional hyperactivation typical of TSC

epileptic and cognitive phenotypes, we isolated AMPA receptor (R)-mediated spontaneous excitatory

post-synaptic currents (sEPSCs) and measured the amplitude (Figure 2F) and frequency (Figure S2I) of

these events via whole-cell patch clamp technique. Indeed, *TSC2*^{-/-} cells showed significant increases

in the amplitude of AMPAR-mediated sEPSCs compared to untreated *WT* cells. Additionally, this could

be rescued by rapamycin during differentiation (Figure 2F), revealing that the neuronal

hyperexcitability in *TSC2*^{-/-} cells is mTORC1-dependent (Figure 2F, S2I). Collectively, our analyses of *WT*

and *TSC2*^{-/-} progenitor and differentiated neuronal cultures demonstrated that our modelling

approach permits the recapitulation of all major cell types observed in TSC brain tumors, reflecting

both molecular and functional aspects of these aberrant cells.

TSC2^{-/-} NCCs accurately recapitulate mesenchymal TSC tumor phenotypes

The most significant mesenchymal features affecting the quality of life of TSC patients include renal

angiomyolipomas and pulmonary LAM nodules, the latter being associated with high morbidity and

mortality (Oprescu et al., 2013). These lesions are composed of potential neural crest progeny:

angiomyolipomas and LAM nodules consist of proliferative spindle-shaped cells that express smooth

muscle markers (e.g., α-SMA) and larger epithelioid cells that react with HMB45 (a monoclonal

antibody that recognizes the melanoma-associated protein gp100), with adipocytes contributing to

angiomyolipomas only. Other melanocyte markers are also expressed within angiomyolipomas and

LAM nodules (e.g., TRYP1, MART1, GD3) (Gilbert et al., 2013; Grzegorek et al., 2015; Klarquist et al.,

2009). These data suggest that the cell-type of origin for LAM is neural crest-like. To establish a NCC-

based model of mesenchymal TSC, EB-based dSMADi was employed (Figure 1G) to spatially enrich for

NCCs (Chambers et al., 2009). By day 10 of differentiation, when NCC specification genes were upregulated in both *WT* and *TSC2*^{-/-} cultures (Figure S1D), SOX9^{+} cells can be observed migrating away from PAX6^{+} neural clusters (Figure S1E). Selective dissociation of migratory outgrowths at day 12 efficiently enriched for p75 expressing cells in all cell lines, regardless of TSC2 genotype (Figure S1F&G). This resulted in highly enriched SOX9^{+}/HNK-1^{+} maintenance cultures with minimal contamination of PAX6 expressing NPC populations (Figure 2G, S3A). As expected, P-S6 staining confirms *TSC2*^{-/-} NCCs are unable to negatively regulate mTORC1 signaling following 24h starvation (Figure 2H). Irrespective of TSC2-deficiency, the generated NCCs are multipotent and can be differentiated to both ectodermal and mesodermal lineages, including smooth muscle cells and adipocytes which are characteristic of TSC mesenchymal tumors (Figure S3B). NCCs also displayed classic mesenchymal stem cell phenotypes. Both *WT* and *TSC2*^{-/-} cells displayed expression of epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT) related genes during differentiation (Figure S3C); however, *TSC2*^{-/-} cells showed a significant functional increase in migratory potential compared to *WT* cells assayed via live cell tracking over a 6h time period (Figure 2I, Supplemental multimedia). In both *WT* and *TSC2*^{-/-} NCCs, rapamycin only partially reduced migratory potential of *TSC2*^{-/-} NCCs.

Common histological markers for mesenchymal TSC tumors include HMB45, α-SMA, and elevated P-S6 indicative of mTORC1 hyperactivation. In addition, PDGFRß expression is elevated in TSC lesions (Arbiser et al., 2002; Liang et al., 2014; Siroky et al., 2014), and increased serum VEGFD levels are present in TSC patients with angiomyolipomas and LAM (Dabora et al., 2011; Seyama et al., 2006). To determine whether *TSC2*^{-/-} NCCs express these hallmark mesenchymal TSC markers, passage 1 NCCs were fixed 24h after completion of the differentiation protocol. Similar to patient tumor explants, HMB45 staining revealed a significant subpopulation of *TSC2*^{-/-} cells reactive with this marker, displaying similar staining patterns to that seen in primary LAM cells (Figure 2J&K) (Pacheco-

Rodriguez et al., 2007). Interestingly, both *WT* and *TSC2*[^-/-] NCCs stained positive for P-S6 and α-SMA at comparable levels (Figure 2K, S3D). In addition, immunoblotting of NCC maintenance cultures revealed expression of the TSC biomarker VEGFD in both WT and *TSC2*[^-/-] NCCs, with increased PDGFRß protein levels in *TSC2*[^-/-] NCCs (Figure 2L). The expression of multiple hallmark TSC tumor markers in both *WT* and *TSC2*[^-/-] cells suggests that NCCs are inherently well suited to model mesenchymal TSC. However, elevated HMB45 reactivity and PDGFRß expression, together with the enhanced migratory mesenchymal phenotype of *TSC2*[^-/-] NCCs, strongly support the use of these cells as an accurate model of the mesenchymal manifestations of TSC and LAM.

TSC2-deficiency drives large-scale cell type-specific transcriptional dysregulation upon NPC and NCC lineage induction

We next sought to elucidate the molecular basis for the development of disease phenotypes in *TSC2*[^-/-] NPC and NCC progenitors. Given that cell lineage induction is heavily determined by dynamics in transcriptional regulatory programs, we reasoned that elucidating the genome-wide transcriptional events underlying the generation of these cell types would offer critical insights and reveal whether developmental genetic programs underlie tumor development in TSC. Thus, we performed genome-wide RNA-seq along a developmental time course of *TSC2*[^-/-] and *WT* hPSCs as they were induced to differentiate through the NPC and NCC lineages using our parallel dSMADi strategies (Figure 1G). RNA-seq timepoints were selected to monitor global gene expression throughout the high-density monolayer NPC-differentiation protocol corresponding to states of pluripotency (day 0), early neural induction (day 1), neuralization (days 3&5), and neural precursor specification and maturation (days 8&12). To identify transcriptional changes at various stages of the EB-based NCC protocol, RNA-seq time points were similarly selected to emphasize phases of transition during differentiation: EB-formation (day 1), neuralization (days 2&4), NCC specification (day 7) and enrichment (day 10) (Figure

Figure 3: RNA-seq time-course analysis reveals that a potent cell stress response underlies neuroepithelial induction in the absence of TSC2.
(A) Representative three-dimensional plotting of PCA of monolayer-NPC (H1 & 168) and EB-NCC (H7 & H9) differentiations. (B) Number of total DEGs identified (FDR < 5%) over the RNA-seq differentiation time-course in monolayer-NPC and EB-NCC RNA-seq datasets. (C) Log_2 fold change (Log_2FC) of hallmark neuroectodermal (NE) genes over the monolayer-NPC RNA-seq time-course. (D) Log_2FC of hallmark NCC specification genes over the EB-NCC RNA-seq time-course. (E) Comparative gene ontology (GO)-Biological Function enrichment analysis of upregulated DEGs (padj < 0.05) between matched $TSC2^{-/-}$ and WT samples at each respective time point of monolayer-NPC and EB-NCC differentiations. Red box highlights enrichment of stress response GO terms.

1G). RNA-seq was performed on all four isogenic paired lines, serving as biological replicates for analysis of expression patterns within each respective differentiation time course for a total of 96 sequenced libraries. Principle component analysis (PCA) revealed distinct trajectories between *WT* and $TSC2^{-/-}$ samples within each differentiation time course, indicating dynamic transcriptional changes between genotypes from pluripotency to target cell lineage (Figure 3A). Clear separation between *WT* and $TSC2^{-/-}$ samples was attributed to principle component (PC) 2 during NPC differentiation and to PC4 during NCC induction, implying that TSC2-deficiency is more impactful to transcriptional variance in NPC differentiation.

Confirming our findings that TSC2-deficiency does not affect maintenance and pluripotency of hPSCs (Figure 1D&E), the number of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between *WT* and $TSC2^{-/-}$ cells at day 0 (pluripotent state) was negligible (6 and 19 genes identified respectively in NPC and NCC data sets) (Figure 3B). Likewise, very few DEGs were detected at day 1 of NCC induction (59 genes), a time-point representing a change in cell substrate interactions (switch from Matrigel adherence to suspension EB formation) but prior to the initiation of neuralization using dSMADi. Strikingly, $TSC2^{-/-}$ cells in both differentiation paradigms demonstrated a substantial number of DEGs compared to *WT* within 24 hours of neural induction (day 1 NPCs, 621 genes; day 2 NCCs, 189 genes), which expanded to thousands of DEGs at 3 days post-induction (day 3 NPCs, 3626 genes; day 4 NCCs, 2830 genes)

(Figure 3B). While the TSC2-mTORC1 signaling axis has been implicated in transcriptional regulation in various biological settings this has not been previously evaluated in the context of lineage induction during embryonic development. The magnitude of DEGs that we observed within 24-72 hours of neural induction was highly unexpected and indicates that TSC2 is a potent regulator of genome-wide transcriptional programs during NE fate determination, potentially affecting NPC and NCC specification.

During both induction protocols, the number of *WT* versus *TSC2*^{-/-} DEGs peaked 3 days following the addition of neuralization cues and remained substantially elevated at each respective end-point (day 12 NPCs, 898 genes; day 10 NCCs, 609 genes) (Figure 3B). Notably, NPC cultures exhibited larger gene expression differences across all differentiation time-points compared to NCCs. Confirming that our parallel differentiation strategies generate distinct cell populations, 42-57% of DEGs at end-point compared to day 0 in *WT* cultures are unique to their target cell type (Figure S4A). In *TSC2*^{-/-} cultures, cell-type specific DEGs at endpoint increased up to 65% (Figure S4A). Together, this reveals that while there is a large degree of overlapping DEGs between NPC and NCC-induced cultures, as is expected given their similar developmental origins, NPC and NCC-induced cultures also display distinct transcriptional profiles reflecting their unique cell lineages and the differential phenotypes in patient neurological versus mesenchymal lesions.

To evaluate the influence of TSC2-deficiency on specific gene expression profiles, differential gene expression was evaluated between adjacent differentiation time points of NPC and NCC differentiation. Genes displaying significant fold-change in at least one set of adjacent time points were selected and grouped by similarity of expression trajectories into multiple clusters using DP_GP_cluster (McDowell et al., 2018). The largest gene clusters within *WT* and *TSC2*^{-/-} datasets of respective NPC and NCC analyses were selected and found to display similar trajectories with a high

degree of overlap in DEG membership (Figure S4B). Indeed, at differentiation end-point, $TSC2^{-/-}$ cells

did not display significantly altered expression of NE and NCC genes in NPC and NCC cultures,

respectively, compared to WT samples (Figure S1D). Upon further investigation of gene sets relevant

to NE and NCC formation, we did observe a reduction in NE gene expression (Figure 3C) in $TSC2^{-/-}$ cells

during NPC differentiation, most prominently during mid-stages of differentiation. No change in NCC

gene signatures was observed during NCC differentiation (Figure 3D). Thus, while TSC2-deficiency

delays NPC fate induction, it does not overtly impede differentiation towards either the NPC or NCC

lineage.

To assess the biological context of TSC2-deficiency on differential gene expression across NPC

and NCC differentiation, gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis was performed on significant DEGs

between $TSC2^{-/-}$ and *WT* samples at each time point. The most dominant GO biological process terms

resulting from this analysis were related to endoplasmic reticulum (ER)/proteostatic-stress, response

to unfolded protein, and autophagy (Figure 3E, red box). During $TSC2^{-/-}$ NPC differentiation these GO

term groupings were over-represented consistently across most timepoints, all of which were

significantly enriched until day 5, with autophagy-related terms being maintained until day 8. In

contrast, these terms were only over-represented at days 2 and 4 of $TSC2^{-/-}$ NCC differentiation. This

temporal enrichment pattern is consistent with KEGG pathway analysis, which revealed enrichment

for "protein processing in the ER", "lysosome", "phagosome", and "antigen processing and

presentation" in $TSC2^{-/-}$ compared to *WT* cultures (Figure S4C). Similar to GO enrichment analysis (Fig

3E), the KEGG pathway terms associated with ER/proteostatic stress response and catabolic vesicular

signaling are enriched only transiently during $TSC2^{-/-}$ NCC differentiation, specifically at days 4 and 7.

Of note, these time points are associated with the neuralization stages of differentiation (days 2 and

4) and precede NCC enrichment (day 7) when adherent neuralized clusters predominate the

differentiating cultures. This implies that the activation and stability of an ER/proteostatic stress and catabolic signaling response in *TSC2*^{−/−} cells is associated with neuralization of the differentiating cultures and maintenance of an NPC fate. Corroborating this, expression of NE determinant genes in both *WT* and *TSC*^{−/−} cultures was largely induced at days 3 and 5 in monolayer-NPC cultures, further increasing or remaining high through day 12. These same genes were induced to a similar degree at day 4 (and some at day 7) in EB-based NCC cultures but did not increase further or were completely lost at later time points (Figure S4D). In contrast, expression of neural crest lineage genes was much higher in EB-based NCC cultures, initiating at day 4 and further increasing through day 10, revealing the progressive emergence of a dominant NCC fate in these cultures following initial neuralization (Figure S4E).

TSC2^{−/−} NPCs and NCCs exhibit unique catabolic signalling profiles during development

RNA-seq analyses of NPC and NCC differentiation revealed significant enrichment of genes involved in lysosomal and autophagy signaling in differentiating *TSC2*^{−/−} populations. These genes were differentially expressed throughout the entire monolayer-NPC differentiation protocol, whereas during EB-based NCC induction, these enrichment terms were transient. To investigate this further, hierarchical clustering was performed on all RNA-seq samples comparing *TSC2*^{−/−} to *WT* gene expression at each time point, focusing on genes associated with lysosome signaling and formation, along with positive and negative regulation of autophagy (Figure 4A-C). As expected, NCC differentiation sample day 4, the height of EB neuralization, clusters with NPC differentiation days 3 and 5, showing distinctive upregulation of lysosome-associated genes (Figure 4A, red box). In contrast, early NPC time points cluster well with both early and late NCC differentiation time points and display limited differential gene expression from *WT* cells (Figure 4A, green box). Interestingly,

NCC day 4 and NPC days 3 and 5 display similar expression patterns of genes associated with negative regulation of autophagy (Figure 4B, red box); however, NPC days 3 and 5 cluster separately from NCC day 4 when profiled with genes positively regulating autophagy (Figure 4C, red box). In the latter case, NCC day 4 continues to cluster with NPC time points, separate from all other NCC samples (Figure 4C, yellow box). Thus, gene-set specific hierarchical clustering of DEGs between *WT* and *TSC2^-/-^* cells in both NPC and NCC datasets reveals that lysosome/autophagy gene expression dysregulation reaches its peak at differentiation time points associated with neuralization of the respective cell populations, and that this catabolic signaling phenotype persists uniquely within the NPC lineage. Lysosome staining of differentiating NPC cultures with both a LAMP1 antibody and Lysosensor live cell dye confirmed that lysosomal content is significantly increased in *TSC2^-/-^* cells throughout the differentiation time course post-neuralization (Figure 4D&E, S5A). This phenotype is rescued when the mTORC1 inhibitor rapamycin is included in culture medium starting 6 hours prior to dSMADi, revealing the dependency of *TSC2* on mTORC1 for lysosomal regulation during early neural lineage induction. As this is counter-intuitive, considering how mTORC1 activation is typically thought to repress catabolic signaling, we investigated the sub-cellular localization of the lysosomal transcription factor *TFE3,* which is typically excluded from the nucleus upon mTORC1 activation resulting in inhibition of lysosomal biogenesis. Corroborating our findings, *TFE3* nuclear localization was increased in *TSC2^-/-^* NPCs, in a rapamycin-dependent manner, with the largest changes occurring during days 3 and 5 of differentiation (Figure 4F, S5B), when catabolic gene expression was most highly dysregulated in these cultures in our RNA-seq data sets.

