Complex processes of cryptic speciation in mouse lemurs from a micro-endemism hotspot in Madagascar

Running title: Cryptic speciation in mouse lemurs

Dominik Schüßler[1#], Jordi Salmona[2#], Marina B. Blanco[3,4#], Jelmer Poelstra[4#], George P. Tiley[4#], Jean B. Andriambeloson[5], Olivier Bouchez[6], C. Ryan Campbell[4†], Paul D. Etter[7], Amaia Iribar[2], Paul A. Hohenlohe[8], Kelsie E. Hunnicutt[4@], Eric A. Johnson[7], Peter A. Larsen[4&], Jasmin Mantilla-Contreras[1], Sophie Manzi[2], Alexandra Miller[9], Blanchard Randrianambinina[10,11], David W. Rasolofoson[10], Amanda R. Stahlke[8], David Weisrock[12], Rachel C. Williams[3,4], Lounès Chikhi[2,9], Edward E Louis Jr.[13], Anne D. Yoder[4*], Ute Radespiel[14*]

[1]: Research Group Ecology and Environmental Education, Institute of Biology and Chemistry, University of Hildesheim, Universitaetsplatz 1, 31141 Hildesheim, Germany

[2]: CNRS, Université Paul Sabatier, IRD; UMR5174 EDB (Laboratoire Évolution & Diversité 11 Biologique), 118 route de Narbonne, 31062 Toulouse, France

[3]: Duke Lemur Center, Duke University, Durham, NC 27705, USA

[4]: Department of Biology, Duke University, Durham, NC 27708, USA

[5]: Zoology and Animal Biodiversity, University of Antananarivo, Antananarivo 101, Madagascar

[6]: INRA, US 1426, GeT-PlaGe, Genotoul, Castanet-Tolosan, France

[7]: Institute of Molecular Biology, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR, USA

[8]: Institute for Bioinformatics and Evolutionary Studies, Department of Biological Sciences, University of Idaho, Moscow, ID 83844, USA

[9]: Instituto Gulbenkian de Ciência, Rua da Quinta Grande, 6, 2780-156 Oeiras, Portugal

[10]: Groupe d'Etude et de Recherche sur les Primates de Madagascar (GERP); BP 779,

Antananarivo 101, Madagascar

[11]: Faculté des Sciences, University of Mahajanga, Mahajanga, Madagascar

[12]: Department of Biology, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY, 40506, USA

[13]: Grewcock Center for Conservation and Research, Omaha's Henry Doorly Zoo and Aquarium,

Omaha, NE

[14]: Institute of Zoology, University of Veterinary Medicine Hannover, Buenteweg 17, 30559

Hannover, Germany

#: joint first authors

*: joint senior authors (corresponding authors)

@: current address: Department of Biological Sciences, University of Denver, Denver, CO 80208
38
39   &: current address: Department of Veterinary and Biomedical Sciences, University of Minnesota,
40   Saint Paul, MN 55108
41
42   †: current address: Department of Evolutionary Anthropology, Duke University, Durham, NC
43   27708, USA
44
45

46
Corresponding authors:

Ute Radespiel, Institute of Zoology, University of Veterinary Medicine Hannover, Germany,

email: ute.radespiel@tiho-hannover.de

Anne D. Yoder, Department of Biology, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA, email:

anne.yoder@duke.edu

Author contributions:

- Conception and design of study:

DS, JS, LC, JP, GPT, JMC, ADY, UR

- Data collection:

DS, MBB, JBA, AM, EELJ, DWR, BR collected samples in the field.

- Data analysis and interpretation:

DS, JS, LC, OB, PE, CRC, PAL, ARS, DW, AIP, PH, KEH, EJ, SM, RCW, EELJ, UR generated

sequencing data.

DS, JS, MBB, JP, GPT, ADY, UR conducted and interpreted morphometric, population genetic

and phylogenetic analyses.

- Drafting and revising manuscript:

DS, JS, JP, GPT, ADY, UR drafted the manuscript.

All co-authors revised and agreed on the last version of the manuscript.

Data Accessibility Statement:

XXX

Abstract

Species delimitation is ever more critical for assessing biodiversity in threatened regions of the

world, with cryptic species offering one of the greatest challenges. Our study focuses on a

conservation hotspot in northeastern Madagascar where at least five species of mouse lemur

(*Microcebus* spp.) occur, some of them in sympatry. One of these, *M. jonahi*, is described here as

new to science and is accompanied by a complete genome. While morphometric analyses

confirmed the cryptic nature of taxa, phylogenetic and population genetic analyses clarified

species boundaries despite some interspecific gene flow, including introgression of mtDNA. The

sister species pair that includes *M. jonahi* passed all tests of species delimitation, whereas the

other pair showed more marginal results. This is at least partially due to differences in effective

population sizes, which affect coalescence rates and thus influence the recently introduced

genealogical divergence index (*gdi*). Whole-genome and RADseq analyses suggest a precipitous

decline in effective population sizes associated with successive divergence events of lineages

leading to the micro-endemics *M. jonahi* and its sister species, giving rise to grave conservation

concern for both. Finally, our study demonstrates the power of genomic species delimitation

approaches for revealing hidden evolutionary processes in cryptic species complexes.

Keywords: effective population size, *Microcebus jonahi*, cryptic species, multispecies coalescent,

species delimitation, speciation

Introduction

The investigation of evolutionary mechanisms that drive speciation heavily depends on accurately delimiting species. In the past decade, both the theory and the methods for species delimitation have seen substantial progress and stimulating debate (Yang and Rannala 2010; Edwards and Knowles 2014; Barley et al. 2017; Sukumaran and Knowles 2017; Jackson et al. 2017; Luo et al. 2018; Leaché et al. 2019). In parallel, genomic technologies have yielded a powerful toolkit for examining complex evolutionary processes with sophisticated statistical approaches, such as detecting the presence and magnitude of gene flow before or after speciation events (Payseur and Rieseberg 2016; Dalquen et al. 2017; Wen et al. 2018).

Mouse lemurs (*Microcebus* spp.) provide an intriguing system for investigating the evolutionary processes that give rise to new species, given that they show patterns of rapid diversification, cryptic morphology, and overlapping geographic distributions (e.g., Zimmermann et al. 1998; Rasoloarison et al. 2000; Radespiel et al. 2008). More generally, Madagascar is a global biodiversity hotspot (Myers et al. 2000; Goodman and Benstead 2005; Estrada et al. 2017) that is severely threatened (e.g., Schwitzer et al., 2014, Waeber et al. 2016) and thus species delimitation in mouse lemurs is of direct conservation interest. Mouse lemurs are small-bodied (approximately 40 - 80 g), nocturnal primates whose high species diversity was long overlooked due to their cryptic nature (Zimmermann and Radespiel 2014). With the introduction of genetic analyses, it became feasible to identify diverging lineages despite minimal morphological differences. This has led to the description of many new species of mouse lemur, with a total of 24 species now recognized (Zimmermann et al. 1998; Rasoloarison et al. 2000; Yoder et al. 2000; Olivieri et al.

2007, Louis et al. 2006, 2008; Radespiel et al. 2008, 2012, Rasoloarison et al. 2013, Hotaling et

al. 2016, Louis and Lei 2016).

Many taxonomic descriptions have relied strongly, if not entirely, on mitochondrial sequence

divergence to delimit species. This approach is widely regarded as problematic, however, given

that the mitogenome represents only a single, non-neutral, non-recombining locus whose gene

tree may not represent the underlying species tree (e.g., Pamilo and Nei 1988; Maddison 1997).

Mitochondria are also maternally inherited and therefore susceptible to effects of sex-biased

dispersal (e.g., Dávalos and Russell 2014), which is prevalent in mouse lemurs (Radespiel et al.

2001). To further complicate matters, previous attempts to resolve relationships using sequences

from nuclear markers were not successful due to high gene tree discordance consistent with

strong incomplete lineage sorting (e.g., Heckman et al. 2007; Weisrock et al. 2010). But now,

with modern sequencing techniques, investigators can sample thousands of loci across multiple

individuals, which provides power for simultaneously resolving phylogenetic relationships and

for estimating demographic parameters such as divergence times, effective population sizes, and

rates of gene flow — even among closely related species (e.g., Pedersen et al. 2018; Palkopoulou

et al. 2018).

The power of genomic data for delimiting species has been further enhanced by methods that

leverage the multispecies coalescent (MSC) model (Pamilo and Nei 1988; Rannala and Yang

2003). Even so, recent work has pointed out that MSC methods, such as BPP (Yang and Rannala,

2010; Flouri et al., 2018) do not consider an alternative hypothesis of strong population structure

when assigning species boundaries (Sukumaran and Knowles 2017; Jackson et al. 2017; Leaché

et al. 2019; Chambers and Hillis 2019). To overcome this issue, Jackson et al. (2017) proposed a

heuristic criterion, the genealogical divergence index (*gdi*), with Leaché et al. (2019) further

suggesting that *gdi* helps to differentiate between species-level divergence and population

structure. These analytical developments are crucial to our ability to recognize mechanisms that

drive the speciation process, despite the challenge of separating evolutionary lineages without

universally agreed criteria (de Queiroz 2007).

Though mouse lemurs have been extensively studied in western Madagascar (e.g., Zimmermann

et al. 1998; Rasoloarison et al. 2000; Olivieri et al. 2007), the diversity and geographic

distributions of species along the eastern coast have only recently received as much attention.

Studies from the past decade show that this region contains rich species diversity for mouse

lemurs, with several new species described (Kappeler et al. 2005; Louis et al. 2006; Radespiel et

al. 2008, 2012; Rasoloarison et al. 2013; Hotaling et al. 2016). In particular, Radespiel et al.

(2008) surveyed the forests of the Makira region (Fig. 1) and found evidence for three

different lineages occurring in sympatry, a phenomenon previously undocumented for mouse

lemurs. One of these was identified as *M. mittermeieri*, while the second was newly described as

M. macarthurii. A third lineage (*M.* sp. #3) was hypothesized to be a new species based on

mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) sequence data but could not be formally described given that only

a single individual was sampled.

In this study, we revisit the Radespiel et al. (2008) findings by expanding the geographic and

species-level sampling to reconstruct the evolutionary history of the mouse lemur lineages

inhabiting this region and to test the hypothesis that *M.* sp. #3 represents a new species. We also

provide a novel whole genome for this hypothesized new species thus allowing for a detailed

conservation genomic analysis. Our study therefore represents the most intensive examination to

date of speciation dynamics within this cryptic species radiation, with the additional benefit of

yielding an intimate view of conservation dynamics in a biodiversity hotspot in northeastern

Madagascar.

Materials and methods

Study sites and sampling

Materials and methods

Study sites and sampling

Microcebus individuals were sampled between 2008 and 2017 at seven rain forest sites (50-979

m a.s.l.; Kottek et al. 2006) in the Analanjirofo and Sava regions of northeastern Madagascar

(Fig. 1; Tab. S1). All study sites harbor a variety of habitats ranging from undisturbed

near-primary rain forest to heavily degraded secondary shrub-, grass- and fern-lands (Radespiel et

al. 2008; Miller et al. 2018; Schüßler et al. 2018). Additional samples were used from

Riamalandy, Zahamena National Park (NP), Betampona Strict Nature Reserve (SNR) and

Tampolo (Louis et al. 2006; Weisrock et al. 2010; Louis and Lei 2016; Fig. 1). With this

sampling strategy, we expect to detect all mouse lemur species that occur in the region. These are

(from north to south) *M. mittermeieri*, *M. macarthurii*, *M*. sp. #3, *M. lehilahytsara* and *M.*

simmonsi (Fig. 1). *Microcebus murinus,* which occurs throughout western and southern

Madagascar, was used as an outgroup for the analyses.

Figure 1: Sampling sites in northeastern Madagascar. New sampling locations are marked with *. The region coincides with a conservation hotspot for lemurs (see heat map in the inlay with warm colors representing conservation concern based on predicted range shifts in a large number of lemur species reproduced from Brown and Yoder 2015). Forest cover in 2018 derived from Schüßler et al. (under review).

