25 years of propagation in suspension cell culture results in substantial alterations of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* genome

Boas Pucker[1,*], Christian Rückert[2], Ralf Stracke[1], Prisca Viehöver[1], Jörn Kalinowski[2], Bernd Weisshaar[1]

1 Genetics and Genomics of Plants, Faculty of Biology, Center for Biotechnology (CeBiTec), Bielefeld University, Sequenz 1, 33615 Bielefeld, NRW, Germany

2 Microbial Genomics and Biotechnology, Center for Biotechnology (CeBiTec), Bielefeld University, Sequenz 1, 33615 Bielefeld, NRW, Germany

BP: bpucker@cebitec.uni-bielefeld.de, 0000-0002-3321-7471

CR: cruecker@cebitec.uni-bielefeld.de, 0000-0002-9722-4435

RS: ralf.stracke@uni-bielefeld.de, 0000-0002-9261-2279

PV: viehoeve@cebitec.uni-bielefeld.de, 0000-0003-3286-4121

JK: joern@cebitec.uni-bielefeld.de, 0000-0002-9052-1998

BW: weisshaa@cebitec.uni-bielefeld.de, 0000-0002-7635-3473

* correspondence: bpucker@cebitec.uni-bielefeld.de

Abstract: *Arabidopsis thaliana* is one of the best studied plant model organisms. Besides cultivation in greenhouses, cells of this plant can also be propagated in suspension cell culture. At7 is one such cell line that has been established about 25 years ago.

Here we report the sequencing and the analysis of the At7 genome. Large scale duplications and deletions compared to the Col-0 reference sequence were detected. The number of deletions exceeds the number of insertions thus indicating that a haploid genome size reduction is ongoing. Patterns of small sequence variants differ from the ones observed between *A. thaliana* accessions e.g. the number of single nucleotide variants matches the number of insertions/deletions. RNA-Seq analysis reveals that disrupted alleles are less frequent in the transcriptome than the native ones.

Keywords: copy number variations, variant calling, next generation sequencing, long read sequencing

1. Introduction

Arabidopsis thaliana is a small flowering plant which is distributed over the northern hemisphere and has become the model system of choice for research in plant biology. In 2000, the genome sequence was released as the first available plant genome sequence [1]. After generating this reference sequence from the accession Columbia-0 (Col-0), many other *A. thaliana* accessions were analyzed by sequencing to investigate, among many other topics, genomic diversity, local adaptation and the phylogenetic history of this species [2-5]. While most initial re-sequencing projects relied on short read mapping against the Col-0 reference sequence [6-8], technological progress enabled *de novo* genome assemblies [2,9] which reached a chromosome-level quality [5,10,11]. Low coverage nanopore sequencing was also applied to search for genomic differences e.g. active transposable elements (TEs) [12].

Due to the high value of *A. thaliana* for basic plant biology research, it is frequently grown in greenhouses under controlled and optimized conditions. Previous studies investigated the mutation rates within a single generation [7,13]. Mutational changes appear to be different between plants grown under controlled conditions and natural samples collected in the environment [7]. Another approach harnessed an *A. thaliana* population in the United States of America, which is assumed to originate from a single ancestor thus showing mutations accumulated over the last decades [14]. This study investigated modern and ancient specimens and estimated a rate of 7.1×10^{-9} substitutions per site per generation [14]. Since not all mutations are fixed during evolution, the mutation rate is higher than the substitution rate.

Even further away from natural conditions in the environment is the propagation of cells in suspension cultures. Cells from such cultures can easily be employed for transient transfection experiments [15]. Transient transfections of At7 protoplasts are a relatively straightforward method to study promoter structure and activity and to investigate the interactions between transcription factors and promoters of putative target genes [16,17].

60   Since most functions of plants are dispensable in suspension culture, it was expected
61 that mutations in these dispensable genes accumulate over time due to genetic drift or even due
62 to positive selection. We sequenced and analyzed the genome of At7 cells which have been
63 propagated in suspension culture for about 25 years and identified massive genomic changes.