Figure 4: NPCs and NCCs exhibit distinct catabolic signalling profiles during development, exacerbated by TSC2-deficiency

(A) Heatmap featuring hallmark lysosome genes displaying Log$_2$FC of *TSC2$^{-/-}$* compared to *WT* samples at each respective timepoint of monolayer-NPC and EB-NCC RNA-seq datasets. Red box highlights time points associated with increased lysosome gene expression. Green box highlights time points of minimal differential lysosome gene expression. (B&C) Heatmaps of genes involved in negative and positive regulation of autophagy, displaying Log$_2$FC of *TSC2$^{-/-}$* compared to *WT* samples at each respective time point of monolayer-NPC and EB-NCC RNA-seq datasets. Red boxes highlight timepoints associated with increased differential gene expression. Yellow box highlights clustering of NCC d04 time point with late NPC timepoints featuring moderate differential gene expression. (D) Representative images (at differentiation day 5) and quantification of LAMP1 immunofluorescence intensity (mean spot analysis) in the presence and absence of rapamycin throughout monolayer-NPC differentiation. Values are mean ± SEM (n = 10; 1x H9, 3x H1, 2x H7, 4x 168). Scale bar, 50µm. (E) Quantification of the cytoplasmic area of Lysosensor staining relative to *WT* at day 5 of monolayer-NPC differentiation. Values are mean ± SEM (n = 10; 1x H9, 3x H1, 2x H7, 4x 168). (F) Representative images and quantification of fluorescence intensity relative to *WT* of nuclear TFE3 signal at day 5 of monolayer-NPC differentiation. Values are mean ± SEM (n = 10; 1x H9, 3x H1, 2x H7, 4x 168). Scale bar, 50µm. (G) Immunofluorescent staining of attached neuralized EB clusters and migratory NCC outgrowths at day 7 EB-NCC differentiation. Dotted lines delineate the boundary of attached neuralized EB clusters. Scale bar, 100µm.

Our findings suggest that NCCs resolve this developmental catabolic response as they are specified and acquire migratory capacity. To investigate this, day 7 EB differentiation cultures were fixed and probed for lysosome and autophagosome indicators by immunostaining and paired with lineage specific markers for NCCs (SOX9) and NPCs (PAX6) (Figure 4G, S5C). As expected, neuralized rosette clusters stain positive for PAX6, while migrating NCCs are SOX9$^+$. Neuralized rosette clusters, however, display a clear increase in lysosomal content compared to SOX9$^+$ cells, as indicated by LAMP1 expression and Lysosensor staining (Figure 4G, S5D). Furthermore, autophagosome content was also increased in neural clusters as indicated by LC3β staining and autophagosome staining with Cyto-ID live cell dye (Figure 4G, S5E). Of note, lysosomal and autophagosome content appeared increased in *TSC2$^{-/-}$* neuralized EB clusters compared to *WT* cells. Both LAMP1 and LC3β were detected in migrating SOX9$^+$ cells; however, their expression is visibly diminished compared to NPC-rich clusters. Mirroring hierarchical clustering observations of 'positive' and 'negative' regulators of autophagy (Figure 4B&C), *TSC2$^{-/-}$* monolayer cultures demonstrated reduced autophagosome staining compared to isogenic *WT* cultures during early induction. This is an expected consequence of *TSC2* loss, however, an atypical increase in autophagosome content was observed at late differentiation

(Figure S6A). This suggests a dynamic regulation of autophagy signaling in *TSC2*$^{-/-}$ cells particularly as they differentiate through the neural lineage, with a lineage-dependent induction of autophagy signaling which, together with their increased lysosomal content, reflects the observed accumulation of vesicular structures in these cultures (Figure S2A) as well as TSC tumors specifically within the brain (Di Nardo et al., 2014; Goto et al., 2011).

TSC2-deficiency drives long-term catabolic and proteostatic dysregulation in NPCs but not NCCs

To determine whether the observed developmental cell stress responses we observed led to long-term lineage-specific differences in catabolic signaling mechanisms, we measured levels of endosomal vesicles in NCCs and monolayer culture-induced NPCs after multiple passages in maintenance culture. Reflecting developmental phenotypes, lysosomal content was highly increased in *TSC2*$^{-/-}$ NPCs (Figure 5A) compared to *WT* counterparts, but only slightly elevated in NCCs (1.4-fold versus 6-fold in NPCs) (Figure 5B&C). Rapamycin treatment had no effect on Lysosensor levels in either cell type; thus, unlike during lineage development lysosomal content can no longer be normalized with short-term mTORC1 inhibition. Autophagosome content was unchanged between *WT* and *TSC2*$^{-/-}$ NCCs (Figure 5C) as measured by CytoID live cell dye; however, we observed a 7-fold increase in autophagosome intensity in *TSC2*$^{-/-}$ NPCs compared to *WT*s (Figure 5D). Autophagosome intensity was further increased following chloroquine treatment, demonstrating that both *WT* and *TSC2*$^{-/-}$ NPCs undergo autophagic flux (Figure 5D). This indicates that increased autophagosome content in *TSC2*$^{-/-}$ NPCs is primarily a consequence of increased biogenesis of these vesicles and not flux inhibition, contrary to the current paradigm of how mTORC1 hyperactivation is presumed to direct autophagy signaling. This unexpected observation was corroborated by a progressive increase in LC3β and autophagosome

Figure 5: TSC2-deficiency drives long-term lineage-specific endosomal and proteostatic adaptations
(A) Representative fluorescence imaging for high content analysis of Lysosensor stain and LAMP2A immunofluorescence in *WT* and *TSC2^-/-^* maintenance NPCs; quantification of Lysosensor intensity with and without 100nM rapamycin, relative to *WT* NPCs. Values are mean ± SEM (n = 7, representing all cell lines). Scale bar, 50µm. (B) Representative fluorescence images of maintenance *WT* and *TSC2^-/-^* NCCs; quantification of Lysosensor signal relative to *WT* NCCs. Values are mean ± SEM (n = 9; ≥ 2x for each line). Scale bar, 50µm. (C) Quantification of autophagosome (Cyto-ID staining) fluorescence intensity in maintenance NCCs, relative to *WT* NCCs. Values are mean ± SEM (n = 9; ≥ 2x for each line). (D) Representative images for high content analysis of Cyto-ID live cell staining; quantification of autophagosome fluorescence intensity in maintenance NPCs, relative to *WT* NPCs, with and without 5-20nM chloroquine (CQ). Values are mean ± SEM (n = 13 for basal analysis, n = 7 (*WT*) and 8 (*TSC2^-/-^*) for +CQ samples, representing all cell lines). Scale bar, 50µm. (E) Representative Western blot in *WT* and *TSC2^-/-^* cultures during monolayer-NPC differentiation with and without 20nM rapamycin initiating 6h prior to differentiation. Proteins assessed are TSC2, ribosomal protein S6 and P-S6 at Ser-235/246, ULK1 and P-ULK1 at Serine-555 (AMPK-dependent), and LC3ß. (F) Quantification of Proteostat staining during monolayer-NPC differentiation with and without 100nM rapamycin. Values are mean ± SEM (n=10; 1x H9, 3x H1, 2x H7, 4x 168). (G) Representative images of proteostat aggresome staining in *WT* at day 5 monolayer-NPC differentiation and day 7 of EB-NCC differentiation. Scale bar, 50µm, 100µm.

levels during *TSC2^-/-^* NPC induction (Figure 5E, S6A), revealing an early establishment of this altered autophagy mechanism during lineage development.

To investigate likely mechanisms by which *TSC2^-/-^* NPCs are capable of promoting autophagy in the presence of hyperactive mTORC1 activity, we examined the phosphorylation status of ULK1, a kinase with essential roles in the early stages of pre-autophagosome formation. Phosphorylation of ULK1 at serine-757 is a critical event through which mTORC1 inhibits autophagy signaling. We confirmed both mTORC1 hyperactivation, based on increased P-S6 (serine-235/236) (Figure 5E, S6B), and increased phosphorylation of ULK1 serine-757 in *TSC2^-/-^* cells during NPC induction (Figure S6D). AMPK is a secondary kinase with ULK1-dependent activity, with its phosphorylation of serine-555 driving autophagy. While AMPK levels were similar across genotypes (Figure S6E), we observed an increase in ULK1 serine-555 phosphorylation in differentiating *TSC2^-/-^* NPCs (Figure 5E, S6F), revealing activation of this alternative autophagy-promoting pathway in these cells. Corroborating pro-autophagy signaling in *TSC2^-/-^* NPCs, total, unprocessed and lipidated forms of LC3β were increased in *TSC2^-/-^* cultures during monolayer-NPC induction, indicating increased autophagosome content (Figure

5E, S6G). An increased LC3β-II/ LC3β-I ratio was also observed, demonstrating that autophagic flux is also elevated in *TSC2$^{-/-}$* cells during NPC induction (Figure S6G).

Comparative gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis of our RNA-seq data (Figure 3E) illustrated an early activation of the misfolded protein response, which may function as a driving event for catabolic signaling activation in *TSC2$^{-/-}$* cells during differentiation. We confirmed an increase in protein aggregate accumulation in *TSC2$^{-/-}$* cells during neural differentiation using Proteostat aggresome indicator dye (Figure 5F). This phenotype was transient, however, with aggregate levels peaking at day 8 of differentiation and reducing to normal levels in end-point *TSC2$^{-/-}$* NPC cultures. Reflecting endosomal phenotypes and lineage specificity in proteostasis regulation, Proteostat intensity was more predominant in differentiating neural clusters than in migratory NCCs (Figure 5G). Accordingly, we observed low levels of aggregates during early stages of maintenance culture, but by late passages reflecting extensive aging they had accumulated selectively in *TSC2$^{-/-}$* NPCs (Figure S6H). Together these data demonstrate that *TSC2$^{-/-}$* cells mount an early developmental response to increased protein production and suggest that *TSC2$^{-/-}$* NPCs maintain selective reliance on lysosome-autophagy signaling to limit protein aggregate accumulation over time.

Underscoring the concept of an early protein stress response in *TSC2$^{-/-}$* cells, levels of the mTORC1 effector P-S6K and especially its target P-S6, a mark of active protein translation, were strongly reduced within the first 24 hours of dual SMAD inhibition in *WT* cultures, but this inhibition was incomplete at early time points in *TSC2$^{-/-}$* cells (Figure 5E, S6B&E). Interestingly, P-S6 is undetectable by day 8 of NPC differentiation in spite of mTORC1 hyperactivation in *TSC2$^{-/-}$* cells (Figure 5E). P-S6 staining of day 7 NCC differentiations reveal P-S6$^+$ migratory NCCs delaminating from largely P-S6 negative neural clusters (Figure S6C), revealing that NPCs specifically down-regulate S6 phosphorylation during lineage induction. Demonstrating the dependence of this signaling axis on

mTORC1 regulation, P-S6 levels were strongly reduced by rapamycin treatment (Figure 5E); partial restoration of P-ULK1 serine-555, LC3β and autophagosome levels by rapamycin reflects a mechanistic connection between proteostasis and endosomal regulation in $TSC2^{-/-}$ NPCs (Figure 5E, S6A).

The bioenergetic profile of $TSC2^{-/-}$ cells is lineage-specific

mTORC1 is a well-established regulator of cellular metabolism, and it is becoming increasingly clear that endosomal signaling is highly integrated with cellular bioenergetics. Previous cell models have revealed metabolic abnormalities in TSC1 or TSC2-deficient cells and have largely focused on increased glycolytic capacity. However, given the pervasive cell type-specific differences in endosomal signaling observed in our system, we sought to investigate the lineage-specific metabolic profiles of $TSC2^{-/-}$ cells. $TSC2^{-/-}$ NPCs accumulate mitochondrial content in our model (Figure 2A&C), as well as in patient tumors (Goto et al., 2011) and exhibit elevated levels of reactive oxygen species (Figure S7A), indicating likely effects on mitochondrial metabolism and oxidative phosphorylation in neural cells. Extracellular flux analysis at resting levels and under conditions of induced mitochondrial stress revealed that $TSC2^{-/-}$ cells possess an overall increased capacity for mitochondrial oxygen consumption as NPCs (Figure 6A) but that this effect is limited in NCCs (Figure 6B; S7B&C). Increased extracellular acidification in these same assays in $TSC2^{-/-}$ NPCs (Figure 6C), but not NCCs (Figure 6D), additionally indicate that $TSC2^{-/-}$ NPCs uniquely exhibit increased glycolytic flux. Both TSC2-deficient NPCs and NCCs have a higher capacity for ATP production compared to *WT* cells (Figure 6E&F). In NPCs, this is attributed to both glycolytic and oxidative pathways (Figure 6E); in NCCs, this is due solely to oxidative capacity and is observed only under fully stressed conditions and not at resting state (Figure 6F).

Figure 6: TSC2 loss drives lineage-specific metabolic reprogramming.
(A&B) Plots showing the O_2 consumption rate at each time-point in mitochondrial stress test extracellular flux assays for NPC (A) and NCC (B) cultures. Measurements 1-3 were readings taken at resting state; 4-6, following addition of the complex V inhibitor Oligomycin (Oligo); 7-9, after addition of the mitochondrial membrane potential uncoupler FCCP; and 10-12, after addition of the complex I and III inhibitors Rotenone (Rot.) and Antimycin A (AA). Values are mean ± SEM (NPCs: n = 7 (2x H1, 3x H7, 1x H9, 1x 168); NCCs: n=7 (1x H1, 2x each H7, H9, 168)). (C&D) Plots showing the extracellular acidification rate (ECAR) at each time-point in mitochondrial stress test, with time-points and treatments as described for panels 6A&B. In addition, the plasma membrane Na^+/K^+-ATPase agent Monensin (Mon.) was added, to stimulate maximal ATP demand and glycolytic capacity, and measured at # 13-15. Values are mean ± SEM, with replicates as in panels 6A&B. (E&F) ATP production rates (*J*ATP) from glycolysis (left-hand bars), and Oxidative Phosphorylation (OxPhos) (right-hand bars) at resting and maximal levels in NPCs (E) and NCCs (F). Values are mean ± SD, with replicates as in panels 6A&B. (G&H) Integration of *J*ATP from OxPhos and glycolysis reveals a high bioenergetic capacity of *TSC2*^{-/-} NPCs between resting and maximal ('max') states (G), while bioenergetic capacity of *WT* NPCs (G) and *WT* and *TSC2*^{-/-} NCCs (H) is minimal. Values are mean ± SD, with replicates as in panels 6A&B. (I) Spare respiratory capacity, representing the difference in O_2 consumption at measurements 7-9 compared to 1-3, in NPCs (left) and NCCs (right). Values are mean ± SD, with replicates as in panels 6A&B.

These data suggest a high degree of bioenergetic flexibility specifically in TSC2-deficient NPCs that permits these cells to uniquely adapt to metabolic stress. To directly test this hypothesis, we measured the amount of ATP produced in each cell type from oxidative phosphorylation and glycolysis throughout the mitochondrial stress test using a recently published data analysis platform (Mookerjee et al., 2018). This integrated analysis confirmed that *TSC2*^{-/-} NPCs exhibit a high bioenergetic capacity compared to their *WT* counterparts (Figure 6G), capable of increasing ATP generation under conditions of metabolic stress ('max') compared to 'resting' states, while TSC2-deficient NCCs exhibit limited metabolic flexibility (Figure 6H). Comparing oxygen consumption post-treatment with the mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation uncoupler FCCP with the resting state additionally demonstrates that TSC2-deficiency imparts an increased spare respiratory capacity to a much larger degree in NPCs than in NCCs (Figure 6I). Altogether, our data demonstrate that TSC2-deficient cells adopt substantial catabolic and metabolic signaling adaptations in a highly lineage-specific fashion that correlates with the relative nature of developmental proteotoxic stress responses.

