Major classification: Biological Sciences. *Minor classifications*: Agricultural Sciences and

Plant Biology.

The wheat *Seven in Absentia* gene is associated with increases in biomass and

yield in hot climates

Pauline Thomelin[a], Julien Bonneau[b], Chris Brien[a], Radoslaw Suchecki[a], Ute Baumann[a],

Priyanka Kalambettu[a], Peter Langridge[a,c], Penny Tricker[a,1], Delphine Fleury[a]

[a] *School of Agriculture, Food and Wine, The University of Adelaide, PMB1, Glen Osmond,*

SA, 5064, Australia; [b]*School of BioSciences, The University of Melbourne, Parkville*

Campus, Melbourne, VIC, 3010, Australia; [c] *Julius-Kühn-Institute, Federal Research Centre*

for Cultivated Plants, Erwin-Baur-Str. 27, 06484, Quedlinburg, Germany

[1]Corresponding author:

Penny Tricker

Plant Genomics Centre

Hartley Grove

Urrbrae

South Australia

5064

Tel: +61 (8) 8313 9898

Mob: +61 450 528405

email: penny.tricker@adelaide.edu.au

Abstract

Wheat productivity is severely reduced by high temperatures. Breeding of heat tolerant cultivars can be achieved by identifying genes controlling physiological and agronomical traits with high temperature and using these to select superior genotypes, but no gene underlying genetic variation for heat tolerance has previously been described. We completed the positional cloning of *qYDH.3BL*, a quantitative trait locus (QTL) on bread wheat chromosome 3B associated with increased yield in hot and dry climates. The delimited genomic region contained 12 putative genes and a sequence variant in the promoter region of one gene - *seven in absentia, TaSINA*. This was associated with the QTL's effects on early vigour, plant biomass and yield components in two distinct wheat populations grown under various growth conditions. Near isogenic lines carrying the positive allele at *qYDH.3BL* under-expressed *TaSINA* and had increased vigour and water use efficiency early in development, as well as increased biomass, grain number and grain weight following heat stress. A survey of worldwide distribution indicated that the positive allele became widespread from the 1950s through the CIMMYT wheat breeding programme but, to date, has been selected only in breeding programmes in Mexico and Australia.

Keywords: *Triticum aestivum*, positional cloning, E3 ligase, drought, heat stress, grain

Significance statement

Wheat is the world's most widely grown crop and a staple of human diet. Even brief episodes of high temperature in the growing season cause severe yield reductions. Finding and deploying genes for heat stress tolerance in new varieties is a priority for food security with climate change. We narrowed a genetic locus to a small genomic region where genetic variation was present only in one gene that showed clear differences of expression and improved yield and

physiology under stress in the populations. Using diagnostic markers to track the positive haplotype in nearly 750 accessions, we found many regions where the allele could be used in breeding programmes to increase wheat's heat tolerance.

Introduction

As one of the world's major crops providing 20 % of human food, bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) has a vital role in food security[1]. It is also the most widely produced crop, grown worldwide in a variety of climates including harsh environments. Wheat productivity is affected by growing season drought and heat stresses, often in combination, which can cause almost complete yield loss in some cases[2,3]. Due to the increasing occurrence of drought and heat stress, particularly in the Mediterranean region, the USA, India and Australia, which are amongst the largest producers of bread wheat in the world, improving yield under these stresses is a priority[4,5]. Targeting crop productivity in regions affected by drought and heat is believed to be the best strategy to reach the 1.6 % yield improvement per year required to meet the food needs of an increasing world population[6].

One way to deliver new, high yielding varieties is to discover and deploy genes associated with grain yield variation in stress-prone environments through breeding. Many genetic studies have focussed on the identification of quantitative trait loci (QTL) associated with yield variation under abiotic stress and, in wheat, several genes have been identified for tolerance of salinity, cold, aluminium and boron toxicity[7–10]. Genetic variation in wheat yields in dry and hot conditions has been extensively studied using bi-parental populations[11–27] but no genes underlying QTL for heat and drought tolerance have yet been successfully identified. Grain yield is a complex trait, highly influenced by the environment and, although QTL for yield have been identified, only a few have been used in breeding programmes due to inconsistent performance of the QTL in different environmental conditions.

We focussed on a QTL located on chromosome 3BL, *qYDH.3BL*, identified in a doubled-

haploid (DH) population from the cross between the Australian wheats RAC875 and Kukri.

These lines were selected for their contrasting physiological responses in Mediterranean-like

climates: RAC875 is a water conservative line, whereas Kukri depletes water more quickly

under stress[28]. In the RAC875 x Kukri DH population, a multi-environment analysis of 21 field

trials showed a strong genotype by environment (G x E) interaction at the locus[29]. The QTL

was expressed in the deep soil of northern Mexico with the RAC875 allele positively

contributing to yield, thousand grain weight and early vigour. The Kukri allele at *qYDH.3BL*

was associated with increased yield in southern Australian trials, but only when plants were

irrigated[11,29]. Parent et al.[30] subsequently observed that the allele effect at *qYDH.3BL* was

dependent on growth temperatures. In this study, we fine mapped the QTL to a short region of

690 Kbp sequence which includes 12 putative genes. Furthermore, we identified sequence

variants and differential expression of a *SINA* gene consistent with the positive effects of one

allele on early growth in unstressed conditions and plant biomass following heat stress. We

identified and tracked the allele in a worldwide collection of wheat accessions to enable its

future use.

Results

The QTL *qYDH.3BL*, originally found in the spring wheat RAC875 x Kukri DH population[29]

was also present in a Drysdale x Gladius recombinant inbred line (RIL) population[31]. The

analysis of these two populations across multiple trials in Australia and Mexico showed that at

this locus the allele from RAC875 and Drysdale increased yield relative to the Kukri and

Gladius allele (Fig. 1a, b). The multi-environment analysis of both populations showed that the

high confidence QTL interval was flanked by the markers AWG43_1 and AWG38 (Fig. 1a,

b). The RAC875 allele was positively associated with a 10 % yield increase (Fig. 1b). We fine

mapped *qYDH.3BL* in RAC875 by anchoring the interval defined by the multi-environment

analysis onto the v1.0 reference sequence of cv. Chinese Spring (IWGSC RefSeq v1.0)[32]. We

then aligned the whole genome shotgun sequencing data of the four parental lines[33] against the

reference genome to identify sequence polymorphisms. Comparison between the genotypes

RAC875-Drysdale vs Kukri-Gladius enabled us to identify new sequence variants. Seventy-

four single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP)-based markers (named AWG and ADW hereafter)

and InDel markers were added to the previously described RAC875 x Kukri RIL map[29]. The

interval delimited by AWG43_1 and AWG38 defined a ~1.5 Mbp sequence in the reference

sequence assembly, containing 29 gene models, 17 described as high confidence genes (HC)

and 12 as low confidence genes (LC) (Fig. 1c). We found that this interval was smaller, only

~1 Mbp, in the RAC875 genome (Fig. 1c, Supplementary Fig. 1).

The QTL was highly significant for yield in Mexican field trials with heat treatment (by late

planting with irrigation by flooding)[29]. These trials were conducted in the northern part of

Mexico in the Sonora region where the climate is hot and dry: temperatures at flowering time

averaged 25 - 28°C for conventional sowing and 30 - 35°C for late sowing; there is no rainfall

during the growing season and water is provided by irrigation; the soil profile is deep with an

effective soil depth of 110 - 120 cm[34]. The QTL was most significant when flood irrigation and

heat stress were applied to the crop which suggested that the QTL gave an advantage in deep

soil where water is stored at depth.

