Adaptive evolution of nontransitive fitness in yeast

Sean W. Buskirk[1,2], Alecia B. Rokes[1], Gregory I. Lang[1]*

[1] Department of Biological Sciences, Lehigh University, Bethlehem PA 18015.

[2] Present Address: Department of Biology, West Chester University, West Chester PA 19383.

*Correspondence: glang@lehigh.edu

Abstract

Nontransitivity – commonly illustrated by the rock-paper-scissors game – is well documented among extant species as a contributor to biodiversity. However, it is unclear if nontransitive interactions also arise by way of genealogical succession, and if so, through what mechanisms. Here we identify a nontransitive evolutionary sequence in the context of yeast experimental evolution in which a 1,000-generation evolved clone outcompetes a recent ancestor but loses in direct competition with a distant ancestor. We show that nontransitivity arises due to the combined forces of adaptation in the yeast nuclear genome and the stepwise deterioration of an intracellular virus. We show that, given the initial conditions of the experiment, this outcome likely to arise: nearly half of all populations experience multilevel selection, fixing adaptive mutations in both the nuclear and viral genomes. In contrast to conventional views of virus-host coevolution, we find no evidence that viral mutations (including loss of the virus) increase the fitness of the host. Instead, the evolutionary success of evolved viral variants results from their selective advantage over viral competitors within the context of individual cells. Our results provide the first mechanistic case-study of the adaptive evolution of nontransitivity, in which a series of adaptive replacements produce organisms that are less fit when compared to a distant genealogical ancestor.

Introduction

Adaptive evolution is a process in which selective events result in the replacement of less-fit genotypes with a more fit ones. Intuitively, a series of selective events, each improving fitness relative to the immediate predecessor, should translate into a cumulative increase in fitness relative to the ancestral state. However, whether or not this is borne out over long evolutionary time scales has long been the subject of debate (Ruse 1993, Dawkins 1997, Gould 1997, Shanahan 2000). The failure to identify broad patterns of progress over evolutionary time scales—despite clear evidence of selection acting over successive short time intervals—is what Gould referred to as "the paradox of the first tier (Gould 1985)." This paradox implies that evolution exhibits nontransitivity, a property that is best illustrated by the Penrose staircase and the Rock-Paper-Scissors game. The Penrose staircase is a visual illusion of ascending sets of stairs that form a continuous loop such that—although each step appears higher than the last—no upward movement is realized. In the Rock-Paper-Scissors game each two-way interaction has a clear winner (paper beats rock, scissors beats paper, and rock beats scissors), yet due to the nontransitivity of these two-way interactions, no clear hierarchy exists among the three.

In ecology, nontransitive interactions among extant species are well-documented as contributors to biological diversity and community structure (Kerr et al. 2002, Károlyi et al. 2005, Laird and Schamp 2006, Reichenbach et al. 2007, Menezes et al. 2019) and arise by way of resource (Sinervo and Lively 1996, Precoda et al. 2017) or interference competition (Kirkup and Riley 2004). First put forward in the 1970s (Gilpin 1975, Jackson and Buss 1975, May and Leonard 1975, Petraitis 1979), the importance of nontransitivity in ecology has garnered extensive theoretical and experimental consideration over the last half century (e.g. Sinervo and Lively 1996, Kerr et al. 2002, Allesina and Levine 2011, Rojas-Echenique and Allesina 2011, Soliveres et al. 2015, Liao et al. 2019).

What is unknown is whether nontransitive interactions arise for direct descendants along a line of genealogical succession. This is the crux of Gould's paradox and has broad implications for our understanding of evolutionary processes. For instance, if an evolved genotype is found to be less fit in

comparison to a distant ancestor, the adaptive landscape upon which the population is evolving may not

contain true fitness maxima (Barrick and Lenski 2013, Van den Bergh et al. 2018) and, more broadly,

directionality and progress may be illusory (Gould 1996). Testing the hypothesis that nontransitive

interactions arise along lines of genealogical descent, however, is not possible in natural populations

because it requires our ability to directly compete an organism against its immediate predecessor as well

as against its extinct genealogical ancestors. Fortunately, laboratory experimental evolution, in which

populations are preserved as a "frozen fossil record," affords us with the unique opportunity to test for

nontransitivity along a genealogical lineage by directly competing a given genotype against the extant as

well as the extinct.

An early study of laboratory evolution of yeast in glucose-limited chemostats appeared to

demonstrate that nontransitive interactions arise along a line of genealogical descent (Paquin and Adams

1983). However, the specific events that led to nontransitivity in this case are unknown, and it is likely the

case that the authors were measuring interactions between contemporaneous lineages in a population,

rather than individuals along a direct line of genealogical descent, as they report (see Discussion). Indeed,

adaptive diversification is common in experimental evolution due to spatial structuring (Rainey and

Travisano 1998, Frenkel et al. 2015) and metabolic diversification (Helling et al. 1987, Turner et al. 1996,

Spencer et al. 2008, Plucain et al. 2014), and is typically maintained by negative frequency-dependent

selection, in which rare genotypes are favored. Collectively this work reinforces theory and observational

evidence on the power of ecological nontransitivity as a driver and maintainer of diversity but is silent as

to whether genealogical succession can also be nontransitive.

Here we determine the sequence of events leading to the evolution of nontransitivity in a single

yeast population during a 1,000-generation evolution experiment. We show that nontransitivity arises

through multilevel selection involving both the yeast nuclear genome and the population of a vertically-

transmitted virus. Many fungi, including the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* are host to non-infectious,

double-stranded RNA "killer" viruses (Wickner 1976, Schmitt and Breinig 2002, Schmitt and Breinig

2006, Rowley 2017). Killer viruses produce a toxin that kills non-killer containing yeasts. The K1 toxin

gene contains four subunits (δ, α, γ, β), which are post-translationally processed and glycosylated to

produce an active two-subunit (α, β) secreted toxin (Bostian et al. 1983). Immunity to the toxin is

conferred by the pre-processed version of the toxin, thus requiring cells to maintain the virus for

protection. We show that nontransitivity arises due to multilevel selection: adaptation in the yeast nuclear

genome and the simultaneous stepwise deterioration of the killer virus. By expanding our study of host-

virus genome evolution to over 100 additional yeast populations, we find that multilevel selection, and

thus the potential for the evolution of nontransitive interactions, is a common occurrence given the

conditions of our evolution experiment.