Clinically-relevant proteasome inhibitors permit selective, lineage-specific cytotoxicity of *TSC2*^{-/-} cells

The accumulation of lysosomes and autophagosomes, paired with the abundance of aggresomes within NPC populations as they both differentiate and self-renew is indicative of the importance of functional proteolytic machinery in the maintenance of cell homeostasis in this cell lineage. TSC2-deficiency exacerbates these phenomena, offering a potential vulnerability of *TSC2*^{-/-} cells that could be exploited for therapeutic benefit if protein degradation pathways are rendered inoperative pharmacologically. To test this, both NPCs and NCCs were exposed to the FDA-approved proteasome

inhibitors bortezomib and carfilzomib, as well as the lysosomal inhibitor chloroquine, both alone and in combination. To investigate the compatibility and/or potential synergistic effects of these treatments with mTOR inhibitors, these drugs were administered both in the presence and absence of rapamycin. After 48h exposure to proteasome inhibitors, selective toxicity to $TSC2^{-/-}$ NPCs was observed at 40nM, with minimal effect on WT NPCs (Figure 7A&B). At 200nM of bortezomib or carfilzomib, $TSC2^{-/-}$ NPC samples continued to display increased sensitivity to proteasome inhibition, although *WT* cells began to show low level toxicity. These trends were observed following 24h exposure to proteasome inhibitors, though less pronounced (Figure S7D&E). In contrast, both *WT* and $TSC2^{-/-}$ NCCs showed nearly identical toxicity profiles with increasing concentrations of proteasome inhibitors at both 24h and 48h (Figure 7A&B, S7D&E). Interestingly, treatment with the mTORC1 inhibitor rapamycin or targeting the lysosomal/autophagy pathway with chloroquine did not affect the viability of either NPCs or NCCs (Figure 7C, S7F), nor did chloroquine or rapamycin display any synergistic cytotoxic effects when combined with bortezomib or carfilzomib (Figure S7G). Taken together, these data demonstrate that $TSC2^{-/-}$ NPCs, but not *WT* NPCs, are specifically sensitized to proteasome inhibition. Furthermore, these effects are cell-lineage dependent, as both *WT* and $TSC2^{-/-}$ NCCs are not able to tolerate low levels of proteasome inhibition (Figure 7D).

Figure 7: *TSC2*^{-/-} NPCs but not NCCs are selectively sensitive to clinically-relevant proteasome inhibitors. (A-C) Toxicity observed in NPCs (left) and NCCs (right) 48 hours after treatments with Bortezomib (A), Carfilzomib (B) and Rapamycin or Chloroquine (C). (D) Schematic representation of ER stress states and resulting responses to proteosome inhibition. Values are the mean ± SEM. (A-B) n=7 for NPCs; 1x for H7, 4x for H9 and 2x for 168. n=11 for NCCs; 2x for H1, 2x for H7, 3x for H9 and 4x for 168. (C) n=4 for NPCs; 1x for H7and 168, 2x for H9. n=6 for NCCs; 1x for H7 and H9, 2x for H1 and 168. Statistical significance (p < 0.05) was established by Two-way ANOVA and Tukey's post hoc analysis.

DISCUSSION

This study presents the first multi-lineage model of the neoplastic and neurological disorder TSC and provides a rich resource of the transcriptomic signatures that accompany human NCC and NPC development in both *WT* and *TSC2*^{-/-} conditions. Our modeling system permits analyses of the initiation and progression of multiple disease cell types and aberrant phenotypes relevant to TSC, including neuro-cognitive dysfunction and neural and mesenchymal tumorigenesis, importantly using a human stem cell model. Recently reported TSC stem cell models have predominantly focused on investigating aberrant glial and neuronal cells (Blair et al., 2018; Li et al., 2017), however, these cell

types are non-proliferative components of neural TSC lesions. Although informative, the previous studies overlooked the consequences of TSC2-deficiency in the progenitor pools that drive tumorigenesis and the associated mechanisms that give rise to cellular dysfunction. Given that $TSC1^{-/-}$ and $TSC2^{-/-}$ mouse models die embryonically with failed neural tube closure (Kobayashi et al., 1999; Kobayashi et al., 2001; Onda et al., 1999), and that proliferative neural and mesenchymal progenitor cells have been implicated as cells of origin for TSC tumors (Delaney et al., 2014), we reasoned that loss of TSC2 in NPCs and mesenchymal-like NCCs would have substantial biological consequences reflective of disease etiology. Our system offers an unprecedented opportunity to investigate the development and maturation of both $TSC2^{-/-}$ NPCs and NCCs, which we now show broadly reflect the mesenchymal tumors that develop in multiple tissue systems such as kidney (angiomyolipoma) and lung (LAM) in TSC patients. By employing genome-wide RNA-seq and molecular approaches using multiple genetic backgrounds, we demonstrate that the early development of $TSC2^{-/-}$ NPCs and NCCs is associated with aberrant activation of a proteostatic stress response, which is differentially resolved in neural and neural crest progenitors. This leads to long-term molecular adaptations in each cell type that endows lineage-specific differences in proteostatic, endosomal and consequent metabolic regulation.

Our findings have critical implications for understanding the pathological basis of tumor development in TSC, and for the treatment of system-specific disease manifestations. Several biological mechanisms have been proposed to contribute to tumorigenesis in TSC, many of which have undergone investigation as potential therapeutic targets. Dysregulation of autophagy has been clearly established as a component to tumor formation (Parkhitko et al., 2011); however, lysosome and autophagosome accumulation has been reported only in TSC brain tumors and in neural-lineage specific murine and cell models of TSC (Di Nardo et al., 2014; Goto et al., 2011). Our data reconcile

these previous observations by revealing that $TSC2^{-/-}$ neural lineage cells, but not NCCs or hPSCs, uniquely develop elevated endosomal signaling mechanisms, and that these are established during early stages of lineage specification. Mitochondrial and metabolic defects, as well as proteasome dysfunction, have also been identified as potential therapeutic targets for both neurological and mesenchymal TSC (Abdelwahab et al., 2019; Ebrahimi-Fakhari et al., 2016; McKenna III et al., 2018; Parkhitko et al., 2014; Siroky et al., 2012; Van Scheppingen et al., 2016). These previous studies did not, however, permit analysis of the development of these phenotypes during lineage specification; thus, it has been entirely unclear which biological mechanisms drive the induction of disease phenotypes, and which are secondary adaptations of $TSC2^{-/-}$ cells. Our findings reveal for the first time that proteostatic stress is a driving event in the developmental origin of TSC-relevant tumor cell types, and that lineage-specific signaling responses result in the unique metabolic and endosomal adaptations that define the long-term development, aging and identity of each cell type.

We show that lineage specific adaptations to proteostatic stress pose consequences in the therapeutic context. Bortezomib and carfilzomib are FDA-approved proteasome inhibitors that have been considered for treatment of TSC manifestations, and this has been applied predominantly toward mesenchymal tumors. Strikingly, we show that $TSC2^{-/-}$ NPCs can be selectively targeted for cell death using these agents, leaving WT NPCs unaffected. However, the same treatments display no genotype specific toxicity in NCCs. These latter findings are in contrast to previous studies demonstrating the potential therapeutic effectiveness of these treatments in $vitro$; however, we note that the TSC2-deficient cell types used in these studies were murine cell lines with limited tissue-specific relevance to TSC tumors (Babcock et al., 2013; Johnson et al., 2018; Zhou et al., 2009). Indeed, in a preclinical trial using a $Tsc2^{+/-}$ mouse model, bortezomib treatment was ineffective in targeting mesenchymal renal tumors (Auricchio et al., 2012). Our data, which utilizes four unique human

genetic backgrounds, supports this conclusion and additionally demonstrates that the utility of

proteasome inhibition therapy for TSC is limited to the neural lineage manifestations. These results

underscore the importance of using stem cell-based models to generate relevant cell types when

investigating mechanisms of disease using *in vitro* systems, particularly in the case of multisystem

disorders.

Our findings reveal a decisive role for translational regulation and catabolic signaling following

neural lineage induction from pluripotency. Following the addition of neural induction cues, levels of

P-S6, a marker of active protein translation, decrease sharply in both *WT* cells and *TSC2*$^{-/-}$ cells in spite

of hyperactive mTORC1 signaling in *TSC2*$^{-/-}$ cells. Additionally, we establish that AMPK/ULK1-mediated

pro-autophagy signaling is concurrently activated in differentiating *TSC2*$^{-/-}$ cells, corroborating

previous observations of mTORC1-independent regulation of autophagy in patient tumors and

TSC1/TSC2-deficient cell lines (Di Nardo et al., 2014). Our data introduce a paradigm in which

decreased global protein translation is favored under normal conditions during early neural cell fate

commitment, and that *TSC2*$^{-/-}$ NPCs, which cannot regulate mTORC1-mediated protein translation and

degradation (Zhang et al., 2014), potently activate catabolic signaling mechanisms as an adaptive

response to promote proteolysis. We further demonstrate that while these lineage-specific metabolic

adaptations are reversed by rapamycin treatment initially during lineage induction they ultimately

become rapamycin insensitive, consistent with a progressive reliance on mTORC1-independent

signaling mechanisms to maintain endosomal signaling in these cells. This biology is reflected in the

lack of synergistic toxicity when rapamycin is combined with proteasome inhibitors to selectively

target *TSC2*$^{-/-}$ cells. However, this catabolic adaptive response is resolved upon NCC specification,

revealing dynamic, lineage-specific regulation of endosomal, metabolic and proteostasis signaling.

Although lysosomal-autophagy signaling is intrinsically active in *WT* NPCs, this system is exacerbated

and cumulative in *TSC2*^-/- cells. While maintenance *TSC2*^-/- NPC cultures exhibit intact autophagic flux at a level comparable to *WT* cells, we show that they exhibit an overall increase in the biogenesis of endosomal vesicles which display incomplete clearance and progressive accumulation over time. The fact that proteosome inhibitors, but not autophagic flux inhibition (chloroquine), are selectively toxic to *TSC2*^-/- NPCs clearly demonstrates that these cells are ultimately dependent on proteolytic activity to maintain their survival. The increased accumulation of protein aggregates in late stage *TSC2*^-/- NPC cultures, a time-point at which their growth is dramatically inhibited, further supports this conclusion.

It is apparent that tight regulation of proteostasis and catabolic signaling is integral in the development of neural lineages. Indeed, catabolic signaling is quickly revealing itself to be an important pathway across multiple levels of neural development, with involvement in the exit from pluripotency, lineage specification, and the maintenance and activation of adult neural stem cell populations (Audesse and Webb, 2018; Leeman et al., 2018; Villegas et al., 2019). Here, we advance these previous observations to show that this phenomenon is highly specific to the neural lineage, with the developmentally related neural crest lineage adopting distinct mechanisms. Additionally, although several studies have investigated the metabolic consequences of TSC2-deficiency in neural and glial lineages, there is limited information regarding derivation of these phenotypes in the neural progenitor pools that gives rise to TSC brain tumors, and sparse knowledge of mesenchymal progenitor pools contributing to TSC. The comprehensive RNA-seq dataset presented here compiles the transcriptomic profiles of early NPC and NCC differentiation, shedding light on the developmental origin of TSC neoplasms while presenting insight into the role of TSC2-mTORC1 signaling in early cell fate decisions. This resource and *in vitro* model system are together intended to serve as a platform to further investigate the mechanisms underlying TSC tumorigenesis that remain elusive, such as the

sex-specific mesenchymal manifestations of LAM, and to identify previously unconsidered

vulnerabilities that span multiple TSC2-deficient cell lineages.

Acknowledgements:

We thank Catherine Lawrence for her inspiration, and members of the Stanford Lab for thoughtful

review of this paper. We thank the Tier 1 Canada Research Chair Program in Integrative Stem Cell

Biology (WLS) for support. This research was supported by grants to WLS from the Canadian Institutes

for Health Research (CIHR) (FRN-153188), the McEwen Centre for Regenerative Medicine (a Special

Accelerated Discovery grant supported by Green Eggs and LAM), the LAM Foundation (Pilot grant

LAM0123P01-17) ,and the United States Department of Defense via the Tuberous Sclerosis Complex

Research Program of the Congressionally Directed Medical Research Program (W81XWH-14-1-0434).

SPD was supported by the Judith R. Raymond International Scholarship. LMJ was supported by CIHR

Banting and Ontario Institute of Regenerative Medicine Postdoctoral Fellowships and Cancer

Research Society Next Generation of Scientists Scholarship.

Author Contributions:

Conceptualization, S.P.D., L.M.J., and W.L.S.
Methodology, S.P.D. and L.M.J.
Investigation, S.P.D., L.M.J., A.P., J.Y-L., C.D., T.T.W., V.C.D., A.R., D.A.P., M.E.H., and H.S.
Formal Analysis, S.P.D., L.M.J., A.P., J.Y-L, T.T.W., and S.H.
Writing – Original Draft, S.P.D. and L.M.J.
Writing – Review & Editing, S.P.D., L.M.J., A.P., J.Y-L., D.A.P., M.E.H., H.S., and W.L.S.
Funding Acquisition, S.P.D., L.M.J., and W.L.S
Resources, W.L.S.
Visualization, S.P.D., L.M.J., J.Y-L.
Supervision, W.L.S.

Declaration of Interests:

The authors declare no competing interests.

METHODS and MATERIALS

Cell Culture

hESC lines H9 (WiCell WA-09), H7 (WiCell WA-07), H1 (WiCell WA-01) and induced pluripotent stem cell line 168 (Chen et al., 2017) were maintained on diluted Matrigel (BD Biosciences #354230) in Essential 8 (E8) media: DMEM/F-12 (Life Technologies #11330), 0.064µg/ml Ascorbic Acid 2 Phosphate Mg (Sigma #A8960), 14µg/ml sodium selenium (Sigma #S526), 0.1µg/ml FGF2 (Life Technologies #PHG0263), 19.4µg/ml human Insulin (Wisent #511-016-CM), 10.7µg/ml transferrin (Sigma #T0665), 0.002µg/ml TGF-β1 (Life Technologies #PHG9202), 543µg/ml NaHCO3 (Sigma #5761) (Chen et al., 2011). Media was replaced daily, and PSCs were passaged every 4-5 days using 0.5mM EDTA.

NCCs were maintained on poly-L-ornithine (PLO; 0.0015%)/fibronectin (10µg/ml) coated growth surface in neural induction media (NIM): 1:1 DMEM/F-12: Neural Basal Media (Life Technologies #21103-049), 0.5x N2 Supplement (Life Technologies #17502-048), 0.5x B27 Supplement (Life technologies #17504-044), 5µg/ml human Insulin, 0.02µg/ml FGF2, 0.02µg/ml hEGF (Sigma #E9644), 0.5x GlutaMax (Life Technologies #35050061). Media was replenished every second day. For passaging, 90% confluent NCCs were dissociated with Accutase and plated at a density of 20,000-25,000 cells/cm^2, approximately every 4-6 days, until a maximum of 10 passages. NPCs were maintained on diluted Matrigel in NIM (Matrigel diluted 1/18 in DMEM-F12 for coating). For passaging, cells were dissociated with Accutase and plated at a density of 100,000-150,000 cells/cm^2, at the highest end of this range for the first 2 passages. Cells were supplemented with 10µM y-27632 (ROCKi; Tocris #1254) for the first passage 2h prior to harvest and for 48h post-plating. A half-media change was performed every 2-3 days with 75% of media replenished weekly. Cells were passaged

when confluent, typically 2-3 weeks for the first 2 passages, and 1-1.5 weeks thereafter for a maximum of 10 passages, when growth of *TSC2*^{-/-} NPCs markedly slows. All cells were maintained at 37°C, 5% O_2, 10% CO_2.

