Evidence of Tailocin Persistence and Resistance in *Pseudomonas*

Kandel PP[1], David A. Baltrus[2], Kevin L. Hockett*[1,3]

[1]Department of Plant Pathology and Environmental Microbiology, Pennsylvania State

University, University Park, PA, 16802, USA

[2]School of Plant Sciences, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA

[3]The Huck Institutes of the Life Sciences, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park,

PA 16802, USA

*klh450@psu.edu

Running title: Tailocin persistence in *Pseudomonas*

Abstract

Tailocins are bacterially-produced, phage tail-like bacteriocins that have been proposed as

therapeutics for treatment of bacterial infections. However, we have a limited understanding of

how target populations survive tailocin exposure. In this paper, we demonstrate that cells of a

target population of *Pseudomonas syringae* are able to survive lethal doses of a tailocin through

both physiological and genetic mechanisms with stationary phase cells predominantly surviving

using a physiological mechanism. Regardless of growth phase, a significant fraction of cells that

survived tailocin exposure did not exhibit any increased resistance to subsequent exposure,

indicating that these cells survive by persistence rather than resistance mechanism. Of those cells

that did gain a detectable increase in tailocin resistance, there was a range from insensitive

(complete resistance) to partially sensitive. We also recovered a mutant exhibiting a high-

persistence like phenotype that showed significantly increased survival to transient exposure but

no detectable growth after prolonged tailocin treatment. By sequencing the genome of multiple

types of mutants, we identified several genes linked to lipopolysaccharide (LPS) biogenesis and

implicated in tailocin tolerance and resistance. In particular, we found a specific genomic region

that, when mutated, gave rise to the various classes of resistance and the high persistence

phenotypes. Furthermore, we showed that a hypothetical gene involved in the high persistence

phenotype is transcriptionally fused with the LPS biosynthetic region and contains a signal

peptide and several trans-membrane domains. While the complete resistant mutants had lost their

LPS O-antigen, incomplete resistant mutants contained minor to significant changes in their O-

antigen. This work demonstrates that Gram-negative bacteria can survive tailocin exposure

through multiple strategies, including the first description of a persistence-like mechanism for

tolerating tailocin exposure.

Author Summary

With the rise in antibiotic resistant infections, it has become a necessity to study and find

alternative treatment strategies. Bacteriocins, which are bacterially produced protein toxins, have

been proposed as one of such alternatives. However, a deeper understanding of how target

bacteria respond to bacteriocin exposure is lacking. Here, using a phage-tail like bacteriocin

known as a tailocin, we show that target cells of the important plant pathogen *Pseudomonas*

syringae are able to survive tailocin exposure through both physiological persistence and genetic

resistance mechanisms. We show that bacterial cells that are not growing rapidly rely primarily

on persistence mechanism, whereas rapidly growing cells are more likely to survive through

genetic resistance. By genomic sequencing, we identified various types of mutations in the genes

involved in lipopolysaccharide (LPS) biogenesis that cause increased tailocin persistence and

resistance. In particular, we identified a mutation in a LPS-related genomic region that encodes a

hypothetical protein and causes tailocin high persistence. We conclude that tailocin persistence is

a real phenomenon and effects of both persistence and resistance in long term disease

management needs to be considered before designing tailocins into biocontrol agents.

Introduction

The decreasing efficacy of commonly used antibiotics in treating bacterial infections of humans,

animals, and crop plants is a significant concern for plant and animal health. According to a 2013

report of Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), in the United States alone,

antibiotic resistant infections are estimated to cause two million infections and 23,000 deaths

annually (1), with the number of deaths predicted to reach 10 million per year by 2050 (2).

Although emergence of genetic resistance to antibiotics is a main driver of this trend, increasing

evidence suggests that non-heritable physiological persistence also plays a critical role in both

antibiotic treatment failures and infection relapse (3-6). Resistance is a result of genetic changes

that enable cells to withstand and grow in the presence of higher doses of an antibacterial agent,

whereas persistence is an altered physiological response of a sub-population of genetically

sensitive cells to survive transient lethal treatments (7). In addition to the altered physiological

response that is mainly governed by gene expression changes, persistence can be caused by

genetic mutations in genes associated to these physiological responses. Although resistance and

persistence are considered distinct survival strategies, recent evidence suggests that physiological

persistence can also reduce antibiotic efficacy by promoting the acquisition of resistance (8, 9).

As such, finding alternatives to treat bacterial infections is critical to combat the reduced efficacy

of antibiotic therapies. More importantly, employing the alternative treatments in a manner that

sustains their efficacy is critical for durable pathogen control. Bacteriocins, which are bacterially

produced proteinaceous toxins, have been proposed as antibiotic alternatives (10). However, we

currently lack a fundamental understanding of how bacterial populations are likely to survive

bacteriocin treatments, should they be widely adopted.

Bacteriocins encompass diverse bacterial peptides, proteins, or protein complexes that are

antagonistic mostly toward phylogenetically close relatives of the producer (11, 12). This

potential of bacteriocins to inhibit the growth of competitor bacteria has been already utilized in

food preservation to reduce the growth of food spoiling and pathogenic bacteria (13).

Bacteriocins are classified into different groups based on their structure, composition, and mode

of action. Tailocins are bacteriocins that resemble bacteriophage tails and are grouped into R-

type (with a retractile core tube) and F-type (flexible) (14, 15). Bacteria including the

opportunistic human pathogen *P. aeruginosa* and other environmental Pseudomonads and

Burkholderia are known to produce tailocins that are believed to antagonize competitors

including pathogenic strains among others (16-22). In fact, a recent study by Principe et al.

showed the effectiveness of foliar sprays of tailocins produced by *P. fluorescens* in reducing the

severity and incidence of bacterial-spot disease in tomato caused by *Xanthomonas vesicatoria*

(22). Other studies have also indicated the potential use of engineered R-tailocins in suppressing

food borne pathogens using *in vivo* models (23, 24). Our group has previously characterized a R-

type tailocin from a plant pathogenic bacterium *P. syringae* pv. *syringae* (*Psy*) B728a (25). This

R-type tailocin showed antagonistic potential against several pathovars of *P. syringae* that cause

serious diseases and substantial losses in economically important crops such as common bean

(pv. *phasiolicola*), soybean (pv. *glycinae*), chestnut (pv. *aesculi*), and kiwifruit (pv. *actinidae*)

(25). A broad spectrum of tailocin mediated antagonistic interaction has been recently described

in *P. syringae* (26).

In these systems, tailocins are considered to be potent killers as a single tailocin particle is

predicted to kill a sensitive cell and an induced cell can release as many as 200 particles (27, 28).

R-tailocins kill their targets by binding to the surface receptors and puncturing through the cell

membrane causing dissipation of membrane potential and cell death (11, 27). Tailocins are

known to recognize and bind specific lipopolysaccharides (LPS) components of the target cells

to initiate killing (29-31). LPS is generally composed of three components: the lipid A, core

oligosaccharide, and the O-polysaccharide (O-antigen) region (32, 33). The lipid A, and core

region are mostly conserved within a species. However, the O-antigen region varies extensively

in its chain length and composition of sugars (34). Biosynthesis and transport of LPS to the outer

membrane as well the modification of O-antigen involves complex processes involving a number

of highly diverse genes (33).

