Working title:

Avian influenza virus (A/H3N8) with a putative mammalian-adaptive

mutation found in a stranded grey seal pup (*Halichoerus grypus*)

rescued on the Cornwall coast, UK

Divya Venkatesh[1], Carlo Bianco[2, 3], Alejandro Núñez[2], Rachael Collins[4], Darryl Thorpe[5], Scott M.

Reid[6], Steve Essen[6, 7], Natalie McGinn[6, 7], James Seekings[6, 7], Jayne Cooper[6], Ian H. Brown[6, 7],

Nicola S. Lewis[1, 7]

1. Department of Pathobiology and Population Sciences, Royal Veterinary College, Hatfield,

10   Hertfordshire, United Kingdom

11   2. Pathology Department, Animal and Plant Health Agency (APHA-Weybridge), Woodham

12   Lane, New Haw, Addlestone, KT15 3NB, United Kingdom

13   3. Diagnostic & Consultant Avian Pathology, Pathology Department, Animal and Plant

14   Health Agency (APHA- Lasswade) Pentlands Science Park, Bush Loan, Penicuik,

15   Midlothian, EH26 0PZ, United Kingdom.

16   4. Animal and Plant Health Agency, Starcross Veterinary Investigation Centre, Staplake

17   Mount, Starcross, Devon, EX6 8PE, United Kingdom

18   5. British Divers Marine Life Rescue, Lime House, Regency Close, Uckfield, East Sussex,

19   TN22 1DS, United Kingdom

20   6. Virology Department, Animal and Plant Health Agency (APHA-Weybridge), Woodham

21   Lane, New Haw, Addlestone, KT15 3NB, United Kingdom

22   7. OIE/FAO/EURL International Reference Laboratory for avian influenza, swine influenza

23   and Newcastle Disease, Animal and Plant Health Agency (APHA) - Weybridge,

24   Addlestone, Surrey, United Kingdom

ABSTRACT

Avian Influenza A Viruses (IAV) in different species of seals display a spectrum of pathogenicity, from

subclinical infection to unusual mortality events. In this report, we describe a case of avian IAV

infection in a 3-4 month old grey seal (*Halichoerus grypus*) pup, rescued from St Michael's Mount,

Cornwall in 2017. The pup underwent medical treatment, but died after two weeks. Post-mortem

examination and histology indicated sepsis as the cause of death.

IAV NP antigen was detected by immunohistochemistry in the nasal mucosa only, with no evidence

of pathology. Sensitive real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction assays detected

trace amounts of viral RNA within the lower respiratory tract, suggesting that the infection may have

been cleared naturally. IAV infection prevalence among grey seals might therefore be

underestimated. In addition, the fact that the animal was rescued and entered into contact with

humans raised concerns about potential zoonotic risk. Nucleotide sequencing revealed the virus to

be IAV subtype H3N8. Combining a GISAID database BLAST search and time-scaled phylogenetic

analyses, we inferred that the seal infection came from an unsampled, locally circulating (in Northern

Europe) source viruses, likely originally derived from wild Anseriformes, which may or may not have

been circulating in seals. From examining the protein alignments, we found several residue changes

in the seal virus that did not occur in the bird viruses, including D701N in the PB2 segment, a rare

mutation, and a hallmark of mammalian adaptation of bird viruses, which has been reported in

another IAV H3N8 avian-to-seal transmission event. IAVs of H3N8 subtype have been noted for their

particular ability to cross the species barrier and cause productive infections, including historical

records suggesting that they may have caused the 1889 pandemic. Therefore, infections such as the

one we report here may be of interest to pandemic surveillance and risk, and may help us better

understand the determinants and drivers of mammalian adaptation in influenza.

53   (Word count: 317)

 INTRODUCTION

Influenza A viruses (IAVs) are important pathogens for humans and livestock including pigs and

poultry. They are segmented RNA viruses, whose genomes consist of eight segments of RNA, which

code for ~11 proteins/polypeptides. IAVs are classified into several subtypes based on the antigenic

properties of two surface glycoproteins haemagglutinin (HA, avian subtypes H1-H16) and

neuraminidase (NA, avian subtypes N1-N9). Viruses of most subtypes can be found in wild waterfowl

and shorebirds which are their natural reservoir (Alexander, 2007; Easterday et al., 1968). Viruses

from wild birds can infect both domestic birds and mammalian species in spill-over infections, and a

few IAV lineages have become established in mammals such as humans and pigs, though only of

subtypes H1, H2 and H3 (Reperant et al., 2009; Webster et al., 1992).

IAV in birds replicates mainly in the intestine and is transmitted through the faecal-oral route,

although respiratory tropism and oropharyngeal shedding has been noted (Webster et al., 1978;

Daoust et al., 2011; Höfle et al., 2012; França et al., 2012; Daoust et al., 2013), and the virus can

survive in the environment for fairly long periods (Brown et al., 2009; Stallknecht et al., 1990). This

creates conditions conducive to viral exchange with marine mammals such as pinnipeds (seals),

whose habitats and prey intersect with those of waterfowl and shorebirds. Several cases of marine

mammal infection with IAV of many subtypes including H1N1, H3N3, H3N8, H4N5, H4N6, H7N7 and

H10N7 have been documented with a spectrum of effects ranging from mass die-offs to

asymptomatic; in a majority of these cases, the source is implicated to be avian (Fereidouni et al.,

2016; White, 2013). A few studies have also suggested that certain species of marine mammals such

as grey seals may act as an endemically infected reservoir which may disseminate viruses in coastal

ecosystems to other mammals, coastal birds, and potentially humans (Duignan et al., 1995, 1997;

Puryear et al., 2016). In seals, IAV binds the same type of sialyloligosaccharide receptors

(SAα2,3Gal) as birds, but the receptors are located in the respiratory tract and lungs instead of the

intestinal tract, suggesting that inhalation is the most likely route of transmission (Ito et al., 1999;

Ramis et al., 2012; White, 2013). Seals may also be infected by IAV from non-avian sources; there is

serological evidence for infection of Baikal and ringed seals in Russia with human H3N2 strains

A/Aichi/2/68 and A/Bangkok/1/79 (Ohishi et al., 2004) and a human pandemic-2009 H1N1 virus was

isolated from Elephant seals in 2010 on the coast of California, USA. Furthermore, it has been

shown that IAV from seals can replicate in human tissue and that seal IAV can be systemically

virulent in primates (Murphy et al., 1983; Webster et al., 1981; White, 2013). Seals may therefore be

potential sources of pandemic influenza.

In this paper, we report an H3N8 IAV infection of a stranded grey seal pup in coastal England. We

provide molecular and histological evidence for presence of IAV in the seal's respiratory tissues, and

conclude from the post-mortem that the clinical presentation was not caused by influenza virus

infection. To our knowledge, we provide the first whole genome sequence for an IAV isolated from a

grey seal, as attempts so far have been unsuccessful. We use genetic analyses to find the putative

sources of this virus and look for adaptive changes in its sequence. We compare this case with

previously described seal infections to identify unique or parallel elements which may have

implications for animal and human health.

METHODS

Clinical history and medical interventions

In February 2017, a female grey seal (*Halichoerus grypus*) pup was rescued from St Michael's Mount,

Cornwall. Physical examination of the animal on admittance to the rehabilitation centre revealed an

emaciated and dehydrated subject (body weight = 20 kg). The estimated age was 3 to 4 months. The

animal exhibited a mucoid nasal discharge and a 1 cm wound on the ventral thorax. Its temperature

was 39.9 °C and breathing rate was abnormal (continuous breathing pattern, 12 breaths per minute).

The pup was monitored and provided nutritional support, fluid therapy and antibiotic treatment, but

died suddenly 14 days after admittance. The carcass and a nasal swab were submitted to the Animal

and Plant Health Agency (APHA) for virological investigation.

Pathology, histopathology and immunohistochemistry

The carcass underwent a full post mortem examination. A set of tissues was sampled and fixed in

buffered formalin (nasal turbinates, trachea, lung, kidney, soft tissues adjacent to the

cutaneous/subcutaneous lesion, and lymph node). A standard histopathological examination was

carried out on the tissues (Hematoxylin & eosin), followed by an immunohistochemical investigation

aimed to detect intra-lesional IAV along the respiratory tract. The immunohistochemistry procedure

targeted the IAV nucleoprotein (Brookes et al., 2010).

Real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RRT-PCR)

RNA was extracted from the nasal swab and tissue suspensions using the QIAmp viral RNA

BioRobot kit customised for APHA in conjunction with a Universal BioRobot (Qiagen, Manchester,

UK) (Slomka et al., 2009). RRT-PCR testing of the RNA extracts comprised (i) the Matrix (M)-gene

assay for generic IAV detection using the primers and probes of (Nagy et al., 2010) and (ii) H5 and H7

IAV RRT-PCR assays to test for notifiable avian influenza (NAI) (Slomka et al., 2007, 2009). For each

RRT-PCR assay, samples producing a threshold cycle (CT) value <36.0 were considered positive

(Slomka et al., 2010). The RNA was also tested by an IAV N1-specific RRT-PCR according to the

procedure described by (Payungporn et al., 2006), an IAV N5-specific RRT-PCR (James et al. 2018)

and two IAV subtype N8-specific RRT-PCRs (James et al., 2018) with the same positive/negative

acceptance criteria. All amplifications were carried out in an MX3000P qPCR System (Agilent).

