Genomic phylogeography of the White-crowned Manakin *Pseudopipra pipra* (Aves: Pipridae) illuminates a continental-scale radiation out of the Andes

Author Affiliations:

Jacob S. Berv[1,2*], Leonardo Campagna[1,2], Teresa J. Feo[3], Ivandy Castro-Astor[4], Camila C. Ribas[5], Richard O. Prum[6], Irby J. Lovette[1,2]

1. Fuller Evolutionary Biology Program, Cornell Lab of Ornithology, 159 Sapsucker Woods Road, Ithaca, NY 14850, USA.

2. Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Cornell University, 215 Tower Road, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA.

3. Department of Vertebrate Zoology, MRC-116, National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC 20013, USA.

4. Department of Biology, City College of New York and CUNY Graduate Center, City University of New York, New York, NY 10031, USA

5. Coordenacão de Biodiversidade, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia, Manaus, AM, Brazil

6. Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, and Peabody Museum of Natural History, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut, 06520, USA.

*Correspondence to: Jacob S. Berv (jsb439@cornell.edu)

Current address: Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, and University of Michigan Museum of Paleontology, 1105 North University Avenue, Biological Sciences Building, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1085, USA.

A CONTINENTAL-SCALE AVIAN RADIATION OUT OF THE ANDES

Abstract: The complex landscape history of the Neotropics has generated opportunities for

population isolation and subsequent diversification that place this region among the most

species-rich in the world. Detailed phylogeographic studies are required to uncover the

biogeographic histories of Neotropical taxa, to identify evolutionary correlates of diversity,

and to reveal patterns of genetic connectivity, disjunction, and potential differentiation

among lineages from different areas of endemism. The White-crowned Manakin

(*Pseudopipra pipra*) is a small suboscine passerine bird that is broadly distributed through

the subtropical rainforests of Central America, the lower montane cloud forests of the

Andes from Colombia to central Peru, the lowlands of Amazonia and the Guianas, and the

Atlantic forest of southeast Brazil. *Pseudopipra* is currently recognized as a single, polytypic

biological species. We studied the effect of the Neotropical landscape on genetic and

phenotypic differentiation within this species using genomic data derived from double

digest restriction site associated DNA sequencing (ddRAD), and mitochondrial DNA. Most

of the genetic breakpoints we identify among populations coincide with physical barriers

to gene flow previously associated with avian areas of endemism. The phylogenetic

relationships among these populations imply a novel pattern of Andean origination for this

group, with subsequent diversification into the Amazonian lowlands. Our analysis of

genomic admixture and gene flow establishes a complex history of introgression between

some western Amazonian populations. These reticulate processes confound our

application of standard concatenated and coalescent phylogenetic methods and raise the

question of whether a lineage in the western Napo area of endemism should be considered

a hybrid species. Lastly, analysis of variation in vocal and plumage phenotypes in the

context of our phylogeny supports the hypothesis that *Pseudopipra* is a species-complex

composed of 15-17 distinct lineages which have arisen in the last ~2.5 Ma.

Key words: Neotropics, ddRAD, genomics, phylogeography, manakin, suboscine,

speciation, introgression, admixture, reticulation, multi-species coalescent, coancestry,

isolation by distance, vocalization

1. *Introduction*

Many kinds of geographic and habitat barriers have been proposed to drive

population diversification and speciation in the Neotropics (Cracraft and Prum, 1988;

Haffer, 1969, 2008; Smith et al., 2014; Wallace, 1854). Such barriers partition biodiversity

into areas of endemism (Cracraft, 1985; Cracraft and Prum, 1988; Crother and Murray,

2011; Da Silva et al., 2005; Linder, 2001; Noguera-urbano, 2016) by acting as impediments

to gene flow for dispersal-limited organisms (Brumfield, 2012; Cheviron et al., 2005;

Fernandes et al., 2015; Moore et al., 2008; Ribas et al., 2012). Three of the most prominent

features implicated in structuring the biodiversity of Neotropical forest birds (Figure 1)

include the Andes Mountains and other montane regions (Figure 1, grey relief); the Chaco,

Cerrado, and Caatinga biomes, which collectively form a 'dry diagonal' of open habitat

separating the Amazon forest from the Atlantic Forest; and the large rivers of the complex

Amazonian drainage system (Brumfield, 2012; Harvey and Brumfield, 2015; Naka and

Brumfield, 2018; Smith et al., 2014).

Montane Andean regions in the Neotropics are known to be exceptionally

biodiverse, encompassing at least 15 areas of avian endemism with biotas shaped by a

combination of vicariance and dispersal events (Hazzi et al., 2018; Morrone, 2015). Hazzi et

al. (2018) concluded that the Marañon, Apurimac, and Yungas Inter-Andean Valleys, as well

as the Colombian Massif, Las Cruces Pass, and the Táchira Depression have all likely played

significant roles in structuring Andean biodiversity. Elevational gradients in the Andes also

contribute substantially to Neotropical diversification metrics and raise fundamental

questions about the historical relationships between lowland rainforest and montane

endemics in the Neotropics (Janzen, 1967; Musher et al., 2019; Quintero and Jetz, 2018;

Weir, 2006). From a biogeographic perspective, avian evolution has proceeded both "into

and out of the Andes" (Brumfield and Edwards, 2007; Nylander et al., 2008). A number of

examples illustrate colonization of Andean forest regions by lowland forest ancestors

(Bates and Zink, 1994; Fjeldså, 1992; Ribas et al., 2007), whereas examples of

diversification into the lowlands are so far restricted to open habitat taxa (da Silva, 1995;

van Els et al., 2019; Voelker, 1999). For some tanagers (Aves: Thraupidae), the Northern

Andes have been a source of lineages that later dispersed into the Central Andes and

Amazonian lowlands (Sedano and Burns, 2010). By contrast, Southern Andean forests may

have been connected to the Atlantic Forest via Pleistocene expansions of forests into the

region occupied today by the Cerrado biome (Cabanne et al., 2019). Indeed, glacial cycles

and climatic fluctuations during the Pleistocene have been implicated as an important

factor in montane diversification, disproportionally affecting high elevation forest

(Hooghiemstra and Van der Hammen, 2004; Weir, 2006) and transiently connecting

highland and lowland habitats (Brumfield and Edwards, 2007; Cabanne et al., 2019;

Nylander et al., 2008).

In the lowland Amazon basin, a number of areas of endemism have also been

described, delimited primarily by major tributaries of the Amazon River (Borges and Da

Silva, 2012; Cracraft, 1985; Da Silva et al., 2005; Haffer, 1974). Wallace (1854) initially

suggested that the Amazon basin could be divided into four wide bioregions (which he

termed 'Guyana', 'Ecuador', 'Peru', 'Brazil'), based on primate distributions (see also Lynch

Alfaro et al., 2015). These areas were subsequently partitioned by later biogeographers

into at least eight major areas of endemism for terrestrial vertebrates (Figure 1) (Borges

and Da Silva, 2012; Cracraft, 1985; Cracraft and Prum, 1988; Da Silva et al., 2002; Da Silva

et al., 2005; Haffer, 1978; Haffer, 1985; Haffer, 1992; Naka, 2011). The aggregate of these

geographic partitions has been recognized as the "Amazonian areas of endemism" and is

the basis for the riverine barrier hypothesis (Figure 1, Antonelli et al., 2018; also see Figure

1A in Silva et al., 2019).

Few studies of birds have applied next-generation sequencing datasets to

reconstruct the biogeographic history of a Neotropical radiation distributed across lowland

and highland areas of endemism. Here, we investigate lineage diversification across the

Neotropics in the continentally distributed *Pseudopipra* genus. The monotypic genus

Pseudopipra (Kirwan et al., 2016), family Pipridae, currently includes a single biological

species, the White-crowned Manakin (*Pseudopipra pipra = Dixiphia*). *Pseudopipra* is found

across a variety of known dispersal barriers, including elevational gradients of the Andes,

major Amazonian rivers, the dry diagonal, tepuis, and the Isthmus of Panama. Therefore,

this group is particularly appropriate for assessing patterns of phylogenomic

differentiation across a nested set of spatial scales in Neotropical forests.

In order to assess the historical relationships among and within Andean and

lowland Amazonian forest populations, and the degree to which population structure may

be related to landscape features, our study design uses fine-scale sampling across a

majority of *Pseudopipra's* range. We use double digest restriction site associated DNA

(RAD) sequencing (Peterson et al., 2012)—a reduced representation genomic sequencing

technique— to sample thousands of independent markers across the genomes of hundreds

of individuals spanning many well-known Amazonian and Andean areas of endemism, from

Costa Rica to the Atlantic Forest of Brazil (> 80 localities). We use these data to infer the

history of lineages and populations within *Pseudopipra* using a variety of population

genomic, landscape genetic, phylogenetic, and comparative approaches. Lastly, we

investigated congruence between geographic variation in vocal variation, plumage

phenotype, and phylogeographic structure, to evaluate the biological mechanisms that may

be contributing to population differentiation, and to propose a new hypothesis of species

delimitation for the genus.

1.1. *Study organism and Taxonomic history*

The White-crowned Manakin, *Pseudopipra pipra* (Pipridae, hereafter *Pseudopipra*),

is a small (10-12 gram), non-migratory, suboscine passerine bird that is broadly

distributed within Central America, the lower montane cloud forests of the Andes (up to

~2000m) from Colombia to central Peru the Amazon basin, and the Atlantic Forest (Figure

2) (Kirwan and Green, 2012). The male's striking white crown and jet-black body makes

them among the most easily identified manakins, though the grey-green females are often

confused with other manakin species in the field. *Pseudopipra* are typically found in dense

humid forest and are predominantly frugivorous. Unlike many manakin species that exhibit

concentrated or cooperative lek behavior (e.g. Prum, 1990a; Prum, 1994), *Pseudopipra*

males display in dispersed leks of 2-5 males (Castro-Astor et al., 2007; Snow, 1961). Castro-

Astor et al. (2007) described at least 11 components in the display repertoire of the

Atlantic forest *Pseudopipra* population, including rapid turning, jumping, 'to-and-fro'

flights, and an about-face.

For most of its history, the species *pipra* was placed in the genus *Pipra* (Snow,

1979)*. Prum (1990a, 1990b); and Prum (1992) recognized that the traditional *Pipra* (*sensu*

Snow 1979) was polyphyletic and placed the species *pipra* in *Dixiphia* (Reichenbach, 1850)

which was recognized as a junior synonym of *Pipra*. Recently, Kirwan et al. (2016)

demonstrated that the genus *Dixiphia* was unavailable for *pipra* because it is actually a

junior synonym of the tyrannid genus *Arundinicola*. They named the new genus

Pseudopipra for *pipra*.

A recent phylogenetic hypothesis of manakins based on mitochondrial and nuclear

DNA sequences placed *Pseudopipra* as sister group to the five species of *Ceratopipra*

(Ohlson et al., 2013). While the higher level phylogeny and taxonomy of manakins has

received significant attention (Ohlson et al., 2013; Tello et al., 2009), work exploring

intraspecific genetic variation within manakins has been restricted to relatively few species

(e.g. Brumfield et al., 2008; Capurucho et al., 2013; Cheviron et al., 2006; Cheviron et al.,

2005; Francisco et al., 2007; Gubili et al., 2016; Luna et al., 2017; McDonald, 2003).

Based on geographic variation in plumage and vocalizations, many previous authors

have suggested that the biological species *Pseudopipra pipra* likely includes multiple,

distinct phylogenetic species (Freile, 2014; Kirwan and Green, 2012; Ridgely and

Greenfield, 2001; Ridgely and Tudor, 2009; Snow, 2004; Spencer, 2012). Thirteen

subspecies of *Pseudopipra pipra* have been recognized based primarily on subtle variations

in plumage coloration, which are frequently more marked in females than in males

(summarized in Dickinson, 2003; Kirwan and Green, 2012; Snow, 2004). Because

suboscine passerines generally do not learn their songs (but see Saranathan et al., 2007),

the presence of substantial vocal variation across *Pseudopipra* populations further suggests

that the genus may contain unrecognized cryptic species (e.g. Campagna et al., 2012).

However, all previous authors awaited new information on genetic differentiation within

Pseudopipra before making taxonomic recommendations.

It also remains unclear how the current subspecific classification reflects

evolutionary history. The genetic variation within *Pseudopipra* has received prior attention

by two studies that used mitochondrial DNA to infer population genetic patterns. Milá et al.

(2012) reported intraspecific divergences of up to 3.5% (n=19) across three Amazonian

populations, and the highest observed nucleotide diversity ($\pi = 0.266$) among 14 widely

distributed Amazonian birds species. Castro-Astor (2014) used a larger sample (n=57), and

discovered that at least four *Pseudopipra* subspecies correspond to well supported

mitochondrial clades which were generally congruent with Amazonian areas of endemism.

Castro-Astor (2014) used a molecular clock model to estimate the age of the *Pseudopipra*

complex to coincide with the onset of the Pleistocene ~2.457 Ma (95% HPD 1.45-3.97).

2. Material and methods

2.1. *Field and tissue sampling*

159   Muscle tissue samples were obtained from available vouchered avian material from

160 US and Brazilian collections and other institutions (see Acknowledgements and

161 Supplemental Table 1). We sampled from ten of thirteen recognized subspecies (Figure 2)

162 of *Pseudopipra* (Kirwan and Green, 2012). Unfortunately, we were unable to obtain

163 material of adequate quality for ddRAD sequencing from the Andes of Colombia (*bolivari*,

164 *unica*, *coracina*, *minima*), Ecuador (*minima*) and the lowlands of Peru (*pygmaea*). However,

165 we were able to obtain mtDNA data from *coracina* and *pygmaea*, which allowed us to make

166 a preliminary assessment of their phylogenetic affinities (see discussion on mtDNA). In

167 sum, after discarding failed samples and samples with high proportions of missing data, we

168 obtained genetic data from 286 individuals (234 from ddRAD, 168 from mtDNA),

169 representing ∼80 localities and 10 of 13 subspecies. We also obtained comparable ddRAD

170 and mtDNA data for two specimens of *Ceratopipra rubrocapilla* as outgroups

171 (Supplementary Table 1).

173 *2.2. Laboratory methods*

174   We extracted DNA from avian tissue specimens (Supplementary Table 1) using the

175 DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (QIAGEN, CA) and generated ddRADtags following the protocol

176 of Peterson et al. (2012), with modifications described in Thrasher et al. (2018). Briefly, we

177 digested equal quantities of genomic DNA from each sample in individual reactions with

178 two restriction enzymes, SbfI and MspI (New England Biolabs, MA), and ligated adapters on

179 both ends. The 5' adapters contained one of 20 unique 5-7 bp barcodes, whereas the 3'

180 adapter was common to all samples. We pooled groups of samples with unique 5' barcodes

181 and subsequently size selected DNA fragments that were between 400-700 bp using a Blue

Pippin (Sage Science, MA). For each group of size-selected samples, we incorporated

unique Illumina TruSeq adapters by performing 11 cycles of PCR. The combination of 5'

barcodes and TruSeq adapters was unique to each sample. A total of 13 groups of pooled

samples with different Illumina TruSeq adapters were combined in equimolar proportions

into two libraries. We sequenced both libraries on four lanes of Illumina HiSeq 2500 at the

Cornell University Institute for Biotechnology, obtaining single-end 151 bp sequences. We

obtained mitochondrial ND2 sequences for a set of individuals using standard Sanger

Sequencing protocols described in Berv and Prum (2014).

2.3. *Assembly of sequencing reads into RAD loci*

We obtained 497 million raw 151 bp reads (~75 Gb) for 241 individuals. We first

assessed the overall read quality with FastQC (Andrews, 2010) and trimmed lower quality

bases at the 3' end with FASTX-Toolkit (Gordon and Hannon, 2010). The trimmed

sequences were 145 bp in length. Using FASTX-Toolkit we filtered-out lower quality reads

if they had a single base below a Phred quality score of 10 and/or more than 5% of bases

with quality between Phred 10 and 20.

The quality filtered reads were demultiplexed with the 'process_radtags' program

from the STACKS 1.44 bioinformatics pipeline (Catchen et al., 2013). During the

demultiplexing step we also discarded reads that had not passed the Illumina filter, had

adapter contamination, lacked barcodes used for multiplexing, or did not contain an SbfI

cut site. This step removed the inline barcodes and trimmed all reads to an equal length,

the length of the reads that contained a 7 bp barcode. After demultiplexing and filtering, we

retained an average of 1.1 ± 0.4 million 138 bp sequences per individual.

We downloaded the *Manacus vitellinus* (GCA_001715985.1) reference genome from

www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov, and aligned the reads from each individual using bowtie2 2.3

(Langmead et al., 2009), as recommended by Paris et al. (2017); Shafer et al. (2017). We

assembled the mapped reads into RAD loci using the reference-based pipeline in STACKS,

executed with the ref_map script which runs the modules "pstacks/cstacks/sstacks". We

subsequently ran the error correction module "rxstacks" and a final iteration of

"cstacks/sstacks". We set the parameters to a minimum coverage of 20 (m) and up to two

differences among aligned loci of different individuals (n). The reference-based assembly

produced a catalogue with 47,046 RAD loci. Seven samples were discarded due to high

proportions of missing data in the final assemblies; our final RAD dataset thus comprised

234 individuals.

We used several filters in the "populations" module from STACKS to export different

sets of bi-allelic SNPs: a missing data filter, a minimum depth of coverage filter, a filter that

exports only the first SNP per RAD locus, and a minor allele frequency filter (MAF). In order

to explore the sensitivity of genetic cluster inference to these important parameters (Linck

and Battey, 2017; Paris et al., 2017), we produced eight versions of the SNP dataset by

combining these different standard filters (Table 1).

Dataset 1 (D1- labeled arbitrarily) included 1,960 SNPs that were generated by

setting the MAF to >= 5%, requiring minimum coverage of 20x, requiring the presence of a

SNP in at least 80% of individuals, and filtering out all but the first SNP in each RAD locus to

minimize linkage across the dataset. To evaluate the sensitivity of cluster analyses to rare

variants (Linck and Battey, 2017; Shafer et al., 2017), Dataset 2 (D2) was exported with

identical parameters to dataset D1, but without a minor allele frequency filter; this

generated a dataset of 2,581 putatively unlinked SNPs. We generated datasets D3 and D4 to

reflect the same filters as D1 and D2 but to also include all SNPs from each locus. Lastly, for

each dataset D1-D4, we generated a complimentary dataset which filtered out any sites

with missing data. The parameters of these SNP datasets are summarized in Table 1.

2.4. *Phylogenetic analysis*

Because sparse super-matrices may be more prone to systematic error (e.g. Roure et

al., 2013), we also explored the sensitivity of our phylogenetic inferences to increasing

amounts of missing data using three different haplotype datasets (separate from SNP

Datasets) with 20%, 50%, and 80% thresholds for missing data at the RAD locus level. For

example, for an 80% threshold, if the RAD locus is present in at least 20% of individuals,

then that locus, containing all variable and invariable sites, was exported. This approach

generated datasets comprising 2,584, 4,763, and 7,901 RAD loci, respectively. For each

dataset, we generated a concatenated supermatrix using Sequence Matrix (Vaidya et al.,

2011). These combined datasets had up to ~40% missing sites across an entire matrix,

irrespective of columns or rows (i.e., using the 80% threshold above).

We estimated phylogenies using each dataset with a concatenated maximum

likelihood and a coalescent species-tree approach for a total of six phylogenetic analyses.