2. Methods
65
2.1. Plant material

The *Arabidopsis thaliana* suspension cell culture At7 [18] is derived from hypocotyl of the
reference accession Columbia (Col). This cell culture is cultivated at 26°C and 105 rpm in
darkness with sugar supply on a rotary shaker. A subset of cells is transferred into fresh B5
medium at a weekly basis. For details about the propagation of this cell culture see [19]. All
sequencing data generated in this study were submitted to ENA as part of the study
PRJEB33589 and sample ERS3588070.

2.2. RNA extraction and RNA-Seq

Total RNA was extracted based on a previously described protocol [16]. Based on 1 µg of total
RNA, sequencing libraries were constructed following the TrueSeq v2 protocol. Three biological
replicates of the At7 cell culture (splitted the preceding week) were processed and combined for
sequencing. Single end sequencing of 83 nt was performed on an Illumina NextSeq500 at the
Sequencing Core Facility of the CeBiTec (ERR3444576, ERR3444577).

2.3. DNA extraction

Cells were separated from media through filtration on a Büchner funnel. Next, cell walls were
destroyed by treatment in the Precellys Evolution (QIAGEN) for 3x30 seconds at 8500 rpm with
1 mm zirconium beads (Roth). The following DNA extraction was based on a previously
described CTAB protocol [20]. To increase the purity of the DNA an additional ethanol
precipitation step was added. DNA from the same extraction was used for sequencing with
different second and third generation technologies.

2.4. Oxford Nanopore sequencing

DNA was quantified via Qubit following suppliers' recommendation. Sequencing was performed
on a total of four flow cells. The Ligation Sequencing Kit SQK-LSK109 (Oxford Nanopore
Technologies, ONT) and the Rapid Sequencing Kit SQK-RAD004 (ONT) were used to prepare
two DNA libraries each for sequencing based on the suppliers' protocol. Sequencing on a
MinION (ONT) (ERR3445571) and GridION (ONT) (ERR3445572), respectively, was performed

using R9.4 flow cells based on the supplier's instructions. Real time basecalling for the MinION
experiments was performed on a MinIT (ONT) via MinKNOW.

2.5. Illumina DNA sequencing

Sequencing library preparation was performed as described previously [9] and sequencing took
place at the Sequencing Core Facility of the CeBiTec. Paired-end sequencing of the library was
done on an Illumina HiSeq1500 in Rapid Mode to produce 2x250 nt reads (ERR3445426) and
on an Illumina NextSeq500 resulting in 2x155 nt reads (ERR3445427).

2.6. Coverage analysis

Dedicated Python scripts were applied for the genome-wide coverage analysis, the
classification of genes based on coverage values, and the investigation of coverage values at
variant positions. These scripts are available at github: https://github.com/bpucker/At7.
Completeley, deleted regions were identified through the identification of zero coverage regions
in At7, i.e. regions where not At7 reads are mapped to the Col-0 reference sequence, as
described before [9].

2.7. Genome assembly

ONT reads longer than 3 kb were subjected to genome assembly via canu v1.8 [21] using the
following   parameters: 'genomeSize=145m'   'useGrid=1'   'saveReads=true'
'corMhapFilterThreshold=0.0000000002' 'ovlMerThreshold=500' 'corMhapOptions=--threshold
0.80 --num-hashes 512 --num-min-matches 3 --ordered-sketch-size 1000 --ordered-kmer-size
14 --min-olap-length 2000 --repeat-idf-scale 50' . In addition to canu, miniasm v0.3-r179 [22] and
Flye v2.3.1 [23] were run to identify the best assembler for this data set as previously described
[5]. The quality of assembled contigs was improved through nine successive rounds of polishing
with nanopolish v0.11 [24] harnessing the information of all ONT reads. Minimap2 v2.10-r761
[22] was used for the read mapping with the arguments '--secondary=no -ax map-ont'. Next,
Illumina reads were mapped via BWA MEM v0.7.13 [25] to the polished assembly. Five
successive polishing rounds with Pilon v1.22 [26] were performed based on Illumina read
mappings. Previously developed Python scripts [9] were applied to check the assembly for
contaminations, to remove very short contigs, and to calculate general assembly statistics.

