Genomic phylogeography of the White-crowned Manakin *Pseudopipra pipra* (Aves: Pipridae) illuminates a continental-scale radiation out of the Andes

Author Affiliations:

Jacob S. Berv[1,2,3], Leonardo Campagna[1,2], Teresa J. Feo[4], Ivandy Castro-Astor[5], Camila C. Ribas[6], Richard O. Prum[7], Irby J. Lovette[1,2]

1. Fuller Evolutionary Biology Program, Cornell Lab of Ornithology, 159 Sapsucker Woods Road, Ithaca, NY 14850, USA.

2. Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Cornell University, 215 Tower Road, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA.

3. Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, and University of Michigan Museum of Paleontology, 1105 North University Avenue, Biological Sciences Building, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1085, USA.

4. Department of Vertebrate Zoology, MRC-116, National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC 20013, USA.

5. Department of Biology, City College of New York and CUNY Graduate Center, City University of New York, New York, NY 10031, USA

6. Coordenacão de Biodiversidade, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia, Manaus, AM, Brazil

7. Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, and Peabody Museum of Natural History, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut, 06520, USA.

Correspondence to Jacob S. Berv (jsb439@cornell.edu)

A CONTINENTAL-SCALE AVIAN RADIATION OUT OF THE ANDES

Abstract: The complex landscape history of the Neotropics has generated opportunities for

population isolation and diversification that place this region among the most species-rich in the

world. Detailed phylogeographic studies are required to uncover the biogeographic histories of

Neotropical taxa, to identify evolutionary correlates of diversity, and to reveal patterns of genetic

connectivity, disjunction, and potential differentiation among lineages from different areas of

endemism. The White-crowned Manakin (*Pseudopipra pipra*) is a small suboscine passerine bird

that is broadly distributed through the subtropical rainforests of Central America, the lower montane

cloud forests of the Andes from Colombia to central Peru, the lowlands of Amazonia and the

Guianas, and the Atlantic forest of southeast Brazil. *Pseudopipra* is currently recognized as a single,

polytypic biological species. We studied the effect of the Neotropical landscape on genetic and

phenotypic differentiation within this species using genomic data derived from double digest

restriction site associated DNA sequencing (ddRAD), and mitochondrial DNA. Most of the genetic

breakpoints we identify among populations coincide with physical barriers to gene flow previously

associated with avian areas of endemism. The phylogenetic relationships among these populations

imply a novel pattern of Andean origination for this group, with subsequent diversification into the

Amazonian lowlands. Our analysis of genomic admixture and gene flow reveals a complex history

of introgression between some western Amazonian populations. These reticulate processes

confound our application of standard concatenated and coalescent phylogenetic methods and raise

the question of whether a lineage in the western Napo area of endemism should be considered a

hybrid species. Lastly, analysis of variation in vocal and plumage phenotypes in the context of our

phylogeny supports the hypothesis that *Pseudopipra* is a species-complex composed of at least 8,

and perhaps up to 17 distinct species which have arisen in the last ~2.5 Ma.

Key words: Neotropics, ddRAD, genomics, phylogeography, manakin, suboscine, speciation,

introgression, admixture, reticulation, multi-species coalescent, coancestry, isolation by distance,

vocalization

1. *Introduction*

Many kinds of physical and ecological barriers have been proposed to drive population

diversification and speciation in the Neotropics (Cracraft and Prum, 1988; Haffer, 1969, 2008;

Smith et al., 2014; Wallace, 1854). Such barriers partition biodiversity into areas of endemism

(Cracraft, 1985; Cracraft and Prum, 1988; Crother and Murray, 2011; Da Silva et al., 2005; Linder,

2001; Noguera-urbano, 2016) by acting as impediments to gene flow for dispersal-limited

organisms (Brumfield, 2012; Cheviron et al., 2005; Fernandes et al., 2015; Moore et al., 2008;

Ribas et al., 2012). Three of the most prominent features implicated in structuring the biodiversity

of Neotropical forest birds (Figure 1) include the Andes Mountains and other montane regions

(Figure 1, grey relief); the Chaco, Cerrado, and Caatinga biomes, which collectively form a "dry

diagonal" of open habitat separating the Amazon forest from the Atlantic Forest; and the large

rivers of the complex Amazonian drainage system (Brumfield, 2012; Harvey and Brumfield, 2015;

Naka and Brumfield, 2018; Smith et al., 2014).

Montane Andean regions in the Neotropics are known to be exceptionally biodiverse,

encompassing at least 15 areas of avian endemism with biotas shaped by a combination of

vicariance and dispersal events (Hazzi et al., 2018; Morrone, 2015). Hazzi et al. (2018) concluded

that the Marañon, Apurimac, and Yungas Inter-Andean Valleys, as well as the Colombian Massif,

Las Cruces Pass, and the Táchira Depression have all likely played significant roles in structuring

Andean biodiversity. Elevational gradients in the Andes also contribute substantially to Neotropical

diversification metrics and raise fundamental questions about the historical relationships between

lowland and montane endemics in the Neotropics (Janzen, 1967; Musher et al., 2019; Quintero and

Jetz, 2018; Weir, 2006). From a biogeographic perspective, avian evolution has proceeded both

"into and out of the Andes" (Brumfield and Edwards, 2007; Nylander et al., 2008). A number of

examples illustrate colonization of Andean forest regions by lowland forest ancestors (Bates and

Zink, 1994; Fjeldså, 1992; Lutz et al., 2013; Ribas et al., 2007). By contrast, some examples of

diversification into the lowlands from Andean progenitors are associated with open habitat taxa (da

Silva, 1995; van Els et al., 2019; Voelker, 1999), though see Thom and Aleixo (2015). For some

tanagers (Aves: Thraupidae), the Northern Andes have been a source of lineages that later dispersed

into the Central Andes and Amazonian lowlands (Sedano and Burns, 2010). Notably, Southern

Andean forests may have been connected to the Atlantic Forest via Pleistocene expansions of

forests into the region occupied today by the Cerrado biome (Cabanne et al., 2019). Indeed, glacial

cycles and climatic fluctuations during the Pleistocene have been implicated as an important factor

in montane diversification, disproportionally affecting high elevation forest (Hooghiemstra and Van

der Hammen, 2004; Weir, 2006) and transiently connecting highland and lowland habitats

(Brumfield and Edwards, 2007; Cabanne et al., 2019; Nylander et al., 2008).

In the lowland Amazon basin, a number of areas of endemism have also been described,

delimited primarily by major tributaries of the Amazon river (Borges and Da Silva, 2012; Cracraft,

1985; Da Silva et al., 2005; Haffer, 1974). Wallace (1854) initially suggested that the Amazon basin

could be divided into four wide bioregions (which he termed "Guyana," "Ecuador," "Peru," and

"Brazil"), based on primate distributions (see also Lynch Alfaro et al., 2015). These areas were

subsequently partitioned by later biogeographers into at least eight major areas of endemism for

terrestrial vertebrates (Figure 1) (Borges and Da Silva, 2012; Cracraft, 1985; Cracraft and Prum,

1988; Da Silva et al., 2002; Da Silva et al., 2005; Haffer, 1978; Haffer, 1985; Haffer, 1992; Naka,

2011). The aggregate of these geographic partitions has been recognized as the "Amazonian areas

of endemism" and is the basis for the riverine barrier hypothesis (Figure 1, Antonelli et al., 2018;

also see Figure 1A in Silva et al., 2019).

Few studies of birds have applied next-generation sequencing datasets to reconstruct the

biogeographic history of a Neotropical radiation distributed across lowland and highland areas of

endemism. Here, we investigate lineage diversification across the Neotropics in the continentally

distributed *Pseudopipra* genus. The monotypic genus *Pseudopipra* (Kirwan et al., 2016), family

Pipridae, currently includes a single species, the White-crowned Manakin (*Pseudopipra pipra* =

Dixiphia). *Pseudopipra* is found across a variety of known dispersal barriers, including elevational

gradients of the Andes, major Amazonian rivers, the dry diagonal, tepuis, and the Isthmus of

Panama. Therefore, this group is particularly appropriate for assessing patterns of phylogenomic

differentiation across a nested set of spatial scales in Neotropical forests.

In order to assess the degree to which population structure may be related to landscape

features of the Neotropics, and the historical relationships among populations, our study design uses

fine-scale sampling across a majority of *Pseudopipra's* geographic distribution. We use double

digest restriction site associated DNA (RAD) sequencing (Peterson et al., 2012) to subsample the

genomes of hundreds of individuals spanning many well-known Amazonian and Andean areas of

endemism, from Costa Rica to the Atlantic Forest of Brazil. We use these data, as well as a sample

of mitochondrial sequences, to infer the history of lineages and populations within *Pseudopipra*

using a variety of population genomic, landscape genetic, phylogenetic, and comparative

approaches. Lastly, we investigated congruence between geographic variation in vocalizations,

plumage phenotype, and phylogeographic structure, to evaluate the biological mechanisms that may

be contributing to population differentiation and gene flow, and to propose a new taxonomic

hypothesis for the genus.

1.1. *Study organism*

The White-crowned Manakin, *Pseudopipra pipra* (Pipridae), is a small (10-12 gram), non-

migratory, suboscine passerine bird that is broadly distributed within Central America, the lower

montane cloud forests of the Andes (up to ~2000m) from Colombia to central Peru the Amazon

basin, and the Atlantic Forest (Figure 2) (Kirwan et al., 2016; Kirwan and Green, 2012). The male's

striking white crown and jet-black body makes them among the most easily identified manakins,

though the grey-green females are often confused with other manakin species in the field.

Pseudopipra are typically found in dense humid forest and are predominantly frugivorous. Unlike

many manakin species that exhibit concentrated or cooperative lek behavior (e.g. Prum, 1990;

Prum, 1994), *Pseudopipra* males display in dispersed leks of 2-5 males (Castro-Astor et al., 2007;

Snow, 1961). Castro-Astor et al. (2007) described at least 11 components in the display repertoire

of the Atlantic forest *Pseudopipra* population, including rapid turning, jumping, "to-and-fro"

flights, and an about-face.

The two most recent phylogenetic hypotheses of manakins based on mitochondrial and

nuclear DNA sequences both placed *Pseudopipra* as sister group to the five species of *Ceratopipra*

(Leite et al., 2021; Ohlson et al., 2013). While the higher level phylogeny and taxonomy of

manakins has received significant attention (also see Tello et al., 2009), work exploring

intraspecific genetic variation within manakins has been restricted to relatively few species (e.g.

Brumfield et al., 2008; Capurucho et al., 2013; Cheviron et al., 2006; Cheviron et al., 2005;

Francisco et al., 2007; Gubili et al., 2016; Luna et al., 2017; McDonald, 2003; Reis et al., 2020).

Based on geographic variation in plumage and vocalizations, many previous authors have

suggested that the biological species *Pseudopipra pipra* likely includes multiple, distinct

phylogenetic species (Freile, 2014; Kirwan and Green, 2012; Ridgely and Greenfield, 2001;

Ridgely and Tudor, 2009; Snow, 2004; Spencer, 2012). Thirteen subspecies of *Pseudopipra pipra*

have been recognized based primarily on subtle variations in plumage coloration, which are

frequently more marked in females than in males (summarized in Dickinson, 2003; Kirwan and

Green, 2012; Snow, 2004). Because suboscine passerines generally do not learn their songs (but see

Saranathan et al., 2007), the presence of substantial vocal variation across *Pseudopipra* populations

further suggests that the genus may contain unrecognized cryptic species (e.g. Campagna et al.,

2012). However, all previous authors awaited new information on genetic differentiation within

Pseudopipra before making taxonomic recommendations.

It also remains unclear how the current subspecific classification reflects evolutionary

history. The genetic variation within *Pseudopipra* has received prior attention by two studies that

used mitochondrial DNA to infer population genetic patterns. Milá et al. (2012) reported

intraspecific divergences of up to 3.5% (n=19) across three Amazonian populations, and the highest

observed nucleotide diversity ($\pi = 0.266$) among 14 widely distributed Amazonian birds species.

Castro-Astor (2014) used a larger sample (n=57), and discovered that at least four *Pseudopipra*

subspecies correspond to well supported mitochondrial clades which were generally congruent with

Amazonian areas of endemism. Castro-Astor (2014) used a molecular clock model to estimate the

age of the *Pseudopipra* complex to coincide with the onset of the Pleistocene ~2.457 Ma (95% HPD

1.45-3.97).

2. *Material and methods*

2.1. *Field and tissue sampling*

Muscle tissue samples were obtained from available vouchered avian material from US and

Brazilian collections and other institutions (see Acknowledgements and Supplemental Table 1). We

sampled from ten of thirteen recognized subspecies (Figure 2) of *Pseudopipra* (Kirwan and Green,

2012). Unfortunately, we were unable to obtain material of adequate quality for ddRAD sequencing

from the Andes of Colombia (*bolivari*, *unica*, *coracina*, *minima*), Ecuador (*minima*) and the

lowlands of Peru (*pygmaea*). However, we were able to obtain mtDNA data from *coracina* and

pygmaea, which allowed us to make a preliminary assessment of their phylogenetic affinities (see

discussion on mtDNA). In sum, after discarding failed samples and samples with high proportions

of missing data, we obtained genetic data from 286 individuals (234 from ddRAD, 168 from

mtDNA), representing ~80 geographic regions and 10 of 13 subspecies. We also obtained

comparable ddRAD and mtDNA data for two specimens of *Ceratopipra rubrocapilla* as outgroups

(Supplementary Table 1).

2.2. *Laboratory methods and data processing*

We extracted DNA from avian tissue specimens (Supplementary Table 1) using the DNeasy

Blood & Tissue Kit (QIAGEN, CA) and generated ddRADtags following the protocol of Peterson

et al. (2012), with modifications described in (Thrasher et al., 2018). We used the STACKS 1.44

bioinformatics pipeline (Catchen et al., 2013) to generate assemblies of ddRAD loci, using

Manacus vitellinus as a reference genome (GCA_001715985.1 from www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). We

obtained mitochondrial ND2 sequences for a set of individuals using standard Sanger Sequencing

protocols described in Berv and Prum (2014). Additional sequencing, assembly, and alignment

details are reported in the Supplemental Appendix.

For ddRAD loci, we used several filters in the "populations" module from STACKS to

generate different sets of bi-allelic SNPs: a missing data filter, a minimum depth of coverage filter,

a filter that exports only the first SNP per RAD locus, and a minor allele frequency filter (MAF). In

order to explore the sensitivity of genetic cluster inference to these important parameters (Linck and

Battey, 2019; Paris et al., 2017), we produced eight versions of the SNP dataset by combining these

different standard filters (Table 1).

167   Dataset 1 (D1- labeled arbitrarily) included 1,960 SNPs that were generated by setting the

168 MAF to >= 5%, requiring minimum coverage of 20x, requiring the presence of a SNP in at least

169 80% of individuals, and filtering out all but the first SNP in each RAD locus to minimize linkage

170 across the dataset. To evaluate the sensitivity of cluster analyses to rare variants (Linck and Battey,

171 2019; Shafer et al., 2017), Dataset 2 (D2) was exported with identical parameters to dataset D1, but

172 without a minor allele frequency filter; this generated a dataset of 2,581 putatively unlinked SNPs.

173 We generated datasets D3 and D4 to reflect the same filters as D1 and D2 but to also include all

174 SNPs from each locus. Lastly, for each dataset D1-D4, we generated a complimentary dataset which

175 filtered out any sites with missing data. The parameters of these SNP datasets are summarized in

176 Table 1.

178 2.3. *Phylogenetic analysis*

179   Double-digest restriction site associated DNA sequence datasets are often characterized by

180 high amounts of missing data (e.g. Eaton et al., 2017; Huang and Knowles, 2016; Shafer et al.,

181 2017). Because phylogenetic analysis of sparse super-matrices may be prone to systematic error

182 (e.g. Roure et al., 2013), we explored the sensitivity of our phylogenetic inferences to missing data

183 using three different diplotype sequence datasets with 20%, 50%, and 80% thresholds for missing

184 data at the RAD locus level. For example, for an 80% threshold, if the RAD locus is present in at

185 least 20% of individuals, then that locus, containing all variable and invariable sites, was exported.

186 This approach generated datasets comprising 2,584, 4,763, and 7,901 RAD loci, respectively. For

187 each dataset, we generated a concatenated supermatrix using Sequence Matrix (Vaidya et al., 2011).

188 These combined datasets had up to ~40% missing sites across an entire matrix, irrespective of

189 columns or rows (i.e., using the 80% threshold above).

We estimated phylogenies using each dataset with a concatenated maximum likelihood and

a coalescent species-tree approach for a total of six phylogenetic analyses. For analysis of

concatenated data, we estimated a maximum likelihood phylogenetic hypothesis using RAxML

8.2.9 (Stamatakis, 2014). We estimated 500 bootstrap replicates followed by a full ML search for

each dataset, using a GTRGAMMAX substitution model. We avoid the need for ascertainment bias

correction because we use whole short-read alignments, including invariant sites (Leaché et al.,

2015). In our case, each alignment was 132 bp long (Supplemental Appendix). We also tested for an

effect of data partitioning on phylogenetic inference by generating optimized locus partitioning

schemes in PartitionFinder 2 (Lanfear et al., 2017), which were then re-analyzed in RAxML. We

found that our topological results were insensitive to data partitioning, and as such we do not

present these results. Lastly, we generated a mitochondrial DNA ND2 gene tree using IQ-TREE

1.6.10 (Chernomor et al., 2016; Hoang et al., 2017; Kalyaanamoorthy et al., 2017; Schmidt et al.,

2014; Trifinopoulos et al., 2016). We partitioned by codon position and generated a maximum

likelihood tree using the MFP+MERGE model search and partitioning option (Supplementary

Figure 17).

We evaluated coalescent phylogenetic structure using the SVDquartets method implemented

in PAUP* 4.0a159 (Chifman and Kubatko, 2014, 2015; Swofford, 2002). SVDquartets may be a

useful tool for testing hypotheses of species delimitation because it can generate a lineage-tree

under the multispecies coalescent without assigning individuals to species *a priori*. In this approach,

each individual in a dataset is considered to be a separate "species." If a recovered clade in such a

lineage-tree has high bootstrap support, this would mean that the descendant taxa have strong

support as a group under the multispecies coalescent, which may coincide with species boundaries

(*Personal communication*, Laura Kubatko). Our SVDquartets analysis evaluated all possible

quartets with 500 bootstrap replicates (other settings left to defaults). SVDQuartets has the

advantage of being able to work directly on sequence data (above) and has been shown to perform

well in comparison to summary methods which assume fully and correctly resolved gene trees as

input (Chou et al., 2015; Schmidt-Lebuhn et al., 2017). SVDquartets has also been shown to be

theoretically robust to variation in effective population size, molecular clocks, limited gene flow,

and incomplete lineage sorting (Long and Kubatko, 2018).

While a full exploration of our specific usage of SVDquartets is beyond the scope of the

present work, we suggest that this approach may be a useful way to assess support for hypotheses of

species delimitation under the multispecies coalescent. We do not take the perspective that all well

supported clades from this coalescent assessment *necessarily* represent biological species – such an

interpretation often leads to an over-inflation of species estimates (Chambers and Hillis, 2020;

Hillis, 2020; Sukumaran and Knowles, 2017). In general, we prefer a species agnostic approach to

those which require *a priori* delimitation of species boundaries. Our approach instead focuses on

discovering well supported coalescent structure without a prior hypothesis of species delimitation,

and then assesses the degree to which metrics of support across coalescent and concatenated tree

inference methods are concordant. We note that there are many approaches for species delimitation

using genetic data (e.g. BP&P, Flouri et al. (2018), BFD, Leaché et al. (2014), PTP, Zhang et al.