To identify the genes underlying the QTL, higher genetic map resolution was required and new

recombinants needed to be grown in conditions where the QTL has the strongest effects. As

southern Australian soils are shallow, we used a deep soil-mimic platform to test the hypothesis

that a deep soil profile, characteristic of the Mexican environments, triggered *qYDH.3BL*

expression. Forty-four and 20 RAC875 x Kukri RILs previously grown in Mexican field trials[29]

were planted in the deep soil platform in August and September 2014, respectively; late

planting dates enabled us to phenotype the plants under combined drought and heat stress

(Supplementary Fig. 2 and 3). The analysis of the September trial showed a significant positive effect of the RAC875 allele on most measured traits, increasing early vigour, stem biomass, plant height, spike length and biomass, grain and spike number per plant, spikelets per spike, chlorophyll content and seminal roots number, by at least 19 % (Table 1). No effects were observed on stomatal density, nor on carbon and nitrogen isotope discrimination in mature grains (data not shown). These results showed that *qYDH.3BL*'s effects in the deep soil -mimic platform were similar to those observed in Mexican field trials. A quantitative analysis of soil water potential and air temperature effects on *qYDH.3BL* had previously found that the allele effect was correlated to temperatures[30]. The RAC875 allele increased individual seed weight, biomass and harvest index when temperatures were above 25˚C around flowering time. As expected, we found that the RAC875 allelic effects were larger under the hotter conditions experienced by plants sown in September (Table 1) compared to those planted in August (Supplementary Fig. 4).

Out of 2,000 RAC875 x Kukri RILs, we found 30 lines with recombination breakpoints in the interval delimited by the AWG43_1 and AWG38 markers and grew them in the deep soil-mimic platform with heat stress to fine map *qYDH.3BL*. In 2015, maximum temperatures at flowering time ranged between 34.8˚C and 38.8˚C (Supplementary Fig. 2). Spike length and biomass, stem biomass and early vigour were significantly higher in plants carrying the RAC875 allele at the ADW594 – ADW577 interval (Fig. 2a). Forty-four Drysdale x Gladius RILs were also phenotyped in dry and hot conditions, using the deep soil-mimic platform. The single-marker analysis showed the positive allele from Drysdale associated with increases in flag leaf length and single grain weight that narrowed the interval in this population to markers AWG 43_1 and AWG38 (Fig. 2b).

The eight recombination breakpoints in the QTL region in the 30 RAC875 x Kukri RILs enabled us to fine map *qYDH.3BL* to a ~690 Kbp sequence interval delimited by markers

ADW594 and ADW577 which contained 12 annotated genes in the Chinese Spring reference

sequence (Table 2). We also performed a local assembly of the RAC875 genomic sequence

where no additional or missing annotated genes were detected in the interval compared to the

Chinese Spring reference sequence (Supplementary Fig. 1).

As no further recombinants were found in our RIL collections, we developed near-isogenic

lines (NIL) from heterozygous inbred families with recombination breakpoints spanning the

QTL interval using RAC875 x Kukri RILs with residual heterozygosity between AWG43_1

and AWG38 (Fig. 1d). Following crossing, these families segregate for the locus in contrasting

pairs but are otherwise near isogenic. In 2017, the lines were phenotyped under combined

drought and heat treatment in the deep soil-mimic platform for yield components and main

tiller sap flow, a proxy for plant transpiration[35]. To avoid the confounding effects of phenology,

we selected NIL2, 3 and 4 with similar flowering time as the RILs previously studied. In NIL2

family, the lines carrying the RAC875 allele had increased spike and stem biomass, increased

grain weight per plant and increased average number of spikelets per spike compared to those

carrying the Kukri allele. In NIL3 family, the lines carrying RAC875 allele had increased single

grain weight compared to those carrying the Kukri allele. In NIL4 family, the lines carrying

the RAC875 allele had increased spike and stem biomass, grain number, average number of

spikelets per spike and spike number compared to those carrying the Kukri allele (Table 3).

Mass sap flow was, on average, significantly lower in all NILs carrying the RAC875 allele

compared to those carrying the Kukri allele at the QTL over the period (Fig. 3). The regression

of normalized sap flow mass against mean daily temperatures was significant (P= <0.05) in all

lines even though the proportion of the variance explained was low (adj. R^2 = 0.11–0.33).

Whilst the difference was not significant early on during temperate days (average temperature

20.5°C, Fig. 3a) or later in development (grain filling) during several cool days (average

temperature 17.5°C, Fig. 3b), there was a significant difference in sap flow between RAC875-

allele NILs versus Kukri-allele NILs during heat stress (average temperature 31.5°C) (Fig. 3c).

At this time, sap flow in NILs carrying the RAC875 allele was always lower than in NILs

carrying the Kukri allele as the RAC875-allele lines failed to increase sap flow in response to

increasing temperature in comparison with the alternative allele.

To understand whether variation in water use was related to the observed increase in early

vigour for the RAC875 allele at *qYDH.3BL*, we grew the NIL3 and 4 allele pair plants on an

automated, gravimetric watering system with real-time imaging of early vegetative growth and

measurement of water used per plant in unstressed conditions. Early vigour was increased in

NIL4 lines carrying the RAC875 allele (Fig. 4) consistent with the allelic effect observed in

the RIL experiments in deep soil (Table 1) and the increase in final biomass observed for this

NIL (Table 3), but the effect was not significant in NIL3. In unstressed conditions, NIL4 with

the RAC875 allele also had increased water use compared with the Kukri allele in complete

contrast with its reduced sap flow during heat stress. The water use index (kpixel/ ml H_2O) of

NIL4 was increased in plants carrying the RAC875 compared with the Kukri allele, showing

that this line's early increase in biomass did not demand increased water use in unstressed

conditions, *i.e.* that the RAC875 allele at the locus conferred both increased early vigour and

increased water use efficiency in unstressed conditions.

We then analysed the sequence of the 12 gene models present in the QTL interval delineated

by ADW594 and ADW577 markers using whole genome sequencing datasets of RAC875,

Kukri, Gladius and Drysdale. Using shotgun sequencing data of the four parental lines, no non-

synonymous variation was identified in the protein sequences encoded by the 12 genes at the

locus when the RAC875-Drysdale vs Kukri-Gladius translated sequences were compared.

Therefore, functional variation was most likely to lie at the gene expression level. We next

studied the expression profile of these gene models in the four NIL pairs that contrasted for

yield components in deep soil. We did not find any evidence of gene expression for the four

low confidence gene models, either in the wheat-expression database[36] (http://www.wheat-expression.com) or in our in-house database[37] that displays RNA-seq expression data in five tissues at three developmental stages[38] (Table 2). We concluded that these sequences were likely pseudogenes. As expected, we found evidence of gene expression for the eight genes annotated as high confidence (Table 2).

We measured the expression of these eight genes in the four NIL pairs, expecting a difference in expression between the RAC875 and Kukri alleles for the gene(s) responsible for *qYDH.3BL* effect. As the QTL was associated with early vigour, when no treatment had been applied, we studied gene expression in seedlings grown in unstressed conditions. The expression profiles of genes I, II, IV, V, VI, VIII and XII in RAC875 and the NILs carrying the RAC875 allele were not significantly different from Kukri and the lines carrying the Kukri allele (Supplementary Fig. 5a-g). Gene X (*TaSINA 3B*) was the only gene with a differential expression pattern both between the parental lines and among the NILs (Fig. 5a). The gene was consistently highly expressed and statistically different in the lines containing the Kukri-Gladius allele compared to the lines containing the RAC875-Drysdale allele, in both shoot and root tissues of seedlings, except for NIL2 in shoot tissue (Fig. 5a and 5e). By contrast, gene X homeologs on chromosomes 3A and 3D had the same expression profile in the two parental lines and the NILs independently of the allele that they contained and the tissue analyzed (Fig. 5b and 5d).

We also analyzed the expression profile of gene X in cDNA of RAC875 and Kukri grown in well-watered conditions and cyclic drought[39]. Gene X was significantly over-expressed in Kukri compared to RAC875 in both well-watered and drought treatments (Fig. 5c). Based on homology with rice and *Brachypodium*, gene X is homologous to a *seven in absentia (SINA)* gene encoding an E3 ubiquitin ligase protein, hereafter called *TaSINA*.

