Detecting expression of mRNA of interest in microbial cells *in situ*

Hirokazu Takahashi[1, +, *], Kyohei Horio[2, +], Setsu Kato[1,2], Toshiro Kobori[3], Kenshi

Watanabe[1,2], Tsunehiro Aki[1,2], Yutaka Nakashimada[1,2] & Yoshiko Okamura[1,2, *]

[1] *Graduate School of Integrated Sciences for Life, Hiroshima University,*

[2]*Graduate School of Advanced Sciences of Matter, Hiroshima University,*

Higashihiroshima, Hiroshima, 739-8530, Japan

[3]*Division of Food Biotechnology, Food Research Institute, National Agriculture and*

Food Research Organization, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, 305-8642, Japan

[+]These authors contributed equally to this work.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed: Hirokazu Takahashi, Email:

ziphiro@hiroshima-u.ac.jp; Yoshiko Okamura, Email: okamuray@hiroshima-u.ac.jp

ABSTRACT

We used RNase-H-assisted rolling circle amplification for fluorescence *in situ*

hybridization (FISH) to visualize microbes containing transcripts of interest. Our results

show that this method is applicable to both Gram-negative and Gram-positive microbes

without any noise from DNA and have a potential to quantify the expression of an

mRNA of interest at the single-cell level. Therefore, our method can be used as an

alternative to single-molecule FISH.

Meta-analyses such as metagenomics and metatranscriptomics are powerful strategies

for studying microbiota, including non-cultivable microbes. However, meta-analyses

cannot clarify the role these microbes play within microbiota.[1] Although conventional

fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH) can be used to identify target microbes within

microbiota[2] by probing microbe-specific rRNA, the ecological activities of these

microbes cannot be fully elucidated by conventional FISH.[3, 4]

To determine the activities of specific microbes requires the detection of specific

mRNAs of interest in the microbiota.[5] In fact, several novel high-sensitivity FISH

methods for mRNA detection have been developed using enzymatic signal

amplification such as catalyzed reporter deposition-FISH.[6, 7] However, mRNA cannot

be quantified by this method, since the signal observed by these methods diffuses over

the entire cell. Although single-molecule FISH (smFISH) can detect a single mRNA

molecule[8, 9] in microbial cells,[10] this procedure is much more expensive than the other

FISH method because it uses nearly 50 different detection probes carrying the same

fluorophore against one transcript of interest.[11] A click-amplifying FISH method,

termed ClampFISH, was recently developed to amplify the fluorescence signal.[12]

However, this method is time-consuming and confounded by background noise

presumably due to nonspecific hybridization of the probe. Most currently available

FISH methods, including RollFISH,[13] rely on simple hybridization of oligonucleotide

probes to sequences complementary to the probes; thus, these methods cannot clearly

distinguish between fluorescent signals originating from RNA and DNA.

FISH using rolling circle amplification (RCA), which uses cDNA transcribed from

mRNA by reverse-transcriptase (RT),[14] can detect a single mRNA molecule in a

eukaryotic cell. The RCA product (RCP) contains a hyper tandem-repeat of a DNA

sequence complementary to a padlock probe (PLP). The RCP eventually becomes a

platform on which a sufficient amount of the detection probe can hybridize. Although

this procedure is considered to be a substitute for smFISH, it is laborious, requiring an

RT reaction, RNase H treatment, and exonuclease treatment. For the detection of

microbial mRNA, the exonuclease treatment must be optimized for each individual

mRNA under consideration in the operon. For these reasons, a cost-effective and

user-friendly FISH method that detects only the target mRNA in a cell is needed.

Recently, we developed RNase H-assisted RCA (RHa-RCA).[15] RNase H digests

only the RNA strand of RNA/DNA-hybrid molecules, after which phi29 DNA

polymerase can synthesize DNA starting only at the 3′ end of the digested RNA

molecules. This procedure allows for the specific detection of almost all types of RNA

molecules without using the RT reaction or exonuclease treatment. Here we

demonstrate a novel FISH method based on RHa-RCA for the detection of a microbe

expressing mRNAs of interest at a single-cell level.