(2013), also see Leaché et al. (2019)), and that there is lack of consensus on how best to interpret

these methods with respect to various species concepts (Carstens et al., 2013). In the present study,

we rely on analysis of vocal and plumage phenotypes in combination with genetic patterns, to make

taxonomic recommendations (Cadena and Zapata, 2021; Remsen, 2005).

2.4. Descriptive statistics

We estimated global and population pairwise F_{st} (Weir and Cockerham, 1984), regional

variation in allelic richness (Goudet, 2005), Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (Paradis, 2010),

inbreeding (Goudet, 2005), linkage disequilibrium (Kamvar et al., 2014), sex-biased dispersal

(Goudet et al., 2002), and performed an analysis of molecular variance (Kamvar et al., 2014) in *R*

(R Core Team, 2018). Some of these statistics and analytical details are reported as supplementary

material, while others are discussed below when relevant.

2.5. *Cluster inference and patterns of genomic admixture*

244   We performed cluster analyses intended to quantify the number of similar genetic groups

across the range of *Pseudopipra.* First, we evaluated broad-scale variation across our genomic data

using phenetic clustering implemented in the find.clusters function in the adegenet *R* package

(Jombart, 2008). This function first transforms the data with a PCA, and then identifies clusters with

a K-means algorithm (MacQueen, 1967). Using each SNP dataset, we evaluated K1:20 successively

with the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC). K-means clustering is based on the idea of

minimizing the variance within clusters and has the potential advantage of making few assumptions

about the underlying processes which generated the data. For each analysis, we retained all PC axes,

set 200 randomly chosen starting centroids, and ran each search for 10^9 iterations.

253   We also estimated the number of differentiated populations using STRUCTURE 2.3.4

(Pritchard et al., 2000), a widely-used model-based approach which assigns individuals to

populations such that Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium is maximized and linkage among loci is

minimized within groups. By comparing the output of STRUCTURE runs fixed to different

numbers of populations (K), one can assess the degree of fit of the data to various models of K and

assess genomic admixture among individuals. We ran STRUCTURE using SNP dataset D1 (Table

1), from K1:20 with 10 iterations per K value. We ran the program for 700,000 generations,

discarding the first 200,000 as burn-in, implementing the admixture ancestry model with correlated

allele frequencies. We evaluated K by examining $\Pr(X|K)$ or $L(K)$ after summarizing our results

using Structure Harvester (Earl and vonHoldt, 2012) and CLUMPP (Jakobsson and Rosenberg,

2007). We also used the Evanno method to estimate the rate of change in the log probability of data

between values of K, which is a useful metric to detect the uppermost hierarchical level of structure

in the data (Evanno et al., 2005). Lastly, we generated 95% Bayesian Credible Intervals around

admixture coefficients (presented as averages across individuals for population assignment).

To investigate finer scale population genetic differentiation and the genomic composition of

populations defined at different hierarchical levels, we used the programs fineRADstructure and

RADpainter v.0.2 (Malinsky et al., 2018). RADpainter takes advantage of the information in

haplotype data and considers each individual in a dataset as a "recipient" whose genomes are

reconstructed using chunks of "donor" DNA from all other available individuals. This approach

generates a "coancestry matrix" which combines the information that can be derived from both

PCA and model-based clustering approaches and thus can be more sensitive to subtle population

structure (Lawson et al., 2012). The fineRADstructure software uses an MCMC approach to explore

the space of population assignments based on the coancestry matrix, using an algorithm which

merges and splits populations, or moves individuals among populations. We ran fineRADstructure

with default priors, but increased the burn in to 200000 iterations, followed by 1000000 iterations,

sampling every 1000. We then assessed convergence by 1) considering the assignment of

population membership across multiple independent runs, 2) visualizing the MCMC traces of

estimated parameters to ensure convergence on the same posterior distributions, and 3) running

each chain long enough to achieve effective parameter sample sizes > 100.

Both RADpainter and fineRADstructure are based on the chromoPainter and finestructure

software (Lawson et al., 2012), but are optimized to take advantage of the linkage properties of

RAD-like datasets. As our data are assembled relative to a *Manacus* reference genome, the order in

which loci appear in our data files are related to positions on assembly scaffolds, even though the

ddRAD loci in our data appear unlinked when considered at the population level (see

Supplementary Appendix). We compared the inferred population assignments from

fineRADstructure and STRUCTURE with K-means clustering (as above) of the coancestry matrix

as well as SNP datasets. To determine how much of the variation in our dataset was captured by the

RADpainter analysis relative to a standard PCoA of SNP data, we used the normalized PCA

approach of (Lawson et al., 2012), using the mypca function provided in the

"FinestructureLibrary.R" library in the fineRADstructure package.

2.6. Phylogenetic reticulation in the western Napo lineage

Model-based, phenetic, and population genetic analyses revealed that a lineage of

individuals presently restricted to the western Amazonian Napo area of endemism has a complex

history of introgression between southern and northern Amazonian clades (see Results). To further

investigate this subset of individuals and their history, we generated two additional versions of the

dataset optimized for different analyses. First- we generated a haplotype dataset of 52 individuals,

which included all putatively introgressed western Napo individuals (n=10, clade E), as well as

samples from proximate populations. These populations consist of a geographically adjacent

population with predominantly unadmixed ancestry (Southwestern Amazon: Inambari, n=17, clade

C1), and 2), and the source of introgressed genotypes (north-central Amazonian Jaú + eastern Napo,

n=25, clade D2, as indicated by admixture analysis). We used a 5% minor allele frequency cutoff,

required 20x minimum coverage, and allowed up to 20% missing data per locus—generating a

dataset comprising 2,370 loci, including 4,979 SNPs (Dataset H1). Dataset H1 is analogous to that

generated for coancestry (fineRADstructure) analysis of the entire dataset (above). We also

generated a similar dataset (Dataset H2), without a minor allele frequency cutoff—comprising

2,947 loci including variable and invariable sites—as required for inference of demographic

parameters (Gronau et al., 2011).

Hybrid populations are expected to have higher mean coancestry and lower F_{st} with each of

their progenitor lineages than their progenitor lineages will have with each other (Barrera-Guzmán

et al., 2018). To test this prediction, we first estimated coancestry values using Dataset H1 with

RADpainter (as above). We then compared inferred coancestry of population pairs with a standard

ANOVA and the glht function in the multcomp R package (Hothorn et al., 2013). We estimated F_{st}

with Weir and Cockerham's estimator (Weir and Cockerham, 1984), and tested the prediction using

1000 bootstrapped datasets to estimate 95% confidence intervals.

To test whether or not the relationships among these focal populations is best represented by

a phylogenetic network, we used PhyloNet 3.6.4 (Wen et al., 2018; Zhu et al., 2018). PhyloNet

takes SNP data and uses a reversible-jump MCMC technique to explore the posterior distribution of

phylogenetic networks and bi-furcating topologies, while accommodating both reticulation and

incomplete lineage sorting. PhyloNet searches the set of all possible reticulation models without

requiring *a priori* model specification.

For computational tractability, we used SNP dataset D1 (1960 unlinked SNPs), and pruned it

to the 52 individuals comprising the groups of interest. We pruned out all sites with missing data,

leaving 572 unlinked biallelic SNPs, as required by PhyloNet. For our final runs of the PhyloNet

program MCMC_BiMarkers, we randomly subsampled five diploid individuals from each group, as

preliminary program runs indicated a severe computational bottleneck with more than five diploid

individuals or with larger datasets. We assigned each group of individuals to a lineage, allowed for

a maximum of one reticulation, set the chain length for 800000 iterations (sampling every 100), set

a burn in of 2.5%, and left all priors as default (i.e., assuming population mutation rates are

constant).

Using the final network topology estimated from PhyloNet, we estimated demographic

parameters using G-PhoCS version 1.3 (Gronau et al., 2011) with dataset H2. We ran the program

for 750,000 iterations with a 10% burn-in, estimating a total of 13 parameters: the effective

population sizes of the three focal lineages, two ancestral population sizes, two splitting times, and

six directional migration parameters. Parameter MCMC traces were inspected in Tracer 1.7.1

(Rambaut et al., 2018). We converted the median and 95% Bayesian credible intervals from

mutation scale to generations and individuals as described in Campagna et al. (2015), assuming an

approximate mutation rate of 10^{-9} per base pair per generation (Kumar and Subramanian, 2002;

Smeds et al., 2016). We calculated the number of migrants per generation as the product of the per

generation migration rate multiplied by $\frac{1}{4}\theta$ for the receiving population ($m_{a-b} * (\theta_b/4)$) (Gronau et

al., 2011), and considered migration rates to be significant if the 95% lower bound was > 1 (the

level of gene flow expected to counteract the effects of drift; Wright, 1931).

2.7. *Spatial distribution of genetic variation*

Dispersal barriers often create sharp genetic discontinuities between adjacent populations

that would otherwise be continuous (Petkova et al., 2015). To assess the degree to which spatial

variation in genetic diversity within *Pseudopipra* may be attributed to landscape features, we

investigated how discrete and continuous patterns of isolation-by-distance (IBD) vary across the

landscape. First, we used Mantel and partial Mantel tests to investigate IBD effects within sampling

regions delimited by prominent physical barriers, and then separately tested the roles of specific

dispersal barriers in structuring genetic variation. Mantel tests evaluate the correlation among two

or more matrices, in our case representing pairwise genetic and geographic distance. Significance is

assessed by permuting the rows and columns of one of these matrices (Mantel, 1967). Partial

Mantel tests incorporate a third "barrier matrix," which contains information about environmental

or ecological distance. By evaluating the correlation between genetic and ecological distance while

controlling for geographic distance, a partial Mantel test can be used to investigate the effect of a

particular geographic barrier on genetic differentiation. Because mantel tests may have an inflated

type I error rate (e.g. Bradburd et al., 2018; Guillot and Rousset, 2013; Legendre and Fortin, 2010),

we follow the recommendations of Diniz-Filho et al. (2013), and only reject the null hypothesis of

no correlation if $p < 0.001$ (~10x more stringent than a standard correction for multiple tests would

require).

For these tests and others, we generated a pairwise geographic distance matrix by

calculating great circle distances using the distm function in the geosphere R package (Hijmans et

al., 2015). Individuals sampled at the same GPS coordinates were set to have an arbitrarily small

geographic distance of 0.0001 meters. In order to assess spatial variation *within* and *between*

populations, we use estimates of pairwise genetic distance at the individual level, rather than at the

population level. Our analyses compare patristic phylogenetic distance between all pairs of

individuals (estimated from RAxML) as well as coancestry (from fineRADstructure), as proxies of

genetic distance among individuals. These procedures, including the generation of an appropriate

barrier matrix, are developed in a set of R functions and scripts that operate on adegenet GENIND

objects (see supplementary R code) and internally use the Mantel implementation from the ecodist

R package (Goslee and Urban, 2007).

We also analyzed continuous patterns of spatial variation in our data with a population

genetic model that relates effective migration rates across geographic space to expected genetic

dissimilarities among individuals (Petkova et al., 2015). This method, termed Estimated Effective

Migration Surfaces (EEMS), produces a visualization that emphasizes deviations from isolation by

distance to highlight migratory corridors and barriers to gene flow. We view this method as

complimentary to more explicit Mantel tests, because it seeks to identify where the assumption of

constant IBD is violated across continuous geographic space, and thereby can highlight areas where

strong barriers to gene flow may exist. In brief, a region is covered with a dense regular grid

connecting subpopulations (demes), among which individuals can migrate with rates varying by

location. EEMS uses an MCMC approach to estimate expected genetic dissimilarity between two

individuals, integrating over all possible migration histories, and adjusting migration rates among

graph edges to match the genetic differences in the data. A migration surface is then interpolated

across a region to indicate where genetic distances decay faster or slower than predicted by a model

of isolation by distance, visually highlighting potential barriers to gene flow and migration

corridors. An effective diversity parameter is also estimated for every deme, reflecting local

deviations in heterozygosity. We ran several replicates using default priors, iteratively increasing

the grid density and chain length, with up to 2000 estimated demes. For each test, we ran three

chains, and after examining chain convergence, we assessed model fit by comparing the observed

and fitted dissimilarity between and within demes.

2.8. *Vocal variation*

We obtained sound recordings of vocalizations of *Pseudopipra* from the Macaulay Library

at the Cornell Lab of Ornithology (https://www.macaulaylibrary.org) and the Xeno-canto

(www.xeno-canto.org) collections in May 2019 (Supplementary Table 4). Vocalizations were

analyzed in Raven Pro 1.4 (Cornell University, 2011), and converted into spectrograms using a 512-

sample Hann window with 50% overlap. Audio recordings ranged from a cut of a single

vocalization to longer recordings of a lek with multiple individuals vocalizing. For our initial

assessment, we identified qualitatively distinct vocalization types based on our own survey of the

available recordings, without regard to the geographic location of the recordings or subspecies

identity.

Pseudopipra vocalizations have 1-3 buzzy and/or tonal notes. We measured: 1) starting

frequency, 2) ending frequency, 3) minimum frequency, 4) maximum frequency, 5) number of

notes, and 6) duration of the entire vocalization (Supplementary Figure 13). We performed principal

components analysis (PCA) and logistic regression on these vocal measurements to test for

significant differences between vocal types and to reduce the dimensionality of the data for

comparison to results from analysis of genetic data. Because no sound records were directly

associated with genetic samples in this study, we used geographic proximity to vocalization

recordings and localization to areas of endemism or areas bounded by clear physical barriers to

associate vocal types to genetic samples and taxonomic ranks. This approach assumes that

genetically and geographically proximate individuals are likely to share the same vocal type and

enabled us to perform a preliminary assessment of how variation in vocalization type maps onto

existing genetic variation. Testing the fine-scale association of genetic and vocalization boundaries

will require extensive field sampling from individual manakins. Additional analytical details and

analyses are reported as supplementary material.

3. Results

3.1. Genetic *data collection*

Our total genomic data set included an average of 1 ± 0.4 million sequences of 138 bp from

232 individuals of *Pseudopipra* and two *Ceratopipra rubrocapilla,* which were used as an outgroup.

Our reference-based assembly produced a catalogue with 47,046 RAD loci. Filtered SNP datasets

requiring a SNP to be present in at least 80% of individuals ranged from 1,960 unlinked SNPs

(dataset D1: 5% MAF with 1st SNP on each locus) to 41,024 SNPs (dataset D4: all SNPs on all loci,

no MAF) (Table 1). Datasets requiring a SNP to be present in 100% of individuals were

considerably smaller, as expected, and ranged from 175 SNPs in D1mod, to 3,832 SNPs in D4mod

(Table 1). We also obtained 168 mtDNA ND2 sequences using PCR methods which broadly

overlapped with our ddRAD dataset. Our mtDNA dataset included two subspecies, *pygmaea* and

coracina, from which we were not able to obtain enough high-quality material for ddRAD

sequencing.

3.2. *Phylogenetic analyses*

RAxML and SVDquartets analyses generated a consistently well-supported phylogenetic

hypothesis (Figure 3). The monophyletic lineages of *Pseudopipra* have distributions that are largely

congruent with previously recognized avian areas of endemism, and further reveal much finer scale

patterns of local biogeographic differentiation. Using the two *C. rubrocapilla* individuals to root the

phylogeny (not shown), we detected support for at least five major clades across all phylogenetic

analyses of nuclear genomic data, with most receiving high bootstrap support in concatenated or

coalescent analysis (clades A-E in Figures 3-5). We first describe the structure of these major

clades, followed by descriptions of well supported substructure within each of these regional

groupings. We indicate six bootstrap values for each clade with at least some strong support, from

each of the three datasets analyzed in RAxML and SVDquartets (see heatmaps, Figure 3).

Phylogenetic analysis of mtDNA (Supplemental Figure 17) generated a congruent result, except

where noted below.

3.2.1 *Phylogenetic structure*

The sister group to all the other *Pseudopipra* is a clade including individuals from

subtropical Central America and the northern Andes. The northern Andes are represented in our

ddRAD dataset by a single individual from subtropical forests (~1,000 m) of the eastern slopes of

the Andes in San Martín, Peru. This subtropical San Martín specimen (identified as *P. pipra*

occulta) was the only viable sample in our genomic dataset from the entire northern Andes region

(A1 in Figure 3). Mitochondrial data from three additional specimens from the Andes of southern

Ecuador (subspecies *coracina*) form a monophyletic group with the San Martín specimen; thus, this

northern Andean sister lineage to the Central American lineage likely includes all montane Andean

populations of *Pseudopipra* in Ecuador, Colombia, and Venezuela. A well supported Central

American clade (A2 in Figure 3) was identified in all analyses, with Costa Rican (A3 in Figure 3)

and Panamanian subclades (A4 in Figure 3) supported in RAxML.

The second successively nested clade in *Pseudopipra* includes individuals from subtropical

forests of the Andes of Southern Peru, which are sister to all remaining lineages (Clade B in Figure

3). The southern Andean Peru clade is further subdivided into two very well resolved clades: a

northern clade (B2 in Figure 3), sampled from the southern Cordillera Azul in southwestern Loreto,

and a southern clade from Pasco, Junín, and Cusco (labeled B1 in Figure 3). This southern Andean

Peru clade is the sister group to a monophyletic, lowland clade (F in Figure 3) that is further

subdivided into a southern Amazon clade + Atlantic Forest clade (Clade C in Figure 3), and a

Northern Amazonian + Guiana Shield clade (Clade D in Figure 3). Our analysis of mtDNA with

sampling of subspecies *pygmaea*, from the tropical forest of the lower Huallaga river Valley, Peru,

places this population as sister group to the entire lowland radiation (Clade F; Supplemental

Appendix).

Within the broad lowland Northern Amazonian + Guiana Shield clade (Clade D), virtually

no phylogenetic substructure is associated with strong bootstrap support. The maximum likelihood

tree however does have substantial biogeographic coherence, which we use as additional

justification for population subdivision when monophyletic groups are identified to be coincident

with geographic features. For example, all but one individual from coastal Suriname and Amapá

form a monophyletic assemblage (D1 in Figure 3), east of the Essequibo river. Likewise, all but one

individual from the far eastern Napo area of endemism, east of the Putumayo river, form a clade

(D2 in Figure 3). This coherence with landscape features is unlikely to be coincidental, though

support for these groups is weak. We treat clade D1 and D2 as well as two other Guianan regions,

as separate populations for descriptive population genetic statistics.

A widely distributed set of individuals encompassing the western portion of the Napo area

of endemism (from the northern bank of the confluence of the Solimões river and the Napo river

north of Iquitos, then west and south, Clade E in Figure 3) was recovered as the sister group to the

Guiana Shield + Northern Amazon clade (Clade D in Figure 3). Analysis of mtDNA

(Supplementary Figure 17) further resolved (BS > 99) two subgroups delimited by the Napo river.

Importantly, and in contrast to nuDNA, we infer that these mtDNA haplotypes are derived from

Inambari lineages (BS = 98, Clades C1 + C3 in Figure 3), and are not sister to Clade D. These

conflicting patterns are explained by subsequent population genetic analyses, which indicate that

this Napo population is a distinct lineage of the southern Amazonian clade (Clade C in Figure 3)

that has experienced substantial hybrid introgression from the northern Amazonian clade D (see

Population Genetic analyses and Discussion).

