Interaction between induced and natural variation at *oil yellow1* delays reproductive
maturity in maize

Rajdeep S. Khangura[†§‡], Bala P. Venkata[†2], Sandeep R. Marla[†1], Michael V. Mickelbart[†‡], Singha

Dhungana[3], David M. Braun[3], Brian P. Dilkes[§‡], Gurmukh S. Johal[†‡]

[†]Department of Botany and Plant Pathology, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907

[‡]Center for Plant Biology, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907

[§]Department of Biochemistry, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907

[3]Division of Biological Sciences, Interdisciplinary Plant Group, Missouri Maize Center,

University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri 65211

Current address

[1]Department of Agronomy, Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas 66506

[2]Donald Danforth Plant Science Center, St. Louis, Missouri 63132

Corresponding Authors

Brian P. Dilkes, 170 S. University Street, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907,

Phone: (765) 494 2584, Email: bdilkes@purdue.edu

Gurmukh S. Johal, 915 W. State Street, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907, Phone:

(765) 494-4448, Email: gjohal@purdue.edu

Abstract

We previously demonstrated that maize (*Zea mays*) locus *very oil yellow1 (vey1)* encodes a

putative cis-regulatory expression polymorphism at the magnesium chelatase subunit I gene (aka

oil yellow1) that strongly modifies the chlorophyll content of the semi-dominant *Oy1-N1989*

mutants. The *vey1* allele of Mo17 inbred line reduces chlorophyll content in the mutants leading

to reduced photosynthetic output. *Oy1-N1989* mutants in B73 reached reproductive maturity four

days later than wild-type siblings. Enhancement of *Oy1-N1989* by the Mo17 allele at the *vey1* QTL

delayed maturity further, resulting in detection of a flowering time QTL in two bi-parental

mapping populations crossed to *Oy1-N1989*. The near isogenic lines of B73 harboring the *vey1*

allele from Mo17 delayed flowering of *Oy1-N1989* mutants by twelve days. Just as previously

observed for chlorophyll content, *vey1* had no effect on reproductive maturity in the absence of

the *Oy1-N1989* allele. Loss of chlorophyll biosynthesis in *Oy1-N1989* mutants and enhancement

by *vey1* reduced CO_2 assimilation. We attempted to separate the effects of photosynthesis on the

induction of flowering from a possible impact of chlorophyll metabolites and retrograde signaling

by manually reducing leaf area. Removal of leaves, independent of the *Oy1-N1989* mutant,

delayed flowering but surprisingly reduced chlorophyll contents of emerging leaves. Thus,

defoliation did not completely separate the identity of the signal(s) that regulates flowering time

from changes in chlorophyll content in the foliage. These findings illustrate the necessity to explore

the linkage between metabolism and the mechanisms that connect it to flowering time regulation.

KEYWORDS flowering time; photosynthesis; epistasis, setaria; sorghum

Introduction

The onset of flowering in angiosperms has been a key focus for plant biologists working on ornamental, horticultural, and other crop species (Lang 1952; Zeevaart 1962; Searle 1965). The onset of reproductive development in angiosperms is affected by a change in meristem identity. The vegetative to floral transition of meristems commits plant development to production of floral organs and sexual reproduction. The integration of signals to correctly time this transition is key to plant fitness. Unsurprisingly, endogenous and environmental cues regulate flowering time (Amasino and Michaels 2010; Cho *et al.* 2017; Minow *et al.* 2018). A critical environmental cue is the duration of the light period, or photoperiod. The photoperiodic responses of plants influence the vegetative to floral transition and the mechanisms of this response have been a focus of intensive research for over a century (Klebs, 1918). Multiple non-photoperiodic cues as well as endogenous signals, sometimes called the autonomous pathway, are also critical to floral transition. Endogenous signals, including hormones and the carbohydrate status of the plant, can also play a critical role in the regulation of flowering time (Corbesier *et al.* 1998; Moghaddam and Ende 2013). But it can be difficult to fully separate endogenous and environmental influences as some environmental factors, such as light quality, alter hormone biosynthesis (Lang 1957; Evans and Poethig 1995; Mutasa-Göttgens and Hedden 2009), and light powers photosynthesis and thereby carbohydrate status (Chen *et al.* 2004). These stimuli converge through the same floral integrators (named FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT) and FLOWERING LOCUS D (FD) in *Arabidopsis thaliana*) for which orthologs have been identified in many flowering plants (Abe *et al.* 2005; Wigge *et al.* 2005; Corbesier *et al.* 2007; Meng *et al.* 2011; Zhang *et al.* 2016). Accumulation of FT and FD gene products trigger the vegetative shoot apical meristems to acquire the competency to become inflorescence meristems and produce flowers in part via the activation of MADS-box transcription factors that control meristem identity through APETALA1 (Abe *et al.* 2005; Wigge

et al. 2005). In Arabidopsis, FT is regulated by CONSTANS (CO) in response to both circadian

regulation and photoperiodic responses, and CO regulates the MADS-box transcription factor

SUPPRESSOR OF CONSTANS1 (SOC1) through FT (Samach *et al.* 2000; Yoo *et al.* 2005).

Maize was domesticated from teosinte (*Zea mays* ssp. *parviglumis* or spp. *mexicana*) in

Central America (Doebley *et al.* 1997; Wang *et al.* 1999). Strong selection on time to reproductive

maturity contributed to the adaptation of maize to different latitudes (Salvi *et al.* 2007; Huang *et*

al. 2017; Swarts *et al.* 2017). Flowering of teosinte is promoted by short-day conditions. In contrast,

temperate maize germplasm is relatively day-neutral and flowering is primarily under the control

of the autonomous pathway (Coles *et al.* 2010). Mutant studies have identified loci critical to

flowering in maize including: *indeterminate1* (*id1*; Colasanti et al. 1998); *early phase change* (*epc*;

Vega et al. 2002); *delayed flowering1* (*dlf1*; Muszynski et al. 2006); the cis-element polymorphism

vegetative transitioning1 (*vgt1*; Salvi et al. 2007) that regulates a downstream APETALA2-like

transcription factor *zmrap2.7*; *zea mays mads4* (*zmm4*; Danilevskaya et al. 2008); *zmcct10* (Hung

et al. 2012); *zea mays centroradiales8* (*zcn8*; Meng et al. 2011); *zea mays mads1* (*zmmads1*; Alter

et al. 2016); and *zea mays mads69* (Liang *et al.* 2019). Many of these loci encode the maize

orthologs of genes identified as regulators of flowering in Arabidopsis. For example, *dlf1* and *zcn8*

encode homologs of the Arabidopsis flowering time determinants FD and FT, respectively. *id1*

encodes a zinc-finger transcription factor acting upstream of both DLF1 and ZCN8 (Kozaki *et al.*

2004; Muszynski *et al.* 2006; Meng *et al.* 2011). *zmm4* is an activator of flowering that is part of

a conserved syntenic pair of MADS box genes in the grasses, with *zmm24* as the neighboring gene,

and encodes one of two maize paralogs of the wheat flowering time and vernalization response

locus VRN1 (Danilevskaya *et al.* 2008). *zmm4* acts downstream of *dlf1* and *id1* in the control of

flowering time in maize. *zmmads1* is a functional homolog of the Arabidopsis flowering time and

circadian rhythm regulator *soc1* (Alter *et al.* 2016). Several QTL studies have used the convenient

phenotype of days to reproductive maturity as a proxy for flowering time and identified alleles

controlling this trait in maize (Buckler *et al.* 2009; Coles *et al.* 2010; Steinhoff *et al.* 2012; Bouchet

et al. 2017). While this trait is convenient it is determined by both the days to floral transition of

the meristem and the growth rate of the stem and emergence and maturation of floral structures.

Nevertheless, many natural variants controlling days to reproductive maturity in maize map to

bona fide flowering time loci identified by mutant studies including alleles of *zmmads69*, *zmcct10*,

zcn8, *dlf1*, and *vgt1* (Muszynski *et al.* 2006; Salvi *et al.* 2007; Meng *et al.* 2011; Hung *et al.* 2012;

Guo *et al.* 2018; Liang *et al.* 2019).

One important endogenous signal that contributes to flowering time is the carbohydrate

allocation status (Ohto *et al.* 2001; Seo *et al.* 2011; Eveland and Jackson 2012; Wahl *et al.* 2013;

Cho *et al.* 2018). In maize, mutants that are compromised in either sugar export from source tissues

or loading sucrose into the phloem flower later than their congenic wild-type siblings (Braun *et al.*

2006; Baker and Braun 2008; Ma *et al.* 2008; Slewinski *et al.* 2009; Slewinski and Braun 2010).

This is not limited to maize, as starch-deficient Arabidopsis mutants exhibit delayed flowering

(Corbesier *et al.* 1998). Trehalose-6-phosphate (T6P) has been implicated as a reporter of the

energy status and Arabidopsis mutants effected in this metabolite also exhibit altered flowering

time (Paul 2008; Wahl et al. 2013; Seo et al. 2011). T6P and sucrose are positively correlated, and

low levels of T6P results in delayed flowering in Arabidopsis (Wahl *et al.* 2013). Remarkably, the

carbohydrate status and transcriptional regulatory genes controlling flowering time may be directly

linked in maize. The *id1* flowering time mutants alter carbohydrate partitioning in leaves and

accumulate more sucrose and starch (Coneva et al. 2012). As a result, ID1 has been proposed to

act as a carbohydrate status sensor that influences flowering time in maize (Coneva, Zhu, and

Colasanti 2007; Minow et al. 2018). Remarkably, the promoter of the T6P biosynthetic gene

trehalose 6-phosphate synthase1 (*tps1*) is a predicted target of the ID1 DNA-binding protein and

low levels of T6P were observed in *id1* mutants (Minow *et al.* 2018).

If sugars are critical for floral transitioning in plants, then manipulation of photosynthesis

should alter flowering. Magnesium chelatase (MgChl) is a hetero-oligomeric enzyme complex

comprised of subunits I, D, and H. This enzyme catalyzes the first committed step of chlorophyll

biosynthesis by conversion of protoporphyrin IX (PPIX) into magnesium-PPIX (Walker and

Weinstein 1991; Gibson et al. 1995). The I subunit of MgChl is encoded by *oil yellow1* (*oy1*) in

maize (Sawers *et al.* 2006). The OY1-N1989 mutant protein carries a L176F amino acid

substitution that results in the protein acting as a competitive inhibitor of MgChl complex function,

and decouples ATPase and Mg^{2+} chelatase activity (Hansson *et al.* 1999, 2002; Sawers *et al.* 2006).

