Structural variation of the malaria-associated human glycophorin A-B-E region

Sandra Louzada (1,6,7), Walid Algady (2), Eleanor Weyell (2), Luciana W. Zuccherato (3),

Paulina Brajer (2), Faisal Almalki (2), Marilia O Scliar (4), Michel S Naslavsky (4), Guilherme L

Yamamoto (4), Yeda A O Duarte (5), Maria Rita Passos-Bueno (4), Mayana Zatz (4), Fengtang

Yang (1), Edward J Hollox (2) *

1. Wellcome Sanger Institute, Hinxton, Cambridge, UK

2. Department of Genetics and Genome Biology, University of Leicester, UK

3. Department of Parasitology, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte,

Brazil

4. Human Genome and Stem Cell Research Center, Department of Genetics and

Evolutionary Biology, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo,

Brazil.

5. School of Nursing, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

6. Present address: Laboratory of Cytogenomics and Animal Genomics (CAG),

Department of Genetics and Biotechnology, University of Trás-os-Montes and Alto

Douro (UTAD), Vila Real, Portugal

7. Present address: BioISI – Biosystems & Integrative Sciences Institute, Faculty of Sciences,

University of Lisboa, Lisbon, Portugal

* Corresponding author

Abstract

Approximately 5% of the human genome consists of structural variants, which are enriched for

genes involved in the immune response and cell-cell interactions. A well-established region of

extensive structural variation is the glycophorin gene cluster, comprising three tandemly-

repeated regions about 120kb in length, carrying the highly homologous genes *GYPA*, *GYPB*

and *GYPE*. Glycophorin A and glycophorin B are glycoproteins present at high levels on the

surface of erythrocytes, and they have been suggested to act as decoy receptors for viral

pathogens. They act as receptors for invasion of a causative agent of malaria, *Plasmodium*

falciparum. A particular complex structural variant (DUP4) that creates a *GYPB/GYPA* fusion

gene is known to confer resistance to malaria. Many other structural variants exist, and remain

poorly characterised. Here, we analyse sequences from 6466 genomes from across the world for

structural variation at the glycophorin locus, confirming 15 variants in the 1000 Genomes

project cohort, discovering 9 new variants, and characterising a selection using fibre-FISH and

breakpoint mapping. We identify variants predicted to create novel fusion genes and a common

inversion duplication variant at appreciable frequencies in West Africans. We show that almost

all variants can be explained by unequal cross over events (non-allelic homologous

recombination, NAHR) and. by comparing the structural variant breakpoints with

recombination hotspot maps, show the importance of a particular meiotic recombination
hotspot on structural variant formation in this region.
41
42 **Keywords**
43 Structural variation, copy number variation, inversion, immune response, glycophorin, *GYPA*,
44 *GYPB*, *GYPE*, erythrocytes, malaria

45   **Introduction**
46
Human genetic variation encompasses single nucleotide variation, short insertion-deletions and
structural variation. Structural variation includes copy number variation, tandem repeat
variation, inversion and polymorphic retrotransposons. Structural variation is responsible for
much of the differences in DNA sequence between individual human genomes (Sudmant et al.
2015; Zarrei et al. 2015; Hehir-Kwa et al. 2016), yet analysis of the phenotypic importance of
structural variation has lagged behind the rapid progress made in studies of single nucleotide
variation (Hollox and Hoh 2014; Usher and McCarroll 2015; Huddleston and Eichler 2016). This
is mainly because of technical limitations in detecting, characterising, and genotyping structural
variants both directly (Cantsilieris et al. 2014) and indirectly by imputation (Handsaker et al.
2015). However, a combination of new technical approaches using genome sequencing data to
detect structural variation and larger datasets allowing more robust imputation of structural
variation have begun to show that some structural variants at an appreciable frequency in
populations do indeed contribute to clinically-important phenotypes (Sekar et al. 2016; Raffield
et al. 2018).
61
One such example is the identification of a structural variant called DUP4 at the human
glycophorin gene locus, which confers a reduced risk of severe malaria and protection against
malarial anemia (Leffler et al. 2017; Algady et al. 2018; Ndila et al. 2018). The glycophorin gene
locus consists of three ~120 kb tandem repeats sharing ~97% identity, each repeat carrying a
closely-related glycophorin gene, starting from the centromeric end: glycophorin E (*GYPE*),
glycophorin B (*GYPB*) and glycophorin A (*GYPA*) (Vignal et al. 1990; Onda et al. 1994). Large
tandem repeats, like the glycophorin locus, are prone to genomic rearrangements, and indeed
the DUP4 variant is a complex variant that generates a *GYPB-GYPA* fusion gene, with potential
somatic variation in fusion gene copy number (Leffler et al. 2017; Algady et al. 2018). The
mechanism of resistance to malaria of this gene is not fully understood, but although both
glycophorin A and glycophorin B interact with receptors on *Plasmodium falciparum*, recent data
suggest that alteration of receptor-ligand interactions is not important. Instead, it seems likely
that DUP4 is associated with more complex alterations in the protein levels at the red blood cell
surface resulting in increased red blood cell tension, mediating its protective effect against *P.*
falciparum invasion (Kariuki et al. 2018). Given the size of effect of DUP4 in protection against
malaria (odds ratio ~0.6) and the frequency of the allele (up to 13% in Tanzania), it is clinically
very significant, although it appears to be geographically restricted to East Africa (Leffler et al.
2017; Algady et al. 2018).
80
Other structural variants in the glycophorin region have been identified in the 1000 Genomes
project samples by using sequence read depth analysis of 1.6kb bins combined with a Hidden

Markov Model approach to identify regions of copy number gain and loss (Leffler et al. 2017).

This builds upon identification of extensive CNV is this area by array CGH (Conrad et al. 2009)

and indeed by previous analysis of rare MNS (Miltenberger) blood groups, such as M^K, caused

by homozygous deletion of both *GYPA* and *GYPB* (Vignal et al. 1990). The variants were

classified as DUP and DEL representing gain and loss of sequence read depth respectively.

Although only DUP4 has been found to be robustly associated with clinical malaria phenotypes,

it is possible that some of the other structural variants are also protective, but are either rare,

recurrent, or both rare and recurrent, making imputation from flanking SNP haplotypes and

genetic association with clinical phenotypes challenging.

It is important, therefore, to extend the catalogue of structural variants at this locus and robustly

characterise their nature and likely effect on the number of full-length and fusion glycophorin

genes. In this study we use sequence read depth analysis of 6466 genomes from across the

world, followed by direct analysis of structural variants using fibre-FISH and breakpoint

mapping using paralogue-specific PCR and Sanger sequencing. This will allow future

development of robust yet simple PCR-based assays for each structural variant and detailed

analysis of the phenotypic consequences of particular structural variants on malaria infection

and other traits. We also examine the pattern of structural variation breakpoints in relation to

their mechanism of generation and known meiotic recombination hotspots within the region,

and the relative allele frequencies across the world. Together, this allows us to gain some

insight into the evolutionary context of the extensive structural variation at the glycophorin

locus.