For analysis of concatenated data, we estimated a maximum likelihood phylogenetic

hypothesis using RAxML 8.2.9 (Stamatakis, 2014). We estimated 500 bootstrap replicates

followed by a full ML search for each dataset, using a GTRGAMMAX substitution model. We

avoid the need for ascertainment bias correction because we use whole short-read

haplotype alignments including invariant sites (Leaché et al., 2015). In our case, each locus

alignment was 138bp long. We also tested for an effect of data partitioning on phylogenetic

inference by generating optimized locus partitioning schemes in PartitionFinder 2 (Lanfear

et al., 2017), which were then re-analyzed in RAxML. We found that our topological results

were insensitive to data partitioning, and as such we do not present these results. Lastly,

we generated a mitochondrial DNA ND2 gene tree using IQ-TREE 1.6.10 (Chernomor et al.,

2016; Hoang et al., 2017; Kalyaanamoorthy et al., 2017; Schmidt et al., 2014; Trifinopoulos

et al., 2016). We partitioned by codon position and generated a maximum likelihood tree

using the MFP+MERGE model search and partitioning option (Supplementary Figure 17).

We evaluated coalescent phylogenetic structure using the SVDquartets method

implemented in PAUP* 4.0a159 (Chifman and Kubatko, 2014, 2015; Swofford, 2002).

SVDquartets may be a useful tool for testing hypotheses of species delimitation because it

can generate a lineage-tree under the multispecies coalescent without assigning individuals

to species *a priori*. In this approach, each individual in a dataset is considered to be a

separate "species." If a recovered clade in such a lineage-tree has high bootstrap support,

this would mean that the descendant taxa have strong support as a group under the

multispecies coalescent, which may coincide with species boundaries (*Personal*

communication, Laura Kubatko). Our SVDquartets analysis evaluated all possible quartets

with 500 bootstrap replicates (other settings left to defaults). SVDQuartets has the

advantage of being able to work directly on sequence data (above) and has been shown to

perform well in comparison to summary methods which assume fully and correctly

resolved gene trees as input (Chou et al., 2015; Schmidt-Lebuhn et al., 2017). SVDquartets

has also been shown to produce reliable results under very general conditions, and is

theoretically robust to variation in effective population size, molecular clocks, limited gene

flow, and incomplete lineage sorting (Long and Kubatko, 2017).

While a full exploration of our specific usage of SVDquartets is beyond the scope of

the present work, we suggest that this approach may be a useful way to assess support for

hypotheses of species delimitation under the multispecies coalescent. We do not take the

perspective that all well supported clades from this coalescent assessment *necessarily*

represent biological species – such an interpretation often leads to an over-inflation of

species estimates (Chambers and Hillis, 2020; Hillis, 2020; Sukumaran and Knowles, 2017).

In general, we prefer a species agnostic approach to those which require *a priori*

delimitation of species boundaries. Our approach instead focuses on discovering well

supported coalescent structure without a prior hypothesis of species delimitation and then

assesses the degree to which metrics of support across coalescent and concatenated tree

inference methods are concordant. We note that there are many approaches for species

delimitation using genetic data (e.g. BP&P, Flouri et al. (2018), BFD, Leaché et al. (2014),

PTP, Zhang et al. (2013), also see Leaché et al. (2018)), and that there is lack of consensus

on how best to interpret these analyses with respect to various species concepts (Carstens

et al., 2013). In the present study, we instead rely on analysis of vocal and plumage

phenotypes in combination with genetic patterns, to make taxonomic recommendations.

2.5. *Descriptive statistics*

We estimated global and population pairwise F_{st} (Weir and Cockerham, 1984),

regional variation in allelic richness (Goudet, 2005), Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (Paradis,

2010), inbreeding (Goudet, 2005), linkage disequilibrium (Kamvar et al., 2014), sex-biased

dispersal (Goudet et al., 2002), and an analysis of molecular variance (Kamvar et al., 2014).

Some of these statistics and analytical details are reported as supplementary material,

while others are discussed below when relevant.

2.6. *Cluster inference and patterns of genomic admixture*

We performed cluster analyses intended to quantify the number of similar genetic

groups across the range of *Pseudopipra.* First, we evaluated broad-scale variation across

our genomic data using phenetic K-means clustering implemented in the find.clusters

function in the adegenet R package (Jombart, 2008). This function first transforms the data

with a PCA, and then identifies clusters with a K-means algorithm (MacQueen, 1967). Using

each SNP dataset, we evaluated K1:20 successively with the Bayesian Information Criterion

(BIC). K-means clustering is based on the idea of minimizing the variance within clusters

and has the potential advantage of making few assumptions about the underlying

processes which generated the data. For each analysis, we retained all PC axes, set 200

randomly chosen starting centroids, and ran each search for 10^9 iterations.

We also estimated the number of differentiated populations using STRUCTURE 2.3.4

(Pritchard et al., 2000), a widely-used model-based approach which assigns individuals to

populations such that Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium is maximized and linkage among loci is

minimized within groups. By comparing the output of STRUCTURE runs fixed to different

numbers of populations (K), one can assess the degree of fit of the data to various models of

K and assess genomic admixture among individuals. We ran STRUCTURE using SNP dataset

D1 (Table 1), from K1:20 with 10 iterations per K value. We ran the program for 700,000

generations, discarding the first 200,000 as burn-in, implementing the admixture ancestry

model with correlated allele frequencies. We evaluated K by examining $Pr(X|K)$ or $L(K)$

after summarizing our results using Structure Harvester (Earl and vonHoldt, 2012) and

CLUMPP (Jakobsson and Rosenberg, 2007). We also used the Evanno method to estimate

the rate of change in the log probability of data between values of K, which is a useful

metric to detect the uppermost hierarchical level of structure in the data (Evanno et al.,

2005). Lastly, we generated 95% Bayesian Credible Intervals around admixture

coefficients (presented as averages across individuals for population assignment).

To investigate finer scale population genetic differentiation and the genomic

composition of populations defined at different hierarchical levels, we used the programs

fineRADstructure and RADpainter (Malinsky et al., 2018). RADpainter takes advantage of

the information in haplotype data and considers each individual in a dataset as a

"recipient" whose genomes are reconstructed using chunks of "donor" DNA from all other

available individuals. This approach generates a "co-ancestry matrix" which combines the

information that can be derived from both PCA and model-based clustering approaches and

thus can be more sensitive to subtle population structure (Lawson et al., 2012). The

fineRADstructure software uses an MCMC approach to explore the space of population

assignments based on the co-ancestry matrix, using an algorithm which merges and splits

populations, or moves individuals among populations. We ran fineRADstructure with

default priors, but increased the burn in to 200000 iterations, followed by 1000000

iterations, sampling every 1000. We then assessed convergence by 1) considering the

assignment of population membership across multiple independent runs, 2) visualizing the

MCMC traces of estimated parameters to ensure convergence on the same posterior

distributions, and 3) running each chain long enough to achieve effective parameter sample

sizes > 100.

Both RADpainter and fineRADstructure are based on the recently developed

chromoPainter and finestructure software (Lawson et al., 2012), but are optimized to take

advantage of the linkage properties of RAD-like datasets. As our data are assembled

relative to a *Manacus* reference genome, the order in which loci appear in our data files are

related to positions on assembly scaffolds, even though the ddRAD loci in our data are

generally unlinked when considered at the population level (see Supplementary Appendix).

We compared the inferred population assignments from fineRADstructure and

STRUCTURE with K-means clustering (as above) of the co-ancestry matrix as well as SNP

datasets. To determine how much of the variation in our dataset was captured by the

RADpainter analysis relative to a standard PCoA of SNP data, we used the normalized PCA

approach of (Lawson et al., 2012), using the mypca function provided in the

"FinestructureLibrary.R" library in the fineRADstructure package.

2.7. Phylogenetic reticulation in the western Napo lineage

Model-based, phenetic, and population genetic analyses revealed that a lineage of

individuals presently restricted to the western Amazonian Napo area of endemism has a

complex history of introgression between southern and northern Amazonian clades (see

Results). In order to further investigate this subset of individuals and their history, we

generated two additional versions of the dataset optimized for different analyses. First- we

generated a haplotype dataset of 52 individuals, which included all introgressed western

Napo individuals (n=10, clade E), as well as samples from proximate neighbor populations.

These populations consist of 1) a geographically adjacent population inferred to have

mostly unadmixed ancestry (Southwestern Amazon: Inambari, n=17, clade C1), and 2) the

inferred source of introgressed genotypes (north-central Amazonian Jaú + eastern Napo,

n=25, clade D2).

We used a 5% minor allele frequency cutoff, required 20x minimum coverage, and

allowed up to 20% missing data per locus—generating a dataset comprising 2,370 loci,

including 4,979 SNPs (Dataset H1). Dataset H1 is analogous to that generated for co-

ancestry (fineRADstructure) analysis of the entire dataset (above). We also generated a

similar dataset (Dataset H2), without a minor allele frequency cutoff—comprising 2,947

loci including variable and invariable sites—as required for inference of demographic

parameters (Gronau et al., 2011).

Hybrid populations are expected to have higher mean co-ancestry and lower F_{st}

with each of their progenitor lineages than their progenitor lineages will have with each

other (Barrera-Guzmán et al., 2018). To test this prediction, we first estimated co-ancestry

values using Dataset H1 and RADpainter (as above). We then compared inferred co-

ancestry of population pairs with a standard ANOVA and the glht function in the multcomp

R package (Hothorn et al., 2013). We estimated F_{st} with Weir and Cockerham's estimator

(Weir and Cockerham, 1984), and tested the prediction using 1000 bootstrapped datasets

to estimate 95% confidence intervals.

To test whether or not the relationships among these focal populations may be best

represented by a phylogenetic network, we used PhyloNet 3.6.4 (Wen et al., 2018; Zhu et

al., 2018). PhyloNet takes SNP data and uses a reversible-jump MCMC technique to explore

the posterior distribution of phylogenetic networks and bi-furcating topologies, while

accommodating both reticulation and incomplete lineage sorting. PhyloNet searches the set

of all possible reticulation models without requiring *a priori* model specification.

For computational tractability, we used SNP dataset D1 (1960 unlinked SNPs), and

pruned it to the 52 individuals comprising the groups of interest. We pruned out all sites

with missing data, leaving 572 unlinked biallelic SNPs, as required by PhyloNet. For our

final runs of the PhyloNet program MCMC_BiMarkers, we randomly subsampled five

diploid individuals from each group, as preliminary program runs indicated a severe

computational bottleneck with more than five diploid individuals or with larger datasets.

We assigned each group of individuals to a lineage, allowed for a maximum of one

reticulation, set the chain length for 800000 iterations (sampling every 100), set a burn in

of 2.5%, and left all priors as default (i.e. assuming population mutation rates are constant).

Using the final network topology estimated from PhyloNet, we estimated

demographic parameters using G-PhoCS version 1.3 (Gronau et al., 2011). We ran the

program for 750,000 iterations with a 10% burn-in, estimating a total of 13 parameters:

the effective population sizes of the three focal lineages, two ancestral population sizes, two

splitting times, and six directional migration parameters. Parameter MCMC traces were

inspected in Tracer 1.7.1 (Rambaut et al., 2018). We converted the median and 95%

Bayesian credible intervals from mutation scale to generations and individuals as

described in Campagna et al. (2015), assuming an approximate mutation rate of 10^{-9} per

base pair per generation (Kumar and Subramanian, 2002; Smeds et al., 2016). We

calculated the number of migrants per generation as the product of the per generation

migration rate multiplied by $\frac{1}{4}\theta$ for the receiving population ($m_{a-b} * (\theta_b/4)$) (Gronau et al.,

2011), and considered migration rates to be significant if the 95% lower bound was > 1

(the level of gene flow expected to counteract the effects of drift; Wright, 1931).

2.8. Spatial distribution of genetic variation

Dispersal barriers often create sharp genetic discontinuities between adjacent

populations that would otherwise be continuous (Petkova et al., 2015). To assess the

degree to which spatial variation in genetic diversity within *Pseudopipra* may be attributed

to landscape features, we investigated how discrete and continuous patterns of isolation-

by-distance (IBD) vary across the landscape. First, we used Mantel and partial Mantel tests

to investigate IBD effects within sampling regions delimited by prominent physical

barriers, and then separately tested the roles of specific dispersal barriers in structuring

genetic variation. Mantel tests evaluate the correlation among two or more matrices, in our

case representing pairwise genetic and geographic distance. Significance is assessed by

permuting the rows and columns of one of these matrices (Mantel, 1967). Partial Mantel

tests incorporate a third 'barrier' matrix, which contains information about environmental

or ecological distance. By evaluating the correlation between genetic and ecological

distance while controlling for geographic distance, a partial Mantel test can be used to

investigate the effect of a particular geographic barrier on genetic differentiation. Because

mantel tests may have an inflated type I error rate (e.g. Bradburd et al., 2018; Guillot and

Rousset, 2013; Legendre and Fortin, 2010), we follow the recommendations of Diniz-Filho

et al. (2013), and only reject the null hypothesis of no correlation if $p < 0.001$ (~10x more

stringent than a standard correction for multiple tests would require).

For these tests and others, we generated a pairwise geographic distance matrix by

calculating great circle distances using the distm function in the geosphere R package

(Hijmans et al., 2015). Individuals sampled at the same GPS coordinates were set to have an

arbitrarily small geographic distance of 0.0001 meters. In order to assess spatial variation

within and between populations, we use estimates of pairwise genetic distance at the

individual level, rather than at the population level. Our analyses compare patristic

phylogenetic distance between all pairs of individuals (from RAxML) as well as coancestry

(from fineRADstructure), as proxies of genetic distance among individuals. These

procedures, including generation of an appropriate barrier matrix, are developed in a set of

R functions and scripts that operate on adegenet GENIND objects (see supplementary R

code) and internally use the Mantel implementation from the ecodist R package (Goslee

and Urban, 2007).

We also analyzed continuous patterns of spatial variation in our data with a

population genetic model that relates effective migration rates across geographic space to

expected genetic dissimilarities among individuals (Petkova et al., 2015). This recently

proposed method, termed Estimated Effective Migration Surfaces (EEMS), produces a

visualization that emphasizes deviations from isolation by distance to discover migratory

corridors and barriers to gene flow. This method is complimentary to more explicit

hypothesis-testing approaches (such as Mantel tests), because it seeks to identify where

the assumption of constant IBD is violated in geographic space, and thereby can highlight

areas where strong barriers to gene flow may exist. In brief, a region is covered with a

dense regular grid connecting subpopulations (demes), among which individuals can

migrate with rates varying by location. EEMS uses an MCMC approach to estimate expected

genetic dissimilarity between two individuals, integrating over all possible migration

histories, and adjusting migration rates among graph edges to match the genetic

differences in the data. A migration surface is then interpolated across a region to indicate

where genetic similarities decay faster than what a constant isolation by distance model

predicts, visually highlighting barriers to gene flow and migration corridors. An effective

diversity parameter is also estimated for every deme, reflecting local deviations in

heterozygosity. We ran several analyses using default priors, iteratively increasing the grid

density and chain length, with up to 2000 estimated demes. For each test, we ran three

chains, and after examining chain convergence, we assessed model fit by comparing the

observed and fitted dissimilarity between and within demes.

2.9. *Vocal variation*

We obtained sound recordings of vocalizations of *Pseudopipra* from the Macaulay

Library at the Cornell Lab of Ornithology (https://www.macaulaylibrary.org) and the

Xeno-canto (www.xeno-canto.org) collections (Supplementary Table 4). Vocalizations were

analyzed in Raven Pro 1.4 (Bioacoustics_Research_Program, 2011), and converted into

spectrograms using a 512-sample Hann window with 50% overlap. Audio recordings

ranged from a cut of a single vocalization to longer recordings of a lek with multiple

individuals vocalizing. For our initial assessment, we identified qualitatively distinct

vocalization types based on our own survey of the available recordings, without regard to

the geographic location of the recordings or subspecies identity.

Pseudopipra vocalizations have 1-3 buzzy and/or tonal notes. We measured: 1)

starting frequency, 2) ending frequency, 3) minimum frequency, 4) maximum frequency, 5)

number of notes, and 6) duration of the entire vocalization (Supplementary Figure 13). We

performed principal components analysis (PCA) and logistic regression on these vocal

measurements to test for significant differences between vocal types and to reduce the

dimensionality of the data for comparison to results from analysis of genetic data. Because

no sound records were directly associated with genetic samples in this study, we used

geographic proximity to vocalization recordings and localization to areas of endemism or

areas bounded by clear physical barriers to associate vocal types to genetic samples and

taxonomic ranks. This approach assumes that genetically and geographically proximate

individuals are likely to share the same vocal type and enabled us to perform a preliminary

assessment of how variation in vocalization type maps onto existing genetic variation.

Testing the fine-scale association of genetic and vocalization boundaries will require

extensive field sampling from individual manakins. Additional analytical details and

analyses are reported as supplementary material.

3. Results

3.1. Genetic *data collection*

Our total genomic data set included an average of 1 ± 0.4 million sequences of 138

bp from 232 individuals of *Pseudopipra* and two *Ceratopipra rubrocapilla,* which were used

as an outgroup. Our reference-based assembly produced a catalogue with 47,046 RAD loci.

Filtered datasets requiring a SNP to be present in at least 80% of individuals ranged from

1,960 unlinked SNPs (dataset D1: 5% MAF with 1st SNP on each locus) to 41,024 SNPs

(dataset D4: all SNPs on all loci, no MAF) (Table 1). Datasets requiring a SNP to be present

in 100% of individuals were considerably smaller, as expected, and ranged from 175 SNPs

in D1mod, to 3,832 SNPs in D4mod (Table 1). We also obtained 168 mtDNA ND2 sequences

using PCR methods which broadly overlapped with our ddRAD dataset. Our mtDNA dataset

included two subspecies, *pygmaea* and *coracina*, from which we were not able to obtain

enough high-quality material for ddRAD sequencing.

3.2. *Phylogenetic analyses*

RAxML and SVDquartets analyses generated a consistently well-supported

phylogenetic hypothesis (Figure 3). The monophyletic lineages of *Pseudopipra* have

distributions that are largely congruent with previously recognized avian areas of

endemism, and further reveal much finer scale patterns of local biogeographic

differentiation. Using the two *C. rubrocapilla* individuals to root the phylogeny, we detected

support for at least five major clades across all phylogenetic analyses of nuclear genomic

data, with consistently high bootstrap support in both concatenated and coalescent

analysis (clades A-E in Figures 3-5). We first describe the structure of these major clades,

followed by descriptions of well supported substructure within each of these regional

groupings. We indicate six bootstrap values for each clade with at least some high support,

from each of the three datasets analyzed in RAxML and SVDquartets (see heatmaps, Figure

3). Phylogenetic analysis of mtDNA (Supplemental Figure 17) generated a congruent result,

except where noted below.

3.2.1 *Phylogenetic structure*

The sister group to all the other *Pseudopipra* is a clade including individuals from

subtropical Central America and the northern Andes. The northern Andes are represented

in our ddRAD dataset by a single individual from subtropical forests (~1,000 m) of the

eastern slopes of the Andes in San Martín, Peru. This subtropical San Martín specimen

(identified as *P. pipra occulta*) was the only viable sample in our genomic dataset from the

entire northern Andes region (A1 in Figure 3). Mitochondrial data from three additional

specimens from the Andes of southern Ecuador (subspecies *coracina*) form a monophyletic

group with the San Martín specimen; thus, this northern Andean sister lineage to the

Central American lineage likely includes all montane Andean populations of *Pseudopipra* in

Ecuador, Colombia, and Venezuela. A well supported Central American clade (A2 in Figure

3) was identified in all analyses, with Costa Rican (A3 in Figure 3) and Panamanian

subclades (A4 in Figure 3) supported in RAxML.

