The impact of biological invasion and genomic local adaptation on the

geographical distribution of *Aedes aegypti* in Panama

Kelly L. Bennett*, W. Owen McMillan*[1] & Jose R. Loaiza*†‡[1].

[1] W.O.M and J.R.L contributed equally to this work.

* Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, P.O. Box 0843-03092, Balboa Ancon, República de

Panamá.

† Instituto de Investigaciones Científicas y Servicios de Alta Tecnología, P. O. Box 0843-01103,

Panamá, República de Panamá.

‡ Programa Centroamericano de Maestría en Entomología, Universidad de Panamá, República de

Panamá.

Corresponding author

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to K.L.B., email: bennettK@si.edu;

and to J.R.L., email: jloaiza@indicasat.org.pa.

Keywords

Aedes mosquitoes, local adaptation, sequence capture, Environmental Association Analysis,

arboviral disease landscape, Panama

Abstract

Local adaptation is an important consideration when predicting arthropod-borne disease risk

because it can impact on vector population fitness and persistence. However, the extent that vector

populations are adapted to local environmental conditions and whether this can impact on species

distributions generally remains unknown. Here we find that the geographic distribution of *Ae.*

aegypti across Panama is rapidly changing as a consequence of the recent invasion by its ecological

competitor, *Aedes albopictus*. Although *Ae. albopictus* has displaced *Ae. aegypti* in some areas,

species coexist across many areas, raising the question: What biological and environmental factors

permit population persistence?. Despite low population structure and high gene flow in *Ae. aegypti*

across Panama, excepting the province of Bocas del Toro, we identify 128 candidate SNPs, clustered

within 17 genes, which show a strong genetic signal of local adaptation. This putatively adaptive

variation occurs across relatively fine geographic scales with the composition and frequency of

candidate adaptive loci differing between populations in wet tropical environments along the

Caribbean coast and the dry tropical conditions typical of the Pacific coast of Panama. Temperature

and vegetation were important predictors of adaptive genomic variation in *Ae. aegypti* with

potential areas of local adaptation occurring within the Caribbean region of Bocas del Toro, the

Pacific coastal areas of Herrera and Panama City and the eastern Azuero Peninsula. Interestingly,

several of these locations coincide with areas where *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* co-exist,

suggesting that *Ae. aegypti* could have an adaptive edge under local environmental conditions that

impacts on inter-specific competition with *Ae. albopictus*. Our results guide future experimental

work by suggesting that locally adapted *Ae. aegypti* are able to persist on invasion by *Ae. albopictus*

and, as a consequence, may fundamentally alter future arborviral disease risk and efforts to control

mosquito populations.

Author Summary

Local environmental adaptation of mosquito vectors can alter the landscape of arthropod-borne

disease by impacting on life history traits that increase their relative fitness thus promoting

population persistence. We have identified a number of genomic loci in *Ae. aegypti* from Panama

that exhibit a signal of natural selection associated with variation in the environment. Loci with a

signal of local adaptation are predominately partitioned between wet and dry tropical environments

with variation largely impacted by temperature and vegetation indices. Local adaptation in tandem

with changes in the geographic distribution of *Ae. aegypti* due to the recent invasion of its ecological

competitor, *Ae. albopictus,* has the potential to alter the landscape of arborviral disease.

Introduction

The establishment and persistence of vectors within new geographic locations poses a serious threat

from emerging and endemic arboviral diseases [1,2]. For example, shifts in the distribution of ticks

and *Culex* mosquitoes are linked to the rise of West Nile Virus and tick-borne encephalitis viruses

within North America [3–5]. In addition, the introduction of invasive *Aedes* mosquitoes has facilitated

the recent spread of Zika and Chikungunya viruses throughout the Americas [6,7]. Although

introduced vector populations are unlikely to be at their fitness optimum when first confronted with

a new environment, local adaptation may play a large role in disease dynamics as vectors adapt to

their environment, increase their relative fitness and acquire new traits, thus potentially increasing

the threat of human arboviruses. However, local environmental adaptation has not yet been

characterised for any *Aedes* mosquito.

The importance of adaptation for human disease is exemplified in *Aedes aegypti*'s evolution to human

commensalism and the establishment of a number of arboviruses worldwide [8]. This mosquito has

undergone behavioural and genetic changes in comparison to its ancestral African form, including the

evolution of house-entering behaviour and a preference for human odour and blood-feeding [9–11].

The adaptation of *Ae. aegypti* to exploit human environments has allowed for the spread of zoonotic

arboviral diseases from forest animals to humans and promoted invasiveness through human-assisted

dispersal [8]. Another human commensal, *Aedes albopictus*, is similar to *Ae. aegypti* across many

ecological axes. The tiger mosquito has expanded from Asia within the last ~40 years and is now also

globally distributed [12]. In many locations, *Ae. albopictus* has displaced resident *Ae. aegypti* [13,14],

but the factors that facilitate co-occurrence are still unclear [15]. Identifying the abiotic and biotic

factors important in *Aedes* species interactions, particularly whether the two *Aedes* mosquitoes

coexist is critical. These interactions are likely to fundamentally reshape the arboviral disease

landscape worldwide.

Here we characterize genome-wide variation in *Ae. aegypti* across Panama and use this data to

explore the interplay between invasion history, the potential for local adaptation, and ecological

change. Panama provides an ideal opportunity to begin to understand how these factors interact

and, ultimately, affect the disease landscape by impacting on *Aedes* species distributions. Panama is

a small country, measuring just 772 kilometres East to West and 185 km North to South, but

provides a wealth of contrasting climatic conditions and discrete environments. This is largely owing

to its situation as a narrow isthmus flanked by the Caribbean Sea and Pacific Ocean as well as the

Cordillera Central mountain range, which acts as a North-South divide. Panama is also a hub of

international shipping trade, providing an important route of *Aedes* mosquito invasion into the

Americas. Panama's worldwide connections have potentially facilitated multiple introductions of the

invasive *Ae. aegypti* mosquito dating back to the 18th century in association with the global shipping

trade [8,16,17]. In addition, the Pan-American highway bisects the country, stretches almost 48,000

km throughout mainland America and provides important conduit for human-assisted dispersal of

Aedes mosquitoes [13,18].

We first investigate how genomic variation in *Ae. aegypti* is distributed across Panama. Secondly,

we evaluate the historical and current geographic distributions of both this mosquito and *Ae.*

albopictus. *Aedes albopictus* was first documented in Panama in 2002, providing the opportunity to

study how the interactions between the two species play out across a heterogeneous landscape.

Finally, we investigate whether local environmental adaptation could play a role in *Aedes* population

dynamics by identifying loci with a genomic signal of local adaptation that are associated with

discrete environmental conditions. These genomic regions might allow *Ae. aegypti* populations to

persist in competition with invading *Ae. albopictus*. How this scenario plays out in Panama will

provide insight into global species interactions and the spatial heterogeneity of viral transmission.

Results

Characterisation of sequence variation in *Ae. aegypti*. We processed 70 *Ae. aegypti* individuals with

hybridisation capture-based enrichment from 14 localities widespread across Panama. An average

number of 27,351,514 reads were mapped to the genome for each individual with 62 % of these

targeted to the designed capture regions. The mean coverage depth per individual was

approximately 74X. After applying stringent quality filters, 371,307 SNP's were identified throughout

all captured regions for downstream analyses.

Global and local population structure of *Ae. aegypti*: Our large SNP dataset allowed us to examine

population structure across both global and local scales. Comparison of global population structure

was achieved by comparing a subset of 2,630 of our SNP's from Panama that were shared with a

previously acquired *Ae. aegypti* SNP dataset from 26 other countries worldwide [19–23].

FastStructure analysis revealed that the number of model components and model maximum

likelihood were maximised by assigning each individual to between K=4-6 populations (S1 Fig).

Similar to that reported previously, we found that the new world variation is composed of an

admixture of populations distinct from African and Asian sources at higher values of K [19,20] (S1

Fig). Individuals from Panama, Costa Rica, Colombia, the Caribbean islands and populations from

Arizona and Texas in South western USA were consistently composed of a similar composition

throughout each possible value of K (S1 Fig). Thus, *Ae. aegypti* from Panama were genetically similar

to those found throughout the Americas, consistent with a strong geographic component to the

distribution of genetic variation across the world [24].

