Development of a functional genetic tool for Anopheles gambiae oenocyte characterisation: application to cuticular hydrocarbon synthesis

Amy Lynd[*], Vasileia Balabanidou[†], Rudi Grosman[+], James Maas[*], Lu-Yun Lian[+], John Vontas[†‡] and Gareth J. Lycett[*]

[*] Vector Biology Dept, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, L3 5QA, UK

[†] Institute of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, Foundation for Research and Technology-Hellas, Heraklion 70013, Greece

[+] Institute of Integrative Biology, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

[‡] Department of Crop Science, Agricultural University of Athens, 11855 Athens, Greece

Short title: mosquito oenocyte function

Keywords: cytochrome P450, gene knockdown, malaria, enhancer, Gal4 driver

Corresponding Author

Dr. Gareth Lycett

Vector Biology Dept.

Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine

Pembroke Place

Liverpool

L3 5QA

UK

Tel 44 151 7053137

Email Gareth.Lycett@lstmed.ac.uk

Abstract

Oenocytes are an insect cell type having diverse physiological functions ranging

from cuticular hydrocarbon (CHC) production to insecticide detoxification that may

impact their capacity to transmit pathogens. To develop functional genetic tools to

study *Anopheles gambiae* oenocytes, we have trapped an oenocyte enhancer to

create a transgenic mosquito Gal4 driver line that mediates tissue-specific

expression. After crossing with UAS-reporter lines, *An. gambiae* oenocytes are

fluorescently tagged through all life stages and demonstrate clearly the two

characteristic oenocyte cell-types arising during development. The driver was then

used to characterise the function of two oenocyte expressed *An. gambiae cyp4g*

genes through tissue-specific expression of UAS-RNAi constructs. Silencing of

cyp4g16 or *cyp4g17* caused lethality in pupae of differing timing and penetrance.

Surviving *cyp4g16* knockdown adults showed increased sensitivity to desiccation.

Total cuticular hydrocarbon levels were reduced by approximately 80% or 50% in

both single gene knockdowns when assayed in young pupa or surviving adults

respectively, indicating both genes are required for complete CHC production in *An.*

gambiae and demonstrate synergistic activity in young pupae. Comparative CHC

profiles were very similar for the two knockdowns, indicating overlapping substrate

specificities of the two enzymes. Differences were observed for example with

reduced abundance of shorter chain CHCs in CYP4G16 knockdowns, and reduction

in longer, branched chained CHCs in CYP4G17 knockdown adults. This is the first

time that two *cyp4g*s have both been shown to be required for complete CHC

production in an insect. Moreover, the generation of tagged cells and identification of

an enhancer region can expedite oenocyte specific transcriptomics. The novel

driver line can also be used to explore oenocyte roles in pheromone production,

mating behaviour and longevity in the malaria mosquito.

Introduction

Oenocytes are insect secretory cells that in diptera such as Drosophila exist as

morphologically distinct derivatives in larvae and adults with separate developmental

origins (Gould et al. 2001). In the fruitfly, larval oenocytes originate from embryonic

ectodermal cells, whereas adult oenocytes are thought to derive from pupal

histoblasts (Makki et al. 2014). These liver-like cells that have diverse physiological

functions encompassing regulation of respiration, tissue histolysis, detoxification,

hormone production, dietary related longevity and cuticle synthesis (Makki et al.

2014; Stefana et al. 2017). Perhaps best studied to date are those roles linked to

lipid metabolism. Fruitfly oenocytes express an abundance of lipid synthesis

enzymes, including those in the pathways leading to the production of very long

chain (VLC) lipids and cuticular hydrocarbons (CHC) (Gutierrez et al. 2007). These

are transported to the tracheal system and outer insect integument to provide a

hydrophobic waxy layer to maintain water balance (Parvy et al. 2012). This key

adaptive trait provides insects with the ability to limit dehydration, yet repel water to

prevent flooding, in order to occupy different niches. The precise control of CHC

composition to maintain water balance is compounded in insects with aquatic

immature stages, including mosquitoes, which must switch rapidly during pupal

development from submersion in water to an environment with variable humidity.

Our interest in developing genetic tools to study mosquito oenocytes stems from an

initial observation that the sole reductive binding partner, cytochrome p450

reductase (CPR), of the largest family of multifunctional oxidative enzymes, the

cytochromes P450 (CYPs), was massively expressed in these cells, in both *An.*

gambiae and Drosophila (Lycett et al. 2006). Later studies in Drosophila linked

expression of CPR and a single CYP4G partner, CYP4G1 in oenocytes with the final

decarbonylation step in the cuticular hydrocarbon synthetic pathway that converts

VLC aldehydes to alkanes and alkenes (Qiu et al. 2012a). Similar activity has

recently been detected in the single *cyp4g* gene encoded in the honey bee genome

(Calla et al. 2018). In contrast two *cyp4g* genes are annotated in the Anopheles

genome, *cyp4g16* and *cyp4g17*, and we have previously shown that both gene

products are highly expressed in adult and pupal oenocytes (Kefi et al. 2019; Ingham

et al. 2014; Balabanidou et al. 2016), yet only CYP4G16 had decarbonylase activity

in vitro. In other species with two annotated members of the *cyp4*g family, both

recombinant enzymes possess decarbonylase activity (MacLean et al. 2018), and

when the Anopheles genes were expressed in Drosophila in a *cyp4g1* silenced

background either enzyme or in combination rescued the lethal phenotype (Kefi et al.

2019). The observed inactivity of the recombinant *An. gambiae* CYP4G17 is thus

most likely due to incompatibility with the low molecular weight substrate aldehyde

that was tested *in vitro* (Balabanidou et al. 2016).

To expand the *in vivo* analysis of oenocyte function in mosquitoes, we have

developed a Gal4 driver line to modify gene expression specifically in *An. gambiae*

oenocytes, and have initially targeted the *cyp4g* genes. Our earlier research

identified a number of Gal4 activators and UAS modules suitable for expression in

An. gambiae (Lynd and Lycett 2012, 2011). Moreover, in creating a series of docking

lines for recombinase mediated cassette exchange (Pondeville et al. 2014), we

serendipitously created a transgenic line in which ectopic expression of the normally

eye specific fluorescent marker gene was observed in larval, pupal and adult

oenocytes. By cassette exchange of this marker with promoterless Gal4 activators,

we tested whether the putative oenocyte enhancer could be trapped to drive Gal4

expression. Following successful enhancer trapping, we then examined the *in vivo*

role of the two *An. gambiae cyp4g* genes by stable RNAi using UAS:hairpin DNA

constructs regulated by the oenocyte Gal4 driver.

Materials and Methods

Plasmid construction

pBac-CFP

The docking lines were created via transformation of *Anopheles gambiae* embryos

using a *piggyBac* vector containing the leucine-rich repeat protein immunity gene,

LRIM1(Povelones et al. 2009), under the control of the *vitellogenin promoter*, *Vg*,

with an eCFP 3xP3 eye marker gene (Horn et al. 2000), flanked by inverted *attP*

sequences. The *vitellogenin* promoter, *Vg*, (AGAP013109) was removed from

pBac[3xP3DsRed-AgVgT2-GFP] (Chen et al. 2008) with AscI and subcloned into

pSLfa1180fa (Horn and Wimmer 2000) to give pslVg-GFP-BGH, which was

subsequently digested with EcoRI and BamHI and the *Vg* promoter cloned into

pSLfa1180fa.

BGH was amplified by PCR from pslVg-GFP-BGH by PCR with BamHI and NotI

tagged primers (BGH-F, BGH-R) and subcloned into pJET1.2 (Thermo Scientific).

attP was amplified from pBCPB+ (Groth et al. 2000) with XhoI and NotI tagged

primers (attP-F, attP-R) and subcloned into pJET1.2. pslVg was digested with

BamHI and XhoI, and the BGH and *attP* fragments, removed from pJET1.2 by

BamHI /NotI and XhoI/NotI digests respectively, were cloned into pslVg

simultaneously. LRIM1 (AGAP006348) with Strep and His epitope tags was

amplified by PCR from pIEx10-LRIM1 (Povelones et al. 2009) with BamHI tagged

primers (LRIM1-F, LRIM1-R) and subcloned into pJET1.2 (Thermo Scientific) before

cloning into pslVg-BGH-attP. *attP* was released from pBac[3xP3-eCFPaf]-attP

(Nimmo et al. 2006) by digestion with PstI, and *attP* reinserted in the opposite

orientation by PCR amplification of *attP* from pBCPB+ with PstI tagged primers

(attPPSTI-F, attPPSTI-R) to make pBac[3xP3-eCFPaf]-attPii. pslVg-LRIM1-BGH-

attP was digested with AscI and cloned into pBac[3xP3-eCFPaf]-attPii to give pBac-

CFP (Fig S1). All primers used are shown in Table S1.

pSL-attB-Hsp-Gal4(3xP3-dsRed)

RCME was carried out via PhiC31 recombination in the *attP* docking lines using a

plasmid containing a minimal promoter upstream of a Gal4 coding region, and a

dsRed 3XP3 marker (Horn et al. 2002) and flanked by inverted attB sites to allow

cassette replacement via a double recombination event.

