1   **Title:** Characterization of the mycobiome of the seagrass, *Zostera marina*, reveals putative
2   associations with marine chytrids
3

4   **Authors:** Cassandra L. Ettinger[1,2], Jonathan A. Eisen[1,2,3]
5
6   [1]Genome Center, University of California, Davis, CA, United States
7   [2]Department of Evolution and Ecology, University of California, Davis, CA, United States
8   [3]Department of Medical Microbiology and Immunology, University of California, Davis, Davis,
9   CA, United States
10
11 **Corresponding Author:**
12 Cassandra L. Ettinger
13 Email address: clettinger@ucdavis.edu

14
15 **Keywords:** seagrass, *Zostera marina*, mycobiome, marine fungi, ITS, Lobulomycetales,
16 Chytridiomycota

Abstract

Seagrasses are globally distributed marine flowering plants that are foundation species in coastal ecosystems. Seagrass beds play essential roles as habitats and hatcheries, in nutrient cycling and in protecting the coastline from erosion. Although many studies have focused on seagrass ecology, only a limited number have investigated their associated fungi. In terrestrial systems, fungi can have beneficial and detrimental effects on plant fitness. However, not much is known about marine fungi and even less is known about seagrass associated fungi. Here we used culture-independent sequencing of the ribosomal internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region to characterize the taxonomic diversity of fungi associated with the seagrass, *Zostera marina*. We sampled from two *Z. marina* beds in Bodega Bay over three time points to investigate fungal diversity within and between plants. Our results indicate that there are many fungal taxa for which a taxonomic assignment cannot be made living on and inside *Z. marina* leaves, roots and rhizomes and that these plant tissues harbor distinct fungal communities. The most prevalent ITS amplicon sequence variant (ASV) associated with *Z. marina* leaves was classified as fungal, but could not initially be assigned to a fungal phylum. We then used PCR with a primer targeting unique regions of the ITS2 region of this ASV and an existing primer for the fungal 28S rRNA gene to amplify part of the 28S rRNA gene region and link it to this ASV. Sequencing and phylogenetic analysis of the resulting partial 28S rRNA gene revealed that the organism that this ASV comes from is a member of Novel Clade SW-I in the order Lobulomycetales in the phylum Chytridiomycota. This clade includes known parasites of freshwater diatoms and algae and it is possible this chytrid is directly infecting *Z. marina* leaf tissues. This work highlights a need for further studies focusing on marine fungi and the potential importance of these understudied communities to the larger seagrass ecosystem.

Introduction

Seagrasses are fully submerged marine flowering plants (angiosperms) that play essential roles in marine ecosystems as foundation species. Although angiosperms are the most diverse terrestrial plant group with over 250,000 species, there are only around 70 species of seagrasses. There are three main lineages of seagrass in the order Alismatales that separately moved into and adapted to the marine ecosystem through convergent evolution between 70 and 100 million years ago (Les et al., 1997; Wissler et al., 2011). Seagrasses are important keystone species in most coastal environments around the world with ecosystem services comparable to those of tropical rainforests (Costanza et al., 1997). However, seagrass beds are increasingly impacted by climate change, pollution and habitat fragmentation and restoration is expensive and has a low success rate (Orth et al., 2006). Although many studies have focused on the ecological importance of seagrasses, relatively little is known about the fungi associated with these species.

Fungi are known to affect land plant fitness both in detrimental ways (e.g., as pathogens) and beneficial ways (e.g., mycorrhizae are involved in facilitating phosphorus and nitrogen uptake for their plant hosts (Bonfante and Anca, 2009)). It is estimated that 82-85% of angiosperm species have mycorrhizal fungal associations (Radhika and Rodrigues, 2007; Wang and Qiu, 2006). Mycorrhizae were previously thought to not colonize aquatic environments, but have since been found in wetlands, estuaries, mangrove forests and freshwater ecosystems (Beck-Nielsen and Madsen, 2001; Bohrer et al., 2004; Kohout et al., 2012; Radhika and Rodrigues, 2007; Šraj-Kržič et al., 2006). Mycorrhizal associations are believed to be at least 400 million years old, critical for plant terrestrialization (Humphreys et al., 2010; Redecker et al., 2000; Wang et al., 2010) and the ancestral state for angiosperms (Brundrett, 2002).Despite their importance to land plants, seagrasses are believed to not form mycorrhizal associations (Nielsen et al., 1999), even though freshwater relatives of seagrasses have been observed to sporadically form mycorrhizal associations (Beck-Nielsen and Madsen, 2001; Brundrett, 2009; Clayton and Bagyaraj, 1984; Khan and Belik, 1995; Radhika and Rodrigues, 2007; Šraj-Kržič et al., 2006; Wang and Qiu, 2006; Wigand and Court Stevenson, 1994).

In contrast to their apparent lack of mycorrhizal associations, some studies of seagrasses have observed associations with novel fungal endophytes similar to dark septate endophytes (DSE) common in land plants (Borovec and Vohník, 2018; Torta et al., 2015; Vohník et al., 2015, 2016, 2017, 2019). DSE are a morphology based type and not a phylogenetic group, and are largely uncharacterized. In some cases, DSEs have been shown to transfer nitrogen and receive carbon from plants as well as increase overall plant nutrient content and growth (Porras-Alfaro and Bayman, 2011; Usuki and Narisawa, 2007). DSEs are not the only fungi that have been observed to form associations with seagrasses. Additional culture based studies have found fungi associated with the leaves, roots and rhizomes of different seagrasses; however, many of these studies often conflict on the prevalence and taxonomic identities of the fungi observed and suffer

from the limitations of culture-based methods (Cuomo et al., 1985; Devarajan and Suryanarayanan, 2002; Gnavi et al., 2014; Kirichuk and Pivkin, 2015; Kuo, 1984; Ling et al., 2015; Mata and Cebrián, 2013; Newell, 1981; Panno et al., 2013; Phongpaichit and Supaphon, 2014; Sakayaroj et al., 2010; Shoemaker and Wyllie-Echeverria, 2013; Supaphon et al., 2013, 2017; Torta et al., 2015; Venkatachalam, 2015; Venkatachalam et al., 2015; Vohník et al., 2016). This is compounded by the fact that marine fungi are understudied and that marine fungal culture collections are limited with only around ~1100 cultured isolates, despite estimates that there are 10,000 or more marine fungal species (Amend et al., 2019; Jones et al., 2015; Jones and Gareth Jones, 2011). Here, we use culture-independent methods to characterize the taxonomic diversity of fungi associated with the seagrass, *Z. marina*, in order to build a framework from which we can begin to determine the evolutionary, ecological, and functional importance of these associations.

Methods

Sample collection

Roots, leaves and rhizome tissues from individual *Z. marina* plants and adjacent sediment were collected by coring into the sediment using a 2.375 inch diameter modified PVC pipe (McMaster-Carr, Elmhurst, IL, USA). PVC pipes were modified such that one end of the pipe was cut at an angle to make insertion into the sediment easier. Samples were collected from two sites in Bodega Bay, CA, Gaffney Point (GPS: 38°18'45.05"N, 123° 3'16.66"W) and Westside Point (GPS: 38°19'10.67"N, 123° 3'13.71"W), at three timepoints two weeks apart spanning July-August 2016. Samples from GP were collected only at the first timepoint. Samples were dissected using sterile scissors into different bulk sample types (e.g. roots, leaves, rhizome tissues) in the field, placed into 1.5 mL centrifuge tubes which were immediately placed on dry ice for transport and then kept at -80 °C until analyzed. Sediment was collected from the 2.375 inch PVC pipe using an 11 mm diameter straw which was placed directly next to the individual seagrass shoot tissue in order to assure sediment was collected as close to the plant as possible. For the third timepoint (T3), multiple samples were collected from the same plant. Leaf tissue was cut into ~5 inch long segments moving along the length of the leaf tissue, individual roots were collected and an additional 7 mm diameter straw core was obtained and then dissected into ~1.5 cm sections of sediment. Cores of unvegetated sediment from between sites were obtained as a biological control at each time point. For sample sizes, refer to Tables S1-3.