CRISPR/Cas9 Genome Editing

At 80% confluence, hPSCs were dissociated with Accutase (STEMCELL Technologies #07920). Cells were rinsed off of the growth surface and gently triturated. Accutase was then diluted 1:4 in PBS^{-/-} (Life Technologies #14190-250) and then pelleted (250g, 5 min). Cells were resuspended in PBS^{-/-} for counting. For each CRISPR/Cas9 transfection sample, 1×10^6 cells were aliquoted into microcentrifuge tubes and pelleted (250g, 5 min). Pellets were resuspended in 100µl electrolyte buffer E2 (Thermo Fisher #MPK10096) with 3µg CRISPR/sgRNA plasmid (Addgene #48139) (Ran et al., 2013) and 1.75µg of TSC2 exon 3 ssODN donor sequence (Table 1; Integrated DNA Technologies) or 3µg *AAVS1:mCherry* donor plasmid (supplemental information). Samples were electroporated using the Neon Transfection System (Thermo Fisher MPK5000) using 100µl tips (Thermo Fisher #MPK10096) at 2 pulses of 1050v, 30ms pulse width. Electroporated cells were then plated onto diluted Matrigel at low density in E8 media containing 10µM ROCKi. Electroporated cultures were left to grow until individual colonies formed. Expanding colonies were picked into Matrigel coated 96-well cluster plates for PCR/restriction enzyme-based screening for edited cells. Karyotypic analysis revealed no chromosomal abnormalities in 7 of the 8 CRISPR/Cas9 edited cell lines utilized in this study (data not shown). Trisomy 12, a common chromosomal abnormality in hPSC cultures (Mayshar et al., 2010), was detected in a subpopulation of H1 *TSC2*^{-/-} cells (35%) and is, thus, not related to CRISPR/Cas9 editing. Guide RNA (gRNA) sequences utilized to modify the *TSC2* and *AAVS1* loci (Table 1) were identified and high scoring (98 and 80, respectively) (Hsu et al., 2013) gRNA sequences were selected to minimize potential off target cleavage events. To identify potential CRISPR/Cas9-induced off-target mutations in *TSC2*^{-/-} hPSCs, the highest scoring predicted guide RNA off-target sites were identified using the CRISPOR algorithm (Haeussler et al., 2016).

Sequencing of these regions revealed no off-target cleavage induced mutations in any of the $TSC2^{-/-}$

hPSC lines. See table 1 and supplementary methods for DNA/plasmid/oligonucleotide sequences.

Table 1: DNA/Oligo sequences utilized for genome editing. (GE: genome editing, ssODN: single stranded oligonucleotide, PCR: polymerase chain reaction)

Name	Application	Manufacturer	DNA/Oligo sequence
TSC2 Exon3 gRNA	GE	Invitrogen	TCATCCGGATGCGATTGTTG
TSC2 Exon3 stop codon donor ssODN	GE	Integrated DNA Technologies	AAATTTCTTGGTTTTTGCGACTTCACAAATC TGCCCTATCCTACAACAGCTTAATTAAGGTT TAAACGCCATGACATCCGGATGCGATTGTT GAGGCCACATTCCATGCTCAGTT
TSC2 Exon3 PCR F	PCR	Invitrogen	TCCTCGGGATGGAGCAGTAA
TSC2 Exon3 PCR R	PCR	Invitrogen	TGCAAACCAGATCATCGGCA
AAVS1 gRNA	GE	Invitrogen	GTCACCAATCCTGTCCCTAG

PCR Screening/Genotyping of CRISPR/Cas9 Edited Cells

Genomic DNA was harvested using QuickExtract solution (screening of CRISPR/Cas9 clones;

Epicentre EPI-QE0905T) or NucleoSpin Tissue kit (routine genotyping; Macherey-Nagel #740952.25).

OneTaq DNA polymerase (New England BioLabs #M0480) was utilized using primers flanking the

genome editing target site of exon 3 of *TSC2*. Cycling parameters are as follows: 94°C initial

denaturation for 30s, 25x [94°C 20s, 56.5°C 30s, 68°C 30s], 68°C 5m, 4°C ∞. PCR amplicons were

then digested with PmeI restriction enzyme (New England BioLabs #R0560S) in OneTaq buffer for 1h

at 37°C. Agarose gel electrophoresis was utilized to resolve digested amplicons indicating successful

integration of 'stop codon' donor sequence.

EB-NCC Differentiation

Day 0: Aggrewell plates (STEMCELL Technologies #34425, #34415) were prepared using pluronic

solution (2% pluronic w/v in sterile H_2O), centrifuging at 1,300g for 5min, followed by 2 washes with

prewarmed DMEM/F-12. Half differentiation culture volume of NIM +10µM ROCKi was added to each

well and placed at 37°C until ready for use. One day prior to routine passaging, 10µM ROCKi was

added to pristine undifferentiated hPSCs 2h before dissociation with Accutase. Cells were incubated in

Accutase for 10-20min, until cells were easily washed off of the growth surface. Cell suspension was

diluted 1:4 in DMEM/F-12 and pelleted at 250g for 5min. Cells were resuspended in NIM +10µM

ROCKi and counted. Appropriate volumes of cell suspension were added to Aggrewells to generate

750cell EBs, and wells were topped up to 5ml (6 well format) or 2ml (24 well format) with NIM+ROCKi.

Aggrewell plates were centrifuged at 100g for 3min and placed at 37°C, 5% O_2, 10% CO_2. Day 1: 24h

later, all media was carefully removed from each well to minimize disturbing newly formed EBs in

microwells. NIM +10µM SB431542 (Tocris: 1614) and 500nM LDN-193189 (Stemgent: 04-0074)

(NIM+SB/LDN) was carefully added to each well and Aggrewell plates were returned to 37°C, 5% O_2,

10% CO_2. Days 2-4: Half media changes with NIM+SB/LDN. Day 5: EBs were dislodged from

microwells using a P1000 and transferred to 15ml conical tubes to allow EBs to gravity settle. Media

was refreshed with NIM+SB/LDN, and EBs were plated onto PLO/fibronectin coated plates at a

density of 40 EBs/cm^2. EBs were placed at 37°C, 5% O_2, 10% CO_2 and dispersed evenly over the

growth surface. Days 6-11: Media was replaced every other day with NIM+SB/LDN. Day 12:

Neuralized adherent EB clusters were carefully washed off of the growth surface using a P1000, and

media was aspirated. Cells were dissociated with Accutase and passed through a 40µM mesh filter to

remove any remaining cell clumps. Accutase was diluted 1:4 with DMEM/F-12, and enriched NCCs

were pelleted (250g, 5min), resuspended in NIM, and seeded onto PLO/fibronectin coated culture

ware at a density of 25,000-30,000 cells/cm^2.

NCC Neural/Glial/Mesenchymal Differentiation

At routine passaging, maintenance NCCs were seeded at a density of 20,000-25,000 cells/cm^2 onto

PLO/fibronectin coated tissue culture ware in NIM. Differentiation towards neural, glial, and

mesenchymal fates was initiated 24h later. Neural: NIM was replaced with basal NIM (bNIM; NIM

without insulin, hEGF, and FGF2) supplemented with 50ng/µl BMP2 (STEMCELL Technologies

#78135). Glial: NIM was replaced with bNIM supplemented with 20ng/ml Heregulin-β1 (STEMCELL

Technologies #78071) for 5 days. Media was then changed to bNIM supplemented with 5µM Forskolin

(STEMCELL Technologies #72112) and 10% FBS (Life Tech #12483-020). Mesenchymal: Adipocyte

differentiation was carried out using the MesenCult Human Adipogenic Differentiation kit (STEMCELL

Technologies #05412) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Smooth muscle cell (SMC)

differentiation was carried out by replacing NIM with bNIM supplemented with 10ng/ml TGF-β3 (Sigma #SRP3171). After 72h, media was replaced with 1:1 blend of bNIM and Media 231 (Life Technologies #M-231-500) supplemented with smooth muscle growth supplement (SMGS; Life Technologies #S00725) and 10ng/ml TGF-β3. After a total of 7 days, media was replaced with Media 231 supplemented with SMGS and 10ng/ml TGF-β3. Differentiating SMCs were passaged at 90-95% confluence when needed. All differentiations were carried out for 14 days, with media replacement every other day. Cultures were fixed with 3.7% PFA at endpoint for analysis. Oil red staining of adipocytes was carried out as previously described (Mehlem et al., 2013).

Monolayer-NPC Differentiation

hPSCs were passaged as intact colonies, maintained on Matrigel, and neural lineage differentiation was initiated when the cultures were 90%+ confluent to promote neuroepithelial induction (Tchieu et al., 2017), typically 3-5 days post-passage. Neuroectoderm differentiation was initiated by replacing E8 with KSR media containing 10µM SB and 500nM LDN. KSR media (for 500mL) contains 414mL of Knockout-DMEM (Life Tech, #10829-018) with 15% Knockout-serum replacement (Life Tech, #10828-028), 5mL of 100X Glutamax (Thermo Fisher, #35050061), 5mL of 100X MEM-NEAA (Gibco, #11140-050), 500uL **β**-ME (Thermo Fisher, #21985023), and 500µL Gentimicin (Wisent, #450-135). Media was changed daily as KSR+ SB & LDN from days 0-3. At day 4, N2 media was added in increasing amounts in the following ratios: days 0-3 100% KSR, days 4-5 75:25 KSR:N2, days 6-7 50:50 KSR:N2, days 8-9 25:75 KSR:N2, days 10-11 100% N2, harvest at day 12. LDN supplementation was maintained at all days, while SB was included only on days 0-3. N2 media composition is as follows (for 500mL): 486.5mL of DMEM-F12, 15% glucose, 5mL N2 supplement, 20µg/mL human insulin, 2.5mL Hepes (Life Tech, #15630080), and 500µL Gentimicin. Cells were maintained at 37°C, 5% O_2, 10% CO_2 during differentiation.

NPC-neuronal Differentiation

hPSC-derived NPCs at passage 0-2 were harvested with Accutase and plated on PLO/Laminin-coated glass coverslips (Thermo Fisher, #12-545-101) at a density of 10,000-20,000 cells/cm^2. For substrate coating, coverslips were first sterilized in EtOH and washed extensively in sterile 1X PBS$^{-/-}$, then placed in plastic wells and submerged in PLO (Sigma, P4957) solution (15% of 0.01% stock in sterile H$_2$0) for 2h at room temperature or overnight at 4°C. PLO was aspirated and immediately replaced with 25µg/mL laminin in 1X PBS$^{-/-}$, incubated at 37°C for 2h and stored at 4°C for up to 1 week or at -20°C for long-term storage. Differentiation to neuronal cultures was performed as described (Costa et al., 2016) with some modifications. Briefly, differentiation was induced when cells were ~70% confluent, typically 2-3 days post-plating, by replacing media with Neuronal differentiation media, containing 50:50 DMEM-F12: Neurobasal, 2.5mL N2 supplement and 5mL B27 supplement per 500mL, and 20ng/mL each of BDNF (Peprotech, 450-02) and GDNF (Peprotech, 450-10), 500µg/mL Dibutyryl cAMP (Sigma, #D0627), and 200nM L-ascorbic acid (Sigma). Cells were also transferred to normoxic conditions at the time of differentiation induction (37°C, 5% CO$_2$). Cells were fed twice weekly by replacing 75% of spent with fresh media. Cells were differentiated for 4-6 weeks prior to collection for functional assays or fixation for IHC. Cell fixation was performed by removing all but 250µL of cell media from each well (24-well format) and adding 250µL of 8% PFA, to limit loss of fragile neurite networks. Cells were fixed on ice for 1h, and then at room temperature for 30 minutes before carefully removing PFA, washing gently once in 1X PBS$^{-/-}$ and storing at 4°C in 1X PBS$^{-/-}$.

Whole-cell Patch Clamp Recording

Cells were collected from glass coverslips in 24-well culture plates. Before transfer to the recording chamber in an upright Nikon Eclipse E600FN microscope, culture medium was gradually changed to oxygenated artificial cerebral spinal fluid (ACSF) containing (mM): 124 NaCl, 5 KCl, 1.25 NaH2PO4, 1.2 MgSO4, 26 NaHCO3, 2 CaCl2, and 10 glucose, in 30 mins at room temperature (Gunhanlar et al., 2018). Whole-cell patch clamp recordings were obtained from differentiated neurons at 4-6 weeks following the initiation of the differentiation procedure (Sun et al., 2018). Patch electrodes with a resistance of 5-10 M were prepared from borosilicate glass capillaries with a Narishige micropipette

puller (Model PC-100, Tokyo, Japan). Pipette intracellular solution contains (mM): 130 K-Gluconate, 2 MgCl2, 0.6 EGTA, 10 HEPES, 5 KCl, 2 ATP-Mg(Na2), pH 7.3. Data were collected using MultiClamp 700B amplifier. Signals were filtered at 2kHz, digitized at 20kHz by a Digidata 1500 interface, acquired by the pClamp 10.7 software, and analyzed with the Clampfit 10.7 program (Molecular Devices). To characterize the intrinsic membrane properties of cells, hyper- and depolarizing rectangular pulses of 500-1000ms duration were applied. Spontaneous EPSCs (sEPSCs) were recorded at a -60mV holding potential for at least 10 mins to isolate AMPAR mediated currents from NMDAR mediated currents. Additionally, picrotoxin (PTX) (100M), a GABAA blocker, was administered to further pharmacologically isolate AMPAR mediated currents. sEPSC events were detected automatically using Clampfit 10.7, and frequency and amplitude histograms were constructed using this program. All events were confirmed visually on the basis of the rise and decay times. All electrophysiology data were expressed as mean ± SEM. Data was first tested for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk normality test. Then, statistical significance was assessed using a one-way ANOVA test followed by post hoc Bonferroni test, and a Mann-Whitney rank test for comparisons of data that were not normally distributed. Statistical significance differences are established as $p < 0.05$.

Teratoma Assays

Teratoma assays were performed as previously described (Chang et al., 2013). Briefly, hPSCs were harvested using Accutase 1 day prior to routine passaging, diluted 1:4 in DMEM/F-12, and pelleted (250g, 5min). Cells were resuspended in E8 media and counted. 1×10^6 cells were aliquoted, pelleted, and resuspended in 100µl ice cold Matrigel for each planned injection. Matrigel embedded cells were injected intramuscularly into the tibialis anterior of *NOD/SCID* mice. Once large visible leg tumors were observed (8-12 weeks), mice were sacrificed and teratomas were excised and fixed in 10% formalin. Teratomas were then processed for paraffin embedding, sectioned, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin for analysis.

Imaging Flow Cytometry

hPSCs were dissociated with Accutase, diluted 1:4 in PBS$^{-/-}$, and then pelleted (250g, 5min). Cell

pellets were resuspended in 3.7% PFA and fixed for 15min at room temperature. Fixed cells were

washed twice with excess PBS$^{-/-}$, followed by permeabilization in ice-cold 90% methanol for 30min on

ice, followed by 2 washes in staining buffer: 1% BSA (Wisent # 800-095-EG), 0.1% Triton-X (BioShop

#TRX777) in PBS$^{-/-}$. Samples of 5 x 10^5 cell were aliquoted into 5ml round bottom tubes and blocked

in excess staining buffer for 30min on ice. Cells were pelleted and resuspended in 100µl of 1:100

TSC2 antibody (Table 2) diluted in staining buffer and incubated at room temperature for 1h. Cells

were washed in excess blocking/staining buffer, and resuspended in 100µl of 1:1000 secondary

antibody diluted in staining buffer and incubated for 30min at room temperature, shielded from light.

Cells were washed once with excess staining buffer and resuspended in 200µl containing 2µg/ml

Hoechst 33342 (Invitrogen #H3570) diluted in PBS$^{-/-}$. Single stain and no stain controls were prepared

in parallel. Cells were passed through a 40µm cell strainer (Falcon #352340) prior to imaging on the

Amnis ImageStreamX Imaging Flow Cytometer.

Flow Cytometry

EB-NCC cultures were rinsed with media to remove neuralized adherent EB-clusters using a P1000,

prior to harvesting with Accutase. Matching undifferentiated hPSC lines were harvested in parallel with

Accutase. Cells were gently lifted via trituration, diluted 1:4 in DMEM/F-12, and passed through a

40µm cell strainer. Cells were pelleted, resuspended in NIM, counted, and 5 x 10^5 cells were aliquoted

into round bottom tubes for staining. Cells were blocked in excess FACs buffer (4% FBS [Life Tech

#12483-020], 100µM EDTA in PBS$^{-/-}$) for 15min on ice. Cells were pelleted and resuspended in 100µl

1:20 diluted p75-Alexa Fluor 647 conjugated antibody (BD Biosciences: #560326) in FACS buffer.

Cells were incubated for 30min on ice, shielded from light. Cells were washed once with excess FACS

buffer, and resuspended in 500µl of 1:2000 diluted Sytox Blue nucleic acid stain (Thermo Fisher

#S11348) in FACS buffer. Mouse IgG$_1$-Alexa Fluor 647 isotype controls (BD Biosciences #557783)

and no stain controls were prepared in parallel. Cells were passed through a 40µm filter and

immediately measured using the BD Biosciences LSR-Fortessa flow cytometer and analyzed with FloJo (version 10.5.3).

