## Adult dentate gyrus neurogenesis: a functional model

## Olivia $\operatorname{Gozel}^{1,2,*}$ and Wulfram $\operatorname{Gerstner}^1$

<sup>4</sup> <sup>1</sup>Laboratory of Computational Neuroscience, School of Life Sciences and School of
 <sup>5</sup> Computer and Communication Sciences, Brain-Mind Institute, Ecole Polytechnique
 <sup>6</sup> Fédérale de Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland

<sup>7</sup> <sup>2</sup>Current affiliation: Department of Neurobiology, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL

60637, USA

<sup>\*</sup>gozel@uchicago.edu

## <sup>10</sup> Summary

3

8

9

In adult dentate gyrus neurogenesis, the link between maturation of newborn 11 neurons and their function, such as behavioral pattern separation, has remained 12 puzzling. By analyzing a theoretical model, we show that the switch from excita-13 tion to inhibition of the GABA ergic input onto maturing newborn cells is crucial 14 for their proper functional integration. When the GABAergic input is excitatory, 15 cooperativity drives the growth of synapses such that newborn cells become sen-16 sitive to stimuli similar to those that activate mature cells. When GABAergic 17 input switches to inhibitory, competition pushes the configuration of synapses 18 onto newborn cells towards stimuli that are different from previously stored ones. 19 This enables the maturing newborn cells to code for concepts that are novel, yet 20 similar to familiar ones. Our theory of newborn cell maturation explains both 21 how adult-born dentate granule cells integrate into the preexisting network and 22 why they promote separation of similar but not distinct patterns. 23

## <sup>24</sup> Introduction

In the adult mammalian brain, neurogenesis, the production of new neurons, is restricted to a few brain areas, such as the olfactory bulb and the dentate gyrus (Deng et al., 2010). The dentate gyrus is a major entry point of input from cortex, primarily entorhinal cortex (EC), to the hippocampus (Amaral et al.,

2007), which is believed to be a substrate of learning and memory (Jarrard, 1993). Adult-born cells in dentate gyrus mostly develop into dentate granule
cells (DGCs), the main excitatory cells that project to area CA3 of hippocampus (Deng et al., 2010).

The properties of rodent adult-born DGCs change as a function of their mat-33 uration stage, until they become indistinguishable from other mature DGCs at 34 approximately 8 weeks (Deng et al., 2010; Johnston et al., 2016) (Fig. 1a). Many 35 of them die before they fully mature (Daver et al., 2003). Their survival is 36 experience-dependent, and relies upon NMDA receptor activation (Tashiro et al., 37 2006). Initially, newborn DGCs have enhanced excitability (Schmidt-Hieber et al., 38 2004; Li et al., 2017) and stronger synaptic plasticity than mature DGCs, reflected 39 by a larger LTP amplitude and a lower threshold for induction of LTP (Wang 40 et al., 2000; Schmidt-Hieber et al., 2004; Ge et al., 2007). Furthermore, after 4 41 weeks of maturation adult-born DGCs have only weak connections to interneu-42 rons, while at 7 weeks of age their activity causes indirect inhibition of mature 43 DGCs (Temprana et al., 2015). 44

Newborn DGCs receive no direct connections from mature DGCs (Deshpande 45 et al., 2013; Alvarez et al., 2016) (yet see (Vivar et al., 2012)), but are indirectly ac-46 tivated via interneurons (Alvarez et al., 2016; Heigele et al., 2016). At about three 47 weeks after birth, the  $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid (GABAergic) input from interneurons 48 to adult-born DGCs switches from excitatory in the early phase to inhibitory in 49 the late phase of maturation (Ge et al., 2006; Deng et al., 2010) ('GABA-switch', 50 Fig. 1a). Analogous to a similar transition during embryonic and early postnatal 51 stages (Wang and Kriegstein, 2010), the GABA-switch is caused by a change in 52 the expression profile of chloride cotransporters. In the early phase of matura-53 tion, newborn cells express the Na<sup>+</sup>-K<sup>+</sup>-2Cl<sup>-</sup> cotransporter NKCC1, which leads 54 to a high intracellular chloride concentration. Hence the GABA reversal potential 55 is higher than the resting potential (Ge et al., 2006; Heigele et al., 2016), and 56 GABAergic inputs lead to  $Cl^-$  ions outflow through the GABA<sub>A</sub> ionic receptors, 57 which results in depolarization of the newborn cell (Ben-Ari, 2002; Owens and 58 Kriegstein, 2002). In the late phase of maturation, expression of the  $K^+$ -Cl<sup>-</sup>-59 coupled cotransporter KCC2 kicks in, which lowers the intracellular chloride con-60 centration of the newborn cell to levels similar to those of mature cells, leading 61 to a hyperpolarization of the cell membrane due to Cl<sup>-</sup> inflow upon GABAergic 62 stimulation (Ben-Ari, 2002; Owens and Kriegstein, 2002). The transition from de-63 polarizing (excitatory) to hyperpolarizing (inhibitory) effects of GABA is referred 64 to as the 'GABA-switch'. It has been shown that GABAergic inputs are crucial 65 for the integration of newborn DGCs into the preexisting circuit (Ge et al., 2006; 66 Chancey et al., 2013; Alvarez et al., 2016; Heigele et al., 2016). 67

The mammalian dentate gyrus contains – just like hippocampus in general –
 a myriad of inhibitory cell types (Freund and Buzsáki, 1996; Somogyi and Klaus-

berger, 2005; Klausberger and Somogyi, 2008) including basket cells, chandelier 70 cells, and hilar cells. Basket cells can be subdivided in two categories: some ex-71 press cholecystokinin (CCK) and vasoactive intestinal polypeptide (VIP), while 72 the others express parvalbumin (PV) and are fast-spiking (Freund and Buzsáki, 73 1996; Amaral et al., 2007). Chandelier cells also express PV (Freund and Buzsáki, 74 1996). Overall, it has been estimated that PV is expressed in 15-21% of all dentate 75 GABAergic cells (Freund and Buzsáki, 1996), and in 20-25% of the GABAergic 76 neurons in the granule cell layer (Houser, 2007). Amongst the GABAergic hilar 77 cells, 55% express somatostatin (SST) (Houser, 2007) and somatostatin-positive 78 interneurons (SST-INs) represent about 16% of the GABAergic neurons in the 79 dentate gyrus as a whole (Freund and Buzsáki, 1996)]. While axons of hilar in-80 terneurons (HIL) (Yuan et al., 2017) stay in the hilus and provide perisomatic inhi-81 bition onto dentate GABAergic cells (Yuan et al., 2017), axons of hilar-perforant-82 path-associated interneurons (HIPP) extend to the molecular layer and provide 83 dendritic inhibition onto both DGCs and interneurons (Yuan et al., 2017). HIPP 84 axons generate lots of synaptic terminals and extend as far as 3.5 mm along the 85 septotemporal axis of the dentate gyrus (Amaral et al., 2007). PV-expressing 86 interneurons (PV-INs) and SST-INs both target adult-born DGCs early (after 87 2-3 weeks) in their maturation (Groisman et al., 2020). PV-INs provide both 88 feedforward inhibition and feedback inhibition (also called lateral inhibition) to 89 the DGCs (Groisman et al., 2020). In general, SST-INs provide lateral, but not 90 feedforward, inhibition onto DGCs (Stefanelli et al., 2016; Groisman et al., 2020). 91

Adult-born DGCs are preferentially reactivated by stimuli similar to the ones 92 they experienced during their early phase of maturation, up to 3 weeks after cell 93 birth (Tashiro et al., 2007). Even though the amount of newly generated cells per 94 month is rather low (3 to 6% of the total DGCs population (Van Praag et al., 95 1999; Cameron and McKay, 2001), adult-born DGCs are critical for behavioral 96 pattern separation (Clelland et al., 2009; Sahay et al., 2011a; Jessberger et al., 97 2009), in particular in tasks where similar stimuli or contexts have to be discrim-98 inated (Clelland et al., 2009; Sahay et al., 2011a). However, the functional role 90 of adult-born DGCs is controversial (Sahay et al., 2011b; Aimone et al., 2011). 100 One view is that newborn DGCs contribute to pattern separation through a mod-101 ulatory role (Sahay et al., 2011b). Another view suggests that newborn DGCs 102 act as encoding units that become sensitive to features of the environment which 103 they encounter during a critical window of maturation (Kee et al., 2007; Tashiro 104 et al., 2007). Some authors have even challenged the role of newborn DGCs in 105 pattern separation in the classical sense and have proposed a pattern integration 106 effect instead (Aimone et al., 2011). Within that broader controversy, we ask two 107 specific questions: First, why are GABAergic inputs crucial for the integration 108 of newborn DGCs into the preexisting circuit? And second, why are newborn 109 DGC particularly important in tasks where similar stimuli or contexts have to be 110 discriminated? 111

To address these questions, we present a model of how newborn DGCs inte-112 grate into the preexisting circuit. In contrast to earlier models where synaptic 113 input connections onto newborn cells were assumed to be strong enough to drive 114 them (Chambers et al., 2004; Becker, 2005; Crick and Miranker, 2006; Wiskott 115 et al., 2006; Chambers and Conroy, 2007; Aimone et al., 2009; Appleby and 116 Wiskott, 2009; Weisz and Argibay, 2009, 2012; Temprana et al., 2015; Finnegan 117 and Becker, 2015; DeCostanzo et al., 2019), our model uses an unsupervised bi-118 ologically plausible Hebbian learning rule that makes synaptic connections either 119 disappear or grow from small values at birth to values that eventually enable 120 feedforward input from EC to drive DGCs. Contrary to previous modeling stud-121 ies, our plasticity model does not require an artificial renormalization of synaptic 122 connection weights since model weights are naturally bounded by homeostatic 123 heterosynaptic plasticity. We show that learning with a biologically plausible plas-124 ticity rule is possible thanks to the GABA-switch, which has been overlooked in 125 previous modeling studies. Specifically the growth of synaptic weights from small 126 values is supported in our model by the excitatory action of GABA whereas, after 127 the switch, specialization of newborn cells arises from competition between DGCs, 128 triggered by the inhibitory action of GABA. Furthermore, our theory of adult-129 born DGCs integration yields a transparent explanation of why newborn cells 130 favor pattern separation of similar stimuli, but do not impact pattern separation 131 of distinct stimuli. 132

## 133 **Results**

We model a small patch of cells within dentate gyrus as a recurrent network of 100 134 DGCs and 25 GABAergic interneurons, omitting the Mossy cells for the sake of 135 simplicity (Fig. 1b). The modeled interneurons correspond to SST-INs from the 136 HIPP category, as they are the providers of feedback inhibition to DGCs through 137 dendritic projections (Stefanelli et al., 2016; Yuan et al., 2017; Groisman et al., 138 2020). The activity of a DGC with index i and an interneuron with index k is 139 described by their continuous firing rates  $\nu_i$  and  $\nu_k^I$ , respectively. Connectivity in 140 a localized patch of dentate neurons is high: DGCs densely project to GABAergic 141 interneurons (Acsády et al., 1998), and SST-INs heavily project to cells in their 142 neighborhood (Amaral et al., 2007). Hence, in the recurrent network model, 143 each model DGC projects to, and receives input from, a given interneuron with 144 probability 0.9. The exact percentage of GABAergic neurons (or SST-INs) in the 145 dentate gyrus as a whole is not known, but has been estimated at about 10% and 146 only a fraction of these are SST-INs (Freund and Buzsáki, 1996). The number of 147 inhibitory neurons in our model network might therefore seem too high. However, 148 our results are robust to substantial changes in the number of inhibitory neurons 149 (Suppl. Table S2). 150

Each of the 100 model DGCs receives input from a set of 144 model EC 151 cells (Fig. 1b). In the rat the number of DGCs has been estimated to be about 152  $10^6$ , while the number of EC input cells is estimated to be about  $2 \cdot 10^5$  (An-153 dersen et al., 2007), yielding an expansion factor from EC to dentate gyrus of 154 about 5. Theoretical analysis suggests that the expansion of the number of neu-155 rons enhances decorrelation of the representation of input patterns (Marr, 1969; 156 Albus, 1971; Marr, 1971; Rolls and Treves, 1998), and promotes pattern sepa-157 ration (Babadi and Sompolinsky, 2014). Our standard network model does not 158 reflect this expansion, because we want to highlight the particular ability of adult 159 neurogenesis in combination with the GABA-switch to decorrelate input patterns 160 independently of specific choices of the network architecture. However, we show 161 later that an enlarged network with an expansion from 144 model EC cells to 700 162 model DGCs (similar to the anatomical expansion factor) yields similar results. 163

At birth a DGC with index *i* does not receive synaptic glutamatergic input yet. Hence the connection from any model EC cell with index *j* is initialized at  $w_{ij} = 0$ . The growth or decay of the synaptic strength  $w_{ij}$  of the connection from *j* to *i* is controlled by a Hebbian plasticity rule (Fig. 1c):

$$\Delta w_{ij} = \eta \left\{ x_j \cdot \text{LTP}(\nu_i - \theta) - x_j \cdot \text{LTD}(\theta - \nu_i) - w_{ij} \cdot \text{HET}(\nu_i - \theta) \right\}$$
(1)

where  $x_i$  is the firing rate of the presynaptic EC neuron and  $\eta$  ('learning rate') 168 is the susceptibility of a cell to synaptic plasticity. The first term on the right-169 hand-side of equation (1) describes Long-Term-Potentiation (LTP) whenever the 170 presynaptic neuron is active  $(x_i > 0)$  and the postsynaptic firing  $\nu_i$  is above 171 a threshold  $\theta$ ; the second term on the right-hand-side of equation (1) describes 172 Long-Term-Depression (LTD) whenever the presynaptic neuron is active and the 173 postsynaptic firing rate is positive but below the threshold  $\theta$ ; LTD stops if the 174 synaptic weight is zero. Such a combination of LTP and LTD is consistent with 175 experimental data (Artola et al., 1990; Sjöström et al., 2001) as shown in ear-176 lier rate-based (Bienenstock et al., 1982) or spike-based (Pfister and Gerstner, 177 2006) plasticity models. The third term on the right-hand-side of equation (1) 178 implements heterosynaptic (HET) plasticity (Chistiakova et al., 2014; Zenke and 179 Gerstner, 2017) whenever the postsynaptic neuron fires at a rate above  $\theta$ , inde-180 pendent of presynaptic activity (Methods). It ensures that the weights cannot 181 grow without bounds (Methods). Since survival of newborn cells requires NMDA 182 receptor activation (Tashiro et al., 2006), a DGC which has not been able to 183 grow several strong weights is removed after some time and replaced by another 184 newborn DGC. 185

We ask whether such a biologically-plausible plasticity rule enables adult-born DGCs to be integrated in an existing network of mature cells. To address this question, we exploit two observations (Fig. 1a): first, the effect of interneurons onto newborn DGCs exhibits a GABA-switch from excitatory to inhibitory after about three weeks of maturation (Ge et al., 2006; Deng et al., 2010) and, second, newborn DGCs receive input from interneurons early in their maturation (before
the third week), but project back to interneurons only later (Temprana et al.,
2015). However, before integration of adult-born DGCs can be addressed, an
adult-stage network where mature cells already store some memories has to be
constructed.

