Genomic phylogeography of the White Crowned Manakin *Pseudopipra pipra* (Aves: Pipridae) illuminates a continental-scale radiation out of the Andes

Author Affiliations:

Jacob S. Berv[1,5*], Leonardo Campagna[1], Teresa J. Feo[2], Ivandy Castro-Astor[3], Camila C. Ribas[4], Richard O. Prum[5], Irby J. Lovette[1]

1. Fuller Evolutionary Biology Program, Cornell Lab of Ornithology, 159 Sapsucker Woods Road, Ithaca, NY 14850, USA. Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Cornell University, 215 Tower Road, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA.

2. Department of Vertebrate Zoology, MRC-116, National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC 20013, USA.

3. Department of Biology, City College of New York and CUNY Graduate Center, City University of New York, New York, NY 10031, USA

4. Coordenacão de Biodiversidade, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia, Manaus, AM, Brazil

5. Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, and Peabody Museum of Natural History, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut, 06520, USA.

*Correspondence to: Jacob S. Berv (jsb439@cornell.edu)

Abstract.—The complex landscape history of the Neotropics has generated opportunities

for population isolation and subsequent diversification that place this region among the

most species-rich in the world. Detailed phylogeographic studies are required to uncover

the biogeographic histories of Neotropical taxa, to identify evolutionary correlates of

diversity, and to reveal patterns of genetic connectivity, disjunction, and potential

differentiation among lineages from different areas of endemism. The White-crowned

Manakin (*Pseudopipra pipra*) is a small suboscine passerine bird that is broadly distributed

through the subtropical rainforests of Central America, the lower montane cloud forests of

the Andes from Colombia to central Peru, the lowlands of Amazonia and the Guianas, and

the Atlantic forest of southeast Brazil. *Pseudopipra* is currently recognized as a single,

polytypic biological species. We studied the effect of the historical and current Neotropical

landscape on genetic and phenotypic differentiation within this species using genomic data

derived from double digest restriction site associated DNA sequencing (ddRAD), and

mitochondrial DNA. Our analyses identify five ancient clades, which encompass seventeen

well-differentiated populations. Most of the breakpoints among populations coincide with

physical barriers to gene flow previously associated with avian areas of endemism, and

generally coincide with subspecies boundaries. The phylogenetic relationships among

these populations imply a unique pattern of a montane Andean origin for the genus, with a

subsequent expansion and radiation into the Amazonian lowlands. Analyses of genomic

admixture demonstrate a complex history of introgression between some western

Amazonian populations, which confound standard concatenated and coalescent

phylogenetic analyses, and raise the possibility that a lineage in the western Napo area of

endemism is of hybrid origin. Lastly, we analyze variation in vocal phenotypes in the

context of our phylogeny and propose that *Pseudopipra* is a species-complex composed of

15-17 distinct species which have arisen in the last ~2.5 Ma.

Key Words: Neotropics, Amazon, Andes, Areas of Endemism, Suboscine, Speciation

Genomics, Phylogeography, Lekking, hybrid speciation

Many kinds of geographic and habitat barriers have been proposed to drive

population diversification and speciation in the Neotropics (Wallace 1854, Haffer 1969,

Cracraft and Prum 1988, Haffer 2008, Smith et al. 2014). Such ecological barriers partition

biodiversity into areas of endemism (Cracraft 1985, Cracraft and Prum 1988, Linder 2001,

Da Silva et al. 2005, Crother and Murray 2011, Noguera-urbano 2016) by acting as

impediments to gene flow for dispersal-limited organisms (Cheviron et al. 2005, Moore et

al. 2008, Brumfield 2012, Ribas et al. 2012, Fernandes et al. 2015). Three of the most

prominent features implicated in structuring the biodiversity of Neotropical forest birds

(Figure 1) include the Andes Mountains and other montane regions (Figure 1, grey relief);

the Chaco, Cerrado, and Caatinga biomes, which collectively form a 'dry diagonal' of open

habitat separating the Amazon forest from the Atlantic Forest; and the large rivers of the

complex Amazonian drainage system (Brumfield 2012, Smith et al. 2014, Harvey and

Brumfield 2015, Naka and Brumfield 2018).

Montane Andean regions in the Neotropics are known to be exceptionally

biodiverse, and encompass at least 15 areas of endemism with biotas shaped by a

combination of vicariance and dispersal events (Hazzi et al. 2018). Elevational gradients in

the Andes contribute substantially to Neotropical diversification metrics and raise

fundamental questions about the historical relationships between lowland rainforest and

montane endemics in the Neotropics (Weir 2006, Quintero and Jetz 2018, Musher et al.

2019). From a biogeographic perspective, evolution has proceeded both "into and out of

the Andes" (Brumfield and Edwards 2007, Nylander et al. 2008). A number of examples

illustrate colonization of Andean regions by lowland ancestors (Fjeldså 1992, Bates and

Zink 1994, Ribas et al. 2007), whereas fewer examples suggest colonization of lowlands

(grasslands) by Andean ancestors (da Silva 1995, Voelker 1999, van Els et al. 2019). For

some tanagers (Aves: Thraupidae), the Northern Andes have been a source of lineages that

later dispersed into the Central Andes and Amazonian lowlands (Sedano and Burns 2010).

Glacial cycles and climatic fluctuations during the Pleistocene have been implicated as an

important factor in montane diversification, disproportionally affecting high elevation

forest (Hooghiemstra and Van der Hammen 2004, Weir 2006) and transiently connecting

highland and lowland habitats (Brumfield and Edwards 2007, Nylander et al. 2008).

By contrast, in the lowland Amazon basin, a number of areas of endemism have

been described, delimited primarily by major tributaries of the Amazon River (Haffer 1974,

Cracraft 1985, Da Silva et al. 2005, Borges and Da Silva 2012). Wallace (1854) initially

suggested that the Amazon basin could be divided into four wide bioregions (which he

termed 'Guyana', 'Ecuador', 'Peru', 'Brazil'), based on primate distributions (see also Lynch

Alfaro et al. 2015). These areas were subsequently partitioned by later biogeographers into

at least eight major areas of endemism for terrestrial vertebrates (Figure 1) (Haffer 1978,

Cracraft 1985, Haffer 1985, Cracraft and Prum 1988, Haffer 1992, Da Silva et al. 2002, Da

Silva et al. 2005, Naka 2011, Borges and Da Silva 2012). The aggregate of these geographic

partitions has been recognized as the "Amazonian areas of endemism" and is the basis for

the riverine barrier hypothesis (Figure 1, Antonelli et al. 2018, Silva et al. 2019 Figure 1A).

Few studies have applied modern phylogeographic techniques leveraging next-

generation sequencing datasets to reconstruct the phylogenetic and population genetic

history of a Neotropical radiation distributed across many major Neotropical forest areas

of endemism. To our knowledge, there has only been one other study to apply a genomic

sequencing technique to study continental-scale phylogeography of an Amazonian

suboscine passerine bird (*Xenops minutus*; Harvey and Brumfield 2015, also see Harvey et

al. 2017). Here, we investigate lineage diversification across the Neotropics using the

continentally distributed *Pseudopipra* genus. The monotypic genus *Pseudopipra* (family

Pipridae) currently includes a single biological species, the White-crowned Manakin

(*Pseudopipra pipra = Dixiphia*). *Pseudopipra* is found on both sides of a variety of known

dispersal barriers, including elevational gradients of the Andes, major Amazonian rivers,

the dry diagonal, tepuis, and the Isthmus of Panama. Thus, this radiation is particularly

appropriate for assessing patterns of phylogenomic differentiation across a nested set of

spatial scales in Neotropical forests distributed in both highland and lowland areas of

endemism.

In order to assess the historical relationships among Andean and lowland

Amazonian populations, and the degree to which population structure corresponds to

landscape features, our study design uses fine-scale sampling across a majority of

Pseudopipra's range. We use double digest restriction site associated DNA (RAD)

sequencing (Peterson et al. 2012)—a reduced representation genomic sequencing

technique— to sample thousands of independent markers across the genomes of hundreds

of individuals spanning many well-known Amazonian and Andean areas of endemism, from

Costa Rica to the Atlantic Forest of Brazil. We use these data to infer biogeographic history

using a variety of methods, including concatenated data and coalescent-based phylogenetic

inference.

Our investigation led us to examine the spatial distribution of genetic diversity

across the range of *Pseudopipra* and to investigate the roles of many specific barriers to

gene flow on population genomic differentiation. We tested for signals of isolation by

distance (IBD) within areas delimited by prominent geographic barriers and assessed the

degree to which such barriers generate discontinuities from IBD predictions. Our analyses

inferred a spatially explicit model that explains a majority of the observed genetic

dissimilarity among sampling localities and of the overall genetic variance, implying a

strong connection between landscape features and diversification at multiple scales. Lastly,

we investigated congruence between geographic variation in vocal variation, plumage

phenotype, and phylogeographic structure, to evaluate the biological mechanisms that may

be contributing to population differentiation (e.g. Zamudio et al. 2016), and to reevaluate

species delimitation. Overall, these investigations highlight the significant impact of the

topography and drainage systems of the Neotropics in structuring genetic diversity across

Pseudopipra, as well as the complex influence of introgression in generating biogeographic

patterns (e.g. Burbrink and Gehara 2018).

Study organism and Taxonomic summary

The White-Crowned Manakin, *Pseudopipra pipra* (Pipridae, hereafter *Pseudopipra*),

is a small (10-12 gram), non-migratory, suboscine passerine bird that is broadly

distributed within Central America, the lower montane cloud forests of the Andes from

Colombia to central Peru, the Amazon basin, and the Atlantic Forest (Figure 2) (Kirwan and

Green 2012). The male's striking white crown and jet-black body makes them among the

most easily identified manakins, though the grey-green females are often confused with

other manakin species in the field. *Pseudopipra* are typically found in dense humid forest,

exhibit dispersed lek breeding behavior, and are predominantly frugivorous. Unlike many

manakin species that exhibit concentrated or cooperative lek behavior (e.g. Prum 1990a,

Prum 1994), *Pseudopipra* males display in dispersed leks of 2-5 males (Snow 1961, Castro-

Astor et al. 2007). Castro-Astor et al. (2007) described at least 11 components in the

display repertoire of the Atlantic forest *Pseudopipra* population, including rapid turning,

jumping, 'to-and-fro' flights, and an about-face.

For most of its history, the species *pipra* was placed in the genus *Pipra* (Snow 1979).

Prum (1990b), Prum (1990a), and Prum (1992) recognized that the traditional *Pipra*

(*sensu* Snow 1979) was polyphyletic and placed the species *pipra* in *Dixiphia* (Reichenbach

1850) which was recognized as a junior synonym of *Pipra*. Recently, Kirwan et al. (2016)

demonstrated that the genus *Dixiphia* was unavailable for *pipra* because it is actually a

junior synonym of the tyrannid genus *Arundinicola*. They named the new genus

Pseudopipra for *pipra*.

A recent phylogenetic hypothesis of manakins based on mitochondrial and nuclear

DNA sequences placed *Pseudopipra* as sister group to the five species of *Ceratopipra*

(Ohlson et al. 2013). While the higher level phylogeny and taxonomy of manakins has

received significant attention (Tello et al. 2009, Ohlson et al. 2013), work exploring

intraspecific genetic variation within manakins has been restricted to a relatively few

species (e.g. McDonald 2003, Cheviron et al. 2005, Cheviron et al. 2006, Francisco et al.

2007, Brumfield et al. 2008, Capurucho et al. 2013, Gubili et al. 2016, Luna et al. 2017).

Based on geographic variation in plumage and vocalizations, many previous authors

have suggested that the biological species *Pseudopipra pipra* likely includes multiple,

distinct phylogenetic species (Ridgely and Greenfield 2001, Snow 2004, Ridgely and Tudor

2009, Kirwan and Green 2012, Spencer 2012, Freile 2014). Thirteen subspecies of

Pseudopipra pipra have been recognized based primarily on subtle variations in plumage

coloration, which are frequently more marked in females than in males (summarized in

Dickinson 2003, Snow 2004, Kirwan and Green 2012). Because suboscine passerines

generally do not learn their songs (but see Saranathan et al. 2007), the presence of

substantial vocal variation across *Pseudopipra* populations further suggests that the genus

may contain unrecognized cryptic species (e.g. Campagna et al. 2012). However, all

previous authors awaited new information on genetic differentiation within *Pseudopipra*

before making taxonomic recommendations.

It also remains unclear how subspecific designations reflect evolutionary history.

The genetic variation within *Pseudopipra* has received prior attention by two studies that

used mitochondrial DNA to infer population genetic patterns. Milá et al. (2012) reported

intraspecific divergences of up to 3.5% (n=19) across three Amazonian populations, and

the highest observed nucleotide diversity ($\pi = 0.266$) among 14 widely distributed

Amazonian birds species. Castro-Astor (2014) used a larger sample (n=57), and discovered

that at least four *Pseudopipra* subspecies correspond to well supported mitochondrial

clades which were generally congruent with Amazonian areas of endemism. Castro-Astor

(2014) also estimated the age of the *Pseudopipra* complex to coincide with the onset of the

Pleistocene ~2.457 Ma (95% HPD 1.45-3.97).

Materials & Methods

Field and tissue sampling

Muscle tissue samples were obtained from available vouchered avian material from

US and Brazilian collections and other institutions (see Acknowledgements and

Supplemental Table 1). We sampled from ten of thirteen recognized subspecies (Figure 2)

of *Pseudopipra* (Kirwan and Green 2012). Unfortunately, we were unable to obtain material

of adequate quality for ddRAD sequencing from the Andes of Ecuador, Colombia, and for

lowland Peruvian populations representing the *coracina*, *bolivari*, *minima*, *unica*, and

pygmaea subspecies. However, we were able to obtain mtDNA data from *coracina* and

pygmaea, which allowed us to make a preliminary assessment of their phylogenetic

affinities (see discussion on mtDNA). In total, after discarding failed samples and samples

with high proportions of missing data, we obtained new genetic data from 277 individuals

(232 from ddRAD, 168 from mtDNA), representing ~80 localities and 10 subspecies. We

also obtained comparable ddRAD data for two specimens of *Ceratopipra rubrocapilla* as

outgroups. See Supplementary Table 1 for details.

Laboratory methods

We extracted DNA from avian tissue specimens (Table S1) using the DNeasy Blood

& Tissue Kit (QIAGEN, CA) and generated ddRADtags following the protocol of Peterson et

al. (2012), with modifications described in Thrasher et al. (2018). Briefly, we digested

equal quantities of genomic DNA from each sample in individual reactions with two

restriction enzymes, SbfI and MspI (New England Biolabs, MA), and ligated adapters on

both ends. The 5' adapters contained one of 20 unique 5-7 bp barcodes, whereas the 3'

adapter was common to all samples. We pooled groups of samples with unique 5' barcodes

and subsequently size selected DNA fragments that were between 400-700 bp using a Blue

Pippin (Sage Science, MA). For each group of size-selected samples, we incorporated

unique Illumina TruSeq adapters by performing 11 cycles of PCR. The combination of 5'

barcodes and TruSeq adapters was unique to each sample. A total of 13 groups of pooled

samples with different Illumina TruSeq adapters were combined in equimolar proportions

into two libraries. We sequenced both libraries on four lanes of Illumina HiSeq 2500 at the

Cornell University Institute for Biotechnology, obtaining single-end 151 bp sequences. We

obtained a representative sample of mitochondrial ND2 sequences using standard Sanger

Sequencing protocols described in Berv and Prum (2014).

Assembly of sequencing reads into RAD loci

We obtained 497 million raw 151 bp reads (~75 Gb) for 241 individuals. We first

assessed the overall read quality with FastQC (Andrews 2010) and trimmed lower quality

bases at the 3' end with FASTX-Toolkit (Gordon and Hannon 2010). The trimmed

sequences were 145 bp in length. Using FASTX-Toolkit we filtered-out lower quality reads

if they had a single base below a Phred quality score of 10 and/or more than 5% of bases

with quality between Phred 10 and 20.

The quality filtered reads were demultiplexed with the 'process_radtags' program

from the STACKS 1.44 bioinformatics pipeline (Catchen et al. 2013). During the

demultiplexing step we also discarded reads that had not passed the Illumina filter, had

adapter contamination, lacked barcodes used for multiplexing, or did not contain an SbfI

cut site. This step removed the inline barcodes and trimmed all reads to an equal length,

the length of the reads that contained a 7 bp barcode. After demultiplexing and filtering, we

retained an average of 1.1 ± 0.4 million 138 bp sequences per individual.

We downloaded the *Manacus vitellinus* (GCA_001715985.1) reference genome from

www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov, and aligned the reads from each individual using bowtie2 2.3

(Langmead et al. 2009), as recommended by Paris et al. (2017), Shafer et al. (2017). We

assembled the mapped reads into RAD loci using the reference-based pipeline in STACKS,

executed with the ref_map script which runs the modules "pstacks/cstacks/sstacks". We

subsequently ran the error correction module "rxstacks" and a final iteration of

"cstacks/sstacks". We set the parameters to a minimum coverage of 20 (m) and up to two

differences among aligned loci of different individuals (n). The reference-based assembly

produced a catalogue with 47,046 RAD loci. Seven samples were discarded due to high

proportions of missing data in the final assemblies; our final data set thus comprised 234

individuals.

We used several filters in the "populations" module from STACKS to export different

sets of bi-allelic SNPs: a missing data filter, a minimum depth of coverage filter, a filter that

exports only one SNP per RAD locus, and a minor allele frequency filter (MAF). By

combining these different filters, we produced three versions of the dataset which varied in

important parameters that could potentially bias downstream population genetic inference

(Linck and Battey 2017, Paris et al. 2017). Dataset 1 (labeled arbitrarily) included 1,960

SNPs that were generated by setting the MAF to >= 5%, requiring minimum coverage of

20x, requiring the presence of a SNP in at least 80% of individuals, and filtering out all but

the first SNP in each RAD locus to minimize linkage across the dataset (Paris et al. 2017).

To evaluate the sensitivity of our analyses to rare variants (Linck and Battey 2017, Shafer

et al. 2017), dataset 2 was exported with identical parameters to dataset 1, but without a

MAF; this generated a dataset of 2,581 SNPs. Lastly, for non-model-based cluster analyses

which should be insensitive to linkage, a third dataset was exported which included all

SNPs from each locus (dataset 3, 5,099 SNPs). We generated several additional datasets for

specific analytical cases when required and describe them later as necessary.