2.8. Variant calling and annotation

Illumina sequencing reads of At7 were aligned to the TAIR9 reference sequence of Col-0 via
BWA MEM v0.7.13 [25]. Next, GATK v.3.8 [27, Van der Auwera, 2013 #4491] was applied for the
identification of small sequence variants as previously described [28]. In contrast to previous

studies, variant positions with multiple different alleles were kept as they are biologically
possible. GATK is able to identify low frequency variants and thus provides a powerful solution
given the variability in ploidy between 1n and 5n. Variants were considered as heterozygous if
at least 5 reads and at least 5% of all reads support an additional allele besides the dominant
one. Additionally, variants were excluded if their coverage deviates from the average coverage
of a 2 kb flanking region by more than 20%. SnpEff [29] was deployed to assign predictions of
the functional impact to all small sequence variants. Previously developed Python scripts [9,28]
were customized to investigate the distribution of variants and to check for patterns. SVIM [30]
was deployed to identify large variants based on a minmap2 mapping of all ONT reads against
the Col-0 reference sequence. RNA-Seq reads were mapped via STAR [31] to the Col-0
reference genome sequence using previously described parameters [32]. Samtools [33] and
bedtools [34] were used to call variants based on an RNA-Seq read mapping to the Col-0
reference sequence.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Large structural variations in the At7 genome

A mapping of Illumina short reads and ONT long reads to the Col-0 reference sequence
was used to assess genomic changes in At7. The coverage analysis revealed duplications and
deletions of large chromosomal segments (Figure 1, File S1). The north of chromosome 2
(Chr2) and chromosome 4 (Chr4) appear highly fragmented. In addition, regions around the
centromeres are apparently fragmented, but this could be an artifact of higher repeat content
and a substantial proportion of collapsed peri-centromeric and centromeric parts.

An average coverage of 50 fold in a small region at 1 Mbp on Chr1 and in a region between
9.5 Mbp and 11 Mbp on Chr2 indicates hemizygosity, i.e. there is only one copy left and the
regions are 1n. Small blocks in the north of Chr4 show a similar coverage. An average coverage
of 150 fold indicates that most other regions are triploid. Although the starting material from Col-
0 was diploid, these regions represent a minority in At7. A region on Chr1 (17.5 to 21 Mbp) is
present in five copies (pentaploid). There are only 116 regions larger than 100 bp which
appeared of being completely deleted in At7.

Figure 1: Genome-wide coverage of the Col-0 reference sequence. Hemizygot regions in At7 were revealed by a read coverage of approximately 50 fold when combining Illumina and ONT sequencing reads. Different multiples of this values can be observed revealing the presence of large scale duplications.

3.2. Most small sequence variants have deviating coverage

A mapping of all At7 Illumina sequencing reads against the Col-0 reference sequence and following variant calling revealed an almost equal amount of single nucleotide variants (SNVs) and small insertions/deletions (InDels) (File S2). This is in contrast to previous re-sequencing studies where natural populations displayed a substantial excess of SNVs compared to InDels (Ossowski, 2008 #3385;Schneeberger, 2011 #3835;Pucker, 2016 #4863). In total, 127,686 small variants were identified leading to a frequency of about one variant per kbp. This frequency is lower than previously reported values of 1 variant in 200-400 bp for the comparison of *A. thaliana* accessions [6,9]. In agreement with previous findings [6,9], the genome-wide distribution showed increased variant frequencies around the centromeres (Figure 2). This enrichment can be partly explained by a higher proportion of collapsed sequences in these regions due to the higher abundance of repeats.

Figure 2: Genome-wide distribution of small sequence variants between At7 and Col-0.
Homozygous variants (magenta) and variants with deviating frequency were counted in
genomic blocks of 100 kb on all five chromosomes of the Col-0 reference sequence TAIR9.

About 94.2 % of all small sequence variants display deviating frequencies. This can be
explained by the asexual reproduction of the originally diploid At7 cells. A mutation only occurs
in one allele and is consequently passed on to all daughter cells. However, the other allele of
the same locus would still show the original sequence. It is very unlikely that the same mutation
occurs in all alleles independently. Although exchange between alleles cannot be excluded, it is
not a relevant mechanism for the generation of homozygous variants. Many homozygous
variants between At7 and Col-0 are clustered in a few regions (Figure 2). In most cases, the
respective regions are also depleted of variants with deviating frequencies. One clear example
of a homozygous variant stretch is located on Chr2 between 9.5 Mbp and 11 Mbp (Figure 2,
magenta marked) where the number of homozygous variants exceeds the number of variants
with deviating frequencies. These 2,774 homozygous variants were probably caused by the
hemizygosity of these regions in the At7 genome (Figure 1). A substantially reduced read
coverage of homozygous variants compared to variants with deviating frequencies supports this
hypothesis (Figure 3) and regions with high proportions of homozygous variants displayed