Within the large lowland Southern Amazonia clade (Clade C in Figure 3), we recovered

eight moderately supported and hierarchically nested clades, which are successive sister groups to

each other, and are congruent in distribution with recognized areas of endemism. First is a

moderately supported clade (C1 in Figure 3) of individuals from the western Inambari area of

endemism, from west of the Ucayali river to the Purus river. Although the first lineages to diverge

within clade C1 have low bootstrap support, a monophyletic subgroup of three montane (>1000m)

individuals from the highlands between the Huallaga river and Ucayali river cluster together with

high bootstrap support (C2 in Figure 3).

The next successively nested clade includes individuals from eastern Inambari, between the

Purus river and the Madeira river (C3 in Figure 3). The next successive clade includes two

individuals sampled from the Rondônia area of endemism, between the Madeira river and Tapajós

river (C4 in Figure 3). The next successive clade includes a group of individuals from the Tapajós

area of endemism between the Tapajós river and the Xingu river (C5 in Figure 3). Next, a clade

comprised of individuals from the northern Xingu area of endemism, east of the Xingu river (C6 in

Figure 3), is sister to a well-supported Brazilian Atlantic Forest clade (C7 in Figure 3), which is

further subdivided into three successive clades (north to south) in Bahia, Espírito Santo, and Rio de

Janeiro, respectively (C8, C9, and C10 in Figure 3). In summary, the subdivisions of the Southern

Amazonian clade reflect a stepwise west-east progression, with a hierarchically nested structure.

3.3. *Cluster inference and patterns of genomic admixture*

3.3.1. *Broad-scale population structure and admixture*

Weir and Cockerham's F_{st} was moderate when comparing populations within *Pseudopipra*;

for Dataset 1, overall F_{st} was 0.195 [95% CI: 0.187-0.203], and never dipped below 0.171 [0.168-

0.175] (Dataset 4). Notably, in SNP datasets filtered to remove sites with missing data, overall F_{st}

estimates were on average ~20% higher and ranged from 0.188 [0.175-0.201] (D4) to 0.261 [0.191-

0.336] (D2). Pairwise population F_{st} estimates from Dataset 1 (other datasets showed similar

patterns, see Supplementary Figure 10a-h), ranged from essentially undifferentiated, to almost

entirely distinct. At the extremes: comparing the geographically proximate Jaú and Guiana Shield

populations indicated a particularly low F_{st}: 0.005 [0.003 - 0.007]. By contrast, comparing the

Atlantic Forest Espírito Santo population to the Panama population recovered an F_{st} of 0.81 [0.79 -

0.83], or almost entirely differentiated. For reference, Hartl and Clark (1997) indicate $F_{st} > 0.15$

may be considered "great" genetic differentiation.

K-means clustering of SNP datasets on the basis of BIC score identified groups of

individuals that differed somewhat with respect to dataset filtering strategies (Summarized in Figure

5, right). For D1, K-means clustering identified five major groups: Central America (Clade A2),

South Andean Peru (Clade B), Atlantic Forest (Clade C7), Southern Amazon including the western

Napo population (the rest of Clade C + Clade E), all Guiana Shield + Northern Amazon (Clade D)

(Supplementary Figure 2a-h). For dataset D1, the montane sample from San Martín (North Andean

Peru, 5444.PE.MAR) clustered with Central American populations (Clade A), as it does in all

phylogenetic analyses. These clusters are essentially similar to those detected by STRUCTURE at

K=5 (Figure 4, 5, below). All other SNP datasets grouped the San Martín specimen with the

Southern Amazon populations. D1m further identified the Tapajós + Xingu individuals as a distinct

cluster, while D2, D3, D3m, D4, and D4m generated sets of clusters identical to D1, with the

exception of the clustering of the San Martín specimen, which clustered with the Southern Amazon

in those datasets. Additional field sampling of the North Andean population is required to

understand why this lineage may share signals of ancestry with northern and southern groups. K-

means clustering of D2m failed to discriminate any lowland Amazonian populations

(Supplementary Figure 2d). Thus, only 75 low frequency SNPs which are removed in D1m but are

present in D2m obfuscate the signal of population differentiation detected by K-means clustering of

D1m. Lastly, across datasets, standard PCoA explained 13-26% of the variance in the SNP data on

the first two axes (Supplementary Figure 2).

Visual inspection of $Pr(X|K)$ from summarized STRUCTURE runs on dataset D1 indicated

that the likelihood of each successive K from 1:20 plateaued at K = 5, with the standard deviation

across runs increasing rapidly after this point (Supplementary Figure 3). We describe patterns of

inferred admixture as they appear in Figure 5, reading from top to bottom. For K5, individuals from

Central America are unambiguously assigned to their own cluster (Clade A2 in Figure 3, 5). The

north Andean montane specimen from San Martín, (North Andean Peru, 5444.PE.MAR) is

reconstructed as being highly admixed, with a genomic composition including 4 of the 5 inferred

clusters. However, this inference is tenuous because we have only one individual to represent this

likely distinct and highly diverse north Andean lineage (though see RADpainter below, which is

consistent with these results). Samples from the southern Amazon and Atlantic Forest (Clade C),

have no detected admixture with northern populations, except for some individuals from the

western (Clade C1), and to a more limited degree, the eastern (Clade C3) Inambari populations,

which are inferred to have limited ($\sim$ <5%) admixture with individuals assigned to the Northern

Amazon + Guiana Shield (Clade D, see Figure 5, 6, and discussion below).

Going from West to East across the Southern Amazon, limited admixture with Atlantic

Forest alleles (green, Clade C7) is first inferred in the Tapajós (Clade C5) area of endemism. This

admixture component increases in the Xingu area of endemism (Clade C8) and increases further in

a geographic and genetic cline to 100% assignment probability to a distinct cluster in the Rio de

Janeiro clade (Clade C10). Given the geographic context of this cline in admixture, we suggest this

pattern may a product of isolation by distance, hierarchical structure, and geographic barriers, and

not isolated introgression events. We note that the conStruct method (Bradburd et al., 2018) may be

useful for explicitly testing this hypothesis (Supplemental Appendix), however our evaluation of

this method suggested that it is presently intractable for a dataset of this size.

Next, all individuals from the western portion of the Napo area of endemism are inferred to

be heavily admixed (Clade E; Figure 5). On average, at K=5, STRUCTURE assigns

40.2% of these individuals' (n=10) genetic composition to the Guiana Shield population (SD 4.7%,

average Bayesian credible interval (aBCI): [0.33-0.47]), 50.4% to the Southern Amazon population

(SD 3.4%, aBCI: [0.43-0.58]), as well as limited 4.15% probability to Central Peru (SD 3.1%,

aBCI: [0.01-0.08]), and 5.2% to Central America (SD 4.8%, aBCI: [0.02-0.1]). The three localities

included in this clade– (1) north of Iquitos, on the east bank of the confluence of the Solimões river

and the Napo river, (2) southwest of Iquitos in Allpahuayo Mishana Reserve (represented by three

mtDNA samples), and (3) near San Jacinto, Ecuador– indicate that this heavily admixed population

(corresponding to subspecies *discolor*) may occur across a large area of the western Napo region of

endemism, and therefore may not represent a narrow hybrid zone (Figures 2-7).

Lastly, at K=5, all members of the Guiana Shield + Northern Amazon clade (Clade D) are

inferred to be virtually unadmixed. Individuals in the far eastern Napo area of endemism on the east

bank of the Putumayo river (brown markers in Figure 3, 4) cluster with other Guiana Shield groups

in phylogenetic analyses but are detected to have limited (~5%) admixture with pure individuals of

Southern Amazon provenance. At higher values of K, the broad-scale population assignments

inferred at K=5 are mostly unchanged, however additional admixture components are inferred for

most groups. The introgressed western Napo clade is eventually placed into its own cluster at K=9-

10 (see Supplementary Figure 4, Supplementary Figure 8).

3.3.2. *Fine-scale population structure and admixture*

Admixture analysis using the RAD locus optimized chromosome painting approach in

RADpainter and then population assignment with fineRADstructure (Lawson et al., 2012; Malinsky

et al., 2018), was congruent with other analyses, and also detected finer partitions of population

structure. The fineRADstructure program detected 15 groups of individuals which were each

supported with a posterior probability of 100% and which also overlapped with sampling regions

which we previously delimited for population genetic analyses based on geographic barriers (Black

markers in Figure 3, Supplementary Figure 5). The only sampling regions which fineRADstructure

failed to confidently partition into separate groups consisted of a group of individuals in the Jaú area

of endemism, and a group of individuals in the eastern Napo area of endemism (both groups are

poorly resolved in phylogenetic analyses, but unambiguously members of the broader Northern

Amazon + Guiana Shield clade D). The eastern Napo individuals (D2 in figure 3) are identified as a

low support group (*pp* = 0.49), while the Jaú individuals are lumped in with other western Guiana

Shield individuals (D in figure 3). See Supplementary Figure 5 (fineRADstructure dendrogram),

and the full dataset coancestry matrix plots Supplementary Figures 6-7.

Patterns of coancestry show a complex mosaic of shared ancestry among populations

(Supplementary Figures 6-7). In general, coancestry patterns appear consistent with admixture

patterns detected by STRUCTURE, including the case of the highly introgressed western Napo

lineage. Therefore, we take patterns indicated by STRUCTURE to be reliable. Notably, the high

level of admixture initially inferred for our San Martín sample is recapitulated in RADpainter

coancestry analysis – this sample has relatively high coancestry across most populations (as does

the pattern of coancestry we report for the western Napo lineage, below).

Lastly, the Lawson et al. (2012) "normalized PCA" approach provided with

fineRADstructure captured 89% of the variance in the genetic data on the first four component axes

(51.4%, 24.9%, 7.77%, 4.94%) indicating that the coancestry matrix captures much more

information about genetic variance than standard PCoA/PCA of SNP data (Supplementary Figure

8a-c). It is therefore not surprising that K-means clustering of coancestry principal component axes

better identified groups of individuals which match previously described subspecies designations

and phylogenetic structure (Figure 5, CoA).

3.4. *Phylogenetic reticulation in the western Napo lineage*

Separate RADpainter and PhyloNet analysis of the populations in the western Napo area of

endemism and their putative progenitor lineages support the hypothesis that this lineage has a

complex history of introgression. One-tailed tests of mean coancestry indicated the null hypothesis

that the difference in means among groups is less than or equal to zero can be strongly rejected (ghlt

p < 2e-16), and that individuals from the western Napo population have greater median/mean

coancestry with each progenitor lineage than their progenitor lineages share with each other

(PHvGS/progenitors = ~1.078, PHvInambari/progenitors = ~1.16). These analyses establish the

asymmetry in admixture patterns detected by STRUCTURE. On average, western Napo individuals

share ~ 7.5% greater coancestry with Inambari individuals (southern Amazon) than they do with

other Northern Amazon individuals (glht p < 2e-16), indicating these sampled individuals probably

do not include F1 hybrids. We did not observe obvious patterns of clinal variation within our

samples of this lineage (spanning ~20,500 km^2).

fineRADstructure analysis of the coancestry matrix, as well as visualization of the

coancestry matrix indicated that the western Napo population may be differentiated, as well as

intermediate when compared to its progenitors (Figure 6a-d). Principle components analysis (Figure

6d) of the coancestry matrix indicate that the western Napo lineage is intermediate on PC1

(compared to progenitor lineages), but differentiated on PC2, which would be expected if the Napo

lineage has had sufficient time in reproductive isolation for sorting of ancestral alleles or for the

evolution of new alleles (Barrera-Guzmán et al., 2018). This hypothesis is supported by the

observation that this lineage has distinct mitochondrial haplotypes derived from Inambari

populations (~separated from Inambari haplotypes by ~6.5 substitutions or 0.63%), as well as

analyses of IBD (below).

Bootstrapped estimates of F_{st} indicated the same general patterns: 95%CI; western Napo vs

Eastern Napo (Northern progenitor, 0.07 - 0.090), western Napo v Inambari (Southern progenitor,

0.058 - 0.077): Eastern Napo vs Inambari: (between progenitors, 0.122 - 0.144). These patterns of

F_{st} estimates were robust to alternative assignments of geographically proximate individuals to

progenitor linages (for example, including an additional adjacent population for both of the putative

progenitor lineages). Thus, the introgressed western Napo lineage is less differentiated from *each* of

its progenitor lineages than its progenitor lineages are from each other and it is *also* biased in its

genetic composition toward Inambari populations.

PhyloNet detected that an evolutionary reticulation is the best model to explain the origin of

the western Napo lineage (Figure 6a). This result provides a plausible evolutionary scenario upon

which we can interpret other statistics. All parameters in the RJMCMC search achieved an effective

sample size > 150 (most >1000), and PhyloNet recovered two network topologies representing

98.6% of the posterior distribution. The top ranked network (*pp* = 57%, Figure 6a) implies the

MRCA of the northern Amazonian (Eastern Napo + Jaú) and southern (Inambari + Western Napo)

lineages diverged first (clade F). This initial split was followed by a split within the southern lineage

that led to the Inambari (S1) and progenitor western Napo (S2a) populations (MRCA of S1 and S2a:

Figure 6a). At some point, genetically distinct nuclear genomes from the Northern lineage (clade

D/D2) introgressed into S2a (ancestral western Napo), which gave rise to the contemporary western

Napo population (S2).

The second-best network (*pp* = 41%, Supplemental Data) depicts a scenario of classic

hybrid speciation. First, northern and southern lineages of Clade F diverged, as in the top ranked

network. Then, members of S1 and the NW Amazonian lineages merged to form a hybrid lineage.

We base our subsequent discussion on the top ranked network, as this hypothesis is more consistent

with patterns we detect in other analyses. Support for these two reticulation scenarios is similar,

however, and they present interesting alternatives which both require a complex history of

reticulation.

Taken in the context of STRUCTURE (Figure 5) and fineRADstructure (Figure 6) results,

the gene flow implied by the top ranked network seems to have been asymmetrical, leaving very

little evidence of southern admixture among northern lineages (see Figure 5, "weakly resolved

eastern Napo," which are detected to have very limited ($< \sim 5\%$) southern admixture). This

observation, taken alone, could have been produced by sampling biases. However, demographic

parameters estimated with G-PhoCS also support this hypothesis: the only significant migration rate

(lower 95% CI > 1 migrant per generation) was inferred from the eastern Napo (member of Guiana

Shield lineage) lineage into S2/S2a (Figure 6, Supplementary Table 5).

G-PhoCS also allows us to place a preliminary maximum age constraint on the timing of

introgression into S2a. Assuming 2.47 years per generation in *Pseudopipra* (estimated in Bird et al.,

2020, though we consider this a lower bound) and a constant mutation rate, the absolute splitting

time between S2a and S1 may have been ~1.2 Ma (Figure 6, Supplementary Table 5). Though we

emphasize that this is a rough approximation, this result is loosely consistent with prior divergence

time estimates for several groups in the genus based on molecular clock analysis of mtDNA

(Castro-Astor, 2014); that study estimated ssp *pipra* (Clade D; Northern Amazon + Guiana Shield

lineage) to have branched off from its closest relatives ~ 0.67 Ma [95% HPD: 0.3506-1.1287].

Therefore, introgression into S2a must have occurred more recently.

Lastly, patterns of admixture (Figure 5, Supplemental Figure 6, 7) suggest some evidence of

limited introgression of Northern Amazon alleles into the southwestern Amazonian Inambari

population (Figure 5). This could be a consequence of limited gene flow into the Inambari

population concurrently with or after the primary introgression event(s) which generated the

introgressed western Napo lineage. While our interpretations are conditional on whether or not our

genetic sample is indicative of a broader pattern, the product of this process appears to be a distinct,

geographically isolated and introgressed lineage within the western Napo area of endemism.

3.5. *Spatial distribution of genetic variation*

Under isolation by distance (IBD), the empirical relationship between geographic distance

and genetic distance is related to offspring dispersal distance and population size (Rousset, 1997).

Isolation by distance effects are thus predicted when individual dispersal distances are smaller than

a species' range (Teske et al., 2018). Fifteen out of eighteen considered areas (see Figure 4, and the

Supplemental Appendix for a description of how these areas were defined) contained > 2

individuals, and thus could be evaluated for isolation by distance effects within them. Five of these

regions were identified to have a significant signal of IBD within them at the 0.001 alpha level

(Table 2). This signal, however, was not consistent across different proxies of genetic and

geographic distance, suggesting that different processes may be contributing to spatial correlations

within these areas. Partial Mantel tests of barriers to gene flow indicated that all but one evaluated

physical barrier may play significant roles in structuring genetic variation between areas (Table 2).

Taken together, Mantel and partial Mantel tests suggest individuals are generally able to disperse

within localized regions (with some signal of spatial autocorrelation within regions) but are unable

to cross prominent geographic barriers delimiting these areas (Table 2). Notably, the Negro river

was the only evaluated barrier which is not detected to exhibit a significant effect— (Table 2 and

Supplementary Table 2).

Model based analysis of migration rates with EEMS largely corroborated the specific

hypotheses implied by Mantel tests. For dissimilarities between pairs of demes, the correlation

between observed and fitted values was high – $R^2 = 0.871$ – within demes somewhat less – $R^2 =$

0.579 (Supplementary Figures 10, 11). Thus, the EEMS model appears to do a good job of

describing spatially structured variation in this dataset, and a non-stationary migration surface is a

better fit to the data than simple isolation by distance. The EEMS visualization detects many strong

signals (posterior probability > 0.9) of barriers to gene flow (Figure 7). As expected, the Andes and

the Amazon river were unambiguously detected as the most significant barriers to gene flow and are

clearly recovered as landscape features, even though the model does not know these features exist.

EEMS also performs well in inferring the spatial extents of the lowland Amazonian range (range

map outlined in black), despite very limited genetic sampling toward the edge of the distribution.

EEMS further indicates signals of deviation from constant IBD that correspond to several

Amazonian tributaries (Figure 7), which are also recapitulated by Mantel tests (Table 2). As noted

above, the Negro river is not clearly identified by EEMS, nor by Mantel tests as a significant barrier

in *Pseudopipra*. Simultaneously, uneven sampling, and the predominant signal of the Amazon river

in EEMS analysis at this broad scale, may be obscuring more localized effects in the Amazon basin

which are detected with Mantel tests; for instance, across the Madeira river (Table 2, $p < 0.001$). An

additional strong barrier to gene flow was inferred in the south-central amazon (south-central brown

patch in Figure 7), corresponding to the Caatinga - Cerrado – Chaco "dry-diagonal" biomes. Strong

signals of genetic connectivity are inferred in many localities (blue), notably west of the Andes,

connecting north Andean and Central American lineages (despite a lack of sampling in that region).

This link perhaps reflects the common ancestry among montane lineages in these regions.

Consistent with other analyses, EEMS detects the large Guianan + Northern Amazonian region (E.

Napo + Jaú + Guiana) to have relatively weak population structure across a variety of barriers

(including the Negro river). EEMS detects several areas of continuous gene flow within the Atlantic

Forest, likely corresponding to the Coastal Bahia and Serra do Mar areas identified by da Silva et al.

(2004) (Figure 7). The introgressed hybrid lineage from the western Napo area is inferred to be

genetically isolated from neighboring populations, with reductions in gene flow which appear to

coincide with the Marañón river in the south and Putumayo/Içá rivers to the north.

Lastly, EEMS detected two broad clusters of relatively high genetic diversity (relative

heterozygosity: Supplementary Figure 12). One is centered along the Amazon river and was

relatively uniform within the northern Amazonian basin, reflecting relatively high genetic diversity

in the Guiana Shield populations. Notably, the other prominent high diversity area overlaps with our

sampling of the introgressed western Napo lineage. Areas of relatively low genetic diversity

included the Atlantic Forest, the Peruvian Andes, and Central American lineages. These patterns are

consistent with direct estimates of regional variation in allelic richness (Supplementary Appendix).