Phylogenetic analysis

Because sparse super-matrices may be more prone to systematic error (e.g. Roure et

al. 2013), we explored the sensitivity of our phylogenetic inferences to increasing amounts

of missing data using three different datasets with 20%, 50%, and 80% thresholds for

missing data at the RAD locus level (i.e., for the 80% threshold, if the RAD locus is present

in at least 20% of individuals, then that locus, containing all variable and invariable sites,

was exported). This approach generated datasets comprising 2,584, 4,763, and 7,901 RAD

loci, respectively. For each dataset, we obtained complete haplotype data for every

locus/individual and generated a concatenated supermatrix using Sequence Matrix (Vaidya

et al. 2011). These matrices had up to ~40% missing sites across the entire matrix,

irrespective of columns or rows (i.e., using the 80% threshold above).

We estimated phylogenies using each dataset with a concatenated maximum

likelihood and a coalescent species-tree approach for a total of six phylogenetic analyses.

For analysis of concatenated data, we estimated a maximum likelihood phylogenetic

hypothesis using RAxML 8.2.9 (Stamatakis 2014). We estimated 500 bootstrap replicates

followed by a full ML search for each dataset, using a GTRGAMMAX substitution model. We

avoid the need for ascertainment bias correction by using short-read haplotype alignments

including invariant sites (Leaché et al. 2015). We also tested for an effect of data

partitioning on phylogenetic inference by generating optimized locus partitioning schemes

in PartitionFinder 2 (Lanfear et al. 2017), which were then re-analyzed in RAxML. We

found that our topological results were insensitive to data partitioning, and as such we do

not present these results.

We evaluated coalescent phylogenetic structure using the SVDquartets method

implemented in PAUP* 4.0a159 (Swofford 2002, Chifman and Kubatko 2014, Chifman and

Kubatko 2015). SVDquartets may be a useful tool for testing hypotheses of species

delimitation because it can be used to generate a lineage-tree under the multispecies

coalescent without assigning individuals to species *a priori*. In this approach, each

individual in a dataset is considered to be a separate 'species.' If a clade in such a lineage-

tree has high bootstrap support, this would mean that the descendant taxa have strong

support as a group under the multispecies coalescent, and may coincide with species

boundaries (*Personal communication*, Laura Kubatko). Our SVDquartets analysis evaluated

all possible quartets with 500 bootstrap replicates (other settings left to defaults).

SVDQuartets has the advantage of working directly on sequence data (above) and has been

shown to perform well in comparison to other coalescent models which assume fully and

correctly resolved gene trees as input (Chou et al. 2015, Schmidt-Lebuhn et al. 2017).

SVDquartets has also been shown to produce reliable results under very general

conditions, and is theoretically robust to variation in effective population size, molecular

clocks, limited gene flow, and incomplete lineage sorting (Long and Kubatko 2017). Finally,

SVDquartets is very computationally efficient compared to other multispecies coalescent

models.

While a full statistical exploration of our specific usage of SVDquartets is beyond the

scope of the present work, we suggest that this approach may be a useful and efficient way

to assess support for hypotheses of species delimitation under the multispecies coalescent.

We do not take the perspective that all well supported clades from our coalescent analysis

necessarily represent biological species – such an interpretation would likely lead to an

over-inflation of species estimates (Sukumaran and Knowles 2017, Leaché et al. 2018,

Chambers and Hillis 2019). In general however, we prefer such a species agnostic approach

to those which require *a priori* delimitation of species boundaries (e.g SNAPP, Bouckaert et

al. 2014), as it enables us to discover well supported coalescent structure without an *a*

priori hypothesis. Lastly, we generated a mitochondrial DNA ND2 gene tree using IQ-TREE

1.6.10 (Schmidt et al. 2014, Chernomor et al. 2016, Trifinopoulos et al. 2016, Hoang et al.

2017, Kalyaanamoorthy et al. 2017). We partitioned by codon position and generated a

maximum likelihood tree using the MFP+MERGE model search and partitioning option

(Supplemental Appendix).

Descriptive statistics

Estimates of regional variation in allelic richness (Goudet 2005), Hardy-Weinberg

equilibrium (Paradis 2010), inbreeding (Goudet 2005), linkage disequilibrium (Kamvar et

al. 2014), and an analysis of molecular variance across multiple strata (Kamvar et al. 2014),

are reported as supplementary material.

Cluster inference and patterns of genomic admixture

We performed exploratory population genetic analyses intended to quantify the

number of similar genetic clusters across the range of *Pseudopipra.* First, we evaluated

broad-scale variation across our genomic data using phenetic K-means clustering

implemented in the find.clusters function in the adegenet R package (Jombart 2008). This

function first transforms the data with a PCA, and then identifies clusters with a phenetic K-

means algorithm. Using datasets 1-3, we evaluated K1:20 successively by the Bayesian

Information Criterion (BIC). K-means clustering is based on minimizing the variance within

clusters, and has the potential advantage of making few prior assumptions about the

underlying processes which generated the data (MacQueen 1967). For each analysis, we

retained all PC axes, set 200 randomly chosen starting centroids, and ran each search for

10^9 iterations.

We also estimated the number of differentiated populations using STRUCTURE 2.3.4

(Pritchard et al. 2000), a widely-used model-based approach which assigns individuals to

populations such that Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium is maximized and linkage among loci is

minimized within groups. By comparing the output of STRUCTURE runs fixed to different

numbers of populations (K), one can assess the degree of fit of the data to various models of

K and assess genomic admixture among individuals. We ran structure using dataset 1, from

K1:20 with 10 iterations per K value. We ran the program for 700,000 generations,

discarding the first 200,000 as burn-in, implementing the admixture ancestry model with

correlated allele frequencies. We evaluated K by examining $Pr(X|K)$ or $L(K)$ after

summarizing our results using Structure Harvester (Earl and vonHoldt 2012) and CLUMPP

(Jakobsson and Rosenberg 2007). We also used the Evanno method to estimate the rate of

change in the log probability of data between values of K, which has been suggested to be a

useful metric to detect the uppermost hierarchical level of structure in the data (Evanno et

al. 2005). Lastly, we generated 95% Bayesian Credible Intervals around admixture

coefficients (presented as averages across individuals for population assignment).

To investigate finer scale population genetic differentiation and the genomic

composition of populations defined at different hierarchical levels, we used the programs

fineRADstructure and RADpainter (Malinsky et al. 2018). RADpainter takes advantage of

the information contained in haplotype data and considers each individual in a dataset as a

'recipient' whose genomes are reconstructed using chunks of 'donor' DNA from all other

available individuals. This approach generates a 'co-ancestry matrix' which combines the

information that can be derived from both PCA and model-based clustering approaches and

thus can be more sensitive to subtle population structure (Lawson et al. 2012). The

fineRADstructure software uses an MCMC approach to explore the space of population

assignments based on the co-ancestry matrix, using an algorithm which merges and splits

populations, or moves individuals among populations. We ran fineRADstructure with

default priors, but increased the burn in to 200000 iterations, followed by 1000000

iterations, sampling every 1000. We then assessed convergence by 1) considering the

assignment of population membership across multiple independent runs, 2) visualizing the

MCMC traces of estimated parameters to ensure convergence on the same posterior

distributions, and 3) running each chain long enough to achieve effective parameter sample

sizes > 100.

Both RADpainter and fineRADstructure are based on the recently developed

chromoPainter and finestructure (Lawson et al. 2012), but are optimized to take advantage

of the linkage properties of RAD-like datasets. As our data were assembled relative to a

Manacus reference genome, the order in which loci appear in our data files are related to

positions on assembly scaffolds, even though the ddRAD loci in our data are generally

unlinked when considered at the population level (see Supplementary Appendix). We

compared the inferred population assignments from fineRADstructure and STRUCTURE

with K-means clustering (as above) of the co-ancestry matrix. To determine how much of

the variation in our dataset was captured by the RADpainter analysis relative to a standard

PCoA on SNP data, we used the normalized PCA approach of (Lawson et al. 2012), using the

mypca function provided in 'FinestructureLibrary.R' Library in the fineRADstructure

package.

Phylogenetic reticulation in the western Napo lineage

Model-based, cluster, and population genetic analyses revealed that a distinct

lineage of individuals restricted to the western Amazonian Napo area of endemism has a

complex history of introgression between southern and northern Amazonian clades (see

Results). To investigate this pattern, we followed the approach of Barrera-Guzmán et al.

(2018) to quantify the degree to which the inferred co-ancestry of this Napo population

reflected the genomic composition of each putative progenitor lineage which contributed

genotypes to contemporary individuals.

For these analyses, we exported a separate haplotype dataset of 52 individuals

which included all potentially introgressed western Napo individuals (n=10) and their

most geographically proximate populations. We used a 5% minor allele frequency cutoff,

required 20x minimum coverage, and allowed up to 20% missing data per locus (2,370 loci,

including 4,979 SNPs). Potential progenitor populations consisted of 1) the most

geographically proximate population inferred to have mostly unadmixed ancestry

(Southwestern Amazon: Inambari, n=17), and 2) the primary inferred source of

introgressed genotypes (as initially implied by STRUCTURE, north-central Amazonian Jaú +

eastern Napo, n=25). This dataset was also analyzed separately with RADpainter as

described above.

Heavily introgressed or hybrid populations are expected to have higher mean co-

ancestry and lower F_{st} with each of their progenitor lineages than their progenitor lineages

will have with each other (Barrera-Guzmán et al. 2018). For co-ancestry comparisons, we

used a standard ANOVA and the glht function in the multcomp R package (Hothorn et al.

2013) to test these predictions. We estimated population differentiation due to genetic

structure (F_{st}) with Weir and Cockerham's (Weir and Cockerham 1984) F_{st}, and tested the

above prediction using 1000 bootstrapped datasets to estimate 95% confidence intervals.

To test whether or not the relationships among these three focal populations may

be best represented by a phylogenetic reticulation, we estimated a phylogenetic network

using PhyloNet 3.6.4 (Wen et al. 2018, Zhu et al. 2018). PhyloNet takes SNP data and uses a

reversible-jump MCMC technique to explore the posterior distribution of phylogenetic

networks and bi-furcating topologies, while accommodating both reticulation and

incomplete lineage sorting. This approach searches the set of all possible reticulation

models without requiring *a priori* model specification. Starting with dataset 1 (1960

unlinked SNPs), we pruned the dataset to the 52 individuals that comprise the three groups

of primary interest (above). We then pruned out all sites with missing data, leaving 572

biallelic SNPs (PhyloNet cannot presently consider sites with missing data). For our final

runs of the PhyloNet program MCMC_BiMarkers, we randomly subsampled five diploid

individuals from each group, as preliminary program runs indicated a computational

bottleneck with more than five individuals. We assigned each group of five individuals to

represent a 'species,' allowed for a maximum of one reticulation, set the chain length for

100000 iterations with sampling every 100, set a burn in of 20%, and as our interest is

primarily concerned with topology, left all priors as default (i.e. assuming population

mutation rates are constant).

Using the final network topology estimated from PhyloNet, we estimated

demographic parameters using G-PhoCS version 1.3 (Gronau et al. 2011). We exported

haplotype data for the focal samples without a minor allele frequency filter, generating an

alignment of 2,947 variant and invariant loci. We ran the program for 750,000 iterations

with a 10% burn-in, estimating a total of 13 parameters: the effective population sizes of

the three focal lineages, two ancestral population sizes, two splitting times, and six

directional migration parameters. Parameter MCMC traces were inspected in Tracer 1.7.1

(Rambaut et al. 2018). We converted the median and 95% Bayesian credible intervals from

mutation scale to generations and individuals as described in Campagna et al. (2015),

assuming an approximate mutation rate of 10^{-9} per base pair per generation (Kumar and

Subramanian 2002, Smeds et al. 2016). We calculated the number of migrants per

generation as the product of the per generation migration rate multiplied by ¼θ for the

receiving population ($m_{a\text{-}b} * (\theta_b/4)$) (Gronau et al. 2011).

Spatial distribution of genetic variation

In practice, dispersal barriers often create sharp genetic discontinuities between

adjacent populations that would otherwise be continuous (Petkova et al. 2015). To assess

the degree to which spatial variation in genetic diversity within *Pseudopipra* can be

attributed to landscape features, we investigated how patterns of isolation-by-distance

(IBD) vary across the landscape. First, we used Mantel and partial Mantel tests to

investigate IBD effects within sampling regions delimited by prominent physical barriers,

and then separately tested the roles of specific dispersal barriers in structuring genetic

variation. Mantel tests evaluate the correlation among two or more matrices, in our case

representing pairwise genetic and geographic distance. Significance is assessed by

permuting the rows and columns of one of these matrices (Mantel 1967). Partial Mantel

tests incorporate a third 'barrier' matrix, which contains information about environmental

or ecological distance. By evaluating the correlation between genetic and ecological

distance while controlling for geographic distance, a partial Mantel test can be used to

investigate the effect of a particular geographic barrier on genetic differentiation. We

follow the recommendations of Diniz-Filho et al. (2013), and only reject the null hypothesis

of no correlation if $p \ll 0.05$ (we use a very conservative 0.001 cutoff, ~10x more stringent

than a standard correction for multiple tests would require)(also see Legendre and Fortin

2010, Guillot and Rousset 2013).

For these tests and others, we generated a pairwise geographic distance matrix by

calculating great circle distances using the distm function in the geosphere R package

(Hijmans et al. 2015). Individuals sampled at the same GPS coordinates were set to have an

arbitrarily small geographic distance of 0.0001 meters. These geographic distances were

paired with a matrix of pairwise genetic distances estimated in RAxML. We use

phylogenetic distance among individuals, rather than F_{st}, because our data is highly

hierarchically structured (though exploratory analyses based on F_{st} and other related

metrics were qualitatively similar). These procedures, including the non-intuitive

generation of an appropriate barrier matrix, are developed in a set of R functions we

provide as supplementary material which operate on adegenet GENIND objects (see

supplementary R code) and internally use the Mantel implementation from the ecodist R

package (Goslee and Urban 2007).

We also analyzed patterns of spatial variation in our data with a more sophisticated

population genetic model which relates effective migration rates across geographic space

to expected genetic dissimilarities among individuals (Petkova et al. 2015). This recently

proposed method termed Estimated Effective Migration Surfaces (EEMS) produces a

visualization that emphasizes deviations from isolation by distance to discover 'migratory

corridors' and 'barriers to gene flow'. We view this method as complimentary to more

explicit hypothesis-testing approaches (such as Mantel tests), as it seeks to identify where

local IBD predictions implied by the data are strongly violated in geographic space, and

thereby can identify strong barriers to gene flow *de novo*. In brief, a region is covered with a

dense regular grid connecting subpopulations (demes), among which individuals can

migrate with rates varying by location. EEMS uses an MCMC approach to estimate expected

genetic dissimilarity between two individuals, integrating over all possible migration

histories, and adjusting migration rates among graph edges to match the genetic

differences in the data. A migration surface is then interpolated across a region to indicate

where genetic similarities decay faster than what a pure isolation by distance model

predicts, visually highlighting barriers to gene flow and migration corridors. An effective

diversity parameter is also estimated for every deme, reflecting local deviations in

heterozygosity. We ran several analyses using default priors, iteratively increasing the grid

density and chain length, with up to 2000 estimated demes. For each test, we ran three

chains, and after examining chain convergence, we assessed model fit by comparing the

observed and fitted dissimilarity between and within demes.

Vocal variation

We obtained sound recordings of vocalizations of *Pseudopipra* from the Macaulay

Library at the Cornell Lab of Ornithology (https://www.macaulaylibrary.org) and the

Xeno-canto (www.xeno-canto.org) collections (Supplementary Table 4). Vocalizations were

analyzed in Raven Pro 1.4 (Bioacoustics_Research_Program 2011), and converted into

spectrograms using a 512-sample Hann window with 50% overlap. Audio recordings

ranged from a cut of a single vocalization to longer recordings of a lek with multiple

individuals vocalizing. For our initial assessment, we identified distinct vocalization types

based on our own survey of the available recordings, without regard to the geographic

location of the recordings. Additional analytical details are reported as supplementary

material.

Results

Genetic data collection

Our total genomic data set included an average of 1 ± 0.4 million sequences of 138

bp from 232 individuals of *Pseudopipra* and two *Ceratopipra rubrocapilla,* which were used

as an outgroup. Our reference-based assembly produced a catalogue with 47,046 RAD loci.

Filtered datasets ranged from 1,960 unlinked SNPs (dataset 1, one SNP per locus with 5%

MAF and up to 20% missing data filter), to 5,099 SNPs (dataset 3, all SNPs in all loci with

5% MAF and up to 80% missing data filter). Dataset 2 comprised the 1,960 SNPs from

dataset 1, plus 621 rare variants filtered out with a 5% MAF in dataset 1 (2,581 loci), and

up to 20% missing data filter, as described in the methods. We also obtained 168 mtDNA

ND2 sequences using PCR methods which broadly overlapped with our ddRAD dataset. Our

mtDNA dataset included two subspecies, *pygmaea* and *coracina*, from which we were not

able to obtain enough high-quality material for ddRAD sequencing.

Phylogenetic analyses

RAxML and SVDquartets analyses generated a well-supported phylogenetic

hypothesis (Figure 3). The monophyletic lineages of *Pseudopipra* identified have

distributions that are largely congruent with previously recognized avian areas of

endemism, and further reveal much finer scale patterns of local biogeographic

differentiation in certain areas. Using the two *C. rubrocapilla* individuals to root the

phylogeny, we detected support for at least five major clades across all phylogenetic

analyses of nuclear genomic data, with consistently high bootstrap support in both

concatenated and coalescent analysis (A-E in Figure 3, 5). We first describe the structure of

these major clades, followed by descriptions of well supported substructure within each of

these regional groupings. We report six bootstrap values for each clade with at least some

high support, from each of the three datasets analyzed in RAxML and SVDquartets (see

heatmaps, Figure 3). Figure 4 projects an idealized topology into geographic space, to show

how inferred population relationships are related to landscape features.

Phylogenetic structure.—The sister group to all the other *Pseudopipra* is a clade

including individuals from subtropical Central America and the northern Andes. The

northern Andes are represented here by a single individual from subtropical forests

(~1,000 m) of the eastern slopes of the Andes in San Martín, Peru (Clade A in Figure 3).

This subtropical San Martín specimen (identified as *P. pipra occulta*) was the only viable

sample in our genomic dataset from the entire northern Andes region (A1 in Figure 3).