generally low coverage. A small fraction of variants with deviating frequencies could be due to
spurious read mappings and thus false positive variant calls. The weak peak of variants with
about 2-fold Illumina coverage but only one detectable allele might be explained by duplication
of the respective genome region after the small variant mutation occurred. The observation of a
few variants with deviating frequencies in apparently hemizygous regions could be due to
spontaneous mutations in some cells leading to different lineages. However, genetic drift will
reduce this diversity resulting in the dominance of one allele as observed for most positions.

A minority of the homozygous variants could also have been contributed by the original material
which was used to generate this suspension cell cultures. Based on previous studies of
mutation accumulation over generations [6,7,9,14], it is likely that a few of these variants have
been differentiating the material used for the generation of the At7 cell culture from the material
used in the *A. thaliana* genome sequencing project. Errors in the At7 sequencing data and in the
Col-0 reference sequence [5,8,35] could be an additional source of apparent differences. Similar
numbers were observed between plants of the same *A. thaliana* accessions before and have
been considered to be recent events or technical artifacts [9,10].

Figure 3: Illumina sequencing coverage depth at variant positions. Only Illumina reads
were considered in this analysis, because the variant calling was limited to this set of high
quality sequences. Therefore, the average coverage of 25 fold is about half the coverage
observed for the complete sequence read data set.

3.3. Number of deletions exceeds number of insertions

Besides Illumina sequencing, Oxford Nanopore Technologies' MinION and GridION sequencer were deployed to generate long reads for the detection of large structural variants. Alignments against the Col-0 reference sequence revealed 160,348 deletions and 5,902 insertions (Figure S1). Previous comparisons of *A. thaliana* accessions revealed equal numbers of insertions and deletions [2,9,28]. For comparison, long reads of the Nd-1 accession were aligned to the Col-0 reference sequence resulting in the identification of almost equal numbers of insertions and deletions. Although different long read sequencing technologies are involved, this substantial difference is unlikely to be a technical artifact. Analysis of the remaining coverage in deletions supports the validity, because most of these regions seem to be maintained in at least two haplophases (Figure S2). The excess of deletions observed here, indicates that a single haplophase of the At7 genome is probably smaller than a haploid Col-0 genome. This could be due to an ongoing genome size reduction in the At7 cell culture. A subset of the At7 cells in the suspension culture is transferred to a new flask with fresh media on a weekly basis. Fast dividing cells have a higher chance of passing at least one daughter cell to the new flask. The result is a strong selection pressure for fast cell division. Although the replication of additional sequences is probably not costly in terms of evolution, the transcription and translation of dispensable genes was shown to be under negative selection in eukaryotes [36]. Artificial selection through humans is one of the strongest forces that can drive evolutionary changes [6,7,14]. The comparison of the genome sequences of *A. thaliana* and the close relative *A. lyrata* revealed randomly distributed small deletions as one major source for the genome size differences [37]. High numbers of large deletions between At7 and Col-0 indicate that the haploid genome size reduction is occurring at substantially higher speed and using different mechanisms.

3.4. Gene copy numbers

Since extremely large differences in the genome structure of At7 and Col-0 might not be revealed through variant detection tools, genes of the Col-0 genome sequence annotation Araport11 were classified based on their read mapping coverage (Figure 4, File S3 and Figure S3). About 85% of all genes display a coverage which was similar to the coverage of flanking genes. Therefore, it is likely that large genomic blocks and not just single genes were deleted or duplicated. This is in agreement with consistent coverage levels for large genomic blocks. Again, an average coverage of 50 fold indicates hemizygous regions, while multiples of this hemizygous coverage value indicate the presence of additional copies.

Figure 4: Gene copy numbers. Read coverage per gene was calculated as proxy for the copy number of the respective gene. Magenta lines indicate the central position of coverage valleys enclosed by peaks. Distances between these coverage valleys are not identical due to differences in peak height and resulting width differences. Absolute coverage values might be underestimated due to the removal of read pairs which appeared as the result of PCR duplicates.

