Taxonomic Uncertainty and the Anomaly Zone: Phylogenomics Disentangle a Rapid Radiation to Resolve Contentious Species (*Gila robusta* complex) in the Colorado River

Tyler K. Chafin[1]

Marlis R. Douglas[1]

Max R. Bangs[1,2]

Bradley T. Martin[1,3]

Steven M. Mussmann[1,4]

Michael E. Douglas[1]

[1]Department of Biological Sciences, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, Arkansas 72701, USA; Email: (TKC) tkchafin@uark.edu, send reprint requests to this address; (MRD) mrd1@uark.edu; (MED) med1@uark.edu.

Current address:

[2]Department of Biological Science, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida, 32306, USA; Email: mbangs@bio.fsu.edu (present address)

[3]Global Campus, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, Arkansas 72701, USA; Email: btm002@uark.edu (present address)

[4]Southwestern Native Aquatic Resources and Recovery Center, U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, PO Box 219, Dexter, NM 88230; Email: smussmann@gmail.com (present address)

Running head: *Taxonomic uncertainty in the anomaly zone*

Keywords: phylogenomics; conservation genetics; RADseq; anomaly zone; taxonomic uncertainty; hybridization

Disclosure statement: Authors have nothing to disclose.

Abstract (243/250)

2 Species is an indisputable unit for biodiversity conservation, yet their delimitation is fraught with

both conceptual and methodological difficulties. A classic example is the taxonomic controversy

4 surrounding the *Gila robusta* complex in the lower Colorado River of southwestern North

America. Nominal species designations were originally defined according to weakly diagnostic

6 morphological differences that conflicted with traditional genetic analyses. Consequently, the

complex was re-defined as a single polytypic unit, with the proposed 'threatened' status of two

8 being withdrawn at the federal level. Here, we utilized dense spatial and genomic sampling

(N=387 and >22k loci) to re-evaluate the status of the complex, based on SNP-based coalescent

10 and polymorphism-aware phylogenetic models. In doing so, all three species were supported as

evolutionarily independent lineages, despite widespread phylogenetic discordance. To

12 understand this discrepancy with past studies, we categorized evolutionary mechanisms driving

discordance. We tested (and subsequently rejected) prior hypotheses suggesting that

14 phylogenetic discord in the complex was hybridization-driven. Instead, we found the *G. robusta*

complex to have diverged within the 'anomaly zone' of tree space and, as such, have

16 accumulated inconsistent patterns of diversity which have confounded prior studies. After

extending these analyses with phylogeographic modeling, we propose that this is reflective of a

18 rapid radiation promoted by Plio-Pleistocene tectonism. Our results not only support resurrection

of the three species as distinct entities, but also offer an empirical example of how phylogenetic

20 discordance can be categorized in other recalcitrant taxa where variation is primarily partitioned

at the species-level.

Introduction (1260)

24   Complex evolutionary histories remain consistently difficult to disentangle, despite a

recent paradigm shift towards the development of increasingly comprehensive datasets (e.g.

26   Edwards 2009; Giarla and Esselstyn 2015). Regardless of these efforts, phylogenetic uncertainty

is still prevalent, and with wide-ranging consequences on the study of macroevolutionary

28   patterns (Stadler et al. 2016; Pereira and Schrago 2018), trait evolution (Hahn and Nakhleh 2016;

Mendes et al. 2016; Wu et al. 2018), and ecological and biogeographic processes (Rangel et al.

30   2015; McVay et al. 2017).

        Importantly, phylogenetic uncertainty also translates to taxonomic uncertainty. This is

32   because modern systematic taxonomy fundamentally describes homology [i.e. Darwin's (1859)

'propinquity of descent' (Simpson 1961)], which, by definition, requires a phylogenetic context.

34   Phylogenetic uncertainty in this sense can manifest itself as a soft polytomy (= 'honest'

uncertainty), the erroneous promotion of non-monophyletic clades, or controversial 'splitting'

36   *versus* 'lumping.' Incomplete or biased sampling is often a driver of this disparity (Ahrens et al.

2016; Reddy et al. 2017). Here, narrow taxon sampling may introduce substantial ascertainment

38   bias (=systematic deviations due to sampling). On the other hand, a broader yet sparse sampling

regime often fails to sample cryptic lineages (Heath et al. 2008) — with subsequent impacts on

40   both the delimitation of species (Pante et al. 2015; Linck et al. 2019) and study of their traits

(Beaulieu and O'Meara 2018).

42   These sources of uncertainty culminate in topologies that often fluctuate with regard to

sampling designs or methodologies, and this translates into taxonomic uncertainty [e.g.

44   Ctenophora *versus* Porifera as sister to all other animals (Pisani et al. 2015; Whelan et al. 2015;

Simion et al. 2017)]. Access to genome-scale data has alleviated some of these issues by offering

46   a level of precision not possible with single-gene phylogenies (Philippe et al. 2005). However,

their inherent complexity and heterogeneity introduces new problems, and consequently,

48   additional sources of phylogenetic uncertainty.

       Gene tree heterogeneity is an ubiquitous source of discordance in genomic data, and

50   'noise' as a source of this variance must consequently be partitioned from 'signal' (where 'noise'

is broadly categorized as systematic or stochastic error). Large genomic datasets can reduce

52   stochastic error (Kumar et al. 2012), yet it still remains a prevalent issue when individual genes

are examined (Springer and Gatesy 2016). On the other hand, systematic error in phylogenomics

54   may represent a probabilistic bias towards incongruence that is inherent to the evolutionary

process itself (Maddison 1997). This, in turn, exemplifies the complications introduced by

56   genomic data: As genomic resolution increases, so also does the probability of sampling

unmodeled processes (Rannala and Yang 2008; Lemmon and Lemmon 2013). This potential

58   (i.e., simultaneously decreasing stochastic error as systematic error increases) produces the very

real possibility of building a highly supported tree that is ultimately incorrect.

60   Certain demographic histories are more predisposed to systematic error than others. For

instance, when effective population sizes are large and speciation events exceptionally rapid,

62   time between divergence events may be insufficient to sort ancestral variation, such that the most

probable gene topology will conflict with the underlying species branching pattern. This results

64   in what has been coined an 'anomaly zone' of tree space (i.e., dominated by anomalous gene

trees (AGTs); (Degnan and Rosenberg 2006). Inferring species trees is demonstrably difficult in

66   this region (Liu and Edwards 2009), and exceedingly so if additional sources of phylogenetic

discordance, such as hybridization, are also apparent (Bangs et al. 2018). Here, historical or

68   persistent gene flow both compresses apparent divergence in species-trees (Leache et al. 2014b)

and similarly drives a predominance of AGTs which can supercede 'correct' branching patterns

70   in some regions of parameter space (Long and Kubatko 2018). The result is a confounding effect

on the adequate delineation of phylogenetic groupings (e.g., a necessary step of biodiversity

72   conservation), as well as a limitation in the downstream analysis of affected species trees

(Bastide et al. 2018; Luo et al. 2018; Morales and Carstens 2018; Bangs et al. 2020).

74   In clades with such complex histories, it is often unclear where the source of poor support

and/or topological conflict resides (Richards et al. 2018). To analytically account for gene tree

76   conflict, it is necessary to categorize these sources and select approaches accordingly. Failure to

do so promotes a false confidence in an erroneous topology, as driven by model misspecification

78   (Philippe et al. 2011). The overwhelmingly parametric nature of modern phylogenetics ensures

that imperative issues will revolve around the processes being modeled, and what they actually

80   allow us to ask from our data (Sullivan and Joyce 2005). However, the selection of methods that

model processes of interest requires an *a priori* hypothesis that delimits which processes are

82   involved. Diagnosing prominent processes is difficult in that a phylogenetic context is required

from which to build such hypotheses. Fortunately, a wealth of information can be parsed from

84   otherwise 'non-phylogenetic' signal (*sensu* Philippe et al. 2005). For example, many statistical

tests diagnose hybridization via its characteristic signature on the distribution of discordant

86   topologies (e.g. Pease and Hahn 2015). Theoretical predictions regarding AGTs and the

parameters under which they are generated are also well characterized (Degnan and Salter 2005;

88   Degnan and Rosenberg 2009). Thus, by applying appropriate analytical approaches that sample

many independently segregating regions of the genome, empiricists can still derive biologically

90   meaningful phylogenies, despite the presence of complicated species-histories (McCormack et

al. 2009; Kumar et al. 2012).

92   Here, we demonstrate an empirical approach that infers species-histories and sources of

subtree discordance when conflict originates not only from anomaly zone divergences but also

94   hybridization. To do so, we used SNP-based coalescent and polymorphism-aware phylogenetic

methods (Chifman and Kubatko 2014; Leache et al. 2014a; De Maio et al. 2015) that bypass the

96   necessity of fully-resolved gene trees. We combine coalescent predictions, phylogenetic network

inference (Solís-Lemus and Ané 2016), and novel coalescent phylogeographic methods (Oaks

98   2018) to diagnose the sources of phylogenetic discordance and, by so doing, resolve a seemingly

convoluted complex of study-species (the *Gila robusta* complex of the lower Colorado River

100   basin). We then contextualize our results to demonstrate the downstream implications of

'problematic' tree-space for threatened and endangered taxa, as represented by our study

102   complex.

104   *Gila*

Few freshwater taxa have proven as problematic in recent years as the *Gila robusta*

106   complex (Cyprinoidea: Leuciscidae) endemic to the Gila River basin of southwestern North

America (Fig. 1). The taxonomic debate surrounding this complex exemplifies an inherent

108   conflict between the traditional rigidity of systematic taxonomy *versus* the urgency of decision-

making for conservation and management (Forest et al. 2015). Our study system is the Gila

110   River, a primary tributary of the lower basin Colorado River that drains the majority of Arizona

and ~11% of New Mexico. The critical shortage of water in this region (Sabo et al. 2010) is a

112   major geopolitical driver for the taxonomic controversy surrounding the study species. As an

example, the lower Colorado River basin supplies approximately half of the total municipal and

114   agricultural water requirements of the state of Arizona, and nearly two-thirds of its total gross

state product (GSP) (Bureau of Reclamation 2012; James et al. 2014). This disproportionate

116 regional reliance creates tension between the governance of a resource and its usage (e.g.

Huckleberry and Potts 2019) which in turn magnifies the stakes involved in conservation policy

118 (Minckley 1979; Carlson and Muth 1989; Minckley et al. 2006).

We focused on three species (Roundtail chub, *G. robusta*; Gila chub, *G. intermedia*; and

120 Headwater chub, *G. nigra*) that comprise a substantial proportion of the endemic ichthyfauna of

the Gila Basin [=20% of 15 extant native species (excluding extirpated *G. elegans*, *Ptychocheilus*

122 *lucius*, and *Xyrauchen texanus*); Minckley and Marsh 2009]. Historically, the focal taxa have

been subjected to numerous taxonomic rearrangements (Fig. 1). Until recently, the consensus

124 was defined by Minckley and DeMarais (2000) on the basis of morphometric and meristic

characters. These have since proven of limited diagnostic capacity in the field, thus provoking

126 numerous attempts to re-define morphological delimitations (Brandenburg et al. 2015; Moran et

al. 2017; Carter et al. 2018). Genetic evaluations have to date been inconclusive (Schwemm

128 2006; Copus et al. 2018), leading to a recent taxonomic recommendation that subsequently

collapsed the complex into a single polytypic species (Page et al. 2016, 2017).