The second successively nested clade in *Pseudopipra* includes individuals from

subtropical forests of the Andes of Southern Peru, which are sister to all remaining lineages

(Clade B in Figure 3). The southern Andean Peru clade is further subdivided into two very

well resolved clades: a northern clade (B2 in Figure 3), sampled from the southern

Cordillera Azul in southwestern Loreto, and a southern clade from Pasco, Junín, and Cusco

(labeled B1 in Figure 3). This southern Andean Peru clade is the sister group to a

monophyletic, lowland clade (F in Figure 3) that is further subdivided into a southern

Amazon clade + Atlantic Forest clade (Clade C in Figure 3), and a Northern Amazonian +

Guiana Shield clade (Clade D in Figure 3). Our analysis of mtDNA with sampling of

subspecies *pygmaea*, from the tropical forest of lower Rio Huallaga Valley, Peru, places this

population as sister group to the entire lowland radiation (Clade F; Supplemental

Appendix).

Within the broad lowland Northern Amazonian + Guiana Shield clade (Clade D),

virtually no phylogenetic substructure is associated with strong bootstrap support. The

maximum likelihood tree however does have substantial biogeographic coherence, which

we use as additional justification for population subdivision when monophyletic groups are

identified to be coincident with geographic features. For example, all but one individual

from coastal Suriname and Amapá form a monophyletic assemblage (D1 in Figure 3), east

of the Essequibo river. Likewise, all but one individual from the far eastern Napo area of

endemism, east of the Rio Putumayo, form a clade (D2 in Figure 3). This coherence with

landscape features is unlikely to be coincidental, though support for these groups is weak.

We treat clade D1 and D2 as well as two other Guianan regions, as separate populations for

descriptive population genetic statistics.

A widely distributed set of individuals encompassing the western portion of the

Napo area of endemism (from the northern bank of the confluence of the Rio Solimões and

the Rio Napo north of Iquitos, then west and south) was recovered as the sister group to

the Guiana Shield + Northern Amazon clade (Clade E in Figure 3). Analysis of mtDNA

(Supplementary Figure 17) further resolved (BS > 99) two subgroups delimited by the Rio

Napo. Importantly, and in contrast to nuDNA, we infer that these mtDNA haplotypes are

derived from Inambari lineages (BS = 98, Clades C1 + C3 in Figure 3), and are not sister to

Clade E. These conflicting patterns are explained by population genetic analyses, which

indicate that this Napo population is a distinct lineage of the southern Amazonian clade

(Clade C in Figure 3) that has experienced substantial hybrid introgression from the

northern Amazonian clade D (see Population Genetic analyses and Discussion).

Within the large lowland Southern Amazonia clade (Clade C in Figure 3), we

recovered eight moderately supported and hierarchically nested clades, which are

successive sister groups to each other, and are congruent in distribution with recognized

areas of endemism. First is a moderately supported clade (C1 in Figure 3) of individuals

from the western Inambari area of endemism, from west of the Rio Ucayali to the Rio

Purus. Although the first lineages to diverge within clade C1 have low bootstrap support, a

monophyletic subgroup of three montane (>1000m) individuals from the highlands

between the Rio Huallaga and Rio Ucayali cluster together with high bootstrap support (C2

in Figure 3).

The next successively nested clade includes individuals from eastern Inambari,

between the Rio Purus and the Rio Madeira (C3 in Figure 3). The next successive clade

includes two individuals sampled from the Rondônia area of endemism, between the Rio

Madeira and Rio Tapajós (C4 in Figure 3). The next successive clade includes a group of

individuals from the Tapajós area of endemism between the Rio Tapajós and the Rio Xingu

(C5 in Figure 3). Next, a clade comprised of individuals from the northern Xingu area of

endemism, east of the Rio Xingu (C6 in Figure 3), is sister to a well-supported Brazilian

Atlantic Forest clade (C7 in Figure 3), which is further subdivided into three successive

clades (north to south) in Bahia, Espírito Santo, and Rio de Janeiro, respectively (C8, C9,

and C10 in Figure 3). In summary, the subdivisions of the Southern Amazonian clade reflect

a stepwise west-east progression, with a hierarchically nested structure:

(West Inambari (East Inambari (Rondônia (Tapajós (Xingu (Bahia (Espírito Santo (Rio de Janeiro))))))).

3.3. *Cluster inference and patterns of genomic admixture*

3.3.1. *Broad-scale population structure and admixture*

Weir and Cockerham's F_{st} was moderate when comparing populations within

Pseudopipra; for Dataset 1, overall F_{st} was 0.195 [95% CI: 0.187-0.203], and never dipped

below 0.171 [0.168-0.175] (Dataset 4). Notably, in SNP datasets filtered to prevent missing

data, overall F_{st} estimates were on average ~20% higher and ranged from 0.188 [0.175-

0.201] (D4) to 0.261 [0.191-0.336] (D2). Pairwise population F_{st} estimates from Dataset 1

(other datasets showed similar patterns, see Supplementary Figure 10a-h), ranged from

essentially undifferentiated, to almost entirely distinct. At the extremes: comparing the

geographically proximate Jaú and Guiana Shield populations indicated a particularly low

F_{st}: 0.005 [0.003 - 0.007]. By contrast, comparing the Atlantic Forest Espírito Santo

population to the Panama population recovered an F_{st} of 0.81 [0.79 - 0.83], or almost

entirely differentiated. For reference, Hartl and Clark (1997) indicated $F_{st} > 0.15$ may be

considered "great" genetic differentiation.

K-means clustering of SNP datasets on the basis of BIC score identified groups of

individuals that differed somewhat with respect to dataset filtering strategies (Summarized

in Figure 5, right). For D1, K-means clustering identified five major groups: Central America

(Clade A2), South Andean Peru (Clade B), Atlantic Forest (Clade C7), Southern Amazon

including the western Napo population (the rest of Clade C + Clade E), all Guiana Shield +

Northern Amazon (Clade D) (Supplementary Figure 2a-h). For dataset D1, the montane

sample from San Martín (North Andean Peru, 5444.PE.MAR) clustered with Central

American populations (Clade A), as it does in all phylogenetic analyses. These clusters are

essentially similar to those detected by STRUCTURE at K=5 (Figure 4, 5, below). All other

SNP datasets grouped the San Martín specimen with the Southern Amazon populations.

D1m further identified the Tapajós + Xingu individuals as a distinct cluster, while D2, D3,

D3m, D4, and D4m generated sets of clusters identical to D1, with the exception of the

clustering of the San Martín specimen, which clustered with the Southern Amazon in those

datasets. Additional field sampling of the North Andean population is required to

understand why this lineage may share signals of ancestry with northern and southern

groups. K-means clustering of D2m failed to discriminate any lowland Amazonian

populations (Supplementary Figure 2d). Thus, only 75 low frequency SNPs which are

removed in D1m but are present in D2m obfuscate the signal of population differentiation

detected by K-means clustering of D1m. Lastly, across datasets, standard PCoA explained

13-26% of the variance in the SNP data on the first two axes (Supplementary Figure 2).

Visual inspection of $\Pr(X|K)$ from summarized STRUCTURE runs on dataset D1

indicated that the likelihood of each successive K from 1:20 plateaued at K = 5, with the

standard deviation across runs increasing rapidly after this point (Supplementary Figure

3). We describe patterns of inferred admixture as they appear in Figure 5, reading from top

to bottom. For K5, individuals from Central America are unambiguously assigned to their

own cluster (Clade A2 in Figure 3, 5). The north Andean montane specimen from San

Martín, (North Andean Peru, 5444.PE.MAR) is reconstructed as being highly admixed, with

a genomic composition including 4 of the 5 inferred clusters. However, this inference is

tenuous because we have only one individual to represent this likely distinct and highly

diverse north Andean lineage (though see RADpainter below, which is consistent with

these results). Samples from the southern Amazon and Atlantic Forest (Clade C), have no

detected admixture with northern populations, except for some individuals from the

western (Clade C1), and to a more limited degree, the eastern (Clade C3) Inambari

populations, which are inferred to have limited (~<5%) admixture with individuals

assigned to the Northern Amazon + Guiana Shield (Clade D, see Figure 5, 6, and discussion

below).

Going from West to East across the Southern Amazon, very limited admixture with

Atlantic Forest alleles (green, Clade C7) is first inferred in the Tapajos (Clade C5) area of

Endemism. This admixture component increases in the Xingu area of endemism (Clade C8)

and increases further in a geographic and genetic cline to 100% assignment probability to a

distinct cluster in the Rio de Janeiro clade (Clade C10). Given the geographic context of this

cline in admixture, we suggest this pattern may a product of isolation by distance,

hierarchical structure, and geographic barriers (Bradburd et al., 2018), and not isolated

introgression events. We note that the conStruct method (Bradburd et al., 2018) may be

useful for explicitly testing this hypothesis (Supplemental Appendix), however our

evaluation of this method suggested that it is presently intractable for a dataset of this size.

Next, all individuals from the western portion of the Napo area of endemism are

inferred to be heavily admixed (Clade E; Figure 5). On average, at K=5, STRUCTURE assigns

40.2% of the these individuals' (n=10) genetic composition to the Guiana Shield population

(SD 4.7%, average Bayesian credible interval (aBCI): [0.33-0.47]), 50.4% to the Southern

Amazon population (SD 3.4%, aBCI: [0.43-0.58]), as well as limited 4.15% probability to

Central Peru (SD 3.1%, aBCI: [0.01-0.08]), and 5.2% to Central America (SD 4.8%, aBCI:

[0.02-0.1]). The three localities included in this clade– (1) north of Iquitos, on the east bank

of the confluence of the Rio Solimões and the Rio Napo, (2) southwest of Iquitos in

Allpahuayo Mishana Reserve (represented by three mtDNA samples), and (3) near San

Jacinto, Ecuador– indicate that this heavily admixed population (corresponding to

subspecies *discolor*) may occur across a large area of the western Napo region of

endemism, and therefore may not represent a narrow hybrid zone (Figures 2-7).

Lastly, at K=5, all members of the Guiana Shield + Northern Amazon clade (Clade D)

are inferred to be virtually unadmixed. Individuals in the far eastern Napo area of

endemism on the east bank of the Rio Putumayo (brown dots in Figure 3, 4) cluster with

other Guiana Shield groups in phylogenetic analyses but are detected to have limited

(~5%) admixture with pure individuals of Southern Amazon provenance. At higher values

of K, the broad-scale population assignments inferred at K=5 are mostly unchanged,

however additional admixture components are inferred for most groups. The introgressed

western Napo clade is eventually placed into its own cluster at K=9-10 (see Supplementary

Figure 4, Supplementary Figure 8).

3.3.2. *Fine-scale population structure and admixture*

Admixture analysis using the RAD locus optimized chromosome painting approach

in RADpainter and then population assignment with fineRADstructure (Lawson et al., 2012;

Malinsky et al., 2018), was congruent with other analyses, and also detected finer partitions

of population structure. The fineRADstructure program detected 15 groups of individuals

which were each supported with a posterior probability of 100% and which also

overlapped with sampling regions which we delimited for population genetic analyses

based on geographic barriers (Black dots in Figure 3, Supplementary Figure 5). The only

sampling regions which fineRADstructure failed to confidently partition into separate

groups consisted of a group of individuals in the Jaú area of endemism, and a group of

individuals in the eastern Napo area of endemism (both groups are poorly resolved in

phylogenetic analyses, but unambiguously members of the broader Northern Amazon +

Guiana Shield clade D). The eastern Napo individuals (D2 in figure 3) are identified as a low

support group (pp = 0.49), while the Jaú individuals are lumped in with other western

Guiana Shield individuals (D in figure 3). See Supplementary Figure 5 (fineRADstructure

dendrogram), and the full dataset co-ancestry matrix plots Supplementary Figures 6-7.

Patterns of co-ancestry show a complex mosaic of shared ancestry among

populations (Supplementary Figures 6-7). In general, admixture patterns appear consistent

with those detected by STRUCTURE, including the case of the highly introgressed western

Napo lineage; therefore, we interpret patterns indicated by STRUCTURE to be reliable.

Notably, the high level of admixture initially inferred for our San Martín sample is

recapitulated in RADpainter coancestry analysis – this sample has relatively high co-

ancestry across most populations (as does the pattern of co-ancestry we report for the

western Napo lineage, below).

Lastly, the Lawson et al. (2012) "normalized PCA" approach provided with

fineRADstructure captured 89% of the variance in the genetic data on the first four

component axes (51.4%, 24.9%, 7.77%, 4.94%) indicating that the co-ancestry matrix

captures much more information about genetic variance than standard PCoA/PCA of SNP

data (Supplementary Figure 8a-c). It is therefore not surprising that K-means clustering of

co-ancestry principle component axes better identified groups of individuals which match

previously described subspecies designations and phylogenetic structure (Figure 5, CoA).

3.4. *Phylogenetic reticulation in the western Napo lineage*

Separate RADpainter and PhyloNet analysis of the populations in the western Napo

area of endemism and their putative progenitor lineages support the hypothesis that this

region has a complex history of introgression. One-tailed tests of mean co-ancestry

indicated the null hypothesis that the difference in means among groups is less than or

equal to zero can be strongly rejected (ghlt p < 2e-16), and that individuals from the

western Napo population have greater median/mean co-ancestry with each progenitor

lineage than their progenitor lineages share with each other (PHvGS/progenitors = ~1.078,

PHvInambari/progenitors = ~1.16). These analyses establish the asymmetry in admixture

patterns detected by STRUCTURE. On average, western Napo individuals share ~ 7.5%

greater co-ancestry with Inambari individuals (southern Amazon) than they do with other

Northern Amazon individuals (glht p < 2e-16), indicating these sampled populations do not

include F1 hybrids. We did not observe any patterns of clinal variation within our samples

of this lineage (spanning ~20,500 km^2).

fineRADstructure analysis of the co-ancestry matrix, as well as visualization of the

co-ancestry matrix indicated that the western Napo population may be differentiated, as

well as intermediate when compared to its progenitors (Figure 6a-d). Principle

components analysis (Figure 6d) of the co-ancestry matrix indicate that the western Napo

lineage is intermediate on PC1 (compared to progenitor lineages), but differentiated on

PC2, which would be expected if the Napo lineage has had sufficient time in reproductive

isolation for sorting of ancestral alleles or for the evolution of new alleles (Barrera-Guzmán

et al., 2018). This hypothesis is supported by the observation that this lineage has distinct

728 mitochondrial haplotypes derived from Inambari populations (~separated from Inambari

729 haplotypes by ~6.5 substitutions or 0.63%), as well as analyses of IBD (below).

730   Bootstrapped estimates of F_{st} indicated the same general patterns: 95%CI; western

731 Napo vs Eastern Napo (Northern progenitor, 0.07 - 0.090), western Napo v Inambari

732 (Southern progenitor, 0.058 - 0.077): Eastern Napo vs Inambari: (between progenitors,

733 0.122 - 0.144). These patterns of F_{st} estimates were robust to alternative assignments of

734 geographically proximate individuals to progenitor linages (for example, including an

735 additional adjacent population for both of the putative progenitor lineages). Thus, the

736 introgressed western Napo lineage is less differentiated from *each* of its progenitor

737 lineages than its progenitor lineages are from each other and it is *also* biased in its genetic

738 composition toward Inambari populations.

739   PhyloNet detected that an evolutionary reticulation is the best hypothesis to explain

740 the origin of the western Napo lineage (Figure 6a), which provides a plausible evolutionary

741 scenario upon which we can interpret other statistics. All parameters in the RJMCMC

742 search achieved an effective sample size > 150 (most >1000), and PhyloNet recovered two

743 network topologies representing 98.6% of the posterior distribution. The top ranked

744 network (*pp* = 57%, Figure 6a) implies the MRCA of the northern Amazonian (Eastern

745 Napo + Jaú) and southern (Inambari + Western Napo) lineages diverged first (clade F). This

746 initial split was followed by a split within the southern lineage that led to the Inambari (S1)

747 and progenitor western Napo (S2a) populations (MRCA of S1 and S2a: Figure 6a). At some

748 point, genetically distinct nuclear genomes from the Northern lineage (clade D/D2)

749 introgressed into S2a (ancestral western Napo), which gave rise to the contemporary

750 western Napo population (S2).

The second-best network (*pp* = 41%, Supplemental Data) depicts a scenario of

classic hybrid speciation. First, northern and southern lineages of Clade F first diverged, as

seen for the top ranked network. Then, members of S1 and the NW Amazonian lineages

merged to form a hybrid lineage. We base our subsequent discussion on the top ranked

network, as this hypothesis is most consistent with patterns we detect in other analyses.

Support for these two reticulation scenarios is similar, however, and they present

interesting alternatives which both require a complex history of reticulation.

Taken in the context of STRUCTURE (Figure 5) and fineRADstructure (Figure 6)

results, the gene flow implied by the top ranked network seems to have been asymmetrical,

leaving very little evidence of southern admixture among northern lineages (see Figure 5,

'weakly resolved eastern Napo', which are detected to have very limited (< ~5%) southern

admixture). This observation, taken alone, could have been produced by sampling biases.

However, demographic parameters estimated with G-PhoCS also support this hypothesis:

the only significant migration rate (lower 95% CI > 1 migrant per generation) was inferred

from the eastern Napo (member of Guiana Shield lineage) lineage into S2/S2a (Figure 6,

Supplementary Table 5).

G-PhoCS also allows us to place a preliminary maximum age constraint on the

timing of introgression into S2a. Assuming 2.47 years per generation in *Pseudopipra*

(estimated in Bird et al., 2020) and a constant mutation rate, the absolute splitting time

between S2a and S1 may have been ~1.2 Ma (Figure 6, Supplementary Table 5). Though we

emphasize that this is a rough approximation, this result is loosely consistent with prior

divergence time estimates for several groups in the genus based on molecular clock

analysis of mtDNA (Castro-Astor, 2014); that study estimated ssp *pipra* (Clade D; Northern

Amazon + Guiana Shield lineage) to have branched off from its closest relatives ~ 0.67 Ma

[95% HPD: 0.3506-1.1287]. Therefore, if these estimates are correct, introgression into S2a

must have occurred more recently.

Lastly, patterns of admixture (Figure 5, Supplemental Figure 6, 7) suggest some

evidence of limited introgression of Northern Amazon alleles into the southwestern

Amazonian Inambari population (Figure 5). This could be a consequence of limited gene

flow into the Inambari population concurrently with or after the primary introgression

event(s) which generated the introgressed western Napo lineage. While our interpretations

are conditional on whether or not our limited sampling is indicative of a broader pattern,

the product of this process appears to be a distinct, geographically isolated and

introgressed lineage within the western Napo area of endemism.

3.5. *Spatial distribution of genetic variation*

Under isolation by distance (IBD), the empirical relationship between geographic

distance and genetic distance is related to offspring dispersal distance and population size

(Rousset, 1997). Isolation by distance effects are thus predicted when individual dispersal

distances are smaller than a species' range (Teske et al., 2018). Fifteen out of eighteen

considered areas (see Population Genetics, Figure 4, and Supplemental Appendix for a

description of how these areas were defined) contained > 2 individuals, and thus could be

evaluated for isolation by distance effects within them. Five of these regions were

identified to have a significant signal of IBD within them at the 0.001 alpha level (Table 2).

This signal, however, was not consistent across different proxies of genetic and geographic

distance, suggesting that different processes may be contributing to spatial correlations

within these areas.

Partial Mantel tests of barriers to gene flow indicated that all but one evaluated

physical barrier likely play significant roles in structuring genetic variation between areas

(Table 2). Taken together, Mantel and partial Mantel tests suggest individuals are generally

able to disperse within localized regions (with some signal of spatial decay within regions)

but are unable to cross prominent geographic barriers delimiting these areas (Table 2).

Notably, the Rio Negro was the only evaluated barrier which is not detected to exhibit a

significant effect— (Table 2 and Supplementary Table 2).