Within Panama, the much larger dataset including all 371,307 SNP's, highlighted significant

population structure. There were two major genomic clusters (Fig 1B & 1C) that distinguished

individuals from Bocas del Toro province in the western Caribbean region compared to individuals

from all other regions across Panama, revealed on both FastStructure and PCA analysis of all SNP's.

In addition, *Ae. aegypti* from the eastern Azuero Peninsula also appeared somewhat genetically

discrete (Fig 1C). All areas of Panama, including sampling locations on the Azuero Peninsula had

similar levels of heterozygosity and therefore the population differences we observed are not

expected to result from a recent population bottleneck or from insecticide spraying treatment,

which is irregularly applied during epidemics to target adults only within the urban areas of Panama

(Fig 1B).

The geographical distribution of *Ae. aegypti* in response to invasion by *Ae. albopictus*. To

understand how the recent introduction of *Ae. albopictus* has shaped populations of *Ae. aegypti*

across Panama over the last decade, we coupled historical surveys of mosquito populations with

intensive sampling of focal populations over the last three years. Over the sampling period, there

has been significant changes in the geographic distribution of *Ae. aegypti* (Fig 2). Analysis of all

occurrence data throughout all years revealed that the presence of *Ae. aegypti* is positively and

significantly associated with the presence of *Ae. albopictus* (GLM, Z = 18.93, *d.f* = 7390, P = 0.000),

reflecting the ecological similarity of the two species and the continued expansion of *Ae. albopictus*

throughout much of *Ae. aegypti*'s historical range. Although both species now co-exist in many areas

throughout Panama, areas in the wet and humid western Azuero Peninsula, rural Chiriquí, Veraguas

and the province of Panamá outside of Panama City (Gamboa and Chilibre), were solely inhabited by

Ae. albopictus. This includes regions, from which *Ae. aegypti* was previously documented by the

health authorities, confirming that *Ae. albopictus* has indeed replaced *Ae. aegypti* in these areas. The

replacement of *Ae. aegypti* by *Ae. albopictus* was further supported by a general decrease in the

proportion of positive sampling sites. This proportion has decreased for *Ae. aegypti* since 2005 from

~50 % to ~20 %, while the presence of *Ae. albopictus* has increased from 0 to ~65 % (S2 Fig). *Ae.*

aegypti continued to be found in high abundance in Bocas del Toro and Darién, where *Ae. albopictus*

has only recently arrived (Darién) or has not yet been documented (Bocas del Toro).

Genomic evidence for local adaptation in *Ae. aegypti* in response to environmental

heterogeneity across Panama. The spatial environmental heterogeneity of Panama coupled with

the recent population changes associated with the introduction of *Ae. albopictus* provides a

framework to ask if there was any evidence that local adaption of *Ae. aegypti* might allow

population persistence. If so, we would expect populations of *Ae. aegypti* to harbour genomic loci

with a signal of selection that are correlated to the local environmental conditions. These loci are

expected to be present in regions of *Aedes* co-existence.

As a first step, we applied redundancy analysis (RDA) to jointly identify candidate outlier loci and to

assess how candidate variation was partitioned among the different environmental variables. In this

analysis, we tested a number of environmental variables including Normalized Difference Vegetation

Index (NDVI), average rainfall, average humidity, average minimum and maximum temperature, and

human population density. RDA identified 1,154 candidate SNP's with a genomic signal of local

adaptation, which we used to visualise putatively adaptive variation on ordination plots. Overall,

there was a partitioning of alleles dependant on dry tropical and wet tropical conditions. For

example, the position of sampled individuals on the RDA ordination plots, in relation to the depicted

environmental variables, revealed that the candidate genotypes of *Ae. aegypti* from the wet tropical

regions of Almirante and Changuinola in Bocas del Toro province were positively associated with

humidity and average rainfall. Those from the wet tropical region of Chiriquí Grande in Bocas del

Toro were also positively associated with increasing NDVI vegetation index and negatively associated

with higher temperatures (Fig 3A). In comparison, the candidate genotypes of individuals from dry

tropical regions of Panamá province (i.e., Princesa Mía, Lluvia de Oro, Nuevo Chorrillo), Los Santos

(i.e., La Villa de Los Santos, Pedasí), Darién (i.e., Metetí) and David in Chiriquí province were

somewhat positively influenced by both temperature variables and negatively associated with wet

and vegetated conditions. Putatively adaptive variation in individuals from the province of Colón

(i.e., Sabanitas and Portobelo), locations which receive high rainfall but higher temperatures and

lower vegetation cover than in Bocas del Toro province, were associated with intermediate

temperature and vegetation conditions.

RDA is robust in detecting adaptive processes that result from weak, multilocus effects across a

range of demographic scenarios and sampling designs [25]. However, a proportion of the 1,154

candidate loci identified through this single analysis were likely false positives. Thus, rather than

reflecting local adaptation, the strongly skewed frequency differences could be reflective of

demographic processes such as hierarchical population structure, isolation by distance, allele surfing

on range expansion and background selection, as well as, coincidental associations of allele

frequencies to environmental variation or even covariance to other environmental factors not

included in the analysis [26]. To further refine our identification of putatively adaptive loci, we

identified candidates using two additional methods, PCAdapt and Latent Factor Mixed Model

analysis (LFMM). Both are considered less sensitive to confounding demography due to their ability

to account for population structure or unobserved spatial autocorrelation in the data [27]. The three

methods identified different numbers of putatively adaptive loci. For example, compared to the

1,154 outlier SNP's identified by RDA, PCAdapt identified 352 SNP's (S3 Fig), whereas LFMM analysis

identified 3,426 outlier SNP's with a signature of selection widespread across the genome and

associated with the environment respectively (S4 Fig).

Across all three methods there were 128 SNP's consistently identified as outliers, providing greater

confidence that these loci are located in or close to genomic regions possibly involved in local

adaptation. These candidate SNPs fell into 15 distinct clusters, suggesting that linkage disequilibrium

was driving some of the observed patterns (S5 Fig). The 128 SNPs fell into 17 genes, 11 of which are

annotated as involved in structural functions, enzyme activity and metabolism (S1 Table). None of

these genes are known to be involved in the development of insecticide resistance in populations of

Aedes mosquitoes.

We further narrowed down which of the environmental variables contributed most to the

partitioning of genomic variation using a combination of Generalised Dissimilarity Modelling (GDM)

and Gradient Forests (GF) analyses. Both approaches allowed us to visualize the allelic turnover of

these putatively adaptive loci in relation to each environmental variable. The environmental

variables that contributed the greatest variance to both GDM and GF models on analysis of the 128

candidate loci were minimum and maximum temperature (S2 Table, S6 Fig). GDM analysis revealed

that an increase in average minimum temperature accompanied a large change in putatively

adaptive allele frequencies, visualised as a smooth curve accumulating in a steeper incline at the

higher temperature range (Fig 3B). In comparison, GF turnover plots show a steeper incline at the

mid-range for both average minimum and maximum temperature (S7 & S8 Fig). GDM analysis also

revealed a distinct frequency change in putatively adaptive alleles with increasing NDVI vegetation

index, although the change in allele frequency was relatively minor compared to that of minimum

temperature (Fig 3B). In comparison, a low to negligible difference in allele frequency was observed

in association with average rainfall, average humidity and human population density. Therefore, the

variation in putatively adaptive allele frequencies between populations from dry tropical and wet

tropical environments of Panama appears largely driven by differences in temperature and NDVI

vegetation index.