Molecular methods

DNA was extracted from samples with the PowerSoil DNA Isolation kit (MO BIO Laboratories, Inc., Carlsbad, CA, USA) with minor changes to the manufacturer's protocol as follows. To improve fungal lysis, samples were heated at 70 °C for 10 minutes between steps 4 and 5 as

160 suggested by the manufacturer. For step 5, samples were bead beaten on the homogenize setting
161 for 1 minute using a BioSpec Products mini-bead beater. Samples were placed into randomized
162 blocks prior to extraction using a random number generator.
163
In order to obtain loosely associated, or epiphytic, fungi, tissue samples were washed in 1 mL of
a 1:50 dilution of Redford buffer solution (1 M Tris-HCL, 0.5 M NaEDTA, 1.2% Triton-X)
(Kadivar and Stapleton, 2003; Kembel et al., 2014). Samples were left in this solution for 5
minutes during which they were periodically vortexed. Tissues were then removed and placed
into a new microcentrifuge tube for use in endophyte analyses. The epiphyte wash solution was
centrifuged at 4000 g for 20 minutes and the pellets were resuspended in MoBio PowerSoil C1
solution, the first step in the DNA extraction process.
171
After being washed to obtain epiphytes, a subset of samples collected at the third sampling
timepoint were further surface cleaned with the goal of removing any leftover loosely associated
fungi. This was done in an effort to obtain samples containing only endophytes, which we define
here as being tightly associated to the outside or inside the tissue. Tissues were rinsed with
autoclaved nanopure water (30 seconds), immersed in 95% ethanol (5 seconds), immersed in
0.5% NaOCl (~10% bleach) (2 minutes), immersed in 70% ethanol (2 minutes), then rinsed with
autoclaved nanopure water (1 minute) three times. Tissues were then placed into MoBio
PowerSoil C1 solution and crushed using flame sterilized tweezers.
180
181 ***Sequence generation***
182
Using a random number generator, samples were randomly assigned places in 96-well plates and
sent to Zymo Research, Inc for sequencing via their ZymoBIOMICS Service. We briefly
summarize their protocol here. Fungal ITS2 amplicon sequencing was performed using the
Quick NGS Library Preparation Kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA). The ITS2 region was
amplified via PCR using the ITS5.8S_Fun and ITS4_Fun (McHugh and Schwartz, 2015; Taylor
et al., 2016) primers which were chosen to try to minimize the amount of host plant
amplification. Final PCR products were quantified with qPCR fluorescence readings and pooled
together based on equal molarity. The final pooled library was cleaned up with Select-a-Size
DNA Clean & Concentrator™ (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA), then quantified with TapeStation
(Agilent, Santa Clara, CA) and Qubit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). The final library was
sequenced on Illumina MiSeq (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) with a v3 reagent kit (600
cycles) to generate 300 bp paired-end reads. The sequencing was performed with >10% PhiX
spike-in. Sequence reads were demultiplexed by Zymo Research, Inc using the MiSeq Reporter.
The raw sequence reads generated for this ITS amplicon project were deposited at GenBank
under accession no. PRJNA515720.
198
Sequence processing

Primers were removed using cudadapt (v. 1.14) (Martin, 2011). Forward and reverse reads were
then merged with PEAR (v. 0.9.5) (Zhang et al., 2014) using the script run_pear.pl (Comeau et
al., 2017) which implements PEAR in parallel.

The resulting merged fastq files were analyzed in R (v. 3.5.1) using dada2 (v. 1.10.0), decontam
(v. 1.1.2), phyloseq (v. 1.26.0), vegan (v. 2.5.5), FSA (v. 0.8.25) and ggplot2 (v. 3.1.0) (Callahan
et al., 2016; Davis et al., 2018; Dixon, 2003; McMurdie and Holmes, 2013; Ogle, 2016; R Core
Team, 2016; Wickham, 2009). For a detailed walkthrough of the following analysis using R, see
the R-markdown summary file (File S1).

Prior to denoising, reads were truncated at the first quality score of 2 and reads with an expected
error greater than 2 were removed. Since PEAR alters the quality scores during sequence
merging, we inflated the error profile used by dada2 to denoise sequences by a factor of 3. Reads
were then denoised and merged using dada2 to generate a table of amplicon sequence variants
(ASVs). Chimeric sequences were identified using removeBimeraDenovo and removed prior to
downstream analyses (~0.31% of sequences). Taxonomy was inferred using the RDP Naive
Bayesian Classifier algorithm with a modified UNITE (v. 8.0) database resulting in 2953 ASVs
(UNITE Community, 2019; Wang et al., 2007). The UNITE database was modified to include a
representative ITS amplicon sequence for the host plant, *Zostera marina* (KM051458.1). ASVs
were then named by giving each a unique number preceded by "SV" which stands for sequence
variant (e.g. SV1, SV2, etc).

Subsequently, ITS-x (v. 1.1b) was run on the unique ASVs (Bengtsson-Palme et al., 2013). This
program, which uses kingdom-specific hidden markov models to identify the ITS region of
sequences, could not detect a fungal ITS region in 1064 of the ASVs. These ASVs were thus
considered putively non-fungal and were removed from downstream analysis.

Decontam's prevalence method was used to identify possible contaminants with a threshold of
0.5 which will identify sequences that have a higher prevalence in negative controls than in true
samples. This threshold identified 38 possible contaminants which were then removed from the
dataset. Negative and positive controls were subsequently removed at this point in the analysis.
Remaining ASVs assigned as non-fungal at the domain level (e.g. ASVs assigned to the host
plant, *Z. marina,* or with no domain level classification) were removed from the dataset prior to
downstream analysis resulting in a final table of 1850 ASVs. Four samples were represented by
zero sequences after these filtering steps and were subsequently removed.

Sequence analysis and visualization

For statistical analysis and visualization, the resulting data was then subset without replacement
to an even number of sequences per sample depending on the comparisons being made. As a
result, when investigating differences in epiphytes between bulk sample types a depth of 10,000
sequences was selected resulting in the inclusion of 49 samples: leaf (n = 13), root (n = 14),
rhizome (n = 7) and sediment (n = 15). When investigating differences across leaf length a
number of 5,000 sequences was chosen resulting in the inclusion of 50 samples: leaf epiphytes (n
= 25) and leaf endophytes (n = 25). These depths were selected after examining rarefaction
curves to balance maximizing the number of sequences per sample while also minimizing the
number of samples removed from downstream analysis.
248
To assess within-sample (i.e., alpha) diversity, the observed number of ASVs and the Shannon
index of samples were calculated using the estimate_richness function in phyloseq. Kruskal–
Wallis tests with 9,999 permutations were used to test for significant differences in alpha
diversity between bulk sample types (leaf, root, rhizome, sediment). For metrics in which the
Kruskal–Wallis test resulted in a rejected null hypothesis ($p < 0.05$), Bonferroni corrected post-
hoc Dunn tests were performed.
255
To assess between-sample (i.e., beta), diversity, Bray–Curtis (Bray et al., 1957) dissimilarities
were calculated using the ordinate function in phyloseq and visualized using principal
coordinates analysis. To test for significant differences in mean centroids between sample
categories (e.g., sample type), permutational manovas (PERMANOVAs) were performed with
9,999 permutations and to account for multiple comparisons, p-values were adjusted using the
Bonferroni correction (Anderson, 2001). PERMANOVA tests are known to be sensitive to
differences in dispersion when using abundance-based distance matrices like Bray-Curtis
(Warton et al., 2012), but are still more robust than other tests (Anderson and Walsh, 2013). To
control for this, we also tested for differences in mean dispersions between different sample
categories using the betadisper and permutest functions from the vegan package in R with 9,999
permutations. For betadisper results that resulted in a rejected null hypothesis ($p < 0.05$), the
post-hoc Tukey's Honest Significant Difference (HSD) test was performed to identify which
categories had mean dispersions that were significantly different.
269
To compare fungal community composition, we collapsed ASVs into taxonomic orders using the
tax_glom function in phyloseq and then removed orders with a variance of less than one percent
when comparing between sample types and a variance of less than 0.1 percent when comparing
across leaf lengths. The average relative abundance of taxonomic orders was compared between
sample types using Bonferroni corrected Kruskal–Wallis tests in R. For orders where the
Kruskal–Wallis test resulted in a rejected null hypothesis, Bonferroni corrected post-hoc Dunn
tests were performed to identify which sample comparisons for each taxonomic order were
significantly different.
278

To examine the contribution of specific ASVs to fungal community composition across leaf length, the dataset was filtered to include only ASVs with a mean abundance of greater than two percent. The resulting ASVs were then compared to each other and those that shared greater than 99% sequence identity were grouped into what we refer to as "complexes". Complexes were given a name based on the the most abundant ASV in the group (e.g. SV8 complex). The average relative abundance of ASVs and ASV complexes were compared between sample types using Bonferroni corrected Kruskal–Wallis tests in R. For ASVs and ASV complexes where the Kruskal–Wallis test resulted in a rejected null hypothesis, Bonferroni corrected post-hoc Dunn tests were performed to identify which sample comparisons for each ASV or ASV complex were significantly different.

