Identification and evolution of avian endogenous foamy viruses

Yicong Chen[1,2,†], Xiaoman Wei[1,2,†], Guojie Zhang[3], Edward C. Holmes[4], Jie Cui[1,*]

[1]Wuhan Institute of Virology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Wuhan, China; Institut Pasteur

of Shanghai, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, China.

[2]University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China.

[3]State Key Laboratory of Genetic Resources and Evolution, Kunming Institute of Zoology,

Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming, China; China National GeneBank, BGI-Shenzhen,

Shenzhen, China; Section for Ecology and Evolution, Department of Biology, University of

Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark; Center for Excellence in Animal Evolution and

Genetics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming, China.

[4]Marie Bashir Institute for Infectious Diseases and Biosecurity, Charles Perkins Centre,

School of Life and Environmental Sciences and Faculty of Medicine and Health, University

of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia.

[*]Corresponding author: E-mail: jcui@ips.ac.cn

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

A history of long-term co-divergence means that foamy viruses (family *Retroviridae*) provide

an ideal framework to understanding virus-host evolution over extended time-periods.

Endogenous foamy viruses (EFVs) are rare, and to date have only been found in a limited

number of genomes from mammals, amphibians, reptiles and fish. By screening 510 avian

genomes we identified endogenous foamy viruses in avian species - from the Maguari Stork

(*Ciconia maguari*) and Oriental Stork (*C. boyciana*). Phylogenetic analysis and analysis of

the genome structures and flanking sequences indicated a single origin of EFVs into *Ciconia*

during evolutionary history, and the marked incongruence with the host phylogeny suggested

that this integration event occurred independently in birds. In sum, this study provides

evidence that birds can be infected with foamy viruses, filling the last major gap in the

taxonomic distribution of foamy viruses and their animal hosts.

Key words: endogenous foamy viruses; birds; incongruence; vertical transmission

Introduction

Retroviruses (family *Retroviridae*) are viruses of substantial medical and economic significance as some are associated with severe infectious disease or are oncogenic (Hayward, et al. 2015; Aiewsakun and Katzourakis 2017; Xu, et al. 2018). Retroviruses are also of evolutionary importance as they have occasionally invaded the host germ line, leading to the generation of endogenous retroviruses (ERVs) and hence genomic 'fossils' (Stoye 2012; Johnson 2015, 2019). ERVs are widely distributed in vertebrates (Hayward, et al. 2013; Cui, et al. 2014; Hayward, et al. 2015; Xu, et al. 2018) and provide important insights into the origin and long-term evolution of retroviruses. However, some complex retroviruses such as lenti-, delta- and spuma viruses, only relatively rarely appear as endogenized forms.

As well leaving a litany of endogenous copies in host genomes, foamy viruses are of particular importance because they exhibit a history of long-term co-divergence with their vertebrate hosts (Switzer, et al. 2005). Endogenous foamy viruses (EFVs) were first discovered in sloths (Katzourakis, et al. 2009), and then found in several primate genomes (Han and Worobey 2012b, 2014; Katzourakis, et al. 2014). The subsequent discovery of EFV and EFV-like copies in fish genomes indicated that foamy viruses may have a deep evolutionary history within the vertebrates in general (Han and Worobey 2012a; Ruboyianes and Worobey 2016; Aiewsakun and Katzourakis 2017). Recently, a novel endogenous virus - denoted ERV-Spuma-Spu - was identified in genome of the reptile *Sphenodon punctatus* (the tuatara), suggesting that foamy viruses have co-diverged with their vertebrate hosts for hundreds of million years (Aiewsakun, et al. 2019; Wei, et al. 2019). However, although

endogenous foamy viruses have been found in the genomes of fish, reptiles, amphibians and

mammals, to date they have not been identified in avian genomes.