#### <sup>196</sup> Mature neurons represent prototypical input patterns

In an adult-stage network, some mature cells already have a functional role. Hence 197 we pretrain our network of 100 DGCs using the same learning rule (equation (1)) 198 that we will use later for the integration of newborn cells. For the stimulation of 199 EC cells, we apply patterns representing thousands of handwritten digits in differ-200 ent writing styles from MNIST, a standard data set in artificial intelligence (LeCun 201 et al., 1998). Even though we do not expect EC neurons to show a 2-dimensional 202 arrangement, the use of 2-dimensional patterns provides a simple way to visualize 203 the activity of all 144 EC neurons in our model (Fig. 1d). We implicitely model 204 feedforward inhibition from PV-INs (Groisman et al., 2020) by normalizing the 205 L2-norm of each input pattern to unity (Methods). Below, we present results 206 for a representative combination of three digits (digits 3, 4 and 5), but other 207 combinations of digits have also been tested (Suppl. Table S1). 208

After pretraining with patterns from digits 3 and 4 in a variety of writing styles, 209 we examine the receptive field of each DGC. Each receptive field, consisting of 210 the connections from all 144 EC neurons onto one DGC, is characterized by its 211 spatial structure (i.e., the pattern of connection weights) and its total strength 212 (i.e., the efficiency of the optimal stimulus to drive the cell). We observe that out 213 of the 100 DGCs, some have developed spatial receptive fields that correspond 214 to different writing styles of digit 3, others receptive fields that correspond to 215 variants of digit 4 (Fig. 1e). 216

Behavioral discrimination has been shown to be correlated with classification 217 accuracy based on DGC population activity (Woods et al., 2020). Hence, to quan-218 tify the representation quality, we compute classification performance by a linear 219 classifier that is driven by the activity of our 100 DGC model cells (Methods). At 220 the end of pretraining, the classification performance for patterns of digits 3 and 4 221 from a distinct test set not used during pretraining is high: 99.25% (classification 222 performance on digit 3: 98.71%; digit 4: 99.80%), indicating that nearly all input 223 patterns of the two digits are well represented by the network of mature DGCs. 224 The median classification performance for ten random combinations of two groups 225 of pretrained digits is 98.54%, the 25<sup>th</sup>-percentile 97.26%, and the 75<sup>th</sup>-percentile 226 99.5% (Suppl. Table S1). 227

A detailed mathematical analysis (Methods) shows that heterosynaptic plas-

ticity in equation (1) ensures that the total strength of the receptive field of each 229 selective DGC converges to a stable value which is similar for selective DGCs. 230 As a consequence, synaptic weights are intrinsically bounded without the need to 231 impose hard bounds on the weight dynamics. Moreover, the spatial structure of 232 the receptive field represents the weighted average of all those input patterns for 233 which that DGC is responsive. The mathematical analysis also shows that those 234 DGCs that do not develop selectivity have weak synaptic connections and a very 235 low total strength of the receptive field. 236

# Newborn neurons become selective for novel patterns dur ing maturation

After convergence of synaptic weights during pretraining, selective DGCs are con-239 sidered mature cells. Some DGCs did not develop any strong weight patterns 240 and exhibit unselective receptive fields after pretraining (highlighted in red in 241 Fig. 1e). We classify these as unresponsive units. Since unresponsive model units 242 have weak synaptic connections, we assume them to die because of lack of NMDA 243 receptor activation (Tashiro et al., 2006), and replace them in the model by plastic 244 newborn DGCs. Mature cells are less plastic than newborn cells (Schmidt-Hieber 245 et al., 2004; Ge et al., 2007), so we set  $\eta = 0$  in equation (1) for mature cells and 246  $\eta = 0.01$  for newborn cells. Feedforward connection weights from EC to mature 247 cells remain therefore fixed in our model. To mimic exposure of an animal to a 248 novel set of stimuli, we now add input patterns from digit 5 to the set of presented 249 stimuli, which was previously limited to patterns of digits 3 and 4. 250

We postulate that functional integration of newborn DGCs requires the twostep maturation process caused by the GABA-switch from excitation to inhibition. Since excitatory GABAergic input potentially increases correlated activity within the dentate gyrus network, we predict that newborn DGCs respond to familiar stimuli during the early phase of maturation, but not during the late phase, when inhibitory GABAergic input leads to competition.

To test this hypothesis, our model newborn DGCs go through two maturation 257 phases (Methods). The early phase of maturation is cooperative because, for each 258 pattern presentation, activated mature DGCs indirectly excite the newborn DGCs 259 via GABAergic interneurons. We assume that in natural settings, this GABAergic 260 activation stays below the reversal potential of the GABA channels at which 261 shunting inhibition would be induced (Heigele et al., 2016). This lateral activation 262 of newborn DGCs drives the growth of their receptive fields in a direction similar 263 to those of the currently active mature DGCs. Consistent with our hypothesis 264 we find that, at the end of the early phase of maturation, newborn DGCs show a 265 receptive field corresponding to a mixture of several input patterns (Fig. 2a). 266

In the late phase of maturation, model newborn DGCs receive inhibitory 267 GABAergic input from interneurons, similar to the input received by mature 268 DGCs. Given that at the end of the early phase, newborn DGCs have receptive 269 fields similar to those of mature DGCs, lateral inhibition induces competition 270 with mature DGCs for activation during presentation of patterns from the novel 271 digit. Because model newborn DGCs start their late phase of maturation with a 272 higher excitability (lower threshold) compared to mature DGCs, consistent with 273 observed enhanced excitability of newborn cells (Schmidt-Hieber et al., 2004; Li 274 et al., 2017), the activation of newborn DGCs is facilitated for those input pat-275 terns for which no mature DGC has preexisting selectivity. Therefore, in the late 276 phase of maturation, competition drives the synaptic weights of newborn DGCs 277 towards receptive fields corresponding to different subcategories of the ensemble 278 of input patterns of the novel digit 5 (Fig. 2b). 279

During maturation, the L2-norm of the feedforward weight vector onto new-280 born DGCs increases (Fig. 2e) indicating an increase in total glutamatergic in-281 nervation, e.g. through an increase in the number and size of spines (Zhao et al., 282 2006). Nevertheless, the distribution of firing rates of newborn DGCs is shifted to 283 lower values at the end of the late phase compared to the end of the early phase of 284 maturation (Fig. 2c,d), consistent with in vivo calcium imaging recordings show-285 ing that adult-born DGCs are more active than mature DGCs (Danielson et al., 286 2016). 287

We emphasize that upon presentation of a pattern of a given digit, only those 288 DGCs with a receptive field similar to the specific writing style of the presented 289 pattern become strongly active, others fire at a medium firing rate, yet others at a 290 low rate (Fig. 2g). As a consequence, the firing rate of a particular newborn DGC 291 at the end of its maturation to a pattern from digit 5 is strongly modulated by the 292 specific choice of stimulation pattern within the class of '5's. Analogous results 293 are obtained for patterns from pretrained digits 3 and 4 (Suppl. Fig S1). Hence, 294 the ensemble of DGCs is effectively performing pattern separation within each 295 digit class as opposed to a simple ternary classification task. The selectivity of 296 newborn DGCs develops during maturation. Indeed, during the late, competitive, 297 phase, the percentage of active newborn DGCs decreases, both upon presentation 298 of familiar patterns (digits 3 and 4), as well as upon presentation of novel pat-299 terns (digit 5) (Fig. 2f). This reflects the development of the selectivity of our 300 model newborn DGCs from broad to narrow tuning, consistent with experimental 301 observations (Marín-Burgin et al., 2012; Danielson et al., 2016). 302

### <sup>303</sup> Adult-born neurons promote better discrimination

As above, we compute classification performance of our model network as a surrogate for behavioral discrimination (Woods et al., 2020). At the end of the late phase of maturation of newborn DGCs, we obtain an overall classification performance of 94.56% for the three ensembles of digits (classification performance for digit 3: 90.50%; digit 4: 98.17%; digit 5: 95.18%). Confusion matrices show that although novel patterns are not well classified at the end of the early phase of maturation (Fig. 3e), they are as well classified as pretrained patterns at the end of the late phase of maturation (Fig. 3f).

We compare this performance with that of a network where all three digit 312 ensembles are simultaneously pretrained (Fig. 3a, control 1). In this case, the 313 overall classification performance is 92.09% (classification performance for digit 3: 314 86.83%; digit 4: 98.78%; digit 5: 90.70%). The confusion matrix show that 315 all three digits are decently classified, but with an overall lower performance 316 (Fig. 3d). Across ten simulation experiments, classification performance is sig-317 nificantly higher when a novel ensemble of patterns is learned sequentially by 318 newborn DGCs, than if all patterns are learned simultaneously (Wilcoxon signed 319 rank test: p-val = 0.0020, Wilcoxon signed rank = 55; one-way t-test: p-val = 320 0.0269, t-stat = 2.6401, df = 9; Suppl. Table S1). 321

Furthermore, if two novel ensembles of digits (instead of a single one) are 322 introduced during maturation of newborn DGCs, we observe that some newborn 323 DGCs become selective for one of the novel digits, while others become selective for 324 the other novel digit (Suppl. Fig. S2a). This was expected, since we have found 325 earlier that DGCs are becoming selective for different prototype writing styles 326 even within a digit category; hence introducing several additional digit categories 327 of novel patterns simply increases the prototype diversity. Therefore, newborn 328 DGCs can ultimately promote separation of several novel overarching categories 329 of patterns, no matter if they are learned simultaneously or sequentially (Suppl. 330 Fig. S2b). 331

### <sup>332</sup> The GABA-switch guides learning of novel representations

To assess whether maturation of newborn DGCs promotes learning of a novel 333 ensemble of digit patterns, we compare our results with a control model without 334 neurogenesis (control 2). Similar to the neurogenesis case, patterns from the novel 335 digit 5 are introduced after pretraining with patterns from digits 3 and 4. The 336 feedforward weights and thresholds of DGCs that developed selectivity during 337 pretraining are fixed (learning rate  $\eta = 0$ ), while the thresholds and weights of 338 all unresponsive neurons remain plastic after pretraining ( $\eta = 0.01$ ). The only 339 differences to the model with neurogenesis are that in the control case unresponsive 340 neurons: (i) keep their feedforward weights (i.e., no reinitialization to low values), 341 and (ii) keep the same connections from and to inhibitory neurons. 342

<sup>343</sup> We find that without neurogenesis, the previously unresponsive DGCs do not

become selective for the novel digit 5, no matter during how many epochs patterns are presented (we went up to 100 epochs) (Fig. 3b, control 2). Therefore, if patterns from digit 5 are presented to the network, the model fails to discriminate them from the previously learned digits 3 and 4: the overall classification performance is 81.69% (classification performance for digit 3: 85.94%; digit 4: 97.56%; digit 5: 59.42%). This result suggests that integration of newborn DGCs is beneficial for sequential learning of novel patterns.

As a further control (control 3), we compare with a model where all DGCs 351 keep plastic feedforward weights at the end of pretraining and upon introduction 352 of the novel digit 5, no matter if they became selective or not for the pretrained 353 digits 3 and 4. We observe that in the case where all neurons are plastic, learning 354 of the novel digit occurs at the cost of loss of selectivity of mature neurons. Several 355 DGCs switch their selectivity to become sensitive to the novel digit (Fig. 3c), while 356 none of the previously unresponsive units becomes selective for presented patterns 357 (compare with Fig. 1e). In contrast to the model with neurogenesis, we observe a 358 drop in classification performance to 90.92% (classification performance for digit 359 3: 85.45%; digit 4: 98.37%; digit 5: 88.90%). We find that the classification 360 performance for digit 3 is the one which decreases the most. This is due to the 361 fact that many DGCs previously selective for digit 3 modified their weights to 362 become selective for digit 5. Importantly, the more novel patterns are introduced, 363 the more overwriting of previously stored memories occurs. Hence, if all DGCs 364 remain plastic, discrimination between a novel pattern and a familiar pattern 365 stored long ago is impaired. 366

## Maturation of newborn neurons shapes the representation of novel patterns

Since each input pattern stimulates slightly different, yet overlapping, subsets of 369 the 100 model DGCs in a sparse code such that about 20 DGCs respond to each 370 pattern (Fig. 2g), there is no simple one-to-one assignment between neurons and 371 patterns. In order to visualize the activity patterns of the ensemble of DGCs, we 372 perform dimensionality reduction. We construct a two-dimensional space using 373 the activity patterns of the network at the end of the late phase of maturation 374 of newborn DGCs trained with '3's, '4's and '5's. One axis connects the center 375 of mass (in the 100-dimensional activity space) of all DGC responses to '3's with 376 all responses to '5's (arbitrarily called 'axis 1') and the other axis those from '4's 377 to '5's (arbitrarily called 'axis 2'). We then project the activity of the 100 model 378 DGCs upon presentation of MNIST testing patterns onto those two axes, both at 379 the end of the early and late phase of maturation of newborn DGCs (Methods). 380 Each 2-dimensional projection is illustrated by a dot whose color corresponds to 381 the digit class of the presented input pattern (blue for digit 3, green for digit 4, 382

red for digit 5). Different input patterns within the same digit class cause different 383 activation patterns of the DGCs, as depicted by extended clouds of dots of the 384 same color (Fig. 4a,b). Interestingly, an example pattern of a '5' that is visually 385 similar to a '4' (characterized by the green cross) yields a DGC representation 386 that lies closer to other '4's (green cloud of dots) than to typical '5's (red cloud of 387 dots) (Fig. 4b). Noteworthy the separation of the representation of '5's from '3's 388 and '4's is better at end of the late phase (Fig. 4b) when compared to the end of 389 the early phase of maturation (Fig. 4a). For instance, even though the pattern 390 '5' corresponding to the orange cross is represented close to representations of '4's 391 at the end of the early phase of maturation (green cloud of dots, Fig. 4a), it is 392 represented far from any '3's and '4's at the end of maturation (Fig. 4b). The 393 expansion of the representation of '5's into a previously empty subspace evolves 394 as a function of time during the late phase of maturation (Fig. 4d). 395

#### <sup>396</sup> Robustness of the model

Our results are robust to changes in network architecture. As mentioned earlier, neither the exact number of GABAergic neurons (Suppl. Table S2), nor that of DGCs is critical. Indeed, a larger network with 700 DGCs, thus mimicking the anatomically observed expansion factor of about 5 between EC and dentate gyrus (all other parameters unchanged), yields similar results (Suppl. Table S3).

In the network with 700 DGCs, 275 cells remain unresponsive after pretrain-402 ing with digits 3 and 4. In line with our earlier approach in the network with 403 100 DGCs, we can algorithmically replace all unresponsive neurons with newborn 404 DGCs before patterns of digit 5 are added. Upon maturation, newborn DGC 405 receptive fields provide a detailed representation of the prototypes of the novel 406 digit 5 (Suppl. Fig. S4) and good classification performance is obtained (Suppl. 407 Table S3). Interestingly, due to the randomness of the recurrent connections, 408 some newborn DGCs become selective for particular prototypes of the familiar 409 (pretrained) digits that are not already extensively represented by the network 410 (see newborn DGCs selective for digit 4 highlighted by magenta squares in Suppl. 411 Fig. S4). 412

As an alternative to replacing all unresponsive cells simultaneously, we can also 413 replace only a fraction of them by newborn cells so as to simulate a continuous 414 turn-over of cells. For example, if 119 of the 275 unresponsive cells are replaced 415 by newborn DGCs before the start of presentations of digit 5, then these 119 416 cells become selective for different writing styles and generic features of the novel 417 digit 5 (Suppl. Fig. S5) and allow a good classification performance of all three 418 digits. On the other hand, replacing only 35 of the 275 unresponsive cells is not 419 sufficient (Suppl. Table S3). In an even bigger network with more than 144 420 EC cells and more than 700 DGCs, we could choose to replace 1% of the total 421

DGC population per week by newborn cells, consistent with biology (Van Praag et al., 1999; Cameron and McKay, 2001). Importantly, if only a small fraction of unresponsive cells are replaced at a given moment, other unresponsive cells remain available to be replaced later by newborn DGCs that are then ready to learn new stimuli.