Virus isolation and whole genome sequencing

Attempted virus isolation in 9- to 11-day old SPF embryonated fowls' eggs was performed on the

nasal swab sample according to the internationally-recognised European Union (EU) and OIE

methods (EU, 2006; OIE, 2015). RNA was sequenced using the MiSeq platform. Briefly, viral RNA

was extracted from the egg-isolate of the virus from the nasal swab using the QIAmp viral RNA mini-

kit without the addition of carrier RNA (Qiagen, Manchester, UK). cDNA was synthesized from RNA

using a random hexamer primer mix and cDNA Synthesis System (Roche, UK). The Sequence library

was prepared using a NexteraXT kit (Illumina, Cambridge, UK). Quality control and quantification of

the cDNA and Sequence Library was performed using Quantifluor dsDNA System (Promega, UK).

Sequence libraries were run on a Miseq using MiSeq V2 300 cycle kit (Illumina, Cambridge, UK) with

2x150 base paired-end reads. The raw sequence reads were analysed using publicly available

bioinformatics software, following an in house pipeline, available on github

(https://github.com/ellisrichardj/FluSeqID/blob/master/FluSeqID.sh). This pipeline de-novo

assembles the raw data using the Velvet assembler (Zerbino and Birney, 2008), blasts the resulting

contigs against a local database of influenza genes using Blast+ (Camacho et al., 2009), then maps

the raw data against the highest scoring blast hit using the Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (Li, 2013). The

consensus sequence was extracted from the resultant bam file using a modified SAMtools software

package (Li et al., 2009), script (vcf2consensus.pl) available at:

https://github.com/ellisrichardj/csu_scripts/blob/master/vcf2consensus.pl).

Phylogenetic analysis of the seal virus

BLAST (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool) was used on GISAID (Elbe and Buckland-Merrett, 2017;

Shu and McCauley, 2017) to find the top 50 closest related viral segments for each segment to the

seal virus. We combined the seal virus sequence (query) along with the BLAST-hits sequences

(blasthit) for each segment for phylogenetic analysis. We removed sequences containing duplicate

strain names and aligned with MAFFT (Katoh and Standley, 2013) using automatic settings.

Alignments for each segment were inspected manually on AliView (Larsson, 2014) and the ends

trimmed to the starting ATG and end STOP codon. Exploratory trees were run using FastTree (Price

et al., 2009), after which we used IQ-TREE (Nguyen et al., 2015) to make the final maximum-

likelihood tree with 1000 iterations of alrt (approximate likelihood ratio test) for branch support.

Molecular clock analysis

Tempest v1.5 (Rambaut et al., 2016) was used to test ML trees for clock-like behaviour. Trees for all

segments except MP showed clock-like behaviour (**Figure S1**), so results from the MP dataset are

excluded. BEAST v1.10.1 (Bayesian Evolutionary Analysis Sampling Trees) (Suchard et al., 2018) was

used to determine the putative time and source of emergence of the different segments of the seal

virus. BEAST performs Bayesian analysis of molecular sequences using MCMC (Markov Chain

Monte Carlo) methods. For all segments other than MP and NS which have multiple reading frames,

we used the SRD06 site model which partitions the codons into 1+2 and 3. For NS we used a GTR

model with no codon partitioning. The rest of the priors were kept identical for all segments: an

uncorrelated relaxed lognormal clock, GMRF (Gaussian Markov random field) Bayesian skyride tree

(Minin et al., 2008), 7 million MCMC generations with sampling every 7000. Two separate runs were

performed for each segment, which were combined after logs were inspected in Tracer

v1.7.1(Rambaut et al., 2018) for appropriate mixing and ESS (effective sample size) values > 200.

Trees were summarised into median clade credibility trees (MCC) and plotted in R v3.5 (using the

ggtree package (Yu et al., 2017).

Amino acid substitutions

We manually inspected the trimmed alignments of each segment in AliView software (Larsson, 2014),

translated the sequence into amino-acids, and checked for amino acid changes that were found

across each dataset. We identified several substitutions in the seal virus that did not occur in any of

the bird virus sequences. We recorded these substitutions using H3-numbering of the sequence

using the HA subtype numbering conversion tool available from FluDB ((Burke and Smith, 2014),

https://tinyurl.com/HAnumbering).

<u>RESULTS</u>

Pathology and immunohistochemistry

The post-mortem revealed abundant purulent fluid (approximately 1 litre) in the subcutaneous

tissues of the right side of the body extending from the neck to the thoracic region (**Figure 1A**). All

other organs were macroscopically unremarkable. The blubber sternal thickness was 0.4 cm.

Histology identified a severe, chronic-active, fibrino-purulent cellulitis and fasciitis and a severe,

acute renal infarct with thrombosis. In the respiratory system a diffuse mild to moderate rhinitis with

epithelial hyperplasia and presence of mites was observed in the nasal cavity and a focal broncho-

interstitial pneumonia with thrombosis and pulmonary nematodes were seen in the lungs, with no

changes observed in trachea and bronchi. Influenza A virus antigen was detected by

immunohistochemistry only in the nasal mucosa, in the nuclei of scattered isolated epithelial cells

(**Figure 1B**). The pathological findings were suggestive of a thromboembolic event and sepsis

caused by the cellulitis as the cause of the death of the animal.

 Detection and subtyping of IAV by RRT-PCR

The nasal swab was positive for the M-gene (CT value of 22.36), signifying the presence of IAV RNA

but was negative for NAI haemagglutinin (HA) subtypes H5 and H7 as well as the IAV subtypes N1

and N5. However, IAV subtype N8 was detected by one of the specific RRT-PCR assays employed

(CT value of 24.38). In addition, trace amounts of IAV RNA were detected by the M-gene RRT-PCR

assay in tracheal bronchi (CT value of 35.88), the left lung (CT value of 37.73) and the right cranial

lung (CT value of 38.67). Due to low levels of virus detected in the lower respiratory tract, and the

presence of clinical signs not consistent with influenza infection, we concluded that the virus was of

no or low pathogenicity. It is possible that at the point the seal was rescued, it had already cleared

the infection in the lungs naturally, which would explain trace amounts of detection in these tissues.

This is consistent with previous studies which found that grey seals were likely to remain

asymptomatic with IAV infection while a proportion of the surveyed adults and juveniles were

seropositive (Bodewes et al., 2015a; Puryear et al., 2016).

Sequencing results from the nasal swab egg-isolate indicated no mixed infection, just a single virus,

from which it was possible to sequence all eight gene segments. The virus-derived sequence was

named A/grey seal/England/027661/2017 and sequences were deposited in GISAID database

(Global Initiative on Sharing All Influenza Data) with isolate ID: EPI_ISL_381748.

Source of viral segments

Segment gene sequences which were found to be most closely related to the genes of the seal virus

by BLAST were of avian origin. Overall, the strains in the set of BLAST-hits for each segment gene,

all came from different avian viruses, isolated from different bird-types, and of different subtypes.

They were from strains that were mostly isolated from wild birds such as mallard, Eurasian teal,

White-fronted goose, Black-headed gull and others (*Anas platyrhynchos*, *Anas crecca*, *Anser*

albifrons, *Chroicocephalus ridibundus*), along with a few from domestic birds such as chickens

(*Gallus gallus domesticus*). This indicates a wild bird origin for the seal virus, which is consistent with

previously detected seal infections (Fereidouni et al., 2016; White, 2013), and the hypothesis

concerning overlapping habitats for wild birds and seals which make transmission from wild birds

possible, but less likely from poultry, pigs or humans.

Also similar to previously reported infections (Anthony et al., 2012; Zohari et al., 2014), the set of

closest related strains were isolated from within the local region i.e., Northern European (The

Netherlands, Germany, UK etc.) with the exception of three strains from China being among the

close relatives of the PB1 sequence. The years of detection of the closest-related avian viruses for

each segment ranged from the 2007 (NA) to 2017 (NS).

Table S1 shows a summary for how often the same strain appears as a BLAST-hit for each segment

of the seal virus. A large proportion of these occur singly (250 strains) or for a maximum of two

segments (40 strains). However, some strains were found to map for 3, 4 or 5 segments (19, 2 and 1

strains(s) respectively). Of these, all the strains that mapped for at least 3 segments were isolated

from birds in the Netherlands between 2011-15, except for one chicken virus from France in 2016.

The subtypes varied greatly, but they were usually isolated from wild birds. In the next section, we

further examine the closest available sequence(s) for each seal gene to try and understand the

emergence and further propagation of this virus.

Emergence of viral segments

There is no dedicated surveillance program for seals in England so infection status in seals as

endemic or one-off spill over event is uncertain. Previous studies in the US have indicated that grey

seals are possibly a reservoir for IAV and other viruses (Duignan et al., 1995, 1997; Puryear et al.,

2016), We performed a time-scaled analysis with the set of closely related avian virus segment

sequences, to test if all segments from seal virus had a similar point of introduction, i.e., similar time

to most recent common ancestor (TMRCA) of the seal and wild bird viruses for all segments, or the

extent to which this varied segment to segment. We used BEAST to reconstruct time-scaled

phylogenies for each of our segment datasets.