3.6. *Vocal variation*

We examined 198 recordings of *Pseudopipra* and identified 14 distinct vocalizations that

can be diagnosed by ear or visual inspection of sonograms (arbitrarily labeled numerically in Figure

8). This set of vocalization types includes both advertisement vocalizations from males at leks and

calls by males and females in other contexts. After examining recordist notes, we generated a

preliminary hypothesis which distinguishes eleven highly variable and distinct, lekking

advertisements (Figure 8a) from three broadly shared call types (Figure 8b). Our delimitation of

vocalizations into advertisement and call categories partly reflects expert opinion, as the behavioral

context of vocal records was not always clear (see Supplemental Appendix). Work by Castro-Astor

et al. (2007) provides important insights of the vocal behavior of Atlantic forest populations, but

most *Pseudopipra* populations lack similarly detailed studies. Vocalization types within each

category can be statistically discriminated based on a combination of the frequency, duration, and

number of notes. PCA and logistic regression on lekking vocalizations (n=114, Supplementary

Table 3), and calls (n=33) found significant differences among types ($p < 0.001$). The first three

axes of a PCA explained ~90% of the variation in lekking vocalization characters, with PC1 (~64%)

primarily explaining variation in note number and frequency (Supplementary Figure 15).

Our assignments of vocal types to well supported genetic groups are preliminary in the

absence of recordings from the same individuals from which we have genetic samples.

Nevertheless, we found that most of the 11 lek advertisement vocalizations are phylogenetically

diagnostic of the populations in which they were recorded (except for two cases of apparent

plesiomorphy, Supplementary Figure 16b). In contrast, the three call vocalization types we

identified were diagnostic of one lineage (type 5, clade D), or shared plesiomorphically across two

ancient clades (type 10 and 13, Supplementary Figure 16a). See Taxonomic Summary, below.

4. Discussion

In comparison to the great diversity of Neotropical organisms, there have been few studies

focused on understanding both fine and broad scale diversity patterns within broadly distributed

Neotropical taxa, and this has slowed the biogeographic interpretation of the effects that many

landscape features have on the evolution of Neotropical organisms (Fernandes et al., 2013; Harvey

et al., 2017; Harvey and Brumfield, 2015; Marks et al., 2002; Milá et al., 2012; Nyári, 2007). We

investigated the phylogenetic and population genetic history of a continentally distributed taxon

currently recognized as a single species– *Pseudopipra pipra*– using a genomic dataset which

samples thousands of loci across the genomes of 232 individuals representing eight of thirteen

Pseudopipra subspecies. Two additional subspecies, *pygmaea* and *coracina*, were represented by

mtDNA. Our genetic sampling encompasses a majority of the broad range of *P. pipra*, spanning

Neotropical forests from Central America to the Atlantic Forest of Brazil, including highland and

lowland areas of endemism. Importantly, our sampling of vocal variation fills in gaps in our genetic

sampling—therefore, our intersecting datasets allow us to assess at least some data from all thirteen

previously identified subspecies (Figure 2).

The predominant biogeographic signal we detect in our dataset is of two successively nested

montane clades as the sister groups to several major lowland Amazonian and Atlantic Forest clades

(Figure 5, see *Historical Biogeography*, below). This pattern implies that lowland South American

and montane Central American populations of *Pseudopipra* expanded historically "out of the

Andes." Ancient lineages endemic to the Andes may have originated around the onset of the

Pleistocene ~2.5 Ma (molecular clock estimates from Castro-Astor, 2014). The lowland clade

within *Pseudopipra* originated (~1.085 [0.57-1.79] Ma) in southwestern Amazonia (Figure 4) and

expanded, occupying Amazonia on both margins of the Amazon river, and eventually reached the

Atlantic forest (see below). Therefore, our study provides an unusual example of a widespread

suboscine passerine which originated in the Andean highlands.

4.1 *Population genetic variation*

We examined our dataset using a variety of population genetic approaches intended to assess

the number of natural genetic groupings that may be present in *Pseudopipra*. Phenetic K-means

clustering of SNP data and analysis with STRUCTURE estimated a minimum of 5 genetic clusters

with broad geographic ranges (Figure 5, Supplementary Figure 2, Supplementary Figure 4). K-

means clustering of the coancestry matrix (which captured substantially more variation than

standard PCoA) more finely partitioned the dataset into 8 genetic clusters (Figure 5 CoA,

Supplementary Figure 8), which closely recapitulates previously identified subspecies boundaries

(Figure 2). Phylogenetic (RAxML, SVDquartets) and model-based population genetic

(fineRADstructure) analyses of nuclear genomic data detected fifteen identical groups of

individuals which coincided with sampling regions delimited by clear geographic barriers (results,

Figure 3, 4, Supplementary Appendix). Two additional subspecies represented by mtDNA (results)

bring the total number of distinct genetic populations delimited by geographic barriers to at least

808 seventeen. The close concordance observed between population structure and landscape features

809 implies that the evolutionary history of *Pseudopipra* within the Neotropics is deeply connected to

810 the South American landscape, adding support to a rich body of literature endorsing this hypothesis

811 (Brumfield, 2012; Cracraft and Prum, 1988; Silva et al., 2019).

812  Our sampling reveals a "horseshoe" pattern of genetic differentiation across the Amazon

813 basin (Figure 4), with no evidence of gene flow across the Amazon river, except in the western

814 headwaters where the Amazon is narrowest and floodplains are dynamic and young (Haffer, 1992;

815 Pupim et al., 2019; Räsänen et al., 1987). Given that the genus originated in the west, we

816 hypothesize that northern and southern clades diverged across the Amazon river in this region. For

817 virtually all evaluated cases, we find significant effects of geographic barriers on subdividing

818 genetic variation within this species complex, including across the Amazon river and most of its

819 larger tributaries, with the notable exception of the Negro river (Figure 7 and Supplementary Table

820 2a, 2b). As expected, the "dry-diagonal" Caatinga, Cerrado, and Chaco belt is a strong barrier to

821 gene flow, isolating Atlantic Forest lineages from their southeastern Amazonian Xingu relatives—

822 as are the Andes, which exhibit a disproportionate effect on divergence between Peruvian foothills

823 populations and Central American lineages (see Supplementary Appendix for additional

824 discussion).

825  Multiple analyses indicate that the western Napo population has a complex history of initial

826 and early differentiation from the broader Southern Amazonian clade, followed by subsequent,

827 asymmetric genetic introgression from Jaú and eastern Napo populations of the Guianan Shield +

828 Northern Amazon clade (Clade D; see discussion below). When the genetic data are analyzed under

829 either concatenated or coalescent phylogenetic frameworks which do not allow for phylogenetic

830 reticulation, the western Napo population is reconstructed as most closely related to Guiana Shield

831 + Northern Amazon populations (Figure 3, Figure 5, Clade D). In contrast, phylogenetic network

analysis indicates that this population is derived from individuals of Inambari (southern Amazon)

provenance (Figure 6, also summarized in Figure 4). This incongruence between methods is likely

due to the complexity of the constituent gene trees in this population-level differentiation induced

by asymmetric introgression from the Jaú and eastern Napo populations (Thom et al., 2018). We

hypothesize that introgression has introduced more recently derived variation from the Guiana

Shield + Northern Amazon clade D into an older, differentiated, population of the Southern

Amazonian clade, pulling the phylogenetic affinity toward the northern clade. A similar scenario

may have occurred in another manakin lineage, *Lepidothrix coronata*, in which nuclear and

mitochondrial markers seem to show contrasting topologies regarding the western Amazonian Napo

lineage (Reis et al., 2020).

4.2 *History of an introgressed hybrid lineage*

The substantial level of observed introgression (>40%) in the western Napo individuals, as

well as a suite of genomic and phenotypic evidence, suggests that this genetic population may be

best recognized as a hybrid lineage, though it is uncertain if it can be considered a product of hybrid

speciation. At the time of writing, five prior examples of hybrid species formation in birds have

been evaluated with genomic data: (1) the Golden-crowned manakin *Lepidothrix vilasboasi*

(Barrera-Guzmán et al., 2018), (2), the Audubon's warbler *Setophaga auduboni* (Brelsford et al.,

2011), (3) the Italian sparrow *Passer italiae* (Hermansen et al., 2011), (4) the Hawaiian duck *Anas*

wyvilliana (Lavretsky et al., 2015), and (5) a putative species of Darwin's finches *Geospiza*

(Lamichhaney et al., 2018). These examples of mosaic genome hybrid speciation, in which the

fusion of two or more progenitor lineages leads to a reproductively isolated hybrid species

(Counterman, 2016; Jiggins et al., 2008), repeatedly demonstrate that modern patterns of avian

diversity may have been significantly influenced by historical phylogenetic reticulation (Suh, 2016).

Our analyses of genomic data across *Pseudopipra* indicate that the western Napo lineage may be a

differentiated, allopatric phylogenetic species which has a genomic composition derived from heavy

historical admixture with a non-sister lineage. This historical scenario is not the same as those

examples cited above, but it has produced a population genetic signature which is quite similar

(compare to Barrera-Guzmán et al., 2018). These observations force us to ask whether historical

process, or contemporary genetic pattern should be paramount in delineating a hybrid species.

Some authors have proposed strict population genetic criteria for identifying homoploid

hybrid speciation, including demonstrating (1) evidence of reproductive isolation from parental

species, (2) evidence of past hybridization, and (3) evidence that intrinsic reproductive isolation was

derived from the hybridization event (Schumer et al., 2014). The first two conditions seem to be

satisfied by the western Napo *Pseudopipra* lineage. First, phylogenetic and population genetic

analyses are consistent with contemporary reproductive isolation. Phylogenetic analyses of nuclear

genome data, including concatenated and coalescent analyses (Figure 3, 4, 6), recover this lineage

as a well-supported reciprocally monophyletic group. Differentiated mtDNA haplotypes from a

broader geographic sample (Figure 6) also form subgroups derived from southern Inambari

haplotypes (results, Supplementary Figure 17), implying reproductive isolation from female

northern progenitors.

PCA-based and model-based population genetic cluster analyses (Figure 6b, 6c,

Supplementary Figures 4-9), help establish this lineage as intermediate *and* differentiated when

compared to the living descendants of its inferred progenitors. The level of differentiation on PC2

corresponds to about 10% of the total variation explained by PC1 and PC2 (~85%). At the same

time, Mantel tests, as well as model-based analysis of isolation by distance, support the hypothesis

that contemporary gene flow into and out of this population is restricted (Figure 7, Supplementary

Figure 12). Thus, we interpret our G-PhoCS results of a significant migration parameter (results) as

indicative of a window of historical introgression, which could be further explored with simulation

studies. Estimates of inbreeding coefficients also support this hypothesis and suggest similar levels

of inbreeding among *Pseudopipra* populations in the western Amazon ($F_{IS} > 0.1$, Supplementary

Figure 9, and Supplementary Appendix). The western Napo population is thus not detected to be

more outbred than most populations (i.e., recently introgressed). In sum, these patterns observed in

our genetic data are consistent with the hypothesis of contemporary reproductive isolation for the

introgressed Western Napo populations.

The second criterion from Schumer et al. (2014), evidence of past hybridization, is directly

supported by multiple model-based analysis of genomic admixture and phylogenetic reticulation

(Figure 5, 6), and indirectly supported by model based and phenetic cluster analysis (Supplementary

Figures 4-9). We hypothesize that hybridization initially occurred between progenitor lineage S2a

and western lineages of the Guiana Shield + Northern Amazon clade (Figure 6a). Lineage S2a was

then replaced by the novel introgressed lineage, which exchanged genes with neighboring

populations within a finite time window.

The third criterion from Schumer et al. (2014), evidence that intrinsic reproductive isolation

was derived from the hybridization event, is recognized as the most difficult to demonstrate, and

probably the most tenuous. As discussed by Nieto Feliner et al. (2017) in critique of Schumer et al.

(2014), this criterion may be useful for framing the strength of evidence for or against the existence

of a homoploid hybrid species, but only if one adheres strictly to the biological species concept

(Mayr, 1942). If one applies a more evolutionary definition of species, like the metapopulation

lineage concept, in which the only necessary requirement is demonstration of separately evolving

lineages (de Queiroz, 2005), the importance of demonstrating intrinsic reproductive isolation to

claim species status for a putative hybrid lineage (or any lineage at all) is less clear to us. Speciation

in birds in particular is thought to proceed relatively fast, and may be more affected by external

factors than internal ones (Grant and Grant, 1997). Further, requiring hybridization to be the *source*

of intrinsic isolation excludes the possibility that extrinsic or pre-zygotic isolation may evolve from

changes that enable hybrids access to new niches, which may also be geographically isolated

relative to parental forms (Nieto Feliner et al., 2017).

Intriguingly, the evolutionary scenario implied by phylogenetic reticulation analysis for the

introgressed western Napo hybrids provides a mechanism to explain a confusing distribution of

vocalization types (Figure 8, Supplementary Appendix). The males from the Napo area of

endemism share vocalization type 2 with birds in the southeastern Amazon (Figure 8,

Supplementary Figure 16), and with the restricted Huallaga valley *pygmaea* subspecies (the sister

group to all lowland Amazonian forms, according to mtDNA). Manakins are suboscine passerines

and have innate vocalizations (though see Saranathan et al., 2007). Therefore, the introgressed Napo

lineage appears to have inherited its vocalizations from the MRCA of groups S1 and S2 (Figure 6a)

and retained it through subsequent introgression with northern lineages that have a different vocal

type (Type 3 and/or 5). In this scenario, vocal type 7 in the western Inambari represents more

recently derived variation in the southern Amazonian clade (Figure 8, Supplementary Figure 16).

As noted earlier, the western Napo lineage also has mtDNA haplotypes derived from

Inambari populations (Supplementary Figure 17). In addition to implying reproductive isolation

from the Guiana Shield clade, this mitochondrial pattern implies that the introgressed Napo lineage

may be descended from the union of progenitor female S2a and progenitor western males of the

Guiana Shield + Northern Amazon clade, perhaps through male biased dispersal or mate choice (a

preliminary test of male biased dispersal did not detect a signal, see the Supplemental Appendix).

Regardless, this pattern raises fascinating questions about why and how the western Napo lineage

may have retained its southern vocalization type.

Taking all of the available genetic and phenotypic evidence into account, we suggest that the

western Napo lineage may be an additional example of the formation of a hybrid lineage in birds,

and one that was produced via an underappreciated historical mechanism. Assuming that our

limited sampling is representative of the *discolor* subspecies, the patterns we observe appear to be

most similar to "hybrid trait speciation," in which a hybrid species is formed after introgression

from one species into a genomic background of a close relative (Counterman, 2016; Jiggins et al.,

2008; Marques et al., 2019). This type of combinatorial hybrid speciation was originally proposed

from studies of *Heliconius* butterflies (Jiggins et al., 2008; Salazar et al., 2010).

4.3 *Comparative and Historical Biogeography in South American Lowlands*

The spatial pattern of population structure and evolutionary relationships we identify here is

broadly congruent with other recent studies of Neotropical biogeography in upland *terra firme*

forest birds, contributing another example of the substantial phylogeographic pseudocongruence

across taxa at this wide scale (e.g. Cunningham and Collins, 1994; Harvey et al., 2017; Harvey and

Brumfield, 2015; Ribas et al., 2012; Silva et al., 2019; Thom and Aleixo, 2015). Southern

Amazonian tributaries, such as the Xingu, Tapajós, and Purus, delimit recently isolated populations,

while northern *Pseudopipra* populations show weaker evidence of being isolated by tributaries with

larger discharges, like the Negro and Japurá rivers. The widespread occurrence of white sands

habitats, which are used by *Pseudopipra* along the Negro river basin (Borges et al., 2001) may

weaken the effect of this river barrier, as has been observed in white sand vegetation associated

species, including the piprid *Xenopipo artronitens* (Capurucho et al., 2013).

A recent comparative analysis shows that large rivers delimit genetic clusters in as many as

23 groups of upland Amazonian forest birds, strongly arguing in favor of these rivers acting as

barriers to gene flow (Silva et al., 2019). Our study thus adds *Pseudopipra* to a list of similarly

distributed Neotropical bird species recognized to have spatial patterns of genetic diversity

influenced by Amazonian rivers. We speculate that the somewhat distinct pattern found for

Pseudopipra may be related to its recent expansion throughout the eastern Andean lowlands and its

habitat tolerance.

Within the manakins, Ohlson et al. (2013) placed *Pseudopipra* as sister to the genus

Ceratopipra, which includes five well-recognized species that are extensively codistribtuted with

Pseudopipra. The montane origin of *Pseudopipra* may be congruent with the observation that

Pseudopipra is the sister group to *Ceratopipra,* which is also broadly distributed in the Neotropical

lowlands from Central America to the Atlantic Forest and has a montane sister group (*C. cornuta*)

(Leite et al., 2021; Ohlson et al., 2013; Prum, 1992). The breakpoints among these *Ceratopipra*

species are highly concordant with the breakpoints among the genetic clusters within the

Pseudopipra complex that we have presented here, implying that these closely related taxa have

components of their phylogeographic history in common. See the Supplemental Appendix for

additional notes, as well as Castro-Astor (2014). Future studies of these patterns should be fruitful.

A key feature of the phylogeny of *Pseudopipra* is that montane Andean lineages are sister

groups to both the montane Central American and the lowland Amazonian-southeast Brazilian

lineages (Figure 5). Ancestral character reconstruction under Bayesian stochastic mapping and

maximum parsimony therefore unambiguously reconstructs the most recent common ancestor of

Pseudopipra as Andean (Figure 3-5, Supplemental Appendix). Thus, it appears that the most recent

common ancestor of *Pseudopipra* was an Andean lineage restricted to subtropical, lower montane

forest. The earliest diversification event in the genus was likely the differentiation of the ancestral

Andean populations across the region where the headwaters of the Huallaga river, Peru are currently

located. The northern lineage gave rise to the subtropical montane lineages of the northern Andes

and Central America (Clade A). The southern lineage gave rise to a subtropical montane Southern

Peruvian lineage (Clade B) and a southwestern lowland Amazonian lineage (*pygmaea*, represented

in our dataset by mtDNA). Subsequently, the Amazonian lineage expanded to the eastern lowlands

and differentiated into the northern lowland Amazonian + Guiana Shield (Clade D in Figure 3) and

southern lowland Amazonian/Atlantic Forest (Clade C in Figure 3) clades, perhaps tracking

available upland forest habitats at a time when western Amazonia was dominated by wetlands

(Pupim et al., 2019). Within the Southern Amazonian clade, differentiation proceeded from west to

east (Figure 3, 4). Contrastingly, the northern Amazonian lineage also expanded all the way to the

Atlantic Ocean, but without producing strong phylogenetic structure (Figures 3, 4).

As upland forest became available in western Amazonia, replacing the seasonally flooded

terraces of the Solimões river (Pupim et al., 2019), the area was probably occupied by neighboring

upland lineages. At the same time, the main river channels became entrenched, promoting contact

and isolation among western lowland populations (Bicudo et al., 2019). Both the Solimóes and

Japurá rivers seem to have undergone recent changes due to sedimentation dynamics and channel

reorganization (Ruokolainen et al., 2019), and such processes likely affected upland forest bird

populations. The pattern of introgression in the western Napo *Pseudopipra* lineage may be

temporally congruent with a similar pattern described for *Lepidothrix coronata,* with a split across

the upper Solimões around 1-1.5 Ma, and hypothesized introgression from Northeastern lineages

(Reis et al., 2020).

