RUNNING TITLE: EA polygenic score, SES, and depression.

Educational Attainment Polygenic Score is Associated with Depressive Symptoms via Socioeconomic Status: A Gene-Environment-Trait Correlation

Reut Avinun

Department of Psychology & Neuroscience, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA and the Department of Psychology, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel

Corresponding Author: Reut Avinun, Ph.D., Laboratory of NeuroGenetics, Department of Psychology & Neuroscience, Duke University, Grey Building 2020 West Main St, Ste 0030 Durham, NC 27705. Email: reut.avinun@mail.huji.ac.il

1   **Funding and disclosure**

I would like to thank the participants of the Duke Neurogenetics Study and the members of the Laboratory of NeuroGenetics, especially Annchen R. Knodt, Spenser R. Radtke, and Bartholomew D. Brigidi for their assistance with data collection and analysis. I would also like to thank the head of the laboratory, Prof. Ahmad Hariri, without whom this study would not have been possible. Lastly, I would like to thank Dr. Aysu Okbay for her help with obtaining a GWAS of educational attainment that did not include UK biobank data. The DNS was supported by Duke University as well as US-National Institutes of Health grants R01DA033369 and R01DA031579. The author received support from US-National Institutes of Health grant R01AG049789 and from a fellowship from the Jerusalem Brain Community and declares no competing financial or other interests.

Abstract

Previous research has found evidence to support low educational attainment (EA) as a risk factor for depression and shown that EA and depression are genetically correlated. However, the nature of the genetic link between EA and depression remains unknown. Recently, the environment has been suggested as a mediator of genetic influences in a process termed Gene-Environment-Trait correlations (rGET). As socioeconomic status (SES) is closely related to EA and has been associated with depression, an rGET in which SES mediates an association between the genetic influences on EA and depression is possible. Summary statistics from a recent genome-wide association study of EA were used to calculate EA polygenic scores and test whether they predict depressive symptoms through SES. Two independent samples were used for the analyses: 522 non-Hispanic Caucasian university students from the Duke Neurogenetics Study (277 women, mean age 19.78±1.24 years) and 5,243 white British volunteers (2,669 women, mean age 62.30±7.41 years) from the UK biobank (UKB). Results indicated a significant mediation in the DNS (indirect effect=-.12, bootstrapped SE=.06, bootstrapped 95% CI: -.26 to -.02), wherein higher EA polygenic scores predicted higher SES, which in turn predicted lower depressive symptoms. This mediation was replicated in the UKB (indirect effect=-.07, bootstrapped SE=.01, bootstrapped 95% CI: -.091 to -.051). These findings suggest that the genetic correlates of depression may be environment-dependent and that public policy that aims to reduce socioeconomic inequalities and the adverse correlates of low SES may relieve the individual and societal burden of depression.

Keywords: Depression; Socioeconomic status (SES); Educational attainment (EA); Gene-environment-trait correlation (rGET); Gene-environment-correlation (rGE).

Depression is a major cause of disability. With a global prevalence of around 4.7%

(Ferrari et al., 2013), it is predicted to become one of the three leading causes of

illness by 2030 (Mathers and Loncar, 2006). Educational attainment (EA), which is

often viewed as a proxy for cognitive ability and intelligence, has been linked to

depression, so that the probability of experiencing depression decreases for

additional years of education (Crespo et al., 2014). A recent study (Wray et al., 2018)

further showed that the link between EA and depression is partly due to shared

genetic influences, and by employing a genetically informed analysis (bidirectional

Mendelian randomization) found evidence to support low EA as a risk factor for

depression. Notably, how the genetic link between EA and depression is mediated

has not been established.

Recently, I hypothesized that the environment may mediate genetic

correlations between two phenotypes in a process termed gene-environment-trait

correlation (Avinun, in press). This hypothesis stems from accumulating research

showing passive, active, and evocative processes that lead to correlations between

genetic variations and environmental measures, such as parenting and stressful life

events (Avinun and Knafo, 2014; Kendler and Baker, 2007). These passive, active, and

evocative processes, known as gene-environment correlations (Plomin et al., 1977;

Scarr and McCartney, 1983), occur due to genetically influenced characteristics that

shape the individual's environment. As the environment can in turn substantially

affect various outcomes, it may act as a mediator of genetic effects and contribute to

the widespread genetic correlations observed between numerous phenotypes

(Bulik-Sullivan et al., 2015), including EA and depression (Wray et al., 2018).

Identifying gene-environment-depression correlations can provide modifiable targets

for public policy and also demonstrate the importance of context in the discovery of

the genetic variants that influence depression.