Results

Evolution of nontransivity along a line of genealogical descent

Previously we evolved ~600 haploid populations of yeast asexually for 1,000 generations in rich

glucose medium (Lang et al. 2011). We characterized extensively the nuclear basis of adaptation for a

subset of these populations through whole-genome whole-population time-course sequencing (Lang et al.

2013) and/or fitness quantification of individual mutations (Buskirk et al. 2017).

For one population (BYS1-D08) we were surprised to observe that a 1,000-generation clone lost

in direct competition with a fluorescently-labeled version of the ancestor. To test the hypothesis that a

nontransitive interaction arose during the adaptive evolution of this population, we isolated individual

clones from three timepoints (Fig. 1A). We define these as Early (Generation 0), Intermediate

(Generation 335, chosen to coincide with the first clonal replacement, which fixes first three nuclear

mutations), and Late (Generation 1,000, following three additional clonal replacements, which fix ten

nuclear mutations). We performed pairwise competition experiments between the Early, Intermediate, and

Late clones at multiple starting frequencies. We find that the Intermediate clone is 3.8% more fit relative

to the Early clone and that the Late clone is 1.2% more fit relative to the Intermediate clone (Fig. 1B, left

panel). The expectation, assuming additivity, is that the Late clone will be more fit than the Early clone,

by roughly 5.0%. Surprisingly, we find that the Late clone is less fit than expected, to the extent that it

often loses in pairwise competition with the Early clone (Fig. 1B, left panel). Furthermore, the interaction

between the Early and Late clones exhibits positive frequency-dependent selection, thus creating a bi-

stable system where the fitness disadvantage of the Late clone can be overcome if it starts above a certain

frequency relative to the Early clone (Fig. S1).

Evolution of nontransitivity is associated with changes to the killer virus

Positive frequency-dependent selection is rare in experimental evolution and can only arise

throught a few known mechanisms. It has been observed previously in yeast that harbor killer viruses

(Greig and Travisano 2008), which are dsRNA viruses that encode toxin/immunity systems. Using a well-

described halo assay (Woods and Bevan 1968), we find that the ancestral strain of our evolved

populations exhibits the phenotype expected of yeast that harbor the killer virus: it inhibits growth of a

nearby sensitive strain and resists killing by a known killer strain (Fig. S2). By RT-PCR and sequencing

we find that our ancestral strain, which was derived from the common lab strain W303-1a, contains the

M1-type killer virus (encoding the K1-type killer toxin) with only minor differences from previously

sequenced strains (Fig. S3). In the ancestor we also detect the L-A helper virus, which supplies the RNA-

dependent RNA polymerase and capsid protein necessary for the killer virus, a satellite virus, to complete

its life cycle (Ribas and Wickner 1992).

We asked if the observed nontransitivity in the BYS1-D08 lineage could be explained by

evolution of the killer phenotype. Phenotyping of the isolated clones revealed that the Intermediate clone

no longer exhibits killing ability (K^-I^+) and that the Late clone possesses neither killing ability nor

immunity (K^-I^-, Fig. 1A, Fig. S4). Killer toxin has been shown to impart frequency-dependent selection in

structured environments (Greig and Travisano 2008) and we hypothesized that a stepwise loss of the

killer phenotypes was responsible for the frequency-dependent and nontransitive interaction between

Early and Late clones. To determine if the presence of the killer virus in the early clone is necessary for

the evolution of nontransitivity, we cured the Early clone and found that the Late clone was 4.3% more fit

than the killer-cured Early clone, with no correlation between fitness and frequency (Fig. 1B, right panel)

showing that the presence of killer virus in the Early clone is necessary for frequency-dependence and

nontransitivity. To determine if viral evolution alone is sufficient to account for the observed fitness gains

in nontransitive interactions, we transferred the killer virus from the Intermediate clone to the cured Early

clone and assayed fitness relative to the Early clone (Fig. 1B, right panel). We find that the evolved killer

virus confers no significant effect on host fitness. Therefore, changes to the killer virus alone are not

sufficient to account for the adaptive evolution of nontransitivity in this population, which must involve

changes to both the host and viral genomes.

Changes to killer-associated phenotypes are common under our experimental conditions

To determine the extent of killer phenotype evolution across all populations, we assayed the killer

phenotype of 142 populations that were founded by a single ancestor and propagated at the same

bottleneck size as BYS1-D08 (Lang et al. 2011). We find that approximately half of all populations

exhibit a loss or weakening of killing ability by Generation 1,000, with ~10% of populations exhibit

neither killing ability nor immunity (Fig. 2A, Fig. 2B). Of note, we did not observe loss of immunity

without loss of killing ability, an increase in killing ability or immunity, or reappearance of killing ability

or immunity once it was lost from a population (Fig. S5), apart from the noise associated with scoring of

population-level phenotypes. Several populations (i.e. BYS2-B09 and BYS2-B12) lost both killing ability

and immunity simultaneously, suggesting that a single event can cause the loss of both the killer

phenotypes.

Mutations in nuclear genes can affect killer-assiciated phenotypes. The primary receptors of the

K1 killer toxin are β-glucans in the yeast cell wall (Pieczynska et al. 2013). We observe a statistical

enrichment of mutations in genes involved in β-glucan biosynthesis (6-fold Gene Ontology (GO)

Biological Process enrichment, P<0.0001). Furthermore, of the 714 protein-coding mutations dispersed

across 548 genes, 40 occur within 11 of the 36 genes (identified by (Pagé et al. 2003)) that, when deleted,

confer a high level of resistance to the K1 toxin (χ^2=18.4, df=1, P=1.8x10^{-5}). Nevertheless, the presence of

mutations in nuclear genes that have been associated with high levels of resistance is not sufficient to

account for the loss of killing ability (χ^2=1.037, df=1, P=0.309) or immunity (χ^2=0.103, df=1, P=0.748).

Standing genetic variation and *de novo* mutations drive phenotypic change

We sequenced viral genomes from a subset of yeast populations (n=67) at Generation 1,000, 57

of which change killer phenotype and 10 control populations that retained the ancestral killer phenotypes.

Viral genomes isolated from populations that lost killing ability possess 1-3 mutations in the M1 coding

sequence – most being missense variants (Fig. 3A). In contrast, only a single mutation, synonymous

nonetheless, was detected in M1 across the 10 control populations that retained the killer phenotype

(χ^2=59.3, df=1, P=1.4x10^{-13}). The correlation between the presence of mutations in the viral genome and

the loss of killing ability is strong evidence that viral mutations are responsible for the changes in killer

phenotypes. We estimate that by Generation 1,000 half of all populations have fixed viral variants that

alter killer phenotypes (for comparison, *IRA1*, the most common nuclear target, fixed in ~25% of

populations over the same time period).