Model based analysis of migration rates with EEMS largely corroborated the specific

hypotheses implied by Mantel tests. For dissimilarities between pairs of demes, the

correlation between observed and fitted values was high – R^2 = 0.871 – within demes

somewhat less – R^2 = 0.579 (Supplementary Figure 11). Thus, the EEMS model appears to

do a good job of describing spatially structured variation in this dataset, and a non-

stationary migration surface is a better fit to the data than simple isolation by distance. The

EEMS visualization detects many strong signals (posterior probability > 0.9) of barriers to

gene flow (Figure 7). As expected, the Andes and the Amazon river were unambiguously

detected as the most significant barriers to gene flow and are clearly recovered as

landscape features, even though the model does not know these features exist.

EEMS also detected signals of deviation from constant IBD that likely correspond to

several Amazonian tributaries in the Amazon basin which delimit areas of endemism

(Figure 7). An additional strong barrier to gene flow was inferred in the south-central

amazon (south-central brown patch in Figure 7), corresponding to the Caatinga - Cerrado –

Chaco 'dry-diagonal' biomes. Strong signals of genetic connectivity are inferred in many

localities (blue), notably west of the Andes, connecting north Andean and Central American

lineages (despite a lack of sampling in that region). This link perhaps reflects the common

ancestry among montane lineages in these regions. Consistent with other analyses, EEMS

detects the large Guianan + Northern Amazonian region (E. Napo + Jaú + Guiana) to have

relatively weak population structure across a variety of barriers (including the Rio Negro).

EEMS detects several discrete areas of continuous gene flow within the Atlantic Forest,

likely corresponding to the Coastal Bahia and Serra do Mar areas identified by da Silva et al.

(2004) (Figure 7). The introgressed hybrid lineage from the western Napo area is inferred

to be genetically isolated from neighboring populations, with apparent reductions in gene

flow on all sides, perhaps corresponding to the effects of the Rio Marañón in the south and

Rio Putumayo/Içá to the north.

Lastly, EEMS detected two broad clusters of relatively high genetic diversity

(relative heterozygosity: Supplementary Figure 12). One is centered along the Amazon

river and was relatively uniform within the northern Amazonian basin, reflecting relatively

high genetic diversity in Guiana Shield, but also some southern Amazonian populations.

Notably, the other prominent high diversity area overlaps with our sampling of the

introgressed western Napo lineage. Areas of relatively low genetic diversity included the

Atlantic Forest, the Peruvian Andes, and Central American lineages. These patterns are

consistent with direct estimates of regional variation in allelic richness (Supplementary

Appendix).

3.6. *Vocal variation*

We examined 198 recordings of *Pseudopipra* and identified 14 distinct vocalizations

that can be diagnosed by ear or visual inspection of sonograms (arbitrarily labeled

numerically in Figure 8). This set of vocalization types includes both advertisement

vocalizations from males at leks and calls by males and females in other contexts. After

examining recordist notes, we generated a preliminary hypothesis which distinguishes

eleven highly variable and distinct, lekking advertisements (Figure 8a) from three broad

ranging call types (Figure 8b). Our delimitation of vocalizations into advertisement and call

categories partly reflects expert opinion, as the behavioral context of vocal records was not

always clear (see Supplemental Appendix). Work by Castro-Astor et al. (2007) provides

important insights of the vocal behavior of Atlantic forest populations, but most

Pseudopipra populations lack similarly detailed studies. Vocalization types within each

category of male advertisement vocalizations or calls can be statistically discriminated

based on a combination of the frequency, duration, and number of notes. PCA and logistic

regression on lekking vocalizations (n=114, Supplementary Table 3), and calls (n=33)

found significant differences among types ($p < 0.001$). The first three axes of a PCA

explained ~90% of the variation in lekking vocalization characters, with PC1 (~64%)

primarily explaining variation in note number and frequency (Supplementary Figure 15).

Our assignments of vocal types to well supported genetic groups are preliminary in

the absence of matching data (i.e., recordings from the same individuals from which we

have genetic samples). Nevertheless, we found that most of the 11 lek advertisement

vocalizations are phylogenetically diagnostic of the populations in which they were

recorded (except for two cases of apparent plesiomorphy, Supplementary Figure 16b). In

contrast, the three call vocalization types we identified were diagnostic of one lineage (type

5, clade D), or shared plesiomorphically across two ancient clades (type 10 and 13,

Supplementary Figure 16a). See Taxonomic Summary, below. The complex phylogenetic

history we have documented with genetic data has clearly had a strong impact on the

evolution of vocalizations in *Pseudopipra.* Because lek vocalizations are a focus of female

choice in manakins and because suboscine passerines have largely innate vocalizations, the

extensive vocal and genetic differentiation among populations of *Pseudopipra* strongly

indicates the existence of many species within *Pseudopipra*.

4. Discussion

In comparison to the great diversity of Neotropical organisms available for study,

there have been few studies focused on understanding both fine and broad scale diversity

patterns within broadly distributed Neotropical taxa, and this has slowed the

biogeographic interpretation of the effects that many landscape features have on the

evolution of Neotropical organisms (Fernandes et al., 2013; Harvey et al., 2017; Harvey and

Brumfield, 2015; Marks et al., 2002; Milá et al., 2012; Nyári, 2007). We investigated the

phylogenetic and population genetic history of a continentally distributed taxon currently

recognized as a single biological species– *Pseudopipra pipra*– using a genomic dataset

which samples thousands of loci across the genomes of 232 individuals representing eight

of thirteen *Pseudopipra* subspecies. Two additional subspecies, *pygmaea* and *coracina*,

were represented by mtDNA only. Our genetic sampling encompasses a majority of the

broad range of *P. pipra*, spanning Neotropical forests from Central America to the Atlantic

Forest of Brazil, including highland and lowland areas of endemism. Our sampling of vocal

variation fills in many important gaps in our genetic sampling—therefore, our intersecting

datasets allow us to assess at least some data from all thirteen previously identified

subspecies.

The predominant biogeographic signal we detect in our dataset is of two

successively nested montane clades as the sister groups to several major lowland

Amazonian clades (Figure 5, see *Historical Biogeography*, below). This pattern implies that

lowland Amazonian and montane Central American populations of *Pseudopipra* expanded

historically "out of the Andes." Ancient lineages endemic to the Andes may have originated

around the onset of the Pleistocene ~2.5 Ma (molecular clock estimates from Castro-Astor,

2014). The lowland clade within *Pseudopipra* originated (~1.085 [0.57-1.79] Ma) in

southwestern Amazonia (Figure 4) and expanded, occupying Amazonia on both margins of

the Amazon river, and eventually reached the Atlantic forest (see below). Therefore, our

study provides an unusual example of a widespread suboscine passerine which originated

in the Andean highlands.

4.1 *Population genetic variation*

We examined our dataset using a variety of population genetic approaches intended

to assess the number of natural genetic groupings that may be present in *Pseudopipra*.

Phenetic K-means clustering of SNP data and analysis with STRUCTURE estimated a

minimum of 5 genetic clusters with broad geographic ranges (Figure 5, Supplementary

Figure 2, Supplementary Figure 4). K-means clustering of the co-ancestry matrix (which

captured substantially more variation than standard PCoA) more finely partitioned the

dataset into 8 genetic clusters (Figure 5, Supplementary Figure 8), which closely

recapitulates previously identified subspecies boundaries (Figure 2). Phylogenetic (RAxML,

SVDquartets) and model-based population genetic (fineRADstructure) analyses of nuclear

genomic data detected fifteen identical groups of individuals which coincided with

sampling regions delimited by clear geographic barriers (results, Figure 3, 4,

Supplementary Appendix). Two additional subspecies represented by mtDNA (results)

bring the total number of distinct genetic populations delimited by geographic barriers to

at least seventeen. The close concordance observed between population structure and

landscape features implies that the evolutionary history of *Pseudopipra* within the

Neotropics is deeply connected to the South American landscape, adding support to a rich

body of literature endorsing this hypothesis (Brumfield, 2012; Cracraft and Prum, 1988;

Silva et al., 2019).

Our sampling reveals a "horseshoe" pattern of genetic differentiation across the

Amazon basin (Figure 4), with no evidence of gene flow across the Amazon river, except in

the western headwaters where the Amazon is narrowest and floodplains are dynamic and

young (Haffer, 1992; Pupim et al., 2019; Räsänen et al., 1987). Given that the genus

originated in the west, we hypothesize that northern and southern clades diverged across

the Amazon river in this region. For virtually all evaluated cases, we find significant effects

of geographic barriers on subdividing genetic variation within this species complex,

including across the Amazon River and most of its larger tributaries, with the notable

exception of the Rio Negro (Figure 7 and Supplementary Table 2a, 2b). As expected, the

'dry-diagonal' Caatinga, Cerrado, and Chaco belt is a strong barrier to gene flow, isolating

Atlantic Forest lineages from their southeastern Amazonian Xingu relatives—as are the

Andes, which exhibit a disproportionate effect on divergence between Peruvian foothills

populations and Central American lineages (see Supplementary Appendix for additional

discussion).

Our analyses also lend themselves to some general conclusions about the sensitivity

of various methods to detecting population genetic structure in ddRAD data. Overall,

methods based on clustering of SNP data were the least sensitive (prone to lumping) to

population structure, whereas phylogenetic analyses were the most sensitive to population

structure (prone to splitting). Thus, different phylogenetic and population genetic cluster

analyses have detected different levels of hierarchical structure in the data.

Multiple analyses indicate that the western Napo population has a complex history

of initial and early differentiation from the broader Southern Amazonian clade, followed by

subsequent, asymmetric genetic introgression from Jaú and eastern Napo populations of

the Guianan Shield + Northern Amazon clade (Clade D; see discussion below). When the

genetic data are analyzed under either concatenated or coalescent phylogenetic

frameworks which do not allow for phylogenetic reticulation, the western Napo population

is reconstructed as most closely related to Guiana Shield + Northern Amazon populations

(Figure 3, Figure 5, Clade D). In contrast, phylogenetic network analysis indicates that this

population is derived from individuals of Inambari (southern Amazon) provenance (Figure

6, also summarized in Figure 4). This incongruence between methods is likely due to the

complexity of the constituent gene trees in this population-level differentiation induced by

asymmetric introgression from the Jaú and eastern Napo populations (Thom et al., 2018).

We hypothesize that introgression has introduced more recently derived variation from

the Guiana Shield + Northern Amazon clade D into an older, differentiated, population of

the Southern Amazonian clade, pulling the phylogenetic affinity toward the northern clade.

957 4.2 *History of an introgressed hybrid lineage*

958  Analysis of genomic admixture and phylogenetic reticulation revealed a population

959 of individuals restricted to the western Napo area of endemism which have highly

960 introgressed genomes and a complex evolutionary history (Figure 6). Our investigation

961 revealed this northwestern lowland Amazonian group is most likely derived from a

962 southwestern Amazonian lineage which experienced introgression from the Guiana Shield

963 + Northern Amazon clade D. The substantial level of observed introgression (>40%), as

964 well as a suite of genomic and phenotypic evidence, suggests that this lineage may be best

965 recognized as a hybrid lineage, though it remains to be determined if it can be considered a

966 product of hybrid speciation.

967  To date, five prior examples of hybrid species formation in birds have been

968 evaluated with genomic data: (1) the Golden-crowned manakin *Lepidothrix vilasboasi*

969 (Barrera-Guzmán et al., 2018), (2), the Audubon's warbler *Setophaga auduboni* (Brelsford

970 et al., 2011), (3) the Italian sparrow *Passer italiae* (Hermansen et al., 2011), (4) the

971 Hawaiian duck *Anas wyvilliana* (Lavretsky et al., 2015), and (5) a putative species of

972 Darwin's finches *Geospiza* (Lamichhaney et al., 2018). These examples of mosaic genome

973 hybrid speciation, in which the fusion of two or more progenitor lineages leads to a

974 reproductively isolated hybrid species (Counterman, 2016; Jiggins et al., 2008), highlight

975 the emerging paradigm that modern patterns of avian diversity may have been significantly

976 influenced by historical phylogenetic reticulation (Suh, 2016). Our analyses of genomic

977 data across *Pseudopipra* imply that the western Napo lineage is likely a differentiated,

978 allopatric phylogenetic species which has a genomic composition derived from heavy

historical admixture with a non-sister lineage. This historical scenario is not the same as

those examples cited above, but it has produced a population genetic signature which is

extremely similar (compare to Barrera-Guzmán et al., 2018). Notably, PhyloNet found

similar, but nonetheless asymmetric support for an introgression model over a hybrid

speciation model (see results, 57% vs 41%, respectively).

Some authors have proposed strict population genetic criteria for identifying

homoploid hybrid speciation, including demonstrating (1) evidence of reproductive

isolation from parental species, (2) evidence of past hybridization, and (3) evidence that

intrinsic reproductive isolation was derived from the hybridization event (Schumer et al.,

2014). The first two conditions are satisfied by the western Napo *Pseudopipra* lineage.

First, phylogenetic and population genetic analyses are suggestive of contemporary

reproductive isolation. Phylogenetic analyses of nuclear genome data, including

concatenated and coalescent analyses (Figure 3, 4, 6), recover this lineage as a well-

supported reciprocally monophyletic group. Differentiated mtDNA haplotypes from a

broader geographic sample (Figure 6) of this lineage also form subgroups derived from

southern Inambari haplotypes (results, Supplementary Figure 17).

PCA-based and model-based population genetic cluster analyses (Figure 6b, 6c,

Supplementary Figures 4-9), also support the hypothesis that this lineage is intermediate

and differentiated when compared to the living descendants of its inferred progenitors.

Frequentist Mantel tests, as well as Bayesian model-based analysis of isolation by distance,

support the hypothesis that contemporary gene flow into and out of this population is at

least restricted and probably not occurring (Figure 7, Supplementary Figure 12). Thus, we

interpret our G-PhoCS results of a significant migration parameter (results) as indicative of

a window of historical introgression, which could be further explored with simulation

studies.

Estimates of inbreeding coefficients are also consistent with the hypothesis that

introgression into the western Napo lineage is not ongoing (Supplementary Appendix). The

similar levels of inbreeding among *Pseudopipra* populations in the western Amazon (F_{IS},

Supplementary Figure 9, and Supplementary Appendix) imply that the western Napo

population is not more outbred (i.e. recently introgressed) than other inbred populations.

Notably, the consistently positive level of inbreeding (median ~0.16, 95% CI [0.11-0.21])

among most *Pseudopipra* populations may be attributable to a highly polygynous lek

mating system (Smith, 1979; Stopher et al., 2012; Waser et al., 1986). In sum, these

patterns observed in our nuclear genetic data (and mtDNA, discussed below and earlier)

are consistent with the hypothesis of contemporary reproductive isolation for the

introgressed Western Napo populations.

The second criterion from Schumer et al. (2014), evidence of past hybridization, is

directly supported by multiple model-based analysis of genomic admixture and

phylogenetic reticulation (Figure 5, 6), and indirectly supported by model based and

phenetic cluster analysis (Supplementary Figures 4-9). We hypothesize that hybridization

initially occurred between progenitor lineage S2a and western lineages of the Guiana

Shield + Northern Amazon clade (Figure 6a). Unadmixed lineage S2a was then replaced by

the novel introgressed lineage, which exchanged genes with neighboring populations

within a finite time window. An intrinsic assumption of our analyses is that S1 (western

Inambari) is an appropriate substitute for S2a (which we infer to have existed). We use S1

as proxy for S2a in population genetic analyses because it is the closest and only available

relative.

The third criterion from Schumer et al. (2014), evidence that intrinsic reproductive

isolation was derived from the hybridization event, is recognized as the most difficult to

demonstrate, and probably the most tenuous. As discussed by Nieto Feliner et al. (2017) in

critique of Schumer et al. (2014), this criterion may be useful for framing the strength of

evidence for or against the existence of a homoploid hybrid species, but only if one adheres

strictly to the biological species concept (Mayr, 1942). If one applies a more evolutionary

definition of species, like the metapopulation lineage concept, in which the only necessary

requirement is demonstration of separately evolving lineages (de Queiroz, 2005), the

importance of demonstrating intrinsic reproductive isolation to claim species status for a

putative hybrid lineage (or any lineage at all) is less clear to us. Speciation in birds in

particular is thought to proceed relatively fast, and may be more affected by external

factors than internal ones (Grant and Grant, 1997). Further, requiring hybridization to be

the *source* of intrinsic isolation excludes the possibility that extrinsic or pre-zygotic

isolation may evolve from changes that enable hybrids access to new niches, which may

also be geographically isolated relative to parental forms (Nieto Feliner et al., 2017).

Intriguingly, the evolutionary scenario implied by phylogenetic reticulation analysis

for the introgressed western Napo hybrids provides a mechanism to explain a confusing

distribution of vocalization types (Figure 8, Supplementary Appendix). The males from the

Napo area of endemism share vocalization type 2 with birds in the southeastern Amazon

(Figure 8, Supplementary Figure 16), and with the restricted Huallaga valley *pygmaea*

subspecies (the sister group to all lowland Amazonian forms, according to mtDNA).

Manakins are suboscine passerines and have innate vocalizations (though see Saranathan

et al., 2007). Therefore, the introgressed Napo lineage appears to have inherited its

vocalizations from the MRCA of groups S1 and S2 (Figure 6a) and retained it through

subsequent introgression with northern lineages that have a different vocal type (Type 3

and/or 5). In this scenario, vocal type 7 in the western Inambari represents more recently

derived variation in the southern Amazonian clade (Figure 8, Supplementary Figure 16).

1053   As noted earlier, the western Napo lineage also has mtDNA haplotypes derived from

Inambari populations (Supplementary Figure 17). In addition to implying reproductive

isolation from the Guiana Shield clade, this mitochondrial pattern implies that the

introgressed Napo lineage may be descended from the union of progenitor female S2a and

progenitor western males of the Guiana Shield + Northern Amazon clade, perhaps through

male biased dispersal or mate choice. A preliminary test of male biased dispersal did not

detect a signal of this possibility (Supplemental Appendix). Regardless, this pattern raises

fascinating questions about why and how the western Napo lineage may have retained its

southern song type.

1062   Taking all of the available genetic and phenotypic evidence into account, we suggest

that the western Napo lineage may be an additional example of the formation of a hybrid

lineage in birds, and one that was produced via an underappreciated historical mechanism:

Assuming that our limited sampling is representative of the *discolor* subspecies, the

patterns we observe appear to be most similar to "hybrid trait speciation," in which a

hybrid species is formed after introgression from one species into a genomic background of

a close relative (Counterman, 2016; Jiggins et al., 2008; Marques et al., 2019). This type of

combinatorial hybrid speciation was originally proposed from studies of *Heliconius*

butterflies (Jiggins et al., 2008; Salazar et al., 2010), and suggests introgression of a "magic

trait" can instantaneously lead to hybrid speciation without intrinsic barriers to

reproduction (Counterman, 2016). While we have not examined specimens for evidence of

"magic traits" representative of the Northern Amazon + Guiana Shield clade (D) that have

influenced reproductive isolation in the western Napo lineage, our study predicts that such

traits may exist in these populations and are worthy of additional study.

1076

4.3 *Comparative and Historical Biogeography*

The spatial pattern of population structure and evolutionary relationships we

identify here are broadly congruent with other recent studies of Neotropical biogeography

in upland forest birds, contributing another example of the substantial phylogeographic

pseudocongruence across taxa at this wide scale (e.g. Cunningham and Collins, 1994;

Harvey et al., 2017). As expected, the two main barriers identified for *Pseudopipra* in the

South American lowlands are the Amazon River and the dry diagonal. Amazonian

tributaries seem to delimit recently isolated populations in southern Amazonia, while

northern *Pseudopipra* populations show weaker evidence of being isolated by the Negro

and Japurá rivers. By contrast, southern populations seem to be strongly isolated by rivers

with smaller discharge, such as the Xingu, Tapajós and Purus.