Mitochondrial data from three additional specimens from the Andes of southern Ecuador

(subspecies *coracina*) cluster with the San Martín specimen (See Discussion). Thus, this

northern Andean sister lineage to the Central American lineage likely includes all montane

Andean populations of *Pseudopipra* in Ecuador, Colombia, and Venezuela. A well supported

Central American clade (A2 in Figure 3) was identified in all analyses, with Costa Rican (A3

in Figure 3) and Panamanian subclades (A4 in Figure 3) supported in RAxML.

The second successively nested clade in *Pseudopipra* includes individuals from

subtropical forests of the Andes of Central Peru, which are sister to all remaining lineages

(Clade B in Figure 3). The Central Peru clade is further subdivided into two very well

resolved clades: a northern clade (B2 in Figure 3), sampled here from the Cerro Azul in

southwestern Loreto, and a southern clade from Pasco, Junín, and Cusco (labeled B1 in

Figure 3). The Central Peru clade is the sister group to a monophyletic, lowland clade (F in

Figure 3) that is further subdivided into a southern Amazon clade + Atlantic Forest clade

(Clade C in Figure 3), and a Northern Amazonian + Guiana Shield clade (Clade D in Figure

3). In contrast, the analysis of mtDNA with better sampling of subspecies *pygmaea*, from

the tropical forest of lower Rio Huallaga Valley, Peru, places this population as sister group

to the entire lowland radiation (Clade F; Supplemental Appendix).

Within the broad lowland Northern Amazonian + Guiana Shield clade (Clade D),

virtually no phylogenetic substructure is associated with strong bootstrap support. The

maximum likelihood tree however does have substantial biogeographic coherence, which

we use as additional justification for subdivision for descriptive statistics (Supplemental

Appendix) when coincident with geographic features. For example, all but one individual

from coastal Suriname and Amapá form a monophyletic assemblage (D1 in Figure 3), east

of the Essequibo river. Likewise, all but one individual from the far eastern Napo area of

endemism, east of the Rio Putumayo, form a clade (D2 in Figure 3). We treat these

geographic groups, as well as two other Guianan regions, as separate populations for

descriptive population genetic analyses (Supplementary Appendix).

A widely distributed set of individuals encompassing the western portion of the

Napo area of endemism (from the northern bank of the confluence of the Rio Solimões and

the Rio Napo north of Iquitos, then west and south) was recovered as the sister group to

the Guiana Shield + Northern Amazon clade (Clade E in Figure 3). In contrast, however,

population genetic analyses (below) indicated that this population is a distinct lineage of

the southern Amazonian clade that has experienced substantial hybrid introgression from

a northern Amazonian population (see Population Genetic analyses and Discussion).

Within the large lowland Southern Amazonia clade (Clade C in Figure 3), we

recovered eight moderately supported and hierarchically nested clades, which are

successive sister groups to each other, and are congruent in distribution with recognized

areas of endemism. First is a moderately supported clade (C1 in Figure 3) of individuals

from the western Inambari area of endemism, from west of the Rio Ucayali to the Rio

Purus. Although the basal lineages within this clade have low bootstrap support, a

monophyletic subgroup of three montane (>1000m) individuals from the highlands

between the Rio Huallaga and Rio Ucayali cluster with high bootstrap support (C2 in Figure

3).

The next successively nested clade includes individuals from eastern Inambari,

between the Rio Purus and the Rio Madeira (C3 in Figure 3). The next successive clade

includes two individuals sampled from the Rondônia area of endemism, between the Rios

Madeira and Tapajos (C4 in Figure 3). The next successive clade includes a group of

individuals from the Tapajós area of endemism between the Rio Tapajos and the Rio Xingu

(C5 in Figure 3). Next, a clade comprised of individuals from the northern Xingu area of

endemism, east of the Rio Xingu (C6 in Figure 3), is sister to a well-supported Brazilian

Atlantic Forest clade (C7 in Figure 3), which is further subdivided into three successive

clades (north to south) in Bahia, Espírito Santo, and Rio de Janeiro, respectively (C8, C9,

and C10 in Figure 3). In summary, the subdivisions of the Southern Amazonian clade reflect

a stepwise west-east progression, with a hierarchically nested structure:

(West Inambari (East Inambari (Rondônia (Tapajós (Xingu (Bahia (Espírito Santo (Rio de Janeiro))))))).

Cluster inference and patterns of genomic admixture

Broad-scale population structure and admixture.—Overall F_{st} was moderate with

respect to *Pseudopipra*: 0.196 [95% CI: 0.188-0.204]. Pairwise population estimates of Weir

and Cockerham's F_{st} ranged from essentially undifferentiated, to almost entirely distinct. At

the extremes: comparing the geographically proximate eastern Napo and Jaú populations

(both weakly resolved in phylogenetic analyses, but likely sister) – F_{st}: 0.0045 [95%CI:

0.0024 - 0.0067]. By contrast, comparing the Atlantic Forest Espírito Santo population to

Panama indicates an F_{st} of 0.81 [95%CI: 0.79 - 0.83], or almost entirely differentiated. See

Supplementary Figure 10 for a full pairwise F_{st} matrix. Hartl and Clark (1997) indicate F_{st} >

0.25 may be considered very great genetic differentiation.

K-means clustering of SNP data identified five clusters of genetically similar

individuals as having the lowest BIC score, with membership of one sample varying slightly

across datasets: Central America (Clade A2), South Andean Peru (Clade B), Atlantic Forest

(Clade C7), Southern Amazon including the western Napo population (the rest of Clade C +

Clade E), all Guiana Shield + Northern Amazon (Clade D) (Supplementary Figure 2). These

clusters are essentially identical to those detected by STRUCTURE at K=5 (Figure 4, 5,

below). In the most restricted dataset (#1, 1960 SNPs; 0.05 MAF), the montane sample

from San Martín (North Andean Peru, 5444.PE.MAR) clustered with Central American

populations (Clade A), as it does in all phylogenetic analyses. Standard PCoA explained 13-

17% of the variance in the SNP data on the first two axes (Supplementary Figure 2).

Visual inspection of Pr(X|K) from summarized STRUCTURE runs indicated that the

likelihood of each successive K from 1:20 plateaued at K = 5, with the standard deviation

across runs increasing rapidly after this point (Supplementary Figure 3). We describe

patterns of inferred admixture as they appear in Figure 5, reading from top to bottom. For

K5, individuals from Central America are unambiguously assigned to their own cluster

(Clade A1 in Figure 3, 5). The north Andean montane specimen from San Martín, (North

Andean Peru, 5444.PE.MAR) is reconstructed as being highly admixed, with a genomic

composition including 4 of the 5 inferred clusters. However, this inference is very weak

because we have only one individual to represent this likely distinct and highly diverse

north Andean lineage (though see RADpainter below, which is consistent with these

results). Samples from the southern Amazon and Atlantic Forest (Clade C), have no

admixture with northern populations, except for some individuals from the western (Clade

C1), and to a more limited degree, the eastern (Clade C3) Inambari populations, which are

inferred to have limited (~<5%) admixture with individuals assigned to the Northern

Amazon + Guiana Shield (Clade D, see Figure 5, 6, and discussion below).

Going from West to East across the Southern Amazon, very limited admixture with

individuals from the Atlantic Forest (Clade C7) is first inferred in the Tapajos (Clade C5)

area of Endemism. This admixture increases in the Xingu area of endemism (Clade C8) and

increases further in a geographic and genetic cline to 100% assignment probability to a

distinct cluster in the Rio de Janeiro clade (Clade C10). Given the geographic context of this

cline in admixture, we suggest this pattern may a product of isolation by distance,

hierarchical structure, and geographic barriers (e.g. Bradburd et al. 2017)(See

Supplementary Appendix), and not isolated introgression events.

Next, all individuals from the western portion of the Napo area of endemism are

inferred to be heavily admixed (Clade E; Figure 5). On average, at K=5, STRUCTURE assigns

these individuals (n=10) with 40.2% probability to the Guiana Shield population (SD 4.7%,

average Bayesian credible interval (aBCI): [0.33-0.47]), 50.4% to the Southern Amazon

population (SD 3.4%, aBCI: [0.43-0.58]), as well as limited 4.15% probability to Central

Peru (SD 3.1%, aBCI: [0.01-0.08]), and 5.2% to Central America (SD 4.8%, aBCI: [0.02-0.1]).

The three localities included in this clade– (1) north of Iquitos, on the east bank of the

confluence of the Rio Solimões and the Rio Napo, (2) southwest of Iquitos in Allpahuayo

Mishana Reserve (represented by three mtDNA samples), and (3) near San Jacinto,

Ecuador– indicate that this heavily admixed population (corresponding to subspecies

discolor) may occur across a large area of the western Napo region of endemism, and likely

does not represent a narrow hybrid zone (Figures 2-7).

Lastly, at K=5, all members of the Guiana Shield + Northern Amazon clade (Clade D)

are inferred to be virtually undifferentiated. Individuals in the far eastern Napo area of

endemism on the east bank of the Rio Putumayo (brown dots in Figure 3, 4) cluster with

other Guiana Shield groups in phylogenetic analyses but are detected to have limited

(~5%) admixture with pure individuals of Southern Amazon provenance. At higher values

of K, the broad-scale population assignments inferred at K=5 are mostly unchanged,

however additional admixture components are inferred for most groups. The introgressed

western Napo clade is eventually placed into its own cluster at K=9-10 (see Supplementary

Figure 4, Supplementary Figure 8).

Fine-scale population structure and admixture.—Admixture analysis using the RAD

locus optimized chromosome painting approach in RADpainter and then population

assignment with fineRADstructure (Lawson et al. 2012, Malinsky et al. 2018), was

congruent with other analyses, and also detected finer partitions of population structure.

The fineRADstructure program detected 15 groups of individuals which were each

supported with a posterior probability of 100% and which also overlapped with sampling

regions which we delimited for population genetic analyses based on clear geographic

barriers (Black dots in Figure 3, Supplementary Figure 5): These groups include: 1) Central

America (Costa Rica + Panama; Clade A2 in Figure 3), 2) North Andean Peru (grouped with

Central America; Clade A), 3) South Andean Peru (north clade; Clade B2), 4) South Andean

Peru (south clade: Clade B1), 5) Introgressed western Napo lineage (Clade E), 6) eastern

Guiana Shield (Suriname + Amapá; Clade D1), 7) eastern Napo, Jaú and western Guiana

(Clade D2+ other members of Clade D), 8) Eastern Inambari (Clade C3), 9) Western

Inambari (Clade C1), 10) Rondônia (Clade C4), 11) Tapajós (Clade C5), 12) Xingu (Clade

C6), 13) Atlantic Forest – Bahia (Clade C8), 14) Atlantic Forest - Espírito Santo (Clade C9),

15) Atlantic Forest – Rio de Janeiro (Clade C10). The only sampling regions which

fineRADstructure failed to strongly partition into separate groups consisted of a group of

individuals in the Jaú area of endemism, and a group of individuals in the eastern Napo area

of endemism (both of which are weakly resolved in phylogenetic analyses, but

unambiguously members of the broader Northern Amazon + Guiana Shield clade D). The

eastern Napo individuals are identified as a low support group (pp = 0.49), while the Jaú

individuals are lumped in with other western Guiana Shield individuals. See Supplementary

Figure 5 (fineRADstructure dendrogram), and the full dataset co-ancestry matrix plot

Supplementary Figures 6-7.

Patterns of inferred co-ancestry show a complex mosaic of shared ancestry among

populations (Supplementary Figures 6-7). In general, however, these patterns are

concordant with higher level patterns detected with STRUCTURE. Notably, the high level of

admixture initially inferred for our San Martín sample is recapitulated in RADpainter

analysis – this sample has relatively high co-ancestry across most populations. Lastly, the

Lawson et al. (2012) 'normalized PCA' approach provided with fineRADstructure captured

89% of the variance in the genetic data on the first four component axes (axis 1: 51.4%,

axis 2, 24.9%, axis 3: 7.77%, axis 4: 4.94%) indicating that the co-ancestry matrix reflects

substantially more information than standard PCoA/PCA of SNP data (above)

(Supplementary Figure 8).

Phylogenetic reticulation in the western Napo lineage

Assessing hybrid introgression.—Separate RADpainter and PhyloNet analysis of the

populations in the western Napo area of endemism and their putative progenitor lineages

supported the hypothesis that this region has a complex history of introgression. One-

tailed tests of mean co-ancestry indicated the null hypothesis that the difference in means

among groups is less than or equal to zero can be strongly rejected (ghlt p < 2e-16), and

that individuals from the western Napo population have greater median/mean co-ancestry

with each progenitor lineage than their progenitor lineages share with each other

(PHvGS/progenitors = ~1.078, PHvInambari/progenitors = ~1.16). These analyses

establish the asymmetry in introgression patterns detected by STRUCTURE. On average,

western Napo individuals share ~ 7.5% greater co-ancestry with Inambari individuals

(southern Amazon) than they do with other Northern Amazon individuals (glht p < 2e-16),

indicating that these populations are not likely to be composed of F1 hybrids. We also did

not observe clinal variation within our samples of this lineage.

fineRADstructure analysis of the co-ancestry matrix, as well as visualization of the

co-ancestry matrix, also indicated that the western Napo population is a differentiated form

(Figure 6a-d). Principle components analysis (Figure 6d) of the co-ancestry matrix indicate

that the western Napo lineage is intermediate on PC1 (compared to progenitor lineages),

and differentiated on PC2, as expected if the Napo lineage has had sufficient time in

reproductive isolation for sorting of ancestral alleles or for the evolution of new alleles

(Barrera-Guzmán et al. 2018).

Bootstrapped estimates of F_{st} indicated the same general patterns: 95%CI; western

Napo vs Eastern Napo (Northern progenitor, 0.07 - 0.090), western Napo v Inambari

(Southern progenitor, 0.058 - 0.077): Eastern Napo vs Inambari: (between progenitors,

0.122 - 0.144). These patterns of F_{st} estimates were robust to alternative assignments of

source linages (for example, including an additional adjacent population for both of the

putative progenitor lineages). Thus, the introgressed western Napo lineage is less

differentiated from *each* of its progenitor lineages than its progenitor lineages are to each

other (i.e. it is intermediate, see methods), and it is *also* biased in its ancestry toward

Inambari populations.

PhyloNet detected that an evolutionary reticulation is the best model to explain the

origin of the western Napo population (Figure 6a). Computational limitations restricted us

to evaluating a three-taxon case with a maximum of one reticulation. This included each

putative progenitor lineage: (1) western Guiana Shield, northern Amazonian clade (clade

D2), (2) a sample of northwestern Inambari individuals, part of the southern Amazonian

clade (clade C1) —and (3) the introgressed western Napo population (clade E), each

defined as 'species.' The RJMCMC search achieved an ESS of ~300 and detected two

network topologies representing 98% of the posterior probability. The top ranked network

(*pp* = 51%, Figure 6a) implies the MRCA of the northern Amazonian (Eastern Napo + Jaú)

and southern (Inambari + Western Napo) lineages diverged first (clade F). This initial split

was followed by a split within the latter lineage that led to the Inambari (S1) and

progenitor western Napo (S2a) populations (MRCA of S1 and S2a: Figure 6a). Later,

genetically distinct genomes from the Northern lineage (clade D/D2) introgressed into S2a

(ancestral western Napo) to give rise to the contemporary western Napo population (S2).

The next best network (*pp* = 47%, Supplemental Data) implies that northern and southern

lineages of Clade F diverged, as in the top ranked network. Later, S1 and the NW

Amazonian lineages merged, forming a hybrid lineage. We base our subsequent discussion

on the top ranked network, as this hypothesis is consistent with patterns we detect in other

analyses, though we acknowledge that support for these two reticulation scenarios is

similar.

Taken in the context of our STRUCTURE (Figure 5) and fineRADstructure (Figure 6)

results, the introgression indicated in the top ranked network seems to have been

asymmetrical, leaving very little evidence of southern admixture among northern lineages

(see Figure 5, 'weakly resolved eastern Napo', which are detected to have very limited (<

~5%) southern admixture). Demographic parameters estimated with G-PhoCS are also

consistent with this hypothesis: the only substantial (lower 95% CI > 1 migrant per

generation) migration rate was inferred to be from the eastern Napo (member of Guiana

Shield lineage) lineage into S2/S2a (Figure 6, Supplementary Table 5).

G-PhoCS also allows us to place a preliminary maximum age bound on the timing of

introgression into S2a. Assuming one generation per year and a constant mutation rate, our

analysis estimates the splitting time between S2a and S1 to be on the order of 500 Ka

(Figure 6, Supplementary Table 5). Though we emphasize that this is a rough

approximation, this result is consistent with prior divergence time estimates for several

groups in the genus based on mtDNA (Castro-Astor 2014); that study estimated ssp *pipra*

(Clade D; Northern Amazon + Guiana Shield lineage) to have branched off from its closest

relatives ~ 0.6717 [95% HPD: 0.3506-1.1287] Ma. Therefore, introgression into S2a must

have occurred more recently.

Lastly, patterns of admixture (Figure 5) also suggest some evidence of limited

introgression of Northern Amazon alleles into the southwestern Amazonian Inambari

population (Figure 5). This could be a consequence of limited gene flow into the Inambari

population *after* the primary introgression event which formed the introgressed western

Napo lineage. Ultimately, the product of this process was a differentiated and introgressed

lineage within the western part of Napo area of endemism.

Spatial distribution of genetic variation

Under isolation by distance (IBD), the empirical relationship between geographic

distance and genetic distance is related to offspring dispersal distance and population size

(Rousset 1997). Isolation by distance effects are thus predicted when individual dispersal

distances are smaller than a species' range (Teske et al. 2018). Fifteen out of eighteen

considered areas (see Population Genetics, Figure 4, and Supplemental Appendix for a

description of how these areas were defined) contained > 2 individuals, and thus could be

evaluated for isolation by distance effects. Within areas, only the western Inambari and

Atlantic Forest-Rio de Janeiro populations were detected to show significant signals of IBD

at the 0.001 alpha level (Supplementary Table 2a). When geographic distance was log

transformed, only the Jaú and Inambari areas were indicated to have significant IBD effects

(Supplementary Table 2a). Partial Mantel tests of specific barriers to gene flow indicated

that most evaluated river and physical barriers likely play significant roles in structuring

genetic variation between areas (Supplementary Table 2b). These patterns are expected if

individuals are dispersing within sampling regions but are generally unable to cross

barriers delimiting these areas; for our dataset, these barriers are predominantly the major

tributaries of the Amazon, or montane regions. Notably, the Rio Negro was the only

evaluated barrier which is not detected to exhibit a significant effect—in comparing of Jaú

and western Guiana Shield samples (Supplementary Table 2b).