The geographic distribution of candidate adaptive alleles in relation to the present

distribution of *Ae. albopictus*. Across our 128 candidate SNP's**,** we used GDM and GF analysis to

visualise the change in frequencies across Panama, and therefore the geographical landscape

features which increase or decrease the genomic signature of local adaptation in relation to the

environment. GDM analysis presented a smoother turnover in the geographical distribution of

putatively adaptive loci than that of putatively neutral loci as indicated by a smoother transition in

the colour palette between proximal geographic locations (Fig 4A & 4B). For example, there was

similarity in the colouring and therefore allele composition between wet tropical regions along the

Caribbean coast (i.e., the mainland/islands of Bocas del Toro, Chiriquí, and both the inland and

Caribbean coastal regions stretching from Bocas del Toro through Veraguas to Colón). Similarly,

there was greater continuity between dry tropical areas including David in Chiriquí, the eastern

Azuero Peninsula (i.e., La Villa de Los Santos and Pedasí), the Pacific coastal regions stretching from

the Azuero Peninsula through Coclé to Panamá, and the Darién (i.e., Metetí), indicating that these

environments share putatively adaptive alleles. Patterns in the data were less distinct for GF analysis

but the geographical distribution of putatively adaptive variation agreed with the GDM analysis in

that there was a continuity in the allele composition between the eastern Azuero Peninsula and dry

tropical Pacific coastal regions, distinct from the wet tropical regions along the Caribbean coast (S9

Fig).

Allele frequency turnover as predicted under neutral conditions and a scenario of local adaptation

involving the candidate loci were compared across geographical space to identify locations that

show the greatest disparity. These reflected the populations within Panama expected to be

experiencing a strong genomic signal of local adaptation. Their comparison revealed multiple

patches of potential local adaptation widespread across Panama, with a palpable patch occurring in

the Azuero Peninsula, as indicated by a high distance between the patterns of predicted

compositional allele frequency turnover (Fig 4C). A genomic signal of local adaptation was not

identified in the region of Bocas del Toro. Since this region has a strong population structure and

distinct climate within Panama, it is likely that the co-correlation of population structure and

environmental variation across our sampling design hindered the inference of possible local

adaptation in this case. This conclusion was supported by FastStructure analysis of the 128

putatively adaptive loci, which revealed that *Ae. aegypti* from the wet tropical region Bocas del Toro

has a distinct allele composition composed of alleles assigned to a distinct composition of K

populations, including unique alleles in addition to those shared broadly across the dry tropical

regions of Panama (S10 Fig). Although the Talamanca mountain range was documented as a natural

geographical barrier to dispersal across the region of Bocas del Toro for some *Anopheles* mosquitoes

[28], this was not expected to hinder gene flow in *Ae. aegypti*, since human-assisted movement of

this mosquito occurs via the local transport network [18]. Partitioning of the genomic data into 6=K

populations revealed that Sabanitas on the Caribbean coast, which is subject to intermediate climate

conditions, shared some of the distinct alleles present in Bocas del Toro. Moreover, individuals from

the Azuero Peninsula, the driest and least vegetated region of Panama, were also somewhat distinct

from other sampled regions since they had reduced levels of admixture.

Comparison of the geographical distribution of putatively locally adapted *Ae. aegypti* as revealed by

GDM analysis and the species distribution data revealed that both *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus*

tended to co-occur in regions where *Ae. aegypti* have divergent candidate loci, despite evidence for

species replacement elsewhere (Fig 5). Notably long-term co-existence was documented with the

Pacific regions of Panama City, Coclé, the eastern Azuero Peninsula and potentially David in Pacific

Chiriquí, where patches of local adaptation in *Ae. aegypti* were identified.

Discussion

We combined genomic and ecological data to investigate whether *Ae. aegypti* have a signal of local

adaptation to the environment, and to investigate whether this variation could influence species

persistence on invasion by the recently introduced competitor *Ae. albopictus*. We first documented

how fine-scale genomic variation within *Ae. aegypti* is distributed across a complex environment

[11]. On a regional scale, Panamanian populations of *Ae. aegypti* are genetically similar to other

Central and Caribbean American populations highlighting high dispersal potential and recent gene

flow in this invasive species; however, this similarity belies a more complex local genomic

architecture. Across Panama, genomic variation was not structured randomly, with the isolated

Bocas del Toro region showing significant overall population differentiation. Across the rest of

Panama, populations are more homogeneous suggesting high levels of gene flow, likely facilitated by

the dispersal of *Aedes* mosquitoes in used tyres that are traded along the Pan-American highway

[18]. Nonetheless, a subset of genomic variation was differentially distributed with evidence of

localised adaptation across a relatively small number of SNPs and over a relatively fine geographical

scale. Genomic variation in these SNPs was strongly correlated with temperature and NDVI

vegetation index. Both these abiotic variables were previously identified as important in predicting

large-scale *Aedes* distribution patterns [12]. Temperature is important for egg laying, development

and survival of *Ae. aegypti* in larval habitats [29] and likely to promote selection to thermal tolerance

at the adult stage to resist diurnal and inter-seasonal variation [30]. Vegetation is considered an

important variable that contributes to oviposition cues [31], feeding dynamics [32] and microhabitat

characteristics such as local moisture supply and shade [33,34]. Although correlational, the genomic

patterns raise an important question: Is population persistence in the face of an ongoing invasion by

Ae. albopictus the result of local adaptation?

The possibility of climatically adapted populations of *Ae. aegypti* is not without precedence. Data on

a wide range of organisms with varying dispersal abilities [35–41] demonstrate that even well-

connected populations can adapt to environmental differences and habitat heterogeneity across

narrow spatial scales. Similar to other landscape genomics studies on plants [42–44], insects [45]

and vertebrates [46], we have found a signal of local environmental adaptation across a small

number of loci. The inability to identify more putative regions under selection may be the result of

the analytical difficulties weak multilocus signatures from the genomic differentiation introduced by

genetic drift and demography [25,47]. However, selection on just a few loci with large effects is

expected when migration is high since large effect loci are better able to resist the homogenising

effects of gene flow [48]. These few regions are expected to have a strong impact on fitness in one

environment over the other because the allele with the highest fitness is expected to spread to all

populations if this condition is not met [48].

The pattern of recent population distribution change in *Ae. aegypti* in response to the introduction

of *Ae. albopictus* was also consistent with local adaption. Similar to studies from the South Eastern

USA and Bermuda [49–54], we have found that species co-occurrence is condition dependant, with

the long-term persistence of *Ae. aegypti* occurring throughout many areas despite invasion by *Ae.*

albopictus 7 to 15 years ago. Previous studies have suggested *Ae. aegypti* is able to persist in dry

climate conditions and/or urban environments because they are better adapted [15 and refs within].

The eggs of *Ae. aegypti* are more tolerant to higher temperatures and desiccation in comparison to

the eggs of *Ae. albopictus*, which are able to survive lower temperatures through diapause [15,55].

Consistent with the prediction that local environmental adaptation contributes to *Ae. aegypti*

persistence, we found putatively adaptive loci within the dry tropical Pacific regions of Chiriquí

(David), Coclé, the eastern Azuero Peninsula and provincial Panamá where both species co-occur.

There was also genetic evidence for local adaptation in the isolated wet tropical region of Bocas del

Toro and Costa Abajo near Colon, but whether this variation will allow *Ae. aegypti* to resist invasion

by *Ae. albopictus* is unknown, given that *Ae. albopictus* was only recorded in Costa Abajo in 2018 and

has not yet reached Bocas del Toro. Alternatively, the present patterns of species co-existence could

simply reflect the abilities of *Aedes* species to exploit a different ecological niche without involving

local environmental adaptation. Nonetheless, this doesn't reconcile the fact that *Ae. aegypti* is no

longer found in many areas where candidate adaptive alleles were not detected. Our findings

provide us with clear testable hypotheses moving forward. For example, if the genomic regions we

identified are adaptive, then we expect genotype specific survival under different environmental

conditions, which can be tested in a common garden with reciprocal transplant experiment in the

presence of an ecological competitor.