Sequencing, assembly, and annotation of a *M.* sp. #3 draft genome

The genome of one individual of *M.* sp. #3 sampled from Mananara-Nord NP (`Tab. S2`) was

sequenced with a single 500bp insert library on a single lane of an Illumina HiSeq 3000 with

paired-end 150bp reads. We used MaSuRCA v3.2.2 (Zimin et al. 2013) for contig assembly and

SSPACE (Boetzer et al. 2011) for scaffolding, which uses BOWTIE (Langmead et al. 2009) to

realign short reads to the *de novo* assembly in order to potentially correct erroneously joined

contigs. Quality control and annotation of the draft genome is described in the Supplementary

Material. Scaffolds potentially containing mitochondrial or X-chromosome sequence data were

removed for downstream analyses (see Supplementary Methods).

RADseq laboratory procedures and data processing

We generated Restriction site Associated DNA sequencing (RADseq) libraries using the *Sbf*I

restriction enzyme, following three protocols (Supplementary Methods, `Tab. S1`). Cleaned

sequences were aligned to *M.* sp. #3 genome and to the *M. murinus* mitogenome (Lecompte et al.

2015; see Supplementary Methods for further details).

We used two fundamentally distinct approaches for genotyping to ensure robustness of our

results to variant calling errors. First, we estimated genotype likelihoods (GL) with ANGSD

v0.92 (Nielsen et al. 2012; Korneliussen et al. 2014). ANGSD retains information about

uncertainty in base calls, which alleviates some issues commonly associated with RADseq data

such as unevenness in sequencing depth and allele dropout (Lozier 2014; Pedersen et al. 2018;

Warmuth and Ellegren 2019). Second, we called genotypes with GATK v4.0.7.0 (dePristo et al.

2011), and filtered GATK genotypes following the "FS6" filter of O'Leary et al. (2018; see

Supplementary Methods for further details).

Three mtDNA fragments [Cytochrome Oxidase II (COII), Cytochrome B (cytB), d-loop] were

amplified and Sanger sequenced for additional phylogenetic analyses. For further details on

sequencing and genotyping procedures, see the Supplementary Material.

Mutation rate and generation time

To convert coalescent units from BPP and G-PhoCS analyses into absolute times and population

sizes, we used empirical estimates of mutation rate and generation time, but used uncertainty in

estimates to construct distributions rather than using a single point estimate for BPP and G-

PhoCS results. For each sampled generation of the MCMC chain, we drew a random number

from the mutation rate and generation time distributions, to better reflect our uncertainty in

absolute estimates. A recent pedigree-based estimate of mutation rate in *M. murinus* (Campbell et

al. 2019) found a mean of 1.64×10^{-8} with a 95% CI of 1.41×10^{-8} to 1.98×10^{-8}. We roughly

capture this mutation rate variation with a normal distribution that has a mean of 1.64 and a

standard deviation of 0.08. For generation time, two estimates were available for *Microcebus*. *M.*

rufus was estimated to have an average generation time of 4.5 years calculated from survival data

(Zohdy et al. 2014; Yoder et al. 2016), and 2.5 years was estimated for *M. murinus* using average

parent age based on capture-mark-recapture and parentage data in the wild (Radespiel et al. in

revision). We used a lognormal distribution with a mean of ln(3.5) and standard deviation of

ln(1.16). MSMC parameter estimates were converted using the point estimates.

Phylogenetic analyses

We used three phylogenetic approaches to infer relationships among lineages and to provide a

framework for subsequent species delimitation analyses. Phylogenetic analyses were conducted

via (1) maximum likelihood (RaxML v8.2.11; Stamatakis 2014), (2) a MSC method that is

statistically consistent and uses phylogenetic invariants (SVDquartets in PAUP v4a163, Chifman

and Kubatko 2014), and (3) a full-likelihood MSC method for biallelic data that does not require

joint gene tree estimation (SNAPP v1.3.0; Bryant et al. 2012). Analyses with RAxML and

SVDquartets used all available individuals, whereas SNAPP analyses were only performed with

subsets of individuals for computational feasibility. Specifically, a 12-individual dataset that used

two samples per species, and a 22-individual dataset with four samples per species were analyzed

with SNAPP (Tab. S1). All analyses used *M. murinus* samples as outgroup. Phylogenetic

software details are given in the Supplementary Material.

Species delimitation

Model-based inference with the MSC

We used SNAPP to test if the two pairs of sister taxa, *M.* sp. #3 – *M. macarthurii* and *M.*

mittermeieri – M. lehilahytsara, could be delimited at the molecular level using Bayes factors

(Leaché et al. 2014). Marginal likelihood estimation used stepping stone sampling (Xie et al.

2011) with 20 steps for both the 12- and 22- individual datasets, and we interpreted 2ln Bayes

factors greater than six as strong evidence for a given model (Kass and Raftery 1995). We tested

two hypotheses: the first considered the two taxa in each species pair as separate species, and the

second considered them as belonging to the same species.

We also applied guided species delimitation analyses with BPP (Yang and Rannala 2010;

Rannala and Yang 2013) based on the species tree estimated by SVDquartets and SNAPP but

using analytical integration of population sizes (Hey and Nielsen 2007). MCMC options and

prior choices for analyses are detailed in the Supplementary Material. Because BPP uses

substitution models not suitable for SNP data, we created full-sequence fasta files based on the

GATK genotypes using a series of in-house scripts

(https://github.com/jelmerp/msp3/tree/master/vcf2fullfasta; Supplementary Material).

BPP parameter estimates from the 12-individual dataset with the MSC were used to compute the

genealogical divergence index (*gdi*, Jackson et al. 2017; Leaché et al. 2019) for *M.* sp. #3 – *M.*

macarthurii and *M. lehilahytsara* – *M. mittermeieri*. We calculated *gdi* as in Leaché et al. (2019),

using their equation 7 ($gdi = 1 - e^{-2\tau/\theta}$), where $2\tau/\theta$ represents the population divergence time

between taxa A and B in coalescent units, and θ is taken for a focal taxon (A or B). Again, as in

Leaché et al. (2019), *gdi* was calculated twice for each species pair, using each species as the

focal taxon once. We computed *gdi* using τ and θ parameter estimates for each posterior sample

from independent BPP chains, to directly incorporate uncertainty in the τ and θ estimates.

Jackson et al. (2017) suggested the following guidelines for the interpretation of *gdi* values: the

focal taxon pair is unambiguously a single species for *gdi* < 0.2, is unambiguously two separate

species for *gdi* > 0.7, and falls in an ambiguous zone for 0.7 > *gdi* < 0.2.

Clustering approaches and summary statistics

We performed model-based as well as naive clustering analyses in order to check for congruence

with phylogenetic analyses, to identify intraspecific genetic structure, and to perform an initial

exploration of gene flow or admixture between species. Clustering analyses were performed

using corresponding methods based on ANGSD genotype likelihoods [clustering in NgsAdmix

v32 (Skotte et al. 2013) and PCA in ngsTools va4d338d (Fumagali et al. 2013, 2014)], on

GATK-called genotypes (ADMIXTURE v1.3.0; Alexander et al. 2009) and glPca (adegenet

v2.1.1; Jombart 2008; Jombart and Ahmed 2011). These analyses were run separately for all

successfully sequenced samples for the five focal taxa (`Tab. S1`) and for a subset comprising

only individuals from *M. macarthurii* and *M.* sp. *#3.* Heterozygosity and F_{ST} were estimated with

the R packages adegenet v2.1.1 (Jombart 2008) and hierfstat (Goudet 2005) on variable sites

inferred from ANGSD for comparison with clustering results.

Morphometric analyses

We measured 13 different morphometric parameters (ear length, ear width, head length, head

width, snout length, inter- and intra-orbital distance, lower leg length, hind foot length, third toe

length, tail length, body length and body mass) according to Hafen et al. (1998) and

Zimmermann et al. (1998). Individuals were assigned to their respective taxon based on

phylogenetic and clustering analyses. The morphological data of all captured and released adult

mouse lemurs were compared among species and with data sets available from geographically

neighboring species (`Fig. 1`). A linear discriminant analysis (LDA) was conducted to test for

species differentiation based on morphometrics using the "MASS" R package (v7.3-51.3;

Venables and Ripley 2002). Model fit was evaluated by a jackknife cross-validation and Wilks'

Lambda was computed to evaluate the LDA model. R^2 values were calculated using the

"flipMultivariates" package (Displayr 2018) to document the proportion of variance per

parameter that is explained by the species. Quantitative morphometric comparisons between taxa

were performed for all measurements with a one-way ANOVA and a post hoc Tukey test.

Assumptions of the respective tests were examined using Shapiro-Wilk and Levene's tests in the

R package car v3.0-2 (Fox and Weisberg 2011) beforehand.

One limitation to the morphometric analyses is that body measurements of the different taxa were

obtained by at least four researchers across the five different lineages, and it cannot be ruled out

that researchers may have differed slightly in how they applied the calipers. However, the same

researcher contributed data points to more than one species in at least two cases (DS, DWR).

Inference of interspecific gene flow

The D-statistic and related formal statistics for admixture make use of phylogenetic invariants to

infer post-divergence gene flow between non-sister populations or taxa. However, it is important

to note that the D-statistic may also be influenced by ancient population structure and should thus

be interpreted with care (Eriksson and Manica, 2012; Chikhi et al., 2018). We used admixtools

v4.1 (Patterson et al. 2012) to compute four-taxon D-statistics (qpDstat program), which tests for

gene flow between P3 and either P1 or P2 given the topology (((P1, P2), P3), P4). In order to test

for gene flow between *M. macarthurii* and *M.* sp. #3, we separately treated (1) the two distinct *M.*

sp. #3 population groups detected by clustering approaches, and (2) *M. macarthurii* individuals

with and without "*M.* sp. #3-type" mtDNA (see Results). We also used all possible configurations

in which gene flow between non-sister species among the five ingroup species could be

evaluated. In all tests, *M. murinus* was used as P4 (outgroup). Significance of D-values was

determined using the default Z-value reported by qpDstat, which is determined by weighted

block jackknifing and is conservative for RADseq data given that linkage disequilibrium (LD) is,

on average, expected to be lower across a pair of RADseq SNPs than across a pair of SNPs

derived from whole-genome sequencing (Patterson et al. 2012; Kim et al. 2018).

G-PhoCS v1.3 (Gronau et al. 2011), a Bayesian MSC approach that incorporates introgression,

was used to jointly infer divergence times, population sizes, and rates of gene flow between

specific lineages. Because running G-PhoCS for all individuals was not computationally feasible,

we performed separate runs for two sets of individuals: (1) a 3-species (and 12-individual) data

set with six *M.* sp. #3, three *M. macarthurii*, and three *M. lehilahytsara* individuals, wherein *M.*

sp. #3 was divided into the two distinct population clusters detected using clustering approaches;

and (2) the 5-species (and 12-individual) data set used for SNAPP and BPP. Details of the

inference of gene flow are described in the Supplementary Material.

Estimation of divergence times

We used two approaches to estimate divergence times under the MSC model: (1) BPP v4.0.4

(Flouri et al. 2018), which assumes no migration after the onset of divergence, and (2) G-PhoCS,

which can accommodate migration between ween specific lineages and co-estimate migration rates and

divergence times.

Both BPP and G-PhoCS analyses used full-length RAD loci from the 12-individual dataset. The

species tree recovered from phylogenetic analyses was fixed for parameter sampling. We

required at least one individual per species to be present for each locus (on a total of 17,422 RAD

loci) and all individuals were treated as unphased diploid sequences. We used diffuse priors,

multiple chains, and checked for convergence (Supplementary Material). We also compared

posteriors to marginal priors to check that parameter estimates were informed by the RADseq

data and not only the priors.

Inference of effective population sizes through time

A number of studies have shown that population structure can generate spurious signals of

population size change (Beaumont, 2004; Chikhi et al., 2010; Heller et al., 2013). For example,

sequentially Markovian coalescent approaches such as MSMC accurately which is only

equivalent to an effective size in panmictic models (Mazet et al., 2016; Rodriguez et al. 2018).

We therefore inferred and compared population size histories using several methods for the focal

species *M.* sp. #3, for which we had whole-genome sequence data in addition to the RADseq

data. For all species, we examined changes in N_e over time based on θ estimates from BPP and

G-PhoCS for each predefined extant or ancestral population, which also allowed us to evaluate

uncertainty in population size estimates due to migration. For *M.* sp. #3, we also estimated N_e

over time with the Sequential Markovian Coalescent as implemented in MSMC (Schiffels and

Durbin 2014) using the whole-genome data. A detailed description for these analyses is given in

the Supplementary Material.

