1 **CELLULOSE SYNTHASE INTERACTIVE1- and Microtubule-Dependent Cell**

2 **Wall Architecture Is Required for Acid Growth in *Arabidopsis* Hypocotyls**

3 Xiaoran Xin[1], Lei Lei[1, a], Yunzhen Zheng[2], Tian Zhang[2], Sai Venkatesh Pingali[3],
4 Hugh O'Neill[3], Daniel J. Cosgrove[2], Shundai Li[1*], Ying Gu[1*]
5

6 [1]Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Pennsylvania State
7 University, University Park, PA 16802 USA, [2]Department of Biology,
8 Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802 USA, [3]Biology and Soft
9 Matter Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831 USA
10

11 [a]Present address: Nature Plants, One New York Plaza, Suite 4600, New York,

12 NY 10004 USA

13 *Corresponding author: yug13@psu.edu, sul38@psu.edu

Abstract

Auxin-induced cell elongation relies in part on the acidification of the cell wall, a

process known as acid growth that presumably triggers expansin-mediated wall

loosening via altered interactions between cellulose microfibrils. Cellulose

microfibrils are a major determinant for anisotropic growth and they provide the

scaffold for cell wall assembly. Little is known about how acid growth depends on

cell wall architecture. To explore the relationship between acid growth-mediated

cell elongation and plant cell wall architecture, two mutants (*jia1-1* and *csi1-3*)

that are defective in cellulose biosynthesis and cellulose microfibril organization

were analyzed. The study revealed that cell elongation is dependent on CSI1-

mediated cell wall architecture but not on the overall crystalline cellulose content.

We observed a correlation between loss of crossed-polylamellate walls and loss

of auxin- and fusicoccin-induced cell growth in *csi1-3*. Furthermore, induced loss

of crossed-polylamellate walls via disruption of cortical microtubule mimics the

effect of *csi1* in acid growth. We hypothesize that CSI1- and microtubule-

dependent crossed-polylamellate walls are required for acid growth in

Arabidopsis hypocotyls.

Keywords: cell wall, axial elongation, acid growth, microtubules, atomic force microscopy, electron microscopy, crossed-polylamellate walls

Running title: Acid growth and cell wall architecture

Word count: 5,555; Figure count: 9 (4 main + 5 supplementary);

Color in print: Fig. 2 and 3; Color online: supplementary Fig. S1, S2, and S5

Introduction

Anisotropic cell expansion is a characteristic feature of plant cells. Plant cells respond to developmental and environmental stimuli by altering the growth direction. Cell expansion results from the interplay between uniform turgor pressure and a yielding cell wall. The control of the direction of cell elongation resides in the anisotropic cell wall structure. Cellulose is considered the major load-bearing polymer in the primary cell wall. Therefore, its role in regulating directional cell expansion has been extensively studied. A widely cited hypothesis states that the orientation of cellulose microfibrils is perpendicular to the axis of maximum cell elongation. In other words, transversely oriented cellulose microfibrils in the cell wall favors expansion in the long axis and restricts lateral expansion, an arrangement likened to "hoops around a barrel" (Green, 1960, 1962). However, transverse orientation of cellulose microfibrils is not always correlated with a rapid cell elongation rate. Axially aligned cellulose microfibrils were observed at the innermost cell wall in elongating parenchyma cells (Itoh, 1975; Itoh and Shimaji, 1976). The inhibition of elongation in *procuste*, a cellulose synthase mutant of *Arabidopsis*, was accompanied by normal deposition of transverse orientation of nascent cellulose microfibrils in hypocotyl epidermal cell wall (MacKinnon *et al.*, 2006). Similarly, cellulose microfibrils in the innermost cell wall layer were transversely oriented in the elongation zone of *rsw4* and *rsw7* roots in which cell elongation was drastically reduced (Wiedemeier *et al.*, 2002). The conflicting data may partly be explained by the differences in regulation in aerial vs. root tissues. Moreover, early studies are limited by failure to observe dynamic reorganization of cellulose microfibrils as inferred by visualization of CESA trajectories (Chan *et al.*, 2010). To add another

layer of complexity, the alignment of cellulose microfibrils at the inner and outer
periclinal walls of epidermal cells differs significantly (Supplementary Fig. S1).
Recent discussions have been centered on which cell wall feature is a better
predictor of growth anisotropy. Because the outer epidermis has a nearly net
isotropic orientation of cellulose, two studies have favored the role of inner
periclinal walls of epidermis in the regulation of growth anisotropy (Chan *et al.*,
2011; Crowell *et al.*, 2011).
71
However, the outer epidermal cell walls bear most of the stress exerted by the
expanding internal tissues and represent a growth-limiting sheath for multicellular
systems (Green, 1980; Kutschera, 2008; Savaldi-Goldstein and Chory, 2008).
The outer periclinal epidermal walls (~1 µm) of *Arabidopsis* hypocotyl are much
thicker than the inner periclinal walls (Derbyshire *et al.*, 2007). The inner
periclinal walls (~50 nm) are estimated to accommodate at most 1-2 microfibrillar
lamellae. In the shoot apical meristem, the outer periclinal epidermal wall is
approximately seven times thicker than the inner periclinal wall (Kierzkowski *et*
al., 2012). The outer periclinal epidermal walls in onion epidermal cell have ~100
lamellae with different cellulose orientation between each successive lamella
(Zhang *et al.*, 2016). Consistent with outer periclinal epidermal wall's role in
limiting elongation of the intact organ, studies have shown that outer epidermal-
specific expression of the brassinosteroid receptor or a brassinosteroid
biosynthetic enzyme in null mutants was sufficient to rescue their dwarf
phenotypes in *Arabidopsis*, indicating that molecular components involved in
perception of external cues and in transducing into mechanical sensing/wall
modification reside in the outer epidermis (Savaldi-Goldstein and Chory, 2008). It
remains unclear whether and how the crossed-polylamellate outer epidermal
walls regulate cell elongation. It has been postulated that cell elongation is
determined by the direction of net alignment among cellulose microfibrils in many
cells of a tissue or organ (Baskin, 2005).
93
Multiple hypotheses have been proposed to explain how the crossed-

polylamellate outer epidermal walls are formed. The observations that microfibril
orientation changes from transverse to longitudinal from the most recently
deposited cell wall to the outermost cell wall of *Nitella* suggest that a passive re-
orientation of cellulose microfibrils to axial direction occurs during growth (Gertel
and Green, 1977; Green, 1960). As cell wall matrix polysaccharides are
continuously deposited during growth, they may contribute to passive re-
orientation of microfibrils (Gertel and Green, 1977; Preston, 1982). Alternatively,
the anisotropic orientation of microfibril deposition may involve guidance by
microtubules (MTs) (Chan *et al.*, 2010). The latter concept is supported by the
observation that the rotation of the cellulose synthase trajectories was abolished
by reagents disrupting microtubules, accompanying the loss of the crossed-
polylamellate wall in *Arabidopsis* hypocotyls (Chan *et al.*, 2010).
107
The role of microtubules in guidance of cellulose microfibril alignment is probably
not conserved in all cell types, but in general there is good agreement between
the orientation of cellulose microfibrils and the underlying cortical microtubules in
cells undergo rapid cell elongation (Heath, 1974; Hepler and Palevitz, 1974).
Supporting the microtubule-microfibril alignment hypothesis, cellulose synthase
complexes (CSCs) have been visualized as diffraction-limited particles that move
along the underlying cortical microtubules (Paredez *et al.*, 2006). Concurrent
rotations have been observed at the outer epidermal cell wall of the hypocotyl for
both microtubules and CSC trajectories (Chan *et al.*, 2007). CELLULOSE
SYNTHASE INTERACTIVE1 (CSI1) is a linker protein that mediates the
interaction between CSCs and microtubules (Bringmann *et al.*, 2012; Gu *et al.*,
2010; Li *et al.*, 2012). It does so by interacting directly with both CSCs and
microtubules (Li *et al.*, 2012). The CSC trajectories were uncoupled from the
underlying cortical microtubules in a *csi1* null mutant, supporting its essential role
of co-alignment between CSC trajectories and microtubules. As the *CSI1*
mutation uncouples CSC trajectories from microtubules, it serves as a tool to re-
evaluate the importance of orientation of cellulose microfibrils during rapid cell
elongation. An additional useful tool includes *jiaoyao1-1* (*jia1-1*) that bears a

missense mutation in KORRIGAN, a membrane-associated endo-β-1,4

glucanase, which results in reduced crystalline cellulose content and decreased

cellulose microfibril organization (Lei *et al.*, 2014).