Of the 57 populations that lost killing ability, 42 fixed one of three single nucleotide

polymorphisms, resulting in amino acid substitutions D106G, D253N, and I292M and observed 13, 14,

and 15 times, respectively (Table S1). Given their prevalence, these polymorphisms likely existed at low

frequency in the shared ancestral culture (indeed, we can detect one of the common polymorphisms,

D106G, in individual clones at the Early time point, indicating that this mutation was heteroplasmic in

cells of the founding population). Killer phenotypes are consistent across populations that fixed a

particular ancestral polymorphism (Table S1).

In addition to the three ancestral polymorphisms, we detect 34 putative *de novo* point mutations

that arose during the evolution of individual populations (Table S1). Mutations are localized to the K1

coding sequence, scattered across the four encoded subunits, and skewed towards missense mutations

relative to nonsense or frameshift (Fig. 3B). Fourteen of the seventy-eight identified mutations are

predicted to fall at or near sites of protease cleavage or post-translational modification necessary for toxin

maturation. Overall, however, the K1 coding sequence appears to be under balancing selection

(dN/dS=0.90), indicating that certain amino acid substitutions (e.g. those that eliminate immunity but

retain killing ability) are not tolerated. In addition, substitutions are extremely biased toward transitions

over transversions (Table S2, R=6.4, χ^2=44.2, df=1, P<0.0001), a bias that is also present in other

laboratory-derived M1 variants (R=4.1) (Suzuki et al. 2015) and natural variation of the helper L-A virus

(R=3.0) (Diamond et al. 1989, Icho and Wickner 1989). The transition:transversion bias appears specific

to viral genomes as the ratio is much lower within evolved nuclear genomes (R=0.8), especially in genes

inferred to be under selection (R=0.5), suggesting a mutational bias of the viral RNA-dependent RNA

polymerase (Lang et al. 2013, Fisher et al. 2018, Marad et al. 2018).

Though point mutations are the most common form of evolved variation, we also detected two

viral genomes in which large portions of the K1 ORF are deleted (Fig. 3B). Despite the loss of the

majority of the K1 coding sequence, the deletion mutants maintain cis signals for replication and

packaging (Ribas and Wickner 1992, Ribas et al. 1994). Notably, the two populations that possess these

deletion mutants also possess full-length viral variants. The deletion mutants we observe are similar to the

ScV-S defective interfering particles that have been shown to outcompete full-length virus presumably

due to their decreased replication time (Kane et al. 1979, Ridley and Wickner 1983, Esteban and Wickner

1988).

Host/virus co-evolutionary dynamics are complex and operate over multiple scales

To compare the dynamics of viral genome evolution, nuclear genome evolution, and phenotypic

evolution we performed time-course sequencing of viral genomes from three yeast populations that lost

killing ability and for which we have whole-population, whole-genome, time-course sequencing data for

the nuclear genome (Lang et al. 2013). As with the evolutionary dynamics of the host genome, the

dynamics of viral genome evolution feature clonal interference (competition between mutant genotypes),

genetic hitchhiking (an increase in frequency of an allele due to genetic linkage to a beneficial mutation),

and sequential sweeps (Fig. 4, Fig. S6). Interestingly, viral sweeps often coincide with nuclear sweeps.

Since the coinciding nuclear sweeps often contain known driver mutations, it is possible that the viral

variants themselves are not driving adaptation but instead hitchhiking on the back of beneficial nuclear

mutations. This is consistent with the observation that the introduction of the viral variant from the

Intermediate clone did not affect the fitness of the Early clone (Fig. 1B)

210   To determine if the loss of killer phenotype is caused solely by mutations in the killer virus, we

transferred the ancestral virus and five evolved viral variants to a virus-cured ancestor via cytoduction. Of

the five viral variants one exhibited weak killing ability and full immunity (D253N), three exhibited no

killing ability and full immunity (P47S, D106G, I292M), and one exhibited neither killing ability nor

immunity (-1 frameshift). For each viral variant, the killer phenotype of the cytoductant matched the killer

phenotype of the population of origin demonstrating that viral mutations are sufficient to explain changes

in killer phenotype (Fig. S7). To determine if any viral variants affect host fitness, we competed all five

cytoductants against the ancestor or a virus-cured ancestor in a pairwise manner. In competitions in which

both competitors shared either killing ability or immunity, no viral variants impacted host fitness;

therefore, production of active toxin or maintenance of the virus has no detectable fitness cost to the host

(Fig. 5A). In contrast, an incompatibility in the killer phenotype – produced by the simultaneous loss of

both killing ability and immunity – results in frequency dependent fitness interactions between competing

populations. Collectively, these pairwise competitions show that a stepwise degradation of the killer virus

is a neutral process and no evidence that host fitness is driving the loss of killer phenotype. These findings

support previous theoretical and empirical studies (Pieczynska et al. 2016, Pieczynska et al. 2017) that

claim that mycoviruses and their hosts have co-evolved to minimize cost.

Success of evolved viral variants is due to an intracellular fitness advantage

228   Based on the lack of a measurable effect of viral mutations on host fitness, we hypothesized that

the evolved viral variants may have a selective advantage within the viral population of individual yeast

cells. A within-cell advantage has been invoked to explain the invasion of internal deletion variants (e.g.

ScV-S (Kane et al. 1979)) but has not been extended to point mutations. To test evolved viral variants for

a within-cell fitness advantage, we generated a heteroplasmic diploid strain by mating the ancestor (with

wildtype virus) with a haploid cytoductant containing either the I292M (K$^-$I$^+$) or -1 frameshift (K$^-$I$^-$) viral

variant. The heteroplasmic diploids were propagated for seven single-cell bottlenecks every 48 hours to

minimize among-cell selection. At each bottleneck, we assayed the yeast cells for killer phenotypes and

we quantified the ratio of the intracellular viral variants by RT-PCR and sequencing. We find that killing

ability was lost from all lines, suggesting that the evolved viral variants outcompeted the ancestral variant

(Fig. 5B). Sequencing confirmed that the derived viral variant fixed in most lines (Fig. 5C). In some lines,

however, the derived viral variant increased initially before decreasing late. Further investigation into one

of these lines revealed that the decrease in frequency of the viral variant corresponded to the sweep of a

de novo G131D variant (Fig. 5C, inset). Viral variants therefore appear to constantly arise, and the

evolutionary success of the observed variants results from their selective advantage over viral competitors

within the context of an individual cell. We speculate that an intracellular competition between newly

arising viral variants also explains the loss of immunity from populations that previously lost killing

ability (Fig. S5), given the relaxed selection for the maintenance of functional immunity in those

populations.