The translated Sanger sequencing of the *TaSINA* gene revealed two amino acid substitutions between the RAC875 and Kukri protein sequences that were not predicted to affect the protein function. We also found sequence polymorphisms in the promoter region with the predicted *cis*-acting elements CANBNNAPA and HDZIP2ATATHB2 present in Kukri and absent in RAC875 (Fig. 5g). In RAC875 and Drysdale promoter sequences, the insertion of a CCAC domain 713 bp upstream of the start codon created a SBOXATRBCS (CACCTCCA) motif and a -10PEHVPSBD (TATTCT) motif which were absent in the Kukri and Gladius promoter sequences (Fig. 5g). The sequences of *TaSINA* homeologs on chromosomes 3A and 3D were monomorphic between RAC875 and Kukri. The alignment of the TaSINA predicted protein sequence and its homeologs revealed an amino acid change in the protein sequence of the 3A copy (Fig. 5f). A SNP in the coding sequence of the 3A copy introduced the substitution of an alanine to a valine at the 227 amino acid position that was predicted to be deleterious for the protein function (Fig. 5e). This suggested that the 3A protein might be non-functional in both RAC875 and Kukri, although we did find evidence of gene expression in the roots.

We studied the worldwide allelic distribution of *TaSINA* alleles using the two closest SNP markers (ADW594 and ADW595). Alleles were homogeneously distributed among the lines, with 53 % of the accessions carrying the same allele as RAC875 (Fig. 6). We looked at the origins and years of release of the accessions in each allelic group to identify a potential pattern of selection (Supplementary Table 1). The RAC875 allele was over-represented in accessions originating from CIMMYT in Mexico and in Australia compared to the Kukri allele which was more abundant in the germplasm originating from the Middle-East, South America and Asia (Fig. 6). Both alleles were evenly represented in European and African wheats. The Kukri allele was present in historical wheat varieties such as Du Toits, Federation, Ward's Prolific and Hudson Early Purple Straw, released before 1900, and also in a large proportion of landraces (Supplementary Table 1). The RAC875 allele appeared later in the panel's chronology, with

249 most of the lines released after 1950, and seemed to follow the migration of germplasm released

250 by CIMMYT during the green revolution of the 1960s.

Discussion

The RAC875 allele at *qYDH.3BL* increases early vigour, seminal root number, plant height,

spike length, above ground biomass, stem and spike biomass, spike number, number of

spikelets per spike and total grain number in deep soil. The association of *qYDH.3BL* with early

vigour suggests that the mechanisms underlying the QTL are effective at an early stage of plant

development, before abiotic stress is encountered. Early vigour is an important trait associated

with water uptake, preventing water loss from soil evapotranspiration to the profit of

transpiration[40]. We hypothesize that this increased early growth in plants carrying the RAC875

allele had no significant effect under optimal conditions, as observed in southern Australian

field trials in 2009[29], but was beneficial to the plant when temperatures increased.

As *qYDH.3BL* had been previously associated with differences in canopy temperature[11], a

surrogate for plant evaporative cooling, we used sap flow sensors to evaluate the transpiration

rates of whole plants[35]. We observed that sap flow responded to daily temperature variations,

but this response was abolished in lines carrying the RAC875 allele during heat stress late in

development. These results are consistent with the observations of Izanloo et al.[28] on the water-

management behaviour of RAC875 and Kukri parental lines under cyclic-drought. They

concluded that RAC875 reduced its water consumption at early stages of drought stress for

later consumption when the stress become more severe. Here, the RAC875 allele increased

plant biomass and water use at early, vegetative stages of development and reduced

transpiration in response to heat late in development without any negative impact on yield

components.

By fine mapping the QTL and by expression analysis of eight genes in the QTL interval, we

found that only the *TaSINA* gene showed consistent variation between lines carrying

contrasting alleles at the QTL. Beyond those identified in the reference assembly, no other gene

was present in the interval when we locally assembled RAC875 genomic sequence. Therefore,

TaSINA is a strong candidate for the QTL effect.

SINA genes encode for an E3 ubiquitin ligase protein that forms a complex with the E1

ubiquitin-activating enzyme and the E2 ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme which ubiquitinate

target proteins for degradation[41]. *SINA* genes were first reported in Drosophila associated with

the development of photoreceptor cell, R7, localized in the Drosophila eye[42]. Analyses of the

gene family in plants identified several copies of *SINA* in *Arabidopsis thaliana*[43], *Populus*

trichocarpa[44], *Oryza sativa*[43], *Zea mays*[43], *Physcomitrella patens*[43], *Medicago truncatula*[44] and

Solanum lycopersicum[45] with roles in plant development and abiotic stress tolerance[46,47]. No

SINA gene has previously been reported in bread wheat. In Arabidopsis, *SINAT5* ubiquitinates

a *NAC1* transcription factor to regulate the growth signalling hormone auxin[48]. Arabidopsis

plants overexpressing *SINAT5* had fewer lateral roots compared to the wild type, whereas

expression of a dominant-negative mutant (substitution Cys49-Ser) induced more lateral

roots[48]. The ectopic expression of this dominant negative form of *SINAT5* in *M. truncatula*

reduced root nodulation, but both root and shoot growth were more vigorous in young (*in vitro*)

plants after 20 days and in older plants, after eight weeks' growth[44]. We observed similar results

in our experiments when Kukri had fewer primary and seminal roots compared to RAC875

(Table 1): *TaSINA* was more strongly expressed in Kukri compared to RAC875 and early

vigour and biomass were increased in wheat plants when *TaSINA* was not expressed (Table 1,

Fig. 4). No change in *TaSINA* expression was observed for RAC875 and expression was

reduced in Kukri only after prolonged cyclic drought (25d) (Fig. 3b). This suggests that *TaSINA*

expression is relatively insensitive to stress and that heat tolerance in wheat is more likely conferred by increases in growth and water use when *TaSINA* expression is reduced.

The promoter sequence of RAC875 *TaSINA* contained a *cis*-acting element, SBOXATRCS (CACCTCCA) also called S box, absent in the promoter of Kukri *TaSINA* (Fig. 5). This motif is a putative ABSCISIC ACID INSENSITIVE-4 (ABI4) -binding site which negatively regulates the *Conserved Modular Arrangement 5* genes, *CMA5,* in Arabidopsis in response to sugar and ABA signals[49]. ABA is a phytohormone that plays a central role in different physiological processes of plants, mediates gene expression in response to environmental stimuli and regulates ABA-dependent responses in heat stress[50]. Sugar signalling also plays an important role in mediating plant responses to environmental stimuli and mediates gene expression associated to photosynthesis, carbon and nitrogen metabolism and secondary metabolisms[51]. We hypothesize that the presence of the S box element and ABI4 binding site, in the promoter sequence of *TaSINA,* contributes to the down-regulation of its expression in RAC875 and might affect ABA and sugar signalling. In Kukri, the absence of the motif would prevent the regulation of *TaSINA* and lead to gene expression and, consequently, the degradation of its target proteins.

Following genotyping of 743 worldwide wheat accessions, we found that the RAC875 allele was over-represented in the CIMMYT germplasm suggesting that the allele had been selected through breeding for high yield under heat stress. The RAC875 allele was unexploited for wheat breeding until the 1950s when it appears to have been recruited by the CIMMYT wheat programme in Mexico and into Australia with the introduction of CIMMYT material. It is surprising that RAC875 allele is not more prevalent in India and other Asian countries given that CIMMYT germplasm, either as parents or directly as cultivars, has been estimated to account for over 65% of production in India. This allele has not yet been exploited in other regions where heat was not considered a threat until recently. With the increasing occurrence

322   of heat stress events, this allele could be beneficial in other regions with deep soil such as in

323   Europe and in the Punjab in India.

Materials and Methods

Plant materials

A set of 160 recombinant inbred lines (RILs) of the cross between RAC875 (RAC-

655//SR21/4*Lance/3/4*Bayonet) and Kukri (Madden/6*RAC-177//Grajo/76-ECN-44) were

used for the fine mapping of *qYDH.3BL*. A set of 70 RAC875 x Kukri RILs were selected for

their contrasting yield in the 2011[29] and 2012 field trials conducted in Mexico (Supplementary

Table 2) and based on their recombination point in the QTL interval.

Four near isogenic families derived from QTL-specific residual heterozygous lines from

RAC875 x Kukri RIL were developed to further study the mechanism underlying *qYDH.3BL*.

A second set of 44 RILs of the cross between Drysdale (Hartog*3/Quarrion) and Gladius

(RAC875/Krichauff//Excalibur/Kukri/3/RAC875/Krichauff/4/RAC875//Excalibur/Kukri)

(DG) was also studied. The lines were selected based on their recombination breakpoint in the

QTL interval and their extreme yield values during the 2009 and 2010 Mexican and New South

Wales field trials[52]. The four parental lines RAC875, Kukri, Drysdale and Gladius were

included in each trial.