Although studies have demonstrated potent bactericidal activity of R-tailocins against pathogenic

strains and have suggested their use in pathogen management (25, 26, 35), limited knowledge

exists with regard to the efficacy of tailocins to provide sustainable pathogen management.

Major limitations related to employing bacteriocins stem from our lack of understanding of how

target pathogens might persist in the face of sustained treatment. Moreover, a detailed

understanding of the genetics of LPS modification in relation to the evolution of tailocin

resistance and persistence in the target pathogen is lacking. This knowledge is fundamental to

assessing the sustainability of tailocins as therapeutic agents.

In this study, we aimed to determine the tolerance and resistance responses of target cells

[*Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *phaseolicola* (*Pph*)] against tailocin produced by *Psy.* We exposed

stationary and logarithmic cultures of *Pph* to lethal doses of tailocin and screened these surviving

cells for resistant and tolerant phenotypes by tailocin re-treatment. We found that pronounced

differences between the frequencies of resistant and persistent cells depending on growth phase

of the target cells. Furthermore, we sequenced and analyzed the genomes of fourteen mutant

lines exhibiting different levels of resistance and tolerance to identify mutations potentially

contributing to each of these phenotypes. Of the heritable mutants, we identified ten unique

mutant alleles with likely roles in the lipopolysaccharide (LPS) O-antigen biosynthesis leading to

various degrees of tailocin resistance. We also identified an open reading frame (ORF) that is

present within the operon containing LPS biogenesis genes and which encodes a hypothetical

protein containing several transmembrane-domains and a signal peptide at its N-terminus. A

mutation within this hypothetical protein led to increased tailocin persistence and stationary and

log phase cells were no more different in tailocin tolerance for this mutant.

Materials and Method

Bacterial strains, media, and culture conditions

All bacterial strains and mutants used in this study are listed in Table 1. *P. syringae* pv. *syringae* (*Psy*) wild-type (WT) strain B728a and its tailocin defective mutant ΔRrbp were used to prepare the treatment supernatants. *P. syringae* pv. *phaseolicola* (*Pph*) 1448A was used as the target strain. Tailocin resistant and tolerant mutants of *Pph* generated in this study are described in Table 2. King's medium B (KB) was used to culture the strains. Liquid cultures were prepared by inoculating individual colonies from a two-day old KB agar plate into 2 ml of liquid medium at 28°C with shaking at 200 rpm.

Tailocin induction and purification

Tailocin or control supernatants for treatment were prepared from logarithmic (log) cultures of *Psy* B728a and ΔRrbp, respectively using a polyethylene glycol (PEG) precipitation protocol as previously described (25, 36). Briefly, 100-fold diluted overnight B728a cultures were sub-cultured for 4-5 hours in KB before inducing with 0.5 µg ml^{-1} final concentration of mitomycin C (GoldBio). Induced cultures were incubated for 24 hours with shaking at 28°C. Next, cells were pelleted by centrifugation and the supernatants were mixed with 10% (w/v) PEG 8000 (FisherScientific) and 1M NaCl. Supernatants were then incubated either in ice for 1 hour and centrifuged at 16,000 g for 30 min at 4°C or incubated overnight at 4°C and centrifuged at 7000g for one hour at 4°C. Pellets were resuspended (1/10- 1/20th of the original volume of the supernatant) in a buffer (10 mM Tris PH 7.0, and 10 mM MgSO4). Two extractions with equal

volume of chloroform were performed to remove residual PEG. Tailocin activity was confirmed

by spotting dilutions of 3-5 µl of both the tailocin and control supernatants onto soft agar

overlays of *Pph*. The relative activity of tailocin was expressed as arbitrary units (AU) as

obtained from the reciprocal of the highest dilution that exhibited visible tailocin killing in an

overlay seeded with $\sim10^8$ CFUs of *Pph* log cells. Lethal killing units of the purified tailocin were

determined using a Poisson distribution of the number of surviving colonies after treatment with

different dilutions of the tailocin as described previously (21, 37).

The relative activity of the purified tailocin was determined to be 10^3-10^4 AU and 1.25×10^7-

4.25×10^9 lethal killing units/ml. The minimum inhibitory concentration was estimated to be 100

AU when exposed to $\sim10^6$ viable target cells at their logarithmic growth. No loss of tailocin

activity was observed for a period of over six months in the buffer (10 mM Tris PH 7.0, and 10

mM MgSO4) at 4°C.

Tailocin treatment and survival assessment for stationary and log cultures

To assess tailocin activity against the stationary and log phase of *Pph*, individual colonies

growing on KB agar plates for ~2 days were inoculated into 2 ml of liquid KB medium.

Following incubation at 28°C with shaking at 200 rpm overnight, the cultures were back diluted

1000-fold into fresh KB. The back diluted cultures were either incubated for 28-30 hours to

prepare stationary cultures, or back-diluted 100-fold at 24 hours and cultured for another 4-6

hours to prepare log cultures (**see Fig S1** for a growth curve of *Pph*).

Stationary cultures were diluted 20,000⁻fold and logarithmic cultures were diluted 1,000-fold

[$\sim10^5$ -10^6 CFUs/ml for both cultures **see Fig 1**] in fresh KB before tailocin treatment. Treatment

was applied by mixing 10 µl of diluted cultures in 90 µl of purified tailocin preparation diluted in

KB. After treatment, samples were incubated for ~ 1 hour at 28°C and washed twice to remove

residual tailocin particles. Washing was performed by mixing the treated culture in 900 µl of

fresh KB followed by centrifugation at 12,000 g for 2 min. The top 900 µl fraction was discarded

and the bottom 100 µl fraction was serially diluted and either spread- or spot-plated to enumerate

surviving population. Plates were incubated at 28°C for 2-3 days before enumeration. Serial

dilutions of both stationary and log cultures were spotted onto KB agar to enumerate the

untreated population. Experiments were performed with various tailocin concentrations (i.e. 100

AU, 500 AU, and 900 AU).

Tailocin re-treatment to differentiate resistance and persistence

Surviving colonies were treated again with tailocin to differentiate them into resistant or

persistent colonies. Re-treatment was performed by an overlay method as described previously

(36), or by broth treatment as discussed above. Overlay method was used to determine the AU of

the tailocin preparation with the selected mutant lines. Broth exposure was used to calculate

reduction in the population of log cultures. Surviving colonies were differentiated into various

phenotypes as follows: persistent (sensitive to tailocin to the wild-type level in both the broth and

overlay method), high -persistent like (completely sensitive in the overlay but survived

significantly more than wild-type under broth condition), incomplete resistant (showed some

level of sensitivity at least in one of the treatment conditions), and complete resistant (were

insensitive under both conditions).

Time dependent death curve with tailocin treatment

Prolonged tailocin exposure was performed with both the stationary and log-phase cultures of

Pph to generate death curves. Treatment was applied in a 96-well plate as before. Surviving

populations were enumerated at 1, 4, 8, and 24 hours following treatment and randomly selected

surviving colonies were re-exposed to tailocin to differentiate them into persistent or resistant

phenotypes.

Tailocin recovery from the treated samples and activity testing

Stationary and log cultures treated with tailocin for 1-24 hours as described above were

centrifuged and the supernatant was collected, and filter sterilized using a 0.22 µm syringe filter.