Materials and Methods

Genome screening and viral genome structure identification

All 147 avian genomes available in GenBank as of June 2019 (Supplementary Table S1) and

363 genomes from the 'Bird 10K' program were screened for endogenous foamy viruses

using the TBLASTN algorithm (Altschul, et al. 1990) and the protein sequences of

representative exogenous foamy viruses, EFVs and endogenous foamy-like viruses

(Supplementary Table S2). A 35% sequence identity over a 30% region with an e-value set to

0.0001 was used to filter significant hits (Supplementary Table S3). Viral hits within large

scaffold (>20 kb) were assumed to represent *bona fide* ERVs. We then extended the flanking

sequence of these hits to identify the viral long terminal repeats (LTRs) using BLASTN

(Altschul, et al. 1990), LTR Finder (Xu and Wang 2007) and LTRharvest (Ellinghaus, et al.

2008). In accordance with the nomenclature proposed for ERVs (Gifford, et al. 2018),

endogenous foamy viruses were identified in the genomes of the Maguari Stork (*Ciconia*

maguari) and the Oriental stork (*C. boyciana*), were termed 'ERV-Spuma.n-Cma'

and 'ERV-Spuma.n-Cbo', respectively (in which n represents the number of the viral

sequences extracted from host genome) (Supplementary Table S4). Putative genome

structures and conserved EFV domains were identified using BLASTP, CD-search

(Marchler-Bauer and Bryant 2004; Marchler-Bauer, et al. 2017) and ORFfinder

(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/orffinder/) in NCBI.

Molecular dating

ERV integration time can be calculated using the simple relation T = (D/R)/2, in which T is

the integration time (million years, MY), D is the number of nucleotide differences per site

between the pairwise LTRs, and R is the genomic substitution rate (nucleotide substitutions

per site, per year). We used the previously estimated neutral nucleotide substitution rate for

birds (1.9×10^{-9} nucleotide substitutions per site, per year) (Zhang, et al. 2014). Two

full-length ERVs-Spuma-Cma containing a pairwise intact LTRs were used to estimate

integration time in this manner (Supplementary Table S5). We excluded ERV-Spum.1-Cbo

from this dating exercise due to its defective 5' LTR.

Phylogenetic analysis

To describe the evolutionary relationship of EFVs in relation to other representative

retroviruses, sequences of the Pol (Supplementary data set S1) and concatenated

Gag-Pol-Env proteins (Supplementary data set S2) were aligned using MAFFT 7.222 (Katoh

and Standley 2013) and confirmed manually in MEGA X (Kumar, et al. 2018). A

phylogenetic tree of these data was then inferred using the maximum likelihood (ML) method

in PhyML 3.1 (Guindon, et al. 2010), incorporating 100 bootstrap replicates to assess node

robustness. The best-fit LG+Γ+I+F was selected for both Pol and concatenated Gag-Pol-Env

protein data sets using ProtTest (Abascal, et al. 2005).

Results and Discussion

101 Discovery and characterization of endogenous foamy viral elements in avian genomes

To identify potential foamy (-like) viral elements in birds, we collected all available bird

genomes from GenBank (Supplementary Table 1) and the 'Bird 10K' project (Zhang, et al.

2015) and performed *in silico* TBLASTN, using the amino acid sequences of representative

retroviruses (Supplementary Table 2). This genomic mining identified 16 significant hits in

the Maguari Stork and the 12 in Oriental Stork (Supplementary Table 3). We designated

these ERV-Spuma.n-Cma and Spuma.n-Cbo, respectively (Gifford, et al. 2018)

(Supplementary Table S3, Table S4). We considered hits within large scaffolds (>20

kilobases in length) to represent *bona fide* ERVs. We then extended the flanking sequences of

these EFVs on both sides to search for LTRs as these define the boundary of the viral

elements. Through this analysis we were able to discover two full-length EFVs in the

Maguari stork genome and one in the Oriental stork genome. The low copy number of EFVs

found in both two bird species accords with the observation that avian genomes generally

harbor small numbers of endogenous viruses (Cui, et al. 2014).