As a result, homozygous *Oy1-N1989* mutants are seedling lethal with no chlorophyll accumulation

but are viable to reproductive maturity in heterozygous condition (Sawers *et al.* 2006, Khangura

et al. 2019).

We previously identified a cis-acting expression polymorphism at the *oy1* locus associated

with a QTL called *very oil yellow1* (*vey1*) that modifies the chlorophyll content of semi-dominant

Oy1-N1989 mutants (Khangura *et al.* 2019). The *vey1* QTL was proposed to modulate the

chlorophyll content of heterozygous *Oy1-N1989/+* mutants via cis-regulatory differences resulting

in differential accumulation of the product encoded by the wild-type allele at *oy1*. The Mo17 allele

at *vey1* (*vey1^Mo17*) was associated with lower abundance of OY1 transcripts, whereas the B73 allele

at *vey1* (*vey1^B73*) is associated with higher accumulation of OY1. The effect of *vey1* on chlorophyll

content is only visible in the presence of *Oy1-N1989,* indicating that this natural variant has a

cryptic effect on the function of the MgChl complex.

In this study, we used controlled crosses to segregate *Oy1-N1989* and the modifier alleles at

vey1 (*vey1^{B73}* and *vey1^{Mo17}*) to generate populations of maize with a range of chlorophyll contents.

We used this variation in chlorophyll to explore the effects of chlorophyll content on net CO_2

assimilation. These changes in chlorophyll accumulation resulted in changes in net CO_2

assimilation and photosynthetically-fixed carbon accumulation. Remarkably, we noticed that

flowering time across material with differing photosynthetic rates and chlorophyll contents was

dramatically different. We observed that reduced chlorophyll accumulation was associated with a

delay in flowering time. Similar to the cryptic effects of *vey1* on chlorophyll content, the modifier

allele had no effect on flowering time in the absence of the *Oy1-N1989* mutant allele. Chlorophyll

content was consistently associated with earlier flowering and partial rescue of chlorophyll

accumulation in the *Oy1-N1989* mutant by the *vey1* QTL accelerated flowering in the mutants but

had no effect on wild-type siblings. In addition to measurements of net CO_2 assimilation, the

premature senescence of maize leaves, induced by sugar accumulation following sink removal,

was also reduced by *Oy1-N1989* and further reduced by *vey1* alleles that decrease chlorophyll

content and net CO2 assimilation. The effect of reduced photosynthate accumulation on flowering

time was not specific to *Oy1-N1989* as mechanical removal of leaves, to reduce plant leaf area,

also delayed flowering time. Thus, all of our results are consistent with an integrative measure of

carbon assimilation linking energy status and flowering time in maize.

Materials and Methods

Plant materials

Our previously described stock of the *Oy1-N1989* mutant allele is maintained in the B73

background and is propagated by crossing heterozygous mutants (*Oy1-N1989/+*) to wild-type

siblings (Khangura *et al.* 2019). The B73 introgressed stock of *Oy1-N1989* was used for crossing

to various mapping populations. A total of 216 intermated B73 × Mo17 population (IBM-RILs),

and 251 synthetic 10 doubled haploid lines (Syn10-DH) were crossed as ear-parents with *Oy1-*

N1989/+:B73 pollen. The pollen of *Oy1-N1989/+*:B73 plants were also crossed on to the ears of

35 B73-Mo17 near-isogenic lines (BM-NILs) for QTL validation. Tables S1-S3 contain the full

list of IBM-RILs, Syn10-DH, and BM-NILs used to develop F_1 hybrid populations.

Field trials

All of the field experiments described in this study were conducted at the Purdue Agronomy Center

for Research and Education (ACRE) in West Lafayette, Indiana. Each cross was evaluated as a

single plot of 12-16 plants. Each plot derived from crosses with *Oy1-N1989/+*:B73 tester

segregated for both mutant and wild-type siblings in approximately 1:1 ratio. Seeds were sown

with a tractor-driven seed planter with plot length of 3.84 meters (m), alley length of 0.79 m, and

inter-row spacing of 0.79 m. Standard crop management practices at Purdue in terms of fertilizer,

pest, and weed control for growing field maize were adopted.

Each experiment was divided into blocks. Progenies from crosses of B73 and Mo17 ears to

Oy1-N1989/+:B73 pollen were used as parental checks in each block. Parental checks were

randomized within each block. The IBM-RILs F_1 populations were evaluated as a single

replication in 2013. Syn10-DH F_1 populations were evaluated in 2016 with two full replications

planted in randomized complete block design (RCBD). Hybrid progenies of *Oy1-N1989/+*:B73

with BM-NILs were screened in 2016 with five replications planted in RCBD.

Field phenotyping and data collection

The mutant plants in each plot were identified visually as pale plants. The chlorophyll content in mutant and wild-type plants was approximated using a CCM-200 plus (Opti-Sciences Inc., Hudson, NH) as described in Khangura *et al.* 2019. We previously demonstrated correlation of 0.94 between CCM-200 plus values and chlorophyll *a*, chlorophyll *b*, and total chlorophyll contents (Khangura *et al.* 2019), and therefore used CCM-200 plus values (CCM) as a proxy for chlorophyll content in the materials described here. CCMI refers to measurements taken with the CCM-200 plus instrument from 25-30 days after sowing and CCMII refers to measurements taken 45-50 days after sowing. Mutants were tagged between the V5-V7 stages of development. Tagging is necessary as suppression of the *Oy1-N1989* mutant phenotype by *vey1* interferes with visual classification of mutant and wild-type siblings at maturity. Reproductive maturity in each F_1 population was recorded separately on the wild-type and mutant plants. The date at which roughly half of the wild-type or mutant plants in a given plot were shedding pollen and had emerging silks from the primary ear was recorded as the date of anthesis and date of silking, respectively, for a given genotype. The dates of anthesis and silking for both wild-type and mutant genotypes were then subtracted from the date of planting to obtain respective wild-type or mutant days to anthesis (DTA), and days to silking (DTS). Further, the difference between DTA and DTS was used to derive the anthesis-silking interval (ASI); ASI = DTA-DTS. Wild-type and mutant trait values are denoted with a prefix WT and MT, respectively. Ratio and differences of these flowering time traits were also calculated as MT/WT and WT-MT, respectively.

A total of 15 F_1 populations derived from B73-like NILs × *Oy1-N1989/+*:B73 cross were used to study induced leaf senescence. Seven of these B73-like NILs carried an introgression of *vey1* from Mo17 (*vey1^{Mo17}*), whereas the other eight NILs had the B73 genotype at *vey1* (*vey1^{B73}*).

These NIL populations were planted in the field with at least two replications of each genotype

planted in RCBD and two times separated by two weeks. The procedure for this experiment was

adapted from Sekhon *et al.* 2012. Briefly, primary and secondary ears of both wild-type and mutant

plants were covered with shoot bags before silk emergence. After 3-4 days of tassel shedding,

roughly half of the shoot bags were removed, and these ears were allowed to open pollinate.

Staggered rows of B73, in addition to the pollen shed within the row fully pollinated exposed ears.

The day of shoot exposure was marked as 0 days after anthesis (DAA). Photographs were taken

on the same date using plants from both planting dates to permit display of differences in the effect

of DAA on phenotype severity.

Genotypic and gene expression data

The genotypic data and other public datasets on various mapping populations used in this

study have been described previously (Khangura *et al.* 2019). Briefly, the public marker dataset

for IBM-RILs was obtained from MaizeGDB with 2,178 markers (Sen *et al.* 2010). The markers

were reduced to 2,156 after removing duplicate variants, with ~13.3 percent of missing data in the

final dataset. Genotypic data consisting of 6611 SNPs for Syn10-DH lines was obtained from Liu

et al. 2015. This dataset had no missing genotypes and was used as such for QTL analyses.

Genotypes of the B73-Mo17 Near Isogenic Lines (BM-NILs) used for QTL validation were

obtained from Eichten *et al.* 2011. Expression data of *oy1* locus in IBM-RILs were obtained from

a public repository of the National Science Foundation grant (GEPR: Genomic Analyses of shoot

meristem function in maize; NSF DBI-0820610;

https://download.maizegdb.org/GeneFunction_and_Expression/ShootApicalMeristem/). This

data consists of the expression of maize genes in the tissue derived from the shoot apex of 14 days

old IBM-RILs seedlings. The expression data from each gene is normalized to reads per kilobase

of transcript per million mapped reads (RPKM).

Allele-specific expression (ASE) assay

Three replications of B73-Mo17 near-isogenic lines (BM-NILs) × *Oy1-N1989/+*:B73 F_1 progenies

were grown in the field. Mutant siblings derived from four B73-like NILs crossed with *Oy1-*

N1989/+:B73 were selected for the ASE experiment. These NILs consisted of two B73-like NILs

(b094 and b189) with *vey1^{Mo17}*, and other two B73-like NILs (b135 and b185) with *vey1^{B73}*

genotype. Leaf tissue was harvested from the top fully-expanded leaf at the V3 developmental

stage from the mutant siblings of the four B73-like NIL F_1 plots. For each biological replicate,

tissue was pooled from 4-5 seedlings to make one sample. The samples were stored at -80 ˚C until

needed. The procedure of total RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis, and the ASE assay has been

described previously in detail (Khangura *et al.* 2019). Briefly, one μg of DNase treated total RNA

was used to synthesize cDNA. PCR was conducted using the forward oligonucleotide 5'-

TCACCGTCTGCAATGTCGCCGCTC   -3'   and   reverse   oligonucleotide   5'-

AGTATGCCCCTGTTGGCCTTGGCG -3' under following reaction conditions with 30 cycles of

polymerization (94˚C for 30s, 56˚C for 30s, 72 ˚C for 30s and final extension for 2 minutes) to

amplify the targeted region of OY1. The primer pair used in this assay flanked the SNP that causes

the L176F amino acid substitution in the *Oy1-N1989* mutant allele. PCR products were sequenced

on a MiSeq instrument (Illumina, San Diego, CA) at the Purdue Genomics Core Facility. Reads

were aligned to a small reference sequence of B73 derived from targeted PCR region using the

GATK packages (DePristo *et al.* 2011). Read counts derived from GATK was used to calculate

allele-specific expression. Genomic DNA derived from B73 × Mo17 F_1 hybrids resulted in roughly

1:1 read counts demonstrating no bias in the assay.

