Running title: Complete life cycle of the bioluminescent California Sea Firefly

Laboratory culture of the California Sea Firefly *Vargula tsujii* (Ostracoda: Cypridinidae):

Developing a model system for the evolution of marine bioluminescence

Jessica A. Goodheart[1], Geetanjali Minsky[1], Mira N. Brynjegard-Bialik[1], Michael S. Drummond[1], J. David

Munoz[2], Timothy R. Fallon[3,4], Darrin T. Schultz[5,6], Jing-Ke Weng[3,4], Elizabeth Torres[2] & Todd H.

Oakley*[1]

[1] Department of Ecology, Evolution, and Marine Biology, University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa

Barbara, CA 93106

[2] Department of Biological Sciences, California State University, Los Angeles, CA 90032-8201, USA

[3] Whitehead Institute for Biomedical Research, Cambridge, MA, 02142, USA

[4] Department of Biology, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02142, USA

[5] Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute, Moss Landing, CA 95060, USA

[6] Department of Biomolecular Engineering and Bioinformatics, University of California, Santa Cruz,

Santa Cruz, CA 96060, USA

*Corresponding author: oakley@ucsb.edu

Abstract

Bioluminescence, or the production of light by living organisms via chemical reaction, is

widespread across Metazoa. Culture of bioluminescent organisms from diverse taxonomic

groups is important for determining the biosynthetic pathways of bioluminescent substrates,

which may lead to new tools for biotechnology and biomedicine. Some bioluminescent groups

may be cultured, including some cnidarians, ctenophores, and brittle stars, but those use

luminescent substrates (luciferins) obtained from their diets, and therefore are not informative

for determination of the biosynthethic pathways of the luciferins. Other groups, including

terrestrial fireflies, do synthesize their own luciferin, but culturing them is difficult, and the

biosynthetic pathway for firefly luciferin remains unclear. An additional independent origin of

endogenous bioluminescence is found within ostracods from the family Cypridinidae, which use

their luminescence for defense and, in Caribbean species, for courtship displays. Here, we

report the first complete life cycle of a luminous ostracod (*Vargula tsujii* Kornicker & Baker,

1977, the California Sea Firefly) in the laboratory. We also describe the late-stage

embryogenesis of *Vargula tsujii* and discuss the size classes of instar development. We find

embryogenesis in *V. tsujii* ranges from 25-38 days, and this species appears to have five instar

stages, consistent with ontogeny in other cypridinid lineages. We estimate a complete life cycle

at 3-4 months. We also present the first complete mitochondrial genome for *Vargula tsujii*.

Bringing a luminous ostracod into laboratory culture sets the stage for many potential avenues

of study, including learning the biosynthetic pathway of cypridinid luciferin and genomic

manipulation of an autogenic bioluminescent system.

Keywords: ostracod, cypridinid, animal culture, cypridinid luciferin, embryogenesis,

Crustacea

Introduction

The production of bioluminescence in animals is an important biological phenomenon that is particularly prevalent in marine environments [1]. To produce light, animals combine an enzyme (usually a luciferase or photoprotein) and a substrate (luciferin) with oxygen, and sometimes additional components such as ATP or calcium [2–4]. Although the enzymes that produce light are well-studied [5–13], and we know how the substrate is produced in bacteria [14] and fungi [15], we do not yet know how luciferin is synthesized in any animal system. A comprehensive understanding of this pathway could be transformative for optogenetics in transgenic organisms, where the ability to produce bioluminescence in situ as a biosensor or light source would be invaluable. Some laboratory-reared luminescent animals already exist (Table 1), but may not be suitable for studying luciferin biosynthesis. In particular, ctenophores, cnidarians, and brittle stars use coelenterazine obtained from their diet as a substrate [1]. Fireflies do use an endogenous luciferin specific to Coleoptera (beetles) [16], but they often have longer life cycles than other taxa, slowing research progress [17]. Although there are other independent origins of autogenic bioluminescence, which we define as that produced via luciferase and luciferin that are synthesized via the genome of the light producing animal, no laboratory cultures of these animals currently exist. This makes additional studies focused on the comparative evolution of this ability extremely challenging.

Ostracods from the family Cypridinidae independently evolved bioluminescence, and use a luciferin that is endogenous to ostracods and chemically different from that of other bioluminescent organisms [2,18,19]. Of the metazoan taxa already cultured, autogenic luminescence is only known to occur within fireflies [20,21]. Due to the independent origin of ostracod bioluminescence in the family Cypridinidae, and evidence for the autogenic nature of the necessary luciferin and luciferase, ostracods represent an importantl lineage for understanding how bioluminescence evolved. Establishing laboratory cultures of luminescent

ostracods within Cypridinidae will provide opportunities for new investigations into the

biosynthetic pathway of luciferins in Metazoa, as well as comparative studies of the

biochemistry, physiology, genetics, and function of endogenous bioluminescence.

Table 1. Species of bioluminescent metazoans for which cultures are known to exist, including

information on the luciferin used for luminescence, estimated generation times, and whether the

luciferin used is synthesized via the genome of the luminescent organism itself (autogenic).

Species	Phylum	Luciferin	Autogenic luciferin?	Generation time	Citations
Mnemiopsis leidyi, Bolinopsis infundibulum, Leucothea pulchra	Ctenophora	coelenterazine	Possibly	~13 days (based on *M. mccradyi*)	85–88
Clytia haemispherica	Cnidaria	coelenterazine	No	~14 days (based on other *Clytia*)	70,89
Amphiura filiformis	Echinodermata	coelenterazine	No	Unknown	90
Photuris spp. Aspisoma lineatum Aquatica lateralis Aquatica ficta	Arthropoda	beetle luciferin	Yes	3-24 months	17,74,75,91
Arachnocampa richardsae	Arthropoda	glow worm luciferin	Possibly	10-12 months	92–94
Pontodrilus litoralis	Annelida	earthworm luciferin	?	1-3 months	95
Oikopleura dioica	Chordata	?	?	5 days	96,97

Cypridinidae is estimated to include over 300 ostracod species [22], some of which use

bioluminescence for defense, while others possess the ability to create bioluminescent courtship

displays in addition to defensive secretions [23]. Lineages with sexually dimorphic bioluminescent

displays, such as those in Cypridinidae, have more species than their non-displaying sister

lineages, indicating that origins of bioluminescent courtship may increase diversification rates [24].

As such, cypridinid ostracods represent an excellent system for understanding how behavioral

diversification can lead to increases in species accumulation. Furthermore, cypridinid ostracods

diversified while generating bioluminescence with a consistent biochemical basis across the

clade [25], meaning that they use the same luciferin and homologous enzymes to make light. The

conserved nature of the luminescent chemical reaction provides a system within which

bioluminescence can produce rapid changes in phenotypic diversity by modifying amino acid

sequences of the enzyme and how the enzyme is used [26].

Attempts to culture luminescent and non-luminescent species of Cypridinidae have led to

varying success, including *Skogsbergia lerneri* (non-luminous species with no mating in culture)

[27], *Photeros annecohenae* (luminous species without the complete life cycle in culture) [28], and

Vargula hilgendorfii (luminous species with no mating in culture) [29]. Researchers attempting to

culture *Vargula hilgendorfii* tried multiple alternative aquarium set-ups and tested sensitivity to

nutrients commonly measured in aquaria, but were still unable to induce mating in the lab [29]. An

unnamed species of luminescent ostracod is claimed to have been cultured at the CTAQUA

Foundation (Aquaculture and Technological Centre) in Spain for molecular gastronomy [30], but

no information on species, culture longevity, or whether mating occurred is available on this

enigmatic and uncertain culture. Other non-cypridinid ostracods have been reared in the

laboratory as well, including the myodocopids *Euphilomedes carcharodonta* [31] and

Euphilomedes nipponica [32] and the podocopids *Xestoleberis hanaii* and *Neonesidea*

oligodentata [33] (only records of copulation in the laboratory for any ostracods) [34]. Many

podocopids grow quite easily in aquaria, so a number of other species have been cultured for

descriptions of, or experiments related to, post-embryonic development, including

Chlamydotheca incisa and *Strandesia bicuspis* [35], *Heterocypris salina* [36–38], *Eucypris virens* [37],

and *Candona rawsoni* [38].

In this paper, we present evidence that we are the first to rear a luminous ostracod (*Vargula*

tsujii Kornicker & Baker, 1977) through its complete life cycle in the laboratory. *Vargula tsujii* is

the only bioluminescent cypridinid ostracod described from the Pacific coast of North America.