Socioeconomic status (SES), which can be defined as an individual's or group's

position within a social hierarchy that is determined by factors such as education,

occupation, income, and wealth (Calixto and Anaya, 2014), has been shown to be

genetically influenced (Hill et al., 2016; Marioni et al., 2014). In other words,

genetically influenced traits affect an individual's SES. One of these traits, as has

been found in a meta-analysis of longitudinal studies, is intelligence (Strenze, 2007),

which is highly heritable (Plomin and Deary, 2015) and highly genetically correlated

with EA (a single nucleotide polymorphism-based genetic correlation of .95; Marioni

et al., 2014). Because SES has been associated with various physiological and mental

disorders (e.g., Calixto and Anaya, 2014; Galobardes et al., 2004; Werner et al.,

2007), including depression (Everson et al., 2002), and a genetic correlation between

SES and depression has been also observed (Hill et al., 2016), a gene-environment-

trait correlation in which SES mediates the genetic correlation between EA and

depression, is possible.

A recent genome wide association study (GWAS) of EA (Lee et al., 2018)

included about 1.1 million European-descent participants, making it one of the most

powerful, and consequently prevalently used, GWASs in psychology. A polygenic

score based on the summary statistics from this GWAS explained about 11% of the

variance in EA. In the current study, I tested whether a polygenic score derived from

the latter EA GWAS will be associated with an individual's SES, which in turn will be

associated with their depressive symptoms. Two independent samples were used: a

discovery sample of 522 non-Hispanic Caucasian university students from the Duke

Neurogenetics Study and a replication sample of 5,243 adult white British volunteers

from the UK Biobank (UKB). As the GWAS included data from the UKB and this may

bias the results, in the analyses of the UKB data I also used EA polygenic scores that

were based on summary statistics from a GWAS that did not include the UKB as a

discovery sample (obtained from Dr. Aysu Okbay, who is one of the authors of the

original GWAS).

57   **Materials and Methods**

Participants

The discovery sample consisted of 522 self-reported non-Hispanic Caucasian

participants (277 women, mean age 19.78$\pm$1.24 years) from the Duke

Neurogenetics Study (DNS) who were not related and for whom there was complete

data on genotypes, SES, depressive symptoms, and all covariates. Participants were

recruited through posted flyers on the Duke University campus and through a Duke

University listserv. All procedures were approved by the Institutional Review Board

of the Duke University Medical Center, and participants provided informed consent

before study initiation. All participants were free of the following study exclusions: 1)

medical diagnoses of cancer, stroke, diabetes requiring insulin treatment, chronic

kidney or liver disease, or lifetime history of psychotic symptoms; 2) use of

psychotropic, glucocorticoid, or hypolipidemic medication; and 3) conditions

affecting cerebral blood flow and metabolism (e.g., hypertension).

The replication sample consisted of 5,243 white British volunteers (2,669

women, mean age 62.30±7.41 years), who participated in the UKB's first assessment

and the imaging wave, completed an online mental health questionnaire (Davis et

al., 2018), and had complete genotype, SES, depressive symptoms and covariate

data. The UKB (www.ukbiobank.ac.uk; Sudlow et al., 2015) includes over 500,000

participants, between the ages of 40 and 69 years, who were recruited within the UK

between 2006 and 2010. The UKB study has been approved by the National Health

Service Research Ethics Service (reference: 11/NW/0382), and current analyses were

conducted under UKB application 28174.

Race/Ethnicity

Because self-reported race and ethnicity are not always an accurate reflection of

genetic ancestry, an analysis of identity by state of whole-genome SNPs in the DNS

was performed in PLINK (Purcell et al., 2007). Before running the multidimensional

scaling components analysis, SNPs were pruned for high LD ($r^2>0.1$), and the

following were removed: C/G and A/T SNPs, SNPs with a missing rate >.05 or a minor

allele frequency <.01, SNPs that did not pass the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium test

(p<1e-6), sex chromosomes, and regions with long range LD (the MHC and 23

additional regions; Price et al., 2008). The first two multidimensional scaling

components computed for the non-Hispanic Caucasian subgroup, as determined by

both self-reports and the multidimensional scaling components of the entire mixed

race/ethnicity DNS sample, were used as covariates in analyses of data from the

DNS. The decision to use only the first two components was based on an

examination of a scree plot of the variance explained by each component. For

analyses of data from the UKB, only those who were 'white British' based on both

self-identification and a genetic principal components analysis were included.

Additionally, the first 10 multidimensional scaling components received from the

UKB's data repository (unique data identifiers: 22009-0.1-22009-0.10) were included

as covariates as previously done (e.g., Avinun and Hariri, 2019; Whalley et al., 2016).