Results

RADseq data and *M.* sp. #3 genome sequence

This study demonstrates the utility of cross-laboratory RAD sequencing for primates, as

previously shown in other taxa (e.g., Gonen et al. 2015). We used three different library

generation protocols, two sequencing lengths, and a combination of single and paired-end

sequencing, yielding highly compatible data for all 65 individuals included in the study. From

more than 447 million raw reads (`Tab. S1`), over 394 million passed quality filters with

approximately 182 million successfully aligned to the *M.* sp. #3 reference genome. There was an

average of 120,000 loci per individual with coverage ranging from ~1 to ~22x (`Tab. S1`).

We assembled approximately 2.5 Gb of nuclear genome sequence data for *M.* sp. #3 with a contig

N50 around 36 Kb (`Tab. S2`). While the final assembly was fragmented, as expected for a

single Illumina library genome, only 6.4% of mammalian BUSCOs were found to be missing.

Annotation statistics were largely comparable to BUSCO analysis of the genome assembly. The

genome sequence and associated gene annotations can be accessed through NCBI (Bioproject

PRJNA512515).

Figure 2: Phylogenetic relationships and ancestry proportions

(A) Maximum-likelihood RAxML tree of 55 samples represented by 4,060 bp of mtDNA recovered from

RADseq and Sanger sequencing (Table S1). The gray shaded box highlights individuals of *M. macarthurii*

with *M.* sp. #3 mtDNA haplotypes. **(B)** Clustering results using NgsAdmix at *K* = 6. **(C)** Maximum-

likelihood RAXML tree obtained using RADseq nuclear data (nDNA). For all trees, *M. murinus* is used as

the outgroup. In **(A)** and **(C)**, bootstrap support values >90% are indicated above each node as a red

asterisk.

Phylogenetic relationships

Five divergent lineages (*M. simmonsi, M. lehilahytsara, M. mittermeieri, M. macarthurii, M.* sp. #3) were confirmed to occur in the study region by phylogenetic approaches (`Fig. 2`). One lineage, *M.* sp. #3, is described here as new to science (see *Species Description*).

RAxML, SVDquartets, and SNAPP analyses recovered well-supported monophyletic nDNA clades for *M. simmonsi, M. macarthurii,* and *M.* sp. #3 (`Fig. 2`; `Fig. S1`; `Fig. S2`), with *M.* sp. #3 as sister to *M. macarthurii* with 100% bootstrap support (RAxML and SVDquartets, `Fig. 2`; `Fig. S2`). In contrast, *M. lehilahytsara* and *M. mittermeieri* were not consistently monophyletic in RAxML analyses of nDNA (`Fig. 2C`) or mtDNA (`Fig. 2A`), both of which nested *M. mittermeieri* within *M. lehilahytsara*. SVDquartets analysis of nDNA placed an individual from Ambavala (B12) as sister to all other *M. lehilahytsara* and *M. mittermeieri* with weak bootstrap support (`Fig. S2A`). Unsurprisingly, species tree analyses with SNAPP (the 22-individual dataset included B12 from Ambavala) recovered *M. lehilahytsara* as sister to *M. mittermeieri* with no topological uncertainty (`Fig. S1`).

One case of mitonuclear discordance was found. Although mtDNA analyses placed several individuals from Anjiahely (see Fig. 1) in a well-supported clade with *M.* sp. #3 individuals from Ambavala, Mananara-Nord NP and Antanambe (`Fig. 2A`; see lower red box), analyses of the nuclear RADseq data placed them unambiguously within the *M. macarthurii* clade (`Fig. 2B,C`). This suggests that individuals from Anjiahely belong to *M. macarthurii* yet carry two divergent mtDNA lineages, and that *M.* sp. #3 is only found from Ambavala to Antanambe (`Fig. 1`). The cause of potential mitonuclear discordance for *M. macarthurii* in Anjiahely was subject to further investigation (see below in the section "Interspecific Gene Flow").

Species delimitation

Genetic structure

Clustering analyses (NgsAdmix and ADMIXTURE) at K = 5 grouped individuals into the five

nominal species in accordance with phylogenetic results and F_{ST} estimates (`Fig. S3; Fig.`

`S4; Tab. S3`), although some individuals were inferred to have ancestry from both *M.*

mittermeieri and *M. lehilahytsara* (`Fig. 2B`). Using NgsAdmix, three or five clusters best

explained the data (`Fig. S3; Fig. S5`), while using ADMIXTURE, three clusters had a

slightly lower cross-validation error than five (`Fig. S5`). PCA readily distinguished all species

(including the two sister species *M.* sp. #3 and *M. macarthurii*) across the first four principal

components with both GATK genotypes (`Fig. 3A,B`) and ANGSD genotype likelihoods

(`Fig. S7`).

When restricting clustering analyses to *M. macarthurii* and *M.* sp. #3 individuals, K = 2 was the

best-supported number of clusters using both approaches (`Fig. S5; Fig. S6`), which

divided *M. macarthurii* and *M.* sp. #3 individuals into separate clusters. At K = 3, *M.* sp. #3 was

split into two clusters individuals from Mananara-Nord NP and Antanambe on one hand and

individuals from Ambavala on the other (`Fig. S8`). PCA analyses for this subset of individuals

clearly distinguished these two groups along PC2 (`Fig. 3C`). Hereafter, we refer to these two

groups as "southern *M.* sp. #3" (Mananara-Nord NP and Antanambe, which is south of the large

Mananara river) and "northern *M.* sp. #3" (Ambavala, which is north of the river; `Fig. 1`).

Figure 3: Population genetic structure and the *gdi*.

PCA analyses for **(A, B)** all five species and **(C)** restricted to *M*. sp. #3 and *M. macarthurii* individuals, the latter showing the split of the two population groups "northern" (Ambavala) and "southern" *M*. sp. #3 (Antanambe and Mananara-Nord NP). **(D)** Genealogical divergence index (*gdi*) for *M. macarthurii – M.* sp. #3 and *M. mittermeieri – M. lehilahytsara*. *gdi* values > 0.7 suggest separate species; *gdi* values < 0.2 are below the lower threshold for species delimitation; 0.2 < *gdi* < 0.7 are in an "ambiguous" range (Jackson et al. 2017). Abbreviations: leh: *M. lehilahytsara*, mac: *M. macarthurii*, mit: *M. mittermeieri*, sim: *M. simmonsi*, sp3: *M*. sp. #3.

SNAPP Bayes Factors

Bayes factors strongly favored splitting *M*. sp. #3 and *M. macarthurii* into two separate species in

the 22-individual analyses (2lnBF = 34,326.39, `Tab. 1`). This indicates that levels of gene flow

between *M*. sp. #3 and *M. macarthurii* are low, considering that one migrant per generation

between species can erode evidence for species assignment under the MSC (Zhang et al. 2011).

Bayes factors for the 22-individual dataset also supported splitting *M. lehilahytsara* and *M.*

mittermeieri, albeit with much weaker support than the *M.* sp. #3 and *M. macarthurii* split (2lnBF

= 993.06). All species assignments were also recovered by the guided delimitation analysis

(Fig. S9).

Table 1: Bayes factor support for sister species pairs.

Marginal likelihoods were computed for a hypothesis of no speciation (Merge) and a hypothesis of a

speciation event (Split). We tested both the *M.* sp. #3 - *M. macarthurii* lineages and *M. lehilahytsara - M.*

mittermeieri species pairs with a 12- and 22-individual dataset. [†]Bayes factors calculated as 2 * (lnL$_{Split}$ -

lnL$_{Merge}$).

Species Pair	Number of Individuals	Merge Marginal lnL	Split Marginal lnL	2ln Bayes factor[†]
M. macarthurii - *M. sp. #3*	12	-134254.14	-125601.69	17304.91
	22	-204540.32	-187377.12	34326.39
M. lehilahytsara - *M. mittermeieri*	12	-126515.89	-125601.69	1828.41
	22	-187873.65	-187377.12	993.06

Genealogical divergence index (gdi)

For the *M.* sp. #3 - *M. macarthurii* sister pair, *gdi* was 0.727 (95% HPD: 0.718-0.737) from the

perspective of *M. macarthurii* (i.e. above the upper threshold for species delimitation), and 0.500

(0.488-0.511) from the perspective of *M.* sp. #3 (i.e. in the upper ambiguous zone for species

delimitation; Fig. 3D). In contrast, *gdi* values for the *M. lehilahytsara - M. mittermeieri*

species pair were much lower and even below the lower threshold for species delimitation: 0.080

(0.074-0.086) from the perspective of *M. lehilahytsara*, and 0.193 (0.187-0.201) from the

perspective of *M. mittermeieri* (Fig. 3D).

Morphometric comparisons

Though morphological distinctions are subtle, *Microcebus* sp. #3, *M. macarthurii*, *M. simmonsi*

and *M. mittermeieri* can be statistically distinguished based on morphometrics (LDA: Wilks'

Lambda = 0.0175, F = 6.7941, P < 0.001; Fig. 4). Apart from ear length, all parameters

contributed significantly to species assignments in the LDA model (P < 0.001), with body length

and inter-orbital distance having the highest R²-values (Fig. 4, Tab. S4). Prediction

accuracy of the jackknife cross-validated LDA model was 81%. Mis-classifications occurred with

M. simmonsi, but not between the other three taxa (Tab. S5). All three linear discriminant

functions were statistically significant of which the first (LD1) explained 69.3% of interspecific

variation and LD2 and LD3 the remaining 23.7% and 7.0%, respectively. *M*. sp. #3 and *M*.

macarthurii predominantly differed in five "head-associated" parameters (head width, inter- and

intra-orbital distance, snout length, ear width, all larger in *M*. sp. #3) while limb proportions did

not show significant differences (Fig. 4).

Two out of three *M. macarthurii* individuals with mitonuclear discordance clustered

morphometrically with the other *M. macarthurii,* whereas the third was positioned with *M.*

simmonsi (Fig. 4).

Figure 4: **Interspecific differences in morphometric parameters.**

Small plots: Comparisons based on one-way ANOVA (P < 0.001 for all parameters except ear length) and

grouping (letters after values) according to Tukey post-hoc tests. For parameter values, see Table S4.

Large plot in bottom right: Linear discriminant analysis (LDA) based on morphometric measurements (M.

sp. #3, n = 11; *M. macarthurii*, n = 6; *M. mittermeieri*, n = 22; *M. simmonsi*, n = 7). Individuals of *M.*

macarthurii with mitonuclear discordance and of *M. lehilahytsara* were not used to calculate the LDA

model due to small sample sizes, their position was predicted using the LDA model (*M. macarthurii*, n = 3;

M. lehilahytsara, n = 3).

Interspecific gene flow

D-statistics suggested that northern *M.* sp. #3 and *M. macarthurii* share a slight excess of derived

alleles in relation to southern *M.* sp. #3, significantly deviating from 0 for the comparison

inferring gene flow between northern *M.* sp. #3 and *M. macarthurii* with "*M. sp. #3*-type"

mtDNA (`Fig. 5A`). Using a G-PhoCS model with separate northern and southern *M.* sp. #3

population groups, we found asymmetric gene flow between *M.* sp. #3 and *M. macarthurii*, and

additionally inferred that (1) gene flow with *M. macarthurii* took place before as well as after the

onset of divergence between northern and southern *M.* sp. #3, (2) gene flow between extant

lineages occurs only between northern (and not southern) *M.* sp. #3 and *M. macarthurii* and (3)

gene flow is asymmetric, predominantly into *M. macarthurii* (`Fig. 5B`). In a G-PhoCS model

with all species, we additionally inferred gene flow from *M. mittermeieri* to *M. lehilahytsara* at

higher levels than that from *M.* sp. #3 to *M. macarthurii* (*Fig. 6A*).

Low levels of gene flow were also inferred between the *M.* sp. #3 - *M. macarthurii* clade and the

M. mittermeieri - M. lehilahytsara clade, most likely between ancestral populations but the

timing and direction of gene flow could not be determined in more detail (Supplementary

Results; `Fig. S10`).

Figure 5: Gene flow between *M*. sp. #3 and *M. macarthurii*.