Western Blotting

Cell lysates were collected after washing cells with ice cold PBS$^{-/-}$, followed by brief incubation on ice with RIPA cell lysis buffer: 150mM NaCl, 1% Triton-X, 0.1% SDS, 50mM Tris, 1x PhosSTOP phosphatase inhibitor mix (Sigma # 4906845001), 1x complete protease inhibitor mix (Sigma 11873580001). Cells were scraped and transferred to microcentrifuge tubes and rotated at 4°C for 30min, followed by centrifugation at 16,000g at 4°C for 20min to generate cleared lysates. Lysates were stored at -80°C. After thawing for analysis, protein concentrations were normalized and probed via western blot, imaged using the Odyssey Classic Infrared System (LI-COR Biosciences), and analyzed using standard densitometry techniques using FIJI (Schindelin et al., 2012). Antibodies utilized for western blot can be seen in Table 2.

Live Cell Staining

NPC or NCCs were dissociated on day of routine passaging and seeded into 96-well cluster plates for live high content imaging assays. NPCs were seeded at a density of 20,000 cells per well and NCCs were seeded at a density of 7,500 cells per well. 24h after plating media was replenished and, if applicable, cells were administered treatments of rapamycin or chloroquine. After 24h incubation (or 72h for post-rapamycin Lysosensor staining of NPCs), cells were prepared for live imaging by washing with media, followed by the addition of live cell dyes in NIM with 2µg/ml Hoechst 33342: 1:1000 Lysosensor Green DND-189 (Thermo Fisher #7535), 1:2000 Cyto-ID Autophagy Detection dye (ENZO # ENZ-51031), 20nM Mitotracker Deep Red FM (Thermo Fisher #M22426), or 25µM carboxy-H2DCFDA (Thermo Fisher #I36007). Cells were incubated with live cell dyes for 30min under maintenance conditions. Cultures were then carefully washed three times for 5min with NIM, and media was replenished with appropriate treatments for imaging. Imaging for high content quantification was performed using the Thermo Fisher ArrayScan VTI High Content Screening (HCS) platform,

utilizing the live cell chamber at 37°C, 5% CO_2. Image analysis was facilitated by HCS Studio software (version 6.5.0).

Cytotoxicity Assays

Cells were seeded and grown following maintenance conditions for 24 hours. They were then treated with 100nM Rapamycin (Calbiochem #553211) and/or 10µM Chloroquine (Sigma, C6628) and/or various concentrations of Bortezomib (Adooq Bioscience #A10160) or Carfilzomib (Adooq Bioscience #A11278) as well as 0.1% DMSO for 24 or 48 hours. They were then stained with 2µg/mL Hoechst and 10nM Sytox Green (Thermo Fisher #S7020) for 30 minutes. Imaging was performed using the Thermo Fisher ArrayScan VTI HCS platform, utilizing the live cell chamber at 37°C, 5% CO2. Image analysis was facilitated by HCS Studio software, in which cell death was assessed using the percentage of Sytox Green positive cells in a given population.

Immunofluorescence

Unless specified for specific antibody targets, all immunostaining was performed as follows: Cells were fixed with 3.7% PFA for 15min at room temperature after removing media, followed by 3 washes with PBS^{-/-}. Cells were permeabilized and blocked in excess immunofluorescence staining buffer (IF-SB; 1% BSA, 0.1% Triton-X in PBS^{-/-}) for 1h at room temperature. IF-SB used for blocking was removed, and IF-SB containing diluted primary antibodies (see Table 2) was added to each sample and incubated overnight at 4°C. Samples were then washed three times for 10min before the addition of secondary antibody diluted 1:1000 (Table 2) in IF-SB. Samples were incubated in secondary antibody solution for 1h at room temperature, followed by three washes with PBS^{-/-}, in which 2µg/ml Hoechst 33342 was included in the final wash step. Images were captured using the Zeiss Observer A1 or Observer Z1 fluorescence microscopes, or captured for high content analysis using the ArrayScan VTI HCS platform and Studio software.

Alterations to the immunostaining protocol: HNK-1 cell surface staining was completed after PFA fixation, but prior to cell permeabilization. For HMB45 staining, samples were permeabilized using 100% ice-cold methanol for 10min on ice following PFA fixation. For LAMP1 and LC3ß immunostaining, cells were fixed in ice-cold 100% methanol prior to proceeding with blocking and staining.

Table 2: Antibodies used in this study. (IF = Immunofluorescence, IFC = Imaging flow cytometry, FC = flow cytometry, WB = western blot)

Antibody	Manufacturer	Catalog #	Dilution	Application
4E-BP1	Cell Signalling Technology	9452	1:1000	WB
AMPKα	Cell Signalling Technology	5832	1:1000	WB
Calponin	DAKO	M3556	1:250	IF
CD271 (p75)	BD Biosciences	560326	1:20	FC
GAPDH	Abcam	ab8245	1:5000	WB
GFAP	ThermoFisher	MA5-12023	1:40	IF
IgG1 κ Isotype control	BD Biosciences	55778	1:20	FC
LAMP1	Cell Signalling Technology	9091	1:400	IF
LC3β	Cell Signalling Technology	3868	1:200/1:500	IF/WB
MAP2	Invitrogen	13-1500	1:100	IF
mTOR	Cell Signalling Technology	4517	1:1000	WB
NANOG	Cell Signalling Technology	4903	1:200	IF
Nestin	Millipore	MAB5326	1:100	IF
OCT3/4	BD Biosciences	611203	1:400	IF
p70 S6 Kinase	Cell Signalling Technology	2708	1:1000	WB
PAX6	BD Biosciences	561462	1:200	IF
PAX6	Biolegend	901301	1:100	IF
Phospho-4E-BP1 (Thr37/46)	Cell Signalling Technology	2855	1:1000	WB
Phospho-AMPKα (Thr172)	Cell Signalling Technology	2535	1:1000	WB
Phospho-mTOR (Ser 2448)	Cell Signalling Technology	5536	1:1000	WB
Phospho-p70 S6 Kinase (Thr389)	Cell Signalling Technology	9206	1:1000	WB
Phospho-S6 (Ser235/236)	Cell Signalling Technology	2211	1:200/1:1000	IF/WB
Phospho-ULK1 (Ser555)	Cell Signalling Technology	5869	1:500	WB
Phospho-ULK1 (Ser757)	Cell Signalling Technology	6888	1:1000	WB
PMEL (HMB45)	ThermoFisher	MA5-13232	1:50	IF

S6	Cell Signalling Technology	2317	1:1000	WB
SOX10	R&D Systems	MAB2864	1:200	IF
SOX2	Millipore	AB5603	1:200	IF
TSC2	Cell Signalling Tecnology	4308	1:200/1:1000	IF/IFC/WB
Tubulin-β3 (Tuj1)	Biolegend	801201	1:100	IF
ULK1 (total)	Cell Signalling Technology	8054	1:1000	WB
α-Smooth Muscle Actin	Millipore	CBL171	1:250	IF
β-Actin	Cell Signalling Technology	3700	1:1000	WB
DyLight 800 goat anti Ms IgG	Invitrogen	SA535521	1:10,000	WB
Alexa Fluor 680 goat anti rabbit IgG	Life Tech	A21076	1:10,000	WB
Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti Ms IgM	Life Tech	A21042	1:1000	IF
Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti Ms IgG1	Life Tech	A21121	1:1000	IF
Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti Ms IgG	Life Tech	A11001	1:1000	IF
Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti Rb IgG	Life Tech	A11034	1:1000	IF/IFC
Alexa Fluor 647 goat anti Ms IgG	Life Tech	A21235	1:1000	IF
Alexa Fluor 647 goat anti Rb IgG	Life Tech	A21245	1:1000	IF
Alexa Fluor 647 goat anti Ms IgG1	Life Tech	A21240	1:1000	IF
Alexa Fluor 647 goat anti Ms IgG2A	Life Tech	A21241	1:1000	IF

Proteostat Staining

Cells were fixed with 3.7% PFA for 15min at room temperature, followed by three washes with $PBS^{-/-}$.

Cells were then permeabilized with 0.1% Triton-X diluted in $PBS^{-/-}$ for 10min, followed by three washes

with $PBS^{-/-}$. Proteostat aggresomes detection dye (ENZO #ENZ-51035-K100) was diluted 1:2000 in

$PBS^{-/-}$ containing 2µg/ml Hoechst 33342. Samples were incubated for 30min at room temperature,

followed by three washes, 10min each, with $PBS^{-/-}$. Images were captured using the Zeiss Observer

A1 or Observer Z1 fluorescence microscopes, or captured for high content analysis using the

ArrayScan VTI HCS platform and Studio software.

Motility Assay

NCCs were dissociated for plating on day of routine passaging and seeded into 96-well cluster plates

at increasing densities of 1,000 to 6,000 cells per well in NIM. 24h later, media was replenished and

100nM rapamycin or DMSO was added to appropriate samples. Time lapse imaging (15 minute intervals over 6h) of mCherry or CellTracker Deep Red (Thermo Fisher #C34565) signal was performed utilizing the Thermo Fisher ArrayScan VTI HCS platform, utilizing the live cell chamber at 37°C, 5% CO_2. CellTracker Deep Red staining was performed according to the manufacturers recommended protocol. Cell tracking image analysis was performed on wells displaying 30-60% confluence, using HCS Studio software.

Extracellular Flux Analysis

Extracellular flux assays were performed by Seahorse technologies, using the 96XF platform (Agilent Technologies), as previously described for mitochondrial stress test (Julian et al., 2017). Modifications are: cells were seeded in Seahorse XF plates on Matrigel at 50,000 cells/well for NPCs and 25,000 cells/well for NCCs 1 day prior to analysis. Monensin was used, at 20µM, to stimulate maximal ATP demand and glycolytic capacity through the plasma membrane Na^+/K^+-ATPase (Mookerjee et al., 2016). Each 'measurement' recorded consisted of a 3 minute mixing period at resting state or following addition of indicated chemicals, followed by a 3 minute interval during which O_2 consumption rates were actively measured and recorded. O_2 consumption rates were normalized to the number of cells plated, based on an equivalent # of cells remaining in *WT* and *TSC2*^{−/−} wells at assay end-point (Figure S7B&C). ATP production rates and Bioenergetic capacity were calculated as described (Mookerjee et al., 2018).

RNA-Seq sample preparation and sequencing

Cells were dissociated using Accutase and diluted 1:4 in PBS^{−/−} prior to pelleting (250g, 5min). Cells were resuspended in PBS^{−/−} and total cell count was noted. Cells were pelleted (250g, 5min) and resuspended in TRIzol reagent (Thermo Fisher # 15596026). Samples were stored immediately at - 80°C until processing for RNA extraction. RNA extraction was performed in batches including all differentiation time points within a *WT* and *TSC2*^{−/−} cell line pairing. RNA extraction was performed according to the manufacturer's protocol followed by DNase digestion (Qiagen #79254) and ethanol

precipitation of RNA. RNA concentration was estimated using NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher ND-2000) and ERCC spike in (Thermo Fisher #4456740) was added, normalizing to cell number. Quality control of RNA integrity and total RNA concentration was then measured using the Bioanalyzer Eukaryote Total RNA Pico kit (Agilent #5067-1513). Only samples with RIN values of 8.0 or greater were submitted for sequencing. Total RNA H9 *WT* and *TSC2$^{-/-}$* NCC sample libraries were prepared with ribosome depletion (Illumina # 20020598), all other sample libraries were prepared using the TruSeq Stranded mRNA kit (Illumina #RS-122-2101). All samples were sequenced on the Illumina NextSeq500 or NovaSeq6000 platform (Illumina) generating at least 30 million reads per sample. Reads were assigned to transcripts from GENCODE release 27 using Salmon (Patro et al., 2017) and differentially expressed genes were identified using DESeq2 v1.20.0 (Love et al., 2014). DESeq2 analysis modelled fold change between time points using the cell line as an independent factor (using the model '~*cell_line* + *condition*'), and fold changes were calculated using lfcShrink function, applying the apeglm method (v 1.2.1) (Zhu et al., 2018). Gene ontology enrichment analysis was performed using clusterProfiler R package (Yu et al., 2012). Time course gene expression clustering was performed using Dirichlet process Gaussian process mixture model using the DP_GP_Cluster Python package (McDowell et al., 2018) to cluster TPM values for genes that show a significant expression difference (using a 5% FDR threshold) between sequential timepoints. Separate gene lists were calculated for NPC and NCC time courses, and expression patterns were clustered separately for *WT* and *TSC2$^{-/-}$* cells within each protocol. All RNA sequencing datasets are available upon request and will be submitted to GEO.

Statistical analysis

Sample data acquired from H9, H7, H1 and 168 hPSCs and their derivatives were considered biological replicates within a given assay or experiment. Multiple biological replicates from each cell line were utilized within a given experiment whenever possible. When data were normalized to *WT* samples, test sample data were normalized to their respective isogenic *WT* control before determining mean values, etc. Unless otherwise indicated, statistical significance was assessed using a one-way

ANOVA test followed by Bonferroni post hoc analysis. When only 2 groups were compared a student's

t-test was used.

Supplemental Multimedia:

Video S1: Time lapse video of motility assay featuring *WT* and *TSC2*$^{-/-}$ NCCs with and without 100nM

Rapamycin.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Figure S1: Related to Figure 1.

(A) Western blot probing for TSC2 in *WT* and *TSC2*$^{-/-}$ hPSC lines.

(B) Haemotoxylin and eosin staining of H7, H1, and 168 *WT* and *TSC2*$^{-/-}$ teratoma explants. Arrows indicate examples of immature tissues of ectodermal, endodermal, and mesodermal origin. Scale bars, 100μm. (C) Western blots probing for total mTOR, S6K, and S6 of samples treated for 6h under no treatment, 1% O_2, and +100nM rapamycin conditions. (D) Heatmap displaying $\log_2$ fold change of select hallmark NPC and NCC genes at RNAseq endpoint for monolayer-NPC and EB-NCC differentiations. (E) Representative immunofluorescence staining of lineage specific markers PAX6 (neural ectoderm), SOX9 and HNK-1 (neural crest) in *WT* and *TSC2*$^{-/-}$ cultures at d12 EB-NCC differentiation. Scale bar, 100μm. (F) Representative flow cytometry analysis of p75 expression in *WT* and *TSC2*$^{-/-}$ cultures at d12 EB-NCC differentiation prior establishing maintenance populations. (G) Percent p75+ cells at d12 of EB-NCC differentiation. Error values are the mean ± SEM (total n = 8; 2x for each cell line).

Figure S2: Related to Figure 2A-F.

(A) Phase images of *WT* and *TSC2^-/-^* cells during monolayer-NPC induction at differentiation days 5 and 12, displaying increased cell size and vesicle accumulation in *TSC2^-/-^* cultures. Scale bar, 50μm. (B) Exemplary western blot of H1 and H7 NPC cultures, probing for TSC2, P-S6 and S6, revealing constitutive S6 phosphorylation in *TSC2^-/-^* cells.

(C) Quantification of NPC volume, relative to *WT* by Cellomics ArrayScan imaging analysis. Values are the mean ± SEM (n=13, including all cell lines). (D) Quantification of PAX6 fluorescence intensity in NPCs, relative to *WT* NPCs. Values are mean ± SEM (n = 5; 1x H7, 2x for H1 and 168 at p0-3). (E) Quantification of SOX2 fluorescence intensity in NPCs over early, mid, and late passage ranges, normalized to *WT* NPCs. Values are mean ± SEM (n = 6 early, n = 5 mid, n = 3 late).

(F) Immunofluorescence image of SOX2 staining in *TSC2^-/-^* NPC cultures. (n = 4 early (2x 168, 1x each H7 and H9), n = 9 mid (2x H1, 3x H7, 1x H9, 3x 168), n = 3 late (1x H1, 2x H7)). (G) Quantification of SOX2 subcellular localization, displaying the ratio of *TSC2^-/-^* to *WT* fluorescence intensity within nuclei (left) or cytoplasm (right).

