Cryptic patterns of speciation in cryptic primates: microendemic mouse lemurs and the multispecies coalescent

Running title: Cryptic speciation in mouse lemurs

Dominik Schüßler[1#], Jordi Salmona[2#], Marina B. Blanco[3,4#], Jelmer Poelstra[4#], George P. Tiley[4#], Jean B. Andriambeloson[5], Olivier Bouchez[6], C. Ryan Campbell[4†], Paul D. Etter[7], Amaia Iribar[2], Paul A. Hohenlohe[8], Kelsie E. Hunnicutt[4@], Eric A. Johnson[7], Peter A. Larsen[4&], Jasmin Mantilla-Contreras[1], Sophie Manzi[2], Alexandra Miller[9], Blanchard Randrianambinina[10,11], David W. Rasolofoson[10], Amanda R. Stahlke[8], David Weisrock[12], Rachel C. Williams[3,4], Lounès Chikhi[2,9], Edward E Louis Jr.[13], Anne D. Yoder[4*], Ute Radespiel[14*]

[1]: Research Group Ecology and Environmental Education, Institute of Biology and Chemistry, University of Hildesheim, Universitaetsplatz 1, 31141 Hildesheim, Germany

[2]: CNRS, Université Paul Sabatier, IRD; UMR5174 EDB (Laboratoire Évolution & Diversité 11 Biologique), 118 route de Narbonne, 31062 Toulouse, France

[3]: Duke Lemur Center, Duke University, Durham, NC 27705, USA

[4]: Department of Biology, Duke University, Durham, NC 27708, USA

[5]: Zoology and Animal Biodiversity, University of Antananarivo, Antananarivo 101, Madagascar

[6]: INRA, US 1426, GeT-PlaGe, Genotoul, Castanet-Tolosan, France

[7]: Institute of Molecular Biology, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR, USA

[8]: Institute for Bioinformatics and Evolutionary Studies, Department of Biological Sciences, University of Idaho, Moscow, ID 83844, USA

[9]: Instituto Gulbenkian de Ciência, Rua da Quinta Grande, 6, 2780-156 Oeiras, Portugal

[10]: Groupe d'Etude et de Recherche sur les Primates de Madagascar (GERP); BP 779,

Antananarivo 101, Madagascar

[11]: Faculté des Sciences, University of Mahajanga, Mahajanga, Madagascar

[12]: Department of Biology, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY, 40506, USA

[13]: Grewcock Center for Conservation and Research, Omaha's Henry Doorly Zoo and Aquarium,

Omaha, NE

[14]: Institute of Zoology, University of Veterinary Medicine Hannover, Buenteweg 17, 30559

Hannover, Germany

#: joint first authors

*: joint senior authors (corresponding authors)

@: current address: Department of Biological Sciences, University of Denver, Denver, CO 80208
38
39   &: current address: Department of Veterinary and Biomedical Sciences, University of Minnesota,
40   Saint Paul, MN 55108
41
42   †: current address: Department of Evolutionary Anthropology, Duke University, Durham, NC
43   27708, USA
44
45

46
Corresponding authors:

Ute Radespiel, Institute of Zoology, University of Veterinary Medicine Hannover, Germany,

email: ute.radespiel@tiho-hannover.de

Anne D. Yoder, Department of Biology, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA, email:

anne.yoder@duke.edu

Author contributions:

- Conception and design of study:

DS, JS, LC, JP, GPT, JMC, ADY, UR

- Data collection:

DS, MBB, JBA, AM, EELJ, DWR, BR collected samples in the field.

- Data analysis and interpretation:

DS, JS, LC, OB, PE, CRC, PAL, ARS, DW, AIP, PH, KEH, EJ, SM, RCW, EELJ, UR generated

sequencing data.

DS, JS, MBB, JP, GPT, ADY, UR conducted and interpreted morphometric, population genetic

and phylogenetic analyses.

- Drafting and revising manuscript:

DS, JS, JP, GPT, ADY, UR drafted the manuscript.

All co-authors revised and agreed on the last version of the manuscript.

Abstract

Species delimitation is ever more critical for assessing biodiversity in threatened regions of

the world, with cryptic species and rapid radiations offering two of the greatest challenges,

especially when they occur in conjunction. Mouse lemurs (*Microcebus*) are an example of a

rapid, cryptic radiation and here we study five phylogenetic lineages that are found within a

restricted geographic area in northeastern Madagascar. To delimit and characterize species

diversity we utilize a conceptual framework based on the multispecies coalescent (MSC).

Morphometric analyses reveal only minute phenotypic differences among lineages, thus

confirming the cryptic nature of taxa. By analyzing genome-wide SNP data, we assess effective

population sizes (N_e), divergence times, and patterns of gene flow to inform hypotheses of

species delimitation in the context of geographic distribution, ecology and morphological

distinction. Differences in N_e have a significant impact on MSC-based species delimitation,

particularly with regard to the recently introduced genealogical divergence index (*gdi*). Lineages

with low N_e pass the heuristic *gdi* test while high N_e lineages fail to delimit a previously

recognized species pair. Further, we find that incorporating gene flow into the MSC can greatly

affect divergence time estimates. Even so, we are confident that speciation occurred recently and

rapidly in this cryptic radiation with reproductive isolation apparent from two areas where

divergent lineages occur sympatrically. Finally, we conclude that a previously identified

mitochondrial lineage warrants full species status, and provide a species description for this

lineage, naming it *M. jonahi*. Alarmingly, analyses of both whole-genome and RADseq data show

a precipitous decline in N_e in this newly described microendemic, thus indicating a high risk of

extinction even in the moment of its recognition as a new species.

Keywords: effective population size, *Microcebus jonahi*, cryptic species, multispecies coalescent,

species delimitation, speciation, microendemism

Introduction

The accurate delimitation of species is essential to the investigation of evolutionary mechanisms as well as for estimating the extent of global biodiversity. It is increasingly acknowledged that cryptic species are "the elephant in the room" for correctly assessing these parameters (Adams et al. 2014). There have been recent calls for a conceptual framework for identifying cryptic species (Struck et al. 2018) and for properly integrating them into biodiversity science, including formal species description with appropriate taxonomy (Fiser et al. 2017). Here, we follow these guidelines for assessing species diversity in mouse lemurs (genus *Microcebus*) from a restricted geographic region in Madagascar of intense conservation concern.

Given that cryptic species are by definition difficult to identify based on phenotypic variation (Struck et al. 2018), rapidly developing methods for genomic species delimitation have profoundly advanced our ability to recognize and quantify species diversity. In the past decade, both theory and methods for species delimitation have seen substantial progress and stimulating debate, especially those which leverage the multispecies coalescent (MSC) model (Pamilo and Nei 1988; Rannala and Yang 2003). Relying on molecular data and MSC-based species delimitation methods (e.g. Yang and Rannala 2010; Carstens and Dewey 2010; Grummer et al. 2013) has been deemed controversial (Edwards and Knowles 2014; Barley et al. 2017; Sukumaran and Knowles 2017), largely because the alternative hypothesis of strong population structure when assigning species boundaries is not considered (Jackson et al. 2017; Sukumaran and Knowles 2017; Luo et al. 2018; Chambers and Hillis 2019; Leaché et al. 2019). To overcome this potential weakness, Jackson et al. (2017) proposed a heuristic criterion, the genealogical divergence index (*gdi*), with Leaché et al. (2019) further suggesting that *gdi* helps to differentiate

between population structure and species-level divergence. In parallel, sophisticated statistical

approaches that can detect the presence and magnitude of gene flow before or after speciation

events have been developed (Gronau et al. 2011; Payseur and Rieseberg 2016; Dalquen et al.

2017; Wen et al. 2018). Taken together, these analytical developments are crucial to our ability to

recognize the patterns that characterize the speciation process, despite the challenge of

identifying species without universally agreed upon criteria (de Queiroz 2007).

Mouse lemurs (*Microcebus* spp.) are small and nocturnal primates inhabiting remote and

highly threatened areas of Madagascar, one of the world's most biodiverse environments (Myers

et al. 2000; Goodman and Benstead 2005; Waeber et al. 2016; Estrada et al. 2017). These

primates have been shown to represent a notoriously problematic group for robust species

delimitation (Hotaling et al. 2016) given that they exhibit patterns of rapid diversification, cryptic

morphology, and overlapping geographic distributions (e.g., Zimmermann et al. 1998;

Rasoloarison et al. 2000; Radespiel et al. 2008). Furthermore, the existing lack of phylogenetic

consensus among previous studies (Weisrock et al. 2010; Hotaling et al. 2016; dos Reis 2018)

may be due to rapid and recent lineage diversification, thus placing them in the "anomaly zone"

wherein species trees feature short branch lengths between speciation events, and accordingly,

high discordance among gene trees as a function of incomplete lineage sorting (Degnan and

Rosenberg 2006). Mouse lemur diversity was long overlooked (Zimmermann and Radespiel

2014) until the introduction of genetic analyses made it feasible to identify diverging lineages

despite similar phenotypes and roughly similar ecological niches. This genetic perspective has led

to the description of many new species, most of them from the western part of the island

(Zimmermann et al. 1998; Rasoloarison et al. 2000; Yoder et al. 2000; Louis et al. 2008; Olivieri

et al. 2007) with eastern regions only recently receiving increased levels of attention to the

possibility of novel species (Kappeler et al. 2005; Louis et al. 2006; Radespiel et al. 2008, 2012;

Rasoloarison et al. 2013; Hotaling et al. 2016).

In particular, Radespiel et al. (2008) surveyed the forests of the Makira region (`Fig. 1`)

and found evidence for three diverged lineages occurring in sympatry, a phenomenon previously

undocumented for mouse lemurs. One of these was identified as *M. mittermeieri*, while the

second was newly described as *M. macarthurii*. A third lineage (*M.* sp. #3) was hypothesized to

be a new species based on mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) sequence data but could not be formally

described given that only a single individual was sampled. Our study takes advantage of

increased geographic and population-level sampling to fully explore this hypothesis.

150

151 **Figure 1: Sampling sites in northeastern Madagascar.** New sampling locations are marked with *. The

152 region coincides with a conservation hotspot for lemurs (see heat map in the inlay with warm colors

153 representing conservation concern based on predicted range shifts in a large number of lemur species

154 reproduced from Brown and Yoder 2015). Forest cover in 2018 derived from Schüßler et al. (in revision).

155

156   Many previous taxonomic descriptions have relied strongly, if not entirely, on

157 mitochondrial sequence divergence to delimit the presently 24 recognized mouse lemur species

158 (Hotaling et al. 2016), prompting criticism in terms of "taxonomic inflation" and "oversplitting"

159 of linages (Tattersall 2007; Markolf et al. 2011). Indeed, the mtDNA approach to species

recognition is widely regarded as problematic, given that the mitogenome represents only a

single, non-neutral, non-recombining locus whose gene tree may not represent the underlying

species tree (e.g., Pamilo and Nei 1988; Maddison 1997). Mitochondria are also maternally

inherited and therefore susceptible to effects of sex-biased dispersal (e.g., Dávalos and Russell

2014), which is prevalent in mouse lemurs (Radespiel et al. 2001). To further complicate matters,

previous attempts to resolve relationships using sequences from nuclear markers were

complicated by high gene tree discordance consistent with strong incomplete lineage sorting

(e.g., Heckman et al. 2007; Weisrock et al. 2010). But now, with genomic approaches,

investigators can sample thousands of loci across multiple individuals, which provides power for

simultaneously resolving phylogenetic relationships and for estimating demographic parameters

such as divergence times, effective population sizes, and rates of gene flow — even among

closely related species (e.g., Palkopoulou et al. 2018; Pedersen et al. 2018).

In this study, we utilize a conceptual framework to comprehensively delimit species under

complex patterns of speciation, including rapid diversification, cryptic species richness, and

incomplete linage sorting. To exemplify our approach, we revisit the Radespiel et al. (2008)

findings by expanding the geographic and species-level sampling to reconstruct the evolutionary

history of the mouse lemur lineages inhabiting this region and to test the hypothesis that *M.* sp.

#3 actually represents a new species. We also provide a novel whole genome for this

hypothesized new species thus allowing for a detailed conservation genomic analysis. Our study

therefore represents the most intensive examination to date of speciation dynamics within this

cryptic species radiation.

Analytical framework

We utilize a conceptual framework that can be applied to any biological system that requires

evaluation of its species diversity under threat from habitat loss and fragmentation – even under

complicated conditions of rapid speciation and ambiguous morphological differentiation. Our

general approach is summarized in `Figure 2` with a workflow that is composed of four

successive steps: (A) thorough geographic sampling and analyses of phenotypic divergence, (B)

mtDNA barcoding, (C) phylogenetic placement of focal lineages, and (D) use of genomic data for

MSC analyses.

