An essential GDP-Fuc: β-*D*-Gal α-1,2-fucosyltransferase is located in the mitochondrion of

Trypanosoma brucei

Giulia Bandini[a,1,2], Sebastian Damerow[a,1,3], Maria Lucia Sampaio Güther[a], Angela Mehlert[a],

Hongjie Guo[b], Stephen M. Beverley[b] and Michael A. J. Ferguson[a,4]

[a]Wellcome Centre for Anti-Infectives Research, School of Life Sciences, University of Dundee,

Dundee, DD1 5EH, United Kingdom.

[b]Department of Molecular Microbiology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis,

MO 63110, USA.

[1]These authors contributed equally to this work.

[2]Current address: Department of Molecular and Cell Biology, Boston University Goldman School

of Dental Medicine, Boston MA 02118, USA

[3]Current address: Richter-Helm BioLogics GmbH, Suhrenkamp 59, 22335 Hamburg, Germany
14

[4]Corresponding author. Mailing address: Wellcome Centre for Anti-Infectives Research, School

of Life Sciences, University of Dundee, Dow St., Dundee DD1 5EH, Scotland, United Kingdom.

Phone: 44-1382-384219. Fax: 44-1382-348896. E-mail: m.a.j.ferguson@dundee.ac.uk

Classification: Biological Sciences, Microbiology

Short title: Mitochondrial fucosyltransferase in *T. brucei*

Keywords: mitochondria, *Trypanosoma brucei*, glycobiology, glycosyltransferase, fucose

Author contributions: G.B., S.D., and M.A.J.F. designed research; G.B., S.D., M.L.S.G. and H.G.

performed research; G.B., S.D., A. M., S.M.B., and M.A.J.F. analysed data; G.B., S.D., S.M.B.

and M.A.J.F. wrote the paper.

ABSTRACT

The biosynthesis of guanosine 5′-diphospho-β-*L*-fucose (GDP-Fuc), the activated donor for

fucose, has been shown to be essential in the parasite *Trypanosoma brucei*. Fucose is a common

constituent of eukaryotic glycan structures, but it has been rarely found in trypanosomatid

glycoconjugates. A single putative *T. brucei* fucosyltransferase (*TbFUT1*) gene was identified in

the trypanosome genome. The encoded TbFUT1 protein was enzymatically active when

expressed in *Escherichia coli*. Structural characterization of its reaction products identified it as a

GDP-Fuc: β-D-galactose α-1,2-fucosyltransferase, with a preference for a Galβ1,3GlcNAcβ1-O-

R acceptor motif among the substrates tested. Conditional null mutants of the *TbFUT1* gene

demonstrated that it is essential for growth of the mammalian-infective bloodstream form and

insect vector dwelling procyclic form of the parasite. Unexpectedly, TbFUT1 was localized in the

mitochondrion of *T. brucei* and found to be essential for mitochondrial function in bloodstream

form trypanosomes, suggesting this kinetoplastid parasite possesses an unprecedented and

essential mitochondrial fucosyltransferase activity.

SIGNIFICANCE

The sugar fucose is a well-known component of cell-surface glycoproteins and glycolipids and typically plays roles in cell-cell adhesion. Fucose is generally incorporated into glycoproteins and glycolipids by fucosyltransferase enzymes that reside in the Golgi apparatus. Here we show that the single fucosyltransferase of the protozoan parasite *Trypanosoma brucei*, causative agent of human and animal African trypanosomiasis, resides in the mitochondrion and not the Golgi apparatus. While the exact role of fucosylation in the parasite mitochondrion remains to be determined, it is essential for mitochondrial function and for parasite growth and survival. The unusual nature of this parasite enzyme, and its orthologues in related parasite pathogens, suggests that selective inhibitors may have therapeutic potential across a family of parasites.

INTRODUCTION

The protozoan parasites of the *Trypanosoma brucei* group are the causative agents of human and animal African trypanosomiasis. Bloodstream form *T. brucei* are ingested by the tsetse fly vector and differentiates into the procyclic form parasites to colonize the tsetse midgut. To infect a new mammalian host, *T. brucei* undergoes a series of differentiations that allows it to colonize the salivary gland of the fly and to be transferred to a new host during a subsequent blood meal (1).

The surface coat of the bloodstream form is characterized by the GPI-anchored*, N*-glycosylated variant surface glycoprotein (VSG) (2–5), while procyclic cells express a family of GPI-anchored proteins called procyclins (6–9), free glycoinositolphospholipids (10–12) and a high molecular weight glycoconjugate complex (13). The importance of glycoproteins to parasite survival and infectivity has led to the investigation of enzymes of GPI anchor (14–17) and nucleotide sugar (18–24) biosynthesis as potential therapeutic targets.

Nucleotide sugars are used as glycosyl donors in many glycosylation reactions. GDP-Fucose (GDP-Fuc) was identified in the nucleotide sugar pools of *T. brucei*, *Trypanosoma cruzi* and *Leishmania major* (25) and its biosynthesis is essential for parasite growth in procyclic and bloodstream form *T. brucei* (26) and in *L. major* promastigotes (27). Interestingly, *T. brucei* and *L. major* use different pathways to synthesize GDP-Fuc. *T. brucei* utilizes the *de novo* pathway in which GDP-Fuc is synthesised from GDP-Mannose via GDP-mannose-4,6-dehydratase (GMD) and GDP-4-keto-6-deoxy-D-mannose epimerase/reductase (GMER) (25, 26). Conversely, *L. major* has two related bifunctional arabino/fuco-kinase/pyrophosphorylases, AFKP80 and FKP40 that synthesise GDP-Fuc from free fucose (27). The only structurally-defined fucose-containing oligosaccharide in trypanosomatids is the low-abundance Ser/Thr-phosphodiester-linked glycan on *T. cruzi* gp72, a glycoprotein that has been implicated in flagellar attachment (28–30).

Fucosyltransferases (FUTs) catalyse the transfer of fucose from GDP-Fuc to glycan and

protein acceptors and are classified into two superfamilies (31, 32). One superfamily contains all

α1,3/α1,4-FUTs (Carbohydrate Active EnZyme, CAZy, family GT10) and the other contains all

α1,2-, α1,6- and protein *O*-fucosyltransferases (GT11, GT23, GT37, GT65 and GT68) (33). In

eukaryotes, the vast majority of fucosyltransferases are type II transmembrane Golgi proteins

(34), but two exceptions have been described: (i) PgtA, a cytoplasmic bifunctional β1,3-

galactosyltransferase / α1,2-FUT found in *Dictyostelium discoideum* and *Toxoplasma gondii* (35,

36) that is part of an oxygen-sensitive glycosylation pathway that attaches a pentasaccharide to

the Skp1-containing ubiquitin ligase complex (37); and (ii) SPINDLY, a protein *O*-

fucosyltransferase that modifies nuclear proteins in *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *T. gondii* (38, 39).

Unusually, *T. brucei* and other kinetoplastids contain a single mitochondrion. In the

bloodstream form of the parasite this organelle has a tubular structure, while in the procyclic form

it is organized in a complex network with numerous cristae, reflecting the absence and presence,

respectively, of oxidative phosphorylation (1, 40). The parasite mitochondrion is further

characterized by a disc-shaped DNA network called the kinetoplast (41) that is physically linked

with the flagellum basal body (42, 43).

While secretory pathway and nuclear/cytosolic glycosylation systems have been studied

extensively, little is known about glycosylation within mitochondria. A glycoproteomic approach

in yeast revealed several mitochondrial glycoproteins (44), but it was not determined whether

these were imported from secretory pathway or glycosylated within the mitochondria by as yet

unknown glycosyltransferases. The only characterized example of a mitochondrial

glycosyltransferase is the mitochondrial isoform of mammalian *O*-GlcNAc transferase (OGT). *O*-

GlcNAcylation is a cycling modification, involved in signalling, in which OGT adds GlcNAc to

Ser/Thr residues and *O*-GlcNAcase (OGA) removes it (45). Recent studies have shown that both

mitochondrial OGT (mOGT) and OGA are present and active in mammalian mitochondria and

putative mitochondrial targets have been identified (46, 47). Further, a mammalian mitochondrial

UDP-GlcNAc transporter associated with mitochondrial *O*-GlcNAcylation has been recently

described (46). However, orthologues of OGT and OGA genes are not present in kinetoplastids.