Methods

Sequencing data

Sequence alignment files (.bam format7) from four cohorts (1000 Genomes Project ENA

accession number PRJNA262923) with a mean coverage of 7.4x (Auton et al. 2015), Simons

Diversity Project ENA accession number PRJEB9586 with a mean coverage of 43x (Mallick et al.

2016), and the Gambian Genome Diversity project mean coverage 4x, ENA study IDs

ERP001420, ERP001781, ERP002150, ERP002385) (Band et al. 2019) were downloaded from the

European Nucleotide Archive or from the International Genome Sample Resource site

http://www.internationalgenome.org/data-portal/ (Clarke et al. 2017). Brazilian sequence

alignment files from the SABE (Health, Wellbeing and Aging) study (Barbosa et al. 2005) and a

sample of cognitively healthy octogenarians enrolled at the Human Genome and Stem Cell

Research Center (80+), with a mean coverage of 30x for 1324 individuals generated at Human

Longevity Inc. (HLI, San Diego, California) (Telenti et al. 2016).

DNA sequences from the 1000 Genomes project and the Simons diversity project had been

previously aligned to reference GRCh37 (hg19) to generate the alignment bam files. The

exception is sample NA18605, which was previously sequenced at high coverage (Lan et al.

2017) downloaded as paired-end Illumina sequences in fastq format (ENA sample accession

number SAMN00001619), and aligned to GRCh37 using standard approaches: FastQC v0.11.5

and Cutadapt v01.11 to trim reads and adapters, mapping using BWA-MEM v0.7.15,

processing of the BAM files using SAMtools v1.8, local realignment was done using GATK v3.6

and duplicate reads marked using Picard v.1 and removed using SAMtools. Samples from the

Brazilian genomes and the Gambian genome diversity project had been aligned to GRCh38.

Throughout this paper, all loci are given using GRCh37 reference genome coordinates. For

analyses on GRCh38 alignments, genome coordinates were translated from the GRCh37

coordinates using the Liftover tool within the UCSC Genome Browser (Kent et al. 2002).

Structural variant detection

For each sample, we used SAMtools (SAMtools view –c –F 4) (Li et al. 2009) on indexed

bam files to count mapped reads to the glycophorin region (chr4:144745739-145069133) and a

reference region chr4:145516270-145842585. The reference region has no segmental duplications,

and is absent from copy number variation according to the gold standard track of the database

of Genomic Variants (DGV) (MacDonald et al. 2014). A ratio of the number or reads mapping to

the glycophorin region to the number of reads mapping to the reference region allows an

estimate of the total increase or decrease of sequence depth spanning the glycophorin region

(reflecting copy number gain or copy number loss, respectively). Following plotting these data

for each cohort on a histogram and observation of distinct clusters (supplementary figure 1),

samples with a ratio below 0.9 were classified as potential deletions and those above 1.1

potential duplications.

For the samples with potential deletions and duplications, number of mapped reads was

calculated across the glycophorin region in 5kb non-overlapping windows, and values,

normalised to average read count and diploid copy number, were plotted. Presence and nature

of structural variants were assessed by examination of the plots, and particular variants called

by plotting together with a reference sample for that variant. For the Simons Diversity Project

samples, 114 potential deletions were identified, much more than in other cohorts

(Supplementary figure 1). Inspection of these plots showed that 101 of these samples showed a

small apparent ~15kb deletion at the *GYPE* gene. This deletion was not found previously by

others (Leffler et al. 2017) or by us in any other cohort, and coincides with a region of low

mappability, suggesting that this may be an artefact caused either by particular filtering

conditions or the particular genome assembly (GRCh37d5) that includes decoy sequences.

These 101 samples were treated as being homozygous for the reference structure.

Fiber-FISH

The probes used in this study included four WIBR-2 fosmid clones selected from the

UCSC Genome Browser GRCh37/hg19 assembly and a 3632-bp PCR product that is specific for

the glycophorin E repeat (Algady et al. 2018). Probes were made by amplification with

GenomePlex Whole Genome Amplification Kits (Sigma-Aldrich) as described previously

(Gribble et al. 2013). Briefly, the purified fosmid DNA and the PCR product were amplified and

then labeled as follow: G248P86579F1, G248P89366H1 and glycophorin E repeat-specific PCR

product were labeled with digoxigenin-11-dUTP, G248P8211G10 was labeled with biotin-16-

dUTP, G248P85804F12 was labeled with DNP-11-dUTP and G248P80757F7 was labeled with

Cy5-dUTP. All labeled dUTPs were purchased from Jena Bioscience.

The preparation of single-molecule DNA fibers by molecular combing and fiber-FISH

was as previously published (Louzada et al. 2017), with the exception of post-hybridization

washes, which consisted of three 5-min washes in 2× SSC at 42°C, instead of two 20-min washes

in 50% formamide/50% 2× SSC at room temperature.

Breakpoint analysis using PCR and Sanger sequencing

Using the 5kb window sequence read count data, PCR primers were designed so that a

PCR product spanned the predicted breakpoints for each deletion and duplication. The 3′

nucleotide for each PCR primer was designed to match uniquely to a particular glycophorin

repeat, and to mismatch the other two glycophorin repeats. Annealing specificity of the PCR

primer was enhanced by incorporating a locked nucleic acid at that particular 3′ position of the

PCR primer (Latorra et al. 2003). Long-range PCR amplification used 10 ng genomic DNA in a

final volume of 25.5 μl, including 0.5 μl of each 10μM primer, 0.075U *Pfu* DNA polymerase,

0.625U *Taq* DNA polymerase, and 2.25 μl of PCR buffer (45 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.8), 11 mM

ammonium sulphate, 4.5 mM magnesium chloride, 6.7 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 4.4 mM EDTA

(pH 8.0), 113 μg/mL non-acetylated Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA) (Ambion®) and 1 mM of

each dNTP (Promega) (Jeffreys et al. 1990)). The reaction was thermal cycled as follows: 94°C 1

minute, followed by 20 cycles of 94°C for 15s, x°C for 10 minutes, followed by 15 cycles of 94°C

for 15s, x°C for 10 minutes+15s for each successive cycle, followed by a final extension at 72°C

for 10 minutes, where x is the annealing temperature for a particular primer pair shown in

supplementary table 1. PCR products were purified using agarose gel electrophoresis (Ma and

Difazio 2008) and Sanger sequenced using standard approaches. PCR primers are shown in

supplementary table 1. Multiple alignments with paralogous reference sequences used MAFFT

v7 (Katoh and Standley 2013) available at the EMBL-EBI Job Dispatcher framework (Li et al.