The overall scheme for visualizing a microbe expressing mRNAs of interest by

FISH–RHa-RCA consists of five reaction steps (**Fig. 1a**). Using this method, it is

possible to complete all steps except fluorescence microscopy in approximately 8 h (**Fig.**

1b). Typically, we perform up to step (iv) on the first day and then store the samples at

4°C, as RCPs are stable at this temperature. On the following day, step (v) and

microscopy were performed.

At first, we have tested whether FISH–RHa-RCA detects mRNAs of green

fluorescent protein (GFP) expressed in *Escherichia coli* cell. *E. coli* BL21(DE3)

transformed with a plasmid carrying GFP gene (pET-AcGFP)[16] was cultured by

inducing GFP expression through the addition of isopropyl-β-d-thiogalactopyranoside

(IPTG), whereas the *E. coli* without the addition of IPTG were obtained as negative

control (non-induced cell). The non-induced cells were cultured with 2% glucose to

inhibit leaky expression of GFP mRNA. The GFP expression was confirmed by

fluorescence microscopy using the harvested cells at 2 h after the addition of IPTG

(**Supplementary Fig. 1**).

No fluorescent signal was observed in non-induced cells, even though the cells

were also transformed by pET-AcGFP (**Fig. 1c**). In contrast, fluorescent signals were

clearly observed in GFP-induced cells (**Fig. 1d**). This result clearly shows that

FISH–RHa-RCA specifically detected GFP mRNA molecules and not the GFP DNA in

the vector. In addition, the fluorescence signals formed spot-like shapes (**Fig. 1e**), as

observed in smFISH.[10, 11, 17] Indeed, FISH using RCA, which uses cDNA transcribed

from mRNA by RT, can detect a single mRNA molecule in a eukaryotic cell.[14]

Although a eukaryotic cell is large enough to separate the RCPs, bacterial cells are

much too small to distinguish each RCPs. Indeed, we observed here that determining

the exact number of spots was not possible in the constrained space of these cells.

Therefore, we could not compare the detection efficiency between our method and

FISH using RCA after RT. However, taken together, these results suggest that

FISH–RHa-RCA may be used as an alternative procedure to smFISH.

Next, we investigated whether FISH–RHa-RCA is applicable to Gram-positive

bacteria, which have a thick peptide glycan coat. Using *Brevibacillus choshinensis*

harboring a plasmid carrying the DsRed gene under control of the native P2 promoter,

we observed fluorescence from the DsRed protein starting at 12 h after the start of the

culture. The fluorescence gradually increased, reaching a maximum at 72 h (**Fig. 2,**

upper images). Using FISH–RHa-RCA to visualize the DsRed mRNA, the signal in

B. choshinensis varied greatly between individual cells (**Fig. 2, middle images**). In

contrast, the fluorescent signals from GFP mRNA in *E. coli* showed minimal variation

between cells (**Fig. 1d**). The fluorescent signal from DsRed mRNA was first observed

12 h after culture initiation, reaching a maximum at 24 h and then decreasing (**Fig. 2,**

middle images). The reason the DsRed mRNA expression level varied between

individual cells may be that mRNA expression from the P2 promoter occurs during cell

wall synthesis, which varies with the cell cycle stage. In addition, it was likely that the

number of signals could be counted in cells with low mRNA abundance (**Fig. 2, lower**

images). These results indicate that FISH–RHa-RCA has sufficient potential to quantify

the expression of an mRNA of interest at the single-cell level.

Here we report that our method for *in situ* analysis of mRNA in microbes has

resolution high enough to detect differences in mRNA expression between individual

microbial cells. The use of only one detection probe decreases the cost of our method to

approximately 1/50 that of conventional smFISH. In addition, our method produces a

stronger signal in a shorter time than does the ClampFISH procedure, because RCP

includes a hyper tandem-repeated single-stranded DNA capable of hybridizing a large

number of fluorescent probes.