Sanger sequencing

The most prevalent sequences associated with *Z. marina* leaf tissue all come from a single complex (i.e., they all share > 99% sequence identity). This complex, which includes SV8, SV11, SV16 and SV56, has been named the SV8 complex because SV8 is the most abundant member of this group. None of the ASVs in the SV8 complex could be classified into a fungal phylum using currently available databases. In order to attempt to improve taxonomic classification of the SV8 complex, we used PCR to obtain sequence data for part of the 28S rRNA gene from representatives of this complex found in our samples. To obtain this sequence, a SV8-specific primer (5'-GGAGCATGTCTGTTTGAGAA-3') was designed for the ITS2 region using Primer-BLAST (Ye et al., 2012). This primer was then used in PCR along with the reverse fungal 28S rRNA gene primer, LR3 (Vilgalys and Hester, 1990). PCR using this pair of primers should at least in theory amplify the ITS2 and D1/D2 regions of the 28S rRNA gene for the SV8 complex.

Using these primers, we performed PCR on DNA from two leaf epiphyte samples (sample IDs: 108A and 109A) using Taq DNA Polymerase (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) with the following conditions: 95°C for 5 minutes, 35 cycles at 94°C for 30 seconds, 52°C for 15 seconds, 72°C for 1 minute, and a final extension at 72°C for 8 minutes (Kress and Erickson, 2012).

PCR products were purified using the Nucleospin Gel and PCR kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany). The resulting amplicon was sequenced using the Sanger method by the College of Biological Sciences [UC]DNA Sequencing Facility (http://dnaseq.ucdavis.edu/). The resulting ABI files were viewed and a consensus sequence was produced using seqtrace following the Swabs to Genomes workflow (Dunitz et al., 2015). Consensus sequences for the PCR products were deposited to NCBI Genbank under accession no. MK994004, MK994005.

Phylogenetic reconstruction

Closely related sequences to the sequence from the PCR products above were identified using
NCBI's Standard Nucleotide BLAST's megablast option with default settings. These results
were then used to guide a literature search to identify additional sequences for inclusion during
phylogenetic reconstruction (Table S4) (Hassett et al., 2017; Karpov et al., 2014; Rad-Menéndez
et al., 2018; Simmons et al., 2009; Van den Wyngaert et al., 2018).

A sequence alignment of all sequences listed in Table S4 was generated using MAFFT (v. 7.402)
(Katoh, 2002) with default parameters on the CIPRES Science Gateway web server (Miller et al.,
2010). The alignment was trimmed using trimAl (v.1.2) with the -gappyout method (Capella-
Gutiérrez et al., 2009). The resulting alignment included 22 sequences and 915 alignment
positions. JModelTest2 (v. 2.1.6) was run with default parameters on the CIPRES Science
Gateway web server to select a best-fit model of nucleotide substitution for use in phylogenetic
analyses (Darriba et al., 2012; Guindon and Gascuel, 2003). The best-fit model based on both the
Akaike Information Criterion and Bayesian Information Criterion values was the GTR + G + I
evolutionary model.

Using the CIPRES Science Gateway web server, phylogenetic trees were inferred from the
trimmed alignment using both Bayesian and maximum likelihood approaches and a GTR + G + I
model. Bayesian phylogenetic inference was performed using MrBayes (v. 3.2.2) with four
incrementally heated simultaneous Monte Carlo Markov Chains (MCMC) run over 40,000
generations, which was the optimal number of generations required to achieve a stop value of
0.01 or less for the convergence diagnostic (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist, 2001). The first 25% of
trees generated were discarded as burn-in and for the remaining trees, a majority rule consensus
tree was generated and used to calculate the Bayesian Posterior Probabilities. A maximum
likelihood approach was undertaken using RAxML (v. 8) using the -autoMRE option which
automatically determines the optimal number of bootstrap replicates (Stamatakis, 2014). The
resulting phylogenies were then visualized with FigTree (v. 1.4.2) and annotated in Adobe
Photoshop CS6 (Rambaut, 2009).

Results

Fungal alpha diversity differs between sample types

Alpha diversity was significantly different between bulk sample types (K–W test; $p < 0.01$,
Figure S1, Table S5) for all diversity metrics. Post-hoc Dunn tests identified that the alpha
diversity for bulk root and rhizome tissues was consistently lower than the alpha diversity of the
sediment ($p < 0.05$, Table S6). This is consistent with previous sequence-based studies of
seagrass associated fungi (Hurtado-McCormick et al., 2019). The alpha diversity of the *Z.*
marina mycobiome was found to be much lower than that previously seen for the bacterial
communities associated with *Z. marina* (Ettinger et al., 2017).

Alpha diversity was not significantly different between root epiphytes and endophytes for any
metrics (K-W test, $p > 0.05$, Figure S2). However, alpha diversity was significantly different
between leaf epiphytes and endophytes when using the Shannon diversity index which
incorporates evenness (K-W test, $p < 0.05$), but was not significantly different when looking at
the number of observed ASVs ($p > 0.05$).

Fungal community structure differs between and within plant parts

Fungal community structure differed significantly between different bulk sample types
(PERMANOVA, $p < 0.001$, Table S7, Figure S3). Subsequent pairwise PERMANOVA test
results found that community structure was significantly different for all sample type
comparisons ($p < 0.05$, Table S8) except sediment vs. roots and leaves vs. roots ($p > 0.05$).

We note, however, that within group variance, also known as dispersion, also differed
significantly between bulk sample types (betadisper, $p < 0.01$, Table S9), with rhizomes having
more dispersion than sediment or roots (Tukey HSD, $p < 0.01$, Table S10). PERMANOVA
results can be confounded by dispersion differences when not using a balanced design with equal
sample numbers for categories being compared. In such instances, a significant PERMANOVA
result is unable to distinguish between differences in mean dispersions and differences in mean
centroids. Thus, these results must be interpreted with circumspection.

Fungal community structure also differed significantly between leaf epiphyte and endophyte
communities (PERMANOVA, $p < 0.001$, Table S11, Figure 1) and between leaf length segments
($p < 0.05$). Pair-wise PERMANOVA test results found that the first five inches of leaves had
significantly different community structure from the last fifteen inches ($p < 0.01$, Table S12). No
significant dispersion differences were detected between the community structure of epiphytes
and endophytes (betadisper, $p > 0.05$, Table S13) and the differences in mean dispersions
between leaf length segments was barely non-significant ($p = 0.052$). The first five inches of
leaves had dispersions that differed significantly from the last ten inches (Tukey HSD, $p < 0.05$,
Table S14).

Taxonomic composition of mycobiome

The composition of the mycobiome of bulk samples types was generally comprised of members
of the taxonomic orders *Pleosporales, Helotiales, Saccharomycetales, Coniochaetales,*
Glomerellales, Agaricales, Cystobasidiales and *Malasseziales* (Table S15, Figure 2). However,
we note that the mycobiome of seagrass tissues was dominated by ASVs that were unable to be
classified to a specific fungal phylum using current databases.

