Seagrass mediates microalgal community structure at a distance

Emily Jacobs-Palmer[1], Ramón Gallego[1,2], Ana Ramón-Laca[2,3], Emily Kunselman[4], Kelly Cribari[1], Micah Horwith[4], Ryan Kelly[1].

1. University of Washington School of Marine and Environmental Affairs, Seattle, WA.
2. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Northwest Fisheries Science Center, Seattle, WA.
3. Ocean Associates, Inc., Arlington, VA.
4. Washington State Department of Natural Resources, Olympia, WA.

Abstract

Seagrass beds provide a variety of ecosystem services, some of which accrue outside the bounds of the habitat itself. Here we use environmental DNA (eDNA) amplicons to analyze the temporal and spatial effect of eelgrass (*Zostera marina*) on the immediately surrounding ecological community. Sampling seawater along transects extending outward from eelgrass beds, we demonstrate that eDNA provides meter-scale resolution of communities in the field. We evaluate eDNA abundance indices for twelve major phylogenetic groups of marine and estuarine taxa along these transects, finding highly local changes linked with proximity to *Z. marina* for a diverse group of dinoflagellates. Eelgrass habitat consistently and dramatically limits dinoflagellate abundance both within the beds and for at least fifteen meters outside. Because

20   many dinoflagellates are capable of forming Harmful Algal Blooms (HABs) toxic to humans and

21   other animal species, the salutory effect of eelgrass habitat on neighboring waters has important

22   implications for public health as well as shellfish aquaculture and harvesting.

Introduction

Seagrass species throughout the world's oceans are ecosystem engineers (Jones, Lawton &

Shachak, 1994), generating and sustaining habitat for a multitude of associated taxa (Duffy,

2006). Additionally, these marine macrophytes provide a wide variety of essential ecosystem

services that directly benefit humans, such as temporary carbon sequestration (Fourqurean et al.,

2012), nursery habitat for human food species (Heck Jr, Hays & Orth, 2003), and coastal

protection through sediment accretion and stabilization (Potouroglou et al., 2017; reviewed in

Nordlund et al., 2016). Some benefits, such as reduced exposure to pathogens, have been shown

to accrue to organisms and ecosystems even outside of seagrass habitat boundaries (e.g. Lamb et

al., 2017).

Eelgrass (*Zostera marina*) is the dominant seagrass along temperate coasts of the Northern

hemisphere (Short et al., 2007). Recent worldwide declines in this species and other seagrass

taxa are alarming (Orth et al., 2006; but see Shelton et al., 2017), and have been met with local

protection measures in some cases, such as designation of seagrass as a 'Habitat Area of

Particular Concern' (see NOAA Fisheries), as well as a Puget Sound 'Vital Sign' indicator

species (Puget Sound Partnership) and the target of 'no net loss' policies (NOAA Fisheries,

2014). Frequently, a tradeoff between eelgrass conservation and aquaculture is presumed when

such conservation efforts compete with shellfish seeding grounds (Hosack et al., 2006).

However, commercially important species such as oysters are in fact often proximally associated

with *Z. marina* beds in the wild; they may thus depend on services provided by the habitat, and

vice versa (for example, see Groner et al., 2018).

To examine how *Z. marina* modifies the biological community existing both within and

immediately surrounding the habitat itself, we use environmental DNA (eDNA) from water

samples to survey the presence and relative abundance of organisms on a series of alongshore

transects in the coastal or estuarine waters of Washington State. Each transect extends from

within eelgrass beds to bare substrate and was sampled at three timepoints during the late spring

and summer. By a large margin, we find that dinoflagellates are the group most affected by *Z.*

marina; spatial proximity to eelgrass habitat is associated with a taxonomically widespread

decrease in dinoflagellate abundance for meters outside the borders of the beds. These results

extend previous evidence for an allelopathy of *Z. marina* (and/or associated taxa) towards

particular harmful algal bloom (HAB) species that cause paralytic or diarrhetic shellfish

poisoning (e.g. Inaba et al., 2017), by demonstrating an effect of eelgrass communities on

dinoflagellates in a 'halo' of influence surrounding the habitat. In the region of study, toxigenic

dinoflagellate distributions have expanded over time, and are associated with an increase in the

number of shellfish harvesting closures (Trainer et al., 2003; Moore et al., 2009). Far-reaching

effects of eelgrass communities on HAB-producing taxa could therefore strengthen connections

between seagrass habitat and human health, particularly in native communities with elevated

rates of shellfish consumption (Washinton State Department of Ecology, 2013). Thus, our

findings may carry potentially critical ramifications for management of both seagrass and

shellfish in the many regions of the world where the two coincide.

Methods

Environmental DNA sample collection

Environmental DNA sequenced with a single genetic locus provides an assay of community composition consisting of many taxa. The design of the particular PCR primers used largely determines the taxonomic composition, but it is not uncommon to sequence hundreds of taxa from dozens of phyla in a given sampling effort. Here, we targeted a ca. 313 bp fragment of COI using a primer set (Leray et al., 2013) known to amplify a broad range of marine taxa including diatoms, dinoflagellates, metazoans, fungi, and others; this primer set is broadly used in ecological applications (e.g. Leray & Knowlton, 2015; Gibson et al., 2014).