Using RNase H to digest RNA/DNA-hybrid but not DNA/DNA-hybrid molecules,

our method can clearly distinguish between mRNA and genomic DNA. Thus, our

method does not require use of a PLP at the exon–exon junction to distinguish between

them. Thus, we believe that our method for visualizing RNA molecules directly within

cells could contribute significantly to both basic and medical research, including the

clinical diagnosis of infectious disease.

Ouroboros smFISH was developed recently for examining the expression profiles

of numerous genes of interest in tissue sections.[18] Similarly, our method could be

upgraded for use in analyzing expression profiles of multiple genes of interest in cells

and tissues at a lower cost than Ouroboros smFISH.

REFERENCES

1.   Jansson, J.K. et al. *Environ Microbiol* **14**, 1-3 (2012).

2.   Wagner, M. et al. *Curr Opin Microbiol* **6**, 302-309 (2003).

3.   Amann, R.I. et al. *J Bacteriol* **172**, 762-770 (1990).

4.   DeLong, E.F. et al. *Science* **243**, 1360-1363 (1989).

5.   Amann, R. & Fuchs, B.M. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **6**, 339-348 (2008).

6.   Pernthaler, A. et al. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **68**, 3094-3101 (2002).

7.   Schonhuber, W. et al. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **63**, 3268-3273 (1997).

8.   Raj, A. et al. *Nat Methods* **5**, 877-879 (2008).

9.   Hansen, C.H. & van Oudenaarden, A. *Nat Methods* **10**, 869-871 (2013).

10.   So, L.H. et al. *Nat Genet* **43**, 554-560 (2011).

11.   Skinner, S.O. et al. *Nat Protoc* **8**, 1100-1113 (2013).

12.   Rouhanifard, S.H. et al. *Nature Biotechnology* (2018).

13.   Wu, C. et al. *Communications Biology* **1**, 209 (2018).

14.   Larsson, C. et al. *Nat. Methods* **7**, 395-397 (2010).

15.   Takahashi, H. et al. *Sci Rep* **8**, 7770 (2018).

16.   Takahashi, H. et al. *Anal Biochem* **401**, 242-249 (2010).

17.   Zong, C. et al. *Mol Syst Biol* **6**, 440 (2010).

18.   Codeluppi, S. et al. *Nature Methods* (2018).

19.   Takahashi, H. et al. *BioTechniques* **61**, 42-46 (2016).

Acknowledgments

We thank the members of the Okamura lab for helpful discussions. This study was

supported in part by the Step-Up Support Program for KAKENHI （Grant-in-Aid for

Scientific Research）of Hiroshima University and Adaptable and Seamless Technology

transfer program through target-driven R&D (A-STEP) of JST [No. AS2311331E].

Contributions

H. Takahashi, T. Kobori, Y. Nakashimada, and Y. Okamura conceived of this study and

designed the experiments. K. Horio performed nearly all of the experiments. K. Horio

and S. Kato collected and analyzed the FISH images. Y. T. Kobori and Okamura

obtained the necessary financial support. H. Takahashi, K. Horio, and Y. Okamura

prepared the initial draft of the manuscript. T. Kobori, S. Kato, T. Aki, K. Watanabe, and

Y. Nakashimada revised the manuscript. All authors contributed to and have approved

the final manuscript.

Competing interests

Hiroshima University has filed patent applications related to the technology described in

this work to the Japan Patent Office. H.T., K.H., T.A., Y.N., and Y.O. are listed as

inventors on the patents. No one received personal or institutional revenue associated

with the patent applications. The JST as the funder had no role in the study design, data

collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. K.H., S.K.,

K.W., and T.K. declare no potential conflict of interests.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Detection and identification of microbes expressing mRNA of interest by

FISH–RHa-RCA. (a) Schematic representation of procedure. (b) Timing and order of

FISH–RHa-RCA steps. (c) Detection of GFP mRNA in non-induced *E. coli* cells

carrying a GFP expression vector and (d) in GFP-induced cells. Scale bar, 10 µm. (e)

Magnified image of box in (d). Scale bar, 5 µm. An overlay of the phase contrast

(grayscale) and Alexa-568 labeled probes (red) targeting the RCP from GFP mRNA is

shown.