2015). A breakpoint was called in the transition region between three paralogous sequence

variants corresponding to one glycophorin repeat and three paralogous sequence variants

corresponding to the alternative glycophorin repeat.

Breakpoint analysis using high depth sequences

For particular variants, copy number breakpoints were refined by inspecting sequence

read depth in 1kb windows spanning the likely breakpoints identified by the 5kb window

analysis. Changes in read depth were then confirmed directly using the Integrative Genome

Viewer (Thorvaldsdóttir et al. 2012).

Nomenclature of variants

We used the same nomenclature as Leffler et al. 2017 when our variant could be

identified as the same variant in the same sample from the 1000 Genomes project. In some

instances, we could not distinguish particular singleton variants called from more common

called variants. For example, DUP27 carried by sample NA12249 could not easily be

distinguished from the more frequent DUP2, and DUP24 carried by HG04038 could not be

distinguished from DUP8. Other variants, which either had not been unambiguously identified

in the 1000 Genomes previously or were identified in other sample cohorts, were given DEL or

DUP numbers following on from variants catalogued previously.

Analysis of recombination hotspots

Previously published data on hotspot location and type (Pratto et al. 2014) was

converted to BED format and intersected with the breakpoint locations in BED format using

BEDTools v 2.28.0 (Quinlan and Hall 2010). The statistical significance of the overlap was

calculated using the fisher command in BEDTools, which uses a Fisher's exact test on the

number of overlaps observed between two BED files.

Results

Structural variation using sequence read depth analysis

Previous work by us and others has shown that unbalanced structural variation - that is,

variation that causes a copy number change - can be effectively discovered by measuring the

relative depth of sequence reads across the glycophorin region (Leffler et al. 2017; Algady et al.

2018). We analysed a total of 6466 genomes from four datasets spanning the globe - the 1000

Genomes phase 3 project set, the Gambian variation project, the Simons diversity project, and

the Brazilian genomes project. We took a different sequence read depth approach to that

previously used, counting the reads that map to the glycophorin repeat region and dividing by

the number of reads mapping to a nearby non-structurally variable region to normalise for read

depth. By analysing each cohort of diploid genomes as a group, we could identify outliers

where a higher value indicated a potential duplication or more complex gain of sequence, and

lower values indicated a potential deletion (Supplementary figure 1). Sequence read depth was

analysed in 5kb windows across each of the outlying diploid genomes to identify and classify

the structural variant.

Since structural variant calling had been previously done on the 1000 Genomes project cohort,

this provided a useful comparison to assess our approach. We analysed 2490 samples from this

cohort and identified five distinct deletions carried by 88 individuals that were previously

identified, and 16 distinct duplications carried by 34 individuals (table 1) that were also

previously identified. We also identified a new duplication variants, termed DUP29 (a

duplication of GYPB), that had not been robustly identified previously in that cohort. However,

as expected, smaller duplications, most notably DUP1, were not detected by our approach. We

extended our analysis to 390 Gambian diploid genomes and identified 51 samples with DEL1 or

DEL2 variants, and DEL16, subsequently characterized in the Brazilian cohort below. Two

samples were heterozygous for the duplication DUP5.

Both 1000 Genomes and Gambian Genome Diversity samples have been sequenced to low

depth. High depth sequencing will allow more robust identification of structural variation by

improving the signal/noise ratio of sequence read depth analysis. We analysed the publically

available high-depth data from the Simons Diversity Project for glycophorin variation. From the

273 individuals, 4 different deletion types were carried by 13 individuals, and 3 different

duplication types were carried by 5 individuals. A novel deletion, DEL15 was identified which

deleted part of *GYPB* and part of *GYPE* in an individual from Bergamo in Italy, and a novel

duplication was observed in three individuals from Papua New Guinea, termed DUP30 and

duplicating the *GYPB* gene. Another duplication variant (DUP8), which is the largest variant

found so far, duplicated 240kb, creating an extra full length *GYPB* gene and a *GYPE-GYPA*

fusion gene (Table 1).

A further 1324 samples sequenced to high coverage diploid genomes from Brazil were

analysed, which, given the extensive admixture from Africa in the Brazilian population, are

likely to be enriched for glycophorin variants from Africa. Four new duplication variants

(DUP33-DUP35) and three new deletion variants were found (DEL16, DEL17, DEL18), two of

which of which delete the *GYPB* gene (Table 1).

Fibre-FISH analysis of structural variants

Sequence read depth analysis shows copy number gain and loss with respect to the reference
genome to which the sequence reads are mapped, but it does not determine the physical
structure of the structural variant. For the more common glycophorin structural variants, we
used fibre-FISH in order to determine the physical structure. In all cases, a set of multiplex FISH
probes, with each probe being visualised with a unique fluorochrome, was used so that the
orientation and placement of the repeats could be identified (Figure 1). The repeated nature of
the glycophorin region means that the green and red probes from the *GYPB* repeat cross-
hybridise with the other repeats, with the *GYPA* repeat distinguishable from the *GYPB* and
GYPE repeats by a 16kb insertion resulting in a small gap of signal in the green probe (Figure 1).
281
For most variants the fibre-FISH results confirmed the structure previously predicted (Leffler et
al. 2017) and expected if the variants had been generated by non-allelic homologous
recombination between the glycophorin repeats (Figures 2 and 3). However, three variants
showed a complex structure that could not be easily predicted from the sequence read depth
analysis. The DUP4 variant shows a complex structure and has been described previously
(Algady et al. 2018). Two other structural variants (DUP5 and DUP26) also showed complex
patterns of gains or losses, and fibre-FISH clearly shows the physical structure of the variant,
including inversions.
290
The more frequent of these two complex structural variants, DUP5, seems to be restricted to
Gambia, as it is found once in the GWD population from the 1000 Genomes project and twice in
the Jola population from the Gambian Genome diversity project (Table 1). Sequence read depth
analysis suggests that DUP5 has two extra copies of *GYPE* and an extra copy of *GYPB*, with an
additional duplication distal of *GYPA* outside the glycophorin repeated region (Figure 4a).
Fibre-FISH analysis on cells from an individual carrying the DUP5 variant (HG02585)
confirmed heterozygosity of the variant, with one allele being the reference allele, and revealed,
for the first time, that the variant allele presents a complex pattern of duplication and
rearrangement, with part of the fosmid (pseudocoloured in white) mapping distal to *GYPA*
being translocated into the glycophorin repeated region, adjacent to the green-coloured fosmid
(Figure 4b). Alternative fibre-FISH analysis using an additional fosmid probe mapping distally,
and labelled in red, confirmed this (Figure 4c). The pattern of FISH signals occurring distally to
the translocation suggests that the immediately adjacent glycophorin repeat is inverted. To
distinguish the distal end of the *GYPB* repeat from the distal end of the *GYPE* repeat, a pink-
coloured probe from a short *GYPE*-repeat-specific PCR product was also used for fibre-FISH,
and clearly shows only a single copy of the distal end of the *GYPB* repeat in the DUP5 variant,
at the same position as the reference. The predicted breakpoint between the non-duplicated
sequence distal to *GYPA* and duplicated sequence within the duplicated region was amplified
by PCR and Sanger sequenced, confirming that the non-duplicated sequence was fused to an