The effects of these recent landscape reconfigurations in western Amazonia probably varied

according to the habitat affinities and intrinsic characteristics of the different clades, with some

retaining Northern and Southern lineages delimited by the main Solimões channel (Ribas et al.,

2012; Silva et al., 2019); some in which southern lineages are more closely related to lineages in the

Solimões/Japurá interfluve (Silva et al., 2019, counterclockwise pattern); and some in which

northern lineages group with lineages at the Solimões/Madeira interfluve (Ribas et al., 2018; Silva

et al., 2019). These varying patterns point to a dynamic history of western Amazonian upland

forests, and introgression with the formation of hybrid lineages may have been common in the

history of many taxa. As genomic data becomes available for more clades, hybrid lineages may turn

out to be common in this region of high diversity and extremely dynamic landscape history.

Lastly, a well-supported distinct montane (>1000m) (BS: 100) (Clade C2 in Figure 3;

Cushabatay area, northern Cordillera Azul, Loreto Prov., Peru) is recovered as nested within the

well supported lowland western Inambari subclade (Clade C1 in Figure 3). Thus, there may have

been at least one secondary invasion of montane Andean habitats from a lowland ancestor. Patterns

of admixture support this hypothesis – montane individuals from the northern Cordillera Azul and

their proximate lowland relatives all show limited genomic admixture from derived lineages of the

Guiana Shield + Northern Amazon clade (yellow in Figure 5). This result is consistent with a

scenario of secondary invasion of the highlands from lowland Inambari lineages after weak

introgression from the western Napo (see below).

1012

4.4 *North Andean diversity*

Our final genomic data set does not include any samples from Andean Ecuador or

Colombia, a region that includes four previously recognized subspecies and at least four distinct

vocalization types (see Taxonomic Summary). Unfortunately, the three Andean Ecuadorian tissue

(representing subspecies *coracina*) specimens in our original sample were not of high enough

preservation quality to be viable for ddRAD sequencing (Supplementary Table 1). We were able to

obtain mtDNA from these samples however, which unambiguously formed a monophyletic cluster

with our single San Martín (North Andean Peru) specimen in mtDNA gene tree analyses

(Supplemental Appendix, Supplementary Figure 17). A prior study by Castro-Astor (2014) based

on mtDNA also included one Andean Ecuadorian sample and one Central American sample, which

unambiguously clustered together (*pp* = 100). Thus, it is likely that our unsampled Andean lineages

of Ecuador and Colombia (including the subspecies *minimus, bolivari, unica,* and *coracina*) are

members of the northern Andean clade represented in our genomic data set by our single San

Martín specimen. As noted earlier, our San Martín specimen had approximately equal probability of

assignment to multiple populations, which could indicate heavy admixture, or that this is a single

sample from a highly distinct population which has its own distinct history. These patterns may also

be consistent with the hypothesis that the North Andean clade is the source of montane and lowland

Pseudopipra diversity.

1031

4.5 *Phenotypic and Vocal Evolution*

Thirteen subspecies have been previously described based on variations in plumage color

and, to a lesser extent, size (See Taxonomic Summary in Supplementary Appendix). Male plumage

coloration varies among the subspecies of *Pseudopipra* in the glossiness of the black body feathers,

the length of the white crown feathers, and the color– white, gray, or black– of the bases of these

crown feathers. Female plumage coloration often shows more striking differentiation among

subspecies than does male plumage, including variation in the shade of olive green on the body, the

shade and extent of gray on the crown and face, and olive, yellow, or gray coloration on the belly

(Chapman et al., 1914; de Schauensee, 1945, 1950; Ridgway, 1906; Wetmore, 1972; Zimmer,

1936).

Although we did not conduct a detailed analysis of plumage variation among populations of

Pseudopipra, we did observe study skin specimens of all but one (*bolivari*) of the previously

described subspecies in museum collections. All of the ten subspecies that were included in our

genetic samples were identified as distinct, diagnosable, monophyletic groups. In other words, in all

cases that we were able to test, traditional taxonomic practices conducted between 1758 through

1936 successfully identified and named distinct evolutionary lineages within *Pseudopipra*. We did

not have genetic samples of the northern Andean taxa *minima, unica,* and *bolivari*, but we note that

they all share entirely white crown feather bases (forecrown feathers only in *bolivari*)(de

Schauensee, 1945, 1950), implying that this unique plumage character state is derived within

Pseudopipra, and that *minima*, *unica*, and *bolivari* form a clade. One lineage containing two

subspecies– *separabilis* and *cephaleucos*- has evolved another unique, shared, derived plumage

character– a distinctive, second, predefinitive male plumage which has also further differentiated

between the two subspecies. In *cephaleucos,* predefinitive males have an olive green back, a white

crown, and slate gray face and belly. In *separabilis,* predefinitive males are similar with lighter gray

belly, and a medium gray, instead of white, crown. In conclusion, plumage coloration appears to

provide highly informative evidence of evolutionary lineage status in this genus.

Our analysis of vocal behavior indicates that vocalizations are also highly informative of

lineage identity within *Pseudopipra*. The complex phylogenetic history we have documented with

genetic data has clearly had a strong impact on the evolution of vocalizations in *Pseudopipra.*

Because lek vocalizations are a focus of female choice in manakins and because suboscine

passerines have largely innate vocalizations, the extensive vocal and genetic differentiation among

populations of *Pseudopipra* strongly indicates the existence of many species within *Pseudopipra*

(Remsen, 2005).

We identified fourteen distinct vocalization types (Figure 8), and nearly all were restricted to

and diagnostic of a single previously recognized subspecies or a broader monophyletic group (See

Expanded Taxonomic Summary in Supplementary Appendix for details). One of the two exceptions

was vocalization type 2, which appears to be shared plesiomorphically across the Amazon basin

between populations of *pygmaea* in the Huallaga Valley, *discolor* in the western Napo region of

Ecuador and Peru, and *separabilis* in Para, Brazil, but not in the intervening southwestern

Amazonian populations of *microlopha* (Supplementary Figure 16). Unfortunately, available vocal

sampling is very limited in the intervening regions along the south side of the Amazon Basin, which

are populated by a nested series of genetically distinct lineages with successively closer

relationships to southern Amazonian *separabilis* and *cephaleucos* from the Atlantic forest of Brazil.

The other exception is the sharing of vocal type 1 ('*trill-dink*') between populations of

occulta from the eastern slopes of the Andes from San Martin, Peru to southeastern Ecuador (A1)

and populations of *comata* from the southern Cordillera Azul in Loreto (B1). The song of the

southern clade (B2) from southern Huánuco (AMNH 820866, 820952), Pasco, Junín, and Cusco is

currently unknown. Surprisingly, these geographically adjacent lineages sit on either side of the

deepest phylogenetic separation within *Pseudopipra*. The *comata* populations are phylogenetically

closer to northern Andean and Central American lineages that exhibit substantial vocal diversity.

Likewise, the southern Cordillera Azul populations are more closely related to other south Peruvian

and Amazonian populations which also exhibit great vocal diversity. This result implies that the

vocalization type 1 was shared by the ancestral lineage and has been retained in these lineages

(Supplemental Figure 16). We identified the same pattern for call vocalization type 10.

Our phylogenetic analysis also identified a distinct montane clade from the northern

Cordillera Azul (Clade C2; Cushabatay area; Alverson et al. 2001) which is closely related to

populations from lowland forests of eastern Peru south of the Marañón river and east to Purus river,

Brazil, currently recognized as *P. p. microlopha*. The hypothesis that this lineage is a secondary

expansion into montane forests from the nearby Amazonian populations is strongly supported by

the evidence that this montane population shares same song type 7 ('*jeer*') with the lowland

populations of *microlopha* (ML-190390, ML-190388, and ML-190262).

1093

4.6 *Taxonomy and Revised Classification*

The present set of analyses demonstrate a number of genetically well-differentiated and

phenotypically diagnosable lineages and provides compelling new evidence for evaluating the

species status of *Pseudopipra*. Although there are gaps in our sampling, we find that there are at

least eight genetically well-differentiated, and phenotypically diagnosable lineages of *Pseudopipra*,

and this is reflected in our proposed taxonomic revision below. Nonetheless, it should be noted that

there is more genetic variation than observed vocal variation implies, and more vocal variation

beyond our geographic coverage of genetic samples (especially in northern Andes). Thus, our

taxonomic recommendations for *Pseudopipra* almost certainly represent an underestimate of extant

diversity, underscoring the need for continued reassessment of species limits and of diversity

patterns in the Neotropics.

Our analysis provides the first comprehensive opportunity to reevaluate species limits within

Pseudopipra based on phylogenetic, population genetic, vocal, and plumage differentiation among

populations and named subspecies (See the Expanded Taxonomic Summary in the Supplementary

Appendix). This proposed classification is a conservative treatment that recognizes the limitations

on our genetic sampling of populations in the northern Andean clade from Ecuador and Colombia.

Four of these unsampled (and under-sampled) northern Andean subspecies – *coracina*, *minima*,

bolivari, and *unica* – have unique and highly differentiated vocalization types, and diagnosable

plumage differences. Our phylogenetic results strongly suggest that most of the *Pseudopipra*

subspecies are distinct evolutionary lineages deserving species status under either the phylogenetic

or biological species concepts. In sum, *Pseudopipra* may include 15-17 distinct species that have

rapidly arisen in the last ~2.5 Ma, but further reclassification will require additional sampling of

genetic, vocal, and plumage variation.

1117

1118

1119   **5. Taxonomic Summary**

1120

Pseudopipra coracina (Sclater, 1856) **Andean White-crowned Manakin**

Distribution: Subtropical Andes from Venezuela south to Esmeraldas, Ecuador and San Martín,

Peru

Phylogenetic Position: Clade A1

P. c. coracina (Sclater, 1856)

Type Locality: Villavicencio, Meta, Colombia.

Distribution: Subtropical forests of the eastern slope of the Andes from western Venezuela to

Morona-Santiago, Ecuador

Phylogenetic Position: (mtDNA) Member of Clade A1

Lek Vocal Type: 8 (*errrwer*).

Call Vocal Type: Unknown

1132

P. c. minima (Chapman et al., 1914)

Type Locality: West of Popayan, Cauca, Colombia

Distribution: Subtropical forests of western Cauca, Colombia south to Esmeraldas, Ecuador

Phylogenetic Position: (unsampled) Member of Clade A/A1

Lek Vocal Type: 9 (*reeee*)

Call Vocal Type: unknown

1139

1140   **P. c. bolivari** (de Schauensee, 1950)

1141   **Type Locality:** Murucucu, Bolivar, Colombia

1142   **Distribution**: Subtropical forests of southern Córdoba, Colombia (not sampled)

1143 **Phylogenetic Position**: (unsampled) Member of Clade A1

1144 **Lek Vocal Type**: Unknown

1145 **Call Vocal Type**: Unknown

1146

1147 *P. c. unica* (de Schauensee, 1945)

1148 **Type Locality:** Lomas de Isnos, Huila, Colombia

1149 **Distribution**: Subtropical forests of Magdalena Valley, Antioquia to Huila, Colombia

1150 **Phylogenetic Position**: (unsampled) Member of Clade A1

1151 **Lek Vocal Type**: 11a (*weer-dink*) and 11b (*shureeep*)

1152 **Call Vocal Type**: Unknown

1153

1154 *P. c. occulta* (Zimmer, 1936)

1155 **Type Locality:** Ucho, east of Chachapoyas, Amazonas/San Martin, Peru

1156 **Distribution**: Eastern slope of the Andes from Zamora-Chinchipe, Ecuador (Freile, 2014)

1157 south to San Martín, and Huánuco, Peru, west of the Huallaga river

1158 **Phylogenetic Position**: Member of Clade A/A1

1159 **Lek Vocal Type**: 1 (*trill-dink*)

1160 **Call Vocal Type**: 10 (*bree*)

1161

1162 *Pseudopipra anthracina* (Ridgway, 1906) **Western White-crowned Manakin**

1163 **Type Locality:** Moravia, Costa Rica

1164 **Distribution**: Subtropical Costa Rica to Western Panama

1165 **Phylogenetic Position**: Clade A2

1166 **Lek Vocal Type**: 4 (*jureeee*)

1167   **Call Vocal Type**: Unknown

1168

Pseudopipra comata (Berlepsch and Stolzmann, 1894) **Junín White-crowned Manakin**

Type Locality: Garita del Sol, Junin, Peru.

Distribution: Subtropical Andes of Peru from Cordillera Azul, Loreto (east and south of the

Huallaga river) to southern Huánuco Pasco, Junín, and northern Cusco

Phylogenetic Position: Clade B

Lek Vocal Type: 1 (*trill-dink*)

Call Vocal Type: 10 (*bree*)

1176

Pseudopipra pygmaea (Zimmer, 1936) **Huallaga White-crowned Manakin**

Type Locality: Chamicuros, Loreto, Peru

Distribution: Tropical forest of lower Huallaga river Valley, Peru

Phylogeneic Position: (mtDNA) Sister to Clade F

Lek Vocal Type: 2 (*deeeer*)

Call Vocal Type: 13 (*weeu*)

1183

Pseudopipra discolor (Zimmer, 1936) **Napo White-crowned Manakin**

Type Locality: Puerto Indiana, Loreto, Peru

Distribution: Tropical forest in Napo, Ecuador and Loreto, Peru south to the Marañón river

Phylogenetic Position: Clade E

Lek Vocal Type: 2 (*deeeer*)

Call Vocal Type: 13 (*weeu*)

1190

Pseudopipra pipra (Linnaeus, 1758) **Northern White-crowned Manakin**

Type Locality: Suriname

Distribution: Tropical forest of eastern Colombia, southern Venezuela, the Guianas, and Brazil

north of the Amazon and west to the right (west) bank of the Putumayo river, Colombia

Phylogenetic Position: Clade D

Lek Vocal Type: 3 (*buzzzz*)

Call Vocal Type: 5 (*zeee*)

1198

1199   *Pseudopipra microlopha* (Zimmer, 1929) **Southern White-crowned Manakin**

1200   **Distribution**: Tropical forest of eastern Peru south of the Huallaga river, Marañón river, and the

1201   Amazon river east to Pará, Brazil, and subtropical forests between the Huallaga river and Ucayali

1202   river

1203   **Phylogenetic Position:** Paraphyletic, including Clade C without Clade C7

1204

P. m. **undescribed subspecies**

Distribution: Subtropical forest from the Cushabatay area, northern Cordillera Azul, between

the Huallaga river and Ucayali river, Loreto Province, Peru

Phylogenetic Position: Clade C2

Lek Vocal Type: 7 (*jeer*)

Call Vocal Type: 13 (*weeu*)

1211

P. m. microlopha (Zimmer, 1929)

Distribution: Eastern Peru south of the Marañón river and Huallaga river, west to the Juruá

river and Purus river, Brazil

Phylogenetic Position: Clade C1 excluding C2

Lek Vocal Type: 7 (*jeer*)

Call Vocal Type: 13 (*weeu*)

1218

P. m. **undescribed subspecies**

Distribution: Right (east) bank of the Purus river to the left (west) bank of the Madeira river

Phylogenetic Position: Clade C3

Plumage: Not examined

Lek Vocal Type: Unknown

Call Vocal Type: 13 (*weeu*)

1225

P. m. **undescribed subspecies**

Distribution: Right (east) bank of the Madeira river to the left (west) bank the Tapajós river

Phylogenetic Position: Clade C4

Plumage: Not examined

Lek Vocal Type: Unknown

Call Vocal Type: 13 (*weeu*)

1232

P. m. **undescribed subspecies**

Distribution: Right (east) bank of the Tapajós river to the left (west) bank of the Xingu river

Phylogenetic Position: Clade C5

Plumage: Not examined

Lek Vocal Type: 6b (*zeeeee-tonk*)

Call Vocal Type: 13 (*weeu*)

1239

P. m. separabilis (Zimmer, 1936)

Type Locality: Tapara, Xingu river, Brazil

Distribution: Tropical forest of the Xingu river east to central and southern Pará

Phylogenetic Position: Clade C6

Lek Vocal Type: 2 (*deeeeer*)

Call Vocal Type: 13 (*weeu*)

1246

Pseudopipra cephaleucos (Thunberg, 1822) **Atlantic White-crowned Manakin**

Type Locality: Bahia, Brazil

Distribution: Tropical forest from Bahia south to northern Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Phylogenetic Position: Clade C7

Lek Vocal Type: 6a (*zeeeee-tonk*)

Call Vocal Type: 13 (*weeu*)

1253

Supplementary Material: Archived Supplementary Data, including data files and *R* code can be

found in the Mendeley Data Repository (LINK REMOVED). Mitochondrial sequences

have been archived at NCBI GenBank (REMOVED). SNP datasets have additionally

been archived at the European Variation Archive (Project: REMOVED, Analyses: REMOVED

and REMOVED). *R* code will also be made available at the author's GitHub repository:

https://github.com/jakeberv.

1260

Funding: J. S. B. was supported by a National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship,

NSF Doctoral Dissertation Improvement Grant [DGE-1650441, DEB-1700786], and the University

of Michigan Life Sciences Fellows program. L. C. was supported by NSF grant DEB-1555754 to

I.J.L. T. J. F. was supported by an NSF Post-doctoral Fellowship in Biology [DBI-1523857]. C. C.

R. was funded by CNPq (308927/2016-8), FAPEAM, FAPESP/NSF (NSF DEB-1241066,

FAPESP, grant #2012/50260-6) and USAID (PEER AID-OAA-A-11-00012, cycle 5). J. S. B. and

R. O. P. were funded by the W. R. Coe Fund of Yale University. Data collection was paid for by

Athena Funds from the Cornell Laboratory of Ornithology, and W. R. Coe Fund from Yale

University. This work was also supported in part by the facilities and staff of the Yale University

Faculty of Arts and Sciences High Performance Computing Center, and with the resources of the

Cornell University BRC Bioinformatics Facility, which is partially funded by Microsoft

Corporation. We also acknowledge the support of the Manakin RCN NSF DEB-1457541.

1273

Acknowledgements:

We thank the numerous researchers whose field collection efforts made this research possible,

including Angelo P. Capparella, Camila Ribas, Ivandy Castro-Astor, Cecilia Fox, David E. Willard,

Diego Ocampo, Donna Dittmann, Jacob S. Berv, John P, O'Neill, Karla Conejo, Katya Balta,

Kenneth V Rosenberg, Louise M. Augustine, Peter E. Scott, Richard O. Prum, Steven W. Cardiff,

Susan Allen-Stotz, Thomas Valqui, Tristan J. Davis, Ivan Prates and others. Tissue loans or skins

were kindly received from the Divisão de Aves do Museu de Zoologia da Universidade Estadual de

Feira de Santana (MZFS), the Field Museum of Natural History (FMNH), Instituto Nacional de

Pesquisas da Amazônia (INPA), Kansas University Natural History Museum (KUNHM), Louisiana

Museum of Natural History (LSUMZ), Museu de Zoologia da Universidade de São Paulo

(MZUSP), Museu Paraense Emilio Goeldi (MPEG), the Academy of Natural Sciences of Drexel

University (ANSP), Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (UERJ), the University of Alaska

Museum (UAM), the University of Nevada, Las Vegas (UNLV), the Yale Peabody Museum of

Natural History (YPM), the Cornell Museum of Vertebrates (CUMV), the American Museum of

Natural History (AMNH), the Museum of Comparative Zoology (MCZ), and the United States

Museum of Natural History (NMNH). We thank the curators and collections managers of these

institutions for facilitating these loans: Camila Ribas, John Bates, Mark Robbins, Donna Dittman,

Alexandre Aleixo, Caio Graco Machado, Joel Cracraft, Luís Fábio Silveira, Kevin Winker, Maria

Alice Santos Alves, Kristof Zyskowski, Nate Rice, Charles Dardia, John Klicka, and others. We

thank Eliot Miller, Emma Greig, Matthew Medler, Greg Budney, Eduardo Iñigo and Andrew

Spencer at the Macaulay Library for assistance with audio recordings. We thank members of the

Lovette Lab, Daniel Lane and four anonymous reviewers, for comments on the research and the

manuscript. We gratefully acknowledge the bioRxiv for hosting the pre-print version of this article.