3.5. Variant impact on genes

The impact of InDels on coding sequences was analyzed by comparing the length distributions of InDels inside protein encoding sequences with the InDel length distribution outside of these coding regions. InDel lengths which are divisible by three are enriched inside of coding sequences. Of all 28,213 analyzed InDels, only 856 are located within the 33.5 Mbp of protein encoding regions annotated in Araport11. This depletion of InDels inside of protein encoding regions indicates that at least residual selection against disruption of these sequences is still ongoing.

Assessment of the functional impact of small sequence variants revealed a high impact effect on a total of 2,189 genes (File S4). This high number can be explained by functional redundancy due to multiple alleles i.e. at least one allele is maintained in a functional state. In addition, many genes might be dispensable under stable, stress-free cell culture conditions. Therefore, the accumulation of disruptive variants or entire deletion is feasible. We restrained from GO enrichment analysis due to a functional high redundancy caused by the presence of multiple alleles for most genes.

3.6. *De novo* genome assembly

To reveal the sequences of large structural variants, we generated an independent *de novo* genome assembly of At7. The Canu assembler performed best in our hands. A set of 1.4 million ONT reads longer than 3 kb was assembled into 433 contigs representing 126.2 Mbp of the At7 nucleome (File S5, File S6, File S7). The N50 of 1.2 Mbp is substantially lower than other recent reports of *A. thaliana* genome assemblies [5,10,11]. We speculate that points with abrupt changes in coverage of the At7 genome deteriorated the assembly contiguity. Manual inspection of the borders of some hemizygot regions revealed two groups of reads, which support different assembly paths: one containing the hemizygous sequence and one continuing in a different genomic location. The observation of different groups of reads is in agreement with the high number of large structural variants, which are not supported by all reads, and several distinct coverage levels detected during the read mapping to the Col-0 reference sequence. However, the total assembly size exceeds the 119.8 Mbp of the Col-0 reference sequence thus rendering the accurate anchoring of contigs in some regions, e.g. close to the centromeres, unreliable.

3.7. Defect alleles show reduced transcript abundance

RNA-Seq analysis revealed a substantial difference in the abundance of native alleles and defective alleles. Isoforms with a destructive variant displayed a substantially reduced abundance (Figure 5, Mann-Whitney U-test p-value = 0). The genomic coverage of these variant positions displays a very similar pattern: Abundance of the reference allele is on average substantially higher than the coverage of the alternative alleles. This indicates that not the nonsense mediated decay pathway [38], but different copy numbers of the allele, are the main explanation for the difference in transcript abundance.

Figure 5: Allele specific transcript abundance at high impact variant positions. The number of RNA-Seq reads supporting the reference (Col-0) and alternative allele, respectively, were determined at high impact variant positions.

3.8. Organells in At7

Read mappings revealed that both plastome and chondrome are still detectable in At7
cells. Due to differences in the cultivation conditions and DNA extraction methods, a reliable
comparison of plastome coverage to nucleom coverage between At7 and plants is not feasible.
Although the loss of chloroplasts may seem likely in plant cells incubated in the dark and with
sugar supply through the cultivation media, several central biosynthetic pathways like *de novo*
synthesis of fatty acids [39,40] and isoprenoid biosynthesis [41] are located in the plastids [42].
This is probably the explanation why this organell is maintained in At7 cells. The coverage ratio
between chondrome and plastome is about 10 times higher than observed in native plants [5,43]
(Figure S4). The increased number of mitochondria could be due to the specific conditions At7
cells are exposed to. Previous reports described differences in organell numbers due to
development and environmental stresses [42].

Supplementary Materials:

File S1: Per chromosome histogram of At7 sequencing coverage of the Col-0 reference
sequence.

File S2: Small sequence variants between At7 and Col-0. Illumina short reads were mapped to
the Col-0 reference sequence via BWA MEM. Variant calling was performed with GATK.

Figure S1: Deletions and insertions between At7 and Col-0. ONT long reads were aligned to
the Col-0 reference sequence via minimap2 and variants were identified via SVIM.

Figure S2: Sequencing coverage of deletions between At7 and Col-0.

File S3: Classification of Araport11 genes into categories A-F based on At7 read mapping
coverage.