Figure 2: A structured approach for assessing mouse lemur species diversity in a restricted geographic area. (A) Thorough sampling of relevant populations accompanied by phenotypic analysis to assure adequate geographic coverage and estimation of cryptic diversity. **(B)** DNA barcoding to assign

individuals to previously hypothesized species lineages based on published data, allowing for subsequent

detection of co-occurrence in sympatry. **(C)** Prior knowledge of phylogenetic proximity is used to guide

multispecies coalescent study design (colored circles correspond to those in Figure 1). **(D)** Development

of further genomic resources (in our case, genome-wide SNP data generated via RADseq) to enhance the

power of species delimitation methods using the multispecies coalescent (redrawn from Figure 1 in Fujita

et al. (2012)).

Materials and methods

Study sites and sampling

Microcebus individuals were sampled between 2008 and 2017 at seven rain forest sites (50-979 m a.s.l.; Kottek et al. 2006) in the Analanjirofo and Sava regions of northeastern Madagascar (`Fig. 1`; `Tab. S1`). All study sites harbor a variety of habitats ranging from undisturbed near-primary rain forest to heavily degraded secondary shrub-, grass- and fern-lands (Radespiel et al. 2008; Miller et al. 2018; Schüßler et al. 2018). Additional samples were used from Riamalandy, Zahamena National Park (NP), Betampona Strict Nature Reserve (SNR) and Tampolo (Louis et al. 2006; Weisrock et al. 2010; Louis and Lei 2016; `Fig. 1`). With this sampling strategy, we expect to detect all mouse lemur species that occur in the region. These are (from north to south) *M. mittermeieri*, *M. macarthurii*, *M.* sp. #3, *M. lehilahytsara* and *M. simmonsi* (`Fig. 1`). *Microcebus murinus,* which occurs throughout western and southern Madagascar, was used as an outgroup for the analyses.

Morphometric analyses

We measured 13 different morphometric parameters (ear length, ear width, head length, head width, snout length, inter- and intra-orbital distance, lower leg length, hind foot length, third toe length, tail length, body length and body mass) according to Hafen et al. (1998) and Zimmermann et al. (1998). Individuals were assigned to their respective taxon based on phylogenetic and clustering analyses. The morphological data of all captured and released adult mouse lemurs were compared among species and with data sets available from geographically neighboring species (`Fig. 1`). A linear discriminant analysis (LDA) was conducted to test for species differentiation based on morphometrics using the "MASS" package (v7.3-51.3; Venables and Ripley 2002) in R (R Core Development Team 2013). Model fit was evaluated by a jackknife

cross-validation and Wilks' Lambda was computed to evaluate the LDA model. R^2 values were

calculated using the "flipMultivariates" package (Displayr 2018) to document the proportion of

variance per parameter that is explained by the species. Quantitative morphometric comparisons

between taxa were performed for all measurements with a one-way ANOVA and a post hoc

Tukey test. Assumptions of the respective tests were examined using Shapiro-Wilk and Levene's

tests in the R package car v3.0-2 (Fox and Weisberg 2011) beforehand.

One limitation to the morphometric analyses is that body measurements of the different taxa

were obtained by at least four researchers across the five different lineages, and it cannot be ruled

out that researchers may have differed slightly in how they applied the calipers. However, the

same researcher contributed data points to more than one species in at least two cases (DS,

DWR).

RADseq laboratory procedures and data processing

We generated Restriction site Associated DNA sequencing (RADseq) libraries using the

*Sbf*I restriction enzyme, following three protocols (Supplementary Methods, `Tab. S1`). Cleaned

sequences were aligned to *M.* sp. #3 genome and to the *M. murinus* mitogenome (Lecompte et al.

2015; see Supplementary Methods for further details).

We used two fundamentally distinct approaches for genotyping to ensure robustness of our

results to variant calling errors. First, we estimated genotype likelihoods (GL) with ANGSD

v0.92 (Nielsen et al. 2012; Korneliussen et al. 2014). ANGSD retains information about

uncertainty in base calls, which alleviates some issues commonly associated with RADseq data

such as unevenness in sequencing depth and allele dropout (Lozier 2014; Pedersen et al. 2018;

Warmuth and Ellegren 2019). Second, we called genotypes with GATK v4.0.7.0 (dePristo et al.

2011), and filtered GATK genotypes following the "FS6" filter of O'Leary et al. (2018; see

Supplementary Methods for further details).

Three mtDNA fragments [Cytochrome Oxidase II (COII), Cytochrome B (cytB), d-loop]

were amplified and Sanger sequenced for additional phylogenetic analyses. For further details on

sequencing and genotyping procedures, see the Supplementary Material.

Phylogenetic analyses

We used three phylogenetic approaches to infer relationships among lineages and to provide

a framework for subsequent species delimitation analyses. Phylogenetic analyses were conducted

via (1) maximum likelihood (RaxML v8.2.11; Stamatakis 2014), (2) a MSC method that is

statistically consistent and uses phylogenetic invariants (SVDquartets in PAUP v4a163; Chifman

and Kubatko 2014), and (3) a full-likelihood MSC method for biallelic data that does not require

joint gene tree estimation (SNAPP v1.3.0; Bryant et al. 2012). Analyses with RAxML and

SVDquartets used all available individuals, whereas SNAPP analyses were only performed with

subsets of individuals for computational feasibility. Specifically, a 12-individual dataset that used

two samples per species, and a 22-individual dataset with four samples per species were analyzed

with SNAPP (Tab. S1). All analyses used *M. murinus* samples as outgroup. Phylogenetic

software details are given in the Supplementary Material.

Sequencing, assembly, and annotation of a *M*. sp. #3 draft genome

The genome of one individual of *M.* sp. #3 sampled from Mananara-Nord NP (`Tab. S2`)

was sequenced with a single 500bp insert library on a single lane of an Illumina HiSeq 3000 with

paired-end 150bp reads. Similarly, the genome of *M. mittermeieri* was sequenced as

approximately 400bp insert libraries on a single lane of an Illumina HiSeq 3000 with paired-end

150bp reads (Hunnicutt et al., 2019). We used MaSuRCA v3.2.2 (Zimin et al. 2013) for contig

assembly and SSPACE (Boetzer et al. 2011) for scaffolding, which uses BOWTIE (Langmead et

al. 2009) to realign short reads to the *de novo* assembly in order to potentially correct erroneously

joined contigs. Quality control and annotation of the draft genome is described in the

Supplementary Material. Scaffolds potentially containing mitochondrial or X-chromosome

sequence data were removed for downstream analyses (see Supplementary Methods).

RADseq laboratory procedures and data processing

We generated Restriction site Associated DNA sequencing (RADseq) libraries using the *Sbf*I

restriction enzyme, following three protocols (Supplementary Methods, `Tab. S1`). Cleaned

sequences were aligned to *M.* sp. #3 genome and to the *M. murinus* mitogenome (Lecompte et al.

2015; see Supplementary Methods for further details).

We used two fundamentally distinct approaches for genotyping to ensure robustness of our results

to variant calling errors. First, we estimated genotype likelihoods (GL) with ANGSD v0.92

(Nielsen et al. 2012; Korneliussen et al. 2014). ANGSD retains information about uncertainty in

base calls, which alleviates some issues commonly associated with RADseq data such as

unevenness in sequencing depth and allele dropout (Lozier 2014; Pedersen et al. 2018; Warmuth

and Ellegren 2019). Second, we called genotypes with GATK v4.0.7.0 (dePristo et al. 2011), and

filtered GATK genotypes following the "FS6" filter of O'Leary et al. (2018; see Supplementary

Methods for further details).

Three mtDNA fragments [Cytochrome Oxidase II (COII), Cytochrome B (cytB), d-loop] were

amplified and Sanger sequenced for additional phylogenetic analyses. For further details on

sequencing and genotyping procedures, see the Supplementary Material.

Mutation rate and generation time

To convert coalescent units from BPP and G-PhoCS analyses into absolute times and

population sizes, we used empirical estimates of mutation rate and generation time but used

uncertainty in estimates to construct distributions rather than using a single point estimate for

BPP and G-PhoCS results. For each sampled generation of the MCMC chain, we drew a random

number from the mutation rate and generation time distributions to better reflect our uncertainty

in absolute estimates. A recent pedigree-based estimate of mutation rate in *M. murinus* (Campbell

et al. 2019) found a mean of 1.64×10^{-8} with a 95% CI of 1.41×10^{-8} to 1.98×10^{-8}. We roughly

capture this mutation rate variation with a normal distribution that has a mean of 1.64 and a

standard deviation of 0.08. For generation time, two estimates were available for *Microcebus*. *M.*

rufus was estimated to have an average generation time of 4.5 years calculated from survival data

(Zohdy et al. 2014; Yoder et al. 2016), and 2.5 years was estimated for *M. murinus* using average

parent age based on capture-mark-recapture and parentage data in the wild (Radespiel et al.

2019). We used a lognormal distribution with a mean of ln (3.5) and standard deviation of ln

(1.16). MSMC parameter estimates were converted using the point estimates.

Species delimitation

 Model-based inference with the MSC

We used SNAPP to test if the two pairs of sister taxa, *M.* sp. #3 – *M. macarthurii* and *M.*

mittermeieri – M. lehilahytsara, could be delimited at the molecular level using Bayes factors

(Leaché et al. 2014). Marginal likelihood estimation used stepping-stone sampling (Xie et al.

2011) with 20 steps for both the 12- and 22- individual datasets, and we interpreted 2ln Bayes

factors greater than six as strong evidence for a given model (Kass and Raftery 1995). We tested

two hypotheses: the first considered the two taxa in each species pair as separate species, and the

second considered them as belonging to the same species.

We also applied guided species delimitation analyses with BPP (Yang and Rannala 2010;

Rannala and Yang 2013) based on the species tree estimated by SVDquartets and SNAPP but

using analytical integration of population sizes (Hey and Nielsen 2007). MCMC options and

prior choices for analyses are detailed in the Supplementary Material. Because BPP uses

substitution models not suitable for SNP data, we created full sequence fasta files based on the

GATK genotypes using a series of in-house scripts

(https://github.com/jelmerp/msp3/tree/master/vcf2fullfasta; Supplementary Material).

BPP parameter estimates from the 12-individual dataset with the MSC were used to

compute the genealogical divergence index (*gdi*, Jackson et al. 2017; Leaché et al. 2019) for *M.*

sp. #3 – *M. macarthurii* and *M. lehilahytsara – M. mittermeieri*. We calculated *gdi* as in Leaché et

al. (2019), using their equation 7 ($gdi = 1 - e^{-2\tau/\theta}$), where $2\tau/\theta$ represents the population divergence

time between taxa A and B in coalescent units, and θ is taken for a focal taxon (A or B). Again, as

in Leaché et al. (2019), *gdi* was calculated twice for each species pair, using each species as the

focal taxon once. We computed *gdi* using τ and θ parameter estimates for each posterior sample

345 from independent BPP chains, to directly incorporate uncertainty in the τ and θ estimates.

346 Jackson et al. (2017) suggested the following guidelines for the interpretation of *gdi* values: the

347 focal taxon pair is unambiguously a single species for *gdi* < 0.2, is unambiguously two separate

348 species for *gdi* > 0.7, and falls in an ambiguous zone for 0.7 > *gdi* < 0.2.

350 *Clustering approaches and summary statistics*

351  We performed model-based as well as naive clustering analyses in order to check for

352 congruence with phylogenetic analyses, to identify intraspecific genetic structure, and to perform

353 an initial exploration of gene flow or admixture between species. Clustering analyses were

354 performed using corresponding methods based on ANGSD genotype likelihoods [clustering in

355 NgsAdmix v32 (Skotte et al. 2013) and PCA in ngsTools va4d338d (Fumagali et al. 2013, 2014)],

356 on GATK-called genotypes (ADMIXTURE v1.3.0; Alexander et al. 2009) and glPca (adegenet

357 v2.1.1; Jombart 2008; Jombart and Ahmed 2011). These analyses were run separately for all

358 successfully sequenced samples for the five focal taxa (`Tab. S1`) and for a subset comprising

359 only individuals from *M. macarthurii* and *M.* sp. #3. Heterozygosity and F_{ST} were estimated with

360 the R packages adegenet v2.1.1 (Jombart 2008) and hierfstat (Goudet 2005) on variable sites

361 inferred from ANGSD for comparison with clustering results.

363 **Inference of interspecific gene flow**

364  The D-statistic and related formal statistics for admixture make use of phylogenetic

365 invariants to infer post-divergence gene flow between non-sister populations or taxa. However, it

366 is important to note that the D-statistic may also be influenced by ancient population structure

367 and should thus be interpreted with care (Eriksson and Manica 2012; Chikhi et al. 2018). We

368 used admixtools v4.1 (Patterson et al. 2012) to compute four-taxon D-statistics (qpDstat

program), which tests for gene flow between P3 and either P1 or P2 given the topology (((P1,

P2), P3), P4). In order to test for gene flow between *M. macarthurii* and *M.* sp. #3, we separately

treated (1) the two distinct *M.* sp. #3 population groups detected by clustering approaches, and (2)

M. macarthurii individuals with and without "*M.* sp. #3-type" mtDNA (see Results). We also

used all possible configurations in which gene flow between non-sister species among the five

ingroup species could be evaluated. In all tests, *M. murinus* was used as P4 (outgroup).