The minimal heat shock promoter Hsp70 was amplified by PCR from pUAST (Brand

and Perrimon 1993) with NotI and EcoRI tagged primers (HSP-F, HSP-R), and

subcloned into psl-LRIM-Gal4Δ and psl-LRIM-Gal4FF (Lynd and Lycett 2011) in

place of the LRIM promoter. The 5' attB site was amplified from pB*attB*[3×P3-

dsRed2nls-SV40]/*ox*66 using AflII and SpeI tagged (attB5-F, attB-R) primers and

ligated into psl-Hsp-Gal4Δ and psl-Hsp-Gal4FF. A synthetic multiple cloning site was

inserted into the 3' unique BamHI site to provide EcoRI, SphI, NheI, NsiI and BglII

sites. The 3' attB site was subcloned into both Gal4 plasmids after PCR

amplification from pB*attB*[3×P3-dsRed2nls-SV40]*lox*66 (Nimmo et al. 2006) using

BglII and NsiI tagged primers (attB3-F, attB3-R). The 3xP3 promoter, dsRed gene

and SV40 region were amplified from pBac[3×P3-dsRed] (Horn et al. 2002) using

NheI and NsiI tagged primers (Red-F, Red-R) and subcloned downstream of the

Hsp-gal4 cassette. Plasmids psl-attB-Hsp-Gal4[3xP3dsRed] and psl-attB-Hsp-

Gal4GFY[3xP3DsRed] were constructed by subcloning Gal4 and Gal4GFY

fragments from pLRIM-Gal4 and pLRIM-Gal4-GFY respectively (Lynd and Lycett

2011), into Psl-attB-Hsp-Gal4Δ[3xP3dsRed] in place of Gal4Δ using enzymes EcoRV

and PspXI. All regions amplified by PCR were sequenced and all plasmids verified

by diagnostic restriction digest (primers shown in Table S1).

pSL-attB-UAS-cyp16RNAi and pSL-attB-UAS-cyp17RNAi

To create a suitable UAS vector for expressing hairpin RNAi cassettes, the luciferase

gene was removed from pUAS14-LUC (Lynd and Lycett 2011) by digestion with NcoI

and XbaI and the plasmid was re-ligated using an oligo linker. The gypsy insulator

sequence from pH-Stinger (Drosophila Genomic Resource Centre) (Barolo et al.

2000) was amplified by PCR with HindIII and SphI tagged primers (gyp1F, gyp1R)

and inserted 5′ to the UAS. A second gypsy insulator sequence was inserted 3' via

PCR amplification using BamHI tagged primers (gyp2F, gyp2R). A multiple cloning

site was then inserted into resultant plasmid by linker insertion (RINcoF, RINcoR)

following EcoRI and NcoI digestion. Fusion PCR was carried out to create an *eYFP*

marker under the control of the 3xP3 promoter with an SV40 terminator from

plasmids eYFP-mem (Clontech), pBac[3×P3-dsRed]CP-Gal4-GFY-SV40 (Lynd and

Lycett 2012) and pSL-attB-Hsp-Gal4[3xP3-dsRed] respectively. This cassette was

cloned into the above UAS-gypsy intermediate plasmid with NsiI to give pSL-UAS14-

gyp[3×P3-eYFP]. pSL-attB-Hsp-Gal4[3xP3-dsRed] was digested with NsiI and NotI

and religated with an oligo linker (Link2F, Link2R) to give pSL-attB. pSL-UAS14-

gyp[3×P3-eYFP] was digested with NotI and SpeI and the entire cassette ligated into

pSL-attB to give pSL-attB-UAS14-gyp[3×P3-eYFP] (primers shown in Table S1).

To create the *cyp4g16* knockdown construct, genomic DNA (gDNA) was extracted

from adult *Anopheles gambiae* females (G3 strain) using the method described in

Livak (1984). A hairpin RNAi construct was then generated by direct amplification via

asymmetric PCR of gDNA (Xiao et al. 2006) using exon 2 of *cyp4g16*

(AGAP001076) to form the double stranded stem (198bp) and intron 2 to form the

loop (579bp). PCR was carried out in a 25 µl reaction volume containing a final

concentration of 1× HF buffer (ThermoScientific), 2.5 mM dNTPs, 0.4 µM forward

primer and 0.2 µM bridge primer (primers shown in Table S1), 0.25 µl Phire DNA

polymerase (ThermoScientific), and 1/200th of a mosquito gDNA. Reaction

conditions were 98°C for 30 sec, followed by 35 cycles of 98°C for 5 sec, 58°C for 20

sec, 72°C for 90 sec; followed by a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. The forward

primer contained a 5' NheI tag allowing the hairpin RNAi construct to be cloned into

pSL-attB-UAS14-gyp[3×P3-eYFP].

To synthesize the *cyp4g17* knockdown construct, fusion PCR was carried out to fuse

a 517bp region of exon 1 of *cyp4g17* (AGAP000877) and the proceeding 128bp

intron (amplified from gDNA), to the reverse complement of the partial exon 1

fragment (amplified from cDNA). RNA was extracted from adult G3 females

according to Tri Reagent manufacturer's protocol (Sigma) and treated with Turbo

DNase (Ambion). First strand cDNA was prepared from approximately 20 ng total

RNA using Superscript III First-strand Synthesis System for RT-PCR (Invitrogen).

Products from both amplifications were used as template for the final fusion PCR

which was then cloned into pSL-attB-UAS14-gyp[3×P3-eYFP] with NheI and NcoI

(all primer details in Table S1).

Transformation of Anopheles gambiae

201   All *Anopheles gambiae s.s.* mosquitoes were reared under standard conditions and

202   transgenic *attP* RCME docking lines were created by *piggyBac* mediated

203   transformation of the G3 strain with the pBac-CFP using standard procedures (Lynd

204   and Lycett 2012; Lombardo et al. 2009).

Creation of Gal4 driver lines

Early embryos from transgenic *attP* docking line A14 were injected with buffer

containing 350 ng/µl of one of the Gal4Δ, Gal4-FF, Gal4-GFY and native Gal4

protein variants of the attB-Hsp Gal4 driver plasmid and 150 ng/µl of intergrase

helper plasmid, PKC40, as previously described (Lombardo et al. 2009; Pondeville et

al. 2014). Surviving larvae were reared to adulthood and crossed to the G3 strain.

G1 progeny were screened for fluorescent eye marker using a Leica MFLZIII

microscope fitted with dsRed, YFP and CFP filter sets. Transgenic G1 larvae were

pooled according to sex and crossed to the G3 strain. Isofemale lines were obtained

from individual female lays and the G2 progeny interbred. DNA extractions and

orientation PCR (see below) were carried out on the transgenic G1 parents and lines

with a unique transgene cassette arrangement were maintained. A11 Gal4 lines

were also generated in similar fashion to test the RCME efficiency using the native

Gal4 attB-Hsp Gal4 plasmid.

Creation of UAS p450 RNAi responder lines

Transgenic UAS responder lines containing the *cyp4g16* and *cyp4g17* RNAi

cassettes were made by injection of the A11 embryos with pSL-attB-UAS-

cyp16RNAi or pSL-attB-UAS-cyp17RNAi plasmid (350ng/ul) together with the

intergrase helper plasmid, PKC40 (150ng/ul) as described previously. G1 larvae

positive for eYFP, indicative of an integration event, were used to generate isofemale

lines and screened by PCR to determine the orientation of the cassette, as described

below.

Determination of insertion arrangement

A series of four diagnostic PCRs were carried out to determine both the orientation

of the *attB* cassettes and, for mosquitoes having both markers, the relative position

to the *attP-CFP* cassette, using one external primer and one internal primer (see Fig

S3A to describe potential orientations and Table S1 for primers used). DNA from a

single G1 adult was extracted using a Qiagen DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit as per

manufacturer's protocol, and DNA eluted in 200µl of ddH$_2$0. PCR was carried out

using the manufacturer's recommendations for Phire Hot Start II Polymerase (NEB)

using 1 µl of DNA and a final primer concentration of 100nM each, with an annealing

temperature of 58°C for 20 seconds, and an extension time of 90 seconds. PCR

products were sequenced directly to confirm a precise recombination event at the

attP/*attB* junction.