In this study, the growth defects in *jia1* and *csi1* mutants were characterized in

detail. The results suggest that the alignment of the most recently deposited

cellulose microfibrils in the outer periclinal wall is not sufficient to explain

anisotropic cell elongation in both mutants. A correlation between loss of

crossed-polylamellate wall and loss of auxin- and fusicoccin (FC)-induced cell

elongation was revealed.

Results

The *csi1-3* mutants display cell expansion defects

To understand the relationship between cellulose microfibril organization and cell

elongation, we used *jia1-1* and *csi1-3* mutants that disrupt cellulose biosynthesis.

Dark-grown *Arabidopsis* hypocotyls are a widely used model system to study cell

growth (Derbyshire *et al.*, 2007; Gendreau *et al.*, 1997). *csi1-3* was previously

shown to have reduced elongation by 40% in dark-grown hypocotyls and the

reduction of growth rate was maximal at 3 to 5 days after cold stratification (Gu *et*

al., 2010). We compared growth morphology of four-day-old dark-grown

hypocotyls in the two mutants. Both *csi1-3* and *jia1-1* exhibited dwarf hypocotyls

(Supplementary Fig. S2A). Similar to wild type hypocotyls, both *csi1-3* and *jia1-1*

had approximately 22 (± 2) epidermal cells from base to the apical hook

(Supplementary Fig. S2C). As growth in dark-grown hypocotyls does not involve

cell division, the reduced hypocotyl length reflects a reduced length of epidermal

cells. In dark grown hypocotyls, epidermal cells elongate in an acropetal fashion

in which growth is initiated in the base of the hypocotyl and the elongation zone

moves up with time (Gendreau *et al.*, 1997, Supplementary Fig. 2C). Compared

with wild type (WT), *jia1-1* mutants had reduced cell length in cells #10 to #24

(Supplementary Fig. S2D). By day 10 after germination, dark grown hypocotyls

reach their maximum lengths (Gendreau *et al.*, 1997; Gu *et al.*, 2010). WT and

jia1-1 hypocotyls had approximately similar lengths by 10-day post germination,

indicating that *jia1-1* hypocotyls had a delay in acropetal growth acceleration and

eventually caught up with wild-type hypocotyls. 4-day-old *csi1-3* hypocotyls

elongated less than WT hypocotyls and they did not catch up by 10-day post

germination (Supplementary Fig. S2B). These data suggest that reduced cell

elongation in *csi1-3* appeared to be independent of its position or time.

The *csi1-3* mutants are deficient in auxin- or FC-induced hypocotyl

elongation

In the classic acid growth hypothesis, cell elongation is induced by acidification of

thick extension-limiting outer epidermal cell walls, which can be achieved by

either the growth hormone auxin (indole-3-acetic acid, IAA) or the phytotoxin

fusicoccin (Hager *et al.*, 1971; Marre, 1979; Rayle and Cleland, 1970; Rayle,

1973; Rayle and Cleland, 1977; Rayle and Cleland, 1992). Both IAA and FC

induce decreases in apoplastic pH, which in turn activate wall-loosening agents

such as expansin, resulting in cell wall modification/expansion (Cosgrove, 1997,

2000; Kutschera, 1994; McQueen-Mason *et al.*, 1992). As an approach to

understand the control of axial cell elongation in living cells, we adopted a

hypocotyl segment system to test how *csi1-3* and *jia1-1* respond to exogenous

IAA (Cosgrove *et al.*, 2002; Fendrych *et al.*, 2016). To deplete endogenous

auxin, shoot apexes and roots were removed from 3-day-old etiolated

Arabidopsis seedlings. To find a minimum IAA concentration that induces

sufficient hypocotyl segment elongation, we tested a series of exogenous IAA

concentrations and chose 1 µM of IAA for the following experiments

(Supplementary Fig. S3A). It has been reported that auxin induced hypocotyl

segment elongation occurred after approximately 20 min of auxin application and

lasted approximately 2 hours (Fendrych *et al.*, 2016). Thus, we recorded the final

length of hypocotyl segment at 150 min after the application of IAA. The

hypocotyl segment corresponds to cells #5-17. The auxin-induced hypocotyl

segment elongation was ~ 60% of the elongation rate of intact hypocotyls under

normal growth conditions (Supplementary Fig. S4). The results showed that

exogenous auxin induced similar elongation of the hypocotyl segment of wild

type and the *jia1-1* mutants while hypocotyl elongation was impaired in the *csi1-3*

mutants (Fig. 1A and Supplementary Fig. S4B). The elongation rate for auxin-

induced hypocotyl segment was similar for wild type (96.6 ± 7.5 µm/h) and *jia1-1*

(96.3 ± 17.1 µm/h) but significantly reduced in *csi1-3* (22.5 ± 9.8 µm/h).

To further verify that *csi1* was not responsive to acid-induced growth, we used

the fungal toxin fusicoccin, which activates the plasma membrane (PM) H^+-

ATPase independent of the auxin-mediated mechanism (Baunsgaard *et al.*,

1998; Rayle and Cleland, 1992). We found that 2 µM of FC was sufficient to

trigger the growth of wild type hypocotyl at comparable levels to that of 1 µM IAA

(Supplementary Fig. S3B). Thus, this concentration was used in the following

assays. The hypocotyl segment growth triggered by FC has been observed to

occur continuously within 2 hours (Fendrych *et al.*, 2016). Therefore, we chose to

measure the final length of hypocotyl 150 min after FC treatment. *csi1-3* mutants

were not responsive to fusicoccin-induced hypocotyl elongation, whereas wild

type and *jia1-1* mutants exhibited normal responses (Fig. 1B).

Cell wall acidification is normal in the *csi1-3* mutants

To examine whether cell wall acidification occurs normally in the *csi1-3* mutants,

we used a classic method to measure the apoplastic pH of *Arabidopsis* etiolated

seedlings. The pH of the incubation medium was measured, from which cell wall

acidification can be inferred (Cleland, 1973). We used approximately thirty 4-day-

old etiolated seedlings in each measurement. After 150 min of 1 µM IAA

incubation, the pH of the incubation medium dropped from 6.05 (± 0.02) to 5.88

(± 0.08) in wild type and from 6.05 (± 0.02) to 5.87 (± 0.07) in *csi1-3* mutants,

indicating that cell wall acidification is not impaired in the *csi1-3* mutants.

The apoplastic pH sensor apo-pHusion was recently developed to allow direct

measuring apoplastic pH changes in epidermal cells (Fendrych *et al.*, 2016;

Gjetting *et al.*, 2012). We crossed *csi1-3* with the line containing the apo-pHusion

sensor, which was a tandem fusion of two fluorescent proteins, mRFP1 and

EGFP. A chitinase targeting sequence was added in the construct to target the

sensor to the apoplast (Gjetting *et al.*, 2012). The mRFP1 is considered to be

insensitive to pH changes in the physiologically relevant range, whereas EGFP is

sensitive to pH changes and low pH inhibits the EGFP fluorescence (Gjetting *et*

al., 2012). Images of both RFP and GFP channels were taken at 0 min and 150

min of IAA and FC treatment. The ratio of GFP/RFP fluorescent intensity was

measured and calculated in Image J, where RFP intensity was used as an

intramolecular reference. The results showed that in both wild type and *csi1-3*

mutants the GFP/RFP intensity ratio dropped after IAA or FC treatment,

indicating a drop in apoplastic pH and normal apoplastic acidification (Fig. 1C

and 1D). The normal acidification in *csi1-3* indicates that the loss of auxin-

induced cell elongation in *csi1-3* is not due to deficient apoplastic acidification.