Methods (1514)

132 *Taxonomic Sampling*

A representative panel of *N*=386 individuals (Table S1; Fig. 2) was chosen from existing

134 collections (Douglas et al. 2001; Douglas and Douglas 2007), to include broad geographic

sampling of the complex as well as congeners. For the sake of clarity, we employ herein the

136 nomenclature of Minckley and DeMarais (2000)., and retained species-level nomenclature for all

members of the *Gila robusta* complex. Additionally, we discriminate between *G. robusta* from

138   the upper and lower basins of the Colorado River ecosystem (Chafin et al. 2019)

No self-sustaining populations of wild *Gila elegans* exist, thus samples were provided by

140   the Southwestern Native Aquatic Resources and Recovery Center (Dexter, NM). The genus

Ptychocheilus served to root the *Gila* clade within the broader context of western leuciscids

142   (Schönhuth et al. 2012, 2014, 2018).

144   *Reduced-Representation Sequencing*

Genomic DNA was extracted using either PureGene® or DNeasy® kits (Qiagen Inc.) and

146   quantified via fluorometer (Qubit™; Thermo-Fisher Scientific). Library preparations followed

the published ddRAD protocol (Peterson et al. 2012). Restriction enzyme and size-selection

148   ranges were first screened using an *in silico* procedure (Chafin et al. 2018), with the target

fragment sizes further optimized by quantifying digests for 15 representative samples on an

150   Agilent 2200 TapeStation. Final library preparations were double-digested using a high-fidelity

PstI (5'-CTGCAG-3') and *MspI* (5'-CCGG-3') following manufacturer's protocols (New

152   England Biosciences). Digests were purified using bead purification (Ampure XP; Beckman-

Coulter Inc.), and standardized at 100 ng per sample. Samples were ligated with customized

154   adapters containing unique in-line barcodes, pooled in sets of 48, and size-selected at 250-350bp

(not including adapter length), using a Pippin Prep automated gel extraction instrument (Sage

156   Sciences). Adapters were then extended in a 12-cycle PCR using Phusion high-fidelity DNA

polymerase (New England Biosciences Inc.), completing adapters for Illumina sequencing and

158   adding an i7 index. Libraries were pooled to N=96 samples per lane (i.e., 2 sets of 48) for 100bp

single-end sequencing on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 at the University of Wisconsin Biotechnology

160   Center (Madison, WI).

162   *Data Processing and Assembly*

Raw Illumina reads were demultiplexed and filtered using the PYRAD pipeline (Eaton

164   2014). We removed reads containing >1 mismatch in the barcode sequence, or >5 low-quality

base-calls (Phred Q<20). Assembly of putative homologs was performed using *de novo*

166   clustering in VSEARCH (Rognes et al. 2016) using an 80% mismatch threshold. Loci were

excluded according to following criteria: >5 ambiguous nucleotides; >10 heterozygous sites in

168   the alignment; >2 haplotypes per individual; <20X and >500X sequencing depth per individual;

>70% heterozygosity per-site among individuals.

170   Our ddRAD approach generated 22,768 loci containing a total of 173,719 variable sites,

of which 21,717 were sampled (=1/ locus). Mean per-individual depth of coverage across all

172   retained loci was 79X. All relevant scripts for post-assembly filtering and data conversion are

available as open-source (github.com/tkchafin/scripts).

Phylogenetic Inference

176   We formulated two simple hypotheses with regards to independent evolutionary sub-

units. If populations represented a single polytypic species, then phylogenetic clustering should

178   reflect intraspecific processes (e.g. structured according to stream heirarchy; Meffe and

Vrijenhoek 1988). However, if *a priori* taxon assignments are evolutionarily independent, then

180   they should be recapitulated in the phylogeny. Given well-known issues associated with

application of supermatrix/ concatenation approaches (Degnan and Rosenberg 2006; Edwards et

182   al. 2016) and pervasive gene-tree uncertainty associated with short loci (Leaché and Oaks 2017),

we also employed SNP-based methods that bypassed the derivation of gene trees (Leaché and

184   Oaks 2017).

We first explored population trees in SVDQUARTETS (Chifman and Kubatko 2014, 2015;

186   as implemented in PAUP*, Swofford 2002) across 12 variably filtered datasets using four

differing occupancy thresholds per SNP locus (i.e., 10, 25, 50, and 75%), along with three

188   differing thresholds per individual (10, 25, and 50%). These filtered datasets ranged from 7,357–

21,007 SNPs, with 8.48–43.65% missing data and 256–347 individuals. SVDQUARTETS eases

190   computation by inferring coalescent trees from randomly sampled quartets of species (i.e.

optimizing among 3 possible unrooted topologies). It then generates a population tree with

192   conflicts among quartet trees minimized via implementation of a quartet-assembly algorithm

(Snir and Rao 2012). Given run-time constraints (the longest was 180 days on 44 cores), all runs

194   sampled $\binom{N_{tips}}{4}/2$ quartets and were evaluated across 100 bootstrap pseudo-replicates.

We also used a polymorphism-aware method (PoMo; Schrempf et al. 2016) in IQ-TREE

196   (Nguyen et al. 2014). PoMo considers allele frequencies rather than single nucleotides, thus

allowing evaluation of change due to both substitution and drift. To provide PoMo with

198   empirical estimates of polymorphism, we used the entire alignment, to include non-variable

sequences. We filtered liberally using individual occupancy thresholds of 10% per-locus so as to

200   maximize individual retention and per-population sample sizes. We then deleted populations that

contained <2 individuals, and loci with >=90% missing data per-population. This yielded a

202   dataset of 281,613 nucleotides and 40 tips. Non-focal outgroups were excluded due to their

disproportionate effect on missing data. Analyses were pseudo-replicated across 100 bootstraps.

204   We also calculated concordance factors (CFs) using a Bayesian concordance analysis in

BUCKY (Larget et al. 2010), parallelized across all quartets via an adaptation of the TICR

206   pipeline (Stenz et al. 2015). To prepare these data, we sampled all non-monomorphic full gene

alignments for which at least 1 diploid genotype could be sampled per population. We excluded

208   outgroups and non-focal *Gila* so as to maximize number of loci retained. This yielded 3,449

genes across 31 sampled tips. Gene-tree priors were generated using MRBAYES v.3.2.6 (Ronquist

210   et al. 2012) with 4 independent chains, each of which was sampled every 10,000 iterations, with

a total chain length of 100,000,000 iterations and 50% discarded as burn-in. BUCKY was then

212   run in parallel to generate quartet CFs across 31,465 quartets, using a chain length of 10,000,000,

again with 50% burn-in. Quartet topologies were used to generate a population tree using

214   QUARTETMAXCUT (Snir and Rao 2012), using the *get-pop-tree.pl* script from TICR (Stenz et al.

2015; https://github.com/nstenz/TICR).

Comparing Phylogenies and Estimating Site-wise Conflict

218   To evaluate the performance of SVDQUARTETS, TICR, and PoMo, we first computed

site-wise log-likelihood scores (*SLS*) for each topology by performing a constrained ML search

220   in IQ-TREE. For comparison, we also generated an unconstrained concatenated tree. All ML

analyses employed a GTR model with empirical base frequencies and gamma-distributed rates,

222   and were assessed across 1,000 bootstrap pseudoreplicates. Analyses were also reduced to a

subset of tips common across all variably filtered datasets. We quantified the phylogenetic signal

224   supporting each resolution as the difference in site-wise log-likelihood scores (ΔSLS) between

each population tree and the concatenation tree (Shen et al. 2017). We then calculated site-wise

226   concordance factors (s*CF*) as an additional support metric (Minh et al. 2018).

228 *Tests of Hybridization and Deep-Time Reticulation*

D-statistics (Green et al. 2010; Eaton and Ree 2013) were calculated using COMP-D

230 (Mussmann et al. 2020). To further test hypotheses of reticulation, we used quartet CFs as input

for phylogenetic network inference using the SNAQ algorithm in PHYLONETWORKS (Solís-

232 Lemus and Ané 2016; Solís-Lemus et al. 2017). The network was estimated under models of 0–5

hybrid nodes (*h*). Models were evaluated using 48 independent replicates, with the best-fit model

234 being that which maximized change in pseudolikelihood. Given the computational constraints of

network inference, we reduced the dataset to N=2 populations per focal species (=12 total tips).

236 We also explicitly tested for putative hybrid taxa using HYDE (Blischak et al. 2018), which

rapidly tests all possible parent-descendant combinations to diagnose hybrid lineages using

238 phylogenetic invariants. In this case, hybrids are detected by considering the ratio of two

phylogenetic invariants which evaluate to zero for opposing topologies (Meng and Kubatko

240 2009; Chifman and Kubatko 2015; Kubatko and Chifman 2016). This ratio is incorporated into

what Kubatko & Chifman (2016) refer to as the Hils statistic, *H*, which is compared with a

242 normal distribution for hypothesis testing in hybrid taxa. Significance was assessed at a

Bonferroni-corrected threshold = 5.7×10^{-8}. Finally, we generated distance-based networks using

244 the NEIGHBORNET algorithm (Bryant and Moulton 2004), as implemented by SPLITSTREE4

(Huson 1998).

Anomaly Zone Detection

248 Coalescent theory characterizes the boundaries of the anomaly zone in terms of branch

lengths in coalescent units (Degnan and Rosenberg 2006). To test if contentious relationships in

250   our tree fell within the anomaly zone, we first transformed branch lengths using quartet CFs

       (Stenz et al. 2015, equation 1), then tested if internode branch lengths fell within the theoretical

252   boundary for the anomaly zone (Linkem et al. 2016, equation 1). Code for these calculations are

       modified from Linkem et al. (2016) and are available as open-source

254   (github.com/tkchafin/anomaly_zone).

256   *Tests of Co-divergence*

              The contemporary course of the Colorado River resulted from the Pliocene erosion of the

258   Grand Canyon and subsequent connection of the modern-day upper and lower basins, to include

       stream capture of the Gila River (McKee et al. 1967; Minckley et al. 1986). *Gila* in the lower

260   Colorado River basin then differentiated following one or more colonization events (e.g. Rinne

       1976). Subsequent work (Douglas et al. 1999) supported this conclusion by examining

262   contemporary phenotypic variation among all three species as a function of historical drainage

       connectivity, with the conclusion that body shape was most readily explained by Pliocene

264   hydrography.

              We tested if divergences were best explained by a model of *in situ* diversification

266   following a single colonization event, or instead by multiple, successive colonizations. We

       compared divergence models using a Bayesian approach (program ECOEVOLITY, Oaks 2018) that

268   used a coalescent model (Bryant et al. 2012) to update a prior expectation for the number of

       evolutionary events across independent comparisons. Four independent MCMC chains were run

270   with recommended settings and a burn-in that maximized effective sample sizes. Event models

       followed a Dirichlet process, with the concentration parameter exploring four alternative gamma

272   distributed priors (i.e. $\alpha=2.0$, $\beta=5.70$; $\alpha=0.5$, $\beta=8.7$; $\alpha=1.0$, $\beta=0.45$; and $\alpha=2.0$, $\beta=2.18$).

We randomly sampled 2,000 full-locus alignments, then examined potential co-

274   divergences in the lower-basin complex by selecting a series of pairwise comparisons: *Gila*

elegans x *G. robusta* (lower); *G. seminuda* x *G. robusta* (lower); *G. jordani* x *G. robusta*

276   (lower); *G. intermedia* x *G. robusta* (lower); and *G. intermedia* x *G. nigra* (lower). These

targeted nodes represent F, G, H, I, and N in the SVDQUARTETS topology (Fig. 3A).

Results (1147)

280   *Phylogenetic Conflict in Gila*

Tree reconstructions across all three population methods were relatively congruent

282   (SVDQUARTETS = Fig. 3; POMO, and TICR = Fig. 4). The concatenated supermatrix tree (Fig.