Southern Blots

DNA was extracted from at least 30 male and female adult mosquitoes using Qiagen

GenomicTip 20/G columns as described in manufacturer's standard protocol. 9 µg of

genomic DNA was then digested to completion with EcoRI (NEB), purified on

diatomaceous earth (Carter and Milton 1993) (Sigma) and run on a 0.8% agarose

gel. DNA was transferred to a nylon membrane (Lycett et al. 2004). Two probes

were amplified from a plasmid template targeting the pBac arms (see Table S1 for

primers) and labelled with CTP α-32P 3000Ci/mmol (Perkin Elmer) using Klenow

Enzyme (NEB) and random nonamers (Sigma). Hybridization, washing and

exposure to X Ray film were performed as described previously (Lycett et al. 2004).

Whole-mount larval abdomen immunostaining.

Abdominal integuments were dissected from 4[th]instar larvae and fixed and stained

as described previously (Lycett et al. 2006). The rabbit affinity purified CYP4G16 and

CYP4G17 antibodies (Ingham et al. 2014; Balabanidou et al. 2016) were used as a

1/500 dilution and detected with goat anti-rabbit antibody (Alexa-Fluor 488;

Molecular Probes; 1/1,000). Nuclei were stained with ToPRO 3-Iodide (Molecular

Probes). Images were obtained on a Leica SP8 confocal microscope.

Analysis of enhancer trapped gal4 expression

To investigate enhancer driven gal4 expression, crosses were made between the

HSP-Gal4 mosquito lines (marked by dsRed) and the UAS mosquito line, Wnd,

containing *luciferase* and e*YFPnls* reporter genes (marked by eCFP) (Lynd and

Lycett 2012). At least 10 females were used per cross, with at least double the

number of males. Larval progeny were screened for expected inheritance of both red

and cyan fluorescent eye marker proteins. Adults were examined at three and seven

days after emergence. Dissections were carried out using a Leica MFLZ III

microscope using YFP, dsRed and CFP filters. Images were taken using an

Olympus BX60 microscope fitted with a Nikon DSU2 camera, or through a dissecting

microscope fitted with a Nikon P5100 digital camera.

Luciferase assays

Adult mosquitoes were anesthetized with CO_2 when three to five days old and

dissections carried out in PBS. Whole and dissected mosquito larvae and adults

were transferred to 200µl of luciferase passive lysis buffer (Promega). Samples were

homogenized, and the supernatant used immediately for luciferase assays using a

Promega Luciferase Assay Kit (E1500) and a Lumat LB 9507 tube luminometer. At

least six replicates for each assay were carried out.

Investigation of knock down phenotype

Crosses were made between the oenocyte specific Gal4 driver line (DsRed),

A14Gal4, and the UAS *cyp4g16* and *cyp4g17* RNAi mosquito lines (YFP) originating

from lines carrying integrated hairpin constructs into the *attP* docking site of line A11.

Larvae and adults of the resulting progeny carrying both markers, designated 16i

and 17i henceforth, were examined for various phenotypes using siblings arising

from the same cross without the UAS cassette (dsRed only) as negative controls.

qRT-PCR of cyp4g16 and cyp4g17

RNA was extracted from different mosquito stages and treated with DNase as

above. First strand cDNA was prepared from total RNA using Superscript III First-

strand Synthesis System for RT-PCR (Invitrogen). Transcript abundance of the

cyp4g16 and *cyp4g17* genes were examined using two sets of primers for each gene

in order to monitor reliability of amplification (details in Table S1). One primer of each

pair was designed to span an exon-exon junction. The PCR efficiency, dynamic

range and specificity of the primer pair were calculated from running a standard

curve over a five-fold dilution series of cDNA. Transcript levels were normalized

against amplification of the *An. gambiae* ribosomal protein genes, S7 (AGAP010592)

and Ubiquitin (AGAP007927) (Jones et al. 2013). PCR was carried out in a 20 µl

reaction volume containing 10 µl Brilliant III SYBR Green Master Mix (Agilent), 500

nM of primers, 1µl cDNA (diluted 100-fold). qPCR was performed on the MXPro

qPCR system (Agilent) and reaction conditions were 95°C for 10 min followed by 40

cycles of 95°C for 10s and 60°C for 10s. Melt curves were performed after each

PCR to ensure the specificity of the qPCR. Three technical replicates were run for

each sample and a minimum of four biological replicates carried out.

Western Blots

Early pupae (less than 2hours old) were extracted in 1x Laemlli buffer (BioRad) with

100mM DTT. Proteins were separated on 10% SDS-PAGE with a Tris-glycine

running buffer (192 mM glycine 25 mM Tris, 0.1% SDS) and transferred to

polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membrane. Filters were blocked with 3% powdered

milk in PBST (PBS+0.1% Tween-20) for 1h at room temperature and then incubated

with anti-CYP4G16 (1:200 dilution (Balabanidou et al. 2016)), anti-CYP4G17 (1:200

(Ingham et al. 2014)) or anti-alpha tubulin (1:500 Sigma) antibodies in 3% milk-PBST

for 1 h at room temperature. Membranes were washed four times in PBST for 5 min,

then incubated with anti-rabbit (1:40,000) or anti-mouse (1:10,000) secondary

antibodies conjugated to peroxidase. Filters were developed with the Western blot

Chemiluminescence Reagent Plus Kit (Renaissance) and exposed to X-ray films.

Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry analysis

Cuticular hydrocarbons of single 16i and 17i one day old female or female pupa

collected within 5 hours of larval/pupal transition, together with identically reared

sibling A14Gal4:+ mosquitoes, were extracted by a 5 minute immersion, with gentle

agitation at room temp, in 50 µl hexane (Sigma-Aldrich) containing 10 ng/µl

317 octadecane (Sigma-Aldrich) internal standard. Hydrocarbon identification and

318 quantification was performed by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS).

319 The mass spectrometer employed was a Waters GCT and the GC column was a 30

320 cm long, 0.25 mm internal diameter, 0.25 μm film thickness BPX5 (SGE). The oven

321 temperature gradient was 70°C to 370°C at 10°C/minute and the carrier gas was

322 helium (BOC) at a flow rate of 1 ml/minute. The injection volume was 1 μl. The MS

323 scan range was *m/z* 40 to 450 Da in scan time 0.6 s. Peak identification was by a

324 combination of retention time, library searches using the NIST mass spectrum library

325 supplied with the instrument and reference to published spectra. Peak areas were

326 measured manually using the peak integration tool in the Waters Mass Lynx

327 software. A representative annotated trace is provided in File S1. The total amount of

328 hydrocarbon present was calculated by summing all of the peak areas detected

329 relative to the internal standard. Statistical analysis of total CHC was performed by

330 ANOVA with Tukey HSD correction. The relative quantity of individual hydrocarbons

331 present in each mosquito sample were compared in two ways. 1) relative to the

332 internal standard, by dividing the area of each extracted hydrocarbon peak to that of

333 the internal standard to give a quantitative value to each hydrocarbon and 2) relative

334 to the total hydrocarbon content in each mosquito by dividing each area by the sum

335 of all areas to give a fraction of the total (statistical analysis provided in File S2 and

336 File S3 for normalization against Internal Standard and total CHC respectively).

337 ***Desiccation Assays***

338 10-13 mosquitoes aged two to five days were placed in 70 ml transparent

339 polystyrene pots and a netting mesh lid attached with an elastic band. Pots were

340 then placed in 300 ml transparent polystyrene desiccation chambers with 10g silica

341 gel desiccant, sealed with a screw on cap and placed in a 32°C incubator. Desiccant

is thought to reduce humidity to < 10%, (Gray and Bradley 2005). 7 replicates for 16i

and A14A1 sibling females, and 6 replicates for 16i and A14A1 sibling males were

performed. Survival was measured by the ability to stand, cling to walls or fly and

was scored at the indicated times. Statistical analysis was performed by Cox

regression.

