Impact of preexisting virus-specific maternal antibodies on cytomegalovirus population

genetics in a monkey model of congenital transmission

Short title: Preexisting antibodies and CMV diversity

Diana Vera Cruz[1], Cody S Nelson[2], Dollnovan Tran[3], Peter A Barry[4], Amitinder Kaur[3], Katia

Koelle[5], Sallie R Permar[2]*

[1]Computational Biology and Bioinformatics program / Duke Center for Genomic and

Computational Biology, Duke University, and [2]Human Vaccine Institute, Duke University School

of Medicine, Durham, North Carolina, USA. [3]Tulane National Primate Research Center, Tulane

University, Covington, Louisiana, USA. [4]Center for Comparative Medicine, Department of

Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of California, Davis, California, USA. [5]Department

of Biology, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia, USA.

* Address correspondence to Sallie R. Permar (sallie.permar@duke.edu)

Abstract

Human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) infection is the leading non-genetic cause of congenital birth defects worldwide. While several studies have investigated the genetic composition of viral populations in newborns diagnosed with HCMV, little is known regarding mother-to-child viral transmission dynamics and how therapeutic interventions may impact within-host viral populations. Here, we investigate how preexisting CMV-specific antibodies shape the maternal viral population and intrauterine virus transmission. Specifically, we characterize the genetic composition of CMV populations in a monkey model of congenital CMV infection to examine the effects of passively-infused hyperimmune globulin (HIG) on viral population genetics in both maternal and fetal compartments. In this study, 11 seronegative, pregnant monkeys were challenged with rhesus CMV (RhCMV), including a group pretreated with a standard potency HIG preparation (n = 3), a group pretreated with a high-neutralizing potency HIG preparation (n = 3), and an untreated control group (n = 5). Targeted amplicon deep sequencing of RhCMV glycoprotein *B* and *L* genes revealed that one of the three strains present in the viral inoculum (UCD52) dominated maternal and fetal viral populations. We identified *de novo* minor haplotypes of this strain and characterized their dynamics. Many of the identified haplotypes were consistently detected at multiple timepoints within sampled maternal tissues, as well as across tissue compartments, indicating haplotype persistence over time and transmission between maternal compartments. However, haplotype numbers and diversity levels were not appreciably different across HIG pretreatment groups. We found that while the presence of maternal antibodies reduced viral load and congenital infection, it has no apparent impact in the intrahost viral genetic diversity at the investigated loci. Interestingly, some haplotypes present in fetal and maternal-fetal interface tissues were also identified in maternal samples of corresponding dams, providing evidence for a wide RhCMV mother-to-fetus transmission bottleneck even in the presence of preexisting antibodies.

Author summary

Human cytomegalovirus (CMV) is the most common infectious cause of birth defects worldwide.

Knowledge gaps remain regarding how maternal immunity impacts the genetic composition of

CMV populations and the incidence of congenital virus transmission. Addressing these gaps is

important to inform vaccine development efforts. Using viral samples collected from a monkey

model of congenital CMV infection, we investigated the impact of passively-administered maternal

antibodies on the genetic composition of the maternal virus population and that transmitted to the

fetus. Our analysis focused on two CMV genes that encode glycoproteins that facilitate viral

cellular entry and are known epitope targets of the humoral immune response. By identifying and

analyzing variants across sampled maternal tissues, we found no impact in CMV genetic diversity

by preexisting CMV-specific antibodies, despite the observation that such antibodies reduce viral

load and confer some protection against congenital transmission. We further found that some

minor variants identified in fetal and maternal-fetal interface tissues were also present in

corresponding maternal tissues, indicating that a large number of viral particles passed from dam

to fetus in observed cases of congenital transmission.

Introduction

Human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) is a member of the β-herpesvirus family and a ubiquitous pathogen that establishes lifelong infection in its host. Seroprevalence rates for HCMV range from 45% in developed nations to 100% in developing nations [1]. While initial HCMV infection is typically asymptomatic in the setting of intact host immunity, congenitally infected infants, immune-compromised individuals, and transplant recipients can suffer adverse HCMV-related outcomes [2–4]. Indeed, HCMV is the leading infectious cause of congenital birth defects, with approximately 1 in 150 live-born infants worldwide infected with HCMV, from which at least 10-20% will develop long-term sequelae including sensorineural hearing loss, microcephaly, and cognitive impairment [2].

Congenital CMV infection during pregnancy can result from either primary infection or viral reactivation and/or superinfection (secondary infection). While congenital infection could be seeded from the maternal genital tract [5,6], most cases of transmission are thought to occur from mother to fetus through maternal blood flow to the placenta [7,8]. High levels of maternal HCMV viremia and maternal infection earlier during gestation have been correlated with a greater risk of congenital infection and more severe congenital disease [9,10]. Following congenital infection, HCMV can be disseminated throughout the developing fetus with HCMV detectable in multiple fetal tissues in almost 50% of cases [11].

Recent HCMV whole-genome sequencing has revealed that the virus exhibits remarkable genetic diversity both within and between hosts [12–15] despite being a DNA virus. While it is hypothesized that mixed infections and strain recombination are key factors contributing to observed within-host viral diversity [14–16], *de novo* point mutations may also play a role in the generation of intrahost variation. Single nucleotide polymorphisms are distributed unevenly across the viral genome, with more variable regions found within immune evasion-related genes and coding sequences for multiple envelope glycoproteins [15]. Within a single host, these diverse HCMV populations have been observed to change dynamically over time and differ genetically

across tissues [12,15,17,18]. Existing studies report the presence of low-frequency intrahost

variants following HCMV infection in solid organ transplant recipients and congenital CMV cases

[12,17]. Furthermore, a longitudinal study has shown evidence for persistence of these minor

variants over time [12]. And while genetic diversity within a single compartment appears stable

over time [13], viral populations from different compartments of a single host can be as genetically-

distinct as populations between hosts [13]. Further, viral genomes obtained from the same

anatomical compartment across different hosts have been found to show characteristic genetic

similarities [15], suggesting that tissue-specific adaptations likely occur and contribute to

anatomical compartmentalization.

One of the challenges of HCMV research is that herpesviruses are highly species-specific

[19], which has led to a reliance on human clinical trials [7]. Yet, congenital virus transmission can

be modeled using both guinea pigs and nonhuman primate models [7,20]. In particular, rhesus

macaques and rhesus CMV (RhCMV) are a highly-relevant model for understanding adult/fetal

HCMV pathogenesis [21,22] and congenital infection [9,23], as RhCMV is the closest

cytomegalovirus species to HCMV [19,24] and the physiology/immunology of rhesus monkey

pregnancy is highly analogous to humans [21]. Previously, our group demonstrated that the

depletion of CD4$^+$ T cells followed by intravenous RhCMV inoculation of seronegative pregnant

monkeys resulted in consistent RhCMV congenital infection and a high rate of fetal loss [24]. We

subsequently tested the impact of preexisting antibodies on the incidence and severity of

congenital CMV transmission in this monkey model via passive infusion of hyperimmune globulin

(HIG) prior to RhCMV inoculation. This study established that preexisting RhCMV-specific

antibodies ("standard-potency" HIG) can prevent fetal loss in the absence of functional CD4+ T

cell immunity and that highly-neutralizing antibodies ("high-potency" HIG) may block congenital

transmission altogether [9]. Furthermore, this previous work demonstrated that potently-

neutralizing antibodies present at the time of primary infection can alter viral dynamics *in vivo* [9].