To determine the biological community composition within *Z. marina* beds and the surrounding habitat from eDNA, we sampled seawater from five sites in Puget Sound: Port Gamble, Case Inlet, Nisqually Reach, Skokomish, and Willapa Bay (Figure 1). We surveyed each location at three timepoints during the summer season, in May, July, and August of 2017. Specifically, we collected a 1 liter bottle of seawater immediately under the water surface from the approximate center of the beds ("eelgrass"), from each point in a transect extending alongshore at 1, 3, 6, 10, and 15m from the edge of the beds, and from a final location from which seagrass was absent ("bare") between 16 and 670m from the beds (the edge of each bed was defined as the point at which shoot density fell below 3 shoots/m^2; see Table S1 for precise transect locations by site). Due to local geography and conditions, it was not always possible to gather all transect samples during each sampling event; a comprehensive list of samples gathered is given in Table S1. We kept samples on ice until processing by filtering 500mL from each sample under vacuum pressure through a cellulose acetate filter with 47 mm diameter and 0.45 um pore size and stored

85   the filter at room temperature in Longmire's buffer (Renshaw et al., 2015).The final dataset

86   consisted of 84 water samples.

88   *Figure 1: Nearshore sampling locations in Puget Sound and outer coast, Washington, USA. GPS*

89   *coordinates are given in Supplemental Table 1.*

Extraction and amplification

To extract DNA from the sample filters, we used a phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol protocol

(Renshaw et al., 2015), resuspended the eluate in 200 uL water, and used 1 uL of diluted DNA

extract (between 1:10 and 1:400) as template for PCR. To survey the eukaryotic organisms

present in our samples, PCR reactions from each of the 84 biological samples were run and

sequenced in triplicate to distinguish technical from biological variance. To sequence many

samples and their replicates in a single run while avoiding amplification bias due to index

sequence, we followed a two-step PCR protocol (O'Donnell et al., 2016). In the first step, we

used a PCR reaction containing 1X HotStar Buffer, 2.5 mM MgCl2, 0.5 mM dNTP, 0.3 µM of

each primer and 0.5 units of HotStar Taq (Qiagen Corp., Valencia, CA, USA) per 20 µL

reaction. The PCR protocol for this step consisted of 40 cycles, including an annealing

touchdown from 62 ◦C to 46 ◦C (-1 ◦C per cycle), followed by 25 cycles at 46 ◦C. In the second

step, we used a similar PCR reaction, but substituted primers with extra 5' 6-base pair tags to

index samples, and a similar but shorter protocol with only 10 cycles at 46 ◦C. Finally, we

generated amplicons with the same replication scheme for both positive (kangaroo (genus

Macropus) or ostrich (genus *Struthio*) tissue, selected because these species are absent from the

sampling sites, and thus we could identify cross-contamination using reads from these taxa) and

negative controls (molecular grade water), and verified by gel electrophoresis that negative

controls contained no appreciable amount of DNA.

Sequencing

To prepare libraries of replicated, indexed samples and positive controls, we followed

manufacturers' protocols (KAPA Biosystems, Wilmington, MA, USA; NEXTflex DNA

barcodes; BIOO Scientific, Austin, TX, USA). We then performed sequencing on an Illumina

MiSeq (250-300 bp, paired-end) platform in four different sets of samples: two MiSeq V.2 runs

and two MiSeq V.3 runs. We processed each batch separately through the initial bioinformatics

analysis (see below). We employed hierarchical clustering on transects containing six PCR

replicates sequenced across two different runs (three technical replicates per run derived from the

same sampled bottle of water) and found that these samples were each others' nearest neighbors

(Figure S1)); thus sequencing-run-level effects were negligible and we combined the data from

the four sequencing runs.

Bioinformatics

We followed updated versions of previously published procedures for bioinformatics, quality-

control, and decontamination (Kelly, Gallego & Jacobs-Palmer, 2018). This protocol uses a

custom Unix-based script (Gallego) calling third-party programs to perform initial Quality

Control (QC) on sequence reads from all four runs combined, demultiplexing sequences to their

sample of origin and clustering of unique variants into Sequence Variants (ASVs) (Martin, 2011;

Callahan et al., 2016). The output is a dataset including counts of each ASV per PCR replicate;

~28M sequence reads from 19370 ASVs emerged from this step. To address possible cross-

sample contamination (see Schnell, Bohmann & Gilbert, 2015), we subtracted the maximum

proportional representation of each ASV across all control samples (sequenced from extraction

of kangaroo or ostrich tissue) from the respective ASV in field samples; 27M reads from 19320

ASVs passed this step. After removing the two PCR replicates with an extremely low number of

reads, we estimated the probability of ASV occurrence by performing site-occupancy modeling

using multiple PCR replicates from each environmental sample as independent draws from a

common binomial distribution, and discarded ASVs with <0.8 estimated probability; 25M reads

from 3143 ASVs survived this step (Royle & Link, 2006; Lahoz-Monfort, Guillera-Arroita &

Tingley, 2015). Lastly, we removed samples whose PCR replicates were highly dissimilar: we

calculated the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity amongst PCR replicates from the same bottle of water

and discarded those with distance to the sample centroid outside a 95% Confidence Interval. Of

84 bottles of water collected, 3 technical replicates survived QC in 72 cases (86%), 2 replicates

in 9 cases (11%), 1 replicate in 2 cases (2%), and zero replicates in a single case (1%) (Table

S1). The final dataset of 24M reads from 3142 ASVs comprised 83% of the original sequence

reads.

All bioinformatic and analytical code is included in this manuscript, and provides the details of

parameter settings in the bioinformatics pipelines used. Sequence and annotation information are

included as well, and the former are deposited and publicly available in GenBank (upon

acceptance).