Nulli-tetrasomic lines cv. Chinese Spring (LV-Szechuan) of the chromosome group 3 were

used to test the specificity of chromosome-specific primers. The method used to obtain nulli-

tetrasomic lines was previously described[53].

A diversity panel combining 743 landraces and modern varieties of hexaploid wheat was used

to identify the distribution of alleles at *qYDH.3BL*. 544 accessions were spring wheat types

originating from world-wide locations with more than one quarter from Australia. We also

used the INRA core collection which contains 372 worldwide accessions of winter wheat

mostly from Europe[54]. Pedigree, year of release and geographical origin of the lines were

retrieved from the wheat pedigree portal, www.wheatpedigree.net, and personal

communications (Peter Langridge, Margaret Pallotta).

Genotyping and genetic map construction

DNA was extracted as described previously[55]. Gene-based markers were designed using the

whole genome sequencing (WGS) data of the parental lines (RAC875, Kukri, Drysdale and

Gladius) generated by BioPlatforms Australia using Illumina HiSeq sequencing technology[33].

Sequence reads (100 bp) were aligned against the reference sequence IWGSC RefSeq v1.0 of

Chinese Spring (https://www.wheatgenome.org/) using DAWN. DAWN is a web interface that

integrates multiple datasets including IWGSC RefSeq v1.0, RNA sequencing data of five

tissues at three developmental stages[39] and WGS data of the parental lines. SNPs within

qYDH.3BL interval were identified with a minimum of five reads per line at a SNP position.

As regions with a high density of reads are more likely to be repetitive elements, SNPs with a

coverage higher than 50 reads were discarded.

Kompetitive Allele Specific PCR (KASP) markers were designed with the Kraken software

(LGC genomics, Middlesex, UK) on sequences of at least 100 bp spanning a SNP. Two allele-

specific forward primers were designed for each SNP in combination with a common reverse

primer. Each forward primer had a tail attached at the 5'end specific to a fluorophore. The

hybridization of one specific forward primer to the target sequence allowed the pairing of the

fluorophore present in the KASP mix to the primer tail releasing the quencher allowing

emission of fluorescence[56]. KASP assays were performed using the KASPline

(https://www.lgcgroup.com). KASP markers were prefixed AWG- and ADW- (Supplementary

Table 3).

The genotyping data of the KASP markers were added to RAC875 x Kukri and Drysdale x

Gladius RILs genetic maps previously generated by Bonneau et al.[29]. Genetic maps were

constructed using ASMap package[57] available in the software R. Genetic distance between

each marker was calculated using the Kosambi mapping function[58] which is based on a new

algorithm named MSTmap[59]. This algorithm can determine the markers order efficiently by

establishing a minimum spanning tree. Two markers, AWG594 and AWG595, were used for

studying the allelic distribution in the wheat diversity panel.

Deep soil phenotyping platform

Wheelie bins (100 x 57.5 x 51 cm) were filled with a mixed medium (1/3 coco peat, 1/3 sand

and 1/3 clay). Twenty-five plants were grown per bin, in a 5 rows x 5 columns grid with 10 cm

space between each plant. Trials were conducted at the Waite Campus, Urrbrae, Australia, in

a tunnel with a polyurethane cover. Meteorological data, air temperature and relative humidity

were recorded with a data logger (Kongin KG100, China). Gypsum blocks (MEA, Magill, SA,

Australia) were placed in the bins at two depths (10 and 40 cm) to record soil water potential

(Supplementary Fig. 3).

In 2014 and 2015, RILs and parental lines were grown in a resolved latinized incomplete block

using CycDesigN[60], plus one filler plant placed in the centre of the bin. The design took into

account the position of the lines according to a west/east axis and split the bins between an

inner and outer layers. Two trials were conducted in both 2014 and 2015. In 2014, RAC875 x

Kukri RILs were sown later than normal planting season (44 RILs sown on 11th of August and

20 RILs sown on 8th of September, instead of May) to phenotype plants under combined

drought and heat treatment. Plants were well-watered during the first month for both

treatments; watering was stopped at booting stage for stress treatments. In 2015, both trials

were conducted under dry and hot conditions: 30 RAC875 x Kukri RILs were sown on the 10th

of July, and 44 Drysdale x Gladius RILs were sown on the 18th of August.

In 2017, the NILs were grown in a single plant plot design with 15 replicates each for the

parental lines RAC875 and Kukri and 54 replicates of each pair of NIL. The design was

developed using the function "prDiGGer" in the DiGGer package in R for partially replicated

designs (Supplementary Fig. 6). Replicates were distributed within the bins so that the same

genotype was not present twice in the same row or column. In 2017, the plants were sown on

31st of July, watering was maintained until late booting in the beginning of October and then

stopped.

Phenotypic evaluation in the deep soil-mimic system

Plant developmental stages were scored using the Zadoks' scale around anthesis[61]. Early vigour

was scored using two methods. In 2014, during the first month after planting, photographs were

taken for each bin every week and early vigour were scored using a 1 (less vigorous) to 5 (more

vigorous) comparative scale. In 2015, early vigour was monitored by measuring the total leaf

area when a plant reached the four-leaves stage. The lengths and widths of each leaf on the

main tiller were measured to determine the individual leaf area. The total leaf area was

calculated as the sum of the leaf area (leaf width x leaf length x 0.8) of the second and third

leaves[62]. Chlorophyll content of the flag leaf was estimated with a SPAD-502L meter (Ozaka,

Japan) at three stages: booting, anthesis and grain filling. Three measurements per flag leaf at

each stage were taken to estimate the average value. Flag leaf area was measured from booting

to flowering time using the LI-3000C portable leaf area meter (LI-COR Inc., USA) in 2014. In

2015 flag leaf length and width were measured at flowering stage with a ruler. Stomatal density

was measured by taking a leaf imprint of the flag leaf adaxial face at anthesis using translucent

nail polish on a glass slide[63]. The slides were then analysed by microscopy using the Leica AS-

LMD Laser Microdissection Microscope (Wetzlar, Germany). Three pictures per sample were

taken and the guard cells number was counted for each.

Tiller number was recorded at four-leaf stage and before harvesting. Tiller abortion was

calculated at the end of the trial by subtracting the spike number from the tiller number. After

harvest, spikes were manually counted to evaluate the number of spikes per plant. Spikes and

stem biomass were weighed separately, and harvest index was determined by dividing grain

biomass by total above-ground biomass. Spike length was measured using a ruler before

counting the number of spikelets per spike for each spike. Seed number was measured using a

seed counter (Pfueffer GmBH, Germany) and then weighed to determine single grain weight.

In 2014, carbon and nitrogen isotopes discrimination of mature grains were measured on five

grains per plant. Grains were dried for one week at 60˚C and finely ground to 1 to 4 mg of

powder stored in tin capsules pressed (Sercon, gateway Crewe, UK). The samples were sent to

the University of California, Davis Stable Isotope Facility, University of California, for

analysis using continuous flow Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometer (IRMS).

Root traits were also evaluated in the 2014 experiment in deep-soil bins by digging out the

roots at 10 cm depth after harvesting. The number of both seminal and nodal roots were

measured. Pictures of the root system were taken for each plant and analysed using the Image

J software[64]. The root growth angle of the angle formed by seminal roots and soil surface was

measured.

Measurement of plant water use using sap flow sensors

In 2017, SF-4/5 Micro Stem Sap Flow sensors (Edaphic Scientific, Port Macquarie, NSW,

Australia) were used in the wheelie bins on the NILs to measure ascending sap flow through

the main stem and calculate plant water use[35]. The measurements are non-destructive and

continuous. Each sensor contains a heater located between two temperature probes. Data were

automatically collected every 15 min after the initial warm up of the stem by the heater for five

min. The output voltage was proportional to the difference of temperatures between the two

probes. Sensors were installed on plants from mid-October when watering was stopped until

harvest and placed between the first and second node. Data were recorded from the beginning

of grain filling. Sensors were isolated with aluminium foil to avoid temperature fluctuations.