The presence of locally adapted populations of *Ae. aegypti* could have a significant impact on the

future arboviral disease landscape. Climate variables, most notably precipitation and temperature

associated with altitudinal and latitudinal clines, are able to drive population differentiation in both

Anopheles mosquitoes and *Drosophila* flies [56–59]. In the former, the Anopheles gambiae species

complex is hypothesised to have radiated through ecological speciation driven by adaptation to

aridity and in response to larval habitat competition. This has led to a series of ecotypes with semi-

permeable species boundaries [60]. The resulting differences among ecotypes in anthropophily and

the adult resting behaviour has a significant impact on malaria transmission risk [61]. Thus, at the

most basic level, differentially adapted population variants of *Ae. aegypti* across Panama, could have

different abilities to vector arboviral disease [62–67]. In addition, environmental adaptation would

need to be considered in spatially predictive models. Currently, species geographic distribution or

disease prediction models incorporate a set of environmental parameters coupled with a predicted

outcome on mosquito biology and abundance without considering adaptive response [68,69].

Assuming that the whole population will respond to environmental precursors as a homogenous unit

is erroneous when local adaptation is present and considering adaptability as a parameter, in

combination with the environmental response, will improve the accuracy of future projections

[12,70]. Furthermore, the presence of locally adapted populations threatens the efficiency of gene

drive systems aimed at promoting disease resistance within mosquito populations. This is because

environmental differences between sites, as well as physical geographical barriers, will restrict

mosquito dispersal and therefore limit the spread of beneficial alleles or inherited bacteria [71].

However, if locally adaptive alleles are well-characterised, this knowledge could also potentially be

exploited. A more tailored approach could improve gene drive efficiency, since locally adapted

individuals are theoretically more likely to survive to pass on the intended benefit to the next

generation.

If local environmental adaptation is proven to influence *Aedes* co-occurrence, then this could

facilitate the emergence of sylvatic arboviral disease. *Ae. albopictus* is an opportunistic feeder, able

to utilise a wide range of peri-domestic habitats outside of its native range [72,73] and the species

could act as an efficient bridge vector for emergent zoonotic diseases from the forest [73]. The

addition of the specialised commensal *Ae. aegypti*, provides the opportunity for any emergent

epidemic to spread and be maintained within the urban population [8–11]. This scenario may have

happened recently, where yellow fever virus re-emerged from forest reservoirs in Brazil [74]. In this

case, the re-emergence was a function of both ecological changes and vaccination frequency. Unlike

yellow fever virus, there is no vaccination against dengue, Zika, or chikungunya, reinforcing the role

that ecological changes will likely play in future epidemics.

Conclusion: The identification of small number of putatively adaptive genomic intervals provides

exceptional experimental opportunities to determine 1) If these regions are in fact under selection,

2) How selection might be acting if our hypothesis is true. Defining species fitness in association with

our candidate loci will allow us to untangle the interplay between genomic process, the

environment, species competition and how these resolve the spatial distribution and abundance of

medically important *Ae. aegypti*. Advances will be used to improve the accuracy of disease

prediction models and characterise the genomic basis of adaptations with the capacity to alter the

epidemiological landscape.

Materials and Methods

Mosquito Sampling *Aedes* mosquitoes were collected through active surveillance and oviposition

traps placed across 35 settlements and nine provinces of Panama from 2016 and 2018 (S3 Table).

Immature stages of *Aedes* from each trap were reared to adulthood as separate collections in the

laboratory, identified using the morphological key of Rueda *et al.* [75] and stored in absolute ethanol

at -20°C.

Genomics data. DNA was extracted from 70 *Ae. aegypti* (Fig 1A), representing populations subject to

different environmental conditions using a modified phenol chloroform method [76]. To identify

putative regions involved in the local adaption of *Ae. aegypti*, 26.74 Mb of the AaeL3 exome were

targeted for capture. For each sample, 100 ng DNA was mechanically sheared to fragment sizes of ~

350-500 base pairs and processed to add Illumina adapters using the Kapa Hyperprep kit. Amplified

libraries were assessed on a Bioanalyser and Qubit before 24 uniquely barcoded individuals each

were pooled to a combined mass of 1 µg to create three libraries of 24 individuals for hybridization.

Sequence capture of exonic regions was performed on each pool according to the NimbleGen

SeqCap EZ HyperCap workflow and using custom probes designed by Roche for the regions we

specified (S1 Dataset).

Low quality base calls (<20) and Illumina adapters were trimmed from sequence ends with

TrimGalore [77], before alignment to the *Ae. aegypti* AaeL5 reference genome with Burrows-

Wheeler aligner [78]. Read duplicates were removed with BamUtil. Sequence reads were processed

according to the GATK best practise recommendations, trained with a hard-filtered subset of SNPs

using online recommendations (https://gatkforums.broadinstitute.org/gatk/discussion/2806/howto-

apply-hard-filters-to-a-call-set). SNPs were called with a heterozygosity prior 0.0014 synonymous to

previously reported values of theta [24]. Filters applied to the resulting SNP dataset included a

minimum quality of 30, minimum depth of 30, minimum mean depth of 20, maximum 95 % missing

data across individuals and a minor allele frequency ≥ 0.01. Indels were additionally removed to

reduce uncertainty in true variable sites by poor alignment to the reference genome.

Environmental Data. Climate variables including average rainfall, average humidity, average

minimum and maximum temperature difference, average minimum temperature and average

maximum temperature were obtained for each collection site from interpolated raster layers

composed of values reported by Empresa de Transmisión Eléctrica Panameña (ETESA). All available

data points from 2010 to 2017 representing 50-60 meteorological stations across Panama were

averaged. NDVI vegetation indexes for Panama were obtained from MODIS Vegetation Indices 16-

day L3 Global 250m products (NASA, USA) with values averaged over all available images from 2010

to 2017. Human population density values were obtained from Instituto Nacional de Estadística y

Censo 2010. Raster layers for Generalised Dissimilarity Models and Gradient Forest analyses were

created for each variable by inverse distance interpolation across the extent of Panama to a

resolution of 0.05 pixels in QGIS version 2.18.15 [79].

The collinearity and covariance of the environmental data was assessed the R Stats package [80].

One variable, average minimum and maximum temperature difference was removed from analysis

because it was highly correlated with the other temperature variables (>0.8 correlation coefficient).

All other variable comparisons had a correlation coefficient below 0.7 and were retained for analysis

(S4 Table).

Analysis of population structure. FastStructure was also applied to all loci to infer the ancestry

proportions of K modelled populations [81]. The optimal model complexity (K*e) was chosen to be

two populations using the python script chooseK.py and confirmed by a PCA of all loci performed

with the R package PCAdapt [82](see Analysis of local environmental adaptation below).

FastStructure analysis with a logistic prior was also applied to 2,630 SNP's shared with a worldwide

SNP dataset representing *Ae. aegypti* from 26 different countries [19–23].

Species distribution analysis. Historical data on species distributions from 2005 to 2017 was

obtained from the Panamanian Ministry of Health (MINSA). This data was obtained through active

surveillance of settlements regardless of time of year. A binomial Generalised Linear Model was

performed to test for an association between the presence and absence of *Ae. aegypti* with the

presence and absence of *Ae. albopictus* using the species occurrence data obtained from both

MINSA and our own sampling using the Stats package in R [80]. The proportion of sampling sites

positive for *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* presence from 2005 through 2018 were calculated by

combining our mosquito surveillance data with that obtained from MINSA. Maps of the species

distribution of *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* were produced in QGIS [79].