Data and Reagent Policy

All extant mosquito lines and novel plasmids described in the manuscript are

available for distribution. Supplementary data deposited at Figshare includes

Schematic of the constructs used for transformation Figure S1, Summary of

generation of docking lines Figure S2, Phenotypic characterisation of RCME lines

Figure S3, Dissection of Female Adult and Pupa derived from A14Gal4 x UAS-

mcherry cross Figure S4 Images of A11Gal4 X UAS-nlsYFP progeny Figure S5

CYPG16 and CYP4G17 larval expression Figure S6, Schematic of the constructs

used for gene knockout Figure S7, Western analysis of 16i,17i and A14A1 early

pupae, Figure S8. Plots of relative abundance of CHCs with respect to internal

standard Figure S9. Plots of relative abundance of CHCs with respect to total CHC

Sequences of primers described in Materials and Methods Table S1,

Summary table of RCME experiments performed with different Gal4 constructs in

A14 docking line Table S2, Representative, annotated GCMS CHC trace from

individual mosquitoes File S1, Statistical analysis of CHC normalized to Internal

standard File S2, Statistical analysis of CHC normalized to Total CHC content File

S3.

Results

Generation of oenocyte driver line

Oenocyte expression in docking line A14

We created a series of *piggyBac* based PhiC31 docking strains of *An. gambiae*,

carrying inverted *attP* sites flanking the cyan fluorescent protein (*eCFP*) selectable

marker and the *LRIM*1 (Povelones et al. 2009) gene controlled by the blood meal

inducible Vitellogenin promoter (Chen et al. 2008)(Fig S1A). From 10 isofemale lines

produced (Fig S2A), four carried insertions into single genomic sites, as indicated by

inverse PCR (Fig S2B). All lines had the expected expression of eCFP in eyes and

neuronal tissues, and six out of eight examined expressed the tagged *LRIM1*

transcript (Fig S2C) 48hrs after bloodfeeding. Western analysis indicated that three

insertion lines with single copy insertions, A11, A14 and BB57, expressed the tagged

LRIM1 protein after bloodfeeding (Fig S2D).

However in one line, A14, distinct eCFP fluorescence was also readily detected in

oenocytes in larval and pupal stages (Fig 1), suggesting that one or more local

genomic enhancers were directing eCFP expression to these abdominal cells.

Sequencing around the transposon insertion site in A14, indicated that integration

had occurred at an intergenic site on the 3R chromosome (Fig S2E), greater than

30Kb away from the nearest annotated gene in the PEST genome (Vectorbase

P4.12). Since we created the A14 line to carry inverted *attP* sites surrounding the

marker and effector cassette, we surmised that recombinase-mediated cassette

exchange (RCME) with a promoterless Gal4 construct would bring the transctivator

under control of the enhancer to produce oenocyte specific driver lines.

RCME is efficient in mosquitoes

To initially develop an efficient oenocyte driver, we examined the efficacy of four

alternative Gal4 constructs marked with dsRed, by targeting cassette exchange into

A14 embryos. Previous cell culture analysis indicated that these alternative Gal4

constructs, native Gal4, Gal4-delta, Gal4-GFY and Gal4-FF generate different

transcriptional activation potentials (Lynd and Lycett 2011), and so may produce a

graded range of oenocyte-specific expression levels. As indicated (Table S2),

between 6 and 155 dsred positive larval progeny were successfully generated for

each construct. However, viable adults were only obtained using the native Gal4

(>100 adults) and Gal4-FF (1 adult from 6 dsred positive F1 larvae) transactivators.

Should canonical cassette exchange of the dsred marked Gal4 driver have occurred

in the eCFP marked A14 line, the red marker would replace the blue marker, in two

potential orientations. Whereas integration into a single *attP* site, in four possible

orientations, would conserve the *eCFP* marker in this locus, and thus F1 progeny

would be doubly marked (this is illustrated in Fig S3A). In total seven isofemale

native Gal4 lines were bred from surviving F1 progeny; three exchange and four

integration lines. PCR analysis indicated that five of the six possible integration

patterns were detected within these lines (Table S2). Southern hybridization on the

representative A14Gal4 line (A1 line kept for further characterisation) confirmed

cassette exchange into the expected genomic site and orientation (Fig 2A and B).

Since RCME efficiency had not previously been assayed in mosquitoes, we also

tested a second control docking line, A11, that displayed the typical expression of

the 3xP3 marker. Into the A11 line we exchanged the same native Gal4 construct

and obtained 21 isofemale lines from 638 embryos injected. Diagnostic PCR was

again used to define orientation of insertion in six of these lines (Table S2) and

Southern blotting of an A orientation isofemale line confirmed that RCME had

occurred at the expected site due to the expected change in restriction enzyme

pattern (Fig 2A and B) compared the parental line.

Oenocyte enhancer is trapped by RCME.

In the A14 native Gal4 trap lines, weak red fluorescence was detected in oenocytes

following exchange in the A14A1 and A14A2 lines (Fig S3B). To examine whether

Gal4 expression was also under oenocyte enhancer control, the A14 Gal trap lines

with alternative orientations of insertion (including alternative isofemale lines with the

same molecular orientation, A14A1 and A14A2) were crossed to a 3xP3 *CFP*

marked responder line carrying nuclear localised yellow fluorescent protein (nlsYFP)

and luciferase genes both under control of the upstream activation sequence (UAS),

to allow qualitative and quantitative analysis, respectively (Lynd and Lycett 2012). In

progeny of these crosses, nlsYFP was visible in larval (Fig 3B), pupal and adult

oenocytes (Fig 3D), indicating that enhancer trapping was achieved. Furthermore,

through Gal4 enhanced expression we could trace the development of adult

oenocytes through 4th instar larval (Fig 3F) and pupal stages as they contained

nlsYFP. The same oenocyte expression profile was obtained in progeny following

A14Gal4A crossing with a separate UAS-mCherry line (Adolfi et al. 2018) (Fig S4).

Progeny from crosses between the A11Gal4A line with the UAS-nlsYFP line are

shown in Fig S5 and lack expression in the oenocytes.

Quantitative analysis of the different orientation A14Gal4 lines (Table S2) by

luciferase activity analysis indicated that in both 4th instar larvae and adults, the

cassette orientation (Fig S3A) had a significant effect on reporter gene expression.

Those with orientation A had three-fold more activity than those with orientation B at

larval stages (Fig S3C), and nine-fold greater expression at adult stage (Fig S3D).

Further analysis of the A14A1 line indicated that luciferase activity increases 100-fold

during larval development, plateaus during metamorphosis, before dropping four-fold

in adult stages (Fig S3E).

Expression of 4Gs in immature stages

To examine the utility of the driver line for oenocyte-specific knockdown of CHC

regulating enzymes, we targeted two genes, *cyp4g16* and *cyp4g17*, that previous

work had established were highly expressed in adult oenocytes (Ingham et al. 2014;

Balabanidou et al. 2016). To determine the expression profile of native mosquito

*cyp4g*s in immature stages, we performed qPCR analysis on RNA extracts from

larval and pupal samples collected at defined timepoints following moulting. *cyp4g16*

was shown to be highly transcribed relative to housekeeping gene controls (delta C^T:

Fig 4A) throughout the 3rd instar and significantly increased (up to eight-fold) during

4th instar and pupal stages (Fig 4A). Whereas the *cyp4g17* transcript was at the

minimal limits of detection in 3rd instar stages, and expression massively increased

(up to 10,000-fold) after 8 hours of the early 4th instar stage, before decreasing

during pupal moult, and again increasing significantly at later pupal stages (Fig 4B).

Immunostaining confirmed that both CYP4G proteins are readily detected in 4th

instar larval oenocytes. (Fig S6 A,B).

Oenocyte Gal4-driven knockdown of *cyp4g16* or *cyp4g17* produces different survival phenotypes

UAS responder constructs were created carrying inverted repeats of DNA from each *Cyp4g* gene, separated by their natural intron sequences, as shown in Fig S7. These were exchanged by RCME into the control A11 *attP* line, and distinct eYFP isofemale responder lines carrying insertions of UAS-*Cyp4g16* and UAS-*Cyp4g17* hairpins in the same orientation were generated. No deleterious phenotype has been observed in these lines and they have been maintained in colony for 4 years since production.

However, following crossing to the A14 driver line, the resultant transheterozygote progeny undergo death at distinct pupal stages depending on the specific RNAi construct present. Progeny expressing *cyp4g16* RNAi in oenocytes (16i) show high mortality (above 90%) at early-mid pupal stages (Fig 5 A), which is reduced if grown at low density (<200 larvae in a 30x30x5cm tray - in a typical experiment we observed around 60% death at early pupal stage, Table S3). The progeny expressing *cyp4g17* RNAi in oenocytes (17i) develop till late pupal stage and have high mortality (>90%) in pharate adults or as the adults emerge from the pupal case (Fig 5B, Table S3). Altering growth conditions does not increase 17i survival. Homozygous A11 and sibling heterozygous A14Gal4 mosquitoes typically show greater than 90% survival during 4th instar larval to adult transition (Table S3).