Interestingly, the timing of the introduction of the novel stimulus is impor-427 tant. In our standard neurogenesis model, we introduce the novel digit 5 at 428 the beginning of the early phase of maturation, which consists in one epoch of 429 MNIST training patterns (all patterns are presented once). For the network with 430 100 DGCs, if the novel digit is only introduced in the middle of the early phase 431 (half epoch), it cannot be properly learned (classification performance for digit 432 5: 46.52%). However, if introduced after three-eights or one-quarter of the early 433 phase, the novel digit can be picked out (classification performance for digit 5: 434 93.61% and 94.17% resp.). We thus observe an increase in performance the ear-435 lier the novel digit is introduced (classification performance for digit 5 was 95.18%436 when introduced at the beginning of the early phase of maturation). Therefore 437 our model predicts that a novel stimulus has to be introduced early enough with 438 respect to newborn DGC maturation to be well discriminated, and that the ac-439 curacy of discrimination is better the earlier it is introduced. This could lead 440 to an online scenario of our model, where adult-born DGCs are produced every 441 day and different classes of novel patterns are introduced at different timepoints. 442 Then different model newborn DGCs would become selective for different novel 443 patterns according to their maturation stage with respect to presentation of the 444 novel patterns. 445

# <sup>446</sup> Newborn dentate granule cells become selective for similar <sup>447</sup> novel patterns

To investigate whether our theory for integration of newborn DGCs can explain 448 why adult dentate gyrus neurogenesis promotes discrimination of similar stimuli, 449 but does not affect discrimination of distinct patterns (Clelland et al., 2009; Sahay 450 et al., 2011a), we use a simplified competitive winner-take-all network (Methods). 451 It contains only as many DGCs as trained clusters, and the GABAergic inhibitory 452 neurons are implicitly modeled through direct DGC-to-DGC inhibitory connec-453 tions. DGCs are either silent or active (binary activity state, while in the detailed 454 network DGCs had continuous firing rates). The synaptic plasticity rule is however 455 the same as for the detailed network, with different parameter values (Methods). 456 We also construct an artificial data set (Fig. 5a,b) that allows us to control the 457 similarity s of pairs of clusters (Methods). The MNIST data set is not appropri-458 ate to distinguish similar from dissimilar patterns, because all digit clusters are 459 similar and highly overlapping, reflected by a high within cluster dispersion (e.g. 460

across the set of all '3') compared to the separation between clusters (e.g. typical
'3' versus typical '5').

After a pretraining period, a first mature DGC responds to patterns of cluster 1 463 and a second mature DGC to those of cluster 2 (Fig. 5e,f). We then fix the 464 feedforward weights of those two DGCs and introduce a newborn DGC in the 465 network. Thereafter, we present patterns from three clusters (the two pretrained 466 ones, as well as a novel one), while the plastic feedforward weights of the newborn 467 DGC are the only ones that are updated. We observe that the newborn DGC 468 ultimately becomes selective for the novel cluster if it is similar (s = 0.8) to 469 the two pretrained clusters (Fig. 5i), but not if it is distinct (s = 0.2, Fig. 5j). 470 The selectivity develops in two phases. In the early phase of maturation of the 471 newborn model cell, a pattern from the novel cluster that is similar to one of the 472 pretrained clusters activates the mature DGC that has a receptive field closest 473 to the novel pattern. The activated mature DGC drives the newborn DGC via 474 lateral excitatory GABA ergic connections to a firing rate where LTP is triggered 475 at active synapses onto the newborn DGC. LTP also happens when a pattern 476 from one of the pretrained clusters is presented. Thus, synaptic plasticity leads 477 to a receptive field that reflects the average of all stimuli from all three clusters 478 (Fig. 5g). 479

To summarize our findings in a more mathematical language, we characterize 480 the receptive field of the newborn cell by the vector of its feedforward weights. 481 Analogous to the notion of a firing rate vector that represents the set of firing 482 rates of an ensemble of neurons, the feedforward weight vector represents the set 483 of weights of all synapses projecting onto a given neuron (Fig. 1b). In the early 484 phase of maturation, for similar clusters, the feedforward weight vector onto the 485 newborn DGC grows in the direction of the center of mass of all three clusters 486 (the two pretrained ones and the novel one), because for each pattern presentation 487 one of the mature DGCs becomes active (compare Fig. 5g and Fig. 5k). However, 488 if the novel cluster has a low similarity to pretrained clusters, patterns from the 489 novel cluster do not activate any of the mature DGCs. Therefore the receptive 490 field of the newborn cell reflects the average of stimuli from the two pretrained 491 clusters only (compare Fig. 5h and Fig. 5l). 492

As a result of the different orientation of the feedforward weight vector onto the 493 newborn DGC at the end of the early phase of maturation, two different situations 494 arise in the late phase of maturation, when lateral GABA ergic connections are 495 inhibitory. If the novel cluster is similar to the pretrained clusters, the weight 496 vector onto the newborn DGC at the end of the early phase of maturation lies at 497 the center of mass of all the patterns across the three clusters. Thus it is closer to 498 the novel cluster than the weight vector onto either of the mature DGCs (Fig. 5g). 499 So if a novel pattern is presented, the newborn DGC wins the competition between 500 the three DGCs, and its feedforward weight vector moves towards the center of 501

mass of the novel cluster (Fig. 5i). By contrast, if the novel cluster is distinct, the 502 weight vector onto the newborn DGC at the end of the early phase of maturation 503 is located at the center of mass of the two pretrained clusters (Fig. 5h). If a novel 504 pattern is presented, no output unit is activated since their receptive fields are not 505 similar enough to the input pattern. Therefore the newborn DGC always stays 506 silent and does not update its feedforward weights (Fig. 5j). These results are 507 consistent with studies that have suggested that dentate gyrus is only involved 508 in the discrimination of similar stimuli, but not distinct stimuli (Gilbert et al., 509 2001; Hunsaker and Kesner, 2008). For discrimination of distinct stimuli, another 510 pathway might be used, such as the direct EC to CA3 connection (Yeckel and 511 Berger, 1990; Fyhn et al., 2007). 512

In conclusion, our model suggests that adult dentate gyrus neurogenesis pro-513 motes discrimination of similar patterns because newborn DGCs can ultimately 514 become selective for novel stimuli which are similar to already learned stimuli. 515 On the other hand, newborn DGCs fail to represent novel distinct stimuli, pre-516 cisely because they are too distinct from other stimuli already represented by the 517 network. Presentation of novel distinct stimuli in the late phase of maturation 518 therefore does not induce synaptic plasticity of the newborn DGC feedforward 519 weight vector toward the novel stimuli. In the simplified network, the transition 520 between similar and distinct can be determined analytically (Methods). This anal-521 vsis clarifies the importance of the switch from cooperative dynamics (excitatory 522 interactions) in the early phase to competitive dynamics (inhibitory interactions) 523 in the late phase of maturation. 524

## <sup>525</sup> Upon successful integration the receptive field of a newborn <sup>526</sup> DGC represents an average of novel stimuli

With the simplified model network, it is possible to analytically compute the 527 maximal strength of the DGC receptive field via the L2-norm of the feedforward 528 weight vector onto the newborn DGC (Suppl. Material). In addition, the angle 529 between the center of mass of the novel patterns and the feedforward weight vector 530 onto the adult-born DGC can also be analytically computed (Suppl. Material). 531 To illustrate the analytical results and characterize the evolution of the receptive 532 field of the newborn DGC, we thus examine the angle  $\phi$  of the feedforward weight 533 vector with the center of mass of the novel cluster (i.e. the average of the novel 534 stimuli), as a function of maturation time (Fig. 6b,c and Suppl. Fig. S3). 535

In the early phase of maturation, the feedforward weight vector onto the newborn DGC grows, while its angle with the center of mass of the novel cluster stays constant (Suppl. Fig. S3). In the late phase of maturation, the angle  $\phi$  between the center of mass of the novel cluster and the feedforward weight vector onto the newborn DGC decreases in the case of similar patterns (Fig. 6c, Suppl. Fig. S3),
but not in the case of distinct patterns (Suppl. Fig. S3), indicating that the newborn DGC becomes selective for the novel cluster for similar but not for distinct
patterns.

The analysis of the simplified model thus leads to a geometric picture that helps us to understand how the similarity of patterns influences the evolution of the receptive field of the newborn DGC before and after the switch from excitation to inhibition of the GABAergic input. For novel patterns that are similar to known patterns, the receptive field of a newborn DGC at the end of maturation represents the average of novel stimuli.

## 550 Discussion

While experimental studies, such as manipulating the ratio of NKCC1 to KCC2, 551 suggest that the switch from excitation to inhibition of the GABAergic input onto 552 adult-born DGCs is crucial for their integration into the preexisting circuit (Ge 553 et al., 2006; Alvarez et al., 2016) and that adult dentate gyrus neurogenesis pro-554 motes pattern separation (Clelland et al., 2009; Sahay et al., 2011a; Jessberger 555 et al., 2009), the link between channel properties and behavior has remained puz-556 zling (Sahay et al., 2011b; Aimone et al., 2011). Our modeling work shows that 557 the GABA-switch enables newborn DGCs to become selective for novel stimuli 558 which are similar to familiar, already stored, representations, consistent with the 559 experimentally-observed function of pattern separation (Clelland et al., 2009; Sa-560 hay et al., 2011a; Jessberger et al., 2009). 561

Previous modeling studies already suggested that newborn DGCs integrate 562 novel inputs into the representation in dentate gyrus (Chambers et al., 2004; 563 Becker, 2005; Crick and Miranker, 2006; Wiskott et al., 2006; Chambers and Con-564 roy, 2007; Appleby and Wiskott, 2009; Aimone et al., 2009; Weisz and Argibay, 565 2009, 2012; Temprana et al., 2015; Finnegan and Becker, 2015; DeCostanzo et al., 566 2019). However, our work differs from them in four important aspects. First 567 of all, we implement an unsupervised biologically plausible plasticity rule, while 568 many studies used supervised algorithmic learning rules (Chambers et al., 2004; 569 Becker, 2005; Chambers and Conroy, 2007; Weisz and Argibay, 2009; Finnegan 570 and Becker, 2015; DeCostanzo et al., 2019). Second, as we model the formerly 571 neglected GABA-switch, the connection weights from EC to newborn DGCs are 572 grown from small values through cooperativity in the early phase of maturation. 573 This integration step was mostly by passed in earlier models by initialization of 574 the connectivity weights towards newborn DGCs to random, yet fully grown val-575 ues (Crick and Miranker, 2006; Aimone et al., 2009; Weisz and Argibay, 2009, 576 2012; Finnegan and Becker, 2015). Third, as the dentate gyrus network is com-577

monly modeled as a competitive network, weight normalization is crucial. In our 578 framework, competition occurs during the late phase of maturation. Previous 579 modeling works either applied algorithmic weight normalization or hard bounds 580 on the weights at each iteration step (Crick and Miranker, 2006; Aimone et al., 581 2009; Weisz and Argibay, 2009, 2012; Temprana et al., 2015; Finnegan and Becker, 582 2015). Instead, our plasticity rule includes heterosynaptic plasticity which intrinsi-583 cally softly bounds connectivity weights by a homeostatic effect. Finally, although 584 some earlier computational models of adult dentate gyrus neurogenesis could ex-585 plain the pattern separation abilities of newborn cells, separation was obtained 586 independently of the similarity between the stimuli. Contrarily to experimental 587 data, no distinction was made between similar and distinct patterns (Chambers 588 et al., 2004; Becker, 2005; Crick and Miranker, 2006; Wiskott et al., 2006; Cham-589 bers and Conroy, 2007; Aimone et al., 2009; Appleby and Wiskott, 2009; Weisz and 590 Argibay, 2012; Temprana et al., 2015; Finnegan and Becker, 2015; DeCostanzo 591 et al., 2019). To our knowledge, we present the first model that can explain both: 592 (i) how adult-born DGCs integrate into the preexisting network, and (ii) why they 593 promote pattern separation of similar stimuli and not distinct stimuli. 594

Our work emphasizes why a two-phase maturation of newborn DGCs is ben-595 eficial for proper integration in the preexisting network. From a computational 596 perspective, the early phase of maturation, when GABA ergic inputs onto newborn 597 DGCs are excitatory, corresponds to cooperative unsupervised learning. There-598 fore, the synapses grow in the direction of patterns that indirectly activate the 599 newborn DGCs via GABAergic interneurons (Fig. 6a). At the end of the early 600 phase of maturation, the receptive field of a newborn DGC represents the center 601 of mass of all input patterns that led to its (indirect) activation. In the late phase 602 of maturation, GABAergic inputs onto newborn DGCs become inhibitory, so that 603 lateral interactions change from cooperation to competition, causing a shift of the 604 receptive fields of the newborn DGCs towards novel features (Fig. 6b). At the end 605 of maturation, newborn DGCs are thus selective for novel inputs. This integra-606 tion mechanism is in agreement with the experimental observation that newborn 607 DGCs are broadly tuned early in maturation, yet highly selective at the end of 608 maturation (Marín-Burgin et al., 2012; Danielson et al., 2016). Loosely speaking, 609 the cooperative phase of excitatory GABAergic input promotes the growth of the 610 synaptic weights coarsely in the relevant direction, whereas the competitive phase 611 of inhibitory GABA ergic input helps to specialize on detailed, but potentially 612 important differences between patterns. 613

In the context of theories of unsupervised learning, the switch of lateral GABAergic input to newborn DGCs from excitatory to inhibitory provides a biological solution to the "problem of unresponsive units" (Hertz et al., 1991). Unsupervised competitive learning has been used to perform clustering of input patterns into a few categories (Rumelhart and Zipser, 1985; Grossberg, 1987; Kohonen, 1989; Hertz et al., 1991; Du, 2010). Ideally, after learning of the feedforward

weights between an input layer and a competitive network, input patterns that 620 are distinct from each other activate different neuron assemblies of the compet-621 itive network. After convergence of competitive Hebbian learning, the vector of 622 feedforward weights onto a given neuron points to the center of mass of the clus-623 ter of input patterns for which it is selective (Kohonen, 1989; Hertz et al., 1991). 624 Yet, if the synaptic weights are randomly initialized, it is possible that the set 625 of feedforward weights onto some neurons of the competitive network point in a 626 direction "quasi-orthogonal" (Methods) to the subspace of the presented input 627 patterns. Therefore those neurons, called "unresponsive units", will never get 628 active during pattern presentation. Different learning strategies have been devel-629 oped in the field of artificial neural networks to avoid this problem (Grossberg, 630 1976; Bienenstock et al., 1982; Rumelhart and Zipser, 1985; Grossberg, 1987; De-631 Sieno, 1988; Kohonen, 1989; Hertz et al., 1991; Du, 2010). However, most of 632 these algorithmic approaches lack a biological interpretation. In our model, weak 633 synapses onto newborn DGCs form spontaneously after neuronal birth. The exci-634 tatory GABA ergic input in the early phase of maturation drives the growth of the 635 synaptic weights in the direction of the subspace of presented patterns that suc-636 ceed in activating some of the mature DGCs. Hence the early cooperative phase 637 of maturation can be seen as a smart initialization of the synaptic weights onto 638 newborn DGCs, close enough to novel patterns so as to become selective for them 639 in the late competitive phase of maturation. However, the cooperative phase is 640 helpful only if the novel patterns are similar to the input statistics defined by the 641 set of known (familiar) patterns. 642

Our results are in line with the classic view that dentate gyrus is responsible for decorrelation of inputs (Marr, 1969; Albus, 1971; Marr, 1971; Rolls and Treves, 1998), a necessary step for differential storage of similar memories in CA3, and with the observation that dentate gyrus lesions impair discrimination of similar but not distinct stimuli (Gilbert et al., 2001; Hunsaker and Kesner, 2008). To discriminate distinct stimuli, another pathway might be involved, such as the direct EC to CA3 connection (Yeckel and Berger, 1990; Fyhn et al., 2007).