Analysis of local environmental adaptation. To identify loci with a signal of selection differentiated

across regional environmental conditions, three methods with different underlying algorithms and

assumptions were applied. Two EAA approaches, redundancy analysis (RDA) and latent factor mixed

models (LFMM) were implemented to identify loci associated with environmental predictors. RDA

uses multivariate regression to detect genomic variation across environmental predictors as

expected from a multilocus signature of selection [25]. In comparison, LFMM is a univariate

approach which models background variation using latent factors, while simultaneously correlating

the observed genotype frequencies of individuals to each environmental variable [83]. Before

implementation of RDA, missing genotype values were imputed as the most common across all

individuals. Loci which are strongly correlated to environmental predictors were then identified

through multivariate linear regression of the genomic data with the environmental variables

followed by constrained ordination of the fitted values as implemented with the RDA function in the

R package Vegan [84]. Multi collinearity of the data was verified to be low as indicated by genomic

inflation factors ranging from 1.31-5.80. Candidate loci were then identified as those which

contribute most to the significant axes as determined by F statistics [85]. To account for population

structure, we applied two latent factors to our LFMM analysis based on the PCA and scree plots of

proportion of explained variance produced with PCAdapt (see below). As per recommendations to

improve power, we filtered our data before analysis to include only sites with an MAF > 5 % and

analysed our data with five separate LFMM runs, each with 20,000 cycles after an initial burn-in

period of 10,000 cycles. Median Z-scores were calculated from the five runs and Bonferroni

corrected for multiple tests, before loci significantly correlated with environmental variables were

identified based on a false discovery rate of 10 % using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure outlined

in the program documentation. Visualisation of the Bonferroni adjusted probability values for the

loci correlated with each environmental factor revealed that the majority of probability values were

at a flat distribution while those correlated with environmental variables were within a peak close to

0, indicating that confounding factors were under control. In addition to the two EAA analyses,

PCAdapt was applied to identify loci putatively under selection pressure because they deviate from

the typical distribution of the test statistic Z [82]. Two K populations were chosen to account for

neutral population structure in the data based on scree plots of the proportion of explained variance

and visual inspection of PCA and STRUCTURE plots which revealed that populations from the region

of Bocas del Toro form a distinct genomic grouping (Fig 1, S11 Fig).

Distribution of candidate loci across geographical space. Both putatively neutral and adaptive

genomic variation was visualised across geographic space using Generalised Dissimilarity Modelling

(GDM) and Gradient Forests (GF) analysis [86]. GDM is a regression-based approach which maps

allelic turnover using non-linear functions of environmental distance in relation to F_{ST} genetic

distance. In comparison, GF uses a machine learning regression tree approach. Through subsetting

the genomic and environmental data, the algorithm determines the degree of change for each allele

along an environmental gradient and calculates the resulting split importance. Allelic turnover was

investigated for both a set of reference SNP's, not expected to be under selective pressure, as well

as the loci putatively involved in local adaptation as jointly identified by LFMM, PCAdapt analysis and

RDA. SNP's representative of neutral variation included those not identified as a candidate outlier by

any of the three methods. So as to reduce the dataset and avoid inclusion of strongly linked loci,

SNP's were thinned by a distance of 10 KB, an appropriate cut-off as indicated by the calculation of

R_2 linkage disequilibrium values for this dataset (S12 Fig).

To perform GDM analysis, the R program StAMPP [87] was used to generate the input FST matrixes

and BBmisc [88] used to rescale the distances between 0 and 1. Environmental and genetic distance

data were converted to GDM format and analysis performed using the R package GDM [89]. GF

analysis [90] was implemented on a matrix of minor allele frequencies for each SNP for both the

reference and candidate datasets, obtained through VCFtools [91]. Both SNP datasets only included

loci present in at least 11 of 14 populations to ensure robust regression. The model was fitted with

2,000 regression trees, a correlation threshold of 0.5 and variable importance computed by

conditional permutation with a distribution maximum of 1.37. Both analyses included Moran's

eigenvector map (MEM) variables which are weightings derived from the geographic coordinates of

sampling locations used to model unmeasured environmental variation and geographic distance

analogous to latent factors [86]. To visualise the patterns in allele variation across space, PCA was

used to reduce the variability into three factors. The difference in genomic composition was mapped

across the landscape of Panama by assigning the three centred principle components to RGB

colours; similar genomic composition across space is indicated by a similar colour shade. The

difference in allele turnover for the reference and candidate dataset was characterised to explore

whether allelic turnover was greater than predicted under neutral expectations. Exploration was

achieved by comparing and visualising the compositional turnover of allele frequencies for both

reference and candidate SNP dataset across geographical space using a Procrustes superimposition

on the PCA ordinations.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Panama's Ministry of Environment (*Mi Ambiente*) and the Panamanian

community for supporting our scientific collections of insects in Panama, to Yamileth Chin and Marta

Vargas for their guidance in the laboratory and Jose R. Rovira, Carmelo Gómez Martínez and

Alejandro Almanza for their assistance in the field.

Author contributions

The study was designed by KLB, WOM and JRL, and conducted by KLB, WOM and JRL. Sample

preparation and laboratory procedures were conducted by KLB. KLB and JRL performed the data

analysis and figure preparation. KLB wrote the manuscript with contributions from WOM and JRL.

Funding

This work was supported by MINSA, the Zika project, the Secretariat for Science, Technology and

Innovation (SENACYT) through the research grant IDDS15-047, and from the National System of

Investigation (SNI) award to JRL. Research activity by KLB was supported by the Smithsonian

Ethics Statement

Data availability

SNP data is available in the Sequence Read Archive data repository XXX

Competing interests

The authors received funding from The Edward M. and Jeanne C. Kashian Family Foundation Inc. and

Nicholas Logothetis of Chartwell Consulting Group Inc. The funders had no role in study design, data

collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. There are no patents,

products in development or marketed products associated with this research to declare.

References

1.   Kilpatrick AM, Randolph SE. Drivers, dynamics, and control of emerging vector-borne
zoonotic diseases. Lancet. 2012;380: 1946–1955. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61151-9

2.   Weaver SC, Reisen WK. Present and future arboviral threats. Antiviral Res. 2010;85: 328–345.
doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2009.10.008

3.   Artsob H, Gubler D, Enria D, Morales MA, Pupo-Antunez M, Bunning M, et al. West Nile Virus
in the New World: Trends in the spread and proliferation of West Nile Virus in the western
hemisphere. Zoonoses Public Health. 2009;56: 357–369. doi:10.1111/j.1863-
2378.2008.01207.x

4.   Gasmi S, Bouchard C, Ogden NH, Adam-Poupart A, Pelcat Y, Rees EE, et al. Evidence for
increasing densities and geographic ranges of tick species of public health significance other

than *Ixodes scapularis* in Québec, Canada. PLoS One. Public Library of Science; 2018;13:
e0201924. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0201924

5.   Sonenshine DE. range expansion of tick disease vectors in North America: Implications for
spread of tick-borne disease. Int J Environ Res Public Health. MDPI; 2018;15: 478.
doi:10.3390/ijerph15030478

6.   Musso D, Cao-Lormeau VM, Gubler DJ. Zika virus: following the path of dengue and
chikungunya? Lancet. Elsevier; 2018;386: 243–244. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(15)61273-9

7.   Weaver SC. Arrival of chikungunya virus in the New World: prospects for spread and impact
on public health. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. Public Library of Science; 2014;8: e2921.
doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0002921

8.   Powell JR, Tabachnick WJ. History of domestication and spread of *Aedes aegypti* - A Review.
Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz. Instituto Oswaldo Cruz, Ministério da Saúde; 2013;108: 11–17.
doi:10.1590/0074-0276130395

9.   Brown JE, Evans BR, Zheng W, Obas V, Barrera-Martinez L, Egizi A, et al. Human impacts have
shaped historical and recent evolution in *Aedes aegypti,* the dengue and yellow fever
mosquito. Evolution. 2014;68: 514–525. doi:10.1111/evo.12281

10.   Trpis M, Hausermann W. Genetics of house-entering behaviour in East African populations of
Aedes aegypti (L.) (Diptera: Culicidae) and its relevance to speciation. Bull Entomol Res.
1978;68: 521–532. doi:10.1017/S0007485300009494

11.   McBride CS, Baier F, Omondi AB, Spitzer SA, Lutomiah J, Sang R, et al. Evolution of mosquito
preference for humans linked to an odorant receptor. Nature; 2014;515: 222.
doi:10.1038/nature13964

12.   Kraemer MUG, Sinka ME, Duda KA, Mylne AQN, Shearer FM, Barker CM, et al. The global
distribution of the arbovirus vectors *Aedes aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus*. eLife; 2015;4: e08347.
doi:10.7554/eLife.08347

13.   Miller MJ, Loaiza JR. Geographic Expansion of the invasive mosquito *Aedes albopictus* across
Panama—Implications for control of dengue and chikungunya viruses. PLoS Negl Trop Dis;
2015;9: e0003383. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0003383

14.   Kamal M, Kenawy MA, Rady MH, Khaled AS, Samy AM. Mapping the global potential
distributions of two arboviral vectors *Aedes aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* under changing
climate. PLoS One; 2019;13: e0210122. doi://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0210122

15.   Lounibos LP, Juliano SA. Where vectors collide: the importance of mechanisms shaping the
realized niche for modeling ranges of invasive *Aedes* mosquitoes. Biol Invasions. 2018;20:
1913–1929. doi:10.1007/s10530-018-1674-7

16.   Bennett KL, Shija F, Linton Y-M, Misinzo G, Kaddumukasa M, Djouaka R, et al. Historical
environmental change in Africa drives divergence and admixture of *Aedes aegypti*
mosquitoes: a precursor to successful worldwide colonization? Mol Ecol. 2016;25: 4337–
4354. doi:10.1111/mec.13762

17. Eskildsen GA, Rovira JR, Dutari LC, Smith O, Miller MJ, Bennett KL, et al. Maternal invasion
history of *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* into the Isthmus of Panama: Implications for
the control of emergent viral disease agents. PLoS One. 2018;13: e0194874.