In this study, we focus on the impact of HIG pretreatment on the genetic composition of

RhCMV populations found across maternal and fetal tissue compartments. Our analysis is based

on RhCMV sequence data derived from maternal compartment samples (plasma, saliva, and

urine), samples from the maternal-fetal interface (amniotic fluid and placenta), and fetal tissue

samples (fetal heart, brain, lungs, kidney and spleen), where available. Due to the large genome

size of RhCMV and a desire to identify viral haplotypes, we focused our approach on amplicon

sequencing of variable regions of antibody-targeted glycoprotein genes *gB* and *gL* to explore the

effects of preexisting antibodies on viral evolution and tissue compartmentalization. Our work

contributes to the deeper understanding of maternal and congenital infection dynamics to better

inform developing therapeutic interventions to prevent congenital CMV transmission.

Methods

Study setting.

Eleven pregnant RhCMV-seronegative dam monkeys were intravenously inoculated with

RhCMV to investigate the ability of preexisting antibodies to inhibit congenital CMV transmission.

The study consisted of three groups of monkeys: a control group that received no hyperimmune

globulin (HIG) pretreatment and two HIG pretreatment groups that differed in their HIG regimen.

The first ("standard") HIG pretreatment group consisted of 3 dams, each of which received a

single dose of a standard HIG preparation given 1 hour prior to viral inoculation. The second

("high-potency") group consisted of 3 dams, each of which received an initial dose 1 hour prior to

viral inoculation and a second dose 3 days later. Both doses in the high-potency group used a

high-potency HIG preparation by screening serum donor monkeys for serum RhCMV neutralizing

activity, as described in [9]. The control group consisted of 5 dams, 3 of which were historical

controls [23]. The RhCMV inoculum was a mixture of three different strains: UCD52, UCD59, and

180.92, at relative frequencies of 25%, 25%, and 50% (by infectious viral titer), respectively.

These strains are known to have different tropism *in vitro*, with 180.92 isolated on rhesus

fibroblasts [25,26], and UCD52/UCD59 isolated on epithelial cells [27].

For each of the 11 dams studied, samples were taken from maternal blood plasma, urine,

saliva, and amniotic fluid at multiple time points following infection. Sample availability varied

across dams for reasons such as early fetal loss or low sample volume, previously described in

[9]. A subset of the available samples had virus populations that were successfully sequenced

and form the basis of our analysis **(Table S1)**. The remainder of these samples did not have

successful viral sequencing due to either low viral loads or inadequate sample quality prior to

library construction. In addition, virus populations in placental tissue samples from one control

group monkey and two standard pretreatment group monkeys, as well as tissue samples from

one congenitally infected fetus (from a standard pretreatment group dam) were successfully

sequenced **(Table S2)**.

RhCMV viral load was quantified from each sample using qPCR, as described in [9]. For

all samples, multiple viral load measurements (3 to 18) were taken to ensure that samples with

relatively low levels of virus present were identified as being positive for RhCMV. Viral load on

the log10 scale was calculated as the mean of the individual log10 viral load sample

measurements. When viral load was below the limit of detection (100 viral copies per ml for

plasma and amniotic fluid and 100 viral copies per total DNA µg for urine and saliva), we set its

value to half of the detection limit.

Animal study ethics statement.

158   The animal protocol titled "Maternal immune correlates with protection against congenital

cytomegalovirus transmission in rhesus monkeys" was approved by the Tulane University and

the Duke University Medical Center Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees (IACUC) under

the protocol numbers P0285 and A186-15-06, respectively. Indian-origin rhesus macaques were

housed at the Tulane National Primate Research Center and maintained in accordance with

institutional and federal guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals, specifically the

USDA Animal Welfare regulations, PHS Policy on Humane Care and Use of Laboratory

Animals[28], the NIH/NRC Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, Association for

Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care accreditation guidelines, as well as

Tulane University and Duke University IACUC care and use policies. Tulane National Primate

Research Center has strict policies to minimize pain and distress. The monkeys were observed

on a daily basis and were administered tiletamine/zolazepam (Telazol), or ketamine if they

showed signs of discomfort, pain or distress. In case of illness, the protocol involved analgesics

administration and supplemental nutritional support and/or fluid therapy as needed.

172   Housing conditions were determined by the time and type of RhCMV inoculation, aiming

to avoid horizontal transmission of RhCMV from other colony members, where RhCMV is

endemic. RhCMV-seronegative pregnant macaques were housed in pairs after RhCMV

inoculation if inoculated concurrently with the same viral isolate. Otherwise, single housing in BL2

containment facilities was required. The monkeys were maintained in a standard environment

enrichment setting which included manipulable items, swings, food supplements (fruit,

vegetables, treats), task-oriented feeding methods as well as human interaction with caretakers

and research staff. Dams were released into the colony after 2 or 3 weeks following C-section.

180   Anesthesia was considered for all procedures considered to cause more than slight pain

in humans, including routine sample collection. The agents used included: ketamine, butorphanol,

Telazol, buprenorphine, carprofen, meloxicam, and midazolam as needed. The criteria for end-

point was defined as loss of 25% of body weight from maximum body weight during protocol,

major organ failure or medical conditions unresponsive to treatment and surgical complications

unresponsive to immediate intervention. Policies stated that animals deemed at endpoint would

be euthanized by overdose of pentobarbital under the direction of the attending veterinarian,

consistent with the recommendations of the American Veterinary Medical Association guidelines

on euthanasia.

PCR amplification, viral sequencing. and analysis pipeline.

We PCR-amplified two variable regions within the genes encoding RhCMV glycoprotein

B (gB) and glycoprotein *L (gL)* of RhCMV for next-generation sequencing. The *gB* amplicon was

408 nucleotides long and *gL* amplicon 399 nucleotides long, primer sequences for each amplicon

can be found in [9]. Since the RhCMV inoculum consisted of three different strains (UCD52,

UCD59, and 180.92), we previously confirmed the absence of primer bias against these strains

[9] For each of a given sample's two amplified loci, our goal was to process two technical

replicates. Several samples, however, only had a single successfully sequenced replicate, while

other samples had more than two successfully sequenced replicates (**Table S1, S2**). As described

previously [9], each replicate sample was independently PCR-amplified and sequenced following

library preparation. Replicates from samples with low viral load were amplified using a nested

PCR approach. All replicates were sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq platform, using paired end

reads of 300 bases.

To identify viral haplotypes and quantify their frequencies, we first used PEAR [29] to

reconstruct (for each available technical replicate) the targeted locus by merging the paired-end

reads corresponding to each sequenced fragment. The fused reads were then filtered using the

extractor tool from the SeekDeep pipeline [30], which filters sequences according to their length,

overall quality scores, and presence of primer sequences. Haplotype reconstruction for a given

technical replicate was performed on the filtered sequences using the *qluster* tool from SeekDeep,

which performs an iterative process of removing spurious, low abundance sequence groups by

adding them to more abundant, genetically similar sequence groups when the genetic mismatch

between groups occurs at nucleotide positions with low quality.

To obtain a set of haplotypes and their frequencies for a given sample, we combined

identified haplotypes across technical replicates. Specifically, for a haplotype to be considered

present in a sample, we required it to be detected in both sample replicates. Haplotypes that did

not meet this criterion were merged with their genetically-closest haplotype in the sample, and

the count of this genetically closest haplotype in the sample was increased accordingly. When

only a single replicate was available, we could not perform this step and therefore kept all

identified haplotypes present in the single available replicate. When more than two technical

replicates were available, we restricted our analyses to the two replicates that were the most

similar to one another genetically, based on correlation of haplotype frequencies (see below).