Statistical analyses

Exploratory data analysis was done using JMP 13.0 (SAS Institute Inc. 2016). The pairwise

correlations were calculated using the Pearson correlation coefficient. The average values of

various traits from line-cross populations, IBM-RILs and Syn10-DH, were subjected to QTL

analyses. QTL detection was done using a single interval mapping via the EM algorithm using the

function "scanone" in R/qtl, a software package implemented in R (Broman *et al.* 2003; R core

Team 2013). Similar results were obtained with composite interval mapping function "cim" in

R/qtl (data not shown).

Defoliation assay

The defoliation experiments were performed using maize inbred B73, sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*)

inbred BTx623, and green foxtail (*Setaria viridis*) inbred A10.1. These experiments were

conducted in a greenhouse using mogul base high-pressure sodium lamps (1000 Watts) as the

supplemental light source for L:D cycle of 16:8 hours, with the temperature set at 28ºC (day-time)

and 20ºC (night-time). The maize inbred line B73 was defoliated at V3 leaf stage. All the leaves

with a fully visible leaf collar were cut slightly above the ligule. Sorghum plants with three to four

fully opened leaves (~20 days after sowing in the greenhouse) were defoliated in a similar way.

All fully expanded leaves at ~15 days after planting, including those on tillers, were removed in

Setaria plants. The time to reproductive maturity of both defoliated and undisturbed controls was

recorded on maize as described above. For sorghum and *Setaria*, the date of head emergence,

rather than anthesis, on every plant was recorded and deducted from the date of planting to obtain

days to heading.

Non-structural carbohydrate (NSC) quantification

The soluble sugars and starch were quantified from the mutant siblings of four B73-NILs × *Oy1-N1989/+*:B73 F_1 population. Two of these NILs carried *vey1^{Mo17}*, while the other two had *vey1^{B73}* genotype. Plants were grown in the field with three replications using a RCBD. The top fully-expanded leaf at the V3 stage was harvested at 1:00 PM and transferred to liquid nitrogen. Leaf tissues were stored at -80°C until needed. Leaf samples were ground into a fine powder and ~100 mg of the powder was used to extract sucrose, glucose, fructose, and starch using a previously described method (Leach and Braun 2016). The quantification of these NSC was done using a previously described method (Leach *et al.* 2017). Briefly, high-performance anion exchange (HPAE) chromatography (ICS-5000, Thermo-Fisher Scientific) was used to analyze the neutral fraction of the extract. Sugar standards were used to construct a standard curve, and samples were diluted to ensure that the detected values fell within the scope of this curve.

Gas-exchange measurements

Gas-exchange measurements were taken on field-grown plants during the summer of 2017 at the Purdue ACRE farm. The gas-exchange measurements were taken on the third leaf on plants at the V3 stage between 11 AM and 1 PM using a LICOR LI-6400XT open photosynthesis system (LI-COR Inc., Lincoln, NE, USA). The F_1 progenies used for these measurements consisted of four independent B73-NILs × *Oy1-N1989/+*:B73 cross, in which two NILs carried *vey1^{Mo17}* introgression and the other two carried *vey1^{B73}* allele. Plants were grown in a RCBD of three replicated blocks. For each genotype, nine plants were measured as three replicates in each of the three blocks. The following instrument conditions were maintained throughout the measurement period: an artificial light source with an intensity of 1700 µmol photosynthetically active radiation

(PAR) m^{-2} s^{-1}, air temperature of ~31 ℃, CO_2 concentration of 400 mL L^{-1}, air flow of 400 μmol

s^{-1}, and relative humidity of 50-60%. Leaf temperatures varied from 32-34℃ during the

measurements.

Chlorophyll fluorescence measurements were taken on the same leaves used for gas-

exchange using a Handy PEA (Hansatech Instruments Ltd., Norfolk, UK). Leaves were dark-

adapted for 20-30 min using leaf clips before taking measurements. The saturation pulse rate of

3000 μmol m^{-2} s^{-1} was used to measure the emission of chlorophyll fluorescence. The initial

chlorophyll fluorescence yield (F_0), the variable chlorophyll fluorescence yield (F_v), and the

maximum chlorophyll fluorescence yield (F_m) were recorded. The maximum photochemical

efficiency of PSII in dark-adapted leaves was obtained by calculating the ratio of F_v/F_m.

Data availability

All phenotypic data from the QTL and NIL populations are attached to this manuscript as

supplemental tables S1-S12 and available via figshare. All marker data was previously used in

Khangura *et al.* 2019 and made available to the public via figshare

(https://doi.org/10.25387/G3.7370948). All the seed stocks described in this study are available

upon request.

Results

Negative effect of *Oy1-N1989* on time to reproductive maturity is exacerbated by Mo17

While preparing the material for our previous study (Khangura *et al.* 2019), we noticed that *Oy1-*

N1989 exhibited a consistent delay in flowering, as measured by the days to silking and days to

pollen anthesis. Heterozygous *Oy1-N1989* mutant plants in the Mo17 × B73 hybrid genetic

background flower up to two-weeks later than wild-type siblings (Figure 1; Table S4). The *Oy1-*

N1989 mutants also flower later in an isogenic inbred B73 background; however, the delay is only

four days. By contrast, wild-type B73 × Mo17 F_1 hybrid plants flower earlier than the wild-type

B73 inbred plants. Maize is protandrous and tassels mature earlier than the ear-inflorescence. The

effect of *Oy1-N1989* and flowering time was similar for both anthesis and silk emergence. The

window of difference in maturity of the tassel and ear inflorescence, measured as anthesis-silking

interval (ASI), is used as an indicator of plant stress in maize (Bolanos and Edmeades 1996). The

ASI was wider in the wild-type siblings compared to the mutants in Mo17 × B73 hybrid

background (Figure 1G) and not discernably different in the B73 inbred background. Thus, the

delay in flowering does not seem to be due to a generic stress effect due to lower chlorophyll

contents.

Delayed reproductive maturity of *Oy1-N1989* mutants in B73 × Mo17 mapping populations

maps to *vey1*

If the effect of genetic background on flowering time in *Oy1-N1989* mutants is due to variation in

the accumulation of chlorophyll, we expect that the previously described *vey1* QTL from Mo17

should make this more severe (Khangura *et al.* 2019). To identify the genetic basis of the flowering

time variation in *Oy1-N1989* mutants and test the effect of *vey1*, we recorded flowering time in

wild-type and mutant F_1 progenies from the crosses between *Oy1-N1989/+*:B73 pollen-parent with

IBM-RILs and Syn10-DH lines. Hereafter, the hybrid populations developed from these crosses

will be referred to as the IBM-RILs F_1 and Syn10-DH F_1 populations (Figure 2).

Pairwise correlations were calculated between previously reported chlorophyll index

measures (Khangura *et al.* 2019) and flowering time traits collected from the same plots (Tables

S5 and S6). The chlorophyll index was measured at two time points CCMI (25-30 days after

sowing) and CCMII (45-50 days after sowing). In the IBM-RILs crosses, wild-type CCMII

displayed a weak but significant negative correlation with wild-type DTA and DTS. Similarly, in

the Syn10-DH crosses wild-type CCMI displayed a significant weak negative correlation with

wild-type DTA and DTS. This indicates that the phenomena observed in our mutants, reduced

chlorophyll content associated with a delay in flowering time was true in the wild-type populations

as well, but much less obvious. The variation in chlorophyll content in wild-type plants was not

predictive of either mutant CCM or flowering time in the mutants (Figure 3, Table S5, and S6)

indicating that the variation in CCM was not under the same control in the mutant and wild-type

subpopulations. A dramatic and obvious negative correlation was observed between CCM trait

values (CCMI and CCMII) and flowering time in the mutant siblings in both IBM-RILs and

Syn10-DH F_1 populations. As was observed in the *Oy1-N1989/+* B73 inbred stock and B73 ×

Mo17 hybrids *Oy1-N1989/+* mutants, mutants in these test-cross populations also showed a clear

increase in mean values for days to anthesis and silking compared to wild-type siblings (Figure 4).

The frequency distribution plot of days to anthesis in mutant siblings of IBM-RILs and

Syn10-DH F_1 populations displayed a bimodal distribution, suggesting a single polymorphic locus

segregating between B73 and Mo17 was the basis of flowering time variation in *Oy1-N1989*

mutants (Figure 5). QTL mapping detected a single QTL on chromosome 10 at similar linkage

positions for all mutant derived flowering traits (Figure 5; Tables S7 and S8). This corresponds to

the *vey1* locus that we previously described as a major-effect QTL that controls chlorophyll

biosynthesis only in the presence of the *Oy1-N1989* allele (Khangura *et al.* 2019). QTL mapping

for various direct and derived mutant flowering time traits such as days to flower in the mutant

heterozygotes (MT_DTA and MT_DTS), ratio of days to flower derived from mutant and wild-

type siblings (Ratio_DTA and Ratio_DTS), and the difference in days to flower between the wild-

type and mutant siblings (Diff_DTA and Diff_DTS) all detected *vey1* in both mapping populations

and exceeding permutation-estimated significance thresholds (alpha<0.05). The *vey1* QTL

explained ~40-48% of the phenotypic variation for these flowering traits in the IBM-RIL crosses,

and >65% variation in Syn10-DH F_1 crosses. As expected, the *Oy1-N1989* enhancing *vey1^{Mo17}*

allele was associated with a delay in flowering time in the mutant hybrid siblings (Tables S7 and

S8). In addition to *vey1*, an additional QTL controlling both mutant anthesis and silking was

detected in the Syn10-DH F_1 population on chromosome 2 which we call *other oil yellow1*

flowering time locus1 (*oof1*). This QTL explained ~7-8% variation in flowering time with the

Mo17 allele at this locus resulting in a delay of 2-3 days in reproductive maturity of mutant siblings.

Because of the very large effect of *vey1*, and the mild segregation distortion at this locus in

both bi-parental populations (Khangura *et al.* 2019), weak QTL might be detected by spurious

linkage between chr2 and chr10 markers. To test this, we carried out multiple regressions using

top markers at *oof1* and *vey1* as independent variables and mutant DTA in the Syn10-DH as a

dependent variable (data not shown). In this analysis, both *vey1* and *oof1* remained significant

factors in the multiple regression and explained 62% and 2% of the variance in flowering time,

respectively. Inclusion of a *vey1* × *oof1* interaction term did not improve the fit of the model, did

not eliminate the significance of the *oof1* term, and the interaction term was not a significant

variable. Moreover, we did not detect additional QTL by including these as covariates in an

additional genome-wide scan. Therefore, we propose that *oof1* is a novel QTL contingent upon the

Oy1-N1989 mutation and genetically independent of *vey1*.