S1) was also largely congruent with the population trees, but with two major disparities

284   (discussed below). Bootstrap support was variable and declined with decreasing nodal depth in

the SVDQUARTETS analysis (Fig. 3), whereas the vast majority of nodes in POMO were supported

286   at 100% (Fig. 4A).

All analyses consistently supported the monophyly of a clade consisting of *G. intermedia*,

288   *G. nigra*, and lower basin *G. robusta* (hereafter the 'lower basin complex'). This clade had high

bootstrap support in both SVDQUARTETS and POMO, and was universally placed as sister to *G.*

290   *jordani*. *Gila robusta* was unequivocally polyphyletic in all analyses, forming two distinct

groups geographically demarcated by the Grand Canyon. The lower basin *G. robusta* clade was

292   monophyletic in all cases, save the concatenated tree, where it was paraphyletic (Fig. S1). It was

also consistently recovered as sister to a monophyletic *G. nigra* + *G. intermedia*, with the

294   exclusion of a single sample site (Aravaipa Creek) that nested within *G. intermedia* in the POMO

tree. Of note, this population had been previously diagnosed as trending towards *G. intermedia*

296   in terms of morphology (Rinne 1976; DeMarais 1986), although hybridization was not supported

by *D*-statistics (Table 1).

298   Topology within the *G. nigra* + *G. intermedia* clade was less consistent. Both were

reciprocally monophyletic in the SVDQUARTETS tree (albeit with low support; Fig. 3), whereas

300   POMO yielded a monophyletic *G. intermedia*, with but one population (Spring Creek) contained

within *G. nigra* (Fig. 4A). The POMO tree also conflicted with the other methods in its

302   paraphyletic placement of upper basin *G. robusta*. We suspect this represents an artefact of well-

known hybridization with sympatric *G. cypha* (Dowling and DeMarais 1993; Gerber et al. 2001;

304   Douglas and Douglas 2007; Chafin et al. 2019).

306   *Discriminating Among Sources of Phylogenetic Conflict*

        Phylogenetic conflict was variably attributable to either hybridization or rapid

308   divergence. We found support for a single reticulation event connecting *G. seminuda* and *G.*

elegans, an hypothesis consistent with prior interpretations (DeMarais et al. 1992). This

310   particular model (i.e., *h*=1) was selected as the one that maximized both the first [L'(*h*) = L(*h*) –

L(*h*-1)] and second order [L''(*h*) = L'(*h*+1) – L'(*h*)] rate of change in pseudolikelihood (Fig. S2-

312   S3; following Evanno et al. 2005). Of note, introgression between *G. elegans* and *G. seminuda*

was supported by elevated values of *h,* and by *D*-statistics ($\bar{D}$ = 0.302 across 86,400 tests; Table

314   1). Likewise, distance-based networks (Fig. S3) supported this reticulation, as did *H*-tests from

HYDE, where p-values supporting a hybrid origin for *G. seminuda* from *G. elegans* and lower-

316   basin progenitors ranged from $p=7.8\times10^{-9}$ to $p=5.6\times10^{-8}$. Introgression between upper basin *G.*

robusta and *G. cypha* was also supported ($\bar{D}$ = -0.236 across 45,056 tests). No other

318   introgressions were noted, thus rejecting the hypothesized hybrid origins for both *G. jordani*

(Dowling and DeMarais 1993; Dowling and Secor 1997) and *G. nigra* (Demarais 1986;

320   Minckley and DeMarais 2000).

Multiple internode pairs were observed in the anomaly zone (Fig. 5). In all cases,

322   internode branches separating *G. nigra* and *G. intermedia,* and those separating their constituent

lineages, reflected coalescent lengths that would yield anomalous gene trees. Not surprisingly,

324   the internode separating *G. jordani* from the lower basin complex, and that of *G. robusta* from *G.*

intermedia/ G. nigra (Fig. 5C; tan branches) also fell within the anomaly zone, per TICR and

326   concatenated topology results.

328   *Relative Performance of Species-Tree Methods*

Change in site-likelihoods among constrained and unconstrained IQ-TREE searches in all

330   cases suggested that the recovered species-trees were supported by a minority of sites (Fig. S4),

an observation consistent with tree regions being in the anomaly zone. Several discrepancies also

332   reflected idiosyncrasies among the different approaches. For example, the POMO topology has a

paraphyletic upper basin *G. robusta* within which *G. elegans*, *G. cypha*, *G. seminuda*, *G. jordani*,

334   and the lower basin complex were subsumed (Fig. 4A). However, only ~10% of SNPs supported

this resolution (Fig. S5), a value far below the theoretical minimum s*CF* derived from

336   completely random data (Minh et al. 2018). Of note, paraphyly is a well-known artefact when a

bifurcating tree is inferred from reticulated species (Sosef 1997; Schmidt-Lebuhn 2012), with

338   concatenation or binning approaches using genomic data being demonstrably vulnerable (Bangs

et al. 2018). Thus, we tentatively attribute the observed paraphyly as an artefact of documented

340   hybridization between *G. cypha* and *G. robusta* (Chafin et al. 2019), and the inability of POMO to

model hybridization. Hybridization also potentially drives the lack of monophyly in *G.*

342   *seminuda,* per TICR and the concatenation tree (Fig. S1).

       We also explored the impact of matrix occupancy filters on SVDQUARTETS, and

344   bootstrap support and overall topological consistency declining with increasingly stringent filters

       (Fig. 3b). This corroborates prior evaluations with regard to the impacts of over-filtering

346   RADseq data (Eaton et al. 2017). In all cases, site-wide concordance was significantly predicted

       by subtending branch lengths, but not by node depths (Fig. S6). This suggests that site-wise

348   concordance was unbiased in our analyses at either shallower or deeper timescales, but was

       affected instead by the extent of time separating divergences. Some bioinformatic biases such as

350   ortholog misidentification or lineage-specific locus dropout will disproportionally affect deeper

       nodes (Eaton 2017). However, we interpret the lack of correlation between node depth and site-

352   wise concordance as an indication that these processes lack substantial bias.

354   *Biogeographic Hypotheses and Co-divergence*

       ECOEVOLITY model selection was not found to be vulnerable to alternative event priors

356   (Fig. S7). The best-fitting model across all priors consistently demonstrated co-divergence of *G.*

       jordani with the lower basin complex (*G. robusta* x *G. intermedia* and *G. intermedia* x *G. nigra*;

358   Fig. 6). The divergence of *G. elegans* and *G. seminuda* from a theoretical lower basin ancestor

       pre-dates this putatively rapid radiation, although it is unclear if these estimates were impacted

360   by the aforementioned introgression between *G. seminuda* and *G. elegans*.

       Posterior effective population size (N_e) estimates were large (e.g. >20,000) and consistent

362   with previous estimates (Garrigan et al. 2002). *Gila jordani* was an exception, with a mean

       posterior N_e=6,062. This discrepancy is not surprising, given the extremely narrow endemism of

364   this species (Tuttle and Scoppettone 1990), and its recent bottleneck (Hardy 1982), although this

is still a rather large estimate given the latter. Posterior divergence time estimates suggested a

366   late-Miocene/ early-Pliocene origin of *G. elegans*. Results for *G. seminuda* and the lower basin

radiation indicated Pliocene and early Pleistocene divergences, respectively. These results are

368   supported in the fossil record (Uyeno 1960; Uyeno and Miller 1963), although we note

paleontological evaluations of *Gila* have been sparse. Thus, we hesitate to interpret these as

370   absolute dates, given our fixed mutation rate for these analyses and an uncertainty regarding the

capacity of RADseq methods to yield an unbiased sampling of genome-wide mutation rate

372   variation (e.g. Cariou et al. 2016).

374   **Discussion** (1962 + 313 = 2275)

        The goal of our study was to determine if extensive geographic and genomic sampling

376   could resolve the taxonomically recalcitrant *G. robusta* complex. We applied diverse

phylogenetic models and tests of hybridization and predictions of parameter space within the

378   anomaly zone to diagnose sources of subtree discordance. In so doing, we also tested multiple

hypothesized hybrid speciation events. We detected a single reticulation (*G. seminuda*), although

380   other events with a lower component of genomic introgression may have also occurred. We

documented rapid co-divergence of lower basin taxa within the anomaly zone and were able to

382   resolve these despite the prevalence of incomplete lineage sorting. This scenario (as outlined

below) is consistent with the geomorphology of the region and seemingly represents an adaptive

384   radiation by our study complex, as facilitated by drainage evolution.

386   *Methodological Artefacts and Conflicting Phylogenetic Hypotheses for Gila*

Increased geographic and genomic sampling revealed the presence of diagnosable

388   lineages within the *G. robusta* complex, with both rapid and reticulate divergences influencing

inter-locus conflict. Phylogenetic hypotheses for our focal group had previously been generated

390   using allozymes (Dowling and DeMarais 1993), Sanger sequencing (Schwemm 2006; Schönhuth

et al. 2014), microsatellites (Dowling et al. 2015), and more recently RADseq (Copus et al.

392   2018). None could resolve relationships within the lower basin complex. To explain these

contrasts, we argue that prior studies suffered from systematic artefacts and ascertainment biases

394   that were overcome, at least in part, by our approach.

Incomplete or biased sampling is a familiar problem for biologists (e.g. Hillis 1998;

396   Schwartz and McKelvey 2009; Ahrens et al. 2016), and we suggest it served as a major

stumbling block for delineating the evolutionary history of *Gila*. Unfortunately, insufficient

398   sampling is common in studies of threatened and endangered species, and its repercussions are

severe with regard to phylogenetic inference (Hillis 1998). This fact is substantiated by the many

400   examples in which increasingly comprehensive geographic sampling spurred a revision of

phylogenetic hypotheses (e.g. Oakey et al. 2004; Linck et al. 2019). Likewise, incomplete

402   sampling of genome-wide topological variation (e.g. Maddison 1997; Degnan and Rosenberg

2009) is an additional source of bias, especially when a very small number of markers are

404   sampled. These issues alone may explain the variation among prior studies. For example,

Schwemm (2006) sampled extensively, including nearly all of the sites included in this study, but

406   was only able to examine a handful of genes. Because anomalous gene trees are most probable

under a scenario of rapid radiation (as documented herein), the reduced number of loci used by

408   Schwemm (2006) could not recover a consistent species tree. Copus et al. (2016, 2018)

examined a dataset containing 6,658 genomic SNP loci (across 1,292 RAD contigs), but only did

410   so across a sparse sample of 19 individuals. A bioinformatic acquisition bias also likely impacted

this study, in the form of strict filtering that disproportionately excluded loci with higher

412   mutation rates (Huang and Knowles 2016).

A necessary consideration when validating phylogenetic hypotheses across methods (and

414   datasets) is to gauge compatibility between the underlying evolutionary processes and those

actually being modeled (Walker et al. 2018). In this sense, the consideration of statistical support

416   metrics alone can be not only misleading, but also promote false conclusions. For example,

bootstrapping is by far the most prevalent method of evaluating support in phylogenetic datasets

418   (Felsenstein 1985). While bootstrap concordances may be appropriate for moderately-sized

sequence alignments (e.g. Efron et al. 1996), they can be meaningless when applied to

420   sufficiently large datasets (Gadagkar et al. 2005; Kumar et al. 2012). This is apparent in the high

bootstrap support displayed for anomalous relationships in our analysis (Fig. S1). Phylogenetic

422   signal also varies among loci, such that in many instances relatively few loci drive contentious

relationships (Shen et al. 2017). Likewise, not all methods are equal with respect to their

424   simplifying assumptions. Given this, we deem it imperative to consider the biases and

imperfections in both our data, and the models we apply.