To further elucidate the relationship between these novel avian EFVs and other retroviruses,

the long Pol (>800 amino acid) gene sequences were used in a phylogenetic analysis (Fig. 1).

Accordingly, our maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree revealed that the EFVs discovered in

birds formed a close and well supported monophyletic group within the foamy virus clade

compatible with the idea that these avian EFVs might have a single origin. Notably, however,

because they were most closely related to the endogenous foamy viruses found in mammals

rather than to those found in reptiles, the phylogenetic position of the avian EFVs described

here was incongruent with that of the host phylogeny (although the node associated with the

tuatara EFV was relatively poorly supported) (Fig. 2). This, and the overall rarity of EFVs in

birds, suggests that these avian EFVs have an independent origin in birds and were not

acquired through virus-host co-divergence.

Genomic structure characterization

The genomes of the new EFVs documented here contained a pair of LTRs, although with no

sequence similarity to other EFV LTRs, and exhibited a typical spuma virus structure, with

three main open reading frames (ORF) - gag, pol, and env - and one putative additional

accessory gene, ORF 1 (Fig. 3, Supplementary Fig. S1). Similar to the LTRs, this accessory

ORF 1 exhibits no sequence similarity to any known accessory genes from foamy viruses. In

addition, by searching for conserved domains against the Conserved Domains Database

(www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/cdd), we identified three typical foamy conserved domains

in the three full-length avian EFVs: (1) the Spuma virus Gag domain (pfam03276) (Winkler,

et al. 1997), (2) the Spuma aspartic protease (A9) domain (pfam03539) that is present in all

mammalian foamy virus Pol proteins (Aiewsakun and Katzourakis 2017), and the (3) foamy

virus envelope protein domain (pfam03408) (Han and Worobey 2012a; Wei, et al. 2019)

(Supplementary Fig. S2).

Vertical transmission of bird EFVs

Surprisingly, ERV-Spuma.2-Cma and ERV-Spuma.1-Cbo shared 98% nucleotide sequence

identity and contained the same deletion in the pol gene (Supplementary Fig. S3). By

comparing the flanking sequences of these two EFVs using BLASTN, we discovered that two

scaffolds containing EFV (BDFF02011124.1 in the Oriental stork and scaffold3222 in the

Maguari Stork) shared 99% coverage with 98.66% sequence identity (e-value = 0.0).

Furthermore, upon the ERV insertion, the target DNA fragment is also duplicated, resulting

in target site duplication (TSDs) that differs among ERV insertions (Hughes and Coffin

2001). We were able to identify the same TSD flanking these two avian EFVs

(Supplementary Fig. S3), confirming that they have been vertically inherited. However,

neither EFVs nor any solo LTR were present in other bird species from same order

(Pelecaniformes), including little egret (*Egretta garzetta)*, crested ibis (*Nipponia nippon*) and

Yellow-throated sandgrouse (*Pterocles gutturalis)*. Clearly, the study of additional genomes

sampled across the avian phylogeny is merited.

Estimation of ERV insertion times

To approximately estimate the insertion time of endogenous foamy viruses in two birds, we

utilized the LTR-divergence based on the degree of sequence divergence between the 5' and

3' LTRs with a known host nucleotide substitution rate (Dangel, et al. 1995; Johnson and

Coffin 1999). Only two intact pairwise LTRs of ERVs-Spuma-Cma were selected for time

estimation (Supplementary Table S5). This analysis revealed insertion times of 3.15 and

13.95 MYA (million years ago). Although, the insertion time is surprisingly young compared

to the age of birds, the presence of multiple premature stop codon suggests the invasion was

ancient, and all estimates of integration times should be treated with caution (Kijima and

Innan 2010).