The trees for each segment are summarised in **Figure 2 (A-G)**; trees for the MP gene segment are

excluded due to lack of clock-like behaviour in that dataset. Time to the putative ancestor strain of

the segments from the seal virus and its closest related segment is inferred as ranging from 1999

(NA) to between 2011 and 2015 (all other gene segments), summarised in **Figure 3** and **Table 1**.

Gaps in surveillance, and the availability of just one seal strain will likely affect the inference of

TMRCAs, but the variation between segments is also likely a testament to the high levels of

reassortment seen in wild bird IAVs (Lu et al., 2014). Indeed, the closest associated virus varies in

host, subtype and geography of isolation for each segment, as can be seen in the highlighted clades

in **Figure 2 (A-H),** and summarised in **Table 1**. Consistent with data of BLAST hits (table S1), many

of the closest related segments isolated prior to February 2017 are from strains isolated in the

Netherlands (HA, MP, NP, NS, PA, PB1, PB2). Where multiple strains are equally closely related to

the seal virus, e.g. for PB1 and PB2 the avian influenza strains come from the Netherlands and

France. The closest strain to the seal NA gene that has been sampled is from 2009 at the latest, from

Norway. For the NS gene, the closest segments appear to be from Russian strains in chickens as

recent as December 2017, which may indicate onward transmission via unsampled intermediaries;

however the posterior probability support value for the node is low (0.36).

From the above observations, we conclude that most of the seal virus segments are derived from

viruses in wild birds in Northern Europe, possibly via unsampled intermediaries – but not in a single

transmission event. If grey seals do act as a reservoir for IAV, it is feasible for reassortment of

segments to have occurred in seals, as was demonstrated by the introduction of a wild bird NA gene

into seals during an H10N7 outbreak in North-western Europe (Bodewes et al., 2016).

Substitutions for mammalian adaptation

Previous analyses have indicated that mammalian adaptive mutations can occur in avian viruses

when they are transmitted into seals. A study of H10N7 viruses in harbour seals in Northern Europe,

which unlike the present case was demonstrated to have transmitted to other seals and caused an

outbreak, showed that mutations were likely to occur early on after transmission to seals and then

plateau (Bodewes et al., 2016). We looked for differences between the seal virus and wild bird

viruses in the segment amino acid alignments of our datasets, to check if they any had putative

adaptive implications.

Several residues in the seal virus that did not occur in the bird viruses. These substitutions are

summarised in **Table 2**. Among them, is D701N in the PB2 segment, which is a rare mutation, and a

hallmark of mammalian adaptation of bird viruses, regardless of genetic background (Liu et al., 2018;

Steel et al., 2009)). These studies have elucidated that the basis of this adaptation is that it allows for

better replication in mammalian cells and has been associated with increased transmission in ferret

experiments. Another mutation (S678N) in the seal PB1 gene has also been associated with

increased polymerase activity and virulence in mice (Gabriel et al., 2005).

Changes were also found in the HA gene (**Table 2**), but the implications are less clear. At least two of

the changes S111G (H3 numbering - 95) and S235Y (H3 numbering 219) could result in a potential

loss of glycosylation compared to related wild bird H3s according to prediction by (Hamby and Hirst,

2008) (see **Table S2**). The HA of the H3N8 virus isolated from harbour seals in Massachusetts in

2011 had an F110S mutation, where the 110 residue has been previously found to be a critical

component of the influenza fusion peptide, which may impact replication in mammalian cells

(Anthony et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2011). Our reported seal virus retains F at position 110, but whether

the mutation in the adjoining residue has any effect on HA fusion properties is unknown.

We compared all the substitutions with previously described mutations in seal infections, and found

that apart from D701N, which was also found in the H3N8 seal virus infection in Massachusetts in

2011 (Anthony et al., 2012), there were no identical amino acid changes. In the H3 gene, we found

substitutions in residue 81 (reference H3 numbering - 64), and residue 176 (reference H3 numbering

- 160), which are also changed, to different amino acids, in the 2011 New England virus (Anthony et

al., 2012). The latter mutation was not implicated in receptor binding for the seal viruses as was the

case with H5N1 and some human H3N2 viruses, because the glycosylation site is absent in both

seal and wild bird viruses (**Table S2**). The presence of Serine at position 66 in the PB1 sequence,

which enables production of PB1-F2 (Conenello et al., 2007) was found in the 2011 H3N8 harbour

seal virus but was not seen in this 2017 H3N8 grey seal virus. Changes at positions 226 and 228 in

HA (H3 numbering) which can change receptor-binding preferences between avian and mammalian

hosts (Connor et al., 1994; Matrosovich et al., 2000), were not found in either of the H3N8 seal

viruses, but the H10 equivalent of H3-Q226L was identified in viruses from the 2014-15 H10N7

outbreak in Europe (Dittrich et al., 2018). We therefore find limited convergence in mutations in the

new mammalian host when different starting genetic backgrounds are provided by the different and

unrelated pools of avian viruses.

DISCUSSION

Marine mammals may be exposed to a plethora of environmental agents, such as chemical

pollutants, and existing or (re-)emerging pathogens. Since many marine mammal species share the

coastal environment with humans and consume the same food, they also may serve as effective

sentinels for public health problems (Bossart, 2011). Marine mammals are particularly interesting

hosts for IAVs since they are globally distributed and can migrate over long distances in the vicinity

of coastal ecosystems and population centres, where they intersect with waterfowl and shorebirds in

scenarios conducive to virus exchange.

327
A range of IAV subtypes have been shown to infect marine mammals, including many beyond those

that have been able to establish lineages in humans, horses and pigs i.e., H1N1, H1N2, H3N2, H3N8.

Among marine mammals, harbour seals (*Phoca vitulina*) appear particularly susceptible to mass

mortalities in comparison to grey seals. Periodic infections caused by morbillivirus and influenza

virus occur in harbour and Atlantic grey seals, some of which present as unusual mortality events

(UMEs), usually in the former (Anthony et al., 2012; Bodewes et al., 2015b; Goldstein et al., 2013;

Krog et al., 2015; Zohari et al., 2014). Recent investigations (Bodewes et al., 2015a; Puryear et al.,

2016) have provided further evidence that grey seals, being both permissive to and tolerant of

diverse IAV, possibly represent an endemically infected wild reservoir population, that is reminiscent

of the natural waterfowl reservoir. Puryear et al. found viral prevalence of 9% in healthy live-captured

North-west Atlantic grey seal pups, and 5.3% in adults; with seroprevalence being nearly 20% in

adults. In the Netherlands, Bodewes et al. found seroprevalence of 26% in healthy adult grey seals.

Similarly, various surveillance efforts in wild birds have found seroprevalence ranging from 31% to

greater than 60% depending on bird species, geography, seasonality and other factors (Curran et al.,

2015; Fereidouni et al., 2010; Wilson et al., 2013).

The case reported here is epidemiologically interesting as the animal was referred to a rescue centre

because it was stranded and the IAV was detected only incidentally, since the clinico-pathological

presentation was typical of stranded seal pups and no signs of IAV infection were detected. In

addition, we were able to sequence the virus whereas previous attempts at sequencing IAV from

grey seals identified during surveillance were unsuccessful due to lack of sufficient material (Puryear

et al., 2016). The vast majority of grey seals admitted to rehabilitation centres in the UK are pups

within the first year of life. Malnutrition is the single most common reason for pinnipeds to be taken

into rehabilitation centres (Barnett et al., 2000; Van Bonn, 2015). Wounds are often recorded and

hold clinical significance, as in this case, and have been considered predisposing factors for fatal

non-specific septicaemia (Baily, 2014). The cause of trauma and wounds may be anthropic

(entanglement in fishing nets or gears) or biologic (conspecific aggressiveness or hierarchical to

cannibalistic behaviours). Wounds caused by bites of other seals or predators were most often seen

(Barnett et al., 2000; Van Bonn, 2015). Pulmonary and nasal parasites are also very well documented

clinico-pathological conditions among rescued seal pups, and likely caused the hyperplastic rhinitis

identified in this study seal. No histopathological findings consistent with IAV derived damage were

detected along the respiratory tract. The immunohistochemistry demonstrated productive viral

replication only in nasal mucosa, but not in lower respiratory segments, although the more sensitive

RRT-PCR revealed presence of trace amounts of viral RNA within the lower respiratory tract,

suggesting that the infection may have been cleared naturally or indicating a passive translocation of

antigen from upper respiratory segments.

The fact that the IAV did not appear to cause disease might suggest that this virus is adapted to the

grey seal, as suggested by reports of seroprevalence. Puryear et al. discuss the possibility that grey

seals may be suited to being reservoirs due to gain in population density since the marine mammal

protection act in the US in 1972, along with more socially gregarious and aggressive behaviour in

comparison to harbour seals, all of which contribute to high pathogen transmissibility. In addition,

grey seal population history over a longer time-scale globally might be relevant; similar to harbour

and fur seals, they appear to have undergone a postglacial population expansion between 7000 –

30000 years ago (Klimova et al., 2014). Phylogenetic analyses have been unable to resolve the

relationships between different seal species with sufficient support. Grey seals are either placed as a

sister group to Caspian seals (*Pusa Caspica*) and separate from harbour seals, or in a basal position

to both *Phoca* and *Pusa* genus (Berta et al., 2018; Fulton and Strobeck, 2006). Therefore, in addition

to viral genetics, it might be useful to explore host genetics and immune response differences

between grey and harbour seals to understand differences in IAV pathogenicity and transmissibility

in the two species.