18. Bennett KL, Gómez Martínez C, Almanza A, Rovira JR, McMillan WO, Enriquez V, et al. High
infestation of invasive *Aedes* mosquitoes in used tires along the local transport network of
Panama. Parasit Vectors. BioMed Central; 2019;12: 264. doi:10.1186/s13071-019-3522-8

19. Kotsakiozi P, Gloria-Soria A, Schaffner F, Robert V, Powell JR. *Aedes aegypti* in the Black Sea:
recent introduction or ancient remnant? Parasit Vectors. BioMed Central; 2018;11: 396.
doi:10.1186/s13071-018-2933-2

20. Kotsakiozi P, Evans BR, Gloria-Soria A, Kamgang B, Mayanja M, Lutwama J, et al. Population
structure of a vector of human diseases: *Aedes aegypti* in its ancestral range, Africa. Ecol
Evol.; 2018;8: 7835–7848. doi:10.1002/ece3.4278

21. Pless E, Gloria-Soria A, Evans BR, Kramer V, Bolling BG, Tabachnick WJ, et al. Multiple
introductions of the dengue vector, *Aedes aegypti*, into California. PLoS Negl Trop Dis;
2017;11: e0005718. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0005718

22. Saarman NP, Gloria-Soria A, Anderson EC, Evans BR, Pless E, Cosme L V, et al. Effective
population sizes of a major vector of human diseases, *Aedes aegypti*. Evol Appl; 2017;10:
1031–1039. doi:10.1111/eva.12508

23. Gloria-Soria A, Lima A, Lovin DD, Cunningham JM, Severson DW, Powell JR. Origin of a high-
latitude population of *Aedes aegypti* in Washington, DC. Am J Trop Med Hyg; 2018;98: 445–
452. doi:10.4269/ajtmh.17-0676

24. Rašić G, Filipović I, Weeks AR, Hoffmann AA. Genome-wide SNPs lead to strong signals of
geographic structure and relatedness patterns in the major arbovirus vector, *Aedes aegypti*.
BMC Genomics. 2014;15: 275. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-15-275

25. Forester BR, Lasky JR, Wagner HH, Urban DL. Comparing methods for detecting multilocus
adaptation with multivariate genotype–environment associations. Mol Ecol; 2018;27: 2215–
2233. doi:10.1111/mec.14584

26. Rellstab C, Gugerli F, Eckert AJ, Hancock AM, Holderegger R. A practical guide to
environmental association analysis in landscape genomics. Mol Ecol; 2015;24: 4348–4370.
doi:10.1111/mec.13322

27. de Villemereuil P, Frichot É, Bazin É, François O, Gaggiotti OE. Genome scan methods against
more complex models: when and how much should we trust them? Mol Ecol; 2014;23: 2006–
2019. doi:10.1111/mec.12705

28. Loaiza J, Miller M. Historical and contemporary forces combine to shape patterns of genetic
differentiation in two species of Mesoamerican *Anopheles* mosquitoes. Biol J Linn Soc.
2019;126: 146–157. doi:10.1093/biolinnean/bly168

29. Brady OJ, Golding N, Pigott DM, Kraemer MUG, Messina JP, Reiner Jr RC, et al. Global
temperature constraints on *Aedes aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* persistence and competence

for dengue virus transmission. Parasit Vectors. 2014;7: 338. doi:10.1186/1756-3305-7-338

30.   Brady OJ, Johansson MA, Guerra CA, Bhatt S, Golding N, Pigott DM, et al. Modelling adult
Aedes aegypti and *Aedes albopictus* survival at different temperatures in laboratory and field
settings. Parasit Vectors. 2013;6: 351. doi:10.1186/1756-3305-6-351

31.   Afify A, Galizia CG. Chemosensory cues for mosquito oviposition site selection. J Med
Entomol. 2015;52: 120–130. doi:10.1093/jme/tju024

32.   Tun-Lin W, Burkot TR, Kay BH. Effects of temperature and larval diet on development rates
and survival of the dengue vector *Aedes aegypti* in north Queensland, Australia. Med Vet
Entomol; 2000;14: 31–37. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2915.2000.00207.x

33.   Lounibos LP, O'Meara GF, Juliano SA, Nishimura N, Escher RL, Reiskind MH, et al. Differential
survivorship of invasive mosquito species in South Florida cemeteries: Do site-specific
microclimates explain patterns of coexistence and exclusion? Ann Entomol Soc Am.
2010;103: 757–770. doi:10.1603/AN09142

34.   Vezzani D, Rubio A, Velázquez SM, Schweigmann N, Wiegand T. Detailed assessment of
microhabitat suitability for *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae) in Buenos Aires, Argentina. Acta
Trop. 2005;95: 123–131. doi:10.1016/j.actatropica.2005.03.010

35.   Exposito-Alonso M, Vasseur F, Ding W, Wang G, Burbano HA, Weigel D. Genomic basis and
evolutionary potential for extreme drought adaptation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Nat Ecol Evol.
2018;2: 352–358. doi:10.1038/s41559-017-0423-0

36.   Hancock AM, Brachi B, Faure N, Horton MW, Jarymowycz LB, Sperone FG, et al. Adaptation to
Climate Across the *Arabidopsis thaliana*; Genome. Science. 2011;334: 83 LP – 86.
doi:10.1126/science.1209244

37.   Oliveira EF, Martinez PA, São-Pedro VA, Gehara M, Burbrink FT, Mesquita DO, et al. Climatic
suitability, isolation by distance and river resistance explain genetic variation in a Brazilian
whiptail lizard. Heredity. 2018;120: 251–265. doi:10.1038/s41437-017-0017-2

38.   Zhen Y, Harrigan RJ, Ruegg KC, Anderson EC, Ng TC, Lao S, et al. Genomic divergence across
ecological gradients in the Central African rainforest songbird (*Andropadus virens*). Mol Ecol;
2017;26: 4966–4977. doi:10.1111/mec.14270

39.   Ahrens CW, Byrne M, Rymer PD. Standing genomic variation within coding and regulatory
regions contributes to the adaptive capacity to climate in a foundation tree species. Mol Ecol;
2019;28: 2502–2516. doi:10.1111/mec.15092

40.   Miller AD, Hoffmann AA, Tan MH, Young M, Ahrens C, Cocomazzo M, et al. Local and regional
scale habitat heterogeneity contribute to genetic adaptation in a commercially important
marine mollusc (*Haliotis rubra*) from southeastern Australia. Mol Ecol; 2019;0.
doi:10.1111/mec.15128

41.   Geue JC, Vágási CI, Schweizer M, Pap PL, Thomassen HA. Environmental selection is a main
driver of divergence in house sparrows (*Passer domesticus*) in Romania and Bulgaria. Ecol
Evol; 2016;6: 7954–7964. doi:10.1002/ece3.2509