inverted *GYPB* repeat sequence (Figure 4d). The model suggested by the fibre-FISH and
breakpoint analysis is consistent with the overall pattern of sequence depth changes observed
(Figure 4a). The sequence outside the glycophorin repeat corresponds to an ERV-MaLR long
terminal retroviral element, but the sequence inside the glycophorin repeat sequence is not,
suggesting that non-allelic homologous recombination was not the mechanism for formation of
this breakpoint. However, there is a 4bp microhomology (GTGT) between the two sequences,
suggesting that microhomology-mediated end joining could be a mechanism for formation of
this variant.
318
The DUP26 variant was observed once, in sample HG03729, an Indian Telugu individual from
the United Kingdom, sequenced as part of the 1000 Genomes project. Sequence read depth
analysis predicts an extra copy of the glycophorin repeat, partly derived from the *GYPB* repeat
and partly from the *GYPA* repeat (Figure 4e). The fibre-FISH shows an extra repeat element that
is *GYPB*-like at the proximal end and *GYPA*-like at the distal end, and carries the *GYPA* gene.
This structure is unlikely to have been generated by a straightforward single NAHR event, and
we were unable to resolve the breakpoint at high resolution.
326
327
328   *Breakpoint analysis of structural variants*
329
Defining the precise breakpoint of the variants can allow a more accurate prediction of potential
phenotypic effects of each variant by assessing, for example, whether a glycophorin fusion gene
is formed or whether key regulatory sequences are deleted. We used two approaches to define
breakpoints. The first approach identified the two 5kb windows that spanned the change in
sequence read depth at both ends of the deletion or duplication, and by designing PCR primers
to specifically amplify across the junction fragment (Figure 5a,b), variant-specific PCR
amplification produces an amplicon that can be sequenced (Figure 5c). After Sanger sequencing
the amplicons, the breakpoint can be shown to be where a switch occurs between paralogous
sequence variants (PSVs) that map to different glycophorin repeats (Figure 5d), supporting the
model that a NAHR mechanism is responsible for generating these structural variants (Figure
5e). The second approach makes use of high depth sequencing. The two 5kb windows spanning
the change in sequence read depth are again identified and sequence read depth calculated in
1kb windows to further refine the breakpoint. The sequence alignment spanning the two 1kb
windows is examined manually for paired sequence reads where the gap between the aligned
pairs is consistent with the size of the variant, or where both sequence pairs align but one aligns
with multiple sequence mismatches.
346

With the exception of DEL4, DUP7 and DUP26, where only low-coverage sequence was
available, all other breakpoints could be localised to between 10 kb and 1 bp. For most variants,
the breakpoints occur between genes resulting in loss or gain of whole genes, and therefore
likely to show gene dosage effect. It is known that DUP4 results in a *GYPB-GYPA* fusion gene
that codes for the Dantu blood group, and a fusion gene is also predicted for DUP2, DUP8 and
DEL15. The DUP2 variant generates a *GYPB-GYPA* fusion gene comprising exons 1-2 of *GYPB*
and exons 4-7 of *GYPA* corresponding to the St^a (GP.Sch) blood group (Anstee et al. 1982;
Daniels 2008). Breakpoint analysis of NA12249, the sample carrying the DUP27 variant, showed
that DUP27 breakpoint is in the same intron as DUP2, although the exact breakpoint is complex
and it is unclear whether DUP27 is exactly the same variant as DUP2.
357
The DUP8 variant is predicted to generate a fusion gene consisting of exon 1 of *GYPE* and exons
2-7 of *GYPA*, and the DEL15 variant is predicted to combine the first two exons of *GYPB* with
the final three exons of *GYPE*. It is unlikely that DUP8 has a phenotype, given the involvement
of the 5′ end of *GYPE*, which is not expressed. However, the DEL15 variant is predicted to
generate a *GYPB-GYPE* peptide, similar to the rare U- blood group phenotype which has a
breakpoint between exon 1 of *GYPB* and exon 2 of *GYPE*, resulting in a lack of expression of
glycophorin B in homozygotes (Rahuel et al. 1991). Other variants involve breakpoints within
1kb of a gene coding region and could potentially affect expression levels of the neighbouring
gene.
367
368   *Mechanism of formation of structural variants*
369
The pattern of deletions and duplications observed is consistent with a simple NAHR
mechanism of formation for the variants (Figure 5e), with the exception of DUP5 and DUP26.
We investigated whether the breakpoints we had found co-localised with known meiotic
recombination hotspots previously determined by anti-DMC1 ChIP-Seq of the testes of five
males (Pratto et al. 2014). Importantly, the recombination hotspot dataset mapped hotspots in
individuals carrying different alleles of the highly-variable PRDM9 protein, a key determinant
of recombination hotspot activity, with different alleles activating different recombination
hotspots. The glycophorin region contains one hotspot regulated by the PRDM9 A allele,
common in Europeans (allele frequency 0.84), and the PRDM9 C allele, common in sub-Saharan
Africans (allele frequency 0.13). In our data we found no breakpoints coincident with the
PRDM9 A allele hotspot but 4 breakpoints coincident with the PRDM9 C allele hotspot (Figure
6). The overlap between the PRDM9 C allele hotspot and the structural variant breakpoints is
statistically significant (two-tailed Fisher's exact test, p=0.012) and reflects the observation that
there are more different rare structural variants in sub-Saharan African populations, with high