The order *Agaricales* had a mean relative abundance that was significantly different between
sample types (K-W test, $p < 0.05$, Table S16), while the orders *Malasseziales* and *Glomerellales*
had mean relative abundances that were only marginally nonsignificant ($p = 0.06$). *Agaricales*
was enriched in the sediment relative to root and leaf tissues (Dunn, $p < 0.01$, Table S17).
Whereas *Malasseziales* had a higher mean relative abundance on the roots relative to the leaves
and sediment ($p < 0.01$) and *Glomerellales* had an increased abundance on the leaves relative to
all other sample types ($p < 0.05$).
406
407   ***Mycobiome variation in seagrass leaves***
408
There were no taxonomic orders with a mean relative abundance that was significantly different
between leaf segments (K-W test, $p > 0.05$, Table S18, Table S19, Figure 3). However given the
relatively high abundance of ASVs that were unable to be assigned confidently to a fungal order,
we investigated whether the mean relative abundance of specific ASVs varied across leaf
segments (Table S20, Figure 4). We found that the ASV, SV12, had a significantly higher
abundance in leaf segments further from the sediment (K-W test, $p < 0.001$, Table S21). This
was further supported by post-hoc Dunn test results ($p < 0.01$, Table S22). Based on megablast
searches at NCBI, SV12 most closely matches existing ITS2 data from fungal sequences
identified as coming from Aphelidomycota. In addition, we were interested in if there were
ASVs that had mean relative abundances that varied with epiphyte and endophyte status. We
found that the SV8 complex was enriched in epiphyte washes relative to endophyte samples (K-
W test, $p < 0.01$, Table S23, Figure S4).
421
422   ***Phylogenetic placement and identification of the SV8 complex***
423
Given the high relative abundance of the SV8 complex in the leaves (Figure 4) and its variable
status between epiphyte and endophyte samples, we sought to obtain the sequence of the 28S
rRNA gene region for the organism matching this ASV. We designed a primer specific to the
ITS2 ASV for the complex using the sequence of SV8 and used a universal fungal 28S rRNA
gene primer to obtain a linked ITS2-28S rRNA gene sequence associated with this complex. The
resulting ITS2 portion of the resulting PCR products align well with all ASVs from the SV8
complex. Therefore, we believe the 28S rRNA gene sequences obtained accurately represent the
flanking region to the taxonomic group represented by the SV8 complex. We then used these
28S rRNA gene sequences to place the organism represented by this ASV complex into a
phylogenetic context and assign it a taxonomic classification. This analysis revealed that the
SV8 complex (and thus also all ASVs within this complex) groups within the order
Lobulomycetales in the phylum Chytridiomycota (Figure 5). Specifically, the SV8 complex is
nested within the recently defined Novel Clade SW-I (Van den Wyngaert et al., 2018) and is
sister to several culture independent sequences obtained from the marine ecosystem (Hassett et
al., 2017).

Discussion

Here we offer an in depth survey of the fungi associated with *Z. marina*. We observed that the mycobiome of *Z. marina* roots and rhizomes had lower alpha diversity than that of rhizosphere sediment and that generally the *Z. marina* mycobiome had relatively low species diversity. Low levels of fungal colonization as well as decreased alpha diversity compared to nearby sediment have previously been made in culture and clone based studies of seagrasses (Devarajan and Suryanarayanan, 2002; Ling et al., 2015; Sakayaroj et al., 2010; Shoemaker and Wyllie-Echeverria, 2013; Van den Wyngaert et al., 2018; Venkatachalam et al., 2015). Possible reasons for low abundance and/or infrequent colonization of fungi include indirect factors such as the high salinity and low oxygen levels that are reflective of the marine ecosystem (Nielsen et al., 1999) and direct factors such as seagrass-derived phenolic compounds which are known to act as antimicrobials (Zapata and McMillan, 1979). Additionally, seasonal differences in fungal colonization of seagrasses have been observed, adding an additional layer of complexity and thus making fungal diversity and abundance comparisons difficult (Mata and Cebrián, 2013).

Previous sequence-based studies of seagrass associated fungi, observed high abundances of sequences classified as *Pleosporales* (Hurtado-McCormick et al., 2019) or *Eurotiales* (Wainwright et al., 2018), where as here the dominant community members appear to be from understudied lineages like the Chytridiomycota. Additionally, Hurtado-McCormick et al. found evidence of Glomeromycota (arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi) in their dataset, whereas we did not. Possible reasons for these different observations include that these studies sampled different seagrass species than we did, had different sampling schema and used different sequencing methods and primer sets. The use of different sequencing primers specifically has been found to have drastic effects on the results and conclusions of mycobiome studies (Frau et al., 2019).

We did see evidence of known DSE associating with *Z. marina,* including members of the *Pleosporales* and *Glomerellales*, both of which include groups that are known to associate with terrestrial plants (Arnold et al., 2007). We found that the *Glomerellales*, particularly a *Colleotrichum* species (SV10), was abundant in the lower 10 inches of leaf tissue and enriched on the leaves relative to other sample types. DSE, particularly members of the Pleosporales, have previously been observed associating with seagrasses (Borovec and Vohník, 2018; Gnavi et al., 2014; Panno et al., 2013; Torta et al., 2015; Vohník et al., 2015, 2016, 2017, 2019). In the mediteranean seagrass, *Posidonea,* it has been observed that the abundance of the dominant root associated fungi, a Pleosporales species (*Posidoniomyces atricolor* gen. et sp. nov.), is associated with changes in root hair morphology and can form ecto-mycorrhizal-like structures suggesting a close seagrass-fungi symbiosis (Borovec and Vohník, 2018; Vohník et al., 2016, 2017, 2019).

Although many of the ASVs observed here associated with *Z. marina* are still unidentified, we
were able to phylogenetically place the SV8 complex in Novel Clade SW-I in the
Lobulomycetales. Known members of Novel Clade SW-I are parasitic chytrids of freshwater
algae and diatoms (Frenken et al., 2017; Rad-Menéndez et al., 2018; Van den Wyngaert et al.,
2018). It is possible that the SV8 complex's high relative abundance both on and in *Z. marina*
leaves may indicate that it is directly infecting host plant tissues and suggests that seagrasses
may provide a novel niche for marine chytrids. However, we note that there is another possible
interpretation, which is that the SV8 complex is infecting algae or diatoms that are tightly
associated with the leaves of *Z. marina* which are themselves a part of the larger *Z. marina*
microbiome. This latter interpretation seems to be supported by the observation that the SV8
complex has a higher mean relative abundance in epiphyte washes relative to endophyte washes.
However, the closest cultivated relative of the SV8 complex forms sporangia outside of host
cells (Van den Wyngaert et al., 2018) and thus, we might expect to find more zoospores in the
epiphyte washes. Thus the higher relative abundance of the SV8 complex in the epiphyte washes
is consistent with both explanations.
493
Our observations here are not the first evidence of chytrid associations with seagrasses. A
rhizomycelial chytrid was previously observed as the most abundant fungus associating with
Thalassia testudinum leaves (Newell and Fell, 1980) and was hypothesized to be a possible
symbiont, or weak parasite, of the leaves. In a later study, the authors looked for this same
chytrid association in *Zostera* with little success (Newell, 1981). However the authors only
looked at one location at one time and we note that the SV8 complex was not prevalent during
our first two sampling time points. The high abundance of the SV8 complex during our third
sampling time point may be because we observed it during a bloom event. Members of the
Lobulomycetales have previously been seen to bloom in late summer, which is when we sampled
(Frenken et al., 2017).
504
Another ASV of interest, which was initially unable to be classified into a fungal phylum, is
SV12, which most closely matches existing ITS2 data from fungal sequences in the
Aphelidomycota. Known Aphelidomycota are intracellular parasites of green algae (Tedersoo et
al., 2018). The increased relative abundance of SV12 in the endophyte samples relative to the
epiphyte washes is consistent with the possibility that the SV12 containing organisms are
directly infecting *Z. marina* leaf tissue. However, as with the SV8 complex, it is also possible
that SV12 containing organisms could be associating with an algae that is itself tightly associated
with *Z. marina* leaves.
513
514 The prevalence of unclassifiable ASVs, many of which have closest matches in the
515 Chytridiomycota and Aphelidomycota, with *Z. marina* makes sense given that these lineages
516 have been previously observed to be the dominant fungal lineages in the marine and aquatic
517 ecosystems (Comeau et al., 2016; Grossart et al., 2019; Picard, 2017; Richards et al., 2012, 2015;

Rojas-Jimenez et al., 2019) and have life histories that include associations with green algae (Letcher et al., 2013; Picard et al., 2013; Tedersoo et al., 2018). Thus, it is likely that seagrasses, as marine plants, may be providing these fungal lineages with a new ecological niche.