The illustration depicted in Fig. 1 is reproduced with permission from the Handbook of Birds of the

World, Lynx Editions, Barcelona. J. S. B. and R. O. P. conceived of the research. J. S. B. and L. C.

performed laboratory and bioinformatic processing, with input and support from I. J. L. We thank

Bronwyn Butcher for help with laboratory protocols. J. S. B. and L. C. analyzed the genomic data,

and interpretation of the results was supported by input from all authors. T. J. F. downloaded,

processed, and analyzed lekking vocalization data. C. C. R. and I. C. R. facilitated critical sample

acquisitions from Amazonia and the Atlantic Forest. I. J. L. and R. O. P. provided detailed guidance

and feedback on the manuscript. R. O. P. examined plumage phenotypes and proposed a revised

taxonomy. The manuscript was written by J. S. B. with input from all authors.

1306

1307

1308

1309

1310

1311 Literature Cited
1312
Antonelli, A., Ariza, M., Albert, J., Andermann, T., Azevedo, J., Bacon, C., Faurby, S., Guedes, T.,
Hoorn, C., Lohmann, L.G., Matos-Maraví, P., Ritter, C.D., Sanmartín, I., Silvestro, D.,
Tejedor, M., ter Steege, H., Tuomisto, H., Werneck, F.P., Zizka, A., Edwards, S.V., 2018.
Conceptual and empirical advances in Neotropical biodiversity research. PeerJ 6, e5644.

Barrera-Guzmán, A.O., Aleixo, A., Shawkey, M.D., Weir, J.T., 2018. Hybrid speciation leads to
novel male secondary sexual ornamentation of an Amazonian bird. Proceedings of the
National Academy of Sciences 115, E218-E225.

Bates, J.M., Zink, R.M., 1994. Evolution into the Andes: Molecular Evidence for Species
Relationships in the Genus Leptopogon. The Auk 111, 507-515.

Berlepsch, H.H.C.L., Stolzmann, J., 1894. Descriptions de quelques Espèces nouvelles d' Oiseaux
du Pérou central. Ibis 36, 385-405.

Berv, J.S., Prum, R.O., 2014. A comprehensive multilocus phylogeny of the Neotropical cotingas
(Cotingidae, Aves) with a comparative evolutionary analysis of breeding system and
plumage dimorphism and a revised phylogenetic classification. Molecular Phylogenetics and
Evolution 81, 120-136.

Bicudo, T.C., Sacek, V., de Almeida, R.P., Bates, J.M., Ribas, C.C., 2019. Andean Tectonics and
Mantle Dynamics as a Pervasive Influence on Amazonian Ecosystem. Scientific Reports 9,
16879.

Bird, J.P., Martin, R., Akçakaya, H.R., Gilroy, J., Burfield, I.J., Garnett, S.T., Symes, A., Taylor, J.,
Şekercioğlu, Ç.H., Butchart, S.H.M., 2020. Generation lengths of the world's birds and their
implications for extinction risk. Conservation Biology 34, 1252-1261.

Borges, S.H., Cohn-Haft, M., Carvalhaes, A.P., Henriques, L.M., Pacheco, J.F., Whittaker, A.,
2001. Birds of Jaú National Park, Brazilian Amazon: species check-list, biogeography and
conservation. Ornitologia Neotropical 12, 109-140.

Borges, S.H., Da Silva, J.M.C., 2012. A New Area of Endemism for Amazonian Birds in the Rio
Negro Basin. The Wilson Journal of Ornithology 124, 15-23.

Bradburd, G.S., Coop, G.M., Ralph, P.L., 2018. Inferring Continuous and Discrete Population
Genetic Structure Across Space. Genetics 210, 33-52.

Brelsford, A., Milá, B., Irwin, D.E., 2011. Hybrid origin of Audubon's warbler. Molecular Ecology
20, 2380-2389.

Brumfield, R.T., 2012. Inferring the origins of lowland Neotropical birds. The Auk 129, 367-376.

Brumfield, R.T., Edwards, S.V., 2007. EVOLUTION INTO AND OUT OF THE ANDES: A
BAYESIAN ANALYSIS OF HISTORICAL DIVERSIFICATION IN THAMNOPHILUS
ANTSHRIKES. Evolution 61, 346-367.

Brumfield, R.T., Liu, L., Lum, D.E., Edwards, S.V., 2008. Comparison of Species Tree Methods for
Reconstructing the Phylogeny of Bearded Manakins (Aves: Pipridae, Manacus) from
Multilocus Sequence Data. Systematic Biology 57, 719-731.

Cabanne, G.S., Campagna, L., Trujillo-Arias, N., Naoki, K., Gómez, I., Miyaki, C.Y., Santos, F.R.,
Dantas, G.P.M., Aleixo, A., Claramunt, S., Rocha, A., Caparroz, R., Lovette, I.J., Tubaro,
P.L., 2019. Phylogeographic variation within the Buff-browed Foliage-gleaner (Aves:
Furnariidae: Syndactyla rufosuperciliata) supports an Andean-Atlantic forests connection
via the Cerrado. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 133, 198-213.

Cadena, C.D., Zapata, F., 2021. The genomic revolution and species delimitation in birds (and other
organisms): Why phenotypes should not be overlooked. Ornithology 138, 1-18.

Campagna, L., Gronau, I., Silveira, L.F., Siepel, A., Lovette, I.J., 2015. Distinguishing noise from
signal in patterns of genomic divergence in a highly polymorphic avian radiation. Molecular
Ecology 24, 4238-4251.

Campagna, L., St. Clair, J.J.H., Lougheed, S.C., Woods, R.W., Imberti, S., Tubaro, P.L., 2012.
Divergence between passerine populations from the Malvinas – Falkland Islands and their
continental counterparts: a comparative phylogeographical study. Biological Journal of the
Linnean Society 106, 865-879.

Capurucho, J.M.G., Cornelius, C., Borges, S.H., Cohn-Haft, M., Aleixo, A., Metzger, J.P., Ribas,
C.C., 2013. Combining phylogeography and landscape genetics of Xenopipo atronitens
(Aves: Pipridae), a white sand campina specialist, to understand Pleistocene landscape
evolution in Amazonia. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 110, 60-76.

Carstens, B.C., Pelletier, T.A., Reid, N.M., Satler, J.D., 2013. How to fail at species delimitation.
Molecular Ecology 22, 4369-4383.

Castro-Astor, I.N., 2014. A Phylogeographic study of two neotropical bird species, the Red-Headed
Manakin (Pipna rubrocapilla) and the White-crowned Manakin (Dixiphia pipra). Biology.
CUNY, CUNY Academic Works.

Castro-Astor, I.N., Alves, M.A.S., Cavalcanti, R.B., 2007. DISPLAY BEHAVIOR AND SPATIAL
DISTRIBUTION OF THE WHITE-CROWNED MANAKIN IN THE ATLANTIC
FOREST OF BRAZIL. The Condor 109, 155-166.

Catchen, J., Hohenlohe, P.A., Bassham, S., Amores, A., Cresko, W.A., 2013. Stacks: an analysis
tool set for population genomics. Molecular Ecology 22, 3124-3140.

Chambers, E.A., Hillis, D.M., 2020. The Multispecies Coalescent Over-Splits Species in the Case
of Geographically Widespread Taxa. Systematic Biology 69, 184-193.

Chapman, F.M., Miller, L.E., Allen, A.A., Richardson, W.B., Cherrie, G.K., 1914. Diagnoses of
apparently new Colombian birds. 3. Bulletin of the AMNH; v. 33, article 40.

Chernomor, O., Minh, B.Q., von Haeseler, A., 2016. Terrace Aware Data Structure for
Phylogenomic Inference from Supermatrices. Systematic Biology 65, 997-1008.

Cheviron, Z.A., Hackett, S.J., Brumfield, R.T., 2006. Sequence variation in the coding region of the
melanocortin-1 receptor gene (MC1R) is not associated with plumage variation in the blue-
crowned manakin (Lepidothrix coronata). Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological
Sciences 273, 1613-1618.

Cheviron, Z.A., Hackett, S.J., Capparella, A.P., 2005. Complex evolutionary history of a
Neotropical lowland forest bird (Lepidothrix coronata) and its implications for historical
hypotheses of the origin of Neotropical avian diversity. Molecular Phylogenetics and
Evolution 36, 338-357.

Chifman, J., Kubatko, L., 2014. Quartet Inference from SNP Data Under the Coalescent Model.
Bioinformatics 30, 3317-3324.

Chifman, J., Kubatko, L., 2015. Identifiability of the unrooted species tree topology under the
coalescent model with time-reversible substitution processes, site-specific rate variation, and
invariable sites. Journal of Theoretical Biology 374, 35-47.

Chou, J., Gupta, A., Yaduvanshi, S., Davidson, R., Nute, M., Mirarab, S., Warnow, T., 2015. A
comparative study of SVDquartets and other coalescent-based species tree estimation
methods. BMC Genomics 16, S2.

Cornell University, 2011. Raven Pro: Interactive Sound Analysis Software (Version 1.4) [Computer
software]. The Cornell Laboratory of Ornithology. Available from
http://www.birds.cornell.edu/raven., Ithaca, NY.

Counterman, B.A., 2016. Hybrid Speciation. In: Kliman, R.M. (Ed.), Encyclopedia of Evolutionary
Biology. Academic Press, Oxford, pp. 242-248.

Cracraft, J., 1985. Historical Biogeography and Patterns of Differentiation within the South
American Avifauna: Areas of Endemism. Ornithological Monographs, 49-84.

Cracraft, J., Prum, R.O., 1988. PATTERNS AND PROCESSES OF DIVERSIFICATION:
SPECIATION AND HISTORICAL CONGRUENCE IN SOME NEOTROPICAL BIRDS.
Evolution 42, 603-620.

Crother, B.I., Murray, C.M., 2011. Ontology of areas of endemism. Journal of Biogeography 38,
1009-1015.

Cunningham, C.W., Collins, T.M., 1994. Developing model systems for molecular biogeography:
Vicariance and interchange in marine invertebrates. In: Schierwater, B., Streit, B., Wagner,
G.P., DeSalle, R. (Eds.), Molecular Ecology and Evolution: Approaches and Applications.
Birkhäuser Basel, Basel, pp. 405-433.

Da Silva, J., Novaes, F., Oren, D., 2002. Differentiation of Xiphocolaptes (Dendrocolaptidae)
across the river Xingu, Brazilian Amazonia: recognition of a new phylogenetic species and
biogeographic implications. BULLETIN-BRITISH ORNITHOLOGISTS CLUB 122, 185-
193.

da Silva, J.M.C., 1995. Biogeographic analysis of the South American Cerrado avifauna.
Steenstrupia 21, 49-67.

da Silva, J.M.C., de Sousa, M.C., H. M. Castelletti, C., 2004. Areas of Endemism for Passerine
Birds in the Atlantic Forest, South America. Global Ecology and Biogeography 13, 85-92.

Da Silva, J.M.C., Rylands , A.B., Da Fonseca, G.A.B., 2005. The Fate of the Amazonian Areas of
Endemism. Conservation Biology 19, 689-694.

de Queiroz, K., 2005. Ernst Mayr and the modern concept of species. Proceedings of the National
Academy of Sciences 102, 6600-6607.

de Schauensee, R.M., 1945. Notes on Colombian flycatchers, manakins and cotingas. Proceedings
of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia 97, 41-57.

de Schauensee, R.M., 1950. Colombian zoological survey. Part V: New birds from Colombia.
Notulae Naturae. 221, 1-13.

Dickinson, E., 2003. The Howard and Moore Complete Checklist of the Birds of the World
Christopher Helm. London.

Diniz-Filho, J.A.F., Soares, T.N., Lima, J.S., Dobrovolski, R., Landeiro, V.L., de Campos Telles,
M.P., Rangel, T.F., Bini, L.M., 2013. Mantel test in population genetics. Genetics and
Molecular Biology 36, 475-485.

Earl, D.A., vonHoldt, B.M., 2012. STRUCTURE HARVESTER: a website and program for
visualizing STRUCTURE output and implementing the Evanno method. Conservation
Genet Resour 4, 359-361.

Eaton, D.A.R., Spriggs, E.L., Park, B., Donoghue, M.J., 2017. Misconceptions on Missing Data in
RAD-seq Phylogenetics with a Deep-scale Example from Flowering Plants. Systematic
Biology 66, 399-412.

Evanno, G., Regnaut, S., Goudet, J., 2005. Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the
software structure: a simulation study. Molecular Ecology 14, 2611-2620.

Fernandes, A., Cohn-Haft, M., Hrbek, T., Farias, I., 2015. Rivers acting as barriers for bird dispersal
in the Amazon. Revista Brasileira de Ornitologia-Brazilian Journal of Ornithology 22, 363-
373.

Fernandes, A.M., Gonzalez, J., Wink, M., Aleixo, A., 2013. Multilocus phylogeography of the
Wedge-billed Woodcreeper Glyphorynchus spirurus (Aves, Furnariidae) in lowland
Amazonia: Widespread cryptic diversity and paraphyly reveal a complex diversification
pattern. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 66, 270-282.

Fjeldså, J., 1992. Biogeographic patterns and evolution of the avifauna of relict high-attitude
woodlands of the Andes. Steenstrupia, 9-62.

Flouri, T., Jiao, X., Rannala, B., Yang, Z., 2018. Species Tree Inference with BPP Using Genomic
Sequences and the Multispecies Coalescent. Molecular Biology and Evolution 35, 2585-
2593.

Francisco, M.R., Gibbs, H.L., Galetti, M., Lunardi, V.O., Junior, P.M.G., 2007. Genetic structure in
a tropical lek-breeding bird, the blue manakin (Chiroxiphia caudata) in the Brazilian Atlantic
Forest. Molecular Ecology 16, 4908-4918.

Freile, J.F., 2014. First record of White-crowned Manakin Dixiphia pipra in western Ecuador. Bull.
Brit. Orn. Club 134, 238-240.

Goslee, S.C., Urban, D.L., 2007. The ecodist package for dissimilarity-based analysis of ecological
data. Journal of Statistical Software 22, 1-19.

Goudet, J., 2005. hierfstat, a package for r to compute and test hierarchical F-statistics. Molecular
Ecology Notes 5, 184-186.

Goudet, J., Perrin, N., Waser, P., 2002. Tests for sex-biased dispersal using bi-parentally inherited
genetic markers. Molecular Ecology 11, 1103-1114.

Grant, P.R., Grant, B.R., 1997. Genetics and the origin of bird species. Proceedings of the National
Academy of Sciences 94, 7768-7775.

Gronau, I., Hubisz, M.J., Gulko, B., Danko, C.G., Siepel, A., 2011. Bayesian inference of ancient
human demography from individual genome sequences. Nature Genetics 43, 1031.

Gubili, C., Ritter, C.D., Motta, J., Farias, I.P., Bates, J., Canton, R., Capurucho, J.M.G., Cornelius,
C., Feldheim, K.A., Ribas, C.C., 2016. Isolation and characterization of polymorphic
microsatellite DNA Markers from an Amazonian white-sand vegetation specialist bird,
Xenopipo atronitens (Aves: Pipridae). The Wilson Journal of Ornithology 128, 668-672.

Guillot, G., Rousset, F., 2013. Dismantling the Mantel tests. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 4,
336-344.

Haffer, J., 1969. Speciation in Amazonian Forest Birds. Science 165, 131-137.

Haffer, J., 1974. Avian speciation in tropical South America, with a systematic survey of the
toucans (Ramphastidae) and jacamars (Galbulidae) (Publications of the Nuttall
Ornithological Club No. 14, Cambridge, MA).

Haffer, J., 1978. Distribution of Amazon forest birds. Bonner Zoologische Beiträge 29, 38-78.

Haffer, J., 1985. Avian zoogeography of the Neotropical lowlands. Ornithological Monographs,
113-146.

Haffer, J., 1992. On the river effect in some forest birds of southern Amazonia. Boletim do Museu
Paraense Emílio Goeldi. Nova série. Zoologia 8, 217-245.

Haffer, J., 2008. Hypotheses to explain the origin of species in Amazonia. Brazilian Journal of
Biology 68, 917-947.

Hartl, D.L., Clark, A.G., 1997. Principles of population genetics. Sinauer associates Sunderland,
MA.

Harvey, M.G., Aleixo, A., Ribas, C.C., Brumfield, R.T., 2017. Habitat Association Predicts Genetic
Diversity and Population Divergence in Amazonian Birds. The American Naturalist 190,
631-648.

Harvey, M.G., Brumfield, R.T., 2015. Genomic variation in a widespread Neotropical bird (Xenops
minutus) reveals divergence, population expansion, and gene flow. Molecular Phylogenetics
and Evolution 83, 305-316.

Hazzi, N.A., Moreno, J.S., Ortiz-Movliav, C., Palacio, R.D., 2018. Biogeographic regions and
events of isolation and diversification of the endemic biota of the tropical Andes.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 115, 7985-7990.

Hermansen, J.S., Sæther, S.A., Elgvin, T.O., Borge, T., Hjelle, E., Sætre, G.-P., 2011. Hybrid
speciation in sparrows I: phenotypic intermediacy, genetic admixture and barriers to gene
flow. Molecular Ecology 20, 3812-3822.

Hijmans, R.J., Williams, E., Vennes, C., 2015. Geosphere: spherical trigonometry. R package
version 1.3-11.

Hillis, D.M., 2020. The Detection and Naming of Geographic Variation Within Species.
Herpetological Review, 51:52-56.

Hoang, D.T., Vinh, L.S., Chernomor, O., Minh, B.Q., von Haeseler, A., 2017. UFBoot2: Improving
the Ultrafast Bootstrap Approximation. Molecular Biology and Evolution 35, 518-522.

Hooghiemstra, H., Van der Hammen, T., 2004. Quaternary Ice-Age dynamics in the Colombian
Andes: developing an understanding of our legacy. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal
Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences 359, 173-181.

Hothorn, T., Bretz, F., Westfall, P., Heiberger, R.M., Schuetzenmeister, A., Scheibe, S., 2013.
multcomp: simultaneous inference in general parametric models. R package version 1.2-18.
Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

Huang, H., Knowles, L.L., 2016. Unforeseen Consequences of Excluding Missing Data from Next-
Generation Sequences: Simulation Study of RAD Sequences. Systematic Biology 65, 357-
365.

Jakobsson, M., Rosenberg, N.A., 2007. CLUMPP: a cluster matching and permutation program for
dealing with label switching and multimodality in analysis of population structure.
Bioinformatics 23, 1801-1806.

Janzen, D.H., 1967. Why Mountain Passes are Higher in the Tropics. The American Naturalist 101,
233-249.

Jiggins, C.D., Salazar, C., Linares, M., Mavarez, J., 2008. Hybrid trait speciation and Heliconius
butterflies. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 363,
3047-3054.

Jombart, T., 2008. adegenet: a R package for the multivariate analysis of genetic markers.
Bioinformatics 24, 1403-1405.