(A) Four-taxon D-statistic suggesting gene flow between *M. macarthurii* ("mac") and northern *M*. sp. #3 ("sp3N"). In the lower comparison, *M. macarthurii* individuals with mitonuclear discordance ("mac*"), are treated separately. Axis annotations denote "(P1,P2),P3", wherein gene flow is tested between P3 and either P1 (negative D) or P2 (positive D). The outgroup P4 was *M. murinus* for all tests. Significant comparisons (|Z| > 3) are in red. **(B)** Percentage of migrants in each generation, inferred by G-PhoCS under a model with three taxa: *M. macarthurii* sister to northern and southern *M*. sp. #3. Shade of gray of arrows and text reflect the relative amount of gene flow.

Divergence Times

We estimated divergence times using the MSC model with BPP as well as G-PhoCS with and

without interspecific gene flow (`Fig. 6; Fig. S11`). Results were similar across these

approaches, although divergence times were estimated to be older in G-PhoCS models with

migration compared to models without migration (`Fig. 6`). Specifically, the divergence time of

M. sp. #3 and its sister taxon *M. macarthurii* was estimated at 50-109 kya (min. max. of 95%

HPD across all models) without migration (`Fig. 6; Fig. S11`), but at 91-183 kya when

incorporating gene flow between the two (`Fig. 6`). The effect of accounting for gene flow was

particularly strong for divergence times between *M. mittermeieri* and *M. lehilahytsara* (`Fig.`

`6`): while the estimated divergence time of *M. mittermeieri* and *M. lehilahytsara* without gene

flow was highly similar to that between *M*. sp. #3 and *M. macarthurii* (50-97 kya; `Fig. 6`), it

was estimated to be 250-478 kya in the presence of migration (`Fig. 6`). Ages of other nodes

were not affected strongly by including migration into the MSC model. For example, the split

between the last common ancestor of *M*. sp. #3 / *M. macarthurii* and the last common ancestor of

M. mittermeieri / *M. lehilahytsara* / *M. simmonsi* was estimated to be 351-756 kya when not

accounting for gene flow, and 348-648 kya when accounting for gene flow (`Fig. 6D`). These

posterior estimates are likely not influenced strongly by the model priors based on comparison of

marginal priors and posteriors across four BPP chains (`Fig. S12`).

Population sizes through time

Effective population sizes for extant as well as ancestral lineages were first estimated using BPP

and G-PhoCS (with and without interspecific gene flow; `Fig. 6A-C`). We found large

differences among species, with considerably larger effective population sizes for *M. murinus*

(min. and max. 95% HPD across the BPP and both G-PhoCS models: 59-79 k), *M. lehilahytsara*

(63-139 k), *M. mittermeieri* (42-86 kya), and most ancestral lineages, than for *M.* sp. #3 (14-20

k), *M. macarthurii* (6-10 k), and *M. simmonsi* (19-26 k). Wide HPD intervals for *M. mittermeieri*

and *M. lehilahytsara* were due to differences between models that did and did not account for

gene flow between these two species. Using the 3-species G-PhoCS model, effective population

sizes were estimated separately for northern (14-41 k), southern (7-20 k), and ancestral (18-31 k)

M. sp. #3 lineages (`Fig. S10`). An MSMC analysis for a single *M.* sp. #3 individual belonging

to the southern group resulted in highly similar estimates of population sizes through time,

showing a marked long-term decline towards the present (`Fig. 6F`). The estimated differences

in recent effective population sizes across taxa were further reflected by differences in genetic

diversity across populations (Fig. S13).

Figure 6: Demographic histories inferred by G-PhoCS, BPP and MSMC.

(A-C) Divergence times (y-axis) and effective population sizes (x-axis) inferred with and without migration.

(D, E) Comparison of divergence times and effective population sizes for each node and lineage,

respectively. "A" represents the lineage ancestral to *M. simmonsi*, *M. mittermeieri* and *M. lehilahytsara*, "B"

represents the lineage ancestral to *M.* sp. #3, *M. macarthurii*, *M. simmonsi*, *M. mittermeieri* and *M.*

lehilahytsara, and *"root"* represents the lineage ancestral to all six species included. **(F)** Effective

population sizes through time for *M.* sp. #3 as inferred by MSMC for whole-genome data from a single

individual (blue line), and by G-PhoCS for RADseq data for individuals from the same population group

(southern *M. sp. #3*) in the 3-species model, with and without gene flow (yellow and gray lines,

respectively).

Discussion

The results of this study document rapid lineage diversification of mouse lemurs within a

restricted region in Madagascar. Even though the species from the study region all diverged from

their common ancestors within the past 500,000 years, two pairs of non-sister species occur

sympatrically. Evidence supporting the divergent species identity of *M. jonahi* and its sister

species *M. macarthurii* is much stronger than for a previously described species pair.

Furthermore, a comparison of MSC models with and without gene flow produced different

divergence age estimates and showed that differences in effective population size appear to have

consequences for species delimitation.

Strong support for *M.* sp. #3 as a separate species: *M. jonahi*

Evidence for distinguishing *M.* sp. #3 as a separate species from *M. macarthurii* was strong and

consistent across a variety of species delimitation approaches. The two lineages were found to be

reciprocally monophyletic across all phylogenetic analyses of RADseq data (`Fig. 2C; Fig.`

`S1; Fig. S2`), separated unambiguously in clustering analyses (`Fig. 2B; Fig. 3;`

`Fig. S3; Fig. S5-S7`), had strong support for two separate species from SNAPP Bayes

factors (`Tab. 1`) and BPP (`Fig. S9`), and passed the heuristic criterion of *gdi* (`Fig. 3D`).

Nevertheless, these two lineages have diverged from each other relatively recently, with median

estimates of the divergence time across three different models with and without gene flow all

under 100 kya. While recent, it is important to keep in mind that if we were to transpose the

number of generations in this divergence time to a "hominin scale" (with a generation time that is

seven to ten times longer than that of mouse lemurs), this would correspond to a period longer

than 500,000 years, close to the estimated divergence time between Neanderthals and *Homo*

sapiens.

Though subtle, morphological evidence also supported the distinctiveness of *M.* sp. #3 in

comparison to all other species that occurred in the same biogeographic region (`Fig. 4`). *M.* sp.

#3 is differentiated from its sister species *M. macarthurii* in five out of 13 measured

morphometric parameters. Differences between the two sister taxa predominantly appeared in

head-associated parameters such as ear and head width, orbital distances, and snout length. It has

been suggested that skull parameters vary with feeding habits in lemurs and strepsirrhine

primates in general (e.g., omnivorous, folivorous or frugivorous etc.; Viguier 2004; Meloro et al.

2015; Fabre et al. 2018). We hypothesize that the morphometric differences in head-associated

parameters may indicate dietary and possibly cognitive differentiation between these two sister

species (Zimmermann and Radespiel 2014). Based on these signatures of genomic and

morphological distinctiveness, species status is supported for *M.* sp. #3 and a full species

description using the name *M. jonahi* is given at the end of the manuscript.

Signatures of gene flow between a recently diverged sister species pair

Mitonuclear discordance was observed for a subset of *M. macarthurii* individuals from

Anjiahely. Though having nDNA indistinguishable from other *M. macarthurii* at the same site,

across phylogenetic and clustering analyses, these individuals carried mtDNA similar to that of

M. sp. #3 (see Radespiel et al. 2008). And though genealogical discordance is not unexpected due

to incomplete lineage sorting, the strength and direction of the disagreement suggests

mitochondrial introgression, which was supported by D-statistics (`Fig. 5A`) and the inference

of low levels of gene flow from the *M.* sp. #3 population north of the Mananara river into *M.*

macarthurii by G-PhoCS (`Fig. 5B`). Therefore, the discovery of a divergent mtDNA lineage at

Anjiahely (Radespiel et al. 2008; Fig. 1), which prompted the current work and led to the

discovery of a new species, appears to have been the result of local mtDNA introgression into its

sister species.

The roles of population size and gene flow in species delimitation

In contrast to *M*. sp. #3 and *M. macarthurii*, we found only weak support for separate species

status of *M. lehilahytsara* and *M. mittermeieri*. They showed paraphyly in ML and SVDquartets

analyses (`Fig. 2A,C; Fig. S2`), were not as clearly separated in clustering analyses (`Fig.`

`2B; Fig. S3; S5; Fig. S6`), and had weak Bayes factor support in SNAPP relative to *M*.

sp. #3 and *M. macarthurii* (`Tab. 1`). Most strikingly, reciprocal *gdi* statistics were below the

recommended lower range for diagnosing species status (Jackson et al. 2017; Leaché et al. 2019;

`Fig. 3D`). We must therefore remain open to the possibility that boundaries between previously

described species may be revealed as ambiguous in light of new methods and results. The

methods used here thus do not necessarily lead to ever-increasing numbers of species, but rather

offer a reasonable way forward in our effort to accurately delimit biodiversity. And, when

coupled with other population genomic approaches, these methods offer insight into the complex

demographic history of diverging populations that may be in the process of speciation.

Even so, it should be emphasized, that most of the disparities in levels of support for species

status between the two pairs of sister species may be a fundamental consequence of differences in

effective population sizes, which are much larger for the *M. mittermeieri* / *M. lehilahytsara* pair

than for the *M.* sp. #3 / *M. macarthurii* pair. The *gdi* is calculated using the population sizes and

divergence times that are estimated without accounting for potential gene flow. Since those

divergence time estimates are highly similar for both species pairs, the difference in *gdi* is largely

the result of differences in effective population sizes. This is expected, since larger effective

population sizes result in slower sorting of ancestral polymorphisms (Maddison 1997), and the

gdi relies on quantifying the probability that two sequences from the focal taxon coalesce more

recently than the divergence time between the taxa. More generally, differences in population

sizes may also explain why *M. mittermeieri* and *M. lehilahytsara* were not resolved as clades in

the nDNA phylogeny (`Fig. 2C`) yet are shown to have distinct genetic clusters (`Fig. 2B;`

`Fig. 3B`).

Assessing speciation completion by quantifying rates of neutral coalescence is based on the

assumption that the magnitude of genetic drift is a good predictor of progress in speciation. This

is problematic, however, given that the role of drift in speciation is generally thought to be small

(Rice and Hostert 1993; Coyne and Orr 2004; Czekanski-Moir and Rundell 2019; but see Uyeda

et al. 2009), and a high prevalence of gene flow during and after speciation (Feder et al. 2012;

Harrison and Larson 2014; Mallet et al. 2016; Campbell et al. 2018) may restrict the build-up of

neutral differentiation, even over longer time spans. Moreover, the possibility that progress in

speciation is decoupled from neutral genetic differentiation cannot be avoided when delimiting

species using molecular data (Guilot et al. 2012; Solis-Lemus et al. 2015). Nevertheless, a

reliance on genealogical divergence in coalescence-based species delimitation specifically

renders effective population size a key variable. It is not clear whether this is justified, and

additional measures of divergence that do not depend on effective population sizes may also need

to be considered (see also Hey and Pinho 2012; Martien et al. 2017 – Appendix 2). Thus far, few

studies have examined a potential link between effective population size and speciation rates

(Khatri and Goldstein 2015; Khatri and Goldstein 2018; Huang et al. 2018), especially outside of

the context of founder effect speciation (Mayr 1959; Boake and Gavrilets 1998; Matute 2013).

A further distinction between the two pairs of sister species examined by this study is that we find

higher rates of gene flow between *M. mittermeieri* and *M. lehilahytsara* (`Fig. 6A`). This is not

simply a consequence of overall lower differentiation, since divergence time is estimated to be

substantially older than that of *M.* sp. #3 / *M. macarthurii* when accounting for gene flow within

both species pairs. Thus, a joint consideration of genealogical divergence and rates of gene flow

may offer a way forward for effective and consistent genomic species delimitation (see also Hey

and Pinho 2012) with our study showing that this is an area ripe for future exploration.