104   Here, we report on a gene (*TbFUT1*) encoding a mitochondrial α-1,2-fucosyltransferase

protein (TbFUT1) in *T. brucei* that is essential to parasite survival.

RESULTS

Identification, cloning and sequence analysis of TbFUT1. The CAZy database lists eight

distinct FUT families: GT10, 11, 23, 37, 56, 65, 68 and 74 (32). One or more sequences from

each family were selected for BLASTp searches of the predicted proteins from the *T. brucei, T.*

cruzi and *L. major* genomes (Table S1). Strikingly, only one putative *T. brucei* fucosyltransferase

gene (*TbFUT1*) was identified from the *T. brucei* genome (GeneDB ID: Tb927.9.3600) belonging

to the GT11 family, which is comprised almost exclusively of α-1,2-FUTs (31, 48). Homologues

of *TbFUT1* were also found in the *T. cruzi* and *L. major* genomes and, unlike *T. brucei*, *T. cruzi*

and *L. major* also encode for GT10 FUT genes (Table S1).

116   The TbFUT1 predicted amino acid sequence shows relatively low sequence identity to

previously characterized GT11 FUTs, for example to *H. pylori* (26%) or human FUT2 (21%) (49,

50). Nevertheless, conserved motifs characteristic of this family can be clearly identified (Fig.

S1*A*) (33, 51). Motif I (aa 153-159) is shared with α-1,6-FUTs and has been implicated in the

binding of GDP-Fuc (52), whereas no clear functions have yet been assigned to motifs II, III and

IV (aa 197-207, 265-273 and 13-18, respectively). Although TbFUT contains a possible

transmembrane (TM) domain at its N-terminus, as would be expected of a typical Golgi-localized

fucosyltransferase (34), this putative TM domain (residues 6-28) overlaps with the

fucosyltransferase motif IV, which normally occurs after the TM domain (Fig. S1*A*). Indeed,

further analysis of the TbFUT predicted amino acid sequence using *PSort II (53)*, *Target P* (54)

and *Mitoprot* (55) suggested mitochondrial localization and identified a putative mitochondrial

targeting motif (M/L..RR) with RR at sequence position +30, similar to those described for other

eukaryotes. Conservation of this targeting motif has been previously shown for other parasite

mitochondrial proteins (56, 57). Further BLASTp searches show that there is generally a single

TbFUT1 gene homologue in each kinetoplastid species, and a phylogram of FUT sequences

shows that TbFUT1 homologues form a distinct clade closest to bacterial α-1,2-

fucosyltransferases (Fig. S1*B*).

Recombinant expression of TbFUT1. The *TbFUT1* ORF was amplified from *T. brucei* 427

genomic DNA and cloned in the pGEX6P1 expression vector. The resulting construct

(pGEX6P1-GST-PP-*TbFUT1*) encoded for the *TbFUT1* ORF with a glutathione-S-transferase

(GST) tag at its N-terminus and a PreScission™ Protease (PP) cleavage site between the two

protein-encoding sequences. Sequencing identified a few nucleotide and amino acid differences

between the 427 and 927 strains, all of which are consistent with the partial genome assembly of

strain 427 (58). The pGEX6P1-GST-PP-*TbFUT1* construct was expressed in *E. coli* and the

fusion protein purified as described in Methods and Methods SI. The identities of the two higher

molecular weight bands (Fig. S2, lane 8) were determined by peptide mass fingerprinting. The

most abundant band was identified as TbFUT1, while the fainter band was identified as a subunit

of the *E. coli* GroEL chaperonin complex. The apparent molecular weight of GST-PP-TbFUT1

chimeric protein (57 kDa) was consistent with the predicted theoretical molecular weight (58.1

kDa).

Recombinant TbFUT1 is active in vitro. The recombinantly expressed GST-TbFUT1 fusion

protein was tested for activity by incubation with GDP-[^{3}H]Fuc as a donor and a panel of

commercially available mono- to octasaccharides (Table 1) selected from the literature as

possible α-1,2-FUT substrates (48, 49, 51). The effectiveness of each acceptor was evaluated

based on the presence/absence and intensities of the TLC bands corresponding to the

radiolabelled reaction products (Fig. 1 and Table 1). GST-TbFUT1 showed its best activity with

Galβ1,3GlcNAc (LNB) (Fig. 1, lane 2 and 5) and its β-O-Methyl glycoside (Fig. 1, lane 23).

Other larger oligosaccharides containing Galβ1,3GlcNAcβ1-O-R as a terminal motif (LNT and

LNH) were also good acceptors (Fig. 1, lanes 13 and 16), with the exception of iLNO (Fig. 1,

lane 15). Lactose was also an acceptor (Fig. 1, lane 1), while LacNAc and the LacNAc-

terminating branched hexasaccharide LNnH were weak acceptors (Fig. 1, lanes 3 and 12).

Interestingly, TbFUT1 was also able to transfer fucose to 3'-fucosyllactose, albeit inefficiently

(Fig. 1, lane 18), whereas no transfer could be seen to Galβ1,6GlcNAc (Fig. 1, lane 17) or to free

Gal or β-Gal-O-methyl (Fig. 1, lanes 11 and 22). As expected, no products were observed when

acceptor oligosaccharides were omitted from the reaction (Fig. 1, lane 6). To confirm the detected

activities were specific to the recombinant GST-TbFUT1, and not due to some co-purifying

endogenous *E. coli* contaminant, the assay was also performed using material prepared from *E.*

coli expressing the empty pGEX6P1 vector. No transfer of radiolabelled fucose could be

observed in these cases (Fig. 1, lanes 7-9).

A band with the same mobility as free fucose was always present in the assay reactions

and was considerably stronger in the presence of the GST-TbFUT1 preparation (Fig. 1, lanes 1-6

and 11-24), than when GDP-[^{3}H]Fuc was incubated with the reaction buffer alone (Fig. 1, lane

10) or in the presence of the material purified from the *E. coli* cells transformed with the empty

vector (Fig. 1, lanes 7-9). These data suggest that TbFUT1 has a significant propensity to transfer

Fuc to water. Interestingly, one of the substrates (LNB-O-Me; Galβ1,3GlcNAcβ1-O-methyl)

suppressed the amount of free Fuc produced in the reaction (Fig. 1, lane 23), suggesting that this

sugar may bind more tightly to the TbFUT1 acceptor site than the other glycans and thus prevent

the transfer of Fuc from GDP-Fuc to water.

The inverting α-1,2 and α-1,6-FUTs are independent of divalent cations for their activity

(51, 59–61). To study the dependence of TbFUT1 on these co-factors, the assay was repeated in

buffer without divalent cations or containing EDTA. No change in activity was observed in either

case, indicating TbFUT1 does not require divalent cations for its activity (Fig. S3).

Characterization of the TbFUT1 reaction product. The glycan reaction products were

structurally characterized to determine the anomeric and stereochemical specificity of TbFUT1.

Initially, we performed exoglycosidase and/or acid treatment of the radiolabelled reaction

products (recovered by preparative TLC) utilizing Lac, LacNAc and LNB as substrates. The

tritium label ran with the same mobility as authentic Fuc after acid hydrolysis of all three

products (Fig. S4*A* and *C*) and after *Xanthomonas manihotis* α-1,2-fucosidase digestion of the

Lac and LNB products (Fig. S4*B* and *C*). These data suggest that [³H]Fuc was transferred in α1,2

linkage to the acceptor disaccharides.