Conclusions

We observed that *Z. marina* tissues harbor distinct fungal communities and present analyses and speculation regarding the identity and possible functional roles of these species. For example, we identified that the SV8 complex, which represents the most prevalent sequences associated with *Z. marina* leaf tissue, is nested within Novel Clade SW-I in the order *Lobulomycetales* and hypothesize that this chytrid may be directly infecting *Z. marina* leaf tissues. However, despite their abundance and possible importance, as evidenced by the number of novel fungal sequences observed here, we still no relatively little about the diversity and functional roles of marine fungi in the seagrass ecosystem. We propose that seagrass beds may be hotspots of marine fungal diversity, specifically the diversity of understudied lineages that are distantly related from well studied fungi (e.g. the Dikarya). Additionally, seagrasses may be an interesting model with which to look at terrestrial to marine transitions in the context of host-microbe interactions since their evolutionary history (coevolution from a terrestrial ancestor) mirrors the evolutionary history of many lineages of marine fungi, which are thought to have ancestors that secondarily returned to the marine environment multiple times (Jones et al., 2015; Schoch et al., 2009; Spatafora et al., 1998; Suetrong et al., 2009). This work helps lay a foundation for future seagrass-fungal studies and highlights a need for future studies focusing on marine fungi and the potential functional importance of these understudied communities to the larger seagrass ecosystem.

Author Contributions

Cassandra L. Ettinger conceived and designed the experiments, performed sampling, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, wrote and reviewed drafts of the paper.
Jonathan A. Eisen advised on data analysis, edited and reviewed drafts of the paper.

DNA Deposition

This ITS amplicon sequencing project has been deposited at GenBank under accession no. PRJNA515720 and consensus sequences for the SV8 complex were deposited under accession no. MK994004, MK994005.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Katherine Dynarski (ORCID: 0000-0001-5101-9666) and Sonia Ghose (ORCID: 0000-0001-5667-6876) for help with sample collection. We would like to thank John J. Stachowicz for use of his scientific sampling permit, California Department of Fish and Wildlife

554 Scientific Collecting Permit # SC 4874. We also are grateful to Marina LaForgia (ORCID: 0000-
555 0003-4377-0841) for her helpful comments on the R code used.
556

557 **Funding sources**
558 This work was supported by grants from the University of California Natural Reserve System
559 and the UC Davis Center for Population Biology to CLE. The funders had no role in study
560 design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.
561

562 **COI**
563 Jonathan A. Eisen is on the Scientific Advisory Board of Zymo Research, Inc and a discount was
564 received for the sequencing services provided.
565

References

Amend, A., Burgaud, G., Cunliffe, M., Edgcomb, V. P., Ettinger, C. L., Gutiérrez, M. H., et al.
(2019). Fungi in the Marine Environment: Open Questions and Unsolved Problems. *MBio*
10. doi:10.1128/mBio.01189-18.

Anderson, M. J. (2001). A new method for non-parametric multivariate analysis of variance.
Austral Ecology 26, 32–46. doi:10.1111/j.1442-9993.2001.01070.pp.x.

Anderson, M. J., and Walsh, D. C. I. (2013). PERMANOVA, ANOSIM, and the Mantel test in
the face of heterogeneous dispersions: What null hypothesis are you testing? *Ecological*
Monographs 83, 557–574. doi:10.1890/12-2010.1.

Arnold, A. E., Henk, D. A., Eells, R. L., Lutzoni, F., and Vilgalys, R. (2007). Diversity and
phylogenetic affinities of foliar fungal endophytes in loblolly pine inferred by culturing and
environmental PCR. *Mycologia* 99, 185–206.

Beck-Nielsen, D., and Madsen, T. V. (2001). Occurrence of vesicular–arbuscular mycorrhiza in
aquatic macrophytes from lakes and streams. *Aquatic Botany* 71, 141–148.
doi:10.1016/s0304-3770(01)00180-2.

Bengtsson-Palme, J., Ryberg, M., Hartmann, M., Branco, S., Wang, Z., Godhe, A., et al. (2013).
Improved software detection and extraction of ITS1 and ITS2 from ribosomal ITS
sequences of fungi and other eukaryotes for analysis of environmental sequencing data.
Methods in Ecology and Evolution. doi:10.1111/2041-210x.12073.

Bohrer, K. E., Friese, C. F., and Amon, J. P. (2004). Seasonal dynamics of arbuscular
mycorrhizal fungi in differing wetland habitats. *Mycorrhiza* 14, 329–337.
doi:10.1007/s00572-004-0292-7.

Bonfante, P., and Anca, I.-A. (2009). Plants, mycorrhizal fungi, and bacteria: a network of
interactions. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 63, 363–383.

Borovec, O., and Vohník, M. (2018). Ontogenetic transition from specialized root hairs to

specific root-fungus symbiosis in the dominant Mediterranean seagrass Posidonia oceanica. *Sci. Rep.* 8, 10773.

Bray, J. R., Roger Bray, J., and Curtis, J. T. (1957). An Ordination of the Upland Forest Communities of Southern Wisconsin. *Ecological Monographs* 27, 325–349. doi:10.2307/1942268.

Brundrett, M. C. (2002). Coevolution of roots and mycorrhizas of land plants. *New Phytologist* 154, 275–304. doi:10.1046/j.1469-8137.2002.00397.x.

Brundrett, M. C. (2009). Mycorrhizal associations and other means of nutrition of vascular plants: understanding the global diversity of host plants by resolving conflicting information and developing reliable means of diagnosis. *Plant and Soil* 320, 37–77. doi:10.1007/s11104-008-9877-9.

Callahan, B. J., McMurdie, P. J., Rosen, M. J., Han, A. W., Johnson, A. J. A., and Holmes, S. P. (2016). DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nat. Methods* 13, 581–583.

Capella-Gutiérrez, S., Silla-Martínez, J. M., and Gabaldón, T. (2009). trimAl: a tool for automated alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. *Bioinformatics* 25, 1972–1973.

Clayton, J. S., and Bagyaraj, D. J. (1984). Vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizas in submerged aquatic plants of New Zealand. *Aquatic Botany* 19, 251–262. doi:10.1016/0304-3770(84)90043-3.

Comeau, A. M., Douglas, G. M., and Langille, M. G. I. (2017). Microbiome Helper: a Custom and Streamlined Workflow for Microbiome Research. *mSystems* 2. doi:10.1128/msystems.00127-16.

Comeau, A. M., Vincent, W. F., Bernier, L., and Lovejoy, C. (2016). Novel chytrid lineages dominate fungal sequences in diverse marine and freshwater habitats. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 30120.

Costanza, R., d'Arge, R., de Groot, R., Farber, S., Grasso, M., Hannon, B., et al. (1997). The value of the world's ecosystem services and natural capital. *Nature* 387, 253–260. doi:10.1038/387253a0.

Cuomo, V., Vanzanella, F., Fresi, E., Cinelli, F., and Mazzella, L. (1985). Fungal flora of Posidonia oceanica and its ecological significance. *Transactions of the British Mycological Society* 84, 35–40. doi:10.1016/s0007-1536(85)80217-5.

Darriba, D., Taboada, G. L., Doallo, R., and Posada, D. (2012). jModelTest 2: more models, new heuristics and parallel computing. *Nat. Methods* 9, 772.

Davis, N. M., Proctor, D. M., Holmes, S. P., Relman, D. A., and Callahan, B. J. (2018). Simple statistical identification and removal of contaminant sequences in marker-gene and metagenomics data. *Microbiome* 6, 226.

Devarajan, P. T., and Suryanarayanan, T. (2002). Endophytic fungi associated with the tropical
seagrass Halophila ovalis (Hydrocharitaceae). *Indian J. Mar. Sci.* 31, 73–74.