Significance of D-values was determined using the default Z-value reported by qpDstat, which is

determined by weighted block jackknifing and is conservative for RADseq data given that

linkage disequilibrium (LD) is, on average, expected to be lower across a pair of RADseq SNPs

than across a pair of SNPs derived from whole-genome sequencing (Patterson et al. 2012; Kim et

al. 2018).

G-PhoCS v1.3 (Gronau et al. 2011), a Bayesian MSC approach that incorporates

introgression, was used to jointly infer divergence times, population sizes, and rates of gene flow

between specific lineages. Because running G-PhoCS for all individuals was not computationally

feasible, we performed separate runs for two sets of individuals: (1) a 3-species (and 12-

individual) data set with six *M.* sp. #3, three *M. macarthurii*, and three *M. lehilahytsara*

individuals, wherein *M.* sp. #3 was divided into the two distinct population clusters detected

using clustering approaches; and (2) the 5-species (and 12-individual) data set used for SNAPP

and BPP. Details of the inference of gene flow are described in the Supplementary Material.

Estimation of divergence times

We used two approaches to estimate divergence times under the MSC model: (1) BPP

v4.0.4 (Flouri et al. 2018), which assumes no migration after the onset of divergence, and (2) G-

PhoCS, which can accommodate migration between specific lineages and co-estimate migration

rates and divergence times.

Both BPP and G-PhoCS analyses used full-length RAD loci from the 12-individual dataset. The

species tree recovered from phylogenetic analyses was fixed for parameter sampling. We required

at least one individual per species to be present for each locus (on a total of 17,422 RAD loci)

and all individuals were treated as unphased diploid sequences. We used diffuse priors, multiple

chains, and checked for convergence (Supplementary Material). We also compared posteriors to

marginal priors to check that parameter estimates were informed by the RADseq data and not

only the priors.

Inference of effective population sizes through time

A number of studies have shown that population structure can generate spurious signals of

population size change (Beaumont 2004; Chikhi et al. 2010; Heller et al. 2013). For example,

sequentially Markovian coalescent approaches such as MSMC actually estimate the inverse

instantaneous coalescence rate (IICR), which is only equivalent to an effective size in panmictic

models (Mazet et al. 2016; Rodriguez et al. 2018). We therefore inferred and compared

population size histories using several methods for the focal species *M.* sp. #3 and for *M.*

mittermeieri, for which we had whole-genome sequence data in addition to the RADseq data. For

all species, we examined changes in N_e over time based on θ estimates from BPP and G-PhoCS

for each predefined extant or ancestral population, which also allowed us to evaluate uncertainty

in population size estimates due to migration. For *M.* sp. #3 and *M. mittermeieri*, we also

estimated N_e over time with the Sequential Markovian Coalescent as implemented in MSMC

(Schiffels and Durbin 2014) using the whole-genome data. A detailed description for these

analyses is given in the Supplementary Material.

Results

Morphometric comparisons

Though morphological distinctions are subtle, *Microcebus* sp. #3, *M. macarthurii, M.*

simmonsi and *M. mittermeieri* can be statistically distinguished based on morphometrics (LDA:

Wilks' Lambda = 0.0175, F = 6.7941, P < 0.001; `Fig. 3`). Apart from ear length, all parameters

contributed significantly to species assignments in the LDA model (P < 0.001), with body length

and inter-orbital distance having the highest R²-values (`Fig. 3`, `Tab. S4`). Prediction

accuracy of the jackknife cross-validated LDA model was 81%. Mis-classifications occurred with

M. simmonsi, but not between the other three taxa (`Tab. S5`). All three linear discriminant

functions were statistically significant of which the first (LD1) explained 69.3% of interspecific

variation and LD2 and LD3 the remaining 23.7% and 7.0%, respectively. *M.* sp. #3 and *M.*

macarthurii predominantly differed in five "head-associated" parameters (head width, inter- and

intra-orbital distance, snout length, ear width, all larger in *M.* sp. #3) while limb proportions did

not show significant differences. We identified three individuals of *M. macarthurii* showing

mitonuclear discordance (see below) of which two clustered morphometrically with the other *M.*

macarthurii, whereas the third was positioned with *M. simmonsi* (`Fig. 3`).

Figure 3: **Interspecific differences in morphometric parameters.**

Small plots: Comparisons based on one-way ANOVA (P < 0.001 for all parameters except ear length) and

grouping (letters after values) according to Tukey post-hoc tests. For parameter values, see Table S4.

Large plot in bottom right: Linear discriminant analysis (LDA) based on morphometric measurements (M.

sp. #3, n = 11; *M. macarthurii*, n = 6; *M. mittermeieri*, n = 22; *M. simmonsi*, n = 7). Individuals of *M.*

macarthurii with mitonuclear discordance and of *M. lehilahytsara* were not used to calculate the LDA

model due to small sample sizes, their position was predicted using the LDA model (*M. macarthurii*, n = 3;

M. lehilahytsara, n = 3).

RADseq data and whole genome sequences

This study demonstrates the utility of cross-laboratory RAD sequencing for primates, as

previously shown in other taxa (e.g., Gonen et al. 2015). We used three different library

generation protocols, two sequencing lengths, and a combination of single and paired-end

sequencing, yielding highly compatible data for all 65 individuals included in the study. From

more than 447 million raw reads (`Tab. S1`), over 394 million passed quality filters with

approximately 182 million successfully aligned to the *M*. sp. #3 reference genome. There was an

average of 120,000 loci per individual with coverage ranging from ~1 to ~22x (`Tab. S1`).

We assembled approximately 2.5 Gb of nuclear genome sequence data for *M*. sp. #3 with a

contig N50 around 36 Kb (`Tab. S2`). While the final assembly was fragmented, as expected for

a single Illumina library genome, only 6.4% of mammalian BUSCOs were found to be missing.

Annotation statistics were largely comparable to BUSCO analysis of the genome assembly. The

genome sequence and associated gene annotations can be accessed through NCBI (Bioproject

PRJNA512515).

Phylogenetic relationships

Five divergent lineages (*M. simmonsi, M. lehilahytsara, M. mittermeieri, M. macarthurii,*

M. sp. #3) were confirmed to occur in the study region by phylogenetic approaches (`Fig. 4`).

One lineage*, M*. sp. #3, is described here as new to science (see *Species Description*).

RAxML, SVDquartets, and SNAPP analyses recovered well-supported monophyletic

nDNA clades for *M. simmonsi*, *M. macarthurii*, and *M*. sp. #3 (`Fig. 4`; `Fig. S1`; `Fig. S2`),

with *M*. sp. #3 as sister to *M. macarthurii* with 100% bootstrap support (RAxML and

SVDquartets, `Fig. 2`; `Fig. S2`). In contrast, *M. lehilahytsara* and *M. mittermeieri* were not

consistently monophyletic in RAxML analyses of nDNA (`Fig. 4C`) or mtDNA (`Fig. 4A`),

both of which nested *M. mittermeieri* within *M. lehilahytsara*. SVDquartets analysis of nDNA

placed one individual from Ambavala (`B12, Fig. 1`) as sister to all other *M. lehilahytsara* and

M. mittermeieri with weak bootstrap support (`Fig. S2A`). In contrast with the maximum

likelihood analysis, species tree analyses with SNAPP (the 22-individual dataset included B12

from Ambavala) recovered *M. lehilahytsara* as sister to *M. mittermeieri* with no topological

uncertainty (`Fig. S1`). We refer to the well-supported *M.* sp. #3 - *M. macarthurii* and *M.*

mittermeieri – M. lehilahytsara species pairs as Clade I and Clade II respectively.

Figure 4: Phylogenetic relationships and ancestry proportions

(A) Maximum-likelihood RAxML tree of 55 samples represented by 4,060 bp of mtDNA recovered from RADseq and Sanger sequencing (Table S1). The gray shaded box highlights individuals of *M. macarthurii* with *M.* sp. #3 mtDNA haplotypes. **(B)** Clustering results using NgsAdmix at $K = 6$. **(C)** Maximum-likelihood RAXML tree obtained using RADseq nuclear data (nDNA). For all trees, *M. murinus* is used as the outgroup. In **(A)** and **(C)**, bootstrap support values >90% are indicated above each node as a red asterisk. Clade containing species pairs *M. macarthurii* plus *M. sp.*#3 and *M. lehilahytsara* plus *M.* mittermeieri are labeled I and II, respectively, for ease of reference throughout.

One case of mitonuclear discordance was found. Although mtDNA analyses placed several individuals from Anjiahely (see `Fig. 1`) in a well-supported clade with *M.* sp. #3 individuals from Ambavala, Mananara-Nord NP and Antanambe (`Fig. 4A`; see lower red box), analyses of the nuclear RADseq data placed them unambiguously within the *M. macarthurii* clade (`Fig. 4B,C`). This suggests that individuals from Anjiahely belong to *M. macarthurii*, but carry two divergent mtDNA lineages, and that *M.* sp. #3 is only found between Ambavala and Antanambe (`Fig. 1`). The cause of potential mitonuclear discordance for *M. macarthurii* in Anjiahely was subject to further investigation (see below in the section "Interspecific Gene Flow").

Species delimitation

Genetic structure

Clustering analyses (NgsAdmix and ADMIXTURE) at K = 5 grouped individuals into the five nominal species in accordance with phylogenetic results and F_{ST} estimates (`Fig. 4B; Fig. S3; Fig. S4; Tab. S3`), although some individuals were inferred to have ancestry from both *M. mittermeieri* and *M. lehilahytsara* (`Fig. 4B`). Using NgsAdmix, three or five clusters best explained the data (`Fig. S3; Fig. S5`), while using ADMIXTURE, three clusters had a slightly lower cross-validation error than five (`Fig. S5`). PCA readily

distinguished all species (including the two sister species *M.* sp. #3 and *M. macarthurii*) across

the first four principal components with both GATK genotypes (`Fig. 5A,B`) and ANGSD

genotype likelihoods (`Fig. S7`).

When restricting clustering analyses to Clade I individuals, K = 2 was the best-supported

number of clusters using both approaches (`Fig. S5; Fig. S6`), which divided *M.*

macarthurii and *M.* sp. #3 individuals into separate clusters. At K = 3, *M.* sp. #3 split into two

clusters with individuals from Mananara-Nord NP and Antanambe on one hand and individuals

from Ambavala on the other (`Fig. S8`). PCA analyses for this subset of individuals clearly

distinguished these two groups along PC2 (`Fig. 5C`). Hereafter, we refer to these two groups as

"southern *M.* sp. #3" (Mananara-Nord NP and Antanambe are located south of the large

Mananara river) and "northern *M.* sp. #3" (Ambavala is located north of the river; `Fig. 1`).

Figure 5: Population genetic structure and the *gdi*.

PCA analyses for **(A, B)** all five species and **(C)** restricted to *M.* sp. #3 and *M. macarthurii* individuals, the latter showing the split into the two population groups "northern" (Ambavala) and "southern" *M.* sp. #3 (Antanambe and Mananara-Nord NP). **(D)** Genealogical divergence index (*gdi*) for *M. macarthurii* – *M.* sp. #3 and *M. mittermeieri* – *M. lehilahytsara*. *gdi* values > 0.7 suggest separate species; *gdi* values < 0.2 are below the lower threshold for species delimitation; 0.2 < *gdi* < 0.7 are in an "ambiguous" range (Jackson et al. 2017). Abbreviations: leh: *M. lehilahytsara*, mac: *M. macarthurii*, mit: *M. mittermeieri*, sim: *M. simmonsi*, sp3: *M.* sp. #3.

SNAPP Bayes Factors

Bayes factors strongly favored splitting Clade I into two separate species in the 22-

individual analyses (2lnBF = 34,326.39, `Tab. 1`). Bayes factors for the 22-individual dataset

also supported splitting Clade II, albeit with much weaker support than Clade I (2lnBF = 993.06).

All species assignments were also recovered by the guided delimitation analysis (`Fig. S9`).

Table 1: Bayes factor support for sister species pairs.

Marginal likelihoods were computed for a hypothesis of no speciation (Merge) and a hypothesis of a

speciation event (Split). We tested the putative species pairs in both Clade I and Clade II with a 12- and

22-individual dataset. †Bayes factors calculated as 2 * ($\ln L_{Split}$ - $\ln L_{Merge}$).