The ASI of mutant and wild-type siblings in the test cross populations were not discernably

different, just as we observed in the mutant parents. QTL mapping for this trait did not detect any

loci controlling ASI in either the mutants or the wild type siblings. In addition, we did not detect

any QTL for flowering time in wild-type siblings (Tables S7 and S8). Thus, *Oy1-N1989* was

epistatic to both *vey1* and *oof1* QTLs suggesting a role for each locus in controlling either

photosynthesis or chlorophyll metabolites in the regulation of flowering time.

We further validated the effect of the *vey1* critical region using a set of NIL that vary at the

vey1 QTL from the recurrent background. F_1 progeny of these NIL and *Oy1-N1989*/+ produced

matched wild-type and *Oy1-N1989*/+ heterozygous mutant NIL F_1 hybrids. The *vey1^{Mo17}* allele

delayed flowering time in *Oy1-N1989*/+ mutants crossed to both B73 and Mo17 recurrent

backgrounds when compared to NILs carrying *vey1^{B73}* (Figure 6, Figure S3, and Table S3). No

effect of *vey1* introgression from either parent was visible on the flowering traits of the wild-type

siblings. The reproductive maturity of mutant B73-like NILs carrying *vey1^{Mo17}*, and reciprocal

introgression of *vey1^{B73}* into Mo17 background displayed the opposite effect on flowering time in

the F_1 mutants (Figure 6). Thus, these results clearly show the single locus effect of *vey1* on

flowering time in maize in *Oy1-N1989*-contingent manner in both isogenic inbred (B73) and

hybrid (Mo17 × B73) background.

Expression polymorphism in B73-like NILs is consistent with cis-acting regulatory

polymorphism at *vey1*

Our previous study looking at the suppression of *Oy1-N1989* mutant phenotype using chlorophyll

accumulation identified a cis-eQTL at *oy1* in the IBM-RILs population (Khangura *et al.* 2019).

Normalized expression (expressed as RPKM) of OY1 derived from 14 days old shoot apices of

IBM-RILs (Li et al., 2013; 2018) were used for this analysis. The top marker, isu085b, used in the

detection of this cis-eQTL was also one of the top significant markers for mutant flowering time

traits (Figure S1 and Table S9). Regression of OY1 expression and flowering traits collected in

IBM-RILs F_1 population identified a significant linear relationship between gene expression in

wild-type inbred lines and flowering time measurements from mutant F_1 siblings (Figure S2 and

Table S9). Roughly 21% of the variation in mutant DTA could be explained by OY1 expression

in the IBM-RILs shoot apices. OY1 expression did not predict any variation in wild-type DTA.

The allele specific-expression (ASE) assay in our previous study identified bias in expression

with the wild-type *oy1* allele from Mo17 displaying lower expression than the wild-type *oy1* allele

from B73 (Khangura *et al.* 2019). Our previous ASE work compared mutant plants in two different

genetic backgrounds (inbred vs hybrid) which can complicate the interpretations. To overcome

this limitation, an ASE assay was designed to test bias at *oy1* using near-isogenic lines in the B73

background. These B73-like NILs consisted of two independent NILs with *vey1^{Mo17}* introgression,

and two independent NILs with B73 genotype at *vey1*. Consistent with the previous ASE results,

a significantly greater proportion of expression was derived from the *Oy1-N1989* mutant allele

when the wild-type *oy1* allele was contributed by *vey1^{Mo17}* introgression as compared to the

isogenic mutant siblings carrying the wild-type *oy1* allele from *vey1^{B73}* introgression (Table S10).

Net CO_2 assimilation and sugar metabolism is reduced in *Oy1-N1989* mutants in *vey1-*

dependent manner

We measured net CO_2 assimilation, sub-stomatal CO_2, photosystem II fluorescence, and

photosynthate accumulation in enhanced and suppressed *Oy1-N1989/+* mutants. A previous study

in maize has shown that reduction in chlorophyll levels in the leaves leads to a reduction in

photosynthetic rate (Huang *et al.* 2009). A similar reduction in photosynthetic rate should be

displayed by *Oy1-N1989* mutants and the Mo17 allele should show a greater reduction in

photosynthesis compared to the B73 allele. We tested this using F_1 progenies derived from the

same four B73-like NILs used for the ASE experiment. The negative effect of *vey1^{Mo17}*

introgression on chlorophyll accumulation was visible in the *Oy1-N1989* mutant allele background

(Figure 6). As expected, photosynthetic rate (A) was reduced in mutants as compared to wild-type

siblings, and mutants were modified further by the *vey1* genotype (Table 1). Photosystem II

efficiency (Fv/Fm) measurements indicated higher photo-oxidative damage to the photosystem in

enhanced mutant plants compared to the suppressed mutants. Wild-type siblings of all four B73-

like NIL F_1 progenies showed no statistically significant difference for chlorophyll and gas-

exchange measurements. This indicates that, just as for chlorophyll content, the *vey1* QTL affects

net CO_2 assimilation in the presence of the *Oy1-N1989* mutant allele. No differences in stomatal

conductance (g_s) or transpiration (E) were observed in the mutant plants, however, severe mutant

plants showed significantly higher accumulation of intracellular CO_2 (Ci) compared to the

suppressed mutants suggesting the failure of the enhanced mutant plants to uptake CO_2 (Table 1).

These differences in photosynthetic rate should result in a decrease of non-structural

carbohydrate accumulation in the photosynthetic leaf tissue. The levels of non-structural

carbohydrates were determined from leaves of the same mutant plants that were used for gas-

exchange measurements. Levels of sucrose, glucose, fructose, and starch were measured in these

samples. All of these showed a significant reduction in the enhanced mutants compared to the

suppressed mutants in the B73 isogenic background (Figure 7 and Table S11). Lower levels of

sugars and starch in the *Oy1-N1989/+* mutant heterozygotes enhanced by a *vey1^{Mo17}* allele is

consistent with the observation of lower chlorophyll levels and photosynthetic rates in these

genotypes compared to the suppressed mutant NILs.

Defoliation of *Zea mays*, *Sorghum bicolor*, and *Setaria viridis* delays reproductive maturity

The existing literature suggests sugars and carbohydrate metabolism play an important role in

regulating flowering time in plants (Ohto *et al.* 2001; Seo *et al.* 2011; Wahl *et al.* 2013). We

hypothesized that removal of source tissue should mimic the sugar starvation observed in *Oy1-*

N1989 mutants. We used mechanical defoliation to reduce photosynthetic surplus of the plant. The

choice of this treatment was intended to deprive plants of leaf area and photosynthate early in

development to separate the block in chlorophyll biosynthesis and the loss of photosynthate, which

are coupled in the *Oy1-N1989* mutant study. We conducted this experiment using wild-type inbred

strains of maize and two other monocot species: *Sorghum bicolor* (sorghum) and *Setaria viridis*

(green foxtail). Each species was defoliated at an early vegetative stage when only few leaves had

fully expanded. Defoliation delayed flowering in all three species (Figure 8). Maize, sorghum, and

green foxtail displayed a delay in flowering by about 13, 7, and 4 days, respectively, compared to

the control plants. Remarkably, one-week post-defoliation, newly-emerged leaves displayed a pale

leaf color. Chlorophyll estimation using CCM found a reduction in the leaf greenness in the

defoliated treatments compared to the control samples (Figure 8). The newly-emerged leaves of

defoliated maize, sorghum, and green foxtail showed ~35%, ~48%, and ~58% reduction in CCM,

respectively, compared to control plants. Subsequent leaves emerging from the defoliated plants

displayed normal leaf color suggesting recovery of the plants from defoliation. We propose that

early season defoliation results in the removal of source tissue that might be critical for vegetative

to floral transition in grasses but a direct effect of chlorophyll accumulation cannot be ruled out.

Leaf senescence is suppressed by *Oy1-N1989* mutants in a *vey1*-dependent manner

Leaf senescence can be induced in maize by sucrose accumulation in the leaves. This can be

accomplished genetically by disrupting sucrose transport (Braun *et al.* 2006; Baker and Braun

2008; Slewinski *et al.* 2009) or by preventing the maize ears from acting as a sink (Allison and

Weinmann 1970; Sekhon *et al.* 2012, 2019). Previous studies have shown that maize leaf

senescence caused by pollination prevention or ear removal before pollination is genotype-

dependent (Ceppi et al. 1987). We tested the effect of sugar accumulation in mutant B73-like NILs

on induced leaf senescence by pollination prevention. Given the variation in leaf sugar in *Oy1-*

N1989/+ (Figure 7) we expect the mutants exhibit less or later senescence following pollination

prevention and modulation of this effect by *vey1*. We observed that 30 days-after-anthesis (DAA),

the top leaves of unpollinated wild-type B73 plants showed complete senescence with only a few

green patches. Unpollinated *Oy1-N1989/+* F$_1$ mutant plants crossed to the *vey1^{B73}* NIL background

were green and showed only a few patches of anthocyanin accumulation and cell death on the top

leaves at 30 DAA (Figure 9 and Table S12). Consistent with the lower chlorophyll and NSC

accumulation, unpollinated *Oy1-N1989/+* mutant F$_1$ plants crossed to the B73 NIL background

containing the *vey1^{Mo17}* introgression did not show any sign of leaf senescence at 30 DAA. By 42

DAA, unpollinated *Oy1-N1989/+* mutants with the *vey1^{B73}* allele and all unpollinated wild-type

plants showed leaf senescence. Even at 42 DAA, the enhancement of *Oy1-N1989/+* by the

vey1^{Mo17} allele resulted in substantially less cell death and anthocyanin accumulation.