The *csi1-3* mutants have less extensible cell walls

The cell wall creep assay has been used to mimic the slow creep of cell walls

during cell expansion (Cosgrove, 1998; Durachko and Cosgrove, 2009; Park and

Cosgrove, 2012b). We measured the acid-induced creep responses of native

walls. To kill the cells, 3-day-old etiolated seedlings were frozen and thawed prior

to the experiment. The specimens were clamped at constant force (2.5 g) in pH

6.8 buffer. After 20 min incubation, the buffer was replaced with pH 4.5 buffer.

The walls of wild type and *jia1-1* mutants extended rapidly in response to acidic

buffer. In contrast, the wall extension and extension rate of the *csi1-3* mutants

was approximately 41% and 24% of wild-type hypocotyls, respectively (Fig. 2A

and 2B). Although both *csi1-3* and *jia1-1* had reduced cell elongation in 3-day-old

de-etiolated hypocotyls *in vivo*, only *csi1-3* had diminished creep response to

acidic buffers. These results support the idea that different mechanisms account

for cell expansion defects in the two mutants.

We next tested whether *csi1* affects an endoglucanase-induced creep response

as a means to test the wall's ability to undergo creep. It was shown previously

that a creep response was induced by PpXG5, a family-5 endoglucanase (Park

and Cosgrove, 2012b). The GH5 family endoglucanase displays hydrolytic

activity towards both xyloglucan and disordered cellulose (Park and Cosgrove,

2012b). To inactivate endogenous enzymes and expansins, samples were boiled

for 12 s and immediately cooled down with cold running water. Because

Arabidopsis hypocotyls were not amenable to heat inactivation followed by creep

experiments, we used leaf petiole instead. The leaf petioles were boiled to

inactivate endogenous wall-loosening enzymes and abraded to promote enzyme

penetration through the cuticle. The wall specimens were clamped at constant

force (8.2 g) and 50 µg/mL GH5 family endoglucanase was added after 20 min of

incubation. The *csi1-3* samples showed a small creep rate compared to that in

wild type (Fig. 2D). Diminished creep responses in *csi1* suggest that the reduced

wall extensibility in *csi1-3* is likely due to changes in wall architecture.

The *csi1-3* mutants display altered cellulose microfibril organization

It is commonly accepted that the direction of maximal expansion depends on the

direction of the alignment of cellulose microfibrils. To assess whether the

reduced cell elongation in *csi1-3* and *jia1-1* is correlated with mis-alignment of

cellulose microfibrils, we examined the organization of cellulose microfibrils.

Atomic force microscopy (AFM) was used to examine the organization of the

most recently deposited cellulose microfibrils in outer periclinal walls in epidermal

cells of *Arabidopsis* hypocotyls (Supplementary Fig. S1). The hypocotyl samples

were kept in water during sample preparation and imaging, so the organization of

cellulose microfibrils was maintained in a near-native state (Zhang *et al.*, 2016).

AFM images revealed that the majority of most recently deposited cellulose

microfibrils in the outer periclinal walls were arranged in transverse orientation

perpendicular to the growth axis in wild type seedlings (Fig. 3A and 3C).

Although the majority of cellulose microfibrils were deposited in transverse

orientation in *csi1-3* mutants, there was less variation in orientation of cellulose

microfibrils as compared with wild type (Fig. 3A and 3C). Similar parallel

alignment of cellulose microfibrils was previously shown for *jia1-1* (Lei *et al.*,

2014). *csi1-3* and *jia1-1* mutants exhibited different cell expansion abilities but
similar parrallel alignment of the most recently deposited cellulose microfibrils,
indicating that cellulose microfibril alignment in the innermost outer periclinal wall
alone is insufficient to regulate axial cell elongation.
285
The crossed-polylamellate architecture of outer epidermal cell wall is lost
in the *csi1-3* mutants
It has been hypothesized that the outer epidermal wall represents the growth-
limiting structure of the multicellular system in hypocotyls (Kutschera, 1992). We
therefore examined the structure of the outer epidermal wall using transmission
electron microscopy (TEM). Polysaccharides in oblique sections of 3-day-old
Arabidopsis etiolated hypocotyls 0.5-2 mm below the apical hook were stained
with periodic acid thiocarbohydrazide-silver proteinate (PATA) and lead citrate. In
wild type cells, epidermal cell walls have a crossed-polylamellate architecture in
which cellulose microfibrils are relatively well aligned within each lamella but the
orientation varies between successive lamellae (Fig. 3B and 3D). Occasionally
outer epidermal walls show uniform parallel orientation of polysaccharides across
multiple lamellae in wild type (7%). A comparable texture was observed for *jia1-1*
(Fig. 3B and 3D). In contrast, majority of outer epidermal walls (62%) showed
uniform parallel orientation of polysaccharides in different lamellae in *csi1-3* (Fig.
3B and 3D).
302
Pharmacological disruption of MTs phenocopies loss of auxin- and FC-
induced cell expansion and loss of the crossed-polylamellate wall
architecture in *csi1-3*
CSI1 was previously shown to be required for the co-alignment between CSC
trajectories and cortical microtubules (Gu *et al.*, 2010; Li *et al.*, 2012). In *csi1-3*,
CSC trajectories did not follow the underlying microtubules in epidermal cells
approximately 0.5 mm below the apical hook (Li *et al.*, 2012). As the cell
expansion defect is not limited to the upper part of hypocotyl, we carefully
examined dynamics of YFP-CESA6 and cortical microtubules in all regions of

hypocotyls. In wild type seedlings, microtubule orientation and CSC trajectories

were consistently aligned across different regions, from predominantly

transversely oriented in cell #17-21, to oblique orientation in cell #9-13, to

longitudinal orientation in cell #1-5. In contrast, *csi1-3* seedlings displayed

consistently transverse orientation of CSC trajectories in all regions, while

microtubule orientation were similar to that of wild type seedlings (Supplementary

Fig. S5). The angles of MT or CSC tracks against horizontal direction were

measured using FibrilTool, an Image J plug-in to quantify fibrillar structures in

microscopy images (Boudaoud *et al.*, 2014), further supporting the conclusion

that the average trajectories of YFP-CESA6 and MTs were uncoupled in *csi1-3*

(Li *et al.*, 2012, Supplementary Fig. S5).

The loss of crossed-polylamellate walls in *csi1-3* is consistent with the hypothesis

that crossed-polylamellate walls of the outer epidermal cell require microtubule-

dependent rotation of cellulose microfibril deposition (Chan *et al.*, 2007; Chan *et*

al., 2010). To further explore microtubules' role in cell expansion, we treated wild

type seedlings with oryzalin, a MT depolymerization drug. We chose an oryzalin

concentration that mimicked the morphology of *csi1-3* in terms of the cell

expansion defects (Li *et al.*, 2012). Oryzalin-treated hypocotyls did not elongate

in response to auxin or FC treatments, thus mimicking the response of *csi1-3*

(Fig. 4A and 4B). Moreover, TEM images of oryzalin-treated seedlings also

showed a loss of the crossed-polylamellate wall architecture (Fig. 4C and 4D).

The majority of oryzalin-treated seedlings displayed a uniform parallel orientation

of polysaccharides (24/30 images). These results suggest that MTs play an

important role in the formation of the crossed-polylamellate wall architecture and

loss of auxin-induced cell elongation accompanies loss of crossed-polylamellate

construction.