42.   Prunier J, Laroche J, Beaulieu J, Bousquet J. Scanning the genome for gene SNPs related to
687   climate adaptation and estimating selection at the molecular level in boreal black spruce. Mol
688   Ecol; 2011;20: 1702–1716. doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05045.x

689   43.   Shryock DF, Havrilla CA, DeFalco LA, Esque TC, Custer NA, Wood TE. Landscape genomics of
690   *Sphaeralcea ambigua* in the Mojave Desert: a multivariate, spatially-explicit approach to
691   guide ecological restoration. Conserv Genet. 2015;16: 1303–1317. doi:10.1007/s10592-015-
692   0741-1

693   44.   Pais AL, Whetten RW, Xiang Q-Y (Jenny). Ecological genomics of local adaptation in *Cornus*
694   *florida* L. by genotyping by sequencing. Ecol Evol; 2017;7: 441–465. doi:10.1002/ece3.2623

695   45.   Dudaniec RY, Yong CJ, Lancaster LT, Svensson EI, Hansson B. Signatures of local adaptation
696   along environmental gradients in a range-expanding damselfly (*Ischnura elegans*). Mol Ecol;
697   2018;27: 2576–2593. doi:10.1111/mec.14709

698   46.   Bay RA, Harrigan RJ, Le Underwood V, Gibbs HL, Smith TB, Ruegg K. Genomic signals of
699   selection predict climate-driven population declines in a migratory bird. Science; 2018;359:
700   83–86.

701   47.   Hoban S, Kelley JL, Lotterhos KE, Antolin MF, Bradburd G, Lowry DB, et al. Finding the
702   genomic basis of local adaptation: Pitfalls, practical solutions, and future directions. Am Nat.
703   2016;188: 379–397. doi:10.1086/688018

704   48.   Savolainen O, Lascoux M, Merilä J. Ecological genomics of local adaptation. Nat Rev Genet;
705   2013;14: 807.

706   49.   Bargielowski I, Carrasquilla MC, Nishimura N, Lounibos LP. Coexistence of *Aedes aegypti* and
707   *Aedes albopictus* (Diptera: Culicidae) in peninsular Florida two decades after competitive
708   displacements . J Med Entomol. 2016;53: 1385–1390. doi:10.1093/jme/tjw122

709   50.   O'meara GF, Evans Leonard F. J, Gettman AD, Cuda JP. Spread of *Aedes albopictus* and
710   decline of *Ae. aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae) in Florida. J Med Entomol. 1995;32: 554–562.
711   doi:10.1093/jmedent/32.4.554

712   51.   Braks MAH, Honório NA, Lounibos LP, Lourenço-de-Oliveira R, Juliano SA. Interspecific
713   competition between two invasive species of container mosquitoes, *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes*
714   *albopictus* (Diptera: Culicidae), in Brazil. Ann Entomol Soc Am. BioOne; 2004;97: 130–139.

715   52.   Juliano SA. Species introduction and replacement among mosquitoes: Interspecific resource
716   competition or apparent competition? Ecology. Ecological Society of America; 1998;79: 255–
717   268. doi:10.1890/0012-9658(1998)079[0255:SIARAM]2.0.CO;2

718   53.   Kaplan L, Kendell D, Robertson D, Livdahl T, Khatchikian C. *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes*
719   *albopictus* in Bermuda: extinction, invasion, invasion and extinction. Biol Invasions. 2010;12:
720   3277–3288. doi:10.1007/s10530-010-9721-z

721   54.   Leisnham P, Juliano S. Interpopulation differences in competitive effect and response of the
722   mosquito *Aedes aegypti* and resistance to invasion by a superior competitor. Oecologia.
723   2010. doi:10.1007/s00442-010-1624-2

724   55.   Juliano SA, O'Meara GF, Morrill JR, Cutwa MM. Desiccation and thermal tolerance of eggs
725   and the coexistence of competing mosquitoes. Oecologia. 2002;130: 458–469.
726   doi:10.1007/s004420100811

727   56.   Kapun M, Fabian DK, Goudet J, Flatt T. Genomic evidence for adaptive inversion clines in
728   *Drosophila melanogaster*. Mol Biol Evol. 2016;33: 1317–1336. doi:10.1093/molbev/msw016

729   57.   Love RR, Steele AM, Coulibaly MB, Traore SF, Emrich SJ, Fontaine MC, et al. Chromosomal
730   inversions and ecotypic differentiation in *Anopheles gambiae*: the perspective from whole-
731   genome sequencing. Mol Ecol. 2016;25: 5889–5906. doi:10.1111/mec.13888

732   58.   Simard F, Ayala D, Kamdem GC, Pombi M, Etouna J, Ose K, et al. Ecological niche partitioning
733   between *Anopheles gambiae* molecular forms in Cameroon: the ecological side of speciation.
734   BMC Ecol. 2009;9: 17. doi:10.1186/1472-6785-9-17

735   59.   Cheng C, White BJ, Kamdem C, Mockaitis K, Costantini C, Hahn MW, et al. Ecological
736   genomics of *Anopheles gambiae* along a latitudinal cline: a population-resequencing
737   approach. Genetics; 2012;190: 1417–1432. doi:10.1534/genetics.111.137794

738   60.   Ayala D, Ullastres A, González J. Adaptation through chromosomal inversions in *Anopheles* .
739   Frontiers in Genetics. 2014. p. 129. doi:10.3389/fgene.2014.00129

740   61.   White BJ, Collins FH, Besansky NJ. Evolution of *Anopheles gambiae* in relation to humans and
741   malaria. Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst; 2011;42: 111–132. doi:10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-102710-
742   145028

743   62.   Gonçalves CM, Melo FF, Bezerra JMT, Chaves BA, Silva BM, Silva LD, et al. Distinct variation in
744   vector competence among nine field populations of *Aedes aegypti* from a Brazilian dengue-
745   endemic risk city. Parasit Vectors. 2014;7: 320. doi:10.1186/1756-3305-7-320

746   63.   Roundy CM, Azar SR, Rossi SL, Huang JH, Leal G, Yun R, et al. Variation in *Aedes aegypti*
747   mosquito competence for Zika Virus Transmission. Emerg Infect Dis J. 2017;23: 625.
748   doi:10.3201/eid2304.161484

749   64.   Vega-Rúa A, Zouache K, Girod R, Failloux A-B, Lourenço-de-Oliveira R. High level of vector
750   competence of *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* from ten American countries as a crucial
751   factor in the spread of Chikungunya virus. J Virol. Am Soc Microbiol; 2014;88: 6294–6306.

752   65.   Hugo LE, Stassen L, La J, Gosden E, Ekwudu O, Winterford C, et al. Vector competence of
753   Australian *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* for an epidemic strain of Zika virus. PLoS Negl
754   Trop Dis; 2019;13: e0007281. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0007281

755   66.   Lounibos LP, Kramer LD. Invasiveness of *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* and vectorial
756   capacity for chikungunya virus. J Infect Dis; 2016;214: S453–S458. doi:10.1093/infdis/jiw285

757   67.   Chouin-Carneiro T, Vega-Rua A, Vazeille M, Yebakima A, Girod R, Goindin D, et al. Differential
758   susceptibilities of *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* from the Americas to Zika Virus. PLoS
759   Negl Trop Dis; 2016;10: e0004543. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0004543

68.   Kalluri S, Gilruth P, Rogers D, Szczur M. Surveillance of arthropod vector-borne infectious

diseases using remote sensing techniques: A review. PLOS Pathog; 2007;3: e116.
doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.0030116

69. Bartlow WA, Manore C, Xu C, Kaufeld AK, Del Valle S, Ziemann A, et al. Forecasting zoonotic
infectious disease response to climate change: mosquito vectors and a changing
environment. Veterinary Sciences . 2019. doi:10.3390/vetsci6020040

70. Kearney M, Porter WP, Williams C, Ritchie S, Hoffmann AA. Integrating biophysical models
and evolutionary theory to predict climatic impacts on species' ranges: the dengue mosquito
Aedes aegypti in Australia. Funct Ecol; 2009;23: 528–538. doi:10.1111/j.1365-
2435.2008.01538.x