Discussion

Our previous work identified a modifier, *vey1*, that affects the chlorophyll accumulation in *Oy1-*

N1989/+ heterozygotes (Khangura *et al.* 2019). The *vey1* polymorphism(s) are common natural

variant(s) linked to the *oy1* locus of maize. We proposed that *vey1* results from cis-acting

regulatory polymorphisms that affect the expression of OY1. The suppression of the mutant, and

accumulation of chlorophyll, follows the proportion of wild-type and mutant transcript levels

(Table S10 and Khangura *et al.* 2019). In this work, we describe a delay in reproductive maturity

in the *Oy1-N1989* mutants and demonstrate that *vey1* encodes a strong modifying QTL altering

the flowering time of mutant siblings in all mapping populations (Figures 5 and 6; Tables S7 and

S8). Just as the detection of *vey1* for CCM was contingent on the *Oy1-N1989* mutant allele in the

background, there was no effect of the *vey1* genotype on flowering time in wild-type siblings. We

observed the same marker, isu085b, had the strongest effect on chlorophyll content, OY1 transcript

abundance in shoot apices (Khangura *et al.* 2019), and variation in flowering time of IBM-RILs ×

Oy1-N1989/+ mutant F_1 mutant siblings (Table S9 and Figure S2). Taking these observations

together, we propose that the cis-acting eQTL at *oy1* is affecting chlorophyll level in *Oy1-N1989*

mutants, and that the alteration in photosynthesis through perturbed chlorophyll metabolism

affects flowering time variation in mutant siblings in these populations.

Our previous work on *vey1* has focused on the cryptic nature of the variation, and the

interaction between the *vey1* QTL and the *Oy1-N1989* mutant allele. The experiments presented

here also suggest chlorophyll content as a heretofore unappreciated correlate of flowering time in

wild-type maize plants (Figure 3; Tables S5 and S6). We observed that CCM values in both test

cross populations, were negatively correlated with wild-type days to reproductive maturity. This

suggests a role for the determinants of variation in chlorophyll contents in regulation of flowering

time in maize perhaps via changes to photosynthetic output or signaling. As no QTL were detected

for CCM in the wild-type siblings in our previous work (Khangura *et al.* 2019), it also suggests

that the mechanism responsible for the covariation between CCM and flowering time is

independent of *vey1*. This is further strengthened by the absence of an effect of *vey1* on flowering

time in the wild-type siblings in our mapping populations and NIL experiments (Table S3 and

Figure S3). Further experiments are required to validate or reject a causal relationship between

CCM and flowering time in wild-type siblings. Experiments using populations with greater

recombination or allelic diversity, such as in an association panel, should disrupt most fortuitous

linkage and would provide a second test of this phenotypic correlation and either argue for or

against additional exploration of this relationship. The crosses of *Oy1-N1989/+* to the association

panel analyzed for CCM in a previous study (Khangura *et al.* 2019) could be replanted and

measured for flowering time of wild-type and *Oy1-N1989/+* mutant sibling pairs. Candidate gene

testing of epistatic interactions between *Oy1-N1989*, *vey1*, and the known flowering time

regulators segregating in that population (e.g. *zmmads69*, *cct10*, *zcn8*, *dlf1*, and *vgt1)* could provide

some insight. Epistasis, indicating interaction between chlorophyll biosynthetic disruption and

developmental determinants of the transition to flowering, would be consistent with

photosynthesis acting as part of the autonomous pathway whereas no genetic interaction would be

consistent with the slow growth of plant organs in a compromised background resulting in the

observed reproductive delays.

Even though the Syn10-DH and IBM-RILs are derived from the same parents, they differ in

the method of development and rates of recombination (Liu et al. 2015; Hussain et al. 2007; Lee

et al. 2002). Thus, these two populations yield different levels of resolution for QTL detection.

Our previous analysis to fine map *vey1* QTL using CCM values showed Syn10-DH to have a

higher mapping resolution than the IBM-RILs population (Khangura *et al.* 2019). We also

observed different QTL for flowering time in these populations. QTL analysis in Syn10-DH F_1

population detected the *oof1* QTL on chromosome 2 affecting MT_DTA and MT_DTS as well as

the major-effect locus *vey1* (Table S8). The detection of *oof1* was dependent on the presence of

the *Oy1-N1989* mutant, but was neither contingent nor displayed any epistatic interactions with

vey1. Thus, *oof1* appears to be a novel locus of independent mechanism affecting flowering time

in the *Oy1-N1989* mutants. This QTL on chromosome 2 was not detected for any other trait in the

Syn10-DH and IBM-RILs testcross populations with *Oy1-N1989/+*:B73 in the current study nor

was it identified as a modifier of chlorophyll content in our previous study (Khangura *et al.* 2019).

The amount of phenotypic variation explained by *vey1* in flowering time was higher in the Syn10-

DH experimental material than the IBM-RILs testcross population by ~20% (Tables S7 and S8).

Greater variation in flowering time in the IBM-RILs testcross progenies that could not be modeled

by marker genotypes may result from higher residual heterozygosity or greater rates of pollen

contamination during the single seed descent (SSD) procedure used to generate the IBM-RILs

(Lee et al. 2002). Heterozygosity is expected to be negligible in Syn10-DH population because of

the DH procedure employed to fix allele segregation during population development (Hussain *et*

al. 2007). In addition, we had a moderate increase in sample size in the Syn10-DH (251 lines) as

compared to the IBM-RILs (216 lines) that is expected to result in a marginally greater power to

detect QTL in the Syn10-DH F_1 populations.

Chlorophyll levels are correlated with the rate of photosynthesis in plants (Huang *et al.* 2009).

Some controversy has been reported in mutants of soybean affected in an ortholog of *oy1* with

some reports showing little impact on photosynthesis (Sakowska *et al.* 2018) and others clearly

demonstrating a linear relationship between chlorophyll variation and photosynthesis (Walker *et*

al. 2017). The reasons for conflicting conclusions results from soybean mutants are not fully clear

but it warrants some caution in making simplistic interpretations about the impact of chlorophyll

deficient mutants on photosynthesis. In our study, net CO_2 assimilation was associated with the

severity of the *Oy1-N1989* mutant phenotype. The effect of chlorophyll loss on CO_2 assimilation

measurements using a LICOR instrument was somewhat non-linear. A nearly 5-fold reduction in

CCM in *Oy1-N1989* NILs carrying a B73 allele at *vey1* resulted in in a 22% reduction in net CO_2

assimilation while the 10-fold reduction in CCM in *Oy1-N1989* NILs carrying a Mo17 allele at

vey1 resulted in a 64% reduction in net photosynthetic rate, compared to their isogenic wild-type

siblings (Table 1). Ultimately, both reductions resulted in less accumulation of free sugars and

starch, with a substantially greater reduction in the enhanced mutant NILs (Figure 7; Tables 1 and

S11).

The allelic interactions of *Oy1-N1989* and wild-type *oy1* alleles are consistent with the

inductive role of carbohydrate status on floral transition (Ohto et al. 2001; Seo et al. 2011; Wahl

et al. 2013; Minow et al. 2018). Additional work exploring proposed carbohydrate signaling

metabolites, such as T6P and organic acids, and analyses of the downstream floral integrators such

as the FT orthologs of maize are still needed to link our results to the existing models of floral

transition regulation in maize (Minow *et al.* 2018).

One complexity that we observed in our data is that defoliation did not separate

photosynthate levels from changes in chlorophyll (Figure 8). The mechanical removal of leaves

resulted in changes to CCM in the newly emerging leaves of defoliated plants. As a result, a

mechanical treatment served to highlight the interconnected nature of plant metabolism: large

changes to any feature of central metabolism or plant physiology results in large changes to all of

central metabolism and plant physiology. In an effort to separate photosynthate and chlorophyll

accummulation, one can conceive of alternate experiments such as measuring the time to maturity

in different light intensities achieved using neutral shade cloth to produce a gradient of

photosynthetically active radiation and sugar accumulation. Similarly, plants could be sprayed

with low doses of chemicals such as 3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1,1-dimethylurea (DCMU) that

disrupt electron transfer during the light reactions to reduce photosynthetic output of plants without

altering light fluence experienced by other photoreceptors. However, all these experiments may

suffer from the same confounding of NSC and chlorophyll as the defoliation experiment. As a

result, researchers should quantify chlorophyll after these treatments as any change in chlorophyll

complicate our ability to uncouple chlorophyll metabolism and sugar accumulation as

demonstrated by our defoliation experiment and all the carbohydrate partitioning mutants of maize

studied to date.

Two other subunits of magnesium chelatase are encoded by genes that were identified in

Arabidopsis as *genomes uncoupled* mutants, *gun4* and *gun5*, with altered retrograde, plastid-to-

nuclear, signaling with defects in chlorophyll metabolism (Susek *et al.* 1993; Mochizuki *et al.*

2001; Larkin *et al.* 2003). Multiple studies have demonstrated retrograde signaling mutants with

defects in chlorophyll metabolism (Hernández-Verdeja and Strand 2018) and circadian rhythm

(Jones 2019). Retrograde signaling events are also associated with cell death, chloroplast

development, and etiolation but it acts via a number of modifiers of flowering, including

phytochromes, phytochrome signaling components, blue light perception via *crytochrome1,* and

circadian rhythmicity of key genes that affect flowering time. While retrograde signaling is an

attractive alternative model to sugar signaling for the phenomena reported here, mutants in

orthologs of *oy1* in both monocots and dicots (Mochizuki *et al.* 2001; Gadjieva *et al.* 2005) have

been tested for a *genomes uncoupled* phenotype and they did not perturb retrograde signaling. This

makes it unlikely that *Oy1-N1989* and *vey1* are altering flowering time via aberrant retrograde

signals. Additional experiments are necessary to clearly separate the effects of chlorophyll

metabolite levels from photosynthate levels to independently test their effects on flowering time

in maize.

We have known that defoliation is practiced in some maize nurseries to stagger flowering

time and permit intercrossing of lines with divergent reproductive maturities. We had presumed

that this was based on published research. Remarkably, we were not able to find a reference for

this practice. Previous work on defoliation in maize has looked at the effect of early and late season

defoliation on growth, and yield components but did not report flowering times (Crookston and

Hicks 1988; Pearson and Fletcher 2009). As a result, Figure 8 provides information that was

informally shared within the maize genetics research community but not described formally. In

addition, we extended this observation from maize to both sorghum and green foxtail, indicating

that defoliation can achieve staggered flowering times in these species as well. This may be a

general feature of grasses, but appears to not be universal in angiosperms as early-season

defoliation of photoperiod-sensitive strawberries did not affect flowering time (Guttridge 1959).