Complex Evolution and Biogeography of the Colorado River

428   The taxonomic instability in *Gila* is not uncommon for fishes of western North America,

where confusing patterns of diversity were generated by tectonism and vulcanism (Minckley et

430   al. 1986; Spencer et al. 2008). This issue is particularly emphasized when viewed through the

lens of modern drainage connections (Douglas et al. 1999). Historic patterns of drainage

432   isolation and intermittent fluvial connectivity not only support our genomic conclusions but also

summarize the paleohistory of the Colorado River over temporal and spatial scales.

434   The earliest record of fossil *Gila* from the ancestral Colorado River is mid-Miocene

(Uyeno and Miller 1963), with subsequent Pliocene fossils representing typical 'big river'

436   morphologies now associated with *G. elegans*, *G. cypha*, and *G. robusta* (Uyeno and Miller

1965). The modern Grand Canyon region lacked any fluvial connection at the Miocene-Pliocene

438   transition, due largely to regional tectonic uplifts that subsequently diverted the Colorado River

(Spencer et al. 2001; House et al. 2005). Flows initiated in early Pliocene (c.a. 4.9 mya; Sarna-

440   Wojcicki et al. 2011), and subsequently formed a chain of downstream lakes associated with the

Bouse Formation (Lucchitta 1972; Spencer and Patchett 2002). Evidence suggests 'spillover' by

442   a successive string of Bouse Basin paleolakes was episodic, and culminated in mid-Pliocene

(House et al. 2008), with an eventual marine connection via the Salton Trough to the Gulf of

444   California (Dorsey et al. 2007). Prior to this, the Gila River also drained into the Gulf (Eberly

and Stanley 1978), and sedimentary evidence indicated that it was isolated from the Colorado

446   until at least mid-Pliocene by a northward extension of the Gulf (Helenes and Carreno 2014).

This geomorphology is reflected in a broader phylogeographic pattern that underscores marked

448   differences between resident fish communities in the upper and lower basins (Hubbs and Miller

1948).

450   Intra-basin diversification also occurred as an addendum to hydrologic evolution.

Although the course of the pluvial White River is now generally dry, it may have been a

452   Pliocene-early Pleistocene tributary of a paleolake system when the proto-Colorado River first

extended into the modern-day lower basin (Dickinson 2013). This may represent an initial

454   colonization opportunity for upper basin fishes, an hypothesis that coincidentally aligns well

with our rudimentary age estimate for Virgin River chub, *G. seminuda* (Fig. 6). This early

456   isolation, as well as the continued contrast between the spring-fed habitats therein, and the high

flows of the ancestral Colorado River, provide an explanation for the unique assemblage of *Gila*

458   and other fishes therein (Hubbs and Miller 1948). Thus, as has been shown to be the case in other

taxa, the biogeographic context (here in the form of drainage evolution) is likely an important

460   factor in the apparent reticulate evolution in *Gila* (Burbrink and Gehara 2018).

Phylogenetic signatures of the anomaly zone (Fig. 5) coupled with co-divergence

462   modeling (Fig. 6) suggest the diversification of lower basin *Gila* occurred rapidly post-

colonization. Late Pliocene integration of the two basins provided an opportunity for dispersal

464   into the lower basin tributaries. The Plio-Pleistocene climate of the region was quite different,

with a relatively mesic Pliocene as precursor to a protracted monsoonal period extending through

466   early Pleistocene (Thompson 1991; Smith et al. 1993). The latter, in turn, may have resulted in

relatively unstable drainage connections (Huckleberry 1996). The potential for climate-driven

468   instability, and the complex history of intra-drainage integration of Gila River tributaries during

the Plio-Pleistocene (Dickinson 2015), lends support to the 'cyclical-vicariance' model proposed

470   by Douglas et al. (1999). These periods of isolation may have promoted an accumulation of

ecological divergences that persisted post-contact, and were sufficient to maintain species

472   boundaries despite contemporary sympatric distributions and weak morphological

differentiation. This hypothesis is also supported by the non-random mating found among *G.*

robusta and *G. nigra,* despite anthropogenically-induced contact (Marsh et al. 2017).

Management Implications

A request by the Arizona Game and Fish Department to review the taxonomy of the *Gila robusta* complex prompted the American Fisheries Society (AFS) and the American Society of Ichthyology and Herpetology (ASIH) to recommend the synonymization of *G. intermedia* and *G.*

nigra with *G. robusta*, owing in part to their morphological ambiguity and an imprecise taxonomic key (Carter et al. 2018). Given this, a proposal to extend protection to lower basin *G.*

robusta and *G. nigra* at the federal level was subsequently withdrawn (USFWS 2017; Fig. 1). As was the case prior to this withdrawal, *G. intermedia* alone is classified as endangered (USFWS

2005) under the Endangered Species Act (ESA 1973; 16 U.S.C. § 1531 et seq).

    This study provides a much needed resolution to this debate by defining several aspects:

First, our study reinforced the recognition of *G. robusta* as demonstrably polyphyletic, with two discrete, allopatric clades corresponding to the upper and lower basins of the Colorado River

(Dowling and DeMarais 1993; Schönhuth et al. 2014). These data, together with the geomorphic history of the region that promoted endemic fish diversification (as above), clearly reject '*G.*

robusta' as a descriptor of contemporary diversity. This underscores a major discrepancy in the taxonomic recommendations for the lower basin complex (Page et al. 2016). Given that the type

locality of *G. robusta* is in the upper basin (i.e., the Little Colorado River), we note a pressing need either to determine taxonomic precedence for the lower basin '*G. robusta,*' or to provide a

novel designation. The potential resurrection of a synonym is a possibility, necessitating detailed examinations of the type specimens prior to a formal recommendation. This may be

appropriately adjudicated by the AFS-ASIH Names of Fishes Committee, as a follow-up to their earlier involvement.

The situation with *G. intermedia* and *G. nigra* is slightly more ambiguous. The short internodes and anomaly zone divergences identified herein explain previous patterns found in

population-level studies, with elevated among-population divergence but scant signal uniting

species (Dowling et al. 2015). We also unequivocally rejected the previous hypothesis of hybrid

502   speciation for *G. nigra* (Minckley and DeMarais 2000; Dowling et al. 2015).

Rather, intermediacy in the body shape of *G. nigra* reflects differences accumulated

504   during historic isolation (Douglas et al. 1999) and/ or the retention of an adaptive

ecomorphology (Douglas and Matthews 1992). These hypotheses warrant further exploration,

506   with provisional results employed in future management decisions (Forest et al. 2015). With

regards to taxonomy, we confidently recommend that *G. intermedia* be resurrected, and that

508   additional studies be implemented to dissect the potential distinctiveness of *G. nigra*. For

management purposes, we echo a conservative, population-centric approach (previously argued

510   for by Dowling et al. 2015; Marsh et al. 2017).

Three primary components of a 'Darwinian shortfall' in biodiversity conservation are

512   recognized (Diniz-Filho et al. 2013): (i) The lack of comprehensive phylogenies; (ii) Uncertain

branch lengths and divergence times; and (iii) insufficient models linking phylogenies with

514   ecological and life-history traits. Taxonomic uncertainty in *Gila* is severely impacted by the first

two of these, with taxonomic resolution prevented by the comingling of sparse phylogenetic

516   coverage with temporal uncertainty. We must now address the relationships between ecology,

life history, and phylogeny in *Gila,* so as to understand the manner by which phylogenetic

518   groupings (identified herein) are appropriate as a surrogate for adaptive/ functional diversity. For

example: To what degree are *Gila* in the lower basin ecologically non-exchangeable? How do

520   they vary in their respective life histories? Is reproductive segregation maintained in sympatry

(as in Marsh et al. 2018), and if so, by what mechanism?

Conclusion (313)

524   The intractable phylogenetic relationships in *Gila* were resolved herein through improved

spatial and genomic sampling. Our data, coupled with polymorphism-aware methods and

526   contemporary approaches that infer trees, yielded a revised taxonomic hypothesis for *Gila* in the

lower Colorado River basin. The geomorphic history of the Colorado River explains many

528   anomalous patterns seen in this and previous studies, wherein opportunities for contact and

colonization were driven by the tectonism characteristic for the region. The signal of rapid

530   diversification is quite clear in our data, as interpreted from patterns inherent to phylogenetic

discord. We emphasize that discordance in this sense does not necessarily represent

532   measurement error or uncertainty. Instead, it is an intrinsic component of phylogenetic variance

that is not only expected within genomes (Maddison 1997), but also a necessary component from

534   which to build hypotheses regarding the underlying evolutionary process (Hahn and Nakhleh

2016). Ignoring this variance in pursuit of a 'resolved phylogeny' can lead to incorrect inferences

536   driven by systematic error. Similarly, insufficient spatial or genomic sampling may also promote

a false confidence in anomalous relationships, particularly when character sampling is

538   particularly dense, whereas taxon sampling is sparse.

      We reiterate that phylogenetic hypotheses, by their very nature, cannot exhaustively

540   capture the underlying evolutionary process. One approach is to categorize phylogenetic (and

'non-phylogenetic') signals in those regions of the tree that are refractive to certain models (as

542   done herein). We also acknowledge that attempting to reconstruct the past using contemporary

observations is a battle against uncertainty and bias, with the revisions of phylogenetic/

544   taxonomic hypotheses expected as additional data are accrued. As such, we urge empiricists that

engage in taxonomic controversies (such as this one) to interrogate their results for transparency.

546   The task of sorting through conflicting recommendations invariably falls to natural resource

managers, with unreported biases (be they methodological or geopolitical) only confounding

548   those efforts.

550   **Acknowledgements(451)**

This research was conducted in partial fulfillment by TKC of the Ph.D. degree in Biological

552   Sciences at the University of Arkansas, as enabled by a Distinguished Doctoral Fellowship

(DDF) award. Numerous agencies and organizations contributed field expertise, sampling,

554   permit authorization, funding, and/or valuable comments: Arizona Game and Fish Department,

Colorado Parks and Wildlife, Jicarilla Apache Game and Fish, Nevada Department of Wildlife,

556   New Mexico Department of Game and Fish, United States Fish and Wildlife Service, United

States Forest Service, National Park Service, United States Bureau of Reclamation, Utah

558   Department of Natural Resources, Utah Division of Wildlife, and the Wyoming Game and Fish

Department. Particular thanks are extended to: J. Alves, M. Anderson, R. Anderson, P. Badame,

560   K. Bestgen, M. Breen, M. Brouder, K. Breidinger, S. Bryan, P. Cavalli, B. DeMarais, T.

Dowling, R. Fridell, K. Gelwicks, K. Guadalupe, J. Harter, B. Harvey, K. Hilwig, M. Hudson, D.

562   Keller, J. Logan, C. McAda, S. Meiser, C. Minckley, T. Modde, K. Morgan, G. Munsen, F.

Pfeifer, W. Radke, A. Rehm, S. Ross, M. Smith, R. Stevenson, R. Timmons, S. Tolentino, P.

564   Unmack, A. Varelas, D. Weedman, K. Wilson, and E. Woodhouse (with apologies to anyone

inadvertently overlooked). We also received tissues from the Bell Museum at the University of

566   Minnesota (JJPE 06-15, 06-16, 06-20, 06-24), Los Angeles County Museum of Natural History

(LACM 555990-1, 57271-1), Monte L. Bean Life Science Museum at Brigham Young

568   University (BYU 57580-4, 68470-4, 138751-2 61643-8), Museum of Southwestern Biology at

the Univeristy of New Mexico (MSB 44631, 81351, 81493), and Oregon State University

570   Ichthyology Collection (OSU 15444, 17901), for which we thank R. Feeney, D. Shiozawa, B.

Sidlauskas, A. Simons, A. Snyder and the original collectors of accessioned materials.