A comparable survey of the suboscine ovenbirds in the *Xenops* complex (Harvey and

Brumfield, 2015) identified similar patterns of genetic structuring coincident with major

Amazonian tributaries, as did Ribas et al. (2012) in the *Psophia* trumpeters, though the

inferred relationships among areas of endemism are not the same. A recent comparative

analysis shows that large rivers delimit genetic clusters in as many as 23 groups of upland

Amazonian forest birds, strongly arguing in favor of these rivers acting as barriers to gene

flow (Silva et al., 2019). The somewhat distinct pattern found for *Pseudopipra* may be

related to its recent expansion throughout the eastern Andean lowlands and its habitat

tolerance.

Within the manakins, a recent molecular phylogeny (Ohlson et al., 2013) placed

Pseudopipra as sister to the genus *Ceratopipra*, which includes five well-recognized species

that are extensively codistribtuted with *Pseudopipra.* The montane origin of *Pseudopipra* is

congruent with the observation that *Pseudopipra* is the sister group to *Ceratopipra,* which

is also broadly distributed in the Neotropical lowlands from Central America to the Atlantic

Forest, with two secondary expansions into the subtropical forests in the tepuis (*C.*

cornuta) and the southern Andes (*C. chloromeros*) (Ohlson et al., 2013). The breakpoints

among these *Ceratopipra* species are highly concordant with the breakpoints among the

genetic clusters within the *Pseudopipra* complex that we have presented here, implying

that these closely related taxa have components of their phylogeographic history in

common. See the Supplemental Appendix for additional notes, as well as Castro-Astor

(2014).

A key feature of the phylogeny of *Pseudopipra* is that montane Andean lineages are

sister groups to both the montane Central American and the lowland Amazonian-southeast

Brazilian lineages (Figure 5). Ancestral character reconstruction under Bayesian stochastic

mapping and maximum parsimony therefore unambiguously reconstructs the most recent

common ancestor of *Pseudopipra* as Andean (Figure 3-5, Supplemental Appendix). Thus, it

appears that the most recent common ancestor of *Pseudopipra* was an Andean lineage

restricted to subtropical, lower montane forest. The earliest diversification event in the

genus was likely the differentiation of the ancestral Andean populations north and south of

the headwaters of the Rio Huallaga, Peru. The northern lineage gave rise to the subtropical

montane lineages of the northern Andes and Central America (Clade A). The southern

lineage gave rise to a subtropical montane Southern Peruvian lineage (Clade B) and a

southwestern lowland Amazonian lineage (*pygmaea*, represented in our dataset by

mtDNA). Subsequently, the Amazonian lineage expanded to the eastern lowlands and

differentiated into the northern lowland Amazonian + Guiana Shield (Clade D in Figure 3)

and southern lowland Amazonian/Atlantic Forest (Clade C in Figure 3) clades. Within the

Southern Amazonian clade, differentiation proceeded from west to east: (Figure 3, 4):

(West Inambari (East Inambari (Rondônia (Tapajós (Xingu (Bahia (Espírito Santo (Rio de Janerio))))))))).

Contrastingly, the northern Amazonian lineage also expanded all the way to the Atlantic

Ocean, but without producing strong phylogenetic structure (Figures 3, 4). In the Napo area

of endemism, substantial introgression occurred from east Napo and Jaú populations into

the western Napo population, with limited backcrossing into Inambari and east Napo

populations.

Intriguingly, a well-supported distinct montane (>1000m) (BS: 100) (Clade C2 in

Figure 3; Cushabatay area, northern Cordillera Azul, Loreto Prov., Peru) is recovered as

nested within the well supported lowland western Inambari subclade (Clade C1 in Figure

3). Thus, there may have been at least one secondary invasion of montane Andean habitats

from a lowland ancestor. Patterns of admixture support this hypothesis – montane

individuals from the northern Cordillera Azul and their proximate lowland relatives all

show limited genomic admixture from derived lineages of the Guiana Shield + Northern

Amazon clade (yellow in Figure 5). This result is consistent with a scenario of secondary

invasion of the highlands from lowland Inambari lineages after weak introgression from

the western Napo (see below).

1141

4.4 North Andean diversity

Our final genomic data set does not include any samples from Andean Ecuador or

Colombia, a region that includes four previously recognized subspecies and at least four

distinct vocalization types (see Taxonomic Summary). Unfortunately, the three Andean

Ecuadorian tissue (representing subspecies *coracina*) specimens in our original sample

were not of high enough preservation quality to be viable for ddRAD sequencing

(Supplementary Table 1). We were able to obtain mtDNA from these samples however,

which unambiguously formed a monophyletic cluster with our single San Martín (North

Andean Peru) specimen in mtDNA gene tree analyses (Supplemental Appendix,

Supplementary Figure 17). A prior study by Castro-Astor (2014) based on mtDNA also

included one Andean Ecuadorian sample and one Central American sample, which

unambiguously clustered together (*pp*=100). Thus, it is likely that our unsampled Andean

lineages of Ecuador and Colombia (including the subspecies *minimus, bolivari, unica,* and

coracina) are likely members of the northern Andean clade represented in our genomic

data set by our single San Martín specimen.

As noted earlier, our San Martín specimen had approximately equal probability of

assignment to multiple populations, which could indicate heavy admixture, or that this is a

single sample from a highly distinct population which has its own distinct history. These

patterns may also be consistent with the hypothesis that the North Andean clade is the

source of montane and lowland *Pseudopipra* diversity. In summary, the genus *Pseudopipra*

appears to have radiated 'out of the Andes,' expanding into the Central America highlands,

and into the eastern lowland Amazonian and Atlantic forests.

1164

4.5 *Phenotypic and Vocal Evolution*

Thirteen subspecies have been previously described based on variations in plumage

color and, to a lesser extent, size (See Taxonomic Summary in Supplementary Appendix).

Male plumage coloration varies among the subspecies of *Pseudopipra* in the glossiness of

the black body feathers, the length of the white crown feathers, and the color– white, gray,

or black– of the bases of these crown feathers. Female plumage coloration often shows

more striking differentiation among subspecies than does male plumage, including

variation in the shade of olive green on the body, the shade and extent of gray on the crown

and face, and olive, yellow, or gray coloration on the belly (Chapman et al., 1914; de

Schauensee, 1945, 1950; Ridgway, 1906; Wetmore, 1972; Zimmer, 1936).

Although we did not conduct a detailed analysis of plumage variation among

populations of *Pseudopipra*, we did observe study skin specimens of all but one (*bolivari*) of

the previously described subspecies in museum collections. All of the ten subspecies that

were included in our genetic samples were identified as distinct, diagnosable,

monophyletic groups. In other words, in all cases that we were able to test, traditional

taxonomic practices conducted between 1758 through 1936 successfully identified and

named distinct evolutionary lineages within *Pseudopipra*. We did not have genetic samples

of the northern Andean taxa *minima, unica,* and *bolivari*, but we note that they all share

entirely white crown feather bases (forecrown feathers only in *bolivari*)(de Schauensee,

1945, 1950), implying that this unique plumage character state is derived within

Pseudopipra, and that *minima*, *unica*, and *bolivari* form a clade. One lineage containing two

subspecies– *separabilis* and *cephaleucos*- has evolved another unique, shared, derived

plumage character– a distinctive, second, predefinitive male plumage which has also

further differentiated between the two subspecies. In *cephaleucos,* predefinitive males have

an olive green back, a white crown, and slate gray face and belly. In *separabilis,*

predefinitive males are similar with lighter gray belly, and a medium gray, instead of white,

crown. In conclusion, plumage coloration appears to provide highly informative evidence of

evolutionary lineage status in this genus.

Our analysis of vocal behavior indicates that vocalizations are also highly

informative of lineage identity within *Pseudopipra*. We identified fourteen distinct

vocalization types (Figure 8), and nearly all were restricted to and diagnostic of a single

previously recognized subspecies or a broader monophyletic group (See Expanded

Taxonomic Summary in Supplementary Appendix for details). One of the two exceptions

was vocalization type 2, which may be shared plesiomorphically across the Amazon basin

between populations of *pygmaea* in the Huallaga Valley, *discolor* in the western Napo

region of Ecuador and Peru, and *separabilis* in Para, Brazil, but not in the intervening

southwestern Amazonian populations of *microlopha* (Supplementary Figure 16).

Unfortunately, available vocal sampling is very limited in the intervening regions along the

south side of the Amazon Basin, which are populated by a nested series of genetically

distinct lineages with successively closer relationships to southern Amazonian *separabilis*

and *cephaleucos* from the Atlantic forest of Brazil*.*

The other exception is the sharing of vocal type 1 ('*trill-dink*') between populations

of *occulta* from the eastern slopes of the Andes from San Martin, Peru to southeastern

Ecuador (A1) and populations of *comata* from the southern Cordillera Azul in Loreto (B1).

The song of the southern clade (B2) from southern Huánuco (AMNH 820866, 820952),

Pasco, Junín, and Cusco is currently unknown. Surprisingly, these geographically adjacent

lineages sit on either side of the most basal phylogenetic separation within *Pseudopipra*.

The *comata* populations are phylogenetically closer to northern Andean and Central

American lineages that exhibit substantial vocal diversity. Likewise, the southern Cordillera

Azul populations are more closely related to other south Peruvian and Amazonian

populations which also exhibit great vocal diversity. This result implies that the song type 1

was shared by the ancestral lineage and has been retained in these lineages (Supplemental

Figure 16). We identified the same pattern for call vocalization type 10.

Our phylogenetic analysis also identified a distinct montane clade from the northern

Cordillera Azul (Clade C2; Cushabatay area; Alverson et al. 2001) which is closely related to

populations from lowland forests of eastern Peru south of the Rio Marañón and east to Rio

Purus, Brazil, currently recognized as *P. p. microlopha*. The hypothesis that this lineage is a

secondary expansion into montane forests from the nearby Amazonian populations is

strongly supported by the evidence that this montane population shares same song type 7

('*jeer*') with the lowland populations of *microlopha* (ML-190390, ML-190388, and ML-

190262).

1226

4.6 *Taxonomy and Revised Classification*

Our analysis identifies a number of genetically well-differentiated and

phenotypically diagnosable lineages and provides compelling new evidence for evaluating

the species status of *Pseudopipra*. Although there are gaps in our sampling, we find that

there are at least eight genetically well-differentiated, and phenotypically diagnosable

lineages of *Pseudopipra.* There is more genetic variation than observed vocal variation

implies, and more vocal variation beyond our geographic coverage of genetic samples

(especially in northern Andes). Thus, our taxonomic recommendations for *Pseudopipra*

almost certainly represent an underestimate of extant diversity, underscoring the need for

continued reassessment of species limits and of diversity patterns in the Neotropics.

1237   Our analysis provides the first comprehensive opportunity to reevaluate species

limits within *Pseudopipra* based on phylogenetic, population genetic, vocal, and plumage

differentiation among populations and named subspecies (See the Expanded Taxonomic

Summary in the Supplementary Appendix). Three of these species are polytypic (i.e.

contain multiple subspecies). One of the species we recognize– *P. microlopha* – is

paraphyletic with respect to another species, *P. cephaleucos,* because we recognize that

speciation may not threaten the lineage identity of a paraphyletic group.

1244   This proposed classification is a conservative treatment that recognizes the

limitations on our genetic sampling of populations in the northern Andean clade from

Ecuador and Colombia. Four of these unsampled (and under-sampled) northern Andean

subspecies – *coracina*, *minima*, *bolivari*, and *unica* – have unique and highly differentiated

vocalization types, and diagnosable plumage differences. Our phylogenetic results for other

lineages in the genus strongly suggest that each of these subspecies is a distinct

evolutionary lineage deserving species status under either the phylogenetic or biological

species concepts. Plumage and vocal data from other newly identified clades may support

the recognition of additional species including a lowland-derived montane population from

the northern Cordillera Azul (Clade C2; Fig. 3), and the currently unnamed lineages from

the southern Amazon Basin (Clades C3, C4, and C5). In conclusion, *Pseudopipra* may include

15-17 distinct species that have rapidly arisen in the last ~2.5 Ma, but further

reclassification will require more vocal data and detailed analyses of plumage variation.

1257

1258   **5. Taxonomic Summary**

1259

Pseudopipra coracina (Sclater 1856)   **Andean White-crowned Manakin**

Distribution: Subtropical Andes from Venezuela south to Esmeraldas, Ecuador and San

Martín, Peru.

Phylogenetic Position: Clade A1

P. c. coracina (Sclater 1856)

Type Locality: Villavicencio, Meta, Colombia.

Distribution: Subtropical forests of the eastern slope of the Andes from western

Venezuela to Morona-Santiago, Ecuador.

Phylogenetic Position: (mtdna) Member of Clade A1

Lek Vocal Type: 8 (*errrwer*).

Call Vocal Type: Unknown

1271

P. c. minima (Chapman 1914)

Type Locality: West of Popayan, Cauca, Colombia

Distribution: Subtropical forests of western Cauca, Colombia south to Esmeraldas,

Ecuador

Phylogenetic Position: (unsampled) Member of Clade A/A1

Lek Vocal Type: 9 (*reeee*)

Call Vocal Type: unknown

1279

P. c. bolivari (de Schauensee 1950)

Type Locality: Murucucu, Bolivar, Colombia

Distribution: Subtropical forests of southern Córdoba, Colombia. (Not Sampled)

Phylogenetic Position: (unsampled) Member of Clade A1

Lek Vocal Type: Unknown

Call Vocal Type: Unknown

1286

P. c. unica (de Schauensee 1945)

Type Locality: Lomas de Isnos, Huila, Colombia

Distribution: Subtropical forests of Magdalena Valley, Antioquia to Huila, Colombia.

Phylogenetic Position: (unsampled) Member of Clade A1

Lek Vocal Type: 11a (*weer-dink*) and 11b (*shureeep*)

Call Vocal Type: Unknown

1293

P. c. occulta (Zimmer 1936)

Type Locality: Ucho, east of Chachapoyas, Amazonas/San Martin, Peru

Distribution: Eastern slope of the Andes from Zamora-Chinchipe, Ecuador (Freile,

2014) south to San Martín, and Huánuco, Peru, west of the Rio Huallaga

Phylogenetic Position: Member of Clade A/A1

Lek Vocal Type: 1 (*trill-dink*)

Call Vocal Type: 10 (*bree*)

1301

Pseudopipra anthracina (Ridgway 1906)   **Western White-crowned Manakin**

Type Locality: Moravia, Costa Rica

Distribution: Subtropical Costa Rica to Western Panama

Phylogenetic Position: Clade A2

Lek Vocal Type: 4 (*jureeee*)

Call Vocal Type: Unknown

1308

Pseudopipra comata (Berlepsch and Stolzmann 1894)   **Junín White-crowned Manakin**

Type Locality: Garita del Sol, Junin, Peru.

Distribution: Subtropical Andes of Peru from Cordillera Azul, Loreto (east and south of the

Rio Huallaga) to southern Huánuco Pasco, Junín, and northern Cusco.

Phylogenetic Position: Clade B

Lek Vocal Type: 1 (*trill-dink*)

Call Vocal Type: 10 (*bree*)

1316

*Pseudopipra pygmaea (*Zimmer 1936)   **Huallaga White-crowned Manakin**

Type Locality: Chamicuros, Loreto, Peru

Distribution: Tropical forest of lower Rio Huallaga Valley, Peru

Phylogeneic Position: (mtDNA) Sister to Clade F

Lek Vocal Type: 2 (*deeeer*)

Call Vocal Type: 13 (*weeu*)

1323

Pseudopipra discolor (Zimmer 1936)   **Napo White-crowned Manakin**

Type Locality: Puerto Indiana, Loreto, Peru

Distribution: Tropical forest in Napo, Ecuador and Loreto, Peru south to the Rio Marañón.

Phylogenetic Position: Clade E

Lek Vocal Type: 2 (*deeeer*)

Call Vocal Type: 13 (*weeu*)

1330

Pseudopipra pipra (Linneaus 1758)   **Northern White-crowned Manakin**

Type Locality: Suriname

Distribution: Tropical forest of eastern Colombia, southern Venezuela, the Guianas, and

Brazil north of the Amazon and west to the right (west) bank of the Rio Putumayo,

Colombia.

Phylogenetic Position: Clade D

Lek Vocal Type: 3 (*buzzzz*)

Call Vocal Type: 5 (*zeee*)

1339

1340   ***Pseudopipra microlopha*** (Zimmer 1929)   **Southern White-crowned Manakin**

1341   **Distribution**: Tropical forest of eastern Peru south of the Rio Huallaga, Rio Marañón, and

1342   the Amazon River east to Pará, Brazil, and subtropical forests between the Rio Huallaga and

1343   Rio Ucayali.

1344   **Phylogenetic Position:** Paraphyletic, including Clade C without Clade C7

1345

P. m. **undescribed subspecies**

Distribution: Subtropical forest from the Cushabatay area, northern Cordillera Azul,

between Rio Huallaga and Rio Ucayali, Loreto Province, Peru.

Phylogenetic Position: Clade C2

Lek Vocal Type: 7 (*jeer*)

Call Vocal Type: 13 (*weeu*)

1352

P. m. microlopha (Zimmer 1929)

Distribution: Eastern Peru south of the Rio Marañón and Rio Huallaga, west to Rio

Juruá and Rio Purus, Brazil.

Phylogenetic Position: Clade C1 excluding C2

Lek Vocal Type: 7 (*jeer*)

Call Vocal Type: 13 (*weeu*)

1359

P. m. **undescribed subspecies**

Distribution: Right (east) bank of the Rio Purus to the left (west) bank Rio Madeira

Phylogenetic Position: Clade C3

Plumage: Not examined

Lek Vocal Type: Unknown

Call Vocal Type: 13 (*weeu*)

1366

P. m. **undescribed subspecies**

Distribution: Right (east) bank of the Rio Madeira to the left (west) bank the Rio

Tapajos.

Phylogenetic Position: Clade C4

Plumage: Not examined

Lek Vocal Type: Unknown

Call Vocal Type: 13 (*weeu*)

1374

***P. m.* undescribed subspecies**

Distribution: Right (east) bank of the Rio Tapajos to the left (west) bank of the Rio

Xingu

Phylogenetic Position: Clade C5

Plumage: Not examined

Lek Vocal Type: 6b (*zeeeee-tonk*)

Call Vocal Type: 13 (*weeu*)

1382

P. m. separabilis (Zimmer 1936)

Type Locality: Tapara, Rio Xingu, Brazil

Distribution: Tropical forest of Rio Xingu east to central and southern Pará.

Phylogenetic Position: Clade C6

Lek Vocal Type: 2 (*deeeeer*)

Call Vocal Type: 13 (*weeu*)

Pseudopipra cephaleucos (Thunberg 1822)   **Atlantic White-crowned Manakin**

Type Locality: Bahia, Brazil

Distribution: Tropical forest from Bahia south to northern Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

Phylogenetic Position: Clade C7

Lek Vocal Type: 6a (*zeeeee-tonk*)

Call Vocal Type: 13 (*weeu*)

1395

1396   **Supplementary Material:** Supplementary Data, including data files and R code can be

1397   found in the Mendeley Data Repository [temporary link for review only]:

1398   LINK REMOVED FOR BIORXIV

1399   and GenBank XXX-XXX.

1400

Funding: J. S. B. was supported by a National Science Foundation Graduate Research

Fellowship, NSF Doctoral Dissertation Improvement Grant [DGE-1650441, DEB-1700786],

and University of Michigan Life Sciences Fellowship. L. C. was supported by NSF grant DEB-

1555754 to I.J.L. T. J. F. was supported by an NSF Post-doctoral Fellowship in Biology [DBI-

1523857]. C. C. R. was funded by CNPq (308927/2016-8), FAPEAM, FAPESP/NSF (NSF

DEB-1241066, FAPESP, grant #2012/50260-6) and USAID (PEER AID-OAA-A-11-00012,

cycle 5). J. S. B. and R. O. P. were funded by the W. R. Coe Fund of Yale University. Data

collection was paid for by Athena Funds from the Cornell Laboratory of Ornithology, and

W. R. Coe Fund from Yale University. This work was also supported in part by the facilities

and staff of the Yale University Faculty of Arts and Sciences High Performance Computing

Center, and with the resources of the Cornell University BRC Bioinformatics Facility, which

is partially funded by Microsoft Corporation.