Discussion

We examined phenotypic and sequence co-evolution of an intracellular double-stranded RNA

virus and the host nuclear genome over the course of 1,000 generations of experimental evolution. We

observe complex dynamics including genetic hitchhiking and clonal interference in the host populations

as well as the intracellular viral populations. Phenotypic and genotypic changes including the loss of

killing ability, mutations in the host-encoded cell-wall biosynthesis genes, and the virally encoded toxin

genes occur repeatedly across replicate populations. The loss of killer-associated phenotypes—killing

ability and immunity to the killer toxin—leads to three phenomena with implications for adaptive

evolution: positive frequency-dependent selection, multilevel selection, and nontransitivity.

Frequency-dependent selection can be either negative, where rare genotypes are favored, or

positive, where rare genotypes are disfavored. Of the two, negative frequency-dependent selection is more

commonly observed in experimental evolution, arising, for example, from cross-feeding between acetate

producers and consumers (Helling et al. 1987, Turner et al. 1996, Spencer et al. 2008, Plucain et al. 2014)

and spatial structuring in static environments (Rainey and Travisano 1998, Frenkel et al. 2015). Positive

frequency-dependent selection, in contrast, is not typically observed in experimental evolution. By

definition, a new positive frequency-dependent mutation must invade an established population at a time

when its fitness is at its minimum. Even in situations in which positive frequency-dependent selection is

likely to occur, such as the evolution of cooperative group behaviors and interference competition (Chao

and Levin 1981), a mutation may be unfavorable at the time it arises. A crowded, structured environment

provides an opportunity for allelopathies to offer a local advantage. Here we describe an alternative

mechanism for the success of positive frequency-dependent mutations through multilevel selection of the

host genome and a toxin-encoding intracellular virus. The likelihood of such a scenario occurring is aided

by the large population size of the extrachromosomal element: each of the $\sim10^5$ cells that comprise each

yeast population contains $\sim10^2$ viral particles (Bostian et al. 1983, Ridley and Wickner 1983).

Nontransitivity in our experimental system is due, in part, to interference competition: the

production of a killer toxin that kills non-killer-containing cells. Interference competition can drive

ecological nontransitivity (Kerr et al. 2002, Kirkup and Riley 2004), suggesting that similar mechanisms

may underlie both ecological and genealogical nontransitivity. The adaptive evolution of genealogical

nontransitivity in our system does not follow the canonical model of a co-evolutionary arms race where

the host evolves mechanisms to prevent the selfish replication of the virus and the virus evolves to

circumvent the host's defenses (Daugherty and Malik 2012, Rowley 2017). Rather, mutations that fix in

the viral and yeast populations do so because they provide a direct fitness advantage in their respective

populations. Nontransitivity arises through the combined effect of beneficial mutations in the host

genome (which improves the relative fitness within the yeast population, regardless of the presence or

absence of the killer virus) and the adaptive loss of killing ability and degeneration of the intracellular

virus (which provides an intracellular fitness advantage to the virus). The end result is a high-fitness yeast

genotype (relative to the ancestral yeast genotype) that contains degenerate viruses, rendering their hosts

susceptible to the virally-encoded toxin.

Though we did not find an impact of nuclear mutations on killer-associated phenotypes, we do

observe a statistical enrichment of mutations in genes involved in β-glucan biosynthesis and in genes that

when deleted confer a high level of resistance to the killer toxin. Nearly all mutations in these toxin-

resistance genes are nonsynonymous (18 nonsense/frameshift, 21 missense, 1 synonymous), indicating a

strong signature of positive selection. This suggests that the nuclear genome adapting in response to the

presence of the killer toxin, however, the effect of these mutations may be beyond the resolution of our

fitness assay.

Among the viral variants, we identified were two unique ~1 kb deletions; remnants of the killer

virus that retain little more than the *cis*-acting elements necessary for viral replication and packaging.

These defective interfering particles are thought to outcompete full-length virus due to their decreased

replication time (Kane et al. 1979, Ridley and Wickner 1983, Esteban and Wickner 1988). Defective

interfering particles are common to RNA viruses (Holland et al. 1982). Though there are several different

killer viruses in yeast (e.g. K1, K2, K28, Klus), each arose independently and has a distinct mechanism of

action (Rodríguez-Cousiño et al. 2017). Nontransitive interactions may therefore arise frequently through

cycles of gains and losses of toxin production and toxin immunity in lineages that contain RNA viruses.

Reports of nontransitivity arising along evolutionary lines of descent are rare (de Visser and

Lenski 2002, Beaumont et al. 2009). The first (and most widely cited) report of nontransitivity along a

direct line of descent occurred during yeast adaptation in glucose-limited chemostats (Paquin and Adams

1983). This experiment was correctly interpreted under the assumption—generally accepted at the time—

that large asexual populations evolved by clonal replacement. This strong selection/weak mutation model,

however, is now known to be an oversimplification for large asexual populations, where multiple

beneficial mutations arise and spread simultaneously through the population (Gerrish and Lenski 1998,

Kvitek and Sherlock 2013, Lang et al. 2013). In addition, the duration of the Paquin and Adams

experiment was too short for the number of reported selective sweeps to have occurred (four in 245

generations and six in 305 generations, for haploids and diploids, respectively). The strongest known

beneficial mutations in glucose-limited chemostats, hexose transporter amplifications, provide a fitness

advantage of ~30% (Gresham et al. 2008, Kvitek and Sherlock 2011) and would require a minimum of

~150 generations to fix in a population size of 4 x 10^9 (Otto and Whitlock 1997). We contend that Paquin

and Adams observed nontransitive interactions among contemporaneous lineages—ecological

nontransitivity—rather than nontransitivity among genealogical descendants. Apart from the present

study, there are no other examples of nontransitivity arising along a line descent, but numerous examples

of nontransitive interactions among contemporaneous lineages (Sinervo and Lively 1996, Kerr et al. 2002,

Kirkup and Riley 2004, Károlyi et al. 2005, Laird and Schamp 2006, Reichenbach et al. 2007, Precoda et

al. 2017, Menezes et al. 2019).