frequencies of the C allele, than in European populations where the C allele is almost absent
(allele frequency 0.01) (Berg et al. 2011).
386
387
388 **Discussion**
389
We have characterised a number of structural variants at the human glycophorin locus. These
are almost always large deletions or duplications involving the loss or gain of one or
occasionally two glycophorin repeat regions of about 120kb. These losses and gains have been
generated by non-allelic homologous recombination (NAHR) mutational events, with particular
involvement of the PRDM9 C allele, which is at appreciable frequencies in African populations
and directs high recombination rates at its cognate recombination hotspots. A more complex
variant, termed DUP5, was also characterised, and was shown to be an inversion-duplication
generated by at least 1 microhomology-mediated end-joining event involving DNA sequence
outside the glycophorin repeat region. Similarly, DUP26 is a complex variant that is unlikely to
have been generated by a single NAHR event.
400
Only DEL1, DEL2 and DUP2 are frequent variants. Both DEL1 and DEL2 delete the *GYPB* gene
and it is tempting to speculate that their high frequency in African populations and populations
with African admixture is due to selection. However, the absence of evidence for any protective
effect against malaria argues against malaria being the cause of this selection, so this remains
speculation. DUP2 is at notable frequencies particularly in East Asia, and is predicted to
generate a *GYPB-GYPA* fusion gene corresponding to the St^a blood group, which is known to be
at appreciable frequencies in East Asia (Madden et al. 1964). In this region, malaria infections
are caused by *Plasmodium falciparum* as well as *Plasmodium vivax*; alternatively, this fusion gene
may facilitate glycophorins acting as a decoy receptor for other pathogens, such as hepatitis A
virus (Sanchez et al. 2004). Previous work suggests that DUP2 has arisen on multiple haplotype
backgrounds (Leffler et al. 2017), which suggests a large East Asian population panel is need for
future accurate imputation.
413
414 Other variants seem either to be geographically localised (for example DUP5) or very rare and
415 detected as singletons in our dataset. This suggests that analysis of other large genomic datasets
416 will discover further unique glycophorin structural variants, and that much glycophorin
417 structural variation is individually rare but collectively more frequent, leading to challenges in
418 imputing glycophorin SV from SNP GWAS data.
419
In contrast to other studies, we used a three-step approach to determine copy number. We used
read counts over the whole glycophorin region to detect samples with duplications (more than

expected number of reads) and deletions (fewer than expected number of reads). We then used
window-based analysis of sequence read depth and paralogue-specific allele-specific PCR and
Sanger sequencing to refine copy number breakpoints. Finally, we validated the structure of
selected variants using fibre-FISH. Our approach has the advantage that it does not rely on a
sudden change in sequence read depth for CNV detection by a HMM, which may be
compromised by poor mappability of some sequence reads in the breakpoint region and
assumptions about the absence of somatic variation, with the consequence that the expected
copy number reflecting an integer value. However, our approach cannot detect small copy
number changes because the increase or decrease in mapped reads is very small as a proportion
of the total number of mapped reads at the glycophorin region.
432
Previous work has shown that the DUP4 variant carried by the sample HG02554 shows somatic
mosaicism, leading to the suggestion that somatic mosaicism may be a feature of glycophorin
structural variants (Algady et al. 2018). In this study, our fiber-FISH analyses identified no other
potential somatic variants at the glycophorin locus, showing that it is not a common feature of
1000 Genomes lymphoblastoid cell lines nor of non-DUP4 variants. This suggests that somatic
mosaicism is either restricted to DUP4 variants in general or restricted to the particular DUP4
sample HG02554, although a more thorough investigation of high coverage genome sequences
will be needed to address this issue.
441
In conclusion, we identify 9 new structural variants at the glycophorin locus, characterise
breakpoints and mutational mechanisms for known and novel structural variants, and show
that recombination hotspot activity has influenced the nature of the structural variants
observed. For some of the variants, targeted high coverage sequence using very long reads
analysis will help refine some of the breakpoints. Further efforts are needed to characterise the
phenotypic effects of particular variants involving gain, loss and fusion of genes
448
449

450   **Acknowledgements**
451   This work was funded by SACB PhD studentships to WA and FA and Wellcome Trust grant
452   WT098051 (F.Y. and S.L.). This research used the ALICE High Performance Computing Facility
453   at the University of Leicester.

456 **Figure Legends**

469 **Table 1** Glycophorin structural variants identified in this study

Variant	Proximal breakpoint hg19	Distal breakpoint hg19	Variant size (kb)	Breakpoint maximum region (kb)	Index Sample	Genes Involved	Method	In Leffler
DEL1	chr4:144835143 -144835279	chr4:144945375- 144945517	110	0.143	NA19223	*GYPB*	*PCR- Sanger*	*Yes*
DEL2	chr4:144912872 -144913001	chr4:145016127- 145016256	103	0.130	NA19144	*GYPB*	*PCR- Sanger*	*Yes*
DEL4	chr4:144750739 -144760739	chr4:144950739- 144960739	200	10	HG01986	*GYPB,GYPE*	*1000G Seq*	*Yes*
DEL6	chr4:144780045 -144780137	chr4:145004120- 145004212	224	0.093	HG04039	*GYPE* and *GYPB*	*PCR- Sanger*	*Yes*
DEL7	chr4:144780111 -144780497	chr4:144900945- 144901334	121	0.390	HG02716	*GYPE*	*PCR- Sanger*	*Yes*
DEL13	chr4:144925739 -144935739	chr4:145035739- 145045739	110	10	NA20867	*GYPA-B fusion*	*1000G Seq*	*Yes*
DEL15	chr4:144800739 144802739	chr4:144920739- 144922739	119	2	HGDP0117 2	*GYPB/E fusion gene*	*Deep seq*	*No*
DEL16	chr4:144752739 -144754739	chr4:144952739- 144954739	200	2	BR1296010 301	*GYPE* and *GYPB*	*Deep seq*	*No*
DEL17	chr4:144882739 -144987739	chr4:144984739-- 144987739	103	3	BR1183605 501	*GYPB*	*Deep seq*	*No*
DEL18	chr4:144755739 -144757739	chr4:144875739- 144878739	123	2	BR1099223 302	*GYPE*	*Deep seq*	*No*