Stable RNAi efficiently knocks down expression of *cyp4g* genes.

To examine efficiency of knock down of the *cyp4g* genes, western analysis and qPCR was performed on early pupae prior to death in 16i and 17i mosquitoes. Western analysis revealed an absence of CYP4G16 in 16i mosquitoes (Fig 5C).

Similarly, the major protein corresponding to CYP4G17 was absent in 17i pupal

extracts (Fig 5D). In concordance with lack of protein, transcript levels were reduced

by 90% in both knockdowns relative to Gal4 driver line, A14 (Fig 5E). Western

analysis also indicated that knock down was specific to the respective CYP4G

proteins, since the level of CYP4G16 was similar to controls in 17i knockdown

mosquitoes, and *vice versa* (Fig S8).

CYP4G16 and CYP4G17 have a synergistic role in CHC synthesis in pre adult

stages

Total CHC analysis of early pupae hexane cuticle extracts by gas chromatography–

mass spectrometry (GC-MS) demonstrated that 16i or 17i mosquitoes both had a

significant reduction of approximately 80% compared to controls (Fig 6A). Whereas,

in surviving adults, this reduction in total CHC content was approximately 50% in

both knockdowns (Fig 6B). However, there was no significant difference in total CHC

between the 16i and 17i mosquitoes at either stage.

Separating the analysis down to the relative peak areas of individual hydrocarbons

(with respect to the internal standard), no CHC species showed a significant

difference (File S2) in abundance between the 16i and 17i knockdowns at the early

pupal stage (Fig S9). In contrast, all individual CHCs (except nonadecane) were

significantly reduced ($p < 0.05$) in 17i pupae compared with controls (Fig S9). Most

CHCs were also significantly reduced in 16i pupae compared to controls, although

nonadecane $p = 0.55$, icosane $p = 0.08$, triacontane $p = 0.56$ and hentriacontane $p = 0.08$

were exceptions. At the adult stage, nonadecane was significantly reduced in 16i

mosquitoes compared to 17i, whereas methyl-hentriacontane was significantly

reduced in 17i compared to 16i mosquitoes. The major adult peaks, penta-, hepta-

and nona-cosane were significantly reduced in both 16i and 17i mosquitoes

compared to controls, as were hexacosane and hentriacontane. Additional significant

differences between 17i and control mosquitoes were observed with octacosane,

and methyl-nonacontane and methyl-hentriacontane (Fig S9).

Analysis of the relative proportion that individual CHCs comprise of total CHC

content indicate that in control pupa, four odd-number chain alkanes provide over

70% of all hydrocarbons (henicosane 22%, tricosane 15%, pentacosane 17.5%,

heptacosane 18%) (Fig S10). The relative proportions of all four major CHCs are

significantly reduced ($p < 0.05$) in both 16i and 17i pupae compared to controls (File

S3). In addition, whilst the relative proportion of the two methyl branched chain

CHCs (methyl-nonacosane and methyl-hentriacontane) are also reduced in 16i

pupae ($p < 0.01$, $p < 0.001$), only the latter ($p < 0.01$) is also reduced in 17i pupae

compared to controls. Contrastingly, nearly all of the other minor peaks show

significant relative increase in the 16i and 17i knockdown pupae compared to

controls. When directly comparing the two knockdown mosquitoes, the relative

proportion of hepta- and nona-cosane and the two methyl branched chains are

significantly lower in 16i, whereas octacosane and tricontane are significantly lower

in 17i pupae than 16i.

In control adults, there is a shift to higher molecular weight CHCs compared to

control pupa with two odd chain alkanes providing over 50% of all hydrocarbons

(heptacosane 35%, nonacosane 19%). In 16i knockdowns, both of these CHCs are

significantly reduced in relative proportion compared to control. Whereas

heptacosane and methyl-hentriacontane are significantly lower in 17i mosquitoes

compared to control. Again the majority of the minor peaks show increases in

relative abundance in both knockdowns. Comparison of the 16i and 17i knockdowns

indicated that nonadecane and nonacosane were significantly reduced in 16i

knockdowns, whereas methyl-hentriacontane (p<0.001) was significantly reduced in

17i knockdown. There was also a non significant trend (p=0.06) in relative reduction

in methyl-nonacosane in the 17i knockdown.

cyp4g16 knockdown increases susceptibility to desiccation.

Due to the high mortality in 17i pharate adults, the effect of CYP4G depletion on

desiccation tolerance was only assessed in 16i adults. The time course of survival of

16i males and 16i females versus co-reared, age matched, sibling Gal4 controls

when exposed to a low humidity environment is illustrated in Fig 5C and Fig 5D,

respectively. Cox proportional hazards analysis of the time to mortality data was

initially fit to a model comprised of three terms, for sex, genotype, and sex by

genotype interaction effects, respectively. The interaction term was found to be non-

significant so was removed from the model. This analysis suggested highly

significant effects of both sex (p < 0.001) and genotype (p < 0.001) on the risk of

death. In other words, males show significantly different survival to desiccation

compared to females, and gene knockdown has a significant effect on survival time

irrespective of sex. Moreover, analysis of individual sexes, males and females

indicated that both 16i males (p < 0.001) and 16i females (p < 0.001) were

significantly more sensitive to desiccation than their respective same sex controls.

Discussion

Following serendipitous detection of oenocyte-specific fluorescence in one of a panel

of RCME docking lines, we have successfully performed Gal4 based enhancer

trapping in *An.gambiae* for the first time. Previous work has shown that enhancer

trapping was feasible in mosquitoes through mobilisation of tagged transposable

elements, and the subsequent changes in tissue specific expression of reporter

genes (O'Brochta et al. 2011). Further work by this group developed enhancer

trapping (O'Brochta et al. 2012) and more recently large scale promoter/gene traps

in *An. stephensi* (Reid et al. 2018). We have taken a modified approach through the

use of RMCE to insert alternative Gal4 genes nearby a putative enhancer. Although

there is, as yet, no annotation for a gene closer than 30kb from the A14 insertion

site, oenocyte-specific regulation is achieved by cassette exchange demonstrating

that the locus contains DNA regions which interact with the minimal promoter

present on the Gal4 driver. The work has thus confirmed that RCME is efficient in

An. gambiae (Hammond et al. 2016). We have also generated a docking line, A11,

into which RCME and subsequent Gal4 dependent gene silencing phenotypes were

demonstrated with UAS-regulated hairpin RNAi constructs.

To develop the oenocyte driver, we assessed four variants of Gal4, each carrying

alternative transactivators. These were shown previously to have graded activation

potentials with Gal4Δ> GFY > Gal4 > FF in transfected *An. gambiae* cells (Lynd and

Lycett 2011). In these cells, the relative activation potential varied 20-fold between

the four transactivators, with Gal4Δ being 10-fold more active than Gal4. RCME

derived dsred positive G1 larvae were obtained with each construct, but only those

generated with native Gal4 transactivator surviving in large numbers to the adult

stage, with the majority of those derived from Gal4Δ and GFY dying at early larval

instar. This is in contrast to previous work with GFY combined with a gut specific

carboxypeptidase promoter which gave very high transformation rates and robust

homozygous adults that are still in colony (Lynd and Lycett 2012). This would

suggest that the higher transactivation potential of modified Gal4s show toxicity in

mosquitoes when expressed from the oenocyte enhancer, presumably through a

'squelching' process (Gill and Ptashne 1988). The efficiency of precise cassette

exchange with the A14 and A11 lines would appear to be at least 1 in 50 to 100

embryos injected, and thus similar to *piggyBac* transformation rates in this lab (Lynd

and Lycett 2012; Lycett et al. 2012; Lombardo et al. 2009)

By assaying luciferase expression in crosses between a UAS responder line and

alternative RCME lines that had the Gal4 cassette inserted in opposite orientations

with respect to the *piggyBac* arms, we observed that relative activation potential was

significantly higher when the Gal4 was inserted nearer to the right *piggyBac* arm (i.e.

Orientations A > B Fig S3) in both larvae and adults. However, significant activation

was detected in both orientations, as would be predicted from a local enhancer that

acts upstream or downstream of a target gene (Khoury and Gruss 1983).

By fluorescently tagging both larval and adult oenocytes, we are able to visually

follow the development of adult oenocytes during the 4th instar to adult stage, with

the parallel loss of larval oenocytes during late pupation. The timing of the mosquito

oenocyte cell development pathways has been documented as early as 1960

(Christophers 1960), but generating a Gal4 driver for these cells has opened the

possibility for a variety of cellular and functional analysis. For example, this tagging

will enable isolation of pure cells for 'omics' analysis; however, initially we have used

the oenocyte driver to examine the *in vivo* function of two CYP4G P450s annotated

in *An. gambiae*.