Taxonomy

To assign taxonomy to each ASV sequence, we followed the protocol detailed in Kelly, Gallego

& Jacobs-Palmer (2018). Briefly, this protocol uses blastn (Camacho et al., 2009) on a local

version of the full NCBI nucleotide database (current as of February 13, 2019), recovering up to

100 hits per query sequence with at least 85% similarity and maximum e-values of 10^{-30}

(culling limit = 5), and reconciling conflicts among matches using the last common ancestor

approach implemented in MEGAN 6.4 (Huson et al., 2016). Within MEGAN, we imposed an

additional more stringent round of quality-control to ensure sufficient similarity between query

and database sequences by requiring a bit score of at least 450 (ca. 90% identical over the entire

313bp fragment). Of the 24M total reads in our dataset, we were able to annotate 4.1m to the

level of phylum or lower; the majority of the remaining reads had no BLAST hits meeting our

criteria (7.6M) or else did not receive taxonomic assignment due to insufficient similarity or

conflicting BLAST hits (12.1M). We use the annotated sequences in our taxonomic analyses

below .

Because dinoflagellates had different ecological patterns than other taxa (see Results), we further

refined our annotations for these ASVs. For sequence variants both a) assigned to a taxon within

Dinoflagellata, and b) having more than a trivial number of reads in the dataset (> 1000), we

considered the geographic range of taxa involved (restricting possible annotations to those taxa

known from the North Pacific) and assigning taxonomy conservatively only in cases of >97%

sequence identity between the subject and query sequence. Three distinct dinoflagellate

sequences with identical amino-acid translations from the genus *Heterocapsa* co-occurred in

time and space; to avoid pseudoreplication, we treated these as a single taxonomic unit (this

choice did not affect the trends or significance of results). A phylogeny built of the eleven

remaining dinoflagellate sequences (Figure S4) confirmed that family- and genus-level

taxonomic groups occupied monophyletic clades (Li et al., 2015).

Statistical Analysis

Community Composition

To confirm the spatial resolution of our eDNA communities, we used non-metric

multidimensional scaling (nMDS) ordination of eDNA indices for all ASVs within each

technical replicate (Port et al., 2016). To derive this index, we first normalized taxon-specific

ASV counts into proportions within a technical replicate, and then transformed the proportion

values such that the maximum across all samples is scaled to 1 for each taxon. Such indexing

improves our ability to track trends in abundance of individual taxa in time and space by

correcting for both differences in read depth among samples and differences in amplification

efficiency among sequences; mathematically, it is equivalent to the Wisconsin double-

standardization for community ecology as implemented in vegan (Oksanen et al., 2013). Using

this index, we generated a single Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix for sequenced transect samples

from each unique site/month combination and performed ordinations for each using the

metaMDS function of the vegan package for R (Oksanen et al., 2013; R Core Team, 2016) using

a maximum of one-thousand random starts. We then created a single Bray-Curtis dissimilarity

matrix for our entire dataset and apportioned variance by site, month, transect distance, and

sample on the communities present using a PERMANOVA test (implemented with the adonis

function (Oksanen et al., 2013).

Habitat preference

To examine the abundance of sequences from each phylum in eelgrass habitat relative to bare

substrate, we first assigned taxonomy to ASVs and trimmed our dataset to taxa within phyla

represented by a total of at least 10,000 reads, a natural break in the histogram of read counts

(Figure S2). To visualize the ecological patterns across taxa, we then examined eDNA indices

for each phylum at the two transect extremes (within-eelgrass vs. bare), calculating a relative

eDNA abundance measure by subtracting the mean eDNA abundance index over bare substrate

for each site-month combination from the corresponding mean eDNA abundance index in the

eelgrass habitat. Positive values of this measure thus denote higher abundance in eelgrass, while

negative values of this index indicate higher abundance over bare substrate. To assess the

statistical significance of these phylum-level differences between habitat types, we compared the

distributions of mean eDNA abundance indices for individual phyla in samples taken from

eelgrass relative to their counterparts taken over bare substrate, using a paired Wilcoxon signed

rank test with Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons.

Dinoflagellate transect patterns

To examine dinoflagellate abundance patterns along the transects from eelgrass to bare habitat,

we first assigned taxonomy to family (or genus, when possible) for all ASVs with at least 1000

reads from the phylum Dinoflagellata. We chose to consider only dinoflagellates with high read

counts in our dataset not necessarily because they are the most prevalent in the environment (raw

read counts are biased by differences in amplification efficiency), but because substantial

numbers of reads allow us to draw more robust conclusions about the distribution of taxa at the

fine geographic scale of our transects. We again calculated an eDNA abundance index for all

technical replicates of each available biological sample on the alongshore transects between the

two habitat extremes. Because plotting data for individual taxa across transects for each site-

month revealed extremely episodic abundance of dinoflagellate sequences (Figure S3), we used

the k-means function of the R stats package (Team & Worldwide, 2015) to separate high- and

low-abundance transects across all dinoflagellate taxa with unsupervised machine learning

(Figure S5). Specifically, we took the grand mean of taxon-specific eDNA indices for each

technical replicate along transects at a given time and place, and subjected these values to

clustering with two groups (k = 2).