Supernatants were diluted 5-, 10-, 50-, and 100-fold in KB and spotted on *Pph* overlay. Purified

tailocin particles diluted in KB were also included as control treatment.

Determining the effect of stationary and log supernatant on tailocin activity

Stationary and log phase cultures were prepared as described above by culturing *Pph* cells in KB

broth for either 28-30 hours or for 4-6 hours, respectively. Cultures were centrifuged for 2 min at

12,000 g and the supernatant was filter sterilized using a 0.2 µm syringe filter. Stationary and log

supernatants were diluted 1,000-20,000-fold in KB (according to how the cultures were diluted

for tailocin treatment). Various dilutions (10-, 50-, 100-, and 1000-folds) of purified tailocin

were prepared in the stationary and log supernatants. Dilutions were spotted on a *Pph* lawn using

the overlay method. AU of the of the tailocin suspensions were calculated as described above.

LPS extraction and visualization

LPS extraction was performed as described by Davis and Goldberg (38) from pellets of one

milliliter of overnight cultures (OD_{600} 0.5). After extraction, 10 µl samples were separated by

SDS-PAGE. Samples were labeled using the Pro-Q Emerald 300 glycoprotein stain kit

(ThermoFisher Scientific, #P21857) according to manufacturer's instructions. For LPS size

determination, CandyCane™ glycoprotein molecular weight standards (ThermoFisher Scientific,

#C21852) were included. Gels were visualized using Molecular Imager Gel-Doc XR+ (Bio-Rad)

with Image Lab Software.

Genome sequencing and analysis of the tailocin high-persistent and resistant mutants

The tailocin high-persistent like (HPL) and complete and incomplete resistant mutants recovered

from tailocin treatment of *Pph* wild-type cells (and confirmed by re-treatment) were selected for

genome sequencing. DNA was extracted from the overnight cultures using Promega Wizard

Genomic DNA purification Kit using manufacturer's protocol. DNA quantity and quality were

assessed with Qubit 3 Fluorometer using Qubit™ dsDNA HS Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher

Scientific) and Nanodrop 2000 (Thermo Scientific). A uniquely indexed library from each

mutant line was prepared using Illumina DNA Flex kit (Illumina). An approximately equimolar

pool of libraries was generated and 150bp paired-end reads were sequenced on an Illumina

MiSeq.

Resulting forward and reverse read files were paired and mapped to the *Pph* 1448A

chromosomal and plasmid sequences using Geneious R10.2 with default parameters for medium

sensitivity. Next, genetic variants were identified using 'Find variations/SNPs' program within

Geneious using default settings. Regions supported by a minimum of 10 reads and >90% variant

frequency were selected. Moreover, variants shared among all the mutants that were generated in

independent experiments were discarded as misalignments. Variants identified by Geneious were

confirmed in the contigs generated by De Novo assembly of the paired reads using SPAdes

3.11.0 using K-mer sizes of 21, 33, 55, 77, 99, 127 with careful mode selected to minimize

mismatches and short indels. Indels were also detected and visualized in the contigs by Harvest

suit tools (39). Sequencing generated 1,986,400 -2,857,324 paired reads per genome with 48-

69X genome coverage. *De novo* assembly generated 308-338 contigs per genome with the N50s

of 75,222-81,220bp. The total assembly size was 5.97 Mbp with a GC content of 57.96%, values

similar to *Pph* reference genome (40).

Presence absence and homology searches of the selected genomic regions and genes implicated

in tailocin resistance and tolerance were performed with NCBI and IMG-JGI databases using

BLAST algorithm using the *Pph* sequences as query. InterProScan (41) and Phobious program

within the Geneious plugin was used to predict functional domains in the amino acid sequences.

Statistical analysis

Means of total and surviving population between treatments were compared using the Glimmix

protocol in SAS 9.4 with experimental repeat used as a random factor. Whenever required, post

hoc analysis was performed with Tukey's Honest Significant Difference (Tukey HSD) test at 5%

significance level (*P*=0.05).

Results

Tailocin persistence increased in the stationary state

Purified tailocin supernatant was used to test its killing effects on stationary and log phase

cultures of the *Pph* target cells in a broth environment. After an hour of 100 AU tailocin

treatment, a consistent reduction (3.59 ± 0.12 log reduction) in the viable population occurred for

logarithmic cultures, while a significantly lower reduction (1.38 ± 0.14 log reduction) occurred

for the stationary cultures. Further analysis showed that, upon treatment of equivalent number of

viable cells, stationary cells consistently survived 10 to 100-fold more than the logarithmic cells

(**Fig 1** and **Fig S2**). Surviving colonies, especially those from the stationary phase, were

predominantly sensitive upon tailocin re-exposure suggesting survival by persistence mechanism

(see below).

A persistent sub-population was maintained under prolonged exposure time and increased

concentration of tailocin

Tailocin treatments were applied to both stationary and log cultures of *Pph* for up to 24 hours

with enumeration of surviving population before and after 1, 4, 8, and 24 hours of tailocin

treatment to generate a tailocin death curve. After a steep reduction in the population within the

first hour of tailocin treatment, further killing of the cells that survived the first hour treatment,

did not occur in either culture (**Fig 2A**). Twenty-four hours post-treatment, although the overall

population increased (**Fig 2A**), individual treatments showed different results: for some replicate

treatments the population remained constant suggesting maintenance of the persistence state,

while for some other replicates, population increased due to division of cells that acquired

tailocin resistance (see **Fig S3**).

Upon tailocin re-treatment, >90% of stationary and >60% of log cells that survived the first hour

treatment, were as sensitive as the WT (i.e. persistent) as in (**Fig 2B**). The proportion of

persistent survivors was higher in the stationary cultures compared to the log cultures at all time

points (**Fig 2B**). Tailocin persistent cells were recovered from both cultures even after 24 hours

of tailocin treatment, although the proportion decreased over time (**Fig 2A**). Tailocin activity

(see **Fig S4**) was detected in the supernatants recovered from the treated samples that contained

tolerant cells, confirming saturation of tailocin in the treatment. Although a slight reduction of

activity was observed when the tailocin preparation was mixed with undiluted stationary

supertant compared to log supertanant, no difference was detected upon diluting the supertants

up to 1,000-20,000-fold (as the cultures were diluted for tailocin treatment) before mixing with

tailocin (**Fig S5**). This suggested that the increased tailocin tolerance in the stationary phase is

not related to inhibition of tailocin activity by an extracellular component.

Upon treating the cells with a concentrated tailocin (900 AU) the surviving population decreased

such that no difference in survival between the stationary and log cultures was detected (**Fig 3A**).

However, even with this higher level of tailocin applied, the proportion of tailocin persistent cells

remained higher for stationary phase survivors than that for the log phase survivors (**Fig 3B**).

Tailocin exposure selected for heritable mutants showing heterogenous resistance and

increased persistence

In addition to the recovery of tailocin persistent sub-population, we recovered complete tailocin

resistant mutants (i.e. heritable mutants that are insensitive to lethal doses of tailocin), and

incomplete resistant (IR) mutants (see **Fig. 2B and 3B** for proportion) that were still sensitive to

tailocin, but with sensitivity significantly decreased compared to the wild-type cells both in

liquid-broth and agar-overlay conditions (**Fig 4A and 4B**). Moreover, we recovered an unique

phenotype, refered here as high persistent-like (HPL), that, compared to the wild-type, showed

increased persistence in liquid-broth treatment but equivalent sensitivity in an agar overlay

setting (**Fig 4A and 4B**). Both the IR and HPL phenotypes were heritable as progeny colonies

carried the same phenotype. Furthermore, the HPL phenotype did not differ in survival between

the stationary and log phase (**Fig 5**).