Discussion

Crossed-polylamellate cell wall architecture

Crossed-polylamellate walls are often observed in rapidly growing epidermal

cells in both hypocotyls and roots (Chafe and Wardrop, 1972; Hodick and

Kutschera, 1992; Roland *et al.*, 1977; Takeda and Shibaoka, 1981). It is

postulated that different mechanisms account for crossed-polylamellate wall

feature in two systems (Li *et al.*, 2014). In *Arabidopsis* hypocotyls, cyclic

reorientation of microtubules is accompanied with a corresponding reorientation

of CSC trajectories. The dynamics of cortical microtubule pattern actively dictates

orientation of cellulose microfibril deposition. The rotation of CSC trajectories was

blocked by either stabilizing or depolymerizing microtubules, and this resulted in

loss of crossed-polylamellate cell wall patterns (Chan *et al.*, 2010). The cyclic

reorientation appears to be shoot-specific as microtubules do not rotate in roots

in *Arabidopsis*. In *Arabidopsis* roots, there is a one-way microfibril reorientation

from transverse to longitudinal as epidermal cells elongate (Anderson *et al.*,

2010; Granger and Cyr, 2001; Liang *et al.*, 1996; Sugimoto *et al.*, 2000). The

one-way microfibril reorientation is most likely a passive process independent of

microtubules. Consistent with the idea that shoot and root adopt different

mechanisms in growth controls, auxin promotes cell expansion in aerial tissues

but inhibits cell expansion in roots at concentrations above 10^{-8} M (Ishikawa and

Evans, 1993; Taiz, 1984). *csi1* resulted in the loss of CSC trajectory re-

orientation and loss of crossed-polylamellate cell wall patterns, supporting the

idea of a microtubule-dependent mechanism in hypocotyls (Lloyd, 2011). Further

supporting the microtubule-dependent mechanism, the removal of microtubules

by oryzalin phenocopies the loss of crossed-polylamellate cell wall patterns in

csi1.

Correlation between loss of crossed-polylamellate wall architecture and

acid-induced growth

Auxin-induced growth is characterized by activation of plasma membrane proton

pumps that leads to acidification of the cell wall. The acidification of apoplastic

space activates expansin that loosens the connection between cellulose

microfibrils and non-cellulosic polysaccharides. As a result, cell expansion

occurs. *csi1* has defects in auxin- or FC-induced growth while the apoplastic

acidification is normal, suggesting the defect may reside in wall loosening.

Indeed, *csi1* walls are less extensible in creep assays. As wall creep mimics wall

enlargement during cell growth, these results confirm a correlation between

defects in wall mechanics and loss of acid-induced growth in *csi1*. Because

pharmacological disruption of MTs phenocopies loss of auxin- and FC-induced

cell expansion and loss of the crossed-polylamellate wall architecture in *csi1*, we

reasoned that MTs play an important role in the formation of the crossed-

polylamellate wall and are dependent on CSI1. In addition, the correlation

between loss of crossed-polylamellate wall and loss of auxin- and FC-induced

cell growth relies on both MT and CSI1 function.

Previous results show that xyloglucan-deficient mutants *xxt1 xxt2* had diminished

responses to FC-induced growth and diminished creep responses to acid buffers

(Park and Cosgrove, 2012a). Interestingly, the wall architecture is similar to that

of *csi1*, both displaying well aligned transversely oriented cellulose microfibrils in

the innermost wall and partial loss of crossed-polylamellate wall (Xiao *et al.*,

2016). Xyloglucan is speculated to reduce interactions between cellulose

microfibrils and reduce their bundling (Xiao *et al.*, 2016). It is unclear whether the

defects in wall mechanics and/or loss of acid-induced growth in *xxt1 xxt2* alters

MT organization or CSI1 function. Transmission electron microscopy analysis

revealed an overall appearance of loss of crossed-polylamellate wall in *xxt1 xxt2*

and *csi1* but it cannot discern molecular difference such as bundle sizes,

microfibril length, connection between different lamellae, microfibril motions, and

the incorporation of other cell wall polymers. Precise measurements are required

to determine how such cell wall parameters affect the overall wall organization

and wall mechanical properties in *xxt1 xxt2* and *csi1*. The mechanism by which

cell wall architecture affects acid growth is unclear. Potential mechanisms may

involve the inaccessibility of expansin to specific wall-loosening sites, changes in

interconnectivity between cellulose microfibrils and other cell wall polymers, and

defects in the mechanism of cellulose microfibril sliding or slippage (Cosgrove,

2018).

Is organization of cellulose microfibrils dependent on microtubules?

The "direct guidance model" postulates that microtubules guide the deposition of

cellulose microfibrils (Heath, 1974). The observation that the CSCs trajectories

were uncoupled from microtubules in *csi1* supports the direct guidance model.

With the discovery of the linker protein CSI1, a modified guidance model is

proposed in which microtubules guide the deposition of cellulose microfibrils

through direct attachment mediated by CSI1 (Gu *et al.*, 2010; Li *et al.*, 2012). As

CSC trajectories are proxies for the orientation of nascent cellulose microfibrils, it

is predicted that cellulose microfibrils would have disorganized orientations in

csi1. Surprisingly, the newly deposited cellulose microfibrils in *csi1* mutants are

more parallelly aligned than those of wild type. These results suggest that the

direct guidance model is inadequate to explain the origin of the initial orientation

of cellulose microfibrils. A re-visit of alternative models is needed. The self-

assembly mechanism suggests that wall formation is a spontaneous process,

similar to liquid crystal formation (Neville, 1985; Neville *et al.*, 1976). Another

model takes the density of CSC and geometry of the cell into consideration

(Dyson and Jensen, 2010; Emons and Mulder, 2000). The helicoidal wall texture

in root hair was simulated based on the geometrical theory. As *Arabidopsis*

hypocotyls have a crossed-polylamellate wall rather than a helicoidal wall, it

remains to be tested whether the geometrical theory holds true in hypocotyls.

Is microfibril alignment sufficient to explain axial cell elongation?

The observations that microtubules and microfibrils in the most recently

deposited walls had a dominant orientation perpendicular to the growth axis led

to the hypothesis that inner periclinal walls control the growth direction whereas

the outer periclinal walls determine the rate of organ elongation (Crowell *et al.*,

2010; Crowell *et al.*, 2011; Kutschera, 2008). Despite the differences in response

to auxin- and FC-induced acid growth in *csi1* and *jia1*, elongation still occurs in

both mutants, consistent with the proposed role of inner periclinal walls

controlling the growth direction. However, the most recently deposited cellulose

microfibrils in *csi1* and *jia1* were more parallelly aligned than those in wild type

plants. Therefore, the growth defect in *csi1* and *jia1* cannot be simply explained

by the disorganization of the microfibrils and the loss of growth anisotropy.

Moreover, the growth defect in *csi1* and *jia1* appeared to be controlled by

different mechanisms as *csi1* had reduced cell elongation independent of the cell

position along hypocotyls whereas *jia1* had developmental delays in acropetal

growth acceleration but eventually was able to reach maximal growth similar to

wild type. The synthesis of cellulose is thought to occur simultaneously with

elongation to allow continuous deposition of cellulose microfibrils in the innermost

layer. Previous experiments have shown that cellulose deposition and net wall

polysaccharide synthesis are not tightly linked to the rate of the elongation

(Derbyshire *et al.*, 2007; Refregier *et al.*, 2004). *jia1* had a much more severe

defect in cellulose synthesis as compared with *csi1* (Lei *et al.*, 2014). It is likely

that the delay in growth acceleration represents a mechanism to cope with

severe cellulose deficiency.