Here we present a mechanistic case study on the evolution of nontransitivity along a direct line of

genealogical descent, and we determine the specific genetic events that lead to nontransitivity in one focal

population. Our results show that the continuous action of selection can give rise to genotypes that are

less fit compared to a distant ancestor. We show that nontransitive interactions can arise quickly due to

multilevel selection in a host/virus system. In the context of this experiment multi-level selection is

common—most yeast populations fix nuclear and viral variants by Generation 1,000. Overall, our results

demonstrate that adaptive evolution is capable of giving rise to nontransitive fitness interactions along an

evolutionary lineage, even under simple laboratory conditions.

Acknowledgments: We thank Reed Wickner, Amber Rice, and members of the Lang Lab for their

comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by the NIH grant 1R01GM127420. Illumina data

of viral competitions and evolved nuclear genomes are accessible under the BioProject ID PRJNA553562

and PRJNA205542, respectively. Conceptualization and writing were performed by S.W.B. and G.I.L.

Investigation was performed by S.W.B. and A.B.R.

Methods

Growth Conditions and Strain Construction

Unless specified otherwise, yeast strains were propagated at 30°C in YPD + A&T (yeast extract, peptone, dextrose plus 100 μg/ml ampicillin and 25 μg/ml tetracycline to prevent bacterial contamination).

The ancestor and evolved populations were described previously (Lang et al. 2011). Early, Intermediate, and Late clones were isolated by resurrecting population BYS1-D08 at the Generation 0, 335, and 1,000, respectively. These specific timeponts were selected to coincide with the completion of a selective sweep (Lang et al. 2013), when the population is expected to be near clonal. For each timepoint we isolated multiple clones from a YPD plate and assayed each one to verify that the killer phenotype was uniform.

The ancestral strain was cured of the M1 and LA viruses by streaking to single colonies on YPD agar and confirmed by halo assay, PCR, and sequencing. We integrated a constitutively-expressed fluorescent reporter (pACT1-ymCitrine) at the *CAN1* locus in the cured ancestral strain as well as the Intermediate (Generation 335) and Late (Generation 1,000) clones.

Karyogamy mutants were constructed by introducing the *kar1Δ15* allele by two-step gene replacement in the cured a *MAT*α version of the ancestor (Georgieva and Rothstein 2002). The *kar1Δ15*-containing plasmid pMR1593 (Mark Rose, Georgetown University) was linearized with BglII prior to transformation and selection on -Ura. Mitotic excision of the integrated plasmid was selected for plating on 5-fluorotic acid (5-FOA). Then we perform replaced NatMX with KanMX to enable selection for recipients during viral transfer.

Fitness Assays

Competitive fitness assays were performed as described previously (Lang et al. 2011, Lang et al. 2013). To investigate frequency dependence, competitors were mixed at various ratios at the initiation of the experiment. Competitions were performed for 50 generations under conditions identical to the

evolution experiment (Lang et al. 2011). Every 10 generations, competitions were diluted 1:1,000 in fresh

media and an aliquot was sampled by BD FACS Canto II flow cytometer. Flow cytometry data was

analyzed using FlowJo 10.3. Relative fitness was calculated as the slope of the change in the natural log

ratio between the experimental and reference strain. To detect frequency-dependent selection, each 10-

generation interval was analyzed independently to calculate starting frequency and fitness.

<u>Halo Assay</u>

Killer phenotype was measured using a high-throughput version of the standard halo assay

(Crabtree et al. 2019) and a liquid handler (Biomek FX). Assays were performed using YPD agar that had

been buffered to pH 4.5 (citrate-phosphate buffer), dyed with methylene blue (0.003%), and poured into a

1-well rectangular cell culture plate.

Killing ability was assayed against a sensitive tester strain (yGIL1063) that was isolated from a

separate evolution experiment initiated from the same ancestor. The sensitive tester was grown to

saturation, diluted 1:10, and spread (150 µL) evenly on the buffered agar. Query strains were grown to

saturation, concentrated 5x, and spotted (2 µL) on top of the absorbed lawn (Fig. S2, left).

Immunity was assayed against the ancestral strain (yGIL432). Query strains were grown to

saturation, diluted 1:32, and spotted (10 µL) on the buffered agar. The killer tester was grown to

saturation, concentrated 5x, and spotted (2 µL) on top of the absorbed query strain (Fig. S2, right).

Plates were incubated at room temperature for 2-3 days before assessment. Killer phenotype was scored

according to the scale in shown in Fig. 2.

<u>Viral RNA Isolation, cDNA Synthesis, PCR</u>

Nucleic acids were isolated by phenol-chloroform extraction and precipitated in ethanol. Isolated

RNA was reverse-transcribed into cDNA using ProtoScript II First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (NEB)

with either the enclosed Random Primer Mix or the M1-specific oligo M1_R3 (Table S3).

<u>Sanger Sequencing and Bioinformatics Analyses</u>

PCR was performed on cDNA using Q5 High-Fidelity Polymerase (NEB). The K1 ORF was

amplified using primers M1_F1 or M1_F5 and M1_R6 (Table S3). The M1 region downstream of the

polyA stretch was amplified using M1_F7 and M1_R3. The LA virus was amplified using LA_F2 and

LA_R2, LA_F2 and LA_R3, or LA_F3 and LA_R6. PCR products were Sanger sequenced by Genscript.

Mutations were identified and peak height quantified using 4Peaks (nucleobytes). For

intracellular competitions, mutation frequency was quantified by both Sanger and Illumina sequencing

(see below), with both methods producing nearly identical results (Fig. S8).

The Sanger sequencing data was aligned to publicly-available M1 and LA references (GenBank

Accession Numbers U78817 and J04692, respectively) using ApE (A plasmid Editor). The ancestral M1

and LA viruses differed from the references at 7 sites (including 3 K1 missense mutations) and 19 sites,

respectively (Fig. S3).

<u>Viral Transfer</u>

Viruses were transferred to *MAT**a*** strains using the *MAT*α karyogamy mutant as an intermediate.