Sensors were calibrated by measuring stomatal conductance (g_s, mmol.m^{-2}.s^{-1}) of plants every

two hours from pre-dawn until after sunset with an AP4 leaf porometer (Delta-T devices,

Cambridge, UK). Collected raw sap flow data were normalized by setting a constant zero as

the average of readings for five hours during each preceding night and then averaged for every

hour to calculate the mean average of the sap flow data for each allele within a NIL pair. The

data were then plotted with the daily mean temperature. We also calculated the total

transpiration rate per day and compared it within each NIL pair between the lines carrying the

RAC875 allele and those with the Kukri allele.

Early vigour imaging and water use analysis

Uniformly sized seeds of the NIL3 and NIL4 allelic pairs (n=6) were sown in 150 mm pots

(one seed per pot) in cocopeat compost and grown in a climate-controlled glasshouse

containing an automated gravimetric watering and imaging platform previously described[65]

with day/night temperatures of 22/15°C. The plants were arranged in two lanes × 18 positions

using a randomised complete-block design. After 25 d, images of each plant from each NIL

and allelic pair were captured daily for the succeeding 25 d using the LemnaTec-Scanalyser

3D platform. Projected shoot area (PSA kpixel) was estimated as the sum of the areas from

three RGB camera views comprising two side views at 80° angles and one view from above.

Water use was computed using the smoothed values of watering amounts (mL) and water use

index for a given interval was the ratio in change between PSA and total water use in that

interval, with higher values corresponding to higher water use efficiency.

QTL analysis

The multi-environment QTL analysis of the RAC875 x Kukri RILs combined four field trials conducted in Mexico, Ciudad de Obregon, in 2011[29] and 2012[52] and was performed as described previously[29]. For the single-marker analysis, we generated the best predicted unbiased estimates (BLUEs) for each trait using the model (1) and the asremlPlus package[66]. The normal distribution of the BLUEs was then evaluated using the Shapiro-Wilk test[67]. Single-marker analysis at each marker position was performed to test association with the studied traits by a one-way ANOVA in R. For the trait which did not follow a normal distribution, a Kruskal-Wallis test was used[68].

$$(1)\ \mathrm{Var}[Y] = (((Rep/Bin)*(Zone/Side))/Position)$$

Anchoring of *qYDH.3BL* onto the wheat reference sequence

Flanking markers at the QTL were used to find sequence similarity with the reference sequence RefSeq v1.0 of Chinese Spring by BLASTN (e-value -10; # hit return =100). The 1.5 Mbp sequence delimited by AWG43_1 and AWG38 was extracted using Fetch-seq, an in-house sequence retriever that allows the extraction of sub-sequences from the IWGSC RefSeq v1.0 using coordinates. Gene annotations were retrieved using the coordinated interval in the browser available on the URGI website (https://wheat-urgi.versailles.inra.fr/). Genomic sequences of the annotated genes were used to find similarities with *Brachypodium distachyon* v3.1 and the *Oryza sativa* v7 reference genomes to identify putative gene function by BLASTN (default settings) in phytozome (https://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/).

Targeted assembly of the region in RAC875

Initial, stringent alignments of WGS reads of RAC875[33] indicated that approximately 150 kbp region in Chinese Spring RefSeq 1.0 (from adw477 to adw594) may either be absent or highly divergent in RAC875. Further, more relaxed alignments of RAC875 paired-end (PE) and mate-pair (MP) data to RefSeq v1.0 failed to produce any evidence for the region being deleted.

Reads and their mates which remained unaligned and those which aligned within the region of

interests were k-merized, k=64 using KMC2[69]. We then assembled k-mers occurring three or

more times in the input data into unitigs i.e. contigs unambiguously supported by k-mers, using

yakat kextend[70]. Unitigs were scaffolded with the complete MP and PE datasets using sspace[71].

The resulting scaffolds were aligned to RefSeq v1.0 using BLASTn to exclude those derived

from other regions of the genome and to identify a subset likely to be derived from the region

of interest. The total length of 80 scaffolds putatively identified as coming from the region of

interest adds up to ~200 Kbp and the longest scaffold covers 36 Kbp. Scaffolds with a

minimum length of 10 Kbp were then annotated using TriAnnot[72].

Gene sequencing and promoter analysis

TaSINA chromosome specific primers were designed with Primer 3 available in Geneious

(Biomatters, Auckland, New Zealand) (Supplementary Table 3). Nulli-tetrasomic lines[53]

specific to the group 3 chromosome were used to test the specificity of the primers through

amplification of the amplicon fragment. PCR samples were Sanger sequenced at the Australian

Genome Research Facility Ltd (http://www.agrf.org.au/). Oligo sequences were conserved in

RAC875, Kukri and Chinese Spring. Promoter sequences of the RAC875 and Kukri *TaSINA*

were annotated using the Plant Cis-acting Regulatory DNA Elements (PLACE) database which

includes sequence motifs reported as cis-acting regulatory DNA elements[73]. Protein domains,

residues and motifs of *TaSINA* were identified using Prosite[74] and Pfam

(http://beta.supfam.org/).

Gene expression analysis

The wheat-drought experiment cDNA series of RAC875 and Kukri was previously described[39].

Additionally, we used two-week-old seedlings of the NIL pairs for gene expression analysis.

Seedlings were grown in an air-conditioned glasshouse in pot trays filled with a potting mix

(coco-peat). Total RNA for both root and shoot tissues was extracted using the Spectrum™

Plant Total RNA Kit (Sigma-Alridch, Carlsbad, California, USA) using the manufacturer's recommended protocol. cDNAs were synthetised using the SuperScript IV First-Strand Synthesis System (Invitrogen, Sigma-Alridch, Carlsbad, California, USA) as per the manufacturer's instructions and used for qPCR. qPCR primers targeting 70-200 bp amplicon sequences were designed to target the chromosome specific gene copy (Supplementary Table 3). Specificity was tested with nulli-tetrasomic lines. qPCR assays were performed using the Kapa SYBR Fast Universal 2X qPCR Master Mix (Geneworks, Thebarton, South Australia, Australia) on the QuantStudio 6 Flex (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) following these steps: 95 ºC for 3 min; followed by 40 cycles at 95 ºC for 20 sec, 63 ºC for 20 sec, 72 ºC for 15 sec. The initial run was then followed by a melting curve at 95 ºC for 15 sec, 60 ºC for 1 min increasing by 0.05 ºC per cycle up to 95 ºC. Three technical replicates per sample and per gene and four reference genes including *TaActin*, *TaCyclophilin*, *TaGAPDH* (glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase) and elongation factor (*TaEFα*), were included in the analysis for normalization of gene expression.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Australian Research Council Industrial Transformation Research Hub for wheat in a hot and dry climate (IH130200027), the Grains Research and Development Corporation, the Government of South Australia and DuPont-Pioneer, USA. PaT would like to thank the University of Adelaide for the Beacon of Enlightenment PhD scholarship. We also acknowledge the use of the facilities and scientific and technical assistance of the Australian Plant Phenomics Facility, which is supported by the Australian Government's National Collaborative Research Infrastructure Strategy (NCRIS). We would also like to acknowledge BioPlatforms Australia for funding the sequencing of RAC875, Kukri, Drysdale and Gladius wheat lines. We thank Dr Fabio Arsego, Dr Yuan Li, Dr Matteo

Riboni, Niharika Sharma, Dimitri Sanchez and Kate Dowling for technical assistance. We acknowledge and thank Fahimeh Shahinnia and Florian Veillet who developed some of the markers used, Julian Taylor for preliminary running of the genetic mapping models and Paul Eckermann for the sap flow data normalization script.

Author contributions

PaT and PK performed the genotyping, QPCR and plant phenotyping experiments, developed the NIL and PaT analysed data. JB developed molecular markers, ran the trial on RIL in Ciudad de Obregon and generated the RIL fine genetic map. CB developed the experimental design of the deep soil-mimic and imaging experiments and wrote the R scripts to analyse the data. RS did the local assembly of RAC875 genomic sequence. UB supervised the DAWN analysis and the annotation of the genomic sequences performed by PaT. PT analysed the sap flow data. PaT, JB, PL, PT, and DF designed the experiments, interpreted the results and co-wrote the paper.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1. Shiferaw, B. *et al.* Crops that feed the world 10. Past successes and future challenges to the role played by wheat in global food security. *Food Secur.* **5,** 291–317 (2013).