To obtain additional and definitive data, we performed a large-scale activity assay using

LNB-O-Me as an acceptor and purified the reaction product by normal phase HPLC. Fractions

containing the putative fucosylated trisaccharide product (Fig. S5) were pooled and analysed for

their neutral monosaccharide content, which showed the presence of Fuc, Gal and GlcNAc. The

purified reaction product was then permethylated and analysed by ESI-MS (Fig. 2*A*), which

confirmed that the main product was a trisaccharide of composition $dHex_1Hex_1HexNAc_1$. The

MS/MS spectrum was also consistent with the dHex residue being attached to the Hex, rather

than HexNAc, residue (Fig. 2*B*). Subsequently, partially methylated alditol acetates (PMAAs)

were generated from the purified trisaccharide product and analysed by GC-MS. This analysis

identified derivatives consistent with the presence of non-reducing terminal-Fuc, 2-*O*-substituted

Gal and 3-*O*-substituted GlcNAc (Fig. S6 and Table 2), consistent with Fuc being linked to the

position 2 of Gal. The GC-MS methylation linkage analysis also revealed a trace of 2-*O*-

substituted Fuc in the sample which, together with the observation that 3'-FL can act as a weak

substrate (Fig. 1, lane 18 and Table 1), may suggest that TbFUT1 can also form Fucα1,2Fuc

linkages.

The purified TbFUT1 reaction product was also exchanged into deuterated water (^2H_2O)

and analysed by one-dimensional ^{1}H-NMR and two-dimensional ^{1}H-ROESY (Rotating frame

Overhouser Effect SpectroscopY). The ^{1}H-NMR spectrum showed a doublet at about 5.1 ppm,

consistent with the signal from the proton on the anomeric carbon (H_1) of an α-Fuc residue (Fig.

3*A*). A characteristic doublet for the anomeric proton of a β-Gal residue was also observed at 4.5

ppm. In the ^{1}H-ROESY spectrum, a cross-peak (labelled *a*) could be observed indicating a

through-space connectivity between the H_1 of α-Fuc and the H_2 of a β-Gal, consistent with a

Fucα1,2Gal linkage in the TbFUT1 reaction product (Fig. 3*B*). The chemical shifts that could be

clearly assigned by either one-dimensional ^{1}H-NMR or two-dimensional ^{1}H-ROESY are listed in

(Table 3).

Taken together, these data unambiguously define the structure of the TbFUT1 reaction

product with GDP-Fuc and LNB-O-Me as Fucα1,2Galβ1,3GlcNAcβ1-O-CH$_3$ which, in turn,

defines TbFUT1 as having a GDP-Fuc : βGal α-1,2 fucosyltransferase activity with a preference

for a Galβ1,3GlcNAcβ1-O-R acceptor motif.

Generation of TbFUT1 conditional null mutants in procyclic and bloodstream form T. brucei.

Semi-quantitative RT-PCR showed that *TbFUT1* mRNA was present in both bloodstream form

and procyclic form *T. brucei*. We therefore sought to explore TbFUT1 function in both lifecycle

stages by creating *TbFUT1* conditional null mutants. The strategies used to generate the mutants

are described in (Fig. 4). The creation of these mutants was possible because genome assembly

indicated *TbFUT1* to be present as a single copy per haploid genome, and Southern blot analysis

using a *TbFUT1* probe was consistent with this prediction (Fig. S7). In procyclic cells (Fig. 4, left

panel), the first *TbFUT1* allele was replaced by homologous recombination with linear DNA

containing the puromycin resistance gene (*PAC*) flanked by about 500 bp of the *TbFUT1* 5'- and

3'-UTRs. After selection with puromycin, an ectopic copy of *TbFUT1,* under the control of a

tetracycline-inducible promoter, was introduced in the ribosomal DNA (rDNA) locus using

phleomycin selection. Following induction with tetracycline, the second allele was replaced with

the *BSD* gene by homologous recombination, generating the final procyclic form

ΔTbFUT1::PAC/TbFUT1^{Ti}/ΔTbFUT1::BSD conditional null mutant cell line (PCF *TbFUT1*

cKO). In bloodstream form cells (Fig. 4, middle panel), an ectopic copy of *TbFUT1* carrying a C-

terminal MYC$_3$ epitope tag under the control of a tetracycline-inducible promoter was first

introduced into the ribosomal DNA (rDNA) locus using phleomycin selection. Following cloning

and induction with tetracycline, the first *TbFUT1* allele was then targeted for homologous

recombination with linear DNA containing the hygromycin resistance gene (*HYG*) flanked by

about 1200 bp of the *TbFUT1* 5'- and 3'-UTRs. After selection with hygromycin, Southern

blotting revealed that gene conversion had taken place and that both *TbFUT1* alleles had been

replaced   by   *HYG*   yielding   a   bloodstream   form   *TbFUT1-*

MYC$_3$^{Ti}/ΔTbFUT1::HYG/ΔTbFUT1::HYG conditional null mutant cell line (BSF *TbFUT1*-MYC$_3$

cKO). Southern blotting data confirming the genotypes of these mutants are shown in Fig. S7.

The BSF cell line was also used to generate a $TbFUT1^{Ti}/\Delta TbFUT1::HYG/\Delta TbFUT1::HYG$

conditional null mutant cell line by *in situ* homologous recombination of the tetracycline

inducible *TbFUT1*-MYC$_3$ copy, converting it to an untagged *TbFUT1* gene and generating BSF

TbFUT1 cKO (Fig. 4, right panel).

TbFUT1 is essential to procyclic and bloodstream form T. brucei. Procyclic and bloodstream

form *TbFUT1* conditional null mutants were grown under permissive (plus tetracycline) or non-

permissive (minus tetracycline) conditions. The PCF *TbFUT1* cKO cells grown under non-

permissive conditions showed a clear reduction in the rate of cell growth after 6 days, eventually

dying after 15 days (Fig. 5*A*). The BSF *TbFUT1* cKO cells grew like wild type cells under

permissive conditions, whether or not the expressed TbFUT1 had a C-terminal MYC$_3$ tag, and

under non-permissive conditions also showed a clear reduction in the rate of cell growth after 2-4

days, dying after 3-5 days (Fig. 5*B* and *C*). These growth phenotypes are very similar to those

described for procyclic and bloodstream form *TbGMD* conditional null mutants that cannot

synthesise GDP-Fuc under non-permissive conditions (Fig. S8) (26). This is consistent with the

hypothesis that TbFUT1 may be the only enzyme that utilizes GDP-Fuc, or at least that it is the

only FUT transferring fucose to essential acceptors. Further evidence that TbFUT1 is essential for

procyclic and bloodstream form growth was obtained from Northern blots (Fig. 5*D* and *E*). These

show that *TbFUT1* mRNA levels are undetectable for several days after the removal of

tetracycline, but that growth resumes only when some cells escape tetracycline control after about

29 days (procyclic form) and 11 days (bloodstream form). Escape from tetracycline control after

several days is typical of conditional null mutants for essential trypanosome genes (18). Evidence

for the expression of the MYC$_3$ tagged TbFUT1 protein in the BSF *TbFUT1*-MYC$_3$ cKO cell line

and of unmodified TbFUT1 in the BSF *TbFUT1* cKO cell line under permissive conditions is

shown in (Fig. 5*F*).

From a morphological point of view, both procyclic form *TbGMD* and *TbFUT1*

conditional null mutants grown under non-permissive conditions showed an increase in average

cell volume, due to increased cell length, concomitant with the start of the cell growth phenotype

(Fig. S9*A*). However, we were unable to reproduce the flagellar detachment phenotype previously

reported for the PCF *TbGMD* cKO grown in non-permissive conditions (26), nor was such a

phenotype observed in the PCF *TbFUT1* cKO parasites, either by scanning electron microscopy

or immunofluorescence (Fig. S9*C* and S10). The percentage of cells displaying flagellar

detachment (1.5-2 %) in both null mutants grown in non-permissive conditions (Fig. S9*B*) was

consistent with what has previously been reported for wild type cells (62). Additionally, we could

observe no defect in cell motility in either PCF *TbGMD* or PCF *TbFUT1* cKO grown in non-

permissive conditions (Fig. S11).