In sum, we describe the presence and evolution of two novel avian endogenous foamy

viruses. This discovery fills the last major gap in our understanding of the taxonomic

distribution of the foamy viruses and will help us to understand the evolutionary interactions

between retroviruses and their hosts over extended time-periods

Acknowledgements

J.C. is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (31671324) and CAS

Pioneer Hundred Talents Program. E.C.H. is supported by an ARC Australian Laureate

Fellowship (FL170100022).

References

Abascal F, Zardoya R, Posada D. 2005. ProtTest: selection of best-fit models of protein

evolution. Bioinformatics 21:2104-2105.

Aiewsakun P, Katzourakis A. 2017. Marine origin of retroviruses in the early Palaeozoic Era.

Nat Commun 8:13954.

Aiewsakun P, Simmonds P, Katzourakis A. 2019. The First Co-Opted Endogenous Foamy

Viruses and the Evolutionary History of Reptilian Foamy Viruses. Viruses 11:641.

Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic local alignment search

tool. J Mol Biol 215:403-410.

Cui J, Zhao W, Huang Z, Jarvis ED, Gilbert MT, Walker PJ, Holmes EC, Zhang G. 2014.

Low frequency of paleoviral infiltration across the avian phylogeny. Genome Biol 15:539.

Dangel AW, Baker BJ, Mendoza AR, Yu CY. 1995. Complement component C4 gene intron

9 as a phylogenetic marker for primates: long terminal repeats of the endogenous retrovirus

ERV-K(C4) are a molecular clock of evolution. Immunogenetics 42:41-52.

Ellinghaus D, Kurtz S, Willhoeft U. 2008. LTRharvest, an efficient and flexible software for

de novo detection of LTR retrotransposons. BMC Bioinformatics.

Gifford RJ, Blomberg J, Coffin JM, Fan H, Heidmann T, Mayer J, Stoye J, Tristem M,

Johnson WE. 2018. Nomenclature for endogenous retrovirus (ERV) loci. Retrovirology

15:59.

Guindon S, Dufayard JF, Lefort V, Anisimova M, Hordijk W, Gascuel O. 2010. New

algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the

performance of PhyML 3.0. Syst Biol 59:307-321.

Han GZ, Worobey M. 2012a. An endogenous foamy-like viral element in the coelacanth

genome. PLoS Pathog 8:e1002790.

Han GZ, Worobey M. 2012b. An endogenous foamy virus in the aye-aye (Daubentonia

madagascariensis). J Virol 86:7696-7698.

Han GZ, Worobey M. 2014. Endogenous viral sequences from the Cape golden mole

(Chrysochloris asiatica) reveal the presence of foamy viruses in all major placental mammal

clades. PLoS One 9:e97931.

Hayward A, Cornwallis CK, Jern P. 2015. Pan-vertebrate comparative genomics unmasks

retrovirus macroevolution. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 112:464-469.

Hayward A, Grabherr M, Jern P. 2013. Broad-scale phylogenomics provides insights into

retrovirus-host evolution. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 110:20146-20151.

Hughes JF, Coffin JM. 2001. Evidence for genomic rearrangements mediated by human

endogenous retroviruses during primate evolution. Nat Genet 29:487-489.

Johnson WE. 2015. Endogenous Retroviruses in the Genomics Era. Annu Rev Virol

2:135-159.

Johnson WE. 2019. Origins and evolutionary consequences of ancient endogenous

retroviruses. Nat Rev Microbiol 17:355-370.

Johnson WE, Coffin JM. 1999. Constructing primate phylogenies from ancient retrovirus

sequences. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 96:10254-10260.

Katoh K, Standley DM. 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:

improvements in performance and usability. Mol Biol Evol 30:772-780.

Katzourakis A, Aiewsakun P, Jia H, Wolfe ND, LeBreton M, Yoder AD, Switzer WM. 2014.

Discovery of prosimian and afrotherian foamy viruses and potential cross species

transmissions amidst stable and ancient mammalian co-evolution. Retrovirology 11:61.

Katzourakis A, Gifford RJ, Tristem M, Gilbert MT, Pybus OG. 2009. Macroevolution of

complex retroviruses. Science 325:1512.