Figure S3: Genome-wide distribution of genes in different categories (File S3).

File S4: Selection of most severe variants based on a SnpEff prediction.

File S5: Summary of generated sequencing data.

File S6: *De novo* At7 assembly statistics.

File S7: *De novo* At7 assembly.

Figure S4: Difference in sequencing coverage depth of plastome and chondrome between At7
and the Arabidopsis thaliana accessions Col-0 and Nd-1.

Author contributions: BP, RS, JK, and BW designed the study. BP, CR, and PV performed
sequencing. BP analyzed the data and wrote the initial draft. All authors read and approved the
final manuscript version.

Funding: We acknowledge support for the Article Processing Charge by the Deutsche
Forschungsgemeinschaft and the Open Access Publication Fund of Bielefeld University.

Acknowledgements: We thank Daniela Holtgräwe and Hanna Marie Schilbert for great
support.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1.	The Arabidopsis Genome Initiative. Analysis of the genome sequence of the flowering plant *Arabidopsis thaliana. Nature* **2000**, *408*, 796-815.

2.	Schneeberger, K.; Ossowski, S.; Ott, F.; Klein, J.D.; Wang, X.; Lanz, C.; Smith, L.M.; Cao, J.; Fitz, J.; Warthmann, N., et al. Reference-guided assembly of four diverse Arabidopsis thaliana genomes. *Proceedings of the National Academie of Sciences of the United States of America* **2011**, *108*, 10249-10254.

3.	Consortium, T.G. 1,135 Genomes Reveal the Global Pattern of Polymorphism in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Cell* **2016**, *166*, 481-491.

4.	Durvasula, A.; Fulgione, A.; Gutaker, R.M.; Alacakaptan, S.I.; Flood, P.J.; Neto, C.; Tsuchimatsu, T.; Burbano, H.A.; Picó, F.X.; Alonso-Blanco, C., et al. African genomes illuminate the early history and transition to selfing in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **2017**, *114*, 5213-5218.

5.	Pucker, B.; Holtgräwe, D.; Stadermann, K.B.; Frey, K.; Huettel, B.; Reinhardt, R.; Weisshaar, B. A chromosome-level sequence assembly reveals the structure of the Arabidopsis thaliana Nd-1 genome and its gene set. *PLoS One* **2019**, *14*, e0216233.

6.	Ossowski, S.; Schneeberger, K.; Clark, R.; Lanz, C.; Warthmann, N.; Weigel, D. Sequencing of natural strains of Arabidopsis thaliana with short reads. *Genome Research* **2008**, *18*, 2024–2033.

7.	Cao, J.; Schneeberger, K.; Ossowski, S.; Günther, T.; Bender, S.; Fitz, J.; Koenig, D.; Lanz, C.; Stegle, O.; Lippert, C., et al. Whole-genome sequencing of multiple Arabidopsis thaliana populations. *Nature Genetics* **2011**, *43*, 956-963.

8.	Long, Q.; Rabanal, F.A.; Meng, D.; Huber, C.D.; Farlow, A.; Platzer, A.; Zhang, Q.; Vilhjálmsson, B.J.; Korte, A.; Nizhynska, V., et al. Massive genomic variation and strong selection in Arabidopsis thaliana lines from Sweden. *Nature Genetics* **2013**, *45*, 884-890.

9.	Pucker, B.; Holtgräwe, D.; Rosleff Sörensen, T.; Stracke, R.; Viehöver, P.; Weisshaar, B. A De Novo Genome Sequence Assembly of the Arabidopsis thaliana Accession Niederzenz-1 Displays Presence/Absence Variation and Strong Synteny. *PLoS ONE* **2016**, *11*, e0164321.

10.	Zapata, L.; Ding, J.; Willing, E.M.; Hartwig, B.; Bezdan, D.; Jiao, W.B.; Patel, V.; Velikkakam James, G.; Koornneef, M.; Ossowski, S., et al. Chromosome-level assembly of Arabidopsis thaliana Ler reveals the extent of translocation and inversion polymorphisms. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **2016**, *113*, 4052-4060.

11.	Michael, T.P.; Jupe, F.; Bemm, F.; Motley, S.T.; Sandoval, J.P.; Lanz, C.; Loudet, O.; Weigel, D.; Ecker, J.R. High contiguity Arabidopsis thaliana genome assembly with a single nanopore flow cell. *Nature Communications* **2018**, *9*, 541.