Our previous *in vitro* analysis could only detect aldehyde decarbonylase activity for

recombinant CYP4G16 when assayed with a C18 substrate, yet both genes are

highly expressed in pupal and adult oenocytes, implicating both for roles in this cell

type (Ingham et al. 2014; Balabanidou et al. 2016; Kefi et al. 2019). Furthermore,

here extending immunolocalisation analysis of the abdomen integument to an earlier

stage shows that both genes are also expressed in 4th instar larval oenocytes, yet

qPCR shows distinct temporal transcription patterns. *cyp4g16* is highly constitutively

expressed throughout all life stages examined, and only increases 10-fold during 4th

instar and pupal stages compared to the 3rd instar, whereas *cyp4g17* is barely

detectable in 3rd instar larvae, but is very highly induced in 4th instar and late pupal

stages (up to 10,000-fold). The temporal profiles would suggest that the two genes

have distinct roles in *An. gambiae* related to life cycle stage, which correlates with

the early and late onset of lethal pupal phenotypes observed in the RNAi

knockdowns. It may also indicate that the expression of the two genes are regulated

by different effectors. The regulation of cyp4G17 in 4th instar larvae and pupae would

suggest control linked to larval to adult transition, perhaps hormonal.

As female *An. gambiae* age there a relative decrease in abundance of low molecular

weight (LMW) CHCs (up to heptacosane) and an increase in the relative abundance

of higher MW CHCs including heptacosane, nonacosane, hentriacontane and

methyl-hentriacontane (Caputo et al. 2005), which is recapitulated in the CHC

analysis performed on pupal and adult stages here, and most clearly seen in the

controls samples in Fig S10. When examining total CHC content in early pupae and

surviving one day old adults it was clear that silencing either gene results in a similar

80% and 50% reduction respectively. Most strikingly, both gene knockouts have a

significant reduction in abundance of nearly all CHCs at the pupal stage compared

with the control, however no significant difference in abundance of individual CHCs

between the two knockdowns were detected at this stage. Which would indicate both

enzymes have major roles in CHC synthesis *in vivo* and have significant overlapping

substrate specificity. The fact that both individual knockdowns produce 80%

reductions in total pupal CHC also suggests a degree of synergism in CYP4G

activity in 4th instar larvae and pupae.

Significant differences in the two knockdowns were observed however when

analysing relative abundance of each CHC compared with the total CHC content.

The 16i knockdowns pupa had relatively less of the major HMW alkanes and methyl-

alkanes compared with 17i knockdowns, whereas 17i had significantly lower ratios of

the minor even-chain CHCs. The lower proportion of higher molecular weight CHCs

would suggest that the earlier larval expression of *cyp4G16* is critical for generating

the appropriate relative abundance of CHCs for early pupal survival, and the relative

ratio of CHCs may be a contributing factor to the death observed in the early 16i

pupae.

The CHC profiles from adults have to be addressed with the caveat that since the

adults we analysed are outliers which have survived eclosion, and may well have

less extreme changes than those which die and were excluded. Despite this, in the

adult survivors, there is evidence of small differences in absolute CHC content

between the knockdowns, in that *cyp4g16* knockdown adults show reduced levels of

nonadecane compared with 17i individuals, whilst *cyp4g17* knockdowns show

reduced levels of methyl-hentriacontane compared to *cyp4g16* knockdowns. The

differences observed between 16i and 17i in relative proportion of individual CHC

compared to total CHC were less pronounced in the adult than in the pupae, which

may be a reflection of outlier analysis. In adults, nonadecane and nonacosane were

reduced in 16i and, opposite to the pupal data, the HMW methyl-CHCs were

relatively reduced in 17i compared to 16i. Indicative of an enhanced role for

CYP4G17 in generating methyl-CHCs late in pupal development that perhaps

facilitates the eclosion process.

The CHC profiling would thus suggest that the two enzymes have significant overlap

of substrate specificity, and at the early pupal stage the enzymes work

synergistically. At this stage, the CYP4G16 has been active since at least 3rd instar

and has slight dominance in the production of HMW CHCs. During later pupal to

adult development, CYP4G17 appears dominate in the production of methyl

branched chains. A propensity to generate methyl-CHCs was also observed when

cyp4g17 is ectopically expressed in cyp4G1 silenced in Drosophila (Kefi et al, 2019).

It should be noted however that the temporal analysis is complicated by the unknown

rate of deposition of internally stored CHCs to the cuticle. Furthermore, the effect of

observed changes in sub-cellular localisation of the CYP4Gs at different

developmental stages may play a role in enzyme activity. At the 4th larval stage, we

have previously shown both enzymes are localized in the periphery of the oenocyte

cell membrane (Kefi et al. 2019), where synergistic function may be facilitated. In the

developing adult oenocytes cyp4G16 maintains its peripheral cell localisation,

whereas cyp4G17 was observed to localise more broadly throughout the

endoplasmic reticulum (Kefi et al. 2019), which may alter which substrates the two

enzymes encounter and their subsequent activities.

All insects possess one or more *cyp4g* P450s (phylogenetic tree in (Calla et al.

2018). In *D. melanogaster* their two annotated genes have distinct tissue specific

expression patterns, although only *DmCyp4g1* is expressed in oenocytes throughout

life and has a critical role in CHC production (Qui et al. 2012), whereas *cyp4g15*

appears predominantly neuronal and of ill-defined function (Maibeche-Coisne et al.

2000). Oenocyte-specific *Dmcyp4g1* RNAi knockdown produces a temporally similar,

partially incomplete, lethal pharate adult phenotype similar to that observed in

cyp4g17 knockdown mosquitoes. Although the mortality during eclosion of the vast

majority of 17i mosquitoes precluded analysis of desiccation tolerance, the most

parsimonious explanation for pharate adult death is severe desiccation intolerance.

However, we cannot rule out other developmental irregularities, including a direct or

indirect role for CHCs to facilitate escape from the pupal cuticle (Chiang et al. 2016).

The more weakly penetrant lethal phenotype in *cyp4g16* knockdown pupae, allowed

the demonstration of significant sensitivity to desiccation in surviving adults. This

supports the hypothesis that a primary determinant of desiccation tolerance in

Anopheles mosquitoes is total CHC content (Arcaz et al. 2016). This later study also

demonstrated a positive correlation between octacosane and desiccation tolerance

that was significantly depleted in the *cyp4g17* knockdown mosquitoes.

Transient knockdown of the single *cyp4gs* in holometabolous locusts (Yu et al. 2016)

and pea aphids (Chen et al. 2016) also produce a desiccation sensitive phenotype.

In the former case, the lethal phenotype could be rescued by maintenance at 90%

humidity. However, attempts to rescue *cyp4g17* knockdown mosquitoes was

unsuccessful when maintained at close to 100% during eclosion (not shown).

Although this is the first time that two *cyp4g*s have both been shown to be essential

for CHC production in an insect, *in vitro* activity of recombinant proteins from the two

annotated *cyp4g*s from the Mountain Pine beetle both convert long chain alcohols

and aldehydes into HCs (MacLean et al. 2018). This led to speculation that one or

both may be involved in CHC and pheromone production. However, their *in vivo*

function and anatomical distribution is yet to be explored in this beetle. In this

context, the finding that the single CYP4G from honey bees, that also

decarbonylates aldehydes (Calla et al. 2018), is located in antennae and other

chemosensory organs (Mao et al. 2015; Calla et al. 2018), as well as abdominal

tergites associated with wax production, may suggest a second role in

chemoreception for this P450 class. Further work will be needed in Anopheles

mosquitoes to examine the functional role of *cyp4g* expression in sensory organs,

since data from RNA-Seq (Pitts et al. 2011), indicate significant transcription of both

cyp4g16 and *cyp4g17* in *An. gambiae* antennae.

In summary, successful enhancer trapping of oenocyte regulatory regions by RMCE,

and the subsequent use of stable RNAi have enabled us to examine the role of two

highly expressed CYPs in CHC production and paved the way for in depth analysis

of the metabolic roles of oenocytes in mosquitoes. For example, the driver line will

be critical for oenocyte-specific gene knockdown and ectopic over-expression to

examine the complex metabolic functions of these cells in the malaria mosquito, and

to explore their potential role in pheromone production, mating behaviour and

longevity (Joseph et al. 2018; Combs et al. 2018).