2. Fischer, R. & Maurer, R. Drought resistance in spring wheat cultivars. I. Grain yield responses. *Aust. J. Agric. Res.* **29,** 897 (1978).

3. Prasad, P. V. V., Pisipati, S. R., Momčilović, I. & Ristic, Z. Independent and combined effects of high temperature and drought stress during grain filling on plant yield and chloroplast EF-Tu expression in spring wheat. *J. Agron. Crop Sci.* **197,** 430–441 (2011).

4. Asseng, S., Foster, I. & Turner, N. C. The impact of temperature variability on wheat
yields. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **17,** 997–1012 (2011).

5. Lobell, D. B., Sibley, A. & Ivan Ortiz-Monasterio, J. Extreme heat effects on wheat
senescence in India. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* **2,** 186–189 (2012).

6. Lucas, H. *An international vision for wheat improvement*. www.wheatinitiative.org
(2013).

7. Pallotta, M. *et al.* Molecular basis of adaptation to high soil boron in wheat landraces
and elite cultivars. *Nature* **514,** 88–91 (2014).

8. Sasaki, T. *et al.* A wheat gene encoding an aluminum-activated malate transporter.
Plant J. **37,** 645–653 (2004).

9. Brini, F., Gaxiola, R. A., Berkowitz, G. A. & Masmoudi, K. Cloning and
characterization of a wheat vacuolar cation/proton antiporter and pyrophosphatase
proton pump. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* **43,** 347–354 (2005).

10. Yan, L. *et al.* Positional cloning of the wheat vernalization gene *VRN1*. *Proc. Natl.*
Acad. Sci. **100,** 6263–6268 (2003).

11. Bennett, D. *et al.* Detection of two major grain yield QTL in bread wheat (*Triticum*
aestivum L.) under heat, drought and high yield potential environments. *Theor. Appl.*
Genet. **125,** 1473–85 (2012).

12. Czyczylo-Mysza, I. *et al.* Mapping QTLs for yield components and chlorophyll a
fluorescence parameters in wheat under three levels of water availability. *Plant Genet.*
Resour. Util. **9,** 291–295 (2011).

13. Pinto, R. S. *et al.* Heat and drought adaptive QTL in a wheat population designed to
minimize confounding agronomic effects. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **121,** 1001–21 (2010).

14. Quarrie, S. A. *et al.* A high-density genetic map of hexaploid wheat (*Triticum aestivum*
L.) from the cross Chinese Spring x SQ1 and its use to compare QTLs for grain yield
across a range of environments. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **110,** 865–880 (2005).

15. Shirdelmoghanloo, H. *et al.* A QTL on the short arm of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)
chromosome 3B affects the stability of grain weight in plants exposed to a brief heat
shock early in grain filling. *BMC Plant Biol.* **16,** 100 (2016).

16. Tahmasebi, S., Heidari, B., Pakniyat, H. & McIntyre, C. L. Mapping QTLs associated
with agronomic and physiological traits under terminal drought and heat stress
conditions in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Genome* **60,** 26–45 (2016).

17. Xu, Y. F. *et al.* QTL mapping for yield and photosynthetic related traits under different
water regimes in wheat. *Mol. Breed.* **37,** (2017).

18. Yang, D. L., Jing, R. L., Chang, X. P. & Li, W. Identification of quantitative trait loci
and environmental interactions for accumulation and remobilization of water-soluble
carbohydrates in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) stems. *Genetics* **176,** 571–584 (2007).

19. McIntyre, C. L. *et al.* Molecular detection of genomic regions associated with grain
yield and yield-related components in an elite bread wheat cross evaluated under
irrigated and rainfed conditions. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **120,** 527–41 (2010).

20. Dashti, H. & Yazdi-Samadi, B. QTL analysis for drought resistance in wheat using

doubled haploid lines. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.* **9,** 98–102 (2007).

21.   Golabadi, M., Arzani, A., Mirmohammadi Maibody, S. A. M., Tabatabaei, B. E. S. &
611   Mohammadi, S. A. Identification of microsatellite markers linked with yield
612   components under drought stress at terminal growth stages in durum wheat. *Euphytica*
613   **177,** 207–221 (2011).

614   22.   Kadam, S. *et al.* Genomic associations for drought tolerance on the short arm of wheat
615   chromosome 4B. *Funct. Integr. Genomics* **12,** 447–464 (2012).

616   23.   Kirigwi, F. M. *et al.* Markers associated with a QTL for grain yield in wheat under
617   drought. *Mol. Breed.* **20,** 401–413 (2007).

618   24.   Maccaferri, M. *et al.* Quantitative trait loci for grain yield and adaptation of durum
619   wheat (*Triticum durum* Desf.) across a wide range of water availability. *Genetics* **178,**
620   489–511 (2008).

621   25.   Merchuk-Ovnat, L., Fahima, T., Ephrath, J. E., Krugman, T. & Saranga, Y. Ancestral
622   QTL alleles from wild emmer wheat enhance root development under drought in
623   modern wheat. *Front. Plant Sci.* **8,** 1–12 (2017).

624   26.   Ogbonnaya, F. C. *et al.* Genome-wide association study for agronomic and
625   physiological traits in spring wheat evaluated in a range of heat prone environments.
626   *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **130,** 1819–1835 (2017).

627   27.   Paliwal, R., Röder, M. S., Kumar, U., Srivastava, J. P. & Joshi, A. K. QTL mapping of
628   terminal heat tolerance in hexaploid wheat (*T. aestivum* L.). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **125,**
629   561–575 (2012).

630   28.   Izanloo, A., Condon, A. G., Langridge, P., Tester, M. & Schnurbusch, T. Different
631   mechanisms of adaptation to cyclic water stress in two South Australian bread wheat
632   cultivars. *J. Exp. Bot.* **59,** 3327–46 (2008).

633   29.   Bonneau, J. *et al.* Multi-environment analysis and improved mapping of a yield-related
634   QTL on chromosome 3B of wheat. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **126,** 747–61 (2013).

635   30.   Parent, B. *et al.* Quantifying wheat sensitivities to environmental constraints to dissect
636   Genotype × Environment interactions in the field. *Plant Physiol.* **174,** 1669–1682
637   (2017).

638   31.   Maphosa, L. *et al.* Genetic control of grain yield and grain physical characteristics in a
639   bread wheat population grown under a range of environmental conditions. *Theor.*
640   *Appl. Genet.* **127,** 1607–24 (2014).

641   32.   Appels, R. *et al.* Shifting the limits in wheat research and breeding using a fully
642   annotated reference genome. *Science* **361,** eaar7191 (2018).

643   33.   Edwards, D. *et al.* Bread matters: a national initiative to profile the genetic diversity of
644   Australian wheat. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* **10,** 703–8 (2012).

645   34.   Verhulst, N., Deckers, J. & Govaerts, B. Classification of the soil at CIMMYT's
646   experimental station in the Yaqui Valley near Cuidad Obregon, Sonora, Mexico.
647   *CIMMYT Rep.* 1–10 (2009).

648   35.   Smith, D. M. & Allen, S. J. Measurement of sap flow in plant stems. *J. Exp. Bot.* **47,**
649   1833–1844 (1996).

650   36.   Ramirez-Gonzalez, R. *et al.* The transcriptional landscape of hexaploid wheat across
651   tissues and cultivars. *Science* **6403**, eaar6089 (2018).

652   37.   Suchecki, R., Watson-Haigh, N. S. & Baumann, U. POTAGE: A Visualisation Tool
653   for Speeding up Gene Discovery in Wheat. *Sci. Rep.* **7,** 1–8 (2017).

654   38.   Choulet, F. *et al.* Structural and functional partitioning of bread wheat chromosome
655   3B. *Science* **345,** 1249721 (2014).

656   39.   Bowne, J. B. *et al.* Drought responses of leaf tissues from wheat cultivars of differing
657   drought tolerance at the metabolite level. *Mol. Plant* **5,** 418–29 (2012).

658   40.   Ludlow, M. M. & Muchow, R. C. A critical evaluation of traits for improving crop
659   yields in water-limited environments. *Adv. Agron.* **43,** 107–153 (1990).