A previous case of seal infection by avian IAV led to an outbreak that spread among harbour seals

for thousands of miles of European coastline from Sweden and Denmark to Germany and the

Netherlands. A spatiotemporal analysis of viral evolution of those seal viruses found that the

mammalian adaptation mutations occur rapidly on infection of seals, and that continued contact with

bird reservoirs allows for further exchange of viral segments between the two hosts (Bodewes et al.,

2016). Since the case of the seal virus in this study appears to be a singular infection as far as we

know, it is difficult to know if and how long the virus has been in seals. In our dataset of closest

related sequences to the seal virus, we find that while there are several substitutions found in the

seal virus which do not occur in the bird viruses, we generally do not find substitutions in any of the

bird virus strains that do not occur in other bird viruses too. This likely indicates adaptation to the

seal environment, a hypothesis supported by the occurrence of the D701N mutation, a known rare

marker of mammalian adaptation (Liu et al., 2018; Steel et al., 2009). D701N is common in canine

and horse H3N8 IAV (see **Table S3**), but does not occur in birds, and was found associated with

highly-pathogenic H5N1 viruses which infected humans (Gabriel et al., 2005; Jong et al., 2006; Li et

al., 2005). We found one reported instance of the D701N mutation in the other avian H3N8 virus that

infected pinnipeds, but not in the H10N7 outbreak, despite sustained transmission in seals for

several months, nor in any other previously sequenced seal PB2. H3N8 IAV are noted for the ability

cross species barriers, so it would be relevant to consider if the subtype of the virus has any

influence on the kind of adaptive mutations that occur in the polymerase genes, and if so what sort

of mechanisms this might involve.

Successful or not, we do see evidence for putative adaptive substitutions in the viral sequence. This

could mean the virus has been circulating in seals for a certain amount of time during which these

substitutions have accumulated. Alternatively, this event might represent an early rapidly-adapting

virus from a spill-over event as seen in the H10N7 outbreak (Bodewes et al., 2016). Given the

subclinical nature of the infection, we propose the former explanation is more likely to be correct.

The 2011 North American H3N8 and 2014 European H10N7 viruses which caused outbreaks in

harbour seals were found to have acquired mutations to enable recognition of sialyloligosaccharide

receptors found more abundantly in mammalian tissues (SAα2,6Gal) but which retained the ability to

interact with avian receptors (SAα2,3Gal). A later detailed structural and functional analysis of the

2011 H3N8 seal HA indicated a true avian receptor binding preference (Yang et al., 2015), as did a

mutational analysis of the H10N7 viruses (Dittrich et al., 2018). Although there are some common

HA residues that are changed in both the seal virus reported here and the 2011 H3N8 virus, we

found limited convergence in the substitutions and residues involved between the different seal

viruses, likely attributable to the different genetic backgrounds from different avian sources and

possibly differences in host environment of reservoir vs susceptible species. In addition, to the best

of our knowledge, ours is the first grey seal virus sequence that is being made publicly available (via

GISAID). It is therefore uncertain whether the type of mutations occurring in a putative reservoir host

vis-à-vis avian sequence might be different from those occurring in related hosts with pathogenic

outcomes.

Our phylogeny and molecular clock analyses suggest different lineages of source viruses, and time

of introduction of different segments, but it is not possible to be precise on how long the viral gene

segments have been in the seal population because there is only a single data point. It is not

surprising to find that the closest sampled and sequenced viruses for each segment are of different

subtypes and hosts and lineages, given that in birds, there is extensive influenza reassortment (Lu et

al., 2014) i.e., swapping of individual segments into different progeny viruses during propagation

after mixed infection with two or more viruses. It would be speculative to propose likely wild bird

species donor however. Studies on avian influenza have previously shown that the transmission

between birds is directional, e.g., usually in the direction from anseriformes such as ducks into

galliformes (chicken) or charadriiformes (gulls) (Venkatesh et al., 2018). In the case of the current

infection as well, where we have found unambiguously closest related sequences, they have tended

to have been isolated from anseriformes species. The above findings, along with the observation that

the closest related viruses were isolated largely from the Netherlands, it is likely that the source of

the viral segments come from unsampled locally circulating (in Northern Europe) avian viruses from

an anseriformes host.

H3N8 viruses currently circulate in horses and dogs but not humans or pigs. However, different H3

viruses have been found in several species including humans, pigs, horses, dogs, cats, seals,

poultry, and wild aquatic birds. They have thus been noted for a particular ability to cross species

barrier and cause productive infections. One study that examined the ability of H3N8 viruses from

canine, equine, avian, and seal origin to productively infect pigs, demonstrated that avian and seal

viruses replicated substantially and caused detectable lesions in inoculated pigs without prior

adaptation (Solórzano et al., 2015). It is possible that the ready occurrence of PB2 701N mutation in

H3N8 viruses contributes to this ability. We do not have any biological evidence for pre-20th century

human influenza, but historical analysis suggests a long association of humans with influenza

(Hirsch, 1883; Taubenberger and Morens, 2010), and has uncovered temporal–geographic

associations between equine and human influenza-like disease activity documented in Europe in the

16th-18th centuries (Morens and Taubenberger, 2010). Such analysis has also implicated an H3N8

virus in the 1889 pandemic in humans (Morens and Taubenberger, 2010), which makes mammalian-

adapted H3N8 viruses of particular interest as IAV pandemic risk candidates.

In this paper we have presented analyses of a case of seal infection with IAV in coastal England. This

infection provides a small but unique window to understand the ecology of avian-origin viruses that

may be circulating and maintained in mammals. Given the mammalian adaptation activity upon

infection found in our study and those of others, these infections may be of interest to pandemic

surveillance and risk despite the limited interface between humans and seals (compared to swine).

Given the historical context, it is also worth exploring H3N8 infections of mammals such as seals, as

they may help us better understand the determinants of mammalian adaptation in influenza and its

complex drivers.

<u>REFERENCES</u>

Alexander, D.J. (2007). An overview of the epidemiology of avian influenza. Vaccine 25, 5637–5644.

Anthony, S.J., Leger, J.A.S., Pugliares, K., Ip, H.S., Chan, J.M., Carpenter, Z.W., Navarrete-Macias,
I., Sanchez-Leon, M., Saliki, J.T., Pedersen, J., et al. (2012). Emergence of Fatal Avian Influenza in
New England Harbor Seals. MBio 3, e00166-12.

Baily, J.L. (2014). The pathology and occurrence of pathogens in Scottish grey seals (Halichoerus
grypus). Thesis. University of St Andrews.

Barnett, J.E., Woodley, A.J., Hill, T.J., and Turner, L. (2000). Conditions in grey seal pups
(Halichoerus grypus) presented for rehabilitation. Vet. Rec. 147, 98–104.

Berta, A., Churchill, M., and Boessenecker, R.W. (2018). The Origin and Evolutionary Biology of
Pinnipeds: Seals, Sea Lions, and Walruses. Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci. 46, 203–228.

Bodewes, R., García, A.R., Brasseur, S.M., Conteras, G.J.S., Bildt, M.W.G. van de, Koopmans,
M.P.G., Osterhaus, A.D.M.E., and Kuiken, T. (2015a). Seroprevalence of Antibodies against Seal
Influenza A(H10N7) Virus in Harbor Seals and Gray Seals from the Netherlands. PLOS ONE 10,
e0144899.

Bodewes, R., Bestebroer, T.M., van der Vries, E., Verhagen, J.H., Herfst, S., Koopmans, M.P.,
Fouchier, R.A.M., Pfankuche, V.M., Wohlsein, P., Siebert, U., et al. (2015b). Avian Influenza A(H10N7)
Virus–Associated Mass Deaths among Harbor Seals. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 21, 720–722.

Bodewes, R., Zohari, S., Krog, J.S., Hall, M.D., Harder, T.C., Bestebroer, T.M., Bildt, M.W.G. van de,
Spronken, M.I., Larsen, L.E., Siebert, U., et al. (2016). Spatiotemporal Analysis of the Genetic
Diversity of Seal Influenza A(H10N7) Virus, Northwestern Europe. J. Virol. 90, 4269.

Bossart, G.D. (2011). Marine Mammals as Sentinel Species for Oceans and Human Health. Vet.
Pathol. 48, 676–690.

Brookes, S.M., Núñez, A., Choudhury, B., Matrosovich, M., Essen, S.C., Clifford, D., Slomka, M.J.,
Kuntz-Simon, G., Garcon, F., Nash, B., et al. (2010). Replication, Pathogenesis and Transmission of
Pandemic (H1N1) 2009 Virus in Non-Immune Pigs. PLOS ONE 5, e9068.

Brown, J.D., Goekjian, G., Poulson, R., Valeika, S., and Stallknecht, D.E. (2009). Avian influenza virus
in water: Infectivity is dependent on pH, salinity and temperature. Vet. Microbiol. 136, 20–26.

Burke, D.F., and Smith, D.J. (2014). A Recommended Numbering Scheme for Influenza A HA
Subtypes. PLOS ONE 9, e112302.