12.	Debladis, E.; Llauro, C.; Carpentier, M.C.; Mirouze, M.; Panaud, O. Detection of active transposable elements in Arabidopsis thaliana using Oxford Nanopore Sequencing technology. *BMC Genomics* **2017**, *18*, 537.

13.	Ossowski, S.; Schneeberger, K.; Lucas-Lledó, J.I.; Warthmann, N.; Clark, R.M.; Shaw, R.G.; Weigel, D.; Lynch, M. The rate and molecular spectrum of spontaneous mutations in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Science* **2010**, *327*, 92-94.

14.	Exposito-Alonso, M.; Becker, C.; Schuenemann, V.J.; Reiter, E.; Setzer, C.; Slovak, R.; Brachi, B.; Hagmann, J.; Grimm, D.G.; Chen, J., et al. The rate and potential relevance of new mutations in a colonizing plant lineage. *PLoS Genetics* **2018**, *14*, e1007155.

15.	Dangl, J.L.; Hauffe, K.-D.; Lipphardt, S.; Hahlbrock, K.; Scheel, D. Parsley protoplasts retain differential responsiveness to UV light and fungal elicitor. *The EMBO Journal* **1987**, *6*, 2551-2556.

16. Hartmann, U.; Valentine, W.J.; Christie, J.M.; Hays, J.; Jenkins, G.I.; Weisshaar, B. Identification of UV/blue light-response elements in the *Arabidopsis thaliana* chalcone synthase promoter using a homologous protoplast transient expression system. *Plant Molecular Biology* **1998**, *36*, 741-754.

17. Baudry, A.; Heim, M.A.; Dubreucq, B.; Caboche, M.; Weisshaar, B.; Lepiniec, L. TT2, TT8, and TTG1 synergistically specify the expression of *BANYULS* and proanthocyanidin biosynthesis in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *The Plant Journal* **2004**, *39*, 366-380.

18. Trezzini, G.F.; Horrichs, A.; Somssich, I.E. Isolation of putative defense-related genes from *Arabidopsis thaliana* and expression in fungal elicitor-treated cells. *Plant Molecular Biology* **1993**, *21*, 385-389.

19. Stracke, R.; Thiedig, K.; Kuhlmann, M.; Weisshaar, B. Analyzing synthetic promoters using Arabidopsis protoplasts. In *Methods in Molecular Biology: Plant Synthetic Promoters*, 2016/08/26 ed.; Hehl, R., Ed. Springer: New York, 2016; Vol. 1482, pp. 67-81.

20. Rosso, M.G.; Li, Y.; Strizhov, N.; Reiss, B.; Dekker, K.; Weisshaar, B. An *Arabidopsis thaliana* T-DNA mutagenised population (GABI-Kat) for flanking sequence tag based reverse genetics. *Plant Molecular Biology* **2003**, *53*, 247-259.

21. Koren, S.; Walenz, B.P.; Berlin, K.; Miller, J.R.; Bergman, N.H.; Phillippy, A.M. Canu: scalable and accurate long-read assembly via adaptive k-mer weighting and repeat separation. *Genome Research* **2017**, *27*, 722-736.

22. Li, H. Minimap and miniasm: fast mapping and de novo assembly for noisy long sequences. *Bioinformatics* **2016**, *32*, 2103-2110.

23. Kolmogorov, M.; Yuan, J.; Lin, Y.R.; Pevzner, P.A. Assembly of Long Error-Prone Reads Using Repeat Graphs. *Bature Biotechnology* **2019**, *37*, 540-546.

24. Loman, N.J.; Quick, J.; Simpson, J.T. A complete bacterial genome assembled de novo using only nanopore sequencing data. *Nature Methods* **2015**, *12*, 733-735.

25. Li, H. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM. *Oxford University Press* **2013**, 1-3.

26. Walker, B.J.; Abeel, T.; Shea, T.; Priest, M.; Abouelliel, A.; Sakthikumar, S.; Cuomo, C.A.; Zeng, Q.; Wortman, J.; Young, S.K., et al. Pilon: an integrated tool for comprehensive microbial variant detection and genome assembly improvement. *PLoS ONE* **2014**, *9*, e112963.