Quality assurance and error reduction in sequencing data

We performed additional tests and required additional criteria to be met to ensure the

quality of each sample that would undergo subsequent analysis. First, to reduce the number of

spurious haplotypes in a given sample, we set a frequency threshold that sample haplotypes were

required to exceed. This threshold was established as 0.436% based on analysis of plasmid

controls. Specifically, we constructed two synthetic plasmids, one containing the *gB* gene and the

other containing the *gL* gene. Two technical replicates from each plasmid were sequenced using

the same protocol as for the RhCMV samples. Because a single haplotype should be present in

these plasmid control populations, any shared low-frequency haplotype is likely a product of PCR

amplification error or sequencing error. We found 19 minor haplotypes in the *gB* plasmid control

sample after merging technical replicates. These haplotypes ranged in frequency from 0.01% to

0.59% (**Figure S1**). We found 29 minor haplotypes in the *gL* plasmid control sample after merging

technical replicates. These haplotypes ranged in frequency from 0.03% to 0.42% (**Figure S1**).

Our chosen frequency threshold of 0.436% was set at the 0.95 quantile of the combined minor

haplotype distributions from the *gB* and *gL* plasmids.

As a second quality assurance step, we performed chimera detection on the haplotypes

in each merged sample. A haplotype was classified as a chimera if there was a combination of

partial alignments to two observed (and higher frequency) haplotypes in the same sample.

Detected chimeras were discarded. These chimeras contributed to only a small fraction of the

total reads in each sample (ranging from 1.95% to 7.82% of the reads across all samples).

As a third quality assurance step, we restricted our analysis to those samples that had a

Pearson correlation score exceeding 0.70 between the frequencies of the shared haplotypes

across technical replicates on the log10 scale. For those samples with only a single technical

replicate, we could not perform this step and instead included the sample in our analysis only if

the read count exceeded 5000.

Table S1 shows the final set of maternal tissue and amniotic fluid samples that were

included in our analyses, for both the *gB* and the *gL* loci. **Table S2** shows the set of samples from

the maternal-fetal interface (other than the amniotic fluid samples) and from fetal samples that

were included in our analyses. In addition to these samples, the genetic composition of the

inoculum was analyzed. Each of the three viral stocks comprising the inoculum (UCD52, UCD59,

180.92) was independently sequenced. Two successfully sequenced replicates were available

for each of the three stock samples.

Strain classification and nucleotide diversity calculations.

Each identified haplotype in a sample was classified as belonging to one of the three

strains that comprised the inoculum (UCD52, UCD59, or 180.92) based on its genetic distance to

the reference sequences of these three strains. The reference sequences of the targeted *gB* and

gL regions were obtained from [27] for strains UCD52 and UCD59 and from [26]. for strain 180.92.

Nucleotide diversity π present in a sample was calculated for each strain independently using the

commonly used Nei-Gojobori equation, as described in [31]. All identified haplotypes, across all

sequenced samples, are listed in the **Appendix S3 and Appendix S4**. The frequencies of these

haplotypes in each of the sequenced samples, including the inoculum, are given in the **Appendix**

S1 and Appendix S2.

Statistical analysis and software

Data processing, analysis, and visualization were performed in R. Pairwise comparisons

between groups were performed using non-parametric tests as indicated. For the network

visualization of haplotypes, we employed the R package *RCy3* version 1.2.0 that interfaces R 3.4

with Cytoscape.

Data and code availability

Sequencing data in fastq format from all the samples is available in SRA under the Bioproject

PRJNA386504. Primer sequences for the gB and gL regions can be found in [9]. All the R codes

required for this study are available on GitHub: dverac/SNAPP.

Results

Maternal viral load dynamics, congenital transmission, and strain dominance.

As previously described [9], dams in the high-potency HIG pretreatment group had reduced peak viral loads in maternal plasma relative to dams in the control group following primary maternal infection (**Figure S2A**). Viral kinetics in the saliva and urine were also delayed in the high-potency pretreatment group compared to the control group (**Figure S2C,D**) [9]. Interestingly, and as previously noted [9], only dams with a peak plasma viral load exceeding 5.0 $\log_{10}$ viral copies/mL transmitted the virus to the amniotic fluid compartment. This included all 5 dams in the control group, 2 out of 3 dams in the standard pretreatment group, but none of the 3 dams in the high-potency pretreatment group. Viral dynamics in the amniotic fluid, when present, did not appear to differ between the control group monkeys and the standard HIG pretreatment group monkeys (**Figure S2B**).

Of the three viral strains used in the RhCMV inoculum, UCD52 became dominant in the overwhelming majority of tissue compartments, regardless of pretreatment group status (**Figure S3**) [9]. The single exception to this, which was supported by both the *gB* and *gL* loci, was a week 1 plasma sample from a dam from the control group (C1) in which UCD59 haplotypes were the most abundant. Given the dominance of the UCD52 strain in the overwhelming majority of samples, we focused our remaining analyses on haplotypes that were classified as belonging to the dominant UC52 strain.

Minor RhCMV haplotypes and levels of genetic diversity during acute maternal infection.

Across the majority of analyzed samples, we found that the dominant *in vivo* UCD52 haplotype was the canonical UCD52 reference haplotype of the viral inoculum. This was the case both for the *gB* locus and the *gL* locus, and across all groups and compartments studied.

Our analysis of amplified sequences from the *gB* locus identified a large number of minor haplotypes in maternal and fetal compartments that differed from the canonical UCD52 *gB*

haplotype by typically only a single nucleotide (**Figure 1**, **Figures S4-S11**). These minor

haplotypes ranged in frequency from just above the sequencing error cut-off frequency of 0.436%

up to 43.27%, with a median frequency of 0.80%. Maternal samples differed in the number of

identified *gB* haplotypes they contained, ranging from 1 to 33, with a median of 5 haplotypes per

sample. The number of haplotypes identified in a sample was not positively correlated with the

sample's viral load (**Figure S12**), indicating that the numbers of observed haplotypes were not

restricted by sample viral load. Given our constrained cut-off for haplotypes detection, these minor

haplotypes are potentially produced *de novo* as RhCMV spreads within each monkey. Within

individual dams, we observed that some of the minor haplotypes were shared across timepoints

from the same compartment and/or across compartments (**Figure 1**, **Figures S4-S11**). This

finding indicates that some of these minor haplotypes persist over a timespan of weeks in a given

compartment and that some of these minor haplotypes are likely transmitted across anatomic

compartments. Of the minor haplotypes that were shared across compartments, most were

shared between the plasma and one other compartment (**Figure 1**, **Figure 2**, **Figures S4-S11**).

This pattern may reflect plasma being a source of viral haplotypes for other compartments;

alternatively, it may simply be due to a larger number of plasma samples being successfully

sequenced relative to those from other compartments (**Table S1**). Interestingly, in 6 out of the 8

monkeys that had both urine and saliva sequences available, there were also minor *gB*

haplotypes that appeared to be shared exclusively between urine and saliva samples. These

haplotypes were generally found first in urine and then in a later week in the saliva, suggesting

potential oral auto-inoculation from virus shed in urine.

To assess whether the number of identified *gB* haplotypes differed by pretreatment group,

we calculated the median number of minor UCD52 haplotypes in each available tissue for each

of the 11 dams. We found no significant differences in the median number of minor *gB* haplotypes

by tissue across any pair of pretreatment groups (all Mann-Whitney *U* tests > 0.1; **Figure 3A**). To

determine whether certain tissues tended to allow more non-synonymous variation than other

tissues, or whether the extent of nonsynonymous variation differed by pretreatment group, we

further calculated the proportion of minor *gB* haplotypes that differed from the canonical haplotype

by a nonsynonymous mutation, by tissue and monkey. No major differences were found between

tissues or between pretreatment groups (for data on haplotypes, see **Appendix S1**).

