Full title: Guanidine hydrochloride reactivates an ancient septin hetero-oligomer assembly pathway

in budding yeast

Short title: Septin protein complex assembly and evolution

Courtney R. Johnson[1], Marc G. Steingesser[1], Andrew D. Weems[1], Anum Khan[2], Aurélie Bertin[3,4],

Amy Gladfelter[2], and Michael A. McMurray[1,*]

[1]Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus,

Aurora, CO 80045 USA

[2]Department of Biology, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27599 USA

[3]Laboratoire Physico Chimie Curie, Institut Curie, PSL Research University, CNRS UMR 168,

75005, Paris, France.

[4]Sorbonne Université UPMC Univ Paris 06, 75005, Paris, France

*Corresponding author

E-mail: michael.mcmurray@cuanschutz.edu

ABSTRACT

Septin proteins co-assemble into hetero-oligomers that polymerize into cytoskeletal filaments with

a variety of cellular functions. In *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, where septins were first discovered,

five subunits comprise two species of septin hetero-octamers, Cdc11/Shs1–Cdc12–Cdc3–Cdc10–

Cdc10–Cdc3–Cdc12–Cdc11/Shs1. Septins evolved from ancestral GTPases. We previously found

evidence that slow GTPase activity by Cdc12 directs the choice of incorporation of Cdc11 vs Shs1

into septin complexes. It was unclear why many septins, including Cdc3, lack GTPase activity. We

serendipitously discovered that the small molecule guanidine hydrochloride (GdnHCl) rescues

septin function in *cdc10* mutants by promoting assembly of non-native Cdc11/Shs1–Cdc12–Cdc3–

Cdc3–Cdc12–Cdc11/Shs1 hexamers. We provide evidence that in *S. cerevisiae* Cdc3 guanidinium

ion (Gdm) occupies the site of a "missing" Arg sidechain that is present in other fungal species in

which (i) the Cdc3 subunit is an active GTPase and (ii) Cdc10-less hexamers co-exist with octamers

in wild-type cells. These findings support a model in which Gdm reactivates a latent septin assembly

pathway that was suppressed during fungal evolution in order to restrict assembly to hetero-

octamers. Given recent reports that septin hexamers made natively in human cells also involve

bypass of Cdc10-like central subunits via homodimerization of an active GTPase, our results

provide new mechanistic details that likely apply to septin assembly throughout phylogeny.

AUTHOR SUMMARY

Life requires proper assembly of multi-subunit protein oligomers. The subunits of many oligomers evolved by gene duplication from a single ancestral protein. Specialization of inter-subunit interfaces ensures that subunit organization within these hetero-oligomers is non-random, but exactly how is often unclear. Hetero-oligomers of septin proteins perform a variety of cellular functions in nearly all eukaryotes. Subunit positioning within septin oligomers can often be predicted from sequence relatedness, yet the number and identity of subunits varies by organism and even within a single cell, raising the question of how the rules governing septin oligomer assembly can be simultaneously flexible and strict. We previously found that a septin's ability to hydrolyze the nucleotide GTP allows flexibility. Here we serendipitously discovered that a ten-atom molecule, guanidinium, appears to bind *in vivo* to a GTPase-dead septin where it promotes flexibility in oligomerization by mimicking the presence of an arginine sidechain found in active GTPases. Our findings suggest that loss of GTPase activity during evolution constrained septin oligomerization pathways. In addition to elucidating a mechanism that may be relevant to other oligomeric GTPases, the ability of guanidinium to rescue function *in vivo* of proteins lacking specific arginines has both evolutionary and therapeutic implications.

INTRODUCTION

Septin proteins are found in nearly every eukaryotic lineage, with the exception of land plants (1–4). In most extant organisms studied to date, multiple septin proteins co-assemble into linear, rod-shaped hetero-oligomers with at least some potential to polymerize into filaments (5–7). Septin hetero-oligomers are now known to contribute functionally to a wide variety of cellular processes (8,9). A number of human diseases and disorders, ranging from cancer to male infertility, have been linked to septin dysregulation or mutation (8,9), but within our understanding of septin roles in cellular function one common theme emerges: the ability to assemble into quaternary complexes is key.