Camacho, C., Coulouris, G., Avagyan, V., Ma, N., Papadopoulos, J., Bealer, K., and Madden, T.L.
(2009). BLAST+: architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics 10, 421.

Conenello, G.M., Zamarin, D., Perrone, L.A., Tumpey, T., and Palese, P. (2007). A Single Mutation in
the PB1-F2 of H5N1 (HK/97) and 1918 Influenza A Viruses Contributes to Increased Virulence. PLOS
Pathog. 3, e141.

Connor, R.J., Kawaoka, Y., Webster, R.G., and Paulson, J.C. (1994). Receptor Specificity in Human,
Avian, and Equine H2 and H3 Influenza Virus Isolates. Virology 205, 17–23.

Curran, J.M., Ellis, T.M., and Robertson, I.D. (2015). Serological Surveillance of Wild Waterfowl in
Northern Australia for Avian Influenza Virus Shows Variations in Prevalence and a Cyclical Periodicity
of Infection. Avian Dis. 59, 492–497.

Daoust, P.-Y., Kibenge, F.S.B., Fouchier, R.A.M., van de Bildt, M.W.G., van Riel, D., and Kuiken, T.
(2011). Replication of low pathogenic avian influenza virus in naturally infected mallard ducks (Anas
platyrhynchos) causes no morphologic lesions. J. Wildl. Dis. 47, 401–409.

Daoust, P.Y., van de Bildt, M., van Riel, D., van Amerongen, G., Bestebroer, T., Vanderstichel, R.,
Fouchier, R.A.M., and Kuiken, T. (2013). Replication of 2 Subtypes of Low-Pathogenicity Avian
Influenza Virus of Duck and Gull Origins in Experimentally Infected Mallard Ducks. Vet. Pathol. 50,
548–559.

Dittrich, A., Scheibner, D., Salaheldin, A.H., Veits, J., Gischke, M., Mettenleiter, T.C., and Abdelwhab,
E.M. (2018). Impact of Mutations in the Hemagglutinin of H10N7 Viruses Isolated from Seals on Virus
Replication in Avian and Human Cells. Viruses 10, 83.

Duignan, P.J., Saliki, J.T., St. Aubin, D.J., Early, G., Sadove, S., House, J.A., Kovacs, K., and Geraci,
J.R. (1995). Epizootiology of morbillivirus infection in north american harbor seals (phoca vitulina) and
gray seals (halichoerus grypus). J. Wildl. Dis. 31, 491–501.

Duignan, P.J., Duffy, N., Rima, B.K., and Geraci, J.R. (1997). Comparative antibody response in
harbour and grey seals naturally infected by a morbillivirus. Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol. 55, 341–
349.

Easterday, B.C., Trainer, D.O., Tůmová, B., and Pereira, H.G. (1968). Evidence of Infection with
Influenza Viruses in Migratory Waterfowl. Nature 219, 523.

Elbe, S., and Buckland-Merrett, G. (2017). Data, disease and diplomacy: GISAID's innovative
contribution to global health. Glob. Chall. 1, 33–46.

EU (2006). Diagnostic Manual for avian influenza.

Fereidouni, S., Munoz, O., Von Dobschuetz, S., and De Nardi, M. (2016). Influenza Virus Infection of
Marine Mammals. EcoHealth 13, 161–170.

Fereidouni, S.R., Werner, O., Starick, E., Beer, M., Harder, T.C., Aghakhan, M., Modirrousta, H.,
Amini, H., Moghaddam, M.K., Bozorghmehrifard, M.H., et al. (2010). Avian influenza virus monitoring
in wintering waterbirds in Iran, 2003-2007. Virol. J. 7, 43.

França, M., Stallknecht, D.E., Poulson, R., Brown, J., and Howerth, E.W. (2012). The Pathogenesis of
Low Pathogenic Avian Influenza in Mallards. Avian Dis. 56, 976–980.

Fulton, T.L., and Strobeck, C. (2006). Molecular phylogeny of the Arctoidea (Carnivora): Effect of
missing data on supertree and supermatrix analyses of multiple gene data sets. Mol. Phylogenet.
Evol. 41, 165–181.

Gabriel, G., Dauber, B., Wolff, T., Planz, O., Klenk, H.-D., and Stech, J. (2005). The viral polymerase
mediates adaptation of an avian influenza virus to a mammalian host. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 102,
18590–18595.

Goldstein, T., Mena, I., Anthony, S.J., Medina, R., Robinson, P.W., Greig, D.J., Costa, D.P., Lipkin,
W.I., Garcia-Sastre, A., and Boyce, W.M. (2013). Pandemic H1N1 Influenza Isolated from Free-
Ranging Northern Elephant Seals in 2010 off the Central California Coast. PLOS ONE 8, e62259.

Hamby, S.E., and Hirst, J.D. (2008). Prediction of glycosylation sites using random forests. BMC
Bioinformatics 9, 500.

Hirsch, A. (1883). Handbook of geographical and historical pathology (New Sydenham Society).

Höfle, U., Bildt, M.W.G.V. de, Leijten, L.M., Amerongen, G.V., Verhagen, J.H., Fouchier, R.A.M.,
Osterhaus, A.D.M.E., and Kuiken, T. (2012). Tissue tropism and pathology of natural influenza virus
infection in black-headed gulls (Chroicocephalus ridibundus). Avian Pathol. 41, 547–553.

Ito, T., Kawaoka, Y., Nomura, A., and Otsuki, K. (1999). Receptor Specificity of Influenza A Viruses
from Sea Mammals Correlates with Lung Sialyloligosaccharides in These Animals. J. Vet. Med. Sci.
61, 955–958.

James, J., Slomka, M.J., Reid, S.M., Thomas, S.S., Mahmood, S., Byrne, A.M.P., Cooper, J.,
Russell, C., Mollett, B.C., Agyeman-Dua, E., et al. (2018). Proceedings Paper—Avian Diseases 10th
AI Symposium Issue Development and Application of Real-Time PCR Assays for Specific Detection
of Contemporary Avian Influenza Virus Subtypes N5, N6, N7, N8, and N9. Avian Dis. 63, 209–218.

Jong, M.D. de, Simmons, C.P., Thanh, T.T., Hien, V.M., Smith, G.J.D., Chau, T.N.B., Hoang, D.M.,
Chau, N.V.V., Khanh, T.H., Dong, V.C., et al. (2006). Fatal outcome of human influenza A (H5N1) is
associated with high viral load and hypercytokinemia. Nat. Med. 12, 1203.

Katoh, K., and Standley, D.M. (2013). MAFFT Multiple Sequence Alignment Software Version 7:
Improvements in Performance and Usability. Mol. Biol. Evol. 30, 772–780.

Klimova, A., Phillips, C.D., Fietz, K., Olsen, M.T., Harwood, J., Amos, W., and Hoffman, J.I. (2014).
Global population structure and demographic history of the grey seal. Mol. Ecol. 23, 3999–4017.

Krog, J.S., Hansen, M.S., Holm, E., Hjulsager, C.K., Chriél, M., Pedersen, K., Andresen, L.O.,
Abildstrøm, M., Jensen, T.H., and Larsen, L.E. (2015). Influenza A(H10N7) Virus in Dead Harbor
Seals, Denmark. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 21, 684–687.

Larsson, A. (2014). AliView: a fast and lightweight alignment viewer and editor for large datasets.
Bioinformatics 30, 3276–3278.

Li, H. (2013). Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM.
ArXiv13033997 Q-Bio.

Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis, G., and
Durbin, R. (2009). The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics 25, 2078–
2079.

Li, Z., Chen, H., Jiao, P., Deng, G., Tian, G., Li, Y., Hoffmann, E., Webster, R.G., Matsuoka, Y., and
Yu, K. (2005). Molecular basis of replication of duck H5N1 influenza viruses in a mammalian mouse
model. J. Virol. 79, 12058–12064.

Liu, S., Li, R., Zhang, R., Chan, C.C.S., Xi, B., Zhu, Z., Yang, J., Poon, V.K.M., Zhou, J., Chen, M., et
al. (2011). CL-385319 inhibits H5N1 avian influenza A virus infection by blocking viral entry. Eur. J.
Pharmacol. 660, 460–467.

Liu, S., Zhu, W., Feng, Z., Gao, R., Guo, J., Li, X., Liu, J., Wang, D., and Shu, Y. (2018). Substitution
of D701N in the PB2 protein could enhance the viral replication and pathogenicity of Eurasian avian-
like H1N1 swine influenza viruses. Emerg. Microbes Infect. 7.

Lu, L., Lycett, S.J., and Leigh Brown, A.J. (2014). Reassortment patterns of avian influenza virus
internal segments among different subtypes. BMC Evol. Biol. 14, 16.

Matrosovich, M., Tuzikov, A., Bovin, N., Gambaryan, A., Klimov, A., Castrucci, M.R., Donatelli, I., and
Kawaoka, Y. (2000). Early Alterations of the Receptor-Binding Properties of H1, H2, and H3 Avian
Influenza Virus Hemagglutinins after Their Introduction into Mammals. J. Virol. 74, 8502–8512.