Commonly found in southern California, the reported range of *V. tsujii* is from Baja California to

Monterey Bay [39]. This species is demersal (moves between the benthic and planktonic

environments) and is primarily active in or near the kelp forest at night [40]. Similarly to some

other cypridinid ostracods, *Vargula tsujii* is a scavenger, and is presumed to feed on dead and

decaying fish and invertebrates on the benthos since they can be collected via baited traps. In

addition, some authors observed *V. tsujii* attacking the soft tissues of live fishes caged

nearshore [41]. *Vargula tsujii* is an important prey item of the plainfin midshipman fish (*Porichthys*

notatus)*,* as it is the source of luciferin for the bioluminescence seen in the fish [42].

In addition to evidence for the completion of the life cycle of *Vargula tsujii* in the laboratory, we

provide a successful methodology for culturing populations of *V. tsujii*, descriptions and

analyses of late stage embryogenesis and instar development, a reconstruction of its

mitochondrial genome, and a brief description of the distribution of molecular diversity of *V. tsujii*

across coastal southern California.

Methods

Specimen collection

We collected *Vargula tsujii* from three primary locations at 0-10 m depth: (1) Fisherman's Cove

near Two Harbors on Catalina Island, CA, USA (33°26'42.5"N 118°29'04.2"W) directly adjacent

to the Wrigley Marine Science Center, (2) Cabrillo Marina, Los Angeles, CA, USA (33°43'13.5"N

118°16'47.4"W), and (3) Shelter Island public boat ramp, San Diego, CA, USA (32°42'56.3"N

117°13'22.9"W) (Figure 1; Table S1). We also provide locations in Figure 1 and Table S1 where

we set traps but did not collect *V. tsujii*. The specimens used for culture and description of the

life cycle of *V. tsujii* were exclusively from Fisherman's Cove, Catalina Island, and we collected

additional specimens from the Cabrillo Marina and Shelter Island public boat ramp to discern

the genetic diversity of *V. tsujii* and test for the presence of any cryptic species. For specimen

collection we used Morin pipe traps: cylindrical polyvinyl chloride (PVC) tubes with mesh funnels

to prevent the ostracods from escaping once they entered [43]. We baited traps with dead fish or

fresh chicken liver (roughly 1-2 cm^3). We set traps in 1-10 meters of water at sunset and

collected them 1.5 to 2 hours after last light to allow time for the ostracods to accumulate.

Figure 1. Map of localities where collections (and attempted collections of Vargula tsujii were made). Black points represent geographic annotations for reference, purple points refer to the location where ostracods were collected that were ultimately cultured, blue where animals were found or collected, orange where Kornicker originally

collected animals, and red where no V. tsujii were found. (A) Map of the California (USA) coastline where V. tsujii collections were attempted; (B) Map of the region near Two Harbors (Santa Catalina Island, California, USA) where V. tsujii collections were attempted.

Population genetic analyses

We obtained *Vargula tsujii* sequence data for 16S rRNA (16S) and cytochrome oxidase 1 (COI)

from a previously published thesis [44]. We then aligned and visually inspected sequence

chromatograms with Sequencer version 3.1 (Gene Codes Corp) (Table S1). We constructed

haplotype networks for the two genes, COI (Number of individuals: Catalina, 18; San Diego, 11;

San Pedro, 12) and 16S (Number of individuals: Catalina, 19; San Diego, 20; San Pedro, 21)

with the package pegas [45] in the R environment (version 3.4.2) [46]. To assess whether

sequences within populations cluster together, we analyzed each gene via Discriminant

Analysis of Principal Components (DAPC) using the adegenet package in R [47] and compared

models with one, two, and three clusters using the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) [48].

Description of aquarium system and feeding

We cultured *Vargula tsujii* at the University of California, Santa Barbara in aquariums designed

for water to flow through sand and out the bottom. This allowed continuous water flow to keep

water fresh, and not suck ostracods into a filter. Instead, ostracods remain in sand where they

spend the daylight hours. We kept animals under a 12:12 light cycle with an indirect blue LED

light.

We first modified a five gallon glass tank by drilling a hole in the bottom, which was then

covered with the bottom of an undergravel filter and a 3 cm layer of commercial aragonite sand

with grain sizes 1-2 mm for aquariums (CaribSea brand). To maintain continuous water flow, we

used plastic tubing (diameter = 1 cm) connected directly to an overflowing reservoir tank, itself

fed by UCSB's seawater system that pumps directly from the Pacific. The tube from the

reservoir created a siphon system for moving water into the main tank (Figure 2). The siphon

provided continuous water flow when needed, and prevented overflow of the aquarium. In

addition, to prevent a complete drain of the water if the siphon flow broke, which is common with

larger siphons, we attached an outflow pipe to the bottom of the aquarium that drained halfway

up the side of the tank (Figure 2).

We also created a similar system for smaller experimental aquaria, but these appeared to be

less effective long-term, as we saw high mortality in non-systematic studies. For the small

aquaria ("condos"), we cut a large hole (~5 cm diameter) into the bottom of 500 mL Nalgene^{TM}

Square PETG Media Bottles with Septum Closures (Figs. 2F, S7). We then modified a 100 μm

cell strainer to fit in the bore of the media bottle in such a way that it would remain there when

the closure of the media bottle was screwed back on, allowing water but not sand to flow

through. We inverted the bottle and added a ~3 cm layer of sand above the cell strainer,

measured from the edge of the bottle cap. In plain terms, the condos are simply capped bottles,

turned upside down, after creating a large hole in the bottom and a small hole in the cap. There

is a strainer in the cap so water can flow down through the cap and not get clogged by sand.

For these smaller aquaria, we used 5 mm diameter tubing as siphons from the reservoir tank

and for the outflow. Similar to the large tank, the outflow tubes (placed in the septum closure)

drained roughly halfway up the side of the aquaria to prevent the water from draining

completely.

Most recently, we created custom aquariums from acrylic (Fig. 2G), with similar dimensions to

the 5-gallon glass aquarium. We installed a bulkhead in the bottom and attached PVC tubing as

a drain, which we again raised to about half the height of the aquarium to prevent complete

drainage if inflow of water stops accidentally. We added a valve to control the rate of outflow

and instead of a siphon, we added a float switch to set inflow rate. Currently, we raise the

outflow pipe to set the level of water in the aquarium and use continuous inflow directly to the

main aquarium, which works well as long as the water is draining freely. We use a flow rate of

approximately 0.4 L/min.

Figure 2. Diagrammatic representation of aquarium setup for sea fireflies. (A) Source water flows into (B) a
211   reservoir tank, which overflows to keep the reservoir full and water level constant. (C) We use a siphon to carry
       water from a reservoir tank to main aquarium where ostracods live. Water level of the main tank drains through
212   sand (stippled), through (E) an outflow that is raised to a level that would cause water to remain at the level of the
       dashed line, even if a siphon is interrupted to cause water to stop flowing into the main tank. (F) Plastic bottle and
       parts used to create small aquarium using the same logic as main aquarium. (G) Custom-built acrylic aquarium
with drain in bottom and raised outflow with adjustable rate.

We initially offered the ostracods multiple diet choices, including: (1) imitation crab, which is

primarily pollock, (2) carnivore fish pellets or fish flakes, (3) tilapia fillets, and (4) anchovies,

which have each made for successful food sources in Caribbean ostracods (Todd Oakley,

personal observation; [28,43]). Although the adults appeared moderately interested in these food

sources in non-systematic trials, we found the juvenile ostracods did not eat them, but they did

actively feed on raw chicken liver, as do *Vargula hilgendorfii* individuals from Japan [49]. In

culture, we therefore provided pieces of chicken liver daily (~1 cm^3 per 200 animals). Due to the

nocturnal life history of *V. tsujii*, we fed them in the late afternoon or early evening (around the

beginning of the dark cycle), and removed the food from the aquarium in the morning (~3-5

hours into the light cycle) to reduce the chance of bacterial fouling.

Rearing of embryos

We tested two primary methods for rearing embryos. The first was less successful in terms of

longevity of both the mothers and embryos, but provided usable data on embryogenesis.

Regardless of rearing method, ostracods did not appear to eat while they were brooding.

For the first method, we kept females individually in circular wells in twelve-well dishes [28], with

approximately 1 mL of 17°C seawater. We recorded visual observations of the females and their

embryos and changed the water daily. The advantage of this method is ease of identification

and observation of individual ostracods, allowing us to track the growth of specific embryos over

a given period of time. However, all females which deposited embryos into the brooding

chamber died before their embryos were released from the marsupium, although the precise

cause of death is unknown. These embryos were then removed from the female marsupium by

dissection, kept in wells, and observed until the animals hatched through the chorion (see

Supplemental Video).