Viral donors (*MAT**a***, *ura3,* NatMX) were first transformed with the pRS426 (*URA3*, 2μ ORI) for future

indication of viral transfer. Cytoduction was performed by mixing a viral donor with the karyogamy

mutant recipient (*MAT*α, *ura3*, KanMX) at a 5:1 ratio on solid media. After a 6 hr incubation at 30°C, the

cells were plated on media containing G418 to select for cells with the recipient nuclei. Recipients that

grew on -Ura (indicator of cytoplasmic mixing) and failed to grow on ClonNat (absence of donor nuclei)

then served as donors for the next cytoduction. These karyogamy mutant donors (*MAT*α, *URA3*, KanMX)

were mixed with the selected recipient (*MAT**a***, *ura3,* NatMX) at a 5:1 ratio on solid media. After a 6 hr

incubation at 30°C, the cells were plated on media containing ClonNat to select for cells with recipient

nuclei. Recipients that grew on -Ura (indicator of cytoplasmic mixing) and failed to grow on ClonNat

(absence of the donor nucleus) were then cured of the indicator plasmid by selection on 5-FOA. Killer

phenotype was confirmed by halo assays and the presence of the viral variants in the recipient was

verified by Sanger sequencing.

<u>Illumina Sequencing and Bioinformatics Analyses</u>

Multiplexed libraries were prepared using a two-step PCR. First, cDNA was amplified by Q5

High-Fidelity Polymerase (NEB) for 10 cycles using primers I292M_read1 and I292M_read2 or

frameshift_read1 and frameshift_read2 (Table S3) to incorporate a random 8 bp barcode and sequencing

primer binding sites. The resulting amplicons were further amplified by Q5 PCR for 15 cycles using

primers i5_adapter and i7_adapter to incorporate the sequencing adaptors and indices. Libraries were

sequenced on a NovaSeq 6000 (Illumina) at the Genomics Core Facility at Princeton University.

Raw FASTQ files were demultiplexed using a dual-index barcode splitter

(https://bitbucket.org/princeton_genomics/barcode_splitter) and trimmed using Trimmomatic (Bolger et

al. 2014) with default settings for paired-end reads. Mutation frequencies were determined by counting

the number of reads that contain the ancestral or evolved allele (mutation flanked by five nucleotides).

Intracellular Competitions

Within-cell viral competitions were performed by propagating a heteroplasmic diploid and

monitoring killer phenotype and viral variant frequency. Diploids were generated by crossing the ancestor

with a cytoductant harboring either the I292M or -1 frameshift viral variant. For each viral variant, three

diploid lines (each initiated by a unique mating event) were passaged every other day on buffered YPD

media for a total of 7 single-cell bottlenecks to minimize among-cell selection. A portion of each

transferred colony was cryopreserved in 15% glycerol. Cryosamples were revived, assayed for killer

phenotype, and harvested for RNA. Following RT-PCR, samples were sent for Sanger sequencing and

Illumina sequencing. Variant frequency deviated from the expected frequency of 0.5 at the initial

timepoint, presumably due to an unavoidable delay between the formation of the heteroplasmic diploid

and initiation of the intracellular competition from a single colony. Alternatively, viral copy number may

vary between donor and recipient cells.

References

Allesina, S. and J. M. Levine (2011). "A competitive network theory of species diversity." Proceedings of
the National Academy of Sciences **108**(14): 5638-5642.

Barrick, J. E. and R. E. Lenski (2013). "Genome dynamics during experimental evolution." Nat Rev
Genet **14**(12): 827-839.

Beaumont, H. J., J. Gallie, C. Kost, G. C. Ferguson and P. B. Rainey (2009). "Experimental evolution of
bet hedging." Nature **462**(7269): 90-93.

Bolger, A. M., M. Lohse and B. Usadel (2014). "Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence
data." Bioinformatics **30**(15): 2114-2120.

Bostian, K. A., S. Jayachandran and D. J. Tipper (1983). "A glycosylated protoxin in killer yeast: models
for its structure and maturation." Cell **32**(1): 169-180.

Buskirk, S. W., R. E. Peace and G. I. Lang (2017). "Hitchhiking and epistasis give rise to cohort
dynamics in adapting populations." Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **114**(31): 8330-
8335.

Chao, L. and B. R. Levin (1981). "Structured habitats and the evolution of anticompetitor toxins in
bacteria." Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **78**(10): 6324-6328.

Crabtree, A. M., E. A. Kizer, S. S. Hunter, J. T. Van Leuven, D. D. New, M. W. Fagnan and P. A.
Rowley (2019). "A rapid method for sequencing double-stranded RNAs purified from yeasts and the
identification of a potent K1 killer toxin isolated from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*." Viruses **11**(1): 70.

Daugherty, M. D. and H. S. Malik (2012). "Rules of engagement: molecular insights from host-virus arms
races." Annual review of genetics **46**: 677-700.

Dawkins, R. (1997). Human chauvinism, Evolution **51**: 1015-1020.

de Visser, J. A. G. and R. E. Lenski (2002). "Long-term experimental evolution in *Escherichia coli*. XI.
Rejection of non-transitive interactions as cause of declining rate of adaptation." BMC Evolutionary
Biology **2**(1): 19.

Diamond, M., J. Dowhanick, M. Nemeroff, D. Pietras, C. Tu and J. Bruenn (1989). "Overlapping genes in
a yeast double-stranded RNA virus." Journal of virology **63**(9): 3983-3990.

Esteban, R. and R. B. Wickner (1988). "A deletion mutant of LA double-stranded RNA replicates like M1
double-stranded RNA." Journal of virology **62**(4): 1278-1285.

Fisher, K. J., S. W. Buskirk, R. C. Vignogna, D. A. Marad and G. I. Lang (2018). "Adaptive genome
duplication affects patterns of molecular evolution in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*." PLoS genetics **14**(5):
e1007396.

Frenkel, E. M., M. J. McDonald, J. D. Van Dyken, K. Kosheleva, G. I. Lang and M. M. Desai (2015).
"Crowded growth leads to the spontaneous evolution of semistable coexistence in laboratory yeast
populations." Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **112**(36): 11306-11311.

Georgieva, B. and R. Rothstein (2002). "Kar-mediated plasmid transfer between yeast strains: alternative
to traditional transformation methods." Methods in enzymology: 278-289.

Gerrish, P. J. and R. E. Lenski (1998). "The fate of competing beneficial mutations in an asexual
population." Genetica **102**: 127.

Gilpin, M. E. (1975). "Limit Cycles in Competition Communities." The American Naturalist **109**(965):
51-60.

Gould, S. J. (1985). "The paradox of the first tier: an agenda for paleobiology." Paleobiology **11**(1): 2-12.

Gould, S. J. (1996). Full House: The Spread of Excellence from Plato to Darwin. New York, Harmony
Books.