Our model of transition from an early cooperative phase to a late compet-650 itive phase makes specific predictions, at the behavioral and cellular level. In 651 our model, the early cooperative phase of maturation can only drive the growth 652 of synaptic weights onto newborn cells if they are indirectly activated by ma-653 ture DGCs through GABAergic input, which has an excitatory effect due to the 654 high NKCC1/KCC2 ratio early in maturation. Therefore our model predicts that 655 NKCC1-knockout mice would be impaired in discriminating similar contexts or 656 objects because newborn cells stay silent due to lack of indirect activation. The 657 feedforward weight vector onto newborn DGCs could not grow in the early phase 658 and newborn DGCs could not become selective for novel inputs. Therefore our 659 model predicts that since newborn DGCs are poorly integrated into the preex-660 isting circuit, they are unlikely to survive. If, however, in the same paradigm 661

newborn cells are activated by light-induced or electrical stimulation, we predict that they become selective to novel patterns. Thus discrimination abilities would be restored and newborn DGCs are likely to survive. Analogously, we predict that using inducible NKCC1-knockout mice, animals would gradually be impaired in discrimination tasks after induced knockout and reach a stable maximum impairment about 3 weeks after the start of induced knockout.

Experimental observations support the importance of the switch from early 668 excitation to late inhibition of the GABAergic input onto newborn DGCs. An ab-669 sence of early excitation using NKCC1-knockout mice has been shown to strongly 670 affect synapse formation and dendritic development in vivo (Ge et al., 2006). Con-671 versely, a reduction in inhibition in the dentate gyrus through decrease in KCC2 672 expression has been associated with epileptic activity (Pathak et al., 2007; Bar-673 mashenko et al., 2011). An analogous switch of the GABAergic input has been 674 observed during development, and its proper timing has been shown to be cru-675 cial for sensorimotor gating and cognition (Wang and Kriegstein, 2010; Furukawa 676 et al., 2017). In addition to early excitation and late inhibition, our theory also 677 critically depends on the switch. In our model, the switch makes an instantaneous 678 transition between early and late phase of maturation. Several experimental re-679 sults have suggested that the switch is indeed sharp and occurs within a single 680 day, both during development (Khazipov et al., 2004; Tyzio et al., 2007; Leonzino 681 et al., 2016) and adult dentate gyrus neurogenesis (Heigele et al., 2016). Fur-682 thermore, in hippocampal cell cultures, expression of KCC2 is upregulated by 683 GABAergic activity but not affected by glutamatergic activity (Ganguly et al., 684 2001). A similar process during adult dentate gyrus neurogenesis would increase 685 the number of newborn DGCs available for representing novel features by advanc-686 ing the timing of their switch. In this way, instead of a few thousands of newborn 687 DGCs ready to switch (3 to 6% of the whole population (Van Praag et al., 1999; 688 Cameron and McKay, 2001), divided by 30 days), a larger fraction of newborn 689 DGCs would be made available for coding, if appropriate stimulation occurs. 690

To conclude, our theory for integration of adult-born DGCs suggests that 691 newborn cells have a coding –rather than a modulatory–role during dentate gyrus 692 pattern separation function. Our theory highlights the importance of GABAergic 693 input in adult dentate gyrus neurogenesis, and links the switch from excitation 694 to inhibition to the integration of newborn DGCs into the preexisting circuit. 695 Finally, it illustrates how Hebbian plasticity of EC to DGC synapses along with 696 the switch make newborn cells suitable to promote pattern separation of similar 697 but not distinct stimuli, a long-standing mystery in the field of adult dentate 698 gyrus neurogenesis (Sahay et al., 2011b; Aimone et al., 2011). 699

## $_{700}$ Methods

## <sup>701</sup> Network architecture and neuronal dynamics

DGCs are the principal cells of the dentate gyrus. They mainly receive excitatory 702 projections from the entorhinal cortex through the perforant path and GABAergic 703 inputs from local interneurons, as well as excitatory input from Mossy cells. They 704 project to CA3 pyramidal cells and inhibitory neurons, as well as local Mossy 705 cells (Acsády et al., 1998; Henze et al., 2002; Amaral et al., 2007; Temprana 706 et al., 2015). In our model, we omit Mossy cells for simplicity and describe the 707 dentate gyrus as a competitive circuit consisting of  $N_{DGC}$  dentate granule cells 708 and  $N_I$  GABAergic interneurons (Fig. 1b). The activity of  $N_{EC}$  neurons in EC 709 represents an input pattern  $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_{N_{EC}})$ . Because the performin path 710 also induces strong feedforward inhibition in the dentate gyrus (Li et al., 2013), 711 we assume that the effective EC activity is normalized, such that  $||\vec{x}|| = 1$  for 712 any input pattern  $\vec{x}$ . We use P different input patterns  $\vec{x}^{\mu}$ ,  $1 \leq \mu \leq P$  in the 713 simulations of the model. 714

In our network, model EC neurons have excitatory all-to-all connections to 715 the DGCs. In rodent hippocampus, spiking mature DGCs activate interneurons 716 in DG, which in turn inhibit other mature DGCs (Temprana et al., 2015; Alvarez 717 et al., 2016). In our model, the DGCs are thus recurrently connected with in-718 hibitory neurons (Fig. 1b). Connections from DGCs to interneurons exist in our 719 model with probability  $p_{IE}$  and have a weight  $w_{IE}$ . Similarly, connections from 720 interneurons to DGCs occur with probability  $p_{EI}$  and have a weight  $w_{EI}$ . All 721 parameters are reported in Table 1(Biologically-plausible network). 722

Before an input pattern is presented, all rates of model DGCs are initialized to zero. Upon stimulation with input pattern  $\vec{x}$ , the firing rate  $\nu_i$  of DGC *i* evolves according to (Miller and Fumarola, 2012):

$$\tau_m \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu_i}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\nu_i + \tanh\left(\frac{[I_i - b_i]_+}{L}\right) \tag{2}$$

where  $[.]_+$  denotes rectification: [a] = a for a > 0 and zero otherwise. Here,  $b_i$  is a firing threshold, L = 0.5 is the smoothness parameter of the frequency-current curve ( $L^{-1}$  is the slope of the frequency-current curve at the firing threshold), and  $I_i$  the total input to cell *i*:

$$I_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_{EC}} w_{ij} x_{j} + \sum_{k=1}^{N_{I}} w_{ik}^{EI} \nu_{k}^{I}$$
(3)

with  $x_j$  the activity of EC input neuron j,  $w_{ij} \ge 0$  the feedforward weight from EC input neuron j to DGC i, and  $w_{ik}^{EI}$  the weight from inhibitory neuron k to <sup>732</sup> DGC *i*. The sum runs over all inhibitory neurons, but the weights are set to <sup>733</sup>  $w_{ik}^{EI} = 0$  if the connection is absent. The firing rate  $\nu_i$  is unit-free and normalized <sup>734</sup> to a maximum of 1, which we interpret as a firing rate of 10 Hz. We take the <sup>735</sup> synaptic weights as unit-less parameters such that  $I_i$  is also unit-free.

The firing rate  $\nu_k^I$  of inhibitory neuron k, is defined as:

$$\tau_{\rm inh} \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu_k^I}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\nu_k^I + [I_k^I - p^* N_{DGC}]_+ \tag{4}$$

<sup>737</sup> with  $p^*$  a parameter which relates to the desired ensemble sparsity, and  $I_k^I$  the <sup>738</sup> total input towards interneuron k, given as:

$$I_{k}^{I} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{DGC}} w_{ki}^{IE} \nu_{i}$$
(5)

with  $w_{ki}^{IE}$  the weight from DGC *i* to inhibitory neuron *k*. (We set  $w_{ki}^{IE} = 0$  if the connection is absent.) The feedback from inhibitory neurons ensures a sparse activity of model DGCs for each pattern. With  $p^* = 0.1$  we find that more than 70 % of model DGCs are silent (firing rate < 1 Hz (Senzai and Buzsáki, 2017)) when an input pattern is presented, and less than 10% are highly active (firing rate > 9 Hz) (Fig. 2c,d), consistent with the experimentally observed sparse activity in dentate gyrus (Chawla et al., 2005).

#### 746 Plasticity rule

Projections from EC onto newborn DGCs exhibit Hebbian plasticity (Schmidt-Hieber et al., 2004; Ge et al., 2007; McHugh et al., 2007). Therefore, in our model the connections from EC neurons to DGCs are plastic, following a Hebbian learning rule which exhibits long-term depression (LTD) or long-term potentiation (LTP) depending on the firing rate  $\nu_i$  of the postsynaptic cell (Bienenstock et al., 1982; Artola et al., 1990; Sjöström et al., 2001; Pfister and Gerstner, 2006). Input patterns  $\vec{x}^{\mu}$ ,  $1 \leq \mu \leq P$ , are presented in random order. For each input pattern, we let the firing rates converge for a time T where T was chosen long enough to achieve convergence to a precision of  $10^{-6}$ . After n-1 presentations (i.e. at time  $(n-1) \cdot T$ ) the weight vector has value  $w_{ij}^{(n-1)}$ . We then present the next pattern and update at time  $n \cdot T$  ( $w_{ij}^{(n)} = w_{ij}^{(n-1)} + \Delta w_{ij}$ ), according to the following plasticity rule:

$$\Delta w_{ij} = \eta \left\{ -\alpha x_j \nu_i [\theta - \nu_i]_+ + \gamma x_j \nu_i [\nu_i - \theta]_+ - \beta w_{ij} [\nu_i - \theta]_+ \nu_i^3 \right\}$$
(6)

where  $x_j$  is the firing rate of presynaptic EC input neuron j,  $\nu_i$  the firing rate of postsynaptic DGC i,  $\eta$  the learning rate,  $\theta$  marks the transition from LTD to

LTP, and the relative strength  $\alpha$ ,  $\gamma$  of LTP and LTD depend on  $\theta$  via  $\alpha = \frac{\alpha_0}{\theta^3} > 0$ 749 and  $\gamma = \gamma_0 - \theta > 0$ . The values of the parameters  $\alpha_0, \gamma_0, \beta$ , and  $\theta$  are given in Ta-750 ble 1(Biologically-plausible network). The weights are hard-bounded from below 751 at 0, i.e. if equation (6) leads to a new weight smaller than zero,  $w_{ij}$  is set to zero. 752 The first two terms of equation (6) are a variation of the BCM rule (Bienenstock 753 et al., 1982). The third term implements heterosynaptic plasticity (Chistiakova 754 et al., 2014; Zenke and Gerstner, 2017). Because the first two terms of the plas-755 ticity rule are Hebbian and proportional to the presynaptic activity  $x_j$ , the active 756 DGCs  $(\nu_i > \theta)$  update their feedforward weights in direction of the input pattern 757  $\vec{x}$ . Moreover, all weights onto neuron i are downregulated heterosynaptically by 758 an amount that increases supra-linearly with the postsynaptic rate  $\nu_i$ . Similar to 759 learning in a competitive network (Kohonen, 1989; Hertz et al., 1991), the vector 760 of feedforward weights onto active DGCs will move towards the center of mass of 761 the cluster of patterns they are selective for, as we will discuss now. 762

For a given input pattern  $\vec{x}^{\mu}$ , there are three fixed points for the postsynaptic firing rate:  $\nu_i = 0, \nu_i = \theta$ , and  $\nu_i = \hat{\nu}_i$  (the negative root is omitted, because  $\nu_i \ge 0$ due to equation (2)). For  $\nu_i < \theta$ , there is LTD, so the weights move toward zero:  $w_{ij} \to 0$ , while for  $\nu_i > \theta$ , there is LTP, so the weights move toward  $w_{ij} \to \frac{\gamma x_j^{\mu}}{\beta \hat{\nu}_i^2}$ (Fig. 1c). The value of  $\hat{\nu}_i$  is defined implicitly by the network equations (2)-(5). If a pattern  $\vec{x}^{\mu}$  is presented only for a short time these fixed points are not reached during a single pattern presentation.

#### <sup>770</sup> Winners, losers, and quasi-orthogonal inputs

We define the winners as the DGCs which become strongly active  $(\nu_i > \theta)$  during 771 presentation of an input pattern. Since the input patterns are normalized to have 772 an L2-norm of 1 ( $||\vec{x}^{\mu}|| = 1$  by construction), and the L2-norm of the feedforward 773 weight vectors is bounded (see Section Direction and length of the weight vector), 774 the winning units are the ones whose weight vectors  $\vec{w}_i$  (row of the feedforward 775 connectivity matrix) align best with the current input pattern  $\vec{x}^{\mu}$ . Furthermore, 776 we say that an input pattern  $\vec{x}^{\mu}$  is "quasi-orthogonal" to a weight vector  $\vec{w}_i$  if 777  $I_i = \sum_{j=1}^{N_{EC}} w_{ij} x_j + \sum_{k=1}^{N_I} w_{ik}^{EI} \nu_k^I < b_i$ . If an input pattern  $\vec{x}^{\mu}$  is quasi-orthogonal to a weight vector  $\vec{w}_i$ , then neuron *i* does not fire in response to  $\vec{x}^{\mu}$ . Note that 778 779 for a case without inhibitory neurons and with  $b_i \to 0$ , we recover the standard 780 orthogonality condition. 781

#### 782 Direction and length of the weight vector

Let us denote the ensemble of patterns for which neuron i is a winner by  $C_i$  and call this the set of winning patterns  $(C_i = \{\mu | \nu_i > \theta\})$ . Suppose that neuron i is quasi-orthogonal to all other patterns, so that for all  $\mu \notin C_i$  we have  $\nu_i = 0$ . Then the feedforward weight vector of neuron *i* converges in expectation to:

$$\vec{w}_i = \frac{\gamma}{\beta} \frac{\langle G_1(\nu_i) \vec{x} \rangle_{\mu \in C_i}}{\langle G_2(\nu_i) \rangle_{\mu \in C_i}} \tag{7}$$

where  $G_1(\nu_i) = (\nu_i - \theta)\nu_i$  and  $G_2(\nu_i) = (\nu_i - \theta)\nu_i^3$ . Hence  $\vec{w_i}$  is a weighted average over all winning patterns.

The squared length of the feedforward weight vector can be computed by multiplying equation (7) with  $\vec{w_i}$ :

$$||\vec{w}_i||^2 = \vec{w}_i \cdot \vec{w}_i = \frac{\gamma}{\beta} \frac{\langle G_1(\nu_i) \left( \vec{w}_i \cdot \vec{x} \right) \rangle_{\mu \in C_i}}{\langle G_2(\nu_i) \rangle_{\mu \in C_i}}$$
(8)

Since input patterns have length one, the scalar product on the right-hand side can be rewritten as  $\vec{w_i} \cdot \vec{x} = ||\vec{w_i}|| \cos(\alpha)$  where  $\alpha$  is the angle between the weight vector and pattern  $\vec{x}$ . Division by  $||\vec{w_i}||$  yields the L2-norm of the feedforward weight vector:

$$||\vec{w_i}|| = \frac{\gamma}{\beta} \frac{\langle G_1(\nu_i) \cos(\alpha) \rangle_{\mu \in C_i}}{\langle G_2(\nu_i) \rangle_{\mu \in C_i}}$$
(9)

<sup>793</sup> where the averages run, as before, over all winning patterns.