Further details on the computation of the multidimensional scaling components can

be   found   elsewhere   (http://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/wp-

content/uploads/2014/04/UKBiobank_genotyping_QC_documentation-web.pdf).

Socioeconomic status

In the DNS, SES was assessed using the "social ladder" instrument (Adler et al., 2000),

which asks participants to rank themselves relative to other people in the United

States (or their origin country) on a scale from 0–10, with people who are best off in

terms of money, education, and respected jobs, at the top (10) and people who are

worst off at the bottom (0). In the UKB, SES was assessed based on the report of

average household income before tax, coded as: 1 - Less than 18,000; 2 - 18,000 to

31,000; 3 - 31,000 to 52,000; 4 - 52,000 to 100,000; and 5 - Greater than 100,000.

The reports made during the first assessment (i.e., before the evaluation of

depressive symptoms), between 2006 and 2010, were used.

Depressive symptoms

In the DNS, the 20-item Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D)

was used to asses depressive symptoms in the past week (Radloff, 1977). All items

were summed to create a total depressive symptoms score. In the UKB, the Patient

Health Questionnaire 9-question version (PHQ-9) was used to asses depressive

symptoms in the past 2 weeks (Kroenke et al., 2001). The participants answered

these questions during a follow-up between 2016 and 2017. All items were summed

to create a total depressive symptoms score.

Genotyping

In the DNS, DNA was isolated from saliva using Oragene DNA self-collection kits (DNA

Genotek) customized for 23andMe (www.23andme.com). DNA extraction and

genotyping were performed through 23andMe by the National Genetics Institute

(NGI), a CLIA-certified clinical laboratory and subsidiary of Laboratory Corporation of

America. One of two different Illumina arrays with custom content was used to

provide   genome-wide   SNP   data,   the   HumanOmniExpress   (N=328)   or

HumanOmniExpress-24 (N=194; Do et al., 2011; Eriksson et al., 2010; Tung et al.,

2011). In the UKB, samples were genotyped using either the UK BiLEVE (N=501) or

the UKB axiom (N=4,742) array. Details regarding the UKB's quality control can be

found elsewhere (Bycroft et al., 2017).

Quality control and polygenic scoring

For genetic data from both the DNS and UKB, PLINK v1.90 (Purcell et al., 2007) was

used to apply quality control cutoffs and exclude SNPs or individuals based on the

following criteria: missing genotype rate per individual >.10, missing rate per SNP

>.10, minor allele frequency <.01, and Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium $p<1e-6$.

Additionally, in the UKB, quality control variables that were provided with the

dataset were used to exclude participants based on a sex mismatch (genetic sex

different from reported sex), a genetic relationship to another participant, outliers

for heterozygosity or missingness (unique Data Identifier 22010-0.0), and UKBiLEVE

genotype quality control for samples (unique Data Identifiers 22050-0.0-22052-0.0).

Polygenic scores were calculated using PLINK's (Purcell et al., 2007) "--score"

command based on published SNP-level summary statistics from the most recent EA

GWAS (Lee et al., 2018). Published summary statistics do not include the data from

23andMe per the requirements of this company. SNPs from the GWAS of EA were

matched with SNPs from the DNS and the UKB. For each SNP the number of the

alleles (0, 1, or 2) associated with EA was multiplied by the effect estimated in the

GWAS. The polygenic score for each individual was an average of weighted EA-

associated alleles. All SNPs matched with SNPs from the DNS and UKB were used

regardless of effect size and significance in the original GWAS, as previously

recommended and shown to be effective (Dudbridge, 2013; Ware et al., 2017).

Statistical analysis

The PROCESS SPSS macro, version 3.1 (Hayes, 2017), was used to conduct the

mediation analyses in SPSS version 26. Participants' sex (coded as 0=males,

1=females), age, and genetic principal components (two for the DNS and 10 for the

UK biobank) were entered as covariates in all analyses. In the mediation analyses,

bias-corrected bootstrapping (set to 5,000) was used to allow for non-symmetric

95% confidence intervals (CIs). Specifically, indirect effects are likely to have a non-

normal distribution, and consequently the use of non-symmetric CIs for the

determination of significance is recommended (MacKinnon et al., 2004). However,

bias-corrected bootstrapping also has its faults (Hayes and Scharkow, 2013) and,

consequently, as supportive evidence for the indirect effect, I also present the test of

joint significance, which examines whether the *a path* (EA polygenic scores to SES)

and the *b path* (SES to depressive symptoms, while controlling for the EA polygenic

scores) are significant. The EA polygenic scores were standardized (i.e., M=0, SD=1)

in SPSS to make interpretability easier. The mediation was first tested in the DNS,

and then a replication was tested in the UKB. As a validation of the indirect effect in

the UKB, it was also tested with EA polygenic scores that were not based on a GWAS

that included the UKB. Notably, as these polygenic scores are based on a smaller

sample GWAS, they are weaker predictors of EA. Additionally, to further test the

robustness of the effect, in the UKB it was possible to analyze the longitudinal data

while excluding those who reported on ever seeing a general physician (N=1,843) or

a psychiatrist (N=501) "for nerves, anxiety, tension or depression", at the first

assessment.