Dixon, P. (2003). VEGAN, a package of R functions for community ecology. *Journal of*
Vegetation Science 14, 927. doi:10.1658/1100-9233(2003)014[0927:vaporf]2.0.co;2.

Dunitz, M. I., Lang, J. M., Jospin, G., Darling, A. E., Eisen, J. A., and Coil, D. A. (2015). Swabs
to genomes: a comprehensive workflow. *PeerJ* 3, e960. doi:10.7717/peerj.960.

Ettinger, C. L., Voerman, S. E., Lang, J. M., Stachowicz, J. J., and Eisen, J. A. (2017). Microbial
communities in sediment from patches, but not the leaf or root microbiomes, vary in relation
to distance from patch edge. *PeerJ* 5, e3246.

Frau, A., Kenny, J. G., Lenzi, L., Campbell, B. J., Ijaz, U. Z., Duckworth, C. A., et al. (2019).
DNA extraction and amplicon production strategies deeply inf luence the outcome of gut
mycobiome studies. *Sci. Rep.* 9, 9328.

Frenken, T., Alacid, E., Berger, S. A., Bourne, E. C., Gerphagnon, M., Grossart, H.-P., et al.
(2017). Integrating chytrid fungal parasites into plankton ecology: research gaps and needs.
Environ. Microbiol. 19, 3802–3822.

Gnavi, G., Ercole, E., Panno, L., Vizzini, A., and Varese, G. C. (2014). Dothideomycetes and
Leotiomycetes sterile mycelia isolated from the Italian seagrass Posidonia oceanica based on
rDNA data. *Springerplus* 3, 508.

Grossart, H.-P., Van den Wyngaert, S., Kagami, M., Wurzbacher, C., Cunliffe, M., and Rojas-
Jimenez, K. (2019). Fungi in aquatic ecosystems. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 17, 339–354.

Guindon, S., and Gascuel, O. (2003). A simple, fast, and accurate algorithm to estimate large
phylogenies by maximum likelihood. *Syst. Biol.* 52, 696–704.

Hassett, B. T., Ducluzeau, A.-L. L., Collins, R. E., and Gradinger, R. (2017). Spatial distribution
of aquatic marine fungi across the western Arctic and sub-arctic. *Environ. Microbiol.* 19,
475–484.

Huelsenbeck, J. P., and Ronquist, F. (2001). MRBAYES: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic
trees. *Bioinformatics* 17, 754–755. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/17.8.754.

Humphreys, C. P., Franks, P. J., Rees, M., Bidartondo, M. I., Leake, J. R., and Beerling, D. J.
(2010). Mutualistic mycorrhiza-like symbiosis in the most ancient group of land plants. *Nat.*
Commun. 1, 103.

Hurtado-McCormick, V., Kahlke, T., Petrou, K., Jeffries, T., Ralph, P. J., and Seymour, J. R.
(2019). Regional and Microenvironmental Scale Characterization of the Zostera muelleri
Seagrass Microbiome. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 10. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2019.01011.

Jones, E. B. G., and Gareth Jones, E. B. (2011). Are there more marine fungi to be described?
Botanica Marina 54. doi:10.1515/bot.2011.043.

Jones, E. B. G., Gareth Jones, E. B., Suetrong, S., Sakayaroj, J., Bahkali, A. H., Abdel-Wahab, M. A., et al. (2015). Classification of marine Ascomycota, Basidiomycota, Blastocladiomycota and Chytridiomycota. *Fungal Diversity* 73, 1–72. doi:10.1007/s13225-015-0339-4.

Kadivar, H., and Stapleton, A. E. (2003). Ultraviolet radiation alters maize phyllosphere bacterial diversity. *Microb. Ecol.* 45, 353–361.

Karpov, S. A., Kobseva, A. A., Mamkaeva, M. A., Mamkaeva, K. A., Mikhailov, K. V., Mirzaeva, G. S., et al. (2014). Gromochytrium mamkaevae gen. & sp. nov. and two new orders: Gromochytriales and Mesochytriales (Chytridiomycetes). *Persoonia - Molecular Phylogeny and Evolution of Fungi* 32, 115–126. doi:10.3767/003158514x680234.

Katoh, K. (2002). MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. *Nucleic Acids Research* 30, 3059–3066. doi:10.1093/nar/gkf436.

Kembel, S. W., O'Connor, T. K., Arnold, H. K., Hubbell, S. P., Wright, S. J., and Green, J. L. (2014). Relationships between phyllosphere bacterial communities and plant functional traits in a neotropical forest. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 111, 13715–13720.

Khan, A. G., and Belik, M. (1995). Occurence and Ecological Significance of Mycorrhizal Symbiosis in Aquatic Plants. *Mycorrhiza*, 627–666. doi:10.1007/978-3-662-08897-5_27.

Kirichuk, N. N., and Pivkin, M. V. (2015). Filamentous fungi associated with the seagrass Zostera marina Linnaeus, 1753 of Rifovaya Bay (Peter the Great Bay, the Sea of Japan). *Russian Journal of Marine Biology* 41, 351–355. doi:10.1134/s1063074015050053.

Kohout, P., Sýkorová, Z., Čtvrtlíková, M., Rydlová, J., Suda, J., Vohník, M., et al. (2012). Surprising spectra of root-associated fungi in submerged aquatic plants. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* 80, 216–235. doi:10.1111/j.1574-6941.2011.01291.x.

Kress, W. J., and Erickson, D. L. (2012). DNA Barcodes: Methods and Protocols. doi:10.1007/978-1-61779-591-6_1.

Kuo, J. (1984). Structural aspects of apoplast fungal hyphae in a marine angiosperm,Zostera muelleri Irmisch ex Aschers. (Zosteraceae). *Protoplasma* 121, 1–7. doi:10.1007/bf01279746.

Les, D. H., Cleland, M. A., and Waycott, M. (1997). Phylogenetic Studies in Alismatidae, II: Evolution of Marine Angiosperms (Seagrasses) and Hydrophily. *Systematic Botany* 22, 443. doi:10.2307/2419820.

Letcher, P. M., Lopez, S., Schmieder, R., Lee, P. A., Behnke, C., Powell, M. J., et al. (2013). Characterization of Amoeboaphelidium protococcarum, an algal parasite new to the cryptomycota isolated from an outdoor algal pond used for the production of biofuel. *PLoS One* 8, e56232.

Ling, J., Zhang, Y., Wu, M., Wang, Y., Dong, J., Jiang, Y., et al. (2015). Fungal Community Successions in Rhizosphere Sediment of Seagrasses Enhalus acoroides under PAHs Stress.

International Journal of Molecular Sciences 16, 14039–14055. doi:10.3390/ijms160614039.

Martin, M. (2011). Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads.
EMBnet.journal 17, 10. doi:10.14806/ej.17.1.200.

Mata, J. L., and Cebrián, J. (2013). Fungal endophytes of the seagrasses Halodule wrightii and
Thalassia testudinum in the north-central Gulf of Mexico. *Botanica Marina* 56.
doi:10.1515/bot-2013-0047.

McHugh, T. A., and Schwartz, E. (2015). Changes in plant community composition and reduced
precipitation have limited effects on the structure of soil bacterial and fungal communities
present in a semiarid grassland. *Plant and Soil* 388, 175–186. doi:10.1007/s11104-014-
2269-4.

McMurdie, P. J., and Holmes, S. (2013). phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive
analysis and graphics of microbiome census data. *PLoS One* 8, e61217.

Miller, M. A., Pfeiffer, W., and Schwartz, T. (2010). Creating the CIPRES Science Gateway for
inference of large phylogenetic trees. *2010 Gateway Computing Environments Workshop*
(GCE). doi:10.1109/gce.2010.5676129.

Newell, S. (1981). Fungi and Bacteria in or on Leaves of Eelgrass (Zostera marina L .) from
Chesapeake Bay. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*

Newell, S., and Fell, J. (1980). Mycoflora of turtlegrass (Thalassia testudinum Konig) as
recorded after seawater incubation. *Botanica marina* 23.