Eight transects identified by unsupervised clustering indicate high-abundance events within at

least one taxon. For these focal transects, we first compared the eelgrass and bare habitat using a

paired Wilcoxon signed-rank test of mean eDNA abundance index for each dinoflagellate taxon

(here, having identified sequences to the level of family or genus, rather than grouping

dinoflagellates together, as we have done above) . Next, to determine whether dinoflagellate

abundance measures at intermediate alongshore transect samples (1, 3, 6, 10, and 15 meters)

were more closely associated with eelgrass or bare habitat, we additionally performed Gaussian

mixture modelling with two groups (Scrucca et al., 2016). We then used a Wilcoxon rank sum

test to assess the significance of differences in the dinoflagellate eDNA abundance index

distribution in the two groups produced by model-based clustering. To ensure that these groups

did not result simply from spatial autocorrelation, we calculated Bray-Curtis dissimilarity based

on eDNA abundance indices of all ASVs from adjacent points on each full transect. We tested

the null hypothesis that spatial distance does not significantly influence Bray-Curtis dissimilarity

using a Kruskall-Wallace test.

Results

Community Composition

We assigned over 3,000 unique ASVs to 12 phyla comprising a diverse set of single- and

multicellular taxa including Arthropoda (arthropods), Annelida (annelid worms), Bacillariophyta

(diatoms), Bacteriodetes (division of gram-negative, rod-shaped bacteria), Chlorophyta (green

algae), Chordata (chordates), Cnidaria (cnidarians), Dinoflagellata (dinoflagellates),

Echinodermata (echinoderms), Mollusca (molluscs), Ocrophyta (brown algae), and Rhodophyta

(red algae). This represents a broad – although by no means comprehensive – survey of

eukaryotic communities in and around our sampled eelgrass beds.

nMDS ordination revealed consistent differentiation between eDNA communities across

transects within a sampling site and date; technical replicates consistently clustered together. An

example plot of samples gathered along the transect from eelgrass to bare substrate at Willapa

Bay in July (Figure 2; all site/date plots shown in Figure S7) shows that the eelgrass community

is quite dissimilar from other transect points along both axes. Moving away from eelgrass, all

three technical replicates of each sample bottle are fully distinguishable from those of other

sample bottles (non-overlapping in ordination). For the instances in which complete transects

were sampled at a given time and place (10) and all three technical replicates of a sample were

available for analysis (60), 47 samples (78%) were similarly non-overlapping in ordination with

all remaining transect points, demonstrating that despite proximity at the scale of meters, bottles

of water contained eDNA evidence of distinct biological communities the majority of the time.

Put differently, within-sample variance (reflecting laboratory-driven processes) was smaller than

between-sample variance (reflecting biological as well as laboratory processes), hence providing

resolution of communities at the scale of meters.

Figure 2: Example ordination plot of samples along a single transect from bare to eelgrass

positions at Willapa Bay in July, 2017. Technical replicates of each biological sample are

grouped as triangles. White alongshore transect samples are labeled with distance from eelgrass

in meters; the single within-bed sample is green (labeled Eg) and the bare sample is brown (Ba).

PERMANOVA apportioned the variance in Bray-Curtis distance among samples as follows: site

(R2 = 0.18593, p = 0.001), month (R2 = 0.07909, p = 0.001), and transect distance (R2 =

0.02625, p = 0.001) each explain a significant portion of the variance in the dataset. Thus,

despite strong effects of geographic location and season, we do see a highly significant effect of

proximity to eelgrass on the complement of organisms present. Moreover, these results confirm

267   that we can consistently distinguish nearshore eDNA communities – as sampled by our primers –

268   at spatial scales of meters.

Habitat Preference

To determine the habitat preference of major taxa in our dataset at a course spatial scale, we

classified ASVs to the level of phylum and plotted an index of their relative sequence abundance

in eelgrass versus bare positions (Figure 3). Positive indices denote greater abundance in

eelgrass, and negative indices in bare substrate. Across all sites and months, only dinoflagellates

show a consistent and strong bias towards one habitat or another; they are nearly universally

more abundant in bare habitat. Indeed, the negative association of dinoflagellates with eelgrass

beds is the only significant result of tests of phylum abundance in the two habitat extremes after

Bon Ferroni correction for multiple comparisons (a = 0.0042, p = 0.004; paired Wilcoxon signed

rank test). Other single-celled microalgae such as diatoms (Bacillariophyta) and green algae

(Chlorophyta) do not show these same patterns of distribution with respect to eelgrass.

Figure 3: Habitat preferences of sequences within each phylum. Phyla are ordered and colored

by mean relative abundance index (eDNA abundance index in eelgrass - eDNA abundance index

over bare substrate). Greener samples on the left exhibit greater relative abundance in eelgrass,

and browner samples on the right exhibit greater relative abundance on bare substrate.The

central zero-line indicates no bias in abundance between habitat types.

Dinoflagellate Distributions

To assess the patterns of dinoflagellate abundance that contribute to an overall preference for

habitat bare of eelgrass, we first honed our focus to the eleven dinoflagellate ASVs - roughly,

species - with more than 1000 reads in our dataset (Table 2). eDNA indices suggest that

dinoflagellate distributions are highly local and episodic at the scale of our sampling (Figure S3);

each dinoflagellate taxon appears at appreciable levels at only a single site and in no more than

two consecutive sampling periods in our dataset. It is when dinoflagellates are plentiful relative

to background levels that we have the power to identify trends in the abundance of individual

taxa with respect to eelgrass habitat. To restrict our analysis to such periods, we used

unsupervised machine learning (k-means clustering) to define a set of high- and low-abundance

transects for each dinoflagellate sequence across all sites and months (Figure S5; between group

sum of squares / total sum of squares = 81.1 %); eight transects from seven dinoflagellate taxa

appeared in the high-abundance group.