Rapid speciation in mouse lemurs

Sympatric *Microcebus* species were found at two study sites: *M. macarthurii* and *M. mittermeieri*

in Anjiahely (Makira) and *M.* sp. #3 and *M. lehilahytsara* in Ambavala (Fig. 1). This is

remarkable given that until now, only four other cases of sympatry among mouse lemur species

are known, and in all cases with only two species co-occurring, and always with *M. murinus* as

the other resident (Radespiel 2016). Moreover, the two sympatric pairs of species in this study

were estimated to have a common ancestor only ~500-600 kya. This provides strong evidence

that mouse lemurs not only developed widespread and rapid genetic divergence among

geographic areas, but also rapid reproductive isolation. Assuming that the geographic mode of

speciation has been largely allopatric (which is e.g. supported by the allopatric distributions of

sister species in this study), the observed sympatry of two pairs of non-sister species also reveals

substantial dynamism in the ranges of at least some of the focal species. It is tempting to

speculate that secondary contact occurred due to range expansion of *M. mittermeieri* and *M.*

lehilahytsara, which have larger ranges and population sizes as well as higher levels of

interspecific gene flow than the decreasing micro-endemics *M. sp. #3* and *M. macarthurii*.

Coalescent-based estimates of divergence times constrain the speciation of the focal lineages to

the Pleistocene (<600,000 years). It is therefore plausible that past climatic oscillations,

especially periods of drought accompanied with turnovers in vegetation composition and the

contraction of forested habitats were a factor in the isolation and genetic divergence of the

sampled species and populations (Burney et al. 1997; Gasse and Van Campo 2001; Kiage and

Liu 2006; Wilmé et al. 2006). In addition, the Mananara river appears to have impacted

population structure within *M*. sp. #3 and restricted gene flow between *M*. sp. #3 and *M*.

macarthurii, emphasizing that large rivers can be phylogeographic barriers in lemurs (Martin

1972; Pastorini et al. 2003; Goodman and Ganzhorn 2004; Olivieri et al. 2007).

Making direct links between molecular divergence and geological time is challenging. As a case

in point, some estimated divergence times were sensitive to whether gene flow was accounted for

in the MSC model (Fig. 6). Specifically, the model incorporating gene flow between *M*.

lehilahytsara and *M. mittermeieri* shifted their divergence time backwards by nearly 300 kya,

though estimates for deeper nodes were not similarly affected. The substantial effect of

incorporating or disregarding gene flow on divergence time estimation has been previously noted

(Leaché et al. 2014) and we here reiterate its importance for future studies. Furthermore,

development and application of methods that co-estimate divergence and gene flow for large-

scale genomic data, such as recent MSC methods that model introgression with phylogenetic

networks that are capable of marginal likelihood estimation (Zhang et al. 2017), will be crucial

for the accurate characterization of speciation processes.

A notable feature of the coalescent-based estimates of divergence times presented here is that

they are drastically different compared to those derived from fossil-calibrated molecular clock

methods. The basal divergence between *M. murinus* and the focal five-species clade in this study

was estimated to be close to 1 mya, but has consistently been estimated to be approximately 8 -

10 mya using fossil-calibrated estimates (Yang and Yoder 2003; dos Reis et al. 2018). Several

factors may explain this difference. First, we used a recent pedigree-based estimate of the mouse

lemur mutation rate that is about 2.5-fold higher than the phylogenetically-based estimate for

mouse lemurs (Campbell et al. 2019). Second, concatenated and gene tree-based estimates are

theoretically expected to overestimate recent species divergence times (Edwards and Beerli 2000,

Arbogast et al. 2002) and empirical comparisons have indeed found considerably more recent

divergence time estimates using coalescent-based estimates (McCormack et al. 2011; Angelis &

Dos Reis 2015; Colombo et al. 2015). Finally, fossil-calibrated estimates for lemurs require

external calibrations from distantly related lineages given the absolute dearth of lemur fossils

(e.g., Yang and Yoder 2003; Herrera and Dávalos 2016). Overall, the mouse lemur radiation is

likely more recent than previously suggested, yet further investigation is needed to understand the

magnitude of these disparate estimates.

Endangered "hotspot for micro-endemism" in northeastern Madagascar

In total, we found five evolutionarily divergent lineages of *Microcebus* within a 130 km wide

stretch of lowland rain forest in northeastern Madagascar making this restricted region one of the

most species-rich areas thus far identified for mouse lemurs. Although all taxa can be found in

varying habitat types (except heavily degraded grass- and fernlands), primary forests, even of

varying degradation stages, are strongly preferred (Knoop et al. 2018; Miller et al. 2018; Schüßler

et al. 2018).

A long-term decline in population size was inferred for the lineage leading to *M*. sp. #3. While

changes in inferred N_e may be confounded by changes in population structure, especially for

single-population PSMC/MSMC models that do not explicitly consider population subdivision

(Mazet et al., 2016, Chikhi et al., 2018), we found highly similar results between MSMC and G-

PhoCS analyses that considered divergence across a model with three species, treating the two *M*.

sp. #3 population clusters separately (`Fig. 6F`). This is especially reassuring since MSMC

analysis used whole-genome data for a single individual, while RADseq data from multiple

individuals per population underlies the G-PhoCS analyses. Importantly, changes in population

structure may also be associated with actual changes in population size, such as successive

population subdivision events with limited or no subsequent gene flow. If such changes in

population structure are the main cause of population size changes, as appears to be the case for

the lineage leading to *M*. sp. #3, a high degree of concordance between these different types of

analyses would in fact be expected. Nevertheless, we stress that future work will likely need to

study more complex scenarios of connectivity using metapopulation models, as has been

suggested for humans (Scerri et al., 2018).

The decline and population subdivision of *M*. sp. #3 was inferred to have started long before

anthropogenic land use fragmented the forest habitats, supporting the emerging consensus that

human colonization in Madagascar alone does not explain the occurrence of open habitats and

isolated forest fragments (Quéméré et al. 2012; Yoder et al. 2016; Vorontsova et al. 2016; Hackel

et al. 2018). However, we also observed clade-specific population size dynamics within the same

region, with *M. mittermeieri* and especially *M. lehilahytsara* maintaining much larger population

sizes than *M*. sp. #3 and its sister species, *M. macarthurii*, which appear to have decreased in

ranges and population sizes. It remains unclear what underlies these striking differences in

population sizes between the two pairs of sister species, which is especially mysterious given that

the four lineages occupy essentially the same geographic area.

Even so, the results of our study emphasize the need to intensify conservation activities in the

region (Schüßler et al. 2018). The exact range of *M*. sp. #3 is not yet established, but likely does

not exceed a maximum size of about 6,700 km². The known distribution includes community-

protected forests around Ambavala (Schüßler et al. 2018) and one nationally protected area with

Mananara-Nord NP. The southern range boundary of this species is most likely the Anove river

(Fig. 1), given that we detected *M. simmonsi* directly south of this river (which represents a

northern expansion of the known range for *M. simmonsi* that had previously been found at

Zahamena NP, Betampona and Tampolo; Louis et al. 2006; Louis and Lei 2016).

M. lehilahytsara has so far been assumed to represent a highland specialist, with no previous

records below 825 m a.s.l. (Weisrock et al. 2010; Radespiel et al. 2012). Unexpectedly,

individuals at Ambavala, at an elevation of 235 m a.s.l., were grouped together with *M.*

lehilahytsara from a highland study site (Riamalandy). This finding needs to be interpreted with

caution, however, due to weak overall differentiation between *M. lehilahytsara and M.*

mittermeieri and a lack of samples from intermediate locations. Thus, further research is required

to resolve this relationship and implications for the evolutionary history and biogeography of *M.*

lehilahytsara.

Recent analyses show that preferred forest habitats are rapidly declining and the isolation of

protected areas is inevitable in the foreseeable future (Schüßler et al. under review). We are now

beginning to appreciate that the area of northeastern Madagascar represents a "hotspot for micro-

endemism", while simultaneously finding that a newly described species may be under high

extinction risk due to ongoing deforestation (Schüßler et al. under review) and anticipated

environmental changes due to climate change (Brown and Yoder 2015). This situation is

unfortunately reminiscent of the recent discovery of *Pongo tapanuliensis*, which became the last

great ape species to be discovered and the most threatened at the same time (Nater et al., 2017).

Conclusions

We have used both morphometric analyses and genomic species delimitation to show that five

species of mouse lemurs, one of which is newly described, occur in a restricted region of

northeastern Madagascar, making it a hotspot for micro-endemism and conservation concern in

accordance with findings from Wilmé et al. (2006) and Brown and Yoder (2015). Furthermore,

we have shown that two pairs of non-sister species occur sympatrically despite surprisingly

recent estimated divergence times. From these results, we infer that speciation in mouse lemurs

can occur more rapidly than previously suspected, and the challenge ahead is to disentangle the

temporal dynamics and the geographic and ecological drivers of evolutionary diversification

within cryptic, young, and highly speciose radiations.

We also emphasize the need to carefully consider the potentially confounding effects of gene

flow and population structure when estimating divergence times and changes in effective

population sizes, and call for the development of metapopulation models to interpret genomic

data and increase our understanding of the consequences of past climatic oscillations on patterns

of genomic diversity and differentiation. Finally, we show that the inference of the degree to

which speciation has progressed in the two sister-species pairs of mouse lemurs studied here

correlates strongly with their respective effective population sizes. This finding suggests the need

for a critical evaluation of the implicit assumption in molecular species delimitation that N_e and

rates of drift correlate with the rate at which speciation progresses.

Species Description

<u>Systematics</u>

Order: Primates (Linnaeus 1758)

Suborder: Strepshirrini (É. Geoffroy 1812)

Family: Cheirogaleidae (Gray 1873)

Genus: *Microcebus* (É. Geoffrroy 1828)

Species: *Microcebus jonahi* species nova

<u>Holotype</u>

B34, adult male, captured on 06 September 2017 by DS. Tissue samples, hair samples as well as

e-voucher photos of the animal are stored at the Institute of Zoology, University of Veterinary

Medicine Hanover, Germany. The animal itself was released after field handling, sampling, and

photographing, since its taxonomic distinctiveness was not recognized at the time of capture.

Field measurements (all lengths measured in mm): ear length: 17.6, ear width: 13.7, head length:

37.7, head width: 23.0, snout length: 10.0, intra-orbital distance: 8.2, inter-orbital distance: 26.0,

lower leg length: 41.7, hind foot length: 24.5, third toe length: 10.6, body length: 95.6, tail length:

130.0, body mass: 66 g. The population around Ambavala is designated as the source population

for physical specimens in support of the holotype.

<u>Type locality</u>

Forest near the rural village of Ambavala (S 16° 12.307', E 49° 35.371'), in a community

protected forest at about 342 m a.s.l. approx. 20 km west of Mananara North, Province of

Analanjirofo, Madagascar.

<u>Paratypes</u>

(a) BD1, adult female, captured in the community protected forest of Antsiradrano (near

Ambavala) on 04 September 2017. Tissue and hair samples as well as photographs and

morphometric measurements are stored at the Institute of Zoology, University of Veterinary

Medicine Hanover, Germany.

(b) B13, adult male, captured in the community protected forest near Ambavala on 11 September

2017. Tissue and hair samples as well as photographs and morphometric measurements are stored

at the Institute of Zoology of the University of Veterinary Medicine Hanover in Germany.

It is planned that one physical specimen will be obtained as a further paratype in the near future

and that this specimen will then be deposited in the Museum of the Zoology, Department of the

University of Antananarivo, Madagascar.

<u>Description</u>

Microcebus jonahi is a large-bodied, reddish-brown and small-eared mouse lemur (`Fig. 7`).

This species has short and dense fur. The head is rufous colored with a darker brownish area

around the eyes which can slightly vary among individuals. A distinct white stripe lies between

the eyes ending at the forehead (`Fig. 7C`). The ears are of the same rufous color as the head.

The cheeks are lighter brownish and less rufous than the head becoming even lighter and almost

white towards the throat. The ventrum is white with slightly yellowish nuances (`Fig. 7D`)

which can vary in appearance among individuals. The dorsum is rather uniformly brown than

reddish (`Fig. 7E`). A darker dorsal stripe can be either present or absent. The ventrum and

dorsum are separated by a significant change in coloration with only marginal transition. The

coloration of the limbs shows the same pattern with a brownish dorsal and a white to slightly

yellowish ventral side. The tail is densely furred and of the same coloration as the dorsum. Hands

and feet show only sparse but whitish-gray hair. The skin on the palmar and plantar surfaces of

hands and feet is brownish-pink. Males and females do not show any sexual dimorphism.

Figure 7: Outer morphology of *Microcebus jonahi*. **(A)** Drawing of an adult individual; **(B)**

Habitus of adult female (paratype individual BD1); **(C-E)** Close-ups of adult male (holotype

B34). Illustration copyright by Stephen D. Nash / IUCN SSC Primate Specialist Group; used with

permission. Photos by D. Schüßler.