All distinct septins share clear homology, but phylogenetic analysis reveals septin groups and subgroups (3) that can accurately predict which septin occupies which subunit position within hetero-oligomers (10). However, the subtleties of the distinctions between septins that drive assembly of hetero-oligomers with precise subunit organization remain incompletely understood. Moreover, the oligomerization interfaces have clearly not diverged drastically from the ancestral form, as nearly all septins retain the ability to robustly homo-oligomerize *in vitro* (11,12) whether or not they do so *in vivo*. How cells assemble specific septin hetero-oligomers to meet functional demands represents a major focus of septin research. More generally, septins provide a powerful model to study the mechanisms by which multisubunit complexes are assembled with high efficiency and fidelity in living cells.

Septins clearly evolved from an ancestral GTPase (13) but multiple septins subsequently lost the ability to hydrolyze GTP. Unlike the building blocks of polymers of the other cytoskeletal NTPases actin and tubulin (and their prokaryotic counterparts), in native septin hetero-oligomers most, if not all, of the septin nucleotide-binding pockets are buried within an oligomerization interface, called the G interface (14). Particularly for septin complexes in budding yeast, where hetero-octamers polymerize into filaments via the other, so-called "NC" septin-septin interface (14,15), burial of GTP in G interfaces within highly stable complexes severely limits the potential for cycles of GTP binding, hydrolysis, and exchange to modulate the assembly and disassembly of septin filaments. Nonetheless, most septins retain sequences clearly corresponding to regions/motifs in other small GTPases that contact bound nucleotide and/or change conformation upon GTP hydrolysis. These include the G1/Walker/P-loop, residues of which contact each of the three phosphates; the G2/Switch I and G3/Switch II loops, which are in proximity to the γ phosphate when it is present but are in distinct locations when it is absent; and the G4 motif, which contacts the base and dictates specificity for guanosine nucleotides. The question of what roles GTP binding and hydrolysis play

in septin biogenesis and function has been a major focus in septin research for over two decades

(16).

The baker's yeast, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, has been at the forefront of septin research, for a

number of reasons. The repertoire of septin proteins expressed in yeast (seven) is much simpler

than that of humans (thirteen). Two yeast septins are expressed and function specifically during the

process of sporulation (17–21), a version of gametogenesis, leaving five septins expressed in nearly

stoichiometric amounts (22) in mitotically dividing cells: Cdc3, Cdc10, Cdc11, Cdc12, and Shs1.

Yeast septin localization during the mitotic cell division cycle is primarily restricted to the cortex,

where the septins take the form of filamentous rings at the mother-bud neck that undergo a series

of discrete structural transitions as the bud emerges and grows and cytokinesis and cell separation

take place (6). Septin filament assembly is essential for proliferation (23), and septin ring assembly,

bud morphogenesis and cytokinesis are grossly perturbed in viable septin mutants (24), providing

clear readouts for defects in septin biogenesis (25). A combination of molecular genetic (11,23,26–

28), biochemical (11,12,15,28), and structural (12,14,15,28) approaches have demonstrated that

two kinds of hetero-octamers co-exist, with a linear hexameric core of the order Cdc12–Cdc3–

Cdc10–Cdc10–Cdc3–Cdc12 "capped" at each end with either Cdc11 or Shs1. While the functional

basis for yeast octamer diversity remains largely unknown, at the level of quaternary structure

septins are best understood in *S. cerevisiae*.

We are most interested in the mechanisms of assembly of septin hetero-oligomers, and previously

used mutants isolated in unbiased genetic screens to elucidate roles in this process for nucleotide

binding (29) and interactions with cytosolic chaperones (30), including the fungal disaggregase

Hsp104, a hexameric AAA+ ATPase (31). Here we report that the function of specific septin mutants

is affected in unexpected ways by guanidine hydrochloride (GdnHCl), a small molecule used for

decades to inhibit Hsp104 ATPase activity *in vivo* (32,33). Our findings reveal important roles for

specific residues and structural motifs in septin hetero-oligomer assembly, suggest a series of key events during fungal septin evolution that enforced incorporation of Cdc10 subunits in hetero-oligomers, and provide what is, to our knowledge, the first evidence that guanidinium (Gdm) has the potential to functionally replace Arg residues *in vivo*.