181   **Results**

Descriptive statistics

In the DNS, the SES measure ranged between 2 and 10 (M=7.34, SD=1.43) and

depressive symptoms ranged between 0 and 43 (M=8.94, SD=7.13). In the UKB, the

SES measure ranged between 1 and 5 (M=2.92, SD=1.11), and depressive symptoms,

estimated about 6 years later, ranged between 0 and 27 (M=2.50, SD=3.43).

EA polygenic scores and SES (a path) in the DNS

The EA polygenic scores were significantly associated with SES (b=.20, SE=.06,

p=.0016; R^2=0.018), so that higher scores predicted higher SES. Of the covariates, age

and sex were significantly associated with SES, so that older participants (b=.13,

SE=.05, p=.008) and men (b=-.45, SE=.12, p=.0003) were characterized by higher SES.

SES and depressive symptoms (b path) in the DNS

With the EA polygenic scores in the model, SES significantly and negatively predicted

depressive symptoms (b=-.61, SE=.22, p=.007; R^2=0.014), such that higher SES

predicted lower depressive symptoms. Of the covariates, age was significantly

associated with depressive symptoms, so that being younger was associated with

higher depressive symptoms (b=-.53, SE=.25, p=.037).

EA polygenic scores and depressive symptoms in the DNS

The EA polygenic scores did not significantly predict depressive symptoms (b=-.11,

SE=.32, p=.74). Notably, however, the significance of a direct path from X (EA

polygenic scores) to Y (depressive symptoms) or the 'total effect' (the 'c' path), is not

a prerequisite for the testing of a mediation/indirect effect (Hayes, 2009; MacKinnon

et al., 2000; Rucker et al., 2011), which was the main interest of the current study.

Indirect Effects in the DNS

The indirect path (*a*b*), EA polygenic scores to depressive symptoms via SES was

significant as indicated by the bias corrected bootstrapped 95% CI not including zero

(Figure 1a; indirect effect=-.12, bootstrapped SE=.06, bootstrapped 95% CI: -.26 to -

.02).

Indirect Effects in the UBK

The *a path*, from the EA polygenic scores to SES, and the *b path*, from SES to

depressive symptoms while controlling for EA polygenic scores, were significant (*a*

path: b=.17, SE=.01, p<.0001, R^2=0.022; *b path*: b=-.42, SE=.04, p<.0001, R^2=0.016).

The indirect path also replicated (Figure 1b; indirect effect=-.07, bootstrapped

SE=.01, bootstrapped 95% CI: -.091 to -.051). Similar results were obtained with the

EA polygenic scores that were based on a GWAS that did not include the UKB as a

discovery sample (*a path*: b=.10, SE=.01, p<.0001, R^2=0.008; *b path*: b=-.43, SE=.04,

p<.0001, R^2=0.017; indirect effect=-.04, bootstrapped SE=.008, bootstrapped 95% CI:

-.06 to -.03). An analysis that excluded participants who, at the first assessment,

reported on ever seeing a professional for nerves or depression (leaving 3,447

participants), and that relied on the EA polygenic scores that were based on a GWAS

that excluded the UKB, further supported a causal mediation, in which higher EA

polygenic scores predicted higher SES, which in turn predicted lower depressive

symptoms (*a path*: b=.08, SE=.02, p<.0001, R^2=0.005; *b path*: b=-.15, SE=.04,

p=.0003, R^2=0.004; indirect effect=-.012, bootstrapped SE=.004, bootstrapped 95%

CI: -.022 to -.005).

Discussion

The results of the current study show that EA polygenic scores are associated with

depressive symptoms partly through SES, such that individuals with higher EA

polygenic scores, are more likely to be of higher SES, and in turn less likely to

experience depressive symptoms. This indirect effect was found in two independent

samples with different characteristics and measures, demonstrating the robustness

of the associations. Notably, in the UKB the indirect effect was tested longitudinally,

with data on SES that was collected about 6 years before the assessment of

depressive symptoms. A supplementary analysis that excluded participants who

reported ever seeing a professional for nerves or depression at time point 1, was

also significant, further supporting a causal mediation.