Nielsen, S. L., Thingstrup, I., and Wigand, C. (1999). Apparent lack of vesicular–arbuscular
mycorrhiza (VAM) in the seagrasses Zostera marina L. and Thalassia testudinum Banks ex
König. *Aquatic Botany* 63, 261–266. doi:10.1016/s0304-3770(98)00123-5.

Ogle, D. H. (2016). *FSA: fisheries stock analysis*.

Orth, R. J., Carruthers, T. J. B., Dennison, W. C., Duarte, C. M., Fourqurean, J. W., Heck, K. L.,
et al. (2006). A Global Crisis for Seagrass Ecosystems. *BioScience* 56, 987.
doi:10.1641/0006-3568(2006)56[987:agcfse]2.0.co;2.

Panno, L., Bruno, M., Voyron, S., Anastasi, A., Gnavi, G., Miserere, L., et al. (2013). Diversity,
ecological role and potential biotechnological applications of marine fungi associated to the
seagrass Posidonia oceanica. *N. Biotechnol.* 30, 685–694.

Phongpaichit, S., and Supaphon, P. (2014). Diversity and antimicrobial activity of endophytic
fungi isolated from the seagrass Enhalus acoroides. *IJMS*.

Picard, K. T. (2017). Coastal marine habitats harbor novel early-diverging fungal diversity.
Fungal Ecology 25, 1–13. doi:10.1016/j.funeco.2016.10.006.

Picard, K. T., Letcher, P. M., and Powell, M. J. (2013). Evidence for a facultative mutualist
nutritional relationship between the green coccoid alga Bracteacoccus sp. (Chlorophyceae)

and the zoosporic fungus Rhizidium phycophilum (Chytridiomycota). *Fungal Biol.* 117,
319–328.

Porras-Alfaro, A., and Bayman, P. (2011). Hidden fungi, emergent properties: endophytes and
microbiomes. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 49, 291–315.

Radhika, K. P., and Rodrigues, B. F. (2007). Arbuscular Mycorrhizae in association with aquatic
and marshy plant species in Goa, India. *Aquatic Botany* 86, 291–294.
doi:10.1016/j.aquabot.2006.10.009.

Rad-Menéndez, C., Gerphagnon, M., Garvetto, A., Arce, P., Badis, Y., Sime-Ngando, T., et al.
(2018). Rediscovering Zygorhizidium affluens Canter: Molecular Taxonomy, Infectious
Cycle, and Cryopreservation of a Chytrid Infecting the Bloom-Forming Diatom Asterionella
formosa. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 84. doi:10.1128/AEM.01826-18.

Rambaut, A. (2009). *FigTree, version 1.4.2*. Available at:
http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/.

R Core Team (2016). *R: a language and environment for statistical computing*.

Redecker, D., Kodner, R., and Graham, L. E. (2000). Glomalean fungi from the Ordovician.
Science 289, 1920–1921.

Richards, T. A., Jones, M. D. M., Leonard, G., and Bass, D. (2012). Marine Fungi: Their
Ecology and Molecular Diversity. *Annual Review of Marine Science* 4, 495–522.
doi:10.1146/annurev-marine-120710-100802.

Richards, T. A., Leonard, G., Mahé, F., Del Campo, J., Romac, S., Jones, M. D. M., et al. (2015).
Molecular diversity and distribution of marine fungi across 130 European environmental
samples. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* 282. doi:10.1098/rspb.2015.2243.

Rojas-Jimenez, K., Rieck, A., Wurzbacher, C., Jürgens, K., Labrenz, M., and Grossart, H.-P.
(2019). A Salinity Threshold Separating Fungal Communities in the Baltic Sea. *Front.
Microbiol.* 10, 680.

Sakayaroj, J., Preedanon, S., Supaphon, O., Jones, E. B. G., and Phongpaichit, S. (2010).
Phylogenetic diversity of endophyte assemblages associated with the tropical seagrass
Enhalus acoroides in Thailand. *Fungal Diversity* 42, 27–45. doi:10.1007/s13225-009-0013-
9.

Schoch, C. L., Crous, P. W., Groenewald, J. Z., Boehm, E. W. A., Burgess, T. I., de Gruyter, J.,
et al. (2009). A class-wide phylogenetic assessment of Dothideomycetes. *Stud. Mycol.* 64,
1–15S10.

Shoemaker, G., and Wyllie-Echeverria, S. (2013). Occurrence of rhizomal endophytes in three
temperate northeast pacific seagrasses. *Aquatic Botany* 111, 71–73.
doi:10.1016/j.aquabot.2013.05.010.

Simmons, D. R., James, T. Y., Meyer, A. F., and Longcore, J. E. (2009). Lobulomycetales, a

new order in the Chytridiomycota. *Mycol. Res.* 113, 450–460.

Spatafora, J. W., Volkmann-Kohlmeyer, B., and Kohlmeyer, J. (1998). Independent terrestrial
origins of the Halosphaeriales (marine Ascomycota). *Am. J. Bot.* 85, 1569–1580.

Šraj-Kržič, N., Pongrac, P., Klemenc, M., Kladnik, A., Regvar, M., and Gaberščik, A. (2006).
Mycorrhizal colonisation in plants from intermittent aquatic habitats. *Aquatic Botany* 85,
331–336. doi:10.1016/j.aquabot.2006.07.001.

Stamatakis, A. (2014). RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of
large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* 30, 1312–1313.

Suetrong, S., Schoch, C. L., Spatafora, J. W., Kohlmeyer, J., Volkmann-Kohlmeyer, B.,
Sakayaroj, J., et al. (2009). Molecular systematics of the marine Dothideomycetes. *Stud.*
Mycol. 64, 155–173S6.

Supaphon, P., Phongpaichit, S., Rukachaisirikul, V., and Sakayaroj, J. (2013). Antimicrobial
potential of endophytic fungi derived from three seagrass species: Cymodocea serrulata,
Halophila ovalis and Thalassia hemprichii. *PLoS One* 8, e72520.

Supaphon, P., Phongpaichit, S., Sakayaroj, J., Rukachaisirikul, V., Kobmoo, N., and Spatafora, J.
W. (2017). Phylogenetic community structure of fungal endophytes in seagrass species.
Botanica Marina 60. doi:10.1515/bot-2016-0089.

Taylor, D. L., Walters, W. A., Lennon, N. J., Bochicchio, J., Krohn, A., Caporaso, J. G., et al.
(2016). Accurate Estimation of Fungal Diversity and Abundance through Improved
Lineage-Specific Primers Optimized for Illumina Amplicon Sequencing. *Appl. Environ.*
Microbiol. 82, 7217–7226.

Tedersoo, L., Sánchez-Ramírez, S., Kõljalg, U., Bahram, M., Döring, M., Schigel, D., et al.
(2018). High-level classification of the Fungi and a tool for evolutionary ecological
analyses. *Fungal Diversity* 90, 135–159. doi:10.1007/s13225-018-0401-0.

Torta, L., Lo Piccolo, S., Piazza, G., Burruano, S., Colombo, P., Ottonello, D., et al. (2015).
Lulwoanasp., a dark septate endophyte in roots ofPosidonia oceanica(L.) Delile seagrass.
Plant Biology 17, 505–511. doi:10.1111/plb.12246.

UNITE Community (2019). UNITE general FASTA release for Fungi 2. Version 18.11.2018.
doi:10.15156/BIO/786353.

Usuki, F., and Narisawa, K. (2007). A mutualistic symbiosis between a dark septate endophytic
fungus, Heteroconium chaetospira, and a nonmycorrhizal plant, Chinese cabbage.
Mycologia 99, 175–184. doi:10.3852/mycologia.99.2.175.

Van den Wyngaert, S., Rojas-Jimenez, K., Seto, K., Kagami, M., and Grossart, H.-P. (2018).
Diversity and Hidden Host Specificity of Chytrids Infecting Colonial Volvocacean Algae. *J.*
Eukaryot. Microbiol. 65, 870–881.

Venkatachalam, A. (2015). Endophytic fungi of marine algae and seagrasses: a novel source of

chitin modifying enzymes. *Mycosphere* 6, 345–355. doi:10.5943/mycosphere/6/3/10.