LPS analysis of the mutants and the wild-type *Pph* showed that the complete resistant mutants

lacked fully-formed O-antigens, whereas the high-persistent and majority of incomplete resistant

mutants still possessed the O-antigen with subtle changes. One of the incomplete resistant

mutants (IR4), however, showed a very different and faint O-antigen band (**Fig S6**).

Mutants showed modification of genes of lipopolysaccharide biogenesis by single-nucleotide

polymorphisms and mobilization of miniature inverted-repeat transposable element

(MITE)

Genome sequencing and variant identification of the high-tolerant like (n=1), incomplete

resistant (n=6), and resistant mutants (n=4) was performed by mapping the Illumina reads with

the parental *Pph* 1448A reference sequence. Mutations were detected in several potential genes

responsible for lipopolysaccharide O-antigen biosynthesis in the complete and incomplete

resistant mutants (**Table 2**). Mutants isolated at different experiments showed mutation in a

different gene. A specific region (**Fig 6**) was identified in the *Pph* genome that showed the most

prominent role in tailocin tolerance and resistance. Even within a single gene (PSPPH_0957),

different phenotypes were identified depending on the nature of the mutation (**see Table 2 and**

Fig 6). Moreover, one of the incomplete resistant mutant class (IR6) showed mobilization of the

100 bp MITE sequence present in the *Pph* genome as described by Bardaji et al (42). The

insertion of this MITE inactivated the target gene (PSPPH_0963) causing the incomplete

resistance phenotype. The high tolerant mutant had a 16 bp deletion that caused frameshift in the

ORF of a transmembrane domain containing hypothetical protein.

Bioinformatics of mutated genes

Genes identified to have a role in tailocin activity (see **Table 2** for a list) were assessed for their

presence and similarity to those found in other *Pseudomonas* genomes. PSPPH_0957 is

predicted to encode a glycosyl transferase (family 1) and conferred both complete and

incomplete resistance phenotypes depending on the type of mutation (**see Fig 6)** and is present

across majority of sequenced *P. syringae* isolates. However, the region from PSPPH_0958 to

PSPPH_0964 displays much more variation within *P. syringae.* For instance, a pv. *glycinea* race

4 isolate, which is closely related to *Pph,* lacks this region. Although predicted orthologs for

some of the genes in this region were present, PSPPH_0963, and PSPPH_0964 that occur

contigiously in an operon were less abundant even within *P. syringae* (present only in 87

genomes out of 287 *P. syringae* genomes in IMG-JGI database). Orthologs of these two genes

were not found in the genomes of pv. tomato (DC3000), *Psy* (B728a)*,* and *Pgy* (R4)*,* but were

found in strains of pv. *actinidiae*, pv. *morsprunorum*, pv. *aesculi* and some environmental

isolates**.** Although the incomplete resistance gene (PSPPH_0963) was identified to have a

FAD/NAD(P) binding cytoplasmic domain with a potential role in LPS biogenesis (IMG

product name UDP-galactopyranose mutase), no functional role could be predicted for the

hypothetical gene involved in the high-persistent like phenotype. Nevertheless, it possesses ten

predicted transmembrane domains and a signal peptide domain at its N-terminus.

Discussion

There has been a renewed research interests in alternative treatment strategies for bacterial

pathogens due mainly to the growing threats of antibiotic resistant infections. Tailocins have

long been proposed as effective and more specific alternatives to broad spectrum antibiotics.

However, the evolutionary dynamics of tailocin persistence and resistance have not been widely

investigated. In this study, we addressed this fundamental concern using a phage-tail like

bacteriocin (i.e. tailocin) produced by *P. syringae* pv. *syringae* strain B728a in killing target cells

of *P. syringae* pv. *phaseolicola* 1448A. Upon expoure of a lethal dose of tailocin to equal

numbers of stationary and logarithmic *Pph* cells, a higher fraction of stationary cells survived

than cells in log phase. Upon re-exposing surviving cells, a majority of the cells were sensitive to

the wild-type level, suggesting that non-heritable persistence rather than heritable resistance was

the predominant survival mechanism , particularly in the stationary phase. A prolonged tailocin

exposure generated a killing pattern similar to the one reported for persistent sub-population

upon antibiotic treatment (7, 43). Persistence was maintained for at least 24 hours with tailocin

exposure, a phenomenon that was more evident in some experimental replicates in which

resistance evolution was not observed. However, by increasing the tailocin concentration in the

treatment, we showed that most of the persistent survivors were killed, and the difference in

survival between the two growth phases was no longer seen. Upon re-exposure, however,

stationary phase-derived cells exhibited a higher fraction of persistence. Overall, these

experiments using various tailocin concentration and exposure time suggested that tailocin

persistence is dependent on concentration rather than duration of exposure. We showed that

increasing the concentration of the tailocin treatment enabled killing of even the highly persistent

stationary cells. This indicated that the stationary cells may require multiple hits by tailocin

particles as opposed to the one-hit-one-kill mechanism of killing described for tailocins (27, 28),

or that the probabilty of a successful hit in stationary phase is lower than in log phase. Since

tailocins are specific to their targets, and are not known to have off-target effects, higher

concentration of tailocin could be used to achieve a more effective pathogen control. However,

although at a low level, persistence was still maintained even with high-dose tailocin treatment

and inherent emergence of either complete or incomplete resistance was frequently observed. As

such, although a significant reduction in pathogen population and disease pressure can be

obtained with tailocins, a stand-alone tailocin treatment might not be enough to achieve a

sustainable pathogen control.

The use of the term 'persistence' in relation to antimicrobial survival is disputed to some extent

and is sometimes used interchangably with 'tolerence' and 'viable but not culturable state'. In

this paper, we used the term 'persistence' as this trait was only seen in a sub-population, resulted

in a bi-phasic death curve, the surviving persister cells resuscitated in the absence of the tailocin

treatment, and were equally sensitive to the wild-type cells upon re-exposure. This definition of

persistence has been suggested previously (7). Persistence to antimicrobials is being increasingly

recognized for its role in antimicrobial treatment failures with bacterial infections (44). Various

mechanisms such as formation of persister-subpopulation or biofilms are implicated in the

maintainance of persistence (45, 46). However, persistence responses can be different based on

the stresses involved and their mode of action as reported previously with different antibiotic

treatments (47). Several toxin-antitoxin (TA) systems are implicated in the formation of

persister-subpopulation (4, 6, 48). TA systems were shown to be induced when cells were

starved for certain sugars and amino acids or by exposure to osmotic stresses that altered ATP

levels in the cell (47, 49). However, TA system activation did not always induce persister

formation (49). Additionally, recent findings have indicated a mechanism mediated by the

guanosine pentaphosphate/tetraphosphate (ppGpp) for persister formation that is not dependent

on a TA system (50). Instead, a strong stationary state effect, that likely involved starvation

response, was shown to increase persistence by 100-1,000 fold in *Staphylococcus aureus* with

ciprofloxacin treatment (49). Whether similar mechanisms of TA and/or ppGpp systems regulate

tailocin persistence or a specific mechanism for tailocin and/or related bacteriophage exists,

remains to be determined. Nevertheless, our data of the difference in tailocin tolerance between

the stationary and log cultures suggests that metabolic inactivity and starvation induced stress

could be a strong factor in tailocin persistence.