660   41.   Mazzucotelli, E. *et al.* The E3 ubiquitin ligase gene family in plants: regulation by
661   degradation. *Curr. Genomics* **7,** 509–522 (2006).

662   42.   Carthew, R. W. & Rubin, G. M. *Seven in absentia*, a gene required for specification of
663   R7 cell fate in the drosophila eye. *Cell* **63,** 561–577 (1990).

664   43.   Wang, M. *et al.* Genome-wide analysis of SINA family in plants and their
665   phylogenetic relationships. *DNA Seq.* **19,** 206–16 (2008).

666   44.   Den Herder, G. *et al.* Seven in absentia proteins affect plant growth and nodulation in
667   Medicago truncatula. *Plant Physiol.* **148,** 369–82 (2008).

668   45.   Wang, W. *et al.* Functional analysis of the SEVEN IN ABSENTIA (SINA) ubiquitin
669   ligase family in tomato. *Plant Cell Environ.* (2018) **41,** 689-703.

670   46.   Recchia, G. H., Caldas, D. G. G., Beraldo, A. L. A., da Silva, M. J. & Tsai, S. M.
671   Transcriptional analysis of drought-induced genes in the roots of a tolerant genotype of
672   the common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **14,** 7155–79 (2013).

673   47.   Welsch, R., Maass, D., Voegel, T., Dellapenna, D. & Beyer, P. Transcription factor
674   RAP2.2 and its interacting partner SINAT2: stable elements in the carotenogenesis of
675   Arabidopsis leaves. *Plant Physiol.* **145,** 1073–85 (2007).

676   48.   Xie, Q. *et al.* SINAT5 promotes ubiquitin-related degradation of NAC1 to attenuate
677   auxin signals. *Nature* **419,** 167–170 (2002).

678   49.   Acevedo-Hernandez, G.J., Leon, P. & Herrera-Estrella, L.R. Sugar and ABA
679   responsiveness of a minimal RBCS light-responsive unit is mediated by direct binding
680   of ABI4. *Plant J.* **43**, 506-519 (2005).

681   50.   Huang, Y-C., Niu, C-Y., Yang, C-R. & Jinn, T-S. The heat stress factor HSFA6b
682   connects ABA signaling and ABA-mediated heat responses. *Plant Physiol.* **172**, 1182-
683   1199 (2016).

684   51.   Rolland, F., Moore, B. & sheen, J. Sugar sensing and signaling in plants. *Plant Cell*
685   **14**, S185-S205 (2002).

686   52.   Bonneau, J. Genetic analysis of a region associated with heat and drought tolerance on
687   chromosome 3B of hexaploid wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). PhD thesis, University of
688   Adelaide, Australia (2012).

53.   Sears E. R. Nullisomic-tetrasomic combinations in hexaploid wheat. *Chromosom.*

Manip. Plant Genet. 29–30 (1966).

54.   Balfourier, F. *et al.* A worldwide bread wheat core collection arrayed in a 384-well
plate. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **114,** 1265–1275 (2007).

55.   Pallotta, M. A., Graham, R. D., Langridge, P., Sparrow, D. H. B. & Barker, S. J. RFLP
mapping of manganese efficiency in barley. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **101,** 1100–1108
(2000).

56.   Semagn, K., Babu, R., Hearne, S. & Olsen, M. Single nucleotide polymorphism
genotyping using Kompetitive Allele Specific PCR (KASP): Overview of the
technology and its application in crop improvement. *Mol. Breed.* **33,** 1–14 (2014).

57.   Taylor, J. & Butler, D. R package ASMap: Efficient genetic linkage map construction
and diagnosis. *JSS* **79,** 6 (2017).

58.   Kosambi, D. D. The estimation of map distances from recombination values. *Ann.*
Eugen. **12,** 172–175 (1943).

59.   Wu, Y., Bhat, P. R., Close, T. J. & Lonardi, S. Efficient and accurate construction of
genetic linkage maps from the minimum spanning tree of a graph. *PLoS Genet.* **4,**
(2008).

60.   Whitaker, D., Williams, E. R. & John, J. A. CycDesigN: a package for the computer
generation of experimental designs (version 2.0). *CSIRO* (2002).

61.   Zadoks, J., Chang, T. & Konzak, C. A decimal code for the growth stages of cereals.
Weed Res. **14,** 415-421 (1974).

62.   Richards, R. a. & Lukacs, Z. Seedlings vigour in wheat - sources of variation for
genetic and agronomic improvment. *Aust. J. Agric. Res.* **53,** 41–50 (2002).

63.   Shahinnia, F. *et al.* Genetic association of stomatal traits and yield in wheat grown in
low rainfall environments. *BMC Plant Biol.* **16,** 150 (2016).

64.   Schneider, C. a, Rasband, W. S. & Eliceiri, K. W. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of
image analysis. *Nat. Methods* **9,** 671–675 (2012).

65.   Brien, C.J., Berger, B., Rabie, H. & Tester, M. Accounting for variation in designing
greenhouse experiments with special reference to greenhouses containing plants on
conveyor systems. *Plant Methods* **9**:5 (2013).

66.   Brien, C. J. asremlPlus:Augments the use of ASReml-R in fitting mixed models.
http://chris.brien.name/rpackages. (2015).

67.   Shapiro, S. S. & Wilk, M. B. An analysis of variance test for normality (complete
samples). *Biometrika* **52,** 591 (1965).

68.   Kruskal, W. H. & Wallis, W. A. Use of ranks in one-criterion variance analysis.
Journal of the American Statistical Association **47,** 583–621 (1952).

69.   Deorowicz, S., Kokot, M., Grabowski, S. & Debudaj-Grabysz, A. KMC 2: fast and
resource-frugal k-mer counting. *Bioinformatics* **31,** 1–8 (2015).

70.   Suchecki, R. *et al.* LNISKS: Reference-free mutation identification for large and
complex crop genomes. *bioRxiv* 580829 (2019). doi:10.1101/580829

71.   Boetzer, M., Henkel, C. V., Jansen, H. J., Butler, D. & Pirovano, W. Scaffolding pre-

assembled contigs using SSPACE. *Bioinformatics* **27,** 578–579 (2011).

72. Leroy, P. *et al.* TriAnnot: a versatile and high performance pipeline for the automated
annotation of plant genomes. *Front. Plant Sci.* **3,** 1–14 (2012).

73. Higo, K., Ugawa, Y., Iwamoto, M. & Korenaga, T. Plant cis-acting regulatory DNA
elements (PLACE) database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **27,** 297–300 (1999).

74. Sigrist, C. J. A. *et al.* PROSITE: a documented database using patterns and profiles as
motif descriptors. *Brief Bioinform.* **3,** 265-274 (2002).

75. Watson-Haigh, N.S., Suchecki, R., Kalashyan, E., Gracia, M. & Baumann, U. DAWN:
a resource yielding insights into the diversity among wheat genomes. *BMC Genomics*
19, 941 (2018).

Figure Legends

Fig. 1. Genomic structure of *qYDH.3BL* in wheat. a, QTL analysis of the Drysdale x Gladius

recombinant inbred lines (RILs) in 5 field trials in Australia in 2009 and 2010. **b**, QTL analysis

of RAC875 x Kukri (RILs) in 4 field trials conducted in 2011 and 2012 in Ciudad de Obregon,

Mexico, delineating the QTL peak between the markers AWG43_1 and AWG38. **c,** Physical

interval of *qYDH.3BL* in RAC875 defined an interval of ~ 1 Mbp. HC: high confidence gene

models, LC: low confidence gene models. The orange, hatched rectangle highlights the interval

where RAC875 local assembly has been done. **d,** Genotypes of RAC875 x Kukri RILs with

residual heterozygosity at *qYDH.3BL* used to generate near-isogenic lines (NIL).

Fig. 2. Single marker analysis in 30 RAC875 x Kukri RILs and 44 Drysdale x Gladius

RILs segregating for *qYDH.3BL* and grown in dry and hot conditions in the deep-soil

platform (2015). a, Positive effects were associated with the RAC875 allele while negative

effects were associated with the Kukri allele. **b,** Positive effects were associated with the

Drysdale allele while negative effects were associated with the Gladius allele. * : p value <

0.05; ** : p value < 0.01

Fig. 3. Regression of normalized sap flow of NIL3 and NIL4 families against

temperature. a, temperate days. **b**, cool days. **c**, hot days. AA = RAC875 allele (gold), BB =

Kukri allele (blue). Differences between AA and BB alleles were non-significant on

temperate and cool days. *** : $p<0.001$ between AA and BB alleles on hot days.

