Collective interactions augment influenza A virus replication in a host-dependent manner

Kara L. Phipps[a], Ketaki Ganti[a], Silvia Carnaccini[b], Miglena Manandhar[c], Nathan T. Jacobs[a],

Brett E. Pickett[c], Gene S. Tan[c,d,f] Lucas M. Ferreri [b], Daniel R. Perez [b,e] and Anice C. Lowen[a,d]*

[a]Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta,

Georgia, United States of America

[b]Department of Population Health, Poultry Diagnostic and Research Center, University of

Georgia, Athens, Georgia, United States of America.

[c]J. Craig Venter Institute, La Jolla, California, United States of America

[d]Emory-UGA Center of Excellence for Influenza Research and Surveillance (CEIRS), Atlanta,

Georgia, United States of America

[e]Center of Research on Influenza Pathogenesis (CRIP) CEIRS, New York, New York, United

States of America.

[f]Division of Infectious Disease, Department of Medicine, University of California San Diego, La

Jolla, CA, United States of America

*Address correspondence: anice.lowen@emory.edu

Abstract

Infection with a single influenza A virus (IAV) is only rarely sufficient to initiate productive infection. Here, we exploit both single-cell approaches and whole-animal systems to show that the extent of IAV reliance on multiple infection varies with virus strain and host species. Influenza A/guinea fowl/HK/WF10/99 (H9N2) [GFHK99] virus exhibits strong dependence on collective interactions in mammalian systems. This reliance focuses viral progeny production within coinfected cells and therefore results in frequent genetic exchange through reassortment. In contrast, GFHK99 virus has greatly reduced dependence on multiple infection in avian systems, indicating a role for host factors in viral collective interactions. Genetic mapping implicated the viral polymerase as a major driver of multiple infection dependence. Mechanistically, quantification of incomplete viral genomes showed that their complementation only partly accounts for the observed reliance on coinfection. Indeed, even when all polymerase components are detected in single-cell mRNA sequencing, robust polymerase activity of GFHK99 virus in mammalian cells is reliant on multiple infection. In sum, IAV collective interactions not only augment reassortment, but can also overcome species-specific barriers to infection. These findings underscore the importance of virus-virus interactions in IAV infection, evolution and emergence.

Introduction

Classically, an infectious unit has been defined as a single virus particle which delivers its genome to a cell, initiates the viral reproductive program, and yields progeny viruses. Increasingly, however, the importance to infection of collective interactions among viruses is being recognized[1-3]. The delivery of multiple virus genomes to a cell allows both antagonistic and mutually beneficial interactions to occur, and these interactions in turn have the potential to shape transmission, pathogenicity, and viral evolutionary pathways.

Recent work has revealed several distinct mechanisms by which multiple viral genomes are co-delivered to a target cell. Diverse taxa including enterovirus, norovirus and rotavirus have all been observed to emerge from cells as groups of particles clustered within extracellular vesicles[4,5]. Adhesion of virus particles to bacterial cell surfaces has a similar clustering effect and increases coinfection of target cells by poliovirus[6]. The aggregation of free virions was found to yield multi-particle infectious units in the case of vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV)[7,8]. Various mechanisms of direct cell-to-cell spread also serve to deliver multiple viral genomes to the same cell[9-11]. The implications of multiple infection in these diverse systems are still being explored. In a number of cases, however, collective delivery was demonstrated to increase the efficiency of infection relative to free virus particles[4,5], or to increase the rate of genetic exchange through recombination[6].

Whether brought about through coordinated infection with physically-linked virions or through independent infection events, the presence of multiple viral genomes within a cell creates the potential for their interaction to alter the course of infection. When distinct variants coinfect, mutually beneficial effects, such as reciprocal compensation for deleterious mutations, can increase overall fitness[12-14]. In the case of IAV, several lines of evidence point to a major role in infection for multiplicity reactivation, the process by which segmented genomes lacking one or more functional segments complement each other[15-19]. Conversely, negative interactions can also arise in which deleteriously mutated genes act in a dominant negative fashion.

Defective interfering particles, which often potently interfere with the production of infectious

progeny from a coinfected cell, are the most extreme example of such antagonism[20-22].

Importantly, multiple infection with identical viral genomes can also alter infection

outcomes. Such cooperation was documented for VSV and HIV, where rates of transcription

and replication were enhanced with increasing multiplicity of infection (MOI)[23,24]. Similarly, faster

kinetics of virus production were seen at high MOI for poliovirus and an H3N2 subtype IAV[19,25].

In these instances, it is thought that increased copy number of infecting viral genomes provides

a kinetic benefit important in the race to establish infection before innate antiviral responses

take hold. Indeed, it has been suggested that multiple infection may be particularly relevant for

facilitating viral growth under adverse conditions, such as antiviral drug treatment[3,26].

For IAV, an important adverse condition to consider is that of a novel host environment.

IAVs occupy a broad host range, including multiple species of wild waterfowl, poultry, swine,

humans and other mammals[27,28]. Host barriers to infection typically confine a given lineage to

circulation in one species or a small number of related species[29,30]. Spillovers occur

occasionally, however, and can seed novel lineages. When a novel IAV lineage is established in

humans, the result is a pandemic of major public health consequence[31,32]. The likelihood of

successful cross-species transfer of IAV is determined largely by the presence, absence, and

compatibility of host factors on which the virus relies to complete its life cycle, and on the

viruses' ability to overcome antiviral defenses in the novel host[33-35].

Owing to the segmented nature of the IAV genome, multiple infection results in viral

genetic exchange through reassortment[36,37]. If coinfecting viral genomes are distinct,

reassortment will yield genotypic diversity that may facilitate evolution, including adaptation to a

new host[38]. Indeed, reassortment involving human seasonal viruses and IAV adapted to non-

human hosts was central to the emergence of the last three pandemic strains[39,40]. Thus, among

the interactions that occur between coinfecting viruses, reassortment is critical to consider for

IAV.

Our objective herein was to assess the degree to which IAV relies on the delivery of

multiple viral genomes to a cell to ensure production of progeny. In particular, we sought to

determine whether this phenotype varies with host species and with virus strain. We therefore

examined multiplicity dependence in avian and mammalian cells for two highly divergent avian-

origin IAVs, influenza A/mallard/Minnesota/199106/99 (H3N8) [MaMN99] virus and influenza

A/guinea fowl/Hong Kong/WF10/99 (H9N2) [GFHK99] virus. While MaMN99 virus is typical of

IAV commonly isolated from wild ducks, GFHK99 virus is representative of the G1 lineage of

H9N2 viruses prevalent in the poultry of Southeast Asia, Middle East, and North Africa[41,42].

Results from all virus/cell combinations tested confirm prior reports that cells multiply-infected

with IAV produce more viral progeny than singly-infected cells. Importantly, however, the

proportion of viral progeny that emerge from coinfected cells varies greatly with virus-host

context. The GFHK99 strain exhibits an acute dependence on multiple infection in mammalian

cells that is not seen for MaMN99 virus in mammalian cells or for GFHK99 virus in avian cells.

The polymerase of the GFHK99 virus drives its host-specific dependence on multiple infection.

In line with this finding, both bulk and single-cell measurements of viral RNA showed that

polymerase activity in mammalian cells is enhanced with multiple infection. A need for

complementation of incomplete viral genomes partially accounts for this cooperative effect.

Importantly, however, single cell data indicate that additional multiplicity-dependent mechanisms

support RNA synthesis by the GFHK99 virus in mammalian cells. Thus, our data point to an

important role for multiple infection in determining the potential for IAV replication in diverse

hosts.

Results

Virus-host interactions dictate degree of multiplicity dependence

To evaluate the extent to which IAV relies on multiple infection for productive infection, we

initially used coinfection and reassortment as readouts. Reassortment is a useful measure for

coinfection dependence because reassortant viruses must arise from coinfected cells. To

ensure accurate quantification of reassortment, coinfections were performed under single-cycle

conditions with homologous viruses that differ only by a silent mutation in each segment and the

presence of either an HA or HIS epitope tag fused to the HA protein. Such homologous virus

pairings were generated in both MaMN99 and GFHK99 strain backgrounds and were named

MaMN99 WT / MaMN99 VAR and GFHK99 WT / GFHK99 VAR$_1$. Tracking of HA and HIS

expression by flow cytometry provides a measure of infection that can be compared across cell

lines. Quantification of cells expressing one or both epitope tags furthermore gives a means of

assessing levels of coinfection across a range of MOIs.

Coinfection and reassortment between homologous viruses of the MaMN99 or GFHK99

strain backgrounds were examined in Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK), chicken DF-1 and

human A549 cells (**Figure 1, Supplementary Figure 1**). Analysis of MDCK cells infected with

the GFHK99 viruses at MOIs ranging from 10 to 0.01 PFU per cell revealed a near linear

relationship between total HA$^+$ cells and dual-HA$^+$ cells, suggesting that the GFHK99 strain is

strictly dependent on multiple infection for HA expression in these cells (**Figure 1A**). HA

production resulting from infection with a single strain was more common for GFHK99 in DF-1

cells or MaMN99 in MDCK cells, indicating a lesser dependence on multiple infection (**Figure

1A**). The more dependent expression of *any* HA protein is on coinfection, the more linear the

relationship between the percentages of dual-HA$^+$ cells and HA$^+$ cells becomes. Conversely, a

more quadratic relationship indicates less dependence on coinfection, as individual particles are

more often able to express HA independently. We therefore quantified the degree of linearity

from the regression models of each dataset and found that only GFHK99 virus in MDCK cells

exhibits appreciable linearity in the relationship between HA+ and dual-HA+ cells (**Figure 1B**).

Genotyping of progeny virus from coinfections similarly revealed that reassortment levels

vary by virus strain and cell type. In line with observed levels of coinfection, GFHK99 virus

exhibits high levels of reassortment in MDCK cells even at low MOIs, indicating that nearly all

progeny virus is produced from WT-VAR$_1$ coinfected cells (**Figure 1C**). GFHK99 coinfection in

A549 cells is also characterized by high levels of reassortment, although less extreme than

those seen in MDCK cells (**Supplementary Figure 1**). Compared to GFHK99, MaMN99 viruses

infecting MDCK cells show lower levels of reassortment (**Figure 1C**). Moreover, reassortment of

GFHK99 viruses is markedly reduced in DF-1 cells compared to that seen in MDCK cells

(**Figure 1D**). These results clearly reveal differing degrees of multiplicity dependence for

different virus/cell pairings and therefore indicate that multiple infection dependence, rather than

solely being an intrinsic property of a virus strain, is determined through virus-host interactions.