TbFUT1 localizes to the parasite mitochondrion. The BSF *TbFUT1*-MYC$_3$ cKO cell line, grown

under permissive conditions, was stained with anti-MYC antibodies and produced a pattern

suggestive of mitochondrial localization. This was confirmed by co-localization with

MitoTracker^{TM} (Fig. 6*A*). However, when TbFUT1 was introduced into wild type cells fused with

an HA$_3$ epitope tag at the N-terminus, either with or without a C-terminal MYC$_3$-tag (constructs

pLEW100:HA$_3$-FUT1-MYC$_3$ and pLEW100:HA$_3$-FUT1), the tagged protein co-localized with

GRASP, a marker of the Golgi apparatus (Fig. 6*B* and *C*). In these cases, we suspect that N-

terminal tagging has disrupted mitochondrial targeting, by obscuring the N-terminal

mitochondrial targeting sequence. Indeed, no mitochondrial targeting motif was predicted *in*

silico for N-terminal HA$_3$ tagged TbFUT1. Nevertheless, since the mitochondrial localization of a

fucosyltransferase is unprecedented, we elected to raise polyclonal antibodies against

recombinant TbFUT1 to further assess its subcellular location. To do so, an N-terminally His$_6$-

tagged Δ_{32}TbFUT1 protein was expressed, re-solubilized from inclusion bodies and used for

rabbit immunization. The IgG fraction was isolated on immobilized protein-A and the anti-

TbFUT1 IgG sub-fraction affinity purified on immobilized recombinant GST-TbFUT1 fusion

protein. To further ensure mono-specificity of the antibodies to TbFUT1, the resulting fraction

was adsorbed against a concentrated cell lysate of the PCF *TbFUT1* cKO mutant grown for 9 days

under non-permissive conditions. The resulting highly-specific polyclonal antibody was used to

detect TbFUT1 expression in wild type bloodstream form cells as well as in BSF and PCF

TbFUT1 cKO cells under permissive and non-permissive conditions (Fig. 7*A-C*). Anti-TbFUT1

antibodies co-localized with MitoTracker^{TM} staining in the wild-type cells and in the conditional

null mutants under permissive conditions. No signal for the anti-TbFUT1 antibodies was seen

under non-permissive conditions, confirming the specificity of the polyclonal antibody. Taking

the possibility of a dual Golgi/mitochondrial localization into account, TbFUT1 localization was

also assessed in bloodstream form cells ectopically expressing TbGnTI-HA$_3$ as an authentic Golgi

marker (63). No co-localization between TbGnTI-HA$_3$ and anti-TbFUT1 was observed,

suggesting that TbFUT1 is either exclusively or predominantly expressed in the parasite

mitochondrion (Fig. 7*D*).

Deletion of TbFUT1 disturbs mitochondrial activity. Bloodstream form wild type and BSF

TbFUT1 cKO cells, grown with and without tetracycline for 5 days, were stained with antibodies

to mitochondrial ATPase and with MitoTracker^{TM}. As expected ATPase and MitoTracker^{TM} co-

localized in wild type cells and in the mutant under permissive conditions (Fig. 8, top panels).

However, under non-permissive conditions the few remaining viable cells showed significantly

diminished MitoTracker[TM] staining, indicative of reduced mitochondrial membrane potential, and

a reduction in ATPase staining, suggesting that TbFUT1 is in some way required for

mitochondrial function (Fig. 8, lower panels, and Fig. S12).

DISCUSSION

The presence and essentiality of the nucleotide sugar donor GDP-Fuc in BSF and PCF

trypanosomes lead us to search for putative FUTs in the parasite genome. Only one gene

(*TbFUT1*; Tb927.9.3600), belonging to the CAZy GT11 family, was found and phylogenetic

analyses revealed that one, or two in the case of *T. cruzi*, orthologues could be found in the

genomes of other kinetoplastids. These putative kinetoplastid FUTs form a distinct clade within

the GT11 FUT superfamily and are distinct from the GT10 FUTs found in *T. cruzi, L. major* and

related parasites, which are absent in *T. brucei*. Consistent with TbFUT1 being the only enzyme

likely to utilise the essential metabolite GDP-Fuc, we found that TbFUT1 is also essential to both

BSF and PCF parasites. We were able to express TbFUT1 in *E. coli* and the recombinant enzyme

was used to demonstrate its activity as a GDP-Fuc : βGal α-1,2 fucosyltransferase with a

preference for a Galβ1,3GlcNAcβ1-O-R acceptor motif out of the acceptor substrates

investigated.

The highly unusual result was the localization of TbFUT1 to the parasite mitochondrion,

using an affinity-purified antibody raised against native TbFUT1 as well as C-terminal epitope

tagging. Although in recent years fucosylation has been described in the nucleus and cytosol of

protists and plants (35, 36, 38, 64), we are unaware of any other examples of mitochondrial FUTs

in any organism. Mitochondrial glycosylation in general is poorly understood. The only other

known example of a mitochondrial-localized glycosyltransferase is mOGT (45). Mitochondria of

rat cardiomyocytes are also positive for OGA and express a UDP-GlcNAc transporter on their

outer membrane, indicating all of the molecular components required for this cycling post-

translational modification are present in the organelle (46). Interestingly, disruption of mOGT in

HeLa cell mitochondria also leads to mitochondrial disfunction. While there are no (m)OGT

orthologues in kinetoplastids, these observations highlight some of the challenges inherent for a

mitochondrial-localized glycosyltransferase. Firstly, for TbFUT1 to be active, GDP-Fuc needs to

be imported into the mitochondrion, suggesting the presence of an uncharacterized mitochondrial

GDP-sugar transporter. Secondly, TbFUT1 appears to be an α-1,2-FUT that decorates glycans

terminating in Galβ1,3GlcNAc, suggesting either that additional uncharacterized

glycosyltransferases and nucleotide sugar transporters may be present in the parasite

mitochondrion, or that the glycoconjugate substrate is assembled in the secretory pathway and

then somehow imported into the mitochondrion to be modified by TbFUT1. Experiments to

resolve these options will be undertaken, as will further experiments to try to find the protein,

lipid and/or other acceptor substrates of TbFUT1. The latter may then provide clues as to why

TbFUT1 is essential for mitochondrial function and parasite growth. Several attempts to identify

TbFUT1 substrates have failed so far, these include fucose-specific lectin blotting and pull-

downs, [^{3}H]fucose labelling of parasites transfected with GDP-Fuc salvage pathway enzymes and

LC-MS/MS precursor ion and neutral-loss scanning methods. Although the significance is

unclear, it is interesting to note that procyclic TbFUT1 has been recently shown to be under

circadian regulation (65).

In conclusion, *Tb*FUT1 is an essential, mitochondrial *α*-1,2-FUT with orthologues

throughout the kinetoplastidia. Although no data is available so far on the enzymes from other

members of this group, these initial results suggest the intriguing possibility of an essential,

conserved mitochondrial fucosylation pathway in kinetoplastids that might be exploitable as a

common drug target.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Parasite strains. *T. brucei* procyclic form (strain 427, clone 29.13) and bloodstream form (strain 427, variant MITaT 1.2) were used in these experiments. Both strains are stably expressing T7 polymerase and tetracycline repressor protein under G418 (bloodstream) or G418 and hygromycin (procyclic) selection (66). Details on media and selection antibiotics can be found in the SI Methods.

Cloning, protein expression and purification of TbFUT1. The putative *TbFUT1* (Tb927.9.3600) was identified via BLASTp searches as described in Methods SI. The open reading frame (ORF) was amplified by PCR from *T. brucei* strain 427 genomic DNA and cloned into the N-terminal GST fusion vector pGEX-6P-1, modified to contain the PreScission Protease site (kind gift of Prof. Daan Van Aalten). The resulting pGEX6P1-GST-PP-*Tb*FUT1 was transformed into BL21 (DE3) *E. coli* strain. Recombinant protein expression was induced with isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) and carried out at 16°C for 16 h. GST-TbFUT1 was isolated from the soluble fraction by affinity purification with Glutathione Sepharose Fast Flow beads. Recombinant protein identification by peptide mass fingerprinting was performed by the Proteomic and Mass Spectrometry facility, School of Life Sciences, University of Dundee. Primer sequences and details on cloning, expression, and purification can be found in the SI Methods.

Fucosyltransferase activity assays. Aliquots of 2 μg of affinity purified GST-TbFUT1 were incubated with 1 μCi GDP[^{3}H]Fuc (American Radiochemicals), 1 mM acceptor in 50 mM Tris-HCl, 25 mM KCl, 5 mM $MgCl_2$, 5 mM $MnCl_2$, pH 7.2 for 2 h at 37°C. The acceptors tested

(Table 1) were purchased from Sigma, Dextra Laboratories or Toronto Research Chemicals. To

study the dependency on divalent cations, $MgCl_2$ and $MnCl_2$ were removed from the buffer and a

formulation with 10 mM EDTA was also tested. Reactions were stopped by cooling on ice, then

desalted on mixed bed columns as detailed in SI Methods. About 5% of the desalted reactions

were counted at a LS 6500 scintillation counter (Beckmann). The remaining material was

lyophilized for further analyses.