Acknowledgements

We'd like to thank Amalia Anthousi for great technical assistance in mosquito

husbandry and Dolphine Amenya for her major role in the initial generation and

characterisation of the pBac-CFP lines. We also thanks Jacob Chapman and Judit

Bagi for assistance with qRT-PCR analysis. We also are indebted to Mark Prescott

and Rob Beynon who performed the CHC analysis at the Centre for Proteome

Research, University of Liverpool, Mike Povelones for providing LRIM1 clones and

antibodies, Leonie Ringrose for the PKC40 plasmid, and to Hilary Ranson for

thoughtful comments on the manuscript. We are also extremely grateful for two

anonymous reviewers that greatly improved the manuscript. The work was partly

funded by the EU FP7 Avecnet Grant ID: 265660.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1 Oenocyte-specific expression of ECFP marker in A14 larvae and pupa

Oenocyte-specific expression of eCFP in larva and pupa in docking line A14

presumably caused by a local oenocyte enhancer near to pBac-CFP [attP-LRIM1]

integration site. ECFP expression in eyes and ventral nerve cord are characteristic of

3xP3 expression.

Figure 2 Schematic of *piggyBac* and RCME genomic integrations and Southern

analysis

A: RMCE schematic of PBac-CFP [attP-LRIM1] integrated into genomic DNA of A14

and A11 lines and orientation of exchanged attB HSP-Gal4 cassettes. ****, pBAC L

and pBAC R probes; E, EcoRI restriction site; Paired black triangles, *attP* sites;

mixed black and white paired triangles, attL and attR sites resulting from phiC31-

mediated recombination. Distance from pBac integration sites to genomic EcoRI

sites were estimated from the southern blot as A11 X= 3.0Kb, A11 Y= 3.7Kb, A14 X=

1.2Kb, A14 Y= 7.7Kb. B: Southern blot showing single copy integration (two probes

– two bands) and shift in expected size of hybridizing fragments following exchange

of attB HSP-Gal4 into A11 and A14 genomic sites.

Figure 3 Oenocyte Enhancer trapping in larval and adult oenocytes

Images of yellow nuclear florescence in progeny of crosses between A14Gal4 driver

line and the UAS responder line containing *eYFPnls* reporter genes. A and B; show

YFP expression in the oenocytes located in the abdomen of 3rd instar larvae. C and

D; show bright field and fluorescent images of the dissected adult abdomen. YFP

expression is visible in the oenocytes. E and F show bright field and fluorescent

images of 4th instar larvae showing the development of adult oenocytes on the

ventral side of the integument.

Figure 4 QRT-PCR analysis of *cyp4g16* and *cyp4g17* during larval and pupal stages

Lower graphs indicate mean delta CT differences in expression compared to the

housekeeping controls to emphasise the higher constitutive expression of *cyp4g16* in

earlier stages with bars indicating standard error of the mean. Upper graphs show

relative fold change in expression compared to newly moulted 3rd instar larvae to

emphasise the induction of *cyp4g17* expression. Assays were performed in triplicate

on four independent samples.

3 refers to newly moulted 3rd instar larvae, 3.8: 3rd instar larvae aged 8 hours from

moult, 3.16: 16 hours from moult, 4: newly moulted 4rd instar larvae, 4.8 4rd instar

larvae aged 8 hours from moult, 4.16 16 hours from moult, P0: newly moulted pupa,

P16: Pupa 16 hours from moult, P24: Pupa 24 hours from moult. Assay were

performed in triplicate on four biological samples. Those values sharing letters do not

show significant difference between themselves at p<0.05 cutoff by Welch test.

Figure 5 Analysis of 16i and 17i knockdown efficiency and lethality phenotype

A and B Images displaying the different temporal pupal phenotype deaths occuring

with 16i and 17i knockdown mosquitoes respectively. A 16i pupae have a high

mortality at early pupal stage characterised by sinking in water (right) and elongation

of the pupae (left) at death rather than the characteristic comma shape. B: 17i death

is characterized by very late pupal death (left) usually after the pupal case is cleaved

at eclosion or during adult emergence (right). C,and D upper western analysis of

knockdown of CYP4G16 in 16i mosquitoes and of CYP4G17 in 17i mosquitoes

respectively. C and D lower loading control of same filters probed with anti-alpha

tubulin antibody. E Analysis of knockdown of the respective *cyp4g* by qRT-PCR

analysis of *cyp4g16* and *cyp4g17* in 16i and 17i pupae. A14Gal4 indicates sibling

control mosquitoes that carry the oenocyte driver but lack the UAS-hairpin

constructs.

Figure 6 Total CHC content in female 16i and 17i mosquitoes and desiccation

tolerance of 16i males and females.

A: histogram illustrating total CHC content of one day old female pupae. Black bars

indicate the median total CHC content per mosquito taken from 10 samples of one

day old female mosquitoes. Boxes are 25th and 75th percentiles and whiskers + and

– 1.5 x interquartile range. *** $P<0.001$ calculated by ANOVA followed by Tukey's

HSD (Honestly Significant Difference) for pairwise comparisons. C and D: survival

curves for 16i and A14Gal4 male (C) and female (D) mosquitoes exposed to low

humidity. Statistical analysis performed by Cox regression (Analysis and P values

indicated in results text).

Adolfi, A., E. Pondeville, A. Lynd, C. Bourgouin, and G.J. Lycett, 2018 Multi-tissue
GAL4-mediated gene expression in all Anopheles gambiae life stages using
an endogenous polyubiquitin promoter. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 96:1-9.
Arcaz, A.C., D.L. Huestis, A. Dao, A.S. Yaro, M. Diallo *et al.*, 2016 Desiccation
tolerance in Anopheles coluzzii: the effects of spiracle size and cuticular
hydrocarbons. *J Exp Biol* 219 (Pt 11):1675-1688.
Balabanidou, V., A. Kampouraki, M. MacLean, G.J. Blomquist, C. Tittiger *et al.*, 2016
Cytochrome P450 associated with insecticide resistance catalyzes cuticular
hydrocarbon production in Anopheles gambiae. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 113
(33):9268-9273.

Barolo, S., L.A. Carver, and J.W. Posakony, 2000 GFP and beta-galactosidase
transformation vectors for promoter/enhancer analysis in Drosophila.
Biotechniques 29 (4):726, 728, 730, 732.
Brand, A.H., and N. Perrimon, 1993 Targeted gene expression as a means of
altering cell fates and generating dominant phenotypes. *Development* 118
(2):401-415.
Calla, B., M. MacLean, L.H. Liao, I. Dhanjal, C. Tittiger *et al.*, 2018 Functional
characterization of CYP4G11-a highly conserved enzyme in the western
honey bee Apis mellifera. *Insect Mol Biol* 27 (5):661-674.
Caputo, B., F.R. Dani, G.L. Horne, V. Petrarca, S. Turillazzi *et al.*, 2005 Identification
and composition of cuticular hydrocarbons of the major Afrotropical malaria
vector Anopheles gambiae s.s. (Diptera: Culicidae): analysis of sexual
dimorphism and age-related changes. *J Mass Spectrom* 40 (12):1595-1604.
Carter, M.J., and I.D. Milton, 1993 An inexpensive and simple method for DNA
purifications on silica particles. *Nucleic Acids Res* 21 (4):1044.
Chen, N., Y.L. Fan, Y. Bai, X.D. Li, Z.F. Zhang *et al.*, 2016 Cytochrome P450 gene,
CYP4G51, modulates hydrocarbon production in the pea aphid,
Acyrthosiphon pisum. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 76:84-94.
Chen, X.G., G. Mathur, and A.A. James, 2008 Gene expression studies in
mosquitoes. *Adv Genet* 64:19-50.
Chiang, Y.N., K.J. Tan, H. Chung, O. Lavrynenko, A. Shevchenko *et al.*, 2016
Steroid Hormone Signaling Is Essential for Pheromone Production and
Oenocyte Survival. *PLoS Genet* 12 (6):e1006126.
Christophers, S.R., 1960 *Aëdes aegypti (L.), the yellow fever mosquito; its life
history, bionomics, and structure*. Cambridge Eng.: University Press.
Combs, P.A., J.J. Krupp, N.M. Khosla, D. Bua, D.A. Petrov *et al.*, 2018 Tissue-
Specific cis-Regulatory Divergence Implicates eloF in Inhibiting Interspecies
Mating in Drosophila. *Curr Biol* 28 (24):3969-3975 e3963.
Gill, G., and M. Ptashne, 1988 Negative effect of the transcriptional activator GAL4.
Nature 334 (6184):721-724.
Gould, A.P., P.R. Elstob, and V. Brodu, 2001 Insect oenocytes: a model system for
studying cell-fate specification by Hox genes. *J Anat* 199 (Pt 1-2):25-33.
Groth, A.C., E.C. Olivares, B. Thyagarajan, and M.P. Calos, 2000 A phage integrase
directs efficient site-specific integration in human cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U
S A* 97 (11):5995-6000.
Gutierrez, E., D. Wiggins, B. Fielding, and A.P. Gould, 2007 Specialized hepatocyte-
like cells regulate Drosophila lipid metabolism. *Nature* 445 (7125):275-280.
Hammond, A., R. Galizi, K. Kyrou, A. Simoni, C. Siniscalchi *et al.*, 2016 A CRISPR-
Cas9 gene drive system targeting female reproduction in the malaria
mosquito vector Anopheles gambiae. *Nat Biotechnol* 34 (1):78-83.
Horn, C., B. Jaunich, and E.A. Wimmer, 2000 Highly sensitive, fluorescent
transformation marker for Drosophila transgenesis. *Dev Genes Evol* 210
(12):623-629.
Horn, C., B.G. Schmid, F.S. Pogoda, and E.A. Wimmer, 2002 Fluorescent
transformation markers for insect transgenesis. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 32
(10):1221-1235.
Horn, C., and E.A. Wimmer, 2000 A versatile vector set for animal transgenesis. *Dev
Genes Evol* 210 (12):630-637.
Ingham, V.A., C.M. Jones, P. Pignatelli, V. Balabanidou, J. Vontas *et al.*, 2014
Dissecting the organ specificity of insecticide resistance candidate genes in