That all virus/cell pairings tested show evidence of multiplicity dependence is highlighted

by comparison of the experimental reassortment data to a theoretical prediction that assumes

infection is perfectly efficient (**Figure 1C, 1D**). This theoretical prediction was published

previously[18] and is derived from a computational model in which the number of viral progeny

produced by an infected cell is constant. Because singly- and multiply-infected cells make

equivalent numbers progeny, reassortment is predicted to increase only gradually at low levels

of infection (low %HA+) where coinfection is relatively rare. By contrast, reassortment observed

experimentally reaches high levels much more rapidly. High reassortment indicates that viral

progeny production is focused in the proportion of the infected cell population that is multiply

infected. Coinfection dependence, therefore, is evident in all virus-cell pairings, but particularly

strong for GFHK99 in MDCK cells.

Strain and host specific phenotypes are also evident in vivo

To determine whether host-dependent reliance on multiple infection extended to *in vivo*

infection, we performed coinfections with MaMN99 WT and VAR viruses in guinea pigs and

GFHK99 WT and VAR$_1$ viruses in guinea pigs and quail. To ensure use of comparable effective

doses for each virus/host pairing, the 50% infectious dose (ID$_{50}$) of each virus mixture was first

determined experimentally in the animal models used. Guinea pigs were then infected

intranasally with 10^2 $GPID_{50}$ of either the GFHK99 or MaMN99 WT/VAR mixture and nasal

washes were collected daily. Japanese quail were infected with 10^2 QID_{50} of the GFHK99 virus

mixture via an oculo-naso-tracheal route and tracheal swabs were collected daily. To evaluate

the frequency of reassortment, plaque isolates from these upper respiratory samples were

genotyped for each animal on each day. Because multicycle replication *in vivo* allows the

propagation of reassortants, analysis of genotypic diversity rather than percent reassortment is

more informative for these experiments. Thus, the effective diversity (Hill's N_2) was calculated

for each dataset and plotted as a function of time post-inoculation (**Figure 1E, 1F**). The viruses

collected from GFHK99 infected guinea pigs show much higher genotypic diversity throughout

the course of infection than viruses isolated from MaMN99 infected guinea pigs (**Figure 1E**) or

GFHK99 infected quail (**Figure 1F**). These data indicate that the virus-host interactions which

determine dependence on multiple infection in cell culture extend to *in vivo* infection.

Multiple infection enhances viral growth

The abundant reassortment observed with GFHK99 viruses in mammalian systems suggests

that multiple infection plays a major role in determining the productivity of an infected cell. We

therefore hypothesized that increasing MOI would augment the burst size, or viral output, of

infected cells and that the magnitude of this effect would be greater for GFHK99 in MDCK cells

than for GFHK99 in DF-1 cells or MaMN99 in MDCK cells. To test this prediction, we infected

over a range of MOIs with the same mixtures of GFHK99 WT and VAR_1 or MaMN99 WT and

VAR viruses used above and then measured PFU produced per cell under single-cycle

conditions. Under non-saturating conditions (determined by flow cytometry to be MOI <1 PFU

per cell, as shown in **Supplementary Figure 2**), increasing MOI resulted in accelerated viral

growth and higher burst size for all three virus/cell pairings (**Figure 2A-C**). As predicted,

however, increasing the MOI of GFHK99 in MDCK cells resulted in a further enhancement of

viral amplification (**Figure 2D**). Thus, the benefit conferred by multiple infection was greater for

GFHK99 in MDCK cells compared to either MaMN99 in MDCK cells or GFHK99 in DF-1 cells.

We reasoned that the cooperative effect observed might result from i) complementation

of incomplete viral genomes or ii) a benefit of increased viral genome copy number per cell. In

an effort to differentiate between these possibilities, we measured growth of GFHK99 in MDCK

and DF-1 cells infected at a range of MOIs greater than 1 PFU per cell. Because these

conditions are saturating (**Supplementary Figure 2**), incomplete viral genomes are unlikely to

be prevalent and any benefit of increasing MOI would be attributable to increasing genome copy

numbers per cell. In both cell types, MOIs between 1 and 20 PFU per cell result in similar peak

viral titers (**Figure 2E-F**). This saturation of cooperation at higher MOIs suggests diminishing

returns from additional genome copies above a certain threshold. Calculation of fold change in

viral amplification revealed that burst size was either unchanged or negatively affected by

increasing MOI above 1 PFU per cell (**Figure 2G**). Whether this threshold is imposed by a need

for complementation or another mechanism sensitive to saturation remained unclear. Overall,

however, the increase in viral amplification with increased multiplicity at sub-saturating MOIs

strengthened our prior conclusion that viral growth, and particularly productivity of GFHK99 virus

in MDCK cells, is enhanced by multiple infection.

The viral polymerase is a major determinant of multiple infection dependence

To identify viral genetic determinants of multiple infection dependence, we mapped segments

responsible for the high reassortment phenotype of GFHK99 in MDCK cells. Reverse genetics

was used to place one or more genes from GFHK99 into a MaMN99 background. We created a

panel of chimeras containing the HA, NP, or the full polymerase complex and NP (3PNP) of

GFHK99 virus in the MaMN99 background. We also generated the reciprocal swap of this last

genotype in which NS, M, NA and HA segments were derived from GFHK99. These segment

groupings were selected for exchange based on their functions in the viral life cycle. For each

chimeric genotype, homologous WT and VAR strains were generated to allow tracking of

homologous reassortment.

Coinfections with matched WT and VAR strains were performed in MDCK cells and HA

expression and reassortment were measured as in **Figure 1**. When levels of dual HA positivity

are assessed, most MaMN99:GFHK99 chimeric genotypes cluster together with the parental

MaMN99 virus, suggesting a relatively low dependence on multiple infection for HA expression

(**Figure 3A-B**). By contrast, the MaMN99:GFHK99 3PNP genotype gives results similar to those

of the parental GFHK99 (**Figure 3A-B**). Quantification of reassortment revealed that all chimeric

viruses reassort at a higher frequency than MaMN99 parental strains but that the

MaMN99:GFHK99 3PNP viruses show the highest reassortment, comparable to that seen for

the parental GFHK99 genotype (**Figure 3C-D**). Thus, while other viral genes may make minor

contributions, the viral polymerase is the primary genetic determinant of the high reassortment

exhibited by GFHK99 in MDCK cells and defines a need for cooperation between coinfecting

viruses.

Multiple infection enhances viral RNA replication

Because genetic mapping of the GFHK99 high reassortment phenotype implicated the viral

polymerase, we sought to ascertain the effects of multiple infection on polymerase function. We

therefore measured GFHK99 WT viral RNA synthesis in the absence and presence of

increasing amounts of a homologous coinfecting virus. To evaluate host specificity, we did this

analysis in both MDCK and DF-1 cells. The coinfecting virus, GFHK99 VAR_2, was generated in

the GFHK99 background to avoid genetic incompatibility and carries silent mutations in each

segment that disrupt primer binding sites. Cells were infected with low MOI (0.005 PFU per cell)

of GFHK99 WT virus to ensure receipt of a single copy of the virus genome. Concurrently, cells

were infected with increasing doses of GFHK99 VAR_2 virus. Digital droplet PCR (ddPCR) with

primers specific for GFHK99 WT cDNA was then used to quantify replication of WT genomes.

The results show that, in both cell types, coinfection with low to moderate doses of the VAR_2

virus increases levels of GFHK99 WT vRNA (**Figure 4A**). At the highest doses of VAR_2 virus

used, however, a suppressive effect is observed. Importantly, the amount of coinfection required

to reach maximal vRNA production differs among cell lines: in MDCK cells 10-fold more VAR_2

virus (1 PFU per cell) is needed than in DF-1 cells (0.1 PFU per cell). The maximal impact of

VAR_2 virus on WT vRNA production is also greater in MDCK cells: a ~60-fold enhancement is

seen, compared to only ~2-fold in DF-1 cells.

To verify these observations in a more physiologically relevant system, we repeated the

experiment in primary human tracheobronchial epithelial (HTBE) cells differentiated at an air-

liquid interface. Similar to MDCK cells, these primary human cells exhibit maximal GFHK99 WT

RNA production with addition of 1 PFU per cell GFHK99 VAR_2 virus (**Figure 4B**). Peak RNA

replication is >10 fold higher in HTBE cells than without coinfecting virus.

Thus, in all three cell types tested, the introduction of coinfecting VAR_2 virus reveals a

cooperative effect acting at the level of RNA synthesis. At very high doses of VAR_2 virus, WT

RNA levels decline, suggesting competition for a limited resource at these extreme MOIs. Most

notably, the magnitude of the cooperative effect and the amount of VAR_2 virus needed to reach

maximal WT RNA levels are much greater in mammalian cells than avian cells. These differing

outcomes indicate that the multiplicity dependence of GFHK99 polymerase function is

modulated by host factors that differ between mammalian and avian hosts.

Multiple infection accelerates viral replication and transcription

To more finely assess the effects of multiplicity on polymerase function in various virus-host

combinations, we measured vRNA, mRNA, and cRNA over time following low or high MOI

infection (**Figure 5A**). MaMN99 and GFHK99 viruses were examined in MDCK cells and

GFHK99 virus in DF-1 cells. To evaluate the activity of the viral polymerase when the encoding

genes are supplied as low or single copies, a dose of 0.5 RNA copies per cell was used for

infection. Under these low MOI conditions, all three viral RNA species accumulate at a

significantly higher rate for GFHK99 in DF-1 cells and MaMN99 in MDCK cells than for GFHK99

in MDCK cells (**Figure 5B**). In defining a high MOI dose, we elected to use HA expressing units,

as determined by flow cytometry, rather than genome copy number (**Supplementary Figure 3**).

This measure gives a functional readout for polymerase activity, and therefore allows a dose to

be chosen that ensures the vast majority of cells carry an active viral polymerase. The high MOI

dose used was 3.0 HA expressing units per cell. At this high MOI, accumulation of GFHK99

mRNA, vRNA and cRNA in MDCK cells occurs at a similar rate to that seen for GFHK99 in DF-1

cells or MaMN99 in MDCK cells (**Figure 5C**). Thus, a host-specific defect in GFHK99

polymerase activity that affects synthesis of all three viral RNA species is seen at low MOI. This

defect is, however, resolved under conditions where multiple infection is prevalent.

Single cell mRNA sequencing reveals a need for cooperation beyond complementation

An important limitation of working with bulk RNA extracted from a population of cells is the

inability to distinguish between i) low, but uniform, RNA synthesis in all cells and ii) robust RNA

synthesis in only a minority of cells. To elucidate the basis for cooperation at higher MOIs, it was

important to determine which of these scenarios gives rise to the low average viral RNA levels

that characterize low MOI infection with GFHK99 in MDCK cells. A highly heterogeneous

picture, with abundant viral RNAs in a minority of cells, would be expected if incomplete viral

genomes are common but cells with a complete set of polymerase genes support robust viral

RNA synthesis. Conversely, uniformly low levels of viral products would be expected if even

complete viral genomes cannot support robust polymerase activity in the context of singular

infection.