DUP2	chr4: 145039739-	chr4: 144919739-144921739	120	2	NA18593	*GYPB/A fusion*	*PCR-Sanger*	*Yes*
DUP3	chr4:145004465 -145004526	chr4:144780388-144780449	224	0.062	NA19360	*GYPB, GYPE*	*PCR-Sanger*	*Yes*
DUP4	Multiple	Multiple	n/a	n/a	HG02554	*GYPB/A fusion gene,*	*Leffler et al.*	*Yes*
DUP5	Multiple, including chr4:145113700	Multiple, including chr4:144936865	n/a	0.001	HG02585	*GYPB, GYPE*	*PCR-Sanger*	*Yes*
DUP7	chr4:144895000 -144905000	chr4:144775000-144785000	120	10	HG02679	*GYPB*	*1000G Seq*	*Yes*
DUP8	chr4:145045739-145048739	chr4:144808739-144810739	240	3	I1_S_Irula1, HG03837	*GYPB, GYPE/A fusion*	*Deep Seq*	*Yes*
DUP14	chr4:144853613 -144853688	chr4:144723019-144723094	131	0.076	NA18646	*GYPE*	*PCR-Sanger*	*Yes*
DUP22	chr4:144926739 -144929739	chr4:144881739-144884739	45	3	BR210800138,	*GYPB (partial)*	*DeepSeq*	*Yes*
DUP26	chr4:145065739 -145075739	chr4:144830739-144840739	155	10	HG03729	*GYPA*	*1000G Seq*	*Yes*
DUP27	chr4: 145039739-	chr4: 144919739-144921739	120	2	NA12249	*GYPB/A fusion*	*PCR-Sanger*	*Yes*
DUP29	chr4:144939393 -144939452	chr4:144825584-144825643	114	0.060	HG03686	*GYPE and GYPB*	*PCR-Sanger*	*No*
DUP30	chr4 144989739-	chr4 144885739-144887739	102	2	HGDP00543	*GYPB*	*Deep seq*	*No*
DUP33	chr4:144959739 -144962739	chr4:144849739-144851739	111	3	BR54409051	*GYPB*	*DeepSeq*	*No*
DUP34	chr4:145002739 -145004739	chr4:144900739-144902739	102	2	BR1086675791	*GYPB*	*DeepSeq*	*No*
DUP35	chr4:144878739 -144880739	chr4:144758739-144760739	120	2	BR981404021	*GYPE*	*DeepSeq*	*No*

Notes: DUP19 (NA19223), DUP25 (HG02031), DUP28 (NA19084) no clear 5kb window pattern,

DEL4 and DEL16, and DUP2 and DUP27 share overlapping breakpoint regions and may be the

same variants. DUP23 (HG02491) and DUP24 (hg03837), identified by Leffler et al, share

population and breakpoint regions with DUP8 and are classified as DUP8.

Table 2   **Frequency of structural variants observed more than once across the**

cohorts analysed

	1000 Genomes					Gambian	Simons	Brazilian
Population	EUR	AFR	SAS	EAS	AMR	AFR	ALL	AMR
Total chromosomes	600	640	386	606	258	782	546	2648
DEL1	0	53	0	1	1	55	7	19
DEL2	0	26	0	0	2	2	4	12
DEL4/16	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	3
DEL6	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
DEL7	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0
DUP2/27	0	1	1	11	1	0	0	7
DUP3	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
DUP5	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0
DUP7	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2
DUP8	0	0	4	0	0	0	1	2
DUP29	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
DUP22	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
DUP30	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
DUP35	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2

485 **Supplementary table 1**

Variant	Primer name	Primer Sequences 5' - 3'	Annealing temperature °C
DEL1	*GYP*_DEL1_F	CCAGTTGCCTCTAAGTCCAT[C]	65
	*GYP*_DEL1_R	GCAGTGCACACCCTGG[A]	
DEL2	*GYP*_DEL2_F	AGGCAAAAGCTGAGGTCTT[C]	65
	*GYP*_DEL2_R	CAGCCTCTGGTAACCACTGTTA[C]	
DEL6	*GYP*_DEL6_F	GAAGAAAGAGCTAATTCCAT[G]	63
	*GYP*_DEL6_R	AGTTGGAACTTGCAAACTTA[G]	
DEL7	*GYP*_DEL7_F	ATCCTGCACTAGAAATTCCTCCCA[C]	65
	*GYP*_DEL7_R	GATCAGAAAAGCAAAATGGGGC[A]	
DEL13	*GYP*_DEL13_F	CCCTCACCCACAGAAAGAAC[C]	62
	*GYP*_DEL13_R	GGAAGGTTTTAGAAGTCTTCAGTTG[G]	
DUP2	*GYP*_DUP2_F	CAGAGAAATGATGGGCAAGTTGT[A]	62
	*GYP*_DUP2_R	ACTGCGTGGACATAGAGCGTAT[T]	
DUP3	*GYP*_DUP3_F	CAAATGAAGTCAAACATCTTC[A]	63.5
	*GYP*_DUP3_R	CTTGAGACACTCCTTTATATGCTA[C]	
DUP5	*GYP*_DUP5_F	AGCTTGGATGAGATAAATGTCC[T]	65
	*GYP*_DUP5_R	ATTGGATTCTGATGTGCGG[C]	
DUP14	*GYP*_DUP14_F	GTCTTTAAAGTATTGTTTCGTGC[A]	65
	*GYP*_DUP14_R	AGGTTAATCTAAAACTTTAGAGCAA[C]	
DUP29	*GYP*_DUP29_F	GCTGCCAGATCAATAGC[G]	64
	*GYP*_DUP29_R	TAGTAGTATAAACCACAGTGCCTC[A]	

488 Nucleotides that are linked nucleic acids are shown in square brackets.

References

Algady W, Louzada S, Carpenter D, Brajer P, Farnert A, Rooth I, Ngasala B, Yang F, Shaw MA, Hollox EJ. 2018. The Malaria-Protective Human Glycophorin Structural Variant DUP4 Shows Somatic Mosaicism and Association with Hemoglobin Levels. *Am J Hum Genet* **103**: 769-776.

Anstee DJ, Mawby WJ, Parsons SF, Tanner MJ, Giles CM. 1982. A novel hybrid sialoglycoprotein in Sta positive human erythrocytes. *J Immunogenet* **9**: 51-55.

Auton A, Brooks LD, Durbin RM, Garrison EP, Kang HM, Korbel JO, Marchini JL, McCarthy S, McVean GA, Abecasis GR. 2015. A global reference for human genetic variation. *Nature* **526**: 68-74.

Band G, Le QS, Clarke GM, Kivinen K, Hubbart C, Jeffreys AE, Rowlands K, Leffler EM, Jallow M, Conway DJ et al. 2019. New insights into malaria susceptibility from the genomes of 17,000 individuals from Africa, Asia, and Oceania. *bioRxiv* doi:10.1101/535898: 535898.

Barbosa AR, Souza JM, Lebrao ML, Laurenti R, Marucci Mde F. 2005. Functional limitations of Brazilian elderly by age and gender differences: data from SABE Survey. *Cad Saude Publica* **21**: 1177-1185.

Berg IL, Neumann R, Sarbajna S, Odenthal-Hesse L, Butler NJ, Jeffreys AJ. 2011. Variants of the protein PRDM9 differentially regulate a set of human meiotic recombination hotspots highly active in African populations. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **108**: 12378-12383.

Cantsilieris S, Western PS, Baird PN, White SJ. 2014. Technical considerations for genotyping multi-allelic copy number variation (CNV), in regions of segmental duplication. *BMC genomics* **15**: 329.