RESULTS

GdnHCl restores high-temperature septin function to *cdc10* mutants in an Hsp104-independent manner

We previously reported interactions between an ATPase-dead mutant of Hsp104 and a temperature-sensitive (TS) mutant of Cdc10, in which overexpression of the mutant Hsp104 inhibited the proliferation of *cdc10(D182N)* cells at an otherwise permissive low temperature (27°C) (30). We expected that the addition of 3 mM GdnHCl to the culture medium would mimic these inhibitory effects in cells with wild-type (WT) Hsp104. Instead, we found complete rescue by GdnHCl of the *cdc10(D182N)* TS proliferation defect at all temperatures tested (up to 37°C) (Fig 1A). GdnHCl did not have the same effect on cells carrying TS alleles of other septins, although in its presence a *cdc12(G247E)* strain grew slightly worse at 30°C and slightly better at 37°C (Fig 1A). To our surprise, GdnHCl rescue of *cdc10(D182N)* did not require Hsp104 (Fig 1B). Others have suggested that a mitochondrial Hsp104 homolog, Hsp78, might share functions with Hsp104 (34), and Hsp78 and Hsp104 share the same residues within the ATP binding pocket that contact Gdm in the bacterial Hsp104 homolog ClpB (Fig 1C), but Hsp78 was also dispensable for GdnHCl rescue of *cdc10(D182N)* high-temperature growth (Fig 1B). Inhibition of Hsp104 (i.e., curing of prions) or Hsp78 (i.e., induction of cytoplasmic petites) by GdnHCl *in vivo* requires concentrations in the medium of ≥1 mM (35), but GdnHCl was sufficient to provide partial rescue of 37°C growth by *cdc10(D182N)* cells at concentrations <0.1 mM (Fig 1D,E). We conclude that GdnHCl suppresses septin defects in *cdc10(D182N)* mutants via a mechanism that does not involve Hsp104 or its

homolog Hsp78.

GdnHCl drives exclusion of mutant Cdc10 molecules from higher-order septin structures

We previously noted that the *cdc12(G247E)* mutation appears to decrease the levels of WT Cdc10

(30). Cells tolerate loss of Cdc10 by assembling filaments via hexameric building blocks in which

Cdc3 forms a non-native G homodimer, but *cdc10Δ* cells are TS due to thermal instability of the

non-native Cdc3 homodimer (23). Rescue of the TS defects at 37ºC of the *cdc10(D182N)* and

cdc12(G247E) mutants by GdnHCl could reflect stabilization of Cdc10-less hexamers, rather than

any specific effect on the *cdc10(D182N)* allele. Consistent with this idea, GdnHCl also fully

suppressed the TS phenotype resulting from *cdc10(G100E)* mutation (S1 Fig), as well as that of

cdc10Δ (Fig 2A). Clear but partial GdnHCl rescue was also observed for *cdc10(G44D)* cells (S1

Fig). We confirmed via immunofluorescence with anti-Cdc11 antibodies (S1 Fig) and electron

microscopy (S1 Fig) that at 37ºC GdnHCl-treated *cdc10* cells assembled filamentous rings

composed of other septins. Thus GdnHCl does not simply bypass the need for septin filaments.

Given these results, we wondered if in cells carrying point-mutant *cdc10* alleles GdnHCl bypasses

altogether the incorporation of the mutant Cdc10 subunits during septin hetero-oligomer assembly.

Indeed, even at temperatures permissive in the absence of GdnHCl for septin ring incorporation of

Cdc10(D182N)-GFP, in the presence of 3 mM GdnHCl the fluorescence of Cdc10(D182N)-GFP

was restricted to the cytoplasm (Fig 2B). WT Cdc10-GFP, on the other hand, continued to localize

to the bud neck despite the presence of GdnHCl (Fig 2B). Similarly, in diploid cells co-expressing

Cdc10-mCherry and Cdc10(D182N)-GFP, the addition of GdnHCl specifically eliminated

Cdc10(D182N) incorporation in septin rings, with no obvious effect on WT Cdc10 (Fig 2C). Our

results suggest that when mutations within the Cdc10 G interface perturb the ability of Cdc10 to

acquire a conformation that binds tightly to Cdc3, GdnHCl allows other Cdc3 molecules to

outcompete the mutant Cdc10 proteins for occupancy of the Cdc3 G interface, ultimately co-

assembling with Cdc11, Cdc12, and Shs1 into Cdc10-less hexamers capable of robust septin

functions. As predicted from this model, GdnHCl was unable to rescue *cdc10Δ* growth when Cdc3

carried a mutation (W364A) previously shown (23) to block Cdc3 homodimerization (Fig 2D).