For the second method, we identified and removed brooding females from the aquarium and

placed them in 50 mL Falcon^{TM} tubes (2 females per tube). Roughly 5 mL of commercial

aragonite sand for saltwater aquariums with most grain sizes 1.0-2.0 mm (Caribsea brand) was

added to the bottom of each tube to allow females to burrow. Falcon tubes were then placed in

a large seawater reservoir that ranged from 17-19°C. We changed the water in each tube daily,

and imaged brooding females every other day with a camera attached to a dissecting scope.

We used key diagnostic features such as number of embryos and female size to distinguish

individual females from one another. Of the thirteen individuals kept in Falcon^{TM} tubes, only one

died prior to embryo release from the marsupium.

Animal measurements and inferences of instar size classes

We imaged ostracods across all life stages using a standard dissecting microscope and an

Olympus brand camera, and measured the carapace length, carapace height, eye width, and

keel width with the software Fiji (Sample size = 626 individuals; Table S3) [50]. These parameters

are useful in the determination of the instar stages in other bioluminescent ostracods [28]. A

subset of these individuals (9 males, 11 females) were dissected to confirm sex. We partitioned

the data into clusters using the KMeans clustering algorithm implemented in scikit-learn [51] in

Python 2.7 [52]. KMeans fits the data into k clusters, specified in the arguments, sorting each data

point into the cluster which has the minimum euclidean distance to the centroid of the cluster.

The value for k was originally specified as eight based on the five known stages of instar

development for related species of ostracods plus the embryonic stage and adult males and

females. However, a k-value of eight clusters resulted in a split of the inferred adult female

cluster into two clusters rather than the discrimination of adult males from inferred A-I instars. To

avoid this apparent over-clustering, we ran our final analysis with a k-value of seven, which

retained all inferred adult females in a single cluster but was unable to distinguish an adult male

cluster from the inferred A-I instars. We assigned development stages to each cluster based on

the relationship by comparison to the closely related *Photeros annecohenae*, which grew in 12-

well dishes to allow more precise documentation of instars [28]. We further split the A-I

instar/Adult male cluster using the KMeans algorithm and a k-value of 3 with more precise

measurements of length, height, and eye width, as well as eye to keel distance (EKD) from an

additional sample of individuals that fell within this cluster (Sample size = 43). The three new

clusters were then assigned to development stages by comparing eye size and shape

parameters with what we expect based on *Photeros annecohenae* [28].

Whole genome sequencing

We froze four adult female *Vargula tsujii*, collected from the WMSC Dock on 2017-09-01 in

liquid nitrogen and shipped the specimens on dry-ice to the Hudson Alpha Institute for

Biotechnology Genomic Services Lab (HAIB-GSL) for genomic DNA extraction and Chromium

v2 Illumina sequencing library preparation. HAIB-GSL extracted the DNA using the Qiagen

MagAttract Kit, yielding 141 ng, 99 ng ,153 ng , and 96 ng of extracted DNA respectively. This

quantity of DNA was not sufficient for a size distribution analysis via agarose pulse field gel

analysis, therefore we decided to proceed with Chromium v2 library prep with the 153 ng

sample (sample # 5047), without confirmation of a suitable high-molecular weight DNA size

distribution. We sequenced this library on three lanes of a HiSeqX instrument using a 151x151

paired-end sequencing mode, resulting in a total of 910,926,987 paired reads (260.6 Gbp). We

attempted whole genome assembly of the resulting sequencing data with the Supernova v2.0.0

genome assembly software [53]. We then used the Agilent Femto Pulse High Molecular Weight

DNA capillary electrophoresis instrument at the MIT BioMicro Center to perform a post

sequencing analysis of the size distribution of the DNA used for Chromium library preparation.

Mitochondrial genome assembly

To achieve a full length mitochondrial genome (mtDNA) assembly of *V. tsujii*, we partitioned and

assembled sequences separately from the nuclear genome. We mapped Illumina reads from

our Chromium libraries (Table S3) to the known mtDNA of the closest available relative, *Vargula*

hilgendorfii (NC_005306.1) [54] using bowtie2 (v2.3.3.1) [55] (parameters: --very-sensitive-local).

We then extracted read pairs with at least one read mapped from the resulting BAM file with

samtools (parameters: view -G 12), then name-sorted, extracted in FASTQ format (parameters:

samtools fastq) and input the reads into SPAdes (v3.11.1) [56] for assembly (parameters: --only-

assembler -k55,127). Inspection of the resulting assembly graph using Bandage (v.0.8.1) [57],

combined with a heuristic manual deletion of low coverage nodes (deletion of nodes with

coverage <200x, mean coverage ~250x) gave rise to two loops with sequence similarity to the

V. hilgendorfii mtDNA that both circularized through a single path (Supplementary Figure S1).

We then manually inspected this graph via a blastn (2.7.1+) [58] aligned against the *V. hilgendorfii*

mtDNA through SequenceServer (v1.0.11) [59] and visualized with the Integrated Genomics

Viewer (v2.4.5) [60]. We noted that the single circularizing path was homologous to the two

duplicated control regions reported in the *V. hilgendorfii* mtDNA [54]. We surmised that this

assembly graph structure indicated the *V. tsujii* mtDNA had the same global structure as the *V.*

hilgendorfii mtDNA, and so used the Bandage "specify exact path" tool to traverse the SPAdes

assembly graph and generate a FASTA file representing the circularized *V. tsujii* mtDNA with

the putative duplicated control regions (Figure S1). To avoid splitting sequence features across

the mtDNA circular sequence break, we circularly rotated this full assembly with the seqkit

(v0.7.2) [54,61] "restart" command, to set the first nucleotide of the FASTA file at the start codon of

ND2. We then confirmed 100% of the nucleotides via bowtie2 re-mapping of reads and

polishing with Pilon (v1.21) [62] (parameters: --fix all). We annotated the final assembly of the

mitochondrial genome using the MITOS2 web server [63] with the invertebrate mitochondrial

genetic code (translation table 5). We manually removed low confidence and duplicate gene

predictions from the MITOS2 annotation.

Results

Population genetics analyses

Our COI haplotype network revealed minimal structure among *V. tsujii* populations in southern

California (Figure 3A). Haplotypes from San Pedro and Catalina Island overlap or diverge by

only one to three mutations. Haplotypes from San Diego individuals, although still very similar to

haplotypes from Catalina Island and San Pedro, differ by at least eight mutations and show no

overlap with haplotypes from other populations of *V. tsujii*. However, the haplotype network for

16S indicates that sequences from San Diego individuals are identical to some individuals from

the other two populations (Figure 3B). Furthermore, although the model with three clusters was

favored in the DAPC analysis for each gene (Supplementary Figures S2 and S3), membership

in five of the six clusters includes individuals from different populations (Supplementary Figures

S4 and S5). Therefore our analyses do not support three genetically distinct populations as

defined by location.

A   **B**

Figure 3. Haplotype networks for (A) COI sequences, and (B) 16S sequences of Vargula tsujii animals from three
      localities sampled. Abbreviations: CI, Catalina Island; SD, San Diego; SP, San Pedro.

Embryogenesis Description

After fertilization and release into the marsupium, *V. tsujii* embryos resemble opaque, green,

ovoidal embryos (Figures 4 and 5). After five to eight days of growth, separation of the yolk

begins, which appears as a light green mass condensed within the embryo. Around ten to

eighteen days after deposition into the brooding chamber, two very small red lateral eyespots

are visible on each embryo and the separation of the yolk continues. Daily observations over the

next six days showed continual growth and darkening of the eyes. By day sixteen the yolk is

almost completely separated and has begun to form the gut of the ostracod. By days fifteen to

nineteen, the eyespots are mostly black and the light organ (a light brown/yellow mass) appears

anteroventral to the eyes of the embryo. Around twenty days after deposition, developing limbs

in the form of two rows of small brown masses are visible along the ventral side of the embryos.

At this point the gut has finished forming within the carapace and is visible as a yellow-white

mass on the posterior end of each embryo. Limb formation continues over the next few days,

and the eyes of each embryo become entirely black. Twenty-five to thirty-eight days following

embryo deposition, embryogenesis is complete, and instars are released from the mother's

marsupium.

Figure 4. Embryogenesis within brooding females of V. tsujii post release into the marsupium. (A) Day 0, (B) Day 1-4, (C) Day 5-7, (D) Day 8-9, (E) Day 10-13, (F) Day 14-17, (G) Day 18-19, (H) Day 20-21, (I) Day 22-23, (J) Day 25-39.

Figure 5. Summary of the timing of embryogenesis stages in V. tsujii. This represents the complete range of first
appearance of each features across multiple broods, including: yolk separation (range = 5-8 days, N = 7); eye
spot formation (range = 10-18 days, N = 12); upper lip formation (range = 15-19 days, N = 3); limb formation
(range = 18-23 days, N = 11); hatching (range = 25-38 days, N = 11).