To evaluate the heterogeneity of viral RNA synthesis at the single cell level, we used

single-cell mRNA sequencing. We infected DF-1 or MDCK cells with GFHK99 virus under

single-cycle conditions and collected cells at 8 h post-infection for mRNA barcoding on the 10X

Genomics Chromium platform prior to sequencing. The relative abundance of mRNA from each

viral transcript was calculated by normalizing to the median number of transcripts per cell in that

infection. Cells in which at least one viral mRNA molecule was detected were analyzed further.

The number of cells that met this criterion ranged between 182 and 478 per infection condition

(MOI and cell type combination). We found that the amount of detected GFHK99 viral mRNA

varies widely between individual DF-1 cells (**Figure 6A**), which is consistent with previous

observations[43]. In contrast, GFHK99 viral mRNA levels are uniformly low in MDCK cells under

the relatively low MOI conditions used.

Because only subset of a cell's transcripts is captured and therefore reliably detected[44],

the 10X platform does not allow a robust determination of segment presence or absence in a

cell. Where viral mRNAs derived from a given segment are detected, however, one can

conclude that the corresponding vRNA was present. To evaluate whether low transcript

abundance corresponded to the lack of one or more polymerase-encoding segments, we

therefore stratified the data based on detection of all four segments necessary to support

transcription (PB2, PB1, PA and NP) (**Figure 6A**). Viral transcript levels are markedly increased

in DF-1 cells that contained the PB2, PB1, PA, and NP segments compared to those in which

one or more of these segments was not detected. Averaging across all MOIs, a 10-fold increase

in transcript abundance was noted in DF-1 cells ($p < 10^{-16}$, linear mixed effects model). In

contrast, viral transcription in MDCK cells is consistently low, and the presence of polymerase

complex confers no benefit (**Figure 6A**).

Data presented above from bulk samples indicate that multiple infection is needed for

efficient GFHK99 transcription in MDCK cells. To measure the impact of coinfecting virus in

individual cells, we repeated the single-cell sequencing experiment with the addition of

genetically marked variants of GFHK99 virus. For an mRNA sequencing assay, marker

mutations proximal to the poly-A tail of the viral transcripts are needed; we therefore generated

variant viruses that carry synonymous nucleotide changes near the 5' end of each vRNA,

GFHK99 mVAR$_1$ and GFHK99 mVAR$_2$. Cells were inoculated with GFHK99 WT and GFHK99

mVAR$_1$ viruses in a 1:1 ratio and the combined MOI was the same as that used for GFHK99 WT

in the first experiment. Coinfection with GFHK99 mVAR$_2$ virus was performed simultaneously

and this virus was used at the concentration found to be optimal for WT viral RNA replication in

Figure 4A; the MOI therefore differed between DF-1 (0.1 PFU per cell) and MDCK (1.0 PFU per

cell) cells. After mRNA sequencing, cells in which transcripts from all eight mVAR$_2$ virus

segments were detected were analyzed further. Between 131 and 240 cells per infection

condition (MOI, cell type, virus strain) met this criterion. The viral transcript levels per cell

detected in this second experiment are shown in **Figure 6B** alongside data from the first

experiment for comparison. In this figure, GFHK99 WT and GFHK99 mVAR$_1$ mRNAs are plotted

separately; the concordance between these two datasets gives an indicator of reproducibility. In

comparing the two infections, we observe that total viral transcript abundance is 72% lower in

MDCK cells compared to DF-1 cells in the first infection (p < 10^{-16}, linear mixed effects model),

but this effect is almost entirely mitigated by the presence of mVAR$_2$ virus, as transcript

abundance is reduced by only 11% in MDCK cells in the second infection (p < 10^{-16}, linear

mixed effects model).   This reduction in the disparity between DF-1 and MDCK cell viral

transcript abundance resulted from the fact that mVAR$_2$ virus increased transcript abundance by

102% in DF-1 cells, but 545% in MDCK cells (p < 10^{-16}, linear mixed effects model) (**Figure

6B)**. These data underscore the significance of viral collective interaction to ensure productive

infection in diverse hosts.

Frequency of incomplete GFHK99 genomes in MDCK cells is moderate

Our single-cell sequencing results suggest that the presence of a complete viral genome in the

infected cell is not sufficient to support robust transcription of GFHK99 vRNAs. All eight viral

gene segments are, however, necessary for productive infection[45] and could play an important

role in the reliance of GFHK99 virus on multiple infection in mammalian systems. We therefore

sought to quantify the frequency with which fewer than eight vRNAs are replicated in GFHK99

infected MDCK cells. Given the sensitivity limitations of the single-cell mRNA sequencing

method, we employed a single-cell assay that we designed previously for this purpose[19]. MDCK

cells were coinfected with a low MOI of GFHK99 WT virus and a high MOI of GFHK99 VAR$_2$

virus. The GFHK99 VAR$_2$ virus acts to ensure propagation of the WT virus gene segments,

even when less than the full WT viral genome is available for transcription and replication.

Following inoculation, single cells were sorted into wells which contain a naïve cell monolayer

and multicycle replication was allowed for 48 h. To determine which viral gene segments were

present in the initially sorted cell, RT-qPCR with primers that differentiate WT and VAR$_2$ gene

segments was applied. As detailed in the Methods, the frequencies of VAR$_2$ virus infection, WT

virus infection, and each distinct WT segment were used to estimate the probability that a cell

infected with a single WT virus would contain a given segment. We termed the resultant

parameter Probability Present (P_P). The experimentally determined P_P values vary among the

segments, with a range of 0.57 to 0.88 (**Figure 7A**). The product of the eight P_P values gives an

estimate of the proportion of singular infections in which all eight segments are available for

replication. This estimate is 6.5% for GFHK99 in MDCK cells.

The high reassortment of GFHK99 WT and VAR$_1$ viruses in MDCK cells indicates that

progeny viruses predominantly originate from multiply infected cells in this system. To evaluate

whether incomplete viral genomes account for this focusing of GFHK99 virus production within

multiply infected cells, we used our previously published computational model of IAV coinfection

and reassortment[18]. In this model, the frequency of segment delivery upon replication is

governed by eight P_P parameters and an infected cell only produces virus if at least one copy of

all eight segments are present. Importantly, in this model the amount of virus produced from

productively infected cells is constant – there is no additional benefit to multiple infection. When

the eight experimentally determined P_P values for GFHK99 virus in MDCK cells are used to

parameterize the model, the theoretical prediction of reassortment frequency is much lower than

that observed experimentally for GFHK99 WT and VAR$_1$ viruses in MDCK cells (**Figure 7B**).

This discrepancy indicates that the frequency of missing segments cannot fully account for the

high reassortment seen. Thus, the collective interactions on which the GFHK99 virus relies for

replication in mammalian systems appears to extend beyond complementation. A full viral

genome is necessary, but not sufficient, to support robust replication.

Discussion

Using small genetic tags and a range of molecular tools for their detection, we investigated the

determinants of and mechanistic basis for IAV multiplicity dependence. Our data reveal that

both viral and host features dictate the degree to which productive IAV infection relies on

cooperation. Thus, multiple infection dependence is a property determined through interaction

between the virus and the infected cell, rather than an intrinsic property of the virus. Differences

between virus strains and host systems in multiple infection dependence lead to phenotypic

differences in the amount of reassortment that occurs upon coinfection. The demonstration of

these reassortment differences in mammalian and avian models points to the relevance of viral

collective interactions for IAV evolution and emergence. Mechanistically, our data indicate that

multiple infection is needed in part for complementation of incomplete viral genomes, but that

such complementation is not sufficient to ensure productive infection in all virus-host systems.

Rather, we see that the GFHK99 polymerase requires a second form of cooperation to support

efficient RNA synthesis in mammalian cells. For this reason, robust infection of GFHK99 virus

in mammalian systems is achieved only in the context of high MOI infection. Thus, the data

presented reveal that infection efficiency and the need for cooperation varies with virus-host

context, and the viral polymerase is a major driver of this phenotype.

An important implication of viral genome segmentation is the potential for replication of

incomplete genomes within infected cells[2]. For most segmented viruses of vertebrates, including

IAV, each segment encodes at least one essential gene product and a genome lacking one or

more functional segments cannot support the production of progeny viruses. Complementation

is therefore a major class of collective interaction for viruses with segmented genomes, the

relevance of which likely depends on the extent to which delivery and replication of the various

genome segments is coordinated for a given virus species[46]. For IAV, we and others have

demonstrated that, within singly-infected cells, a subset of segments fails to be replicated or

expressed with high frequency[16,19,43]. Specifically, for influenza A/Panama/2007/99 (H3N2) virus

in MDCK cells, we found that delivery of a single viral genome results in replication of all eight

segments only 1.2% of the time[19]. Data reported herein for GFHK99 virus indicate that a

somewhat higher proportion of replicated viral genomes are complete – namely, 6.5%. Thus,

GFHK99 virus is partially dependent on complementation for productive infection in this cell line.

However, the high levels of reassortment seen between GFHK99 WT and VAR$_1$ viruses in

mammalian cells indicate that additional cooperative interactions are at play. This is made clear

by the discrepancy between observed GFHK99 virus reassortment and the reassortment levels

expected if complementation is the only cooperative effect considered. A necessary but

insufficient role for complete viral genomes is further supported by the results of single cell

mRNA sequencing of GFHK99 virus infected MDCK cells. Here, viral transcripts are produced

at low copy numbers even when all four segments needed to support viral RNA synthesis are

confirmed to be present. This outcome is in contrast to that observed for GFHK99 virus in DF-1

cells and to that reported previously for WSN virus in A549 cells, where the heterogeneity in

viral transcript levels among cells could be attributed in part to the apparent absence of one or

more polymerase complex genes[43]. Notably, however, the restriction of GFHK99 viral

transcription in MDCK cells was largely mitigated by the addition of a homologous coinfecting

virus. These data point to a model in which the presence of not just complete genomes, but

rather multiple copies of the viral genome, are needed to overcome host-specific barriers to

GFHK99 infection in mammalian systems.

Insight into the nature of this second cooperative interaction is gleaned from the

observation that the amount of viral RNA produced from a constant input of GFHK99 WT viral

genomes is significantly increased with the addition of a homologous virus that is genetically

tagged to allow independent detection. Because GFHK99 WT virus RNAs can be quantified

separately from the coinfecting VAR_2 virus RNAs, we can conclude that the coinfecting virus

functions in *trans* to support GFHK99 WT virus replication. This interaction is likely to occur at

the protein level, with increased genome copy number supporting the expression of higher

levels of viral polymerase proteins or cofactors. This proposed mechanism is supported by prior

work showing that the IAV polymerase can act in *trans* to propagate temperature sensitive (ts)

variants at non-permissive temperatures[47].