Gould, S. J. (1997). Self-help for a hedgehog stuck on a molehill, Evolution **51**: 1020-1023.

Greig, D. and M. Travisano (2008). "Density-dependent effects on allelopathic interactions in yeast."
Evolution: International Journal of Organic Evolution **62**(3): 521-527.

Gresham, D., M. M. Desai, C. M. Tucker, H. T. Jenq, D. A. Pai, A. Ward, C. G. DeSevo, D. Botstein and
M. J. Dunham (2008). "The repertoire and dynamics of evolutionary adaptations to controlled nutrient-
limited environments in yeast." PLoS genetics **4**(12).

Helling, R. B., C. N. Vargas and J. Adams (1987). "Evolution of *Escherichia coli* during growth in a
constant environment." Genetics **116**(3): 349-358.

Holland, J., K. Spindler, F. Horodyski, E. Grabau, S. Nichol and S. VandePol (1982). "Rapid evolution of
RNA genomes." Science **215**(4540): 1577-1585.

Icho, T. and R. B. Wickner (1989). "The double-stranded RNA genome of yeast virus LA encodes its
own putative RNA polymerase by fusing two open reading frames." Journal of Biological Chemistry
264(12): 6716-6723.

Jackson, J. and L. Buss (1975). "Alleopathy and spatial competition among coral reef invertebrates."
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **72**(12): 5160-5163.

Kane, W., D. Pietras and J. Bruenn (1979). "Evolution of defective-interfering double-stranded RNAs of
the yeast killer virus." Journal of virology **32**(2): 692-696.

Károlyi, G., Z. Neufeld and I. Scheuring (2005). "Rock-scissors-paper game in a chaotic flow: The effect
of dispersion on the cyclic competition of microorganisms." Journal of theoretical biology **236**(1): 12-20.

Kerr, B., M. A. Riley, M. W. Feldman and B. J. Bohannan (2002). "Local dispersal promotes biodiversity
in a real-life game of rock–paper–scissors." Nature **418**(6894): 171-174.

Kirkup, B. C. and M. A. Riley (2004). "Antibiotic-mediated antagonism leads to a bacterial game of
rock–paper–scissors in vivo." Nature **428**(6981): 412-414.

Kvitek, D. J. and G. Sherlock (2011). "Reciprocal sign epistasis between frequently experimentally
evolved adaptive mutations causes a rugged fitness landscape." PLoS genetics **7**(4).

Kvitek, D. J. and G. Sherlock (2013). "Whole genome, whole population sequencing reveals that loss of
signaling networks is the major adaptive strategy in a constant environment." PLoS genetics **9**(11).

Laird, R. A. and B. S. Schamp (2006). "Competitive intransitivity promotes species coexistence." The
American Naturalist **168**(2): 182-193.

Lang, G. I., D. Botstein and M. M. Desai (2011). "Genetic variation and the fate of beneficial mutations
in asexual populations." Genetics **188**(3): 647-661.

Lang, G. I., D. P. Rice, M. J. Hickman, E. Sodergren, G. M. Weinstock, D. Botstein and M. M. Desai
(2013). "Pervasive genetic hitchhiking and clonal interference in forty evolving yeast populations."
Nature **500**(7464): 571-574.

Liao, M. J., M. O. Din, L. Tsimring and J. Hasty (2019). "Rock-paper-scissors: Engineered population
dynamics increase genetic stability." Science **365**(6457): 1045-1049.

Marad, D. A., S. W. Buskirk and G. I. Lang (2018). "Altered access to beneficial mutations slows
adaptation and biases fixed mutations in diploids." Nature ecology & evolution **2**(5): 882-889.

May, R. M. and W. J. Leonard (1975). "Nonlinear aspects of competition between three species." SIAM
journal on applied mathematics **29**(2): 243-253.

Menezes, J., B. Moura and T. Pereira (2019). "Uneven rock-paper-scissors models: Patterns and
coexistence." EPL (Europhysics Letters) **126**(1): 18003.

Otto, S. P. and M. C. Whitlock (1997). "The probability of fixation in populations of changing size."
Genetics **146**(2): 723-733.

Pagé, N., M. Gérard-Vincent, P. Ménard, M. Beaulieu, M. Azuma, G. J. Dijkgraaf, H. Li, J. Marcoux, T. Nguyen and T. Dowse (2003). "A *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* genome-wide mutant screen for altered sensitivity to K1 killer toxin." Genetics **163**(3): 875-894.

Paquin, C. E. and J. Adams (1983). "Relative fitness can decrease in evolving asexual populations of *S. cerevisiae*." Nature **306**(5941): 368-371.

Petraitis, P. S. (1979). "Competitive networks and measures of intransitivity." The American Naturalist **114**(6): 921-925.

Pieczynska, M., R. Korona and J. De Visser (2017). "Experimental tests of host–virus coevolution in natural killer yeast strains." Journal of evolutionary biology **30**(4): 773-781.

Pieczynska, M. D., J. A. G. de Visser and R. Korona (2013). "Incidence of symbiotic dsRNA 'killer'viruses in wild and domesticated yeast." FEMS yeast research **13**(8): 856-859.

Pieczynska, M. D., D. Wloch-Salamon, R. Korona and J. A. G. de Visser (2016). "Rapid multiple-level coevolution in experimental populations of yeast killer and nonkiller strains." Evolution **70**(6): 1342-1353.

Plucain, J., T. Hindré, M. Le Gac, O. Tenaillon, S. Cruveiller, C. Médigue, N. Leiby, W. R. Harcombe, C. J. Marx and R. E. Lenski (2014). "Epistasis and allele specificity in the emergence of a stable polymorphism in *Escherichia coli.*" Science **343**(6177): 1366-1369.

Precoda, K., A. P. Allen, L. Grant and J. S. Madin (2017). "Using traits to assess nontransitivity of interactions among coral species." The American Naturalist **190**(3): 420-429.

Rainey, P. B. and M. Travisano (1998). "Adaptive radiation in a heterogeneous environment." Nature **394**(6688): 69-72.

Reichenbach, T., M. Mobilia and E. Frey (2007). "Mobility promotes and jeopardizes biodiversity in rock–paper–scissors games." Nature **448**(7157): 1046-1049.

Ribas, J. C., T. Fujimura and R. B. Wickner (1994). "Essential RNA binding and packaging domains of the Gag-Pol fusion protein of the LA double-stranded RNA virus of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*." Journal of Biological Chemistry **269**(45): 28420-28428.