In summary, this study has several significant implications. First, CSI1 is required

for the formation of crossed-polylamellate wall as loss of CSI1 resulted in loss of

crossed-polylamellate walls. Second, the crossed-polylamellate wall architecture

is required for auxin-induced acid growth. Third, cellulose microfibrils maintain a

default transverse orientation without CSI1, indicating the orientation of nascent

cellulose microfibrils is independent of CESA-MT linkage. Last, microfibril

alignment is not sufficient to control axial cell elongation. Our results lay a

foundation for future studies on mechanistic role of wall architecture during acid

growth.

Materials and Methods

Plant Materials and Growth Conditions

All seeds were surface sterilized with 30% (vol/vol) bleach for 15 min, thoroughly

washed with autoclaved double-distilled H_2O (ddH_2O), and stored at 4 °C for a

minimum of 3 d. Dark-grown seedlings were grown on vertical half-strength

Murashige and Skoog (MS) plates without sucrose at 21 °C in the dark. Light-

grown seedlings were grown on vertical half-strength MS plates with 1% sucrose

at 21 °C on a 16-h light/8-h dark cycle.

Live-Cell Imaging and Analysis

Images were obtained from epidermal cells of 3-d-old etiolated seedlings 0.5 - 2

mm below the apical hook unless indicated otherwise. Imaging was performed on

a Yokogawa CSUX1 spinning-disk system as previously described (Li *et al.*,

2012). Image analysis was performed using Metamorph and ImageJ software.

The average trajectory orientation was analyzed using FibrilTool, an ImageJ

plug-in to quantify fibrillar structures (Boudaoud *et al.*, 2014).

Atomic force microscopy

The *Arabidopsis* hypocotyl sample preparation and atomic force microscopy of

hypocotyl walls were performed as previously described (Lei *et al.*, 2014). The

cell angle information was recorded during image acquisition. The microfibril

angles were measured using FibrilTool, an ImageJ plug-in to quantify fibrillar

structures (Boudaoud *et al.*, 2014). Three regions of interest (ROI) were

randomly selected from each AFM image for angle measurement.

Transmission electron microscopy

The apical portions of hypocotyls were cut approximately 0.5 - 2 mm below the

apical hook of 3-d-old dark-grown wild type (Col-0), *csi1-3*, and *jia1-1* seedlings.

Samples were fixed in 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) containing 2.5%

glutaraldehyde and 0.1% Triton X-100 for 3 h at room temperature. The residual

glutaraldehyde was removed by washing the samples with 50 mM phosphate

buffer 3 times for 5 min each. Samples were then immersed in dimethyl sulfoxide

(DMSO) for 16 h to cause cell wall swelling and washed with double distilled

water (ddH$_2$O), followed by dehydration with an ethanol series (25%, 50%, 60%,

70%, 80%, 90%, 100%; 10 min for each); 100% EM grade ethanol 3 times for 10

min; 100% acetone 3 times for 10 min. The dehydrated samples were infiltrated

with acetone: Eponate 12 resin (2:1, 1:1, 1:2, > 6 h each) and pure Eponate 12 (3

times for > 6 h). Samples were then embedded in a mold, in which the hypocotyl

hook was positioned on the top of mold. After incubating at 70°C overnight,

ultrathin sections (~70 nm) were cut at room temperature using an ultra-

microtome (Leica EM UC6) equipped with a diamond knife and collected with

gold grids.

The thin sections on the grids were incubated with 1% periodic acid in deionized

water for 30 min and washed with deionized water 3 times before being

incubated with freshly prepared 0.2% thiocarbohydrazide in 20% acetic acid for

24 h. Then the sections were washed sequentially with 10%, 5%, 2% acetic acid,

and deionized water. The samples were stained with 1% silver proteinate in

deionized water for 30 min and followed by washing. The sections were stained

with 0.46% lead citrate in deionized water for 12 minutes, then washed by 25 mM

NaOH, 12.5 mM NaOH and deionized water for 1 min each. Images were

collected using a transmission electron microscopy (FEI Tecnai TEM) under the

120-kV accelerating voltage conditions.

Environmental scanning electron microscopy

Etiolated seedlings were gently attached to sample holders with a thin layer of

carbon tape. Images were acquired using a Quanta 250 environmental scanning

electron microscope (Thermo Scientific) in the high vacuum mode. The

measurement of cell length was performed using ImageJ software (NIH).

Auxin-induced growth

The shoot apexes and roots of 3-day-old *Arabidopsis* etiolated seedlings were

removed using a razor blade. The hypocotyl segments were placed in the

depletion medium (10 mM KCl, 1 mM MES, pH 6.0). Then the hypocotyl

segments were transferred to new depletion medium with 1 μM IAA or 0.02%
ethanol solvent control. Images of hypocotyl segments were acquired at the
beginning of incubation and after 150 min of incubation (Fendrych *et al.*, 2016).

Fusicoccin-induced growth

The shoot apexes and roots of 3-day-old *Arabidopsis* etiolated seedlings were
removed using a razor blade. The hypocotyl segments were placed in the
depletion medium (10 mM KCl, 1 mM MES, pH 6.0). Then the hypocotyl
segments were transferred to new depletion medium with 2 μM FC or 0.02%
ethanol solvent control. Images of hypocotyl segments were acquired at the
beginning of incubation and after 150 min of incubation.

Creep Assay

The 3-day-old *Arabidopsis* etiolated seedlings were collected, stored at -80 °C,
and thawed at room temperature prior to experiments. The seedlings were
clamped under a constant force of 2.5 g. The clamped seedlings were incubated
in 20 mM HEPES buffer (pH 6.8) for 20 min. Then the incubation buffer was
replaced with 20 mM sodium acetate buffer (pH 4.5). The wall extension was
recorded every 30 s for 75 min (Cosgrove, 1998; Durachko and Cosgrove, 2009).

For enzyme-induced creep, leaf petioles from the fifth to eighth leaves from 3-
week-old plants were harvested, stored at -80 °C, and thawed prior to
experiments. The petioles were abraded by rubbing the surface 10 times with
carborundum slurry to facilitate buffer and protein penetration, and then washed
with distilled water. The samples were pressed under a weight for 5 min to
remove residual cell fluids and to flatten the wall sample, as described previously
(Park and Cosgrove, 2012a, b). The pressed wall specimens were heat
inactivated in boiling water for 12 s, and immediately cool down with cold running
water. The wall specimens were clamped under a constant force of 8.2 g and
incubated in 20 mM sodium acetate buffer (pH 4.5), which was replaced by the

same buffer supplemented with 50 μg/mL GH5 family endoglucanase at the time of 20 min.

Oryzalin Treatment

Wild type seeds were grown in the dark for 3 days on half-strength MS plate without sucrose and supplemented with 300 nM oryzalin. Oryzalin was dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) to create stock solutions.

Apoplastic pH Measurement

Seeds were grown on half-strength MS plates without sucrose for 4 days in the dark. A group of 30 seedlings were incubated in the depletion medium with 1 μM IAA or 0.02% ethanol solvent control. The pH of the incubation medium was measured using a pH meter before and after 150 min of incubation (Cleland, 1973).

The quantification of ratiometric pH sensor apo-pHusion in wild type and *csi1* was performed as described previously (Fendrych *et al.*, 2016).

Acknowledgement

We thank E. Wagner and X. Wang for their assistance with the creep assay. We thank D. Ehrhardt for providing YFP-CESA6 mCherry-TUA5 transgenic seeds. We thank A.T. Fuglsang for providing apo-pHusion transgenic seeds. This work was supported by the Center for LignoCellulose Structure and Formation, an Energy Frontier Research Center funded by the Department of Energy, Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences under Award DESC0001090.

Figure Legend

Fig. 1. The *csi1-3* mutants are not responsive to auxin- or FC-induced hypocotyl elongation.