Fig. 4. Early vigour and water use of NIL grown in unstressed conditions. a, estimated

shoot area (kpixel) and **b,** absolute growth rate (kpixel/ day) of young NIL3 (solid line) and

NIL4 (hatched line) family plants. AA = RAC875 allele (gold), BB = Kukri allele (blue). **c,**

water use (mL/ day) and **d**, water use index (shoot area kpixel/ mL).

Fig. 5. Expression analysis and gene structure of *TaSINA* and its homeologs.

a, **e** Expression analysis of *TaSINA* 3B in seedling shoot and root tissues of RAC875, Kukri

and NILs (**a**) and in Gladius and Drysdale (**e**). **c**, Expression of *TaSINA* 3B in RAC875 and

Kukri under well-watered and cyclic drought conditions described in [39]. Expression analysis of

TaSINA 3A (**b**) and *TaSINA* 3D (**d**). "A' corresponds to the RAC875 allele and the "B"

corresponds to the Kukri allele. *: p value < 0.05; ** : p value < 0.01; *** : p value < 0.001. **f,**

Exon-intron structure of *TaSINA* 3A and 3D. **g**, Exon-intron structure and promoter annotation

of *TaSINA* 3B. Yellow boxes indicate the position of cis-acting elements present in the

promoter region; green triangle, SNP position inducing the alanine to valine amino acid

change; orange boxes represent the exons and the grey boxes the UTRs.

Fig. 6. Allelic distribution at *TaSINA* in a diversity panel of 743 *T. aestivum* accessions
genotyped with KASP markers ADW594 and ADW595.

Fig. 1

789   Fig. 3

793   Fig. 4

Fig. 5

801   Fig. 6

Tables

Table 1| Effects of the RAC875 allele at *qYDH.3BL* in 20 RAC875 x Kukri RILs and four

lines (RAC875, Kukri, Drysdale and Gladius) sown in September 2014 in the deep soil-mimic

platform (n = 3).

Phenotypic traits	Allelic effect* (%)	*p* value
Early vigour per plant	19.3	0.03
Plant height	20.8	0.03
Spike number per plant	20.8	0.03
Grain number	21.3	0.02
Spike biomass	21.3	0.02
Relative chlorophyll content in flag leaf	21.6	0.02
Spikelet per spike	25.1	0.01
Seminal roots	27.2	0.01
Stem biomass	27.6	0.01
Spike length	29.0	0.01

*allelic effect calculated as the % of variance explained by the RAC875 compared to the Kukri allele.

808 **Table 2| Genes annotated in the Chinese Spring reference sequence v1.0 690 Kbp interval of *qYDH.3BL*.** The putative function of each gene

809 was retrieved by homology with rice and brachypodium. HC = high confidence gene; LC = low confidence gene

	Gene ID	Position (bp)	Putative function based on rice orthologues	E value	Putative function based on brachypodium orthologues	E value	Expression data*
I	TraesCS3B01G570900HC	802845950 - 802848503	LOC_Os10g33910.1_ Mitochondrial import inner membrane translocase subunit Tim16	4.1 e-42	Bradi2g61480_mitochondrial import inner membrane translocase subunit TIM16	1.7 e-55	expressed
II	TraesCS3B01G571000HC	802848874 - 802852363	LOC_OS02g17280_Gamma-secretase subunit APH-1B	4.3 e-131	Bradi3g10110_endopeptidase activity	8.5 e-135	expressed
III	TraesCS3B01G851200LC	803363524 - 803364407	-	-	-	-	-
IV	TraesCS3B01G572500HC	803511461 - 803513157	LOC_Os11g14410_ Polygalacturonase	8.5 e-142	Bradi2g57427_ Polygalacturonase / Pectinase	8.2 e-87	-
V	TraesCS3B01G572600HC	803523701 - 803525213	LOC_Os01g72720_ expressed protein	8.9 e-11	Bradi2g61275_PF03478 Protein of unknown function	4.8 e-56	expressed
VI	TraesCS3B01G572700HC	803540158 - 803540583	-	-	Bradi1g36100_Glycosyl hydrolase, subfamily GH 28	1.6 e-22	-
VII	TraesCS3B01G851300LC	803580461 - 803581032	LOC_Os01g72620_ expressed protein	1.6 e-22	-	-	-
VIII	TraesCS3B01G572800HC	803583095 - 803583731	LOC_Os01g59540_GRF zinc finger family protein	1.1 e-4	Bradi5g21617	1.5 e-24	-
IX	TraesCS3B01G851400LC	803586310 - 803587263	LOC_Os07g42000_expressed protein	1.8 e-14	-	-	-
X	TraesCS3B01G572900HC	803628595 - 803630088	LOC_Os01g03170_seven in absentia protein family protein	3.3 e-34	Bradi1g27970_ubiquitin-protein ligase activity	6 e-57	expressed
XI	TraesCS3B01G851500LC	803715648 - 803716915	-	-	-	-	-
XII	TraesCS3B01G573000HC	804008796 - 804009926	LOC_Os01g03170_seven in absentia protein family protein	2.7 e-31	Bradi1g27970_ubiquitin-protein ligase activity	1.7 e-48	-

810 *Expression data extracted from www.wheat-expression.com and in-house database

811   **Table 3| Analysis of variance of the NIL carrying the RAC875 or Kukri allele at *qYDH.3B* and grown in wheelie bins under drought and**

812   **heat stress.** The values represent the mean ± standard deviation.

Traits	Early vigour (cm²)	Spike biomass (g)	Stem biomass (g)	Grain weight per plant (g)	Grain number	Number of spikelets per spike	Single grain weight (mg)	Average spike length	Spike number	Tiller number	Plant height (cm)
NIL2-AA	25.52 ± 3.59	1.95 ± 0.74	2.74 ± 0.72	1.08 ± 0.39	49.63 ± 16.30	18.04 ± 0.48	19.93 ± 3.81	9.23 ± 0.30	1.78 ± 0.83	3.67 ± 0.87	52.22 ± 3.85
NIL2-BB	23.75 ± 4.05	1.21 ± 0.34	1.81 ± 0.43	0.64 ± 0.20	37.75 ± 7.70	17.48 ± 0.47	16.65 ± 3.2	8.87 ± 0.51	1.38 ± 0.52	3 ± 0.53	48.39 ± 4.91
df	16	16	16	16	15	16	16	16	16	16	16
F-test	ns	*	**	*	ns	*	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
NIL3-AA	19.40 ± 2.81	1.77 ± 0.46	3.01 ± 0.78	1.17 ± 0.31	40.63 ± 10.2	16.25 ± 0.94	29.17 ± 5.23	8.89 ± 2.98	1.58 ± 0.51	3.62 ± 0.51	54.68 ± 5.11
HIF3-BB	17.66 ± 2.63	1.46 ± 0.97	2.58 ± 1.26	0.83 ± 0.60	31.08 ± 17.6	16.07 ± 1.17	22.5 ± 5.65	8.64 ± 2.94	1.64 ± 0.84	3.5 ± 1.02	50.9 ± 6.01
df	26	25	25	24	23	25	24	25	25	26	25
F-test	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	**	ns	ns	ns	ns
NIL4-AA	24.81 ± 2.71	2.38 ± 0.91	3.05 ± 0.9	1.29 ± 0.55	67.36 ± 13.74	16.3 ± 0.39	17.10 ± 2.80	8.03 ± 0.41	2.75 ± 0.62	3.58 ± 0.79	54.05 ± 4.56
NIL4-BB	22.49 ± 2.76	1.69 ± 0.54	2.26 ± 0.55	0.96 ± 0.32	51.45 ± 14.44	15.74 ± 0.81	18.56 ± 2.92	7.88 ± 0.44	1.91 ± 0.7	3.27 ± 0.79	51.73 ± 5.62
df	22	22	22	21	21	22	22	21	22	22	22
F-test	ns	*	*	ns	*	*	ns	ns	**	ns	ns