Clarke L, Fairley S, Zheng-Bradley X, Streeter I, Perry E, Lowy E, Tasse AM, Flicek P. 2017. The international Genome sample resource (IGSR): A worldwide collection of genome variation incorporating the 1000 Genomes Project data. *Nucleic Acids Res* **45**: D854-D859.

Conrad DF, Pinto D, Redon R, Feuk L, Gokcumen O, Zhang Y, Aerts J, Andrews TD, Barnes C, Campbell P et al. 2009. Origins and functional impact of copy number variation in the human genome. *Nature* **464**: 704-712.

Daniels G. 2008. *Human blood groups*. John Wiley & Sons.

Gribble SM, Wiseman FK, Clayton S, Prigmore E, Langley E, Yang F, Maguire S, Fu B, Rajan D, Sheppard O. 2013. Massively parallel sequencing reveals the complex structure of an irradiated human chromosome on a mouse background in the Tc1 model of Down syndrome. *PLOS one* **8**: e60482.

Handsaker RE, Van Doren V, Berman JR, Genovese G, Kashin S, Boettger LM, McCarroll SA. 2015. Large multiallelic copy number variations in humans. *Nat Genet* **47**: 296-303.

Hehir-Kwa JY, Marschall T, Kloosterman WP, Francioli LC, Baaijens JA, Dijkstra LJ, Abdellaoui A, Koval V, Thung DT, Wardenaar R et al. 2016. A high-quality human reference panel reveals the complexity and distribution of genomic structural variants. *Nat Commun* **7**: 12989.

Hollox EJ, Hoh B-P. 2014. Human gene copy number variation and infectious disease. *Human Genetics*: 1-17.

Huddleston J, Eichler EE. 2016. An Incomplete Understanding of Human Genetic Variation. *Genetics* **202**: 1251-1254.

Jeffreys AJ, Neumann R, Wilson V. 1990. Repeat unit sequence variation in minisatellites: a novel source of DNA polymorphism for studying variation and mutation by single molecule analysis. *Cell* **60**: 473-485.

Kariuki SN, Marin-Menendez A, Introini V, Ravenhill BJ, Lin Y-C, Macharia A, Makale J, Tendwa M, Nyamu W, Kotar J et al. 2018. Red blood cell tension controls Plasmodium

falciparum invasion and protects against severe malaria in the Dantu blood group.
bioRxiv doi:10.1101/475442: 475442.
Katoh K, Standley DM. 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:
improvements in performance and usability. *Mol Biol Evol* **30**: 772-780.
Kent WJ, Sugnet CW, Furey TS, Roskin KM, Pringle TH, Zahler AM, Haussler, David. 2002.
The Human Genome Browser at UCSC. *Genome Research* **12**: 996-1006.
Lan T, Lin H, Zhu W, Laurent T, Yang M, Liu X, Wang J, Wang J, Yang H, Xu X et al. 2017.
Deep whole-genome sequencing of 90 Han Chinese genomes. *Gigascience* **6**: 1-7.
Latorra D, Campbell K, Wolter A, Hurley JM. 2003. Enhanced allele-specific PCR discrimination
in SNP genotyping using 3' locked nucleic acid (LNA) primers. *Hum Mutat* **22**: 79-85.
Leffler EM, Band G, Busby GBJ, Kivinen K, Le QS, Clarke GM, Bojang KA, Conway DJ, Jallow
M, Sisay-Joof F et al. 2017. Resistance to malaria through structural variation of red
blood cell invasion receptors. *Science* **356**.
Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin R.
2009. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25**: 2078-
2079.
Li W, Cowley A, Uludag M, Gur T, McWilliam H, Squizzato S, Park YM, Buso N, Lopez R. 2015.
The EMBL-EBI bioinformatics web and programmatic tools framework. *Nucleic Acids*
Res **43**: W580-584.
Louzada S, Komatsu J, Yang F. 2017. Fluorescence in situ hybridization onto DNA fibres
generated using molecular combing. In *Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization (FISH)*
Application Guide, (ed. T Liehr, B Heidelberg), pp. 275-293. Springer-Verlag.
Ma H, Difazio S. 2008. An efficient method for purification of PCR products for sequencing.
Biotechniques **44**: 921-923.
MacDonald JR, Ziman R, Yuen RK, Feuk L, Scherer SW. 2014. The Database of Genomic
Variants: a curated collection of structural variation in the human genome. *Nucleic Acids*
Res **42**: D986-992.
Madden HJ, Cleghorn TE, Allen FH, Jr., Rosenfield RE, Mackeprang M. 1964. A NOTE ON
THE RELATIVELY HIGH FREQUENCY OF ST-A ON THE RED BLOOD CELLS OF
ORIENTALS, AND REPORT OF A THIRD EXAMPLE OF ANTI-ST-A. *Vox Sang* **9**: 502-
504.
Mallick S, Li H, Lipson M, Mathieson I, Gymrek M, Racimo F, Zhao M, Chennagiri N, Nordenfelt
S, Tandon A et al. 2016. The Simons Genome Diversity Project: 300 genomes from 142
diverse populations. *Nature* **538**: 201-206.
Ndila CM, Uyoga S, Macharia AW, Nyutu G, Peshu N, Ojal J, Shebe M, Awuondo KO, Mturi N,
Tsofa B et al. 2018. Human candidate gene polymorphisms and risk of severe malaria in
children in Kilifi, Kenya: a case-control association study. *Lancet Haematol* **5**: e333-
e345.
Onda M, Kudo S, Fukuda M. 1994. Genomic organization of glycophorin A gene family revealed
by yeast artificial chromosomes containing human genomic DNA. *J Biol Chem* **269**:
13013-13020.
Pratto F, Brick K, Khil P, Smagulova F, Petukhova GV, Camerini-Otero RD. 2014. DNA
recombination. Recombination initiation maps of individual human genomes. *Science*
346: 1256442.
Quinlan AR, Hall IM. 2010. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic
features. *Bioinformatics* **26**: 841-842.
Raffield LM, Ulirsch JC, Naik RP, Lessard S, Handsaker RE, Jain D, Kang HM, Pankratz N,
Auer PL, Bao EL et al. 2018. Common alpha-globin variants modify hematologic and
other clinical phenotypes in sickle cell trait and disease. *PLoS Genet* **14**: e1007293.
Rahuel C, London J, Vignal A, Ballas SK, Cartron JP. 1991. Erythrocyte glycophorin B
deficiency may occur by two distinct gene alterations. *Am J Hematol* **37**: 57-58.