(A) Auxin-induced hypocotyl segments elongation of wild type (Col-0), *csi1-3*,
and *jia1-1* mutants. One out of three reproducible independent experiments were
used in the analysis. The lengths of the hypocotyls were measured at 0 min and
150 min of each treatment. ** $P \leq 0.01$, *** $P \leq 0.001$ (n = 5 in mock treatment for
each genotype, n = 6 in IAA treatment for each genotype). Statistical analysis
was performed by two-tailed Student's *t*-test.
(B) FC-induced hypocotyl segments elongation of wild type (Col-0), *csi1-3*, and
jia1-1 mutants. One out of three reproducible independent experiments were
used in the analysis. The lengths of the hypocotyls were measured at 0 min and
150 min of each treatment. ** $P \leq 0.01$ (n = 5 in mock treatment for each
genotype, n = 6 in FC treatment for each genotype). Statistical analysis was
performed by two-tailed Student's *t*-test.
(C) Apoplastic pH change of wild type (Col-0) and *csi1-3* in response to IAA
treatment. Images were taken at 0 min and 150 min of IAA treatment. The
apoplastic pH was reflected by GFP/RFP intensity ratio. * $P \leq 0.05$ (n = 12 for
each genotype). Statistical analysis was performed by two-tailed Student's *t*-test.
(D) Apoplastic pH change of wild type (Col-0) and *csi1-3* in response to FC
treatment. Images were taken at 0 min and 150 min of FC treatment. The
apoplastic pH was reflected by GFP/RFP intensity ratio. ** $P \leq 0.01$, *** $P \leq 0.001$
(n = 12 for each genotype). Statistical analysis was performed by two-tailed
Student's *t*-test.
606
Fig. 2. The *csi1-3* mutants exhibit less wall extensibility.
(A and B) Extension of native walls in response to acidic buffer (pH 4.5). The
samples were 3-day-old dark-grown seedlings of wild type (Col-0), *csi1-3*, and
jia1-1 mutants. Each curve is an average of eight individual responses.
(C and D) Extension of heat-inactivated wild type and *csi1-3* walls in pH 4.5
buffer upon the addition of 50 µg/mL GH5 family endoglucanase at 20 min. The
samples were leaf petioles from wild type (Col-0) and *csi1-3* mutant. Each curve
is an average of at least four individual responses (n = 4 for wild type, n = 5 for
csi1-3).

Fig. 3. Cellulose microfibril organization is altered in the *csi1-3* mutants.

(A) AFM images of 3-day-old dark-grown seedlings of wild type (Col-0) and *csi1-3* mutant. The long axes of the cells are indicated by white double-headed arrows. (Scale bars, 400 nm.)

(B) TEM images of 3-day-old dark-grown seedlings of wild type (Col-0), *csi1-3*, and *jia1-1* mutants. (Scale bars, 200 nm.) The letter "c" on the TEM images indicates cytosol of the cell.

(C) Quantitative analysis of cellulose microfibril (CMF) angles in AFM images. n = 84 for wild type, n = 48 for *csi1-3*.

(D) Quantitative analysis of the TEM images. n = 30 for each genotype. V-shaped refers to 'herringbone' pattern of cell wall. Uniform refers to unifying parallel orientation of polysaccharides. In-between is a category that has mixed features of V-shaped and uniform cell wall.

Fig. 4. Pharmaceutical disruption of microtubules results in loss of the crossed-polylamellate wall architecture.

(A) Auxin-induced hypocotyl segments elongation of wild type (Col-0) treated with 300 nM oryzalin. One out of three reproducible independent experiments were used in the analysis. The lengths of the hypocotyls were measured at 0 min and 150 min of each treatment. *** $P \leq 0.001$ (n = 6 in each treatment for both wild type and oryzalin-treated seedlings). Statistical analysis was performed by two-tailed Student's *t*-test.

(B) FC-induced hypocotyl segments elongation of wild type (Col-0) treated with 300 nM oryzalin. One out of three reproducible independent experiments were used in the analysis. The lengths of the hypocotyls were measured at 0 min and 150 min of each treatment. * $P \leq 0.05$ (n = 5 in each treatment for both wild type and oryzalin-treated seedlings). Statistical analysis was performed by two-tailed Student's *t*-test.

(C) TEM images of 3-day-old dark-grown seedlings of wild type (Col-0) treated

with 300 nM oryzalin. (Scale bars, 200 nm.) The letter "c" on the TEM images

indicates cytosol of the cell.

(D) Quantitative analysis of the TEM images. n = 30. V-shaped refers to

'herringbone' pattern of cell wall. Uniform refers to unifying parallel orientation of

polysaccharides. In-between is a category that has mixed features of V-shaped

and uniform cell wall.

660
Supplementary Figures

Supplementary Fig. S1. A cartoon of the cross-section of an *Arabidopsis* hypocotyl.

The outer epidermal cell wall is the periclinal wall that faces the external environment of the plant. The corresponding wall visualized by atomic force microscopy (AFM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) is shown. AFM examines the organization of the most recently deposited cellulose microfibrils in outer periclinal walls in *Arabidopsis* epidermal cells. TEM displays the structure of the entire outer epidermal wall. The white double-headed arrow on the AFM image indicates the long axis of the cell. The letter "c" on the TEM image indicates cytosol of the cell.

Supplementary Fig. S2. Morphology of the *csi1-3* and *jia1-1* mutants.
(A) Four-day-old dark-grown seedlings of wild type (Col-0), *csi1-3*, and *jia1-1* mutants (Scale bars, 5 mm).
(B) Ten-day-old dark-grown seedlings of wild type (Col-0), *csi1-3*, and *jia1-1* mutants (Scale bars, 5 mm).
(C) Measurement of cell length of epidermal cells in wild type (Col-0) etiolated seedlings grown for 2 days, 3 days, and 4 days.
(D) Measurement of cell length of epidermal cells in a file from the base to the top of 4-day-old, dark-grown hypocotyls of wild type (Col-0), *csi1-3*, and *jia1-1*.

Supplementary Fig. S3. Auxin- and FC- induced hypocotyl elongation.
(A) Dose-response graph of IAA on the hypocotyl length of wild type (Col-0). The lengths of the hypocotyls were measured at 0 min and 150 min of each treatment. Increase in length was calculated as [(length at 150 min – length at 0 min)/ length at 0 min] x 100%. Mock treatment was defined as using 0.02% ethanol (solvent) instead of IAA. ** $P \leq 0.01$, *** $P \leq 0.001$ (n = 6 for each treatment). Statistical analysis was performed by two-tailed Student's *t*-test.

(B) Dose-response graph of FC on the hypocotyl length of wild type (Col-0). The

lengths of the hypocotyls were measured at 0 min and 150 min of each

treatment. Increase in length was calculated as [(length at 150 min – length at 0

min)/ length at 0 min] x 100%. Mock treatment was defined as using 0.02%

ethanol (solvent) instead of FC. * $P \leq$ 0.05, ** $P \leq$ 0.01 (n = 6 for each treatment).

Statistical analysis was performed by two-tailed Student's *t*-test.

Supplementary Fig. S4. Measurement of hypocotyl elongation rate.

(A) Hypocotyl growth rate of wild-type (Col-0), *csi1-3*, and *jia1-1* mutants from

day 2 to day 3. Seedlings were grown in the dark on vertical half-strength

Murashige and Skoog (MS) plates without sucrose. The lengths of hypocotyls

were measured at day 2 and day 3. Error bars represent SEM. *** $P \leq$ 0.001 (n

=15 for each genotype). Statistical analysis was performed by two-tailed

Student's *t*-test.

(B) Auxin-induced hypocotyl elongation rate of wild-type (Col-0), *csi1-3*, and *jia1-*

1 mutants. The lengths of the hypocotyls were measured at 0 min and 150 min of

1 µM IAA treatment. Error bars represent SEM. *** $P \leq$ 0.001 (n = 12 for each

genotype). Statistical analysis was performed by two-tailed Student's *t*-test.