Analysis with EEMS complimented and largely corroborated the specific hypotheses

implied by Mantel tests. For our analysis of 2000 fitted demes, the model fit between

demes was very high (R^2 = 0.871), and within demes somewhat less (R^2 = 0.579), indicating

that the EEMS model does a very good job of describing spatially structured variation in

this dataset (Supplementary Figure 11). EEMS also detected many strong signals (posterior

probability > 0.9) of barriers to gene flow and migratory corridors (Figure 7). As expected,

the Andes and the Amazon river were unambiguously detected as the most significant

barriers to gene flow and are clearly recovered as landscape features.

EEMS also detected signals of deviation from IBD that likely correspond to several

Amazonian tributaries in the Amazon basin, delimiting areas of endemism (Figure 7).

An additional strong barrier to gene flow was inferred in the south-central amazon (south-

central brown patch in Figure 7), corresponding to the Caatinga - Cerrado – Chaco 'dry-

diagonal' biomes. Strong signals of genetic connectivity are inferred in many localities (blue

in Figure 7), notably west of the Andes, connecting north Andean and Central American

lineages (despite a dearth of sampling in that region). This link likely reflects the common

ancestry among montane Andean lineages between Central America and Central Peru as

Pseudopipra does not presently occur on the western slopes of the Peruvian Andes. The

large Guianan + Northern Amazonian region (E. Napo + Jaú + Guiana) is detected to have

relatively weak population structure across a variety of barriers (including the Rio Negro).

Several areas of endemism are also detected by EEMS in the Atlantic Forest, likely

corresponding to the Coastal Bahia and Serra do Mar areas identified by da Silva et al.

(2004) (Figure 7). Introgressed hybrids from the western Napo area of endemism are

inferred as being genetically isolated from neighboring populations, with strong barriers to

gene flow on all sides, perhaps corresponding to the effects of the Rio Marañón in the south

and Rio Putumayo/Içá to the north.

EEMS detected two broad clusters of relatively high genetic diversity (relative

heterozygosity: Supplementary Figure 12). One is centered along the Amazon river and

was relatively uniform within the northern Amazonian basin, reflecting relatively high

genetic diversity in Guiana Shield populations. The other relatively high diversity group is

the introgressed western Napo population. Areas of relatively low genetic diversity

included the Atlantic Forest, the Peruvian Andes, and Central American lineages. These

results are consistent with estimates of regional variation in allelic richness

(Supplementary Appendix for details).

Vocal variation

We examined 198 recordings of *Pseudopipra* and identified 15 distinct vocalizations

that can be diagnosed by ear or visual inspection of sonograms (labeled numerically

(arbitrarily) in Figure 8 and 9). This set of vocalization types includes both advertisement

vocalizations from males at leks, and calls by males and females in other contexts. By

examining recordist notes, we generated a preliminary hypothesis which distinguishes 13

highly variable and distinct, male lekking vocalizations (Figure 8) from two broad ranging

call types (Figure 9). Work by Castro-Astor et al. (2007) provides important insights of the

vocal behavior of Atlantic forest populations, but most *Pseudopipra* populations lack

similarly detailed studies. Vocalization types within each category of lekking or call

vocalizations can be statistically discriminated based on a combination of the frequency,

duration, number of notes, and quality of the sound (buzzy vs pure tone). PCA and logistic

regression on lekking vocalizations (n=114, Supplementary Table 3), and call vocalizations

(n=33) found significant differences among types ($p < 0.001$). The first three axes of a PCA

explained ~90% of the variation in lekking vocalization characters, with PC1 (~64%)

primarily explaining variation in note number and frequency (Supplementary Figure 15).

Assigning vocal types to well supported genetic groups is challenging in the absence

of matching data (i.e., recordings from the same individuals from which we have genetic

samples). Nevertheless, we found that most vocal types have distinct non-overlapping

geographic ranges (Figure 8, Figure 9), and that the majority correspond to the geographic

range of distinct lineages we identify (Taxonomic Summary, below). The complex

phylogenetic history we have documented with genetic data has clearly had a strong

impact on the evolution of male vocal advertisements in *Pseudopipra.* Because lek

vocalizations are a focus of female choice in manakins and because suboscine passerines

have largely innate vocalizations, the extensive vocal differentiation among populations of

Pseudopipra strongly indicates the existence of many species with *Pseudopipra.*

Discussion:

In comparison to the great diversity of Neotropical organisms available for study,

there have been far fewer studies focused on understanding both fine and broad scale

diversity patterns within broadly distributed Neotropical taxa, and this has slowed the

biogeographic interpretation of the effects that many landscape features have on the

evolution of Neotropical organisms (Marks et al. 2002, Nyári 2007, Milá et al. 2012,

Fernandes et al. 2013, Harvey and Brumfield 2015, Harvey et al. 2017). We investigated the

phylogenetic and population genetic history of a continentally distributed taxon currently

recognized as a single biological species– *Pseudopipra pipra*– using a genomic dataset

which samples thousands of loci across the genomes of 232 individuals representing eight

of thirteen *Pseudopipra* subspecies. Two additional subspecies, *pygmaea* and *coracaina*,

were represented by mtDNA. Our sampling encompasses a majority of the broad range of *P.*

pipra, spanning Neotropical forests from Central America to the Atlantic Forest of Brazil,

including highland and lowland areas of endemism.

The predominant phylogenetic signal we detect in our dataset is of two successively

nested montane clades as the sister groups to several major lowland Amazonian clades

(see *Historical Biogeography*, below). This pattern implies that lowland populations of

Pseudopipra expanded historically 'out of the Andes,' and that lowland clades of

Pseudopipra are derived from ancient lineages endemic to the Andes (~ 2.5 Ma; Castro-

Astor (2014)). The lowland clade within *Pseudopipra* originated (~1.085 [0.57-1.79] Ma) in

southwestern Amazonia (Figure 4) and expanded, occupying Amazonia on both margins of

the Amazon river, and eventually reached the Atlantic forest (see below). Therefore, to our

knowledge, our study provides a unique example of a widespread suboscine passerine

which originated in the Andean highlands.

Population genetic variation

We examined our dataset using a variety of population genetic approaches intended

to assess the number of natural genetic groupings that may be present in *Pseudopipra*.

Phenetic K-means clustering of SNP data and analysis with STRUCTURE estimated a

minimum of 5 broad genetic clusters (Figure 5, Supplementary Figure 2, Supplementary

Figure 4). K-means clustering of the co-ancestry matrix (which captured substantially more

variation than standard PCoA) more finely partitioned the dataset into at least ~8 broad

groups (Supplementary Figure 8), which generally recapitulate phenotypically delimited

subspecies boundaries (Figure 2). Phylogenetic (RAxML, SVDquartets) and model-based

population genetic (fineRADstructure) analyses of nuclear genomic data detected fifteen

clusters of individuals which coincided with sampling regions delimited by clear

geographic barriers (results, Figure 3, 4, Supplementary Appendix). Two additional

subspecies represented by mtDNA (results) bring the total number of distinct genetic

populations delimited by geographic barriers to at least seventeen. The close concordance

observed between population structure and landscape features implies that the

evolutionary history of *Pseudopipra* within the Neotropics is deeply connected to the South

American landscape, adding support to a rich body of literature endorsing this hypothesis

(Cracraft and Prum 1988, Brumfield 2012, Silva et al. 2019). Our analyses of isolation by

distance compliment and support these general conclusions (below).

Our analyses also lend themselves to some general conclusions about the sensitivity

of various methods to detecting population genetic structure. Overall, methods based on

clustering of SNP data were the least sensitive (prone to lumping) to population structure,

whereas phylogenetic analyses were the most sensitive to population structure (prone to

splitting). Thus, different phylogenetic and population genetic cluster analyses detected

different levels of hierarchical structure in the data.

Overall, there was broad congruence in group assignments across methods, and no

reciprocally incompatible clusters were recovered—with a notable exception: In phenetic

K-means clustering of expanded datasets 2, 3 (Supplementary Figure 2), *and* of the

normalized co-ancestry matrix (Supplementary Figure 8), the important and unique North

Andean montane individual (5444.PE.MAR) clusters with other South Andean (Central

Peruvian) lineages, contra phylogenetic analysis. But in all model based phylogenetic

analyses (and fineRADstructure population assignment) 5444.PE.MAR clusters with

Central American Lineages. Additional field sampling of these North Andean populations is

required to further understand why this lineage may share signals of ancestry with both

groups.

Our relatively dense sampling reveals a unique 'horseshoe-like' pattern of genetic

differentiation across the Amazon basin (Figure 4). We recover no evidence of gene flow

across the Amazon river, except in the western headwaters where the Amazon is narrowest

and floodplains are dynamic and young (Räsänen et al. 1987, Haffer 1992, Pupim et al.

2019). Given that the genus originated in the west, we hypothesize that these two clades

diverged across the Amazon river in this region. For virtually all evaluated cases, we find

significant effects of geographic barriers on subdividing genetic variation within this

species complex, including across the Amazon River and most of its larger tributaries, with

the notable exception of the Rio Negro (Figure 7 and Supplementary Table 2a, 2b). As

expected, the 'dry-diagonal' Caatinga, Cerrado, and Chaco belt is a strong barrier to gene

flow, isolating Atlantic Forest lineages from their southeastern Amazonian Xingu

relatives—as are the Andes, which exhibit a disproportionate effect on divergence between

Peruvian foothills populations and Central American lineages (see Supplementary

Appendix for additional discussion).

Multiple analyses indicate that the western Napo population has a complex history

of initial and early differentiation from the broader Southern Amazonian clade, followed by

subsequent, asymmetric genetic introgression from Jaú and eastern Napo populations of

the Guianan Shield + Northern Amazon clade (Clade D; see discussion below). This result is

in conflict with other phylogenetic analyses. When the genetic data are analyzed under

either concatenated or coalescent phylogenetic frameworks which do not allow for

phylogenetic reticulation, the western Napo population is reconstructed as most closely

related to Guiana Shield + Northern Amazon populations (Figure 3, Figure 5, Clade D). In

contrast, phylogenetic network analysis strongly indicates that this population is more

closely related to individuals of Inambari (southern Amazon) provenance (Figure 6, also

summarized in Figure 4). This incongruence between methods is likely due to the

complexity of the constituent gene trees in this population-level differentiation induced by

asymmetric introgression from the Jaú and eastern Napo populations (Thom et al. 2018).

We hypothesize that introgression has introduced more recently derived variation from

the Guiana Shield + Northern Amazon clade D into an older, differentiated, population of

the Southern Amazonian clade, pulling the phylogenetic affinity toward the northern clade.

History of an introgressed hybrid lineage

Analysis of genomic admixture and phylogenetic reticulation revealed a population

of differentiated individuals restricted to the western Napo area of endemism which have

highly introgressed genomes and a particularly complex evolutionary history (Figure 6).

Our investigation revealed this northwestern lowland Amazonian group is most likely

derived from a southwestern Amazonian lineage which experienced introgression from a

member of the Guiana Shield +Northern Amazon clade D. The substantial level of observed

introgression (>40%), as well as a suite of genomic and phenotypic evidence, suggests that

this lineage may be best recognized as a hybrid species.

To date, five prior examples of hybrid species formation in birds have been

evaluated with genomic data: (1) the golden crowned manakin (Barrera-Guzmán et al.

2018), (2), the Audubon's warbler (Brelsford et al. 2011), (3) the Italian sparrow

(Hermansen et al. 2011), (4) the Hawaiian duck (Lavretsky et al. 2015), and (5) a putative

species of Darwin's finches (Lamichhaney et al. 2018). These examples of mosaic genome

hybrid speciation, in which the fusion of two or more progenitor lineages leads to a

reproductively isolated hybrid species (Jiggins et al. 2008, Counterman 2016), highlight the

emerging paradigm that modern patterns of avian diversity may have been significantly

influenced by historical phylogenetic reticulation (Suh 2016). Our analyses of genomic data

across *Pseudopipra* imply that the western Napo lineage is likely a differentiated, allopatric

phylogenetic species which has a genome composition derived from heavy historical

admixture with a non-sister lineage. This historical scenario is not the same as those

examples cited above, and yet it has produced a population genetic signature which is very

similar (compare to Barrera-Guzmán et al. 2018).

Some authors have proposed strict population genetic criteria for identifying

homoploid hybrid speciation, including demonstrating (1) evidence of reproductive

isolation from parental species, (2) evidence of past hybridization, and (3) evidence that

intrinsic reproductive isolation was derived from the hybridization event (Schumer et al.

2014, Barrera-Guzmán et al. 2018).

The first two conditions are easily satisfied by the western Napo *Pseudopipra*

lineage. Phylogenetic and population genetic analysis indicate reproductive isolation. All

phylogenetic analyses, including concatenated and coalescent analyses (Figure 3 4, 6),

recover this lineage as a strongly supported reciprocally monophyletic group. PCA-based

and model-based population genetic cluster analyses (Figure 6b, 6c, Supplementary

Figures 4-9), also support the hypothesis that this lineage is both intermediate and

differentiated when compared its closest living relatives. Analysis of IBD also supports the

hypothesis that gene flow around the geographic extents of this population is at least

restricted and probably not occurring (Figure 7, Supplementary Figure 12). Estimates of

inbreeding coefficients also imply that introgression is probably not ongoing into the

western Napo lineage (Supplementary Appendix): The similar levels of inbreeding among

Pseudopipra populations in the western Amazon (F_{IS}, Supplementary Figure 9, and

Supplementary Appendix) imply that the western Napo population is not more outbred (i.e.

recently introgressed) than other inbred populations. Notably, the consistently positive

level of inbreeding (median ~0.16, 95% CI [0.11-0.21]) among most *Pseudopipra*

populations is perhaps attributable to a highly polygynous lek mating system (Smith 1979,

Waser et al. 1986, Stopher et al. 2012). In sum, these patterns imply contemporary

reproductive isolation of the Western Napo populations.

The second criteria, evidence of past hybridization, is directly supported by model-

based analysis of genomic admixture and phylogenetic reticulation (Figure 5, 6), and

indirectly supported by model based and phenetic cluster analysis (Supplementary Figures

4-9). We hypothesize that hybridization initially occurred between progenitor lineage S2a

and western lineages of the Guiana Shield + Northern Amazon clade (Figure 6a). S2a then

became extinct and was replaced by the novel introgressed lineage, which subsequently

exchanged genes with neighboring populations. An intrinsic assumption of our analyses is

that S1 (western Inambari) is an appropriate substitute for S2a (which we infer to have

existed). We use S1 as proxy for S2a in population genetic analyses because it is the closest

and only available relative.

968   The third criterion, evidence that intrinsic reproductive isolation was derived from

the hybridization event, is the most difficult to demonstrate, and perhaps also the most

tenuous and dependent on one's concept of species. This criterion is useful for framing the

strength of evidence for or against the existence of a homoploid hybrid species if one

adheres strictly to the biological species concept, as is frequently adopted for birds (Mayr

1942). However, if one adopts a more evolutionary definition of species, like the

metapopulation lineage concept, in which the only necessary requirement is demonstration

of separately evolving lineages (de Queiroz 2005), the importance of demonstrating

intrinsic reproductive isolation to claim species status for a putative hybrid lineage (or any

lineage at all) is less clear to us. Requiring hybridization to be the source of intrinsic

reproductive isolation also excludes the possibility that extrinsic reproductive isolation

may result from intrinsic changes that enable hybrids access to new niches, which may be

also be geographically restricted relative to parental forms (Nieto Feliner et al. 2017). In

sum, we cannot yet determine if the genetic signatures of reproductive isolation we

observe for western Napo *Pseudopipra* are related to hybridization.

Intriguingly, the evolutionary scenario inferred by phylogenetic reticulation analysis

for the introgressed western Napo hybrids is consistent with observed patterns of lekking

vocalizations (Figure 8, Supplementary Appendix). The males from the Napo area of

endemism share vocalization type 2 with birds in the southeastern Amazon (Figure 8), and

with the restricted Huallaga valley *pygmaea* subspecies (the sister group to all lowland

Amazonian forms, according to mtDNA). Manakins are suboscine passerines, have innate

vocalizations (though see Saranathan et al. 2007). Therefore, the introgressed Napo lineage

inherited its vocalizations from the MRCA of groups S1 and S2 (Figure 6a) and retained it

through subsequent introgression with northern lineages that have a different vocal type

(Type 3 and/or 5). In this scenario, vocal type 7 in the western Inambari may represent

more recently derived variation in the southern Amazonian clade (Figure 8). The

observation that the introgressed western Napo hybrids share a greater proportion of their

genomes with their southern progenitors is consistent with this hypothesis, as is the

observation that the introgressed lineage has mtDNA haplotypes from the southern

Amazon (Supplementary Figure 16). This mitochondrial pattern implies that the

introgressed Napo lineage may be a product of progenitor female S2a and western males of

the Guiana Shield + Northern Amazon clade.

Taking all of the available genetic and phenotypic evidence into account, we propose

that the Western Napo lineage is an additional example of the formation of a hybrid species

in birds, and one that was produced via an underappreciated historical mechanism. The

patterns we observe appear to be most similar to 'hybrid trait speciation,' in which a hybrid

species is formed after introgression from one species into a genomic background of a close

relative (Jiggins et al. 2008, Counterman 2016, Marques et al. 2019). This type of

combinatorial hybrid speciation was originally proposed from studies of *Heliconius*

butterflies (Jiggins et al. 2008, Salazar et al. 2010), and suggests introgression of a 'magic

trait' can instantaneously lead to hybrid speciation without intrinsic barriers to

reproduction (Counterman 2016). While we have no evidence of 'magic traits'

representative of the Northern Amazon + Guiana Shield clade (D) that have influenced

reproductive isolation in the western Napo lineage, our study predicts that such traits may

exist in these populations and are worthy of additional study.

1013

Comparative and Historical Biogeography

The spatial pattern of population structure and evolutionary relationships we

identify here are broadly congruent with other recent studies of Neotropical biogeography

in upland forest birds, contributing another example of the substantial phylogeographic

pseudocongruence across taxa at this wide scale (e.g. Cunningham and Collins 1994,

Harvey et al. 2017). As expected, the two main barriers identified for *Pseudopipra* in the

South American lowlands are the Amazon River and the dry diagonal. Amazonian

tributaries seem to delimit recently isolated populations in southern Amazonia, while

northern *Pseudopipra* populations show weaker evidence of being isolated by the Negro

and Japurá rivers. By contrast, southern populations seem to be strongly isolated by rivers

with smaller discharge, such as the Xingu, Tapajós and Purus.