GdnHCl promotes Cdc10-less filament assembly *in vitro* from purified, recombinant

complexes

Yeast septin function is regulated (in poorly defined ways) by a large number of pathways (36),

which could be altered by GdnHCl to indirectly promote Cdc3 homodimerization. To ask if GdnHCl

is able to promote Cdc10-less septin filament assembly outside of yeast cells, we co-expressed

Cdc3, Cdc11, and hexahistidine-tagged Cdc12 (6xHis-Cdc12) in *E. coli*, which normally lacks

septins, and purified trimeric Cdc3–6xHis-Cdc12–Cdc11 complexes using high-salt buffers, as

described previously (12,15,23). We then used centrifugal sedimentation of septin filaments

followed by densitometry of Coomassie-stained bands on SDS-PAGE gels (Fig 3) to ask if these

complexes could form hexamers and polymerize into filaments *in vitro* upon salt dilution. We

observed some truncation of the C terminus of Cdc11 during expression/purification, as well as co-

purification of the *E. coli* chaperonin GroEL, which binds indiscriminately to affinity beads (37) and

co-migrates with Cdc3 (Fig 3). Hence we paid most attention to the amounts of 6xHis-Cdc12.

Previous studies found that ~35% of Cdc10-less complexes sediment in these conditions, compared

to ~80% of Cdc10-containing octamers (12), consistent with poor hexamer (and thus filament)

formation in the absence of Cdc10. We found similar results (Fig 3). To test effects of GdnHCl, we

either grew the bacteria in the presence of 100 mM GdnHCl and kept GdnHCl in all buffers

thereafter, or we only introduced the GdnHCl during polymerization. The presence of GdnHCl during

expression, purification, and polymerization was associated with an increase in septin complex

sedimentation (Fig 3). Adding GdnHCl just prior to polymerization had a subtler effect (Fig 3). 100

mM is well below GdnHCl concentrations that begin to unfold globular proteins (38), and the other

septins remained stoichiometrically associated with 6xHis-Cdc12 in the presence of 100 mM

GdnHCl (Fig 3), which we take as evidence that sedimentation reflected authentic filament

polymerization, rather than GdnHCl-induced partial denaturation and subsequent non-specific

aggregation. We conclude from these data that GdnHCl is able to promote septin filament assembly

by recombinant Cdc10-less septin complexes produced in a heterologous system that lacks septin-

regulatory pathways. Thus GdnHCl likely acts directly on septin proteins, and seems to work best

when present during septin folding/assembly.

Unbiased *in silico* modeling and phylogenetic analysis point to Thr302 as the site of Gdm

binding in Cdc3

Using an unbiased genetic approach, we previously identified a spontaneous mutation in the Cdc3

G interface, G261V, that improves the proliferation of *cdc10Δ* cells, and concluded that the

introduction of Val in this position stabilizes a conformation of the G interface that is more competent

for self-association than the major conformation populated by WT Cdc3 at high termperatures (23).

We reasoned that Gdm might bind in the Cdc3 G interface and similarly promote the

homodimerization-competent conformation. For clues as to where Gdm might bind, we first used

the structure of human SEPT2 bound to the non-hydrolyzable nucleotide analog 5'-guanylyl

imidodiphosphate (GppNHp) (39) to generate a homology model of the globular domain of

monomeric Cdc3, and then performed unbiased *in silico* docking simulations to ask for the lowest-

energy predicted sites of Gdm binding. Four sites had binding free energies of ≤-3 kcal/mol. One

site, between the sidechains of Thr302 and His262, lies in the G interface, and had the second-

lowest predicted free energy of binding (Fig 4A).

As a parallel approach, we considered that (i) Gdm mimics the distal end of an Arg sidechain, and

(ii) a number of previous *in vitro* studies of diverse non-septin proteins – including T4 lysozyme (40),

β-galactosidase (41), and carboxypeptidase A (42) – demonstrated that Gdm is able to functionally

occupy molecular vacancies that are created by the replacement of an Arg residue with residues

having smaller sidechains (e.g. Ala). We thus hypothesized that one or more Arg residues might

contribute to G interface contacts for other septins that are, unlike *S. cerevisiae* Cdc3, capable of

robust G homodimerization.