The found mediation supports the gene-environment-trait correlations

hypothesis (rGET; Avinun, in press), which suggests that certain genetic correlations

may be mediated, at least in part, by the environment, i.e., an environmentally

mediated pleiotropy. The found EA polygenic scores→SES→depressive symptoms

mediation stresses the importance of context in genetic studies of depression. In

other words, the current results suggest that, for example, a GWAS of depression

that relies mostly on participants with a higher SES, may miss the genetic influences

that contribute to depression through lower SES. Consequently, polygenic scores

that will be based on such a GWAS will be weaker predictors of depression in low SES

samples. Furthermore, the current results imply that social policies aimed at

reducing socioeconomic inequalities and the negative factors that correlate with low

SES may weaken the genetic effects on depression.

Low SES may lead to depression by adding stress to one's life. Stress that stems

from having to make ends meet and from living in a disadvantaged neighborhood,

which is associated with higher crime and fewer resources (Santiago et al., 2011).

Low SES has also been associated with poorer access to green spaces (Dai, 2011),

and with health damaging behaviors, such as physical inactivity, higher alcohol

consumption, and poor nutrition (Nandi et al., 2014; Pampel et al., 2010), which are

thought to affect mental health (e.g., Avinun and Hariri, 2019; Beyer et al., 2014;

Boden and Fergusson, 2011). All of these risk factors can be possible targets for

policy makers.

The strengths of the current study include the use of two independent

samples with markedly different measures and characteristics (e.g., young university

students versus older community volunteers) and a GWAS-derived polygenic score,

but it is also limited in ways that can be addressed in future studies. First, the

findings are limited to populations of European descent and to the Western culture.

Second, both samples consisted of volunteers and consequently do not fully

represent the general population. However, it may be speculated that the observed

associations would strengthen with the inclusion of more individuals from low SES

backgrounds, which are usually characterized by higher levels of depression (Lorant

et al., 2003). Third, the mediation model should be replicated within longitudinal

designs in which measures of SES and depressive symptoms are available at multiple

time points.

In conclusion, the current results shed light on the genetic associations that

have been observed between EA and depression (Wray et al., 2018), and suggest

that a part of this association may be mediated by SES. The mediation by SES is

important because it suggests that the genetic influences on depression may be

moderated by public policy. In addition, the current findings suggest that the genetic

composition of depression is likely to depend on the social context in which it is

examined.

References

Adler, N.E., Epel, E.S., Castellazzo, G., Ickovics, J.R., 2000. Relationship of subjective

and objective social status with psychological and physiological functioning:

Preliminary data in healthy, White women. Health Psychol. 19(6), 586.

Avinun, R., in press. The E is in the G: Gene-Environment-Trait Correlations and

Findings from Genome-Wide Association Studies. Perspectives on

Psychological Science.

Avinun, R., Hariri, A.R., 2019. A Polygenic Score for Body Mass Index is Associated

with Depressive Symptoms via Early Life Stress: Evidence for Gene-

Environment Correlation. J. Psychiatr. Res. 118, 9-13.

Avinun, R., Knafo, A., 2014. Parenting as a Reaction Evoked by Children's Genotype A

Meta-Analysis of Children-as-Twins Studies. Pers. Soc. Psychol. Rev. 18(1), 87-

102.

Beyer, K., Kaltenbach, A., Szabo, A., Bogar, S., Nieto, F., Malecki, K., 2014. Exposure

to neighborhood green space and mental health: evidence from the survey of

the health of Wisconsin. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public. Health 11(3), 3453-3472.

Boden, J.M., Fergusson, D.M., 2011. Alcohol and depression. Addiction 106(5), 906-

914.

Bulik-Sullivan, B., Finucane, H.K., Anttila, V., Gusev, A., Day, F.R., Loh, P.-R., Duncan,

L., Perry, J.R., Patterson, N., Robinson, E.B., 2015. An atlas of genetic

correlations across human diseases and traits. Nat. Genet. 47(11), 1236.

Bycroft, C., Freeman, C., Petkova, D., Band, G., Elliott, L.T., Sharp, K., Motyer, A.,

Vukcevic, D., Delaneau, O., O'Connell, J., 2017. Genome-wide genetic data on~

500,000 UK Biobank participants. bioRxiv, 166298.

Calixto, O.-J., Anaya, J.-M., 2014. Socioeconomic status. The relationship with health

and autoimmune diseases. Autoimmun. Rev. 13(6), 641-654.

Crespo, L., López-Noval, B., Mira, P., 2014. Compulsory schooling, education,

depression and memory: New evidence from SHARELIFE. Economics of

Education Review 43, 36-46.