Figure 2. FISH detection of DsRed mRNA in *B. choshinensis* as a Gram-positive

bacterium.

Upper images show fluorescence of DsRed protein expressed in *B. choshinensis*; middle

images show the signal of detection probes using FISH; lower images show the

magnified image of the square box in middle images. The cells in the upper and middle

images are not from the same sample because the protein is denatured by the FISH

procedure. Overlays of the phase contrast (grayscale), DeRed protein (red), and

184 Alexa-488 labeled probes (green) targeting the RCP from DsRed mRNA are shown.

185 Scale bar, 10 µm.

Material and Methods

Padlock probe

Each PLP position is shown in **Supplementary Figs. 3–4**, and the sequences are shown

in **Supplementary Table 1**.

Expression of GFP mRNA in *E. coli*

The *E. coli* strain BL21 (DE3) (Novagen) carrying pET-AcGFP was grown in LB

medium (1% tryptone, 0.5% yeast extract, 1.0% NaCl, and 50 µg/mL ampicillin) for

14–16 h at 30°C with shaking. The cultures were diluted 1:1000 in fresh LB medium

and incubated at 30°C with shaking. Growth was monitored by the measurement of the

optical density at 660 nm (OD_{660}). When the OD_{660} was approximately 0.6, GFP

expression was induced by the addition of IPTG (final concentration, 0.5 mM). The

GFP-induced cells were harvested 1 h after IPTG addition. GFP-induced and

non-induced cells were collected in 1.5 mL tubes by centrifugation at $10\,000 \times g$ for

2 min at 4°C. Cells were immediately suspended in saturated ammonium sulfate (SAS)

solution to inhibit RNase activity and store at 4°C before use. This treatment would

keep the total RNA in the cells stable without degradation for at least 2 weeks

(**Supplementary Fig. 2**).

Construction of expression vector for *B. choshinensis* and *in vitro* transcription

The coding sequence of DsRed was isolated from the pDsRed-monomer vector

(Clontech) by digestion with the appropriate restriction enzymes. The resulting

fragment was cell-free cloned into pNI-His (Takara Bio) for expression in

B. choshinensis cells as pNI-DsRed or pET-21d (Novagen) for *in vitro* transcription as

pET-DsRed. Detailed procedures for cell-free cloning are described in the

Supplementary Material and Methods.

Expression of DsRed in *B. choshinensis*

The *B. choshinensis* strain HPD31-SP3 (Takara Bio) carrying pNI-DsRed was grown in

2SYF medium (20.0 g/L fructose, 40.0 g/L Phytone Peptone (Becton, Dickinson, and

Co.), 5.0 g/L yeast extract (Kyokuto Pharmaceutical Co.), and 0.15 g/L CaCl$_2$·2H$_2$O)

containing 50 µg/mL neomycin for 12, 24, 48, or 72 h at 30°C with shaking. DsRed

protein expression was confirmed by fluorescence microscopy. The cells were harvested

in 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tubes by centrifugation at 10 000 × g for 2 min at 4°C. Cells

were suspended in SAS and stored at 4°C before use.