Our data implicate the viral polymerase in defining an acute reliance on cooperation for

efficient viral RNA synthesis and viral progeny production. While our experiments focused on

only two avian IAVs, it is well known that avian-adapted IAV polymerases require adaptive

changes for efficient replication in mammalian cells[33,48,49]. The conformation or composition of

the GFHK99 viral polymerase may lead to defects in transcription or replication due to poor

interactions with mammalian host factors, such as ANP32A[50]. Low functionality of the viral

polymerase complex may furthermore lead to the synthesis of abortive products, such as mini

viral RNAs[51]. Thus, the multiplicity dependence of GFHK99 in mammalian systems may be a

manifestation of poor adaptation of the viral polymerase to the host cell. Importantly, however, it

appears that this lack of adaptation can be at least partially overcome when multiple viral

genomes are delivered to the same cell. While MaMN99 virus is also not adapted to mammalian

systems, it is only distantly related to GFHK99 virus and is representative of viruses that

circulate in a taxonomically and geographically distinct avian population compared to the poultry

hosts of GFHK99 virus. It will be important in future studies to delineate further the IAV lineages

and host contexts in which an acute need for cooperation exists.

The clear involvement of the polymerase does not exclude the possibility that other

virus-host interactions may impact the need for cooperation. In fact, reassortment levels

measured for chimeric GFHK99-MaMN99 viruses indicated that other viral components

contribute to the high reassortment phenotype of GFHK99 virus. For example, it has been

postulated that the pH of fusion of HA, which dictates when the viral genome is released from

endosomes, determines the amount of time that viral gene segments are vulnerable to diffusion

or degradation during transit to the nucleus [52]. Because stochastic loss of a subset of gene

segments prior to nuclear import would likely be overcome through multiple infection, HA pH of

fusion may determine the need for cooperation in some virus-host contexts. The contribution of

particular viral proteins to coinfection dependence is relevant for understanding barriers to

zoonotic infection and predicting the likelihood of reassortment following zoonoses.

The H9N2 subtype is of particular relevance in the context of zoonotic infection as

viruses of this subtype are highly prevalent at the poultry-human interface, sporadic human

infections have been reported, and H9N2 viruses share several related genes with H5N1 and

H7N9 subtype viruses that have caused hundreds of severe human infections[53-58]. The G1

lineage to which the GFHK99 virus belongs circulates widely in the poultry of Southeast Asia

and North Africa and reassorts frequently with other poultry adapted IAVs[41,42,59,60]. The

prevalence of reassortment suggests that the internal gene segments – which comprise the six

non-HA, non-NA segments – are compatible with other genotypes. Our comparison of

reassortment in guinea pigs and quail furthermore indicates that reassortment could be

particularly prevalent in the context of zoonotic infection of mammals. This phenotype of high

reassortment in mammals is expected to extend to the H5N1 and H7N9 subtype viruses of

public health concern, which carry polymerase genes related to those of the GFHK99 virus[56-58].

While reassortment is typically deleterious owing to negative epistasis among heterologous

segments[61,62], a high frequency of reassortment creates greater opportunity for fit genotypes to

arise and adapt, and should therefore be considered in assessing the risk of emergence posed

by non-human adapted IAVs.

Our work reveals an underappreciated facet of virus-host interactions: the extent to

which IAV relies on cooperation with coinfecting viruses is both strain and host dependent.

Varied phenotypes of multiplicity dependence occurring in different virus-host contexts likely

have important implications for viral fitness and viral evolution. Differences in coinfection

dependence are expected to lead to differences in the viral dose required to establish a new

infection, which in turn has implications for both the likelihood of transmission and the

predominant mode of transmission. For example, transmission among close contacts is

associated with the transfer of higher viral loads[63]. Reliance on cooperation is also expected to

impact the spatial dynamics of viral spread within an individual[19]. For example, long-distance

dispersal of virus within a host is less likely to be productive in a system where the virus is

highly dependent on cooperative interactions[64]. Finally, the features which impact coinfection

dependence are also likely to impact viral evolution by changing how a virus population samples

the available sequence space. As discussed above, multiplicity dependence increases the

opportunity for genetic exchange through reassortment, which may in turn slow the

accumulation of deleterious mutations and allow coupling of advantageous mutations[65]. A need

for cooperation would also be predicted to increase the likelihood that less fit variants are

propagated as a result of phenotypic hiding in coinfected cells[66-68]. Thus, host and strain

specificity in multiple infection dependence are likely to play an important role in determining the

outcomes of IAV infection and evolution in diverse hosts.

Acknowledgements

We thank David Stallknecht (University of Georgia) for providing the A/mallard/MN/199106/99

(H3N8) biological isolate. We thank Hui Tao, Shamika Danzy, and Ginger Geiger for technical

assistance. This work was funded in part by NIH R01 AI127799 (to ACL and DRP); NIH/NIAID

Centers of Excellence in Influenza Research and Surveillance (CEIRS), contract numbers

HHSN272201400004C (to ACL) and HHSN272201400008C (to DRP). Additional funds were

provided by the Georgia Research Alliance and the Georgia Poultry Federation (to DRP) and

NIH/NIAID Genomic Centers for Infectious Diseases (GCID), Award Number U19AI110819.

Methods

Cells

Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells, a gift from Peter Palese, Icahn School of Medicine at

Mount Sinai were used in coinfection experiments, growth curves, and dosage experiments with

increasing amounts of GFHK99 VAR_2 virus added. MDCK cells from Daniel Perez at University

of Georgia were used for plaque assays as this variant of the MDCK line was found to yield

more distinct plaques for the GFHK99 strain. Both MDCK cell lines were maintained in minimal

essential medium (MEM; Gibco) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Atlanta

Biologicals), penicillin (100 IU), and streptomycin (100 µg per mL) (PS; Corning). A549 cells

(ATCC CCL-185) were maintained in F-12K nutrient mixture with L-glutamine (Corning)

supplemented with 10% FBS and PS. 293T cells (ATCC CRL-3216) and DF-1 cells (ATCC

CRL-12203) were maintained in Dulbecco's minimal essential medium (DMEM; Gibco)

supplemented with 10% FBS and PS. Human tracheobronchial epithelial (HTBE) cells from a

single donor were acquired from Lonza and were amplified and differentiated into air-liquid

interface cultures as recommended by Lonza and described by Danzy et al.[69]. All cells were

cultured at $37^{\circ}C$ and 5% CO_2 in a humidified incubator.

Viruses

All viruses used in this study were generated through reverse genetics[70]. 293T cells transfected

with reverse genetics plasmids 16-24 h prior were injected into the allantoic cavity of 9-11 day

old embryonated chicken eggs and incubated at $37^{\circ}C$ for 40-48 h. The resultant egg passage 1

stocks were used in experiments. Defective interfering segment content of PB2, PB1, and PA

segments was confirmed to be minimal for each virus stock, following a method described

previously[71]. The reverse genetics system for influenza A/guinea fowl/Hong Kong/WF10/99

(H9N2) virus was reported previously[72,73]. This strain has been referred to as WF10 in previous

publications[72-74] but, for consistency with other strains used in the present manuscript, is

referred to herein as GFHK99. A low passage isolate of influenza

A/mallard/Minnesota/199106/99 (H3N8) virus, referred to herein as MaMN99, was obtained

from David Stallknecht at the University of Georgia[75]. The virus was passaged once eggs and

then the eight cDNAs were generated and cloned into the pDP2002 vector[76]. To increase the

efficiency of virus recovery for rescues containing polymerase components from the MaMN99

virus, pCAGGS support plasmids encoding PB2, PB1, PA, and NP proteins of the A/WSN/33

(H1N1) strain were supplied.

GFHK99 WT and MaMN99 VAR viruses were engineered to contain a 6XHis epitope tag

plus GGGS linker at the N terminus of the HA protein following the signal peptide. GFHK99

VAR_1 and MaMN99 WT viruses contain similarly modified HA genes, with an HA epitope tag

plus a GGGS linker inserted at the N terminus of the HA protein[77].

Silent mutations introduced by site directed mutagenesis were used to confer altered

melting properties to allow high resolution melt genotyping of WT and VAR_1 segment origin.

Mutations introduced in to GFHK99 VAR_1 and MaMN99 VAR viruses are listed in

Supplementary Table 1. Mutations introduced into the GFHK99 VAR_2 strain were designed to

confer unique primer binding sites relative to GFHK99 WT virus for use in digital PCR-based

genotyping. The mutations introduced are also listed in **Supplementary Table 1**. Viruses used

for single cell mRNA sequencing, GFHK99 $mVAR_1$ and GFHK99 $mVAR_2$, were generated from

the GFHK99 WT strain with no HIS tag, following the approach described in Russell et al.[43]. The

mutations introduced were designed to be detected where sequence data is available from only

the 3' end of each transcript. Site directed mutagenesis was therefore used to place two silent

mutations proximal to the stop codon in each viral cDNA. The mutations allow differentiation

among the segments of the three strains. All such mutations are reported in **Supplementary**

Table 1.

Coinfection in cultured cells for quantification of coinfection and reassortment

MDCK, DF-1, or A549 cells were seeded at a density of 4 x10^5 cells per well in 6-well dishes 24

h before inoculation. Virus inoculum was prepared by combining WT and VAR viruses at high

titer in a 1:1 ratio based on PFU titers, and then diluting in PBS to achieve MOIs ranging from

10 to 0.01 PFU per cell. Synchronized infection conditions were used, as follows. Cell

monolayers were washed three times with PBS and placed on ice. Chilled virus inoculum was

added to each well at a 250 µL volume and incubated at 4°C for 45 minutes with occasional

rocking. Inoculum was aspirated and cell monolayer was rinsed three times with cold PBS

before addition of warm virus medium. Due to low viral growth of GFHK99 virus in DF-1 cells,

acid inactivation of inoculum virus was performed at 1 h post-infection for this cell type. For acid

inactivation, media was aspirated and replaced with 500 µL of PBS-HCl, pH 3.00 and incubated

5 min at 37°C. Cells were then washed once with PBS before the addition of virus medium. At 3

h post-infection, virus medium was replaced with ammonium chloride-containing virus medium.

GFHK99 virus infected cells were harvested at 12 h post infection due to high amounts of CPE

at later time points. Cells infected with MaMN99 virus and MaMN99:GFHK99 chimeric viruses

were harvested at 16 h post-infection. Virus medium for each cell line was prepared by

supplementing the appropriate media (MDCK, MEM; DF1, DMEM; A549, F12K) with 4.3%

bovine serum albumin and penicillin (100 IU), and streptomycin (100 µg per mL). Ammonium

chloride-containing virus medium was prepared by the addition of HEPES buffer and NH_4Cl at

final concentrations of 50 mM and 20 mM, respectively, to virus media.