572   Additional sampling was completed by MED and MRD under permits provided by: Arizona

Game and Fish Department, The Hualapai Tribe, The Navajo Nation, Nevada Department of

574   Wildlife, U.S. National Park Service, and Wyoming Department of Game and Fish. Federal

permit TE042961-0 (1434-HQ-97RU-01552 RWO #66) was provided by the U.S. Game and

576   Fish Service. Sampling procedures were approved under Arizona State University Animal Care

and Use Committee (ASU IACUC) permit 98–456R and Colorado State University Animal Care

578   and Use Committee (CSU IACUC) permit 01–036A-01. Travel in the Grand Canyon was

conducted under auspices of Grand Canyon National Park river use permits. We are also

580   indebted to students, postdoctorals, and faculty who have promoted our research: A. Alverson,

W. Anthonysamy, M. Davis, L. James, J. Pummill, A. Tucker. Funding was provided by several

582   generous endowments from the University of Arkansas: The Bruker Professorship in Life

Sciences (MRD), and the Twenty-First Century Chair in Global Change Biology (MED). This

584   work was also in part facilitated by funding provided by the Arizona Game and Fish Heritage

Fund, Colorado Parks and Wildlife, and U.S. Geological Survey Species at Risk Program.

586   Additional analytical resources were provided by the Arkansas Economic Development

Commission (Arkansas Settlement Proceeds Act of 2000) and the Arkansas High Performance

588   Computing Center (AHPCC), and from an NSF-XSEDE Research Allocation (TG-BIO160065)

to access the Jetstream cloud. The use of trade, product, industry or firm names is for informative

590   purposes only and does not constitute an endorsement by the U.S. Government or the U.S. Fish

and Wildlife Service. Links to non-Service Web sites do not imply any official U.S. Fish and

592 Wildlife Service endorsement of the opinions or ideas expressed therein or guarantee the validity

of the information provided. The findings, conclusions, and opinions expressed in this article

594 represent those of the authors, and do not necessarily represent the views of the U.S. Fish &

Wildlife Service.

Competing Interests (7)

598 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Literature Cited

Ahrens D., Fujisawa T., Krammer H.J., Eberle J., Fabrizi S., Vogler A.P. 2016. Rarity and
602   incomplete sampling in DNA-based species delimitation. Syst. Biol. 65:478–494.

Bangs M.R., Douglas M.R., Mussmann S.M., Douglas M.E. 2018. Unraveling historical
604   introgression and resolving phylogenetic discord within *Catostomus* (Osteichthys□:
        Catostomidae). BMC Evol. Biol. 18:86.

606 Bangs M.R., Douglas M.R.M.E., Chafin T.K., Douglas M.R.M.E. 2020. Gene flow and species
        delimitation in fishes of Western North America: Flannelmouth (*Catostomus latipinnis*) and
608   Bluehead sucker (*C*. *Pantosteus discobolus*). Ecol. Evol.10(13): 6477-6493.

Bastide P., Solís-Lemus C., Kriebel R., Sparks K.W., Ané C. 2018. Phylogenetic comparative
610   methods on phylogenetic networks with reticulations. Syst. Biol. 0:194050.

Beaulieu J.M., O'Meara B.C. 2018. Can we build it? Yes we can, but should we use it?
612   Assessing the quality and value of a very large phylogeny of campanulid angiosperms. Am.
        J. Bot. 105:417–432.

614 Blischak P.D., Chifman J., Wolfe A.D., Kubatko L.S. 2018. HyDe: A python package for
        genome-scale hybridization detection. Syst. Biol. 67:821–829.

616 Brandenburg W.H., Kennedy J.L., Farrington M.A. 2015. Determining the historical distribution
        of the *Gila robusta* complex (Gila chub, *Gila intermedia*, Headwater Chub, *Gila nigra*, and
618   Roundtail Chub, *Gila robusta*) in the Gila River Basin, New Mexico, using morphological
        analysis. Final Rep. to New Mex. Dep. Game Fish.

620 Bryant D., Bouckaert R., Felsenstein J., Rosenberg N.A., RoyChoudhury A. 2012. Inferring
        species trees directly from biallelic genetic markers: Bypassing gene trees in a full
622   coalescent analysis. Mol. Biol. Evol. 29:1917–1932.

624 Bryant D., Moulton V. 2004. Neighbor-Net: An agglomerative method for the construction of phylogenetic networks. Mol. Biol. Evol. 21:255–265.

626 Burbrink F.T., Gehara M. 2018. The biogeography of deep time phylogenetic reticulation. Syst. Biol. 67:743–755.

Bureau of Reclamation. 2012. Colorado River Basin Water Supply and Demand Study.

628 Cariou M., Duret L., Charlat S. 2016. How and how much does RAD-seq bias genetic diversity estimates? BMC Evol. Biol. 16:1–8.

630 Carlson C.A., Muth R.T. 1989. The Colorado River: Lifeline of the American Southwest. Can. Spec. Publ. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 106:220–239.

632 Carter J.M., Clement M.J., Makinster A.S., Crowder C.D., Hickerson B.T. 2018. Classification success of species within the *Gila robusta* complex using morphometric and meristic
634 characters—A re-examination. Copeia. 106:279–291.

Chafin T.K., Douglas M.R., Martin B.T., Douglas M.E. 2019. Hybridization drives genetic
636 erosion in sympatric desert fishes of western North America. Heredity. 123:759–773.

Chafin T.K., Martin B.T., Mussmann S.M., Douglas M.R.M.E., Douglas M.R.M.E. 2018.
638 FRAGMATIC: *in silico* locus prediction and its utility in optimizing ddRADseq projects. Conserv. Genet. Resour. 10:325–328.

640 Chifman J., Kubatko L. 2014. Quartet inference from SNP data under the coalescent model. Bioinformatics. 30:3317–3324.

642 Chifman J., Kubatko L. 2015. Identifiability of the unrooted species tree topology under the coalescent model with time-reversible substitution processes. J. Theor. Biol. 374:35–47.

644 Copus J.M., Montgomery W.L., Forsman Z.H., Bowen B.W., Toonen R.J. 2018. Geopolitical species revisited: genomic and morphological data indicate that the roundtail chub *Gila*
646 *robusta* species complex (Teleostei, Cyprinidae) is a single species. PeerJ. 6:e5605.

Darwin C. 1859. On the origin of species by means of natural selection, or preservation of
648 favoured races in the struggle for life. London: John Murray.

Degnan J.H., Rosenberg N.A. 2006. Discordance of species trees with their most likely gene
650 trees. PLoS Genet. 2:e68.

Degnan J.H., Rosenberg N.A. 2009. Gene tree discordance, phylogenetic inference and the
652 multispecies coalescent. Trends Ecol. Evol. 24:332–340.

Degnan J.H., Salter L.A. 2005. Gene tree distributions under the coalescent process. Evolution.
654 59:24–37.

Demarais B.D. 1986. Morphological variation in *Gila* (Pisces: Cyprinidae) and geological

656   history: lower Colorado River basin. Thesis.

DeMarais B.D., Dowling T.E., Douglas M.E., Minckley W.L., Marsh P.C. 1992. Origin of *Gila*
658   *seminuda* (Teleostei: Cyprinidae) through introgressive hybridization: implications for
       evolution and conservation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 89:2747–2751.

660   Dickinson W.R. 2013. Rejection of the lake spillover model for initial incision of the Grand
       Canyon, and discussion of alternatives. Geosphere. 9:1–20.

662   Dickinson W.R. 2015. Integration of the Gila River drainage system through the Basin and
       Range province of southern Arizona and southwestern New Mexico (USA).
664   Geomorphology. 236:1–24.

Diniz-Filho J.A.F., Loyola R.D., Raia P., Mooers A.O., Bini L.M. 2013. Darwinian shortfalls in
666   biodiversity conservation. Trends Ecol. Evol. 28:689–695.

Dorsey R.J., Fluette A., McDougall K., Housen B.A., Janecke S.U., Axen G.J., Shirvell C.R.
668   2007. Chronology of Miocene-Pliocene deposits at Split Mountain Gorge, Southern
       California: A record of regional tectonics and Colorado River evolution. Geology. 35:57–
670   60.

Douglas M., Minckley W.L., DeMarais B.D. 1999. Did vicariance mold phenotypes of western
672   North American fishes? Evidence from Gila River cyprinids. Evolution. 53:238–246.

Douglas M.E., Douglas M.R., Lynch J.M., McElroy D.M. 2001. Use of geometric
674   morphometrics to differentiate *Gila* (Cyprinidae) within the Upper Colorado River Basin.
       Copeia. 2001:389–400.

676   Douglas M.E., Matthews W.J. 1992. Does morphology predict ecology? Hypothesis testing
       within a freshwater stream fish assemblage. Oikos. 65:213.

678   Douglas M.R., Douglas M.E. 2007. Genetic structure of humpback chub *Gila cypha* and
       roundtail chub *G. robusta* in the Colorado River ecosystem. Rep. to Gd. Canyon Monit.
680   Reserach Center, U.S. Geol. Surv.:99.

Dowling T.E., Anderson C.D., Marsh P.C., Rosenberg M.S. 2015. Population structure in the
682   Roundtail chub (*Gila robusta* complex) of the Gila River Basin as determined by
       microsatellites: Evolutionary and conservation implications. PLoS One. 10:e0139832.

684   Dowling T.E., DeMarais B.D. 1993. Evolutionary significance of introgressive hybridization in
       cyprinid fishes. Nature. 362:444–446.

686   Dowling T.E., Secor C.L. 1997. The role of hybridization and introgression in the diversification
       of animals. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 28:593–619.

688   Eaton D.A.R. 2014. PyRAD: assembly of de novo RADseq loci for phylogenetic analyses.
       Bioinformatics. 30:1844–1849.

690   Eaton D.A.R., Ree R.H. 2013. Inferring phylogeny and introgression using RADseq data: an
example from glowering plants (Pedicularis: Orobanchaceae). Syst. Biol. 62:689–706.

692   Eaton D.A.R.R., Spriggs E.L., Park B., Donoghue M.J. 2017. Misconceptions on missing data in
RAD-seq phylogenetics with a deep-scale example from flowering plants. Syst. Biol.
694   66:399–412.

Eberly L.D., Stanley T.B. 1978. Cenozoic stratigraphy and geologic history of southwestern
696   Arizona. Geol. Soc. Am. Bull. 89:921–940.

Edwards S. V. 2009. Is a new and general theory of molecular systematics emerging? Evolution.
698   63:1–19.

Edwards S. V., Xi Z., Janke A., Faircloth B.C., McCormack J.E., Glenn T.C., Zhong B., Wu S.,
700   Lemmon E.M., Lemmon A.R., Leaché A.D., Liu L., Davis C.C. 2016. Implementing and
testing the multispecies coalescent model: A valuable paradigm for phylogenomics. Mol.
702   Phylogenet. Evol. 94:447–462.

Efron B., Halloran E., Holmes S. 1996. Bootstrap confidence levels for phylogenetic trees. Proc.
704   Natl. Acad. Sci. 93:13429–13429.

Evanno G., Regnaut S., Goudet J. 2005. Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the
706   software STRUCTURE: A simulation study. Mol. Ecol. 14:2611–2620.

Felsenstein J. 1985. Confidence limits on phylogenies: An approach using the bootstrap.
708   Evolution. 39:783–791.