Instar development

We inferred five juvenile instar stages (A-I, A-II, A-III, A-IV, A-V) and an adult stage for Vargula

tsujii (Figures 6, 7A; Table 2). Laboratory measurements of specimens of unknown sex were

compared with the measurements of sexed individuals (9 males, 11 females) to confirm

interpretation of the instar stage of size classes (Figure 7C-D). Due to large amounts of overlap

of A-I females with adult and A-I males, these three groups are currently indistinguishable in the

large data set. However, when we attempted to distinguish these stages using additional

measurements (particularly eye-to-keel distance, EKD), we find that EKD differentiates A-I

males and females, and that length separates the A-I instars from adult males (Figures 6E-G, 8;

Table 3). Sexual dimorphism in size becomes apparent in adulthood, with adult females clearly

368   larger than adult males. However, compared to many other cypridinid ostracods, this

369   dimorphism can be difficult to assess visually without a side-by-side comparison.

Figure 6. Images of selected individuals within each inferred instar stage: (A) A-V, (B) A-IV, (C) A-III, (D) A-II, (E) A-I male, (F) A-I female, (G) Adult male, (H) Adult female.

Figure 7. (A) K-means clusters of Vargula tsujii developmental stages based on carapace height and width. Instar stages were assigned to each cluster via comparison to P. annecohenae and additional analysis of male and female size dimorphism. (B) Points representing laboratory cultured animals overlayed on wild caught and unknown data. Different colors represent different clusters in the k-means analysis, and are labeled with their inferred instar/adult stages. (C) Shows wild-caught animals dissected and identified as female in relation to the k-means clusters, and (D) shows wild-caught animals dissected and identified as male in relation to the k-means clusters.

376   **Table 2.** Measurements for each cluster (and inferred instar) of *Vargula tsujii* ± SD.

Instar	N	Carapace Length (mm) ± SD	Carapace Height (mm) ± SD	Eye width (mm) ± SD
Embryo	84	0.418 ± 0.0271	0.326 ± 0.0112	0.0630 ± 0.0112
A-V	106	0.687 ± 0.0504	0.427 ± 0.0289	0.0682 ± 0.0164
A-IV	89	0.863 ± 0.0448	0.517 ± 0.0374	0.0684 ± 0.0271
A-III	66	1.078 ± 0.0516	0.632 ± 0.0494	0.121 ± 0.0208
A-II	96	1.214 ± 0.0426	0.711 ± 0.0409	0.133 ± 0.0160
Male A-I/Female A-I/ Adult Male	106	1.581 ± 0.0605	0.913 ± 0.0402	0.226 ± 0.0345
Adult Female	70	1.983 ± 0.0798	1.154 ± 0.0591	0.210 ± 0.0326

378   **Table 3.** Additional measurements for further inferring A-I Male, A-I Female, and Adult Male

379   instars, including length, height, eye width, and eye to keel distance (EKD) ± SD.

Inferred Instar	N	Length	Height	Eye size	EKD
A-I Male	15	1.566 ± 0.032	0.907 ± 0.039	0.218 ± 0.028	0.828 ± 0.053
A-I Female	15	1.592 ± 0.026	0.902 ± 0.030	0.198 ± 0.018	0.974 ± 0.038
Adult Male	13	1.647 ± 0.028	0.963 ± 0.023	0.242 ± 0.019	0.878 ± 0.040

Figure 8. Plot of length versus eye to keel distance (EKD) in animals assigned to the A-I Instar/Adult Male cluster in the previous KMeans analysis (Figure 7A). These points were then clustered via KMeans analysis into three clusters, which we assigned to A-I Males (red), A-I Females (blue), and Adult Males (yellow).

Evidence for complete life cycle in the lab

To assess whether a complete life cycle was obtained in the laboratory, we began with only

brooding females in a small experimental aquarium. Those brooding females, although not

tracked individually, released a number of juveniles, which we measured intermittently over time

(Figure 6B). In this aquarium we found juveniles, and eventually adults, that fell across the full

size range of wild-caught ostracods. After all of the broods hatched, we removed all adult

females from the experimental aquarium to assess whether the ostracods would mature and

mate in the laboratory. Following removal of the original adult females, we found adult brooding

females that grew up in experimental aquarium that themselves gave birth to the next

generation in 3-4 months time.

Nuclear and mitochondrial genome assemblies

Our final *Vargula tsujii* nuclear genome assembly is highly fragmented, with an indication of high

levels of heterozygosity between haplotypes (~5%) and a high proportion of simple sequence

repeats. Our post-sequencing analysis indicated the mode of the DNA size distribution for the

four extracted DNA samples were 1244 bp, 3820 bp, 1285 bp (sample #5047), and 1279 bp,

respectively, with a tail of ~20-40 kbp in each sample.

The final *V. tsujii* mtDNA (15,729 bp) (Figure 9) aligned to the previously published *V.*

hilgendorfii mtDNA with 98% coverage and 78.5% nucleotide identity, and is available on NCBI

GenBank (MG767172). The *V. tsujii* mtDNA contains 22 tRNA genes, 13 protein coding genes,

and 2 duplicated control regions in an identical positioning and orientation to the *V. hilgendorfii*

mtDNA. The V. tsujii duplicate control regions (661 bp, 570 bp), are smaller than the

homologous V. hilgendorfii control regions (855 bp, 778 bp). The alignment of the V. tsujii

control regions to the V. hilgendorfii control regions indicate they possess reasonable nucleotide

identity (~73%), but the presence of unalignable regions ~20% of the length of the regions

suggests evolution constrained by size and/or GC% content rather than sequence identity

alone. There is one polymorphic base in the final mitogenome assembly coding for a

synonymous wobble mutation in the COII gene (COII:L76).

Figure 9. Mitochondrial genome of V. tsujii. Note the duplicated control regions, CR1 and CR2 (in gray). Figure produced with Circos.

Discussion

Based on measurement data on the first and second generations of ostracods reared in the lab

(Figure 5), and the presence of brooding female ostracods in our experimental aquarium where

adult females had previously been removed, we can infer that we were successful in rearing

Vargula tsujii through its complete life cycle in the lab. This is a first for any bioluminescent

ostracod, as rearing in the lab has remained a significant hurdle for laboratory studies in these

animals [28,29]. However, despite recent improvements, challenges still remain. Recent

improvements include adding a neoprene gasket to the edge of the false bottom to prevent sand

and detritus from falling below and fouling the aquarium and periodically siphoning the surface

of the sand in the aquarium to reduce build-up of detritus and fouling microorganisms. But

previous challenges mean our current population of animals is relatively small (between 500

and 1000 individuals), and we do not yet know if it is possible to continue the culture indefinitely

without new input of animals. Currently, we input new wild-caught animals about every 6

months to increase the population size. Furthermore, the populations within condos were very

prone to collapse, and animals do not survive long in 12-well dishes as they did in P.

annecohenae [28], limiting current experimental work.

Our current population was cultured from animals collected near Wrigley Marine Science Center

on Santa Catalina Island, which appears to contain much of the genetic diversity sampled

across much of the known the range of *V. tsujii* (Figure 3). Our population genetics analyses

support the hypothesis that populations of *Vargula tsujii* experience some gene flow across the

localities sampled, including Catalina Island. These results are consistent with the hypothesis

that *V. tsujii* represents a single, connected species. As such, we assume our culture of

ostracods from Santa Catalina Island, and inferences made from it, may be representative of

the genetic diversity of populations of *V. tsujii* across southern California coastal systems.

However, we cannot discount the possibility that there might be some variation in development

times and instar sizes across the range of this species. Also of note is that this species is not

easily collected throughout the reported range, and northern populations, if they exist, may be

divergent from southern California populations.

Attempted whole genome reconstruction

The 1C genome size of *Vargula tsujii* was previously reported to be 4.46 ± 0.08 pg (Female,

n=3), and 4.05 pg (Male, n=1) [64], corresponding to a 1C genome size of 4.36 Gbp and 3.96 Gbp

respectively and suggesting XO sex determination. Our reconstruction of the *Vargula tsujii*

nuclear genome assembly is highly fragmented, with an indication of high levels of

heterozygosity between haplotypes (~5%) and a high proportion of simple sequence repeats.

We speculate that our poor nuclear genome assembly results are likely due to the small size

distribution of the input DNA, as larger fragment sizes are especially critical for the

reconstruction of large genomes such as *V. tsujii*. Further protocol development is needed to

extract suitable High Molecular Weight DNA, which could allow long-read sequencing that would

improve assembly.