To identify candidate Arg residues, we performed a phylogenetic analysis of Cdc3 homologs from

different species, which we separated into two categories based on the severity of phenotypes

accompanying deletion of the *CDC10* homolog. If non-*Saccharomyces* species possess an Arg that

favors G homodimerization by Cdc3, then we predicted this group of species should easily form

Cdc10-less septin hexamers and be better able to tolerate deletion of the Cdc10 homolog. Such

functional information is available for non-*Saccharomyces* species from eleven distinct fungal

genera*. In *Aspergillus* (*fumigatis* (43) or *nidulans* (44)), *Candida albicans* (45), *Cryptococcus*

neoformans (46), *Fusarium graminearum* (47), *Neurospora crassa* (48), *Schizosaccharomyces*

pombe (49), and *Ustilago maydis* (50), deletion of the Cdc10 homolog has distinctly milder

phenotypic consequences compared to deletion of the Cdc3 homolog. In *Magnaporthe oryzae*,

septin ring assembly is perturbed by deletion of any septin, but higher-order, filamentous structures

persist in cells lacking the Cdc10 homolog Sep4, whereas in the other mutants septin localization

is almost exclusively diffuse (51). Finally, in *Coprinopsis cinerea*, a UV-induced mutant defective in

fruiting body development was rescued by a gene encoding CcCdc3, but the expression of CcCdc10

remained about 100-fold decreased in the mutant following rescue, suggesting that the mutant was

defective in both CcCdc3 and CcCdc10 expression, and that rescue of CcCdc3 expression was

sufficient to restore function (52). We interpret these results as evidence that in *C. cinerea*, as well,

loss of Cdc10 is better tolerated than loss of Cdc3.

By contrast, only the Shs1 homolog is non-essential for proliferation in *Kluyveromyces lactis* (53).

In *Ashbya gossypii*, deletion of any mitotic septin prevents septin ring assembly; loss of the Cdc10

homolog is as severe as loss of the Cdc3 homolog (54). According to our hypothesis, if there is a

key Arg residue that promotes Cdc3 homodimerization, and *S. cerevisiae* lacks it, then *K. lactis* and

A. gossypii should also lack it.

S2 Fig shows an alignment of protein sequences for Cdc3 homologs from the fungal species listed

above. As ScCdc3 is predicted to be unable to hydrolyze GTP (39), we also included for comparison

human SEPT2 and SEPT9, because for these septins crystal structures are available of non-native

G homodimers in the "GTP state" (bound to the non-hydrolyzable GTP analogs GppNHp or GTPγS,

respectively). Only sixteen residues were distinctly different between a group including *S. cerevisiae*

and the two species that cannot tolerate Cdc10 loss, and the group of species that tolerate *CDC10*

deletion. For six of these residues, the changes reflect substitutions with strong predicted effects on

amino acid properties (polarity, charge, size, etc.). One of these non-conservative variants lies in

the C-terminal extension, which is disordered in septin crystal structures. Based on the crystal

structures of SEPT2•GppNHp and SEPT9•GTPγS, all of the other five non-conservative variants

cluster near the G interface (Fig 4B), and one of these residues – corresponding to Thr302 in

ScCdc3 – is an Arg in eight of the nine species that tolerate Cdc10 loss (S2 Fig). Moreover, in the

SEPT2•GppNHp structure the Gdm group of the corresponding Arg in SEPT2 is located in the same

place where our *in silico* docking results predicted that Gdm binds to Cdc3, between Thr302 and

His262 (Fig 4). Together, these findings strongly support the idea that Gdm promotes Cdc3

homodimerization by occupying the same site in the Cdc3 G interface that in other septins is

occupied by the Gdm moiety of an Arg sidechain. This Arg residue was likely substituted to Thr

during the evolution of the fungal lineage that includes *S. cerevisiae*, *A. gossypii*, and *K. lactis*,

concomitant with loss of Cdc10-less hexamers in these yeasts.

GdnHCl promotes higher-order septin assembly in *A. gossypii* cells lacking Cdc10

Our phylogenetic comparisons predicted that Gdm should act similarly on the *A. gossypii* Cdc3 homolog to promote AgCdc3 homodimerization in the absence of Cdc10. To test this prediction, we exposed *A. gossypii* cells lacking *CDC10* to GdnHCl and assessed higher-order septin assembly by monitoring the localization of Shs1-GFP expressed in the same cells. As reported previously (54), in the absence of GdnHCl Shs1-GFP fluorescence was cytoplasmic and diffuse (Fig 5). Addition of GdnHCl restored the localization of Shs1-GFP to branch points and hyphal tips (Fig 5), the same pattern observed in WT cells (54). Thus the ability of GdnHCl to promote septin function in the absence of Cdc10, and the loss of Arg at the position corresponding to ScCdc3 Thr302, are both conserved over >100m years of evolution.