A comparable survey of the suboscine ovenbirds in the *Xenops* complex (Harvey and

Brumfield 2015) identified similar patterns of genetic structuring coincident with major

Amazonian tributaries, as did Ribas et al. (2012) in the *Psophia* trumpeters, though the

inferred relationships among areas of endemism are not the same. A recent comparative

analysis shows that large rivers delimit genetic clusters in as many as 23 groups of upland

Amazonian forest birds, strongly arguing in favor of these rivers acting as barriers to gene

flow (Silva et al. 2019). The somewhat distinct pattern found for *Pseudopipra* may be

related to its recent expansion throughout the eastern Andean lowlands and its habitat

tolerance.

Within the manakins, a recent molecular phylogeny (Ohlson et al. 2013) placed

Pseudopipra as sister to the genus *Ceratopipra*, which includes five well-recognized species

that are extensively codistribtuted with *Pseudopipra.* The montane origin of *Pseudopipra* is

congruent with the observation that *Pseudopipra* is the sister group to *Ceratopipra,* which

is also broadly distributed in the Neotropical lowlands from Central America to the Atlantic

Forest, with two secondary expansions into the subtropical forests in the tepuis (*C.*

cornuta) and the southern Andes (*C. chloromeros*) (Ohlson et al. 2013). The breakpoints

among these *Ceratopipra* species are highly concordant with the breakpoints among the

genetic clusters within the *Pseudopipra* complex that we have presented here, implying

that these closely related taxa have many components of their phylogeographic history in

common. See the Supplemental Appendix for additional notes, as well as Castro-Astor

(2014).

A key feature of the phylogeny of *Pseudopipra* is that montane Andean lineages are

sister groups to both the montane Central American and the lowland Amazonian-southeast

Brazilian lineages. Ancestral character reconstruction with Bayesian stochastic mapping

therefore unambiguously reconstructs the most recent common ancestor of *Pseudopipra* as

montane (Figure 3, Supplemental Appendix). Thus, it appears that the most recent common

ancestor of *Pseudopipra* was an Andean lineage restricted to subtropical, lower montane

forest. The earliest diversification event in the genus was likely the differentiation of the

ancestral Andean populations north and south of the Rio Huallaga, Peru. The northern

lineage gave rise to the subtropical montane lineages of the northern Andes and Central

America (Clade A). The southern lineage gave rise to a subtropical montane Southern

Peruvian lineage (Clade B) and a southwestern lowland Amazonian lineage (*pygmaea*,

represented in our dataset by mtDNA). Subsequently, the Amazonian lineage expanded to

the eastern lowlands and differentiated into the northern lowland Amazonian + Guiana

Shield (Clade D in Figure 3) and southern lowland Amazonian/Atlantic Forest (Clade C in

Figure 3) clades. Within the Southern Amazonian clade, differentiation proceeded from

west to east: (Figure 3, 4):

(West Inambari (East Inambari (Rondônia (Tapajós (Xingu (Bahia (Espírito Santo (Rio de Janerio))))))))).

Contrastingly, the northern Amazonian lineage also expanded all the way to the Atlantic

Ocean, but without producing strong phylogenetic structure (Figures 3, 4). In the Napo area

of endemism, substantial introgression occurred from east Napo and Jaú populations into

the western Napo population, with limited backcrossing into Inambari and east Napo

populations.

Intriguingly, a well-supported distinct montane (>1000m) (BS100) (Clade C2 in

Figure 3) is recovered as nested within the well supported lowland western Inambari

subclade (Cerro Azul, Clade C1 in Figure 3). Thus, there may have been at least one

secondary invasion of montane Andean habitats from a lowland ancestor. Patterns of

admixture support this hypothesis – montane Cerro Azul individuals are detected to have

limited genomic background of derived lineages of the Guiana Shield + Northern Amazon

clade (yellow in Figure 5), similar to proximate lowland relatives. The presence of genomic

admixture from the Guiana Shield + Northern Amazon clade is consistent with a scenario of

secondary invasion of the highlands from lowland Inambari lineages which were

secondarily weakly introgressed from the western Napo (see below), which itself

experienced significant initial introgression from the Northern Amazon + Guiana Shield

clade (D).

1080

North Andean diversity

Our final genomic data set does not include any samples from Andean Ecuador or

Colombia, a region that includes four previously recognized subspecies and at least four

distinct vocalization types. Unfortunately, the three Andean Ecuadorian tissue

(representing subspecies *coracina*) specimens in our original sample were not of high

enough preservation quality to be viable for ddRAD sequencing (Supplementary Table 1).

We were able to obtain mtDNA from these samples however, which unambiguously formed

a monophyletic cluster with our single San Martín (North Andean Peru) specimen in

mtDNA gene tree analyses (Supplemental Appendix, Supplementary Figure 16). A prior

study by Castro-Astor (2014) based on mtDNA also included one Andean Ecuadorian

sample and one Central American sample, which unambiguously clustered together

(pp=100). Thus, it is likely that our unsampled Andean lineages of Ecuador and Colombia

(including the subspecies *minimus, bolivari, unica,* and *coracina*) are likely members of the

northern Andean clade represented in our genomic data set by our single San Martín

specimen.

As noted earlier, our San Martín specimen had approximately equal probability of

assignment to multiple populations, which could indicate heavy admixture, or that this is a

single sample from a highly distinct population which has its own distinct history. These

patterns may also be consistent with the hypothesis that the north Andean clade is the

source of montane and lowland *Pseudopipra* diversity. In summary, the genus *Pseudopipra*

appears to have radiated 'out of the Andes,' expanding into the Central America highlands,

and into the eastern lowland Amazonian and Atlantic forests.

1103

Phenotypic Evolution

Thirteen subspecies have been previously described based on variations in plumage

color and, to a lesser extent, size (See Taxonomic Summary in Supplementary Appendix).

Male plumage coloration varies among the subspecies of *Pseudopipra* in the glossiness of

the black body feathers, the length of the white crown feathers, and the color– white, gray,

or black– of the bases of these crown feathers. Female plumage coloration often shows

more striking differentiation among subspecies than does male plumage, including

variation in the shade of olive green on the body, the shade and extent of gray on the crown

and face, and olive, yellow, or gray coloration on the belly.

Although we did not conduct a detailed analysis of plumage variation among

populations of *Pseudopipra*, we note that all of the ten subspecies that were included in our

genetic samples were identified as distinct, diagnosable, monophyletic groups. In other

words, in all cases that we were able to test, traditional taxonomic

practices– conducted between 1758 through 1936– successfully identified and named

distinct evolutionary lineages within *Pseudopipra*. We did not have genetic samples of the

northern Andean taxa *minima, unica,* and *bolivari*, but the entirely white crown feather

bases are shared exclusively by *unica* and *minima,* and on the forecrown feathers of

bolivari, imply that this plumage character state is derived within *Pseudopipra*, and that

minima and *unica* form a clade*,* with *bolivari* as their sister group. One lineage containing

two subspecies– *separabilis* and *cephaleucos-* has evolved another unique, shared, derived

plumage character– a distinctive, second, predefinitive male plumage which has further

differentiated between the two subspecies. In *cephaleucos,* predefinitive males have an

olive green back, a white crown, and slate gray face and belly. In *separabilis,* predefinitive

males are similar with lighter gray belly, and a medium gray, instead of white, crown. In

conclusion, plumage coloration appears to provide highly informative evidence of

evolutionary lineage status in this genus.

Our analysis of vocal behavior indicates that vocalizations are also highly

informative of lineage identity within *Pseudopipra*. We identified fourteen distinct

vocalization types (Figure, 8, 9), and all but one was restricted to and diagnostic of a single

previously recognized subspecies or a broader monophyletic group (See Expanded

Taxonomic Summary in Supplementary Appendix for details). The only exception was

vocalization type 2 (Fig. 8), which was shared plesiomorphically across the Amazon basin

between populations of *pygmaea* in the Huallaga Valley, *discolor* in the western Napo

region of Ecuador and Peru, and *separabilis* in Para, Brazil, but not in the intervening

southwestern Amazonian populations of *microlopha*. Unfortunately, available vocal

sampling is very limited in the intervening regions along the south side of the Amazon

Basin, which are populated by a nested series of genetically distinct lineages with

successively closer relationships to southern Amazonian *separabilis* and *cephaleucos* from

the Atlantic forest of Brazil*.*

Based on genetic variation, we also identified several additional well-supported

clades for which we have limited vocal or plumage coloration data. For example, only one

vocal record is known for the South Andean clade (Clade B), which is currently recognized

as *P. p. comata.* This lineage is also composed of two well differentiated subclades: a

northern clade (B1) from Cerro Azul in Loreto, and a southern clade (B2) from southern

Huánuco (AMNH 820866, 820952), Pasco, Junín, and Cusco. Since the type of *comata* is

within the southern clade, further investigation is necessary to determine whether the

Cerro Azul populations should be recognized as a distinct, new taxon. Our phylogenetic

analysis also identified a distinct montane clade from the highlands between the Rio

Huallaga and Rio Ucayali (Clade C2) which is closely related to populations from lowland

forests of eastern Peru south of the Rio Marañón and east to Rio Purus, Brazil, currently

recognized as *P. p. microlopha*. Although it is not certain that this montane clade represents

a secondary expansion into the Andes, its phylogenetic distinction implies that it may also

exhibit distinct plumage or vocal characters.

1157

Taxonomy and Revised Classification

Our analysis identifies a number of genetically well-differentiated and

phenotypically diagnosable lineages and provides compelling new evidence for evaluating

the species status of *Pseudopipra*. Although there are gaps in our sampling, we find that

there are at least eight genetically well-differentiated, and phenotypically diagnosable

lineages of *Pseudopipra.* There is more genetic variation than observed vocal variation

implies, and more vocal variation beyond our geographic coverage of genetic samples

(especially in northern Andes). Thus, our descriptions of cryptic diversity in *Pseudopipra*

are almost certainly an underestimate, underscoring the need for continued reassessment

of species limits and of diversity patterns in the Neotropics. Our analysis provides the first

comprehensive opportunity to reevaluate species limits within *Pseudopipra* based on

phylogenetic, population genetic, vocal, and plumage differentiation among populations

and named subspecies (See the Expanded Taxonomic Summary in the Supplementary

Appendix). Three of these species are polytypic (i.e. contain multiple subspecies). One of

the species we recognize– *P. microlopha* – is paraphyletic with respect to another species, *P.*

cephaleucos, because we recognize that speciation may not threaten the lineage identity of

a paraphyletic group.

This proposed classification is a conservative treatment that recognizes our limited

genetic sampling of populations in the northern Andean clade from Ecuador and Colombia

and our limited behavioral data. Three of these unsampled northern Andean subspecies–

coracina, minima, and *occulta*– have unique, and highly differentiated vocalization types,

and diagnosable plumage differences. Our phylogenetic results for other lineages in the

genus strongly suggest that each of these subspecies is a distinct evolutionary lineage

deserving species status. The vocalization types of one other subspecies– *bolivari*– is

currently unknown, but it may also be distinct species. Further research will be required to

assess whether the unexpected vocal diversity among populations of *unica* (types 11a and

11b) from the central Andes of Colombia indicates the existence of additional, undescribed

taxa. Plumage and vocal data from other newly identified clades may support the

recognition of additional species including populations from the highlands between Rio

Huallaga and Rio Ucayali (Clade C2; Fig. 3), and the currently unnamed lineages from the

southern Amazon Basin (Clades C3, C4, and C5). In conclusion, *Pseudopipra* may include

15-17 distinct species that have rapidly arisen in the last ~2.5 Ma, but further

reclassification will require more vocal data and detailed analyses of plumage variation.

1191

Pseudopipra coracina (Sclater 1856)   **Andean White-crowned Manakin**

Distribution: Subtropical Andes from Venezuela south to Esmeraldas, Ecuador and San

Martín, Peru.

Phylogenetic Position: Clade A1

P. c. coracina (Sclater 1856)

Distribution: Subtropical forests of the eastern slope of the Andes from western

Venezuela to Morona-Santiago, Ecuador.

Phylogenetic Position: (mtdna) Member of Clade A1

Lek Vocal Type: 8 (*errrwer*).

Call Vocal Type: unknown

1202

P. c. minima (Chapman 1914)

Distribution: Subtropical forests of western Cauca, Colombia south to Esmeraldas,

Ecuador

Phylogenetic Position: (unsampled) Member of Clade A1

Lek Vocal Type: 9 (*reeee*)

Call Vocal Type: unknown

1209

1210   ***P. c. bolivari*** (de Schauensee 1950)

1211   **Distribution**: Subtropical forests of southern Córdoba, Colombia. (Not Sampled)

1212   **Phylogenetic Position**: (unsampled) Member of Clade A1

1213   **Lek Vocal Type**: Unknown

1214   **Call Vocal Type**: unknown

1215

1216   *P. c. unica* (de Schauensee 1945)

1217   **Distribution**: Subtropical forests of Magdalena Valley, Antioquia to Huila, Colombia.

1218   **Phylogenetic Position**: (unsampled) Member of Clade A1

1219   **Lek Vocal Type**: 11a (weer-dink) and 11b (shureeep)

1220   **Call Vocal Type**: unknown

1221

P. c. occulta (Zimmer 1936)

Distribution: Eastern slope of the Andes from Zamora-Chinchipe, Ecuador (Freile

2014) south to San Martín, and Huánuco, Peru, west of the Rio Huallaga

Phylogenetic Position: Member of Clade A1 (represented by

Lek Vocal Type: 1 (*trill-dink*) and 10 (*bree*)

Call Vocal Type: unknown

1228

1229   *Pseudopipra anthracina* (Ridgway 1906)   **Western White-crowned Manakin**

1230   **Distribution**: Subtropical Costa Rica to Western Panama

1231   **Phylogenetic Position**: Clade A2

1232   **Lek Vocal Type**: 4 (*jureeee*)

1233   **Call Vocal Type**: unknown

1234

Pseudopipra comata (Berlepsch and Stolzmann 1894)   **Junín White-crowned Manakin**

Distribution: Subtropical Andes of Peru from Cerro Azul, Loreto (east and south of the Rio

Huallaga) to southern Huánuco Pasco, Junín, and northern Cusco.

Phylogenetic Position: Clade B

Lek Vocal Type: One record, statistically similar to type 1 (*trill-dink*)

Call Vocal Type: unknown

1241

1242   *Pseudopipra pygmaea (*Zimmer 1936)   **Huallaga White-crowned Manakin**

1243   **Distribution**: Tropical forest of lower Rio Huallaga Valley, Peru

1244   **Phylogeneic Position:** (mtDNA) Sister to Clade F

1245   **Lek Vocal Type**: 2 (*deeeer*)

1246   **Call Vocal Type**: 13

1247

1248   *Pseudopipra discolor* (Zimmer 1936)   **Napo White-crowned Manakin**

1249   **Distribution**: Tropical forest in Napo, Ecuador and Loreto, Peru south to the Rio Marañón.

1250   **Phylogenetic Position:** Clade E

1251   **Lek Vocal Type**: 2 (*deeeer*)

1252   **Call Vocal Type**: 13

1253

Pseudopipra pipra (Linneaus 1758)   **Northern White-crowned Manakin**

Distribution: Tropical forest of eastern Colombia, southern Venezuela, the Guianas, and

Brazil north of the Amazon and west to the right (west) bank of the Rio Putumayo,

Colombia.

Phylogenetic Position: Clade D

Lek Vocal Type: 3 (*buzzzz*)

Call Vocal Type: 5 (*zeee*)

1261

1262   ***Pseudopipra microlopha*** (Zimmer 1929)   **Southern White-crowned Manakin**

1263   **Distribution**: Tropical forest of eastern Peru south of the Rio Huallaga, Rio Marañón, and

1264   the Amazon River east to Pará, Brazil, and subtropical forests between the Rio Huallaga and

1265   Rio Ucayali.

1266   **Phylogenetic Position:** Paraphyletic, including Clade C without Clade C7

1267

***P. m.* undescribed subspecies**

Distribution: Subtropical forest from the highlands between Rio Huallaga and Rio

Ucayali

Phylogenetic Position: Clade C2

Lek Vocal Type: Unknown

Call Vocal Type: 13

1274

P. m. microlopha (Zimmer 1929)

Distribution: Eastern Peru south of the Rio Marañón and Rio Huallaga, west to Rio

Juruá and Rio Purus, Brazil.

Phylogenetic Position: Clade C1 excluding C2

Lek Vocal Type: 7 (*jeer*)

Call Vocal Type: 13

1281

***P. m.* undescribed subspecies**

Distribution: Right (east) bank of the Rio Purus to the left (west) bank Rio Madeira

Phylogenetic Position: Clade C3

Plumage: Not examined

Lek Vocal Type: Unknown

Call Vocal Type: 13

1288

***P. m.* undescribed subspecies**

Distribution: Right (east) bank of the Rio Madeira to the left (west) bank the Rio

Tapajos.

Phylogenetic Position: Clade C4

Plumage: Not examined

Lek Vocal Type: Unknown

Call Vocal Type: 13

1296

***P. m.* undescribed subspecies**

Distribution: Right (east) bank of the Rio Tapajos to the left (west) bank of the Rio

Xingu

Phylogenetic Position: Clade C5

Plumage: Not examined

Lek Vocal Type: 6b

Call Vocal Type: 13

1304

P. m. separabilis (Zimmer 1936)

Distribution: Tropical forest of Rio Xingu east to central and southern Pará.

Phylogenetic Position: Clade C6

Lek Vocal Type: 2

Call Vocal Type: 13

Pseudopipra cephaleucos (Thunberg 1822)   **Atlantic White-crowned Manakin**

Distribution: Tropical forest from Bahia south to northern Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

Phylogenetic Position: Clade C7

Lek Vocal Type: 6a (*zeeeee-tonk*)

Call Vocal Type: 13

1315

1316   **Supplementary Material:** Supplementary material, including data files and online-only

1317   appendices, can be found in the Dryad Digital Repository:

1318   http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.[NNNN], and GenBank XXX-XXX.