Cell fixation and permeabilization

The stored cells in SAS solution (600 µL) were transferred to a 1.5 mL tube and

centrifuged at 20 400 × g for 1 min at 4°C to remove the SAS solution. The cell pellet

was resuspended in 300 µL of 4% paraformaldehyde and incubated at room temperature

for 15 min to fix the cells. After centrifugation, the cell pellet was resuspended with

300 µL of 70% ethanol and incubated at room temperature for 60 min to dehydrate the

cells. After centrifugation under the same conditions to remove 70% EtOH, the cell

pellet was resuspended with 262.5 µL of 1× TE buffer. Then, 37.5 µL of lysozyme

solution (200 µg/mL in 50% glycerol) was added to the cell suspension solution and

incubated at room temperature for 10 min to digest the cell wall. After centrifugation at

same conditions to remove the lysozyme solution, the cells were washed twice with

300 µL of 1× phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). After centrifugation, the cells were

resuspended with 14 µL of µDW and transferred to 0.2 mL of PCR tube to prepare the

RCA reaction.

233 **FISH using RHa-RCA**

234 The cells were mixed with 20 pmol of PLP in a buffer containing 20 mM Tris-acetate

235 (pH 7.5), 10 mM magnesium acetate (MgAc), and 50 mM potassium glutamate (KGlu)

236 in a final volume of 20 µL. The PLP was hybridized by incubation at 95°C for 1 min,

237 slowly cooling to 30°C over 30 min, and incubation for 10 min at 30°C. To the cells

238 was added 10 µL of ligation mixture (20 mM Tris-acetate [pH 7.5], 10 mM MgAc,

239 1.2 mM ATP, 50 mM KGlu, 10 mM dithiothreitol, and 25 units of SplintR ligase),

240 followed by incubation at 37°C for 10 min to seal the PLP. In our previous report,[15] the

241 ligase was inactivated by heating, but this step was omitted in this study to shorten the

242 reaction time and simplify the procedure. The detection sensitivity was not affected

243 (data not shown). The RHa-RCA reaction was started by mixing 30 µL of the ligated

244 mixture with 20 µL of a reaction mixture containing 20 mM Tris-acetate (pH 7.5),

245 10 mM MgAc, 80 mM ammonium sulfate, 10 mM KGlu, 2.0 mM deoxynucleoside

246 triphosphate, 0.004 units of pyrophosphatase (New England BioLabs), 0.06 units of

247 RNase H (BioAcademia), and 500 ng of DNA-free phi29 DNA polymerase (Kanto

Chemical). The mixture was incubated at 30°C for 2 h followed by enzyme inactivation

at 65°C for 10 min.

The RCA reaction mixture containing the cells was transferred to a 1.5 mL tube.

After centrifugation at 20 400 × g for 1 min at 4°C, the cells were washed with 1× PBS

at room temperature, suspended in 2× saline sodium citrate, and transferred to a 1.5 mL

black tube. After addition of the Alexa-labeled oligonucleotide, the cell suspension was

incubated at 37°C for 3 h to hybridize the oligonucleotide to RCP. After centrifugation

at 20 400 × g for 1 min at 4°C, the cells were washed twice with 1× PBS at 37°C for

15 min. The cells were suspended in 1× PBS and stored at 4°C in 1.5 mL black tubes

before observation by microscopy.

RCA and hybridization were performed in bench-top cleanroom[19] to prevent

contamination that could cause nonspecific hybridization..

Imaging and analysis

A drop of cell suspension hybridized with the detection probe was placed on 1%

agarose pads containing 1× PBS . Images of each sample were taken on a fluorescence

microscope (Nikon ECLIPSE E600 for *E. coli* and Nikon ECLIPSE Ti2-E for

B. choshinensis) equipped with a phase-contrast objective CFI PlanApo DM 100×

(Nikon) and an ORCA-Flash4.0 V3 camera (Hamamatsu Co.). Typically, the

FISH–RHa-RCA, GFP, and DsRed fluorescence images were taken using an exposure

time of 100 msec. The images were analyzed using ImageJ software (NIH).

a

b

c
non-induced cells

d
GFP induced cells

e

	Cultivation time (hours)			
	12	**24**	**48**	**72**
DsRed				
Alexa-488 (Wide angle)				
Alexa-488 (Magnified)				