HPTLC analysis. Reaction products and standards were dissolved in 20% 1-propanol and

separated on a 10 cm HPTLC Si-60 plates (Merck) using 1-propanol:acetone:water 9:6:4 (v:v:v)

as mobile phase. Non-radiolabelled sugars were visualized by orcinol/ H_2SO_4 staining. In the case

of radiolabelled products, the HPTLC plates were sprayed with $En^3hance^®$ (PerkinElmer) and

visualized by fluorography.

Large scale TbFUT1 assay and product purification. Acceptor (5 mM Lacto-N-biose-β-O-

methyl) and donor (2.5 mM GDP-Fuc) were incubated with 8 μg affinity purified GST-TbFUT1

in 20 mM Tris-HCl, 25 mM KCl, pH 7.2 at 37°C for 24 h. The reaction products were desalted on

a mixed-bed column and lyophilized. The trisaccharide product was isolated by normal phase

liquid chromatography on an amino column as described in Methods SI. The fractions containing

the putative trisaccharide product were pooled and lyophilized.

Permethylation, ESI-MS analysis and GC-MC methylation linkage analysis. Purified

TbFUT1 reaction product was dried and permethylated by the sodium hydroxide method as

described in (68). Aliquots were used for ESI-MS and the remainder was subjected to acid

hydrolysis followed by NaB^2H_4 reduction and acetylation (67). The resulting PMAAs were

analysed using an HP6890 GC System equipped with an HP-5 column linked to a 5975C mass

spectrometer (Agilent). For ESI-MS and ESI-MS/MS, the permethylated glycans were directly

infused into a Q-Star XL mass spectrometer equipped with Analyst software (Applied

Biosystems). See Methods SI for details.

NMR. The purified TbFUT1 reaction product was exchanged in ^{2}H$_2$O by freeze-drying and

analysed by one-dimensional ^{1}H-NMR and two-dimensional ^{1}H-ROESY (Rotating frame

Overhouser Effect SpectroscopY). All spectra were acquired on a Bruker Avance spectrometer

operating at 500 MHz with a probe temperature of 293°K.

Generation of *TbFUT1* conditional null mutants. About 500 bp of the 5' and 3' untranslated

regions (UTRs) immediately flanking the *TbFUT1* ORF were amplified from *T. brucei* genomic

DNA (gDNA) and linked together by PCR. Antibiotic resistance cassettes were cloned into the

*Hind*III/*BamH*I restriction sites between the two UTRs to generate constructs either containing

puromycin acetyltransferase (*PAC*) or blastacidin S deamidase (*BSD*). In addition, a hygromycin

(HYG) based *TbFUT1* gene replacement cassette was generated with longer UTRs (1.25 kb). The

tetracycline-inducible ectopic copy construct was generated by amplifying the *TbFUT1* ORF

from *T. brucei* gDNA and cloning the resulting PCR product into pLEW100. Additionally, a

modified pLEW100 vector was generated which allowed universal tagging of a protein of interest

with a C-terminal 3x MYC tag. Linearized DNA was used to transform the parasites as

previously described (66, 68, 69). The genotype of the transformed parasites was verified by

Southern blot. For details see Methods SI.

Northern blotting. Total RNA was prepared from 5×10^6-1×10^7 cells using the RNeasy MIDI Kit

(Qiagen) according to manufacturer's instructions. The RNA was separated on a 2% agarose-

formaldehyde gel, blotted and detected using the Northern Starter Kit (Roche). Probes were

designed based on the DIG RNA Labelling Kit T7 (Roche) and *TbFUT1* and alpha-tubulin

(Tb427.01.2340) templates were amplified from *T. brucei* bloodstream form gDNA using primers

P17/P18 and P19/P20 (Table S2), respectively. Total RNA and DIG labelled probes were quality

checked by capillary electrophoresis on an Agilent BioAnalyzer 2100.

Generation and expression of epitope-tagged TbFUT1 constructs. *TbFUT1* was introduced in

two different sites of pLEW100HXM (see Methods SI) to yield HA_3-TbFUT1 and HA_3-TbFUT1-

MYC_3. TbFUT1 was amplified using primers P21/P22 for HA_3-TbFUT1 and P23/P16 for HA_3-

TbFUT1-MYC_3 (Table S2). The two plasmids were purified and electroporated into BSF cells as

described above. The generation of the TbFUT1-MYC_3 cell line is described above as it was used

to generate the BSF *TbFUT1* cKO cell line.

Preparation of anti-FUT1 antibody. An N-terminally truncated construct encoding Δ_{32}TbFUT1

fused to an N-terminal hexahistidine tag (HIS_6) with PreScission plus (PP) protease cleavage site

in a pET15b expression vector was introduced into BL21 (DE3) gold *E.coli* cells. *E. coli* cells

were allowed to express His_6-PP-TbFUT1 over night at 25°C in auto-inducing media (5052-NPS-

$MgSO_4$). The recombinant HIS_6-PP-Δ_{32}TbFUT1 protein was resolubilized from inclusion bodies

as described in Methods SI. Re-solubilised protein (2 mg) was sent off to DC Biosciences [LTD] for

production of polyclonal rabbit antiserum. See Methods SI for details on the purification of the

anti-TbFUT1 IgG fraction.

Immunofluorescence microscopy. Late log phase *T. brucei* bloodstream form or procyclic cells

were fixed in 4% PFA/PBS in solution. When using Mitotracker[TM] Red CMX Ros cell cultures

were spiked with a 25 nM concentration over 20 minutes, before harvesting. Permeabilization,

blocking and labelling conditions are described in Methods SI. Microscopy was performed on a

DeltaVision Spectris microscope (GE Healthcare) and images were processed using Softworx.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Gina MacKay and Art Crossman (University of Dundee) for

performing the NMR experiment and helping with the data analysis. We would also like to thanks

Alan R. Prescott (Division of Cell Signalling and Immunology, University of Dundee) for his

generous help with the confocal microscopy and Martin Kierans for preparing the samples for

scanning electron microscopy. The authors are also grateful to Keith Gull (University of Oxford),

Graham Warren (University College London), Daan van Aalten and David Horn (University of

Dundee) for providing reagents. This work was supported by a University of Dundee/BBSRC

PhD studentship to G.B. and by a Wellcome Trust Investigator Award (101842) to M.A.J.F.

REFERENCES

1. Matthews KR (2005) The developmental cell biology of *Trypanosoma brucei. J Cell Sci* 118(Pt 2):283–290.

2. Cross GAM (1996) Antigenic variation in trypanosomes: Secrets surface slowly. *Bioessays* 18(4):283–291.

3. Pays E (1998) Expression and function of surface proteins in *Trypanosoma brucei. Mol Biochem Parasitol* 91(1):3–36.

4. Mehlert A, Richardson JM, Ferguson MA (1998) Structure of the glycosylphosphatidylinositol membrane anchor glycan of a class-2 variant surface glycoprotein from *Trypanosoma brucei. J Mol Biol* 277(2):379–392.

5. Schwede A, Carrington M (2010) Bloodstream form trypanosome plasma membrane proteins: antigenic variation and invariant antigens. *Parasitology* 137(14):2029–2039.

6. Richardson JP, Beecroft RP, Tolson DL, Liu MK, Pearson TW (1988) Procyclin: an unusual immunodominant glycoprotein surface antigen from the procyclic stage of African trypanosomes. *Mol Biochem Parasitol* 31(3):203–216.

7. Treumann A, et al. (1997) Structural characterisation of two forms of procyclic acidic repetitive protein expressed by procyclic forms of *Trypanosoma brucei. J Mol Biol* 269(4):529–547.

8. Roditi I, Furger A, Ruepp S, Schürch N, Bütikofer P (1998) Unravelling the procyclin coat of *Trypanosoma brucei. Mol Biochem Parasitol* 91(1):117–130.