Table 1: Taxon (given as Family (Genus)) and total sequence read count for each dinoflagellate

ASV with >1000 total sequence reads.

Taxon	Count
Gonyaulacaceae (Alexandrium)	47010
Heterocapsaceae (Heterocapsa 1)	29912
Gonyaulacaceae (Protoceratium)	11948
Gymnodiniaceae (Nusuttodinium)	8096
Heterocapsaceae (Heterocapsa 2)	7382
Gonyaulacaceae (unknown)	3897
Kareniaceae (Karlodinium)	3515
Gymnodiniaceae (Gymnodinium)	3249

Kareniaceae (unknown)	2521
Syndiniaceae (Hematodinium)	1401
Peridiniales (Protoperidinium)	1278

In this subset of high-abundance transects, we observe that the negative interaction of eelgrass

and dinoflagellates is taxonomically universal; all sequences (from families Gonyalacaceae,

Heterocapsaceae, and Kareniaceae, each of which include known or suspected HAB species (

IOC Harmful Algal Bloom Programme and the World Register of Marine Species)) are heavily

biased towards bare substrate, relative to eelgrass (Figure 4). A comparison of the mean eDNA

abundance index across technical replicates for each high-abundance transect taxon demonstrates

that this preference for bare substrate over eelgrass is significant (Wilcoxon signed-rank test, $p <$

0.008).

Figure 4: Habitat preferences of dinoflagellate sequences at site-months in which each taxon

occurs at high abundance.

After demonstrating a preference of all dinoflagellate taxa towards the bare habitat extreme

(when highly abundant), we then characterized the possible influence of eelgrass on the

immediately surrounding environment, as a function of distance from the edge of the beds, using

data from entire transects (Figure 5). Examining all points alongshore, we found that

dinoflagellate eDNA abundance indices at the 1, 3, 6, 10, and 15m positions grouped with those

at the eelgrass position in model-based clustering (probability of assignment to the group with

eelgrass samples was in each case at least 10^{34} more likely than probability of assignment to

the group with bare samples). Additionally, the eDNA abundance index of all high-abundance

dinoflagellate taxa at these six transect points together differed significantly from bare substrate

(Wilcoxon signed rank test, $p < 0.02$). These patterns are not simply due to spatial

autocorrelation, as overall Bray-Curtis dissimilarity (from all ASVs) shows no pattern associated

with geographic distance across full transects (Figure S6; Kruskall-Wallis rank sum test, $p >$

0.9).

Figure 5: Dinoflagellate eDNA abundance measures plotted for all sites and months combined

at each point along the transect from eelgrass to bare substrate, with median shown.

Discussion

In a broad-spectrum eDNA survey of the organisms living in and near to eelgrass, we track the relative abundance of a diverse group of taxa representing twelve phyla. We demonstrate the ability of eDNA to distinguish communities represented in samples taken only meters apart, and to reveal a significant axis of variance based on proximity to habitat type, despite strong influences of geography and season across sampling events. One major and significant pattern emerges in our analysis: highly-abundant dinoflagellate taxa are more common over bare substrate than within eelgrass beds, and the putative effect of eelgrass extends at least 15m beyond the edge of the beds themselves.

In line with our community-level observation, a specific allelopathy against microalgal species by *Z. marina* was first described over 30 years ago (Harrison & Durance, 1985). More recent evidence suggests that this negative interaction applies to multiple HAB taxa (including *Alexandrium*, also observed in this study), and is mediated in our sampling locations by a variety of strains of eelgrass-associated algicidal and growth-inhibiting bacteria, particularly from Erythrobacter, Teredinibacter, Gaetbulibacter, and Arthrobacter genera (Inaba et al., 2017) (though the eDNA primers employed here amplify eukaryotes almost exclusively and therefore do not allow us to test this mechanism directly). However, in our dataset the repressive effect of eelgrass notably does not extend at the phylum level to other phytoplankton such as diatoms (*Bacillariophyta*) and green algae (*Chlorophyta*), despite reports that *Z. marina* habitat can deter members of these taxa as well (reviewed in Gross, 2003).

Dinoflagellates responsive to eelgrass habitat when at high abundance in our dataset include species from the genera *Heterocapsa*, *Alexandrium*, *Karlodinium*, *Protoceratium*, and from

families *Gonyaulacaceae* and *Kareniaceae*, each of which have at least one member included in

local microscopy-based monitoring programs (Amelia Kolb & Swanson, 2016; Vera Trainer,

2016); our eDNA methodology thus agrees broadly with previous visual identification of

microalgae. Of particular interest are dinoflagellate taxa that include HAB-forming members: the

resident species of *Alexandrium* (*A. catanella*) causes paralytic shellfish poisoning via

production of saxitoxin (STX; Wiese et al., 2010), and species from both the genus

Protoceratium (e.g. *P. reticulatum*) and the family *Gonyaulacaceae* (e.g. *Gonyaulax spinifera*)

produce yessotoxins (YTXs), whose effects on human consumers of contaminated shellfish are

complex and unclear (reviewed in Tubaro et al., 2010). Toxins from these three taxa impact the

aquaculture and harvest industries directly; detection of STX at concentrations greater than 80 μg

STXequiv/100 g is routinely responsible for regional harvest closures (Moore et al., 2009), and

shellfish containing more than 0.1 μg YTX equiv/100g may not be sold to markets within the

European Union, although this toxin is not currently regulated within the US (Trainer et al.,

2013). In summary, the dinoflagellate taxa deterred by eelgrass habitat in this study have high

relevance for local shellfish management decisions, particularly as HABs (including

Alexandrium) are intensifying with recent ocean warming in the North Pacific (Gobler et al.,

2017).