Kijima TE, Innan H. 2010. On the estimation of the insertion time of LTR retrotransposable

elements. Mol Biol Evol 27:896-904.

Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C, Tamura K. 2018. MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary

Genetics Analysis across Computing Platforms. Mol Biol Evol 35:1547-1549.

Marchler-Bauer A, Bo Y, Han L, He J, Lanczycki CJ, Lu S, Chitsaz F, Derbyshire MK, Geer

RC, Gonzales NR, et al. 2017. CDD/SPARCLE: functional classification of proteins via

subfamily domain architectures. Nucleic Acids Res 45:D200-d203.

Marchler-Bauer A, Bryant SH. 2004. CD-Search: protein domain annotations on the fly.

Nucleic Acids Res 32:W327-331.

Ruboyianes R, Worobey M. 2016. Foamy-like endogenous retroviruses are extensive and

abundant in teleosts. Virus Evol 2:vew032.

Stoye JP. 2012. Studies of endogenous retroviruses reveal a continuing evolutionary saga.

Nat Rev Microbiol 10:395-406.

Switzer WM, Salemi M, Shanmugam V, Gao F, Cong ME, Kuiken C, Bhullar V, Beer BE,

Vallet D, Gautier-Hion A, et al. 2005. Ancient co-speciation of simian foamy viruses and

primates. Nature 434:376-380.

Wei X, Chen Y, Duan G, Holmes EC, Cui J. 2019. A reptilian endogenous foamy virus sheds

light on the early evolution of retroviruses. Virus Evol 5:vez001.

Winkler I, Bodem J, Haas L, Zemba M, Delius H, Flower R, Flugel RM, Lochelt M. 1997.

Characterization of the genome of feline foamy virus and its proteins shows distinct features

different from those of primate spumaviruses. J Virol 71:6727-6741.

Xu X, Zhao H, Gong Z, Han GZ. 2018. Endogenous retroviruses of non-avian/mammalian

vertebrates illuminate diversity and deep history of retroviruses. PLoS Pathog 14:e1007072.

Xu Z, Wang H. 2007. LTR_FINDER: an efficient tool for the prediction of full-length LTR

retrotransposons. Nucleic Acids Res 35:W265-268.

Zhang G, Li C, Li Q, Li B, Larkin DM, Lee C, Storz JF, Antunes A, Greenwold MJ,

Meredith RW, et al. 2014. Comparative genomics reveals insights into avian genome

evolution and adaptation. Science 346:1311-1320.

Zhang G, Rahbek C, Graves GR, Lei F, Jarvis ED, Gilbert MT. 2015. Genomics: Bird

sequencing project takes off. Nature 522:34.

Fig. 1. Phylogenetic tree of retroviruses and endogenous retroviruses, including the

endogenous foamy viruses found in avian genomes. The tree was inferred using amino acid

sequences of the Pol gene, and is midpoint rooted for clarity only. The newly identified viral

elements are marked with a red-shaded box. * indicates the EFV found in the Maguari Stork

genome, while † indicates the EFV found in the Oriental Stork genome. The scale bar

indicates the number of amino acid changes per site. Bootstrap values >70 % are shown.

Fig. 2. Evolutionary history of foamy viruses (left) and their vertebrate hosts (right).

Associations between foamy viruses and their hosts are indicated by connecting lines,

although note the avian EFVs are more closely related to mammalian foamy viruses than the

reptilian EFV. The scale bars indicate the number of amino acid changes per site in the

viruses and the host divergence times (million years ago, MYA).

Fig. 3. Genomic organization of exogenous foamy viruses (acc: NC_001364) and avian

endogenous foamy viruses. The dotted box marks the LTR deletion. LTR, long terminal

repeat; Pro, protease; RT, reverse transcriptase; RH, ribonuclease H; IN, integrase.

FV Gag-Pol-Env phylogeny