Kalyaanamoorthy, S., Minh, B.Q., Wong, T.K.F., von Haeseler, A., Jermiin, L.S., 2017.
ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. Nature Methods 14,
587.

Kamvar, Z.N., Tabima, J.F., Grünwald, N.J., 2014. Poppr: an R package for genetic analysis of
populations with clonal, partially clonal, and/or sexual reproduction. PeerJ 2, e281.

Kirwan, G.M., David, N., Gregory, S.M., Jobling, J.A., Steinheimer, F.D., Brito, G.R.R., 2016. The
mistaken manakin: a new genus-group name for Parus pipra Linnaeus, 1758 (Aves:
Passeriformes: Pipridae). Zootaxa 4121, 89-94.

Kirwan, G.M., Green, G., 2012. Cotingas and Manakins. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.

Kumar, S., Subramanian, S., 2002. Mutation rates in mammalian genomes. Proceedings of the
National Academy of Sciences 99, 803-808.

Lamichhaney, S., Han, F., Webster, M.T., Andersson, L., Grant, B.R., Grant, P.R., 2018. Rapid
hybrid speciation in Darwin's finches. Science 359, 224-228.

Lanfear, R., Frandsen, P.B., Wright, A.M., Senfeld, T., Calcott, B., 2017. PartitionFinder 2: New
Methods for Selecting Partitioned Models of Evolution for Molecular and Morphological
Phylogenetic Analyses. Molecular Biology and Evolution 34, 772-773.

Lavretsky, P., Engilis, A., Eadie, J.M., Peters, J.L., 2015. Genetic admixture supports an ancient
hybrid origin of the endangered Hawaiian duck. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 28, 1005-
1015.

Lawson, D.J., Hellenthal, G., Myers, S., Falush, D., 2012. Inference of Population Structure using
Dense Haplotype Data. PLOS Genetics 8, e1002453.

Leaché, A.D., Banbury, B.L., Felsenstein, J., de Oca, A.n.-M., Stamatakis, A., 2015. Short Tree,
Long Tree, Right Tree, Wrong Tree: New Acquisition Bias Corrections for Inferring SNP
Phylogenies. Systematic Biology 64, 1032-1047.

Leaché, A.D., Fujita, M.K., Minin, V.N., Bouckaert, R.R., 2014. Species Delimitation using
Genome-Wide SNP Data. Systematic Biology 63, 534-542.

Leaché, A.D., Zhu, T., Rannala, B., Yang, Z., 2019. The Spectre of Too Many Species. Systematic
Biology 68, 168-181.

Legendre, P., Fortin, M.-J., 2010. Comparison of the Mantel test and alternative approaches for
detecting complex multivariate relationships in the spatial analysis of genetic data.
Molecular Ecology Resources 10, 831-844.

Leite, R.N., Kimball, R.T., Braun, E.L., Derryberry, E.P., Hosner, P.A., Derryberry, G.E., Anciães,
M., McKay, J.S., Aleixo, A., Ribas, C.C., Brumfield, R.T., Cracraft, J., 2021.
Phylogenomics of manakins (Aves: Pipridae) using alternative locus filtering strategies
based on informativeness. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 155, 107013.

Linck, E., Battey, C.J., 2019. Minor allele frequency thresholds strongly affect population structure
inference with genomic data sets. Molecular Ecology Resources 19, 639-647.

Linder, H.P., 2001. On Areas of Endemism, with an Example from the African Restionaceae.
Systematic Biology 50, 892-912.

Linnaeus, C., 1758. Systema Naturae per Regna Tria Naturae, Secundum Classes, Ordines, Genera,
Species, cum Characteribus, Differentiis, Synonymis, Locis. Laurentius Salvius, Holmiae.
Tomus 1. 10th edition, p. 823.

Long, C., Kubatko, L., 2018. The Effect of Gene Flow on Coalescent-based Species-Tree Inference.
Systematic Biology 67, 770-785.

Luna, L.W., Souza, T.O., e Silva, W.A.d.G., Schneider, H., Sampaio, I., 2017. Genetic variation of
the endangered Araripe Manakin (Antilophia bokermanni) indicates a history of
demographic decline. Revista Brasileira de Ornitologia 25, 60-66.

Lutz, H.L., Weckstein, J.D., Patané, J.S.L., Bates, J.M., Aleixo, A., 2013. Biogeography and spatio-
temporal diversification of Selenidera and Andigena Toucans (Aves: Ramphastidae).
Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 69, 873-883.

Lynch Alfaro, J.W., Boubli, J.P., Paim, F.P., Ribas, C.C., Silva, M.N.F.d., Messias, M.R., Röhe, F.,
Mercês, M.P., Silva Júnior, J.S., Silva, C.R., Pinho, G.M., Koshkarian, G., Nguyen, M.T.T.,
Harada, M.L., Rabelo, R.M., Queiroz, H.L., Alfaro, M.E., Farias, I.P., 2015. Biogeography
of squirrel monkeys (genus Saimiri): South-central Amazon origin and rapid pan-
Amazonian diversification of a lowland primate. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 82,
436-454.

MacQueen, J., 1967. Some methods for classification and analysis of multivariate observations.
Proceedings of the Fifth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability,
Volume 1: Statistics. University of California Press, Berkeley, Calif., pp. 281-297.

Malinsky, M., Trucchi, E., Lawson, D.J., Falush, D., 2018. RADpainter and fineRADstructure:
Population Inference from RADseq Data. Molecular Biology and Evolution 35, 1284-1290.

Mantel, N., 1967. The detection of disease clustering and a generalized regression approach. Cancer
research 27, 209-220.

Marks, B.D., Hackett, S.J., Capparella, A.P., 2002. Historical relationships among Neotropical
lowland forest areas of endemism as determined by mitochondrial DNA sequence variation

within the Wedge-billed Woodcreeper (Aves: Dendrocolaptidae: Glyphorynchus spirurus).
Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 24, 153-167.

Marques, D.A., Meier, J.I., Seehausen, O., 2019. A Combinatorial View on Speciation and
Adaptive Radiation. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 34, 531-544.

Mayr, E., 1942. Systematics and the origin of species from the viewpoint of a zoologist. Columbia
University Press.

McDonald, D.B., 2003. MICROSATELLITE DNA EVIDENCE FOR GENE FLOW IN
NEOTROPICAL LEK-MATING LONG-TAILED MANAKINS. The Condor 105, 580-
586.

Milá, B., Tavares, E.S., Muñoz Saldaña, A., Karubian, J., Smith, T.B., Baker, A.J., 2012. A Trans-
Amazonian Screening of mtDNA Reveals Deep Intraspecific Divergence in Forest Birds and
Suggests a Vast Underestimation of Species Diversity. PLOS ONE 7, e40541.

Moore, R.P., Robinson, W.D., Lovette, I.J., Robinson, T.R., 2008. Experimental evidence for
extreme dispersal limitation in tropical forest birds. Ecology Letters 11, 960-968.

Morrone, J.J., 2015. Biogeographical regionalisation of the Andean region. Zootaxa 3936, 207-236.

Musher, L.J., Ferreira, M., Auerbach, A.L., McKay, J., Cracraft, J., 2019. Why is Amazonia a
source of biodiversity? Climate-mediated dispersal and synchronous speciation across the
Andes in an avian group (Tityrinae). Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological
Sciences 286, 20182343.

Naka, L.N., 2011. Avian distribution patterns in the Guiana Shield: implications for the delimitation
of Amazonian areas of endemism. Journal of Biogeography 38, 681-696.

Naka, L.N., Brumfield, R.T., 2018. The dual role of Amazonian rivers in the generation and
maintenance of avian diversity. Science Advances 4, eaar8575.

Nieto Feliner, G., Álvarez, I., Fuertes-Aguilar, J., Heuertz, M., Marques, I., Moharrek, F., Piñeiro,
R., Riina, R., Rosselló, J.A., Soltis, P.S., Villa-Machío, I., 2017. Is homoploid hybrid
speciation that rare? An empiricist's view. Heredity 118, 513.

Noguera-urbano, E.A., 2016. Areas of endemism: travelling through space and the unexplored
dimension. Systematics and Biodiversity 14, 131-139.

Nyári, Á.S., 2007. Phylogeographic patterns, molecular and vocal differentiation, and species limits
in Schiffornis turdina (Aves). Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 44, 154-164.

Nylander, J.A.A., Olsson, U., Alström, P., Sanmartín, I., 2008. Accounting for Phylogenetic
Uncertainty in Biogeography: A Bayesian Approach to Dispersal-Vicariance Analysis of the
Thrushes (Aves: Turdus). Systematic Biology 57, 257-268.

Ohlson, J.I., Fjeldså, J., Ericson, P.G.P., 2013. Molecular phylogeny of the manakins (Aves:
Passeriformes: Pipridae), with a new classification and the description of a new genus.
Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 69, 796-804.

Paradis, E., 2010. pegas: an R package for population genetics with an integrated–modular
approach. Bioinformatics 26, 419-420.

Paradis, E., Claude, J., Strimmer, K., 2004. APE: Analyses of Phylogenetics and Evolution in R
language. Bioinformatics 20, 289-290.

Paris, J.R., Stevens, J.R., Catchen, J.M., Johnston, S., 2017. Lost in parameter space: a road map for
stacks. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 8, 1360-1373.

Peterson, B.K., Weber, J.N., Kay, E.H., Fisher, H.S., Hoekstra, H.E., 2012. Double Digest RADseq:
An Inexpensive Method for De Novo SNP Discovery and Genotyping in Model and Non-
Model Species. PLOS ONE 7, e37135.

Petkova, D., Novembre, J., Stephens, M., 2015. Visualizing spatial population structure with
estimated effective migration surfaces. Nature Genetics 48, 94.

Pritchard, J.K., Stephens, M., Donnelly, P., 2000. Inference of Population Structure Using
Multilocus Genotype Data. Genetics 155, 945-959.

Prum, R.O., 1990. Phylogenetic Analysis of the Evolution of Display Behavior in the Neotropical
Manakins (Aves: Pipridae). Ethology 84, 202-231.

Prum, R.O., 1992. Syringeal morphology, phylogeny, and evolution of the neotropical manakins
(Aves, Pipridae). American Museum novitates; no. 3043.

Prum, R.O., 1994. PHYLOGENETIC ANALYSIS OF THE EVOLUTION OF ALTERNATIVE
SOCIAL BEHAVIOR IN THE MANAKINS (AVES: PIPRIDAE). Evolution 48, 1657-
1675.

Pupim, F.N., Sawakuchi, A.O., Almeida, R.P., Ribas, C.C., Kern, A.K., Hartmann, G.A., Chiessi,
C.M., Tamura, L.N., Mineli, T.D., Savian, J.F., Grohmann, C.H., Bertassoli, D.J., Stern,
A.G., Cruz, F.W., Cracraft, J., 2019. Chronology of Terra Firme formation in Amazonian
lowlands reveals a dynamic Quaternary landscape. Quaternary Science Reviews 210, 154-
163.

Quintero, I., Jetz, W., 2018. Global elevational diversity and diversification of birds. Nature 555,
246.

R Core Team, 2018. R: A language and environment for statistical computing.

Rambaut, A., Drummond, A.J., Xie, D., Baele, G., Suchard, M.A., 2018. Posterior Summarization
in Bayesian Phylogenetics Using Tracer 1.7. Systematic Biology 67, 901-904.

Räsänen, M.E., Salo, J.S., Kalliola, R.J., 1987. Fluvial perturbance in the western Amazon basin:
regulation by long-term sub-Andean tectonics. Science 238, 1398-1401.

Reis, C.A., Dias, C., Araripe, J., Aleixo, A., Anciães, M., Sampaio, I., Schneider, H., do Rêgo, P.S.,
2020. Multilocus data of a manakin species reveal cryptic diversification moulded by
vicariance. Zoologica Scripta 49, 129-144.

Remsen, J.V., Jr., 2005. Pattern, Process, and Rigor Meet Classification. The Auk 122, 403-413.

Revell, L.J., 2012. phytools: an R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other things).
Methods in Ecology and Evolution 3, 217-223.

Ribas, C.C., Aleixo, A., Gubili, C., d'Horta, F.M., Brumfield, R.T., Cracraft, J., 2018. Biogeography
and diversification of Rhegmatorhina (Aves: Thamnophilidae): Implications for the
evolution of Amazonian landscapes during the Quaternary. Journal of Biogeography 45,
917-928.

Ribas, C.C., Aleixo, A., Nogueira, A.C.R., Miyaki, C.Y., Cracraft, J., 2012. A palaeobiogeographic
model for biotic diversification within Amazonia over the past three million years.
Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 279, 681-689.

Ribas, C.C., Moyle, R.G., Miyaki, C.Y., Cracraft, J., 2007. The assembly of montane biotas: linking
Andean tectonics and climatic oscillations to independent regimes of diversification in
Pionus parrots. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 274, 2399-2408.

Ridgely, R.S., Greenfield, P.J., 2001. The birds of Ecuador: status, distribution, and taxonomy.
Cornell University Press.

Ridgely, R.S., Tudor, G., 2009. Field guide to the songbirds of South America: the passerines.
University of Texas Press.

Ridgway, R., 1906. Descriptions of some new forms of oligomyodian birds. Proceedings of the
Biological Society of Washington, 115-120.

Roure, B., Baurain, D., Philippe, H., 2013. Impact of Missing Data on Phylogenies Inferred from
Empirical Phylogenomic Data Sets. Molecular Biology and Evolution 30, 197-214.

Rousset, F., 1997. Genetic Differentiation and Estimation of Gene Flow from F Statistics Under
Isolation by Distance. Genetics 145, 1219-1228.

Ruokolainen, K., Moulatlet, G.M., Zuquim, G., Hoorn, C., Tuomisto, H., 2019. Geologically recent
rearrangements in central Amazonian river network and their importance for the riverine
barrier hypothesis. Frontiers of Biogeography 11.

Salazar, C., Baxter, S.W., Pardo-Diaz, C., Wu, G., Surridge, A., Linares, M., Bermingham, E.,
Jiggins, C.D., 2010. Genetic Evidence for Hybrid Trait Speciation in Heliconius Butterflies.
PLOS Genetics 6, e1000930.

Saranathan, V., Hamilton, D., Powell, G.V.N., Kroodsma, D.E., Prum, R.O., 2007. Genetic
evidence supports song learning in the three-wattled bellbird Procnias tricarunculata
(Cotingidae). Molecular Ecology 16, 3689-3702.

Schmidt, H.A., Minh, B.Q., von Haeseler, A., Nguyen, L.-T., 2014. IQ-TREE: A Fast and Effective
Stochastic Algorithm for Estimating Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies. Molecular Biology
and Evolution 32, 268-274.

Schmidt-Lebuhn, A.N., Aitken, N.C., Chuah, A., 2017. Species trees from consensus single
nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) data: Testing phylogenetic approaches with simulated and
empirical data. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 116, 192-201.

Schumer, M., Rosenthal, G.G., Andolfatto, P., 2014. HOW COMMON IS HOMOPLOID HYBRID
SPECIATION? Evolution 68, 1553-1560.

Sclater, P.L., 1856. Pipra coracina, sp. nov. Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London
XXIV, 29.

Sedano, R.E., Burns, K.J., 2010. Are the Northern Andes a species pump for Neotropical birds?
Phylogenetics and biogeography of a clade of Neotropical tanagers (Aves: Thraupini).
Journal of Biogeography 37, 325-343.

Shafer, A.B.A., Peart, C.R., Tusso, S., Maayan, I., Brelsford, A., Wheat, C.W., Wolf, J.B.W., 2017.
Bioinformatic processing of RAD-seq data dramatically impacts downstream population
genetic inference. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 8, 907-917.

Silva, S.M., Peterson, A.T., Carneiro, L., Burlamaqui, T.C.T., Ribas, C.C., Sousa-Neves, T.,
Miranda, L.S., Fernandes, A.M., d'Horta, F.M., Araújo-Silva, L.E., Batista, R., Bandeira,
C.H.M.M., Dantas, S.M., Ferreira, M., Martins, D.M., Oliveira, J., Rocha, T.C., Sardelli,
C.H., Thom, G., Rêgo, P.S., Santos, M.P., Sequeira, F., Vallinoto, M., Aleixo, A., 2019. A
dynamic continental moisture gradient drove Amazonian bird diversification. Science
Advances 5, eaat5752.

Smeds, L., Qvarnström, A., Ellegren, H., 2016. Direct estimate of the rate of germline mutation in a
bird. Genome Research 26, 1211-1218.

Smith, B.T., McCormack, J.E., Cuervo, A.M., Hickerson, M.J., Aleixo, A., Cadena, C.D., Perez-
Eman, J., Burney, C.W., Xie, X., Harvey, M.G., Faircloth, B.C., Glenn, T.C., Derryberry,
E.P., Prejean, J., Fields, S., Brumfield, R.T., 2014. The drivers of tropical speciation. Nature
515, 406-409.

Snow, D., 1961. THE DISPLAYS OF THE MANAKINS PIPRA PIPRA AND TYRANNEUTES
VIRESCENS*. Ibis 103A, 110-113.

Snow, D.W., 2004. Family Pipridae (Manakins). In: del Hoyo, J., Elliot, A., Christie, D.A. (Eds.),
Handbook of the Birds of the World. Lynx Edicions, Barcelona, pp. 110-169.

Spencer, A., 2012. White-crowned Manakin vocal variation. xeno-canto.org, http://www.xeno-
canto.org/article/108.

Stamatakis, A., 2014. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large
phylogenies. Bioinformatics 30, 1312-1313.

Suh, A., 2016. The phylogenomic forest of bird trees contains a hard polytomy at the root of
Neoaves. Zoologica Scripta 45, 50-62.

Sukumaran, J., Knowles, L.L., 2017. Multispecies coalescent delimits structure, not species.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 114, 1607-1612.

Swofford, D.L., 2002. Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (*and Other Methods). Version 4.
Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts.

Tello, J.G., Moyle, R.G., Marchese, D.J., Cracraft, J., 2009. Phylogeny and phylogenetic
classification of the tyrant flycatchers, cotingas, manakins, and their allies (Aves:
Tyrannides). Cladistics 25, 429-467.

Teske, P.R., Golla, T.R., Sandoval-Castillo, J., Emami-Khoyi, A., van der Lingen, C.D., von der
Heyden, S., Chiazzari, B., Jansen van Vuuren, B., Beheregaray, L.B., 2018. Mitochondrial
DNA is unsuitable to test for isolation by distance. Scientific Reports 8, 8448.

Thom, G., Aleixo, A., 2015. Cryptic speciation in the white-shouldered antshrike (Thamnophilus
aethiops, Aves – Thamnophilidae): The tale of a transcontinental radiation across rivers in
lowland Amazonia and the northeastern Atlantic Forest. Molecular Phylogenetics and
Evolution 82, 95-110.

Thom, G., Amaral, F.R.D., Hickerson, M.J., Aleixo, A., Araujo-Silva, L.E., Ribas, C.C., Choueri,
E., Miyaki, C.Y., 2018. Phenotypic and Genetic Structure Support Gene Flow Generating
Gene Tree Discordances in an Amazonian Floodplain Endemic Species. Systematic Biology
67, 700-718.

Thrasher, D.J., Butcher, B.G., Campagna, L., Webster, M.S., Lovette, I.J., 2018. Double-digest
RAD sequencing outperforms microsatellite loci at assigning paternity and estimating
relatedness: A proof of concept in a highly promiscuous bird. Molecular Ecology Resources
18, 953-965.

Thunberg, C.P., 1822. Piprae. Novae species descriptae. Mémoires de l'Académie Impériale des
Sciences de St. Pétersbourg pour les années 1817 et 1818 8, 282–287.