(H) Representative immunostaining for neuronal marker MAP2 (top image) and glial marker GFAP (bottom image). Graph (bottom panel) displaying % of cells in neuronal cultures expressing high levels of GFAP relative to *WT*. (n = 4; 2x each H7 and H9). Scale bar, 50μm. (I) Representative traces of current clamp recordings of action potentials (left) and voltage clamp recordings of AMPA receptor-mediated sEPCs (top) in *WT, TSC2^-/-^,* and *TSC2^-/-^* +rapamycin neurons. Quantification of sEPSC frequency (bottom). Values are mean ± SEM.

Figure S3: Related to Figure 2G-K.

(A) Representative immunofluorescence staining of passage 1 maintenance NCC cultures, probing for neural crest specific markers, SOX9 and HNK-1, and the neuroepithelial marker, PAX6 (n = 8; 2x for each line). Scale bar, 100μm.

(B) Representative immunofluorescence staining of *WT* and *TSC2⁻/⁻* 168 NCCs differentiated towards neural (neuronal markers: Tuj1, Nestin), glial (Schwann cells: GFAP, maintaining SOX10 expression at endpoint) and mesenchymal lineages (smooth muscle cells: Calponin; adipocytes: oil red staining). Scale bars, 50μm. (C) Expression of hallmark EMT genes at d10 of EB-NCC differentiation. (D) Quantification of immunofluorescence intensity of mesenchymal TSC markers, P-S6 (n = 10; 3x for H1, H7, 168, 1x for H9) and α-smooth muscle actin (n = 12; 3x for each line), in maintenance NCCs.

Figure S4: Related to Figure 3.
(A) Venn diagrams featuring the intersections of significantly up/down regulated genes of *WT* and *TSC2*⁻/⁻ cultures at differentiation endpoint. Genes included were those with FDR<0.05, and a log2FC of >1.0 (up-regulated) or >-1.0 (down-regulated) at end-point compared to day0 of the given cell type. (B) Representative gene clusters displaying similar expression trajectories in *WT* and *TSC2*⁻/⁻ cultures throughout monolayer-NPC and EB-NCC differentiations. (C) Comparative KEGG pathway enrichment analysis of upregulated DEGs (padj < 0.05) in *TSC2*⁻/⁻ samples compared to *WT* at each respective time point of monolayer-NPC and EB-NCC differentiations. Red boxes highlight enrichment of stress response pathways. (D) Expression profiles of neuroepithelial genes throughout NPC and NCC differentiation, with the gene set analyzed indicated at the top of each graph. (E) Expression profiles of neural crest specification genes throughout NPC and NCC differentiation, with the gene set analyzed indicated at the top of each graph.

Figure S5: Related to Figure 4.

(A) Quantification of fluorescence intensity of Lysosensor live cell stain in *WT* and *TSC2*^{-/-} cultures during monolayer-NPC differentiation, ± 20nM rapamycin. Values are mean ± SEM (n=10; 1x H9, 3x H1, 2x H7, 4x 168); representative images of Lysosensor staining of differentiating NPCs at day 8 of differentiation. Scale bar, 50μm. (B) Representative images of TFE3 immunofluorescence staining of monolayer-NPC cultures at differentiation day 5 in H1 and H7 lines, ± 20nM rapamycin. Scale bar, 50μm. (C) Example immunofluorescence staining of neuralized EB clusters (PAX6^{+}) and migratory NCCs (SOX9^{+}) at day 7 of EB-NCC differentiation. Dashed lines delineate borders of attached neuralized EB clusters. Scale bar, 100μm. (D&E) Example Lysosensor (D) and Autophagosome (E) live cell staining at day 7 EB-NCC differentiation. Dashed lines define the borders of adherent neuralized EB clusters. Scale bars, 100μm.

Figure S6: Related to Figure 5.

(A) Quantification of CytoID autophagosome intensity in monolayer-NPC cultures at day 1 and 8 of lineage induction, in the absence and presence of 20nM rapamycin. Values are mean ± SEM (n=10; 1x H9, 3x H1, 2x H7, 4x 168). Scale bar, 100μm. (B) Quantification by densitometry of 1 representative Western blot, as shown in Figure 5E, per cell line (H1, H9, 168). Results displayed are log2FC of *TSC2*-/- compared to *WT*. (C) Representative P-S6 immunofluorescence staining at day 7 of EB-NCC differentiation cultures. Dashed lines delineate borders of attached neuralized EB clusters. Scale bar, 50μm. (D) Representative Western blot in *WT* and *TSC2*-/- cultures at days 0, 1, 3, 5, 8, and 12 of monolayer-NPC differentiation in the absence (left panel) and presence (right panel) of 20nM rapamycin initiating 6h prior to differentiation. Proteins assessed are TSC2, ULK1, and P-ULK1 at Serine-757 (mTORC1) (left). Quantification by densitometry of 1 representative Western blot per cell line (H1, H9, 168), displayed as *TSC2*-/- over *WT* fold-change, for P-ULK1 at Serine-757. (E) Quantification as in panel S6D for P-ULK1 at Serine-555. (F) Quantification as in panel S6D for total LC3ß signal, LC3ß-I, LC3ß-II, and the LC3ß-II/ LC3ß-I ratio. (G) Western blot image as described in panel S6D during monolayer-NPC differentiation. Proteins assessed are mTOR, P-mTOR at Serine-2448, S6K, P-S6K at Threonine-389, AMPK, and GAPDH. (H) Representative image of Proteostat staining in *WT* and *TSC2*-/- NPC maintenance cultures at passage 6. Scale bar, 50μm.

Figure S7: Related to Figures 6 and 7.
(A) Representative images of c-H2DCFDA staining for reactive oxygen species (ROS) in NPCs and undifferentiated hPSCs; quantification of ROS levels in maintenance NPCs. Values are mean ± SEM (n=3, 1x each H7, H9, 168).
(B&C) Cell number in dish at end-point of extracellular flux assays relative to *WT* in NPC (B) and NCC (C) cultures, measured as number of Hoechst[+] objects. Values are mean ± SEM (n=16 *WT*, n=10 and 11 *TSC2^{-/-}*).
(D-F) Toxicity observed in neural progenitor cells (left) and neural crest cells (right) 24 hours after treatments with Bortezomib (D), Carfilzomib (E) and Rapamycin or Chloroquine (F). Values are the mean ± SEM. (D-E)) n=7 for NPCs; 1x for H7, 4x for H9 and 2x for 168. n=11 for NCCs; 2x for H1, 2x for H7, 3x for H9 and 4x for 168. (F) n=4 for NPCs; 1x for H7 and 168, 2x for H9. n=4 for NCCs; 1x for H7 and H9, 2x for H1 and 168. Statistical significance (p < 0.05) was established by Two-way ANOVA and Tukey's post hoc analysis. (G) Bliss independence analysis of interactions between the proteasome inhibitors (Bortezomib and Carfilzomib), Rapamycin and Chloroquine. Values are the mean degree of synergy (difference between theoretical and observed toxicities) ± SE. n=4 for NPCs; 1x for H7 and 168, 2x for H9. n=6 for NCCs; 1x for H7 and H9, 2x for H1 and 168)). Statistical significance (p < 0.05) was determined by the Holm-Sidak method.

Sequencing of TSC2 exon 3 target region of *TSC2^-/-* hPSC cell lines:

Sanger sequencing was performed on all *TSC2^-/-* hPSC cell lines to ensure proper integration of the 'stop-codon' donor sequence (highlighted in chromatograms).

H9 *TSC2^-/-*

H7 *TSC2^-/-*

H1 *TSC2^-/-*

168 *TSC2^{-/-}*

AAVS1 Donor Plasmid Sequence:

To aid in live cell assays and any potential in vivo studies, a CMV early enhancer/chicken β actin (CAG) promoter driven mCherry/Zeocin-resistance transgene was integrated into the AVVS1 locus. All cell lines utilized in this study, excluding 168 *TSC2^{-/-}* cells, possess this transgene integration.

AAVS1: CAG-mCherry/T2A/Zeocin-Resistance donor plasmid sequence (in pUC19 backbone) and annotated map:

TCGCGCGTTTCGGTGATGACGGTGAAAACCTCTGACACATGCAGCTCCCGGAGACGGTCACAGCTTGTCTGTAAGCGGAT
GCCGGGAGCAGACAAGCCCGTCAGGGCGCGTCAGCGGGTGTTGGCGGGTGTCGGGGCTGGCTTAACTATGCGGCATCA
GAGCAGATTGTACTGAGAGTGCACCATATGCGGTGTGAAATACCGCACAGATGCGTAAGGAGAAAATACCGCATCAGGC
GCCATTCGCCATTCAGGCTGCGCAACTGTTGGGAAGGGCGATCGGTGCGGGCCTCTTCGCTATTACGCCAGCTGGCGAAA
GGGGGATGTGCTGCAAGGCGATTAAGTTGGGTAACGCCAGGGTTTTCCCAGTCACGACGTTGTAAAACGACGGCCAGTG
AATTCCAGGAGAGCCAGGGGCATGAGATGGTGGACGAGGAAGGGGGACAGGGAAGCCTGAGCGCCTCTCCTGGGCTTG
CCAAGGACTCAAACCCAGAAGCCCAGAGCAGGGCCTTAGGGAAGCGGGACCCTGCTCTGGGCGGAGGAATATGTCCCAG
ATAGCACTGGGGACTCTTTAAGGAAAGAAGGATGGAGAAAGAGAAAGGGAGTAGAGGCGGCCACGACCTGGTGAACAC
CTAGGACGCACCATTCTCACAAAGGGAGTTTTCCACACGGACACCCCCCTCCTCACCCACAGCCCTGCCAGGACGGGGCTGG
CTACTGGCCTTATCTCACAGGTAAAACTGACGCACGGAGGAACAATATAAATTGGGGACTAGAAAGGTGAAGAGCCAAA
GTTAGAACTCAGGACCAACTTATTCTGATTTTGTTTTTCCAAACTGCTTCTCCTCTTGGGAAGTGTAAGGAAGCTGCAGCAC
CAGGATCAGTGAAACGCACCAGACGGCCGCGTCAGAGCAGCTCAGGTTCTGGGAGAGGGTAGCGCAGGGTGGCCACTG
AGAACCGGGCAGGTCACGCATCCCCCCCTTCCCTCCCACCCCCTGCCAAGCTCTCCCTCCCAGGATCCTCTCTGGCTCCATC
GTAAGCAAACCTTAGAGGTTCTGGCAAGGAGAGAGATGGCTCCAGGAAATGGGGGTGTGTCACCAGATAAGGAATCTGC
CTAACAGGAGGTGGGGGTTAGACCCAATATCAGGAGACTAGGAAGGAGGAGGCCTAAGGATGGGGCTTTTCTGTCACCA
ATCCTGTCCCGTCGACgcgttacataacttacggtaaatggcccgcctggctgaccgcccaacgacccccgcccattgacgtcaataatgacgtatgttcc
catagtaacgccaatagggactttccattgacgtcaatgggtggactatttacggtaaactgcccacttggcagtacatcaagtgtatcatatgccaagtacgccc
cctattgacgtcaatgacggtaaatggcccgcctggcattatgcccagtacatgaccttatgggactttcctacttggcagtacatctacgtattagtcatcgctatt
accatgggtcgaggtgagccccacgttctgcttcactctccccatctcccccccctccccacccccaattttgtatttatttattttttaattattttgtgcagcgatgg
gggcggggggggggggcgcgcgccaggcggggcggggcggggcgaggggcggggcggggcgaggcggagaggtgcggcggcagccaatcagagcgg
cgcgctccgaaagtttccttttatggcgaggcggcggcggcggcggccctataaaaagcgaagcgcgcggcgggcgggagtcgctgcgttgccttcgccccgtg
ccccgctccgcgccgcctcgcgccgcccgccccggctctgactgaccgcgttactcccacaggtgagcgggcgggacggcccttctcctccgggctgtaattagc
gcttggtttaatgacggctcgtttctttctgtggctgcgtgaaagccttaaagggctccgggagggccctttgtgcggggggagcggctcggggggtgcgtgcg
tgtgtgtgtgcgtggggagcgccgcgtgcggcccgcgctgcccggcggctgtgagcgctgcgggcgcggcgcggggctttgtgcgctccgcgtgtgcgcgaggg
gagcgcggccggggcggtgccccgcggtgcggggggggctgcgaggggaacaaaggctgcgtgcggggtgtgtgcgtggggggggtgagcagggggtgtggg