Species Pair	Number of Individuals	Merge Marginal lnL	Split Marginal lnL	2ln Bayes factor[†]
M. macarthurii - M. sp. #3	12	-134254.14	-125601.69	17304.91
	22	-204540.32	-187377.12	34326.39
M. lehilahytsara - M. mittermeieri	12	-126515.89	-125601.69	1828.41
	22	-187873.65	-187377.12	993.06

Genealogical divergence index (gdi)

For the Clade I sister pair, *gdi* was 0.727 (95% HPD: 0.718-0.737) from the perspective of

M. macarthurii (i.e. above the upper threshold for species delimitation), and 0.500 (0.488-0.511)

from the perspective of *M*. sp. #3 (i.e. in the upper ambiguous zone for species delimitation;

`Fig. 5D`). In contrast, *gdi* values for the Clade II species pair were much lower and even below

the lower threshold for species delimitation: 0.080 (0.074-0.086) from the perspective of *M.*

lehilahytsara, and 0.193 (0.187-0.201) from the perspective of *M. mittermeieri* (`Fig. 5D`).

Interspecific gene flow

D-statistics suggested that northern *M*. sp. #3 and *M. macarthurii* with "*M. sp. #3*-type"

mtDNA share a slight excess of derived alleles in relation to southern *M*. sp. #3, significantly

deviating from 0, which indicates gene flow between northern *M*. sp. #3 and *M. macarthurii* with

"*M. sp. #3*-type" mtDNA (`Fig. 6A`). Using a G-PhoCS model with separate northern and

southern *M*. sp. #3 population groups, we that (1) gene flow with *M. macarthurii* took place

before as well as after the onset of divergence between northern and southern *M*. sp. #3, (2) gene

flow between extant lineages occurs only between northern (and not southern) *M*. sp. #3 and *M.*

macarthurii and (3) gene flow is asymmetric, predominantly into *M. macarthurii* (`Fig. 6B`). In

a G-PhoCS model with all species, we additionally inferred gene flow from *M. mittermeieri* to *M.*

lehilahytsara at higher levels than that from *M*. sp. #3 to *M. macarthurii* (`Fig. 7C`).

Low levels of gene flow were also inferred between the *M*. sp. #3 - *M. macarthurii* clade

and the *M. mittermeieri* - *M. lehilahytsara* clade, most likely between ancestral populations, but

the timing and direction of gene flow could not be determined in more detail (Supplementary

Results; `Fig. S10`).

Figure 6: Gene flow between _M_. sp. #3 and _M. macarthurii_.

(A) Four-taxon D-statistics suggested gene flow between _M. macarthurii_ with mitonuclear discordance

("mac*") and northern _M_. sp. #3 ("sp3N"). _M. macarthurii_ with and without mitonuclear discordance ("mac")

were tested separately. Axis annotations denote "(P1, P2),P3", wherein gene flow is tested between P3

and either P1 (negative D) or P2 (positive D). Significant comparisons (|Z| > 3) are in red. **(B)** Percentage

of migrants in each generation, inferred by G-PhoCS under a model with three taxa: _M. macarthurii_ sister

taxon to northern and southern _M_. sp. #3. Different shades of gray of arrows illustrate the varying amount

of gene flow.

Divergence Times

We estimated divergence times using the MSC model with BPP as well as G-PhoCS with

and without interspecific gene flow (Fig. 7; Fig. S11). Results were similar across these

approaches, although divergence times were estimated to be older in G-PhoCS models with

migration compared to models without migration (`Fig. 7`). Specifically, the divergence time of

Clade I was estimated at 50-109 ka (min. max. of 95% HPD across all models) without migration

(`Fig. 7; Fig. S11`), but at 91-183 ka when incorporating gene flow between the two

(`Fig. 7`). Conversely, the effect of accounting for gene flow has particularly strong effects for

divergence times for Clade II between *M. mittermeieri* and *M. lehilahytsara* (`Fig. 7`). While

the estimated divergence time of *M. mittermeieri* and *M. lehilahytsara* without gene flow was

highly similar to that between *M.* sp. #3 and *M. macarthurii* (50-97 ka; `Fig. 7`), it was

estimated to be 250-478 ka in the presence of migration (`Fig. 7`). Interestingly, this

discrepancy in age estimates under gene flow is specific two Clade II as other nodes were not

affected strongly by including migration into the MSC model. For example, the divergence of the

last common ancestor of our five focal lineages was estimated to be 351-756 ka when not

accounting for gene flow, and 348-648 ka when accounting for gene flow (`Fig. 7D`). These

posterior estimates are likely not influenced strongly by the model priors based on comparison of

marginal priors and posteriors across four BPP chains (`Fig. S12`).

Figure 7: Demographic histories inferred by G-PhoCS and BPP.

(A-C) Divergence times (y-axis) and effective population sizes (x-axis) inferred with and without migration.

(D, E) Comparison of divergence times and effective population sizes for each node and lineage,

respectively. "A" represents the lineage ancestral to *M. simmonsi*, *M. mittermeieri* and *M. lehilahytsara*, "B"

represents the lineage ancestral to *M. sp. #3*, *M. macarthurii*, *M. simmonsi*, *M. mittermeieri* and *M.*

lehilahytsara, and "root" represents the lineage ancestral to all six species included.

Population sizes through time

Effective population sizes for extant as well as ancestral lineages were first estimated using

BPP and G-PhoCS (with and without interspecific gene flow; Fig. 7A-C). We found large

differences among species, with considerably larger effective population sizes for *M. murinus*

(min. and max. 95% HPD across the BPP and both G-PhoCS models: 59-79 k), *M. lehilahytsara*

(63-139 k), *M. mittermeieri* (42-86 k), and most ancestral lineages, than for *M.* sp. #3 (14-20 k),

M. macarthurii (6-10 k), and *M. simmonsi* (19-26 k). Wide HPD intervals for *M. mittermeieri* and

M. lehilahytsara were due to differences between models that did and did not account for gene

flow between these two species. Using the 3-species G-PhoCS model, effective population sizes

were estimated separately for northern (14-41 k), southern (7-20 k), and ancestral (18-31 k) *M.*

sp. #3 lineages (Fig. S10).

For one individual each of *M.* sp. #3 (from the southern group) and *M.mittermeiri*, we performed

MSMC analyses using whole-genome data, and compared estimates of population sizes through

time with those from the G-PhoCS models with and without gene flow (Fig. 8). For *M.* sp. #3,

estimates of population sizes through time were highly similar for all analyses and showed a

marked long-term decline towards the present (Fig. 8A), while estimates for *M. mittermeieri*

were more variable across analyses but consistently did not show a decline towards the present

(Fig. 8B).The estimated differences in recent effective population sizes across taxa were

further reflected by differences in genetic diversity across populations (Fig. S13).

Figure 8: Estimates of effective population size through time by G-PhoCS, BPP, and MSMC.

Effective population sizes through time for as inferred by MSMC for whole-genome data from a single

individual (blue line), and by G-PhoCS for RADseq data without ("RAD: iso", yellow lines) and with ("RAD:

mig", blue lines) gene flow. **(A)** *M*. sp. #3. G-PhoCS analyses are shown for the southern *M*. sp. #3

population group in the 3-species model, which the whole-genome individual belongs to. **(B)** *M*.

mittermeieri. G-PhoCS analyses are shown for The sharp "jag" in the migration model represents a small

N_e estimate for the mittermeieri-lehilahytsara ancestor, which exists for an extremely short time in this

model (see Fig. 7B,C), likely preventing proper estimation of N_e.

Discussion

Discussion

In this study we utilize a framework for species delimitation under complex patterns of

cryptic speciation. Using this approach, we identify rapid and recent lineage diversification of

mouse lemurs within a restricted region of Madagascar. Although the focal species all diverged

from their common ancestors within the past 500,000 years, two pairs of non-sister species occur

sympatrically. Evidence supporting the species-level divergence of *M.* sp. #3 and its sister species

M. macarthurii (Clade I, `Fig. 4`) is much stronger than for the other sister species pair (Clade

II, `Fig. 4)`. Furthermore, a comparison of MSC models with and without gene flow produced

substantial differences in divergence time estimates for one species pair and showed that

differences in effective population size appear to have consequences for species delimitation in

agreement with previous studies (Lou et al. 2018; Leaché et al. 2019).

Strong support for *M.* sp. #3 as a separate species: *M. jonahi*

Evidence for distinguishing *M.* sp. #3 as a separate species from *M. macarthurii* was strong

and consistent across all applied species delimitation approaches. The two lineages were found to

be reciprocally monophyletic across all phylogenetic analyses of RADseq data (`Fig. 4C;`

`Fig. S1; Fig. S2`), separated unambiguously in clustering analyses (`Fig. 4B; Fig.`

`5; Fig. S3; Fig. S5-S7`), had strong support for two separate species from SNAPP

Bayes factors (`Tab. 1`) and BPP (`Fig. S9`), and passed the heuristic criterion of *gdi* (`Fig.`

`5D`). Nevertheless, these two lineages have diverged from each other relatively recently, with

median estimates of the divergence time across three different models with and without gene flow

all under 100 ka. While recent, it is important to keep in mind that if we were to transpose the

number of generations in this divergence time to a "hominin scale" (with a generation time that is

seven to ten times longer than that of mouse lemurs), this would correspond to a period longer

644 than 500,000 years, close to the estimated divergence time between Neanderthals and *Homo*

645 *sapiens*.

647   Though difficult to distinguish with the human eye, morphometric evidence also supported

648 the distinctiveness of *M*. sp. #3 in comparison to all other species that occurred in the same

649 biogeographic region (Fig. 2). *M*. sp. #3 is differentiated from its sister species *M. macarthurii*

650 in five out of 13 measured parameters. Differences between the two sister taxa predominantly

651 appeared in head-associated parameters such as ear and head width, orbital distances, and snout

652 length. It has been suggested that skull parameters vary with feeding habits in lemurs and

653 strepsirrhine primates in general (e.g., omnivorous, folivorous or frugivorous etc.; Viguier 2004;

654 Meloro et al. 2015; Fabre et al. 2018). We hypothesize that the morphometric differences in head-

655 associated parameters may indicate dietary and possibly cognitive differentiation between these

656 two sister species (Zimmermann and Radespiel 2014). Based on these signatures of genomic and

657 morphological distinctiveness, species status is supported for *M*. sp. #3 and a full species

658 description using the name *M. jonahi* is given at the end of the manuscript.

660 **Signatures of gene flow within a recently diverged sister species pair**

661   Mitonuclear discordance was observed for a subset of *M. macarthurii* individuals from

662 Anjiahely. Though having nDNA indistinguishable from other *M. macarthurii* at the same site,

663 across phylogenetic and clustering analyses, these individuals carried mtDNA similar to that of

664 *M*. sp. #3 (see Radespiel et al. 2008). Although genealogical discordance is not unexpected due to

665 incomplete lineage sorting (e.g., Heckman et al. 2007; Weisrock et al. 2010), the pattern of

666 mitochondrial introgression in phylogenetic and clustering analyses (Fig. 4) is supported by

667 significant D-statistics between northern *M*. sp. #3 and *M. macarthurii* (Fig. 6A) and low

levels of gene flow from the northern *M.* sp. #3 population into *M. macarthurii* by G-PhoCS

(`Fig. 6B`). This is the first case of mitochondrial introgression in mouse lemurs known to date.

Therefore, the discovery of a divergent mtDNA lineage at Anjiahely (Radespiel et al. 2008; Fig.

1), which prompted the current work and has led to the discovery of a new species, appears to

have been the result of a geographically localized (though incomplete) mtDNA introgression into

its sister species (Clade I, `Fig. 4A`).

The effects of population size and gene flow for species delimitation

676   In contrast to *M.* sp. #3 and *M. macarthurii* (Clade I), we found only weak support for

separate species status of *M. lehilahytsara* and *M. mittermeieri* (Clade II): The latter showed

paraphyly in ML and SVDquartets analyses (`Fig. 4A,C; Fig. S2`) and were not as clearly

separated in clustering analyses (`Fig. 4B; Fig. S3; S5; Fig. S6`). Although the Bayes

factor support from SNAPP was strong by standard guidelines (Kass and Raftery 1995), the

evidence was much weaker relative to species in Clade I and decreases when sampling more

individuals (`Tab. 1`). Most strikingly, reciprocal *gdi* statistics for the lineages in Clade II were

below the recommended range for diagnosing species status (Jackson et al. 2017; Leaché et al.

2019; `Fig. 5D`). We must therefore remain open to the possibility that boundaries between

these previously described species may be ambiguous in light of new methods and results.

Therefore, an important message from this study is that the methods here deployed do not

necessarily lead to ever-increasing numbers of species and thus offer a reasonable way forward in

our effort to accurately delimit biodiversity. Moreover, when coupled with other population

genomic approaches, these methods offer insight into the complex demographic history of

diverging populations, even as they are in the progressive stages of speciation.