Few previous studies have demonstrated growth-phase dependent differences in LPS O-antigen

chain length and composition or their regulatory pathways (51, 52). Furthermore, in a previous

study with *P. fluorescens,* exponentially growing cells had a significantly higher rate of cell lysis

than stationary or decline phase cells with bacteriophage PhiS1 (53). It could be possible that the

stationary phase cells possess an altered O-antigen that interferes with tailocin binding and target

recognition, causing the difference in tailocin survival between the two growth phases. This

could be supported by our observation that un-diluted stationary phase supernatant inhibited

tailocin activity to some extent compared to the log phase supernatant. Moreover, the finding that

mutation in one of the hypothetical proteins containing a signal peptide and several trans-

membrane domains caused increased tailocin persistence is noteworthy. More importantly, the

stationary and log state difference in tailocin survival was lost for this mutant. Since the

hypothetical protein occurs in the same operon as other LPS biogenesis genes, it is likely that it

plays a role in O-antigen biogenesis and maintenance, thereby reducing tailocin interaction with

the cells.

Another mechanism that can cause increased survival to surface active antimicrobials (eg.

phages and host immune defenses) is phase variation (54). Phase variation is a gene regulation

system that induces heterogenous expression of specific genes in a clonal population (54-56).

Phase variation is heritable but reversible as the cells go through generations. The 'ON' 'OFF'

switch occurs randomly amounting to 10^{-4} to 10^{-1} per generation, significantly greater than is

expected by mutational events (57). Phase variation has been shown to modify LPS operons in *S.*

enterica spp (58). Moreover, temporal development of phage resistance in *S. enterica* serovar

Typhimurium by phase variable glucosylation of the O-antigen has been evidenced (59). In

addition, phase variation in *P. fluorescence* has been demonstrated during rhizoshpere

colonization of Alfala. The phase variants were enhanced in motility by production of a longer

flagella than the wild type cells and were able to colonoze distal end of the roots (60). It can be

expected that phase variation could be a part of tailocin survival mechanism, particularly because

of the fact that LPS, that is commonly modified in bateria by phase variation, serves as the

receptor for tailocins. Previous studies with treatments of bacteriophage that recognize the target

cell LPS have evidenced the role of phase variation in phage defense (61, 62), including in the

closely related *P. aeruginosa* (63). However, whether tailocin persistence observed here is the

result of phase variable LPS genes, needs further assessement. Nevertheless, in one of the

incomplete resistant mutants, the target gene was modified by movement and integration of an

internal mobile genetic element (MGE). MGEs have been described to have a role in causing

phase variation in other bacterial systems (64, 65). Further highthroughput screening techniques

that can test the sensitivities of thousands of progeny CFUS of the incomplete resistant mutants

will be required to determine the rate of switch to confirm if this is a case of phase variation.

To our knowledge, the incomplete resistant phenotypes observed here have not been described

before with bacteriocins although few studies have discussed bacteriocin persistence (66, 67) .

Here, using a phage-tail like bacteriocin, we showed that resistant lines with various degrees of

sensitivity are selceted by exposure of target cells to tailocins. As the complete phage resistant

mutants have been shown to be defective in virulence and fitness (68), incomplete resistance,

which likely has a less pronounced fitness cost, might be a better survival strategy. In the

incomplete resistant mutants, the LPS O-antigen region was present, but modified at a gross

level, unlike in the complete resistant mutants that had completely lost their O-antigen.

Preserving the O-antigen and still surviving the tailocin or phage attack may prevent the fitness

cost associated with complete resistance evolution. Further tests that will compare the virulence

and fitness traits of the incomplete resistant mutants together with the wild-type and resistant

lines will be required to confirm these hypotheses.

The LPS region of *Pph* containing genes involved in incomplete resistance and tolerance was not

found in some other isolates of *P. syringae*. For instance, pathovar *glycinea* (*Pgy*) race 4, that is

very closely related to *Pph* (69, 70) and occurs within the same genomospecies (genomospecies

2) and phylogroup (phylogroup 3) based genome-genome hybridization and multi-locus

sequence typing of housekeeping genes (71, 72), respectively, lacked this genomic region and

the homologs of some of the genes occuring in this region. However, even though *Pgy* race 4

lacks this LPS region, this strain was shown to be tailocin sensitive in previous studies (25, 26).

This supports our observation that mutation of this region does not completely eliminate tailocin

sensitivity, but gives rise to various incomplete resistant and tolerant phenotypes.

Although LPS O-antigens are known to be tailocin receptors, the involvement of specific genes

and LPS regions in tailocin recognition has not been clarified in *P. syringae*. In this study, we

identified several genes of LPS O-antigen biosynthesis, and showed that mutations of some of

these genes causes complete loss of O-antigen and therefore complete tailocin resistant mutants.

On the other hand, other mutations at the same or different genes cause potential change in the

O-antigen chain lengh and composition causing incomplete resistance. Some complete resistant

mutants showed a typical rough-colony morphotype due to lack of O-antigen. Rough-colony

morphotypes lacking O-antigen were resistant to phages as described previously in *P. syringae*

pv. *morsprunorum* isolates from plum and cherry (73). Moreover, these rough colony

morphotypes showed reduced virulence in the plants (73). In other plant pathogenic bacteria such

as *Xanthomonas* oryzae pv. oryzae and *Xylella fastidiosa,* loss of O-antigen, respectively,

reduced type III secretion into plants (74), and increased recognition of the pathogen by the host

immune response (75). In both cases, plant virulence of the mutants was significantly reduced

(74, 76). This sugests that the complete tailocin resistant mutants that lack the fully-formed O-

antigen might, in fact, loose plant fitness. Other means of survival such as tolerance, and

incomplete resistance described here might therefore serve to counter the fittness cost associated

with gaining complete tailocin resistance, enabling survival from the attack of both the co-

infecting competitiors as well as host defense responses.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the following sources for KLH: the USDA National Institute of

Food and Federal Appropriations under project PEN04648 and Accession 1015871, the USDA

NIFA Foundational Program [2019-67013-29353], and the Lloyd Huck Early Career

Professorship. Authors appreciate Hockett lab members Stacey Scott, Hanareia Ehau-

Taumaunau, Andrew May, Chad Fautt, Max Aleman, and Mary Smith; and Dr. Tim Miyashiro's

group at the Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology for helpful discussion. We also

thank Penn State ICS-ACI for allowing access to their high-performance computinng platform

for analysis of our genomic data.

References

1. CDC. Antibiotic resistance threats in the United States, 2013. CDC. 2013.

2. Sugden R, Kelly R, Davies S. Combatting antimicrobial resistance globally. Nat Microbiol. 2016;1:16187.

3. Fauvart M, De Groote VN, Michiels J. Role of persister cells in chronic infections: clinical relevance and perspectives on anti-persister therapies. J Med Microbiol. 2011;60(Pt 6):699-709.