Let us now derive bounds for  $||\vec{w_i}||$ . First, since  $\cos(\alpha) \leq 1$  we have  $\langle G_1(\nu_i)\cos(\alpha) \rangle_{\mu \in C_i} \leq \langle G_1(\nu_i) \rangle_{\mu \in C_i}$ . Second, since for all winning patterns  $\nu_i > \theta$ , where  $\theta$  is the LTP threshold, we have  $\langle G_2(\nu_i) \rangle_{\mu \in C_i} \geq \langle (\nu_i - \theta) \nu_i \rangle \theta^2$ . Thus the length of the weight vector is finite and bounded by:

$$||\vec{w_i}|| \leqslant \frac{\gamma}{\beta} \frac{\langle G_1(\nu_i) \rangle_{\mu \in C_i}}{\langle G_2(\nu_i) \rangle_{\mu \in C_i}} \leqslant \frac{\gamma}{\beta} \frac{1}{\theta^2}$$
(10)

It is possible to make the second bound tighter if we find the winning pattern with the smallest firing rate  $\nu_{\min}$  such that  $\nu_i \ge \nu_{\min} \quad \forall i \in C_i$ :

$$||\vec{w_i}|| \leqslant \frac{\gamma}{\beta} \frac{1}{\left(\nu_{\min}\right)^2} \tag{11}$$

The bound is reached if neuron i is winner for a single input pattern.

We can also derive a lower bound. For a pattern  $\mu \in C_i$ , let us write the firing rate of neuron *i* as  $\nu_i(\mu) = \bar{\nu}_i + \Delta \nu_i(\mu)$  where  $\bar{\nu}_i$  is the mean firing rate of neuron *i* averaged across all winning patterns and  $\langle \Delta \nu_i \rangle_{\mu \in C_i} = 0$ . We assume that the absolute size of  $\Delta \nu_i$  is small, i.e.,  $\langle (\Delta \nu_i)^2 \rangle_{\mu \in C_i} \ll (\bar{\nu}_i)^2$ . Linearization of equation (9) around  $\bar{\nu}_i$  yields:

$$||\vec{w}_i|| = \frac{\gamma}{\beta} \frac{G_1(\bar{\nu}_i)}{G_2(\bar{\nu}_i)} \langle \cos(\alpha) \rangle_{\mu \in C_i} + \frac{\gamma}{\beta} \frac{G_1'(\bar{\nu}_i)}{G_2(\bar{\nu}_i)} \langle \cos(\alpha) \Delta \nu_i \rangle_{\mu \in C_i}$$
(12)

Elementary geometric arguments for a neuron model with monotonically increasing frequency-current curve yield that the value of  $\langle \cos(\alpha)\Delta\nu_i\rangle_{\mu\in C_i}$  is positive (or zero), because an increase in the angle  $\alpha$  lowers both the cosine and the firing rate, giving rise to a positive correlation. Since we are interested in a lower bound, we can therefore drop the term proportional to  $G'_1$  and evaluate the ratio  $G_1/G_2$ to find:

$$||\vec{w_i}|| \ge \frac{\gamma}{\beta} \frac{1}{(\bar{\nu}_i)^2} \langle \cos(\alpha) \rangle_{\mu \in C_i} \ge \frac{\gamma}{\beta} \frac{1}{(\nu_{\max})^2} \cos(\hat{\alpha}) \tag{13}$$

where  $\nu_{\max}$  is the maximal firing rate of a DGC and  $\hat{\alpha} = \max_{\mu \in C_i} \{\alpha\}$  is the angle of the winning pattern that has the largest angle with the weight vector. The first bound is tight and is reached if neuron *i* is winner for only two patterns.

To summarize we find that the length of the weight vector remains bounded in a narrow range. Hence, for a reasonable distribution of input patterns and weight vectors, the value of  $||\vec{w_i}||$  is similar for different neurons *i*, so that the weight vector will have, after convergence, similar lengths for all DGCs that are winners for at least one pattern. In our simulations with the MNIST data set, we find that the length of feedforward weight vectors lies in the range between 9.3 and 11.1 across all responsive neurons with a mean value close to 10; cf. Fig. 2e.

#### <sup>817</sup> Early maturation phase

During the early phase of maturation, the GABAergic input onto a newborn 818 DGC with index l has an excitatory effect. In the model, it is implemented as 819 follows:  $w_{lk}^{EI} = -w_{EI} > 0$  with probability  $p_{EI}$  for any interneuron k and  $w_{lk}^{EI} = 0$ 820 otherwise (no connection). Since newborn cells do not project yet onto inhibitory 821 neurons (Temprana et al., 2015), we have  $w_{kl}^{IE} = 0 \ \forall l$ . Newborn DGCs are known 822 to have enhanced excitability (Schmidt-Hieber et al., 2004; Li et al., 2017), so 823 their threshold is kept at  $b_l = 0 \,\forall l$ . Because the newborn model DGCs receive 824 lateral excitation via interneurons and their thresholds are zero during the early 825 phase of maturation, the lateral excitatory GABAergic input is always sufficient 826 to activate them. Hence, if the firing rate of a newborn DGC exceeds the LTP 827 threshold  $\theta$ , the feedforward weights grow towards the presented input pattern, 828 cf. equation (6). 829

Presentation of all patterns of the data set once (1 epoch) is sufficient to reach convergence of the feedforward weights onto newborn DGCs. We define the end of the first epoch as the end of the early phase, i.e., simulation of one epoch of the model corresponds to about three weeks of biological time.

#### <sup>834</sup> Late maturation phase

During the late phase of maturation (starting at about 3 weeks (Ge et al., 2006)), 835 the GABAergic input onto newborn DGCs switches from excitatory to inhibitory. 836 In terms of our model, it means that all existing  $w_{lk}^{EI}$  connections switch their 837 sign to  $w_{EI} < 0$ . Furthermore, since newborn DGCs develop lateral connections 838 to inhibitory neurons in the late maturation phase (Temprana et al., 2015), we 839 set  $w_{kl}^{IE} = w_{IE}$  with probability  $p_{IE}$ , and  $w_{kl}^{IE} = 0$  otherwise. The thresholds of 840 newborn DGCs are updated after presentation of pattern  $\mu$  at time  $n \cdot T$   $(b_l^{(n)} =$ 841  $b_l^{(n-1)} + \Delta b_l$  according to  $\Delta b_l = \eta_b (\nu_l - \nu_0)$ , where  $\nu_0$  is a reference rate and  $\eta_b$ 842 a learning rate, to mimic the decrease of excitability as newborn DGCs mature 843 (Table 1, Biologically-plausible network). Therefore the distribution of firing rates 844 of newborn DGCs is shifted to the left (towards lower firing rates) at the end of the 845 late phase of maturation compared to the early phase of maturation (Fig. 2c,d). A 846 sufficient condition for a newborn DGC to win the competition upon presentation 847 of patterns of the novel cluster is that the scalar product between a pattern of 848 the novel cluster and the feedforward weight vector onto the newborn DGC is 849 larger than the scalar product between the pattern of the novel cluster and the 850 feedforward weight vector onto any of the mature DGCs. Analogous to the early 851 phase of maturation, presentation of all patterns of the data set once (1 epoch) 852 is sufficient to reach convergence of the feedforward weights onto newborn DGCs. 853 We therefore consider that the late phase of maturation has been finished after 854 one epoch. 855

#### 856 Input patterns

<sup>857</sup> Two different sets of input patterns are used. Both data sets have a number K<sup>858</sup> of clusters and several thousands of patterns per cluster. As a first data set, we <sup>859</sup> use the MNIST 12x12 patterns (LeCun et al., 1998) ( $N_{EC} = 144$ ), normalized <sup>860</sup> such that the L2-norm of each pattern is equal to 1. The training set contains <sup>861</sup> approximately 6000 patterns per digit, while the testing set contains about 1000 <sup>862</sup> patterns per digit (Fig. 1d).

As a second data set, we use hand-made artificial patterns designed such that the distance between the centers of any two clusters, or in other words their pairwise similarity, is the same. All clusters lie on the positive quadrant of the surface of a hypersphere of dimension  $N_{EC} - 1$ . The cluster centers are Walsh <sup>867</sup> patterns shifted along the diagonal (Fig. 5b):

$$\vec{P}^{1} = \frac{1}{c_{0}} \left( 1 + \xi, 1 - \xi, 1 + \xi, 1 - \xi, ..., 1 + \xi, 1 - \xi, 1 + \xi, 1 - \xi \right)$$

$$\vec{P}^{2} = \frac{1}{c_{0}} \left( 1 + \xi, 1 + \xi, 1 - \xi, 1 - \xi, ..., 1 + \xi, 1 + \xi, 1 - \xi, 1 - \xi \right)$$

$$...$$

$$\vec{P}^{K} = \frac{1}{c_{0}} \left( 1 + \xi, 1 + \xi, 1 + \xi, 1 + \xi, ..., 1 - \xi, 1 - \xi, 1 - \xi, 1 - \xi \right)$$
(14)

with  $|\xi| < 1$  a parameter that determines the spacing between clusters.  $c_0$  is a normalization factor to ensure that the center of mass of all clusters has an L2-norm of 1:

$$c_0 = \sqrt{N_{EC} \left(1 + \xi^2\right)}.$$
 (15)

The number of input neurons  $N_{EC}$  is  $N_{EC} = 2^{K}$ . The scalar product, and hence the angle  $\Omega$ , between the center of mass of any pair of clusters k and  $l \ (k \neq l)$  is a function of  $\xi$  (Fig. 5a):

$$\vec{P}^k \cdot \vec{P}^l = \frac{1}{1+\xi^2} = \cos(\Omega) \tag{16}$$

We define the pairwise similarity s of two clusters as:  $s = 1 - \xi$ . Highly similar clusters have a large s due to the small distance between their centers (hence a small  $\xi$ ).

To make the artificial data set comparable to the MNIST 12x12 data set, we 877 choose K = 7, so  $N_{EC} = 128$ , and we generate 6000 noisy patterns per cluster for 878 the training set and 1000 other noisy patterns per cluster for the testing set. Since 879 our noisy high-dimensional input patterns have to be symmetrically distributed 880 around the centers of mass  $\vec{P}^k$ , yet lie on the hypersphere, we have to use an 881 appropriate sampling method. The patterns  $\vec{x}^{\mu(k)}$  of a given cluster k with center 882 of mass  $\vec{P}^k$  are thus sampled from a Von Mises-Fisher distribution (Mardia and 883 Jupp, 2009): 884

$$\vec{x}^{\mu(k)} \sim \left(\sqrt{1-a^2}\right) \vec{\zeta} + a\vec{P}^k \tag{17}$$

with  $\vec{\zeta}$  an L2-normalized vector taken in the space orthogonal to  $\vec{P}^k$ . The vector  $\vec{\zeta}$ 885 is obtained by performing the singular-value decomposition of  $\vec{P}^k$  ( $U\Sigma V^* = \vec{P}^k$ ), 886 and multiplying the matrix U (after removing its first column), which corresponds 887 to the left-singular vectors in the orthogonal space to  $\vec{P}^k$ , with a vector whose 888 elements are drawn from the standard normal distribution. Then the L2-norm of 889 the obtained pattern is set to 1, so that it lies on the surface of the hypersphere. 890 A rejection sampling scheme is used to obtain a (Mardia and Jupp, 2009). The 891 sample a is kept if  $\kappa a + (N_{EC} - 1)\ln(1 - \psi a) - c \ge \ln(u)$ , with  $\kappa$  a concentration 892 parameter,  $\psi = \frac{1-b}{1+b}$ ,  $c = \kappa \psi + (N_{EC} - 1) \ln(1 - \psi^2)$ , *u* drawn from a uniform 893

<sup>894</sup> distribution 
$$u \sim U[0, 1]$$
,  $a = \frac{1 - (1 + b)z}{1 - (1 - b)z}$ ,  $b = \frac{N_{EC} - 1}{\sqrt{4\kappa^2 + (N_{EC} - 1)^2 + 2\kappa}}$ , and z drawn from a  
<sup>895</sup> beta distribution  $z \sim \mathcal{B}e(\frac{N_{EC} - 1}{2}, \frac{N_{EC} - 1}{2})$ .

The concentration parameter  $\kappa$  characterizes the spread of the distribution around the center  $\vec{P}^k$ . In the limit where  $\kappa \to 0$ , sampling from the Von Mises-Fisher distribution becomes equivalent to sampling uniformly on the surface of the hypersphere, so the clusters become highly overlapping. In dimension  $N_{EC} = 128$ , if  $\kappa > 10^3$  the probability of overlap between clusters is negligible. We use a value  $\kappa = 10^4$ .

#### <sup>902</sup> Classification performance (readout network)

It has been observed that classification performance based on DGC population activity is a good proxy for behavioral discrimination (Woods et al., 2020). Hence, to evaluate whether the newborn DGCs contribute to the function of the dentate gyrus network, we study classification performance. Once the feedforward weights have been adjusted upon presentation of many input patterns from the training set (Section Plasticity rule), we keep them fixed and determine classification on the test set using artificial readout units (RO).

To do so, the readout weights  $(w_{ki}^{RO} \text{ from model DGC } i \text{ to readout unit } k)$  are initialized at random values drawn from a uniform distribution:  $w_{ki}^{RO} \sim \sigma \mathcal{U}(0, 1)$ , with  $\sigma = 0.1$ . The number of readout units,  $N_{RO}$ , corresponds to the number of learned classes. To adjust the readout weights, all patterns of the training data set that belong to the learned classes are presented one after the other. For each pattern  $\vec{x}^{\mu}$ , we let the firing rate of the DGCs converge (values at convergence:  $\nu_i^{\mu}$ ). The activity of a readout unit k is given by:

$$\nu_k^{RO,\mu} = g\left(I_k^{RO,\mu}\right) = g\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_{DGC}} w_{ki}^{RO} \nu_i^{\mu}\right) \tag{18}$$

As we aim to assess the performance of the network of DGCs, the readout weights are adjusted by an artificial supervised learning rule. The loss function, which corresponds to the difference between the activity of the readout units and a one-hot representation of the corresponding pattern label (Hertz et al., 1991),

$$L(W^{RO}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{RO}} (L_k^{\mu} - \nu_k^{RO,\mu})^2$$
(19)

with  $L_k^{\mu}$  the element k of a one-hot representation of the correct label of pattern  $\vec{x}^{\mu}$ , is minimized by stochastic gradient descent:

$$\Delta w_{ki}^{RO,\mu} = \eta (L_k^{\mu} - \nu_k^{RO,\mu}) g' \left( I_k^{RO,\mu} \right) \nu_i^{\mu}.$$
 (20)

The readout units have a rectified hyperbolic tangent frequency-current curve:  $g(x) = \tanh(2[x]_+)$ , whose derivative is:  $g'(x) = 2(1 - (\tanh(2[x]_+))^2)$ . We learn the weights of the readout units over 100 epochs of presentations of all training patterns with  $\eta = 0.01$ , which is sufficient to reach convergence.