Notably, some of the disparities in levels of support between the two pairs of sister species

may also be a direct consequence of differences in effective population sizes, which are much

larger for the two lineages in Clade II than for those in Clade I. This may clearly impact the *gdi*

which is calculated using the estimated population sizes and divergence times without accounting

for potential gene flow. Since those divergence time estimates are highly similar for both species

pairs, the difference in *gdi* must therefore largely be the result of differences in effective

population sizes. This is expected, since larger effective population sizes result in slower sorting

of ancestral polymorphisms (Maddison 1997), and the *gdi* relies on quantifying the probability

that two sequences from the focal taxon coalesce more recently than the divergence time between

the taxa. More generally, differences in N_e may also explain why *M. mittermeieri* and *M.*

lehilahytsara were not resolved as clades in the nDNA phylogeny (`Fig. 4C`) yet are shown to

have distinct genetic clusters (`Fig. 4B; Fig. 5B`). Thus, our empirical results mirror those

anticipated in a recent simulation study (Leaché et al. 2019).

Assessing speciation completion by quantifying rates of neutral coalescence is based on the

assumption that the magnitude of genetic drift is a good predictor of progress in speciation. This

is problematic, however, given that the role of drift in speciation is generally thought to be small

(Rice and Hostert 1993; Coyne and Orr 2004; Czekanski-Moir and Rundell 2019; but see Uyeda

et al. 2009), and a high prevalence of gene flow during and after speciation (Feder et al. 2012;

Harrison and Larson 2014; Mallet et al. 2016; Campbell et al. 2018) may restrict the build-up of

neutral differentiation, even over longer time spans. While the general possibility that progress in

speciation is decoupled from neutral genetic differentiation should be kept in mind when

delimiting species using molecular data (Guilot et al. 2012; Solis-Lemus et al. 2015), a reliance

on genealogical divergence in coalescence-based species delimitation specifically renders

effective population size a key variable. It is not clear whether this is justified, however,

indicating that additional measures of divergence that do not depend on effective population sizes

may also need to be considered (see also Hey and Pinho 2012; Martien et al. 2017 – Appendix 2).

We raise this point specifically given that only a few studies have examined a potential link

between N_e and speciation rates (Khatri and Goldstein 2015; Khatri and Goldstein 2018; Huang

et al. 2018), especially outside of the context of founder effect speciation (Mayr 1959; Boake and

Gavrilets 1998; Matute 2013).

A further distinction between the two pairs of sister species examined by this study is that

we find higher rates of gene flow between the lineages in Clade II, at 0.23%, than those in Clade

I, at 0.13% (`Fig. 7C`). This is not simply a consequence of overall lower differentiation, given

that divergence time estimates are considerably older in Clade II when a model with gene flow is

implemented. Specifically, the model incorporating gene flow between *M. lehilahytsara* and *M.*

mittermeieri shifted their divergence time backwards by nearly 300 ka, though estimates for

deeper nodes were not similarly affected. The substantial effect of incorporating or disregarding

gene flow on divergence time estimation has been previously noted (Leaché et al. 2014) and we

here reiterate its importance for future studies. Thus, a joint consideration of genealogical

divergence and rates of gene flow may offer a way forward for effective and consistent genomic

species delimitation (see also Hey and Pinho 2012) with our study showing that this is an area

ripe for future exploration.

Rapid speciation in mouse lemurs

Sympatric *Microcebus* species were found at two study sites, with representatives of both

Clade I (*M. macarthurii*) and Clade II (*M. mittermeieri*) in Anjiahely (Makira) as well as in

Ambavala (*M.* sp. #3 and *M. lehilahytsara* - Clades I and II, respectively; `Fig. 1`). This is

remarkable given that only four other cases of sympatry among mouse lemur species are known,

always with *M. murinus* as the co-resident (Radespiel 2016). Given that Clades I and II are

estimated to have a common ancestor as recently as ~500-600 ka, this provides compelling

evidence that mouse lemurs not only developed widespread and rapid genetic divergence among

geographic areas, but also rapid reproductive isolation. Assuming that the geographic mode of

speciation has been largely allopatric, the observed sympatry of two pairs of non-sister species

also reveals substantial range dynamics of at least some of the focal species. It is tempting to

speculate that secondary contact occurred due to range expansion of *M. mittermeieri* and *M.*

lehilahytsara (Clade II), which have larger ranges and population sizes as well as higher levels of

interspecific gene flow than the decreasing micro-endemics *M.* sp. #3 and *M. macarthurii* (Clade

I).

Coalescent-based estimates of divergence times constrain the speciation of the focal

lineages to the Pleistocene (<600,000 years). It is therefore plausible that past climatic

oscillations, especially periods of drought accompanied with turnovers in vegetation composition

and the contraction of forested habitats were a factor in the isolation and genetic divergence of

the sampled species and populations (Burney et al. 1997; Gasse and Van Campo 2001; Kiage and

Liu 2006; Wilmé et al. 2006). In addition, the Mananara river appears to have impacted

population structure within *M.* sp. #3 and restricted gene flow between *M.* sp. #3 south of the

Mananara river and *M. macarthurii*, further emphasizing that large rivers can be phylogeographic

barriers in lemurs (Martin 1972; Pastorini et al. 2003; Goodman and Ganzhorn 2004; Olivieri et

al. 2007).

A notable feature of the coalescent-based estimates of divergence times presented here is

that they are drastically different compared to those derived from fossil-calibrated molecular

clock methods. The basal divergence between *M. murinus* and the focal five-species clade in this

study was estimated to be close to 1 ma with MSC methods but has previously been estimated to

be approximately 8 - 10 ma using fossil-calibrated estimates (Yang and Yoder 2003; dos Reis et

al. 2018). Several factors may explain this difference: First, we used a recent pedigree-based

estimate of the mouse lemur mutation rate that is about 2.5-fold higher than the phylogenetically-

based estimate for mouse lemurs (Campbell et al. 2019). Second, concatenated and gene tree-

based estimates are theoretically expected to overestimate recent species divergence times

(Edwards and Beerli 2000; Arbogast et al. 2002) and empirical comparisons have indeed found

considerably more recent divergence time estimates using coalescent-based estimates

(McCormack et al. 2011; Angelis & Dos Reis 2015; Colombo et al. 2015). Finally, fossil-

calibrated estimates for lemurs require external calibrations from distantly related lineages given

the absolute dearth of lemur fossils (e.g., Yang and Yoder 2003; Herrera and Dávalos 2016). In

summary, the mouse lemur radiation is likely more recent than previously suggested, yet further

investigation is needed to understand the magnitude of these disparate estimates.

Endangered "hotspot for micro-endemism" in northeastern Madagascar and other

geographic considerations

In total, we found five evolutionarily divergent lineages of *Microcebus* within a 130 km

wide stretch of lowland rain forest in northeastern Madagascar making this restricted region one

of the most biodiverse areas thus far identified for mouse lemurs. Although all taxa can be found

in varying habitat types (except heavily degraded grass- and fernlands), primary forests, even of

varying degradation stages, are preferred (Knoop et al. 2018; Miller et al. 2018; Schüßler et al.

2018).

A long-term decline in population size was inferred for the lineage leading to *M*. sp. #3.

While changes in inferred N_e may be confounded by changes in population structure, especially

for single-population PSMC/MSMC models that do not explicitly consider population

subdivision (Mazet et al. 2016; Chikhi et al. 2018), we found highly congruent results between

MSMC and G-PhoCS analyses that considered divergence across a model with three species,

treating the two *M*. sp. #3 population clusters separately (Fig. 7F). This is especially

supportive given that although the MSMC analysis used whole-genome data for only a single

individual, RADseq data from multiple individuals per population underly the G-PhoCS

analyses. Importantly, changes in population structure may also be associated with actual changes

in population size, such as successive population subdivision events with limited or no

subsequent gene flow. If such changes in population structure are the primary cause of population

size changes, as appears to be the case for the lineage leading to *M*. sp. #3, a high degree of

concordance between these different types of analyses would in fact be expected. Nevertheless,

we stress that future work should focus on more complex scenarios of connectivity using

metapopulation models, as has been suggested for humans (Scerri et al. 2018).

Relevant to human impacts and the natural history of Madagascar, the decline and

population subdivision of *M*. sp. #3 was clearly inferred to have started long before

anthropogenic land use fragmented the forest habitats, supporting the emerging consensus that

human colonization in Madagascar alone does not explain the occurrence of open habitats and

isolated forest fragments (Quéméré et al. 2012; Vorontsova et al. 2016; Yoder et al. 2016; Hackel

et al. 2018). However, we also observed clade-specific population size dynamics within the same

region, with the lineages in Clade II maintaining much larger population sizes than those in Clade

I. This may be explained by differences in altitudinal range between the two clades: whereas *M.*

sp. #3 and *M. macarthurii* appear to occur only in lowland forests, *M. lehilahytsara* and *M.*

mittermeieri occur at both higher and lower elevations, perhaps providing population

connectivity under a scenario in which rivers act differentially as barriers for gene flow as has

been suggested by Wilmé et al. (2006) .

The results of our study emphasize the need to intensify conservation activities in this

region (Schüßler et al. 2018). The exact range of *M*. sp. #3 is not yet established, but likely does

not exceed a maximum size of about 4,500 km². The known distribution includes community-

protected forests around Ambavala (Schüßler et al. 2018) and one nationally protected area

within Mananara-Nord NP. The southern range boundary of this species is most likely the Anove

river (`Fig. 1`), given that we detected *M. simmonsi* directly south of this river (which

represents a northern expansion of the known range for *M. simmonsi* that had previously been

found at Zahamena NP, Betampona and Tampolo; Louis et al. 2006; Louis and Lei 2016).

Although *M. lehilahytsara* has been assumed to represent a highland specialist, with no

previous records below 825 m a.s.l. (Weisrock et al. 2010; Radespiel et al. 2012), individuals at

Ambavala, at an elevation of 235 m a.s.l. are placed in the same clade as *M. lehilahytsara* from a

highland study site (Riamalandy). This finding needs to be interpreted with caution, however, due

to weak overall differentiation between *M. lehilahytsara* and *M. mittermeieri* and a lack of

samples from intermediate locations. Thus, further research is required to resolve this relationship

and implications for the evolutionary history and biogeography of *M. lehilahytsara*.

Recent analyses show that preferred forest habitats are rapidly declining, and the isolation

of protected areas appears to be inevitable (Schüßler et al. in revision). We are now beginning to

appreciate that the area of northeastern Madagascar also represents a hotspot for micro-

endemism, with a newly described species already facing high extinction risk due to ongoing

deforestation (Schüßler et al. in revision) and anticipated environmental disruptions due to

climate change (Brown and Yoder 2015). This situation is unfortunately reminiscent of the recent

discovery of the Tapanuli orangutan (*Pongo tapanuliensis*), which became the last great ape

species to be discovered and the most threatened at the same time (Nater et al. 2017).

Conclusions

The results of this study have verified divergent evolution and reproductive isolation in

primate species that are virtually indistinguishable to human eyes thus emphasizing the relevance

of the multispecies coalescent for investigating the forces that drive speciation in radiations of

cryptic species. We find that careful consideration is required when variation in N_e among

lineages is high. As has been predicted by simulation studies, we observed that lineages with high

N_e did not pass the *gdi* criterion for species delimitation (Leaché et al. 2019). These same

lineages were paraphyletic in standard phylogenetic analyses, though Bayes factor delimitation

approaches would consider them separate species. Confounding effects of gene flow when

estimating divergence times were also revealed when comparing MSC analyses that did or did

not consider migration, and we anticipate that this is an area ripe for further exploration in other

biological systems.

Though of limited phylogenetic breadth, the results of this study have touched on issues of

broad relevance for investigating the forces that drive rapid speciation in radiations of cryptic

species. Though we find that this radiation of cryptic primates has occurred quite recently in

geological time, when one considers that divergence ages are here calculated in coalescent units,

which are scaled with generation time, it is not surprising that the short generation times

characteristic of mouse lemurs may predispose them to respond rapidly to changing

environmental circumstances. Given that Madagascar experienced dramatic vegetative changes

coinciding with climactic oscillations through the Pleistocene, our finds are consistent with the

hypothesis that patterns of explosive lineage diversification in the mouse lemur clade may have

been driven by rapid environmental change.

Species Description

<u>Systematics</u>

Order: Primates (Linnaeus 1758)

Suborder: Strepshirrini (É. Geoffroy 1812)

Family: Cheirogaleidae (Gray 1873)

Genus: *Microcebus* (É. Geoffrroy 1828)

Species: *Microcebus jonahi* species nova

<u>Holotype</u>

B34, adult male, captured on 06 September 2017 by DS. Tissue samples, hair samples as well as

e-voucher photos of the animal are stored at the Institute of Zoology, University of Veterinary

Medicine Hanover, Germany. The animal itself was released after field handling, sampling, and

photographing, since its taxonomic distinctiveness was not recognized at the time of capture.