9. Vassella E, et al. (2001) Multiple procyclin isoforms are expressed differentially during the development of insect forms of *Trypanosoma brucei. J Mol Biol* 312(4):597–607.

10. Vassella E, Bütikofer P, Engstler M, Jelk J, Roditi I (2003) Procyclin null mutants of *Trypanosoma brucei* express free glycosylphosphatidylinositols on their surface. *Mol Biol Cell* 14(4):1308–1318.

11. Roper JR (2005) The Suppression of Galactose Metabolism in Procyclic Form *Trypanosoma*

brucei Causes Cessation of Cell Growth and Alters Procyclin Glycoprotein Structure and

Copy Number. *J Biol Chem* 280(20):19728–19736.

12. Nagamune K, et al. (2004) Surface Sialic Acids Taken from the Host Allow Trypanosome

Survival in Tsetse Fly Vectors. *J Exp Med* 199(10):1445–1450.

13. Güther MLS, et al. (2009) Fate of glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI)-less procyclin and

characterization of sialylated non-GPI-anchored surface coat molecules of procyclic-form

Trypanosoma brucei. Eukaryot Cell 8(9):1407–1417.

14. Nagamune K, et al. (2000) Critical roles of glycosylphosphatidylinositol for *Trypanosoma*

brucei. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 97(19):10336–10341.

15. Chang T, Milne KG, Güther MLS, Smith TK, Ferguson MAJ (2002) Cloning of

Trypanosoma   brucei and *Leishmania   major* genes   encoding   the   GlcNAc-

phosphatidylinositol de-N-acetylase of glycosylphosphatidylinositol biosynthesis that is

essential to the African sleeping sickness parasite. *J Biol Chem* 277(51):50176–50182.

16. Smith TK, Crossman A, Brimacombe JS, Ferguson MAJ (2004) Chemical validation of GPI

biosynthesis as a drug target against African sleeping sickness. *EMBO J* 23(23):4701–4708.

17. Urbaniak MD, Crossman A, Ferguson MAJ (2008) Probing *Trypanosoma brucei*

Glycosylphosphatidylinositol Biosynthesis Using Novel Precursor-Analogues. *Chem Biol*

Drug Des 72(2):127–132.

18. Roper JR, Guther MLS, Milne KG, Ferguson MAJ (2002) Galactose metabolism is essential

for the African sleeping sickness parasite *Trypanosoma brucei. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*

99(9):5884–5889.

19. Urbaniak MD, Turnock DC, Ferguson MAJ (2006) Galactose starvation in a bloodstream

form *Trypanosoma brucei* UDP-glucose 4'-epimerase conditional null mutant. *Eukaryot*

Cell 5(11):1906–1913.

20. Urbaniak MD, et al. (2006) Identification of novel inhibitors of UDP-Glc 4′-epimerase, a

validated drug target for african sleeping sickness. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* 16(22):5744–

5747.

21. Stokes MJ, et al. (2008) The Synthesis of UDP-N-acetylglucosamine Is Essential for
Bloodstream Form *Trypanosoma brucei* in Vitro and in Vivo and UDP-N-acetylglucosamine
Starvation Reveals a Hierarchy in Parasite Protein Glycosylation. *J Biol Chem*
283(23):16147–16161.

22. Denton H, Fyffe S, Smith TK (2010) GDP-mannose pyrophosphorylase is essential in the
bloodstream form of *Trypanosoma brucei. Biochem J* 425(3):603–614.

23. Marino K, et al. (2011) Characterization, Localization, Essentiality, and High-Resolution
Crystal Structure of Glucosamine 6-Phosphate N-Acetyltransferase from *Trypanosoma*
brucei. Eukaryot Cell 10(7):985–997.

24. Marino K, et al. (2010) Identification, subcellular localization, biochemical properties, and
high-resolution crystal structure of *Trypanosoma brucei* UDP-glucose pyrophosphorylase.
Glycobiology 20(12):1619–1630.

25. Turnock DC, Ferguson MAJ (2007) Sugar nucleotide pools of *Trypanosoma brucei,*
Trypanosoma cruzi, and *Leishmania major. Eukaryot Cell* 6(8):1450–1463.

26. Turnock DC, Izquierdo L, Ferguson MAJ (2007) The de novo synthesis of GDP-fucose is
essential for flagellar adhesion and cell growth in *Trypanosoma brucei. J Biol Chem*
282(39):28853–28863.

27. Guo H, et al. (2017) Genetic metabolic complementation establishes a requirement for GDP-
fucose in *Leishmania. J Biol Chem* 292(25):10696–10708.

28. Cooper R, de Jesus AR, Cross GA (1993) Deletion of an immunodominant *Trypanosoma*
cruzi surface glycoprotein disrupts flagellum-cell adhesion. *J Cell Biol* 122(1):149–156.

29. Haynes PA, Ferguson MA, Cross GA (1996) Structural characterization of novel
oligosaccharides of cell-surface glycoproteins of *Trypanosoma cruzi. Glycobiology*
6(8):869–878.

30. Allen S, Richardson JM, Mehlert A, Ferguson MAJ (2013) Structure of a complex
phosphoglycan epitope from gp72 of *Trypanosoma cruzi. J Biol Chem* 288(16):11093–
11105.

31.   Coutinho PM, Deleury E, Davies GJ, Henrissat B (2003) An Evolving Hierarchical Family
Classification for Glycosyltransferases. *J Mol Biol* 328(2):307–317.

32.   Lombard V, Golaconda Ramulu H, Drula E, Coutinho PM, Henrissat B (2013) The
carbohydrate-active enzymes database (CAZy) in 2013. *Nucleic Acids Res* 42(D1):D490–
D495.

33.   Martinez-Duncker I (2003) A new superfamily of protein-*O*-fucosyltransferases, 2-
fucosyltransferases, and 6-fucosyltransferases: phylogeny and identification of conserved
peptide motifs. *Glycobiology* 13(12):1C–5.

34.   Breton C, Oriol R, Imberty A (1998) Conserved structural features in eukaryotic and
prokaryotic fucosyltransferases. *Glycobiology* 8(1):87–94.

35.   Rahman K, et al. (2016) The E3 Ubiquitin Ligase Adaptor Protein Skp1 Is Glycosylated by
an Evolutionarily Conserved Pathway That Regulates Protist Growth and Development. *J*
Biol Chem 291(9):4268–4280.

36.   Van Der Wel H, Fisher SZ, West CM (2002) A bifunctional diglycosyltransferase forms the
Fucalpha1,2Galbeta1,3-disaccharide on Skp1 in the cytoplasm of *Dictyostelium*. *J Biol*
Chem 277(48):46527–46534.

37.   West CM, Wang ZA, Van Der Wel H (2010) A cytoplasmic prolyl hydroxylation and
glycosylation pathway modifies Skp1 and regulates O2-dependent development in
Dictyostelium. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1800(2):160–171.

38.   Zentella R, et al. (2017) The *Arabidopsis O*-fucosyltransferase SPINDLY activates nuclear
growth repressor DELLA. *Nat Chem Biol* 161:1279.

39.   Gas-Pascual E, et al. (2019) CRISPR/Cas9 and glycomics tools for *Toxoplasma*
glycobiology. *J Biol Chem* 294(4):1104–1125.

40.   Priest JW, Hajduk SL (1994) Developmental regulation of mitochondrial biogenesis in
Trypanosoma brucei. *J Bioenerg Biomembr* 26(2):179–191.

41.   Jensen RE, Englund PT (2012) Network news: the replication of kinetoplast DNA. *Annu*

Rev Microbiol 66:473–491.

42.   Povelones ML (2014) Beyond replication: Division and segregation of mitochondrial DNA
in kinetoplastids. *Mol Biochem Parasitol* 196(1):53–60.

43.   Ogbadoyi EO, Robinson DR, Gull K (2003) A high-order trans-membrane structural linkage
is responsible for mitochondrial genome positioning and segregation by flagellar basal
bodies in trypanosomes. *Mol Biol Cell* 14(5):1769–1779.

44.   Kung LA, et al. (2009) Global analysis of the glycoproteome in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*
reveals new roles for protein glycosylation in eukaryotes. *Mol Syst Biol* 5:308.