Forest F., Crandal K.A., Chase M.W., Faith D.P. 2015. Phylogeny, extinction and conservation:
710   Embracing uncertainties in a time of urgency. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 370:1–8.

Gadagkar S.R., Rosenberg M.S., Kumar S. 2005. Inferring species phylogenies from multiple
712   genes: Concatenated sequence tree versus consensus gene tree. J. Exp. Zool. Part B Mol.
Dev. Evol. 304:64–74.

714   Garrigan D., Marsh P.C., Dowling T.E. 2002. Long-term effective population size of three
endangered Colorado River fishes. Anim. Conserv. 5:95–102.

716   Gerber A.S., Tibbets C.A., Dowling T.E. 2001. The role of introgressive hybridization in the
evolution of the *Gila robusta* complex (Teleostei: Cyprinidae). Evolution. 55:2028–2039.

718   Giarla T.C., Esselstyn J.A. 2015. The challenges of resolving a rapid, recent radiation: Empirical
and simulated phylogenomics of Philippine shrews. Syst. Biol. 64:727–740.

720   Green R.E., Krause J., Briggs A.W., Maricic T., Stenzel U., Kircher M., Patterson N., Li H., Zhai
W., Fritz M.H.-Y., Hansen N.F., Durand E.Y., Malaspinas A.-S., Jensen J.D., Marques-
722   Bonet T., Alkan C., Prüfer K., Meyer M., Burbano H.A., Good J.M., Schultz R., Aximu-
Petri A., Butthof A., Höber B., Höffner B., Siegemund M., Weihmann A., Nusbaum C.,
724   Lander E.S., Russ C., Novod N., Affourtit J., Egholm M., Verna C., Rudan P., Brajkovic D.,

726 Kucan Ž., Gušic I., Doronichev V.B., Golovanova L. V, Lalueza-Fox C., de la Rasilla M., Fortea J., Rosas A., Schmitz R.W., Johnson P.L.F., Eichler E.E., Falush D., Birney E., Mullikin J.C., Slatkin M., Nielsen R., Kelso J., Lachmann M., Reich D., Pääbo S. 2010. A
728 draft sequence of the Neandertal genome. Science. 328:710–722.

Hahn M.W., Nakhleh L. 2016. Irrational exuberance for resolved species trees. Evolution. 70:7–
730 17.

Hardy T.B. 1982. Ecological interactions ofthe introduced and native fishes in the outflow of
732 Ash Springs, Lincoln County, Nevada. .

Heath T.A., Hedtke S.M., Hillis D.M. 2008. Taxon sampling and the accuracy of phylogenetic
734 analyses. J. Syst. Evol. 46:239–257.

Helenes J., Carreno A.L. 2014. Neogene sedimentary record of the Gulf of California: towards a
736 highly biodiverse scenario. In: Wehncke E. V., Lara-lAra J.R., Alvarez-Borrego S., Ezcurra
E., editors. Conservation Science in Mexico's Northwest: Ecosystem Status and Trends in
738 the Gulf of Calfiornia. .

Hillis D.M. 1998. Taxonomic sampling, phylogenetic accuracy, and investigator bias. Syst. Biol.
740 47:3–8.

House P.K., Pearthree P.A., Howard K.A., Bell J.W., Perkins M.E., Faulds J.E., Brock A.L.
742 2005. Birth of the lower Colorado River—Stratigraphic and geomorphic evidence for its
inception near the conjunction of Nevada, Arizona, and California. GSA Field Guide 6:
744 Interior Western United States. .

House P.K., Pearthree P.A., Perkins M.E. 2008. Stratigraphic evidence for the role of lake
746 spillover in the inception of the lower Colorado River in southern Nevada and western
Arizona. Spec. Pap. 439 Late Cenozoic Drain. Hist. Southwest. Gt. Basin Low. Color. River
748 Reg. Geol. Biot. Perspect. 2439:335–353.

Huang H., Lacey Knowles L., Knowles L.L. 2016. Unforeseen consequences of excluding
750 missing data from next-generation sequences: Simulation study of RAD sequences. Syst.
Biol. 65:357–365.

752 Hubbs C.L., Miller R.R. 1948. The zoological evidence: correlation between fish distribution and
hydrogeographic history in the desert basin of western North America. Bull. Univ. Utah.
754 10:17–166.

Huckleberry G. 1996. Historical geomorphology of the Gila River. Rep. to Arizona Geol. Surv.

756 Huckleberry J.K., Potts M.D. 2019. Constraints to implementing the food-energy-water nexus
concept: Governance in the Lower Colorado River Basin. Environ. Sci. Policy. 92:289–298.

758 Huson D.H. 1998. SplitsTree: analyzing and visualizing evolutionary data. Bioinformatics.
14:68–73.

760   James T., Evans A., Madly E., Kelly C. 2014. The economic importance of the Colorado River
        to the Basin region. L William Seidman Res. Institute, W. P. Carey Sch. Business, Arizona
762   State Univ.

      Kubatko L.S., Chifman J. 2016. An invariants-based method for efficient identification of hybrid
764   species from large-scale genomic data. bioRxiv. Submitted.

      Kumar S., Filipski A.J., Battistuzzi F.U., Kosakovsky Pond S.L., Tamura K. 2012. Statistics and
766   truth in phylogenomics. Mol. Biol. Evol. 29:457–472.

      Larget B.R., Kotha S.K., Dewey C.N., Ané C. 2010. BUCKy: Gene tree reconciliation with
768   concordance. Bioinformatics. 26:2910–2911.

      Leache A.D., Fujita M.K., Minin V.N., Bouckaert R.R., Leaché A.D., Fujita M.K., Minin V.N.,
770   Bouckaert R.R. 2014a. Species delimitation using genome-wide SNP data. Syst. Biol.
        63:534–542.

772   Leache A.D., Harris R.B., Rannala B., Yang Z. 2014b. The influence of gene flow on species
        tree estimation: A simulation study. Syst. Biol. 63:17–30.

774   Leaché A.D., Oaks J.R. 2017. The utility of single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) data in
        phylogenetics. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 48:69–84.

776   Lemmon E.M., Lemmon A.R. 2013. High-throughput genomic data in systematics and
        phylogenetics. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 44:99–121.

778   Linck E., Epperly K., van Els P., Spellman G.M., Bryson Jr R.W., McCormack J.E., Canales-
        del-Castillo R., Klicka J. 2019. Dense geographic and genomic sampling reveals paraphyly
780   and a cryptic lineage in a classic sibling species complex. Syst. Biol. Early Acce:syz027.

      Linkem C.W.C., Minin V.N.V., Leache A., Leaché A.D. 2016. Detecting the anomaly zone in
782   species trees and evidence for a misleading signal in higher-level skink phylogeny
        (Squamata: Scincidae). Syst. Biol. 65:465–477.

784   Liu L., Edwards S. V. 2009. Phylogenetic analysis in the anomaly zone. Syst. Biol. 58:452–460.

      Long C., Kubatko L. 2018. The effect of gene flow on coalescent-based species-tree inference.
786   Syst. Biol. 67:770–785.

      Lucchitta I. 1972. Early history of the Colorado River in the Basin and Range province. Geol.
788   Scoeity Am. Buttetin. 83:1933–1948.

      Luo A., Ling C., Ho S.Y.W., Zhu C.D. 2018. Comparison of methods for molecular species
790   delimitation across a range of speciation scenarios. Syst. Biol. 67:830–846.

      Maddison W. 1997. Gene trees in species trees. Syst. Biol. 46:523–536.

792   De Maio N., Schrempf D., Kosiol C. 2015. PoMo: An allele frequency-based approach for

species tree estimaion. Syst. Biol. 64:1018–1031.

794   Marsh P.C., Clarkson R.W., Dowling T.E. 2017. Molecular genetics informs spatial segregation
           of two desert stream Gila species. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 146:47–59.

796   McCormack J.E., Huang H., Knowles L.L. 2009. Maximum likelihood estimates of species trees:
           How accuracy of phylogenetic inference depends upon the divergence history and sampling
798   design. Syst. Biol. 58:501–508.

       McKee E.D., Wislon R.F., Breed W.J., Breed C.S. 1967. Evolution of the Colorado River in
800   Arizona- An hypothesis developed at the Symposium on Cenozoic Geology of the Colorado
           Plateau in Arizona, August 1964. Museum of Northern Arizona Bulletin.

802   McVay J.D., Hipp A.L., Manos P.S. 2017. A genetic legacy of introgression confounds
           phylogeny and biogeography in oaks. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 284.

804   Meffe G.K., Vrijenhoek R.C. 1988. Conservation genetics in the management of desert fishes.
           Conserv. Biol. 2:157–169.

806   Mendes F.K., Hahn Y., Hahn M.W. 2016. Gene tree discordance can generate patterns of
           diminishing convergence over time. Mol. Biol. Evol. 33:3299–3307.

808   Meng C., Kubatko L.S. 2009. Detecting hybrid speciation in the presence of incomplete lineage
           sorting using gene tree incongruence: A model. Theor. Popul. Biol. 75:35–45.

810   Minckley W.L. 1979. Aquatic habitats and fishes of the lower Colorado River, southwestern
           United States. Rep. to U.S. Bur. Reclam.

812   Minckley W.L. 1986. Geography of western North American freshwater fishes: description and
           relationships to intracontinental tectonism. Zoogeography North Am. Freshw. Fishes.:519–
814   613.

       Minckley W.L., DeMarais B.D. 2000. Taxonomy of chubs (Telostei, Cyprinidae, genus *Gila*) in
816   the American Southwest with comments on conservation. Copeia. 2000:251–256.

       Minckley W.L., Marsh P.C. 2009. Inland fishes of the greater Southwest: chronicle of a
818   vanishing biota. University of Arizona Press.

       Minckley W.L., Marsh P.C., Deacon J.E., Dowling T.E., Hedrick P.W., Matthews W.J., Mueller
820   G. 2006. A conservation plan for native fishes of the lower Colorado River. Bioscience.
           53:219.

822   Minh B.Q., Hahn M.W., Lanfear R. 2018. New methods to calculate concordance factors for
           phylogenomic datasets. bioRxiv.:487801.

824   Morales A.E., Carstens B.C. 2018. Evidence that *Myotis lucifugus* "Subspecies" are five
           nonsister species, despite gene flow. Syst. Biol. 67:756–769.

826   Moran C.J., O'Neill M.W., Armbruster J.W., Gibb A.C. 2017. Can members of the south-
           western *Gila robusta* species complex be distinguished by morphological features? J. Fish
828   Biol. 91:302–316.

       Mussmann S.M., Douglas M.R., Bangs M.R., Douglas M.E. 2020. Comp-D: a program for
830   comprehensive computation of D-statistics and population summaries of reticulated
           evolution. Conserv. Genet. Resour. 12:263-267.

832   Nguyen L.-T.L.-T., Schmidt H.A., von Haeseler A., Minh B.Q. 2014. IQ-TREE: A fast and
           effective stochastic algorithm for estimating Maximum-Likelihood phylogenies. Mol. Biol.
834   Evol. 32:268–274.

       Oakey D.D., Douglas M.E., Douglas M.R. 2004. Small fish in a large landscape: Diversification
836   of *Rhinichthys osculus* (Cyprinidae) in Western North America. Copeia. 2004:207–221.

       Oaks J.R. 2018. Full Bayesian comparative phylogeography from genomic data. Syst. Biol. 0:1–
838   25.

       Page L.M., Baldwin C.C., Espinosa-Pérez H., Findley L.T., Gilbert C.R., Hartel K.E., Lea R.N.,
840   Mandrak N.E., Schmitter-Soto J.J., Walker H.J. 2017. Taxonomy of *Gila* in the Lower
           Colorado River Basin of Arizona and New Mexico. Fisheries. 42:456–460.