Anopheles gambiae: known and novel candidate genes. *BMC Genomics*
15:1018.
Jones, C.M., K.A. Haji, B.O. Khatib, J. Bagi, J. Mcha *et al.*, 2013 The dynamics of
pyrethroid resistance in Anopheles arabiensis from Zanzibar and an
assessment of the underlying genetic basis. *Parasit Vectors* 6:343.
Joseph, N.M., N.Y. Elphick, S. Mohammad, and J.H. Bauer, 2018 Altered
pheromone biosynthesis is associated with sex-specific changes in life span
and behavior in Drosophila melanogaster. *Mech Ageing Dev* 176:1-8.
Kefi, M., V. Balabanidou, V. Douris, G. Lycett, R. Feyereisen *et al.*, 2019 Two
functionally distinct CYP4G genes of Anopheles gambiae contribute to
cuticular hydrocarbon biosynthesis. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 110:52-59.

Khoury, G., and P. Gruss, 1983 Enhancer elements. *Cell* 33 (2):313-314.

Livak, K.J., 1984 Organization and mapping of a sequence on the Drosophila
melanogaster X and Y chromosomes that is transcribed during
spermatogenesis. *Genetics* 107 (4):611-634.
Lombardo, F., G.J. Lycett, A. Lanfrancotti, M. Coluzzi, and B. Arca, 2009 Analysis of
apyrase 5' upstream region validates improved Anopheles gambiae
transformation technique. *BMC Res Notes* 2:24.
Lycett, G.J., D. Amenya, and A. Lynd, 2012 The Anopheles gambiae alpha-tubulin-
1b promoter directs neuronal, testes and developing imaginal tissue specific
expression and is a sensitive enhancer detector. *Insect Mol Biol* 21 (1):79-88.
Lycett, G.J., F.C. Kafatos, and T.G. Loukeris, 2004 Conditional expression in the
malaria mosquito Anopheles stephensi with Tet-On and Tet-Off systems.
Genetics 167 (4):1781-1790.
Lycett, G.J., L.A. McLaughlin, H. Ranson, J. Hemingway, F.C. Kafatos *et al.*, 2006
Anopheles gambiae P450 reductase is highly expressed in oenocytes and in
vivo knockdown increases permethrin susceptibility. *Insect Mol Biol* 15
(3):321-327.
Lynd, A., and G.J. Lycett, 2011 Optimization of the Gal4-UAS system in an
Anopheles gambiae cell line. *Insect Mol Biol* 20 (5):599-608.
Lynd, A., and G.J. Lycett, 2012 Development of the bi-partite Gal4-UAS system in
the African malaria mosquito, Anopheles gambiae. *PLoS One* 7 (2):e31552.
MacLean, M., J. Nadeau, T. Gurnea, C. Tittiger, and G.J. Blomquist, 2018 Mountain
pine beetle (Dendroctonus ponderosae) CYP4Gs convert long and short
chain alcohols and aldehydes to hydrocarbons. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol*
102:11-20.
Maibeche-Coisne, M., L. Monti-Dedieu, S. Aragon, and C. Dauphin-Villemant, 2000
A new cytochrome P450 from Drosophila melanogaster, CYP4G15,
expressed in the nervous system. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 273
(3):1132-1137.
Makki, R., E. Cinnamon, and A.P. Gould, 2014 The development and functions of
oenocytes. *Annu Rev Entomol* 59:405-425.
Mao, W., M.A. Schuler, and M.R. Berenbaum, 2015 Task-related differential
expression of four cytochrome P450 genes in honeybee appendages. *Insect
Mol Biol* 24 (5):582-588.
Nimmo, D.D., L. Alphey, J.M. Meredith, and P. Eggleston, 2006 High efficiency site-
specific genetic engineering of the mosquito genome. *Insect Mol Biol* 15
(2):129-136.

O'Brochta, D.A., R.T. Alford, K.L. Pilitt, C.U. Aluvihare, and R.A. Harrell, 2nd, 2011
piggyBac transposon remobilization and enhancer detection in Anopheles
mosquitoes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 108 (39):16339-16344.
O'Brochta, D.A., K.L. Pilitt, R.A. Harrell, 2nd, C. Aluvihare, and R.T. Alford, 2012
Gal4-based enhancer-trapping in the malaria mosquito Anopheles stephensi.
G3 (Bethesda) 2 (11):1305-1315.
Parvy, J.P., L. Napal, T. Rubin, M. Poidevin, L. Perrin *et al.*, 2012 Drosophila
melanogaster Acetyl-CoA-carboxylase sustains a fatty acid-dependent remote
signal to waterproof the respiratory system. *PLoS Genet* 8 (8):e1002925.
Pitts, R.J., D.C. Rinker, P.L. Jones, A. Rokas, and L.J. Zwiebel, 2011 Transcriptome
profiling of chemosensory appendages in the malaria vector Anopheles
gambiae reveals tissue- and sex-specific signatures of odor coding. *BMC*
Genomics 12:271.
Pondeville, E., N. Puchot, J.M. Meredith, A. Lynd, K.D. Vernick *et al.*, 2014 Efficient
PhiC31 integrase-mediated site-specific germline transformation of Anopheles
gambiae. *Nat Protoc* 9 (7):1698-1712.
Povelones, M., R.M. Waterhouse, F.C. Kafatos, and G.K. Christophides, 2009
Leucine-rich repeat protein complex activates mosquito complement in
defense against Plasmodium parasites. *Science* 324 (5924):258-261.
Qiu, Y., C. Tittiger, C. Wicker-Thomas, G. Le Goff, S. Young *et al.*, 2012b An insect-
specific P450 oxidative decarbonylase for cuticular hydrocarbon biosynthesis.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 109 (37):14858-14863.
Reid, W., K. Pilitt, R. Alford, A. Cervantes-Medina, H. Yu *et al.*, 2018 An Anopheles
stephensi Promoter-Trap: Augmenting Genome Annotation and Functional
Genomics. *G3 (Bethesda)* 8 (10):3119-3130.
Stefana, M.I., P.C. Driscoll, F. Obata, A.R. Pengelly, C.L. Newell *et al.*, 2017
Developmental diet regulates Drosophila lifespan via lipid autotoxins. *Nat*
Commun 8 (1):1384.
Xiao, Y.H., M.H. Yin, L. Hou, and Y. Pei, 2006 Direct amplification of intron-
containing hairpin RNA construct from genomic DNA. *Biotechniques* 41
(5):548, 550, 552.
Yu, Z., X. Zhang, Y. Wang, B. Moussian, K.Y. Zhu *et al.*, 2016 LmCYP4G102: An
oenocyte-specific cytochrome P450 gene required for cuticular waterproofing
in the migratory locust, Locusta migratoria. *Sci Rep* 6:29980.

938
939

Figure 1

Larvae   Pupa

Larval oenocytes

Larval oenocytes

Figure 2

A

B

| | A11 | A14 | A11Gal4 | A14Gal4 |

Figure 3

Larval oenocytes

Adult oenocytes

Figure 4

Figure 5

A   16i pupal death

B   17i pupal/pharate adult death

C

D

E

Figure 6