Minin, V.N., Bloomquist, E.W., and Suchard, M.A. (2008). Smooth Skyride through a Rough Skyline:
Bayesian Coalescent-Based Inference of Population Dynamics. Mol. Biol. Evol. 25, 1459–1471.

Morens, D.M., and Taubenberger, J.K. (2010). Historical thoughts on influenza viral ecosystems, or
behold a pale horse, dead dogs, failing fowl, and sick swine. Influenza Other Respir. Viruses 4, 327–
337.

Murphy, B.R., Harper, J., Sly, D.L., London, W.T., Miller, N.T., and Webster, R.G. (1983). Evaluation
of the A/Seal/Mass/1/80 virus in squirrel monkeys. Infect. Immun. 42, 424–426.

Nagy, A., Vostinakova, V., Pirchanova, Z., Cernikova, L., Dirbakova, Z., Mojzis, M., Jirincova, H.,
Havlickova, M., Dan, A., Ursu, K., et al. (2010). Development and evaluation of a one-step real-time
RT-PCR assay for universal detection of influenza A viruses from avian and mammal species. Arch.
Virol. 155, 665–673.

Nguyen, L.-T., Schmidt, H.A., von Haeseler, A., and Minh, B.Q. (2015). IQ-TREE: A Fast and Effective
Stochastic Algorithm for Estimating Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies. Mol. Biol. Evol. 32, 268–274.

Ohishi, K., Kishida, N., Ninomiya, A., Kida, H., Takada, Y., Miyazaki, N., Boltunov, A.N., and
Maruyama, T. (2004). Antibodies to human-related H3 influenza A virus in Baikal seals (Phoca
sibirica) and ringed seals (Phoca hispida) in Russia. Microbiol. Immunol. 48, 905–909.

OIE (2015). Chapter 2.3.4. Avian influenza. In Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial
Animals., p.

Payungporn, S., Chutinimitkul, S., Chaisingh, A., Damrongwantanapokin, S., Buranathai, C.,
Amonsin, A., Theamboonlers, A., and Poovorawan, Y. (2006). Single step multiplex real-time RT-PCR
for H5N1 influenza A virus detection. J. Virol. Methods 131, 143–147.

Price, M.N., Dehal, P.S., and Arkin, A.P. (2009). FastTree: Computing Large Minimum Evolution
Trees with Profiles instead of a Distance Matrix. Mol. Biol. Evol. 26, 1641–1650.

Puryear, W.B., Keogh, M., Hill, N., Moxley, J., Josephson, E., Davis, K.R., Bandoro, C., Lidgard, D.,
Bogomolni, A., Levin, M., et al. (2016). Prevalence of influenza A virus in live-captured North Atlantic
gray seals: a possible wild reservoir. Emerg. Microbes Infect. 5, 1–9.

Rambaut, A., Lam, T.T., Max Carvalho, L., and Pybus, O.G. (2016). Exploring the temporal structure
of heterochronous sequences using TempEst (formerly Path-O-Gen). Virus Evol. 2.

Rambaut, A., Drummond, A.J., Xie, D., Baele, G., and Suchard, M.A. (2018). Posterior Summarization
in Bayesian Phylogenetics Using Tracer 1.7. Syst. Biol. 67, 901–904.

Ramis, A.J., van Riel, D., van de Bildt, M.W.G., Osterhaus, A., and Kuiken, T. (2012). Influenza A and
B virus attachment to respiratory tract in marine mammals. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 18, 817–820.

Reperant, L.A., Rimmelzwaan, G.F., and Kuiken, T. (2009). Avian influenza viruses in mammals. Rev.
Sci. Tech. Int. Off. Epizoot. 28, 137–159.

Shu, Y., and McCauley, J. (2017). GISAID: Global initiative on sharing all influenza data – from vision
to reality. Eurosurveillance 22, 30494.

Slomka, M.J., Pavlidis, T., Banks, J., Shell, W., McNally, A., Essen, S., and Brown, I.H. (2007).
Validated H5 Eurasian Real-Time Reverse Transcriptase–Polymerase Chain Reaction and Its
Application in H5N1 Outbreaks in 2005–2006. Avian Dis. 51, 373–377.

Slomka, M.J., Pavlidis, T., Coward, V.J., Voermans, J., Koch, G., Hanna, A., Banks, J., and Brown,
I.H. (2009). Validated RealTime reverse transcriptase PCR methods for the diagnosis and
pathotyping of Eurasian H7 avian influenza viruses. Influenza Other Respir. Viruses 3, 151–164.

Slomka, M.J., Irvine, R.M., Pavlidis, T., Banks, J., and Brown, I.H. (2010). Role of real-time RT-PCR
platform technology in the diagnosis and management of notifiable avian influenza outbreaks:
experiences in Great Britain. Avian Dis. 54, 591–596.

Solórzano, A., Foni, E., Córdoba, L., Baratelli, M., Razzuoli, E., Bilato, D., Burgo, M.Á.M. del, Perlin,
D.S., Martínez, J., Martínez-Orellana, P., et al. (2015). Cross-Species Infectivity of H3N8 Influenza
Virus in an Experimental Infection in Swine. J. Virol. 89, 11190–11202.

Stallknecht, D.E., Kearney, M.T., Shane, S.M., and Zwank, P.J. (1990). Effects of pH, Temperature,
and Salinity on Persistence of Avian Influenza Viruses in Water. Avian Dis. 34, 412–418.

Steel, J., Lowen, A.C., Mubareka, S., and Palese, P. (2009). Transmission of Influenza Virus in a
Mammalian Host Is Increased by PB2 Amino Acids 627K or 627E/701N. PLOS Pathog. 5, e1000252.

Suchard, M.A., Lemey, P., Baele, G., Ayres, D.L., Drummond, A.J., and Rambaut, A. (2018).
Bayesian phylogenetic and phylodynamic data integration using BEAST 1.10. Virus Evol. 4.

Taubenberger, J.K., and Morens, D.M. (2010). Influenza: The Once and Future Pandemic. Public
Health Rep. 125, 16–26.

Van Bonn, W.G. (2015). Chapter 44 - Pinnipedia. In Fowler's Zoo and Wild Animal Medicine, Volume
8, R.E. Miller, and M.E. Fowler, eds. (St. Louis: W.B. Saunders), pp. 436–450.

Venkatesh, D., Poen, M.J., Bestebroer, T.M., Scheuer, R.D., Vuong, O., Chkhaidze, M.,
Machablishvili, A., Mamuchadze, J., Ninua, L., Fedorova, N.B., et al. (2018). Avian Influenza Viruses
in Wild Birds: Virus Evolution in a Multihost Ecosystem. J. Virol. 92, e00433-18.

Webster, R.G., Yakhno, M., Hinshaw, V.S., Bean, W.J., and Copal Murti, K. (1978). Intestinal
influenza: Replication and characterization of influenza viruses in ducks. Virology 84, 268–278.

Webster, R.G., Geraci, J., Petursson, G., and Skirnisson, K. (1981). Conjunctivitis in human beings
caused by influenza A virus of seals. N. Engl. J. Med. 304.

Webster, R.G., Bean, W.J., Gorman, O.T., Chambers, T.M., and Kawaoka, Y. (1992). Evolution and
ecology of influenza A viruses. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 56, 152–179.

White, V.C. (2013). A review of influenza viruses in seals and the implications for public health. US
Army Med. Dep. J. 45–50.

Wilson, H.M., Hall, J.S., Flint, P.L., Franson, J.C., Ely, C.R., Schmutz, J.A., and Samuel, M.D. (2013).
High Seroprevalence of Antibodies to Avian Influenza Viruses among Wild Waterfowl in Alaska:
Implications for Surveillance. PLOS ONE 8, e58308.

Yang, H., Nguyen, H.T., Carney, P.J., Guo, Z., Chang, J.C., Jones, J., Davis, C.T., Villanueva, J.M.,
Gubareva, L.V., and Stevens, J. (2015). Structural and Functional Analysis of Surface Proteins from
an A(H3N8) Influenza Virus Isolated from New England Harbor Seals. J. Virol. 89, 2801–2812.

Yu, G., Smith, D.K., Zhu, H., Guan, Y., and Lam, T.T.-Y. (2017). ggtree: an r package for visualization
and annotation of phylogenetic trees with their covariates and other associated data. Methods Ecol.
Evol. 8, 28–36.

Zerbino, D.R., and Birney, E. (2008). Velvet: Algorithms for de novo short read assembly using de
Bruijn graphs. Genome Res. 18, 821–829.

Zohari, S., Neimanis, A., Härkönen, T., Moraeus, C., and Valarcher, J.F. (2014). Avian influenza
A(H10N7) virus involvement in mass mortality of harbour seals (Phoca vitulina) in Sweden, March
through October 2014. Eurosurveillance 19, 20967.

666
667

Figure 1 (A-B)
Grey seal pup. (A) Focally extensive, chronic-active, purulent and fibrino-necrotising cellulitis in subcutaneous tissues and skeletal muscle over the thorax. (B) Immunohistochemical demonstration of Influenza A nucleoprotein antigen in the nuclei of epithelial cells within the nasal mucosa of a grey seal. (DAB chromogen and haematoxylin counterstain) (Objective: 40x).