Sanchez G, Aragones L, Costafreda MI, Ribes E, Bosch A, Pinto RM. 2004. Capsid region
involved in hepatitis A virus binding to glycophorin A of the erythrocyte membrane. *J*
Virol **78**: 9807-9813.
Sekar A, Bialas AR, de Rivera H, Davis A, Hammond TR, Kamitaki N, Tooley K, Presumey J,
Baum M, Van Doren V et al. 2016. Schizophrenia risk from complex variation of
complement component 4. *Nature* **530**: 177-183.
Sudmant PH, Rausch T, Gardner EJ, Handsaker RE, Abyzov A, Huddleston J, Zhang Y, Ye K,
Jun G, Hsi-Yang Fritz M et al. 2015. An integrated map of structural variation in 2,504
human genomes. *Nature* **526**: 75-81.
Telenti A, Pierce LC, Biggs WH, di Iulio J, Wong EH, Fabani MM, Kirkness EF, Moustafa A,
Shah N, Xie C et al. 2016. Deep sequencing of 10,000 human genomes. *Proc Natl Acad*
Sci U S A **113**: 11901-11906.
Thorvaldsdóttir H, Robinson JT, Mesirov JP. 2012. Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV): high-
performance genomics data visualization and exploration. *Briefings in Bioinformatics* **14**:
178-192.
Usher CL, McCarroll SA. 2015. Complex and multi-allelic copy number variation in human
disease. *Brief Funct Genomics* **14**: 329-338.
Vignal A, Rahuel C, London J, Cherif Zahar B, Schaff S, Hattab C, Okubo Y, Cartron JP. 1990.
A novel gene member of the human glycophorin A and B gene family. Molecular cloning
and expression. *Eur J Biochem* **191**: 619-625.
Zarrei M, MacDonald JR, Merico D, Scherer SW. 2015. A copy number variation map of the
human genome. *Nat Rev Genet* **16**: 172-183.
613
614

Figure 1   Structure of the glycophorin reference allele

A representation of the reference allele assembled in the GRCh37/hg19 assembly is shown, with

the three distinct paralogous ~120kb repeats of the glycophorin region coloured green, orange

and purple, carrying *GYPE*, *GYPB* and *GYPA* respectively. Numbers over the start and end of

each paralogue represent coordinates in chromosome 4 GRCh37/hg19 assembly. Coloured bars

represent fosmids used as probes in fibre-FISH, with the fosmid identification number

underneath. An example fibre FISH image of this reference haplotype (from sample HG02585)

is shown below.

626
627

Figure 2   Fibre-FISH validation of four glycophorin deletions

Sequence read depth (SRD) analysis of selected deletions (DEL1, DEL2, DEL6, DEL7) is shown
on the left, with sample, or cohort, coloured according to the key on each plot, together with a
Loess best-fit line Note for DEL1 and DEL2 homozygous individuals are detected with a

normalised copy number of zero across the deletion. Representative fibre-FISH images from the

index sample of each variant are shown on the right, with clones and fluorescent labels as

shown in figure 1. All index samples apart from NA18719 are heterozygous, with a

representative reference (top) and variant (bottom) allele from that sample shown. A schematic

diagram next to the corresponding fibre-FISH image shows the structure of each allele inferred

from the fibre-FISH and SRD analysis.

Figure 3   Fibre-FISH validation of six glycophorin duplications

Sequence read depth (SRD) analysis of selected duplications (DUP2, DUP3, DUP7, DUP8,

DUP14 and DUP29) is shown on the left, with sample, or cohort, coloured according to the key

on each plot, together with a Loess best-fit line. Representative fibre-FISH images from the

index sample of each variant are shown on the right, with clones and fluorescent labels as

shown in figure 1, (with an additional green-labelled PCR product specific to the glycophorin E

repeat for HG03686). All index samples are heterozygous, with a representative reference and

variant allele from that sample shown. A schematic diagram next to the corresponding fibre-

FISH image shows the structure of each allele inferred from the fibre-FISH and SRD analysis.

Figure 4　　　　**Analysis of DUP5 and DUP26 complex structures**

a)　Sequence read depth (SRD) analysis of three individuals heterozygous for the DUP5
variant.

b)　Representative fibre-FISH images from the DUP5 index sample HG02585. Clones and
fluorescent labels as shown in figure 1.

c)　Representative fibre-FISH images from the DUP5 index sample HG02585. Clones and
fluorescent labels as shown in figure 1, except the red probe is fosmid G248P89366H1
and the pink probe is the glycophorin E repeat-specific PCR product.

d)　Schematic showing design of PCR primers for specific amplification (black arrows) on
reference and DUP5 structures. The ethidium bromide stained agarose gel shows a ~8kb
PCR product generated by these DUP5 specific primers. HG02554 is the DUP5 sample,
"-" indicates a negative control with no genomic DNA and the marker, indicated by
"m", is Bioline Hyperladder 1kb+. The triangles indicate increasing PCR annealing
temperature from 65°C to 67°C.

e)　Sequence read depth (SRD) analysis (left) and fibre-FISH analysis (right) of the index
sample HG03729 heterozygous for DUP26 variant. Fosmid clones for fibre-FISH are as
figure 1, except with the addition of the glycophorin E repeat-specific PCR product
labelled in green.

675
676
677

678 **Figure 5   Examples of refining breakpoints of a deletion (DEL6) and a duplication**
679 **(DUP14)**
680
681   a) Sequence read depth analysis, indicating position of PCR primers (not to scale)
682   b) Variant model, showing position of primers on reference and variant
683   c) Agarose electrophoresis of long PCR products using variant-specific primers indicated
684   in b). "-" indicates a negative control with no genomic DNA and the marker, indicated
685   by "m", is Bioline Hyperladder 1kb+. The triangles indicate increasing PCR annealing
686   temperature from 58°C to 67°C.
687   d) Multiple sequence alignment of the variant-specific PCR product, with homologous
688   sequence on the *GYPA* repeat and the *GYPE* repeat. *GYPE*-specific variants are in green,
689   *GYPA*-repeat-specific variants are in purple.
690   e) A model of the generation of the variants by NAHR.
691

Figure 6   Structural variant breakpoints and meiotic recombination hotspots

The glycophorin region is shown together with the glycophorin genes. Below are the breakpoint

regions for each structural variant, labelled in blue for the distal breakpoint in the variant, and

red for the proximal breakpoint in the variant. Meiotic double strand break hotspots,

corresponding to recombination hotspots (Pratto et al. 2014) are shown in orange, labelled the

PRDM9 allele responsible for activating that hotspot.

a) 1000 Genomes Project

b) Gambian Genomes Project

c) Simons Diversity Project

d) Brazilian Genomes Project

703   **Supplementary figure 1**   **Histograms of sequence read depths of the glycophorin**
704   **region**
705
Histograms of normalised sequence read depths of the four cohorts used for this study, with
red indicating putative deletions and blue putative duplications.
a) 1000 Genomes Project
b) Gambian Genomes Project
c) Simons Diversity Project
d) Brazilian Genomes Project
712