Sugar export and phloem loading mutants in maize that carry lesions in *tie-dyed1*, *tie-dyed2*,

sucrose export defective1, *psychedelic*, and *sucrose trasporter1* have all been shown to delay

flowering time (Braun *et al.* 2006; Baker and Braun 2008; Ma *et al.* 2008; Slewinski *et al.* 2009;

Slewinski and Braun 2010). We also observed declines in sugar levels in *Oy1-N1989* mutants

(Figure 7 and Table S11) and a delay in flowering time (Figure 1). It is tempting to consider these

mutants as a demonstration that sugar signaling can work independent of chlorophyll, but these

mutants also display low chlorophyll contents (Braun *et al.* 2006; Baker and Braun 2008; Ma *et*

al. 2008; Slewinski *et al.* 2009; Slewinski and Braun 2010). Curiously, *sucrose export defective1*

encodes tocopherol cyclase (Porfirova *et al.* 2002; Sattler *et al.* 2003). Tocopherol and chlorophyll

share the phytol side chain, and the salvage pathway for phytol side chains from chlorophyll can

contribute to tocopherol accumulation in both maize and Arabidopsis (Ischebeck *et al.* 2006;

Schelbert *et al.* 2009; Diepenbrock *et al.* 2017). While it is attractive to try and unify these findings,

chlorophyll breakdown products were only rate limiting for tocopherol synthesis in senescent

tissues of Arabidopsis (Zhang *et al.* 2014). Furthermore, in *Oy1-N1989* mutants the phytol

precursors should be abundant as this pool is not being consumed by chlorophyll biosynthesis.

Future experiments that more carefully explore these metabolites, for instance, via other mutants

that do not simultaneously affect multiple pathways, especially chlorophyll metabolism, are

required.

Accumulation of sugars in the leaves due to sink disruption has been proposed to induce leaf

senescence in a variety of angiosperms, including maize and Arabidopsis (Allison and Weinmann

1970; Ceppi *et al.* 1987; Pourtau *et al.* 2006; Sekhon *et al.* 2012, 2019). In maize, leaf senescence

is triggered when pollination is prevented and sucrose accumulates in leaves due to the lack of the

sink activity of a pollinated ear (Allison and Weinmann 1970; Ceppi *et al.* 1987). This sucrose-

dependent leaf senescence is genotype-dependent, and B73 is particularly susceptible to this

phenomenon. Genetic inheritance of the induced senescence phenomenon in maize inbred line

B73 was proposed to be under the control of a single dominant locus (Ceppi *et al.* 1987). The leaf

senescent phenotypes of the sucrose export mutants demonstrate that excessive photosynthate

accumulation can cause tissue to senesce regardless of ear presence (Braun *et al.* 2006; Baker and

Braun 2008; Ma *et al.* 2008; Slewinski *et al.* 2009). Induced leaf senescence by sink removal or

sugar application shows some overlap of biochemical and molecular mechanisms with natural

senescence in plants (summarized in Sekhon *et al.* 2012). A study of gene expression in the B73

inbred of maize identified senescence associated genes that exhibit gene expression changes during

pollination-prevented leaf senescence (Sekhon *et al.* 2012). We found that leaf senescence could

be prevented or delayed by the suppression of photosynthesis in the *Oy1-N1989* mutant and further

modulated by *vey1* variants (Figure 9 and Table S12). We expect that future gene expression

studies in *Oy1-N1989* mutant and sugar export mutants will identify genes consistently impacted

by lower and higher chlorophyll levels, presumably including senescence associated genes and

genes regulating carbohydrate metabolism.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the National Science Foundation for NSF grant 1444503 awarded to G.S.J. and B.P.D which helped fund this research. We thank the Purdue ACRE and ICSC staff members Mr. Jim Beaty and Mr. Jason Adams for help with planting, and management of the field experiments described in this work. We also thank past Johal lab members for help with planting and data collection. We would like to thank Mr. Mike Gosney for helping with gas-exchange measurements. We gratefully acknowledge solidarity with the the operator of the compact track loader who provided a soundtrack and dry workspace by excavating and constructing a French drain on our office walls while we wrote this paper.

REFERENCES

Abe, M., Y. Kobayashi, S. Yamamoto, Y. Daimon, A. Yamaguchi *et al.*, 2005 FD , a bZIP Protein
Mediating Signals from the Floral Pathway Integrator FT at the Shoot Apex. Science 309:
1052–1056.

Allison, J. C. S., and H. Weinmann, 1970 Effect of absence of developing grain on carbohydrate
content and senescence of maize leaves. Plant Physiol. 46: 435–436.

Alter, P., S. Bircheneder, L.-Z. Zhou, U. Schlüter, M. Gahrtz *et al.*, 2016 Flowering Time-
Regulated Genes in Maize Include the Transcription Factor ZmMADS1. Plant Physiol. 172:
389–404.

Amasino, R. M., and S. D. Michaels, 2010 The Timing of Flowering. Plant Physiol. 154: 516–520.

Baker, R. F., and D. M. Braun, 2008 Tie-dyed2 functions with tie-dyed1 to promote carbohydrate
export from maize leaves. Plant Physiol. 146: 1085–1097.

Bolanos, J., and G. O. Edmeades, 1996 The importance of the anthesis-silking interval in breeding
for drought tolerance in tropical maize. F. Crop. Res. 48: 65–80.

Bouchet, S., P. Bertin, T. Presterl, P. Jamin, D. Coubriche *et al.*, 2017 Association mapping for
phenology and plant architecture in maize shows higher power for developmental traits
compared with growth influenced traits. Heredity (Edinb). 118: 249–259.

Braun, D. M., Y. Ma, N. Inada, M. G. Muszynski, and R. F. Baker, 2006 tie-dyed1 Regulates
carbohydrate accumulation in maize leaves. Plant Physiol. 142: 1511–22.

Broman, K. W., H. Wu, S. Sen, and G. a. Churchill, 2003 R/qtl: QTL mapping in experimental
crosses. Bioinformatics 19: 889–890.

Buckler, E. S., J. B. Holland, P. J. Bradbury, C. B. Acharya, P. J. Brown *et al.*, 2009 The genetic
architecture of maize flowering time. Science 325: 714–718.

Ceppi, D., M. Sala, E. Gentinetta, A. Verderio, and M. Motto, 1987 Genotype-Dependent Leaf
Senescence in Maize: Inheritance and effects of pollination-prevention. Plant Physiol. 85:
720–725.

Chen, M., J. Chory, and C. Fankhauser, 2004 Light Signal Transduction in Higher Plants. Annu.
Rev. Genet. 38: 87–117.

Cho, L. H., R. Pasriga, J. Yoon, J. S. Jeon, and G. An, 2018 Roles of Sugars in Controlling
Flowering Time. J. Plant Biol. 61: 121–130.

Cho, L. H., J. Yoon, and G. An, 2017 The control of flowering time by environmental factors.
Plant J. 90: 708–719.

Colasanti, J., Z. Yuan, and V. Sundaresan, 1998 The indeterminate gene encodes a zinc finger
protein and regulates a leaf-generated signal required for thetransition to flowering in maize.
Cell 93: 593–603.

Coles, N. D., M. D. McMullen, P. J. Balint-Kurti, R. C. Pratt, and J. B. Holland, 2010 Genetic
control of photoperiod sensitivity in maize revealed by joint multiple population analysis.

Genetics 184: 799–812.

Coneva, V., D. Guevara, S. J. Rothstein, and J. Colasanti, 2012 Transcript and metabolite signature
of maize source leaves suggests a link between transitory starch to sucrose balance and the
autonomous floral transition. J. Exp. Bot. 63: 5079–5092.

Coneva, V., T. Zhu, and J. Colasanti, 2007 Expression differences between normal and
indeterminate1 maize suggest downstream targets of ID1, a floral transition regulator in maize.
J. Exp. Bot. 58: 3679–3693.

Corbesier, L., P. Lejeune, and G. Bernier, 1998 The role of carbohydrates in the induction of
flowering in Arabidopsis thaliana: Comparison between the wild type and a starchless mutant.
Planta 206: 131–137.

Corbesier, L., C. Vincent, S. Jang, F. Fornara, Q. Fan *et al.*, 2007 FT protein movement contributes
to long-distance signaling in floral induction of Arabidopsis. Science 316: 1030–1033.

Crookston, R. K., and D. R. Hicks, 1988 Effect of Early Defoliation on Maize Growth and Yield:
an Eleven-year Perspective. Crop Sci. 28: 371–373.

Danilevskaya, O. N., X. Meng, D. A. Selinger, S. Deschamps, P. Hermon *et al.*, 2008 Involvement
of the MADS-Box Gene ZMM4 in Floral Induction and Inflorescence Development in Maize.
Plant Physiol. 147: 2054–2069.

DePristo, M. A., E. Banks, R. Poplin, K. V Garimella, J. R. Maguire *et al.*, 2011 A framework for
variation discovery and genotyping using next-generation DNA sequencing data. Nat. Genet.
43: 491–498.

Diepenbrock, C. H., C. B. Kandianis, A. E. Lipka, M. Magallanes-Lundback, B. Vaillancourt *et*
al., 2017 Novel Loci Underlie Natural Variation in Vitamin E Levels in Maize Grain. Plant
Cell 29: 2374–2392.

Doebley, J., A. Stec, and L. Hubbard, 1997 The evolution of apical dominance in maize. Nature
386: 485–488.

Eichten, S. R., J. M. Foerster, N. de Leon, Y. Kai, C.-T. Yeh *et al.*, 2011 B73-Mo17 near-isogenic
lines demonstrate dispersed structural variation in maize. Plant Physiol. 156: 1679–90.

Evans, M. M., and R. S. Poethig, 1995 Gibberellins promote vegetative phase change and
reproductive maturity in maize. Plant Physiol. 108: 475–87.

Eveland, A. L., and D. P. Jackson, 2012 Sugars, signalling, and plant development. J. Exp. Bot.
63: 3367–3377.

Gadjieva, R., E. Axelsson, U. Olsson, and M. Hansson, 2005 Analysis of gun phenotype in barley
magnesium chelatase and Mg-protoporphyrin IX monomethyl ester cyclase mutants. Plant
Physiol. Biochem. 43: 901–908.

Gibson, L. C. D., R. D. Willows, C. G. Kannangara, D. von Wettstein, and C. N. Hunter, 1995
Magnesium-protoporphyrin chelatase of Rhodobacter sphaeroides: reconstitution of activity
by combining the products of the bchH, -I, and -D genes expressed in Escherichia coli. Proc.
Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 92: 1941–1944.

Guo, L., X. Wang, M. Zhao, C. Huang, C. Li *et al.*, 2018 Stepwise cis-Regulatory Changes in
ZCN8 Contribute to Maize Flowering-Time Adaptation. Curr. Biol. 28: 3005–3015.e4.

Guttridge, C. G., 1959 Evidence for a Flower Inhibitor and Vegetative Growth Promoter in the
742  Strawberry. Ann. Bot. 23: 351–360.