<u>Diagnosis</u>

M. jonahi can be distinguished from other taxa in northeastern Madagascar by morphometric and

genetic differences. Compared to its closest relative, *M. macarthurii*, *M. jonahi* has a larger snout

length, ear and head width as well as a larger intra- and inter-orbital distance. In addition, *M.*

jonahi can be easily differentiated from *M. macarthurii* by its ventral coloration which is rather

whitish (`Fig. 7`), but distinctly yellowish-orange in *M. macarthurii* (Radespiel et al. 2008;

Radespiel and Raveloson unpubl. data).

Moreover, it can be easily distinguished from the sympatric, small-bodied *M. lehilahytsara* (at

Ambavala) by its higher weight, larger body size and tail length. Finally, *M. jonahi* can be

differentiated from its southern geographical neighbor, *M. simmonsi*, by its larger head width as

well as wider inter- and intra-orbital distances. *M. jonahi* could be unambiguously distinguished

from the other four taxa in this study across all analyses of nuclear RADseq data (see above).

However, it may not be reliably distinguished from *M. macarthurii* based solely on mtDNA

sequences, likely due to some introgression of mtDNA from *M. jonahi* into *M. macarthurii* (see

above) in the past.

<u>Etymology</u>

M. jonahi is named in honor of Malagasy primatologist Professor Jonah Ratsimbazafy. He has

dedicated his life's work to the conservation of Malagasy lemurs. With both national and

international outreach to the scientific community (e.g., GERP, IPS, LemurPortal), to the public

of Madagascar (e.g., by initiating the World Lemur Festival), and to the political leaders of

Madagascar, he serves as an inspirational role model for young Malagasy students and scientists.

He provides hope for the future of Madagascar and for its iconic lemurs during very challenging

times.

<u>Vernacular name</u>

English name: Jonah's mouse lemur, French name: Microcèbe de Jonah, German

name: Jonah's Mausmaki.

Acknowledgements

This study was conducted under the research permit No. 197/17/MEEF/SG/DGF/DSAP/SCB.Re (DS), 072/15/MEEMF/SG/DGF/DCB.SAP/SCB (MBB), 137/13/MEF/SG/DGF/DCB.SAP/SCB (DWR), 175/14/MEF/SG/DGF/DCB.SAP/SCB (AM), kindly issued by the directeur du système des aires protégées, Antananarivo and the regional authorities (Direction Régional de l'Environnement, de l'Ecologie et de Forêts). We are endebted to J.H. Ratsimbazafy, N.V. Andriaholinirina, C. Misandeau, B. Le Pors and S. Rasoloharijaona, for their help with administrative tasks and to G. Besnard for facilitating this study. We thank our field assistants (I. Sitrakarivo, C. Hanitriniaina and T. Ralantoharijaona) and the ADAFAM (Association Des Amis de la Forêt d'Ambodiriana-Manompana) for their valuable help during sample collection. We warmly thank the many local guides and cooks for sharing their incomparable expertise and help in the field, *misaotra anareo jiaby*.

Funding was granted by the Bauer Foundation and the Zempelin Foundation of the "Deutsches Stiftungszentrum" under grant no. T237/22985/2012/kg and T0214/32083/2018/sm to DS, Duke Tropical Conservation Initiative Grant to ADY, and Duke Lemur Center/SAVA Conservation research funds to MBB, the School of Animal Biology at The University of Western Australia to AM, the Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, Portugal (PTDC/BIA-BEC/100176/2008, PTDC/BIA-BIC/4476/2012, and SFRH/BD/64875/2009), the Groupement de Recherche International (GDRI) Biodiversité et développement durable – Madagascar, the Laboratoire d'Excellence (LABEX) TULIP (ANR-10-LABX-41) and CEBA (ANR-10-LABX-25-01, the Instituto Gulbenkian de Ciência, Portugal to LC and JS, the ERA-NET BiodivERsA project: INFRAGECO (Inference, Fragmentation, Genomics, and Conservation, ANR-16-EBI3-0014 & FCT-Biodiversa/0003/2015) the LIA BEEG-B (Laboratoire International Associé – Bioinformatics, Ecology, Evolution, Genomics and Behaviour, CNRS) to LC and JS. Further

financial support came from the Institute of Zoology, University of Veterinary Medicine

Hannover and UR acknowledges the long-term support of the late Elke Zimmermann for her

research activities on Madagascar. The genomic data were generated with funds from NSF DEB-

1354610 to ADY and DWW and from the EDB Lab to JS. ADY also gratefully acknowledges

support from the John Simon Guggenheim Memorial Foundation and the Alexander von

Humboldt Foundation. EELJ would like to acknowledge support from the Ahmanson Foundation

for the data generation. This work was performed in collaboration with the GeT core facility,

Toulouse, France (http://get.genotoul.fr), and was supported by France Génomique National

infrastructure, funded as part of "Investissement d'avenir" program managed by Agence

Nationale pour la Recherche (contract ANR-10-INBS-09). JS, UR & LC also gratefully

acknowledge support from the Get-Plage sequencing and Genotoul bioinformatics

(BioinfoGenotoul) platforms Toulouse Midi-Pyrenees.

References

Alexander, D.H., Novembre, J., and Lange, K. 2009. Fast model-based estimation of ancestry in

unrelated individuals. *Genome Res.* **19**: 1655–1664.

Ali, O. A., O'Rourke, S. M., Amish, S. J., Meek, M. H., Luikart, G., Jeffres, C., and Miller, M. R.

2016. RAD capture (rapture): Flexible and efficient sequence-based genotyping. *Genetics*

202: 389–400.

Angelis, K., & Dos Reis, M. 2015. The impact of ancestral population size and incomplete

lineage sorting on Bayesian estimation of species divergence times. *Current Zoology* **61**:

874-885.

Barley, A. J., Brown, J. M., and Thomson, R. C. 2017. Impact of model violations on the

inference of species boundaries under the multispecies coalescent. *Syst. Biol.* **67**: 269–284.

Beaumont, M. A. 2004. Recent developments in genetic data analysis: What can they tell us

about human demographic history? *Heredity* **92**: 365–379.

Boetzer, M., Henkel, C. V., Jansen, H. J., Butler, D., and Pirovano, W. 2011. Scaffolding pre-

assembled contigs using sspace. *Bioinformatics* **27**: 578–579.

Brown, J. L. and Yoder, A. D. 2015. Shifting ranges and conservation challenges for lemurs in

the face of climate change. *Ecol. Evol.* **5**: 1131–1142.

Bryant, D., Bouckaert, R., Felsenstein, J., Rosenberg, N. A., and RoyChoudhury, A. 2012.

Inferring species trees directly from biallelic genetic markers: bypassing gene trees in a full

coalescent analysis. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **29**: 1917–1932.

Burney, D., James, H., Grady, F., Rafamantanantsoa, J. G., Wright, H., and Cowart, J. 1997.

Environmental change, extinction and human activity: evidence from caves in NW

Madagascar. *J. Biogeogr.* **24**: 755–767.

Campbell, C. R., Poelstra, J. W., and Yoder, A. D. 2018. What is speciation genomics? The roles

of ecology, gene flow, and genomic architecture in the formation of species. *Biol. J. Linn.*

Soc. **124**: 561–583.

Campbell, C. R., Tiley, G. P., Poelstra, J. W., Hunnicutt, K. E., Larsen, P. E., dos Reis, M., and

Yoder, A. D. 2019. Pedigree-based measurement of the de novo mutation rate in the gray

mouse lemur reveals a high mutation rate, few mutations in CpG sites, and a weak sex bias.

BiorXiv, posted August 5, 2019. doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/724880.

Chambers, E. A. and Hillis, D. M. 2019. The multispecies coalescent over-splits species in the

case of geographically widespread taxa. *Syst. Biol.* **68**: doi: org/10.1093/sysbio/syz042.

Chifman, J. and Kubatko, L. 2014. Quartet inference from SNP data under the coalescent model.

Bioinformatics **30**: 3317–3324.

Chikhi, L., Sousa, V., Luisi, P., Goossens, B., and Beaumont, M.A. 2010. The confounding

effects of population structure, genetic diversity and the sampling scheme on the detection

and quantification of population size changes. *Genetics* **186**: 983-995.

Chikhi, L., Rodríguez, W., Grusea, S., Santos, P., Boitard, S., Mazet, O. 2018. The IICR (inverse

instantaneous coalescence rate) as a summary of genomic diversity: insights into

demographic inference and model choice. *Heredity* **120**: 13-24.

Colombo, M., Damerau, M., Hanel, R., Salzburger, W., and Matschiner, M. 2015. Diversity and

disparity through time in the adaptive radiation of Antarctic notothenioid fishes. *J. Evol.*

Biol. **28**: 376–394.

Coyne, J. and Orr, H. 2004. *Speciation*. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland.

Czekanski-Moir, J. E. and Rundell, R. J. 2019. The ecology of nonecological speciation and

nonadaptive radiations. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **34**: 400–415.

Dalquen, D. A., Zhu, T., and Yang, Z. 2017. Maximum likelihood implementation of an

isolation-with-migration model for three species. *Syst. Biol.***66**: 379-398.

Dávalos, L. M. and Russell, A. L. 2014. Sex-biased dispersal produces high error rates in

mitochondrial distance-based and tree-based species delimitation. *J. Mammal.***95**: 781–791.

De Queiroz, K. 2007. Species concepts and species delimitation. *Syst. Biol.***56**: 879–886.

DePristo, M. A., Banks, E., Poplin, R., Garimella, K. V., Maguire, J. R., Hartl, C., Philippakis,

A. A., Del Angel, G., Rivas, M. A., Hanna, M., McKenna, A., Fennell, T. J., Kernytsky

A. M., Sivachenko, A. Y., Cibulskis, K., Gabriel, S. B., Altshuler, D., Daly, M. J. 2011. A

framework for variation discovery and genotyping using next-generation DNA sequencing

data. *Nat. Genet.* **43**: 491–498.

Displayr. 2018. flipMultivariates R package. URL https://github.com/Displayr/flipMultivariates

Dos Reis, M., Gunnell, G. F., Barba-Montoya, J., Wilkins, A., Yang, Z., and Yoder, A. D. 2018.

Using phylogenomic data to explore the effects of relaxed clocks and calibration strategies

on divergence time estimation: Primates as a test case. *Syst. Biol.***67**: 594–615.

Edwards, D. L. and Knowles, L. L. 2014. Species detection and individual assignment in species

delimitation: can integrative data increase efficacy? *Proc. Roy. Soc. B* **281**: 20132765.

Edwards, S. V., and Beerli, P. 2000. Gene divergence, population divergence, and the variance in

coalescent time in phylogeographic studies. *Evolution* **54**: 1839–1854.

Eriksson, A., and Manica, A. 2012. Effect of ancient population structure on the degree of

polymorphism shared between modern human populations and ancient hominins. *Proc. Natl.*

Acad. Sci. USA **109**: 13956-13960.

Estrada, A., Garber, P. A., Rylands, A. B., Roos, C., Fernandez-Duque, E., Di Fiore, A., Nekaris,

K. A. I., Nijman, V., Heymann, E. W., Lambert, J. E., Rovero, F., Barelli, C., Setchell, J. M.,

Gillespie, T. R., Mittermeier, R. A., Arregoitia, L. V., de Guinea, M., Gouveia, S.,

Dobrovolski, R., Shanee, S., Shanee, N., Boyle, S. A., Fuentes, A., MacKinnon, K. C.,

Amato, K. R., Meyer, A. L. S., Wich, S., Sussman, R. W., Pan, R., Kone, I., and Li, B. 2017.

Impending extinction crisis of the worlds primates: Why primates matter. *Sci. Adv.* **3**:

e1600946.

Fabre, A. C., Perry, J. M., Hartstone-Rose, A., Lowie, A., Boens, A., and Dumont, M. 2018. Do

muscles constrain skull shape evolution in strepsirrhines? *Anat. Rec.* **301**: 291–310.

Feder, J. L., Egan, S. P., and Nosil, P. 2012. The genomics of speciation-with-gene-flow. *Trends*

Genet. **28**: 342–350.