The UCD52 haplotype patterns observed using the *gL* locus are consistent with those at

the *gB* locus. Specifically, minor *gL* haplotypes generally differed from one of the two dominant

gL haplotypes present in the inoculum by a single nucleotide (**Figure S13-S22**). Similar to the

frequencies observed for *gB* haplotypes, minor *gL* haplotypes were present at frequencies as low

as 0.44% and up to 48.16%, with a median frequency of 1.05%. Samples differed in the number

of identified *gL* haplotypes they contained, ranging from 2 to 29 with a median of 6 haplotypes per

sample. Again, no correlation was found between the number of haplotypes identified in a sample

and the sample's viral load (**Figure S23**). Some of the identified minor *gL* haplotypes appeared

to persist within the same tissue over time, and some were shared across tissue compartments.

Similar to our findings at the *gB* locus, most of the minor haplotypes that were shared across

compartments were shared between the plasma and one other compartment (**Figure S24**). Minor

gL haplotypes shared between urine and saliva compartments again suggested auto-inoculation.

Finally, consistent with the findings from the *gB* locus, the median number of *gL* minor haplotypes

observed in any tissue did not differ between pretreatment groups (**Figure 3**). We again found

no significant differences between tissues or pretreatment groups in the proportion of minor *gL*

haplotypes that were nonsynonymous (for data on haplotypes, see **Appendix S2**), consistent with

the lack of pattern at the *gB* locus.

We next assessed whether HIG pretreatment had an impact on RhCMV genetic diversity,

as measured by pairwise nucleotide diversity π for each sample's UCD52 viral population. Levels

of viral genetic diversity varied significantly between monkeys, compartments, and across weeks

(**Figure 4** for *gB*, **Figure S25** for *gL*). Despite this variation, median levels of *gB* viral genetic

diversity did not differ by pretreatment group for any tissue (all Mann-Whitney U tests > 0.1)

besides the amniotic fluid. In this compartment, median levels of *gB* viral genetic diversity

appeared to be slightly higher in standard pretreatment group monkeys than in control animals

(Mann-Whitney U test, *p* = 0.05). Median levels of *gL* viral genetic diversity did not differ by

pretreatment group for any tissue (all Mann-Whitney U tests > 0.1; **Figure S25**).

Genetic diversity and compartmentalization of maternal RhCMV variants identified in placenta

and amniotic fluid

We next sought to determine the extent to which minor UCD52 haplotypes were shared

between maternal compartments and compartments comprising the maternal-fetal interface

(amniotic fluid and placental tissues). As reported above, we found that some minor *gB* and *gL*

UCD52 haplotypes were shared between maternal plasma samples and amniotic fluid samples

(**Figures 1** and **2**; **Appendix S1** and **Appendix S2**). Similarly, some of the minor *gB* and *gL*

UCD52 haplotypes found in placental tissue samples were also present in maternal plasma

samples (**Figure 2**). Specifically, between placental tissues and plasma samples, we observed 6

shared minor *gL* haplotypes in C4 (**Figure S15**), 3 shared minor *gB* haplotypes in S2 (**Figure 1**),

1 shared minor *gL* haplotype in S2 (**Figure** S18), and 1 shared minor *gB* haplotype in S3 (**Figure**

S9). As these minor shared haplotypes are mostly present at marginal frequencies in maternal

tissues (median frequency in plasma for shared haplotypes: 1.28%, minimum 0.47%, in gB, S2;

maximum 24.05% in gL, S2) (**Appendix S1** and **Appendix S2**), the bottleneck between mother

and fetus is likely relatively large. Interestingly, we observed similar or larger number of minor *gB*

and *gL* UCD52 haplotypes in amniotic fluid samples compared to placental tissues (**Figure 2**,

Figure S24; **Appendix S1** and **Appendix S2**), indicating that *de novo* viral mutations may occur

in the fetus and subsequently be shed into the amniotic fluid. Interestingly, in the one case in

which placental plasma was available for analysis (dam S2 in **Figure 1**; **Figure S18**), we found

considerably more minor haplotypes in both *gB* and *gL* gene regions in this sample compared

with placental tissue samples and many of these minor haplotypes were not observed in maternal

plasma samples.

Genetic diversity and compartmentalization of fetal RhCMV variants.

Congenital infection was confirmed in two of three dams in the standard pretreatment

group and in all five control dams. Yet, all five control dams experienced fetal loss within 2-3

weeks of maternal infection and fetal tissues were often not recovered. In standard pretreatment

group dam S3, nearly all the fetal tissues harvested at 6 weeks post-RhCMV infection tested

positive for RhCMV, including fetal lung, brain, kidney, spleen, heart, placenta, amniotic fluid, and

amniotic membrane. Similar to our observation in the maternal tissue compartments, a single

major UCD52 haplotype (the canonical reference haplotype) was present in all fetal tissue

samples. Multiple minor UCD52 haplotypes were also detected in these samples (**Figure S9**;

Figure 5). Intriguingly, a second, minor haplotype was found in each of the fetal tissues, present

at frequencies ≤1%. This haplotype was also observed in one of the paired dam's three amniotic

fluid samples (week 3, frequency of 0.8%), placenta (frequency of 0.85%), and in two of the paired

dam's plasma samples (at weeks 3 and 6; frequencies of 0.58% and 0.66%, respectively) (**Figure**

5). Of the remaining 21 minor UCD52 haplotypes in fetal tissues, 4 were also present in amniotic

fluid samples and 5 in plasma samples of paired dam S3 (**Figure 5**). Plasma haplotypes detected

as late as weeks 5 and 6 post-inoculation contribute to those shared haplotypes. In comparison

to the 10 minor haplotypes shared between fetal tissues and maternal plasma/amniotic fluid

samples from the paired dam S3, only 0-5 minor haplotypes were shared between the fetal tissues

and non-paired dams. We then calculated pairwise genetic diversity π from each available fetal

tissue. We observed lower diversity in the fetal tissues compared to that in both the amniotic fluid

(p = 0.025) and the plasma at late weeks post-infection (Weeks 4 to 6, p = 0.095). We further

observed higher diversity in the fetal tissues compared to that in plasma during the first three

weeks post-infection (Weeks 1 to 3, p = 0.024). These observations together suggest that the

maternal viral population contributes to the viral diversity in the fetus and that congenital

transmission may be subject to a wide and potentially continuous bottleneck, through which

various minor haplotypes present in maternal plasma or amniotic fluid can be transmitted to the

fetus.

Discussion

In this study, we characterized the population genetics of RhCMV in a nonhuman primate model of congenital CMV transmission and quantified the impact of preexisting maternal virus-specific antibodies on the viral population at the maternal-fetal interface. Unique aspects of this study include serial sampling from multiple maternal compartments over the time period of an acute RhCMV infection as well as the sequencing of RhCMV-infected tissues at the maternal-fetal interface and, in one instance, from fetal tissues. We found that all maternal and fetal tissue samples (excepting one) were dominated by UCD52. Furthermore, there was a trend towards higher UCD52 haplotype frequencies in the plasma of high-potency pretreatment group monkeys compared to those in the control group monkeys and standard pretreatment group monkeys. The reason for the dominance of this singular strain across HIG pretreatment groups is unclear, although it likely indicates that the UCD52 strain is more genetically fit for *in vivo* replication than either of the co-inoculated variants UCD59 and 180.92. Given the dominance of UCD52 in all groups and tissues, we focused subsequent analyses on characterizing the genetic variation of this strain in available samples. In the majority of samples from maternal tissues, maternal-fetal interface, and fetal tissues, the major *gB* and *gL* UCD52 variant detected was the canonical UCD52 reference sequence. However, most samples also had low-frequency (minor) UCD52 haplotypes present, with some of these minor haplotypes persisting over time and occasionally shared between sampled compartments.