4. Grant SS, Hung DT. Persistent bacterial infections, antibiotic tolerance, and the oxidative

stress response Virulence. 2013;4(4):273-83.

5. Meylan S, Andrews IW, Collins JJ. Targeting antibiotic tolerance, pathogen by pathogen. Cell.

2018;172(6):1228-38.

6. Shidore T, Triplett LR. Toxin-antitoxin systems: implications for plant disease. Annu Rev

Phytopathol. 2017;55:161-79.

7. Brauner A, Fridman O, Gefen O, Balaban NQ. Distinguishing between resistance, tolerance

and persistence to antibiotic treatment. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2016;14(5):320-30.

8. Cohen Nadia R, Lobritz Michael A, Collins James J. Microbial persistence and the road to

drug resistance. Cell Host Microbe. 2013;13(6):632-42.

9. Levin-Reisman I, Ronin I, Gefen O, Braniss I, Shoresh N, Balaban NQ. Antibiotic tolerance

facilitates the evolution of resistance. Science. 2017;355(6327):826.

10. Cotter PD, Ross RP, Hill C. Bacteriocins - a viable alternative to antibiotics? Nat Rev

Microbiol. 2013;11(2):95-105.

11. Ghequire MGK, De Mot R. The tailocin tale: peeling off phage tails. Trends Microbiol.

2015;23(10):587-90.

12. Baba T, Schneewind O. Instruments of microbial warfare: bacteriocin synthesis, toxicity and

immunity. Trends Microbiol. 1998;6(2):66-71.

13. Cotter PD, Hill C, Ross RP. Bacteriocins: developing innate immunity for food. Nat Rev

Microbiol. 2005;3:777.

14. Nakayama K, Takashima K, Ishihara H, Shinomiya T, Kageyama M, Kanaya S, et al. The R-

type pyocin of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is related to P2 phage, and the F-type is related to

lambda phage. Mol Microbiol. 2000;38(2):213-31.

15. Michel-Briand Y, Baysse C. The pyocins of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Biochimie. 2002;84(5-
6):499-510.

16. Smidt ML, Vidaver AK. Isolation and characterization of syringacin W-1, a bacteriocin
produced by *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *syringae*. Can J Microbiol. 1986;32(3):231-6.

17. Dorosky RJ, Yu JM, Pierson LS, Pierson EA. *Pseudomonas chlororaphis* produces two
distinct R-tailocins that contribute to bacterial competition in biofilms and on roots. Appl
Environ Microbiol. 2017;83(15):e00706-17.

18. Ghequire MGK, Dillen Y, Lambrichts I, Proost P, Wattiez R, De Mot R. Different ancestries
of R tailocins in rhizospheric *Pseudomonas* isolates. Genome Biol Evol. 2015;7(10):2810-28.

19. Yao GW, Duarte I, Le TT, Carmody L, LiPuma JJ, Young R, et al. A broad-host-range
tailocin from *Burkholderia cenocepacia*. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2017;83(10):e03414-16.

20. Vidaver AK, Mathys ML, Thomas ME, Schuster ML. Bacteriocins of the phytopathogens
Pseudomonas syringae, *P. glycinea*, and *P. phaseolicola*. Can J Microbiol. 1972;18(6):705-13.

21. Haag WL, Vidaver AK. Purification and characterization of syringacin 4-A, a bacteriocin
from *Pseudomonas syringae* 4-A. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 1974;6(1):76-83.

22. Principe A, Fernandez M, Torasso M, Godino A, Fischer S. Effectiveness of tailocins
produced by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* SF4c in controlling the bacterial-spot disease in
tomatoes caused by *Xanthomonas vesicatoria*. Microbiol Res. 2018;212-213:94-102.

23. Ritchie JM, Greenwich JL, Davis BM, Bronson RT, Gebhart D, Williams SR, et al. An
Escherichia coli O157-specific engineered pyocin prevents and ameliorates infection by *E. coli*
O157:H7 in an animal model of diarrheal disease. Antimicrob Agents Chemother.
2011;55(12):5469-74.

24. Scholl D, Cooley M, Williams SR, Gebhart D, Martin D, Bates A, et al. An engineered R-type

pyocin is a highly specific and sensitive bactericidal agent for the food-borne pathogen

Escherichia coli O157:H7. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2009;53(7):3074-80.

25. Hockett KL, Renner T, Baltrus DA. Independent co-option of a tailed bacteriophage into a

killing complex in *Pseudomonas*. mBio. 2015;6(4):e00452.

26. Baltrus DA, Clark M, Smith C, Hockett KL. Localized recombination drives diversification of

killing spectra for phage-derived syringacins. ISME J. 2019;13(2):237-49.

27. Scholl D. Phage tail-like bacteriocins. Annu Rev Virol. 2017;4(1):453-67.

28. Matsui H, Sano Y, Ishihara H, Shinomiya T. Regulation of pyocin genes in *Pseudomonas*

aeruginosa by positive (prtN) and negative (prtR) regulatory genes. J Bacteriol.

1993;175(5):1257-63.

29. Filiatrault MJ, Munson RS, Campagnari AA. Genetic analysis of a pyocin-resistant

lipooligosaccharide (LOS) mutant of *Haemophilus ducreyi*: restoration of full-length LOS

restores pyocin sensitivity. J Bacteriol. 2001;183(19):5756.

30. Hockett KL, Clark M, Scott S, Baltrus DA. Conditionally redundant bacteriocin targeting by

Pseudomonas syringae. bioRxiv. 2017:167593.

31. Kohler T, Donner V, van Delden C. Lipopolysaccharide as shield and receptor for R-pyocin-

mediated killing in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J Bacteriol. 2010;192(7):1921-8.

32. Maldonado RF, Sa-Correia I, Valvano MA. Lipopolysaccharide modification in Gram-

negative bacteria during chronic infection. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2016;40(4):480-93.

33. Whitfield C, Trent MS. Biosynthesis and export of bacterial lipopolysaccharides. Annu Rev

Biochem. 2014;83(1):99-128.

34. Lerouge I, Vanderleyden J. O-antigen structural variation: mechanisms and possible roles in

animal/plant-microbe interactions. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2002;26(1):17-47.

35. Vidaver AK. Prospects for control of phytopathogenic bacteria by bacteriophages and

bacteriocins. Annu Rev Phytopathol. 1976;14(1):451-65.

36. Hockett KL, Baltrus DA. Use of the soft-agar overlay technique to screen for bacterially

produced inhibitory compounds. J Vis Exp. 2017(119).

37. Mayr-Harting A, Hedges AJ, Berkeley RCW. Methods for Studying Bacteriocins. In: Norris

JR, Ribbons DW, editors. Methods in Microbiology. 7: Academic Press; 1972. p. 315-422.

38. Davis MR, Jr., Goldberg JB. Purification and visualization of lipopolysaccharide from Gram-

negative bacteria by hot aqueous-phenol extraction. J Vis Exp. 2012(63).

39. Treangen TJ, Ondov BD, Koren S, Phillippy AM. The Harvest suite for rapid core-genome

alignment and visualization of thousands of intraspecific microbial genomes. Genome Biol.

2014;15(11):524.