27. McKenna, A.; Hanna, M.; Banks, E.; Sivachenko, A.; Cibulskis, K.; Kernytsky, A.; Garimella, K.; Altshuler, D.; Gabriel, S.; Daly, M., et al. The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome Research* **2010**, *20*, 1297-1303.

28. Baasner, J.S.; Howard, D.; Pucker, B. Influence of neighboring small sequence variants on functional impact prediction. *bioRxiv* **2019**, http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/596718, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/596718.

29. Cingolani, P.; Platts, A.; Wang le, L.; Coon, M.; Nguyen, T.; Wang, L.; Land, S.J.; Lu, X.; Ruden, D.M. A program for annotating and predicting the effects of single nucleotide polymorphisms, SnpEff: SNPs in the genome of Drosophila melanogaster strain w1118; iso-2; iso-3. *Fly (Austin)* **2012**, *6*, 80-92.

30. Heller, D.; Vingron, M. SVIM: Structural Variant Identification using Mapped Long Reads. *Bioinformatics* **2019**, btz041.

31. Dobin, A.; Davis, C.A.; Schlesinger, F.; Drenkow, J.; Zaleski, C.; Jha, S.; Batut, P.; Chaisson, M.; Gingeras, T.R. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* **2013**, *29*, 15-21.

32. Haak, M.; Vinke, S.; Keller, W.; Droste, J.; Rückert, C.; Kalinowski, J.; Pucker, B. High Quality de Novo Transcriptome Assembly of Croton tiglium. *Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences* **2018**, *5*, 62.

33.   Li, H.; Handsaker, B.; Wysoker, A.; Fennell, T.; Ruan, J.; Homer, N.; Marth, G.;
Abecasis, G.; Durbin, R. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics*
2009, *25*, 2078-2079, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352.
34.   Quinlan, A.R.; Hall, I.M. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic
features. *Bioinformatics* **2010**, *26*, 841-842.
35.   Kawakatsu, T.; Huang, S.S.; Jupe, F.; Sasaki, E.; Schmitz, R.J.; Urich, M.A.; Castanon,
R.; Nery, J.R.; Barragan, C.; He, Y., et al. Epigenomic Diversity in a Global Collection of
Arabidopsis thaliana Accessions. *Cell* **2016**, *166*, 492-505.
36.   Lynch, M.; Marinov, G.K. The bioenergetic costs of a gene. *Proceedings of the National*
Academy of Sciences of the United Stated of America **2015**, *112*, 15690-15695.
37.   Hu, T.T.; Pattyn, P.; Bakker, E.G.; Cao, J.; Cheng, J.F.; Clark, R.M.; Fahlgren, N.;
Fawcett, J.A.; Grimwood, J.; Gundlach, H., et al. The Arabidopsis lyrata genome sequence and
the basis of rapid genome size change. *Nature Genetics* **2011**, *43*, 476-481.
38.   Hug, N.; Longman, D.; Cáceres, J.F. Mechanism and regulation of the nonsense-
mediated decay pathway. *Nucleic acids research* **2016**, *44*, 1483-1495.
39.   Ohlrogge, J.B.; Kuhn, D.N.; Stumpf, P.K. Subcellular localization of acyl carrier protein in
leaf protoplasts of Spinacia oleracea. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the*
United States of America **1979**, *76*, 1194-1198.
40.   Rawsthorne, S. Carbon flux and fatty acid synthesis in plants. *Progress in Lipid*
Research **2002**, *41*, 182-196.
41.   Lichtenthaler, H.K.; Schwender, J.; Disch, A.; Rohmer, M. Biosynthesis of isoprenoids in
higher plant chloroplasts proceeds via a mevalonate-independent pathway. *FEBS Letters* **1997**,
400, 271-274.
42.   Cole, L.W. The Evolution of Per-cell Organelle Number. *Frontiers in Cell and*
Developmental Biology **2016**, *4*.
43.   Kleinboelting, N.; Huep, G.; Appelhagen, I.; Viehoever, P.; Li, Y.; Weisshaar, B. The
Structural Features of Thousands of T-DNA Insertion Sites Are Consistent with a Double-Strand
Break Repair-Based Insertion Mechanism. *Molecular Plant* **2015**, *8*, 1651-1664.