1319

Funding: J. S. B. was supported by a National Science Foundation Graduate Research

Fellowship and NSF Doctoral Dissertation Improvement Grant [DGE-1650441, DEB-

1700786]. L. C. was supported by NSF grant DEB-1555754 to I.J.L. T. J. F. was supported by

an NSF Post-doctoral Fellowship in Biology [DBI-1523857]. C. C. R. was funded by CNPq

(308927/2016-8), FAPEAM, FAPESP/NSF (NSF DEB-1241066, FAPESP, grant

#2012/50260-6) and USAID (PEER AID-OAA-A-11-00012, cycle 5). J. S. B. and R. O. P. were

funded by the W. R. Coe Fund of Yale University. Data collection was paid for by Athena

Funds from the Cornell Laboratory of Ornithology, and W. R. Coe Fund from Yale

University. This work was also supported in part by the facilities and staff of the Yale

University Faculty of Arts and Sciences High Performance Computing Center, and with the

resources of the Cornell University BRC Bioinformatics Facility, which is partially funded by

Microsoft Corporation.

1332

Acknowledgements:

We thank the numerous researchers whose field collection efforts made this research

possible including: Angelo P. Capparella, Camila Ribas, Ivandy Castro-Astor, Cecilia Fox,

David E. Willard, Diego Ocampo, Donna Dittmann, Jacob S. Berv, John P, O'Neill, Karla

Conejo, Katya Balta, Kenneth V Rosenberg, Louise M. Augustine, Peter E. Scott, Richard O.

Prum, Steven W. Cardiff, Susan Allen-Stotz, Thomas Valqui, Tristan J. Davis, Ivan Prates and

others. Tissue loans were kindly received from Divisão de Aves do Museu de Zoologia da

Universidade Estadual de Feira de Santana (MZFS), Field Museum of Natural History

(FMNH), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia (INPA), Kansas University Natural

History Museum (KUNHM), Louisiana Museum of Natural History (LSUMZ), Museu de

Zoologia da Universidade de São Paulo (MZUSP), Museu Paraense Emilio Goeldi (MPEG),

The Academy of Natural Sciences of Drexel University (ANSP), Universidade do Estado do

Rio de Janeiro (UERJ), University of Alaska Museum (UAM), University of Nevada, Las Vegas

(UNLV), the Yale Peabody Museum of Natural History (YPM), and the Cornell Museum of

Vertebrates (CUMV). We thank the curators and collections managers of these institutions

for facilitating these loans: Camila Ribas, John Bates, Mark Robbins, Donna Dittman,

Alexandre Aleixo, Caio Graco Machado, Joel Cracraft, Luís Fábio Silveira, Kevin Winker,

Maria Alice Santos Alves, Kristof Zyskowski, Nate Rice, Charles Dardia, John Klicka, and

others. We thank Eliot Miller, Emma Greig, Matthew Medler, Greg Budney, Eduardo Iñigo

and Andrew Spencer at the Macaulay Library for assistance with audio recordings. We

thank members of the Lovette Lab, as well as **XXXX** anonymous reviewers, for comments

on the research and the manuscript. The illustration depicted in Fig. 3 is reproduced with

permission from the Handbook of Birds of the World, Lynx Editions, Barcelona. J. S. B. and

R. O. P. conceived of the research in 2011. J. S. B. and L. C. performed laboratory and

bioinformatic processing, with input and support from I. J. L. We thank Bronwyn Butcher

for help with laboratory protocols. J. S. B. and L. C. analyzed the genomic data and

interpretation of the results was supported by input from all authors. T. J. F. organized and

analyzed lekking vocalization data. C. C. R. and I. C. R. facilitated critical sample acquisitions

from Amazonia and the Atlantic Forest. I. J. L. and R. O. P. provided detailed guidance and

feedback on the manuscript. R. O. P. proposed a revised taxonomy. The manuscript was

written by J.S.B. with input from all authors.

1364

1365

1366

1367

1368

1369

1370

1371

1372

1373   Literature Cited

1374

Agapow PM, Burt A. 2001. Indices of multilocus linkage disequilibrium. Molecular Ecology

Notes, 1:101-102.

Andrews S. 2010. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data

(http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/)

Antonelli A, Ariza M, Albert J, Andermann T, Azevedo J, Bacon C, Faurby S, Guedes T, Hoorn

C, Lohmann LG, Matos-Maraví P, Ritter CD, Sanmartín I, Silvestro D, Tejedor M, ter

Steege H, Tuomisto H, Werneck FP, Zizka A, Edwards SV. 2018. Conceptual and

empirical advances in Neotropical biodiversity research. PeerJ, 6:e5644.

Barrera-Guzmán AO, Aleixo A, Shawkey MD, Weir JT. 2018. Hybrid speciation leads to novel

male secondary sexual ornamentation of an Amazonian bird. Proceedings of the

National Academy of Sciences, 115:E218-E225.

Bates JM, Zink RM. 1994. Evolution into the Andes: Molecular Evidence for Species

Relationships in the Genus Leptopogon. The Auk, 111:507-515.

Berv JS, Prum RO. 2014. A comprehensive multilocus phylogeny of the Neotropical cotingas

(Cotingidae, Aves) with a comparative evolutionary analysis of breeding system and

plumage dimorphism and a revised phylogenetic classification. Molecular

Phylogenetics and Evolution, 81:120-136.

Bioacoustics_Research_Program. 2011. Raven Pro: Interactive Sound Analysis Software

(Version 1.4) [Computer software]. Ithaca, NY, The Cornell Laboratory of

Ornithology. Available from http://www.birds.cornell.edu/raven.

Bollback JP. 2006. SIMMAP: Stochastic character mapping of discrete traits on phylogenies.

BMC Bioinformatics, 7.

Borges SH, Da Silva JMC. 2012. A New Area of Endemism for Amazonian Birds in the Rio

Negro Basin. The Wilson Journal of Ornithology, 124:15-23.

Botta F, Eriksen C, Fontaine MC, Guillot G, Yu D. 2015. Enhanced computational methods for

quantifying the effect of geographic and environmental isolation on genetic

differentiation. Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 6:1270-1277.

Bouckaert R, Heled J, Kühnert D, Vaughan T, Wu C-H, Xie D, Suchard MA, Rambaut A,

Drummond AJ. 2014. BEAST 2: A Software Platform for Bayesian Evolutionary

Analysis. PLOS Computational Biology, 10:e1003537.

Bradburd G, Coop G, Ralph P. 2017. Inferring Continuous and Discrete Population Genetic

Structure Across Space. bioRxiv.

Bradburd GS, Ralph PL, Coop GM. 2013. DISENTANGLING THE EFFECTS OF GEOGRAPHIC

AND ECOLOGICAL ISOLATION ON GENETIC DIFFERENTIATION. Evolution,

67:3258-3273.

Brelsford A, Milá B, Irwin DE. 2011. Hybrid origin of Audubon's warbler. Molecular Ecology,

20:2380-2389.

Brown AHD, Feldman MW, Nevo E. 1980. Multilocus Structure of Natal Populations of

Hordeum Spontaneum. Genetics, 96:523-536.

Brumfield RT. 2012. Inferring the origins of lowland Neotropical birds. The Auk, 129:367-

376.

Brumfield RT, Edwards SV. 2007. EVOLUTION INTO AND OUT OF THE ANDES: A BAYESIAN

ANALYSIS OF HISTORICAL DIVERSIFICATION IN THAMNOPHILUS ANTSHRIKES.

Evolution, 61:346-367.

Brumfield RT, Liu L, Lum DE, Edwards SV. 2008. Comparison of Species Tree Methods for

Reconstructing the Phylogeny of Bearded Manakins (Aves: Pipridae, Manacus) from

Multilocus Sequence Data. Systematic Biology, 57:719-731.

Burbrink FT, Gehara M. 2018. The Biogeography of Deep Time Phylogenetic Reticulation.

Systematic Biology, 67:743-744.

Campagna L, Gronau I, Silveira LF, Siepel A, Lovette IJ. 2015. Distinguishing noise from

signal in patterns of genomic divergence in a highly polymorphic avian radiation.

Molecular Ecology, 24:4238-4251.

Campagna L, St. Clair JJH, Lougheed SC, Woods RW, Imberti S, Tubaro PL. 2012. Divergence

between passerine populations from the Malvinas – Falkland Islands and their

continental counterparts: a comparative phylogeographical study. Biological Journal

of the Linnean Society, 106:865-879.

Capurucho JMG, Cornelius C, Borges SH, Cohn-Haft M, Aleixo A, Metzger JP, Ribas CC. 2013.

Combining phylogeography and landscape genetics of Xenopipo atronitens (Aves:

Pipridae), a white sand campina specialist, to understand Pleistocene landscape

evolution in Amazonia. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society, 110:60-76.

Castro-Astor IN. 2014. A Phylogeographic study of two neotropical bird species, the Red-

Headed Manakin (Pipna rubrocapilla) and the White-crowned Manakin (Dixiphia

pipra). Biology. CUNY Academic Works, CUNY.

Castro-Astor IN, Alves MAS, Cavalcanti RB. 2007. DISPLAY BEHAVIOR AND SPATIAL

DISTRIBUTION OF THE WHITE-CROWNED MANAKIN IN THE ATLANTIC FOREST

OF BRAZIL. The Condor, 109:155-166.

Catchen J, Hohenlohe PA, Bassham S, Amores A, Cresko WA. 2013. Stacks: an analysis tool

set for population genomics. Molecular Ecology, 22:3124-3140.

Chambers EA, Hillis DM. 2019. The Multispecies Coalescent Over-splits Species in the Case

of Geographically Widespread Taxa. Systematic Biology.

Chernomor O, Minh BQ, von Haeseler A. 2016. Terrace Aware Data Structure for

Phylogenomic Inference from Supermatrices. Systematic Biology, 65:997-1008.

Cheviron ZA, Hackett SJ, Brumfield RT. 2006. Sequence variation in the coding region of the

melanocortin-1 receptor gene (MC1R) is not associated with plumage variation in

the blue-crowned manakin (Lepidothrix coronata). Proceedings of the Royal Society

B: Biological Sciences, 273:1613-1618.

Cheviron ZA, Hackett SJ, Capparella AP. 2005. Complex evolutionary history of a

Neotropical lowland forest bird (Lepidothrix coronata) and its implications for

historical hypotheses of the origin of Neotropical avian diversity. Molecular

Phylogenetics and Evolution, 36:338-357.

Chifman J, Kubatko L. 2014. Quartet Inference from SNP Data Under the Coalescent Model.

Bioinformatics, 30:3317-3324.

Chifman J, Kubatko L. 2015. Identifiability of the unrooted species tree topology under the

coalescent model with time-reversible substitution processes, site-specific rate

variation, and invariable sites. Journal of Theoretical Biology, 374:35-47.

Chou J, Gupta A, Yaduvanshi S, Davidson R, Nute M, Mirarab S, Warnow T. 2015. A

comparative study of SVDquartets and other coalescent-based species tree

estimation methods. BMC Genomics, 16:S2.

Counterman BA. 2016. Hybrid Speciation. In: Kliman RM editor. Encyclopedia of

Evolutionary Biology. Oxford, Academic Press, p. 242-248.

Cracraft J. 1985. Historical Biogeography and Patterns of Differentiation within the South

American Avifauna: Areas of Endemism. Ornithological Monographs:49-84.

Cracraft J, Prum RO. 1988. PATTERNS AND PROCESSES OF DIVERSIFICATION: SPECIATION

AND HISTORICAL CONGRUENCE IN SOME NEOTROPICAL BIRDS. Evolution, 42:603-

620.

Crother BI, Murray CM. 2011. Ontology of areas of endemism. Journal of Biogeography,

38:1009-1015.

Cunningham CW, Collins TM. 1994. Developing model systems for molecular biogeography:

Vicariance and interchange in marine invertebrates. In: Schierwater B, Streit B,

Wagner GP, DeSalle R editors. Molecular Ecology and Evolution: Approaches and

Applications. Basel, Birkhäuser Basel, p. 405-433.

Da Silva J, Novaes F, Oren D. 2002. Differentiation of Xiphocolaptes (Dendrocolaptidae)

across the river Xingu, Brazilian Amazonia: recognition of a new phylogenetic

species and biogeographic implications. BULLETIN-BRITISH ORNITHOLOGISTS

CLUB, 122:185-193.

da Silva JMC. 1995. Biogeographic analysis of the South American Cerrado avifauna.

Steenstrupia, 21:49-67.

da Silva JMC, de Sousa MC, H. M. Castelletti C. 2004. Areas of Endemism for Passerine Birds

in the Atlantic Forest, South America. Global Ecology and Biogeography, 13:85-92.

Da Silva JMC, Rylands AB, Da Fonseca GAB. 2005. The Fate of the Amazonian Areas of

Endemism. Conservation Biology, 19:689-694.

de Queiroz K. 2005. Ernst Mayr and the modern concept of species. Proceedings of the

National Academy of Sciences, 102:6600-6607.

Dickinson E. 2003. The Howard and Moore Complete Checklist of the Birds of the World

Christopher Helm. London.

Diniz-Filho JAF, Soares TN, Lima JS, Dobrovolski R, Landeiro VL, de Campos Telles MP,

Rangel TF, Bini LM. 2013. Mantel test in population genetics. Genetics and Molecular

Biology, 36:475-485.

Earl DA, vonHoldt BM. 2012. STRUCTURE HARVESTER: a website and program for

visualizing STRUCTURE output and implementing the Evanno method. Conservation

Genet Resour, 4:359-361.

Evanno G, Regnaut S, Goudet J. 2005. Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using

the software structure: a simulation study. Molecular Ecology, 14:2611-2620.

Excoffier L, Smouse PE, Quattro JM. 1992. Analysis of Molecular Variance Inferred from

Metric Distances among DNA Haplotypes: Application to Human Mitochondrial DNA

Restriction Data. Genetics, 131:479-491.

Fernandes A, Cohn-Haft M, Hrbek T, Farias I. 2015. Rivers acting as barriers for bird

dispersal in the Amazon. Revista Brasileira de Ornitologia-Brazilian Journal of

Ornithology, 22:363-373.

Fernandes AM, Gonzalez J, Wink M, Aleixo A. 2013. Multilocus phylogeography of the

Wedge-billed Woodcreeper Glyphorynchus spirurus (Aves, Furnariidae) in lowland

Amazonia: Widespread cryptic diversity and paraphyly reveal a complex

diversification pattern. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 66:270-282.

Fjeldså J. 1992. Biogeographic patterns and evolution of the avifauna of relict high-attitude

woodlands of the Andes. Steenstrupia:9-62.

Francisco MR, Gibbs HL, Galetti M, Lunardi VO, Junior PMG. 2007. Genetic structure in a

tropical lek-breeding bird, the blue manakin (Chiroxiphia caudata) in the Brazilian

Atlantic Forest. Molecular Ecology, 16:4908-4918.

Freile JF. 2014. First record of White-crowned Manakin Dixiphia pipra in western Ecuador.

Bull. Brit. Orn. Club, 134:238-240.

Gene_Codes_Corporation. 2010. Sequencher version 5.0 sequence analysis software. Ann

Arbor Michigan.

Gordon A, Hannon G. 2010. Fastx-toolkit. FASTQ/A short-reads preprocessing tools

(unpublished) http://hannonlab. cshl. edu/fastx_toolkit, 5.

Goslee SC, Urban DL. 2007. The ecodist package for dissimilarity-based analysis of

ecological data. Journal of Statistical Software, 22:1-19.

Gosselin T, Anderson EC, Ferchaud A-L. 2016. thierrygosselin/assigner: v.0.4.0 (Version

0.4.0). Zenodo. http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.197418.

Goudet J. 2005. hierfstat, a package for r to compute and test hierarchical F-statistics.

Molecular Ecology Notes, 5:184-186.

Gronau I, Hubisz MJ, Gulko B, Danko CG, Siepel A. 2011. Bayesian inference of ancient

human demography from individual genome sequences. Nature Genetics, 43:1031.

Gubili C, Ritter CD, Motta J, Farias IP, Bates J, Canton R, Capurucho JMG, Cornelius C,

Feldheim KA, Ribas CC. 2016. Isolation and characterization of polymorphic

microsatellite DNA Markers from an Amazonian white-sand vegetation specialist

bird, Xenopipo atronitens (Aves: Pipridae). The Wilson Journal of Ornithology,

128:668-672.

Guillot G, Rousset F. 2013. Dismantling the Mantel tests. Methods in Ecology and Evolution,

4:336-344.

Guillot G, Schilling R, Porcu E, Bevilacqua M. 2013. Validity of covariance models for the

analysis of geographical variation. arXiv:1311.4136.

Haffer J. 1969. Speciation in Amazonian Forest Birds. Science, 165:131-137.

Haffer J. 1974. Avian speciation in tropical South America, with a systematic survey of the

toucans (Ramphastidae) and jacamars (Galbulidae) (Publications of the Nuttall

Ornithological Club No. 14, Cambridge, MA).

Haffer J. 1978. Distribution of Amazon forest birds. Bonner Zoologische Beiträge, 29:38-78.

Haffer J. 1985. Avian zoogeography of the Neotropical lowlands. Ornithological

Monographs:113-146.

Haffer J. 1992. On the river effect in some forest birds of southern Amazonia. Boletim do

Museu Paraense Emílio Goeldi. Nova série. Zoologia, 8:217-245.

Haffer J. 2008. Hypotheses to explain the origin of species in Amazonia. Brazilian Journal of

Biology, 68:917-947.

Hartl DL, Clark AG. 1997. Principles of population genetics. Sinauer associates Sunderland,

MA.

Harvey MG, Aleixo A, Ribas CC, Brumfield RT. 2017. Habitat Association Predicts Genetic

Diversity and Population Divergence in Amazonian Birds. The American Naturalist,

190:631-648.

Harvey MG, Brumfield RT. 2015. Genomic variation in a widespread Neotropical bird

(Xenops minutus) reveals divergence, population expansion, and gene flow.

Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 83:305-316.

Hazzi NA, Moreno JS, Ortiz-Movliav C, Palacio RD. 2018. Biogeographic regions and events

of isolation and diversification of the endemic biota of the tropical Andes.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.

Hermansen JS, Sæther SA, Elgvin TO, Borge T, Hjelle E, Sætre G-P. 2011. Hybrid speciation

in sparrows I: phenotypic intermediacy, genetic admixture and barriers to gene

flow. Molecular Ecology, 20:3812-3822.

Hijmans RJ, Williams E, Vennes C. 2015. Geosphere: spherical trigonometry. R package

version 1.3-11. URL: https://CRAN. R-project. org/package= geosphere.

Hoang DT, Vinh LS, Chernomor O, Minh BQ, von Haeseler A. 2017. UFBoot2: Improving the

Ultrafast Bootstrap Approximation. Molecular Biology and Evolution, 35:518-522.