The life cycle of Vargula tsujii

The embryogenesis of *Vargula tsujii* is similar to closely related species, and the 24-day

average brooding duration falls within the range for other cypridinid ostracods (10-30 days) [65].

The primary stages of *V. tsujii* late-stage embryogenesis are yolk separation, formation of eye

spots, development of the upper lip, development of limbs, and emergence from the chorion and

marsupium (Figure 5). In general, the late-stage embryonic development of *Vargula tsujii* is

longer than that of other luminescent ostracods whose development has been described (Table

4). Longer overall development in the temperate V. tsujii is consistent with work in other

crustaceans, which indicates that temperature has an inverse relationship with development

time [66]. However, earlier embryonic development in *V. tsujii* is faster than in the tropical *P.*

annecohenae. In *P. anncohenae,* yolk separation begins on day 9 and eye development begins

on days 14-15 [28], while those stages begin at 5-7 and 10-13 days, respectively, in *V. tsujii*.

Additionally, the order of development in *V. tsujii* appears to differ from that of *Vargula*

hilgendorfii, which starts to develop limbs on days 4-5, before eye and upper lip development [67].

By contrast, *V. tsujii* limbs do not appear until after eye-spot and upper lip formation. The

reasons for this difference are unclear.

Table 4. Comparison of embryogenesis timing between five different ostracod species post-

deposition. Species with an asterisk (*) are bioluminescent cypridinids.

Species	Cloudy Embryo	Yolk Sep.	Eye Spot Formation	Upper Lip	Limbs	Release	Citation
*Vargula tsujii** *(Myodocopida: Cypridinidae)*	3-4 days	5-8 days	10-18 days	15-19 days	18-23 days	25-38 days	This study
Photeros annecohenae *(Myodocopida: Cypridinidae)*	2-3 days	9 days	14-15 days	17 days	-	19 days	28
*Vargula hilgendorfii** (Myodocopida: Cypridinidae)*	-	1-2 Days	6-8 days	6-8 days	4-5 days	16 days	29
Euphilomedes carcharodonta (Myodocopida: Philomedidae)	-	14 days	58-70 days	-	14-41 days	65-75 days	31
Xestoleberis hanaii (Podocopida: Xestoleberididae)	-	-	-	-	-	9-11 days	34

Juvenile development of *Vargula tsujii* is also quite similar overall to that of *Photeros*

annecohenae, the only other bioluminescent cypridinid ostracod for which instar developmental

data are available [28]. We inferred five distinct instar stages (A-V through A-I), and A-I instars

develop into size dimorphic adult males and females (Tables 2 and 3). It is important to note

that these inferences represent hypotheses that can be tested by rearing and measuring

individuals through molts, which we have not yet been able to accomplish in our culture.

However, average measurements of size, including carapace length and height, as well as eye

width, were comparable between respective instar stages in *V. tsujii* (inferred) and *P.*

annecohenae (observed). However, in *V. tsujii* average eye width remains within the range of

0.060 mm to 0.0684 mm from the embryonic stage until the second instar, and makes a large

jump by about two fold in the third instar (0.121 ± 0.0208 mm), as compared to a gradual

increase in eye size in *P. annecohenae*. In our KMeans clustering analysis, the second-to-

largest cluster of *V. tsujii* appears to contain adult males as well as A-I males and females. This

finding differs from that in *P. annecohenae*, where adult males were found to cluster separately

from A-I males and females and adult females based on size and shape, and A-I males and

females could be distinguished by certain shape parameters, as well as eye size [28]. In V. tsujii,

A-I males and females appear to be differentiated largely by eye-to-keel distance, which was not

measured in previous studies [28], and adult males can be separated from A-I instars by length

(Figure 8; Table 3). We hypothesize that this apparent reduction of sexual dimorphism observed

in the later stages of development, compared to *P. annecohenae*, may occur because *V. tsujii*

may have lost the ability to use luminescence for courtship [23], so selection acting on differences

in male and female shape may have been reduced.

Benefits of completing the life cycle

Cypridinid ostracods represent an independent origin of endogenous autogenic

bioluminescence. This group is a natural comparison to other, well-studied bioluminescent

courtship systems, such as that of fireflies [68]. Other possible laboratory models for

bioluminescence, such as brittle stars [69] and cnidarians [70] are useful for some research tasks,

such as studies of luciferins obtained from the diet (e.g., coelenterazine). Others might be easier

to rear in the laboratory, such as the self-fertilizing brittle star *Amphiolus squamata* [71]. However,

ostracods produce their own luciferase and luciferin, unlike brittle stars and cnidarians.

Ostracods also have relatively fast generation times (on the order of months), which can be

modified somewhat by adjusting the temperature of the water, as is common among ostracods

[27–29,72,73]. In comparison, fireflies are usually on a six-month to one-year life cycle that is difficult

to alter [74–76], and some brittle stars appear to spawn only seasonally [77,78]. Furthermore, culture

of an organism (*Vargula tsujii*) from a clade with an independent origin of autogenic

bioluminescence allows for comparative studies between luminescence in ostracods and the

autogenic bioluminescence found in fireflies [16] or other organisms, which would not otherwise

be possible.

Ostracods will be incredibly useful for studying the physiology and biochemistry of

bioluminescence [2,16], as both diversification and behavioral changes in cypridinids related to

luminescence have occurred using the same luciferin and homologous luciferases [25].

Furthermore, although Vargula tsujii itself does not possess bioluminescent signaling, we expect

a similar culturing system could be useful for some signaling species as well, at least those that

come to baited traps. Given that ostracod courtship signals may be simulated in a laboratory

setting, this system could allow us to assess male and female behavior in response to changes

in signal parameters, such as intensity, color, and pulse duration [79]. These tools will provide

researchers with an excellent system for asking important questions related to selection on

genes important for rapid diversification [80], including those related to behavior [81], sexual

selection [82], and the role of the biochemical properties of bioluminescence [26].

Importantly, the biosynthetic pathway for luciferin production is currently unknown for any

animal. One major obstacle to describing this pathway has been building laboratory cultures for

animal species that produce luciferin themselves and also have a relatively fast generation time.

Solving this bottleneck to accessing specimens for bioluminescent ostracods has set the stage

for investigations into the biosynthetic pathway of cypridinid luciferin and its possible use in

biomedical tools such as optogenetics [83]. Finally, this is an excellent system with which to

consider developing genome editing techniques (e.g., CRISPR/Cas9) [84] to test candidate genes

for luciferin biosynthesis and to assess how genetic variants in critical genes might affect

production or perception of bioluminescent signals.

Acknowledgements

We thank the USC *Wrigley Institute* for *Environmental Studies* for transportation and use of the facilities at the Wrigley Marine Science Center on Catalina Island, CA. JDM worked on the project at Wrigley during the Catalina Marine Biology Semester hosted by California State University and with support from the NSF (Award DEB·1457462 to ET). JAG was supported by the NSF (PRFB Award: 1711201). Population genetic work was supported by a mini-grant from Cal State LA to ET; sequence data were obtained from the Masters thesis of KH Lee; DM Jacinto and E Stiner helped with collections throughout California; RM Serrano helped with measurements of some specimens; N Hensley contributed data on collecting locations. Genomic sequencing was supported by the Beckman Young Investigator Award to JKW from the Arnold and Mabel Beckman Foundation and by a UCSB Faculty Seed Grant to THO. Finally, we would like to thank Simone Brandão and two anonymous reviewers for their comments on our manuscript.

Author contributions

JAG, ET, JKW, and THO conceived of and designed the study; JAG, GM, MNB, MSD, JDM, TRF, and DTS contributed to the acquisition and analysis of the data in this study; all authors participated in the interpretation of data, helped draft or substantially revise the manuscript, and gave final approval for publication.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Data availability

Sequence data for COI and 16S are available in GenBank (Table S1) and our whole genome Chromium reads are available in the Sequence Read Archive (SRR9308458). Scripts and data

for the population genetics and k-means clustering analyses are provided on Github

(https://github.com/goodgodric28/vargula_tsujii_culture). An additional video which shows

Vargula tsujii hatching from the chorion and outside the mother is provided on Youtube

(https://youtu.be/8o5CqHTcTjI).

References

1.   Haddock, S. H. D., Moline, M. A. & Case, J. F. Bioluminescence in the sea. *Ann. Rev.*

Mar. Sci. **2**, 443–493 (2010).

2.   Hastings, J. W. Biological diversity, chemical mechanisms, and the evolutionary origins

of bioluminescent systems. *J. Mol. Evol.* **19**, 309–321 (1983).