In order to understand the relationship of *Z. marina* to ecosystem and human health, as well as to

shellfish farming and harvest, it is critical to consider our addition of an 'action-at-a-distance'

element to the existing eelgrass-dinoflagellate interaction model. Given the protected status of *Z.*

marina habitat on the Pacific Coast of the United States, the goals of the shellfish industry and

eelgrass conservation are often perceived as being in conflict (Forrest et al., 2009) and policies

prohibit shellfish farming and harvesting within or near beds. For example, in Washington State,

required buffer zones between shellfish aquaculture and eelgrass range from 3 to 8m, depending

on the agency involved (National Marine Fisheries Service West Coast Region, 2017). However,

our work demonstrates that *Z. marina* habitat may have a protective effect against harmful

dinoflagellates within these buffer zones, reducing the potential for shellfish to accumulate HAB

toxins from the surrounding waters. Likewise, filter feeders can mitigate microbial disease in

adjacent environments, and *M. gigas*, in particular, has recently been shown to lessen the effects

of Eelgrass Wasting Disease (EWD) on *Z. marina* (Groner et al., 2018). As others have begun to

suggest, then, eelgrass and oysters may be critical allies to one another in changing marine

ecosystems worldwide. Future work will examine their multi-faceted symbiosis, particularly in

characterizing the taxonomic breadth of potential seagrass and shellfish partnerships, as well as

in defining the molecular mechanisms underlying the roles of both beneficial and detrimental

microbial intermediates.

Supplemental Materials

Table S1: Sample information. For each site, Case Inlet (CI), Port Gamble (PG), Nisqually

Reach (NR), Skokomish (SK), and Willapa Bay (WB), approximate transect positions are

recorded, as well as latitude, longitude, and the approximate geographic distance of each

sample from the eelgrass bed edge, calculated from coordinates. Negative distances indicate

samples within the eelgrass bed itself. Columns named May, July, and August list the number of

technical replicates passing quality control measures of three sequenced from each bottle of

water. NA indicates samples that were not gathered, and asterisks indicate samples for which

Site	Position	long	lat	Distance	May	July	August
CI	Eelgrass	-122.79645	47.358439	-47	3	6*	3
CI	Along 1	-122.79584	47.358455	1	3	3	3
CI	Along 3	-122.796038	47.358565	3	3	3	3
CI	Along 6	-122.795971	47.358551	6	3	3	2
CI	Along 10	-122.795894	47.358481	10	3	3	2
CI	Along 15	-122.795817	47.358436	15	1	3	2
CI	Bare	-122.79576	47.357937	57	3	3	3
NR	Eelgrass	-122.726752	47.101926	NA	3	3	2
NR	Bare	-122.726386	47.101713	NA	3	3	3
PG	Eelgrass	-122.58292	47.847983	-80	3	3	3
PG	Along 1	-122.583221	47.84866	1	3	3	2
PG	Along 3	-122.583157	47.848705	3	3	2	2
PG	Along 6	-122.583222	47.848725	6	3	3	0
PG	Along 10	-122.583278	47.848756	10	3	3	3
PG	Along 15	-122.583258	47.848781	15	3	3	3
PG	Bare	-122.58383	47.842676	666	3	6*	3
SK	Eelgrass	-123.156623	47.354332	-52	3	3	3

SK	Along 1	-123.157147	47.354626	1	NA	3	3
SK	Along 3	-123.157132	47.354585	3	NA	3	2
SK	Along 6	-123.157116	47.354634	6	NA	3	3
SK	Along 10	-123.157162	47.354644	10	NA	3	3
SK	Along 15	-123.157185	47.354733	15	NA	3	2
SK	Bare	-123.157314	47.35502	45	3	3	3
WB	Eelgrass	-124.02619	46.495137	-90	3	3	NA
WB	Along 1	-124.02622	46.494334	1	3	3	2
WB	Along 3	-124.02627	46.494347	3	3	3	3
WB	Along 6	-124.02626	46.494425	6	3	3	3
WB	Along 10	-124.02624	46.494437	10	3	3	3
WB	Along 15	-124.02619	46.494479	15	3	3	3
WB	Bare	-124.026136	46.494479	16	3	3	3

Figure S1: Hierarchical clustering from transect Bray-Curtis distance matrices in which three

technical replicates were sequenced on two different runs. Names of technical replicates contain

sample information separated by '_' as follows: Site abbreviation, position abbreviation,

transect distance, month, replicate, and sequencing run. Note that all replicates from Miseq run

2 (r2) cluster with the corresponding replicates from Miseq run 1 (r1) for both Port Gamble July

and Case Inlet July transects.

404   *Figure S2: Histogram of read counts assigned to each phylum within the complete dataset. Phyla*

405   *with >10000 reads were chosen for further consideration.*

Gonyaulacaceae (Alexandrium)

Gonyaulacaceae (Protoceratium)

Gonyaulacaceae (unknown)

Gymnodiniaceae (Gymnodinium)

Gymnodiniaceae (Nusuttodinium)

Heterocapsaceae (Heterocapsa 1)

Heterocapsaceae (Heterocapsa 2)

Kareniaceae (Karlodinium)

Kareniaceae (unknown)

Peridiniales (Protoperidinium)

Figure S3: eDNA indices from each technical replicate of biological samples for each

dinoflagellate family represented in our dataset by >1000 sequence reads, plotted at all sites for

which complete transect data were available. Color indicates proximity to eelgrass habitat (dark

green) versus bare substrate (brown).