743 Hansson, A., C. G. Kannangara, D. V Wettstein, and M. Hansson, 1999 Molecular basis for
744  semidominance of missense mutations in the XANTHA-H (42-kDa) subunit of magnesium
745  chelatase. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 96: 1744–1749.

746 Hansson, A., R. D. Willows, T. H. Roberts, and M. Hansson, 2002 Three semidominant barley
747  mutants with single amino acid substitutions in the smallest magnesium chelatase subunit
748  form defective AAA+ hexamers. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 99: 13944–13949.

749 Hernández-Verdeja, T., and Å. Strand, 2018 Retrograde Signals Navigate the Path to Chloroplast
750  Development. Plant Physiol. 176: 967–976.

751 Huang, M., T. L. Slewinski, R. F. Baker, D. Janick-Buckner, B. Buckner et al., 2009 Camouflage
752  patterning in maize leaves results from a defect in porphobilinogen deaminase. Mol. Plant 2:
753  773–89.

754 Huang, C., H. Sun, D. Xu, Q. Chen, Y. Liang et al., 2017 ZmCCT9 enhances maize adaptation to
755  higher latitudes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 115: E334–E341.

756 Hung, H.-Y., L. M. Shannon, F. Tian, P. J. Bradbury, C. Chen et al., 2012 ZmCCT and the genetic
757  basis of day-length adaptation underlying the postdomestication spread of maize. Proc. Natl.
758  Acad. Sci. 109: E1913–E1921.

759 Hussain, T., P. Tausend, G. Graham, and J. Ho, 2007 Registration of IBM2 SYN10 Doubled
760  Haploid Mapping Population of Maize. J. Plant Regist. 1: 81.

761 Ischebeck, T., A. M. Zbierzak, M. Kanwischer, and P. Dörmann, 2006 A salvage pathway for
762  phytol metabolism in Arabidopsis. J. Biol. Chem. 281: 2470–2477.

763 Jones, M. A., 2019 Retrograde signalling as an informant of circadian timing. New Phytol. 221:
764  1749–1753.

765 Khangura, R. S., S. Marla, B. P. Venkata, N. J. Heller, G. S. Johal et al., 2019 A Very Oil Yellow1
766  Modifier of the Oil Yellow1-N1989 Allele Uncovers a Cryptic Phenotypic Impact of Cis-
767  regulatory Variation in Maize. G3 9: 375–390.

768 Kozaki, A., S. Hake, and J. Colasanti, 2004 The maize ID1 flowering time regulator is a zinc finger
769  protein with novel DNA binding properties. Nucleic Acids Res. 32: 1710–1720.

770 Lang, A., 1952 Physiology of Flowering. Ann. Rev. Plant. Physiol. 3: 265–306.

771 Lang, A., 1957 The effect of gibberellin upon flower formation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 43: 709–
772  717.

773 Larkin, R. M., J. M. Alonso, J. R. Ecker, and J. Chory, 2003 GUN4, a regulator of chlorophyll
774  synthesis and intracellular signaling. Science 299: 902–906.

775 Leach, K. A., and D. M. Braun, 2016 Soluble Sugar and Starch Extraction and Quantification from
776  Maize (Zea mays) Leaves. Curr. Protoc. Plant Biol. 1: 139–161.

777 Leach, K. A., T. M. Tran, T. L. Slewinski, R. B. Meeley, and D. M. Braun, 2017 Sucrose
778  transporter2 contributes to maize growth, development, and crop yield. J. Integr. Plant Biol.
779  59: 390–408.

Lee, M., N. Sharopova, W. D. Beavis, D. Grant, M. Katt *et al.*, 2002 Expanding the genetic map
of maize with the intermated B73 x Mo17 (IBM) population. Plant Mol. Biol. 48: 453–61.

Liang, Y., Q. Liu, X. Wang, C. Huang, G. Xu *et al.*, 2019 ZmMADS69 functions as a flowering
activator through the ZmRap2.7-ZCN8 regulatory module and contributes to maize flowering
time adaptation. New Phytol. 221: 2335–2347.

Liu, H., Y. Niu, P. J. Gonzalez-Portilla, H. Zhou, L. Wang *et al.*, 2015 An ultra-high-density map
as a community resource for discerning the genetic basis of quantitative traits in maize. BMC
Genomics 16: 1078.

Ma, Y., R. F. Baker, M. Magallanes-Lundback, D. Dellapenna, and D. M. Braun, 2008 Tie-dyed1
and Sucrose export defective1 act independently to promote carbohydrate export from maize
leaves. Planta 227: 527–538.

Meng, X., M. G. Muszynski, and O. N. Danilevskaya, 2011 The FT-Like ZCN8 Gene Functions
as a Floral Activator and Is Involved in Photoperiod Sensitivity in Maize. Plant Cell 23: 942–
960.

Minow, M. A. A., L. M. Ávila, K. Turner, E. Ponzoni, I. Mascheretti *et al.*, 2018 Distinct gene
networks modulate floral induction of autonomous maize and photoperiod-dependent teosinte.
J. Exp. Bot. 69: 2937–2952.

Mochizuki, N., J. a Brusslan, R. Larkin, a Nagatani, and J. Chory, 2001 Arabidopsis genomes
uncoupled 5 (GUN5) mutant reveals the involvement of Mg-chelatase H subunit in plastid-
to-nucleus signal transduction. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 98: 2053–2058.

Moghaddam, M. R. B., and W. Van den Ende, 2013 Sugars, the clock and transition to flowering.
Front. Plant Sci. 4: 1–6.

Muszynski, M. G., T. Dam, B. Li, D. M. Shirbroun, Z. Hou *et al.*, 2006 delayed flowering1
Encodes a basic leucine zipper protein that mediates floral inductive signals at the shoot apex
in maize. Plant Physiol. 142: 1523–1536.

Mutasa-Göttgens, E., and P. Hedden, 2009 Gibberellin as a factor in floral regulatory networks. J.
Exp. Bot. 60: 1979–1989.

Ohto, M.-A., K. Onai, Y. Furukawa, E. Aoki, T. Araki *et al.*, 2001 Effects of Sugar on Vegetative
Development and Floral Transition in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 127: 252–261.

Paul, M. J., 2008 Trehalose 6-phosphate: a signal of sucrose status. Biochem. J. 412: 1–2.

Pearson, A., and A. L. Fletcher, 2009 Effect of total defoliation on maize growth and yield. Agron.
New Zeal. 39: 1–6.

Porfirova, S., E. Bergmuller, S. Tropf, R. Lemke, and P. Dormann, 2002 Isolation of an
Arabidopsis mutant lacking vitamin E and identification of a cyclase essential for all
tocopherol biosynthesis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 99: 12495–12500.

Pourtau, N., R. Jennings, E. Pelzer, J. Pallas, and A. Wingler, 2006 Effect of sugar-induced
senescence on gene expression and implications for the regulation of senescence in
Arabidopsis. Planta 224: 556–568.

R core Team, 2013 R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. ISBN 3-900051-07-0, http://www.R-project.org/.

Sakowska, K., G. Alberti, L. Genesio, A. Peressotti, G. Delle Vedove *et al.*, 2018 Leaf and canopy
photosynthesis of a chlorophyll deficient soybean mutant. Plant Cell Environ. 41: 1427–1437.

Salvi, S., G. Sponza, M. Morgante, D. Tomes, X. Niu *et al.*, 2007 Conserved noncoding genomic
sequences associated with a flowering-time quantitative trait locus in maize. Proc. Natl. Acad.
Sci. U. S. A. 104: 11376–11381.

Samach, A., H. Onouchi, S. E. Gold, G. S. Ditta, Z. Schwarz- *et al.*, 2000 Distinct Roles of
CONSTANS Target Genes in Reproductive Development of Arabidopsis. Science 288:
1613–1616.

Sattler, S. E., E. B. Cahoon, S. J. Coughlan, and D. Dellapenna, 2003 Characterization of
Tocopherol Cyclases from Higher Plants and Cyanobacteria . Evolutionary Implications for
Tocopherol Synthesis and Function. Plant Physiol. 132: 2184–2195.

Sawers, R. J. H., J. Viney, P. R. Farmer, R. R. Bussey, G. Olsefski *et al.*, 2006 The maize Oil
yellow1 (Oy1) gene encodes the I subunit of magnesium chelatase. Plant Mol. Biol. 60: 95–
106.

Schelbert, S., S. Aubry, B. Burla, B. Agne, F. Kessler *et al.*, 2009 Pheophytin Pheophorbide
Hydrolase (Pheophytinase) Is Involved in Chlorophyll Breakdown during Leaf Senescence
in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 21: 767–785.

Searle, N. E., 1965 Physiology of Flowering. Ann. Rev. Plant. Physiol. 16: 97–118.

Sekhon, R. S., K. L. Childs, N. Santoro, C. E. Foster, C. R. Buell *et al.*, 2012 Transcriptional and
Metabolic Analysis of Senescence Induced by Preventing Pollination in Maize. Plant Physiol.
159: 1730–1744.

Sekhon, R. S., C. Saski, R. Kumar, B. Flinn, F. Luo *et al.*, 2019 Integrated Genome-Scale Analysis
Identifies Novel Genes and Networks Underlying Senescence in Maize. Plant Cell
tpc.00930.2018.

Sen, T. Z., L. C. Harper, M. L. Schaeffer, C. M. Andorf, T. E. Seigfried *et al.*, 2010 Choosing a
genome browser for a Model Organism Database: surveying the Maize community. Database
2010: 1–9.

Seo, P. J., J. Ryu, S. K. Kang, and C. M. Park, 2011 Modulation of sugar metabolism by an
INDETERMINATE DOMAIN transcription factor contributes to photoperiodic flowering in
Arabidopsis. Plant J. 65: 418–429.

Slewinski, T. L., and D. M. Braun, 2010 The Psychedelic genes of maize redundantly promote
carbohydrate export from leaves. Genetics 185: 221–232.

Slewinski, T. L., A. Garg, G. S. Johal, and D. M. Braun, 2010 Maize SUT1 functions in phloem
loading. Plant Signal. Behav. 5: 1–4.

Slewinski, T. L., R. Meeley, and D. M. Braun, 2009 Sucrose transporter1 functions in phloem
loading in maize leaves. J. Exp. Bot. 60: 881–892.

Steinhoff, J., W. Liu, J. C. Reif, G. Della Porta, N. Ranc *et al.*, 2012 Detection of QTL for
flowering time in multiple families of elite maize. Theor. Appl. Genet. 125:.