842   Page L.M., Baldwin C.C., Espinosa-Pérez H., Gilbert C.R., Hartel K.E., Lea R.N., Mandrak
           N.E., Schmitter-Soto J.J., Walker H.J. 2016. Final report of the AFS/ASIH Joint Committee
844   on the Names of Fishes on the taxonomy of Gila in the Lower Colorado River basin of
           Arizona and New Mexico. Rep. to Arizona Game Fish Dep.

846   Pante E., Puillandre N., Viricel A., Arnaud-Haond S., Aurelle D., Castelin M., Chenuil A.,
           Destombe C., Forcioli D., Valero M., Viard F., Samadi S. 2015. Species are hypotheses:
848   Avoid connectivity assessments based on pillars of sand. Mol. Ecol. 24:525–544.

       Pease J.B., Hahn M.W. 2015. Detection and polarization of introgression in a five-taxon
850   phylogeny. Syst. Biol. 64:651–662.

       Pereira A.G., Schrago C.G. 2018. Incomplete lineage sorting impacts the inference of
852   macroevolutionary regimes from molecular phylogenies when concatenation is employed:
           An analysis based on Cetacea. Ecol. Evol. 8:6965–6971.

854   Peterson B.K., Weber J.N., Kay E.H., Fisher H.S., Hoekstra H.E. 2012. Double digest RADseq:
           An inexpensive method for *de novo* SNP discovery and genotyping in model and non-
856   model species. PLoS One. 7:e37135.

       Philippe H., Brinkmann H., Lavrov D. V., Littlewood D.T.J., Manuel M., Wörheide G., Baurain
858   D. 2011. Resolving difficult phylogenetic questions: Why more sequences are not enough.
           PLoS Biol. 9:e1000602.

860   Philippe H., Delsuc F., Brinkmann H., Lartillot N. 2005. Phylogenomics. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol.
           Syst. 36:541–562.

862 Pisani D., Pett W., Dohrmann M., Feuda R., Rota-Stabelli O., Philippe H., Lartillot N., Wörheide
G. 2015. Genomic data do not support comb jellies as the sister group to all other animals.
864 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 112:15402–15407.

Rangel T.F., Colwell R.K., Graves G.R., Fučíková K., Rahbek C., Diniz-Filho J.A.F. 2015.
866 Phylogenetic uncertainty revisited: Implications for ecological analyses. Evolution.
69:1301–1312.

868 Rannala B., Yang Z. 2008. Phylogenetic inference using whole genomes. Annu. Rev. Genomics
Hum. Genet. 9:217–231.

870 Reddy S., Kimball R.T., Pandey A., Hosner P.A., Braun M.J., Hackett S.J., Han K.L., Harshman
J., Huddleston C.J., Kingston S., Marks B.D., Miglia K.J., Moore W.S., Sheldon F.H., Witt
872 C.C., Yuri T., Braun E.L. 2017. Why do phylogenomic data sets yield conflicting trees?
Data type influences the avian tree of life more than taxon sampling. Syst. Biol. 66:857–
874 879.

Richards E.J., Brown J.M., Barley A.J., Chong R.A., Thomson R.C. 2018. Variation across
876 mitochondrial gene trees provides evidence for systematic error: How much gene tree
variation is biological? Syst. Biol. 67:847–860.

878 Rinne J.N. 1976. Cyprinid fishes of the genus *Gila* from the lower Colorado River basin.
Wasmann J. Biol. 34.

880 Rognes T., Flouri T., Nichols B., Quince C., Mahé F. 2016. VSEARCH: a versatile open source
tool for metagenomics. PeerJ. 4:e2409v1.

882 Ronquist F., Teslenko M., van der Mark P., Ayres D.L., Darling A., Hohna S., Larget B., Liu L.,
Suchard M.A., Huelsenbeck J.P. 2012. MrBayes 3.2: Efficient Bayesian phylogenetic
884 inference and model choice across a large model space. Syst. Biol. 61:539–542.

Sarna-Wojcicki A.M., Deino A.L., Fleck R.J., McLaughlin R.J., Wagner D., Wan E., Wahl D.,
886 Hillhouse J.W., Perkins M. 2011. Age, composition, and areal distribution of the Pliocene
Lawlor Tuff, and three younger Pliocene tuffs, California and Nevada. Geosphere. 7:599–
888 628.

Schmidt-Lebuhn A.N. 2012. Fallacies and false premises-a critical assessment of the arguments
890 for the recognition of paraphyletic taxa in botany. Cladistics. 28:174–187.

Schönhuth S., Perdices A., Lozano-Vilano L., García-de-León F.J., Espinosa H., Mayden R.L.
892 2014. Phylogenetic relationships of North American western chubs of the genus *Gila*
(Cyprinidae, Teleostei), with emphasis on southern species. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 70:210–
894 230.

Schönhuth S., Shiozawa D.K., Dowling T.E., Mayden R.L. 2012. Molecular systematics of
896 western North American cyprinids (Cypriniformes: Cyprinidae). Zootaxa. 303:281–303.

Schönhuth S., Vukić J., Šanda R., Yang L., Mayden R.L. 2018. Phylogenetic relationships and

898   classification of the Holarctic family Leuciscidae (Cypriniformes: Cyprinoidei). Mol.
       Phylogenet. Evol. 127:781–799.

900   Schrempf D., Minh B.Q., De Maio N., von Haeseler A., Kosiol C. 2016. Reversible
       polymorphism-aware phylogenetic models and their application to tree inference. J. Theor.
902   Biol. 407:362–370.

       Schwartz M.K., McKelvey K.S. 2009. Why sampling scheme matters: The effect of sampling
904   scheme on landscape genetic results. Conserv. Genet. 10:441–452.

       Schwemm M.R. 2006. Genetic variation in the *Gila robusta* complex (Teleostei: Cyprinidae) in
906   the lower Colorado River. Thesis.

       Shen X.X., Hittinger C.T., Rokas A. 2017. Contentious relationships in phylogenomic studies
908   can be driven by a handful of genes. Nat. Ecol. Evol. 1:1–10.

       Simion P., Philippe H., Baurain D., Jager M., Richter D.J., Di Franco A., Roure B., Satoh N.,
910   Quéinnec É., Ereskovsky A., Lapébie P., Corre E., Delsuc F., King N., Wörheide G.,
       Manuel M. 2017. A large and consistent phylogenomic dataset supports sponges as the
912   sister group to all other animals. Curr. Biol. 27:958–967.

       Simpson G.G. 1961. Principles of animal taxonomy. Columbia University Press, New York.

914   Smith G.A., Yang Wang, Cerling T.E., Geissman J.W. 1993. Comparison of a paleosol-
       carbonate isotope record to other records of Pliocene-early Pleistocene climate in the
916   western United States. Geology. 21:691–694.

       Snir S., Rao S. 2012. Quartet MaxCut: A fast algorithm for amalgamating quartet trees. Mol.
918   Phylogenet. Evol. 61:1–8.

       Solís-Lemus C., Ané C. 2016. Inferring phylogenetic networks with Maximum Pseudolikelihood
920   under incomplete lineage sorting. PLoS Genet. 12:1–21.

       Solís-Lemus C., Bastide P., Ané C. 2017. PhyloNetworks: A package for phylogenetic networks.
922   Mol. Biol. Evol. 34:3292–3298.

       Sosef M.S.M. 1997. Hierarchical models, reticulate evolution and the inevitability of
924   paraphyletic supraspecific taxa. Taxon. 46:75–85.

       Spencer J.E., Patchett P.J. 2002. Sr isotope evidence for a lacustrine origin for the upper
926   Miocene to Pliocene Bouse Formation, lower Colorado River trough, and implications for
       timing of Colorado Plateau uplift. Geol. Soc. Am. Bull. 109:767–778.

928   Spencer J.E., Peters L., McIntosh W.C., Patchett P.J., Young R.A., Spamer E.E. 2001.
       40Ar/39Ar geochronology of the Hualapai Limestone and Bouse Formation and
930   implications for the age of the lower Colorado River. Color. River Orig. Evol. Gd. Canyon,
       Arizona, Gd. Canyon Assoc.:89–91.

932 Spencer J.E., Smith G.R., Dowling T.E. 2008. Middle to late Cenozoic geology, hydrography, and fish evolution in the American Southwest. Geol. Soc. Am. 80301.

934 Springer M.S., Gatesy J. 2016. The gene tree delusion. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 94:1–33.

Stadler T., Degnan J.H., Rosenberg N.A. 2016. Does gene tree discordance explain the mismatch
936 between macroevolutionary models and empirical patterns of tree shape and branching times? Syst. Biol. 65:628–639.

938 Stenz N.W.M., Larget B., Baum D.A., Ané C. 2015. Exploring tree-like and non-tree-like patterns using genome sequences: An example using the inbreeding plant species
940 Arabidopsis thaliana (L.) heynh. Syst. Biol. 64:809–823.

Sullivan J., Joyce P. 2005. Model selection in phylogenetics. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.
942 36:445–466.

Thompson R.S. 1991. Pliocene environments and climates in the western United States. Quat.
944 Sci. Rev. 10:115–132.

Tuttle P., Scoppettone G. 1990. Status and life history of Pahranagat River fishes. Rep. to
946 Nevada Dep. Wildl.

USFWS. 2005. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants: Listing Gila chub as endangered
948 with critical habitat. Fed. Regist. 70:66664–66721.

USFWS. 2017. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants: Threatened species status for
the Headwater chub and Roundtail chub distinct population segment. Fed. Regist. 82:16981–16988.

Uyeno T. 1960. Osteology and phylogeny of the American cyprinid fishes allied to the genus Gila. Thesis.

Uyeno T., Miller R.R. 1963. Summary of late Cenozoic freshwater fish records for North America. Occas. Pap. Museum Zool. Univ. Michigan. 631:1–34.

Uyeno T., Miller R.R. 1965. Middle Pliocene cyprinid fishes from the Bidahochi Formation. Copeia.:28–41.

Walker J.F., Brown J.W., Smith S.A. 2018. Analyzing contentious relationships and outlier genes in phylogenomics. Syst. Biol. 67:916–924.

Whelan N. V., Kocot K.M., Moroz L.L., Halanych K.M. 2015. Error, signal, and the placement of Ctenophora sister to all other animals. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 112:5773–5778.

Wu M., Kostyun J.L., Hahn M.W., Moyle L.C. 2018. Dissecting the basis of novel trait evolution in a radiation with widespread phylogenetic discordance. Mol. Ecol. 27:3301–3316.

Data Accessibility

966   Pending acceptance, all relevant (i.e. assembled and filtered) datasets will be archived on Dryad.

Codes and custom scripts developed in support of this work are available as open-source under

968   the GNU Public License via GitHub: github.com/tkchafin

- Sampling locality metadata and alignments: Dryad (pending)

970   - Codes and scripts: github.com/tkchafin (and as cited in-text)

972   **Author Contributions**

All authors constributed to research conceptualization and design. MED and MRD contributed

974   sampling and coordination of agency efforts. TKC performed molecular work and analyses.

TKC, SMM, BTM, and MRB wrote relevant codes and contributed analyses. All authors

976   contributed to writing the manuscript and approve of the final submission.

Figures and Tables

Table 1: Four-taxon *D*-statistic Tests of Admixture. Tests were performed for quartets sampled from *N*=386 *Gila* individuals. Results are reported across *N* separate quartet samples per four-
taxon test, randomly sampled without replacement, with site patterns calculated from 21,717 unlinked SNPs. Significance is reported as the proportion of tests at *p*<0.05 (nSig/*N*) using chi-
squared (χ^2), *Z*-test[1], and *Z*-test with Bonferroni correction[2]. Positive and negative values of *D* suggest introgression of the P3 lineage with either P2 or P1, respectively. Results in bold were
also supported by the phylogenetic network. See Table S1 for detailed locality information.