In our analyses, despite high-potency pretreatment monkeys having significantly lower peak viral loads compared to standard and control group monkeys, we found no strong evidence for a relationship between HIG pretreatment and maternal plasma UCD52 haplotype number or nucleotide diversity. Together, these results suggest that preexisting antibodies can reduce overall viral load but do not appear to restrict replication of specific UCD52 viral variants or limit viral diversity. We also found no significant differences in saliva or urine virus haplotype number or nucleotide diversity between the three groups at either *gB* or *gL* loci. While we previously

assessed and reported lower *maximum* plasma viral diversity levels in monkeys pretreated with

HIG compared to the control group [9], here, we included a more in-depth analysis across

timepoints to report the *median* viral diversity levels across monkeys, and did not find any lasting

impact of preexisting antibodies on maternal viral diversity.

Our identification of shared, minor UCD52 haplotypes between maternal plasma samples,

amniotic fluid, placental tissue, and fetal tissues is consistent with previous studies investigating

the population genetics of HCMV in newborns [12,32], which together point towards a loose

vertical transmission bottleneck between mother and fetus. While previous studies have

estimated transmission bottleneck sizes for HCMV and other viruses, in this study we were unable

to quantify transmission bottleneck sizes between mother and fetus due to: 1) low levels of

haplotype diversity and 2) haplotype frequencies near the limit of detection. Nevertheless, based

on the identification of minor UCD52 haplotypes across maternal, maternal-fetal interface, and

fetal tissues, our analysis suggests that diversity in a given tissue is generated through a

combination of multiple viral haplotypes being passed to that compartment, along with *de novo*,

local generation of viral mutations.

Recently, Sackman and coauthors proposed a model for congenital human CMV (HCMV)

transmission that involves two successive transmission events: maternal virus infection of

placental tissues followed by continued transmission of the placental viral population to fetal

circulation [33]. This model is supported by observations of the sustained presence of HCMV in

the placenta and umbilical cord, which would potentially allow for transmission between placental

tissues and fetal tissues over a longer time interval [34]. Our results provide further support for

this proposed model. Specifically, in our analysis of fetal samples from dam S3, we identified

minor haplotypes in fetal tissues that were also present in maternal plasma (**Figure S9**).

Furthermore, we observed that multiple haplotypes in this dam's amniotic fluid were also observed

in maternal plasma and other maternal compartments. Since amniotic fluid haplotypes derive from

both intrauterine and fetal viral populations, this finding again provides support for a loose

transmission bottleneck from mother to fetus.

Our conclusions are limited by multiple factors. First, as is common for experimental

monkey challenge studies and particular to studies of a selective colony of RhCMV seronegative

breeding animals, we are limited by the small number of animals in each group and by sample

availability. PCR amplification failure further limited the number of samples available for analysis

(**Table S1**). Second, this study did not employ full genome sequencing, but instead sequenced

only two gene regions (*gB* and *gL*) to allow for studies of virus population in samples with low viral

load. Subsequently, any effect of antibody selection over the non-sequenced regions of gB and

gL or over other viral proteins will not be observed. Third, because RhCMV is a DNA virus with a

low mutation rate, our conclusions were limited by the low levels of genetic diversity observed in

the samples. We also used highly conservative haplotype-calling and error reduction methods to

ensure that the haplotypes we identified were not false positives. As a result, however, we likely

excluded many true haplotypes, which reduced the diversity levels we characterized and limited

our ability to make inferences regarding transmission bottleneck sizes. Finally, given that our

animal model of congenital CMV transmission involves maternal CD4$^+$ T cell depletion, which

results in consistent placental transmission, our results might not be applicable to

immunocompetent individuals.

Despite these limitations, however, we were able to conclude that minor haplotypes

persisted over time within single maternal tissue compartments and that these minor haplotypes

were occasionally shared between anatomic compartments. Moreover, there was not a strict

bottleneck for the viral major and minor haplotypes that appeared in placenta, amniotic fluid, and

fetal tissues. All these observations are consistent with those from human congenital CMV cases

[12,15,35–37]. Patterns of viral diversity within and across compartments, however, did not

appear to differ between HIG pretreatment groups. These findings indicate that, although potently-

neutralizing CMV-specific antibodies can effectively reduce viral population size and prevent

congenital transmission [9], preexisting HIG had limited impact on the genetic makeup of the

maternal RhCMV populations or transmitted variants. These findings are interesting given the

growing evidence that preexisting HCMV-specific antibodies can reduce the incidence and

severity of congenital HCMV [9,38–40] , perhaps suggesting a model wherein congenital virus

transmission is dependent upon the overall quantity of maternal systemically-circulating virus

rather than antibody selection of specific variants at the maternal-fetal interface. Further studies,

ideally starting with an inoculum containing higher levels of viral diversity, may be required to

provide a deeper understanding of the extent of antibody-mediated immune-pressure on CMV

populations, as well as the effect of antibodies on viral transmission dynamics across the

placenta. Results from these studies will be critical to more effectively anticipate the effect of CMV

vaccines and therapeutic interventions on congenital CMV transmission potential and the

propensity for this virus to evolutionarily circumvent these interventions.

Author contributions

A.K., K.K. and S.R.P. designed research; C.S.N., D.T. and D.V.C. performed research; D.V.C.

analyzed data; P.A.B. and K.K. contributed analytic tools/expertise/reagents; and D.V.C, C.S.N.,

K.K. and S.R.P. wrote the paper.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by NIH/NICHD Director's New Innovator grant to S.R.P

(DP2HD075699), NIH/NIAID grants to S.R.P. and K.K. (R21AI136556), fellowship grant to C.S.N

(F30HD089577), and NIH P51 OD011104 to the Tulane National Primate Research Center. The

funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, decision to publish, or the

preparation of this manuscript. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not

necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

References

1.   Cannon MJ, Schmidt D, Hyde TB. Review of cytomegalovirus seroprevalence and

demographic characteristics associated with infection. Rev Med Virol. 2010;20: 202–213.

doi:10.1002/rmv.655

2.   Boppana SB, Ross SA, Fowler KB. Congenital Cytomegalovirus Infection: Clinical

Outcome. Clin Infect Dis. 2013;57: S178–S181. doi:10.1093/cid/cit629

3.   Daikos GL, Pulido J, Kathpalia SB, Jackson GG. Intravenous and intraocular ganciclovir

for CMV retinitis in patients with AIDS or chemotherapeutic immunosuppression. Br J

Ophthalmol. 1988;72: 521–524. doi:10.1136/bjo.72.7.521

4.   Fisher RA. Cytomegalovirus infection and disease in the new era of immunosuppression

following solid organ transplantation. Transpl Infect Dis. 2009;11: 195–202.

doi:10.1111/j.1399-3062.2009.00372.x

5.   Pereira L, Maidji E, McDonagh S, Tabata T. Insights into viral transmission at the uterine-

placental interface. Trends Microbiol. 2005;13: 164–174. doi:10.1016/j.tim.2005.02.009

6.   Pereira L, Maidji E, McDonagh S, Genbacev O, Fisher S. Human cytomegalovirus

transmission from the uterus to the placenta correlates with the presence of pathogenic

bacteria and maternal immunity. J Virol. 2003;77: 13301–13314.