Supplementary Fig. S5. CSC trajectories and cortical MTs are uncoupled in

***csi1-3* mutant.**

(A-C) Two-channel confocal imaging of epidermal cells in 3-d-old etiolated

seedlings from control and *csi1-3* mutant expressing YFP-CESA6 and mCherry-

TUA5 in cell #17-21 (A), #9-13 (B), and #1-5 (C), respectively. Scale bars, 10

µm.

(D) Analysis of the average trajectory orientation of YFP-CESA6 and mCherry-

TUA5 in control and *csi1-3* mutant in cell #17-21. Error bars are SEM. In control,

the angles of YFP-CESA6 and mCherry-TUA5 trajectories are 17.87 ± 12.38

(mean ± SD) degrees and 19.67 ± 14.00 (mean ± SD) degrees, respectively. *P* >

0.05 (n = 6 for each group). In the *csi1-3* mutant, the angles of YFP-CESA6 and

mCherry-TUA5 trajectories are 4.49 ± 4.00 (mean ± SD) degrees and 6.79 ± 2.49

(mean ± SD) degrees, respectively. $P > 0.05$ (n = 7 for each group).

(E) Analysis of the average trajectory orientation of YFP-CESA6 and mCherry-

TUA5 in control and *csi1-3* mutant in cell #9-13. Error bars are SEM. In control,

the angles of YFP-CESA6 and mCherry-TUA5 trajectories are 62.84 ± 17.27

(mean ± SD) degrees and 61.22 ± 13.35 (mean ± SD) degrees, respectively. $P >$

0.05 (n = 7 for each group). In the *csi1-3* mutant, the angles of YFP-CESA6 and

mCherry-TUA5 trajectories are 11.07 ± 4.82 (mean ± SD) degrees and 57.79 ±

21.40 (mean ± SD) degrees, respectively. $P \leq 0.01$ (n = 7 for each group).

(F) Analysis of the average trajectory orientation of YFP-CESA6 and mCherry-

TUA5 in control and *csi1-3* mutant in cell #1-5. Error bars are SEM. In control,

the angles of YFP-CESA6 and mCherry-TUA5 trajectories are 74.29 ± 8.13

(mean ± SD) degrees and 72.95 ± 8.91 (mean ± SD) degrees, respectively. $P >$

0.05 (n = 6 for each group). In the *csi1-3* mutant, the angles of YFP-CESA6 and

mCherry-TUA5 trajectories are 21.82 ± 9.09 (mean ± SD) degrees and 77.69 ±

5.22 (mean ± SD) degrees, respectively. $P \leq 0.001$ (n = 8 for each group).

References:

Anderson CT, Carroll A, Akhmetova L, Somerville C. 2010. Real-time imaging of cellulose reorientation during cell wall expansion in Arabidopsis roots. Plant Physiol **152**, 787-796.

Baskin TI. 2005. Anisotropic expansion of the plant cell wall. Annual review of cell and developmental biology **21**, 203-222.

Baunsgaard L, Fuglsang AT, Jahn T, Korthout HAAJ, de Boer AH, Palmgren MG. 1998. The 14-3-3 proteins associate with the plant plasma membrane H+-ATPase to generate a fusicoccin binding complex and a fusicoccin responsive system. Plant Journal **13**, 661-671.

Boudaoud A, Burian A, Borowska-Wykret D, Uyttewaal M, Wrzalik R, Kwiatkowska D, Hamant O. 2014. FibrilTool, an ImageJ plug-in to quantify fibrillar structures in raw microscopy images. Nat Protoc **9**, 457-463.

Bringmann M, Li EY, Sampathkumar A, Kocabek T, Hauser MT, Persson S. 2012. POM-POM2/CELLULOSE SYNTHASE INTERACTING1 Is Essential for the Functional Association of Cellulose Synthase and Microtubules in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell **24**, 163-177.

Chafe SC, Wardrop AB. 1972. Fine structural observations on the epidermis : I. The epidermal cell wall. Planta **107**, 269-278.

Chan J, Calder G, Fox S, Lloyd C. 2007. Cortical microtubule arrays undergo rotary movements in Arabidopsis hypocotyl epidermal cells. Nat. Cell Biol. **9**, 171-175.

Chan J, Crowell E, Eder M, Calder G, Bunnewell S, Findlay K, Vernhettes S, Hofte H, Lloyd C. 2010. The rotation of cellulose synthase trajectories is microtubule dependent and influences the texture of epidermal cell walls in Arabidopsis hypocotyls. J. Cell Sci. **123**, 3490-3495.

Chan J, Eder M, Crowell EF, Hampson J, Calder G, Lloyd C. 2011. Microtubules and CESA tracks at the inner epidermal wall align independently of those on the outer wall of light-grown Arabidopsis hypocotyls. Journal of Cell Science **124**, 1088-1094.

Cleland R. 1973. Auxin-induced hydrogen ion excretion from Avena coleoptiles. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **70**, 3092-3093.

Cosgrove DJ. 1997. Relaxation in a high-stress environment: the molecular bases of extensible cell walls and cell enlargement. Plant Cell **9**, 1031-1041.

Cosgrove DJ. 1998. Cell wall loosening by expansins. Plant Physiol **118**, 333-339.

Cosgrove DJ. 2000. Loosening of plant cell walls by expansins. Nature **407**, 321-326.

Cosgrove DJ. 2018. Nanoscale structure, mechanics and growth of epidermal cell walls. Current Opinion in Plant Biology **46**, 77-86.

Cosgrove DJ, Li LC, Cho HT, Hoffmann-Benning S, Moore RC, Blecker D. 2002. The growing world of expansins. Plant Cell Physiol **43**, 1436-1444.

Crowell EF, Gonneau M, Vernhettes S, Hofte H. 2010. Regulation of anisotropic cell expansion in higher plants. Comptes rendus biologies **333**, 320-324.

Crowell EF, Timpano H, Desprez T, Franssen-Verheijen T, Emons AM, Hofte H, Vernhettes S. 2011. Differential regulation of cellulose orientation at the inner and outer face of epidermal cells in the Arabidopsis hypocotyl. Plant Cell **23**, 2592-2605.

Derbyshire P, Findlay K, McCann MC, Roberts K. 2007. Cell elongation in Arabidopsis hypocotyls involves dynamic changes in cell wall thickness. J Exp Bot **58**, 2079-2089.

Durachko DM, Cosgrove DJ. 2009. Measuring plant cell wall extension (creep) induced by acidic pH and by alpha-expansin. J Vis Exp, 1263.

Dyson RJ, Jensen OE. 2010. A fibre-reinforced fluid model of anisotropic plant cell growth. Journal of Fluid Mechanics **655**, 472-503.

Emons AMC, Mulder BM. 2000. How the deposition of cellulose microfibrils builds cell wall architecture. Trends in Plant Science **5**, 35-40.

Fendrych M, Leung J, Friml J. 2016. TIR1/AFB-Aux/IAA auxin perception mediates rapid cell wall acidification and growth of Arabidopsis hypocotyls. Elife **5**.

Gendreau E, Traas J, Desnos T, Grandjean O, Caboche M, Hofte H. 1997. Cellular basis of hypocotyl growth in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Physiol **114**, 295-305.

Gertel ET, Green PB. 1977. Cell growth pattern and wall microfibrillar arrangement: experiments with nitella. Plant Physiol **60**, 247-254.

Gjetting KS, Ytting CK, Schulz A, Fuglsang AT. 2012. Live imaging of intra- and extracellular pH in plants using pHusion, a novel genetically encoded biosensor. J Exp Bot **63**, 3207-3218.

Granger CL, Cyr RJ. 2001. Spatiotemporal relationships between growth and microtubule orientation as revealed in living root cells of Arabidopsis thaliana transformed with green-fluorescent-protein gene construct GFP-MBD. Protoplasma **216**, 201-214.