Susek, R. E., F. M. Ausubel, and J. Chory, 1993 Signal Transduction Mutants of Arabidopsis
Uncouple Nuclear CAB and RBCS Gene Expression from Chloroplast Development. Cell 74:

787–799.

Swarts, K., R. M. Gutaker, B. Benz, M. Blake, R. Bukowski *et al.*, 2017 Genomic estimation of
complex traits reveals ancient maize adaptation to temperate North America. Science 357:
512–515.

Vega, S. H., M. Sauer, J. A. J. Orkwiszewski, and S. Poethig, 2002 The early phase change gene
in Maize. Plant Cell 14: 133–147.

Wahl, V., J. Ponnu, A. Schlereth, S. Arrivault, T. Langenecker *et al.*, 2013 Regulation of flowering
by Trehalose-6-phosphate signalling in Arabidopsis thaliana. Science 339: 704–708.

Walker, B. J., D. T. Drewry, R. A. Slattery, A. VanLoocke, Y. B. Cho *et al.*, 2017 Chlorophyll can
be reduced in crop canopies with little penalty to photosynthesis. Plant Physiol. 176: 1215–
1232.

Walker, C. J., and J. D. Weinstein, 1991 In vitro assay of the chlorophyll biosynthetic enzyme Mg-
chelatase: resolution of the activity into soluble and membrane-bound fractions. Proc. Natl.
Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 88: 5789–5793.

Wang, R. L., A. Stec, J. Hey, L. Lukens, and J. Doebley, 1999 The limits of selection during maize
domestication. Nature 398: 236–239.

Wigge, P. A., M. C. Kim, K. E. Jaeger, W. Busch, M. Schmid *et al.*, 2005 Integration of Spatial
and Temporal Information During Floral Induction in Arabidopsis. Science 309: 1056–1059.

Yoo, S. K., K. S. Chung, J. Kim, J. H. Lee, S. M. Hong *et al.*, 2005 CONSTANS Activates
SUPPRESSOR OF OVEREXPRESSION OF CONSTANS 1 through FLOWERING
LOCUS T to Promote Flowering in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 139: 770–778.

Zeevaart, J. A. D., 1962 Physiology of Flowering. Science 137: 723–731.

Zhang, W., T. Liu, G. Ren, S. Hörtensteiner, Y. Zhou *et al.*, 2014 Chlorophyll Degradation: The
Tocopherol Biosynthesis-Related Phytol Hydrolase in Arabidopsis Seeds Is Still Missing.
Plant Physiol. 166: 70–79.

Zhang, L., H. Yu, S. Lin, and Y. Gao, 2016 Molecular Characterization of FT and FD Homologs
from Eriobotrya deflexa Nakai forma koshunensis. Front. Plant Sci. 7: 1–10.

Table 1. The chlorophyll index, gas-exchange, and maximum quantum yield of the mutant ($Oy1$-$N1989/+$) and wild-type ($+/+$) siblings in four independent B73-like NILs x $Oy1$-$N1989/+$:B73 F_1 progenies.

Genotype[1]	$vey1$-status	CCM[1]	A[2]	g_s[3]	C_i[4]	E[5]	F_v/F_m[6]
$Oy1$-$N1989/+$:b094	$vey1^{Mo17}$	1.5±0.04[a]	4.9±1.55[a]	0.2±0.07	331.3±5.01[a]	4.3±0.99	0.16±0.03[a]
$Oy1$-$N1989/+$:b189	$vey1^{Mo17}$	1.6±0.14[a]	6.3±0.85[a]	0.2±0.06	319.9±24.93[a]	4.7±0.92	0.16±0.04[a]
$Oy1$-$N1989/+$:b135	$vey1^{B73}$	4.9±0.27[b]	27.9±2.47[b]	0.3±0.01	172.4±13.36[b]	5.8±0.49	0.43±0.01[b]
$Oy1$-$N1989/+$:b185	$vey1^{B73}$	4.4±0.29[b]	23.5±1.66[b]	0.3±0.03	202.1±27.97[b]	5.5±0.26	0.42±0.02[b]
$+/+$:b094	$vey1^{Mo17}$	19.7±3.14	34.4±3.97	0.4±0.12	176.1±25.61	6.9±0.84	0.66±0.04
$+/+$:b189	$vey1^{Mo17}$	14.4±0.66	27.8±0.91	0.3±0.03	166.4±7.39	5.5±0.41	0.70±0.05
$+/+$:b135	$vey1^{B73}$	19.2±3.47	32.6±3.32	0.3±0.05	162.0±13.11	6.4±0.52	0.72±0.03
$+/+$:b185	$vey1^{B73}$	22.4±1.84	33.2±2.49	0.4±0.06	166.6±13.76	6.5±0.53	0.72±0.03

The data are provided as mean ± standard deviations of three experimental replications. Each replication consisted of three independent plants measurements for mutants and two for wild-type siblings. The superscript connecting letter report between each genotype group (mutant or wild-type) indicates statistical significance determined using ANOVA with post-hoc analysis using Tukey's HSD at $p<0.01$. No statistically significant difference was found between the wild-type siblings.
[1]Chlorophyll index measured using CCM-200 plus; [2]Net CO_2 assimilation rate (μmol CO_2 m^{-2} s^{-1}); [3]Stomatal conductance (mol H_2O m^{-2} s^{-1}); [4]Substomatal CO_2 concentration (μmol CO_2 mol air^{-1}); [5]Transpiration rate (mmol m^{-2} s^{-1}); [6]Maximum quantum yield of PSII (Fv/Fm)

Figure 1. The reproductive maturity is delayed by *Oy1-N1989* allele in maize. The representative (A) wild-type (left) and mutant (right) sibling from Mo17 x *Oy1-N1989/+*:B73 cross (reproduced from Figure 1b in Khangura *et. al*. 2019), black scale bar = 50 cm. The representative (B) wild-type (left) and mutant (right) sibling from Mo17 x *Oy1-N1989/+*:Mo17 cross (BC$_7$). The close-up view of the emerging tassel of mutant siblings (C) in panel A, and (D) panel B. The distribution of (E) days to anthesis, (F) days to silking, and (G) anthesis-silking interval (ASI) in the wild-type and mutant siblings in B73 and Mo17 x B73 (Mo17/B73) hybrid genetic backgrounds.

Figure 2. The schematic of crossing strategy used to map *Oy1-N1989* enhancer/suppressor loci using IBM-RILs (n=216) and Syn10-DH (n=251) populations. Black and blue colors indicate B73 and Mo17 genotypes. Chromosome 10 of the heterozygous pollen-parent *Oy1-N1989/+:B73* is shown with a golden spot indicating *Oy1-N1989* mutant allele. The resulting F_1 progenies from these crosses are depicted with state of chromosome 10 for each F_1 testcross showing segregation of wild-type and mutant (with the golden spot) siblings.

Figure 3. The pairwise correlation matrix showing color-coded correlation values between wild-type CCMII (WT_CCMII), mutant CCMII (MT_CCMII), wild-type days to anthesis (WT_DTA), and mutant days to anthesis (MT_DTA) in (A) IBM-RILs x *Oy1-N1989/+*:B73, and (B) Syn10-DH x *Oy1-N1989/+*:B73 F$_1$ test cross populations.

Figure 4. The distribution of days to flower (anthesis and silking) in the wild-type and mutant siblings in (A) IBM-RILs x *Oy1-N1989/+*:B73, and (B) Syn10-DH x *Oy1-N1989/+*:B73 F$_1$ test cross populations. Abbreviations: wild-type days to anthesis (WT_DTA), wild-type days to silking (WT_DTS), mutant days to anthesis (MT_DTA), and mutant days to silking (DTS).

Figure 5. The distribution of days to 50% anthesis of the mutant siblings (MT_DTA) in (A) Syn10-DH x *Oy1-N1989/+*:B73, and (B) IBM-RILs x *Oy1-N1989/+*:B73 F_1 population. Genome-wide QTL plot of MT_DTA in (C) Syn10-DH, and (D) IBM-RILs F_1 population. The x-axis in (C) and (D) indicates the chromosome number, and y-axis indicates the logarithm of odds (LOD) of tested markers. Black horizontal bar indicates permutation testing based threshold for QTL detection.

Figure 6. Effect of *vey1* locus from B73 and Mo17 on flowering time in reciprocal isogenic backgrounds. Representative plants showing
(A) Delayed maturity of the heterozygous mutants in isogenic Mo17 (BC$_7$ generation) inbred background, compared to the wild-type siblings. Mutant and wild-type siblings in b030 (B73-like NIL with *vey1^{B73}*) shows early flowering while the isogenic B73 introgression of the *vey1^{Mo17}* allele in b094 NIL exhibits delayed flowering.
(B) Early maturity in the heterozygous mutant in m097 (Mo17-like NIL with *vey1^{B73}*) compared to the mutant in B73 x Mo17 F$_1$ hybrid background. Measuring stick on the left in both panels is 243 cm.

Figure 7. The effect of alleles at *vey1* on leaf soluble sugars and starch content in *Oy1-N1989/+* heterozygotes in the isogenic B73 background. B73-like NILs b135 and b185 have *vey1^B73^* introgression, whereas b189 and b094 have *vey1^Mo17^* introgression. The values of different sugars and starch are reported as mg/g of fresh weight.

Figure 8. The effect of defoliation on flowering time and chlorophyll in the newly emerged leaves of (A-C) maize, (D-F) sorghum, and (G-I) green foxtail. The asterisk indicates significant difference between treatment means using student's t-test at $p < 0.05$.

Figure 9. The effect of *vey1* on senescence induced by pollination prevention. Pictures of the representative primary ear leaf derived from plants at 30 and 40 days-after-anthesis (DAA) either with open pollinated (top panels: A, C, and E) or unpollinated (bottom panels: B, D, and F) ears. The representative primary ear leaf from (A-B) B73 wild-type (left) and mutant sibling (right) at 30DAA, (C-D) wild-type (left) and mutant (right) sibling from F$_1$ between B73-like NIL-b107 (with *vey1^{Mo17}* introgression) x *Oy1-N1989/+*:B73 at 30 DAA, (E-F) wild-type (B73-like NIL-b107), mutant B73-like NIL-b135 with *vey1^{B73}* (middle), and mutant B73-like NIL-b107 with *vey1^{Mo17}* allele (right) at 42 DAA. The scale bar in each figure is 6.35 cm.