Flouri, T., Jiao, X., Rannala, B., and Yang, Z. 2018. Species tree inference with BPP using

genomic sequences and the multispecies coalescent. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **35**: 2585–2593.

Fox, J. and Weisberg, S. 2011. An R Companion to Applied Regression. Second Edition. Sage,

Thousand Oaks CA. URL http://socserv.socsci.mcmaster.ca/jfox/Books/Companion

Fumagalli, M., Vieira, F. G., Korneliussen, T. S., Linderoth, T., Huerta-Sánchez, E., Albrechtsen,

A., and Nielsen, R. 2013. Quantifying population genetic differentiation from next-

generation sequencing data. *Genetics* **195**: 979-992.

Fumagalli, M., Vieira, F. G., Linderoth, T., and Nielsen, R. 2014. ngstools: methods for

population genetics analyses from next-generation sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **30**:

1486–1487.

Gasse, F. and Van Campo, E. 2001. Late quaternary environmental changes from a pollen and

diatom record in the southern tropics (lake Tritrivakely, Madagascar). *Palaeogeogr.*

Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol. **167**: 287–308.

Gavrilets, S. and Boake, C. R. 1998. On the evolution of premating isolation after a founder

event. *Am. Nat.* **152**: 706–716.

Gonen, S., Bishop, S. C., and Houston, R. D. 2015. Exploring the utility of cross-laboratory rad-

sequencing datasets for phylogenetic analysis. *BMC Res. Notes* **8**: 299.

Goodman, S. M. and Benstead, J. P. 2005. Updated estimates of biotic diversity and endemism

for Madagascar. *Oryx* **39**: 73–77.

Goodman, S. M. and Ganzhorn, J. U. 2004. Biogeography of lemurs in the humid forests of

Madagascar: the role of elevational distribution and rivers. *J. Biogeogr.* 31:47–55.

Goudet, J. 2005. Hierfstat, a package for R to compute and test hierarchical f-statistics. *Mol.*

Ecol. Notes **5**: 184–186.

Gronau, I., Hubisz, M. J., Gulko, B., Danko, C. G., and Siepel, A. 2011. Bayesian inference of

ancient human demography from individual genome sequences. *Nat. Genet.* **43**: 1031–1034.

Hackel, J., Vorontsova, M. S., Nanjarisoa, O. P., Hall, R. C., Razanatsoa, J., Malakasi, P., and

Besnard, G. 2018. Grass diversification in Madagascar: In situ radiation of two large C_3

shade clades and support for a Miocene to Pliocene origin of C_4 grassy biomes. *J. Biogeogr.*

45: 750–761.

Hafen, T., Neveu, H., Rumpler, Y., Wilden, I., and Zimmermann, E. 1998. Acoustically

dimorphic advertisement calls separate morphologically and genetically homogenous

populations of the grey mouse lemur (*Microcebus murinus*). *Folia Primatol.* **69**: 342–356.

Harrison, R. G. and Larson, E. L. 2014. Hybridization, introgression, and the nature of species

boundaries. *J. Hered.* **105**: 795–809.

Heckman, K. L., Mariani, C. L., Rasoloarison, R., and Yoder, A. D. 2007. Multiple nuclear loci

reveal patterns of incomplete lineage sorting and complex species history within western

mouse lemurs (*Microcebus*). *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* **43**: 353–367.

Heller, R., Chikhi, L., and Sigiesmund, H.R. 2013. The confounding effect of population

structure on bayesian skyline plot inferences of demographic history. *PLoS ONE* **8**: e62992.

Herrera, J. P. and Dávalos, L. M. 2016. Phylogeny and divergence times of lemurs inferred with recent and ancient fossils in the tree. *Syst. Biol.* **65**: 772–791.

Hey, J. and Nielsen, R. 2007. Integration within the Felsenstein equation for improved Markov chain Monte Carlo methods in population genetics. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **104**: 2785–2790.

Hey, J. and Pinho, C. 2012. Population genetics and objectivity in species diagnosis. *Evolution* **66**: 1413–1429.

Hotaling, S., Foley, M. E., Lawrence, N. M., Bocanegra, J., Blanco, M. B., Rasoloarison, R., Kappeler, P. M., Barrett, M. A., Yoder, A. D., and Weisrock, D. W. 2016. Species discovery and validation in a cryptic radiation of endangered primates: coalescent-based species delimitation in Madagascar's mouse lemurs. *Mol. Ecol.* **25**: 2029–2045.

Huang, J.-P., Leavitt, S. D., and Lumbsch, H. T. 2018. Testing the impact of effective population size on speciation rates – a negative correlation or lack thereof in lichenized fungi. *Sci. Rep.* **8**: 5729.

Jackson, N. D., Carstens, B. C., Morales, A. E., and O'Meara, B. C. 2017. Species delimitation with gene flow. *Syst. Biol.* **66**: 799–812.

Jombart, T. 2008. adegenet: a R package for the multivariate analysis of genetic markers. *Bioinformatics* **24**: 1403–1405.

Jombart, T. and Ahmed, I. 2011. adegenet 1.3-1: new tools for the analysis of genome-wide SNP data. *Bioinformatics* **27**: 3070–3071.

Kappeler, P., Rasoloarison, R., Razafimanantsoa, L., Walter, L., and Roos, C. 2005. Morphology, behaviour and molecular evolution of giant mouse lemurs (*Mirza* spp.) Gray, 1870, with description of a new species. *Primate Rep.* **71**: 3–26.

Kass, R. E. and Raftery, A. E. 1995. Bayes factors. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.* **90**: 773–795.

Khatri, B. S. and Goldstein, R. A. 2015. Simple biophysical model predicts faster accumulation

of hybrid incompatibilities in small populations under stabilizing selection. *Genetics* **201**:

1525–1537.

Khatri, B. S. and Goldstein, R. A. 2018. Biophysics and population size constrains speciation in

an evolutionary model of developmental system drift. *BioRxiv.* doi: 10.1101/123265.

Kiage, L. M. and Liu, K. B. 2006. Late Quaternary paleo-environmental changes in East Africa:

A review of multiproxy evidence from palynology, lake sediments, and associated records.

Prog. Phys. Geogr. **30**: 633–658.

Kim, B. Y., Wei, X., Fitz-Gibbon, S., Lohmueller, K. E., Ortego, J., Gugger, P. F., and Sork,

V. L. 2018. RADseq data reveal ancient, but not pervasive, introgression between

Californian tree and scrub oak species (*Quercus* sect. *Quercus*: *Fagaceae*). *Mol. Ecol.* **27**:

4556–4571.

Knoop, S., Chikhi, L., and Salmona, J. 2018. Mouse lemur's use of degraded habitat. *Lemur*

News **21**: 20–31.

Korneliussen, T. S., Albrechtsen, A., and Nielsen, R. 2014. Angsd: analysis of next generation

sequencing data. *BMC Bioinformatics* **15**: 356.

Kottek, M., Grieser, J., Beck, C., Rudolf, B., and Rubel, F. 2006. World map of the Köppen-

Geiger climate classification updated. *Meteorol. Z.***15**: 259–263.

Langmead, B., Trapnell, C., Pop, M., and Salzberg, S. L. 2009. Ultrafast and memory-efficient

alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. *Genome Biol.* **10**: R25.

Leaché, A., Zhu, T., Rannala, B., and Yang, Z. 2019. The spectre of too many species. *Syst.*

*Biol.***68**: 168–181.

Leaché, A. D., Fujita, M. K., Minin, V. N., and Bouckaert, R. R. 2014. Species delimitation using

genome-wide SNP data. *Syst. Biol.***63**: 534–542.

Lecompte, E., Crouau-Roy, B., Aujard, F., Holota, H., and Murienne, J. 2015. Complete

mitochondrial genome of the gray mouse lemur, *Microcebus murinus* (Primates,

Cheirogaleidae). *Mitochondrial DNA A* **27**: 3514–3516.

Louis, E. E., Coles, M. S., Andriantompohavana, R., Sommer, J. A., Engberg, S. E., Zaonarivelo,

J. R., Mayor, M. I., and Brenneman, R. A. 2006. Revision of the mouse lemurs (*Microcebus*)

of eastern Madagascar. *Int. J. Primatol.* **27**: 347–389.

Louis, E. E., Engberg, S. E., McGuire, S. M., McCormick, M. J., Randriamampionona, R.,

Ranaivoarisoa, J. F., Bailey, C.A., Mittermeier, R. A., and Lei, R. 2008. Revision of the

mouse lemurs, *Microcebus* (Primates, Lemuriformes), of northern and northwestern

Madagascar with descriptions of two new species at Montagne d'Ambre National Park and

Antafondro Classified Forest. *Primate Conserv.* **23**: 19–38.

Louis, E. E. and Lei, R. 2016. Mitogenomics of the family *Cheirogaleidae* and relationships to

taxonomy and biogeography in Madagascar. In: S. M. Lehman, U. Radespiel, and E.

Zimmermann (Editors), *The Dwarf and Mouse Lemurs of Madagascar: Biology, Behavior*

and Conservation Biogeography of the Cheirogaleidae, Chap. 3, Cambridge University

Press, pp. 54–93.

Lozier, J. D. 2014. Revisiting comparisons of genetic diversity in stable and declining species:

assessing genome-wide polymorphism in North American bumble bees using RAD

sequencing. *Mol. Ecol.* **23**: 788–801.

Luo, A., Ling, C., Ho, S. Y. W., and Zhu, C. D. 2018. Comparison of methods for molecular

species delimitation across a range of speciation scenarios. *Syst. Biol.* **67**: 830–846.

Maddison, W. P. 1997. Gene trees in species trees. *Syst. Biol.* **46**: 523–536.

Mallet, J., Besansky, N., and Hahn, M. W. 2016. How reticulated are species? *BioEssays* **38**:

140–149.

Martien, K. K., Leslie, M. S., Taylor, B. L., Morin, P. A., Archer, F. I., Hancock-Hanser, B. L.,

Brittany, L., Rosel, P. E., Vollmer, N. L. Viricel, A., and Cipriano, F. (2017). Analytical

approaches to subspecies delimitation with genetic data. *Mar. Mam. Sci.* 33:27-55.

Martin, R. 1972. A preliminary field-study of the lesser mouse lemur (*Microcebus murinus* JF

Miller 1777). *Z. Tierpsychol.* **9**: 43–89.

Matute, D. R. 2013. The role of founder effects on the evolution of reproductive isolation. *J.*

Evol. Biol. **26**: 2299–2311.

Mayr, E. 1959. Isolation as an evolutionary factor. *Proc. Am. Phil. Soc.* **103**: 221–230.

Mazet, O., Rodriguez, W. V., Grusea, S., Boitard, S., and Chikhi, L. 2016. On the importance of

being structured: instantaneous coalescence rates and human evolution – Lessons for

inference of ancestral population size? *Heredity* **116**: 362–371.

McCormack, J. E., Heled, J., Delaney, K. S., Peterson, A. T., and Knowles, L. L. 2011.

Calibrating divergence times on species trees versus gene trees: implications for speciation

history of *Aphelocoma jays*. *Evolution* **65**: 184–202.

Meloro, C., Cáceres, N. C., Carotenuto, F., Sponchiado, J., Melo, G. L., Passaro, F., and Raia, P.

2015. Chewing on the trees: Constraints and adaptation in the evolution of the primate

mandible. *Evolution* **69**: 1690–1700.

Miller, A., Mills, H., Ralantoharijaona, T., Volasoa, N. A., Misandeau, C., Chikhi, L., Bencini,

R., and Salmona, J. 2018. Forest type influences population densities of nocturnal lemurs in

Manompana, northeastern Madagascar. *Int. J. Primatol.* **39**: 646–669.

Myers, N., Mittermeier, R. A., Mittermeier, C. G., Da Fonseca, G. A., and Kent, J. 2000.

Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. *Nature* **403**: 853–858.

Nater, A., Mattle-Greminger, M. P., Nurcahyo, A., Nowak, M. G., De Manuel, M., Desai, T., …,

and Lameira, A. R. 2017. Morphometric, behavioral, and genomic evidence for a new

orangutan species. *Current Biology* **27:** 3487-3498.

Nielsen, R., Korneliussen, T., Albrechtsen, A., Li, Y., and Wang, J. 2012. SNP calling, genotype

calling, and sample allele frequency estimation from new-generation sequencing data. *PLoS*

One **7**: e37558.