Thereafter, the readout weights are fixed. Each test set pattern belonging to 923 one of the learned classes is presented once, and the firing rates of the DGCs are 924 let to converge. Finally, the activity of the readout units  $\nu_k^{RO,\mu}$  is computed and 925 compared to the correct label  $L_k^{\mu}$  of the presented pattern. If the readout unit with 926 the highest activity value is the one that represents the class of the presented input 927 pattern, the pattern is said to be correctly classified. Classification performance 928 is given by the number of correctly classified patterns divided by the total number 929 of test patterns of the learned classes. 930

#### 931 Control cases

In our standard setting, patterns from a third digit are presented to a network 932 that has previously only seen patterns from two digits. The question is whether 933 neurogenesis helps when adding the third digit. We use several control cases to 934 compare with the neurogenesis case. In the first control case, all three digits are 935 learned in parallel (Fig. 3a, control 1). In the two other control cases, we either 936 keep all feedforward connections towards the DGCs plastic (Fig. 3c, control 3), 937 or fix the feedforward connections for all selective DGCs but keep unselective 938 neurons plastic (as in the neurogenesis case) (Fig. 3b, control 2). However, in 939 both instances, the DGCs do not mature in the two-step process induced by the 940 GABA-switch that is part of our model of neurogenesis. 941

### 942 Pretraining with two digits

As we are interested by neurogenesis at the adult stage, we pretrain the network 943 with patterns from two digits, such that it already stores some memories before 944 neurogenesis takes place. To do so, we randomly initialize the weights from EC 945 neurons to DGCs: they are drawn from a uniform distribution  $(w_{ii} \sim U[0, 1])$ . 946 The L2-norm of the feedforward weight vector onto each DGC is then normal-947 ized to 1, to ensure fair competition between DGCs during learning. Then we 948 present all patterns from digits 3 and 4 in random order, as many times as needed 949 for convergence of the weights. During each pattern presentation the firing rates 950 of the DGCs are computed (Section Network architecture and neuronal dynam-951 ics) and their feedforward weights are updated according to our plasticity rule 952 (Section Plasticity rule). We find that we need approximately 40 epochs for con-953 vergence of the weights, and use 80 epochs to make sure that all weights are stable. 954

At the end of pretraining, our network is considered to correspond to an adult stage, because some DGCs are selective for prototypes of the pretrained digits (Fig. 1e).

#### <sup>958</sup> Projection on pairwise discriminatory axes

To assess how separability of the DGC activation patterns develops during the late phase of maturation of newborn DGCs, we project the population activity onto axes which are optimized for pairwise discrimination (patterns from digit 3 versus patterns from digit 5, 4 versus 5, and 3 vs 4). Those axes are determined using Fisher linear discriminant analysis (LDA), as explained below.

We determine the vector of DGC firing rates,  $\vec{\nu}$ , at the end of the late phase of 964 maturation of newborn DGCs upon presentation of each pattern,  $\vec{x}$ , from digits 965 3, 4 and 5 of the training MNIST dataset. The mean activity in response to all 966 training patterns  $\mu$  from digit m,  $\vec{\mu}_m = \frac{1}{N_m} \sum_{\mu \in m} \vec{\nu}^{\mu}$ , is computed for each of the three digits  $(N_m$  is the number of training patterns of digit m). The pairwise 967 968 Fisher linear discriminant is defined as the linear function  $\vec{w}^T \vec{\nu}$  that maximizes the 969 distance between the means of the projected activity in response to two digits (eg. 970 m and n), while normalizing for within-digit variability. The objective function 971 to maximize is thus given as: 972

$$J(w) = \frac{w^T S_B w}{w^T S_W w} \tag{21}$$

with  $S_B = (\vec{\mu}_m - \vec{\mu}_n)(\vec{\mu}_m - \vec{\mu}_n)^T$  the between-digit scatter matrix, and  $S_W = \Sigma_m + \Sigma_n$  the within-digit scatter matrix ( $\Sigma_m$  is the covariance matrix of the DGC activity in response to pattern of digit m, and  $\Sigma_n$  is the covariance matrix of the DGC activity in response to pattern of digit n). It can be shown that the direction of the optimal discriminatory axis between digit m and n is given by the eigenvector of  $S_W^{-1}S_B$  with the corresponding largest eigenvalue.

We arbitrarily set "axis 1" as the optimal discriminatory axis between digit 979 3 and digit 5, "axis 2" as the optimal discriminatory axis between digit 4 and 980 digit 5, and "axis 3" as the optimal discriminatory axis between digit 3 and digit 981 4. For each of the three discriminatory axes, we define its origin (ie. projection 982 value of 0) as the location of the average projection of all training patterns of the 983 three digits on the corresponding axis. Fig. 4 represents the projections of DGC 984 activity upon presentation of testing patterns at the end of the early and late 985 phase of maturation of newborn DGCs onto the above-defined axes. 986

#### 987 Statistics

In the main text, we present a representative example with three digits from the 988 MNIST data set (3, 4 and 5). It is selected from a set of ten random combinations 989 of three different digits. For each combination, one network is pretrained with 990 two digits for 80 epochs. Then the third digit is added and neurogenesis takes 991 place (one epoch of early phase of maturation, and one epoch of late phase of 992 maturation). Furthermore another network is pretrained directly with the three 993 digits for 80 epochs. Classification performance is reported for all combinations 994 (Suppl. Table S1). 995

#### <sup>996</sup> Simplified rate network

<sup>997</sup> We use a toy network and the artificial data set to determine if our theory of <sup>998</sup> integration of newborn DGCs can explain why adult dentate gyrus neurogenesis <sup>999</sup> helps for the discrimination of similar, but not for distinct patterns.

The rate network described above is simplified as follows. We use K dentate granule cells for K clusters. Their firing rate  $\nu_i$  is given by:

$$\tau_m \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu_i}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\nu_i + \mathcal{H}\left(I_i - b_i\right) \tag{22}$$

where  $\mathcal{H}$  is the Heaviside step function. As before,  $b_i$  is the threshold, and  $I_i$  the total input towards neuron i:

$$I_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_{EC}} w_{ij} x_{j} + \sum_{k \neq j}^{N_{DGC}} w_{rec} \nu_{k}$$
(23)

with  $x_j$  the input of presynaptic EC neuron j,  $w_{ij}$  the feedforward weight between 1004 EC neuron j and DGC i, and  $\nu_k$  the firing rate of DGC k. Inhibitory neurons are 1005 modeled implicitly: each DGC directly connects to all other DGCs via inhibitory 1006 recurrent connections of value  $w_{rec} < 0$ . During presentation of pattern  $\vec{x}^{\mu}$ , the 100 firing rates of the DGCs evolve according to equation (22). After convergence, the feedforward weights are updated:  $w_{ij}^{(\mu)} = w_{ij}^{(\mu-1)} + \Delta w_{ij}$ . The synaptic plasticity 1008 1009 rule is the same as before, see equation (6), but with the parameters reported 1010 in Table 1(Simple network). They are different from those of the biologically-1011 plausible network because we now aim for a single winning neuron for each cluster. 1012 Note that for an LTP threshold  $\theta < 1$  all active DGCs update their feedforward 1013 weights, because of the Heaviside function for the firing rate (equation (22)). 1014

Assuming a single winner  $i^*$  for each pattern presentation, the input (equation (23)) to the winner is:

$$I_{i^*} = \vec{w}_{i^*} \cdot \vec{x},\tag{24}$$

<sup>1017</sup> while the input to the losers is:

$$I_i = \vec{w}_i \cdot \vec{x} + w_{\text{rec}}.\tag{25}$$

<sup>1018</sup> Therefore, two conditions need to be satisfied for a solution with a single winner:

$$\vec{w}_{i^*} \cdot \vec{x} > b_i \tag{26}$$

<sup>1019</sup> for the winner to actually be active, and:

$$\vec{w_i} \cdot \vec{x} + w_{\rm rec} < b_i \tag{27}$$

to prevent non-winners to become active. The value of  $b_i$  in the model is lower in the early phase than in the late phase of maturation to mimic enhanced excitability (Schmidt-Hieber et al., 2004; Li et al., 2017).

#### <sup>1023</sup> Similar versus distinct patterns with the artificial data set

<sup>1024</sup> Using the artificial data set with  $|\xi| < 1$  (equation (14)), the scalar product <sup>1025</sup> between the centers of mass of two different clusters, given by equation (16), <sup>1026</sup> satisfies:  $0.5 \leq \frac{1}{1+\xi^2} \leq 1$ . This corresponds to  $0^\circ \leq \Omega \leq \Omega_{\text{max}} = 60^\circ$ .

After stimulation with a pattern  $\vec{x}$ , it takes some time before the firing rates of the DGCs converge. We call two patterns "similar" if they activate, at least initially, the same output unit, while we consider two patterns as "distinct" if they do not activate the same output unit, not even initially. We now show that, with a large concentration parameter  $\kappa$ , patterns of different clusters are similar if  $\xi < \sqrt{\frac{||\vec{w}_i||}{b_i} - 1}$  and distinct if  $\xi > \sqrt{\frac{||\vec{w}_i||}{b_i} - 1}$ .

<sup>1033</sup> We first consider a DGC *i* whose feedforward weight vector has converged <sup>1034</sup> towards the center of mass of cluster *k*. If an input pattern  $\vec{x}^{\mu(k)}$  from cluster *k* <sup>1035</sup> is presented, it will receive the following initial input:

$$I_i = \vec{w}_i \cdot \vec{x}^{\mu(k)} = ||\vec{w}_i|| \cdot ||\vec{x}^{\mu(k)}|| \cdot \cos(\vartheta_{\rm kk}) = ||\vec{w}_i|| \cdot \cos(\vartheta_{\rm kk}) \tag{28}$$

where  $\vartheta_{kk}$  is the angle between the pattern  $\vec{x}^{\mu(k)}$  and the center of mass  $\vec{P}^k$  of the cluster to which it belongs. The larger the concentration parameter  $\kappa$  for the generation of the artificial data set, the smaller the dispersion of the clusters, and thus the larger  $\cos(\vartheta_{kk})$ . If instead, an input pattern from cluster l is presented, that same DGC will receive a lower initial input:

$$I_{i} = \vec{w}_{i} \cdot \vec{x}^{\mu(l)} = ||\vec{w}_{i}|| \cdot ||\vec{x}^{\mu(l)}|| \cdot \cos(\vartheta_{\rm kl}) \approx \frac{||\vec{w}_{i}||}{1 + \xi^{2}}$$
(29)

<sup>1041</sup> The approximation holds for a small dispersion of the clusters (large concentra-<sup>1042</sup> tion parameter  $\kappa$ ). We note that there is no subtraction of the recurrent input yet, because output units are initialized with zero firing rate before each pattern
presentation. By definition, similar patterns stimulate (initially) the same DGCs.
A DGC can be active for two clusters only if its threshold is:

$$b_i < \frac{||\vec{w}_i||}{1+\xi^2} \tag{30}$$

Therefore, with a high concentration parameter  $\kappa$ , patterns of different clusters are similar if  $\xi < \sqrt{\frac{||\vec{w}_i||}{b_i} - 1}$ , while patterns of different clusters are distinct if  $\xi > \sqrt{\frac{||\vec{w}_i||}{b_i} - 1}$ .

#### 1049 Parameter choice

The upper bound of the expected L2-norm of the feedforward weight vector to-1050 wards the DGCs at convergence can be computed, see equation (11). With the 1051 parameters in Table 1(Simple network), the value is  $||\vec{w}_i|| \leq 1.5$ . Moreover, the 1052 input patterns for each cluster are highly concentrated, hence their angle with the 1053 center of mass of the cluster they belong to is close to 0, so we have  $||\vec{w_i}|| \approx 1.5$ . 1054 Therefore, at convergence, a DGC selective for a given cluster k receives an input 1055  $I_{i^*} = \vec{w}_{i^*} \cdot \vec{x}^{\mu(k)} \approx 1.5$  upon presentation of input patterns  $\vec{x}^{\mu(k)}$  belonging to cluster 1056 k. We choose  $b_i = 1.2$  to satisfy equation (26). Given  $b_i$  the threshold value  $\xi_{\text{thresh}}$ 1057 for which two clusters are similar (and above which two clusters are distinct) can 1058 be determined by equation (30) :  $\xi_{\text{thresh}} = 0.5$ . We created a handmade data set 1059 with  $\xi = 0.2$  for the case of similar clusters (therefore with similarity s = 0.8), 1060 and a handmade data set with  $\xi = 0.8$  for the distinct case (hence with similarity 1061 s = 0.2). 1062

Let us suppose that the weights of DGC *i* have converged and made this cell respond to patterns of cluster *i*. If another DGC *k* of the network is selective for cluster *k*, cell *i* gets the input  $I_i = \vec{w_i} \cdot \vec{x}^{\mu(k)} + w_{\text{rec}} \approx \frac{1.5}{1+\xi^2} + w_{\text{rec}}$  upon presentation of input patterns  $\vec{x}^{\mu(k)}$  belonging to cluster  $k \neq i$ . Hence, to satisfy equation (27), we need  $w_{\text{rec}} < b_i - \max_{\xi} \left(\frac{1.5}{1+\xi^2}\right) \approx -0.24$ . We set  $w_{\text{rec}} = -1.2$ .

Furthermore, a newborn DGC is born with a null feedforward weight vector so 1068 that at birth, its input consists only of the indirect excitatory input from mature 1069 DGCs which vanishes if all DGCs are quiescent and takes a value  $I_i = -w_{\rm rec} > 0$  if 1070 a mature DGC responds to the input. For the feedforward weight vector to grow, 1071 the newborn cell *i* needs to be active. This could be achieved through spontaneous 1072 activity which could be implemented by setting the intrinsic firing threshold at 1073 birth to a value  $b_{\text{birth}} < 0$ . In this case a difference between similar and distinct 1074 patterns is not expected. Alternatively, activity of newborn cells can be achieved 1075 in the absence of spontaneous activity under the condition  $-w_{\rm rec} > b_{\rm birth}$ . For the 1076

<sup>1077</sup> simulations with the toy model, we set  $b_{\text{birth}} = 0.9$  which leads to weight growth <sup>1078</sup> in newborn cells for similar, but not distinct patterns.

#### <sup>1079</sup> Neurogenesis with the artificial data set

To save computation time, we initialize the feedforward weight vectors of two mature DGCs at two training patterns randomly chosen from the first two clusters, normalized such that they have an L2-norm of 1.5. We then present patterns from clusters 1 and 2, and let the feedforward weights evolve according to equation (6) until they reach convergence.

We thereafter fix the feedforward weights onto the two mature cells, and in-1085 troduce a novel cluster of patterns as well as a newborn DGC in the network. The 1086 sequence of presentation of patterns from the three clusters (a novel one and two 108 pretrained ones) is random. The newborn DGC is born with a null feedforward 1088 weight vector, and its maturation follows the same rules as before (plastic feedfor-1089 ward weights). In the early phase, GABAergic input has an excitatory effect (Ge 1090 et al., 2006) and the newborn DGC does not inhibit the mature DGCs (Temprana 1091 et al., 2015). This is modeled by setting  $w_{\rm rec}^{NM} = -w_{\rm rec}$  for the connections from mature to newborn DGC, and  $w_{\rm rec}^{MN} = 0$  for the connections from newborn to 1092 1093 mature DGCs. The threshold of the newborn DGC starts at  $b_{\text{birth}} = 0.9$  at birth, 1094 mimicking enhanced excitability (Schmidt-Hieber et al., 2004; Li et al., 2017), 1095 and increases linearly up to 1.2 (same threshold as that of mature DGCs) over 1096 12000 pattern presentations, reflecting loss of excitability with maturation. The 1097 exact time window is not critical. In the late phase of maturation of the newborn 1098 DGC, GABAergic input switches to inhibitory (Ge et al., 2006), and the newborn 1099 DGC recruits feedback inhibition onto mature DGCs (Temprana et al., 2015). 1100 It is modeled by switching the sign of the connection from mature to newborn 1101 DGC:  $w_{\rm rec}^{NM} = w_{\rm rec}$ , and establishing connections from newborn to mature DGCs: 1102  $w_{\rm rec}^{MN} = w_{\rm rec}$ . Each of the 6000 patterns is presented once during the early phase 1103 of maturation, and once during the late phase of maturation. 1104

The above paradigm is run separately for each of the two handmade data sets: the one where clusters are similar (s = 0.8), and the one where clusters are distinct (s = 0.2).