3.   Shimomura, O., Johnson, F. H. & Saiga, Y. Extraction, purification and properties of

aequorin, a bioluminescent protein from the luminous hydromedusan, *Aequorea*. *J. Cell.*

Comp. Physiol. **59**, 223–239 (1962).

4.   Tsuji, F. I. ATP-dependent bioluminescence in the firefly squid, *Watasenia scintillans*.

Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **82**, 4629–4632 (1985).

5.   Cormier, M. J., Wampler, J. E., Hori, K. & Lee, J. W. Bioluminescence of *Renilla*

reniformis. IX. Structured bioluminescence. Two emitters during both the in vitro and the

in vivo bioluminescence of the sea pansy, *Renilla*. *Biochemistry* **10**, 2903–2909 (1971).

6.   Dunlap, P. Biochemistry and Genetics of Bacterial Bioluminescence. in *Advances in*

Biochemical Engineering/Biotechnology 37–64 (2014).

7.   Fallon, T. R. *et al.* Firefly genomes illuminate parallel origins of bioluminescence in

beetles. *Elife* **7**, (2018).

8.   Sweeney, B. The bioluminescence of dinoflagellates. in *Biochemistry and Physiology of*

Protozoa 287–306 (1979).

9.   Kobayashi, K. *et al.* Purification and properties of the luciferase from the marine ostracod

Vargula hilgendorfii. in *Bioluminescence and Chemiluminescence* (2001).

doi:10.1142/9789812811158_0022.

10.   Hastings, J. W., Vergin, M. & Desa, R. Scintillons: The Biochemistry of Dinoflagellate

Bioluminescence. in *Bioluminescence in Progress* 301–330 (Princeton University Press,

1967).

11.   Nakatsu, T. *et al.* Structural basis for the spectral difference in luciferase

bioluminescence. *Nature* **440**, 372–376 (2006).

12.   Marques, S. M. & Esteves da Silva, J. C. G. Firefly bioluminescence: a mechanistic

approach of luciferase catalyzed reactions. *IUBMB Life* **61**, 6–17 (2009).

13.   Verhaegent, M. & Christopoulos, T. K. Recombinant *Gaussia* luciferase.

Overexpression, purification, and analytical application of a bioluminescent reporter for

DNA hybridization. *Anal. Chem.* **74**, 4378–4385 (2002).

14.   Dunlap, P. V. & Urbanczyk, H. Luminous Bacteria. in *The Prokaryotes* (ed. Rosenberg

E., DeLong E.F., Lory S., Stackebrandt E., Thompson F.) 495–528 (Springer, 2013).

15.   Kotlobay, A. A. *et al.* Genetically encodable bioluminescent system from fungi. *Proc.*

Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **115**, 12728–12732 (2018).

16.   Kanie, S., Nakai, R., Ojika, M. & Oba, Y. 2-S-cysteinylhydroquinone is an intermediate

for the firefly luciferin biosynthesis that occurs in the pupal stage of the Japanese firefly,

Luciola lateralis. *Bioorg. Chem.* **80**, 223–229 (2018).

17.   McLean, M., Buck, J. & Hanson, F. E. Culture and larval behavior of photurid fireflies.

Am. Midl. Nat. **87**, 133 (1972).

18.   Thompson, E. M., Nagata, S. & Tsuji, F. I. Cloning and expression of cDNA for the

luciferase from the marine ostracod *Vargula hilgendorfii*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*

86, 6567–6571 (1989).

19.   Oba, Y., Kato, S.-I., Ojika, M. & Inouye, S. Biosynthesis of luciferin in the sea firefly,

Cypridina hilgendorfii: l-tryptophan is a component in *Cypridina* luciferin. *Tetrahedron*

Lett. **43**, 2389–2392 (2002).

20.   de Wet, J. R., Wood, K. V., Helinski, D. R. & DeLuca, M. Cloning of firefly luciferase

cDNA and the expression of active luciferase in *Escherichia coli*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*

U. S. A. **82**, 7870–7873 (1985).

21.   Seliger, H. H., McElroy, W. D., White, E. H. & Field, G. F. Stereo-specificity and firefly

bioluminescence, a comparison of natural and synthetic luciferins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*

U. S. A. **47**, 1129–1134 (1961).

22.   Brandão, S. N., Angel, M. V., Karanovic, I., Perrier, V. & Meidla, T. World Ostracoda

Database. http://www.marinespecies.org/ostracoda (2019) doi:10.14284/364.

23.   Cohen, A. C. & Morin, J. G. Sexual morphology, reproduction and the evolution of

bioluminescence in Ostracoda. *Paleontological Society Papers* **9**, 37 (2003).

24.   Ellis, E. A. & Oakley, T. H. High rates of species accumulation in animals with

bioluminescent courtship displays. *Curr. Biol.* **26**, 1916–1921 (2016).

25.   Morin, J. G. Based on a review of the data, use of the term 'cypridinid' solves the

Cypridina/*Vargula* dilemma for naming the constituents of the luminescent system of

ostracods in the family cypridinidae. *Luminescence* **26**, (2011).

26.   Hensley, N. M. *et al.* Phenotypic evolution shaped by current enzyme function in the

bioluminescent courtship signals of sea fireflies. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **286**, 20182621 (2019).

27.   Cohen, A. C. Rearing and postembryonic development of the myodocopid ostracode

Skogsbergia lerneri from coral reefs of Belize and the Bahamas. *J. Crustacean Biol.* **3**,

235–256 (1983).

28.   Gerrish, G. A. & Morin, J. G. Life cycle of a bioluminescent marine ostracode, *Vargula*

annecohenae (Myodocopida: Cypridinidae). *J. Crustacean Biol.* **28**, 669–674 (2008).

29.   Wakayama, K & Kanazawa, K. Elucidation of environmental tolerance of sea fireflies and

research on construction of optimal rearing environment *Sci. J. Kangawa U.* **25**, 117-124

(2014).

30.   Pérez-Lloréns, J. L. Cooking-Science-Communication (CSC): The ideal trident to enjoy

644 the dining experience. *International Journal of Gastronomy and Food Science* **16**,

645 100134 (2019).

646 31. Koyama, K. H. & Rivera, A. S. Embryonic development of the myodocopid ostracod

647 *Euphilomedes carcharodonta* Smith, 1952. *J. Crustacean Biol.* (2018)

648 doi:10.1093/jcbiol/ruy088.

649 32. Kawamata, K., Sato, M. & Abe, K. Complete life cycle of the ostracod *Euphilomedes*

650 *nipponica* (Myodocopida, Philomedidae). *Plankton Benthos Res.* **13**, 83–89 (2018).

651 33. Smith, R. J. & Kamiya, T. The Ontogeny of *Neonesidea oligodentata* (Bairdioidea,

652 Ostracoda, Crustacea). *Hydrobiologia* **489**, 245–275 (2002).

653 34. Ikeya, N. & Kato, M. The life history and culturing of *Xestoleberis hanaii* (Crustacea,

654 Ostracoda). in *Evolutionary Biology and Ecology of Ostracoda* (eds. Horne, D. J. &

655 Martens, K.) 149–159 (Springer Netherlands, 2000).

656 35. Liberto, R., César, I. I. & Mesquita-Joanes, F. Postembryonic growth in two species of

657 freshwater Ostracoda (Crustacea) shows a size-age sigmoid model fit and temperature

658 effects on development time, but no clear temperature-size rule (TSR) pattern.

659 *Limnology* **15**, 57–67 (2014).

660 36. Kubanç, N., Özuluğ, O. & Kubanç, C. The ontogeny of appendages of *Heterocypris*

661 *salina* (Brady, 1868) Ostracoda (Crustacea). *Ostracodology — Linking Bio- and*

662 *Geosciences* 255–272 (2007).

663 37. Smith, R. J. & Martens, K. The ontogeny of the cyprid ostracod *Eucypris virens* (Jurine,

664 1820) (Crustacea, Ostracoda). in *Evolutionary Biology and Ecology of Ostracoda* (eds.

665 Horne, D. J. & Martens, K.) vol. 148 31–63 (Springer, 2000).

666 38. Engstrom, D. R. & Nelson, S. R. Paleosalinity from trace metals in fossil ostracodes

667 compared with observational records at Devils Lake, North Dakota, USA.

668 *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* **83**, 295–312 (1991).

669 39. Korniker, L. S. & Baker, J. H. *Vargula tsujii*, a new species of luminescent ostracod from

lower and southern California (Myodocopa: Cypridininae). *Proceedings of the Biological*

Society of Washington **90**, 218–231 (1977).

40. Hammer, R. M. Day-night differences in the emergence of demersal zooplankton from a

sand substrate in a kelp forest. *Mar. Biol.* **62**, 275–280 (1981).