45.   Bond MR, Hanover JA (2015) A little sugar goes a long way: the cell biology of *O*-GlcNAc.
J Cell Biol 208(7):869–880.

46.   Banerjee PS, Ma J, Hart GW (2015) Diabetes-associated dysregulation of *O*-GlcNAcylation
in rat cardiac mitochondria. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 112(19):6050–6055.

47.   Sacoman JL, Dagda RY, Burnham-Marusich AR, Dagda RK, Berninsone PM (2017)
Mitochondrial *O*-GlcNAc Transferase (mOGT) Regulates Mitochondrial Structure,
Function, and Survival in HeLa Cells. *J Biol Chem* 292(11):4499–4518.

48.   Zhang L, et al. (2010) *Helicobacter hepaticus* Hh0072 gene encodes a novel 1-3-
fucosyltransferase belonging to CAZy GT11 family. *Glycobiology* 20(9):1077–1088.

49.   Wang G, Boulton PG, Chan NW, Palcic MM, Taylor DE (1999) Novel *Helicobacter pylori*
alpha1,2-fucosyltransferase, a key enzyme in the synthesis of Lewis antigens. *Microbiology*
145 (Pt 11)(11):3245–3253.

50.   Kelly RJ, Rouquier S, Giorgi D, Lennon GG, Lowe JB (1995) Sequence and expression of a
candidate for the human Secretor blood group alpha(1,2)fucosyltransferase gene (FUT2).
Homozygosity for an enzyme-inactivating nonsense mutation commonly correlates with the
non-secretor phenotype. *J Biol Chem* 270(9):4640–4649.

51.   Li M, et al. (2008) Characterization of a Novel α1,2-Fucosyltransferase of *Escherichia coli*
O128:B12 and Functional Investigation of Its Common Motif. *Biochemistry* 47(1):378–387.

52. Takahashi T, et al. (2000) A sequence motif involved in the donor substrate binding by
alpha1,6-fucosyltransferase: the role of the conserved arginine residues. *Glycobiology*
10(5):503–510.

53. Horton P, Nakai K (1997) Better prediction of protein cellular localization sites with the k
nearest neighbors classifier. *Proc Int Conf Intell Syst Mol Biol* 5:147–152.

54. Emanuelsson O, Nielsen H, Brunak S, von Heijne G (2000) Predicting Subcellular
Localization of Proteins Based on their N-terminal Amino Acid Sequence. *J Mol Biol*
300(4):1005–1016.

55. Claros MG, Vincens P (1996) Computational method to predict mitochondrially imported
proteins and their targeting sequences. *Eur J Biochem* 241(3):779–786.

56. Krnáčová K, Vesteg M, Hampl V, Vlček Č, Horváth A (2012) Euglena gracilis and
Trypanosomatids possess common patterns in predicted mitochondrial targeting
presequences. *J Mol Evol* 75(3-4):119–129.

57. Long S, Jirku M, Ayala FJ, Lukes J (2008) Mitochondrial localization of human frataxin is
necessary but processing is not for rescuing frataxin deficiency in *Trypanosoma brucei*.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 105(36):13468–13473.

58. Aslett M, et al. (2009) TriTrypDB: a functional genomic resource for the Trypanosomatidae.
Nucleic Acids Res 38(suppl_1):D457–D462.

59. Beyer TA, Hill RL (1980) Enzymatic-Properties of the Beta-Galactoside-Alpha-1-] 2
Fucosyl-Transferase From Porcine Sub-Maxillary Gland. *J Biol Chem* 255(11):5373–5379.

60. Kamińska J, Wiśniewska A, Kościelak J (2003) Chemical modifications of α1,6-
fucosyltransferase define amino acid residues of catalytic importance. *Biochimie* 85(3-
4):303–310.

61. Pettit N, et al. (2010) Characterization of WbiQ: An α1,2-fucosyltransferase from
Escherichia coli O127:K63(B8), and synthesis of H-type 3 blood group antigen. *Biochem*
Biophys Res Commun 402(2):190–195.

62. LaCount DJ, Barrett B, Donelson JE (2002) *Trypanosoma brucei* FLA1 Is Required for
Flagellum Attachment and Cytokinesis. *J Biol Chem* 277(20):17580–17588.

63. Damerow M, et al. (2014) Identification and Functional Characterization of a Highly
Divergent *N*-Acetylglucosaminyltransferase I (TbGnTI) in *Trypanosoma brucei*. *J Biol*
Chem 289(13):9328–9339.

64. Bandini G, et al. (2016) *O*-fucosylated glycoproteins form assemblies in close proximity to
the nuclear pore complexes of *Toxoplasma gondii*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 113(41):11567–
11572.

65. Rijo-Ferreira F, Pinto-Neves D, Barbosa-Morais NL, Takahashi JS, Figueiredo LM (2017)
Trypanosoma brucei metabolism is under circadian control. *Nature Microbiol* 2:17032.

66. Wirtz E, Leal S, Ochatt C, Cross GA (1999) A tightly regulated inducible expression system
for conditional gene knock-outs and dominant-negative genetics in *Trypanosoma brucei*.
Mol Biochem Parasitol 99(1):89–101.

67. Ferguson MAJ (1992) Chemical and enzymatic analysis of glycosylphosphoditylinositol
anchors. *Lipid Modification of Proteins: A Practical Approach* (IRL Press, Oxford), pp 191–
230.

68. Burkard G, Fragoso CM, Roditi I (2007) Highly efficient stable transformation of
bloodstream forms of *Trypanosoma brucei*. *Mol Biochem Parasitol* 153(2):220–223.

69. Güther MLS, Lee S, Tetley L, Acosta-Serrano A, Ferguson MAJ (2006) GPI-anchored
proteins and free GPI glycolipids of procyclic form *Trypanosoma brucei* are nonessential for
growth, are required for colonization of the tsetse fly, and are not the only components of the
surface coat. *Mol Biol Cell* 17(12):5265–5274.

Table 1. Acceptor substrates and semi-quantitative fucosyltransferase activities.

TbFUT1 Activity	Lane of Fig. 1	Abbreviat.	Name	Structure
+++	2, 5, 8	LNB	lacto-N-biose	**Galβ1,3GlcNAc**
+++	23	LNB-OMe	lacto-N-biose-O-methyl	**Galβ1,3GlcNAc**β-OMe
++	13	LNT	lacto-N-tetraose	**Galβ1,3GlcNAc**β1,3Galβ1,4Glc
++	16	LNH	lacto-N-hexaose	**Galβ1,3GlcNAc**β1,3(Galβ1,4GlacNAcβ1,6)Galβ1,4Glc
++	1	Lac	lactose	Galβ1,4Glc
+	15	iLNO	iso-lacto-N-octaose	**Galβ1,3GlcNAc**β1,3(**Galβ1,3GlacNAc**β1,3Galβ1,4GlcNAcβ1,6)Galβ1,4Glc
+	3	LacNAc	N-acetyllactosamine	Galβ1,4GlcNAcN
+	12	LNnH	lacto-N-neohexaose	Galβ1,4GlcNAcβ1,3(Galβ1,4GlcNAcβ1,6)Galβ1,4Glc
+	14	LNnT	lacto-N-neotetraose	Galβ1,4GlcNAcβ1,3Galβ1,4Glc
+	18	3'-FL	3'-fucosyllactose	Galβ1,4(Fucα1,3)Glc
-	11	β-Gal	β-galactose	β-Gal
-	17	GNG	β1,6-galactosyl-N-acetyl-glucosamine	Galβ1,6GlcNAc
-	19	1,6GB	β1,6-galactobiose	Galβ1,6Gal
-	20	1,4GB	galabiose	Galα1,4Gal
-	21	LB2TS	Linear B2 trisaccharide	Galα1,3Galβ1,4GlcNAc
-	22	β-Gal-OMe	β-galactose-O-methyl	β-Gal-OMe
-	24	2'-FL	2'-fucosyllactose	Fucα1,2Galβ1,4Glc

656   [a] The relative efficiencies of the acceptors to act as substrates (+++, ++, + and -) are based on visual
657   inspection of the intensities of the products bands in Figure 1.
658