Ribas, J. C. and R. B. Wickner (1992). "RNA-dependent RNA polymerase consensus sequence of the LA double-stranded RNA virus: definition of essential domains." Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **89**(6): 2185-2189.

Ridley, S. P. and R. B. Wickner (1983). "Defective interference in the killer system of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*." Journal of virology **45**(2): 800-812.

Rodríguez-Cousiño, N., P. Gómez and R. Esteban (2017). "Variation and distribution of LA helper totiviruses in *Saccharomyces sensu stricto* yeasts producing different killer toxins." Toxins **9**(10): 313.

Rojas-Echenique, J. and S. Allesina (2011). "Interaction rules affect species coexistence in intransitive networks." Ecology **92**(5): 1174-1180.

Rowley, P. A. (2017). "The frenemies within: viruses, retrotransposons and plasmids that naturally infect Saccharomyces yeasts." Yeast **34**(7): 279-292.

Ruse, M. (1993). "Evolution and progress." Trends in ecology & evolution **8**(2): 55-59.

Schmitt, M. J. and F. Breinig (2002). "The viral killer system in yeast: from molecular biology to application." FEMS microbiology reviews **26**(3): 257-276.

Schmitt, M. J. and F. Breinig (2006). "Yeast viral killer toxins: lethality and self-protection." Nature Reviews Microbiology **4**(3): 212-221.

Shanahan, T. (2000). "Evolutionary progress?" <u>BioScience</u> **50**(5): 451-459.

Sinervo, B. and C. M. Lively (1996). "The rock–paper–scissors game and the evolution of alternative
male strategies." <u>Nature</u> **380**(6571): 240-243.

Soliveres, S., F. T. Maestre, W. Ulrich, P. Manning, S. Boch, M. A. Bowker, D. Prati, M. Delgado-
Baquerizo, J. L. Quero and I. Schöning (2015). "Intransitive competition is widespread in plant
communities and maintains their species richness." <u>Ecology letters</u> **18**(8): 790-798.

Spencer, C. C., J. Tyerman, M. Bertrand and M. Doebeli (2008). "Adaptation increases the likelihood of
diversification in an experimental bacterial lineage." <u>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences</u>
105(5): 1585-1589.

Suzuki, G., J. S. Weissman and M. Tanaka (2015). "[KIL-d] protein element confers antiviral activity via
catastrophic viral mutagenesis." <u>Molecular cell</u> **60**(4): 651-660.

Turner, P. E., V. Souza and R. E. Lenski (1996). "Tests of ecological mechanisms promoting the stable
coexistence of two bacterial genotypes." <u>Ecology</u> **77**(7): 2119-2129.

Van den Bergh, B., T. Swings, M. Fauvart and J. Michiels (2018). "Experimental design, population
dynamics, and diversity in microbial experimental evolution." <u>Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.</u> **82**(3): e00008-
00018.

Wickner, R. B. (1976). "Killer of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: a double-stranded ribonucleic acid plasmid."
<u>Bacteriological reviews</u> **40**(3): 757.

Woods, D. and E. Bevan (1968). "Studies on the nature of the killer factor produced by *Saccharomyces*
cerevisiae." <u>Microbiology</u> **51**(1): 115-126.

Fig. 1. Nontransitivity and positive frequency dependence arise along an evolutionary lineage. A) Sequence evolution (from Lang *et al*. 2013) shows that population BYS1-D08 underwent four clonal replacements over 1,000 generations. Mutations in the population that went extinct are not shown. The four selective sweeps are color-coded: red, mutations in *yur1*, *rxt2*, and an intergenic mutation; green, a single intergenic mutation; orange, mutations in *mpt5*, *gcn2*, *iml2*, *ste4*, *mud1*, and an intergenic mutation; blue, three intergenic mutations. The Intermediate clone, isolated at Gen. 335 does not produce, but is resistant to, the killer toxin (K-I+). The Late clone, isolated at Generation 1,000 does not produce, and is sensitive to, the killer toxin (K-I-). B) Competition experiments demonstrate nontransitivity and positive frequency-dependent selection. Left: Relative fitness of Early (Gen. 0), Intermediate (Gen. 335), and Late (Gen. 1,000) clones. Right: Relative fitness of the Early clone without ancestral virus or with the viral variant from the Intermediate clone. Fitness and starting frequency correspond to the later clone relative to the earlier clone during pairwise competitions.

Fig. 2. Changes in killer-associated phenotypes in the 142 populations that were founded by a single ancestor and propagated at the same bottleneck size as BYS1-D08 (Lang *et al*. 2011). A) Loss of killing ability (top) and immunity (bottom) from evolving yeast populations over time. Killer phenotypes were monitored by halo assay (examples shown on right). B) Breakdown of killer phenotypes for all populations at Generation 1,000. Data point size corresponds to number of populations. Border and fill color indicate killing ability and immunity phenotypes, respectively, as in panel A.

Fig. 3. Loss of killer phenotype correlates with presence of mutations in the K1 toxin gene. A) Number of mutations in the K1 gene in yeast populations that retain or lose killing ability. Each data point represents a single yeast population. B) Observed spectrum of point mutations across the K1 toxin in 67 evolved yeast populations. Mutations were detected in a single population unless otherwise noted. Large internal deletion variants from two yeast populations (BYS1-D06 and BYS2-E11). The deletions span the region indicated by the dashed gray line. VBS: viral binding site. TRE: terminal recognition element.

Fig. 4. Viral dynamics mimic nuclear dynamics. Killer phenotype of evolved populations is indicated by color according to the key. Nuclear dynamics (reported previously Lang et al 2014) are represented as solid lines. Nuclear mutations that sweep before or during the loss of killing ability are indicated by black lines. All other mutations are indicated by gray lines. Viral mutations are indicated by purple dashed lines and labeled by amino acid change.

Fig. 5. Viral evolution is driven by selection for an intracellular competitive advantage. A) Relative fitness of viral variants in pairwise competition with the ancestor (K⁺I⁺) and virus-cured ancestor (K⁻I⁻). Killer phenotype and identity of viral variant labeled above (Kʷ indicates weak killing ability). Killer phenotype of the ancestral competitor labeled below. Starting frequency indicated by color. B) Change to killer phenotype during intracellular competitions between viral variants (by color) and ancestral virus. Replicate lines indicated by symbol. C) Variant frequency during intracellular competitions. Colors and symbols consistent with panel B. Inset: frequency of the de novo G131D viral variant.