71. Jiggins FM. The spread of Wolbachia through mosquito populations. PLOS Biol; 2017;15:
e2002780. Available: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2002780

72. Faraji A, Egizi A, Fonseca DM, Unlu I, Crepeau T, Healy SP, et al. Comparative host feeding
patterns of the Asian tiger mosquito, *Aedes albopictus*, in urban and suburban Northeastern
USA and implications for disease transmission. PLoS Negl Trop Dis; 2014;8: e3037–e3037.
doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0003037

73. Pereira Dos Santos T, Roiz D, Santos de Abreu FV, Luz SLB, Santalucia M, Jiolle D, et al.
Potential of *Aedes albopictus* as a bridge vector for enzootic pathogens at the urban-forest
interface in Brazil. Emerg Microbes Infect; 2018;7: 191. doi:10.1038/s41426-018-0194-y

74. Possas C, Lourenço-de-Oliveira R, Tauil PL, Pinheiro F de P, Pissinatti A, Cunha RV da, et al.
Yellow fever outbreak in Brazil: the puzzle of rapid viral spread and challenges for
immunisation. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz; 2018;113: e180278–e180278. doi:10.1590/0074-
02760180278

75. Rueda LM. Pictorial keys for the identification of mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) associated
with dengue virus transmission. Zootaxa; 589:1-60. 2004.

76. Surendran SN, Sarma DK, Jude PJ, Kemppainen P, Kanthakumaran N, Gajapathy K, et al.
Molecular characterization and identification of members of the *Anopheles subpictus*
complex in Sri Lanka. Malar J. 2013;12: 304. doi:10.1186/1475-2875-12-304

77. Krueger F. Trim Galore!: A wrapper tool around Cutadapt and FastQC to consistently apply
quality and adapter trimming to FastQ files; 2015. Available from
https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim_galore/

78. Li H, Durbin R. Fast and accurate long-read alignment with Burrows–Wheeler transform.
Bioinformatics; 2010;26: 589–595. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp698

79. QGIS Development Team. QGIS Geographic Information System. Open Source Geospatial
Foundation Project. Available from http://qgis.osgeo.org. 2019.

80. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. Available from http://www.R-project.org/. 2019;

81. Raj A, Stephens M, Pritchard JK. fastSTRUCTURE: Variational Inference of Population
Structure in Large SNP Data Sets. Genetics. 2014;197: 573 LP – 589.

doi:10.1534/genetics.114.164350

82.   Luu K, Bazin E, Blum MGB. PCADAPT: An R Package to Perform Genome Scans for Selection
Based on Principal Component Analysis. bioRxiv. 2016; 56135. doi:10.1101/056135

83.   Frichot E, Schoville SD, Bouchard G, François O. Testing for Associations between Loci and
Environmental Gradients Using Latent Factor Mixed Models. Mol Biol Evol; 2013;30: 1687–
1699. doi:10.1093/molbev/mst063

84.   Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Friendly M, Kindt R, Legendre P, McGlinn D, et al. vegan: Community
Ecology Package. . Available from https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/vegan/index.html.
2018.

85.   Legendre P, Oksanen J, ter Braak CJF. Testing the significance of canonical axes in redundancy
analysis. Methods Ecol Evol; 2011;2: 269–277. doi:10.1111/j.2041-210X.2010.00078.x

86.   Fitzpatrick MC, Keller SR. Ecological genomics meets community-level modelling of
biodiversity: mapping the genomic landscape of current and future environmental
adaptation. Ecol Lett; 2014;18: 1–16. doi:10.1111/ele.12376

87.   Pembleton LW, Cogan NOI, Forster JW. StAMPP: an R package for calculation of genetic
differentiation and structure of mixed-ploidy level populations. Mol Ecol Resour. 2013;13:
946–952. doi:10.1111/1755-0998.12129

88.   Bischl B, Lang M, Bossek J, Horn D, Richter J, Surmann D. BBmisc: Miscellaneous Helper
Functions for B. Bischl. 2017. Available from https://rdrr.io/cran/BBmisc/

89.   Manion G, Lisk M, Ferrier S, Nieto-Lugilde D, Mokany K, Fitzpatrick MC. gdm: Generalized
Dissimilarity Modeling. 2018. Available from https://rdrr.io/cran/gdm/

90.   Ellis N, Smith SJ, Pitcher CR. Gradient forests: calculating importance gradients on physical
predictors. Ecology; 2012;93: 156–168. doi:10.1890/11-0252.1

91.   Group 1000 Genomes Project Analysis, Auton A, Albers CA, Banks E, Marth GT, Lunter G, et
al. The variant call format and VCFtools. Bioinformatics. 2011;27: 2156–2158.
doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr330

Fig 1. Strong local population structure within the context of regional homogeneity and global population structure: (A) FastStructure plot of K=6 populations comparing 2,630 SNP's in individuals of *Ae. aegypti* from Bocas del Toro and the rest of Panama to genetically similar populations originating from South-western USA, Caribbean islands, Costa Rica and Columbia. FastStructure assigns each individual to one or more K populations, as indicated by its colour. Genetically similar populations share the same colour or similar admixture composition on comparison. (B) Admixture proportions of K=2 populations in relation to sampling locations and population heterozygosity Hs of *Ae. aegypti* across Panama as determined by FastStructure for 371,307 SNP's. (C) PCA of all *Ae. aegypti* SNP's grouped by region.

Fig 2. The species replacement and co-occurrence of *Aedes* species is condition dependent: (A)
The presence of *Ae. aegypti* (orange), *Ae. albopictus* (blue) and species co-occurrence (yellow)
recorded by extensive sampling with both active surveillance and oviposition traps during the wet
season months from 2016 through to 2018 in comparison to (B) Species occurrence data recorded
from 2005 through 2017 through active surveillance by the Ministry of Health in Panama.

852
853

Fig 3. Putative adaptive variation in *Ae. aegypti* is partitioned between wet and dry tropical environments and associated with temperature and vegetation indices: (A) Ordination triplot of the first two constrained ordination axes of the redundancy analysis representing SNP's either positively or negatively associated with the environmental variables as depicted by the position of the arrows. *Ae. aegypti* from the wettest region (blue) and driest region (red) are highlighted. (B) Compositional turnover splines for GDM analysis for the reference loci that are putatively neutral (dashed line) and the 128 candidate loci with a signal of local adaptation (black line) in association with NDVI vegetation index (NDVI), average minimum temperature (MinT), average maximum temperature (MaxT), average humidity (Humidity), average rainfall (Rain) and human population

863 density (HPopD). A change in allele frequency relative to the reference loci is seen in the putatively
864 adaptive alleles with increasing values of NDVI and MinT, marked in bold with an asterix.
865
866

Fig 4. Patches of local adaptation are revealed on comparison of putative neutral and adaptive
variation across geographical space: RGB maps of compositional allele frequency turner over across
geographical space based on GDM analysis of (A) putatively neutral loci, (B) the 128 candidate loci
with a signal of local adaptation and (C) the difference in allele compositional turnover between the
putatively neutral reference loci and putatively adaptive candidate dataset using a Procrustes
superimposition on the PCA ordinations. On maps (A) and (B), the dissimilarity between allele
composition is depicted by an increasing divergent colour spectrum. Locations with a similar allele
composition are a similar colour. On map (C), the scale represents the distance between the allele
compositional turnover of the reference and candidate SNP datasets, with higher distances
indicating areas that are potentially experiencing local adaptation.
878

Fig 5. Putatively adaptive loci are predicted in *Ae. aegypti* populations within areas of species co-existence. Corregimientos with *Aedes* co-occurrence (dashed areas) are shown based on the most recent species distributions recorded during this study in 2018 and in other sampled regions by MINSA in 2017. The co-occurrence data is overlaid onto the compositional turnover of the reference and candidate SNP dataset from Figure 4., with values greater than 0.30 shown. Green coloured areas represent regions with a greater predicted distance between the allele composition of the reference and candidate datasets, indicating the potential presence of locally adapted *Ae. aegypti*. Corregimientos where only *Ae. aegypti* (blue) and *Ae. albopictus* (purple) were present are also indicated.