doi:10.1128/JVI.77.24.13301-13314.2003

7.   Weisblum Y, Panet A, Haimov-Kochman R, Wolf DG. Models of vertical cytomegalovirus

(CMV) transmission and pathogenesis. Semin Immunopathol. 2014;36: 615–625.

doi:10.1007/s00281-014-0449-1

8.   Revello MG, Gerna G. Pathogenesis and prenatal diagnosis of human cytomegalovirus

infection. J Clin Virol. 2004;29: 71–83. doi:10.1016/j.jcv.2003.09.012

9.   Nelson CS, Cruz DV, Tran D, Bialas KM, Stamper L, Wu H, et al. Preexisting antibodies

can protect against congenital cytomegalovirus infection in monkeys. JCI Insight. 2017;2.

doi:10.1172/jci.insight.94002

10.   Delforge M-L, Costa E, Brancart F, Goldman D, Montesinos I, Zaytouni S, et al. Presence

of Cytomegalovirus in urine and blood of pregnant women with primary infection might be

associated with fetal infection. J Clin Virol. 2017;90: 14–17.

doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcv.2017.03.004

11.   Gabrielli L, Bonasoni MP, Lazzarotto T, Lega S, Santini D, Foschini MP, et al. Histological

findings in foetuses congenitally infected by cytomegalovirus. J Clin Virol. 2009;46.

doi:10.1016/j.jcv.2009.09.026

12.   Renzette N, Bhattacharjee B, Jensen JD, Gibson L, Kowalik TF. Extensive Genome-Wide

Variability of Human Cytomegalovirus in Congenitally Infected Infants. PLoS Pathog.

2011;7. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1001344

13.   Renzette N, Gibson L, Bhattacharjee B, Fisher D, Schleiss MR, Jensen JD, et al. Rapid

Intrahost Evolution of Human Cytomegalovirus Is Shaped by Demography and Positive

Selection. PLoS Genet. 2013; doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003735

14.   Lassalle F, Depledge DP, Reeves MB, Brown AC, Christiansen MT, Tutill HJ, et al.

Islands of linkage in an ocean of pervasive recombination reveals two-speed evolution of

human cytomegalovirus genomes. Virus Evol. 2016;2: vew017. doi:10.1093/ve/vew017

15.   Renzette N, Pokalyuk C, Gibson L, Bhattacharjee B, Schleiss MR, Hamprecht K, et al.

Limits and patterns of cytomegalovirus genomic diversity in humans. Proc Natl Acad Sci.

2015;112: E4120–E4128. doi:10.1073/pnas.1501880112

16.   Cudini J, Roy S, Houldcroft CJ, Bryant JM, Depledge DP, Tutill H, et al. Human

cytomegalovirus haplotype reconstruction reveals high diversity due to superinfection and

evidence of within-host recombination. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2019;116: 201818130.

doi:10.1073/pnas.1818130116

17.   Gorzer I, Guelly C, Trajanoski S, Puchhammer-Stockl E. Deep Sequencing Reveals

Highly Complex Dynamics of Human Cytomegalovirus Genotypes in Transplant Patients

over Time. J Virol. 2010;84: 7195–7203. doi:10.1128/JVI.00475-10

18.   Ross SA, Novak Z, Pati S, Patro RK, Blumenthal J, Danthuluri VR, et al. Mixed infection

565   and strain diversity in congenital cytomegalovirus infection. J Infect Dis. 2011;204: 1003–

566   1007. doi:10.1093/infdis/jir457

567   19.   McGeoch DJ, Cook S, Dolan A, Jamieson FE, Telford EA. Molecular phylogeny and

568   evolutionary timescale for the family of mammalian herpesviruses. J Mol Biol. 1995;247:

569   443–58. doi:10.1006/jmbi.1995.0152

570   20.   Deere JD, Barry PA. Using the nonhuman primate model of HCMV to guide vaccine

571   development. Viruses. 2014;6: 1483–1501. doi:10.3390/v6041483

572   21.   Itell HL, Kaur A, Deere JD, Barry PA, Permar SR. Rhesus monkeys for a nonhuman

573   primate model of cytomegalovirus infections. Curr Opin Virol. The Authors; 2017;25: 126–

574   133. doi:10.1016/j.coviro.2017.08.005

575   22.   dela Pena MG, Strelow L, Barry PA, Abel K. Use of specific-pathogen-free (SPF) rhesus

576   macaques to better model oral pediatric cytomegalovirus infection. J Med Primatol.

577   2012;41: 225–229. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0684.2012.00541.x

578   23.   Bialas KM, Tanaka T, Tran D, Varner V, Cisneros De La Rosa E, Chiuppesi F, et al.

579   Maternal CD4+ T cells protect against severe congenital cytomegalovirus disease in a

580   novel nonhuman primate model of placental cytomegalovirus transmission. Proc Natl

581   Acad Sci U S A. 2015;112: 13645–50. doi:10.1073/pnas.1511526112

582   24.   Hansen SG, Strelow LI, Franchi DC, David G, Wong SW, Anders DG. Complete

583   Sequence and Genomic Analysis of Rhesus Cytomegalovirus Complete Sequence and

584   Genomic Analysis of Rhesus Cytomegalovirus. J Virol. 2003;77: 6620–6636.

585   doi:10.1128/JVI.77.12.6620

586   25.   Assaf BT, Mansfield KG, Strelow L, Westmoreland S V., Barry PA, Kaur A. Limited

587   Dissemination and Shedding of the UL128 Complex-Intact, UL/b'-Defective Rhesus

588   Cytomegalovirus Strain 180.92. J Virol. 2014;88: 9310–9320. doi:10.1128/JVI.00162-14

589   26.   Rivailler P, Kaur A, Johnson RP, Wang F. Genomic sequence of rhesus cytomegalovirus

590   180.92: insights into the coding potential of rhesus cytomegalovirus. J Virol. 2006;80:

591   4179–82. doi:10.1128/JVI.80.8.4179-4182.2006

592 27. Oxford KL, Strelow L, Yue Y, Chang WLW, Schmidt KA, Diamond DJ, et al. Open

593   Reading Frames Carried on UL/b' Are Implicated in Shedding and Horizontal

594   Transmission of Rhesus Cytomegalovirus in Rhesus Monkeys. J Virol. 2011;85: 5105–

595   5114. doi:10.1128/JVI.02631-10

596 28. National Research Council of the National Academies. Guide for the care and use of

597   laboratory animals [Internet]. Eighth edi. Washington, D.C.: National Academies press;

598   2011. doi:10.1163/1573-3912_islam_DUM_3825

599 29. Zhang J, Kobert K, Flouri T, Stamatakis A. PEAR: a fast and accurate Illumina Paired-

600   End reAd mergeR. Bioinformatics. Oxford University Press; 2014;30: 614.

601   doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btt593

602 30. Hathaway NJ, Parobek CM, Juliano JJ, Bailey JA. SeekDeep: Single-base resolution de

603   novo clustering for amplicon deep sequencing. Nucleic Acids Res. Oxford University

604   Press; 2018;46: 1–13. doi:10.1093/nar/gkx1201

605 31. Nelson CW, Hughes AL. Within-host nucleotide diversity of virus populations: Insights

606   from next-generation sequencing. Infect Genet Evol. Elsevier B.V.; 2015;30: 1–7.