Determination of infection levels based on HA surface expression. To enumerate infected

cells, surface expression of HIS and HA epitope tags was detected by flow cytometry. This

method was previously described in detail[77]. The percentage of cells that were positive for either

or both epitope tags is expressed as percentage of cells HA$^+$. The percentage of cells that were

positive for both epitope tags is expressed as percentage of cells dual-HA$^+$. The relationship

between these two parameters was evaluated by plotting % cells dual-HA$^+$ against % cells HA$^+$

and regressing the resultant curve as a quadratic polynomial (*% cells dual-HA$^+$ = β_2*(% cells*

HA$^+$)^2 + β_1*(% cells HA$^+$), where β_2 and β_1 are genotype-specific). From the regression models,

we then quantified the degree of linearity using the equation $\% \ linearity \ = \ \frac{|\beta_1|}{|\beta_1|+|\beta_2|}$.

Animal models and reassortment **in vivo**

Quail eggs obtained from the College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Georgia, were

hatched at the Poultry Diagnostic and Research Center, University of Georgia. Two days before

virus inoculation, quail sera were confirmed to be seronegative for IAV exposure by NP ELISA

(IDEXX, Westbrook, ME). At 3-weeks of age, birds were moved into a HEPA in/out BSL2 facility

and each group divided into individual isolator units.

Groups (n=6) of 3-week old Japanese quail (Coturnix Japonica) were used to determine

the 50% quail infectious dose of the 1:1 GFHK99 WT and GFHK99 VAR$_1$ virus mixture. Each

quail was inoculated with 500 µl by oculo-naso-tracheal route of virus mixture in PBS, at

increasing concentrations of 10^0 to 10^6 TCID$_{50}$ per 500 µL. Tracheal and cloacal swab

specimens were collected daily from each bird in brain heart infusion media (BHI). Swab

samples were analyzed by TCID$_{50}$ assay and titers of tracheal swabs collected at 4 d post-

inoculation were used to determine the QID$_{50}$ by the Reed and Muench method[78]. Virus was not

detected in cloacal swabs. QID$_{50}$ was found to be equivalent to 1 TCID$_{50}$.

To quantify reassortment in quail, samples collected from quail (n=6) infected with the

10^2 TCID$_{50}$ dose of the 1:1 GFHK99 WT and GFHK99 VAR$_1$ virus mixture were used. These

were the same birds as used to determine QID$_{50}$. Virus shedding kinetics were determined by

plaque assay of tracheal swab samples and samples from days 1, 3, and 5 were chosen for

genotyping of virus isolates.

Female Hartley strain guinea pigs weighing 250-350 g were obtained from Charles River

Laboratories. The GPID$_{50}$ of GFHK99 WT/VAR$_1$ and MaMN99 WT/VAR virus mixtures were

determined as follows. Groups of four guinea pigs were inoculated intranasally with virus

mixture in PBS at doses of 10^0 to 10^5 PFU per 300 μL inoculum. Daily nasal washes were

collected in 1 mL PBS and titered by plaque assay. Results from day 2 nasal washes were used

to determine the GPID$_{50}$ by the Reed and Muench method[78]. The GPID$_{50}$ of GFHK99 virus was

found to be 2.1 x 10^3 PFU, while that of MaMN99 virus was determined to be 2.1 x 10^1 PFU.

To evaluate reassortment kinetics in guinea pigs, groups of six animals were infected

with 10^2 x GPID$_{50}$ of the aforementioned GFHK99 WT / VAR$_1$ virus mixture or the MaMN99 WT /

VAR virus mixture. Virus inoculum was given intrasnasally in a 300 μl volume of PBS. Nasal

washes were performed on days 1-6 post-inoculation and titered for viral shedding by plaque

assay. HRM genotyping was performed on samples collected on day 1, 3, and 5 for each

guinea pig.

Quantification of reassortment and effective diversity

Reassortment was quantified for *in vitro* coinfection supernatants, guinea pig nasal washes, and

quail tracheal swabs as described previously[77]. Briefly, plaque assays were performed in 10 cm

dishes to isolate virus clones. 1 mL serological pipettes were used to collect agar plugs into 160

μl PBS. Using a ZR-96 viral RNA kit (Zymo), RNA was extracted from the agar plugs and eluted

in 40 μl nuclease free water (Invitrogen). Reverse transcription was performed using Maxima RT

(Thermofisher) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The resulting cDNA was diluted 1:4 in

nuclease free water and each cDNA was combined with segment specific primers and Precision

Melt Supermix (Bio-Rad) and analyzed by qPCR in a CFX384 Touch real-time PCR detection

system (Bio-Rad) designed to amplify a ~100 bp region of each gene segment which contains a

single nucleotide change in the VAR virus. The qPCR was followed by high-resolution melt

(HRM) analysis to differentiate WT and VAR amplicons[79]. Precision Melt Analysis software (Bio-

Rad) was used to determine the parental virus origin of each gene segment based on melting

properties of the cDNAs and comparison to WT and VAR controls.

Viral genotypic diversity was quantified as reported previously[80] by calculating Simpson's

Index, given by $D = \text{sum}(p_i^2)$, where p_i represents the proportional abundance of each

genotype[81]. Simpson's Index accounts for both the raw number of species and variation in

abundance of each, and is sensitive to the abundance of dominant species. Because Simpson's

Index does not scale linearly, each sample's Simpson's Index value was converted to a

corresponding Hill number to derive its effective diversity, $N_2 = 1/D$[82], which is defined as the

number of equally abundant species required to generate the observed diversity in a sample

community. Because it scales linearly, Hill's N_2 allows a more intuitive comparison between

communities (i.e., a community with $N_2 = 10$ species is twice as diverse as one with $N_2 = 5$) and

is suitable for statistical analysis by basic linear regression methods[83]. Robust linear models of

N_2 vs. time were regressed using the R package robustlmm.

Single-cycle viral growth kinetics

DF-1 or MDCK cells were seeded at 4×10^5 cells per well in 6 well dishes 24 h prior to infection.

GFHK99 WT / VAR_1 virus mixture was serially diluted using PBS. Synchronized infection

conditions as described above were used with acid inactivation of inoculum virus and addition of

ammonium chloride medium at 3 h post-infection. At each time point, 120 µl supernatant was

collected. Viral titers for each sample were assessed by plaque assay in MDCK cells. Each MOI

condition was used in 5-6 wells in parallel infections. Three wells served as technical replicates

for growth curve sampling while the remaining wells were harvested at 24 h post-infection to

enumerate HA expressing cells via flow cytometry. In cases where acid inactivation was

inefficient, the replicate was eliminated, and data are plotted in duplicate.

Effect of increasing multiple infection on viral RNA replication

For DF-1 and MDCK cell experiments, 12 well plates were seeded with $3x10^5$ cells per well 24 h

prior to infection. For HTBE cells, cells were cultured at an air-liquid interface as previously

described[69]. Cell surfaces were rinsed three times with PBS prior to inoculation. Triplicate wells

were then mock infected with PBS or inoculated with 0.005 PFU per cell of GFHK99 WT and 0,

0.1, 0.5, 1, 3, and 5 PFU per cell of GFHK99 VAR_2 virus and placed at 37°C. After 55 minutes,

inoculum was aspirated, and cells were rinsed three times with PBS and virus medium was

added at 500 µl per well. Media was exchanged for ammonium chloride treated media 3 h later.

At 12 h post infection, virus media was removed and cells were harvested using RNAprotect

Cell Reagent (Qiagen). RNA was extracted using RNAeasy columns (Qiagen). RNA was diluted

to 500 ng per µL for MDCK cells and 120 ng per µL for DF-1 cells. A 12 µl volume of this diluted

RNA was used in reverse transcription with Maxima RT per protocol instructions. Digital droplet

PCR was performed on the resultant cDNA using a combination of PB2, M, and NS primers

specific for the GFHK99 WT virus (final primer concentration of 200 nM) with QX200™

ddPCR™ EvaGreen Supermix (Bio-Rad). WT copy number is determined as cDNA copies per

ng of input RNA. WT fold-change was calculated by dividing the copies/ng result obtain in each

VAR_2 positive condition by value of copies per ng from the average of the triplicate WT-only

samples.

Strand-specific quantification of viral RNA species over time

MOIs used in this experiment were 0.5 RNA copies per cell for the low MOI and 3.0 HA

expressing units/cell for the high MOI. Concentrations of virus mixtures in RNA copies per mL

were determined by quantifying at least four gene segments by ddPCR and taking the average.

HA expressing units per mL was measured by counting HA positive cells via flow cytometry in

the relevant cell type. Specifically, cells were infected with serial dilutions of virus under

synchronized, single cycle conditions. At 24 h post infection, cells were harvested and flow

cytometry was performed as described above, targeting His and HA epitope tags. HA

expression units per mL for each virus and cell combination was calculated based on the linear

range of %HA$^+$ cells plotted as a function of volume of virus added to cells[84] (**Supplementary**

Figure 3).

Viruses used for this experiment were the same GFHK99 WT/VAR$_1$ or MaMN99

WT/VAR virus mixtures used to measure reassortment, but in this case each mixture was

considered as a single virus population (i.e. the RT ddPCR assay outlined below to quantify viral

m/c/vRNA does not differentiate between WT and VAR genotypes).

Twelve well plates were seeded with 2×10^5 cells per well of MDCK or DF-1 cells and

incubated at 37°C for 24 h. Synchronized, single cycle infection conditions were used, as

described above. Chilled virus was added at a volume of 125 µL per well. At 0, 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, and

10 h post infection, virus medium was aspirated and cells were harvested using 400 µL of

CELLprotect solution (Qiagen). RNA was extracted from infected cells using the Qiagen

RNAeasy Mini kit. Three reverse transcription reactions per sample were set up with three

different primers, each containing different nucleotide barcode tags and targeting a distinct

species (mRNA, vRNA, and cRNA) of segment 8 (**Supplementary Table 4**). Maxima RT was

used according to the manufacturer's instructions and combined with 300 ng MDCK or 150 ng

DF-1 RNA.

Absolute copy number of cDNA was determined by ddPCR. Forward and reverse

primers for vRNA, mRNA, or cRNA of NS at a total concentration of 200 nM were combined with

diluted cDNA and QX200™ ddPCR™ EvaGreen Supermix (Bio-Rad). Primer sequences are

given in **Supplementary Table 4**. Thermocycler protocol was as follows: 95°C for 5 min, [95°C

for 30s, 57°C for 60s] repeat 40x, 4°C for 5 min, 90°C for 5 min, 4°C hold. Copy number was

normalized to RNA input to give final results in units of copy number per ng RNA.

Single-cell mRNA sequencing

For this assay, viruses were titered in DF-1 cells using flow cytometry with anti-NP antibody

(Abcam, clone 9G8). DF-1 cells were used because they give allow more sensitive detection of

GFHK99 virus infection than MDCK cells. Cells were infected with serial dilutions of virus under

synchronized, single-cycle conditions. At 24 h post-infection, cells were harvested and flow

cytometry was performed as described above, targeting NP. HA expression units per mL for

each virus and cell combination was calculated based on the linear range of % cells NP$^+$ plotted

as a function of volume of virus added to cells[84] (**Supplementary Figure 3**).