## **Acknowledgments**

This research was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (no. 200020\_184615) and by the European Union Horizon 2020 Framework Program under grant agreement no. 785907 (HumanBrain Project, SGA2).

## **Authors contributions**

O.G. developed the model and carried out the simulations. W.G. participated in discussions and helped designing the project. O.G. and W.G. wrote and validated the manuscript.

## **Declaration of interests**

<sup>1117</sup> The authors declare no competing interests.

## **1118** References

- Acsády, L., Kamondi, A., Sík, A., Freund, T., and Buzsáki, G. (1998). GABAergic
  cells are the major postsynaptic targets of mossy fibers in the rat hippocampus.
  Journal of neuroscience, 18, 3386–3403.
- Aimone, J. B., Deng, W., and Gage, F. H. (2011). Resolving new memories: a
  critical look at the dentate gyrus, adult neurogenesis, and pattern separation.
  Neuron, 70, 589–596.
- Aimone, J. B., Wiles, J., and Gage, F. H. (2009). Computational influence of adult neurogenesis on memory encoding. Neuron, 61, 187–202.
- Albus, J. (1971). A theory of cerebellar function. J. Mathematical Biosciences,
   10, 25–61.
- Alvarez, D. D., Giacomini, D., Yang, S. M., Trinchero, M. F., Temprana, S. G.,
  Büttner, K. A., Beltramone, N., and Schinder, A. F. (2016). A disynaptic feedback network activated by experience promotes the integration of new granule
  cells. Science, 354, 459–465.
- Amaral, D. G., Scharfman, H. E., and Lavenex, P. (2007). The dentate gyrus: fundamental neuroanatomical organization (dentate gyrus for dummies). Progress
  in brain research, 163, 3–22.
- Andersen, P., Morris, R., Amaral, D., Bliss, T., and O'Keefe, J. eds. (2007). The
  hippocampus book. (Oxford university press).
- Appleby, P. A. and Wiskott, L. (2009). Additive neurogenesis as a strategy for
  avoiding interference in a sparsely-coding dentate gyrus. Network: Computation
  in Neural Systems, 20, 137–161.

Artola, A., Bröcher, S., and Singer, W. (1990). Different voltage dependent thresholds for inducing long-term depression and long-term potentiation in slices of
rat visual cortex. Nature, 347, 69–72.

- Babadi, B. and Sompolinsky, H. (2014). Sparseness and expansion in sensory
  representations. Neuron, 83, 1213–1226.
- <sup>1146</sup> Barmashenko, G., Hefft, S., Aertsen, A., Kirschstein, T., and Köhling, R. (2011).
- $_{1147}$  Positive shifts of the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor reversal potential due to altered chloride
- homeostasis is widespread after status epilepticus. Epilepsia, 52, 1570–1578.
- Becker, S. (2005). A computational principle for hippocampal learning and neurogenesis. Hippocampus, 15, 722–738.
- Ben-Ari, Y. (2002). Excitatory actions of GABA during development: the nature of the nurture. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 3, 728–739.
- Bienenstock, E. L., Cooper, L. N., and Munro, P. W. (1982). Theory for the development of neuron selectivity: Orientation specificity and binocular interaction
  in visual cortex. Journal of Neuroscience, 2, 32–48.
- Cameron, H. A. and McKay, R. D. (2001). Adult neurogenesis produces a large
  pool of new granule cells in the dentate gyrus. Journal of Comparative Neurology, 435, 406–417.
- Chambers, R. A. and Conroy, S. K. (2007). Network modeling of adult neurogenesis: shifting rates of neuronal turnover optimally gears network learning
  according to novelty gradient. Journal of cognitive neuroscience, 19, 1–12.
- Chambers, R. A., Potenza, M. N., Hoffman, R. E., and Miranker, W. (2004).
  Simulated apoptosis/neurogenesis regulates learning and memory capabilities of adaptive neural networks. Neuropsychopharmacology, 29, 747–758.
- <sup>1165</sup> Chancey, J. H., Adlaf, E. W., Sapp, M. C., Pugh, P. C., Wadiche, J. I.,
  <sup>1166</sup> and Overstreet-Wadiche, L. S. (2013). GABA depolarization is required for
  <sup>1167</sup> experience-dependent synapse unsilencing in adult-born neurons. Journal of
  <sup>1168</sup> Neuroscience, 33, 6614–6622.
- Chawla, M., Guzowski, J., Ramirez-Amaya, V., Lipa, P., Hoffman, K., Marriott,
  L., Worley, P., McNaughton, B., and Barnes, C. A. (2005). Sparse, environmentally selective expression of Arc RNA in the upper blade of the rodent fascia
  dentata by brief spatial experience. Hippocampus, 15, 579–586.
- Chistiakova, M., Bannon, N., Bazhenov, M., and Volgushev, M. (2014). Heterosynaptic plasticity: Multiple mechanisms and multiple roles. The Neuroscientist,
  20, 483–498.

Clelland, C., Choi, M., Romberg, C., Clemenson, G., Fragniere, A., Tyers, P.,
Jessberger, S., Saksida, L., Barker, R., Gage, F., and Bussey, T. (2009). A
functional role for adult hippocampal neurogenesis in spatial pattern separation.
Science, 325, 210–213.

<sup>1180</sup> Crick, C. and Miranker, W. (2006). Apoptosis, neurogenesis, and information <sup>1181</sup> content in hebbian networks. Biological cybernetics, 94, 9–19.

Danielson, N. B., Kaifosh, P., Zaremba, J. D., Lovett-Barron, M., Tsai, J., Denny,
C. A., Balough, E. M., Goldberg, A. R., Drew, L. J., Hen, R., Losonczy, A.,
and Kheirbek, M. A. (2016). Distinct contribution of adult-born hippocampal
granule cells to context encoding. Neuron, 90, 101–112.

Dayer, A. G., Ford, A. A., Cleaver, K. M., Yassaee, M., and Cameron, H. A.
(2003). Short-term and long-term survival of new neurons in the rat dentate
gyrus. Journal of Comparative Neurology, 460, 563–572.

DeCostanzo, A. J., Fung, C. C. A., and Fukai, T. (2019). Hippocampal neurogenesis reduces the dimensionality of sparsely coded representations to enhance memory encoding. Frontiers in computational neuroscience, 12, 99.

Deng, W., Aimone, J. B., and Gage, F. H. (2010). New neurons and new memories:
how does adult hippocampal neurogenesis affect learning and memory? Nature
reviews neuroscience, 11, 339–350.

Deshpande, A., Bergami, M., Ghanem, A., Conzelmann, K.-K., Lepier, A., Götz,
M., and Berninger, B. (2013). Retrograde monosynaptic tracing reveals the
temporal evolution of inputs onto new neurons in the adult dentate gyrus and
olfactory bulb. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 110, 1152–
1199 1161.

- DeSieno, D. (1988). Adding a conscience to competitive learning. In IEEE international conference on neural networks, vol. 1, pp. 117–124. Institute of Electrical
  and Electronics Engineers New York.
- <sup>1203</sup> Du, K.-L. (2010). Clustering: A neural network approach. Neural networks, 23,
  <sup>1204</sup> 89–107.
- Finnegan, R. and Becker, S. (2015). Neurogenesis paradoxically decreases both
  pattern separation and memory interference. Frontiers in systems neuroscience,
  9, 136.
- Freund, T. and Buzsáki, G. (1996). Interneurons of the hippocampus. Hippocampus, 6, 347–470.

<sup>1210</sup> Furukawa, M., Tsukahara, T., Tomita, K., Iwai, H., Sonomura, T., Miyawaki, S.,

and Sato, T. (2017). Neonatal maternal separation delays the GABA excitatory-

- to-inhibitory functional switch by inhibiting KCC2 expression. Biochemical and biophysical research communications, 493, 1243–1249.
- Fyhn, M., Hafting, T., Treves, A., Moser, M.-B., and Moser, E. I. (2007). Hippocampal remapping and grid realignment in entorhinal cortex. Nature, 446,
  190–194.
- <sup>1217</sup>Ganguly, K., Schinder, A. F., Wong, S. T., and Poo, M.-m. (2001). GABA <sup>1218</sup>itself promotes the developmental switch of neuronal GABAergic responses from <sup>1219</sup>excitation to inhibition. Cell, 105, 521–532.
- Ge, S., Goh, E. L., Sailor, K. A., Kitabatake, Y., Ming, G.-l., and Song, H. (2006).
  GABA regulates synaptic integration of newly generated neurons in the adult
  brain. Nature, 439, 589–593.
- Ge, S., Yang, C.-h., Hsu, K.-s., Ming, G.-l., and Song, H. (2007). A critical period for enhanced synaptic plasticity in newly generated neurons of the adult brain. Neuron, 54, 559–566.
- Gilbert, P. E., Kesner, R. P., and Lee, I. (2001). Dissociating hippocampal subregions: A double dissociation between dentate gyrus and CA1. Hippocampus,
  11, 626–636.
- Groisman, A. I., Yang, S. M., and Schinder, A. F. (2020). Differential coupling
  of adult-born granule cells to parvalbumin and somatostatin interneurons. Cell
  reports, 30, 202–214.
- Grossberg, S. (1976). Adaptive pattern classification and universal recoding II:
   Feedback, expectation, olfaction, illusions. Biological Cybernetics, 23, 187–202.
- <sup>1234</sup> Grossberg, S. (1987). The Adaptive Brain I. (Elsevier).
- Heigele, S., Sultan, S., Toni, N., and Bischofberger, J. (2016). Bidirectional
  GABAergic control of action potential firing in newborn hippocampal granule
  cells. Nature neuroscience, 19, 263–270.
- Henze, D. A., Wittner, L., and Buzsáki, G. (2002). Single granule cells reliably
  discharge targets in the hippocampal CA3 network in vivo. Nature neuroscience,
  5, 790–795.
- Hertz, J., Krogh, A., and Palmer, R. G. (1991). Introduction to the Theory of
  Neural Computation. (Addison-Wesley).
- Houser, C. R. (2007). Interneurons of the dentate gyrus: an overview of cell
  types, terminal fields and neurochemical identity. Progress in brain research,
  163, 217–232.

Hunsaker, M. R. and Kesner, R. P. (2008). Evaluating the differential roles of the
dorsal dentate gyrus, dorsal CA3, and dorsal CA1 during a temporal ordering
for spatial locations task. Hippocampus, 18, 955–964.

Jarrard, L. E. (1993). On the role of the hippocampus in learning and memory
in the rat. Behavioral and neural biology, 60, 9–26.

Jessberger, S., Clark, R. E., Broadbent, N. J., Clemenson, G. D., Consiglio, A.,
Lie, D. C., Squire, L. R., and Gage, F. H. (2009). Dentate gyrus-specific knockdown of adult neurogenesis impairs spatial and object recognition memory in
adult rats. Learning & memory, 16, 147–154.

Johnston, S. T., Shtrahman, M., Parylak, S., Gonçalves, J. T., and Gage, F. H.
(2016). Paradox of pattern separation and adult neurogenesis: A dual role
for new neurons balancing memory resolution and robustness. Neurobiology of
learning and memory, 129, 60–68.

Kee, N., Teixeira, C. M., Wang, A. H., and Frankland, P. W. (2007). Preferential
incorporation of adult-generated granule cells into spatial memory networks in
the dentate gyrus. Nature neuroscience, 10, 355–362.

Khazipov, R., Khalilov, I., Tyzio, R., Morozova, E., Ben-Ari, Y., and Holmes,
G. L. (2004). Developmental changes in GABAergic actions and seizure susceptibility in the rat hippocampus. European Journal of Neuroscience, 19, 590–600.

Klausberger, T. and Somogyi, P. (2008). Neuronal diversity and temporal dy namics: the unity of hippocampal circuit operations. Science, 321, 53–57.

Kohonen, T. (1989). Self-organization and associative memory. (Springer-Verlag),
3rd edn.

LeCun, Y., Bottou, L., Bengio, Y., and Haffner, P. (1998). Gradient-based learning applied to document recognition. Proceedings of the IEEE, 86, 2278–2324.

Leonzino, M., Busnelli, M., Antonucci, F., Verderio, C., Mazzanti, M., and Chini,
B. (2016). The timing of the excitatory-to-inhibitory GABA switch is regulated
by the oxytocin receptor via KCC2. Cell reports, 15, 96–103.

Li, L., Sultan, S., Heigele, S., Schmidt-Salzmann, C., Toni, N., and Bischofberger,
J. (2017). Silent synapses generate sparse and orthogonal action potential firing
in adult-born hippocampal granule cells. Elife, 6, e23612.

Li, Y., Stam, F. J., Aimone, J. B., Goulding, M., Callaway, E. M., and Gage,
F. H. (2013). Molecular layer perforant path-associated cells contribute to feedforward inhibition in the adult dentate gyrus. Proceedings of the National
Academy of Sciences, 110, 9106–9111.

Mardia, K. V. and Jupp, P. E. (2009). Directional statistics, vol. 494. (John
Wiley & Sons).

Marín-Burgin, A., Mongiat, L. A., Pardi, M. B., and Schinder, A. F. (2012).
Unique processing during a period of high excitation/inhibition balance in adult-born neurons. Science, 335, 1238–1242.

Marr, D. (1969). A theory of cerebellar cortex. J. Physiology, 202, 437–470.

<sup>1287</sup> Marr, D. (1971). Simple memory: a theory for archicortex. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, 262, 23–81.

McHugh, T. J., Jones, M. W., Quinn, J. J., Balthasar, N., Coppari, R., Elmquist,
J. K., Lowell, B. B., Fanselow, M. S., Wilson, M. A., and Tonegawa, S. (2007).
Dentate gyrus NMDA receptors mediate rapid pattern separation in the hip-

pocampal network. Science, 317, 94–99.

Miller, K. D. and Fumarola, F. (2012). Mathematical equivalence of two common forms of firing rate models of neural networks. Neural computation, 24, 25–31.

<sup>1295</sup> Owens, D. F. and Kriegstein, A. R. (2002). Is there more to GABA than synaptic <sup>1296</sup> inhibition? Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 3, 715–727.

Pathak, H. R., Weissinger, F., Terunuma, M., Carlson, G. C., Hsu, F.-C., Moss,
S. J., and Coulter, D. A. (2007). Disrupted dentate granule cell chloride regulation enhances synaptic excitability during development of temporal lobe
epilepsy. Journal of Neuroscience, 27, 14012–14022.

Pfister, J.-P. and Gerstner, W. (2006). Triplets of spikes in a model of spike timing-dependent plasticity. Journal of Neuroscience, 26, 9673–9682.