Figure 1A

Figure 1B

Figure 2 (A-G)
Maximum clade credibility summary trees for BEAST analysis of segment datasets: HA, NA, NP, NS, PA, PB1, PB2 (A-G respectively). Nodes connecting the seal virus tip with the closest related strain(s) are highlighted in grey. Black diamond (◆) shapes at nodes indicate posterior probability > 0.75. Nodes are labelled with node ages as inferred by BEAST.

Figure 2A

seal – HA

Figure 2B

seal – NA

```
                                                             2007.46┌ blasthit|EPI_ISL_243636|A/dunlin/Netherlands/3/2007|A_/_H3N8|2007−07−31
                                                          2007.29└┐ blasthit|EPI_ISL_243639|A/turnstone/Netherlands/2/2007|A_/_H3N8|2007−07−31
                                                       2006.91└ blasthit|EPI_ISL_243402|A/dunlin/Netherlands/2/2007|A_/_H3N8|2007−07−31
                                                   2005.85└ blasthit|EPI_ISL_30805|A/turnstone/Netherlands/1/2007|A_/_H3N8|2007−XX−XX
                                          2001.62┌      2008.28┌ blasthit|EPI_ISL_243651|A/turnstone/Netherlands/4/2008|A_/_H3N8|2008−11−01
                                                 │   2007.92└┐ blasthit|EPI_ISL_329023|A/ruddy_turnstone/Netherlands/6/2008|A_/_H3N8|2008−11−07
                                     1999.22┌    │          └ blasthit|EPI_ISL_243638|A/turnstone/Netherlands/3/2008|A_/_H3N8|2008−10−31
                                            │    └ blasthit|EPI_ISL_129672|A/duck/Germany−NW/R2185/2006|A_/_H6N8|2006−XX−XX
                                            │      ┌ blasthit|EPI_ISL_73378|A/mallard/Sweden/45/2002|A_/_H11N8|2002−11−13
                                            │2001.62┌ blasthit|EPI_ISL_189612|A/mallard/Sweden/1636/2002|A_/_H3N8|2002−12−13
                            1998.2┌ 2000.37 │      └ blasthit|EPI_ISL_30813|A/mallard/Netherlands/33/2006|A_/_H7N8|2006−XX−XX
                                  │      ┌──┤2001.71┌ blasthit|EPI_ISL_30804|A/common_eider/Netherlands/1/2006|A_/_H3N8|2006−XX−XX
                           1997.43│1999.61│  │      └ blasthit|EPI_ISL_84560|A/mallard/Netherlands/20/2005|A_/_H12N8|2005−XX−XX
                       1996.97┌   │1999.29│  │  2005.2┌ blasthit|EPI_ISL_243633|A/mallard_duck/Netherlands/34/2006|A_/_H3N8|2006−09−11
                              │   └───────┤  └────┐  └ blasthit|EPI_ISL_243390|A/mallard_duck/Netherlands/40/2006|A_/_H6N8|2006−09−16
                       1996.1┌┤           │       └ blasthit|EPI_ISL_84554|A/common_eider/Netherlands2/2006|A_/_H4N8|2006−XX−XX
                            │ │           └ blasthit|EPI_ISL_67401|A/Mallard/65112/03|A_/_H3N8|2003−XX−XX
              1993.59┌──────┤ │        2007.56┌ blasthit|EPI_ISL_267359|A/mallard_duck/Netherlands/2/2004|A_/_H6N8|2004−09−27
                     │      │ │             └┐ blasthit|EPI_ISL_243522|A/mallard_duck/Netherlands/13/2012|A_/_H3N8|2012−10−01
                     │      │ │              └ blasthit|EPI_ISL_243486|A/turnstone/Netherlands/1/2010|A_/_H3N8|2010−10−09
                     │      │ 2001.63┌    2008.11┌ blasthit|EPI_ISL_243582|A/bewicks_swan/Netherlands/8/2009|A_/_H6N8|2009−01−04
                     │      │        │   2007.21└┐ blasthit|EPI_ISL_243640|A/mallard_duck/Netherlands/76/2008|A_/_H6N8|2008−11−27
              1993.39│      1998.35┌──┤           └ blasthit|EPI_ISL_243386|A/mallard_duck/Netherlands/16/2007|A_/_H6N8|2007−11−15
                     ┤              │  │    2005.04┌ blasthit|EPI_ISL_32005|A/mute_swan/Germany/R2927/2007|A_/_H6N8|2007−XX−XX
                     │              │  └────────┐ └ blasthit|EPI_ISL_243495|A/mallard_duck/Netherlands/78/2006|A_/_H6N8|2006−10−21
                     │              │   2003.38┌    └ blasthit|EPI_ISL_74065|A/Teal/Norway/10_1575/2007|A_/_H3N8|2007−XX−XX
                     │              1999.53┌────┤     blasthit|EPI_ISL_129976|A/common_gull/Norway/10_1602/2009|A_/_H6N8|2009−XX−XX
                     │                     │    └ query|A/grey_seal/England/027661/2017|H3N8|NA|2017−02
                     │                     │  2003.59┌ blasthit|EPI_ISL_267251|A/mallard_duck/Netherlands/1/2003|A_/_H3N8|2003−09−25
                     │                     2002.43└──┤ blasthit|EPI_ISL_267383|A/mallard_duck/Netherlands/2/2003|A_/_H3N8|2003−09−25
                     │                            └ blasthit|EPI_ISL_267401|A/mallard_duck/Netherlands/1/2004|A_/_H3N8|2004−09−18
                     │                       2005.58┌ blasthit|EPI_ISL_243462|A/greater_white−fronted_goose/Netherlands/3/2006|A_/_H6N8|2006−01
                     │               2004.31┌──────┤ blasthit|EPI_ISL_97364|A/goose/Germany/R1767/2007|A_/_H6N8|2007−XX−XX
                     │            2003.64┌───┤      └ blasthit|EPI_ISL_30808|A/common_teal/Netherlands/2/2005|A_/_H6N8|2005−XX−XX
                     │         2003.04┌───┤   └ blasthit|EPI_ISL_30809|A/black−headed_gull/Netherlands/1/2005|A_/_H6N8|2005−XX−XX
                     │                │   │      2006.04┌ blasthit|EPI_ISL_3008|A/duck/Spain/543/2006|A_/_H6N8|2006−10−07
                     │         2001.75│   2004.16┌────┤ blasthit|EPI_ISL_3007|A/duck/Spain/539/2006|A_/_H6N8|2006−10−07
                     │   1998.82┌─────┤         │     └ blasthit|EPI_ISL_243599|A/greater_white−fronted_goose/Netherlands/4/2006|A_/_H6N8|2006−01
                     │          │     │         └ 2005.81┌ blasthit|EPI_ISL_243606|A/gadwall_duck/Netherlands/3/2006|A_/_H3N8|2006−09−03
           1991.56┌  │          │     │              └┐ blasthit|EPI_ISL_267207|A/mallard_duck/Netherlands/60/2006|A_/_H3N8|2006−09−18
                  │  │          │     2003.72┌         └ blasthit|EPI_ISL_243492|A/mallard_duck/Netherlands/7/2008|A_/_H3N8|2008−08−22
                  │  │          │          └┐ blasthit|EPI_ISL_31484|A/mallard/Hungary/19616/2007|A_/_H3N8|2007−XX−XX
                  │  1993.47┌────┤   2002.94┌ └ blasthit|EPI_ISL_63529|A/mallard/Czech_Republic/14516/2007|A_/_H3N8|2007−09−17
                  │         │    │          └ blasthit|EPI_ISL_267292|A/white−fronted_goose/Netherlands/5/2011|A_/_H6N8|2011−12−14
                  │  1994.63┌    └ blasthit|EPI_ISL_2852|A/duck/Norway/1/03|A_/_H3N8|2003−XX−XX
                  │ 1992.94┌┤              2007.93┌ blasthit|EPI_ISL_243546|A/bean_goose/Netherlands/1/2008|A_/_H6N8|2008−01−22
                  └─────────┤       2007.66┌────┤ blasthit|EPI_ISL_243540|A/greater_white−fronted_goose/Netherlands/4/2008|A_/_H6N8|2008−01
                            │   2006.63└────┤    └ blasthit|EPI_ISL_329054|A/White−fronted_Goose/Netherlands/3/2008|A_/_H6N8|2008−01−22
                     1994.13│              └ blasthit|EPI_ISL_243559|A/greater_white−fronted_goose/Netherlands/2/2008|A_/_H6N8|2008−01
                     1993.51└               ┌ blasthit|EPI_ISL_15251|A/duck/Eastern_China/163/2002|A_/_H6N8|2002−XX−XX
                            └───────────────┴ blasthit|EPI_ISL_30793|A/mallard/Netherlands/3/2005|A_/_H3N8|2005−XX−XX

   1991         1996         2001         2006         2011         2016
```