Dai, D., 2011. Racial/ethnic and socioeconomic disparities in urban green space

accessibility: Where to intervene? Landscape and Urban Planning 102(4), 234-

244.

Davis, K.A., Coleman, J.R., Adams, M., Allen, N., Breen, G., Cullen, B., Dickens, C., Fox,

E., Graham, N., Holliday, J., 2018. Mental health in UK Biobank: development,

implementation and results from an online questionnaire completed by 157

366 participants. BJPsych Open 4(3), 83-90.

Do, C.B., Tung, J.Y., Dorfman, E., Kiefer, A.K., Drabant, E.M., Francke, U., Mountain,

J.L., Goldman, S.M., Tanner, C.M., Langston, J.W., 2011. Web-based genome-

wide association study identifies two novel loci and a substantial genetic

component for Parkinson's disease. PLoS Genet. 7(6), e1002141.

Dudbridge, F., 2013. Power and predictive accuracy of polygenic risk scores. PLoS

Genet. 9(3), e1003348.

Eriksson, N., Macpherson, J.M., Tung, J.Y., Hon, L.S., Naughton, B., Saxonov, S., Avey,

L., Wojcicki, A., Pe'er, I., Mountain, J., 2010. Web-based, participant-driven

studies yield novel genetic associations for common traits. PLoS Genet. 6(6),

e1000993.

Everson, S.A., Maty, S.C., Lynch, J.W., Kaplan, G.A., 2002. Epidemiologic evidence for

the relation between socioeconomic status and depression, obesity, and

diabetes. J. Psychosom. Res. 53(4), 891-895.

Ferrari, A., Somerville, A., Baxter, A., Norman, R., Patten, S., Vos, T., Whiteford, H.,

2013. Global variation in the prevalence and incidence of major depressive

disorder: a systematic review of the epidemiological literature. Psychol. Med.

43(3), 471-481.

Galobardes, B., Lynch, J.W., Davey Smith, G., 2004. Childhood socioeconomic

circumstances and cause-specific mortality in adulthood: systematic review

and interpretation. Epidemiol. Rev. 26(1), 7-21.

Hayes, A.F., 2009. Beyond Baron and Kenny: Statistical mediation analysis in the new

millennium. Communication monographs 76(4), 408-420.

Hayes, A.F., 2017. Introduction to mediation, moderation, and conditional process

analysis: A regression-based approach. Guilford Publications.

Hayes, A.F., Scharkow, M., 2013. The relative trustworthiness of inferential tests of

the indirect effect in statistical mediation analysis: Does method really matter?

Psychol. Sci. 24(10), 1918-1927.

Hill, W.D., Hagenaars, S.P., Marioni, R.E., Harris, S.E., Liewald, D.C., Davies, G., Okbay,

A., McIntosh, A.M., Gale, C.R., Deary, I.J., 2016. Molecular genetic

contributions to social deprivation and household income in UK Biobank. Curr.

Biol. 26(22), 3083-3089.

Kendler, K.S., Baker, J.H., 2007. Genetic influences on measures of the environment:

a systematic review. Psychol. Med. 37(5), 615-626.

Kroenke, K., Spitzer, R.L., Williams, J.B., 2001. The PHQ-9: validity of a brief

depression severity measure. J. Gen. Intern. Med. 16(9), 606-613.

Lee, J., Wedow, R., Okbay, A., Kong, E., Maghzian, O., Zacher, M., Nguyen-Viet, T.,

Bowers, P., Sidorenko, J., Karlsson, L.R., 2018. Gene discovery and polygenic

prediction from a genome-wide association study of educational attainment in

1.1 million individuals. Nat. Genet. 50(8), 1112–1121.

Lorant, V., Deliège, D., Eaton, W., Robert, A., Philippot, P., Ansseau, M., 2003.

Socioeconomic inequalities in depression: a meta-analysis. Am. J. Epidemiol.

157(2), 98-112.

MacKinnon, D.P., Krull, J.L., Lockwood, C.M., 2000. Equivalence of the mediation,

confounding and suppression effect. Prev. Sci. 1(4), 173-181.

MacKinnon, D.P., Lockwood, C.M., Williams, J., 2004. Confidence Limits for the

Indirect Effect: Distribution of the Product and Resampling Methods.

Multivariate Behavioral Research 39(1), 99.

Marioni, R.E., Davies, G., Hayward, C., Liewald, D., Kerr, S.M., Campbell, A., Luciano,

M., Smith, B.H., Padmanabhan, S., Hocking, L.J., 2014. Molecular genetic

contributions to socioeconomic status and intelligence. Intelligence 44, 26-32.