607   doi:10.1016/j.meegid.2014.11.026

608 32. Renzette N, Pfeifer SP, Matuszewski S, Kowalik TF, Jensen JD. On the Analysis of

609   Intrahost and Interhost Viral Populations: Human Cytomegalovirus as a Case Study of

610   Pitfalls and Expectations. J Virol. 2017;91: e01976-16. doi:10.1128/JVI.01976-16

611 33. Sackman A, Pfeifer S, Kowalik TF, Jensen J. On the Demographic and Selective Forces

612   Shaping Patterns of Human Cytomegalovirus Variation within Hosts. Pathogens. 2018;7:

613   16. doi:10.3390/pathogens7010016

614 34. Pereira L, Petitt M, Fong A, Tsuge M, Tabata T, Fang-Hoonver J, et al. Intrauterine

615   growth restriction caused by underlying congenital cytomegalovirus infection. J Infect Dis.

2014;209: 1573–1584. doi:10.1093/infdis/jiu019

35. Pokalyuk C, Renzette N, Irwin KK, Pfeifer SP, Gibson L, Britt WJ, et al. Characterizing

human cytomegalovirus reinfection in congenitally infected infants: an evolutionary

perspective. Mol Ecol. 2017;26: 1980–1990. doi:10.1111/mec.13953

36. Renzette N, Gibson L, Jensen JD, Kowalik TF. Human cytomegalovirus intrahost

evolution - A new avenue for understanding and controlling herpesvirus infections. Curr

Opin Virol. Elsevier B.V.; 2014;8: 109–115. doi:10.1016/j.coviro.2014.08.001

37. Kadambari S, Atkinson C, Luck S, Macartney M, Conibear T, Harrison I, et al.

Characterising Variation in Five Genetic Loci of Cytomegalovirus During Treatment for

Congenital Infection. J Med Virol. 2017;89: 503–507. doi:10.1002/jmv.24654

38. Choi KY, Root M, McGregor A. A Novel Non-Replication-Competent Cytomegalovirus

Capsid Mutant Vaccine Strategy Is Effective in Reducing Congenital Infection. J Virol.

2016;90: 7902–7919. doi:10.1128/JVI.00283-16

39. Pass RF, Zhang C, Evans A, Simpson T, Andrews W, Huang ML, et al. Vaccine

prevention of maternal cytomegalovirus infection. Obstet Gynecol Surv. 2009;64: 502–

504. doi:10.1097/01.ogx.0000356753.12837.a8

40. Bernstein DI, Munoz FM, Callahan ST, Rupp R, Wootton SH, Edwards KM, et al. Safety

and efficacy of a cytomegalovirus glycoprotein B (gB) vaccine in adolescent girls: A

randomized clinical trial. Vaccine. Elsevier Ltd; 2016;34: 313–319.

doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2015.11.056

 Figure legends

Figure 1. UCD52 haplotype networks for the *gB* locus across sampled tissues from three

representative monkeys. Haplotype networks are shown for one control group dam (A), one

standard pretreatment group dam (B), and one high-potency pretreatment group dam (C). Edges

connect haplotypes that differ by a single nucleotide, with green edges depicting synonymous

mutations and red edges depicting nonsynonymous mutations. Node sizes scale with haplotype

relative frequency. Samples are labeled by collection week. Blue lines connect shared haplotypes

across samples.

Figure 2. The number of UCD52 minor *gB* haplotypes that are either shared or unique

across compartments, by monkey. Here, the set of minor haplotypes for a given compartment

includes all timepoint samples from that compartment. Patterns of minor haplotype sharing for (A)

control group monkeys, (B) standard pretreatment group monkeys, and (C) high-potency

pretreatment group monkeys. Compartments are color-coded as in Figure 1.

Figure 3. Median number of minor haplotypes, by locus, tissue, and pretreatment group.

The left column shows the median number of *gB* minor haplotypes; the right column shows the

median number of *gL* minor haplotypes. Rows show tissues: plasma, amniotic fluid, saliva, and

urine. Marker symbols correspond with those in Figure S2.

Figure 4. Pairwise genetic diversity π over time, by tissue, for the *gB* locus. Marker symbols

correspond with those in Figure S2.

Figure 5. **Minor UCD52 haplotypes found in fetal tissues, and their presence in maternal**

compartments of dam S3. Each row depicts a haplotype found in at least one fetal tissue

(purple), harvested at 6 weeks post-RhCMV infection. Rows are ordered from highest frequency

haplotype (bottom row) to lowest frequency haplotype (top row).

Supplementary figures legends

Figure S1. The use of synthetic plasmids to define a frequency threshold to exclude spurious

haplotypes from samples. Minor haplotypes were identified from the synthetic plasmid control

samples as described in the Methods section, for both the *gB* locus and the *gL* locus. The figure

shows, for each locus, the fraction of identified minor haplotypes (y-axis) that fall at the haplotype

frequency shown on the x-axis or below. The vertical red line shows the frequency threshold of

0.436% that was used to call minor haplotypes.

Figure S2. Viral load dynamics measured in dams experimentally infected with RhCMV.

Virus was measured in (A) plasma, (B) amniotic fluid, (C) saliva, and (D) urine. Monkeys are color-

coded according to pretreatment group: control (black), standard pretreatment group (red), and

high-potency pretreatment group (blue). Monkey ID numbers correspond to those provided in

Table S1. Virus was detected in the plasma, saliva, and urine of all 11 monkeys. Virus was only

detected in the amniotic fluid of the 5 control group monkeys and in 2 of the 3 standard HIG group

monkeys. Viral load levels shown here are average values when more than one measurement

was available (Methods).

Figure S3. **Strain composition of the RhCMV population in various maternal compartments**

over time. The proportion of the RhCMV population belonging to strain UCD52 is shown for

maternal plasma, saliva, and urine. Strain frequencies were calculated for the *gB* locus (left

column) and for the *gL* locus (right column). Green squares in the plasma subplots denote the

fraction of the viral inoculum that was UCD52 (25%).

Figure S4 – S11. Haplotype networks for the *gB* locus across sampled tissues from the

remaining 8 monkeys in the study. Colorcoding of nodes and edges are as in Figure 1, which

show haplotype networks for C4, S2, and HP3. Figures S4-S7 are for monkeys C1, C2, C3, C5,

respectively. Figures S8-9 are for monkeys S1 and S3 respectively. Figures S10-S11 are for

monkeys HP1 and HP2, respectively.

Figure S12. **The relationship between viral load and the number of *gB* haplotypes found in**

each sample. The correlation between viral load and the number of *gB* haplotypes was not

significantly positive for any of the four analyzed compartments (plasma, amniotic fluid, saliva,

urine).

Figure S13 – S22. Haplotype networks for the *gL* locus across sampled tissues from each

of the 10 monkeys in the study that had at least one successfully sequenced *gL* sample.

Colorcoding of nodes and edges are as in Figure 1. Figures S13-S16 are for monkeys C1, C3,

C4, and C5, respectively (monkey C2 did not have a successfully sequenced *gL* sample). Figures

S17-S19 are for monkeys S1-S3 respectively. Figures S20-S22 are for monkeys HP1-HP3

respectively.

Figure S23. **The relationship between viral load and the number of *gL* haplotypes found in**

each sample. The correlation between viral load and the number of *gL* haplotypes was not

significantly positive for any of the four analyzed compartments (plasma, amniotic fluid, saliva,

urine).

Figure S24. The number of UCD52 minor *gL* haplotypes that are either shared or unique

across compartments, by monkey. Here, the set of minor haplotypes for a given compartment

includes all timepoint samples from that compartment. Patterns of minor haplotype sharing for (A)

control group monkeys, (B) standard pretreatment group monkeys, and (C) high-potency

pretreatment group monkeys. Compartments are colorcoded as in Figure 1.