Chemical rescue of *cdc10* by Gdm derivatives

To better understand the molecular details of Gdm "rescue" of Arg-substituted mutants, earlier *in vitro* Gdm studies also tested other small molecules with similar chemical properties, including urea and the GdnHCl derivatives aminoguanidine (Pimagedine, here "aGdnHCl") and N-ethylguanidine ("eGdnHCl") (55). We undertook an analogous approach to study GdnHCl rescue of the *cdc10* TS phenotype.

First, we tested urea, which differs from Gdm by only a few atoms (Fig 6A). We grew WT or *cdc10* cells on solid rich medium containing 0.64 M urea, a concentration we found to slow, but not prevent, growth of WT cells, and saw no *cdc10* rescue (Fig 6A-B). To test a gradient of concentrations, we also placed filter disks soaked with GdnHCl or urea on lawns of WT or *cdc10* cells. We saw a clear zone of rescue around the GdnHCl disk, but not with urea (Fig 6C). We next exposed WT or *cdc10* cells to 0.375 mM GdnHCl, aGdnHCl, eGdnHCl, or combinations of GdnHCl plus eGdnHCl or GdnHCl plus aGdnHCl (0.375 mM each). At 0.375 mM GdnHCl provided only a partial rescue (Fig

6D), allowing us to detect subtle effects of the GdnHCl derivatives when combined with GdnHCl. At 22ºC, 0.375 mM of any single drug had no noticeable effect on growth; slight growth inhibition of both WT and mutant cells was observed when the total concentration of GdnHCl plus derivative was 0.75 mM (Fig 6D). At 37ºC, in addition to the expected partial *cdc10* rescue by GdnHCl, there was a very slight rescue by eGdnHCl, and an even less pronounced rescue by aGdnHCl (Fig 6D). By contrast, mixing GdnHCl with aGdnHCl or eGdnHCl to a total concentration of 0.75 mM resulted in full or nearly full rescue of *cdc10* 37ºC growth, respectively (Fig 6D). We interpret these findings to mean that aGdm and eGdm (the guanidinium ion derivatives) occupy the position between Cdc3 Thr302 and His262 differently than does Gdm. They may not provide the appropriate molecular contacts across the G dimer interface, or they may bind less well, or even too well (if Gdm acts only transiently during Cdc3 folding). Indeed, aGdm and eGdm were predicted by *in silico* modeling to bind in the same location as Gdm but with lower free energies, with the additional moieties projecting in various directions (Fig 6E) in ways that might further alter Cdc3 conformation. It is also possible that yeast cells are less permeable to these GdnHCl derivatives. Urea does not rescue at all, possibly because it cannot form a cation. Finally, as would be expected if only small molecules like Gdm can fit into the pocket provided by Thr302 and surrounding residues, 5 mM arginine hydrochloride in the medium provided no *cdc10* rescue (Fig 6F).

Mutating Cdc3 Thr302 to Arg prevents *cdc10*Δ rescue by GdnHCl

To test if the absence of Arg at ScCdc3 position 302 is sufficient to explain the inability of *S. cerevisiae cdc10*Δ cells to proliferate at 37ºC, we replaced Thr302 with Arg. The double-mutant *cdc10*Δ *cdc3(T302R)* cells were, like *cdc10*Δ *CDC3*^{+}, temperature-sensitive, but unlike *cdc10*Δ *CDC3*^{+} the addition of GdnHCl failed to restore proliferation at 37ºC (Fig 7). By contrast, when we replaced Thr302 with an amino acid with similar properties, Val, we saw rescue by Gdm (Fig 7). We interpret these data as evidence that Gdm binds to ScCdc3 near Thr302 (or Val302) in order to promote homodimerization, but does so in a way that is not recapitulated by an Arg sidechain.

Instead, Arg302 blocks functional Gdm binding. We conclude that the T302R substitution alone

cannot "reverse evolution", yet GdnHCl does. How?