Figure S4: Phylogeny of dinoflagellate sequences from high-abundance transects. Individual

sequences are named with Family_Genus information (when known).

Figure S5: High- and low-abundance transects generated with kmeans unsupervised machine

learning. Black points indicate high-abundance transects; white points indicate low-abundance

transects

429   *Figure S6: Bray-Curtis dissimilarity between eDNA communities surveyed at adjacent points*

430   *along each full transect (all sites and months). Shading of violins indicates median spatial*

431   *distance between communities (dark = closer, light = more distant).*

SK

Aug

Figure S7: Ordination plots of samples along all ten fully-sampled transects from bare to

eelgrass positions. Technical replicates of each biological sample are grouped as triangles.

White alongshore transect samples are labeled with distance from eelgrass in meters; the single

within-bed sample is green (labeled Eg) and the bare sample is brown (Ba).

References

Amelia Kolb Gabriela Hannach., Swanson L. 2016. *Marine phytoplankton monitoring program*

sampling and analysis plan. Seattle, WA: King County Department of Natural Resources; Parks.

Callahan BJ., McMurdie PJ., Rosen MJ., Han AW., Johnson AJA., Holmes SP. 2016. DADA2:

High-resolution sample inference from illumina amplicon data. *Nature methods* 13:581.

Camacho C., Coulouris G., Avagyan V., Ma N., Papadopoulos J., Bealer K., Madden TL. 2009.

BLAST+: Architecture and applications. *BMC Bioinformatics* 10:421.

Duffy JE. 2006. Biodiversity and the functioning of seagrass ecosystems. *Marine Ecology*

Progress Series 311:233–250.

Forrest BM., Keeley NB., Hopkins GA., Webb SC., Clement DM. 2009. Bivalve aquaculture in

estuaries: Review and synthesis of oyster cultivation effects. *Aquaculture* 298:1–15.

Fourqurean JW., Duarte CM., Kennedy H., Marbà N., Holmer M., Mateo MA., Apostolaki ET.,

Kendrick GA., Krause-Jensen D., McGlathery KJ., others. 2012. Seagrass ecosystems as a

globally significant carbon stock. *Nature geoscience* 5:505.

Gallego R.

Gibson J., Shokralla S., Porter TM., King I., Konynenburg S van., Janzen DH., Hallwachs W.,

Hajibabaei M. 2014. Simultaneous assessment of the macrobiome and microbiome in a bulk

sample of tropical arthropods through dna metasystematics. *Proceedings of the National*

Academy of Sciences 111:8007–8012.

Gobler CJ., Doherty OM., Hattenrath-Lehmann TK., Griffith AW., Kang Y., Litaker RW. 2017.

Ocean warming since 1982 has expanded the niche of toxic algal blooms in the north atlantic and

north pacific oceans. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 114:4975–4980.

Groner ML., Burge CA., Cox R., Rivlin ND., Turner M., Van Alstyne KL., Wyllie-Echeverria

S., Bucci J., Staudigel P., Friedman CS. 2018. Oysters and eelgrass: Potential partners in a high

pCO 2 ocean. *Ecology* 99:1802–1814.

Gross EM. 2003. Allelopathy of aquatic autotrophs. *Critical reviews in plant sciences* 22:313–

339.

Harrison PG., Durance C. 1985. Reductions in photosynthetic carbon uptake in epiphytic

diatoms by water-soluble extracts of leaves of zostera marina. *Marine Biology* 90:117–119.

Heck Jr K., Hays G., Orth RJ. 2003. Critical evaluation of the nursery role hypothesis for

seagrass meadows. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 253:123–136.

Hosack GR., Dumbauld BR., Ruesink JL., Armstrong DA. 2006. Habitat associations of

estuarine species: Comparisons of intertidal mudflat, seagrass (zostera marina), and oyster

(crassostrea gigas) habitats. *Estuaries and Coasts* 29:1150–1160.

Huson DH., Beier S., Flade I., Górska A., El-Hadidi M., Mitra S., Ruscheweyh H-J., Tappu R.

2016. MEGAN community edition-interactive exploration and analysis of large-scale

microbiome sequencing data. *PLoS computational biology* 12:e1004957.

Inaba N., Trainer VL., Onishi Y., Ishii K-I., Wyllie-Echeverria S., Imai I. 2017. Algicidal and

growth-inhibiting bacteria associated with seagrass and macroalgae beds in puget sound, wa, usa.

Harmful algae 62:136–147.

IOC Harmful Algal Bloom Programme and the World Register of Marine Species. Taxonomic

reference list of harmful micro algae.

Jones CG., Lawton JH., Shachak M. 1994. Organisms as ecosystem engineers. In: *Ecosystem*

management. Springer, 130–147.

Kelly RP., Gallego R., Jacobs-Palmer E. 2018. The effect of tides on nearshore environmental

dna. *PeerJ* 6:e4521.

Lahoz-Monfort JJ., Guillera-Arroita G., Tingley R. 2015. Statistical approaches to account for

false positive errors in environmental dna samples. *Molecular Ecology Resources*.

Lamb JB., Water JA van de., Bourne DG., Altier C., Hein MY., Fiorenza EA., Abu N., Jompa J.,

Harvell CD. 2017. Seagrass ecosystems reduce exposure to bacterial pathogens of humans,

fishes, and invertebrates. *Science* 355:731–733.

Leray M., Yang JY., Meyer CP., Mills SC., Agudelo N., Ranwez V., Boehm JT., Machida RJ.