Green PB. 1960. Multinet growth in the cell wall of Nitella. J Biophys Biochem Cytol **7**, 289-296.

Green PB. 1962. Mechanism for Plant Cellular Morphogenesis. Science **138**, 1404-&.

Green PB. 1980. Organogenesis - a Biophysical View. Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology **31**, 51-82.

Gu Y, Kaplinsky N, Bringmann M, Cobb A, Carroll A, Sampathkumar A, Baskin T, Persson S, Somerville C. 2010. Identification of a cellulose synthase-associated protein required for cellulose biosynthesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **107**, 12866-12871.

Hager A, Menzel H, Krauss A. 1971. [Experiments and hypothesis concerning the primary action of auxin in elongation growth]. Planta **100**, 47-75.

Heath IB. 1974. Unified Hypothesis for Role of Membrane-Bound Enzyme Complexes and Microtubules in Plant-Cell Wall Synthesis. Journal of Theoretical Biology **48**, 445-449.

Hepler PK, Palevitz BA. 1974. Microtubules and Microfilaments. Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology **25**, 309-362.

Hodick D, Kutschera U. 1992. Light-Induced Inhibition of Elongation Growth in Sunflower Hypocotyls - Biophysical and Ultrastructural Investigations. Protoplasma **168**, 7-13.

Ishikawa H, Evans ML. 1993. The role of the distal elongation zone in the response of maize roots to auxin and gravity. Plant Physiol **102**, 1203-1210.

Itoh T. 1975. Cell-Wall Organization of Cortical Parenchyma of Angiosperms Observed by Freeze-Etching Technique. Botanical Magazine-Tokyo **88**, 145-156.

Itoh T, Shimaji K. 1976. Orientation of Microfibrils and Microtubules in Cortical Parenchyma Cells of Poplar during Elongation Growth. Botanical Magazine-Tokyo **89**, 291-308.

Kierzkowski D, Nakayama N, Routier-Kierzkowska AL, Weber A, Bayer E, Schorderet M, Reinhardt D, Kuhlemeier C, Smith RS. 2012. Elastic domains regulate growth and organogenesis in the plant shoot apical meristem. Science **335**, 1096-1099.

Kutschera U. 1992. The Role of the Epidermis in the Control of Elongation Growth in Stems and Coleoptiles. Botanica Acta **105**, 246-252.

Kutschera U. 1994. The Current Status of the Acid-Growth Hypothesis. New Phytologist **126**, 549-569.

Kutschera U. 2008. The growing outer epidermal wall: design and physiological role of a composite structure. Ann Bot **101**, 615-621.

Lei L, Zhang T, Strasser R, Lee CM, Gonneau M, Mach L, Vernhettes S, Kim SH, Cosgrove DJ, Li SD, Gu Y. 2014. The jiaoyao1 Mutant Is an Allele of korrigan1 That Abolishes Endoglucanase Activity and Affects the Organization of Both Cellulose Microfibrils and Microtubules in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell **26**, 2601-2616.

Li S, Bashline L, Lei L, Gu Y. 2014. Cellulose synthesis and its regulation. Arabidopsis Book **12**, e0169.

Li S, Lei L, Somerville CR, Gu Y. 2012. Cellulose synthase interactive protein 1 (CSI1) links microtubules and cellulose synthase complexes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **109**, 185-190.

Liang BM, Dennings AM, Sharp RE, Baskin TI. 1996. Consistent handedness of microtubule helical arrays in maize and Arabidopsis primary roots. Protoplasma **190**, 8-15.

Lloyd C. 2011. Dynamic Microtubules and the Texture of Plant Cell Walls. International Review of Cell and Molecular Biology, Vol 287 **287**, 287-329.

MacKinnon IM, Sturcova A, Sugimoto-Shirasu K, His I, McCann MC, Jarvis MC. 2006. Cell-wall structure and anisotropy in procuste, a cellulose synthase mutant of Arabidopsis thaliana. Planta **224**, 438-448.

Marre E. 1979. Fusicoccin - Tool in Plant Physiology. Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology **30**, 273-288.

McQueen-Mason S, Durachko DM, Cosgrove DJ. 1992. Two endogenous proteins that induce cell wall extension in plants. Plant Cell **4**, 1425-1433.

Neville AC. 1985. Molecular and Mechanical Aspects of Helicoid Development in Plant-Cell Walls. Bioessays **3**, 4-8.

Neville AC, Gubb DC, Crawford RM. 1976. New Model for Cellulose Architecture in Some Plant-Cell Walls. Protoplasma **90**, 307-317.

Paredez AR, Somerville CR, Ehrhardt DW. 2006. Visualization of cellulose synthase demonstrates functional association with microtubules. Science **312**, 1491-1495.

Park YB, Cosgrove DJ. 2012a. Changes in cell wall biomechanical properties in the xyloglucan-deficient xxt1/xxt2 mutant of Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol **158**, 465-475.

Park YB, Cosgrove DJ. 2012b. A revised architecture of primary cell walls based on biomechanical changes induced by substrate-specific endoglucanases. Plant Physiol **158**, 1933-1943.

Preston RD. 1982. The Case for Multinet Growth in Growing Walls of Plant-Cells. Planta **155**, 356-363.

Rayle D, Cleland R. 1970. Enhancement of Wall Loosening and Elongation by Acid Solutions. Plant Physiology **46**, 250-&.

Rayle DL. 1973. Auxin-induced hydrogen-ion secretion in Avena coleoptiles and its implications. Planta **114**, 63-73.

Rayle DL, Cleland R. 1977. Control of plant cell enlargement by hydrogen ions. Curr Top Dev Biol **11**, 187-214.

Rayle DL, Cleland RE. 1992. The Acid Growth Theory of auxin-induced cell elongation is alive and well. Plant Physiol **99**, 1271-1274.

Refregier G, Pelletier S, Jaillard D, Hofte H. 2004. Interaction between wall deposition and cell elongation in dark-grown hypocotyl cells in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol **135**, 959-968.

Roland JC, Vian B, Reis D. 1977. Further Observations on Cell-Wall Morphogenesis and Polysaccharide Arrangement during Plant-Growth. Protoplasma **91**, 125-141.

Savaldi-Goldstein S, Chory J. 2008. Growth coordination and the shoot epidermis. Curr Opin Plant Biol **11**, 42-48.

Sugimoto K, Williamson RE, Wasteneys GO. 2000. New techniques enable comparative analysis of microtubule orientation, wall texture, and growth rate in intact roots of Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology **124**, 1493-1506.

Taiz L. 1984. Plant-Cell Expansion - Regulation of Cell-Wall Mechanical-Properties. Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology **35**, 585-657.

Takeda K, Shibaoka H. 1981. Changes in Microfibril Arrangement on the Inner Surface of the Epidermal-Cell Walls in the Epicotyl of Vigna-Angularis Ohwi Et Ohashi during Cell-Growth. Planta **151**, 385-392.

Wiedemeier AM, Judy-March JE, Hocart CH, Wasteneys GO, Williamson RE, Baskin TI. 2002. Mutant alleles of Arabidopsis RADIALLY SWOLLEN 4 and 7 reduce growth anisotropy without altering the transverse orientation of cortical microtubules or cellulose microfibrils. Development **129**, 4821-4830.

Xiao C, Zhang T, Zheng Y, Cosgrove DJ, Anderson CT. 2016. Xyloglucan Deficiency Disrupts Microtubule Stability and Cellulose Biosynthesis in Arabidopsis, Altering Cell Growth and Morphogenesis. Plant Physiol **170**, 234-249.

Zhang T, Zheng Y, Cosgrove DJ. 2016. Spatial organization of cellulose microfibrils and matrix polysaccharides in primary plant cell walls as imaged by multichannel atomic force microscopy. Plant J **85**, 179-192.

A IAA

B FC

C Oryzalin-treated

V-shaped

Uniform

D