1413

Acknowledgements:

We thank the numerous researchers whose field collection efforts made this research

possible including: Angelo P. Capparella, Camila Ribas, Ivandy Castro-Astor, Cecilia Fox,

David E. Willard, Diego Ocampo, Donna Dittmann, Jacob S. Berv, John P, O'Neill, Karla

Conejo, Katya Balta, Kenneth V Rosenberg, Louise M. Augustine, Peter E. Scott, Richard O.

Prum, Steven W. Cardiff, Susan Allen-Stotz, Thomas Valqui, Tristan J. Davis, Ivan Prates and

others. Tissue loans or skins were kindly received from the Divisão de Aves do Museu de

Zoologia da Universidade Estadual de Feira de Santana (MZFS), the Field Museum of

Natural History (FMNH), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia (INPA), Kansas

University Natural History Museum (KUNHM), Louisiana Museum of Natural History

(LSUMZ), Museu de Zoologia da Universidade de São Paulo (MZUSP), Museu Paraense

Emilio Goeldi (MPEG), the Academy of Natural Sciences of Drexel University (ANSP),

Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (UERJ), the University of Alaska Museum (UAM),

the University of Nevada, Las Vegas (UNLV), the Yale Peabody Museum of Natural History

(YPM), the Cornell Museum of Vertebrates (CUMV), the American Museum of Natural

History (AMNH), the Museum of Comparative Zoology (MCZ), and the United States

Museum of Natural History (NMNH). We thank the curators and collections managers of

these institutions for facilitating these loans: Camila Ribas, John Bates, Mark Robbins,

Donna Dittman, Alexandre Aleixo, Caio Graco Machado, Joel Cracraft, Luís Fábio Silveira,

Kevin Winker, Maria Alice Santos Alves, Kristof Zyskowski, Nate Rice, Charles Dardia, John

Klicka, and others. We thank Eliot Miller, Emma Greig, Matthew Medler, Greg Budney,

Eduardo Iñigo and Andrew Spencer at the Macaulay Library for assistance with audio

recordings. We thank members of the Lovette Lab, Daniel Lane and **XXX** anonymous

reviewers, for comments on the research and the manuscript. The illustration depicted in

Fig. 1 is reproduced with permission from the Handbook of Birds of the World, Lynx

Editions, Barcelona. J. S. B. and R. O. P. conceived of the research. J. S. B. and L. C. performed

laboratory and bioinformatic processing, with input and support from I. J. L. We thank

Bronwyn Butcher for help with laboratory protocols. J. S. B. and L. C. analyzed the genomic

data and interpretation of the results was supported by input from all authors. T. J. F.

downloaded, processed, and analyzed lekking vocalization data. C. C. R. and I. C. R.

facilitated critical sample acquisitions from Amazonia and the Atlantic Forest. I. J. L. and R.

O. P. provided detailed guidance and feedback on the manuscript. R. O. P. examined

plumage phenotypes and proposed a revised taxonomy. The manuscript was written by J. S.

B. with input from all authors.

1448

1449

1450

1451

1452

1453

1454

1455

1456

1457

1458

1459

1460   Literature Cited

1461

Andrews, S., 2010. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data

(http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/)

Antonelli, A., Ariza, M., Albert, J., Andermann, T., Azevedo, J., Bacon, C., Faurby, S., Guedes, T.,

Hoorn, C., Lohmann, L.G., Matos-Maraví, P., Ritter, C.D., Sanmartín, I., Silvestro, D., Tejedor,

M., ter Steege, H., Tuomisto, H., Werneck, F.P., Zizka, A., Edwards, S.V., 2018. Conceptual and

empirical advances in Neotropical biodiversity research. PeerJ 6, e5644.

Barrera-Guzmán, A.O., Aleixo, A., Shawkey, M.D., Weir, J.T., 2018. Hybrid speciation leads to

novel male secondary sexual ornamentation of an Amazonian bird. Proceedings of the

National Academy of Sciences 115, E218-E225.

Bates, J.M., Zink, R.M., 1994. Evolution into the Andes: Molecular Evidence for Species

Relationships in the Genus Leptopogon. The Auk 111, 507-515.

Berv, J.S., Prum, R.O., 2014. A comprehensive multilocus phylogeny of the Neotropical

cotingas (Cotingidae, Aves) with a comparative evolutionary analysis of breeding system

and plumage dimorphism and a revised phylogenetic classification. Molecular

Phylogenetics and Evolution 81, 120-136.

Bioacoustics_Research_Program, 2011. Raven Pro: Interactive Sound Analysis Software

(Version 1.4) [Computer software]. The Cornell Laboratory of Ornithology. Available from

http://www.birds.cornell.edu/raven., Ithaca, NY.

Bird, J.P., Martin, R., Akçakaya, H.R., Gilroy, J., Burfield, I.J., Garnett, S., Symes, A., Taylor, J.,

Şekercioğlu, Ç.H., Butchart, S.H.M., 2020. Generation lengths of the world's birds and their

implications for extinction risk. Conservation Biology n/a.

Borges, S.H., Da Silva, J.M.C., 2012. A New Area of Endemism for Amazonian Birds in the Rio

Negro Basin. The Wilson Journal of Ornithology 124, 15-23.

Bradburd, G.S., Coop, G.M., Ralph, P.L., 2018. Inferring Continuous and Discrete Population

Genetic Structure Across Space. Genetics 210, 33-52.

Brelsford, A., Milá, B., Irwin, D.E., 2011. Hybrid origin of Audubon's warbler. Molecular

Ecology 20, 2380-2389.

Brumfield, R.T., 2012. Inferring the origins of lowland Neotropical birds. The Auk 129, 367-

376.

Brumfield, R.T., Edwards, S.V., 2007. EVOLUTION INTO AND OUT OF THE ANDES: A

BAYESIAN ANALYSIS OF HISTORICAL DIVERSIFICATION IN THAMNOPHILUS

ANTSHRIKES. Evolution 61, 346-367.

Brumfield, R.T., Liu, L., Lum, D.E., Edwards, S.V., 2008. Comparison of Species Tree Methods

for Reconstructing the Phylogeny of Bearded Manakins (Aves: Pipridae, Manacus) from

Multilocus Sequence Data. Systematic Biology 57, 719-731.

Cabanne, G.S., Campagna, L., Trujillo-Arias, N., Naoki, K., Gómez, I., Miyaki, C.Y., Santos, F.R.,

Dantas, G.P.M., Aleixo, A., Claramunt, S., Rocha, A., Caparroz, R., Lovette, I.J., Tubaro, P.L.,

2019. Phylogeographic variation within the Buff-browed Foliage-gleaner (Aves:

Furnariidae: Syndactyla rufosuperciliata) supports an Andean-Atlantic forests connection

via the Cerrado. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 133, 198-213.

Campagna, L., Gronau, I., Silveira, L.F., Siepel, A., Lovette, I.J., 2015. Distinguishing noise

from signal in patterns of genomic divergence in a highly polymorphic avian radiation.

Molecular Ecology 24, 4238-4251.

Campagna, L., St. Clair, J.J.H., Lougheed, S.C., Woods, R.W., Imberti, S., Tubaro, P.L., 2012.

Divergence between passerine populations from the Malvinas – Falkland Islands and their

continental counterparts: a comparative phylogeographical study. Biological Journal of the

Linnean Society 106, 865-879.

Capurucho, J.M.G., Cornelius, C., Borges, S.H., Cohn-Haft, M., Aleixo, A., Metzger, J.P., Ribas,

C.C., 2013. Combining phylogeography and landscape genetics of Xenopipo atronitens

(Aves: Pipridae), a white sand campina specialist, to understand Pleistocene landscape

evolution in Amazonia. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 110, 60-76.

Carstens, B.C., Pelletier, T.A., Reid, N.M., Satler, J.D., 2013. How to fail at species delimitation.

Molecular Ecology 22, 4369-4383.

Castro-Astor, I.N., 2014. A Phylogeographic study of two neotropical bird species, the Red-

Headed Manakin (Pipna rubrocapilla) and the White-crowned Manakin (Dixiphia pipra).

Biology. CUNY, CUNY Academic Works.

Castro-Astor, I.N., Alves, M.A.S., Cavalcanti, R.B., 2007. DISPLAY BEHAVIOR AND SPATIAL

DISTRIBUTION OF THE WHITE-CROWNED MANAKIN IN THE ATLANTIC FOREST OF

BRAZIL. The Condor 109, 155-166.

Catchen, J., Hohenlohe, P.A., Bassham, S., Amores, A., Cresko, W.A., 2013. Stacks: an analysis

tool set for population genomics. Molecular Ecology 22, 3124-3140.

Chambers, E.A., Hillis, D.M., 2020. The Multispecies Coalescent Over-Splits Species in the

Case of Geographically Widespread Taxa. Systematic Biology 69, 184-193.

Chapman, F.M., Miller, L.E., Allen, A.A., Richardson, W.B., Cherrie, G.K., 1914. Diagnoses of

apparently new Colombian birds. 3. Bulletin of the AMNH; v. 33, article 40.

Chernomor, O., Minh, B.Q., von Haeseler, A., 2016. Terrace Aware Data Structure for

Phylogenomic Inference from Supermatrices. Systematic Biology 65, 997-1008.

Cheviron, Z.A., Hackett, S.J., Brumfield, R.T., 2006. Sequence variation in the coding region

of the melanocortin-1 receptor gene (MC1R) is not associated with plumage variation in

the blue-crowned manakin (Lepidothrix coronata). Proceedings of the Royal Society B:

Biological Sciences 273, 1613-1618.

Cheviron, Z.A., Hackett, S.J., Capparella, A.P., 2005. Complex evolutionary history of a

Neotropical lowland forest bird (Lepidothrix coronata) and its implications for historical

hypotheses of the origin of Neotropical avian diversity. Molecular Phylogenetics and

Evolution 36, 338-357.

Chifman, J., Kubatko, L., 2014. Quartet Inference from SNP Data Under the Coalescent

Model. Bioinformatics 30, 3317-3324.

Chifman, J., Kubatko, L., 2015. Identifiability of the unrooted species tree topology under

the coalescent model with time-reversible substitution processes, site-specific rate

variation, and invariable sites. Journal of Theoretical Biology 374, 35-47.

Chou, J., Gupta, A., Yaduvanshi, S., Davidson, R., Nute, M., Mirarab, S., Warnow, T., 2015. A

comparative study of SVDquartets and other coalescent-based species tree estimation

methods. BMC Genomics 16, S2.

Counterman, B.A., 2016. Hybrid Speciation. In: Kliman, R.M. (Ed.), Encyclopedia of

Evolutionary Biology. Academic Press, Oxford, pp. 242-248.

Cracraft, J., 1985. Historical Biogeography and Patterns of Differentiation within the South

American Avifauna: Areas of Endemism. Ornithological Monographs, 49-84.

Cracraft, J., Prum, R.O., 1988. PATTERNS AND PROCESSES OF DIVERSIFICATION:

SPECIATION AND HISTORICAL CONGRUENCE IN SOME NEOTROPICAL BIRDS. Evolution

42, 603-620.

Crother, B.I., Murray, C.M., 2011. Ontology of areas of endemism. Journal of Biogeography

38, 1009-1015.

Cunningham, C.W., Collins, T.M., 1994. Developing model systems for molecular

biogeography: Vicariance and interchange in marine invertebrates. In: Schierwater, B.,

Streit, B., Wagner, G.P., DeSalle, R. (Eds.), Molecular Ecology and Evolution: Approaches and

Applications. Birkhäuser Basel, Basel, pp. 405-433.

Da Silva, J., Novaes, F., Oren, D., 2002. Differentiation of Xiphocolaptes (Dendrocolaptidae)

across the river Xingu, Brazilian Amazonia: recognition of a new phylogenetic species and

biogeographic implications. BULLETIN-BRITISH ORNITHOLOGISTS CLUB 122, 185-193.

da Silva, J.M.C., 1995. Biogeographic analysis of the South American Cerrado avifauna.

Steenstrupia 21, 49-67.

da Silva, J.M.C., de Sousa, M.C., H. M. Castelletti, C., 2004. Areas of Endemism for Passerine

Birds in the Atlantic Forest, South America. Global Ecology and Biogeography 13, 85-92.

Da Silva, J.M.C., Rylands , A.B., Da Fonseca, G.A.B., 2005. The Fate of the Amazonian Areas of

Endemism. Conservation Biology 19, 689-694.

de Queiroz, K., 2005. Ernst Mayr and the modern concept of species. Proceedings of the

National Academy of Sciences 102, 6600-6607.

de Schauensee, R.M., 1945. Notes on Colombian flycatchers, manakins and cotingas.

Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia 97, 41-57.

de Schauensee, R.M., 1950. Colombian zoological survey. Part V: New birds from Colombia.

Notulae Naturae (221), 1-13.

Dickinson, E., 2003. The Howard and Moore Complete Checklist of the Birds of the World

Christopher Helm. London.

Diniz-Filho, J.A.F., Soares, T.N., Lima, J.S., Dobrovolski, R., Landeiro, V.L., de Campos Telles,

M.P., Rangel, T.F., Bini, L.M., 2013. Mantel test in population genetics. Genetics and

Molecular Biology 36, 475-485.

Earl, D.A., vonHoldt, B.M., 2012. STRUCTURE HARVESTER: a website and program for

visualizing STRUCTURE output and implementing the Evanno method. Conservation Genet

Resour 4, 359-361.

Evanno, G., Regnaut, S., Goudet, J., 2005. Detecting the number of clusters of individuals

using the software structure: a simulation study. Molecular Ecology 14, 2611-2620.

Fernandes, A., Cohn-Haft, M., Hrbek, T., Farias, I., 2015. Rivers acting as barriers for bird

dispersal in the Amazon. Revista Brasileira de Ornitologia-Brazilian Journal of Ornithology

22, 363-373.

Fernandes, A.M., Gonzalez, J., Wink, M., Aleixo, A., 2013. Multilocus phylogeography of the

Wedge-billed Woodcreeper Glyphorynchus spirurus (Aves, Furnariidae) in lowland

Amazonia: Widespread cryptic diversity and paraphyly reveal a complex diversification

pattern. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 66, 270-282.

Fjeldså, J., 1992. Biogeographic patterns and evolution of the avifauna of relict high-attitude

woodlands of the Andes. Steenstrupia, 9-62.

Flouri, T., Jiao, X., Rannala, B., Yang, Z., 2018. Species Tree Inference with BPP Using

Genomic Sequences and the Multispecies Coalescent. Molecular Biology and Evolution 35,

2585-2593.

Francisco, M.R., Gibbs, H.L., Galetti, M., Lunardi, V.O., Junior, P.M.G., 2007. Genetic structure

in a tropical lek-breeding bird, the blue manakin (Chiroxiphia caudata) in the Brazilian

Atlantic Forest. Molecular Ecology 16, 4908-4918.

Freile, J.F., 2014. First record of White-crowned Manakin Dixiphia pipra in western

Ecuador. Bull. Brit. Orn. Club 134, 238-240.

Gordon, A., Hannon, G., 2010. Fastx-toolkit. FASTQ/A short-reads preprocessing tools

(unpublished) http://hannonlab. cshl. edu/fastx_toolkit 5.

Goslee, S.C., Urban, D.L., 2007. The ecodist package for dissimilarity-based analysis of

ecological data. Journal of Statistical Software 22, 1-19.

Goudet, J., 2005. hierfstat, a package for r to compute and test hierarchical F-statistics.

Molecular Ecology Notes 5, 184-186.

Goudet, J., Perrin, N., Waser, P., 2002. Tests for sex-biased dispersal using bi-parentally

inherited genetic markers. Molecular Ecology 11, 1103-1114.

Grant, P.R., Grant, B.R., 1997. Genetics and the origin of bird species. Proceedings of the

National Academy of Sciences 94, 7768-7775.

Gronau, I., Hubisz, M.J., Gulko, B., Danko, C.G., Siepel, A., 2011. Bayesian inference of ancient

human demography from individual genome sequences. Nature Genetics 43, 1031.

Gubili, C., Ritter, C.D., Motta, J., Farias, I.P., Bates, J., Canton, R., Capurucho, J.M.G., Cornelius,

C., Feldheim, K.A., Ribas, C.C., 2016. Isolation and characterization of polymorphic

microsatellite DNA Markers from an Amazonian white-sand vegetation specialist bird,

Xenopipo atronitens (Aves: Pipridae). The Wilson Journal of Ornithology 128, 668-672.

Guillot, G., Rousset, F., 2013. Dismantling the Mantel tests. Methods in Ecology and

Evolution 4, 336-344.

Haffer, J., 1969. Speciation in Amazonian Forest Birds. Science 165, 131-137.

Haffer, J., 1974. Avian speciation in tropical South America, with a systematic survey of the

toucans (Ramphastidae) and jacamars (Galbulidae) (Publications of the Nuttall

Ornithological Club No. 14, Cambridge, MA).

Haffer, J., 1978. Distribution of Amazon forest birds. Bonner Zoologische Beiträge 29, 38-

78.

Haffer, J., 1985. Avian zoogeography of the Neotropical lowlands. Ornithological

Monographs, 113-146.

Haffer, J., 1992. On the river effect in some forest birds of southern Amazonia. Boletim do

Museu Paraense Emílio Goeldi. Nova série. Zoologia 8, 217-245.

Haffer, J., 2008. Hypotheses to explain the origin of species in Amazonia. Brazilian Journal

of Biology 68, 917-947.

Hartl, D.L., Clark, A.G., 1997. Principles of population genetics. Sinauer associates

Sunderland, MA.

Harvey, M.G., Aleixo, A., Ribas, C.C., Brumfield, R.T., 2017. Habitat Association Predicts

Genetic Diversity and Population Divergence in Amazonian Birds. The American Naturalist

190, 631-648.

Harvey, M.G., Brumfield, R.T., 2015. Genomic variation in a widespread Neotropical bird

(Xenops minutus) reveals divergence, population expansion, and gene flow. Molecular

Phylogenetics and Evolution 83, 305-316.

Hazzi, N.A., Moreno, J.S., Ortiz-Movliav, C., Palacio, R.D., 2018. Biogeographic regions and

events of isolation and diversification of the endemic biota of the tropical Andes.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.

Hermansen, J.S., Sæther, S.A., Elgvin, T.O., Borge, T., Hjelle, E., Sætre, G.-P., 2011. Hybrid

speciation in sparrows I: phenotypic intermediacy, genetic admixture and barriers to gene

flow. Molecular Ecology 20, 3812-3822.

Hijmans, R.J., Williams, E., Vennes, C., 2015. Geosphere: spherical trigonometry. R package

version 1.3-11. URL: https://CRAN. R-project. org/package= geosphere.

Hillis, D.M., 2020. The Detection and Naming of Geographic Variation Within Species.

Herpetological Review, 51:52-56.

Hoang, D.T., Vinh, L.S., Chernomor, O., Minh, B.Q., von Haeseler, A., 2017. UFBoot2:

Improving the Ultrafast Bootstrap Approximation. Molecular Biology and Evolution 35,

518-522.

Hooghiemstra, H., Van der Hammen, T., 2004. Quaternary Ice-Age dynamics in the

Colombian Andes: developing an understanding of our legacy. Philosophical Transactions

of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences 359, 173-181.

Hothorn, T., Bretz, F., Westfall, P., Heiberger, R.M., Schuetzenmeister, A., Scheibe, S., 2013.

multcomp: simultaneous inference in general parametric models. R package version 1.2-18.

Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

Jakobsson, M., Rosenberg, N.A., 2007. CLUMPP: a cluster matching and permutation

program for dealing with label switching and multimodality in analysis of population

structure. Bioinformatics 23, 1801-1806.

Janzen, D.H., 1967. Why Mountain Passes are Higher in the Tropics. The American

Naturalist 101, 233-249.

Jiggins, C.D., Salazar, C., Linares, M., Mavarez, J., 2008. Hybrid trait speciation and Heliconius

butterflies. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 363,

3047-3054.

Jombart, T., 2008. adegenet: a R package for the multivariate analysis of genetic markers.

Bioinformatics 24, 1403-1405.

Kalyaanamoorthy, S., Minh, B.Q., Wong, T.K.F., von Haeseler, A., Jermiin, L.S., 2017.

ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. Nature Methods 14,

587.

Kamvar, Z.N., Tabima, J.F., Grünwald, N.J., 2014. Poppr: an R package for genetic analysis of

populations with clonal, partially clonal, and/or sexual reproduction. PeerJ 2, e281.

Kirwan, G.M., David, N., Gregory, S.M., Jobling, J.A., Steinheimer, F.D., Brito, G.R.R., 2016. The

mistaken manakin: a new genus-group name for Parus pipra Linnaeus, 1758 (Aves:

Passeriformes: Pipridae). Zootaxa 4121, 89-94.

Kirwan, G.M., Green, G., 2012. Cotingas and Manakins. Princeton University Press,

Princeton, NJ.

Kumar, S., Subramanian, S., 2002. Mutation rates in mammalian genomes. Proceedings of

the National Academy of Sciences 99, 803-808.

Lamichhaney, S., Han, F., Webster, M.T., Andersson, L., Grant, B.R., Grant, P.R., 2018. Rapid

hybrid speciation in Darwin's finches. Science 359, 224-228.

Lanfear, R., Frandsen, P.B., Wright, A.M., Senfeld, T., Calcott, B., 2017. PartitionFinder 2:

New Methods for Selecting Partitioned Models of Evolution for Molecular and

Morphological Phylogenetic Analyses. Molecular Biology and Evolution 34, 772-773.

Langmead, B., Trapnell, C., Pop, M., Salzberg, S.L., 2009. Ultrafast and memory-efficient

alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. Genome Biology 10, R25.

Lavretsky, P., Engilis, A., Eadie, J.M., Peters, J.L., 2015. Genetic admixture supports an

ancient hybrid origin of the endangered Hawaiian duck. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 28,

1005-1015.

Lawson, D.J., Hellenthal, G., Myers, S., Falush, D., 2012. Inference of Population Structure

using Dense Haplotype Data. PLOS Genetics 8, e1002453.

Leaché, A.D., Banbury, B.L., Felsenstein, J., de Oca, A.n.-M., Stamatakis, A., 2015. Short Tree,

Long Tree, Right Tree, Wrong Tree: New Acquisition Bias Corrections for Inferring SNP

Phylogenies. Systematic Biology 64, 1032-1047.

Leaché, A.D., Fujita, M.K., Minin, V.N., Bouckaert, R.R., 2014. Species Delimitation using

Genome-Wide SNP Data. Systematic Biology 63, 534-542.

Leaché, A.D., Zhu, T., Rannala, B., Yang, Z., 2018. The Spectre of Too Many Species.

Systematic Biology, syy051-syy051.

Legendre, P., Fortin, M.-J., 2010. Comparison of the Mantel test and alternative approaches

for detecting complex multivariate relationships in the spatial analysis of genetic data.

Molecular Ecology Resources 10, 831-844.

Linck, E.B., Battey, C.J., 2017. Minor allele frequency thresholds strongly affect population

structure inference with genomic datasets. bioRxiv.

Linder, H.P., 2001. On Areas of Endemism, with an Example from the African Restionaceae.

Systematic Biology 50, 892-912.

Long, C., Kubatko, L., 2017. The effect of gene flow on coalescent-based species-tree

inference. arXiv:1710.03806.

Luna, L.W., Souza, T.O., e Silva, W.A.d.G., Schneider, H., Sampaio, I., 2017. Genetic variation

of the endangered Araripe Manakin (Antilophia bokermanni) indicates a history of

demographic decline. Revista Brasileira de Ornitologia 25, 60-66.

Lynch Alfaro, J.W., Boubli, J.P., Paim, F.P., Ribas, C.C., Silva, M.N.F.d., Messias, M.R., Röhe, F.,

Mercês, M.P., Silva Júnior, J.S., Silva, C.R., Pinho, G.M., Koshkarian, G., Nguyen, M.T.T., Harada,

M.L., Rabelo, R.M., Queiroz, H.L., Alfaro, M.E., Farias, I.P., 2015. Biogeography of squirrel

monkeys (genus Saimiri): South-central Amazon origin and rapid pan-Amazonian

diversification of a lowland primate. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 82, 436-454.

MacQueen, J., 1967. Some methods for classification and analysis of multivariate

observations. Proceedings of the Fifth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and

Probability, Volume 1: Statistics. University of California Press, Berkeley, Calif., pp. 281-

297.

Malinsky, M., Trucchi, E., Lawson, D.J., Falush, D., 2018. RADpainter and fineRADstructure:

Population Inference from RADseq Data. Molecular Biology and Evolution 35, 1284-1290.

Mantel, N., 1967. The detection of disease clustering and a generalized regression

approach. Cancer research 27, 209-220.

Marks, B.D., Hackett, S.J., Capparella, A.P., 2002. Historical relationships among Neotropical

lowland forest areas of endemism as determined by mitochondrial DNA sequence variation

within the Wedge-billed Woodcreeper (Aves: Dendrocolaptidae: Glyphorynchus spirurus).

Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 24, 153-167.

Marques, D.A., Meier, J.I., Seehausen, O., 2019. A Combinatorial View on Speciation and

Adaptive Radiation. Trends in Ecology & Evolution.

Mayr, E., 1942. Systematics and the origin of species from the viewpoint of a zoologist.

Columbia University Press.

McDonald, D.B., 2003. MICROSATELLITE DNA EVIDENCE FOR GENE FLOW IN

NEOTROPICAL LEK-MATING LONG-TAILED MANAKINS. The Condor 105, 580-586.

Milá, B., Tavares, E.S., Muñoz Saldaña, A., Karubian, J., Smith, T.B., Baker, A.J., 2012. A Trans-

Amazonian Screening of mtDNA Reveals Deep Intraspecific Divergence in Forest Birds and

Suggests a Vast Underestimation of Species Diversity. PLOS ONE 7, e40541.

Moore, R.P., Robinson, W.D., Lovette, I.J., Robinson, T.R., 2008. Experimental evidence for

extreme dispersal limitation in tropical forest birds. Ecology Letters 11, 960-968.

Morrone, J.J., 2015. Biogeographical regionalisation of the Andean region. Zootaxa 3936,

207-236.

Musher, L.J., Ferreira, M., Auerbach, A.L., McKay, J., Cracraft, J., 2019. Why is Amazonia a

source of biodiversity? Climate-mediated dispersal and synchronous speciation across the

Andes in an avian group (Tityrinae). Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences

286, 20182343.

Naka, L.N., 2011. Avian distribution patterns in the Guiana Shield: implications for the

delimitation of Amazonian areas of endemism. Journal of Biogeography 38, 681-696.

Naka, L.N., Brumfield, R.T., 2018. The dual role of Amazonian rivers in the generation and

maintenance of avian diversity. Science Advances 4.

Nieto Feliner, G., Álvarez, I., Fuertes-Aguilar, J., Heuertz, M., Marques, I., Moharrek, F.,

Piñeiro, R., Riina, R., Rosselló, J.A., Soltis, P.S., Villa-Machío, I., 2017. Is homoploid hybrid

speciation that rare? An empiricist's view. Heredity 118, 513.

Noguera-urbano, E.A., 2016. Areas of endemism: travelling through space and the

unexplored dimension. Systematics and Biodiversity 14, 131-139.

Nyári, Á.S., 2007. Phylogeographic patterns, molecular and vocal differentiation, and

species limits in Schiffornis turdina (Aves). Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 44, 154-

164.

Nylander, J.A.A., Olsson, U., Alström, P., Sanmartín, I., 2008. Accounting for Phylogenetic

Uncertainty in Biogeography: A Bayesian Approach to Dispersal-Vicariance Analysis of the

Thrushes (Aves: Turdus). Systematic Biology 57, 257-268.

Ohlson, J.I., Fjeldså, J., Ericson, P.G.P., 2013. Molecular phylogeny of the manakins (Aves:

Passeriformes: Pipridae), with a new classification and the description of a new genus.

Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 69, 796-804.

Paradis, E., 2010. pegas: an R package for population genetics with an integrated–modular

approach. Bioinformatics 26, 419-420.

Paris, J.R., Stevens, J.R., Catchen, J.M., Johnston, S., 2017. Lost in parameter space: a road

map for stacks. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 8, 1360-1373.

Peterson, B.K., Weber, J.N., Kay, E.H., Fisher, H.S., Hoekstra, H.E., 2012. Double Digest

RADseq: An Inexpensive Method for De Novo SNP Discovery and Genotyping in Model and

Non-Model Species. PLOS ONE 7, e37135.

Petkova, D., Novembre, J., Stephens, M., 2015. Visualizing spatial population structure with

estimated effective migration surfaces. Nature Genetics 48, 94.

Pritchard, J.K., Stephens, M., Donnelly, P., 2000. Inference of Population Structure Using

Multilocus Genotype Data. Genetics 155, 945-959.

Prum, R.O., 1990a. Phylogenetic Analysis of the Evolution of Display Behavior in the

Neotropical Manakins (Aves: Pipridae). Ethology 84, 202-231.

Prum, R.O., 1990b. A test of the monophyly of the manakins (Pipridae) and of the cotingas

(Cotingidae) based on morphology. Occasional Papers of the Museum of Zoology of the

University of Michigan 723, 1-44.

Prum, R.O., 1992. Syringeal morphology, phylogeny, and evolution of the neotropical

manakins (Aves, Pipridae). American Museum novitates; no. 3043.

Prum, R.O., 1994. PHYLOGENETIC ANALYSIS OF THE EVOLUTION OF ALTERNATIVE

SOCIAL BEHAVIOR IN THE MANAKINS (AVES: PIPRIDAE). Evolution 48, 1657-1675.

Pupim, F.N., Sawakuchi, A.O., Almeida, R.P., Ribas, C.C., Kern, A.K., Hartmann, G.A., Chiessi,

C.M., Tamura, L.N., Mineli, T.D., Savian, J.F., Grohmann, C.H., Bertassoli, D.J., Stern, A.G., Cruz,

F.W., Cracraft, J., 2019. Chronology of Terra Firme formation in Amazonian lowlands

reveals a dynamic Quaternary landscape. Quaternary Science Reviews 210, 154-163.

Quintero, I., Jetz, W., 2018. Global elevational diversity and diversification of birds. Nature

555, 246.

Rambaut, A., Drummond, A.J., Xie, D., Baele, G., Suchard, M.A., 2018. Posterior

Summarization in Bayesian Phylogenetics Using Tracer 1.7. Systematic Biology 67, 901-

904.

Räsänen, M.E., Salo, J.S., Kalliola, R.J., 1987. Fluvial perturbance in the western Amazon

basin: regulation by long-term sub-Andean tectonics. Science 238, 1398-1401.

Reichenbach, H.G., 1850. Avium Systema Naturale: Vorläufer einer Iconographie der Arten

der Vögel aller Welttheile, welche, nachdem bereits fast dreitausend Abbildungen

erschienen sind, ununterbrochen fortgesetzt wird. Hofmeister.

Ribas, C.C., Aleixo, A., Nogueira, A.C.R., Miyaki, C.Y., Cracraft, J., 2012. A palaeobiogeographic

model for biotic diversification within Amazonia over the past three million years.

Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 279, 681-689.

Ribas, C.C., Moyle, R.G., Miyaki, C.Y., Cracraft, J., 2007. The assembly of montane biotas:

linking Andean tectonics and climatic oscillations to independent regimes of diversification

in Pionus parrots. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 274, 2399-2408.

Ridgely, R.S., Greenfield, P.J., 2001. The birds of Ecuador: status, distribution, and

taxonomy. Cornell University Press.

Ridgely, R.S., Tudor, G., 2009. Field guide to the songbirds of South America: the passerines.

University of Texas Press.

Ridgway, R., 1906. Descriptions of some new forms of oligomyodian birds. Proceedings of

the Biological Society of Washington, 115-120.

Roure, B., Baurain, D., Philippe, H., 2013. Impact of Missing Data on Phylogenies Inferred

from Empirical Phylogenomic Data Sets. Molecular Biology and Evolution 30, 197-214.

Rousset, F., 1997. Genetic Differentiation and Estimation of Gene Flow from F Statistics

Under Isolation by Distance. Genetics 145, 1219-1228.

Salazar, C., Baxter, S.W., Pardo-Diaz, C., Wu, G., Surridge, A., Linares, M., Bermingham, E.,

Jiggins, C.D., 2010. Genetic Evidence for Hybrid Trait Speciation in Heliconius Butterflies.

PLOS Genetics 6, e1000930.

Saranathan, V., Hamilton, D., Powell, G.V.N., Kroodsma, D.E., Prum, R.O., 2007. Genetic

evidence supports song learning in the three-wattled bellbird Procnias tricarunculata

(Cotingidae). Molecular Ecology 16, 3689-3702.

Schmidt, H.A., Minh, B.Q., von Haeseler, A., Nguyen, L.-T., 2014. IQ-TREE: A Fast and

Effective Stochastic Algorithm for Estimating Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies. Molecular

Biology and Evolution 32, 268-274.

Schmidt-Lebuhn, A.N., Aitken, N.C., Chuah, A., 2017. Species trees from consensus single

nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) data: Testing phylogenetic approaches with simulated and

empirical data. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 116, 192-201.

Schumer, M., Rosenthal, G.G., Andolfatto, P., 2014. HOW COMMON IS HOMOPLOID HYBRID

SPECIATION? Evolution 68, 1553-1560.

Sedano, R.E., Burns, K.J., 2010. Are the Northern Andes a species pump for Neotropical

birds? Phylogenetics and biogeography of a clade of Neotropical tanagers (Aves:

Thraupini). Journal of Biogeography 37, 325-343.

Shafer, A.B.A., Peart, C.R., Tusso, S., Maayan, I., Brelsford, A., Wheat, C.W., Wolf, J.B.W., 2017.

Bioinformatic processing of RAD-seq data dramatically impacts downstream population

genetic inference. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 8, 907-917.

Silva, S.M., Peterson, A.T., Carneiro, L., Burlamaqui, T.C.T., Ribas, C.C., Sousa-Neves, T.,

Miranda, L.S., Fernandes, A.M., d'Horta, F.M., Araújo-Silva, L.E., Batista, R., Bandeira,

C.H.M.M., Dantas, S.M., Ferreira, M., Martins, D.M., Oliveira, J., Rocha, T.C., Sardelli, C.H.,

Thom, G., Rêgo, P.S., Santos, M.P., Sequeira, F., Vallinoto, M., Aleixo, A., 2019. A dynamic

continental moisture gradient drove Amazonian bird diversification. Science Advances 5,

eaat5752.

Smeds, L., Qvarnström, A., Ellegren, H., 2016. Direct estimate of the rate of germline

mutation in a bird. Genome Research 26, 1211-1218.

Smith, B.T., McCormack, J.E., Cuervo, A.M., Hickerson, M.J., Aleixo, A., Cadena, C.D., Perez-

Eman, J., Burney, C.W., Xie, X., Harvey, M.G., Faircloth, B.C., Glenn, T.C., Derryberry, E.P.,

Prejean, J., Fields, S., Brumfield, R.T., 2014. The drivers of tropical speciation. Nature 515,

406-409.

Smith, R.H., 1979. On selection for inbreeding in polygynous animals. Heredity 43, 205.

Snow, D., 1961. THE DISPLAYS OF THE MANAKINS PIPRA PIPRA AND TYRANNEUTES

VIRESCENS*. Ibis 103A, 110-113.

Snow, D.W., 1979. Tityrinae, Pipridae, Cotingidae. In: M. A. Traylor, J. (Ed.), Check-list of

birds of the world. Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard Univ., Cambridge,

Massachusetts, pp. 229-308.

Snow, D.W., 2004. Family Pipridae (Manakins). In: del Hoyo, J., Elliot, A., Christie, D.A.

(Eds.), Handbook of the Birds of the World. Lynx Edicions, Barcelona, pp. 110-169.

Spencer, A., 2012. White-crowned Manakin vocal variation. Xeno-Cango.org.

Stamatakis, A., 2014. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of

large phylogenies. Bioinformatics 30, 1312-1313.

Stopher, K.V., Nussey, D.H., Clutton-Brock, T.H., Guinness, F., Morris, A., Pemberton, J.M.,

2012. Re-mating across years and intralineage polygyny are associated with greater than

expected levels of inbreeding in wild red deer. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 25, 2457-

2469.

Suh, A., 2016. The phylogenomic forest of bird trees contains a hard polytomy at the root of

Neoaves. Zoologica Scripta 45, 50-62.

Sukumaran, J., Knowles, L.L., 2017. Multispecies coalescent delimits structure, not species.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 114, 1607-1612.

Swofford, D.L., 2002. Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (*and Other Methods). Version

4. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts.

Tello, J.G., Moyle, R.G., Marchese, D.J., Cracraft, J., 2009. Phylogeny and phylogenetic

classification of the tyrant flycatchers, cotingas, manakins, and their allies (Aves:

Tyrannides). Cladistics 25, 429-467.

Teske, P.R., Golla, T.R., Sandoval-Castillo, J., Emami-Khoyi, A., van der Lingen, C.D., von der

Heyden, S., Chiazzari, B., Jansen van Vuuren, B., Beheregaray, L.B., 2018. Mitochondrial DNA

is unsuitable to test for isolation by distance. Scientific Reports 8, 8448.

Thom, G., Amaral, F.R.D., Hickerson, M.J., Aleixo, A., Araujo-Silva, L.E., Ribas, C.C., Choueri, E.,

Miyaki, C.Y., 2018. Phenotypic and Genetic Structure Support Gene Flow Generating Gene

Tree Discordances in an Amazonian Floodplain Endemic Species. Systematic Biology 67,

700-718.

Thrasher, D.J., Butcher, B.G., Campagna, L., Webster, M.S., Lovette, I.J., 2018. Double-digest

RAD sequencing outperforms microsatellite loci at assigning paternity and estimating

relatedness: A proof of concept in a highly promiscuous bird. Molecular Ecology Resources

0.

Trifinopoulos, J., Nguyen, L.-T., Minh, B.Q., von Haeseler, A., 2016. W-IQ-TREE: a fast online

phylogenetic tool for maximum likelihood analysis. Nucleic Acids Research 44, W232-

W235.

Vaidya, G., Lohman, D.J., Meier, R., 2011. SequenceMatrix: concatenation software for the

fast assembly of multi-gene datasets with character set and codon information. Cladistics

27, 171-180.

van Els, P., Norambuena, H.V., Etienne, R.S., 2019. From pampa to puna: Biogeography and

diversification of a group of Neotropical obligate grassland birds (Anthus: Motacillidae).

Journal of Zoological Systematics and Evolutionary Research 00: 1– 12.

Voelker, G., 1999. DISPERSAL, VICARIANCE, AND CLOCKS: HISTORICAL BIOGEOGRAPHY

AND SPECIATION IN A COSMOPOLITAN PASSERINE GENUS (ANTHUS: MOTACILLIDAE).

Evolution 53, 1536-1552.

Wallace, A.R., 1854. On the monkeys of the Amazon. Annals and Magazine of Natural

History 14, 451-454.