To preform single-cell mRNA sequencing, MDCK and DF-1 cells were seeded into 6-well

plates at 5×10^5 cells per well. At 24 h post seeding, MDCK and DF-1 cells from an extra well

were harvested and re-counted to ensure accuracy of cell number for infection. MDCK or DF-1

cells were then infected with a 1:1 ratio of GFHK99 WT virus and GFHK99 mVAR$_1$ virus that

amounted to a MOI of 0.02, 0.06, 0.2 or 0.6 NP units per cell. GFHK99 mVAR$_2$ virus was added

to MDCK and DF-1 cell infections at MOIs of 1 PFU per cell and 0.1 PFU per cell, respectively.

Virus stocks were diluted serially in cold 1X PBS and incubated on ice until use. Before

infection, cells were washed three times with cold 1X PBS and placed on ice. To infect, cells

were inoculated with a 200 µL volume inoculum (at appropriate concentrations) and placed on

ice for 45 minutes, with rocking every 10 minutes. The inoculum was then aspirated and 2 mL of

pre-warmed (at 37ºC) virus medium was added. Plates were incubated at 37ºC for 3 h.

Afterwards, the virus medium was replaced with 2 mL of pre-warmed virus medium

supplemented with HEPES buffer and NH$_4$Cl at final concentrations of 50 mM and 20 mM,

respectively. Plates were placed back into the incubator for an additional 5 h. Subsequently,

culture media was aspirated and cells washed once with 1X PBS. Cells were then trypsinized

with 200 µL of 0.25% Trypsin EDTA until all cells came off the plate and were mono-dispersed.

To each well, 0.5 mL of virus medium was added and replicates were pooled (2 wells per MOI).

Cells for each sample were counted. Samples were spun at 150 rcf for 3 minutes and washed

with 0.5 mL of 1X PBS/0.04% BSA. Washings were performed two more times. Finally, cells

were resuspended with 1X PBS/0.04% BSA to get a final cell count of 7×10^5 cells per mL for

each sample. Preparation for single-cell transcriptomic sequencing follows the protocol for 10x

Genomics Chromium Single Cell platform.

Analysis of viral transcripts from single cells was performed with the sequencing data

from all experiments in R using the CellRanger package (https://github.com/bpickett/Influenza-

10X). Briefly, the CellRanger software assigns each read to individual cells and transcripts

based on two sets of unique molecular identifiers that are ligated prior to amplification. This

approach allows the quantification of amplification bias at both the cellular and transcript levels.

The first step of the analytical workflow was to map the reads to concatenated transcriptomes of

IAV with the transcriptomes of dog or chicken to analyze MDCK and DF-1 cell infections,

respectively. Protein coding regions for the dog and chicken transcriptomes were identified in

the GTF file associated with genome builds CanFam3.1.94 and Gallus gallus-5.0.94,

respectively, while IAV coding regions were extracted from the reverse-complement sequences

of the GFHK99 strains. For each experiment, all transcripts with non-zero numbers of mapped

reads were then normalized to the median number of transcripts per cell to enable cross-

experiment comparison. The read counts for all eight unspliced IAV transcripts for each MOI

and cell type were subsequently extracted from the complete set and saved in separate files. A

quantitative analysis was then performed to compare the number of IAV transcripts that were

identified from each of the experimental variables. Unless otherwise stated, data was analyzed

using total viral transcripts, derived from all eight vRNA segments. The aligned sequencing data

is available on the GEO database with the accession number GSE135553.

Single-cell sorting assay for measurement of P_P values

Segment specific P_P values were determined as previously described for influenza

A/Panama/2007/99 (H3N2) virus[19], and as follows. $4x10^5$ MDCK cells were seeded into each

well of a 6-well dish. 24 h later, cells were washed 3x with PBS and inoculated with 0.018 PFU

per cell of GFHK99 WT virus and 1 PFU per cell of GFHK99 VAR$_2$ virus in a 250 µL volume of

PBS. Virus was allowed to attach at 37^oC for 1 h. Inoculum was then removed and cells were

rinsed 3x with PBS and 2 mL of virus medium was added to the well. After 1 h at 37^oC, medium

was removed and cells were washed 3x with PBS and harvested by addition of Cell Dissociation

Buffer (Corning). Cells were resuspended in complete medium and washed 3x with 2 mL FACS

buffer (2% FBS in PBS). A final resuspension step was performed in PBS containing 1% FBS,

10 mM HEPES, and 0.1% EDTA. Cells were strained through a cell strainer cap (Falcon) and

sorted on a BD Aria II cell sorter. Gating was performed to remove debris and multiplets and

one event per well was sorted into each well of a 96 well plate containing MDCK monolayers at

30% confluency in 50 µl virus medium supplemented with 1 ug per mL TPCK-treated trypsin.

Following the sort, an additional 50 µl of virus medium plus trypsin was added to each well and

plates were centrifuged at 1,800 rpm for 2 minutes to promote cell attachment. Plates were

incubated at 37^oC for 48 h to allow propagation of virus from the sorted cell.

RNA was extracted from infected cells in the 96 well plate using a ZR-96 Viral RNA Kit

(Zymo Research) per manufacturer instructions. Extracted RNA was converted to cDNA using

universal influenza primers[85] and Maxima RT according to manufacturer instructions. After

conversion, cDNA was diluted 1:4 with nuclease-free water and used as template (4 µL per

reaction) for segment-specific qPCR using SsoFast EvaGreen Supermix (Bio-Rad) in 10 µl

reactions, with 200 nM final primer concentration. Primers employed targeted each segment of

GFHK99 WT virus, as well as the PB2 and PB1 segments of GFHK99 VAR$_2$ virus. Primer

sequences are listed in **Supplementary Table 3**.

Given the MOI of GFHK99 WT virus used in the experiments, an appreciable number of

wells are expected to receive two or more viral genomes, and so a mathematical adjustment is

needed to estimate the probability of each genome segment being delivered by a single virion.

Using the relationship between MOI and the fraction of cells infected from Poisson statistics,

i.e., $f = 1 - e^{-\text{MOI}}$, the probability of the ith segment being present in a singly infected cell, or $P_{P,i}$

can be calculated from the 96-well plate using the following equation:

790
$$P_{P,i} = \frac{\text{MOI}_i}{\text{MOI}_{\text{wt}}} = \frac{-\ln(1 - f_i)}{-\ln(1 - f_{\text{wt}})} = \frac{\ln(1 - \frac{C_i}{A})}{\ln(1 - \frac{B}{A})}$$

where A is the number of VAR$_2$$^+$ wells, B is the number of WT$^+$ wells (containing any WT

segment), and C_i is the number of wells positive for the WT segment in question. Wells that

were negative for VAR$_2$ virus segments were excluded from analysis.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Coinfection and reassortment frequencies indicate that IAV multiplicity

dependence varies with virus strain and host species. A-D) MDCK or DF-1 cells were

coinfected with homologous WT and VAR viruses of either GFHK99 or MaMN99 strain

backgrounds at a range of MOIs. Following a single cycle of infection, cells were analyzed for

HA expression by flow cytometry and plaque clones derived from cell supernatants were

genotyped. The relationship between % cells HA positive and % cells dually HA positive (A)

varies with strain and cell type, resulting in curves of differing % linearity (B). GFHK99 and

MaMN99 viruses exhibit different reassortment levels in MDCK cells, but both show high

reassortment relative to a theoretical prediction in which singly infected and multiply infected

cells have equivalent burst sizes (C). GFHK99 virus reassortment levels differ in MDCK and DF-

1 cells, but reassortment under both conditions remains high relative to the theoretical prediction

in which multiple infection confers no advantage (D). In guinea pigs (n=6), GFHK99 WT and

VAR_1 viruses exhibit higher reassortment than MaMN99 WT and VAR viruses, as indicated by

increased genotypic diversity (E). The GFHK99 WT and VAR_1 viruses exhibit higher

reassortment in guinea pigs than in quail (n=5) (F). Guinea pig data shown in panels E and F

are the same. Shading represents 95% CI.

Figure 2. Increasing MOI increases viral productivity at sub-saturating, but not saturating

MOIs. MDCK and DF-1 cells were infected under single cycle conditions at a range of MOIs in

triplicate wells for each MOI. A-E) Viral titers observed at the indicated MOIs are plotted against

time post-infection. F) Fold change in amplification (viral input / maximum output) relative to the

MOI=0.01 PFU per cell condition is plotted for each virus-cell pairing. G) Burst size, calculated

as maximum PFU output / number of HA^+ cells detected by flow cytometry, is plotted for each

virus-cell pairing tested in the higher MOI range.

Figure 3. Coinfection and reassortment of chimeric viruses reveals a major role for the

viral polymerase. Reverse genetics was used to place one or more genes from GFHK99 virus

into a MaMN99 background. Coinfections with homologous WT and VAR strains were

performed in MDCK cells as in Figure 1. The relationship between % cells HA positive and %

cells dually HA positive (A) varies with genotype, resulting in curves of differing % linearity (B).

Reassortment levels vary with genotype (C), with the chimeric strain carrying GFHK99 PB2,

PB1, PA and NP segments exhibiting comparable levels to GFHK99. Experimental results are

compared to a theoretical prediction in which singly infected and multiply infected cells have

equivalent burst sizes (Prediction). Differences in reassortment levels among the viruses tested

are highlighted by plotting the % reassortment at 10% HA^+ cells, as interpolated from each

regression curve (D). Data shown for GFHK99 and MaMN99 viruses are the same as those

displayed in Figure 1.

Figure 4. Coinfection enhances GFHK99 vRNA synthesis in a dose and host dependent

manner. Cells were coinfected with 0.005 PFU per cell of GFHK99 WT virus and increasing

doses of GFHK99 VAR_2 virus. A) In MDCK and DF-1 cells, the fold change in WT vRNA copy

number, relative to that detected in the absence of GFHK99 VAR_2 virus, is plotted for various

doses of GFHK99 VAR_2 virus. B) In HTBE cells, the fold change in WT vRNA copy number,

relative to that detected in the absence of GFHK99 VAR_2 virus, is plotted for various doses of

GFHK99 VAR_2 virus. n=3 cell culture dishes per condition. Error bars represent standard error.

Figure 5. High multiplicity of infection is needed for robust GFHK99 polymerase activity

in MDCK cells. Dishes of MDCK or DF-1 cells (n=3) were infected with GFHK99 or MaMN99

virus at low (0.5 RNA copies per cell) or high (3 HA expressing units per cell) MOI. NS segment

vRNA, mRNA, and cRNA were quantified at the indicate time points (A-F). The average fold

change from initial (t=0) to peak RNA copy number is plotted for low MOI infections (G) and high

MOI infections (H). Error bars represent standard error. Significance was assessed by two-way

ANOVA with Dunnett's test for multiple comparisons: *p < 0.05, **<0.01, ***<0.001. ns = not

significant.