cgcggcggtcgggctgtaaccccccccctgcaccccccctccccgagttgctgagcacggcccggcttcgggtgcggggctccgtgcggggcgtggcgcggggctc
gccgtgccgggcggggggtggcggcaggtgggggtgccgggcggggcggggccgcctcgggccggggagggctcggggagggcgcggcggccccggag
cgccggcggctgtcgaggcgcggcgagccgcagccattgcctttttatggtaatcgtgcgagagggcgcagggacttcctttgtcccaaatctggcggagccgaa
atctgggaggcgccgccgcacccccctctagcgggcgcgggcgaagcggtgcggcgccggcaggaaggaaatgggcggggagggccttcgtgcgtcgccgcgc
cgccgtccccttctccatctccagcctcggggctgccgcagggggacggctgccttcggggggggacggggcagggcggggttcggcttctggcgtgtgaccggc
ggctctagagcctctgctaaccatgttcatgccttcttcttttttcctacagCTCGAGgccaccatggtgagcaagggcgaggaggataacatggccatcatcaa
ggagttcatgcgcgcttcaaggtgcacatggagggctccgtgaacggccacgagttcgagatcgagggcgagggcgagggccgcccctacgagggcacccagac
cgccaagctgaaggtgaccaagggtggccccctgcccttcgcctgggacatcctgtcccctcagttcatgtacggctccaaggcctacgtgaagcaccccgccga
catccccgactacttgaagctgtccttccccgagggcttcaagtgggagcgcgtgatgaacttcgaggacggcggcgtggtgaccgtgacccaggactcctccct
gcaggacggcgagttcatctacaaggtgaagctgcgcggcaccaacttcccctccgacggccccgtaatgcagaagaagaccatgggctgggaggcctcctcc
gagcggatgtaccccgaggacggcgccctgaagggcgagatcaagcagaggctgaagctgaaggacggcggccactacgacgctgaggtcaagaccaccta
caaggccaagaagcccgtgcagctgcccggcgcctacaacgtcaacatcaagttggacatcacctcccacaacgaggactacaccatcgtggaacagtacgaa
cgcgccgagggccgccactccaccggcggcatggacgagctgtacaagaccggtgagggcagaggaagtcttctaacatgcggtgacgtggaggagaatccc
ggcccttccggtatggccaaactcacttctgcagtcccagtcctcacagcaagggatgttgcaggggctgtagagttctggactgacagattaggattctccaga
gactttgttgaagatgattttgctggtgttgtcagagatgatgtcaccctcttcatctcagcagttcaggaccaagttgtccctgacaacacccttgcttgggtctgg
gtcagaggcctagatgagctttatgcagaatggtcagaagtagtcagcacaaatttcagggatgcctctggcccagccatgacagaaattggtgaacaaccttg
gggaagggaatttgccctcagagaccctgctggaaattgtgtccattttgtagctgaggaacaggactagaacttgtttattgcagcttataatggttacaaataa
agcaatagcatcacaaatttcacaaataaagcattttttttcactgcattctagttgtgggtttgtccaaactcatcaatgtatcttatcatgtctACGCGTTAGTG
GCCCCACTGTGGGGTGGAGGGGACAGATAAAAGTACCCAGAACCAGAGCCACATTAACCGGCCCTGGTAATATAAGGTG
GTCCCAGCTCGGGGACACAGGATCCCTGGAGGCAGCAAACATGCTGTCCTGAAGTGGACATAGGGGCCCGGGTTGGAGG
AAGAAGACTAGCTGAGCTCTCGGACCCCTGGAAGATGCCATGACAGGGGGCTGGAAGAGCTAGCACAGACTAGAGAGG
TAAGGGGGGTAGGGGAGCTGCCCAAATGAAAGGAGTGAGAGGTGACCCGAATCCACAGGAGAACGGGGTGTCCAGGC
AAAGAAAGCAAGAGGATGGAGAGGTGGCTAAAGCCAGGGAGACGGGGTACTTTGGGGTTGTCCAGAAAAACGGTGATG
ATGCAGGCCTACAAGAAGGGGAGGCGGGACGCAAGGGAGACATCCGTCGGAGAAGGCCATCCTAAGAAACGAGAGATG
GCACAGGCCCCAGAAGGAGAAGGAAAAGGGAACCCAGCGAGTGAAGACGGCATGGGGTTGGGTGAGGGAGGAGAGAT
GCCCGGAGAGGACCCAGACACGGGGAGGATCCGCTCAGAGGACATCACGTGGTGCAGCGCCGAGAAGGAAGTGCTCCG
GAAAGAGCATCCTTGGGCAGCAACACAGCAGAGAGCAAGGGGAAGAGGGAGTGGAGGAAGACGGAACCTGAAGGAGG
CGGCAGGGAAGGATCTGGGCCAGCCGTAGAGGTGACCCAGGCCACAAGCTGCAGACAGAAAGCGGCACAGGCCCAGGG
GAGAGAATGCAGGTCAAAGCTTGGCGTAATCATGGTCATAGCTGTTTCCTGTGTGAAATTGTTATCCGCTCACAATTCCAC
ACAACATACGAGCCGGAAGCATAAAGTGTAAAGCCTGGGGTGCCTAATGAGTGAGCTAACTCACATTAATTGCGTTGCGC
TCACTGCCCGCTTTCCAGTCGGGAAACCTGTCGTGCCAGCTGCATTAATGAATCGGCCAACGCGCGGGGAGAGGCGGTTT
GCGTATTGGGCGCTCTTCCGCTTCCTCGCTCACTGACTCGCTGCGCTCGGTCGTTCGGCTGCGGCGAGCGGTATCAGCTCA
CTCAAAGGCGGTAATACGGTTATCCACAGAATCAGGGGATAACGCAGGAAAGAACATGTGAGCAAAAGGCCAGCAAAAG
GCCAGGAACCGTAAAAAGGCCGCGTTGCTGGCGTTTTTCCATAGGCTCCGCCCCCCTGACGAGCATCACAAAAATCGACG
CTCAAGTCAGAGGTGGCGAAACCCGACAGGACTATAAAGATACCAGGCGTTTCCCCCTGGAAGCTCCCTCGTGCGCTCTCC
TGTTCCGACCCTGCCGCTTACCGGATACCTGTCCGCCTTTCTCCCTTCGGGAAGCGTGGCGCTTTCTCATAGCTCACGCTGT
AGGTATCTCAGTTCGGTGTAGGTCGTTCGCTCCAAGCTGGGCTGTGTGCACGAACCCCCCGTTCAGCCCGACCGCTGCGCC
TTATCCGGTAACTATCGTCTTGAGTCCAACCCGGTAAGACACGACTTATCGCCACTGGCAGCAGCCACTGGTAACAGGATT
AGCAGAGCGAGGTATGTAGGCGGTGCTACAGAGTTCTTGAAGTGGTGGCCTAACTACGGCTACACTAGAAGAACAGTATT
TGGTATCTGCGCTCTGCTGAAGCCAGTTACCTTCGGAAAAAGAGTTGGTAGCTCTTGATCCGGCAAACAAACCACCGCTGG
TAGCGGTGGTTTTTTTGTTTGCAAGCAGCAGATTACGCGCAGAAAAAAAGGATCTCAAGAAGATCCTTTGATCTTTTCTAC
GGGGTCTGACGCTCAGTGGAACGAAAACTCACGTTAAGGGATTTTGGTCATGAGATTATCAAAAAGGATCTTCACCTAGA
TCCTTTTAAATTAAAAATGAAGTTTTAAATCAATCTAAAGTATATATGAGTAAACTTGGTCTGACAGTTACCAATGCTTAATC
AGTGAGGCACCTATCTCAGCGATCTGTCTATTTCGTTCATCCATAGTTGCCTGACTCCCCGTCGTGTAGATAACTACGATAC
GGGAGGGCTTACCATCTGGCCCCAGTGCTGCAATGATACCGCGAGACCCACGCTCACCGGCTCCAGATTTATCAGCAATA
AACCAGCCAGCCGGAAGGGCCGAGCGCAGAAGTGGTCCTGCAACTTTATCCGCCTCCATCCAGTCTATTAATTGTTGCCGG
GAAGCTAGAGTAAGTAGTTCGCCAGTTAATAGTTTGCGCAACGTTGTTGCCATTGCTACAGGCATCGTGGTGTCACGCTCG
TCGTTTGGTATGGCTTCATTCAGCTCCGGTTCCCAACGATCAAGGCGAGTTACATGATCCCCCATGTTGTGCAAAAAAGCG
GTTAGCTCCTTCGGTCCTCCGATCGTTGTCAGAAGTAAGTTGGCCGCAGTGTTATCACTCATGGTTATGGCAGCACTGCAT
AATTCTCTTACTGTCATGCCATCCGTAAGATGCTTTTCTGTGACTGGTGAGTACTCAACCAAGTCATTCTGAGAATAGTGTA

TGCGGCGACCGAGTTGCTCTTGCCCGGCGTCAATACGGGATAATACCGCGCCACATAGCAGAACTTTAAAAGTGCTCATCA
TTGGAAAACGTTCTTCGGGGCGAAAACTCTCAAGGATCTTACCGCTGTTGAGATCCAGTTCGATGTAACCCACTCGTGCAC
CCAACTGATCTTCAGCATCTTTTACTTTCACCAGCGTTTCTGGGTGAGCAAAAACAGGAAGGCAAAATGCCGCAAAAAAGG
GAATAAGGGCGACACGGAAATGTTGAATACTCATACTCTTCCTTTTTCAATATTATTGAAGCATTTATCAGGGTTATTGTCT
CATGAGCGGATACATATTTGAATGTATTTAGAAAAATAAACAAATAGGGGTTCCGCGCACATTTCCCCGAAAAGTGCCACC
TGACGTCTAAGAAACCATTATTATCATGACATTAACCTATAAAAATAGGCGTATCACGAGGCCCTTTCGTC

Annotated map:

Off-Target Mutation Analysis:

Off target loci for each gRNA sequence utilized in this study were identified using the CRISPOR algorithm (Haeussler et al., 2016). The 3 highest scoring off target loci falling within an intron or exon were selected for PCR amplification of the off-target region followed by amplicon sequencing to identify potential off target mutations. No off-target cleavage induced indel mutations were detected across all CRISPR/Cas9 genome edited cell lines in this study.

Table S1: Off-target gene information and primer sequences.

CRISPR/Cas9 target gene	Gene name	Genomic region	Feature	Primer	Primer sequence
AAVS1	TTN	chr2:179,467,749-179,467,771	Intron	Forward (w/M13)	GTAAAACGACGGCCAGTAAGCTG ATGAAGTGCTGGAGTC
				Reverse	TGTAGACACTGATCCTGTCCCTAA
	APPL2	chr12:105,594,363-105,594,385	Intron	Forward (w/M13)	GTAAAACGACGGCCAGTAGGCAG CCTAAGCAAGTTCC
				Reverse	CACAGTGCAGAGGAACACCT

	CDK8	chr13:26,959,035-26,959,057	Intron	Forward (w/M13)	GTAAAACGACGGCCAGTAAACAG CCATGCCCGAATAA
				Reverse	ATGTGTCACCCCACCGTTAG
TSC2 Exon 3	PPAT	chr4:57,272,513-57,272,535	Intron	Forward (w/M13)	GTAAAACGACGGCCAGTGCTTTGA ACATAGCAACAGAGTT
				Reverse	TTCATGGGAAGATAGCTGTGGC
	XKRX	chr1:89,353,000-89,353,022	Intron	Forward (w/M13)	GTAAAACGACGGCCAGTGCCTAAT CGATTCCTCCCCAC
				Reverse	GGGCAAAGGAAGGCAGTTCA
	GTF2B	chrX:100,181,678-100,181,700	Exon	Forward	CCAAGCCACATTCAGGACAGA
				Reverse	AAGAGCACAAACTGGATGGTAA
				Reverse sequencing primer	CTACTTGCCAGTTACTAGCTGGAA

REFERENCES:

Abdelwahab, E., Pal, S., Kvell, K., Sarosi, V., Bai, P., Rue, R., Krymskaya, V., McPhail, D., Porter, A., and Pongracz, J. (2019). Mitochondrial dysfunction is a key determinant of the rare disease lymphangioleiomyomatosis and provides a novel therapeutic target. Oncogene *38*, 3093.

Arbiser, J.L., Govindarajan, B., Bai, X., Onda, H., Kazlauskas, A., Lim, S.D., Amin, M.B., and Claesson-Welsh, L. (2002). Functional tyrosine kinase inhibitor profiling: a generally applicable method points to a novel role of platelet-derived growth factor receptor-beta in tuberous sclerosis. Am J Pathol *161*, 781-786.

Au, K.S., Williams, A.T., Roach, E.S., Batchelor, L., Sparagana, S.P., Delgado, M.R., Wheless, J.W., Baumgartner, J.E., Roa, B.B., and Wilson, C.M. (2007). Genotype/phenotype correlation in 325 individuals referred for a diagnosis of tuberous sclerosis complex in the United States. Genetics in Medicine *9*, 88.

Audesse, A.J., and Webb, A.E. (2018). Enhancing Lysosomal Activation Restores Neural Stem Cell Function During Aging. Journal of experimental neuroscience *12*, 1179069518795874.

Auricchio, N., Malinowska, I., Shaw, R., Manning, B.D., and Kwiatkowski, D.J. (2012). Therapeutic trial of metformin and bortezomib in a mouse model of tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC). PLoS One *7*, e31900.

Babcock, J.T., Nguyen, H.B., He, Y., Hendricks, J.W., Wek, R.C., and Quilliam, L.A. (2013). Mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1) enhances bortezomib-induced death in tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC)-null cells by a c-MYC-dependent induction of the unfolded protein response. Journal of Biological Chemistry *288*, 15687-15698.

Bateup, H.S., Johnson, C.A., Denefrio, C.L., Saulnier, J.L., Kornacker, K., and Sabatini, B.L. (2013). Excitatory/inhibitory synaptic imbalance leads to hippocampal hyperexcitability in mouse models of tuberous sclerosis. Neuron *78*, 510-522.

Blair, J.D., Hockemeyer, D., and Bateup, H.S. (2018). Genetically engineered human cortical spheroid models of tuberous sclerosis. Nature medicine, 1.

Bronner, M.E. (2012). Formation and migration of neural crest cells in the vertebrate embryo. Histochem Cell Biol *138*, 179-186.

Canpolat, M., Gumus, H., Kumandas, S., Coskun, A., and Per, H. (2018). The use of rapamycin in patients with tuberous sclerosis complex: Long-term results. Epilepsy & Behavior *88*, 357-364.

Chambers, S.M., Fasano, C.A., Papapetrou, E.P., Tomishima, M., Sadelain, M., and Studer, L. (2009). Highly efficient neural conversion of human ES and iPS cells by dual inhibition of SMAD signaling. Nature biotechnology *27*, 275.

Chang, W.Y., Lavoie, J.R., Kwon, S.Y., Chen, Z., Manias, J.L., Behbahani, J., Ling, V., Kandel, R.A., Stewart, D.J., and Stanford, W.L. (2013). Feeder-independent derivation of induced-pluripotent stem cells from peripheral blood endothelial progenitor cells. Stem Cell Res *10*, 195-202.

Chen, G., Gulbranson, D.R., Hou, Z., Bolin, J.M., Ruotti, V., Probasco, M.D., Smuga-Otto, K., Howden, S.E., Diol, N.R., and Propson, N.E. (2011). Chemically defined conditions for human iPSC derivation and culture. Nature methods *8*, 424.

Chen, Z., Chang, W.Y., Etheridge, A., Strickfaden, H., Jin, Z., Palidwor, G., Cho, J.H., Wang, K., Kwon, S.Y., Doré, C., Raymond A, Hotta A, Ellis J, Kandel RA, Dilworth FJ, Perkins TJ, Hendzel MJ, Galas DJ, and Stanford WL (2017). Reprogramming progeria fibroblasts re-establishes a normal epigenetic landscape. Aging cell *16*, 870-887.

Costa, V., Aigner, S., Vukcevic, M., Sauter, E., Behr, K., Ebeling, M., Dunkley, T., Friedlein, A., Zoffmann, S., Meyer, C.A.*, et al.* (2016). mTORC1 Inhibition Corrects Neurodevelopmental and Synaptic Alterations in a Human Stem Cell Model of Tuberous Sclerosis. Cell Rep *15*, 86-95.

Crooks, D.M., Pacheco-Rodriguez, G., DeCastro, R.M., McCoy, J.P., Wang, J.-a., Kumaki, F., Darling, T., and Moss, J. (2004). Molecular and genetic analysis of disseminated neoplastic cells in lymphangioleiomyomatosis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences *101*, 17462-17467.

Dabora, S.L., Franz, D.N., Ashwal, S., Sagalowsky, A., DiMario, F.J., Jr., Miles, D., Cutler, D., Krueger, D., Uppot, R.N., Rabenou, R.*, et al.* (2011). Multicenter phase 2 trial of sirolimus for tuberous sclerosis: kidney angiomyolipomas and other tumors regress and VEGF- D levels decrease. PLoS One *6*, e23379.

Dabora, S.L., Jozwiak, S., Franz, D.N., Roberts, P.S., Nieto, A., Chung, J., Choy, Y.-S., Reeve, M.P., Thiele, E., and Egelhoff, J.C. (2001). Mutational analysis in a cohort of 224 tuberous sclerosis patients indicates increased severity of TSC2, compared with TSC1, disease in multiple organs. The American Journal of Human Genetics *68*, 64-80.

Darling, T.N., Pacheco–Rodriguez, G., Gorio, A., Lesma, E., Walker, C., and Moss, J. (2010). Lymphangioleiomyomatosis and TSC2-/-cells. Lymphatic research and biology *8*, 59-69.

Delaney, S.P., Julian, L.M., and Stanford, W.L. (2014). The neural crest lineage as a driver of disease heterogeneity in Tuberous Sclerosis Complex and Lymphangioleiomyomatosis. Frontiers in cell and developmental biology *2*, 69.

Di Nardo, A., Wertz, M.H., Kwiatkowski, E., Tsai, P.T., Leech, J.D., Greene-Colozzi, E., Goto, J., Dilsiz, P., Talos, D.M., and Clish, C.B. (2014). Neuronal Tsc1/2 complex controls autophagy through AMPK-dependent regulation of ULK1. Human molecular genetics *23*, 3865-3874.

Dixon, B.P., Hulbert, J.C., and Bissler, J.J. (2011). Tuberous sclerosis complex renal disease. Nephron Experimental Nephrology *118*, e15-e20.

Ebrahimi-Fakhari, D., Saffari, A., Wahlster, L., Di Nardo, A., Turner, D., Lewis Jr, T.L., Conrad, C., Rothberg, J.M., Lipton, J.O., and Kölker, S. (2016). Impaired mitochondrial dynamics and mitophagy in neuronal models of tuberous sclerosis complex. Cell reports *17*, 1053-1070.

Feliciano, D.M., Su, T., Lopez, J., Platel, J.C., and Bordey, A. (2011). Single-cell Tsc1 knockout during corticogenesis generates tuber-like lesions and reduces seizure threshold in mice. J Clin Invest *121*, 1596-1607.

Fokkema, I.F., Taschner, P.E., Schaafsma, G.C., Celli, J., Laros, J.F., and den Dunnen, J.T. (2011). LOVD v. 2.0: the next generation in gene variant databases. Human mutation *32*, 557-563.

Franz, D.N., and Capal, J.K. (2017). mTOR inhibitors in the pharmacologic management of tuberous sclerosis complex and their potential role in other rare neurodevelopmental disorders. Orphanet journal of rare diseases *12*, 51.

Gagnon, J.A., Valen, E., Thyme, S.B., Huang, P., Ahkmetova, L., Pauli, A., Montague, T.G., Zimmerman, S., Richter, C., and Schier, A.F. (2014). Efficient mutagenesis by Cas9 protein-mediated oligonucleotide insertion and large-scale assessment of single-guide RNAs. PloS one *9*, e98186.