Waser, P.M., Austad, S.N., Keane, B., 1986. When Should Animals Tolerate Inbreeding? The

American Naturalist 128, 529-537.

Weir, B.S., Cockerham, C.C., 1984. ESTIMATING F-STATISTICS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF

POPULATION STRUCTURE. Evolution 38, 1358-1370.

Weir, J.T., 2006. DIVERGENT TIMING AND PATTERNS OF SPECIES ACCUMULATION IN

LOWLAND AND HIGHLAND NEOTROPICAL BIRDS. Evolution 60, 842-855.

Wen, D., Yu, Y., Zhu, J., Nakhleh, L., 2018. Inferring Phylogenetic Networks Using PhyloNet.

Systematic Biology, syy015-syy015.

Wetmore, A., 1972. The birds of the Republic of Panama. Part 3—Passeriformes:

Dendrocolaptidae (woodcreepers) to Oxyruncidae (sharpbills). Smithsonian Miscellaneous

Collection 150. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, DC.

Wright, S., 1931. Evolution in Mendelian populations. Genetics 16, 97.

Zhang, J., Kapli, P., Pavlidis, P., Stamatakis, A., 2013. A general species delimitation method

with applications to phylogenetic placements. Bioinformatics 29, 2869-2876.

Zhu, J., Wen, D., Yu, Y., Meudt, H.M., Nakhleh, L., 2018. Bayesian inference of phylogenetic

networks from bi-allelic genetic markers. PLOS Computational Biology 14, e1005932.

Zimmer, J.T., 1936. Studies of Peruvian birds. XXII. Notes on the Pipridae. American

Museum novitates; no. 889. 1-29.

1911

1912

1913

1914

1915

1916

1917

1918

1919

1920

1921

1922

1923

1924

1925

1926

1927   **Figure 1.** Neotropical areas of endemism and sampling localities. Amazonian lowland areas

1928   of endemism as portrayed in Da Silva et al. (2005) are highlighted in shades of green, as

1929   well as the Jaú area of endemism (Borges and Da Silva, 2012). Montane Andean, Central

1930   American, Guianan, and dry diagonal regions (>1000m) are emphasized in grey. As

1931   summarized in Da Silva et al. (2005), a sequence of authors identified seven areas of

1932   endemism for lowland birds that retained Wallace's 'Guyana' (Wallace, 1854), split

1933   'Ecuador' into 'Imeri' and 'Napo,' renamed 'Peru' to 'Inambari', and split 'Brazil' into

1934   'Rondônia', 'Pará', and 'Belém' (Cracraft, 1985; Cracraft and Prum, 1988; Haffer, 1978;

1935   Haffer, 1985; Haffer, 1992). 'Pará' was subsequently further partitioned into two regions,

1936   'Tapajós' and 'Xingu,' (Da Silva et al., 2002). Recently, additional sub-partitions have been

1937   proposed for the 'Napo' (Jaú - Borges and Da Silva, 2012), and Guianan areas of endemism

1938   (Naka, 2011). Plotted points indicate field sampling localities for 286 *Pseudopipra*

1939   individuals sequenced for genetic analysis in the present study. Inset top right is an

1940   illustration of the *pipra* subspecies, reproduced from the Handbook of the Birds of the

1941   World, Lynx Edicions.

1942

1943

1944

1945

1946

1947

1948

1949

CENTRAL
AMERICA

GUIANA

IMERI

NAPO

Negro river

Japurá river

Napo river

Putumayo river

Negro river

JAÚ

Amazonas river

Solimões river

Amazonas river

Marañón river

BELEM

INAMBARI

Purus river

Tapajós river

Xingu river

XINGU

Caatinga

Madeira river

Huallaga river

Ucayali river

RONDÔNIA

TAPAJÓS

Cerrado

ANDEAN
MOUNTAINS

ATLANTIC
FOREST

Chaco

Essequibo river

-80   -70   -60   -50   -40

-10

-20

Figure 2. Subspecies sampling. Our genetic sampling of *Pseudopipra pipra* intersects with

the ranges of ten named subspecies. In this figure, we indicate sampling localities as they

overlap with previously identified subspecies ranges. Areas greater than 1000m in

elevation are emphasized in light grey; major Amazonian rivers are indicated in light blue.

Overall distribution reflects the BirdLife International approximation, updated to reflect

current knowledge (BirdLife International Species factsheet: *Pseudopipra pipra*, 2018, also

see Supplemental Figure 1). The ranges of Andean taxa were adjusted based on

unpublished data provided Andrés Cuervo (*personal communication*). Hypothetical

subspecies ranges are based on descriptions in Kirwan and Green (2012) and imply

maximal ranges highlighting key geographic barriers delimiting subspecies. Black dots

indicate sampling for ddRADseq, while crosses indicate sampling for mtDNA ND2.

Triangles indicate sampling localities for male advertisement vocalizations (upward) and

territorial vocalizations (downward). Our sampling encompasses the majority of the

lowland extents of the recognized range of *Pseudopipra*, as well as key montane

populations in Peru, Ecuador, and Central America. Ssp *anthracina, bolivari, minima, unica,*

coracina, occulta, and comata are exclusively montane.

1966

1967

1968

1969

1970

1971

1972

Figure 3. Phylogenetic hypothesis for *Pseudopipra*. Phylogenetic analysis of concatenated

ddRAD sequences generated a well-resolved phylogenetic hypothesis which was largely

congruent across datasets and analytical approaches. Montane clades, including a Northern

Andean (A1) + Central American (A2) clade (A), and a central Peruvian clade (B), are

recovered as nested sister groups to two wide ranging lowland clades (C and D), indicating

that the lowland clades are descended from Andean lineages (Figure 5). Shown is the

RAxML topology generated using the 50% haplotype dataset. Branch lengths are set to

equal for graphical interpretability (see Supplementary Data for original tree files). Colored

circles at tips indicate group membership to one of eighteen population-areas (matching

Figure 4 and 5). Heatmaps at key nodes indicate bootstrap scores from each of six

phylogenetic analyses for 20/50/80% datasets, as indicated in the legend. Black dots on

branches indicate populations which are identified with 100% posterior probability in

fineRADstructure analysis. Most of the major structure is recovered by both RAxML and

SVDquartets with high support, though RAxML recovers additional low-support

substructure in the Northern Amazonian + Guiana Shield clade (D) which is coincident with

several geographic features (clades D1 and D2). A clade of introgressed western Napo

individuals (E) is recovered by all standard phylogenetic analyses as sister to the northern

Amazonian + Guiana shield clade (D). As the history of this clade is characterized by a

complex introgression scenario between northern and southern lineages, a purely

bifurcating tree model is inadequate to describe its relations with other groups (see text

and Figure 6). Also shown are the well supported phylogenetic positions of two distinct

subspecies lineages (*pygmaea* and *coracaina*) from which we were able to obtain mtDNA

(Supplemental Appendix).

Figure 4. Mapping of phylogenetic relationships into geographic space. Here, a simplified

topology is plotted with tips anchored to sampling coordinates. The colors of convex hulls

(i.e., hypotheses of minimum clade ranges) match tip colors in Figure 3 and 5. These

colored hulls represent distinct non-overlapping geographic areas delimited by physical

barriers (though this is somewhat visually confounded in the Northern Amazon + Guiana

Shield clade (D), with two weakly resolved lineages (e.g. pale yellow Jaú and green Guiana

Shield population groups appear to have some overlap in this figure, but they are delimited

by the Rio Negro). Clade D bipartitions are shown with dashed branches (top) to indicate

low support for phylogenetic structure (Figure 3, results). The ancestral habit of the genus

is inferred to be Andean (Figure 5, Supplementary Appendix), with a single origin of

lowland lineages. Also shown at the tips of the simplified tree are median admixture

proportions across sampling localities as inferred with STRUCTURE (Figure 5).

2008

2009

2010

2011

2012

2013

2014

2015

2016

2017

D

D2

D1

A3

A4

coracina

A1

Andean
MRCA

B2

B1

pygmaea

E C1/2 C3 C4 C5 C6 C10 C9 C8

Lowland MRCA

Figure 5. Cluster and admixture analysis of SNP data. Left: RAxML topology using the 50%

haplotype dataset, with tip labels and colors indicating group membership to one of

eighteen population-areas (matching those in Figures 3, 4). Branch colors indicate

ancestral area, according to the results from SIMMAP and maximum parsimony analysis

(Supplemental Appendix), and unambiguously reconstruct the *Pseudopipra* MRCA to have

been Andean. Center: STRUCTURE output for Dataset 1, depicting population assignment

and admixture estimates for K=5. The likelihood of each evaluated number of K clusters

from 1:20 plateaued at K = 5, with the standard deviation across runs increasing rapidly

after this point (Supplemental Figure 2). The five optimized clusters broadly correspond to

wide biogeographic Neotropical regions which coincide with lowland areas of endemism,

Central American, and Peruvian montane regions (Figure 4). Given these five clusters, each

labeled focal region is inferred to have a unique combination of admixture proportions. The

admixture cline inferred from the Xingu to the southern Atlantic Forest appears to be a

product of isolation by distance (Supplementary Appendix), while the signature of

introgression inferred for western Napo lineages appears to be a product of substantial

historical introgression from a northern lineage, into a distinct Southern Amazonian

lineage (see Figure 6 and discussion). See Supplementary Figure 3 for K2-10 results. Right:

clustering solutions for SNP dataset D1-D4m (described in Table 1, also see Supplementary

Figure 2). Black bars indicate distinct clusters which are also detected as monophyletic

groups in the phylogenetic analysis. Red bars indicate one distinct cluster in a given

dataset, but which is paraphyletic with respect to the phylogenetic analysis. The grey bars

for D2m indicate several groups of individuals that were not resolvable in this dataset

(Supplementary Figure 2).

Figure 6. Examination of the introgressed lineage in the western Napo area of endemism.

All of the available genomic evidence is consistent with the hypothesis that individuals in

the western Napo area of endemism are derived from a distinct southern Amazonian

lineage (S2a in Panel A) which is not represented in contemporary samples. This lineage

(S2a) experienced substantial introgression from the ancestral populations of what are

today eastern Napo and Jaú restricted linages. Panel A shows the phylogenetic network (in

black) inferred by PhyloNet, with arrows indicating the direction of introgression

estimated in G-PhoCS. The red arrows along the PhyloNet reticulation indicate inferred

introgression from the northern Amazonian lineages (clade C1 in Figure 3) into this

southern Amazonian lineage (S2a). The dashed grey line connecting S2a/S2 to S1 indicates

possible introgression into Inambari lineages, which may have occurred after or

concurrently with the primary introgression event and brought northern (Clade D) alleles

into SW Amazonian populations (see text and Figure 5). Panel B displays the individual

level co-ancestry matrix for introgressed Napo individuals and their source/progenitor

lineages; darker colors indicate greater co-ancestry. Introgressed (PH: putative hybrid)

hybrids have greater co-ancestry with each of their progenitor lineages (S2a and Northern

Amazon+Guiana shield (abbreviated GS)) than their progenitor lineages share with each

other (PHvGS/progenitors = 1.078, PHvInambari/progenitors = 1.17, see text). In the

proposed scenario, the southern progenitor lineage (S2a) is either unsampled or extinct

and has not persisted sympatrically with S2. Thus, the western Inambari southern lineage

(S1) comprises the closest living relatives to S2a (see discussion). Panel C summarizes co-

ancestry variance as projected into component space after normalizing; the introgressed

lineage is intermediate along PC1 and differentiated along PC2. The level of differentiation

on PC2 corresponds to about 10% of the total variation explained by PC1 and PC2 (~85%).

Panel D summarizes the minimum implied geographic extents of the groups involved (as

sampled in this study). For the convex hull representing the range of the western Napo

lineage, dark shading indicates localities for ddRAD sampling, while light shading indicates

indicates additional localities of the Napo lineage as implied by mtDNA samples. G-PhoCS

demographic parameter estimates are also depicted in panel D (m: migrants per

generation, when lower 95% CI > 1, τ: splitting time in generations (x10^6), θ: effective

population size (10^6), Supplemental Table 5). In A-D, two subpopulations (East and West)

are indicated for each progenitor group, as outlined with outlined minimum convex hulls in

D.

2074

2075

2076

2077

2078

2079

2080

2081

2082

2083

2084

2085

2086

A)

SW Amazon
Inambari
S1 (Clade C1)

S2a

S2 (Clade E)

F

NW Amazon
Jaú + eastern Napo

B)

Jaú — Eastern Napo | Introgressed lineage — West Inambari — East Inambari

Northwest Amazon | Southern Amazon clade

C) coancestry PCA of introgressed + progenitors

+ Eastern Napo + Jaú
○ Western Napo (introgressed)
△ West + East Inambari

Component 2 explains 8.2% of the point variability

Component 1 explains 76.6% of the point variability

D)

major introgression
m: 1.75 [1.05 - 2.59]

Napo

Eastern Napo

Jaú

θ~2.81

possible
minor introgression

S2a/S2 θ~4.95

F

θ~1.43

θ~5.36

τ~0.485

Inambari

S1 θ~2.78

Rondônia

Lat

Long

Figure 7. Estimated Effective migration surface (EEMS). The estimated migration surface

links the topography and drainage system of the Neotropics to spatial patterns of genetic

variation. Shown are results estimated from a model with 2000 demes (vertices). Blue

colors indicate areas where gene flow is more likely to be occurring (i.e., more connectivity

than isolation by distance), while brown colors indicate areas where barriers to gene flow

are highly probable (i.e. less connectivity than isolation by distance). In this analysis, the

Andes and the Amazon River are the most clearly inferred barriers to gene flow. Major

rivers, as well as a >1000m contour (light grey) are overlaid to aid interpretation. EEMS

does a very good job of explaining spatial variance in this dataset (R^2 = 0.871,

Supplementary Figures 10, 11). The model performs poorly in the far western Amazon,

where the inference of a major barrier to gene flow (the Andes) is inferred a few degrees

too far east, pushing other western patterns eastwards. This is likely a consequence of

uneven genetic sampling in this area. Remarkably, EEMS almost perfectly infers the spatial

extents of the lowland Amazonian range (range map outlined in black), despite very limited

genetic sampling toward the edge of the range. EEMS also detects an isolated region of high

connectivity, which corresponds to the introgressed western Napo hybrid lineage.

2103

2104

2105

2106

2107

2108

Posterior mean migration rates

Figure 8. Panel A: Summary of lek vocalization phenotypes. Our analysis identified 11

qualitatively distinct lek vocalization types that can be easily diagnosed by ear, or by visual

inspection of sonograms (arbitrarily labeled numerically). See Supplementary Figure 13 for

vocalization measures. The inset map indicates the minimum implied ranges for each

identified vocalization type, with colors and plotting symbols matching those in PCA plots

(Supplemental Figures 14, 15). The thicker pale blue dashed line indicates where the

'southern Amazon' vocalization type 2 may be shared, apparently plesiomorphically

(Supplementary Figure 16). Vocalization type 2 may therefore occur in other unsampled

areas of the southern Amazon. Type 1 also appears to be shared pleisiomorphically

between populations on the eastern slopes of the Andes (Clade A1) and in populations

from the southern Cordillera Azul in Loreto (Clade B1). Panel B: Summary of call

vocalization phenotypes. Our analysis identified three distinct but similar call vocalization

types that can be diagnosed by ear, or by visual inspection of sonograms. Vocalization type

5 was primarily recorded in the northern Amazon basin, coinciding with the broad ranging

Northern Amazon + Guiana Shield lineage (Clade D). From our initial classification, one

recording from the Atlantic Forest (XC427315) was assigned to type 5, suggesting this type

may be shared between the Guiana Shield clade and the Atlantic Forest clade (not shown,

supplemental discussion). As with lek vocalization type 1, call vocalization type 10 was

shared pleisiomorphically across Andean clades (A1 + B1). Call vocalization type 13 was

entirely restricted to but shared across diverse lowland southern Amazonian forms (Clade

C). Letters to the top right of sonograms indicate explicit [i.e., *A*] or implicit clade

associations [i.e., (*A*)]. See Supplemental Appendix for additional details and discussion.

2131

Table 1. Summary of dataset filtering parameters. We generated eight SNP datasets under

different filtering schemes to evaluate the sensitivity of cluster analyses. For eight SNP

datasets, dataset D1 – D4mod, we note the parameters for each filter: the required

sequencing coverage, the missing data threshold, whether or not a single SNP (single

nucleotide polymorphism) or all SNPs per locus were retained, the minor allele frequency

(MAF), the number of loci, the total number of SNPS represented in a given dataset, and the

average number of SNPs per locus.

2139

2140

2141

2142

2143

2144

2145

2146

2147

2148

2149

2150

2151

2152

2153

Table 1: Summary of dataset filtering parameters

Dataset	coverage	SNP present in	linkage	MAF	loci	SNPs	SNPs/locus
D1	>20x	>80%	1st SNP	>= 5%	1960	1960	1
D1mod	>20x	100%	1st SNP	>= 5%	175	175	1
D2	>20x	>80%	1st SNP	NA	2581	2581	1
D2mod	>20x	100%	1st SNP	NA	250	250	1
D3	>20x	>80%	all SNPs	>= 5%	1960	5099	2.6
D3mod	>20x	100%	all SNPs	>= 5%	177	391	2.2
D4	>20x	>80%	all SNPs	NA	2581	41024	15.9
D4mod	>20x	100%	all SNPs	NA	251	3832	15.3

2154   **Table 2.** Summary of Mantel Tests. For each geographic barrier and sampling region we

2155   were able to evaluate, we report results for analyses using phylogenetic patristic distance

2156   and coancestry, under both linear and log scales. Asterisks indicate p values less than

2157   0.001, which we interpret as statistical significance (see discussion in text).

Table 2: Summary of Mantel Tests

	Patristic distance		Coancestry	
Approximate Barrier	p < 0.001	p < 0.001	p < 0.001	p < 0.001
Cordillera de Talamanca	-	*	-	-
Andes (1)	-	*	-	*
Andes (2)	-	*	-	*
Andes (3)	*	*	-	*
Rio Ucayali	*	*	*	*
Eastern Marañón + Hauallaga Rivers	*	*	*	*
Rio Putumayo	*	*	*	*
Rio Purus	*	*	-	*
Rio Madeira	*	*	*	-
Rio Tapajós	-	*	-	-
Rio Xingu	*	*	-	-
Cerrado (1)	*	*	-	*
Cerrado (2)	*	*	*	*
Rio Japurá	-	*	*	*
Rio Negro	-	-	-	-
Rio Essequibo	*	*	*	*
Rio Amazonas	*	*	*	*
Regions				
Full dataset	*	*	*	*
Central America - Costa Rica (Clade A3)	-	-	-	-
Central America - Panama (Clade A4)	-	-	-	-
North Andean – San Martín (Clade A1)	?	?	?	?
South Andean Peru (North) (Clade B2)	-	-	-	-
South Andean Peru (South) (Clade B1)	-	-	-	-
weakly resolved Guiana Shield (Clade D)	-	-	-	*
unresolved Jaú	-	*	-	*
weakly resolved eastern Napo (Clade D2)	-	-	-	-
weakly resolved Suriname + Amapá (D1)	-	-	-	*
Western Napo introgressed lineage (Clade E)	-	-	-	-
Western Inambari endemic (Clade C1)	*	*	-	*
Eastern Inambari endemic (Clade C3)	-	-	-	-
Rondoǹia endemic (Clade C4)	?	?	?	?
Tapajós endemic (Clade C5)	-	-	-	*
Xingu endemic (Clade C6)	-	-	-	-
Atlantic Forest – Bahia (Clade C8)	-	-	-	-
Atlantic Forest – Espírito Santo (Clade C9)	?	?	?	?
Atlantic Forest – Rio (Clade C10)	*	-	*	*
	linear	**log**	**linear**	**log**