- Rolls, E. T. and Treves, A. (1998). Neural networks and brain function, vol. 572.
  (Oxford university press Oxford).
- Rumelhart, D. E. and Zipser, D. (1985). Feature discovery by competitive learning. Cognitive science, 9, 75–112.
- Sahay, A., Scobie, K. N., Hill, A. S., O'Carroll, C. M., Kheirbek, M. A., Burghardt,
  N. S., Fenton, A. A., Dranovsky, A., and Hen, R. (2011a). Increasing adult
  hippocampal neurogenesis is sufficient to improve pattern separation. Nature,
- 1310 472, 466–470.
- Sahay, A., Wilson, D. A., and Hen, R. (2011b). Pattern separation: a common
  function for new neurons in hippocampus and olfactory bulb. Neuron, 70, 582–
  588.

Schmidt-Hieber, C., Jonas, P., and Bischofberger, J. (2004). Enhanced synaptic
plasticity in newly generated granule cells of the adult hippocampus. Nature,
429, 184–187.

- Senzai, Y. and Buzsáki, G. (2017). Physiological properties and behavioral correlates of hippocampal granule cells and mossy cells. Neuron, 93, 691–704.
- <sup>1319</sup> Sjöström, P., Turrigiano, G., and Nelson, S. (2001). Rate, timing, and coopera-<sup>1320</sup> tivity jointly determine cortical synaptic plasticity. Neuron, 32, 1149–1164.
- Somogyi, P. and Klausberger, T. (2005). Defined types of cortical interneurone
  structure space and spike timing in the hippocampus. The Journal of physiology,
  562, 9–26.
- Stefanelli, T., Bertollini, C., Lüscher, C., Muller, D., and Mendez, P. (2016).
  Hippocampal somatostatin interneurons control the size of neuronal memory
  ensembles. Neuron, 89, 1074–1085.
- Tashiro, A., Makino, H., and Gage, F. H. (2007). Experience-specific functional
  modification of the dentate gyrus through adult neurogenesis: a critical period
  during an immature stage. Journal of Neuroscience, 27, 3252–3259.
- Tashiro, A., Sandler, V. M., Toni, N., Zhao, C., and Gage, F. H. (2006). NMDAreceptor-mediated, cell-specific integration of new neurons in adult dentate
  gyrus. Nature, 442, 929–933.
- Temprana, S. G., Mongiat, L. A., Yang, S. M., Trinchero, M. F., Alvarez, D. D.,
  Kropff, E., Giacomini, D., Beltramone, N., Lanuza, G. M., and Schinder, A. F.
  (2015). Delayed coupling to feedback inhibition during a critical period for the
  integration of adult-born granule cells. Neuron, 85, 116–130.
- Tyzio, R., Holmes, G. L., Ben-Ari, Y., and Khazipov, R. (2007). Timing of the developmental switch in GABA<sub>A</sub> mediated signaling from excitation to inhibition
  in CA3 rat hippocampus using gramicidin perforated patch and extracellular
  recordings. Epilepsia, 48, 96–105.
- <sup>1341</sup> Van Praag, H., Kempermann, G., and Gage, F. H. (1999). Running increases
  <sup>1342</sup> cell proliferation and neurogenesis in the adult mouse dentate gyrus. Nature
  <sup>1343</sup> neuroscience, 2, 266–270.
- <sup>1344</sup> Vivar, C., Potter, M. C., Choi, J., Lee, J.-y., Stringer, T. P., Callaway, E. M.,
  <sup>1345</sup> Gage, F. H., Suh, H., and Van Praag, H. (2012). Monosynaptic inputs to new
  <sup>1346</sup> neurons in the dentate gyrus. Nature Communications, 3, 1107.
- <sup>1347</sup> Wang, D. D. and Kriegstein, A. R. (2010). Blocking early GABA depolariza<sup>1348</sup> tion with bumetanide results in permanent alterations in cortical circuits and
  <sup>1349</sup> sensorimotor gating deficits. Cerebral cortex, 21, 574–587.

<sup>1350</sup> Wang, Y., Chik, D. T. W., and Wang, Z. D. (2000). Coherence resonance
<sup>1351</sup> and noise-induced synchronization in globally coupled Hodgkin-Huxley neu<sup>1352</sup> rons. Phys. Rev. E, 61, 740.

Weisz, V. I. and Argibay, P. F. (2009). A putative role for neurogenesis in neurocomputational terms: Inferences from a hippocampal model. Cognition, 112, 229–240.

Weisz, V. I. and Argibay, P. F. (2012). Neurogenesis interferes with the retrieval of remote memories: Forgetting in neurocomputational terms. Cognition, 125, 1358
13-25.

Wiskott, L., Rasch, M. J., and Kempermann, G. (2006). A functional hypothesis
for adult hippocampal neurogenesis: avoidance of catastrophic interference in
the dentate gyrus. Hippocampus, 16, 329–343.

Woods, N. I., Stefanini, F., Apodaca-Montano, D. L., Tan, I. M., Biane, J. S., and
Kheirbek, M. A. (2020). The dentate gyrus classifies cortical representations of
learned stimuli. Neuron, 107.

Yeckel, M. F. and Berger, T. W. (1990). Feedforward excitation of the hippocampus by afferents from the entorhinal cortex: redefinition of the role of
the trisynaptic pathway. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 87,
5832–5836.

Yuan, M., Meyer, T., Benkowitz, C., Savanthrapadian, S., Ansel-Bollepalli, L.,
Foggetti, A., Wulff, P., Alcami, P., Elgueta, C., and Bartos, M. (2017).
Somatostatin-positive interneurons in the dentate gyrus of mice provide localand long-range septal synaptic inhibition. eLife, 6, e21105.

<sup>1373</sup> Zenke, F. and Gerstner, W. (2017). Hebbian plasticity requires compensatory pro<sup>1374</sup> cesses on multiple timescales. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society
<sup>1375</sup> B: Biological Sciences, 372, 1–17.

<sup>1376</sup> Zhao, C., Teng, E. M., Summers, R. G., Ming, G.-l., and Gage, F. H. (2006).
<sup>1377</sup> Distinct morphological stages of dentate granule neuron maturation in the adult
<sup>1378</sup> mouse hippocampus. Journal of Neuroscience, 26, 3–11.



Figure 1: Network model and pretraining. (a) Integration of an adultborn DGC (blue) as a function of time: GABAergic synaptic input (red) switches from excitatory (+) to inhibitory (-); strong connections to interneurons develop only later; glutamatergic synaptic input (black), interneuron (red). (b) Network structure. EC neurons (black, rate  $x_i$ ) are fully connected with weights  $w_{ii}$  to DGCs (blue, rate  $\nu_i$ ). The feedforward weight vector  $\vec{w_i}$  onto neuron *i* is depicted in black. DGCs and interneurons (red, rate  $\nu_k^I$ ) are mutually connected with probability  $p_{IE}$  and  $p_{EI}$  and weights  $w_{ki}^{IE}$  and  $w_{ik}^{EI}$ , respectively. Connections with a triangular (round) end are glutamatergic (GABAergic). (c) Given presynaptic activity  $x_j > 0$ , the weight update  $\Delta w_{ij}$  is shown as a function of the firing rate  $\nu_i$  of the postsynaptic DGC with LTD for  $\nu_i < \theta$  and LTP for  $\theta < \nu_i < \theta$  $\hat{\nu}_i$ . (d) Center of mass for three ensembles of patterns from the MNIST data set, visualized as 12x12 pixel patterns. The two-dimensional arrangements and colors are for visualization only. (e) 100 receptive fields, each defined as the set of feedforward weights, are represented in a 2-dimensional organization. After pretraining with patterns from MNIST digits 3 and 4, 79 DGCs have receptive fields corresponding to threes and fours of different writing styles, while 21 remain unselective (highlighted by red frames).



Figure 2: Newborn DGCs become selective for novel patterns during maturation.

Figure 2: Newborn DGCs become selective for novel patterns during 1379 maturation. (a) Unselective neurons are replaced by newborn DGCs, which 1380 learn their feedforward weights while patterns from digits 3, 4, and 5 are presented. 1381 At the end of the early phase of maturation, the receptive fields of all newborn 1382 DGCs (red frames) show mixed selectivity. (b) At the end of the late phase 1383 of maturation, newborn DGCs are selective for patterns from the novel digit 1384 5, with different writing styles.  $(\mathbf{c},\mathbf{d})$  Distribution of the percentage of model 1385 DGCs (mean with 10th and 90th percentiles) in each firing rate bin at the end 1386 of the early (c) and late (d) phase of maturation. Statistics calculated across 1387 MNIST patterns ('3's, '4's, '5's). Percentages are per subpopulation (mature and 1388 newborn). Note that neurons with firing rate < 1Hz for one pattern may fire at 1389 medium or high rate for another pattern. (e) The L2-norm of the feedforward 1390 weight vector onto newborn DGCs (mean  $\pm$  SEM) increases as a function of 1391 maturation indicating growth of synapses and receptive field strength. Horizontal 1392 axis: time=1 indicates end of early (top) or late phase (bottom). (f) Percentage 1393 of newborn DGCs activated (firing rate > 1Hz) by a stimulus averaged over all 1394 test patterns as a function of maturation. (g) At the end of the late phase of 1395 maturation, three different patterns of digit 5 applied to EC neurons (top) cause 1396 different firing rate patterns of the 100 DGCs arranged in a matrix of 10-by-10 1397 cells (middle). DGCs with a receptive field (see Fig. 2b) similar to a presented EC 1398 activation pattern respond more strongly than the others. Bottom: Firing rates of 1399 the DGCs with indices sorted from highest to lowest firing rate in response to the 1400 first pattern. All 3 patterns shown come from the testing set, and are correctly 1401 classified using our readout network. 1402



Figure 3: The GABA-switch guides learning of novel representations. (a) Pretraining on digits 3, 4 and 5 simultaneously without neurogenesis (control 1). Patterns from digits 3, 4 and 5 are presented to the network while all DGCs learn their feedforward weights. After pretraining, 79 DGCs have receptive fields corresponding to the three learned digits, while 21 remain unselective (as in Fig. 1e). (b) Sequential training without neurogenesis (control 2). After pretraining as in Fig. 1e, the unresponsive neurons stay plastic, but they fail to become selective for digit 5 when patterns from digits 3, 4, and 5 are presented in random order. (c) Sequential training without neurogenesis but all DGCs stay plastic (control 3). Some of the DGCs previously responding to patterns from digits 3 or 4 become selective for digit 5. (d-f) Confusion matrices. Classification performance in percent (using a linear classifier as readout network) for control 1 (d) and for the standard model at the end of the early (e) and late (f) phase; cf. Fig. 2a,b.



Figure 4: Novel patterns expand the representation into a previously empty subspace. (a) Left: The DGC activity responses at the end of the early phase of maturation of newborn DGCs are projected on discriminatory axes. Each point corresponds to the representation of one input pattern. Color indicates digit 3 (blue), 4 (green), and 5 (red). Right: Firing rate profiles of three example patterns (highlighted by crosses on the left) are sorted from high to low for the pattern represented by the orange cross (inset: zoom of firing rates of DGCs with low activity). (b) Same as **a**, but at the end of the late phase of maturation of newborn DGCs. Note that the red dots around the orange cross have moved into a different subspace. (c) Example patterns of digit 5 corresponding to the symbols in **a** and **b**. All three are accurately classified by our readout network. (d) Evolution of the mean ( $\pm$  SEM) of the projection of the activity upon presentation of all test patterns of digit 5.



Figure 5: A newborn DGC becomes selective for similar but not distinct novel stimuli.

Figure 5: A newborn DGC becomes selective for similar but not distinct 1403 **novel stimuli.** (a) Center of mass of clusters k and l of an artificial data set  $(P_k)$ 1404 and  $\vec{P}_l$ , respectively, separated by angle  $\Omega$ ) are represented by arrows that point 1405 to the surface of a hypersphere. Dots represent individual patterns. (b) Center of 1406 mass of three clusters of the artificial data set, visualized as 16x8 pixel patterns. 1407 The two-dimensional arrangements and colors are for visualization only.  $(\mathbf{c},\mathbf{d})$ 1408 Example input patterns (activity of 16x8 input neurons) from clusters 1 and 2 1409 for similar clusters (c, s = 0.8), and distinct clusters (d, s = 0.2). Below: dots 1410 correspond to patterns, crosses indicate the input patterns shown (schematic). 1411 (e,f) After pretraining with patterns from two clusters, the receptive fields (set of 1412 synaptic weights onto neurons 1 and 2) exhibit the center of mass of each cluster 1413 of input patterns (blue and green crosses).  $(\mathbf{g},\mathbf{h})$  Novel stimuli from cluster 3 1414 (orange dots) are added. If the clusters are similar, the receptive field of the 1415 newborn DGC (red cross) moves towards the center of mass of the three clusters 1416 during its early phase of maturation  $(\mathbf{g})$ , and if the clusters are distinct towards 1417 the center of mass of the two pretrained clusters  $(\mathbf{h})$ .  $(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})$  Receptive field after the 1418 late phase of maturation for the case of similar (i) or distinct (j) clusters. (k,l) For 1419 comparison, the center of mass of all patterns of the blue and green clusters (left 1420 column) and of the blue, green and orange clusters (right column) for the case of 1421 similar (**k**) or distinct (**l**) clusters. Color scale: input firing rate  $\vec{x}$  or weight  $\vec{w_i}$ 1422 normalized to  $||\vec{w}_i|| = 1 = ||\vec{x}||.$ 1423



Figure 6: Maturation dynamics for similar patterns. (a) Schematics of the unit hypersphere with three clusters of patterns (colored dots) and three scaled feedforward weight vectors (colored arrows). After pretraining, the blue and green weight vectors point to the center of mass of the corresponding clusters. Patterns from the novel cluster (orange points) are presented only later to the network. During the early phase of maturation, the newborn DGC grows its vector of feedforward weights (red arrow) in the direction of the subspace of patterns which indirectly activate the newborn cell (dark grey star: center of mass of the presented patterns, located below the part of the sphere surface highlighted in grey). (b) During the late phase of maturation, the red vector turns towards the novel cluster. Angle  $\phi$  between the center of mass of the novel cluster and the feedforward weight vector of the newborn cell. (c) The angle  $\phi$  decreases in the late phase of maturation of the novel cluster is similar to the previously stored clusters. Its final average value of  $\phi \approx 0.4^{\circ}$  is caused by the jitter of the weight vector around the center of mass of the novel cluster.

	Biologically-plausible network		Simplified network	
Network	$N_{EC} = 144$ $N_I = 25$	$N_{DGC} = 100$	$N_{EC} = 128$	$N_{DGC} = 3$
Connectivity	$w_{IE} = 1$ $p_{IE} = 0.9$	$w_{EI} = -\frac{1}{p_{EI}*N_I}$ $p_{EI} = 0.9$	$w_{rec} = -1.2$	
Dynamics	$\tau_m = 20 \text{ ms}$ $L = 0.5$	$\tau_{\rm inh} = 2 \text{ ms}$ $p^* = 0.1$	$\tau_m = 20 \text{ ms}$	
Plasticity	$\begin{aligned} \alpha_0 &= 0.05\\ \gamma_0 &= 10\\ \nu_0 &= 0.2 \end{aligned}$	$\begin{array}{c} \beta = 1 \\ \theta = 0.15 \\ \gamma = 9.85 \end{array}$	$\begin{aligned} \alpha_0 &= 0.03\\ \gamma_0 &= 1.65\\ \gamma &= 1.5 \end{aligned}$	$\beta = 1$ $\theta = 0.15$
Numerical simulations	$\Delta t = 0.1 \text{ ms}$ $\eta_b = 0.01$	$\eta = 0.01$	$\Delta t = 1 \text{ ms}$	$\eta = 0.01$

#### Table 1: Parameters for the simulations