Figure 1: Timeline of the conservation status of *Gila* species endemic to the lower Colorado River basin [*See Copus et al (2018) for a detailed overview of taxonomic synonymies; †'The
Center' refers to the Center for Biological Diversity (501c3), Tuscon, AZ; ‡'DPS' = Distinct Population Segment as referenced in the Endangered Species Act (ESA 1973; 16 U.S.C. § 1531
et seq), here referring specifically to a lower basin sub-unit of *G. robusta*]. Note that timeline is not to scale.
994

Figure 2: Sampling localities for *Gila* within the Colorado River Basin, southwestern North
996 America. Locality codes are defined in Table S1. Sympatric locations (R14 and C2) are slightly offset for visibility purposes. Map insert increases the viewing scale for sampling sites within the
998 lower basin 'complex' (Bill Williams and Gila rivers).

1000 Figure 3: (A) Majority-rule consensus cladogram of SVDQUARTETS across 12 variably filtered SNP datasets varying from 7,357–21,007 SNPs and 256–347 individuals. (B) Binned bootstrap
1002 concordance values are reported for each dataset, coded by the matrix occupancy threshold per individual ("i") and per column ("c"; e.g. i50_c50 = 50% occupancy required per individual and
1004 per column). Dashed terminal branches indicate positions for taxa missing from >50% of datasets. For detailed locality information, refer to Table S1.

1006

Figure 4: (A) POMO phylogram with branch lengths as the number of substitutions *and* inferred
1008 number of drift events per site, with branch supports (as values <100%) representing concordance among 1,000 bootstrap replicates, inferred using a dataset consisting of 281,613
1010 nucleotides and 40 tips; (B) TICR phylogram reporting branch lengths in coalescent units, calculated from 31,465 quartets evaluated across 3,449 full alignments of ddRAD loci. For
1012 detailed locality information, refer to Table S1.

1014 Figure 5: Internode pairs within the anomaly zone, as determined using coalescent-unit transformed branch lengths mapped onto the (A) SVDQUARTETS, (B) POMO, (C) TICR, and (D)
1016 concatenated trees (displayed here as cladograms). Paired internodes are color-coded, with those

bicolored indicating multiple anomalous divergences. For more detailed representations, refer to
1018   Figs. 3 and 4.

1020   Figure 6: Posterior estimates for divergences times and effective populations sizes (N_e) derived
from ECOEVOLITY and 2,000 randomly sampled full-length ddRAD locus alignments. Branches
1022   are annotated with mean (std. dev.) N_e and posterior probabilities for divergence times are
plotted on corresponding nodes. Units are in years, using a static mutation rate of 1.2 e^{-08}
1024   substitutions per year. Posterior probabilities for divergence models (insert) suggest the co-
divergence of *Gila jordani*, *G. robusta*, *G. nigra*, and *G. intermedia*.
1026

P3	P2	P1	Mean D	N	nSig/N (χ^2)	nSig/N (Z^1)	nSig/N (Z^2)
cypha	*jordani*	lower basin	0.175	86400	0.033	0.072	0.001
cypha	*seminuda*	lower basin	0.099	86400	0.102	0.130	0.002
elegans	*jordani*	lower basin	-0.063	84800	0.029	0.050	0.000
elegans	*robusta* (lower)	*nigra/int.*	-0.026	413600	0.014	0.047	0.001
elegans	*robusta* (upper)	*cypha*	-0.236	45056	0.380	0.415	0.045
elegans	***seminuda***	**lower basin**	**0.302**	**86400**	**0.654**	**0.674**	**0.251**
jordani	*robusta* (lower)	*nigra/int.*	0.087	601600	0.042	0.072	0.001
jordani	*robusta* (lower)	*nigra/int.*	0.091	212800	0.041	0.067	0.005
nigra	*int.* (Salt)	*int.* (Verde)	0.086	126976	0.057	0.082	0.001
robusta (lower)	*intermedia*	*nigra*	0.041	793600	0.001	0.002	0.000
robusta (upper)	*jordani*	*robusta* (lower)	0.165	168000	0.050	0.081	0.001
robusta (upper)	*robusta* (lower)	*nigra/int.*	-0.009	601600	0.011	0.031	0.000
robusta (upper)	*seminuda*	lower basin	-0.017	180800	0.030	0.053	0.004
seminuda	*jordani*	lower basin	-0.204	81920	0.107	0.152	0.000
seminuda	*robusta* (lower)	*nigra/int.*	0.054	212800	0.011	0.031	0.001
atraria	*robusta* (upper)	*cypha*	0.082	57344	0.064	0.095	0.033
nigrescens	*robusta* (lower)	*nigra/int.*	-0.075	485472	0.023	0.079	0.002
nigrescens	*robusta* (upper)	*cypha*	-0.039	53248	0.040	0.066	0.005
pandora	*robusta* (lower)	*nigra/int.*	-0.123	225600	0.012	0.105	0.010
pandora	*robusta* (upper)	*cypha*	-0.047	24576	0.031	0.057	0.003

1028

1030

1032

1034

1036

Supplementary Materials

1040

Table S1: Sampling locations and drainages for *N*=386 *Gila* individuals and outgroups.

1042

Site	Major Drainage	Location	*N*
Gila atraria	Bonneville, Snake	Multiple localities	14
Gila cypha			
C1	Yampa	Yampa Canyon, Moffat Co., CO	1
C2	Colorado	Black Rocks & Westwater Canyons, CO	2
C3	Colorado	Grand Canyon, Coconino Co., AZ	9
C4	Little Colorado	Little Colorado R. mainstem, Coconino Co., AZ	4
Gila ditaenia	de la Concepcion	Rio Magdalena, Sonora, MX	2
Gila elegans	Hatchery	SNARRC, Dexter, NM	11
Gila eremica	Rio Sonora	Rio Sonora, Sonora, MX	2
Gila intermedia			
I1	Verde	Spring Creek, Yavapai Co., AZ	10
I2	Agua Fria	Silver Creek, Yavapai Co., AZ	8
I3	Agua Fria	Indian Creek, Yavapai Co., AZ	9
I4	Agua Fria	Sycamore Creek, Yavapai Co., AZ	10
I5	Gila	Mineral Creek, Pinal Co., AZ	10
I6	Gila	Eagle Creek, Greenlee Co., AZ	10
I7	Gila	Hardin-Cienega Creek, Greenlee Co., AZ	10
I8	San Pedro	Turkey Creek, Santa Cruz Co., AZ	10
Gila jordani	Pahranagat/ White	Key-Pittman WMA refuge population (brood stock sourced from Ash Springs), NV	21
Gila minacae	Rio Yaqui	Rio Bavispe, Chihuahua, MX	7
Gila nigra			
N1	Verde	Fossil Creek, Yavapai Co., AZ	11
N2	Verde	Weber Creek, Gila Co., AZ	8
N3	Verde	Verde River headwaters, Gila Co., AZ	5
N4	Salt	Gordon Creek, Gila Co., AZ	10
N5	Salt	Gun Creek, Gila Co., AZ	11
N6	Salt	Marsh Creek, Gila Co., AZ	10
Gila nigrescens	Multiple	Multiple localities	5
Gila pandora	Rio Grande	Palomas Creek, Sierra Co., NM	6
Gila pulchra	Rio Yaqui	Rio Tomochic, Chihuahua, MX	5
Gila purpurea	Rio Yaqui	San Bernadino NWR, Cochise Co., AZ	2
Gila robusta			
R1[†]	Bill Williams	Trout Creek, Mohave Co., AZ	9
R2[†]	Bill Williams	Francis Creek, Yavapai Co., AZ	8
R3[†]	Verde	Verde River mainstem, Yavapai Co., AZ	8
R4[†]	Verde	Verde River mainstem, Yavapai Co., AZ	4
R5[†]	Verde	Verde River mainstem, Yavapai Co., AZ	3
R6[†]	Verde	West Clear Creek, Yavapai Co., AZ	5
R7[†]	Salt	Salt River mainstem, Maricopa Co., AZ	5
R8[†]	Salt	Cherry Creek, Gila Co., AZ	8
R9[†]	San Pedro	Aravaipa Creek, Pinal Co., AZ	10
R10[‡]	Green	Upper Green River tributaries, WY	21
R11[‡]	Yampa	Little Snake River, WY	5
R12[‡]	Yampa	Upper Yampa River tributaries, Moffat Co., CO	5
R13[‡]	Green	San Rafael River, UT	4
R14[‡]	Colorado	Upper Colorado River mainstem, CO	4

R15[‡]	San Juan	Navajo River, CO	2
R16[‡]	Little Colorado	East Clear Creek, Coconino Co., AZ	16
V1	Virgin	Muddy (Moapa) River, Clark Co., NV	19
V2	Virgin	Virgin River mainstem, Washington Co., UT	17
Ptychocheilus			
P. grandis	Eel River	South Fork Eel River, Humboldt Co., CA	2
P. lucius	Colorado	Yampa River, Moffat Co., CO	8

[†]Lower Colorado River basin (below Grand Canyon)

[‡]Upper Colorado River basin (above Grand Canyon)

1044

Figure S1: Phylogram showing results from an unconstrained search using 21,717 concatenated

1046   SNPs in IQ-TREE. Focal nodes are annotated with bootstrap support (values for shallow nodes

omitted for clarity). For specific locality information, refer to Table S1.

1048

Figure S2: Model selection results for SNAQ/ PHYLONETWORKS; h=maximum number of hybrid

1050   edges allowed per model; (A) L(h) = -log likelihood for the best network of N=48 replicate runs

per value of h; (B) L'(h) = 1st order change in L(h) = L(h) – L(h-1); (C) L''(h) = 2nd order change

1052   in L(h) = L'(h+1) – L'(h); and (D) Δh = L''(h) / s(h) where s(h) is the standard deviation in L(h)

among replicates.

1054

1056

Figure S3: Reticulate networks inferred using (A) 100 bootstrap pseudoreplicates in the SNaQ algorithm and (B) the distance-based

1058   NeighborNet algorithm in SplitsTree4.

1060

1062

Figure S4: Site-wise log-likelihood differences (ΔSLS) for (A) SVDQUARTETS, (B) POMO, and (C) TICR topologies as compared to

1064   an unconstrained concatenated tree. ΔSLS values are transformed as signed square-roots, with positive values indicating increased site-

likelihood under the constrained model, and negative values having increased likelihood under the unconstrained concatenated model.

1066

Figure S5: Site-wise concordance factors (s*CF*) for lineage trees produced in IQ-TREE under topological constraints for the (A)

1068   SVDQUARTETS, (B) POMO, and (C) TICR results. For details, see Methods.

1070

Figure S6: Characterization of site-wise concordance (*s*CF) factors for SVDQUARTETS, POMO, and TICR phylogenies. Panels show

1072   (left to right): Linear regression of subtending branch lengths (log-transformed) with *s*CF; node height (cumulative branch lengths

from root to focal node); and densities of *s*CF across nodes as compared to the discordance factors for the two conflicting quartet

1074   resolutions (*s*DF1 and *s*DF2).

1076   Figure S7: Prior and posterior probabilities for number of independent divergence events in

ECOEVOLITY co-divergence models for *Gila*. Parameters across all runs were identical, except

1078   for the shape (α) and scale (β) of the gamma-distributed prior on the Dirichlet process

concentration.

1080