Field measurements (all lengths measured in mm): ear length: 17.6, ear width: 13.7, head length:

37.7, head width: 23.0, snout length: 10.0, intra-orbital distance: 8.2, inter-orbital distance: 26.0,

lower leg length: 41.7, hind foot length: 24.5, third toe length: 10.6, body length: 95.6, tail length:

130.0, body mass: 66 g. The population around Ambavala is designated as the source population

for physical specimens in support of the holotype.

<u>Type locality</u>

Forest near the rural village of Ambavala (S 16° 12.307', E 49° 35.371'), in a community

protected forest at about 342 m a.s.l. approx. 20 km west of Mananara North, Province of

Analanjirofo, Madagascar.

<u>Paratypes</u>

(a) BD1, adult female, captured in the community protected forest of Antsiradrano (near

Ambavala) on 04 September 2017. Tissue and hair samples as well as photographs and

morphometric measurements are stored at the Institute of Zoology, University of Veterinary

Medicine Hanover, Germany.

(b) B13, adult male, captured in the community protected forest near Ambavala on 11 September

2017. Tissue and hair samples as well as photographs and morphometric measurements are stored

at the Institute of Zoology of the University of Veterinary Medicine Hanover in Germany.

It is planned that one physical specimen will be obtained as a further paratype in the near future

and that this specimen will then be deposited in the Museum of the Zoology, Department of the

University of Antananarivo, Madagascar.

<u>Description</u>

Microcebus jonahi is a large-bodied, reddish-brown and small-eared mouse lemur (`Fig. 9`).

This species has short and dense fur. The head is rufous colored with a darker brownish area

around the eyes which can slightly vary among individuals. A distinct white stripe lies between

the eyes ending at the forehead (`Fig. 9C`). The ears are of the same rufous color as the head.

The cheeks are lighter brownish and less rufous than the head becoming even lighter and almost

white towards the throat. The ventrum is white with slightly yellowish nuances (`Fig. 9D`)

which can vary in appearance among individuals. The dorsum is rather uniformly brown than

reddish (`Fig. 9E`). A darker dorsal stripe can be either present or absent. The ventrum and

dorsum are separated by a significant change in coloration with only marginal transition. The

coloration of the limbs shows the same pattern with a brownish dorsal and a white to slightly

yellowish ventral side. The tail is densely furred and of the same coloration as the dorsum. Hands

and feet show only sparse but whitish-gray hair. The skin on the palmar and plantar surfaces of

hands and feet is brownish-pink. Males and females do not show any sexual dimorphism.

Figure 9: Outer morphology of *Microcebus jonahi*. (A) Drawing of an adult individual; (B) Habitus of

adult female (paratype individual BD1); (C-E) Close-ups of adult male (holotype B34). Illustration copyright

by Stephen D. Nash / IUCN SSC Primate Specialist Group; used with permission. Photos by D. Schüßler.

<u>Diagnosis</u>

M. jonahi can be distinguished from other taxa in northeastern Madagascar by morphometric and

genetic differences. Compared to its closest relative, *M. macarthurii*, *M. jonahi* has a larger snout

length, ear and head width as well as a larger intra- and inter-orbital distance. In addition, *M.*

jonahi can be easily differentiated from *M. macarthurii* by its ventral coloration which is rather

whitish (`Fig. 9`), but distinctly yellowish-orange in *M. macarthurii* (Radespiel et al. 2008;

Radespiel and Raveloson unpubl. data).

Moreover, it can be easily distinguished from the sympatric, small-bodied *M. lehilahytsara* (at

Ambavala) by its higher weight, larger body size and tail length. Finally, *M. jonahi* can be

differentiated from its southern geographical neighbor, *M. simmonsi*, by its larger head width as

well as wider inter- and intra-orbital distances. *M. jonahi* could be unambiguously distinguished

from the other four taxa in this study across all analyses of nuclear RADseq data (see above).

However, it may not be reliably distinguished from *M. macarthurii* based solely on mtDNA

sequences, likely due to some introgression of mtDNA from *M. jonahi* into *M. macarthurii* (see

above) in the past.

<u>Etymology</u>

M. jonahi is named in honor of Malagasy primatologist Professor Jonah Ratsimbazafy. He has

dedicated his life's work to the conservation of Malagasy lemurs. With both national and

international outreach to the scientific community (e.g., GERP, IPS, LemurPortal), to the public

of Madagascar (e.g., by initiating the World Lemur Festival), and to the political leaders of

Madagascar, he serves as an inspirational role model for young Malagasy students and scientists.

He provides hope for the future of Madagascar and for its iconic lemurs during very challenging

times.

<u>Vernacular name</u>

English name: Jonah's mouse lemur, French name: Microcèbe de Jonah, German

name: Jonah's Mausmaki.

Acknowledgements

This study was conducted under the research permit No. 197/17/MEEF/SG/DGF/DSAP/SCB.Re (DS), 072/15/MEEMF/SG/DGF/DCB.SAP/SCB (MBB), 137/13/MEF/SG/DGF/DCB.SAP/SCB (DWR), 175/14/MEF/SG/DGF/DCB.SAP/SCB (AM), kindly issued by the directeur du système des aires protégées, Antananarivo and the regional authorities (Direction Régional de l'Environnement, de l'Ecologie et de Forêts). We are endebted to J.H. Ratsimbazafy, N.V. Andriaholinirina, C. Misandeau, B. Le Pors and S. Rasoloharijaona, for their help with administrative tasks and to G. Besnard for facilitating this study. We thank our field assistants (I. Sitrakarivo, C. Hanitriniaina and T. Ralantoharijaona) and the ADAFAM (Association Des Amis de la Forêt d'Ambodiriana-Manompana) for their valuable help during sample collection. We warmly thank the many local guides and cooks for sharing their incomparable expertise and help in the field, *misaotra anareo jiaby*.

Funding was granted by the Bauer Foundation and the Zempelin Foundation of the "Deutsches Stiftungszentrum" under grant no. T237/22985/2012/kg and T0214/32083/2018/sm to DS, Duke Tropical Conservation Initiative Grant to ADY, and Duke Lemur Center/SAVA Conservation research funds to MBB, the School of Animal Biology at The University of Western Australia to AM, the Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, Portugal (PTDC/BIA-BEC/100176/2008, PTDC/BIA-BIC/4476/2012, and SFRH/BD/64875/2009), the Groupement de Recherche International (GDRI) Biodiversité et développement durable – Madagascar, the Laboratoire d'Excellence (LABEX) TULIP (ANR-10-LABX-41) and CEBA (ANR-10-LABX-25-01, the Instituto Gulbenkian de Ciência, Portugal to LC and JS, the ERA-NET BiodivERsA project: INFRAGECO (Inference, Fragmentation, Genomics, and Conservation, ANR-16-EBI3-0014 & FCT-Biodiversa/0003/2015) the LIA BEEG-B (Laboratoire International Associé –

Bioinformatics, Ecology, Evolution, Genomics and Behaviour, CNRS) to LC and JS. Further

financial support came from the Institute of Zoology, University of Veterinary Medicine

Hannover and UR acknowledges the long-term support of the late Elke Zimmermann for her

research activities on Madagascar. The genomic data were generated with funds from NSF DEB-

1354610 to ADY and DWW and from the EDB Lab to JS. ADY also gratefully acknowledges

support from the John Simon Guggenheim Memorial Foundation and the Alexander von

Humboldt Foundation. EELJ would like to acknowledge support from the Ahmanson Foundation

for the data generation. This work was performed in collaboration with the GeT core facility,

Toulouse, France (http://get.genotoul.fr), and was supported by France Génomique National

infrastructure, funded as part of "Investissement d'avenir" program managed by Agence

Nationale pour la Recherche (contract ANR-10-INBS-09). JS, UR & LC also gratefully

acknowledge support from the Get-Plage sequencing and Genotoul bioinformatics

(BioinfoGenotoul) platforms Toulouse Midi-Pyrenees.

References

References

Adams M., Raadik T.A., Burridge C.P., Georges A. 2014. Global biodiversity assessment and

hyper-cryptic species complexes: more than one species of elephant in the room? *Syst. Biol.*

63:518-533.

Alexander D.H., Novembre J., Lange K. 2009. Fast model-based estimation of ancestry in

unrelated individuals. *Genome Res.* 19:1655–1664.

Ali O. A., O'Rourke S. M., Amish S. J., Meek M. H., Luikart G., Jeffres C., Miller M. R. 2016.

RAD capture (rapture): Flexible and efficient sequence-based genotyping. *Genetics*

202:389–400.

Angelis K., Dos Reis M. 2015. The impact of ancestral population size and incomplete lineage

sorting on Bayesian estimation of species divergence times. *Cur. Zool.* 61:874-885.

Barley A. J., Brown J. M., Thomson R. C. 2017. Impact of model violations on the inference of

species boundaries under the multispecies coalescent. *Syst. Biol.* 67:269–284.

Beaumont M. A. 2004. Recent developments in genetic data analysis: What can they tell us about

human demographic history? *Heredity* 92:365–379.

Boetzer M., Henkel C. V., Jansen H. J., Butler D., Pirovano W. 2011. Scaffolding pre-assembled

contigs using sspace. *Bioinformatics* 27:578–579.

Brown J. L., Yoder A. D. 2015. Shifting ranges and conservation challenges for lemurs in the face

of climate change. *Ecol. Evol.* 5:1131–1142.

Bryant D., Bouckaert R., Felsenstein J., Rosenberg N. A., RoyChoudhury A. 2012. Inferring

species trees directly from biallelic genetic markers: bypassing gene trees in a full coalescent

analysis. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 29:1917–1932.

Burney D., James H., Grady F., Rafamantanantsoa J. G., Wright H., Cowart J. 1997.

    Environmental change, extinction and human activity: evidence from caves in NW

    Madagascar. *J. Biogeogr.* 24:755–767.

Campbell C. R., Poelstra J. W., Yoder A. D. 2018. What is speciation genomics? The roles of

    ecology, gene flow, and genomic architecture in the formation of species. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.*

    124:561–583.

Campbell C. R., Tiley G. P., Poelstra J. W., Hunnicutt K. E., Larsen P. E., dos Reis M., Yoder A.

    D. 2019. Pedigree-based measurement of the de novo mutation rate in the gray mouse lemur

    reveals a high mutation rate, few mutations in CpG sites, and a weak sex bias. BiorXiv,

    posted August 5, 2019. doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/724880.

Carstens BC, Dewey TA. 2010. Species delimitation using a combined coalescent and

    information-theoretic approach: An example from North American *Myotis* bats. Syst Biol.

    59:400-414.

Chambers E. A., Hillis D. M. 2019. The multispecies coalescent over-splits species in the case of

    geographically widespread taxa. *Syst. Biol.* 68:doi: org/10.1093/sysbio/syz042.

Chifman J., Kubatko, L. 2014. Quartet inference from SNP data under the coalescent model.

    Bioinformatics 30:3317–3324.

Chikhi L., Sousa V., Luisi P., Goossens B., Beaumont M.A. 2010. The confounding effects of

    population structure, genetic diversity and the sampling scheme on the detection and

    quantification of population size changes. *Genetics* 186:983-995.

Chikhi L., Rodríguez W., Grusea S., Santos P., Boitard S., Mazet O. 2018. The IICR (inverse

    instantaneous coalescence rate) as a summary of genomic diversity: insights into

    demographic inference and model choice. *Heredity* 120:13-24.

Colombo M., Damerau M., Hanel R., Salzburger W., Matschiner M. 2015. Diversity and disparity

through time in the adaptive radiation of Antarctic notothenioid fishes. *J. Evol. Biol.* 28:376–

394.

Coyne J., Orr H. 2004. *Speciation*. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland.

Czekanski-Moir J. E., Rundell R. J. 2019. The ecology of nonecological speciation and

nonadaptive radiations. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 34:400–415.

Dalquen D. A., Zhu T., Yang Z. 2017. Maximum likelihood implementation of an isolation-with-

migration model for three species. *Syst. Biol.* 66:379-398.

Dávalos L. M., Russell A. L. 2014. Sex-biased dispersal produces high error rates in

mitochondrial distance-based and tree-based species delimitation. *J. Mammal.* 95:781–791.

Degnan J.H., Rosenberg N.A. 2006. Discordance of species trees with their most likely gene

trees. *PLoS Genet*. 2:e68.

De Queiroz K. 2007. Species concepts and species delimitation. *Syst. Biol.* 56:879–886.

DePristo M. A., Banks E., Poplin R., Garimella K. V., Maguire J. R., Hartl C., Philippakis A. A.,

Del Angel G., Rivas M. A., Hanna M., McKenna A., Fennell T. J., Kernytsky A. M.,

Sivachenko A. Y., Cibulskis K., Gabriel S. B., Altshuler D., Daly M. J. 2011. A framework

for variation discovery and genotyping using next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Nat.*

Genet. 43:491–498.