2013. A new versatile primer set targeting a short fragment of the mitochondrial coi region for

metabarcoding metazoan diversity: Application for characterizing coral reef fish gut contents.

Frontiers in zoology 10:34.

Leray M., Knowlton N. 2015. DNA barcoding and metabarcoding of standardized samples

reveal patterns of marine benthic diversity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*

112:2076–2081.

Li W., Cowley A., Uludag M., Gur T., McWilliam H., Squizzato S., Park YM., Buso N., Lopez

R. 2015. The embl-ebi bioinformatics web and programmatic tools framework. *Nucleic acids*

research 43:W580–W584.

Martin M. 2011. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads.

EMBnet.journal 17:pp–10.

Moore SK., Mantua NJ., Hickey BM., Trainer VL. 2009. Recent trends in paralytic shellfish

toxins in puget sound, relationships to climate, and capacity for prediction of toxic events.

Harmful Algae 8:463–477.

National Marine Fisheries Service West Coast Region. 2017. *WA eelgrass and shellfish*

aquaculture workshop report. Seattle, WA.

NOAA Fisheries. 2014. *California eelgrass mitigation policy and implementing guidelines*. West

Coast Region.

NOAA Fisheries. Habitat areas of particular concern.

Nordlund LM., Koch EW., Barbier EB., Creed JC. 2016. Seagrass ecosystem services and their

variability across genera and geographical regions. *PLoS One* 11:e0163091.

O'Donnell JL., Kelly RP., Lowell NC., Port JA. 2016. Indexed pcr primers induce template-

specific bias in large-scale dna sequencing studies. *PloS one* 11:e0148698.

Oksanen J., Blanchet FG., Kindt R., Legendre P., Minchin PR., O'hara R., Simpson GL.,

Solymos P., Stevens MHH., Wagner H., others. 2013. Package "vegan". *Community ecology*

package, version 2.

Orth RJ., Carruthers TJ., Dennison WC., Duarte CM., Fourqurean JW., Heck KL., Hughes AR.,

Kendrick GA., Kenworthy WJ., Olyarnik S., others. 2006. A global crisis for seagrass

ecosystems. *Bioscience* 56:987–996.

Port JA., O'Donnell JL., Romero-Maraccini OC., Leary PR., Litvin SY., Nickols KJ., Yamahara

KM., Kelly RP. 2016. Assessing vertebrate biodiversity in a kelp forest ecosystem using

environmental dna. *Molecular ecology* 25:527–541.

Potouroglou M., Bull JC., Krauss KW., Kennedy HA., Fusi M., Daffonchio D., Mangora MM.,

Githaiga MN., Diele K., Huxham M. 2017. Measuring the role of seagrasses in regulating

sediment surface elevation. *Scientific reports* 7:11917.

Puget Sound Partnership. Vital sign: Eelgrass.

R Core Team. 2016. *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. Vienna, Austria:

R Foundation for Statistical Computing.

Renshaw MA., Olds BP., Jerde CL., McVeigh MM., Lodge DM. 2015. The room temperature

preservation of filtered environmental dna samples and assimilation into a phenol–chloroform–

isoamyl alcohol dna extraction. *Molecular ecology resources* 15:168–176.

Royle JA., Link WA. 2006. Generalized site occupancy models allowing for false positive and

false negative errors. *Ecology* 87:835–841.

Schnell IB., Bohmann K., Gilbert MTP. 2015. Tag jumps illuminated–reducing sequence-to-

sample misidentifications in metabarcoding studies. *Molecular Ecology Resources*.

Scrucca L., Fop M., Murphy TB., Raftery AE. 2016. Mclust 5: Clustering, classification and

density estimation using gaussian finite mixture models. *The R journal* 8:289.

Shelton AO., Francis TB., Feist BE., Williams GD., Lindquist A., Levin PS. 2017. Forty years of

seagrass population stability and resilience in an urbanizing estuary. *Journal of Ecology*

105:458–470.

Short F., Carruthers T., Dennison W., Waycott M. 2007. Global seagrass distribution and

diversity: A bioregional model. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 350:3–20.

Team RC., Worldwide C. 2015. The r stats package. *R Foundation for Statistical Computing:*

Vienna, Austria.

Trainer VL., Eberhart B-TL., Wekell JC., Adams NG., Hanson L., Cox F., Dowell J. 2003.

Paralytic shellfish toxins in puget sound, washington state. *Journal of Shellfish Research*

22:213–223.

Trainer V., Moore L., Bill B., Adams N., Harrington N., Borchert J., Silva D da., Eberhart B-T.

2013. Diarrhetic shellfish toxins and other lipophilic toxins of human health concern in

washington state. *Marine Drugs* 11:1815–1835.

Tubaro A., Dell'Ovo V., Sosa S., Florio C. 2010. Yessotoxins: A toxicological overview.

Toxicon 56:163–172.

Vera Trainer BB Teri King. 2016. *Soundtoxins manual*. Seattle, WA: NOAA/NMFS/NWFSC

Marine Biotoxins Program for Washington Sea Grant.

Washinton State Department of Ecology. 2013. *Fish consumption rates. Technical support*

document: A review of data and information about fish consumption in washington. Toxics

Cleanup Program, Olympia, WA: Department of Ecology, State of Washington.

Wiese M., D'agostino PM., Mihali TK., Moffitt MC., Neilan BA. 2010. Neurotoxic alkaloids:

Saxitoxin and its analogs. *Marine drugs* 8:2185–2211.