40. Joardar V, Lindeberg M, Jackson RW, Selengut J, Dodson R, Brinkac LM, et al. Whole-

genome sequence analysis of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *phaseolicola* 1448A reveals

divergence among pathovars in genes involved in virulence and transposition. J Bacteriol.

2005;187(18):6488-98.

41. Quevillon E, Silventoinen V, Pillai S, Harte N, Mulder N, Apweiler R, et al. InterProScan:

protein domains identifier. Nucleic Acids Res. 2005;33(Web Server issue):W116-20.

42. Bardaji L, Anorga M, Jackson RW, Martinez-Bilbao A, Yanguas-Casas N, Murillo J.

Miniature transposable sequences are frequently mobilized in the bacterial plant pathogen

Pseudomonas syringae pv. *phaseolicola*. PLoS One. 2011;6(10):e25773.

43. Kaldalu N, Hauryliuk V, Tenson T. Persisters-as elusive as ever. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol.

2016;100(15):6545-53.

44. Lewis K. Persister cells. Annu Rev Microbiol. 2010;64:357-72.

45. Behiels E, Wen Y, Devreese B. Toxin–Antitoxin systems: their role in persistence, biofilm

formation, and pathogenicity. Pathog Dis. 2014;70(3):240-9.

46. Wang X, Wood TK. Toxin-antitoxin systems influence biofilm and persister cell formation

and the general stress response. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2011;77(16):5577.

47. Shan Y, Brown Gandt A, Rowe SE, Deisinger JP, Conlon BP, Lewis K. ATP-dependent

persister formation in *Escherichia coli*. mBio. 2017;8(1):e02267-16.

48. Martins PMM, Merfa MV, Takita MA, De Souza AA. Persistence in phytopathogenic

bacteria: do we know enough? Front Microbiol. 2018;9:1099-.

49. Conlon BP, Rowe SE, Gandt AB, Nuxoll AS, Donegan NP, Zalis EA, et al. Persister

formation in *Staphylococcus aureus* is associated with ATP depletion. Nat Microbiol.

2016;1:16051.

50. Song S, Wood TK. ppGpp ribosome dimerization model for bacterial persister formation and

resuscitation. bioRxiv. 2019:663658.

51. Bravo D, Silva C, Carter JA, Hoare A, Alvarez SA, Blondel CJ, et al. Growth-phase

regulation of lipopolysaccharide O-antigen chain length influences serum resistance in serovars

of *Salmonella*. J Med Microbiol. 2008;57(Pt 8):938-46.

52. Lahtinen P, Brzezinska A, Skurnik M. Temperature and growth phase regulate the

transcription of the o-antigen gene cluster of *Yersinia enterocolitica* O:3. In: Skurnik M,

Bengoechea JA, Granfors K, editors. The Genus Yersinia: Entering the Functional Genomic

Era. Boston, MA: Springer US; 2003. p. 289-92.

53. Sillankorva S, Oliveira R, Vieira MJ, Sutherland I, Azeredo J. *Pseudomonas fluorescens*

infection by bacteriophage PhiS1: the influence of temperature, host growth phase and media.

FEMS Microbiol Lett. 2004;241(1):13-20.

54. Bayliss CD. Determinants of phase variation rate and the fitness implications of differing rates

for bacterial pathogens and commensals. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2009;33(3):504-20.

55. Henderson IR, Owen P, Nataro JP. Molecular switches--the ON and OFF of bacterial phase

variation. Mol Microbiol. 1999;33(5):919-32.

56. van der Woude MW. Phase variation: how to create and coordinate population diversity. Curr

Opin Microbiol. 2011;14(2):205-11.

57. van der Woude MW. Re-examining the role and random nature of phase variation. FEMS

Microbiol Lett. 2006;254(2):190-7.

58. Broadbent SE, Davies MR, van der Woude MW. Phase variation controls expression of

Salmonella lipopolysaccharide modification genes by a DNA methylation-dependent

mechanism. Mol Microbiol. 2010;77(2):337-53.

59. Kim M, Ryu S. Spontaneous and transient defence against bacteriophage by phase-variable

glucosylation of O-antigen in *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium. Mol Microbiol.

2012;86(2):411-25.

60. Sánchez-Contreras M, Martín M, Villacieros M, O'Gara F, Bonilla I, Rivilla R. Phenotypic

selection and phase variation occur during alfalfa root colonization by *Pseudomonas*

fluorescens F113. J Bacteriol. 2002;184(6):1587-96.

61. Seed KD, Faruque SM, Mekalanos JJ, Calderwood SB, Qadri F, Camilli A. Phase variable O

antigen biosynthetic genes control expression of the major protective antigen and

bacteriophage receptor in *Vibrio cholerae* O1. PLoS Pathog. 2012;8(9):e1002917-e.

62. Cota I, Sánchez-Romero MA, Hernández SB, Pucciarelli MG, García-del Portillo F,

Casadesús J. Epigenetic control of *Salmonella enterica* o-antigen chain length: a tradeoff

between virulence and bacteriophage resistance. PLOS Genet. 2015;11(11):e1005667.

63. Webb JS, Lau M, Kjelleberg S. Bacteriophage and phenotypic variation in *Pseudomonas*

aeruginosa biofilm development. J Bacteriol. 2004;186(23):8066.

64. Szekely E, Simon M. DNA sequence adjacent to flagellar genes and evolution of flagellar-

phase variation. J Bacteriol. 1983;155(1):74.

65. Ziebuhr W, Krimmer V, Rachid S, Lossner I, Gotz F, Hacker J. A novel mechanism of phase

variation of virulence in *Staphylococcus epidermidis*: evidence for control of the

polysaccharide intercellular adhesin synthesis by alternating insertion and excision of the

insertion sequence element IS256. Mol Microbiol. 1999;32(2):345-56.

66. Wu S, Yu P-L, Flint S. Persister cell formation of *Listeria monocytogenes* in response to

natural antimicrobial agent nisin. Food Control. 2017;77:243-50.

67. Wu S, Yu PL, Wheeler D, Flint S. Transcriptomic study on persistence and survival of

Listeria monocytogenes following lethal treatment with nisin. Journal of global antimicrobial

resistance. 2018;15:25-31.

68. León M, Bastías R. Virulence reduction in bacteriophage resistant bacteria. Front Microbiol.

2015;6:343-.

69. Baltrus DA, Nishimura MT, Romanchuk A, Chang JH, Mukhtar MS, Cherkis K, et al.

Dynamic evolution of pathogenicity revealed by sequencing and comparative genomics of 19

Pseudomonas syringae isolates. PLoS Pathog. 2011;7(7):e1002132.

70. Vinatzer BA, Weisberg AJ, Monteil CL, Elmarakeby HA, Sheppard SK, Heath LS. A

proposal for a genome similarity-based taxonomy for plant-pathogenic bacteria that is

sufficiently precise to reflect phylogeny, host range, and outbreak affiliation applied to

Pseudomonas syringae sensu lato as a proof of concept. Phytopathology. 2017;107(1):18-28.

71. Berge O, Monteil CL, Bartoli C, Chandeysson C, Guilbaud C, Sands DC, et al. A user's guide

to a data base of the diversity of *Pseudomonas syringae* and its application to classifying

strains in this phylogenetic complex. PLoS One. 2014;9(9):e105547.