Arg or Gdm at ScCdc3 position 302 likely "tunes" a key His residue within the G homodimer

interface

ScCdc3 Thr302 is predicted to lie within the septin α4 helix, which is located near both the nucleotide

binding pocket and the G interface (Fig 4). To begin to understand the molecular mechanism by

which Gdm at this position promotes Cdc3 homodimerization, we first examined thirteen available

septin crystal structures and asked which other residues are nearby the residue in the position

equivalent to Thr302. The only non-α4-helix residue within 5 Å in every structure was a highly

conserved His (Table 1) residing in a loop at the end of the β4 strand previously dubbed the "*trans*

loop 1" (56), the same His predicted by our *in silico* modeling to contact bound Gdm in Cdc3 (Fig

4A). In SEPT2•GppNHp, His158 contacts a highly conserved Asp within the Switch II loop of the

other protomer (Fig 4B) (39). By contrast, in the sole *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* septin, CrSEPT,

(57) or in human SEPT9•GTPγS, the *trans* loop 1 His instead contacts the nucleotide bound by the

other protomer (Fig 4B). Thus, depending on which septins are involved, this key His residue makes

either of two distinct contacts across the septin G dimer interface. If during hetero-oligomerization

two alternative septins (e.g. Cdc3 or a mutant Cdc10) present to Cdc3 "competing" G interfaces in

distinct conformations, then the position of the *trans* loop 1 His may dictate which is ultimately

chosen for incorporation, and Gdm could influence this choice.

Table 1. Residues in close proximity to the positions corresponding to ScCdc3 Thr302 or His262 for other septins with solved crystal structures.

PDB entry, Septin•nucleotide (oligomeric state)	Residues within 5Å (capitalized) of position corresponding to ScCdc3 Thr302*	Residues within 5Å (capitalized) of His corresponding to ScCdc3 His262
2QNR, HsSEPT2•GDP (G dimer)	*trans* loop 1 (pfg**H**Glkp)	α4 (re**R**Lkk**R**ildE)
2QA5, HsSEPT2•GDP (G dimer)	*trans* loop 1 (pfg**H**glkp)	α4 (rerLkk**R**ilde)
3FTQ, HsSEPT2•GppNHp (G dimer)	*trans* loop 1 (pfg**H**Glkp)	α4 (rerLkk**R**ildE), Switch I (kie**R**tvq)**, Switch II (dtpgyg**D**ain)**
4Z51, HsSEPT3•GppNHp (monomer)	*trans* loop 1 (ptG**H**Slrp)	α4 (kseFkq**R**vrkE)
3SOP, HsSEPT3•GDP (G dimer)	*trans* loop 1 (ptG**H**Slrp)	α4 (kseFkq**R**vrke), P-loop (gq**S**Glgk)**, Switch I (v**I**P**K**tve)**
4Z54, HsSEPT3•GDP (G dimer)	*trans* loop 1 (ptG**H**Slrp)	α4 (kseFkq**R**vrkE), P-loop (gq**S**Glgk)**, Switch I (ee**KI**P**K**tve)**
3T5D, HsSEPT7•GDP (G dimer)	*trans* loop 1 (psg**H**Glkp)	α4 (qqFkk**Q**im), P-loop (ge**S**Glgk)**
3TW4, HsSEPT7•GDP (G dimer)	*trans* loop 1 (psg**H**GLkp)	α4 (qqFkk**Q**im), P-loop (ge**S**Glgk)**
5CYO, HsSEPT9•GDP (G dimer)	*trans* loop 1 (atG**H**Slrp)	α4 (rvhFkq**R**Itad), P-loop (gq**S**Glgk)**, Switch I (ee**RI**P**K**tie)**
5CYP, HsSEPT9•GTPγS (G dimer)	*trans* loop 1 (atg**H**SLrp)	α4 (rvhFkq**R**Itad), P-loop (gq**s**Glgk)**, Switch I (ee**RI**P**K**tie)**
4KV9, SmSEPT10•GDP (G dimer)	*trans* loop 1 (ptG**H**Slks)	α4 (lqkFka**R**ilse), P-loop (ge**T**Gigk)**
4KVA, SmSEPT10•GTP (G dimer)	*trans* loop 1 (ptG**H**Slks)	α4 (lqkFka**R**ilse), P-loop (ge**T**gigk)**
5AR1, ScCdc11•empty (quasi-G dimer)***	*trans* loop 1 (ptg**H**Glke)	α4 (lklnkk**L**imeD)

* Residues at positions corresponding to ScCdc3 Thr302 were identified based on structure-guided alignments. SEPT2, Arg198; SEPT3, Arg224; SEPT7, Gln210; SEPT9, Arg442; SEPT10, Arg199; Cdc11, Leu187.

** Residues from the other protomer across the G interface.

***Dimer in solution is mediated by the C-terminal extension, and is unaffected by G interface mutations, thus contacts across the G interface are presumably crystal-induced.