659 Table 2. PMAAs derivatives identified by GC-MS methylation linkage analysis of the purified

660 TbFUT1 reaction product.

PMAA derivative	RT (min)	Origin
4,6-di-*O*-methyl-1,3,5-Tri-*O*-acetyl-(1-^{2}H)- 2-N-methylacetamidoglucosaminitol	24.6	3-*O*-substituted GlcNAc
2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-methyl-1,5-di-*O*-acetyl-(1-^{2}H)-galactitol	16.7	Non-reducing terminal Gal
3,4,6-tri-*O*-methyl-1,2,5-tri-*O*-acetyl-(1-^{2}H)-galactitol	18.6	2-*O*-substituted Gal
2,3,4-tri-*O*-methyl-1,5-di-*O*-acetyl-(1-^{2}H)-fucositol	14.1	Non-reducing terminal Fuc
3,4-di-*O*-methyl-1,2,5-tri-*O*-acetyl-(1-^{2}H)-fucositol	15.9	2-*O*-substituted Fuc

661 RT: retention time

663   Table 3. [1]H and ^{1}H ROESY chemical shift assignments for the purified TbFUT1 reaction product.
664

Residue	H_1	H_2	H_3	H_4	H_5	$H_{6/6'}$	NAc
αFuc	5.05 (J=4 Hz)	3.57	3.67	3.63	4.2	1.1	
βGal	4.5	3.45	3.55	3.89	ND	ND	
βGlcNAc	ND	3.63	ND	3.4	ND	3.78/3.89	2.1

665
666
667   J: coupling
668   ND: chemical shift could not be clearly assigned
669
670

FIGURE LEGENDS

FIGURE 1. **Recombinant GST-TbFUT1 transfers [³H]Fuc to a variety of sugar acceptors.**
Each assay used 2 μg of purified GST-TbFUT1, GDP-[³H]Fuc and 1 mM of acceptor. Reaction
products were desalted and separated by silica HPTLC, and detected by fluorography. The
acceptor abbreviations above each lane are defined in Table 1. - *ctrl*: negative control reaction
missing the acceptor.

FIGURE 2. **ESI-MS and ESI-MS/MS of TbFUT1 *in vitro* reaction product**. *A*. ESI-MS of the

purified and permethylated reaction product. The ion at *m/z* 692.5 is consistent with the [M +

Na]$^+$ ion of a permethylated trisaccharide of composition dHex$_1$Hex$_1$HexNAc$_1$. Some of the

unmodified acceptor (Hex$_1$HexNAc$_1$) could still be observed (*m/z* 518.4). *B*. MS/MS product ion

spectrum of *m/z* 692.5. The collision-induced fragmentation pattern indicated that the dHex (Fuc)

residue was linked to the Hex (Gal) and not to the HexNAc (GlcNAc) residue.

FIGURE 3. **^{1}H NMR and ^{1}H ROESY spectra of the TbFUT1 reaction product.** *A*. One-

dimensional ^{1}H-NMR spectrum. The *arrow* points to the α-Fuc H_1 doublet. *B*. Enlargement of the

3.2-5.1 ppm region of the two-dimensional ^{1}H ROESY. ***a*** indicates the signal for a crosspeak

resulting from a through-space connectivity between α-Fuc H_1 and β-Gal H_2.

FIGURE 4. **Cloning strategies for the creation of the *TbFUT1* conditional null mutants**. *Left*

panel: To create the procyclic form conditional null mutant (PCF *TbFUT1* cKO) the first *TbFUT1*

allele was replaced by *PAC*, an ectopic tetracycline-inducible copy of the *TbFUT1* gene was

introduced into the ribosomal DNA locus and the second *TbFUT1* allele was replaced by *BSD*.

Middle panel: To create the bloodstream form conditional null mutant (BSF *TbFUT1-MYC$_3$* cKO)

an ectopic tetracycline-inducible copy of the *TbFUT1* gene with a MYC$_3$ tag was first introduced

into the ribosomal DNA locus. Both *TbFUT1* alleles were subsequently replaced by *HYG* through

homologous recombination followed by gene conversion. *Right panel:* To create the untagged

bloodstream form cKO (BSF *TbFUT1* cKO), the BSF *TbFUT1-MYC$_3$* cKO mutant (*middle panel*)

was modified by homologous recombination with a construct that removed the C-terminal MYC$_3$

tag under *PAC* selection.

FIGURE 5. **TbFUT1 is essential for procyclic and bloodstream form cell growth *in vitro*.** The cell numbers (± standard deviation) for TbFUT1 cKO under permissive (plus tetracycline, *dotted line*) and non-permissive (minus tetracycline, *solid line*) conditions are shown for three procyclic (*A*) and bloodstream form (*C*) clones, as well as for three bloodstream clones carrying a tetracycline-inducible ectopic *TbFUT1* gene with a C-terminal MYC_3 tag (*B*). *D-E*. Corresponding *TbFUT1* mRNA levels were determined by Northern blots. Alpha-Tubulin (TUB) was used as a loading control. *F*. TbFUT1-MYC_3 and untagged TbFUT1 are detected by Western blot analysis in the respective bloodstream form cKO cell lines under permissing conditions (+ Tet). The *left panel* shows an anti-MYC (αMYC) blot and the *right panel* an anti-recombinant TbFUT1 antibody (αFUT1) blot. Membranes were stained with Ponceau S (PS) to ensure equal loading.

FIGURE 6. **The C- and N-terminal tagging of TbFUT1 result in mitochondrial and Golgi**

apparatus localization, respectively. *A*. Bloodstream form (BSF) cKO parasites expressing tet-

inducible C-terminally tagged TbFUT1-MYC$_3$ were imaged under permissive (+Tet) and non-

permissive (-Tet) conditions by DIC and fluorescence microscopy after staining with anti-MYC,

MitoTracker^{TM}, and DAPI. Comparable patterns were observed for anti-MYC and

MitoTracker^{TM}, suggesting TbFUT1-MYC$_3$ localizes to the mitochondrion. *B-C*. IFA of BSF cKO

parasites expressing a tet-inducible N-terminally tagged HA$_3$-TbFUT1-MYC$_3$ (*B*) or HA$_3$-

TbFUT1 (*C*) after labelling with anti-HA, anti-GRASP, and DAPI suggests a Golgi apparatus

location for both HA$_3$-TbFUT1-MYC$_3$ and HA$_3$-TbFUT1. The absence of anti-MYC (*A*) or anti-

HA (*B-C*) staining under non-permissive conditions confirms the specificity of the labelling for

the respective TbFUT1 fusion proteins. Scale bars: 3 μm.

FIGURE 7. **Antibodies to the recombinant protein localize TbFUT1 to the mitochondrion**. *A*.

IFA of wild type bloodstream form (BSF) trypanosomes after staining with affinity purified anti-

TbFUT1 (αFUT1) MitoTracker[TM] and DAPI. Comparable patterns were observed for anti-

TbFUT1 and MitoTracker[TM], suggesting TbFUT1 localizes to the mitochondrion. *B-C*.

Bloodstream (*B*) and procyclic (*C*) form *TbFUT1* conditional null mutants were imaged under

permissive (+Tet) and non-permissive (-Tet) conditions. In both cases the tetracycline-inducible

TbFUT1 pattern is consistent with a mitochondrial localization. *D*. BSF trypanosomes induced to

express a C-terminally tagged known Golgi glycosyltransferase (TbGnTI-HA$_3$) were imaged after

staining with αFUT1, anti-HA and DAPI, as indicated. The merged images of two representative

cells suggest no significant co-localization between native TbFUT1 and the Golgi-localized

TbGnT1. Scale bars: 3 μm.

FIGURE 8. **Absence of TbFUT1 disturbs mitochondrial activity.** Bloodstream form (BSF)

wild type and *TbFUT1* cKO parasites were cultured for 5 days under permissive (+ Tet) and non-

permissive (- Tet) conditions, fixed and labelled with Mitotracker[TM] for mitochondrial potential

and with anti mitichondrial-ATPase antibody. In mutants grown in non-permissive conditions

(*lower panels*) both ATPase and Mitotracker staining are strongly reduced, suggesting reduced

mitochondrial functionality. Scale bar: 3 μm.