Displayr. 2018. flipMultivariates R package. URL https://github.com/Displayr/flipMultivariates

Dos Reis M., Gunnell G. F., Barba-Montoya J., Wilkins A., Yang Z., Yoder A. D. 2018. Using

phylogenomic data to explore the effects of relaxed clocks and calibration strategies on

divergence time estimation: Primates as a test case. *Syst. Biol.* 67:594–615.

Edwards D. L., Knowles L. L. 2014. Species detection and individual assignment in species

delimitation: can integrative data increase efficacy? *Proc. Roy. Soc. B* 281:20132765.

Edwards S. V., Beerli P. 2000. Gene divergence, population divergence, and the variance in

coalescent time in phylogeographic studies. *Evolution* 54:1839–1854.

Eriksson A., Manica A. 2012. Effect of ancient population structure on the degree of

polymorphism shared between modern human populations and ancient hominins. *Proc. Natl.*

Acad. Sci. USA 109:13956-13960.

Estrada A., Garber P. A., Rylands A. B., Roos C., Fernandez-Duque E., Di Fiore A., Nekaris

K. A. I., Nijman V., Heymann E. W., Lambert J. E., Rovero F., Barelli C., Setchell J. M.,

Gillespie T. R., Mittermeier R. A., Arregoitia L. V., de Guinea M., Gouveia S., Dobrovolski

R., Shanee S., Shanee N., Boyle S. A., Fuentes A., MacKinnon K. C., Amato K. R., Meyer

A. L. S., Wich S., Sussman R. W., Pan R., Kone I., Li B. 2017. Impending extinction crisis of

the worlds primates: Why primates matter. *Sci. Adv.* 3:e1600946.

Fabre A. C., Perry J. M., Hartstone-Rose A., Lowie A., Boens A., Dumont M. 2018. Do muscles

constrain skull shape evolution in strepsirrhines? *Anat. Rec.* 301:291–310.

Feder J. L., Egan S. P., Nosil P. 2012. The genomics of speciation-with-gene-flow. *Trends Genet.*

28:342–350.

Fiser C., Robinson C.T., Malard F. 2018. Cryptic species as a window into the paradigm shift of

the species concept. *Mol. Ecol.* 27:613-635.

Flouri T., Jiao, X., Rannala B., Yang Z. 2018. Species tree inference with BPP using genomic

sequences and the multispecies coalescent. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 35:2585–2593.

Fox J. and Weisberg S. 2011. An R Companion to Applied Regression. Second Edition. Sage,

Thousand Oaks CA. URL http://socserv.socsci.mcmaster.ca/jfox/Books/Companion

Fujita M.K., Leache A.D., Burbrink F.T., McGuire J.A., Moritz C. 2012. Coalescent-based

species delimitation in an integrative taxonomy. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 27:480-488.

1080 Fumagalli M., Vieira F. G., Korneliussen T. S., Linderoth T., Huerta-Sánchez E., Albrechtsen A.,

1081  Nielsen R. 2013. Quantifying population genetic differentiation from next-generation

1082  sequencing data. *Genetics* 195:979-992.

1083 Fumagalli M., Vieira F. G., Linderoth T., Nielsen R. 2014. ngstools: methods for population

1084  genetics analyses from next-generation sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* 30:1486–1487.

1085 Gasse F., Van Campo E. 2001. Late quaternary environmental changes from a pollen and diatom

1086  record in the southern tropics (lake Tritrivakely, Madagascar). *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol.*

1087  *Palaeoecol.* 167:287–308.

1088 Gavrilets, S. Boake C. R. 1998. On the evolution of premating isolation after a founder event.

1089  *Am. Nat.* 152:706–716.

1090 Gonen S., Bishop S. C., Houston R. D. 2015. Exploring the utility of cross-laboratory rad-

1091  sequencing datasets for phylogenetic analysis. *BMC Res. Notes* 8:299.

1092 Goodman S. M., Benstead J. P. 2005. Updated estimates of biotic diversity and endemism for

1093  Madagascar. *Oryx* 39:73–77.

1094 Goodman S. M., Ganzhorn J. U. 2004. Biogeography of lemurs in the humid forests of

1095  Madagascar: the role of elevational distribution and rivers. *J. Biogeogr.* 31:47–55.

1096 Goudet J. 2005. Hierfstat, a package for R to compute and test hierarchical f-statistics. *Mol. Ecol.*

1097  *Notes* 5:184–186.

1098 Gronau I., Hubisz M. J., Gulko B., Danko C. G., Siepel A. 2011. Bayesian inference of ancient

1099  human demography from individual genome sequences. *Nat. Genet.* 43:1031–1034.

1100 Grummer JA, Bryson RW Jr, Reeder TW. 2013. Species delimitation using Bayes factors:

1101  simulations and application to the Sceloporus scalaris species group (Squamata:

1102  Phrynosomatidae). Syst. Biol. 63:119-133.

Hackel J., Vorontsova M. S., Nanjarisoa O. P., Hall R. C., Razanatsoa J., Malakasi P., Besnard G.

2018. Grass diversification in Madagascar: In situ radiation of two large C_3 shade clades and

support for a Miocene to Pliocene origin of C_4 grassy biomes. *J. Biogeogr.* 45:750–761.

Hafen T., Neveu H., Rumpler Y., Wilden I., Zimmermann E. 1998. Acoustically dimorphic

advertisement calls separate morphologically and genetically homogenous populations of the

grey mouse lemur (*Microcebus murinus*). *Folia Primatol.* 69:342–356.

Harrison R. G., Larson E. L. 2014. Hybridization, introgression, and the nature of species

boundaries. *J. Hered.* 105:795–809.

Heckman K. L., Mariani C. L., Rasoloarison R., Yoder A. D. 2007. Multiple nuclear loci reveal

patterns of incomplete lineage sorting and complex species history within western mouse

lemurs (*Microcebus*). *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 43:353–367.

Heller R., Chikhi L., Sigiesmund H.R. 2013. The confounding effect of population structure on

bayesian skyline plot inferences of demographic history. *PLoS ONE* 8:e62992.

Herrera J. P., Dávalos L. M. 2016. Phylogeny and divergence times of lemurs inferred with recent

and ancient fossils in the tree. *Syst. Biol.*65:772–791.

Hey J., Nielsen R. 2007. Integration within the Felsenstein equation for improved Markov chain

Monte Carlo methods in population genetics. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 104:2785–2790.

Hey J., Pinho C. 2012. Population genetics and objectivity in species diagnosis. *Evolution*

66:1413–1429.

Hotaling S., Foley M. E., Lawrence N. M., Bocanegra J., Blanco M. B., Rasoloarison R.,

Kappeler P. M., Barrett M. A., Yoder A. D., Weisrock D. W. 2016. Species discovery and

validation in a cryptic radiation of endangered primates: coalescent-based species

delimitation in Madagascar's mouse lemurs. *Mol. Ecol.* 25:2029–2045.

Huang J.-P., Leavitt S. D., Lumbsch H. T. 2018. Testing the impact of effective population size on

speciation rates – a negative correlation or lack thereof in lichenized fungi. *Sci. Rep.* 8:5729.

Hunnicutt K. E., Tiley G. P., Williams R. C., Larsen P. A., Blanco, M. B., Rasoloarison R. M.,

Campbell C. R., Zhu K., Weisrock D. W., Matsunami H., Yoder A. D. 2019. Comparative

genomic analysis of the pheromone receptor Class 1 family (V1R) reveals extreme

complexity in mouse lemurs (genus, Microcebus) and a chromosomal hotspot across

mammals. Gen. Biol. Evol. [doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evz200](doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evz200)

Jackson N. D., Carstens B. C., Morales A. E., O'Meara B. C. 2017. Species delimitation with

gene flow. *Syst. Biol.* 66:799–812.

Jombart T. 2008. adegenet: a R package for the multivariate analysis of genetic markers.

Bioinformatics 24:1403–1405.

Jombart T., Ahmed I. 2011. adegenet 1.3-1: new tools for the analysis of genome-wide SNP data.

Bioinformatics 27:3070–3071.

Kappeler P., Rasoloarison R., Razafimanantsoa L., Walter L., Roos C. 2005. Morphology,

behaviour and molecular evolution of giant mouse lemurs (*Mirza* spp.) Gray, 1870, with

description of a new species. *Primate Rep.* 71:3–26.

Kass R. E., Raftery A. E. 1995. Bayes factors. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.* 90:773–795.

Khatri B. S., Goldstein R. A. 2015. Simple biophysical model predicts faster accumulation of

hybrid incompatibilities in small populations under stabilizing selection. *Genetics* 201:1525–

1537.

Khatri B. S., Goldstein R. A. 2018. Biophysics and population size constrains speciation in an

evolutionary model of developmental system drift. *BioRxiv.* doi: 10.1101/123265.

Kiage L. M., Liu K. B. 2006. Late Quaternary paleo-environmental changes in East Africa: A

review of multiproxy evidence from palynology, lake sediments, and associated records.

Prog. Phys. Geogr. 30:633–658.

Kim B. Y., Wei X., Fitz-Gibbon S., Lohmueller K. E., Ortego J., Gugger P. F., Sork V. L. 2018.

RADseq data reveal ancient, but not pervasive, introgression between Californian tree and

scrub oak species (*Quercus* sect. *Quercus*: *Fagaceae*). *Mol. Ecol.* 27:4556–4571.

Knoop S., Chikhi L., Salmona J. 2018. Mouse lemur's use of degraded habitat. *Lemur News*

21:20–31.

Korneliussen T. S., Albrechtsen A., Nielsen R. 2014. Angsd: analysis of next generation

sequencing data. *BMC Bioinformatics* 15:356.

Kottek M., Grieser J., Beck C., Rudolf B., Rubel F. 2006. World map of the Köppen-Geiger

climate classification updated. *Meteorol. Z.*15:259–263.

Langmead B., Trapnell C., Pop M., Salzberg S. L. 2009. Ultrafast and memory-efficient

alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. *Genome Biol.* 10:R25.

Leaché A., Zhu T., Rannala B., Yang Z. 2019. The spectre of too many species. *Syst. Biol.*68:168–

181.

Leaché A. D., Fujita M. K., Minin V. N., Bouckaert R. R. 2014. Species delimitation using

genome-wide SNP data. *Syst. Biol.*63:534–542.

Lecompte E., Crouau-Roy B., Aujard F., Holota H., Murienne J. 2015. Complete mitochondrial

genome of the gray mouse lemur, *Microcebus murinus* (Primates, *Cheirogaleidae*).

Mitochondrial DNA A 27:3514–3516.

Louis E. E., Coles M. S., Andriantompohavana R., Sommer J. A., Engberg S. E., Zaonarivelo

J. R., Mayor M. I., Brenneman R. A. 2006. Revision of the mouse lemurs (*Microcebus*) of

eastern Madagascar. *Int. J. Primatol.* 27:347–389.

Louis E. E., Engberg S. E., McGuire S. M., McCormick M. J., Randriamampionona R., Ranaivoarisoa J. F., Bailey C.A., Mittermeier R. A., Lei R. 2008. Revision of the mouse lemurs, *Microcebus* (Primates, Lemuriformes), of northern and northwestern Madagascar with descriptions of two new species at Montagne d'Ambre National Park and Antafondro Classified Forest. *Primate Conserv.* 23:19–38.

Louis E. E., Lei R. 2016. Mitogenomics of the family *Cheirogaleidae* and relationships to taxonomy and biogeography in Madagascar. In: S. M. Lehman, U. Radespiel, and E. Zimmermann (Editors), *The Dwarf and Mouse Lemurs of Madagascar: Biology, Behavior and Conservation Biogeography of the Cheirogaleidae*, Chap. 3, Cambridge University Press, pp. 54–93.

Lozier J. D. 2014. Revisiting comparisons of genetic diversity in stable and declining species: assessing genome-wide polymorphism in North American bumble bees using RAD sequencing. *Mol. Ecol.* 23:788–801.

Luo A., Ling C., Ho S. Y. W., Zhu C. D. 2018. Comparison of methods for molecular species delimitation across a range of speciation scenarios. *Syst. Biol.* 67:830–846.

Maddison W. P. 1997. Gene trees in species trees. *Syst. Biol.* 46:523–536.

Mallet J., Besansky N., Hahn M. W. 2016. How reticulated are species? *BioEssays* 38:140–149.

Markolf M., Brameier M., Kappeler P.M. 2011. On species delimitation: Yet another lemur species or just genetic variation? *BMC Evol. Biol.* 11:216.

Martien K. K., Leslie M. S., Taylor B. L., Morin P. A., Archer F. I., Hancock-Hanser B. L., Brittany L., Rosel P. E., Vollmer N. L. Viricel A., Cipriano F. (2017). Analytical approaches to subspecies delimitation with genetic data. *Mar. Mam. Sci.* 33:27-55.

Martin R. 1972. A preliminary field-study of the lesser mouse lemur (*Microcebus murinus* JF Miller 1777). *Z. Tierpsychol.* 9:43–89.