O'Leary, S. J., Puritz, J. B., Willis, S. C., Hollenbeck, C. M., and Portnoy, D. S. 2018. These

aren't the loci you're looking for: Principles of effective SNP filtering for molecular

ecologists. *Mol. Ecol.* **27**: 3193–3206.

Olivieri, G., Zimmermann, E., Randrianambinina, B., Rasoloharijaona, S., Rakotondravony, D.,

Guschanski, K., and Radespiel, U. 2007. The ever-increasing diversity in mouse lemurs:

three new species in north and northwestern Madagascar. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.***43**: 309–

327.

Palkopoulou, E., Lipson, M., Mallick, S., Nielsen, S., Rohland, N., Baleka, S., Karpinski, E.,

Ivancevic, A. M., To, T. H., Kortschak, R. D., Raison, J. M., Qu, Z., Chin, T.-J., Alt, K. W.,

Claesson, S., Dalén, L., MacPhee, R. D. E., Meller, H., Roca, A. L., Ryder, O. A., Heiman,

D., Young, S., Breen, M., Williams, C., Aken, B. L., Ruffier, M., Karlsson, E., Johnson, J.,

Di Palma, F., Alfoldi, J., Adelson, D. L., Mailund, T., Munch, K., Lindblad-Toh, K.

Hofreiter, M., Poinar, H., and Reich, D. 2018. A comprehensive genomic history of extinct

and living elephants. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **115**: E2566–E2574.

Pamilo, P., and Nei, M. 1988. Relationships between gene trees and species trees. *Mol. Biol.*

Evol. **5**: 568–583.

Pastorini, J., Thalmann, U., and Martin, R. D. 2003. A molecular approach to comparative

phylogeography of extant Malagasy lemurs. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **100**: 5879–5884.

Patterson, N., Moorjani, P., Luo, Y., Mallick, S., Rohland, N., Zhan, Y., Genschoreck, T.,

Webster, T., and Reich, D. 2012. Ancient admixture in human history. *Genetics* **192**: 1065–

1093.

Payseur, B. A. and Rieseberg, L. H. 2016. A genomic perspective on hybridization and

speciation. *Mol. Ecol.* **25**: 2337–2360.

Pedersen, C. E. T., Albrechtsen, A., Etter, P. D., Johnson, E. A., Orlando, L., Chikhi, L.,

Siegismund, H. R., and Heller, R. 2018. A southern African origin and cryptic structure in

the highly mobile plains zebra. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* **2**: 491–498.

Quéméré, E., Amelot, X., Pierson, J., Crouau-Roy, B., and Chikhi, L. 2012. Genetic data suggest

a natural pre-human origin of open habitats in northern Madagascar and question the

deforestation narrative in this region. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **109**: 13028–13033.

Radespiel, U. 2016. Can behavioral ecology help us to understand the divergent geographic range

sizes of mouse lemurs? In: S. M. Lehman, U. Radespiel, and E. Zimmermann (Editors), *The*

Dwarf and Mouse Lemurs of Madagascar: Biology, Behavior and Conservation

Biogeography of the Cheirogaleidae, Chap. 26, Cambridge University Press, pp. 498-519.

Radespiel, U., Lutermann, H., Schmelting, B., and Zimmermann, E. (in revision): An empirical

estimate of the generation time of mouse lemurs. *Am. J. Primatol.*

Radespiel, U., Olivieri, G., Rasolofoson, D. W., Rakotondratsimba, G., Rakotonirainy, O.,

Rasoloharijaona, S., Randrianambinina, B., Ratsimbazafy, J. H., Ratelolahy, F.,

Randriamboavonjy, T., Rasolofoharivelo, T., Craul, M., Rakotozafy, L., and Randrianarison,

R. M. 2008. Exceptional diversity of mouse lemurs (*Microcebus* spp.) in the Makira region

with the description of one new species. *Am. J. Primatol.* **70**: 1033–1046.

Radespiel, U., Ratsimbazafy, J. H., Rasoloharijaona, S., Raveloson, H., Andriaholinirina, N.,

Rakotondravony, R., Randrianarison, R. M., and Randrianambinina, B. 2012. First

indications of a highland specialist among mouse lemurs (*Microcebus* spp.) and evidence for

a new mouse lemur species from eastern Madagascar. *Primates* **53**: 157–170.

Radespiel, U., Sarikaya, Z., Zimmermann, E., and Bruford M.W. (2001) Sociogenetic structure in

a free-living nocturnal primate population: sex-specific differences in the grey mouse lemur

(*Microcebus murinus*). *Behav. Ecol. Sociobio.* 50: 493-502.

Rannala, B. and Yang, Z. 2003. Bayes estimation of species divergence times and ancestral

population sizes using DNA sequences from multiple loci. *Genetics* **164**: 1645–1656.

Rannala, B. and Yang, Z. 2013. Improved reversible jump algorithms for Bayesian species

delimitation. *Genetics* **194**: 245–253.

Rasoloarison, R. M., Goodman, S. M., and Ganzhorn, J. U. 2000. Taxonomic revision of mouse

lemurs (*Microcebus*) in the western portions of Madagascar. *Int. J. Primatol.* **21**: 963–1019.

Rasoloarison, R. M., Weisrock, D. W., Yoder, A. D., Rakotondravony, D., and Kappeler, P. M.

2013. Two new species of mouse lemurs (*Cheirogaleidae*: *Microcebus*) from eastern

Madagascar. *Int. J. Primatol.* **34**: 455–469.

Rice, W. R. and Hostert, E. E. 1993. Laboratory experiments on speciation: What have we

learned in 40 years? *Evolution* **47**: 1637–1653.

Rodríguez, W., Mazet, O., Grusea, S., Arredondo, A., Corujo, J. M., Boitard. S., and Chikhi. L.

2018. The IICR and the non-stationary structured coalescent: towards demographic inference

with arbitrary changes in population structure. *Heredity* **121**: 663-678.

Schiffels, S. and Durbin, R. 2014. Inferring human population size and separation history from

multiple genome sequences. *Nat. Genet.* **46**: 919–925.

Schüßler, D., Mantilla-Contreras, J., Stadtmann, R., Ratsimbazafy, J. H., and Radespiel, U.

(under review) Determinants of deforestation and prediction of future forest loss in remote

and rural north-eastern Madagascar. *Biodiv. Conserv.*

Schüßler, D., Radespiel, U., Ratsimbazafy, J.H., and Mantilla-Contreras, J. 2018. Lemurs in a

dying forest: Factors influencing lemur diversity and distribution in forest remnants of north-

eastern Madagascar. *Biol. Conserv.* **228**: 17–26.

Schwitzer, C., Mittermeier, R.A., Johnson, S.E., Donati, G., Irwin, M., Peacock, H.,

Ratsimbazafy, J., Razafindramanana, J., Louis Jr., E. E., Chikhi, L., Colquhoun, I.C.,

Tinsman, J., Dolch, R., LaFleur, M., Nash, S., Patel, E., Randrianambinina, B.,

Rasolofoharivelo, T., Wright, P.C. 2014. Averting lemur extinctions amidst Madagascar's

political crisis. *Science* **343**: 842-43.

Skotte, L., Korneliussen, T. S., and Albrechtsen, A. 2013. Estimating individual admixture

proportions from next generation sequencing data. *Genetics* **195**: 693–702.

Solís-Lemus, C., Knowles, L. L., and Ané, C. (2015). Bayesian species delimitation combining

multiple genes and traits in a unified framework. *Evol.* 69:492-507.

Stamatakis, A. 2014. Raxml version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large

phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* **30**: 1312–1313.

Sukumaran, J. and Knowles, L. L. 2017. Multispecies coalescent delimits structure, not species.

Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **114**: 1607–1612.

Uyeda, J. C., Arnold, S. J., Hohenlohe, P. A., and Mead, L. S. 2009. Drift promotes speciation by

sexual selection. *Evolution* **63**: 583–594.

Venables, W. N. and Ripley, B. D. 2002. *Modern Applied Statistics with S.* Fourth Edition.

Springer, New York. ISBN 0-387-95457-0. URL http://www.stats.ox.ac.uk/pub/MASS4

Viguier, B. 2004. Functional adaptations in the craniofacial morphology of Malagasy primates:

shape variations associated with gummivory in the family *Cheirogaleidae*. *Ann. Anat.* **186**:

495–501.

Vorontsova, M. S., Besnard, G., Forest, F., Malakasi, P., Moat, J., Clayton, W. D., Ficinski, P.,

Savva, G. M., Nanjarisoa, O. P., Razanatsoa, J., Randriatsara, F. O., Kimeu, J. M., Luke,

W. R. Q., Kayombo, C., and Linder, H. P. 2016. Madagascar's grasses and grasslands:

Anthropogenic or natural? *Proc. Roy. Soc. B* **283**: 20152262.

Waeber, P. O., Wilmé, L., Mercier, J. R., Camara, C., and Lowry II, P. P. 2016. How effective

have thirty years of internationally driven conservation and development efforts been in

Madagascar? *PLoS One* **11**: e0161115.

Warmuth, V. M., and Ellegren, H. 2019. Genotype-free estimation of allele frequencies reduces

bias and improves demographic inference from RADSeq data. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* **19**: 586–

596.

Weisrock, D. W., Rasoloarison, R. M., Fiorentino, I., Ralison, J. M., Goodman, S. M., Kappeler,

P. M., and Yoder, A. D. 2010. Delimiting species without nuclear monophyly in

Madagascar's mouse lemurs. *PLoS One* **5**: e9883.

Wen, D., Yu, Y., Zhu, J., and Nakhleh, L. 2018. Inferring phylogenetic networks using PhyloNet.

Syst. Biol. **67**: 735–740.

Wilmé, L., Goodman, S. M., and Ganzhorn, J. U. 2006. Biogeographic evolution of

Madagascar's microendemic biota. *Science* **312**: 1063–1065.

Xie, W., Lewis, P. O., Fan, Y., Kuo, L., and Chen, M. H. 2011. Improving marginal likelihood

estimation for Bayesian phylogenetic model selection. *Syst. Biol.* **60**: 150–160.

Yang, Z., and Rannala, B. 2010. Bayesian species delimitation using multilocus sequence data.

Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 107:9264–9269.

Yang, Z., and Yoder, A. D. 2003. Comparison of likelihood and Bayesian methods for estimating

divergence times using multiple gene loci and calibration points, with application to a

radiation of cute-looking mouse lemur species. *Syst. Biol.* **52**: 705–716.

Yoder, A. D., Campbell, C. R., Blanco, M. B., dos Reis, M., Ganzhorn, J. U., Goodman, S. M.,

Hunnicutt, K. E., Larsen, P. A., Kappeler, P. M., Rasoloarison, R. M., Ralison, J. M.,

Swofford, D. L., and Weisrock, D. W. 2016. Geogenetic patterns in mouse lemurs (genus

Microcebus) reveal the ghosts of Madagascar's forests past. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **113**:

8049–8056.

Yoder, A. D., Rasoloarison, R. M., Goodman, S. M., Irwin, J. A., Atsalis, S., Ravosa, M. J., and

Ganzhorn, J. U. 2000. Remarkable species diversity in Malagasy mouse lemurs (*Primates*,

Microcebus). *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **97**: 11325–11330.

Zhang, C., Ogilvie, H. A., Drummond, A. J., and Stadler, T. 2017. Bayesian inference of species

networks from multilocus sequence data. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **35**: 504–517.

Zhang, C., Zhang, D.X., Zhu, T., and Yang, Z. 2011. Evaluation of a bayesian coalescent method

of species delimitation. *Syst. Biol.* **60**: 747–761.

Zimin, A. V., Marçais, G., Puiu, D., Roberts, M., Salzberg, S. L., and Yorke, J. A. 2013. The

MaSuRCA genome assembler. *Bioinformatics* **29**: 2669–2677.

Zimmermann, E., Cepok, S., Rakotoarison, N., Zietemann, V., and Radespiel, U. 1998.

Sympatric mouse lemurs in north-west Madagascar: A new rufous mouse lemur species

(*Microcebus ravelobensis*). *Folia Primatol.* **69**: 106–114.

Zimmermann, E., and Radespiel, U. 2014. Species concepts, diversity, and evolution in primates:

lessons to be learned from mouse lemurs. *Evol. Anthropol.* **23**: 11–14.