Figure S25. Pairwise genetic diversity π over time, by tissue, for the *gL* locus. Marker

symbols correspond with those in Figure S2.

Supplementary tables

Table S1. Sampling times and tissues across the 11 studied dams. Dams are separated by

pretreatment group: control (C1-C5), standard (S1-S3), and high-potency (HP1-HP3). In

addition to the C1-C5, S1-S3, and HP1-HP3 identifiers, individual monkeys are identified

according to names previously used in [9] and [23]. Cells are colored according to the legend

provided. Text in the white-colored cells indicate which loci were successfully sequenced and

included in our analyses (*gB* = glycoprotein B region; *gL* = glycoprotein L region). Numbers in

the cells, when present, indicate the number of sample replicates that were available for

analysis, when not two.

Table S2. Fetal-maternal interface and fetal tissues analyzed in the study. All listed

samples had two successfully sequenced replicates.

Supplementary appendices

Appendix S1. Haplotypes information at *gB* locus. List of all unique haplotypes identified in all

the monkeys and viral stocks at the *gB* locus, including the number and type of mutations as

compared to their respective strain reference.

Appendix S2. Haplotypes information at *gL* locus. List of all unique haplotypes identified in all

the monkeys and viral stocks at the *gL* locus, including the number and type of mutations as

compared to their respective strain reference.

Appendix S3. Haplotypes per sample at *gB* locus. Table containing all the haplotypes found

in each sample at the *gB* locus. Samples are defined by the monkey ID, tissue of origin and

collection week post-RhCMV infection. Each haplotype entry includes its reference strain and

relative frequency in a given sample.

Appendix S4. Haplotypes per sample at *gL* locus. Table containing all the haplotypes found in

each sample at the *gL* locus. Samples are defined by the monkey ID, tissue of origin and collection

week post-RhCMV infection. Each haplotype entry includes its reference strain and relative

frequency in a given sample.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure S1

Figure S2

Figure S3

Figure S4

Figure S5

Figure S6

Figure S10

Figure S11

Figure S12

C1

Tissue

🟢 Inoculum

🔴 Plasma

Haplotype type

── Synonymous

── Non synonymous

── Shared

Figure S18

Figure S19

UCD52

W2

W4

W5

W6

S3

Figure S20

Figure S23

Figure S24

Pretreatment group	Monkey	Tissue	Week post-RhCMV infection										
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Control	C1 (145-97)	Plasma	gB(1),gL(4)	neither	[depleted]	[depleted]	[not taken]	neither	[not taken]	[failed PCR]	[not taken]	[low VL]	[low VL]
		Amn. fluid	[low VL]	[low VL]	gB	[low VL]	[not taken]	[low VL]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]
	C2 (174-97)	Plasma	gB(1)	neither	gB(4)	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]
		Amn. fluid	[low VL]	[not taken]	gB	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]
	C3 (369-09)	Plasma	gB,gL	gB	gB,gL	[not taken]	gB,gL	[depleted]	gB(3),gL	[failed PCR]	gB	[failed PCR]	neither
		Amn. fluid	gB,gL	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]
	C4 (GM04)	Plasma	gB	gB	gB,gL	gB,gL	gB(1)	[failed PCR]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]
		Amn. fluid	[low VL]	gB,gL	gL	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]
		Saliva	[low VL]	[low VL]	[low VL]	gL(1)	gB,gL	gB,gL	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]
		Urine	[low VL]	gB,gL	gL	gB,gL	gB,gL	gB,gL	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]
	C5 (HD79)	Plasma	gB	gB,gL	gB,gL	gB,gL	gB	gB	[failed PCR]	[failed PCR]	[failed PCR]	[failed PCR]	[failed PCR]
		Amn. fluid	[low VL]	[low VL]	gB(3),gL	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]
		Saliva	[low VL]	[low VL]	gB	[not taken]	gB,gL	gB,gL	gB,gL	gB,gL	gL	gB	[not taken]
		Urine	[low VL]	gB,gL	gL	[not taken]	gB,gL	gB,gL	[failed PCR]	gB,gL	[failed PCR]	[failed PCR]	[failed PCR]
Standard	S1 (JC65)	Plasma	neither	gB	neither	gB	gB	gB(3)	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]
		Saliva	[low VL]	[low VL]	[low VL]	[low VL]	[low VL]	gB(4),gL(4)	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]
		Urine	[low VL]	gB,gL	[failed PCR]	gB,gL	gB,gL	gB,gL	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]
	S2 (GI73)	Plasma	gB	gB(4),gL(1)	gB(3),gL(1)	gB,gL	gB,gL	gB	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]
		Amn. fluid	[low VL]	gB,gL	[low VL]	neither	gB,gL	gL	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]
		Saliva	[low VL]	[low VL]	[low VL]	[low VL]	[low VL]	gB,gL	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]
		Urine	[low VL]	gB	[low VL]	gB,gL	[failed PCR]	gB,gL	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]
	S3 (IM67)	Plasma	gB	gB(4)	gB(4)	gB	gB	gB	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]
		Amn. fluid	[low VL]	gB,gL	gB	neither	gB	gB	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]
		Saliva	[low VL]	[low VL]	[low VL]	neither	gB	gB	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]
		Urine	[low VL]	neither	gB	gB,gL	gB,gL	gB,gL	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]	[not taken]
High-potency	HP1 (JM52)	Plasma	[low VL]	gB,gL	gB(3)	gB,gL	gB	gB	[failed PCR]	[failed PCR]	[failed PCR]	[low VL]	[failed PCR]
		Saliva	[low VL]	[low VL]	[low VL]	[low VL]	[low VL]	[low VL]	[low VL]	gB	gL	gB	[low VL]
		Urine	[low VL]	[low VL]	[low VL]	gL	[low VL]	gB,gL	gB,gL	gB	gB,gL	gB,gL	gB,gL
	HP2 (HR73)	Plasma	[low VL]	neither	neither	gB	gB	gB	[failed PCR]	[failed PCR]	[failed PCR]	[failed PCR]	[failed PCR]
		Saliva	[low VL]	[low VL]	[low VL]	neither	[low VL]	[low VL]	[low VL]	gB,gL	gB,gL	gB,gL	neither
		Urine	[low VL]	[low VL]	gB,gL	[low VL]	gB,gL	gB,gL	gB,gL	gB,gL	gB,gL	gB,gL	gB,gL
	HP3 (HD82)	Plasma	[low VL]	gB	gB,gL	gB	neither	neither	[low VL]	[low VL]	[low VL]	[low VL]	[low VL]
		Saliva	[low VL]	[low VL]	[low VL]	[low VL]	neither	[low VL]	gB,gL	[failed PCR]	gB	gB,gL	[failed PCR]
		Urine	[low VL]	[low VL]	[failed PCR]	gB,gL	neither	gB,gL	gB	gB,gL	gL	gL	[failed PCR]

Legend:
- [not taken] (black) — Sample not taken
- [depleted] (purple) — Sample depleted
- [low VL] (peach) — Sample not sequenced due to low viral load
- [failed PCR] (green) — Failed PCR amplification

Pretreatment group	Monkey	Tissue	Week	Samples
Control	C4	Amn. fluid membrane	3	gB, gL
		Placenta -1	3	gB, gL
		Placenta -2	3	gB, gL
Standard	S2	Placenta - 1	6	gB, gL
		Placenta - 2	6	gB, gL
		Placenta plasma	6	gB, gL
	S3	Amn. fluid membrane	6	gB
		Placenta - 2	6	gB
		Lung	6	gB
		Brain - parietal	6	gB
		Spleen	6	gB
		Heart	6	gB
		Kidney	6	gB