Mathers, C.D., Loncar, D., 2006. Projections of global mortality and burden of disease

from 2002 to 2030. PLoS Med. 3(11), e442.

Nandi, A., Glymour, M.M., Subramanian, S., 2014. Association among socioeconomic

status, health behaviors, and all-cause mortality in the United States.

Epidemiology 25(2), 170-177.

Pampel, F.C., Krueger, P.M., Denney, J.T., 2010. Socioeconomic disparities in health

behaviors. Annual review of sociology 36, 349-370.

Plomin, R., Deary, I.J., 2015. Genetics and intelligence differences: five special

findings. Mol. Psychiatry 20(1), 98.

Plomin, R., DeFries, J.C., Loehlin, J.C., 1977. Genotype-environment interaction and

correlation in the analysis of human behavior. Psychol. Bull. 84(2), 309-322.

Price, A.L., Weale, M.E., Patterson, N., Myers, S.R., Need, A.C., Shianna, K.V., Ge, D.,

Rotter, J.I., Torres, E., Taylor, K.D., 2008. Long-range LD can confound genome

scans in admixed populations. The American Journal of Human Genetics 83(1),

132-135.

Purcell, S., Neale, B., Todd-Brown, K., Thomas, L., Ferreira, M.A., Bender, D., Maller,

J., Sklar, P., De Bakker, P.I., Daly, M.J., 2007. PLINK: a tool set for whole-

genome association and population-based linkage analyses. The American

Journal of Human Genetics 81(3), 559-575.

Radloff, L.S., 1977. The CES-D scale: A self-report depression scale for research in the

general population. Applied psychological measurement 1(3), 385-401.

Rucker, D.D., Preacher, K.J., Tormala, Z.L., Petty, R.E., 2011. Mediation analysis in

social psychology: Current practices and new recommendations. Social and

Personality Psychology Compass 5(6), 359-371.

Santiago, C.D., Wadsworth, M.E., Stump, J., 2011. Socioeconomic status,

neighborhood disadvantage, and poverty-related stress: Prospective effects on

psychological syndromes among diverse low-income families. Journal of

Economic Psychology 32(2), 218-230.

Scarr, S., McCartney, K., 1983. How people make their own environments: A theory

of genotype environment effects. Child Dev. 54(2), 424-435.

Strenze, T., 2007. Intelligence and socioeconomic success: A meta-analytic review of

longitudinal research. Intelligence 35(5), 401-426.

Sudlow, C., Gallacher, J., Allen, N., Beral, V., Burton, P., Danesh, J., Downey, P., Elliott,

P., Green, J., Landray, M., 2015. UK biobank: an open access resource for

identifying the causes of a wide range of complex diseases of middle and old

age. PLoS Med. 12(3), e1001779.

Tung, J.Y., Do, C.B., Hinds, D.A., Kiefer, A.K., Macpherson, J.M., Chowdry, A.B.,

Francke, U., Naughton, B.T., Mountain, J.L., Wojcicki, A., 2011. Efficient

replication of over 180 genetic associations with self-reported medical data.

PLoS ONE 6(8), e23473.

Ware, E.B., Schmitz, L.L., Faul, J.D., Gard, A., Mitchell, C., Smith, J.A., Zhao, W., Weir,

D., Kardia, S.L., 2017. Heterogeneity in polygenic scores for common human

traits. bioRxiv, 106062.

Werner, S., Malaspina, D., Rabinowitz, J., 2007. Socioeconomic status at birth is

associated with risk of schizophrenia: population-based multilevel study.

Schizophr. Bull. 33(6), 1373-1378.

Whalley, H.C., Adams, M.J., Hall, L., Clarke, T.-K., Fernandez-Pujals, A.M., Gibson, J.,

Wigmore, E., Hafferty, J., Hagenaars, S.P., Davies, G., 2016. Dissection of major

depressive disorder using polygenic risk scores for schizophrenia in two

independent cohorts. Translational Psychiatry 6(11), e938.

Wray, N.R., Ripke, S., Mattheisen, M., Trzaskowski, M., Byrne, E.M., Abdellaoui, A.,

Adams, M.J., Agerbo, E., Air, T.M., Andlauer, T.M.F., Bacanu, S.-A., Bækvad-

Hansen, M., Beekman, A.F.T., Bigdeli, T.B., Binder, E.B., Blackwood, D.R.H.,

Bryois, J., Buttenschøn, H.N., Bybjerg-Grauholm, J., Cai, N., Castelao, E.,

Christensen, J.H., Clarke, T.-K., Coleman, J.I.R., Colodro-Conde, L., Couvy-

Duchesne, B., Craddock, N., Crawford, G.E., Crowley, C.A., Dashti, H.S., Davies,

G., Deary, I.J., Degenhardt, F., Derks, E.M., Direk, N., Dolan, C.V., Dunn, E.C.,