41. Stepien, C. A. & Brusca, R. C. Nocturnal attacks on nearshore fishes in southern

California by crustacean zooplankton. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **25**, 91–105

(1985).

42. Warner, J. A. & Case, J. F. The zoogeography and dietary induction of bioluminescence

in the midshipman fish, *Porichthys notatus*. *The Biological Bulletin* **159**, 231–246 (1980).

43. Cohen, A. C. & Oakley, T. H. Collecting and processing marine ostracods. *J. Crustacean*

Biol. **37**, 347–352 (2017).

44. Lee, K. H.-H. Genetic differentiation in *Vargula tsujii* (Ostracoda: Cypridinidae) along the

California coast. (California State University, Los Angeles, 2002).

45. Paradis, E. pegas: an R package for population genetics with an integrated-modular

approach. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 419–420 (2010).

46. R Core Team. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. (R Foundation

for Statistical Computing, 2019).

47. Jombart, T. adegenet: a R package for the multivariate analysis of genetic markers.

Bioinformatics **24**, 1403–1405 (2008).

48. Schwarz, G. Estimating the Dimension of a Model. *The Annals of Statistics* **6**, 461–464

(1978).

49. Vannier, J., Abe, K. & Ikuta, K. Feeding in myodocopid ostracods: functional morphology

and laboratory observations from videos. *Marine Biology* **132**, 391–408 (1998).

50. Schindelin, J. *et al.* Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat.*

Methods **9**, 676–682 (2012).

51. Garreta, R. & Moncecchi, G. *Learning scikit-learn: Machine learning in Python*. (Packt

Publishing Ltd, 2013).

52. van Rossum, G. *Python tutorial*, *Technical Report CS-R9526, Centrum voor Wiskunde*

en Informatica (CWI) (1995).

53. Weisenfeld, N. I., Kumar, V., Shah, P., Church, D. M. & Jaffe, D. B. Direct determination

of diploid genome sequences. *Genome Res.* **27**, 757–767 (2017).

54. Ogoh, K. & Ohmiya, Y. Complete mitochondrial DNA sequence of the sea-firefly,

Vargula hilgendorfii (Crustacea, Ostracoda) with duplicate control regions. *Gene* **327**,

131–139 (2004).

55. Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S. L. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat.*

Methods **9**, 357–359 (2012).

56. Nurk, S. *et al.* Assembling genomes and mini-metagenomes from highly chimeric reads.

Lecture Notes in Computer Science 158–170 (2013).

57. Wick, R. R., Schultz, M. B., Zobel, J. & Holt, K. E. Bandage: interactive visualization of

de novo genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics* **31**, 3350–3352 (2015).

58. Camacho, C. *et al.* BLAST+: architecture and applications. *BMC Bioinformatics* **10**, 421

(2009).

59. Priyam, A. *et al.* Sequenceserver: A modern graphical user interface for custom BLAST

databases. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **36**, 2922–2924 (2019).

60. Robinson, J. T. *et al.* Integrative genomics viewer. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **29**, 24–26 (2011).

61. Shen, W., Le, S., Li, Y. & Hu, F. SeqKit: A cross-platform and ultrafast toolkit for

FASTA/Q file manipulation. *PLoS One* **11**, e0163962 (2016).

62. Walker, B. J. *et al.* Pilon: an integrated tool for comprehensive microbial variant

detection and genome assembly improvement. *PLoS One* **9**, e112963 (2014).

63. Bernt, M. *et al.* MITOS: Improved de novo metazoan mitochondrial genome annotation.

Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution **69**, 313–319 (2013).

64. Jeffery, N. W., Ellis, E. A., Oakley, T. H. & Ryan Gregory, T. The genome sizes of

ostracod crustaceans correlate with body size and evolutionary history, but not

environment. *Journal of Heredity* **108**, 701–706 (2017).

65.   Cohen, A. C. & Morin, J. G. Patterns of reproduction in ostracodes: A review. *Journal of*

Crustacean Biology **10**, 184 (1990).

66.   Steele, D. H. & Steele, V. J. Egg size and duration of embryonic development in

Crustacea. *Internationale Revue der gesamten Hydrobiologie und Hydrographie* **60**,

711–715 (1975).

67.   Wakayama, N. Embryonic development clarifies polyphyly in ostracod crustaceans.

Journal of Zoology **273**, 406–413 (2007).

68.   Lewis, S. M. & Cratsley, C. K. Flash signal evolution, mate choice, and predation in

fireflies. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* **53**, 293–321 (2008).

69.   Deheyn, D. D. Bioluminescence in the brittle star *Amphiolis squamata* (Echinodermata):

an overview of 10 years of research. *Bioluminescence and Chemiluminescence* (2001)

doi:10.1142/9789812811158_0009.

70.   Houliston, E., Momose, T. & Manuel, M. *Clytia hemisphaerica*: a jellyfish cousin joins the

laboratory. *Trends Genet.* **26**, 159–167 (2010).

71.   Boissin, E., Hoareau, T. B., Féral, J. P. & Chenuil, A. Extreme selfing rates in the

cosmopolitan brittle star species complex *Amphipholis squamata*: data from progeny-

array and heterozygote deficiency. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **361**, 151–159

(2008).

72.   Heip, C. The life-cycle of *Cyprideis torosa* (Crustacea, Ostracoda). *Oecologia* **24**, 229–

245 (1976).

73.   Martens, K. Effects of temperature and salinity on postembryonic growth in *Mytilocypris*

henricae (Chapman) (Crustacea, Ostracoda). *Journal of Crustacean Biology* **5**, 258–272

(1985).

74.   Viviani, V. R. Fireflies (Coleoptera: Lampyridae) from southeastern Brazil: Habitats, life

history, and bioluminescence. *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am.* **94**, 129–145 (2001).

75.   Ho, J.-Z., Chiang, P.-H., Wu, C.-H. & Yang, P.-S. Life cycle of the aquatic firefly *Luciola*

ficta (Coleoptera: Lampyridae). *J. Asia. Pac. Entomol.* **13**, 189–196 (2010).

76.   Fu, X., Nobuyoshi, O., Vencl, F. V. & Lei, C. Life cycle and behaviour of the aquatic

firefly *Luciola leii* (Coleoptera: Lampyridae) from mainland China. *The Canadian*

Entomologist **138**, 860–870 (2006).

77.   Soong, K., Lin, Y., Chao, S. & Chang, D. Spawning time of two shallow-water brittle

stars. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **376**, 165–171 (2009).

78.   Hagman, D. K. & Vize, P. D. Mass spawning by two brittle star species, *Ophioderma*

rubicundum and *O. Squamosissimum* (Echinodermata: Ophiuroidea), at the Flower

Garden Banks, Gulf of Mexico. *Bull. Mar. Sci.* **72**, 871–876 (2003).

79.   Rivers, T. J. & Morin, J. G. Female ostracods respond to and intercept artificial

conspecific male luminescent courtship displays. *Behavioral Ecology* **24**, 877–887

(2013).

80.   Stern, D. L. & Orgogozo, V. Is genetic evolution predictable? *Science* **323**, 746–751

(2009).

81.   Boake, C. R. B. *et al.* Genetic tools for studying adaptation and the evolution of behavior.

Am. Nat. **160 Suppl 6**, S143–59 (2002).

82.   Ritchie, M. G. Sexual selection and speciation. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution,*

and Systematics **38**, 79–102 (2007).

83.   Yeh, H.-W. & Ai, H.-W. Development and applications of bioluminescent and

chemiluminescent reporters and biosensors. *Annu. Rev. Anal. Chem.* **12**, 129–150

(2019).

84.   Cong, L. *et al.* Multiplex genome engineering using CRISPR/Cas systems. *Science* **339**,

819–823 (2013).

85.   Pang, K. & Martindale, M. Q. *Mnemiopsis leidyi* spawning and embryo collection. *CSH*

Protoc. **2008**, db.prot5085 (2008).

86.   Warren, K. J. The establishment and characterization of primary cell cultures derived

776   from the ctenophore *Mnemiopsis leidyi*. (University of Miami, 2016).

777   87.   Baker, L. D. & Reeve, M. R. Laboratory culture of the lobate ctenophore *Mnemiopsis*

778   *mccradyi* with notes on feeding and fecundity. *Marine Biology* **26**, 57–62 (1974).

779   88.   Kahn, B. Scientists Have Cultivated a Mesmerizing Jelly That's Like 'Barely Organized

780   Water'. *Earther* https://earther.gizmodo.com/scientists-have-cultivated-a-mesmerizing-

781   jelly-thats-li-1823207295 (2018).