Figure 6. GFHK99 viral transcription is uniformly low in MDCK cells in the absence of

coinfecting virus. (A) DF-1 or MDCK cells were infected with GFHK99 WT virus at three

different MOIs (0.67, 0.2, 0.6 NP units per cell), and the transcriptomes of 1,816 individual

infected cells were elucidated using the 10X Genomics Chromium platform. Ridge plots show

distributions of $\log_{10}$-transformed viral mRNA abundance, for all eight viral transcripts combined,

in individual infected cells. The data are stratified by cell type (MDCK cells in blue, DF-1 cells in

pink), MOI, and the presence of polymerase complex (light shading = cells missing PB2, PB1,

PA, or NP; dark shading = cells in which PB2, PB1, and PA are all detected). The absence of a

dark shaded distribution for MDCK cells at the lowest MOI is due to the absence of any cells in

which all four of these segments were detected. (B) DF-1 or MDCK cells were infected and

sequenced as in (A), but the inocula contained a 1:1 mixture of GFHK99 WT and GFHK99

$mVAR_1$ viruses at three different total MOIs (0.67, 0.2, 0.6 NP units per cell) and a constant

amount of GFHK99 $mVAR_2$ virus (0.1 PFU per cell in DF-1 cells, 1.0 PFU per cell in MDCK

cells). Left facet shows data from (A), and right facet shows data from $WT/mVAR_1$

coinoculations with $mVAR_2$ virus, with WT and $mVAR_1$ transcript abundances partitioned into

separate distributions in each infection. Vertical lines denote the median of each distribution.

UMI = unique molecular identifier.

Figure 7. Incomplete GFHK99 virus genomes are present in MDCK cells but not

sufficiently abundant to account for observed reassortment. Incomplete viral genomes

were quantified experimentally by a single-cell based assay which relies on the amplification of

incomplete viral genomes of GFHK99 WT virus (0.018 PFU per cell) by a genetically similar

coinfecing virus, GFHK99 VAR$_2$. Based on the rate of detection of GFHK99 WT virus segments

in this assay, the probability that a given segment would be present and replicated in a singly

infected MDCK cell is reported as P_P. A) Summary of experimental P_P data. n = 2 biological

replicates, shown in blue and red. Shading represents 95% CI. B) Experimentally obtained P_P

values in A were used to parameterize a computational model[18]. Levels of reassortment

predicted using the experimentally determined parameters are shown in red and blue. Levels of

reassortment predicted if P_P=1.0 are shown with the dashed line. Observed reassortment of

GFHK99 WT and VAR viruses in MDCK cells are shown with black circles. Observed data are

the same as those plotted in Figure 1.

References

1   Leeks, A., Sanjuan, R. & West, S. A. The evolution of collective infectious units in viruses. *Virus*
Res **265**, 94-101, doi:10.1016/j.virusres.2019.03.013 (2019).
2   Brooke, C. B. Population Diversity and Collective Interactions during Influenza Virus Infection. *J*
Virol **91**, doi:10.1128/JVI.01164-17 (2017).
3   Sanjuan, R. Collective Infectious Units in Viruses. *Trends Microbiol* **25**, 402-412,
doi:10.1016/j.tim.2017.02.003 (2017).
4   Santiana, M. *et al.* Vesicle-Cloaked Virus Clusters Are Optimal Units for Inter-organismal Viral
Transmission. *Cell Host Microbe* **24**, 208-220 e208, doi:10.1016/j.chom.2018.07.006 (2018).
5   Chen, Y. H. *et al.* Phosphatidylserine vesicles enable efficient en bloc transmission of
enteroviruses. *Cell* **160**, 619-630, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2015.01.032 (2015).
6   Erickson, A. K. *et al.* Bacteria Facilitate Enteric Virus Co-infection of Mammalian Cells and
Promote Genetic Recombination. *Cell Host Microbe* **23**, 77-88 e75,
doi:10.1016/j.chom.2017.11.007 (2018).
7   Andreu-Moreno, I. & Sanjuan, R. Collective Infection of Cells by Viral Aggregates Promotes Early
Viral Proliferation and Reveals a Cellular-Level Allee Effect. *Current biology : CB* **28**, 3212-
3219.e3214, doi:10.1016/j.cub.2018.08.028 (2018).
8   Cuevas, J. M., Duran-Moreno, M. & Sanjuan, R. Multi-virion infectious units arise from free viral
particles in an enveloped virus. *Nat Microbiol* **2**, 17078, doi:10.1038/nmicrobiol.2017.78 (2017).
9   Cifuentes-Munoz, N., Dutch, R. E. & Cattaneo, R. Direct cell-to-cell transmission of respiratory
viruses: The fast lanes. *PLoS Pathog* **14**, e1007015, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1007015 (2018).
10   Lawrence, D. M. *et al.* Measles virus spread between neurons requires cell contact but not CD46
expression, syncytium formation, or extracellular virus production. *J Virol* **74**, 1908-1918,
doi:10.1128/jvi.74.4.1908-1918.2000 (2000).
11   McDonald, D. *et al.* Recruitment of HIV and its receptors to dendritic cell-T cell junctions. *Science*
300, 1295-1297, doi:10.1126/science.1084238 (2003).
12   Shirogane, Y., Watanabe, S. & Yanagi, Y. Cooperation between different RNA virus genomes
produces a new phenotype. *Nat Commun* **3**, 1235, doi:10.1038/ncomms2252 (2012).

13   Ciota, A. T., Ehrbar, D. J., Van Slyke, G. A., Willsey, G. G. & Kramer, L. D. Cooperative interactions
in the West Nile virus mutant swarm. *BMC Evol Biol* **12**, 58, doi:10.1186/1471-2148-12-58
(2012).
14   Xue, K. S., Hooper, K. A., Ollodart, A. R., Dingens, A. S. & Bloom, J. D. Cooperation between
distinct viral variants promotes growth of H3N2 influenza in cell culture. *Elife* **5**, e13974,
doi:10.7554/eLife.13974 (2016).
15   Brooke, C. B., Ince, W. L., Wei, J., Bennink, J. R. & Yewdell, J. W. Influenza A virus nucleoprotein
selectively decreases neuraminidase gene-segment packaging while enhancing viral fitness and
transmissibility. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **111**, 16854-16859, doi:10.1073/pnas.1415396111
(2014).
16   Brooke, C. B. *et al.* Most influenza a virions fail to express at least one essential viral protein. *J*
Virol **87**, 3155-3162, doi:10.1128/jvi.02284-12 (2013).
17   Sun, J. & Brooke, C. B. Influenza A Virus Superinfection Potential Is Regulated by Viral Genomic
Heterogeneity. *MBio* **9**, doi:10.1128/mBio.01761-18 (2018).
18   Fonville, J. M., Marshall, N., Tao, H., Steel, J. & Lowen, A. C. Influenza Virus Reassortment Is
Enhanced by Semi-infectious Particles but Can Be Suppressed by Defective Interfering Particles.
PLoS Pathog **11**, e1005204, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1005204 (2015).
19   Jacobs, N. T. *et al.* Incomplete influenza A virus genomes occur frequently but are readiliy
complemented during localized viral spread. *Nature Communications* (2019).
20   Nayak, D. P. Defective interfering influenza viruses. *Annu Rev Microbiol* **34**, 619-644,
doi:10.1146/annurev.mi.34.100180.003155 (1980).
21   Von Magnus, P. Incomplete forms of influenza virus. *Adv Virus Res* **2**, 59-79 (1954).
22   Brooke, C. B. Biological activities of 'noninfectious' influenza A virus particles. *Future Virol* **9**, 41-
51, doi:10.2217/fvl.13.118 (2014).
23   Timm, C., Gupta, A. & Yin, J. Robust kinetics of an RNA virus: Transcription rates are set by
genome levels. *Biotechnology and bioengineering* **112**, 1655-1662, doi:10.1002/bit.25578
(2015).
24   Boulle, M. *et al.* HIV Cell-to-Cell Spread Results in Earlier Onset of Viral Gene Expression by
Multiple Infections per Cell. *PLoS Pathog* **12**, e1005964, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1005964
(2016).
25   Sanjuán, R. & Thoulouze, M.-I. Why viruses sometimes disperse in groups?(†). *Virus Evol* **5**,
vez014-vez014, doi:10.1093/ve/vez014 (2019).
26   Sigal, A. *et al.* Cell-to-cell spread of HIV permits ongoing replication despite antiretroviral
therapy. *Nature* **477**, 95-98, doi:10.1038/nature10347 (2011).
27   Webster, R. G., Hinshaw, V. S., Bean, W. J., Jr., Turner, B. & Shortridge, K. F. Influenza viruses
from avian and porcine sources and their possible role in the origin of human pandemic strains.
Dev Biol Stand **39**, 461-468 (1977).
28   Wright, P. F., Neumann, G. & Kawaoka, Y. in *Fields Virology* Vol. 1 (ed D. M. & Howley Knipe, P.
M.) 1691-1740 (Lippincott-Raven, 2006).
29   Webster, R. G., Bean, W. J., Gorman, O. T., Chambers, T. M. & Kawaoka, Y. Evolution and ecology
of influenza A viruses. *Microbiol Rev* **56**, 152-179 (1992).
30   Webster, R. G., Shortridge, K. F. & Kawaoka, Y. Influenza: interspecies transmission and
emergence of new pandemics. *FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol* **18**, 275-279, doi:S0928-
8244(97)00058-8 [pii] (1997).
31   Taubenberger, J. K. & Morens, D. M. 1918 Influenza: the mother of all pandemics. *Emerg Infect*
Dis **12**, 15-22 (2006).
32   Viboud, C., Miller, M., Olson, D., Osterholm, M. & Simonsen, L. Preliminary Estimates of
Mortality and Years of Life Lost Associated with the 2009 A/H1N1 Pandemic in the US and

Comparison with Past Influenza Seasons. *PLoS Curr*, RRN1153, doi:k/-/-/35hpbywfdwl4n/8 [pii]
(2010).

33   Long, J. S., Mistry, B., Haslam, S. M. & Barclay, W. S. Host and viral determinants of influenza A
virus species specificity. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **17**, 67-81, doi:10.1038/s41579-018-0115-z (2019).

34   Rajsbaum, R. *et al.* Species-specific inhibition of RIG-I ubiquitination and IFN induction by the
influenza A virus NS1 protein. *PLoS Pathog* **8**, e1003059, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003059
(2012).

35   Manz, B. *et al.* Pandemic influenza A viruses escape from restriction by human MxA through
adaptive mutations in the nucleoprotein. *PLoS Pathog* **9**, e1003279,
doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003279

PPATHOGENS-D-12-02051 [pii] (2013).

36   Lowen, A. C. Constraints, Drivers, and Implications of Influenza A Virus Reassortment. *Annual*
review of virology **4**, 105-121, doi:10.1146/annurev-virology-101416-041726 (2017).