Figure 2C

seal – NP

Figure 2D

seal – NS

2018.61 blasthit|EPI_ISL_333613|A/common_teal/Chany/892/2018|A_/_H3N6|2018–09–01
2018.34 blasthit|EPI_ISL_333612|A/common_teal/Chany/891/2018|A_/_H3N6|2018–09–01
2018.62 blasthit|EPI_ISL_333611|A/common_teal/Chany/889/2018|A_/_H3N6|2018–09–01
blasthit|EPI_ISL_333614|A/common_teal/Chany/893/2018|A_/_H3N6|2018–09–01
2014.84 blasthit|EPI_ISL_250234|A/pintail/Chany/198/2016|A_/_H3N8|2016–09–10
2016.45 blasthit|EPI_ISL_250232|A/teal/Chany/135/2016|A_/_H3N8|2016–09–10
2016.18 blasthit|EPI_ISL_250233|A/mallard/Chany/185/2016|A_/_H3N8|2016–09–10
2012.41 blasthit|EPI_ISL_267352|A/mallard_duck/Netherlands/9/2014|A_/_H6N2|2014–10–28
2012.21 2013.21 blasthit|EPI_ISL_328963|A/mallard_duck/Netherlands/18/2015|A_/_H7N7|2015–06–27
2011.94 blasthit|EPI_ISL_267256|A/mallard_duck/Netherlands/18/2014|A_/_H1N1|2014–08–27
2011.9 blasthit|EPI_ISL_309814|A/Chicken/Netherlands/13016263–031–035/2013|A_/_H5N3|2013–12–
2013.67 blasthit|EPI_ISL_309813|A/Chicken/Netherlands/13015884/2013|A_/_H5N3|2013–11–29
2011 blasthit|EPI_ISL_328962|A/mallard_duck/Netherlands/35/2015|A_/_H4N6|2015–08–22
2010.73 blasthit|EPI_ISL_243666|A/mallard_duck/Netherlands/26/2011|A_/_H11N9|2011–10–10
blasthit|EPI_ISL_116136|A/mallard/Czech_Republic/14333–1K/2011|A_/_H3N8|2011–09–16
2010.43 2014.9 blasthit|EPI_ISL_243612|A/mallard_duck/Netherlands/2/2015|A_/_H7N7|2015–01–16
2012.96 blasthit|EPI_ISL_243563|A/mallard_duck/Netherlands/3/2015|A_/_H7N7|2015–01–24
2012.18 2014.45 blasthit|EPI_ISL_267194|A/mallard_duck/Netherlands/14/2014|A_/_H2N9|2014–08–27
2011.43 blasthit|EPI_ISL_267297|A/mallard_duck/Netherlands/16/2014|A_/_H2N9|2014–08–29
2010.17 2011.19 blasthit|EPI_ISL_291189|A/Swan/Netherlands/14000281/2014|A_/_H5N2|2014–01–08
2010.56 blasthit|EPI_ISL_291186|A/Common_Teal/Netherlands/12002960/2012|A_/_H8N4|2012–02–12
2009.85 blasthit|EPI_ISL_243607|A/mallard_duck/Netherlands/24/2013|A_/_H4N6|2013–09–16
blasthit|EPI_ISL_243385|A/greater_white–fronted_goose/Netherlands/5/2010|A_/_H5N3|2010–1
2009.93 blasthit|EPI_ISL_243486|A/turnstone/Netherlands/1/2010|A_/_H3N8|2010–10–09
2009.79 blasthit|EPI_ISL_267386|A/mallard_duck/Netherlands/34/2010|A_/_H3N2|2010–10–08
2011.22 blasthit|EPI_ISL_309804|A/Chicken/Netherlands/11004004/2011|A_/_H8N4|2011–03–09
2010.98 blasthit|EPI_ISL_243608|A/mallard_duck/Netherlands/15/2011|A_/_H6N8|2011–09–14
2009.24 2010.89 1.57 blasthit|EPI_ISL_243588|A/mallard_duck/Netherlands/20/2011|A_/_H6N8|2011–09–24
2010.56 blasthit|EPI_ISL_243513|A/Eurasian_teal/Netherlands/1/2011|A_/_H3N8|2011–10–15
2008.57 blasthit|EPI_ISL_243659|A/mallard_duck/Netherlands/10/2012|A_/_H6N1|2012–09–05
2007.57 blasthit|EPI_ISL_267292|A/white–fronted_goose/Netherlands/5/2011|A_/_H6N8|2011–12–14
blasthit|EPI_ISL_243479|A/greater_white–fronted_goose/Netherlands/6/2011|A_/_H6N8|2011–1
2009.95 blasthit|EPI_ISL_243490|A/greater_white–fronted_goose/Netherlands/11/2009|A_/_H6N1|2009–
blasthit|EPI_ISL_243397|A/mallard_duck/Netherlands/27/2009|A_/_H4N6|2009–12–21
blasthit|EPI_ISL_243542|A/greater_white–fronted_goose/Netherlands/2/2010|A_/_H5N2|2010–0
blasthit|EPI_ISL_267289|A/white–fronted_goose/Netherlands/3/2010|A_/_H5N2|2010–01–29
2017.93 blasthit|EPI_ISL_297464|A/chicken/Kostroma/1719/2017|A_/_H5N2|2017–12–22
2017.84 blasthit|EPI_ISL_297463|A/chicken/Kostroma/1717/2017|A_/_H5N2|2017–12–22
2006.51 2017.59 blasthit|EPI_ISL_297465|A/chicken/Kostroma/1720/2017|A_/_H5N2|2017–12–22
2011.8 blasthit|EPI_ISL_297466|A/chicken/Kostroma/1721/2017|A_/_H5N2|2017–12–22
2005.81 2009.57 query|A/grey_seal/England/027661/2017|H3N8|NS|2017–02
2008.56 blasthit|EPI_ISL_243609|A/barnacle_goose/Netherlands/1/2011|A_/_H6N8|2011–01–06
2006.95 blasthit|EPI_ISL_243531|A/barnacle_goose/Netherlands/1/2010|A_/_H6N8|2010–01–08
2008.45 blasthit|EPI_ISL_291193|A/Mallard/Netherlands/09014545/2009|A_/_H4N6|2009–08–12
blasthit|EPI_ISL_267166|A/mallard_duck/Netherlands/38/2008|A_/_H5N3|2008–10–08
2005.08 blasthit|EPI_ISL_158233|A/mallard/Sweden/50108/2006|A_/_H4N6|2006–08–12
2008.93 blasthit|EPI_ISL_149867|A/eurasian_wigeon/Mongolia/340V/2009|A_/_H4N6|2009–08–14
blasthit|EPI_ISL_87299|A/shoveler/Koymor/118/2009|A_/_H3|2009–09–02
2006.93 blasthit|EPI_ISL_125858|A/white–fronted_goose/Mongolia/1–125/2008|A_/_H3N8|2008–05–XX
2006.89 blasthit|EPI_ISL_158341|A/mallard/Sweden/79978/2008|A_/_H4N6|2008–11–16
2006.37 blasthit|EPI_ISL_158183|A/mallard/Sweden/52405/2006|A|2006–10–28

2007   2012   2017

Figure 2E

seal – PA

Figure 2F

seal – PB1

Figure 2G

seal – PB2

Figure 3
Putative divergence times (TMRCA – time to most recent common ancestor) of the seal sequence from the closest related wild bird sequence for each segment. Error bars indicate 95% highest posterior density.

Table 1
Putative divergence times (TMRCA – time to most recent common ancestor) and 95% highest posterior density (HPD) of the seal sequence from the closest related wild bird sequence for each segment, and the posterior probability of the node are shown, along with information about the closest related wild bird sequence including the host, country and year of isolation and subtype of virus. For the MP gene, the closest related sequence according to the ML tree is shown.

Segment	TMRCA	Lower 95% HPD	Upper 95% HPD	Posterior probability of node > 0.75	Host	Country	Year	Subtype
HA	2012.74	2011.18	2014.08	y	barnacle goose	The Netherlands	2014	H3N6
MP	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	mallard	The Netherlands	2010	H3N2
NA	1999.53	1994.89	2003.34	n	teal/gull	Norway	2007/2009	H3N8/H6N8
NP	2014.98	2014.29	2015.38	n	mallard	The Netherlands	2015	unknown
NS	2009.56	2008.04	2010.86	n	barnacle goose	The Netherlands	2011	H6N8
PA	2013.71	2013.1	2014.35	n	mallard	The Netherlands	2014	H7N5
PB1	2014.28	2013.43	2015.04	y	duck	The Netherlands/France	2015	H5N1/H5N2/H5N6
PB2	2011.45	2010.99	2011.77	y	goose/chicken/duck	The Netherlands/France	2011/2015/2016	H5N2/H6N2/H7N9/H6N8

Table 2
Segment-wise list of substitutions found in the seal virus inferred from inspection of alignments. Only residue changes that occur in the seal virus but not in the wild bird viruses are shown. Numbers in square brackets for HA indicate the reference H3-numbering of residues in A/Aichi/2/1968 (Burke and Smith 2014) found using the tool at FluDB website: https://tinyurl.com/HAnumbering

Segment	Amino acid substitutions in seal virus [H3 numbering]
HA	Y9C [signal peptide]
	T64A [48]
	I81V [65]
	S111G [95]
	possible A154T (but might be same RCT --> ACT (T), or GCT (A)) [138]
	A/T176S [160]
	S235Y [219]
MP	none
NA	N/S41D
	T383A
NP	V104M
NS	none
PA	V91I
	D/G101N
	N/S321I
PB1	I517V
	S678N
PB2	T/A105K
	D161N
	A/S395T
	V667I
	R/T676I
	D701N
	possible R753T (but might be same ASA --> AGA (R) or ACA (T)