Matute D. R. 2013. The role of founder effects on the evolution of reproductive isolation. *J. Evol. Biol.* 26:2299–2311.

Mayr E. 1959. Isolation as an evolutionary factor. *Proc. Am. Phil. Soc.* 103:221–230.

Mazet O., Rodriguez W. V., Grusea S., Boitard S., Chikhi L. 2016. On the importance of being structured: instantaneous coalescence rates and human evolution – Lessons for inference of ancestral population size? *Heredity* 116:362–371.

McCormack J. E., Heled J., Delaney K. S., Peterson A. T., Knowles L. L. 2011. Calibrating divergence times on species trees versus gene trees: implications for speciation history of *Aphelocoma jays*. *Evolution* 65:184–202.

Meloro C., Cáceres N. C., Carotenuto F., Sponchiado J., Melo G. L., Passaro F., Raia P. 2015. Chewing on the trees: Constraints and adaptation in the evolution of the primate mandible. *Evolution* 69:1690–1700.

Miller A., Mills H., Ralantoharijaona T., Volasoa N. A., Misandeau C., Chikhi L., Bencini R., Salmona J. 2018. Forest type influences population densities of nocturnal lemurs in Manompana, northeastern Madagascar. *Int. J. Primatol.* 39:646–669.

Myers N., Mittermeier R. A., Mittermeier C. G., Da Fonseca G. A., Kent J. 2000. Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. *Nature* 403:853–858.

Nater A., Mattle-Greminger M. P., Nurcahyo A., Nowak M. G., De Manuel M., Desai T., …, Lameira A. R. 2017. Morphometric, behavioral, and genomic evidence for a new orangutan species. *Current Biology* 27:3487-3498.

Nielsen R., Korneliussen T., Albrechtsen A., Li Y., Wang J. 2012. SNP calling, genotype calling, and sample allele frequency estimation from new-generation sequencing data. *PLoS ONE* 7:e37558.

O'Leary S. J., Puritz J. B., Willis S. C., Hollenbeck C. M., Portnoy D. S. 2018. These aren't the loci you're looking for: Principles of effective SNP filtering for molecular ecologists. *Mol. Ecol.* 27:3193–3206.

Olivieri G., Zimmermann E., Randrianambinina B., Rasoloharijaona S., Rakotondravony D., Guschanski K., Radespiel U. 2007. The ever-increasing diversity in mouse lemurs: three new species in north and northwestern Madagascar. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 43:309–327.

Palkopoulou E., Lipson M., Mallick S., Nielsen S., Rohland N., Baleka S., Karpinski E., Ivancevic A. M., To T. H., Kortschak R. D., Raison J. M., Qu Z., Chin T.-J., Alt K. W., Claesson S., Dalén L., MacPhee R. D. E., Meller H., Roca A. L., Ryder O. A., Heiman D., Young S., Breen M., Williams C., Aken B. L., Ruffier M., Karlsson E., Johnson J., Di Palma F., Alfoldi J., Adelson D. L., Mailund T., Munch K., Lindblad-Toh K. Hofreiter M., Poinar H., Reich D. 2018. A comprehensive genomic history of extinct and living elephants. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 115:E2566–E2574.

Pamilo P., Nei M. 1988. Relationships between gene trees and species trees. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 5:568–583.

Pastorini J., Thalmann U., Martin R. D. 2003. A molecular approach to comparative phylogeography of extant Malagasy lemurs. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 100:5879–5884.

Patterson N., Moorjani P., Luo Y., Mallick S., Rohland N., Zhan Y., Genschoreck T., Webster T., Reich D. 2012. Ancient admixture in human history. *Genetics* 192:1065–1093.

Payseur B. A., Rieseberg L. H. 2016. A genomic perspective on hybridization and speciation. *Mol. Ecol.* 25:2337–2360.

Pedersen C. E. T., Albrechtsen A., Etter P. D., Johnson E. A., Orlando L., Chikhi L., Siegismund H. R., Heller R. 2018. A southern African origin and cryptic structure in the highly mobile plains zebra. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* 2:491–498.

Quéméré E., Amelot X., Pierson J., Crouau-Roy B., Chikhi L. 2012. Genetic data suggest a

natural pre-human origin of open habitats in northern Madagascar and question the

deforestation narrative in this region. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 109:13028–13033.

R Core Development Team 2013. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R

Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, URL. http://www.R-project.org/.

Radespiel U. 2016. Can behavioral ecology help us to understand the divergent geographic range

sizes of mouse lemurs? In: S. M. Lehman, U. Radespiel, and E. Zimmermann (Editors), *The*

Dwarf and Mouse Lemurs of Madagascar: Biology, Behavior and Conservation

Biogeography of the Cheirogaleidae, Chap. 26, Cambridge University Press, pp. 498-519.

Radespiel U., Lutermann H., Schmelting B., Zimmermann E. (2019): An empirical estimate of

the generation time of mouse lemurs. *Am. J. Primatol.* https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.23062

Radespiel U., Olivieri G., Rasolofoson D. W., Rakotondratsimba G., Rakotonirainy O.,

Rasoloharijaona S., Randrianambinina B., Ratsimbazafy J. H., Ratelolahy F.,

Randriamboavonjy T., Rasolofoharivelo T., Craul M., Rakotozafy L., Randrianarison R. M.

2008. Exceptional diversity of mouse lemurs (*Microcebus* spp.) in the Makira region with the

description of one new species. *Am. J. Primatol.* 70:1033–1046.

Radespiel U., Ratsimbazafy J. H., Rasoloharijaona S., Raveloson H., Andriaholinirina N.,

Rakotondravony R., Randrianarison R. M., Randrianambinina B. 2012. First indications of a

highland specialist among mouse lemurs (*Microcebus* spp.) and evidence for a new mouse

lemur species from eastern Madagascar. *Primates* 53:157–170.

Radespiel U., Sarikaya Z., Zimmermann E., Bruford M.W. (2001) Sociogenetic structure in a

free-living nocturnal primate population: sex-specific differences in the grey mouse lemur

(*Microcebus murinus*). *Behav. Ecol. Sociobio*. 50:493-502.

Rannala B., Yang Z. 2003. Bayes estimation of species divergence times and ancestral population

sizes using DNA sequences from multiple loci. *Genetics* 164:1645–1656.

Rannala B., Yang Z. 2013. Improved reversible jump algorithms for Bayesian species

delimitation. *Genetics* 194:245–253.

Rasoloarison R. M., Goodman S. M., Ganzhorn J. U. 2000. Taxonomic revision of mouse lemurs

(*Microcebus*) in the western portions of Madagascar. *Int. J. Primatol.* 21:963–1019.

Rasoloarison R. M., Weisrock D. W., Yoder A. D., Rakotondravony D., Kappeler P. M. 2013.

Two new species of mouse lemurs (*Cheirogaleidae*: *Microcebus*) from eastern Madagascar.

Int. J. Primatol. 34:455–469.

Rice W. R., Hostert E. E. 1993. Laboratory experiments on speciation: What have we learned in

40 years? *Evolution* 47:1637–1653.

Rodríguez W., Mazet O., Grusea S., Arredondo A., Corujo J. M., Boitard S., Chikhi L. 2018. The

IICR and the non-stationary structured coalescent: towards demographic inference with

arbitrary changes in population structure. *Heredity* 121:663-678.

Schiffels S., Durbin R. 2014. Inferring human population size and separation history from

multiple genome sequences. *Nat. Genet.* 46:919–925.

Schüßler D., Mantilla-Contreras J., Stadtmann R., Ratsimbazafy J. H., Radespiel U. (in revision)

Determinants of deforestation and prediction of future forest loss in remote and rural north-

eastern Madagascar. *Biodiv. Conserv.*

Schüßler D., Radespiel U., Ratsimbazafy J.H., Mantilla-Contreras J. 2018. Lemurs in a dying

forest: Factors influencing lemur diversity and distribution in forest remnants of north-

eastern Madagascar. *Biol. Conserv.* 228:17–26.

Skotte L., Korneliussen T. S., Albrechtsen A. 2013. Estimating individual admixture proportions

from next generation sequencing data. *Genetics* 195:693–702.

Solís-Lemus C., Knowles L. L., Ané C. (2015). Bayesian species delimitation combining

multiple genes and traits in a unified framework. *Evol*. 69:492-507.

Stamatakis A. 2014. Raxml version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large

phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* 30:1312–1313.

Struck T.H., Feder J.L., Bendiksby M., Birkeland S., Cerca J., Gusarov V.I., Kistenich S., Larsson

K.H., Liow L.H., Nowak M.D., Stedje B., Bachmann L., Dimitrov D. 2018. Finding

Evolutionary Processes Hidden in Cryptic Species. *Trends Ecol. Evol*. 33:153-163.

Sukumaran J., Knowles L. L. 2017. Multispecies coalescent delimits structure, not species. *Proc.*

Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 114:1607–1612.

Tattersall I. 2007. Madagascar's lemurs: Cryptic diversity or taxonomic inflation? *Evol. Anthr*.

16:12-23.

Uyeda J. C., Arnold S. J., Hohenlohe P. A., Mead L. S. 2009. Drift promotes speciation by sexual

selection. *Evolution* 63:583–594.

Venables W. N., Ripley B. D. 2002. *Modern Applied Statistics with S.* Fourth Edition. Springer,

New York. ISBN 0-387-95457-0. URL http://www.stats.ox.ac.uk/pub/MASS4

Viguier B. 2004. Functional adaptations in the craniofacial morphology of Malagasy primates:

shape variations associated with gummivory in the family *Cheirogaleidae*. *Ann. Anat.*

186:495–501.

Vorontsova M. S., Besnard G., Forest F., Malakasi P., Moat J., Clayton W. D., Ficinski P., Savva

G. M., Nanjarisoa O. P., Razanatsoa J., Randriatsara F. O., Kimeu J. M., Luke W. R. Q.,

Kayombo C., Linder H. P. 2016. Madagascar's grasses and grasslands: Anthropogenic or

natural? *Proc. Roy. Soc. B* 283:20152262.

Waeber P. O., Wilmé L., Mercier J. R., Camara C., Lowry II P. P. 2016. How effective have thirty

years of internationally driven conservation and development efforts been in Madagascar?

PLoS ONE 11:e0161115.

Warmuth V. M., Ellegren H. 2019. Genotype-free estimation of allele frequencies reduces bias

and improves demographic inference from RADSeq data. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 19:586–596.

Weisrock D. W., Rasoloarison R. M., Fiorentino I., Ralison J. M., Goodman S. M., Kappeler

P. M., Yoder A. D. 2010. Delimiting species without nuclear monophyly in Madagascar's

mouse lemurs. *PLoS ONE* 5:e9883.

Wen D., Yu Y., Zhu J., Nakhleh L. 2018. Inferring phylogenetic networks using PhyloNet. *Syst.*

Biol. 67:735–740.

Wilmé L., Goodman S. M., Ganzhorn J. U. 2006. Biogeographic evolution of Madagascar's

microendemic biota. *Science* 312:1063–1065.

Xie W., Lewis P. O., Fan Y., Kuo L., Chen M. H. 2011. Improving marginal likelihood estimation

for Bayesian phylogenetic model selection. *Syst. Biol.* 60:150–160.

Yang Z., Rannala B. 2010. Bayesian species delimitation using multilocus sequence data. *Proc.*

Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 107:9264–9269.

Yang Z., Yoder A. D. 2003. Comparison of likelihood and Bayesian methods for estimating

divergence times using multiple gene loci and calibration points, with application to a

radiation of cute-looking mouse lemur species. *Syst. Biol.* 52:705–716.

Yoder A. D., Campbell C. R., Blanco M. B., dos Reis M., Ganzhorn J. U., Goodman S. M.,

Hunnicutt K. E., Larsen P. A., Kappeler P. M., Rasoloarison R. M., Ralison J. M., Swofford

D. L., Weisrock D. W. 2016. Geogenetic patterns in mouse lemurs (genus *Microcebus*) reveal

the ghosts of Madagascar's forests past. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 113:8049–8056.

Yoder A. D., Rasoloarison R. M., Goodman S. M., Irwin J. A., Atsalis S., Ravosa M. J., Ganzhorn

J. U. 2000. Remarkable species diversity in Malagasy mouse lemurs (*Primates*, *Microcebus*).

Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97:11325–11330.

Zimin A. V., Marçais G., Puiu D., Roberts M., Salzberg S. L., Yorke J. A. 2013. The MaSuRCA

genome assembler. *Bioinformatics* 29:2669–2677.

Zimmermann E., Cepok S., Rakotoarison N., Zietemann V., Radespiel U. 1998. Sympatric mouse

lemurs in north-west Madagascar: A new rufous mouse lemur species (*Microcebus*

ravelobensis). *Folia Primatol.* 69:106–114.

Zimmermann E., Radespiel U. 2014. Species concepts, diversity, and evolution in primates:

lessons to be learned from mouse lemurs. *Evol. Anthropol.* 23:11–14.