Hooghiemstra H, Van der Hammen T. 2004. Quaternary Ice-Age dynamics in the Colombian

Andes: developing an understanding of our legacy. Philosophical Transactions of the

Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences, 359:173-181.

Hothorn T, Bretz F, Westfall P, Heiberger RM, Schuetzenmeister A, Scheibe S. 2013.

multcomp: simultaneous inference in general parametric models. R package version

1.2-18. Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

Huelsenbeck JP, Nielsen R, Bollback JP. 2003. Stochastic Mapping of Morphological

Characters. Systematic Biology, 52:131-158.

Jakobsson M, Rosenberg NA. 2007. CLUMPP: a cluster matching and permutation program

for dealing with label switching and multimodality in analysis of population

structure. Bioinformatics, 23:1801-1806.

Jiggins CD, Salazar C, Linares M, Mavarez J. 2008. Hybrid trait speciation and Heliconius

butterflies. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences,

363:3047-3054.

Jombart T. 2008. adegenet: a R package for the multivariate analysis of genetic markers.

Bioinformatics, 24:1403-1405.

Kalyaanamoorthy S, Minh BQ, Wong TKF, von Haeseler A, Jermiin LS. 2017. ModelFinder:

fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. Nature Methods, 14:587.

Kamvar ZN, Tabima JF, Grünwald NJ. 2014. Poppr: an R package for genetic analysis of

populations with clonal, partially clonal, and/or sexual reproduction. PeerJ, 2:e281.

Kirwan GM, David N, Gregory SM, Jobling JA, Steinheimer FD, Brito GRR. 2016. The

mistaken manakin: a new genus-group name for Parus pipra Linnaeus, 1758 (Aves:

Passeriformes: Pipridae). Zootaxa, 4121:89-94.

Kirwan GM, Green G. 2012. Cotingas and Manakins. Princeton, NJ, Princeton University

Press.

Kuhner MK. 2006. LAMARC 2.0: maximum likelihood and Bayesian estimation of

population parameters. Bioinformatics, 22:768-770.

Kumar S, Subramanian S. 2002. Mutation rates in mammalian genomes. Proceedings of the

National Academy of Sciences, 99:803-808.

Lamichhaney S, Han F, Webster MT, Andersson L, Grant BR, Grant PR. 2018. Rapid hybrid

speciation in Darwin's finches. Science, 359:224-228.

Lanfear R, Frandsen PB, Wright AM, Senfeld T, Calcott B. 2017. PartitionFinder 2: New

Methods for Selecting Partitioned Models of Evolution for Molecular and

Morphological Phylogenetic Analyses. Molecular Biology and Evolution, 34:772-773.

Langmead B, Trapnell C, Pop M, Salzberg SL. 2009. Ultrafast and memory-efficient

alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. Genome Biology, 10:R25.

Lavretsky P, Engilis A, Eadie JM, Peters JL. 2015. Genetic admixture supports an ancient

hybrid origin of the endangered Hawaiian duck. Journal of Evolutionary Biology,

28:1005-1015.

Lawson DJ, Hellenthal G, Myers S, Falush D. 2012. Inference of Population Structure using

Dense Haplotype Data. PLOS Genetics, 8:e1002453.

Leaché AD, Banbury BL, Felsenstein J, de Oca An-M, Stamatakis A. 2015. Short Tree, Long

Tree, Right Tree, Wrong Tree: New Acquisition Bias Corrections for Inferring SNP

Phylogenies. Systematic Biology, 64:1032-1047.

Leaché AD, Zhu T, Rannala B, Yang Z. 2018. The Spectre of Too Many Species. Systematic

Biology:syy051-syy051.

Legendre P, Fortin M-J. 2010. Comparison of the Mantel test and alternative approaches for

detecting complex multivariate relationships in the spatial analysis of genetic data.

Molecular Ecology Resources, 10:831-844.

Linck EB, Battey CJ. 2017. Minor allele frequency thresholds strongly affect population

structure inference with genomic datasets. bioRxiv.

Linder HP. 2001. On Areas of Endemism, with an Example from the African Restionaceae.

Systematic Biology, 50:892-912.

Long C, Kubatko L. 2017. The effect of gene flow on coalescent-based species-tree

inference. arXiv:1710.03806.

Luna LW, Souza TO, e Silva WAdG, Schneider H, Sampaio I. 2017. Genetic variation of the

endangered Araripe Manakin (Antilophia bokermanni) indicates a history of

demographic decline. Revista Brasileira de Ornitologia, 25:60-66.

Lynch Alfaro JW, Boubli JP, Paim FP, Ribas CC, Silva MNFd, Messias MR, Röhe F, Mercês MP,

Silva Júnior JS, Silva CR, Pinho GM, Koshkarian G, Nguyen MTT, Harada ML, Rabelo

RM, Queiroz HL, Alfaro ME, Farias IP. 2015. Biogeography of squirrel monkeys

1626 (genus Saimiri): South-central Amazon origin and rapid pan-Amazonian

1627 diversification of a lowland primate. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution,

1628 82:436-454.

1629 MacQueen J. 1967. Some methods for classification and analysis of multivariate

1630 observations. Proceedings of the Fifth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical

1631 Statistics and Probability, Volume 1: Statistics. Berkeley, Calif., University of

1632 California Press, p. 281-297.

1633 Malinsky M, Trucchi E, Lawson DJ, Falush D. 2018. RADpainter and fineRADstructure:

1634 Population Inference from RADseq Data. Molecular Biology and Evolution, 35:1284-

1635 1290.

1636 Mantel N. 1967. The detection of disease clustering and a generalized regression approach.

1637 Cancer research, 27:209-220.

1638 Marks BD, Hackett SJ, Capparella AP. 2002. Historical relationships among Neotropical

1639 lowland forest areas of endemism as determined by mitochondrial DNA sequence

1640 variation within the Wedge-billed Woodcreeper (Aves: Dendrocolaptidae:

1641 Glyphorynchus spirurus). Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 24:153-167.

1642 Marques DA, Meier JI, Seehausen O. 2019. A Combinatorial View on Speciation and

1643 Adaptive Radiation. Trends in Ecology & Evolution.

1644 Mayr E. 1942. Systematics and the origin of species from the viewpoint of a zoologist.

1645 Columbia University Press.

McDonald DB. 2003. MICROSATELLITE DNA EVIDENCE FOR GENE FLOW IN

NEOTROPICAL LEK-MATING LONG-TAILED MANAKINS. The Condor, 105:580-586.

Milá B, Tavares ES, Muñoz Saldaña A, Karubian J, Smith TB, Baker AJ. 2012. A Trans-

Amazonian Screening of mtDNA Reveals Deep Intraspecific Divergence in Forest

Birds and Suggests a Vast Underestimation of Species Diversity. PLOS ONE,

7:e40541.

Moore RP, Robinson WD, Lovette IJ, Robinson TR. 2008. Experimental evidence for extreme

dispersal limitation in tropical forest birds. Ecology Letters, 11:960-968.

Musher LJ, Ferreira M, Auerbach AL, McKay J, Cracraft J. 2019. Why is Amazonia a

‘source’ of biodiversity? Climate-mediated dispersal and

synchronous speciation across the Andes in an avian group (Tityrinae). Proceedings

of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 286:20182343.

Naka LN. 2011. Avian distribution patterns in the Guiana Shield: implications for the

delimitation of Amazonian areas of endemism. Journal of Biogeography, 38:681-696.

Naka LN, Brumfield RT. 2018. The dual role of Amazonian rivers in the generation and

maintenance of avian diversity. Science Advances, 4.

Nieto Feliner G, Álvarez I, Fuertes-Aguilar J, Heuertz M, Marques I, Moharrek F, Piñeiro R,

Riina R, Rosselló JA, Soltis PS, Villa-Machío I. 2017. Is homoploid hybrid speciation

that rare? An empiricist's view. Heredity, 118:513.

Noguera-urbano EA. 2016. Areas of endemism: travelling through space and the

unexplored dimension. Systematics and Biodiversity, 14:131-139.

Nyári ÁS. 2007. Phylogeographic patterns, molecular and vocal differentiation, and species

limits in Schiffornis turdina (Aves). Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 44:154-

164.

Nylander JAA, Olsson U, Alström P, Sanmartín I. 2008. Accounting for Phylogenetic

Uncertainty in Biogeography: A Bayesian Approach to Dispersal-Vicariance Analysis

of the Thrushes (Aves: Turdus). Systematic Biology, 57:257-268.

Ohlson JI, Fjeldså J, Ericson PGP. 2013. Molecular phylogeny of the manakins (Aves:

Passeriformes: Pipridae), with a new classification and the description of a new

genus. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 69:796-804.

Oliveira U, Vasconcelos MF, Santos AJ. 2017. Biogeography of Amazon birds: rivers limit

species composition, but not areas of endemism. Scientific Reports, 7:2992.

Paradis E. 2010. pegas: an R package for population genetics with an integrated–modular

approach. Bioinformatics, 26:419-420.

Paris JR, Stevens JR, Catchen JM, Johnston S. 2017. Lost in parameter space: a road map for

stacks. Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 8:1360-1373.

Peterson BK, Weber JN, Kay EH, Fisher HS, Hoekstra HE. 2012. Double Digest RADseq: An

Inexpensive Method for De Novo SNP Discovery and Genotyping in Model and Non-

Model Species. PLOS ONE, 7:e37135.

Petkova D, Novembre J, Stephens M. 2015. Visualizing spatial population structure with

estimated effective migration surfaces. Nature Genetics, 48:94.

Pritchard JK, Stephens M, Donnelly P. 2000. Inference of Population Structure Using

Multilocus Genotype Data. Genetics, 155:945-959.

Prum RO. 1990a. Phylogenetic Analysis of the Evolution of Display Behavior in the

Neotropical Manakins (Aves: Pipridae). Ethology, 84:202-231.

Prum RO. 1990b. A test of the monophyly of the manakins (Pipridae) and of the cotingas

(Cotingidae) based on morphology. Occasional Papers of the Museum of Zoology of

the University of Michigan, 723:1-44.

Prum RO. 1992. Syringeal morphology, phylogeny, and evolution of the neotropical

manakins (Aves, Pipridae). American Museum novitates; no. 3043.

Prum RO. 1994. PHYLOGENETIC ANALYSIS OF THE EVOLUTION OF ALTERNATIVE SOCIAL

BEHAVIOR IN THE MANAKINS (AVES: PIPRIDAE). Evolution, 48:1657-1675.

Pupim FN, Sawakuchi AO, Almeida RP, Ribas CC, Kern AK, Hartmann GA, Chiessi CM,

Tamura LN, Mineli TD, Savian JF, Grohmann CH, Bertassoli DJ, Stern AG, Cruz FW,

Cracraft J. 2019. Chronology of Terra Firme formation in Amazonian lowlands

reveals a dynamic Quaternary landscape. Quaternary Science Reviews, 210:154-

163.

Quintero I, Jetz W. 2018. Global elevational diversity and diversification of birds. Nature,

555:246.

R_Core_Team. 2018. R: A language and environment for statistical computing.

Rambaut A, Drummond AJ, Xie D, Baele G, Suchard MA. 2018. Posterior Summarization in

Bayesian Phylogenetics Using Tracer 1.7. Systematic Biology, 67:901-904.

Räsänen ME, Salo JS, Kalliola RJ. 1987. Fluvial perturbance in the western Amazon basin:

regulation by long-term sub-Andean tectonics. Science, 238:1398-1401.

Reichenbach HG. 1850. Avium Systema Naturale: Vorläufer einer Iconographie der Arten

der Vögel aller Welttheile, welche, nachdem bereits fast dreitausend Abbildungen

erschienen sind, ununterbrochen fortgesetzt wird. Hofmeister.

Revell LJ. 2012. Phytools: An R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other

things). Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 3:217-223.

Ribas CC, Aleixo A, Nogueira ACR, Miyaki CY, Cracraft J. 2012. A palaeobiogeographic model

for biotic diversification within Amazonia over the past three million years.

Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 279:681-689.

Ribas CC, Moyle RG, Miyaki CY, Cracraft J. 2007. The assembly of montane biotas: linking

Andean tectonics and climatic oscillations to independent regimes of diversification

in Pionus parrots. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 274:2399-

2408.

Ridgely RS, Greenfield PJ. 2001. The birds of Ecuador: status, distribution, and taxonomy.

Cornell University Press.

Ridgely RS, Tudor G. 2009. Field guide to the songbirds of South America: the passerines.

University of Texas Press.

Roure B, Baurain D, Philippe H. 2013. Impact of Missing Data on Phylogenies Inferred from

Empirical Phylogenomic Data Sets. Molecular Biology and Evolution, 30:197-214.

Rousset F. 1997. Genetic Differentiation and Estimation of Gene Flow from F Statistics

Under Isolation by Distance. Genetics, 145:1219-1228.

Salazar C, Baxter SW, Pardo-Diaz C, Wu G, Surridge A, Linares M, Bermingham E, Jiggins CD.

2010. Genetic Evidence for Hybrid Trait Speciation in Heliconius Butterflies. PLOS

Genetics, 6:e1000930.

Santorelli S, Magnusson WE, Deus CP. 2018. Most species are not limited by an Amazonian

river postulated to be a border between endemism areas. Scientific Reports, 8:2294.

Saranathan V, Hamilton D, Powell GVN, Kroodsma DE, Prum RO. 2007. Genetic evidence

supports song learning in the three-wattled bellbird Procnias tricarunculata

(Cotingidae). Molecular Ecology, 16:3689-3702.

Schmidt HA, Minh BQ, von Haeseler A, Nguyen L-T. 2014. IQ-TREE: A Fast and Effective

Stochastic Algorithm for Estimating Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies. Molecular

Biology and Evolution, 32:268-274.

Schmidt-Lebuhn AN, Aitken NC, Chuah A. 2017. Species trees from consensus single

nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) data: Testing phylogenetic approaches with

simulated and empirical data. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 116:192-201.

Schumer M, Rosenthal GG, Andolfatto P. 2014. HOW COMMON IS HOMOPLOID HYBRID

SPECIATION? Evolution, 68:1553-1560.

Sedano RE, Burns KJ. 2010. Are the Northern Andes a species pump for Neotropical birds?

Phylogenetics and biogeography of a clade of Neotropical tanagers (Aves:

Thraupini). Journal of Biogeography, 37:325-343.

Shafer ABA, Peart CR, Tusso S, Maayan I, Brelsford A, Wheat CW, Wolf JBW. 2017.

Bioinformatic processing of RAD-seq data dramatically impacts downstream

population genetic inference. Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 8:907-917.

Silva SM, Peterson AT, Carneiro L, Burlamaqui TCT, Ribas CC, Sousa-Neves T, Miranda LS,

Fernandes AM, d'Horta FM, Araújo-Silva LE, Batista R, Bandeira CHMM, Dantas SM,

Ferreira M, Martins DM, Oliveira J, Rocha TC, Sardelli CH, Thom G, Rêgo PS, Santos

MP, Sequeira F, Vallinoto M, Aleixo A. 2019. A dynamic continental moisture

gradient drove Amazonian bird diversification. Science Advances, 5:eaat5752.

Smeds L, Qvarnström A, Ellegren H. 2016. Direct estimate of the rate of germline mutation

in a bird. Genome Research, 26:1211-1218.

Smith BT, McCormack JE, Cuervo AM, Hickerson MJ, Aleixo A, Cadena CD, Perez-Eman J,

Burney CW, Xie X, Harvey MG, Faircloth BC, Glenn TC, Derryberry EP, Prejean J,

Fields S, Brumfield RT. 2014. The drivers of tropical speciation. Nature, 515:406-

409.

Smith RH. 1979. On selection for inbreeding in polygynous animals. Heredity, 43:205.

Snow D. 1961. THE DISPLAYS OF THE MANAKINS PIPRA PIPRA AND TYRANNEUTES

VIRESCENS*. Ibis, 103A:110-113.

Snow DW. 1979. Tityrinae, Pipridae, Cotingidae. In: M. A. Traylor J editor. Check-list of birds

of the world. Cambridge, Massachusetts, Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard

Univ., p. 229-308.

Snow DW. 2004. Family Pipridae (Manakins). In: del Hoyo J, Elliot A, Christie DA editors.

Handbook of the Birds of the World. Barcelona, Lynx Edicions, p. 110-169.

Spencer A. 2012. White-crowned Manakin vocal variation. Xeno-Cango.org.

Stamatakis A. 2014. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of

large phylogenies. Bioinformatics, 30:1312-1313.

Standley DM, Katoh K. 2013. MAFFT Multiple Sequence Alignment Software Version 7:

Improvements in Performance and Usability. Molecular Biology and Evolution,

30:772-780.

Stopher KV, Nussey DH, Clutton-Brock TH, Guinness F, Morris A, Pemberton JM. 2012. Re-

mating across years and intralineage polygyny are associated with greater than

expected levels of inbreeding in wild red deer. Journal of Evolutionary Biology,

25:2457-2469.

Suh A. 2016. The phylogenomic forest of bird trees contains a hard polytomy at the root of

Neoaves. Zoologica Scripta, 45:50-62.

Sukumaran J, Knowles LL. 2017. Multispecies coalescent delimits structure, not species.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 114:1607-1612.

Swofford DL. 2002. Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (*and Other Methods). Version

4. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts.

Tello JG, Moyle RG, Marchese DJ, Cracraft J. 2009. Phylogeny and phylogenetic classification

of the tyrant flycatchers, cotingas, manakins, and their allies (Aves: Tyrannides).

Cladistics, 25:429-467.

Teske PR, Golla TR, Sandoval-Castillo J, Emami-Khoyi A, van der Lingen CD, von der Heyden

S, Chiazzari B, Jansen van Vuuren B, Beheregaray LB. 2018. Mitochondrial DNA is

unsuitable to test for isolation by distance. Scientific Reports, 8:8448.

Thom G, Amaral FRD, Hickerson MJ, Aleixo A, Araujo-Silva LE, Ribas CC, Choueri E, Miyaki

CY. 2018. Phenotypic and Genetic Structure Support Gene Flow Generating Gene

Tree Discordances in an Amazonian Floodplain Endemic Species. Systematic

Biology, 67:700-718.

Thrasher DJ, Butcher BG, Campagna L, Webster MS, Lovette IJ. 2018. Double-digest RAD

sequencing outperforms microsatellite loci at assigning paternity and estimating

relatedness: A proof of concept in a highly promiscuous bird. Molecular Ecology

Resources, 0.