72. Bull CT, Clarke CR, Cai R, Vinatzer BA, Jardini TM, Koike ST. Multilocus sequence typing

of *Pseudomonas syringae* sensu lato confirms previously described genomospecies and permits

rapid identification of *P. syringae* pv. *coriandricola* and *P. syringae* pv. *apii* causing bacterial

leaf spot on parsley. Phytopathology. 2011;101(7):847-58.

73. Zamze SE, Smith ARW, Hignett RC. Composition of lipopolysaccharide from strains of

Pseudomonas syringae pv. *morsprunorum* of differing host specificity and virulence. J Gen

Microbiol. 1985;131(8):1941-50.

74. Wang L, Vinogradov EV, Bogdanove AJ. Requirement of the lipopolysaccharide O-chain

biosynthesis gene *wxocB* for type III secretion and virulence of *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv.

Oryzicola. J Bacteriol. 2013;195(9):1959-69.

75. Rapicavoli JN, Blanco-Ulate B, Muszynski A, Figueroa-Balderas R, Morales-Cruz A, Azadi

P, et al. Lipopolysaccharide O-antigen delays plant innate immune recognition of *Xylella*

fastidiosa. Nat Commun. 2018;9(1):390.

76. Clifford JC, Rapicavoli JN, Roper MC. A rhamnose-rich O-antigen mediates adhesion,

virulence, and host colonization for the xylem-limited phytopathogen *Xylella fastidiosa*. Mol

Plant Microbe Interact. 2013;26(6):676-85.

77. Feil H, Feil WS, Chain P, Larimer F, DiBartolo G, Copeland A, et al. Comparison of the

complete genome sequences of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *syringae* B728a and pv. *tomato*

DC3000. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2005;102(31):11064-9.

Table 1. Bacterial strains and mutants used in this study

Strain	Background	Characteristics	Source
B728a	*P. syringae* pv. *syringae* (*Psy*)	Tailocin producing WT strain	(77)
B728a:ΔRrbp	*Psy*	A tailocin deficient B728a mutant	(25)
1448a	*P. syringae* pv. *syringae* (*Pph*)	A tailocin sensitive WT strain	(40)

Table 2. Predicted mutation and genomic changes in tailocin tolerant and resistant mutant

Mutant	Log_reduction	Locus	Gene	Change	Gene abundance across *P. syringae* isolates
Pph	4.1±0.219	wt	-	-	
HPL	2.95±0.36	PSPPH_0964	Hypothetical protein	16 bp deletion, frameshift	Low
IR1 (BRM279)	0.48±0.08	PSPPH_3226	Glycosyl transferase	T>P	High
IR2 (BRM233)	0.78±0.28	PSPPH_2810	4-amino-4-deoxy-L-arabinose transferase	S>I	High
IR3 (I3)	0.33±0.14	PSPPH_0960	Glycosyl transferase family 2	(N>I)	High
IR4 (BRM11)	-0.10±0.05	PSPPH_0957	Glycosyl transferase	T insertion, extra AA added at the end	High
IR5 (BRM334)	-0.1±0.07	PSPPH_0520	Glycosyl transferase	H>R	High
IR6 (I6)	-0.260±0.06	PSPPH_0963	FAD- dependent oxidoreductase	100 bp insertion,	Low

				truncated protein (mite)	
R1 (BRM16)	-0.26±0.06	PSPPH_0957	Glycosyl transferase	C>A substitution, truncated protein	High
R2 (BRM15)	-0.17±0.08	PSPPH_0957	Glycosyl transferase	E>K	High
R3 (BRM258)	-0.19±0.15	PSPPH_0952	Nucleoside-diphosphate-sugar epimerase	R>W	High
R4 (BRM328)	-0.22±0.17	PSPPH_0983	Glycosyl transferase	Frameshift	High

Figure legends

Fig 1. Difference in tailocin survival between stationary and log phase cultures of *Pph*.

Cultures were treated with a lethal dose of tailocin and viable cells pre- and post-treatment were

enumerated. Experiments were repeated at least five times with 3-6 biological replicates per

time. Mean and standard error of mean are graphed. *P*<0.05 indicate significant differences

within grouped bars as analyzed in SAS 9.4 with proc Glimmix.

Fig 2. Time dependent dynamics of tailocin survial. **A)** Stationary and log cultures of *Pph*

were treated with tailocin and the viable population was enumerated before and 1, 4, 8, and 24

hours post-treatment. Three independent experiments were performed with 3-6 biological

replicates per time. Mean and standard error of mean are graphed. Different letters represent

significant differences as analyzed in SAS 9.4 with proc Glimmix at *P*=0.05. **B)** Percentage of

surviving colony phenotye upon tailocin re-treatment. Randomly selected surviving colonies

(n=12-44 for each growth phase and hours of treatment) from the initial tailocin treatment were

sub-cultured and re-treated with tailocin, and percentage of the surviving phenotype was

calculated. Colonies recovered from three independent experiments were used for the re-

treatment to calculate this percentage.

Fig 3. **Dynamics of tailocin survival with concentrated tailocin treatment**. **A)** Cultures were

treated with high dose of tailocin (900 AU) and viable populations pre- and post-treatment were

determined. Experiments were repeated at least three times with 3-6 biological replicates per

time. Mean and standard error of mean are graphed. *P*<0.05 indicates significant difference

within grouped bars as analyzed in SAS 9.4 with proc Glimmix. **B)** Percentage of surviving

colony phenotype after treatment with concentrated (900 AU) tailocin for one hour. Although

most of the surviving colonies were either incomplete resistant or resistant, tolerant cells

persisted even at high tailocin concentration. Surviving colonies were tested during three

independently repeated experiments for both cultures.

Fig 4. **Treatment response of tailocin persistent and resistant lines. A)** Reduction in the

population of tailocin persistent and resistant mutant lines upon re-treatment with tailocin. Log

cultures of each lines were treated with 900 AU of tailocin and change in the population was

calculated after an hour of tailocin treatment. At least three separate colonies of each lines were

tested and experiments were repeated a minimum of three times. Means of the difference in log

transformed viable population pre- and post treatment are graphed. Error bars indicate stantard

error of mean. **B)** Assessement of response of mutant lines to tailocin under overlay condition.

Dilutions of tailocins (shown on the left most column) were spotted over the culture lawn of each

of the lines. Yellow line indicates the dilution upto wchich visible killing was observed. HPL;

high persistent-like, IR; incomplet resistant, R; resistant.

Fig 5. Dynamics of tailocin survival for the high persistent-like (HPL) mutant. Cultures

were treated with various tailocin doses and viable cells pre- and post-treatment were

enumerated. Means and standard error from three independently repeated experiments with at

least three biological replicates per experiment are reported. More killing occurred at high

tailocin concentration and no difference in stationary and log phage was observed. *P>0.05*

indicate no significant differences within grouped bars as analyzed in SAS 9.4 with proc

Glimmix.

Fig 6. **Mapping a genomic region (PSPPH_0957- PSPPH_0964) of *Pph* that showed**

prominent effect on tailocin sensitivity and resistance. Mutations of this region gave rise to

multiple phenotypes that differed in tailocin tolerance/resistance. Refer Table 2 for a complete

list of genes at this and other genomic locations.

Figure

Figure

Figure

Figure

Figure

Figure