782   89.   Lindner, A. & Migotto, A. E. The life cycle of *Clytia linearis* and *Clytia noliformis*:

783   metagenic campanulariids (Cnidaria: Hydrozoa) with contrasting polyp and medusa

784   stages. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* **82**, 541–553

785   (2002).

786   90.   Delroisse, J., Ortega-Martinez, O., Dupont, S., Mallefet, J. & Flammang, P. De novo

787   transcriptome of the European brittle star *Amphiura filiformis* pluteus larvae. *Mar.*

788   *Genomics* **23**, 109–121 (2015).

789   91.   Oba, Y. *et al.* Bioluminescence of a firefly pupa: involvement of a luciferase isotype in

790   the dim glow of pupae and eggs in the Japanese firefly, Luciola lateralis. *Photochem.*

791   *Photobiol. Sci.* **12**, 854–863 (2013).

792   92.   Watkins, O. C., Sharpe, M. L., Perry, N. B. & Krause, K. L. New Zealand glowworm

793   (*Arachnocampa luminosa*) bioluminescence is produced by a firefly-like luciferase but an

794   entirely new luciferin. *Sci. Rep.* **8**, 3278 (2018).

795   93.   Meyer-Rochow, V. B. Glowworms: a review of *Arachnocampa* spp. and kin.

796   *Luminescence* **22**, 251–265 (2007).

797   94.   Takaie, H. Ten years of the glow-worm (*Arachnocampa richardsae*) rearing at Tama

798   Zoo--Fascination of a living milky way. *Insectarium* **34**, 336–342 (1997).

95.   Maheswarudu, G. & Vineetha, A. Culture of the littoral oligochaete *Pontodrilus*

bermudensis Beddard. *The Journal of Bioprocess Technology* **97**, 142–155 (2013).

96.   Nishida, H. Development of the appendicularian *Oikopleura dioica*: culture, genome, and

cell lineages. *Dev. Growth Differ.* **50 Suppl 1**, S239–56 (2008).

97.   Martí-Solans, J. *et al. Oikopleura dioica* culturing made easy: a low-cost facility for an

emerging animal model in EvoDevo. *Genesis* **53**, 183–193 (2015).

Figures

Figure 1. Map of localities where collections (and attempted collections) of *Vargula tsujii* were made. Black points represent geographic annotations for reference, purple points refer to the location where ostracods were collected that were ultimately cultured, blue where animals were found or collected, orange where Kornicker originally collected animals, and red where no *V. tsujii* were found. (A) Map of the California (USA) coastline where collections were attempted; (B) Map of the region near Two Harbors (Santa Catalina Island, California, USA) where collections were attempted.

Figure 2. Diagrammatic representation of aquarium setup for Vargula tsujii . (A) Source water flows into (B) a reservoir tank, which overflows to keep the reservoir full and water level constant. (C) We use a siphon to carry water from a reservoir tank to main aquarium where ostracods live. Water level of the main tank drains through sand (stippled), through (E) an outflow that is raised to a level that would cause water to remain at the level of the dashed line, even if a siphon is interrupted to cause water to stop flowing into the main tank. (F) Plastic bottle and parts used to create small aquarium using the same logic as main aquarium. (G) Custom-built acrylic aquarium with drain in bottom and raised outflow with adjustable rate.

Figure 3. Haplotype networks for (A) COI sequences, and (B) 16S sequences of *Vargula tsujii* animals from three localities sampled. Abbreviations: CI, Catalina Island; SD, San Diego; SP, San Pedro.

Figure 4. Embryogenesis within brooding females of *Vargula tsujii* post release into the marsupium. (A) Day 0, (B) Day 1-4, (C) Day 5-7, (D) Day 8-9, (E) Day 10-13, (F) Day 14-17, (G) Day 18-19, (H) Day 20-21, (I) Day 22-23, (J) Day 25-38.

Figure 5. Summary of the timing of embryogenesis stages in *Vargula tsujii*. This represents the complete range of first appearance of each features across multiple broods, including: yolk separation (range = 5-8 days, N = 7); eye spot formation (range = 10-18 days, N = 12); upper lip formation (range = 15-19 days, N = 3); limb formation (range = 18-23 days, N = 11); hatching (range = 25-38 days, N = 11).

Figure 6. Images of selected individuals within each inferred instar stage: (A) A-V, (B) A-IV, (C) A-III, (D) A-II, (E) A-I male, (F) A-I female, (G) Adult male, (H) Adult female.

Figure 7. (A) KMeans clusters of *Vargula tsujii* developmental stages based on carapace height and width. Instar stages were assigned to each cluster via comparison to *P. annecohenae* and additional analysis of male and female size dimorphism. (B) Points representing laboratory cultured animals overlayed on wild caught and unknown data. Different colors represent different clusters in the KMeans analysis, and are labeled with their inferred instar/adult stages. (C) Shows wild-caught animals dissected and identified as female in relation to the KMeans clusters, and (D) shows wild-caught animals dissected and identified as male in relation to the KMeans clusters.

Figure 8. Plot of length versus eye to keel distance (EKD) in animals assigned to the A-I Instar/Adult Male cluster in the previous KMeans analysis (Figure 7A). These points were then clustered via KMeans analysis into three clusters, which we assigned to A-I Males (red), A-I Females (blue), and Adult Males (yellow).

Figure 9. Mitochondrial genome of *Vargula tsujii*. Note the duplicated control regions, CR1 and CR2 (in gray). Figure produced with Circos [78].

Supplementary Materials

Table S1. List of collections locations or attempted collection locations for *Vargula tsujii*.

Collection locations for which a latitude and longitude could not be determined were not figured,

but are included here.

Table S2. List of specimens examined in this paper for which sequences were obtained,

including locality, museum collection numbers (where applicable), and GenBank accession

numbers for the two genes sequenced (COI and 16S).

Table S3. Raw measurements of *Vargula tsujii* individuals, including carapace height and

length, keel width and eye width.

Table S4. Unfiltered library sequencing statistics for *V. tsujii*.

Figure S1. Traversal of the cleaned SPAdes *V. tsujii* mitochondrial genome assembly graph

nodes plotted by Bandage (gray). The single circularizing path representing the collapsed

duplicate control regions are shown in blue. The black stroke shows the path traversed through

the assembly graph followed to produce the circularized mtDNA FASTA file with two control

region, matching the structure of the V. hilgendorfii mtDNA (Ogoh and Ohmiya 2004).

Figure S2. Results for our 16S DAPC model test to determine the number of clusters that is

favored via comparison of Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) values.

Figure S3. Results for our COI DAPC model test to determine the number of clusters that is

favored via comparison of Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) values.

Figure S4. Cluster membership based on our 16S DAPC analysis compared with the original

population designation for each specimen. Overall, the clusters are not representative of any

population-level structure.

Figure S5. Cluster membership based on our 16S DAPC analysis compared with the original

population designation for each specimen. Overall, the clusters are not representative of any

population-level structure.

Figure S6. Exploded diagram of custom aquarium for rearing *Vargula tsujii*. A photo of built

aquarium is in main text, Figure 2. **A**. Plumbing components include 1. PVC pipe 1.5 inch

diameter. 2. PVC socket ball valve to control the rate of outflow 3. PVC 1.5 inch elbows 4. PVC

elbow with one side threaded to screw into 5. threaded bulkhead to span bottom of aquarium for

drainage 6. float switch valve to control water level (not shown on Figure 2 of main text). **B**.

Acrylic panels of ¼ inch thickness welded together with solvent include 7. Two panels of

dimension 16.5 x 13.25 inches that form the long sides of the aquarium 8. Two panels of

dimension 8 x 10.75 inches that form the short sides of the aquarium. One of these panels has

a hole drilled for the water inflow and float switch 9. One panel of 16.5 x 7.5 inches to form the

bottom of the aquarium, including a hole drilled for the bulkhead 10. One panel of 16.5 x 7.5

inches to forms a false bottom for the aquarium similar to the bottom of an undergravel

aquarium filter. We drilled small holes throughout to allow water but not sand to flow through.

(Not shown on Figure 2). In practice, we welded legs on the false bottom to allow it to stand on

the true bottom of the aquarium above the height of the bulkhead drain.

Figure S7. Small aquarium ("condo") setup using an inverted 500 ml bottle. a. Seawater feed

through a hose into reservoir tank. b. Inverted bottle with hole in bottom and tube piercing

930   septum cap c. Siphon to bring water to condo (note in this staged example for photo, reservoir

931   tank level is higher than condo, so water would flow out the top of the condo) d. Reservoir tank

932   receives water from inflow and overflows e. Stand to hold condos f. Outflow tube using ¼ inch

933   aquarium tubing, raised to set water level.