Gao, L., Yue, M.M., Davis, J., Hyjek, E., and Schuger, L. (2014). In pulmonary lymphangioleiomyomatosis expression of progesterone receptor is frequently higher than that of estrogen receptor. Virchows Archiv *464*, 495-503.

Gilbert, E.R., Eby, J.M., Hammer, A.M., Klarquist, J., Christensen, D.G., Barfuss, A.J., Boissy, R.E., Picken, M.M., Love, R.B., and Dilling, D.F. (2013). Positioning ganglioside D3 as an immunotherapeutic target in lymphangioleiomyomatosis. The American journal of pathology *183*, 226-234.

Goncharova, E.A., Goncharov, D.A., Fehrenbach, M., Khavin, I., Ducka, B., Hino, O., Colby, T.V., Merrilees, M.J., Haczku, A., and Albelda, S.M. (2012). Prevention of alveolar destruction and airspace enlargement in a mouse model of pulmonary lymphangioleiomyomatosis (LAM). Science translational medicine *4*, 154ra134-154ra134.

Goto, J., Talos, D.M., Klein, P., Qin, W., Chekaluk, Y.I., Anderl, S., Malinowska, I.A., Di Nardo, A., Bronson, R.T., and Chan, J.A. (2011). Regulable neural progenitor-specific Tsc1 loss yields giant cells with organellar dysfunction in a model of tuberous sclerosis complex. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences *108*, E1070-E1079.

Grzegorek, I., Lenze, D., Chabowski, M., Janczak, D., SZOLKOWSKA, M., Langfort, R., Szuba, A., and Dziegiel, P. (2015). Immunohistochemical evaluation of pulmonary lymphangioleiomyomatosis. Anticancer research *35*, 3353-3360.

Gunhanlar, N., Shpak, G., van der Kroeg, M., Gouty-Colomer, L.A., Munshi, S.T., Lendemeijer, B., Ghazvini, M., Dupont, C., Hoogendijk, W.J.G., Gribnau, J*., et al.* (2018). A simplified protocol for differentiation of electrophysiologically mature neuronal networks from human induced pluripotent stem cells. Mol Psychiatry *23*, 1336-1344.

Haeussler, M., Schönig, K., Eckert, H., Eschstruth, A., Mianné, J., Renaud, J.-B., Schneider-Maunoury, S., Shkumatava, A., Teboul, L., and Kent, J. (2016). Evaluation of off-target and on-target scoring algorithms and integration into the guide RNA selection tool CRISPOR. Genome biology *17*, 148.

Henske, E.P., and McCormack, F.X. (2012). Lymphangioleiomyomatosis—a wolf in sheep's clothing. The Journal of clinical investigation *122*, 3807-3816.

Hoon, V., Thung, S.N., Kaneko, M., and Unger, P.D. (1994). HMB-45 reactivity in renal angiomyolipoma and lymphangioleiomyomatosis. Archives of pathology & laboratory medicine *118*, 732-734.

Hsu, P.D., Scott, D.A., Weinstein, J.A., Ran, F.A., Konermann, S., Agarwala, V., Li, Y., Fine, E.J., Wu, X., Shalem, O*., et al.* (2013). DNA targeting specificity of RNA-guided Cas9 nucleases. Nat Biotechnol *31*, 827-832.

Johnson, C.E., Dunlop, E.A., Seifan, S., McCann, H.D., Hay, T., Parfitt, G.J., Jones, A.T., Giles, P.J., Shen, M.H., and Sampson, J.R. (2018). Loss of tuberous sclerosis complex 2 sensitizes tumors to nelfinavir–bortezomib therapy to intensify endoplasmic reticulum stress-induced cell death. Oncogene *37*, 5913.

Julian, L.M., Delaney, S.P., Wang, Y., Goldberg, A.A., Dore, C., Yockell-Lelievre, J., Tam, R.Y., Giannikou, K., McMurray, F., Shoichet, M.S*., et al.* (2017). Human Pluripotent Stem Cell-Derived TSC2-Haploinsufficient Smooth Muscle Cells Recapitulate Features of Lymphangioleiomyomatosis. Cancer Res *77*, 5491-5502.

Klarquist, J., Barfuss, A., Kandala, S., Reust, M.J., Braun, R.K., Hu, J., Dilling, D.F., McKee, M.D., Boissy, R.E., and Love, R.B. (2009). Melanoma-associated antigen expression in lymphangioleiomyomatosis renders tumor cells susceptible to cytotoxic T cells. The American journal of pathology *175*, 2463-2472.

Kobayashi, T., Minowa, O., Kuno, J., Mitani, H., Hino, O., and Noda, T. (1999). Renal carcinogenesis, hepatic hemangiomatosis, and embryonic lethality caused by a germ-line Tsc2 mutation in mice. Cancer research *59*, 1206-1211.

Kobayashi, T., Minowa, O., Sugitani, Y., Takai, S., Mitani, H., Kobayashi, E., Noda, T., and Hino, O. (2001). A germ-line Tsc1 mutation causes tumor development and embryonic lethality that are similar, but not identical to, those caused by Tsc2 mutation in mice. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences *98*, 8762-8767.

Krymskaya, V.P. (2008). Smooth muscle–like cells in pulmonary lymphangioleiomyomatosis. Proceedings of the American Thoracic Society *5*, 119-126.

Kwiatkowski, D.J. (2010). Animal models of lymphangioleiomyomatosis (LAM) and tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC). Lymphatic research and biology *8*, 51-57.

Leeman, D.S., Hebestreit, K., Ruetz, T., Webb, A.E., McKay, A., Pollina, E.A., Dulken, B.W., Zhao, X., Yeo, R.W., and Ho, T.T. (2018). Lysosome activation clears aggregates and enhances quiescent neural stem cell activation during aging. Science *359*, 1277-1283.

Li, Y., Cao, J., Chen, M., Li, J., Sun, Y., Zhang, Y., Zhu, Y., Wang, L., and Zhang, C. (2017). Abnormal neural progenitor cells differentiated from induced pluripotent stem cells partially mimicked development of TSC2 neurological abnormalities. Stem cell reports *8*, 883-893.

Liang, N., Zhang, C., Dill, P., Panasyuk, G., Pion, D., Koka, V., Gallazzini, M., Olson, E.N., Lam, H., Henske, E.P.*, et al.* (2014). Regulation of YAP by mTOR and autophagy reveals a therapeutic target of tuberous sclerosis complex. J Exp Med *211*, 2249-2263.

Love, M.I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome biology *15*, 550.

Magri, L., Cominelli, M., Cambiaghi, M., Cursi, M., Leocani, L., Minicucci, F., Poliani, P.L., and Galli, R. (2013). Timing of mTOR activation affects tuberous sclerosis complex neuropathology in mouse models. Dis Model Mech *6*, 1185-1197.

Martin, K.R., Zhou, W., Bowman, M.J., Shih, J., Au, K.S., Dittenhafer-Reed, K.E., Sisson, K.A., Koeman, J., Weisenberger, D.J., and Cottingham, S.L. (2017). The genomic landscape of tuberous sclerosis complex. Nature communications *8*, 15816.

Mayshar, Y., Ben-David, U., Lavon, N., Biancotti, J.C., Yakir, B., Clark, A.T., Plath, K., Lowry, W.E., and Benvenisty, N. (2010). Identification and classification of chromosomal aberrations in human induced pluripotent stem cells. Cell Stem Cell *7*, 521-531.

McCormack, F.X., Inoue, Y., Moss, J., Singer, L.G., Strange, C., Nakata, K., Barker, A.F., Chapman, J.T., Brantly, M.L., and Stocks, J.M. (2011). Efficacy and safety of sirolimus in lymphangioleiomyomatosis. New England Journal of Medicine *364*, 1595-1606.

McDowell, I.C., Manandhar, D., Vockley, C.M., Schmid, A.K., Reddy, T.E., and Engelhardt, B.E. (2018). Clustering gene expression time series data using an infinite Gaussian process mixture model. PLoS computational biology *14*, e1005896.

McKenna III, J., Kapfhamer, D., Kinchen, J.M., Wasek, B., Dunworth, M., Murray-Stewart, T., Bottiglieri, T., Casero Jr, R.A., and Gambello, M.J. (2018). Metabolomic studies identify changes in transmethylation and polyamine metabolism in a brain-specific mouse model of tuberous sclerosis complex. Human molecular genetics *27*, 2113-2124.

Mehlem, A., Hagberg, C.E., Muhl, L., Eriksson, U., and Falkevall, A. (2013). Imaging of neutral lipids by oil red O for analyzing the metabolic status in health and disease. Nat Protoc *8*, 1149-1154.

Mookerjee, S.A., Gerencser, A.A., Nicholls, D.G., and Brand, M.D. (2018). Quantifying intracellular rates of glycolytic and oxidative ATP production and consumption using extracellular flux measurements. J Biol Chem *293*, 12649-12652.

Mookerjee, S.A., Nicholls, D.G., and Brand, M.D. (2016). Determining Maximum Glycolytic Capacity Using Extracellular Flux Measurements. PLoS One *11*, e0152016.

Moss, J., Avila, N.A., Barnes, P.M., Litzenberger, R.A., Bechtle, J., Brooks, P.G., Hedin, C.J., Hunsberger, S., and Kristof, A.S. (2001). Prevalence and clinical characteristics of lymphangioleiomyomatosis (LAM) in patients with tuberous sclerosis complex. Am J Respir Crit Care Med *164*, 669-671.

Onda, H., Lueck, A., Marks, P.W., Warren, H.B., and Kwiatkowski, D.J. (1999). Tsc2+/–mice develop tumors in multiple sites that express gelsolin and are influenced by genetic background. The Journal of clinical investigation *104*, 687-695.

Oprescu, N., McCormack, F., Byrnes, S., and Kinder, B. (2013). Clinical predictors of mortality and cause of death in lymphangioleiomyomatosis: a population-based registry. Lung *191*, 35-42.

Pacheco-Rodriguez, G., Steagall, W.K., Crooks, D.M., Stevens, L.A., Hashimoto, H., Li, S., Wang, J.-a., Darling, T.N., and Moss, J. (2007). TSC2 loss in lymphangioleiomyomatosis cells correlated with expression of CD44v6, a molecular determinant of metastasis. Cancer research *67*, 10573-10581.

Parkhitko, A., Myachina, F., Morrison, T.A., Hindi, K.M., Auricchio, N., Karbowniczek, M., Wu, J.J., Finkel, T., Kwiatkowski, D.J., and Jane, J.Y. (2011). Tumorigenesis in tuberous sclerosis complex is autophagy and p62/sequestosome 1 (SQSTM1)-dependent. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences *108*, 12455-12460.

Parkhitko, A.A., Priolo, C., Coloff, J.L., Yun, J., Wu, J.J., Mizumura, K., Xu, W., Malinowska, I.A., Yu, J., and Kwiatkowski, D.J. (2014). Autophagy-dependent metabolic reprogramming sensitizes TSC2-deficient cells to the antimetabolite 6-aminonicotinamide. Molecular Cancer Research *12*, 48-57.

Patro, R., Duggal, G., Love, M.I., Irizarry, R.A., and Kingsford, C. (2017). Salmon provides fast and bias-aware quantification of transcript expression. Nature methods *14*, 417.

Ran, F.A., Hsu, P.D., Wright, J., Agarwala, V., Scott, D.A., and Zhang, F. (2013). Genome engineering using the CRISPR-Cas9 system. Nature protocols *8*, 2281.

Saxton, R.A., and Sabatini, D.M. (2017). mTOR signaling in growth, metabolism, and disease. Cell *168*, 960-976.

Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T., Preibisch, S., Rueden, C., Saalfeld, S., and Schmid, B. (2012). Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nature methods *9*, 676.

Seyama, K., Kumasaka, T., Souma, S., Sato, T., Kurihara, M., Mitani, K., Tominaga, S., and Fukuchi, Y. (2006). Vascular endothelial growth factor-D is increased in serum of patients with lymphangioleiomyomatosis. Lymphat Res Biol *4*, 143-152.

Simoes-Costa, M., and Bronner, M.E. (2013). Insights into neural crest development and evolution from genomic analysis. Genome Res *23*, 1069-1080.

Siroky, B.J., Yin, H., Babcock, J.T., Lu, L., Hellmann, A.R., Dixon, B.P., Quilliam, L.A., and Bissler, J.J. (2012). Human TSC-associated renal angiomyolipoma cells are hypersensitive to ER stress. American Journal of Physiology-Renal Physiology *303*, F831-F844.

Siroky, B.J., Yin, H., Dixon, B.P., Reichert, R.J., Hellmann, A.R., Ramkumar, T., Tsuchihashi, Z., Bunni, M., Dillon, J., Bell, P.D.*, et al.* (2014). Evidence for pericyte origin of TSC-associated renal angiomyolipomas and implications for angiotensin receptor inhibition therapy. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol *307*, F560-570.

Sun, H., Takesian, A.E., Wang, T.T., Lippman-Bell, J.J., Hensch, T.K., and Jensen, F.E. (2018). Early seizures prematurely unsilence auditory synapses to disrupt thalamocortical critical period plasticity. Cell reports *23*, 2533-2540.

Talos, D.M., Sun, H., Kosaras, B., Joseph, A., Folkerth, R.D., Poduri, A., Madsen, J.R., Black, P.M., and Jensen, F.E. (2012). Altered inhibition in tuberous sclerosis and type IIb cortical dysplasia. Ann Neurol *71*, 539-551.

Tchieu, J., Zimmer, B., Fattahi, F., Amin, S., Zeltner, N., Chen, S., and Studer, L. (2017). A modular platform for differentiation of human PSCs into all major ectodermal lineages. Cell stem cell *21*, 399-410. e397.

Van Scheppingen, J., Broekaart, D., Scholl, T., Zuidberg, M., Anink, J., Spliet, W., van Rijen, P., Czech, T., Hainfellner, J., and Feucht, M. (2016). Dysregulation of the (immuno) proteasome pathway in malformations of cortical development. Journal of neuroinflammation *13*, 202.

Villegas, F., Lehalle, D., Mayer, D., Rittirsch, M., Stadler, M.B., Zinner, M., Olivieri, D., Vabres, P., Duplomb-Jego, L., and De Bont, E.S. (2019). Lysosomal signaling licenses embryonic stem cell differentiation via inactivation of Tfe3. Cell stem cell *24*, 257-270. e258.

Yu, G., Wang, L.-G., Han, Y., and He, Q.-Y. (2012). clusterProfiler: an R package for comparing biological themes among gene clusters. Omics: a journal of integrative biology *16*, 284-287.

Yu, J., Astrinidis, A., and Henske, E.P. (2001). Chromosome 16 loss of heterozygosity in tuberous sclerosis and sporadic lymphangiomyomatosis. American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine *164*, 1537-1540.

Yu, J., Astrinidis, A., Howard, S., and Henske, E.P. (2004). Estradiol and tamoxifen stimulate LAM-associated angiomyolipoma cell growth and activate both genomic and nongenomic signaling pathways. American Journal of Physiology-Lung Cellular and Molecular Physiology *286*, L694-L700.

Zhang, Y., Nicholatos, J., Dreier, J.R., Ricoult, S.J., Widenmaier, S.B., Hotamisligil, G.S., Kwiatkowski, D.J., and Manning, B.D. (2014). Coordinated regulation of protein synthesis and degradation by mTORC1. Nature *513*, 440-443.

Zhou, J., Shrikhande, G., Xu, J., McKay, R.M., Burns, D.K., Johnson, J.E., and Parada, L.F. (2011). Tsc1 mutant neural stem/progenitor cells exhibit migration deficits and give rise to subependymal lesions in the lateral ventricle. Genes Dev *25*, 1595-1600.

Zhou, X., Ikenoue, T., Chen, X., Li, L., Inoki, K., and Guan, K.-L. (2009). Rheb controls misfolded protein metabolism by inhibiting aggresome formation and autophagy. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences *106*, 8923-8928.

Zhu, A., Ibrahim, J.G., and Love, M.I. (2018). Heavy-tailed prior distributions for sequence count data: removing the noise and preserving large differences. Bioinformatics.