Trifinopoulos J, Nguyen L-T, Minh BQ, von Haeseler A. 2016. W-IQ-TREE: a fast online

phylogenetic tool for maximum likelihood analysis. Nucleic Acids Research,

44:W232-W235.

Vaidya G, Lohman DJ, Meier R. 2011. SequenceMatrix: concatenation software for the fast

assembly of multi-gene datasets with character set and codon information.

Cladistics, 27:171-180.

van Els P, Norambuena HV, Etienne RS. 2019. From pampa to puna: Biogeography and

diversification of a group of Neotropical obligate grassland birds (Anthus:

Motacillidae). Journal of Zoological Systematics and Evolutionary Research, 00: 1–

12.

Voelker G. 1999. DISPERSAL, VICARIANCE, AND CLOCKS: HISTORICAL BIOGEOGRAPHY

AND SPECIATION IN A COSMOPOLITAN PASSERINE GENUS (ANTHUS:

MOTACILLIDAE). Evolution, 53:1536-1552.

Wahlund S. 1928. ZUSAMMENSETZUNG VON POPULATIONEN UND

KORRELATIONSERSCHEINUNGEN VOM STANDPUNKT DER VERERBUNGSLEHRE

AUS BETRACHTET. Hereditas, 11:65-106.

Wallace AR. 1854. On the monkeys of the Amazon. Annals and Magazine of Natural History,

14:451-454.

Waser PM, Austad SN, Keane B. 1986. When Should Animals Tolerate Inbreeding? The

American Naturalist, 128:529-537.

Weir BS, Cockerham CC. 1984. ESTIMATING F-STATISTICS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF

POPULATION STRUCTURE. Evolution, 38:1358-1370.

Weir JT. 2006. DIVERGENT TIMING AND PATTERNS OF SPECIES ACCUMULATION IN

LOWLAND AND HIGHLAND NEOTROPICAL BIRDS. Evolution, 60:842-855.

Wen D, Yu Y, Zhu J, Nakhleh L. 2018. Inferring Phylogenetic Networks Using PhyloNet.

Systematic Biology:syy015-syy015.

Zamudio KR, Bell RC, Mason NA. 2016. Phenotypes in phylogeography: Species' traits,

environmental variation, and vertebrate diversification. Proceedings of the National

Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 113:8041-8048.

Zhu J, Wen D, Yu Y, Meudt HM, Nakhleh L. 2018. Bayesian inference of phylogenetic

networks from bi-allelic genetic markers. PLOS Computational Biology,

14:e1005932.

1833

1834

1835

1836

1837

1838

1839

1840

Figure 1. Neotropical areas of endemism and field sampling. Amazonian lowland areas of

endemism as portrayed in Da Silva et al. (2005) are highlighted in shades of green, as well

as the Jaú area of endemism (Borges and Da Silva 2012). Montane Andean, Central

American, Guianan, and dry diagonal regions (>1000m) are emphasized in grey. As

summarized in Da Silva et al. (2005), a sequence of authors identified seven areas of

endemism for lowland birds that retained Wallace's 'Guyana' (Wallace 1854), split

'Ecuador' into 'Imeri' and 'Napo,' renamed 'Peru' to 'Inambari', and split 'Brazil' into

'Rondônia', 'Pará', and 'Belém' (Haffer 1978, Cracraft 1985, Haffer 1985, Cracraft and Prum

1988, Haffer 1992). 'Pará' was subsequently further partitioned into two regions, 'Tapajós'

and 'Xingu,' (Da Silva et al. 2002). Recently, additional sub-partitions have been proposed

for the 'Napo' (Jaú - Borges and Da Silva 2012), and Guianan areas of endemism (Naka

2011). Plotted points indicate field sampling localities for 277 *Pseudopipra* individuals

sequenced for genomic analysis in the present study.

1854

1855

1856

1857

1858

1859

1860

1861

1862

Figure 2. Subspecies sampling. Our sampling of *Pseudopipra pipra* intersects with the

ranges of ten named subspecies. In this figure we color code our sampling localities as they

overlap with described subspecies ranges. Areas greater than 1000m in elevation are

shown in light grey; major Amazonian rivers are indicated in light blue; political

boundaries in light grey. Outlined in black: genus range map reflecting the BirdLife

International approximation updated to reflect current knowledge (BirdLife International

Species factsheet: *Pseudopipra pipra*, 2018, also see Supplemental Figure 1). Hypothetical

subspecies ranges are based on descriptions in Kirwan and Green (2012) and indicate

maximal potential ranges to highlight proposed barriers delimiting subspecies. Circles

indicate sampling for ddRADseq, X's indicate sampling for mtDNA ND2. Our sampling

encompasses the majority of the lowland extents of the recognized range of *Pseudopipra*, as

well as key montane populations in Peru, Ecuador, and Central America. Ssp *comata*,

anthracina, and *occulta* are exclusively montane. Inset top right is an illustration of the

pipra subspecies, reproduced from the Handbook of the Birds of the World, Lynx Edicions.

1877

1878

1879

1880

1881

1882

1883

1884

subspecies assignments

- *anthracina*
- *coracina*
- *occulta*
- *comata*
- *pygmaea*
- *pipra*
- *discolor*
- *microlopha*
- *separabilis*
- *cephaleucos*

unica

bolivari

minima

Figure 3. Phylogenetic hypothesis for *Pseudopipra*. Phylogenetic analysis of short read

ddRAD sequence haplotypes generated a well-resolved phylogenetic hypothesis which was

largely congruent across datasets and analytical approaches. Montane clades, including a

Northern Andean (A1) + Central American (A2) clade (A), and a central Peruvian clade (B),

are recovered as nested sister groups to two wide ranging lowland clades (C and D),

indicating that the lowland clades are descended from Andean lineages. Shown is the

RAxML topology generated using the 50% haplotype dataset. Branch lengths are set to

equal for graphical interpretability (see supplementary material for original newick

formatted tree files). Colored circles at tips indicate group membership to one of eighteen

population-areas (matching Figure 4 and 5). Heatmaps printed at well-supported nodes

indicate bootstrap scores from each of six phylogenetic analyses for 20/50/80% datasets,

as indicated in the legend. Black dots indicate populations which are identified with 100%

posterior probability in fineRADstructure analysis. Most of the major and substructure is

recovered by both RAxML and SVDquartets (see text), though RAxML recovers additional

low-support substructure in the Northern Amazonian + Guiana Shield clade (D) which is

coincident with several geographic features (clades D1 and D2). A clade of introgressed

western Napo individuals (E) is recovered by all standard phylogenetic analyses as sister to

the northern Amazonian + Guiana shield clade (D). As the history of this clade is

characterized by a complex introgression scenario between northern and southern

lineages, a purely bifurcating tree model is inadequate to describe its relations with other

groups (see text) (Figure 6). Also shown are the well supported phylogenetic positions of

two distinct subspecies lineages (*pygmaea* and *coracaina*) from which we were able to

obtain mtDNA (Supplemental Appendix).

Figure 4. Phylogeospace mapping of topological relations in geographic space. Here, an

idealized topology is plotted with tips attached to sampling coordinates. The colors of

circles at sample localities matches those in Figure 3 and 5, and are enclosed by minimum

convex hulls (i.e., hypotheses of minimum clade ranges) of the same colors. All of these

colored hulls represent distinct non-overlapping geographic areas delimited by physical

barriers (though this is somewhat visually confounded in the Northern Amazon + Guiana

Shield clade (D), with two weakly resolved lineages (e.g. pale yellow Jaú and green Guiana

Shield population groups appear to have some overlap in this figure, but they are delimited

by the Rio Negro). Clade D bipartitions are shown with dashed branches (top) to indicate

low support for phylogenetic structure (Figure 3, results). The ancestral habit of the genus

is inferred to be montane (Supplementary Appendix), with a single origin of lowland

lineages (pp=100). Also shown at the tips are median admixture proportions across

sampling localities as inferred with STRUCTURE (Figure 5 for full detail).

1921

1922

1923

1924

1925

1926

1927

1928

1929

1930

sampling regions

- Central America - Costa Rica
- Central America - Panama
- North Andean Peru
- South Andean Peru (North)
- South Andean Peru (South)
- Western Napo introgressed lineage
- Western Inambari endemic
- Eastern Inambari endemic
- Rondônia endemic
- Tapajós endemic
- Xingu endemic
- Atlantic Forest – Bahia
- Atlantic Forest – Espírito Santo
- Atlantic Forest – Rio
- weakly resolved eastern Napo
- unresolved Jaú
- weakly resolved Guiana Shield
- weakly resolved Suriname + Amapá

Admixture proportions

Central America

Guiana

South Andean

Atlantic Forest

Southern Amazon

coracina

pygmaea

Montane MRCA

Lowland MRCA

Figure 5. STRUCTURE output for K-5. STRUCTURE analysis of dataset 1, depicting

population assignment and admixture estimates for K=5. The likelihood of each evaluated

number of K clusters from 1:20 plateaued at K = 5, with the standard deviation across runs

increasing rapidly after this point (Supplemental Figure 2). The five optimized clusters

broadly correspond to wide biogeographic Neotropical regions which coincide with

lowland areas of endemism, Central American, and Peruvian montane regions (Figure 4).

Given these five clusters, each labeled focal region is inferred to have a unique combination

of admixture proportions. The admixture cline inferred from the Xingu to the southern

Atlantic Forest appears to be a product of isolation by distance (Supplementary Appendix),

while the signature of introgression inferred for western Napo lineages appears to be a

product of substantial historical introgression from a northern lineage (likely from the

poorly resolved eastern Napo group D2), into a distinct Southern Amazonian lineage (see

Figure 6 and discussion). The tree to the left corresponds to the RAxML result using the

50% haplotype dataset, with tip labels and colors indicating group membership to one of

eighteen population-areas (matching those in Figures 3, 4). See Supplementary Figure 3 for

K2-10 results.

1947

1948

1949

1950

1951

1952

1953

k=5

Figure 6. Introgressed lineage in the western Napo area of endemism. All of the available

genomic evidence is consistent with the hypothesis that individuals in the western Napo

area of endemism are derived from a distinct southern Amazonian lineage (S2a in Panel A)

which is not represented in contemporary samples. This lineage (S2a) experienced

substantial introgression from the ancestral populations of what are today eastern Napo

and Jaú restricted linages. Panel A shows the phylogenetic network (in black) inferred by

PhyloNet, with arrows indicating hypothetical degree and direction of historical

introgression as implied by patterns of admixture. The red arrows along the PhyloNet

reticulation indicate inferred introgression from the northern Amazonian lineages (clade

C1 in Figure 3) into this southern Amazonian lineage (S2a). The smaller red arrows

indicate potential limited backcrossing into northern lineages implied by patterns of

admixture. The dashed red line connecting S2 to S1 indicates minimal implied

introgression into Inambari lineages, which may have occurred after the primary

introgression event, and brought northern (Clade D) alleles into SW Amazonian

populations (see text and Figure 5). Panel B displays the individual level co-ancestry matrix

for introgressed Napo individuals and their source/progenitor lineages; darker colors

indicate greater co-ancestry. Introgressed (PH: putative hybrid) hybrids have greater co-

ancestry with each of their progenitor lineages (S2a and Northern Amazon+Guiana shield

(abbreviated GS)) than their progenitor lineages share with each other

(PHvGS/progenitors = 1.078, PHvInambari/progenitors = 1.17, see text). In the proposed

scenario, the southern progenitor lineage (S2a) is either unsampled or extinct and has not

persisted sympatrically with S2. Thus, the western Inambari southern lineage (S1)

comprises the closest living relatives to S2a (see discussion). Panel C summarizes co-

ancestry variance as projected into component space after normalizing; the introgressed

lineage is intermediate along PC1 and differentiated along PC2. The level of differentiation

on PC2 corresponds to about 10% of the total variation explained by PC1 and PC2 (~85%).

Panel D summarizes the minimum implied geographic extents of the groups involved (as

sampled in this study). G-PhoCS demographic parameter estimates are also indicated in

panel D (m: migrants per generation, when lower 95% CI > 1, τ: splitting time in

generations (10^6), θ: effective population size (10^6), Supplemental Table 5). In A-D, two

subpopulations are indicated for each progenitor group, as outlined with outlined

minimum convex hulls in D.

1986

1987

1988

1989

1990

1991

1992

1993

1994

1995

1996

1997

1998

1999

A)

SW Amazon
Inambari
S1 (Clade C1)

S2a

S2 (Clade E)

F

NW Amazon
Jaú + eastern Napo

B)

Jaú   Eastern Napo   Introgressed lineage   West Inambari   East Inambari

Northwest Amazon   Southern Amazon clade

C) coancestry PCA of introgressed + progenitors

+ Eastern Napo + Jaú
○ Western Napo (introgressed)
△ West + East Inambari

Component 1 explains 76.6% of the point variability

Component 2 explains 8.2% of the point variability

D)

Western Napo
(introgressed)

Initial major introgression
m: 1.75 [1.05 - 2.59]

Eastern Napo

Jaú

Secondary
minor introgression

θ~2.81

S2a/S2 θ~4.95

θ~1.43

τ~1.03

East Inambari

θ~5.36

τ~0.485

F

West Inambari

S1 θ~2.78

Lat

Long

Figure 7. Estimated Effective migration surface (EEMS). The estimated migration surface

links the topography and drainage system of the Neotropics to spatial patterns of genetic

diversity. Shown are results estimated from a model with 2000 demes (vertices). EEMS

uses an MCMC approach to estimate expected genetic dissimilarity between all pairs of

individuals, integrating over all possible migration histories across the grid, and adjusting

migration rates among graph edges to match the genetic differences in the data. Bluer

colors indicating areas where gene flow is more likely to be able to occur (i.e., isolation by

distance is predicted), and browner colors indicate areas where barriers to gene flow are

highly probable. In this analysis, the Andes and the Amazon River are the most clearly

inferred barriers to gene flow. Major rivers, as well as a >1000m contour (light grey) are

overlaid to aid interpretation. EEMS does a very good job of explaining spatial variance in

this dataset (R^2 = 0.871, Supplementary figure 10, 11). There are areas where it performs

poorly however, particularly in the western Amazon, where the inference of a major

barrier to gene flow (the Andes) is inferred a few degrees too far east, pushing other

western patterns eastwards. This is likely a consequence of uneven genetic sampling in this

area. Remarkably, EEMS almost perfectly infers the spatial extents of the lowland

Amazonian range (range map outlined in black), despite very limited genetic sampling

toward the edge of the range. EEMS also correctly detects an isolated region of

corresponding to the introgressed western Napo hybrid lineage. Also noted are likely

geographic barriers that correspond to estimated barrier localities.

2020

2021

2022

Posterior mean migration rates

log10(m)

Andes Mountains

Essequibo River

Wilhelmina Mountains

Isthmus of Panama

Rio Japurá

Roraima grasslands

Rio Amazonas

Rio Marañón

Caatinga

Rio Tapajós

Rio Xingu

Rio Purus

Cerrado

Coastal Bahia

Chaco

Serra do Mar

Figure 8. Summary of lek vocalization phenotypes. Our analysis identified 12 qualitatively

distinct lek vocalization types that can be easily diagnosed by ear, or by visual inspection of

sonograms (arbitrarily labeled numerically). See Supplemental Figure 13 for vocalization

measures. The inset map indicates the minimum implied ranges for each identified

vocalization type, with colors and plotting symbols matching those in PCA plots

(Supplemental Figures 14, 15). The thicker pale blue dashed line indicates where the

'southern Amazon' vocalization type 2 may be shared pleisiomorphically, a pattern implied

by one recording from Pará, eastern Brazil, which unambiguously clusters with other

recordings from the western Napo area of endemism. Vocalization type 2 may therefore

occur in other unsampled areas of the southern Amazon. Several vocalization types appear

to be unique to a single monophyletic lineage based on overlapping geographic ranges.

Vocalization type 4 coincides with the Central American lineage (Clade A2), type 3 with the

Northern Amazon + Guiana Shield clade (Clade D) excluding eastern Napo, Jaú), type 7 with

the Western Inamabri lineage (Clade C1), type 1 and 10 with the South Andean Peru clades

(Clade B). In our initial assessment, we identified vocalization type 6, which has a

geographic range which coincides with both the southern Tapajós clade and the Atlantic

Forest clade. However, upon closer consideration, we found a subtle difference between

the Atlantic Forest (6a) and Tapajós (6b) regions. Type 6a recordings, which are restricted

to the Atlantic Forest, have a relatively constant pitch for both the buzz and tonal notes,

whereas the type 6b recordings, which are restricted to the Tapajós regions, have a

descending pitch for both notes. The sharing of a similar vocal phenotype (6a and 6b)

clearly links the Atlantic forest populations to the Southern Amazon, in congruence with

our genetic data (Clade C7), even though these southern Tapajós individuals have a genetic

affinity to other more northern Tapajós individuals. Lastly, we identified several lek

vocalization types with restricted, non-overlapping geographic ranges in regions for which

we lack genetic samples. Vocal type 8 was restricted to Subtropical forests of the eastern

slope of the Andes from western Venezuela to Morona-Santiago, Ecuador. Vocal type 9 was

restricted to Subtropical forests of western Cauca, Colombia south to Esmeraldas, Ecuador.

Lastly, vocal types 11a and 11b were restricted to Subtropical forests of Magdalena Valley,

Antioquia to Huila, Colombia. It is likely they fall within the range of variation delimited by

the Central America + North Andean Peru clade.

2054

2055

2056

2057

2058

2059

2060

2061

2062

2063

2064

2065

2066

2067

2068   **Figure 9.** Summary of call vocalization phenotypes. Our analysis identified 2 distinct but

2069   similar call vocalization types that can be diagnosed by ear, or by visual inspection of

2070   sonograms. See Supplemental Figure 13 for vocalization measures. The inset map indicates

2071   the minimum implied ranges for each identified call vocalization type, with colors and

2072   plotting symbols matching those in the PCA plot (Supplemental Figures 14, 15).

2073   Vocalization type 5 was primarily recorded in the northern Amazon basin, coinciding with

2074   the broad ranging Northern Amazon + Guiana Shield lineage (Clade D). From our initial

2075   unbiased classification, one recording from the Atlantic Forest (XC427315) was assigned to

2076   type 5, and is denoted here with a triangle symbol, suggesting type 5 may be shared

2077   between the Guiana Shield clade and the Atlantic Forest clade. Call vocalization type 13 was

2078   entirely restricted to but shared across diverse lowland southern Amazonian forms (Clade

2079   C).

2080

2081

2082

2083

2084

2085

2086

2087

2088

2089

2090