Eley, T.C., Eriksson, N., Escott-Price, V., Kiadeh, F.H.F., Finucane, H.K., Forstner,

A.J., Frank, J., Gaspar, H.A., Gill, M., Giusti-Rodríguez, P., Goes, F.S., Gordon,

S.D., Grove, J., Hall, L.S., Hannon, E., Hansen, C.S., Hansen, T.F., Herms, S.,

Hickie, I.B., Hoffmann, P., Homuth, G., Horn, C., Hottenga, J.-J., Hougaard,

D.M., Hu, M., Hyde, C.L., Ising, M., Jansen, R., Jin, F., Jorgenson, E., Knowles,

J.A., Kohane, I.S., Kraft, J., Kretzschmar, W.W., Krogh, J., Kutalik, Z., Lane, J.M.,

Li, Y., Li, Y., Lind, P.A., Liu, X., Lu, L., MacIntyre, D.J., MacKinnon, D.F., Maier,

R.M., Maier, W., Marchini, J., Mbarek, H., McGrath, P., McGuffin, P., Medland,

S.E., Mehta, D., Middeldorp, C.M., Mihailov, E., Milaneschi, Y., Milani, L., Mill,

J., Mondimore, F.M., Montgomery, G.W., Mostafavi, S., Mullins, N., Nauck, M.,

Ng, B., Nivard, M.G., Nyholt, D.R., O'Reilly, P.F., Oskarsson, H., Owen, M.J.,

Painter, J.N., Pedersen, C.B., Pedersen, M.G., Peterson, R.E., Pettersson, E.,

Peyrot, W.J., Pistis, G., Posthuma, D., Purcell, S.M., Quiroz, J.A., Qvist, P., Rice,

J.P., Riley, B.P., Rivera, M., Saeed Mirza, S., Saxena, R., Schoevers, R., Schulte,

E.C., Shen, L., Shi, J., Shyn, S.I., Sigurdsson, E., Sinnamon, G.B.C., Smit, J.H.,

Smith, D.J., Stefansson, H., Steinberg, S., Stockmeier, C.A., Streit, F.,

Strohmaier, J., Tansey, K.E., Teismann, H., Teumer, A., Thompson, W.,

Thomson, P.A., Thorgeirsson, T.E., Tian, C., Traylor, M., Treutlein, J.,

Trubetskoy, V., Uitterlinden, A.G., Umbricht, D., Van der Auwera, S., van

Hemert, A.M., Viktorin, A., Visscher, P.M., Wang, Y., Webb, B.T., Weinsheimer,

S.M., Wellmann, J., Willemsen, G., Witt, S.H., Wu, Y., Xi, H.S., Yang, J., Zhang, F.,

Arolt, V., Baune, B.T., Berger, K., Boomsma, D.I., Cichon, S., Dannlowski, U., de

Geus, E.C.J., DePaulo, J.R., Domenici, E., Domschke, K., Esko, T., Grabe, H.J.,

Hamilton, S.P., Hayward, C., Heath, A.C., Hinds, D.A., Kendler, K.S., Kloiber, S.,

Lewis, G., Li, Q.S., Lucae, S., Madden, P.F.A., Magnusson, P.K., Martin, N.G.,

McIntosh, A.M., Metspalu, A., Mors, O., Mortensen, P.B., Müller-Myhsok, B.,

Nordentoft, M., Nöthen, M.M., O'Donovan, M.C., Paciga, S.A., Pedersen, N.L.,

Penninx, B.W.J.H., Perlis, R.H., Porteous, D.J., Potash, J.B., Preisig, M., Rietschel,

M., Schaefer, C., Schulze, T.G., Smoller, J.W., Stefansson, K., Tiemeier, H., Uher,

R., Völzke, H., Weissman, M.M., Werge, T., Winslow, A.R., Lewis, C.M.,

Levinson, D.F., Breen, G., Børglum, A.D., Sullivan, P.F., eQtlgen, 23andMe, the

Major Depressive Disorder Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics

Consortium, 2018. Genome-wide association analyses identify 44 risk variants

and refine the genetic architecture of major depression. Nat. Genet. 50(5),

668-681.

Figure 1. Mediation model linking genetic influences on EA to depressive symptoms, via socioeconomic status

1a. Duke Neurogenetics Study: Discovery sample

1b. UK Biobank: Replication sample

Note. *p<.01, **p<.0001. c- the total effect of the EA polygenic scores on depressive symptoms; c'-the effect of EA polygenic scores on depressive symptoms, while controlling for SES.