Trifinopoulos, J., Nguyen, L.-T., Minh, B.Q., von Haeseler, A., 2016. W-IQ-TREE: a fast online
phylogenetic tool for maximum likelihood analysis. Nucleic Acids Research 44, W232-
W235.

Vaidya, G., Lohman, D.J., Meier, R., 2011. SequenceMatrix: concatenation software for the fast
assembly of multi-gene datasets with character set and codon information. Cladistics 27,
171-180.

van Els, P., Norambuena, H.V., Etienne, R.S., 2019. From pampa to puna: Biogeography and
diversification of a group of Neotropical obligate grassland birds (Anthus: Motacillidae).
Journal of Zoological Systematics and Evolutionary Research 00: 1– 12.

Voelker, G., 1999. DISPERSAL, VICARIANCE, AND CLOCKS: HISTORICAL
BIOGEOGRAPHY AND SPECIATION IN A COSMOPOLITAN PASSERINE GENUS
(ANTHUS: MOTACILLIDAE). Evolution 53, 1536-1552.

Wallace, A.R., 1854. On the monkeys of the Amazon. Annals and Magazine of Natural History 14,
451-454.

Weir, B.S., Cockerham, C.C., 1984. ESTIMATING F-STATISTICS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF
POPULATION STRUCTURE. Evolution 38, 1358-1370.

Weir, J.T., 2006. DIVERGENT TIMING AND PATTERNS OF SPECIES ACCUMULATION IN
LOWLAND AND HIGHLAND NEOTROPICAL BIRDS. Evolution 60, 842-855.

Wen, D., Yu, Y., Zhu, J., Nakhleh, L., 2018. Inferring Phylogenetic Networks Using PhyloNet.
Systematic Biology 67, 735-740.

Wetmore, A., 1972. The birds of the Republic of Panama. Part 3—Passeriformes: Dendrocolaptidae
(woodcreepers) to Oxyruncidae (sharpbills). Smithsonian Miscellaneous Collection 150.
Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, DC.

Wright, S., 1931. Evolution in Mendelian populations. Genetics 16, 97.

Zhang, J., Kapli, P., Pavlidis, P., Stamatakis, A., 2013. A general species delimitation method with
applications to phylogenetic placements. Bioinformatics 29, 2869-2876.

Zhu, J., Wen, D., Yu, Y., Meudt, H.M., Nakhleh, L., 2018. Bayesian inference of phylogenetic
networks from bi-allelic genetic markers. PLOS Computational Biology 14, e1005932.

Zimmer, J.T., 1929. New birds from Perú, Brazil, and Costa Rica. Proceedings of the Biological
Society of Washington 42, 81-98.

Zimmer, J.T., 1936. Studies of Peruvian birds. XXII. Notes on the Pipridae. American Museum
novitates; no. 889. 1-29.

1790

1791

1792

1793

1794

1795

1796

1797

Figure 1. Neotropical areas of endemism and sampling regions. Amazonian lowland areas of

endemism as portrayed in Da Silva et al. (2005) are emphasized with colored gradients, as well as

the Jaú area of endemism (Borges and Da Silva, 2012). Montane Andean, Central American,

Guianan, and dry diagonal regions (> 1000m) are emphasized in grey. As summarized in Da Silva

et al. (2005), a sequence of authors identified seven areas of endemism for lowland birds that

retained Wallace's "Guyana" (Wallace, 1854), split "Ecuador" into "Imeri" and "Napo," renamed

"Peru" to "Inambari," and split "Brazil" into "Rondônia," "Pará," and "Belém" (Cracraft, 1985;

Cracraft and Prum, 1988; Haffer, 1978; Haffer, 1985; Haffer, 1992). "Pará" was subsequently

further partitioned into two regions, "Tapajós" and "Xingu," (Da Silva et al., 2002). Recently,

additional sub-partitions have been proposed for the "Napo" (Jaú - Borges and Da Silva, 2012), and

Guianan areas of endemism (Naka, 2011). Plotted markers indicate sampling areas for 286

Pseudopipra individuals sequenced for genetic analysis in the present study. The numbers inside

markers indicate the number of individuals sampled within 0.25 degrees radius from a given

midpoint coordinate (representing samples within a ~50km diameter circle). Unlabeled markers

indicate one sample from a region. Additional sample locality data is provided in Figure 2 and

Supplementary Table 1. Inset top right is an illustration of the nominate *pipra* subspecies,

reproduced from the Handbook of the Birds of the World, Lynx Edicions. See the Supplementary

Data for a high-resolution version of this figure.

1816

1817

1818

1819

1820

1821

Figure 2. Data type by subspecies. Our total sampling of genetic and vocal diversity across

Pseudopipra intersects with all recognized subspecies and represents 542 separate data records.

Hypothetical distributions are based on descriptions in Kirwan and Green (2012) and indicate

maximal ranges which emphasize physical barriers proposed to delimit subspecies (gradients of

green: lowland taxa; gradients of brown: highland taxa). Montane areas are emphasized in

progressively darker grey colors; Amazonian rivers are indicated in light blue. The overall

distribution reflects an approximation from BirdLife International, updated to reflect our best

knowledge at the time of writing (BirdLife International Species factsheet: *Pseudopipra pipra*,

2018, also see Supplemental Figure 1). The distributions of northern Andean taxa have been

adjusted based on unpublished data provided by Andrés Cuervo (*personal communication*). We use

pie charts to present the proportion of records of a particular data type at a given locality (light grey:

mtDNA, dark grey: ddRAD, dark blue: lek vocalization, and light blue: call vocalization). Pie chart

markers are connected to sampling locality coordinates with black leader lines. Pie charts are sized

proportionally (max.: 22 records, min.: 1 record). Pie slices partition records of different types that

may come from the same individuals: i.e., a pie chart with 50% dark grey and 50% light grey

indicates only that an equal proportion of ddRAD and mtDNA samples are recovered from a

locality (see Supplementary Table 1 for additional detail). Also note one confirmed vocalization

record from southeast Amazonia outside the typical distribution at time of writing. See the

Supplementary Data for a high-resolution version of this figure.

1841

1842

1843

1844

Figure 3. New phylogenetic hypothesis for *Pseudopipra*. Phylogenetic analysis of concatenated

ddRAD sequences generated a well-resolved phylogenetic hypothesis which was largely congruent

across datasets and analytical approaches. Montane clades, including a Northern Andean (A1) +

Central American (A2) clade (A), and a central Peruvian clade (B), are recovered as nested sister

groups to two wide ranging lowland clades (C and D), indicating that the lowland clades are

descended from Andean lineages (Figure 5). Shown is the RAxML topology generated using the

50% diplotype dataset. Branch lengths are set to equal for display (see Supplementary Data for

original tree files). Colored markers at tips indicate group membership to one of eighteen

population-areas (matching Figure 4 and 5). Heatmaps at key nodes indicate bootstrap scores from

each of six phylogenetic analyses for 20/50/80% datasets, as indicated in the legend. Black markers

on branches indicate populations which are identified with 100% posterior probability in

fineRADstructure analysis. Deep phylogenetic structure is recovered by both RAxML and

SVDquartets with high support, though RAxML recovers additional low-support substructure in the

Northern Amazonian + Guiana Shield clade (D) which is coincident with several geographic

features (clades D1 and D2). A clade of introgressed western Napo individuals (E) is recovered by

all standard phylogenetic analyses as sister to the northern Amazonian + Guiana shield clade (D).

As the history of this clade is characterized by a complex introgression scenario between northern

and southern lineages, a bifurcating tree model is inadequate to describe its relations with other

groups (see text and Figure 6). Also shown are the well supported phylogenetic positions of two

distinct subspecies lineages (*pygmaea* and *coracaina*) from which we were able to obtain mtDNA

(Supplemental Appendix). Plotting was aided by the phytools (Revell, 2012) and ape (Paradis et al.,

2004) *R* packages.

1867

1868

Figure 4. Mapping of phylogenetic relationships into geographic space. Here, a simplified topology

is plotted with tips anchored to monophyletic sampling regions (except for clade D). The colors of

each convex hull (i.e., hypotheses of minimum clade ranges) match tip marker colors in Figure 3

and 5. These colored hulls represent distinct non-overlapping geographic areas delimited by

physical barriers. Note the two weakly resolved lineages (pale yellow Jaú, and green Guiana Shield

population groups in clade D) are delimited by the Negro river. Clade D bipartitions are shown with

dashed lines to indicate weak phylogenetic support (Figure 3, results). The ancestral habit of the

genus is inferred to be Andean (Figure 5, Supplementary Appendix), with a single origin of lowland

lineages. Also shown at the tips of the simplified tree are median admixture proportions across

sampling localities as inferred with STRUCTURE (Figure 5).

1879

1880

1881

1882

1883

1884

1885

1886

1887

1888

1889

1890

1891

Figure 5. Cluster and admixture analysis of SNP data. Left: RAxML topology using the 50%

diplotype dataset, with tip markers colored to indicate group membership to one of eighteen

population-areas (matching those in Figures 3, 4). Branch colors indicate inferred ancestral areas,

(see Supplemental Appendix and text). Center: STRUCTURE summary for Dataset 1, depicting

population assignment and admixture estimates for K=5. The five groups correspond to wide

biogeographic regions which coincide with lowland areas of endemism, as well as Central

American, and Andean regions (Figure 4). Each labeled region is inferred to have a unique

combination of admixture proportions. The admixture gradient inferred from the Xingu to the

southern Atlantic Forest may be a product of isolation by distance (see Supplementary Appendix),

whereas the signature of admixture inferred for western Napo lineages appears to be a product of

historical introgression from a northern lineage, into a distinct Southern Amazonian lineage (see

Figure 6 and discussion). See Supplementary Figure 3 for K2-10 results. Right: K-means clustering

solutions for SNP dataset D1-D4m (described in Table 1, also see Supplementary Figure 2), as well

as for the coancestry matrix (CoA). Black bars indicate distinct clusters which are also detected as

monophyletic groups in the phylogenetic analysis. Red bars indicate one distinct K-means cluster

from a given dataset, but which is paraphyletic with respect to the phylogenetic analysis. The grey

bars for D2m indicate several groups of individuals that were not resolvable via K-means

(Supplementary Figure 2). See the Supplementary Data for a version with individual sample IDs.

1910

1911

1912

1913

1914

Figure 6. Exploration of the introgressed lineage in the western Napo area of endemism. The

available genetic evidence appears consistent with the hypothesis that individuals in the western

Napo area of endemism are derived from a distinct southern Amazonian lineage (S2a in Panel A).

This lineage (S2a) experienced substantial introgression from the ancestral populations of what are

today eastern Napo and Jaú restricted linages. A) depicts the phylogenetic network (in black)

inferred by PhyloNet, with red arrowheads indicating a strong signal of asymmetric introgression

indicated by G-PhoCS (clade C1 in Figure 3, into a southern Amazonian lineage S2a). The dashed

grey line connecting S2a/S2 to S1 indicates a weakly supported hypothesis of introgression into

Inambari lineages, suggested only by STRUCTURE (see text and Figure 5). B) displays the

individual level coancestry matrix for introgressed Napo individuals and their hypothesized

progenitor lineages. Introgressed hybrids (clade E, or abbreviated PH for putative hybrid) have

greater coancestry with each of their progenitor lineages [S2a and Northern Amazon + Guiana

shield (abbreviated GS)] than their progenitor lineages share with each other (PH vs. GS /

progenitors = 1.078, PH vs. Inambari / progenitors = 1.17, see text). The southern progenitor

lineage (S2a) is unsampled or extinct. C) summarizes coancestry variance as projected into

component space after normalizing. D) summarizes the minimum implied geographic extents of the

groups involved. For the convex hull representing the range of the western Napo lineage (beige),

darker shading indicates localities for ddRAD sampling, while lighter shading indicates an

additional locality of the Napo lineage from mtDNA samples. G-PhoCS demographic parameter

estimates are also depicted in panel D (m: migrants per generation, when lower 95% CI > 1, τ:

splitting time in generations, θ: effective population size (10^6), Supplemental Table 5). In A-D, two

subpopulations (East and West) are indicated for each progenitor group, as outlined with black

convex hulls in D.

Figure 7. Estimated Effective migration surface (EEMS). The migration surface links the

topography and drainage system of the Neotropics to spatial patterns of genetic variation. Shown

are results estimated from a model with 2000 demes (vertices). Bluer colors indicate areas where

gene flow is more likely to be occurring (i.e., more connectivity than the average signal of isolation

by distance), while browner colors indicate areas where barriers to gene flow are probable. The

Andes and the Amazon River are the most clearly inferred barriers to gene flow. Major rivers and

other barriers, as well as a > 1000m contour (light grey) are overlaid to aid interpretation. See text

for additional discussion. Note: in EEMs, input sample coordinates are associated with the closest

vertex (i.e., depicted sample markers do not indicate exact sample position). See the Supplementary

Data for a high-resolution version of this figure.

1948

1949

1950

1951

1952

1953

1954

1955

1956

1957

1958

1959

1960

1961

Figure 8. Panel A: Summary of lek vocalization phenotypes. Our analysis identified 11

qualitatively distinct lek vocalization types that can be diagnosed by ear, or by visual inspection of

sonograms (arbitrarily labeled numerically). See Supplementary Figure 13 for vocalization

measures. The inset map indicates the minimum implied ranges for each identified vocalization

type, with colors and plotting symbols matching those in PCA plots (Supplemental Figures 14, 15).

The pale blue dashed line indicates where the "southern Amazon" vocalization type 2 may be

shared, apparently plesiomorphically (Supplementary Figure 16). Vocalization type 2 may therefore

occur in other unsampled areas of the southern Amazon. Type 1 also appears to be shared

pleisiomorphically between populations on the eastern slopes of the Andes (Clade A1) and in

populations from the southern Cordillera Azul in Loreto (Clade B1). Panel B: Summary of call

vocalization phenotypes. Our analysis identified three distinct but similar call vocalization types

that can be diagnosed by ear, or by visual inspection of sonograms. Vocalization type 5 was

primarily recorded in the northern Amazon basin, coinciding with the broad ranging Northern

Amazon + Guiana Shield lineage (Clade D). From our initial classification, one recording from the

Atlantic Forest (XC427315) was assigned to type 5, suggesting this type may be shared between the

Guiana Shield clade and the Atlantic Forest clade (not shown). As with lek vocalization type 1, call

vocalization type 10 was shared pleisiomorphically across Andean clades (A1 + B1). Call

vocalization type 13 was entirely restricted to but shared across diverse lowland southern

Amazonian forms (Clade C). Letters to the top right of sonograms indicate explicit [e.g., *A*] or

implicit clade associations [e.g., (*A*)]. See the Supplemental Appendix for additional details and

discussion.

1983

1984

1985   **Table 1.** Summary of dataset filtering parameters. We generated eight SNP (single nucleotide

1986   polymorphism) datasets under different filtering strategies to evaluate the sensitivity of cluster

1987   analyses to these parameters. For eight SNP datasets, dataset D1 – D4mod, we note the parameters

1988   for each filter: the required sequencing coverage, the missing data threshold, whether or not a single

1989   SNP or all SNPs per locus are retained, the minor allele frequency cutoff (MAF), the number of

1990   loci, the total number of SNPS represented in a given dataset, and the average number of SNPs per

1991   locus.

1992

1993

1994

1995

1996

1997

1998

1999

2000

2001

2002

2003

2004

2005

2006

2007

2008

2009   **Table 2.** Summary of Mantel tests. For each geographic barrier and sampling region we were able

2010   to evaluate, we report results for analyses using phylogenetic patristic distance and coancestry as

2011   proxies for individual genetic distances. Analyses for both linear and log geographic scales are

2012   shown. Asterisks indicate *p* values less than 0.001, which we interpret as statistical significance (see

2013   discussion in text).

A)

SW Amazon Inambari
S1 (Clade C1)

S2a (Clade E) — S2 (Clade E)

F

NW Amazon Jaú + eastern Napo
(Clade D)

B)

Northwest Amazon | Southern Amazon clade

Shared coancestry
55.5
52.2
48.9
45.6
42.3
39
35.7
32.5
29.2
25.9

Jaú | Eastern Napo | Introgressed | W. Inambari | E. Inambari

C) Coancestry PCA of introgressed + progenitors

- + Eastern Napo + Jaú
- ○ Western Napo (introgressed)
- △ West + East Inambari

Component 2 explains 8.2% of the point variability

Component 1 explains 76.6% of the point variability

D)

Western Napo

major introgression
m: 1.75 [1.05 - 2.59]

Eastern Napo

Jaú

θ~2.81

possible minor introgression

S2a/S2 θ~4.95

τ~1.03

F

θ~1.43

τ~0.485

θ~5.36

Inambari

S1 θ~2.78

Rondônia

Latitude

Longitude

Posterior mean migration rates

Table 1: Summary of dataset filtering parameters

Dataset	coverage	SNP present in	linkage	MAF	loci	SNPs	SNPs/locus
D1	>20x	>80%	1st SNP	>= 5%	1960	1960	1
D1mod	>20x	100%	1st SNP	>= 5%	175	175	1
D2	>20x	>80%	1st SNP	NA	2581	2581	1
D2mod	>20x	100%	1st SNP	NA	250	250	1
D3	>20x	>80%	all SNPs	>= 5%	1960	5099	2.6
D3mod	>20x	100%	all SNPs	>= 5%	177	391	2.2
D4	>20x	>80%	all SNPs	NA	2581	41024	15.9
D4mod	>20x	100%	all SNPs	NA	251	3832	15.3

Table 2: Summary of Mantel tests

	Patristic distance		Coancestry	
Approximate Barrier	p < 0.001	p < 0.001	p < 0.001	p < 0.001
Cordillera de Talamanca	-	*	-	-
Andes (1)	-	*	-	*
Andes (2)	-	*	-	*
Andes (3)	*	*	-	*
Ucayali river	*	*	*	*
Eastern Marañón + Hauallaga rivers	*	*	*	*
Putumayo river	*	*	*	*
Purus river	*	*	-	*
Madeira river	*	*	*	-
Tapajós river	-	*	-	-
Xingu river	*	*	-	-
Cerrado (1)	*	*	-	*
Cerrado (2)	*	*	*	*
Japurá river	-	*	*	*
Negro river	-	-	-	-
Essequibo river	*	*	*	*
Amazonas river	*	*	*	*
Regions				
Full dataset	*	*	*	*
Central America - Costa Rica (Clade A3)	-	-	-	-
Central America - Panama (Clade A4)	-	-	-	-
North Andean – San Martín (Clade A1)	?	?	?	?
South Andean Peru (North) (Clade B2)	-	-	-	-
South Andean Peru (South) (Clade B1)	-	-	-	-
weakly resolved Guiana Shield (Clade D)	-	-	-	*
Unresolved Jaú	-	*	-	*
Weakly resolved eastern Napo (Clade D2)	-	-	-	-
Weakly resolved Suriname + Amapá (D1)	-	-	-	*
Western Napo introgressed lineage (Clade E)	-	-	-	-
Western Inambari endemic (Clade C1)	*	*	-	*
Eastern Inambari endemic (Clade C3)	-	-	-	-
Rondônia endemic (Clade C4)	?	?	?	?
Tapajós endemic (Clade C5)	-	-	-	*
Xingu endemic (Clade C6)	-	-	-	-
Atlantic Forest – Bahia (Clade C8)	-	-	-	-
Atlantic Forest – Espírito Santo (Clade C9)	?	?	?	?
Atlantic Forest – Rio (Clade C10)	*	-	*	*
	linear	**log**	**linear**	**log**