37   Scholtissek, C. Molecular evolution of influenza viruses. *Virus Genes* **11**, 209-215 (1995).

38   Ma, E. J., Hill, N. J., Zabilansky, J., Yuan, K. & Runstadler, J. A. Reticulate evolution is favored in
influenza niche switching. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **113**, 5335-5339,
doi:10.1073/pnas.1522921113 (2016).

39   Kilbourne, E. D. Influenza pandemics of the 20th century. *Emerg Infect Dis* **12**, 9-14 (2006).

40   Smith, G. J. *et al.* Origins and evolutionary genomics of the 2009 swine-origin H1N1 influenza A
epidemic. *Nature* **459**, 1122-1125, doi:nature08182 [pii]

10.1038/nature08182 (2009).

41   Kayed, A. S. *et al.* Surveillance for avian influenza viruses in wild birds at live bird markets, Egypt,
2014-2016. *Influenza Other Respir Viruses* **13**, 407-414, doi:10.1111/irv.12634 (2019).

42   Xu, K. M. *et al.* The genesis and evolution of H9N2 influenza viruses in poultry from southern
China, 2000 to 2005. *J Virol* **81**, 10389-10401, doi:10.1128/JVI.00979-07 (2007).

43   Russell, A. B., Trapnell, C. & Bloom, J. D. Extreme heterogeneity of influenza virus infection in
single cells. *Elife* **7**, doi:10.7554/eLife.32303 (2018).

44   Zheng, G. X. *et al.* Massively parallel digital transcriptional profiling of single cells. *Nat Commun*
8, 14049, doi:10.1038/ncomms14049 (2017).

45   Palese, P. & Shaw, M. L. in *Fields Virology* Vol. 1 (ed D. M. & Howley Knipe, P. M.) Ch. 41, 1151-
1185 (Lippincott-Raven, 2013).

46   Lowen, A. C. It's in the mix: Reassortment of segmented viral genomes. *PLoS Pathog* **14**,
e1007200, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1007200 (2018).

47   Krystal, M., Li, R., Lyles, D., Pavlakis, G. & Palese, P. Expression of the three influenza virus
polymerase proteins in a single cell allows growth complementation of viral mutants. *Proc Natl*
Acad Sci U S A **83**, 2709-2713, doi:10.1073/pnas.83.8.2709 (1986).

48   Naffakh, N., Tomoiu, A., Rameix-Welti, M. A. & van der Werf, S. Host restriction of avian
influenza viruses at the level of the ribonucleoproteins. *Annu Rev Microbiol* **62**, 403-424,
doi:10.1146/annurev.micro.62.081307.162746 (2008).

49   Mehle, A. & Doudna, J. A. Adaptive strategies of the influenza virus polymerase for replication in
humans. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **106**, 21312-21316, doi:0911915106 [pii]

10.1073/pnas.0911915106 (2009).

50   Long, J. S. *et al.* Species difference in ANP32A underlies influenza A virus polymerase host
restriction. *Nature* **529**, 101-104, doi:10.1038/nature16474 (2016).

51   Te Velthuis, A. J. W. *et al.* Mini viral RNAs act as innate immune agonists during influenza virus
infection. *Nature microbiology* **3**, 1234-1242, doi:10.1038/s41564-018-0240-5 (2018).

52   Schelker, M. *et al.* Viral RNA Degradation and Diffusion Act as a Bottleneck for the Influenza A
Virus   Infection   Efficiency.   *PLOS   Computational   Biology*   **12**,   e1005075,
doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005075 (2016).
53   Butt, K. M. *et al.* Human infection with an avian H9N2 influenza A virus in Hong Kong in 2003. *J*
Clin Microbiol **43**, 5760-5767, doi:43/11/5760 [pii]

10.1128/JCM.43.11.5760-5767.2005 (2005).
54   Peiris, M. *et al.* Human infection with influenza H9N2. *Lancet* **354**, 916-917,
doi:S0140673699033115 [pii] (1999).
55   Guan, Y. *et al.* H9N2 influenza viruses possessing H5N1-like internal genomes continue to
circulate in poultry in southeastern China. *J Virol* **74**, 9372-9380 (2000).
56   Guan, Y., Shortridge, K. F., Krauss, S. & Webster, R. G. Molecular characterization of H9N2
influenza viruses: were they the donors of the "internal" genes of H5N1 viruses in Hong Kong?
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **96**, 9363-9367 (1999).
57   Lam, T. T. *et al.* The genesis and source of the H7N9 influenza viruses causing human infections
in China. *Nature* **502**, 241-244, doi:10.1038/nature12515

nature12515 [pii] (2013).
58   Wu, A. *et al.* Sequential Reassortments Underlie Diverse Influenza H7N9 Genotypes in China.
Cell Host Microbe **4**, 446-452, doi:S1931-3128(13)00298-9 [pii]

10.1016/j.chom.2013.09.001 (2013).
59   Gu, M., Xu, L., Wang, X. & Liu, X. Current situation of H9N2 subtype avian influenza in China. *Vet*
Res **48**, 49-49, doi:10.1186/s13567-017-0453-2 (2017).
60   Pusch, E. A. & Suarez, D. L. The Multifaceted Zoonotic Risk of H9N2 Avian Influenza. *Vet Sci* **5**, 82,
doi:10.3390/vetsci5040082 (2018).
61   White, M. C. & Lowen, A. C. Implications of segment mismatch for influenza A virus evolution. *J*
Gen Virol **99**, 3-16, doi:10.1099/jgv.0.000989 (2018).
62   Villa, M. & Lassig, M. Fitness cost of reassortment in human influenza. *PLoS Pathog* **13**,
e1006685, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1006685 (2017).
63   Varble, A. *et al.* Influenza A virus transmission bottlenecks are defined by infection route and
recipient host. *Cell Host Microbe* **16**, 691-700, doi:10.1016/j.chom.2014.09.020 (2014).
64   Gallagher, M. E., Brooke, C. B., Ke, R. & Koelle, K. Causes and Consequences of Spatial Within-
Host Viral Spread. *Viruses* **10**, doi:10.3390/v10110627 (2018).
65   Chao, L., Tran, T. & Matthews, C. Muller's Ratchet and the Advantage of Sex in the Rna Virus 6.
Evolution;   international   journal   of   organic   evolution **46**, 289-299, doi:10.1111/j.1558-
5646.1992.tb02038.x (1992).
66   Froissart, R. *et al.* Co-infection weakens selection against epistatic mutations in RNA viruses.
Genetics **168**, 9-19, doi:10.1534/genetics.104.030205 (2004).
67   Novella, I. S., Reissig, D. D. & Wilke, C. O. Density-dependent selection in vesicular stomatitis
virus. *J Virol* **78**, 5799-5804, doi:10.1128/JVI.78.11.5799-5804.2004 (2004).
68   Wilke, C. O. & Novella, I. S. Phenotypic mixing and hiding may contribute to memory in viral
quasispecies. *BMC Microbiol* **3**, 11, doi:10.1186/1471-2180-3-11 (2003).
69   Danzy, S. *et al.* Mutations to PB2 and NP proteins of an avian influenza virus combine to confer
efficient   growth   in   primary   human   respiratory   cells.   *J   Virol*   **88**,   13436-13446,
doi:10.1128/JVI.01093-14 (2014).
70   Hoffmann, E., Neumann, G., Kawaoka, Y., Hobom, G. & Webster, R. G. A DNA transfection
system   for   generation   of   influenza   A   virus   from   eight   plasmids.   **97**, 6108-6113,
doi:10.1073/pnas.100133697 %J Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (2000).

71   Schwartz, S. L. & Lowen, A. C. Droplet digital PCR: A novel method for detection of influenza
virus   defective   interfering   particles.   *J   Virol   Methods*   **237**,   159-165,
doi:10.1016/j.jviromet.2016.08.023 (2016).
72   Perez, D. R., Webby, R. J., Hoffmann, E. & Webster, R. G. Land-based birds as potential
disseminators of avian mammalian reassortant influenza A viruses. *Avian Dis* **47**, 1114-1117
(2003).
73   Song, H., Nieto, G. R. & Perez, D. R. A new generation of modified live-attenuated avian
influenza viruses using a two-strategy combination as potential vaccine candidates. *J Virol* **81**,
9238-9248, doi:JVI.00893-07 [pii]

10.1128/JVI.00893-07 (2007).
74   Sorrell, E. M., Wan, H., Araya, Y., Song, H. & Perez, D. R. Minimal molecular constraints for
respiratory droplet transmission of an avian-human H9N2 influenza A virus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U*
S A **106**, 7565-7570, doi:0900877106 [pii]

10.1073/pnas.0900877106 (2009).
75   Brown, J. D. *et al.* Intestinal excretion of a wild bird-origin H3N8 low pathogenic avian influenza
virus   in   mallards   (Anas   Platyrhynchos).   *Journal   of   wildlife   diseases*   **48**,   991-998,
doi:10.7589/2011-09-280 (2012).
76   Chen, H. *et al.* Partial and full PCR-based reverse genetics strategy for influenza viruses. *PLoS*
One **7**, e46378, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0046378

PONE-D-12-21490 [pii] (2012).
77   Marshall, N., Priyamvada, L., Ende, Z., Steel, J. & Lowen, A. C. Influenza virus reassortment
occurs with high frequency in the absence of segment mismatch. *PLoS Pathog* **9**, e1003421,
doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003421 (2013).
78   Reed, L. J. & Muench, H. A SIMPLE METHOD OF ESTIMATING FIFTY PER CENT ENDPOINTS12.
American Journal of Epidemiology **27**, 493-497, doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a118408 (1938).
79   Wittwer, C. T., Reed, G. H., Gundry, C. N., Vandersteen, J. G. & Pryor, R. J. High-resolution
genotyping by amplicon melting analysis using LCGreen. *Clin Chem* **49**, 853-860 (2003).
80   Richard, M., Herfst, S., Tao, H., Jacobs, N. T. & Lowen, A. C. Influenza A virus reassortment is
limited by anatomical compartmentalization following co-infection via distinct routes. *J Virol*,
doi:10.1128/JVI.02063-17 (2017).
81   Simpson, E. H. Measurement of diversity. *Nature* **163**, 688-688 (1949).
82   Hill, M. O. Diversity and evenness: a unifying notation and its consequences. *Ecology* **54**, 427-
432 (1973).
83   Jost, L. Entropy and diversity. *Oikos* **113**, 363-375 (2006).
84   Drayman, N., Kler, S., Ben-nun-Shaul, O. & Oppenheim, A. Rapid method for SV40 titration. *J*
Virol Methods **164**, 145-147, doi:10.1016/j.jviromet.2009.12.003 (2010).
85   Zhou, B. *et al.* Single-reaction genomic amplification accelerates sequencing and vaccine
production for classical and Swine origin human influenza a viruses. *J Virol* **83**, 10309-10313,
doi:JVI.01109-09 [pii]

10.1128/JVI.01109-09 (2009).

1090

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7

A

B