Venkatachalam, A., Thirunavukkarasu, N., and Suryanarayanan, T. S. (2015). Distribution and diversity of endophytes in seagrasses. *Fungal Ecology* 13, 60–65. doi:10.1016/j.funeco.2014.07.003.

Vilgalys, R., and Hester, M. (1990). Rapid genetic identification and mapping of enzymatically amplified ribosomal DNA from several Cryptococcus species. *J. Bacteriol.* 172, 4238–4246.

Vohník, M., Borovec, O., and Kolařík, M. (2016). Communities of Cultivable Root Mycobionts of the Seagrass Posidonia oceanica in the Northwest Mediterranean Sea Are Dominated by a Hitherto Undescribed Pleosporalean Dark Septate Endophyte. *Microbial Ecology* 71, 442–451. doi:10.1007/s00248-015-0640-5.

Vohník, M., Borovec, O., Kolaříková, Z., Sudová, R., and Réblová, M. (2019). Extensive sampling and high-throughput sequencing reveal gen. et sp. nov. (Aigialaceae, Pleosporales) as the dominant root mycobiont of the dominant Mediterranean seagrass. *MycoKeys* 55, 59–86.

Vohník, M., Borovec, O., Župan, I., Kolarˇík, M., and Sudová, R. (2017). Fungal root symbionts of the seagrass Posidonia oceanica in the central Adriatic Sea revealed by microscopy, culturing and 454-pyrosequencing. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 583, 107–120. doi:10.3354/meps12337.

Vohník, M., Borovec, O., Župan, I., Vondrášek, D., Petrtýl, M., and Sudová, R. (2015). Anatomically and morphologically unique dark septate endophytic association in the roots of the Mediterranean endemic seagrass Posidonia oceanica. *Mycorrhiza* 25, 663–672.

Wainwright, B. J., Zahn, G. L., Arlyza, I. S., and Amend, A. S. (2018). Seagrass-associated fungal communities follow Wallace's line, but host genotype does not structure fungal community. *Journal of Biogeography* 45, 762–770. doi:10.1111/jbi.13168.

Wang, B., and Qiu, Y.-L. (2006). Phylogenetic distribution and evolution of mycorrhizas in land plants. *Mycorrhiza* 16, 299–363.

Wang, B., Yeun, L. H., Xue, J.-Y., Liu, Y., Ané, J.-M., and Qiu, Y.-L. (2010). Presence of three mycorrhizal genes in the common ancestor of land plants suggests a key role of mycorrhizas in the colonization of land by plants. *New Phytologist* 186, 514–525. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2009.03137.x.

Wang, Q., Garrity, G. M., Tiedje, J. M., and Cole, J. R. (2007). Naive Bayesian classifier for rapid assignment of rRNA sequences into the new bacterial taxonomy. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 73, 5261–5267.

Warton, D. I., Wright, S. T., and Wang, Y. (2012). Distance-based multivariate analyses confound location and dispersion effects. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 3, 89–101. doi:10.1111/j.2041-210x.2011.00127.x.

Wickham, H. (2009). *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. Springer Science & Business

Media.

Wigand, C., and Court Stevenson, J. (1994). The Presence and Possible Ecological Significance
of Mycorrhizae of the Submersed Macrophyte, Vallisneria americana. *Estuaries* 17, 206.
doi:10.2307/1352570.

Wissler, L., Codoñer, F. M., Gu, J., Reusch, T. B. H., Olsen, J. L., Procaccini, G., et al. (2011).
Back to the sea twice: identifying candidate plant genes for molecular evolution to marine
life. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 11, 8.

Ye, J., Coulouris, G., Zaretskaya, I., Cutcutache, I., Rozen, S., and Madden, T. L. (2012).
Primer-BLAST: a tool to design target-specific primers for polymerase chain reaction. *BMC*
Bioinformatics 13, 134.

Zapata, O., and McMillan, C. (1979). Phenolic acids in seagrasses. *Aquatic Botany* 7, 307–317.
doi:10.1016/0304-3770(79)90032-9.

Zhang, J., Kobert, K., Flouri, T., and Stamatakis, A. (2014). PEAR: a fast and accurate Illumina
Paired-End reAd mergeR. *Bioinformatics* 30, 614–620.

Figures

Figure 1: Leaf fungal community structure varies between epiphytes and endophytes.

Principal Coordinates Analysis (PCoA) visualization of Bray-Curtis dissimilarities of fungal

communities associated with leaves. Points in the ordination are colored and represented by

shapes based on epiphyte (blue triangles; n = 25) or endophyte (green circles; n = 25) status. The

dataset was first subset to a depth of 5,000 ITS2 amplicon sequences per sample and then Bray-

Curtis dissimilarities were calculated using the ordinate function in phyloseq (McMurdie and

Holmes, 2013).

Figure 2: Fungal community composition differs between tissue types.
The mean relative abundance of taxonomic orders with a variance of greater than one percent are
shown across bulk samples types, leaf (n = 13), root (n = 14), rhizome (n = 7) and sediment (n =
15), with the standard error of the mean represented by error bars and bars colored by taxonomic
phylum. The dataset was first subset to a depth of 10,000 sequences per sample, collapsed at the
order level using the tax_glom function in phyloseq (McMurdie and Holmes, 2013) and then
converted into relative abundance values. Taxonomy was inferred for ITS2 amplicon sequence
variants using the RDP Naive Bayesian Classifier algorithm with a modified UNITE (v. 8.0)
database (UNITE Community, 2019; Wang et al., 2007).

Figure 3: Mean relative abundance of unclassifiable fungi increases across leaf length
The mean relative abundance of taxonomic orders with a variance of greater than 0.1 percent are shown across leaf segments, 0-5 inches (n = 10), 5-10 inches (n = 10), 10-15 inches (n = 10), 15-20 inches (n = 10) and 20-25 inches (n = 10), with the standard error of the mean represented by error bars and bars colored by taxonomic phylum. The dataset was first subset to a depth of 5,000 sequences per sample, collapsed at the order level using the tax_glom function in phyloseq (McMurdie and Holmes, 2013) and then converted into relative abundance values. Taxonomy was inferred for ITS2 amplicon sequence variants using the RDP Naive Bayesian Classifier algorithm with a modified UNITE (v. 8.0) database (UNITE Community, 2019; Wang et al., 2007).

Figure 4: Mean relative abundance of amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) across leaf
length
The mean relative abundance of ASVs with a mean of greater than two percent are shown across
leaf segments, 0-5 inches (n = 10), 5-10 inches (n = 10), 10-15 inches (n = 10), 15-20 inches (n =
10) and 20-25 inches (n = 10), with the standard error of the mean represented by error bars and
bars colored by taxonomic phylum. The dataset was first subset to a depth of 5,000 sequences
per sample and then converted into relative abundance values. ASVs were grouped into
complexes if ASVs shared greater than 99% sequence identity. Taxonomy was inferred for ITS2
ASVs using the RDP Naive Bayesian Classifier algorithm with a modified UNITE (v. 8.0)
database (UNITE Community, 2019; Wang et al., 2007).
964

Figure 5: Phylogenetic placement of the SV8 complex in Chytridiomycota

A molecular phylogeny of 28S rRNA genes was constructed using Bayesian inference. Sequence alignments were generated using MAFFT (v. 7.402) on the CIPRES Science Gateway web server, trimmed using trimAl (v.1.2) and a phylogenetic tree was inferred on the trimmed alignment with a GTR + G + I model using MrBayes (v. 3.2.2) (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist, 2001; Katoh, 2002; Miller et al., 2010). Displayed at each node in the tree are the Bayesian posterior probabilities (first number) estimated with MrBayes (v. 3.2.2) and the maximum likelihood bootstrap values (second number) calculated with RAxML (v. 8) (Stamatakis, 2014). The SV8 complex represents a series of four abundant ASVs (SV8, SV11, SV16, SV56) that are greater than 99% identical. The 28S rRNA gene sequences for the SV8 complex are named SV8-108A_CLE and SV8-109A_CLE in this phylogeny and were amplified from two leaf epiphyte samples (sample IDs: 108A and 109A). The GenBank accession numbers of the sequences used to build this phylogeny can be found in Table S4.