339   In SEPT2•GppNHp, His158 is positioned in *cis* by contacts (~3 Å) with a Glu residue (Glu202) (39),

340   which lies one turn away from Arg198 in the α4 helix (Fig 4B). The Gdm moiety of SEPT2 Arg198

341   (residue corresponding to Thr302 in Cdc3) is also within ~3 Å of the backbone amide carbonyl of

His158 (Fig 4B, Table 1), and thus is equally well located to position His158. In CrSEPT and SEPT9•GTPγS, the Arg198 equivalent instead contacts the Glu202 equivalent (Fig 4B). Thus in some but not all septins the Gdm moiety of the α4 Arg is ideally positioned to contact the *trans* loop 1 His and thereby potentially bias partner choice during septin G dimerization. By contacting His262, Gdm bound near Thr302 in Cdc3 could bias Cdc3 towards homodimerization and away from heterodimerization with Cdc10.

To look for additional evidence in support of this model, we next asked which other residues are located in the vicinity (≤5 Å) of the *trans* loop 1 His in thirteen available septin crystal structures. The α4 helix was the only region to meet this criterion in every structure, and, as expected, when Arg was present at the position corresponding to ScCdc3 Thr302, it was always within 5 Å (Table 1). Apart from the α4 helix, the Switch II loop, and adjacent *trans* loop 1 residues, residues within 5 Å of the His fell within only two other regions: the P-loop and the Switch I loop (Table 1). Remarkably, these are precisely the regions wherein we found non-conservative G interface substitutions between fungal species that tolerate *CDC10* deletion and those that do not (Fig 4B). These observations provide strong support for the idea that Gdm binding between the ScCdc3 α4 helix and His262 mimics an ancestral evolutionary state and favors interaction with other similarly disposed Cdc3 molecules.

The GTPase properties of the Cdc3 subunit dictate septin subunit composition

Our data support a model in which Gdm influences whether or not septin complexes incorporate a central homodimer of mutant Cdc10 molecules by influencing whether the Cdc3 *trans* loop 1 contacts the Switch II loop of another Cdc3 molecule, or points toward the site where nucleotide is normally bound by Cdc10. This model is reminiscent of the conclusions of a previous study, in which we proposed that the conformation of the Switch II loop in Cdc12 biases choice of its G-dimer

partner (Cdc11 or Shs1)(58). In that study, we showed that a Switch II mutation in Cdc12 is sufficient

to bias partner choice. In an independent study (29), we found that a spontaneous mutation (D210G)

in the equivalent Switch II residue in Cdc3 restores the ability of Cdc3 to interact with a nucleotide-

free mutant Cdc10 at high temperatures. Like Gdm, the *cdc3(D210G)* mutation rescues the TS

phenotype of *cdc10(D182N)* cells, but unlike Gdm it does so by restoring, rather than bypassing,

incorporation of the mutant Cdc10 subunits; in other words, the Switch II mutation D210G biases

Cdc3 partner choice towards nucleotide-free Cdc10, whereas Gdm biases partner choice away from

it. Our model predicts that in *cdc3(D210G)* cells Gdm should be less able to exclude the mutant

Cdc10 than in cells expressing WT Cdc3, because the mutant Cdc3 Switch II will be unable to

accomplish the molecular contact(s) with the Cdc3 *trans* loop 1 that Gdm promotes. Indeed, Gdm

slightly reduced, but did not eliminate, Cdc10(D182N)-GFP localization to septin rings in

cdc3(D210G) cells (Fig 8A). These data are consistent with an important role for contacts between

the Switch II loop and the *trans* loop 1 during Cdc3 homodimerization promoted by Gdm.

Since Cdc12 is an active GTPase, and the Switch II changes conformation upon GTP hydrolysis,

we interpreted our previous results with Switch II-mutant Cdc12 as evidence that the Switch II

conformation normally "communicates" across the G interface the phosphorylation state of Cdc12's

bound nucleotide (58). We further bolstered this argument by mutating in Cdc12 a Thr residue in

the Switch I loop that is required for septin GTPase activity (39,58). Notably, Cdc3 lacks Thr in this

position. How could Gdm operate via Switch II conformation on Cdc3, a "dead" GTPase? We noticed

in our phylogenetic analysis of Cdc3 homologs in other fungal species that many possess the

"catalytic Thr" (S2 Fig). In fact, there was a perfect correlation between the presence of the catalytic

Thr (or Ser) and the α4 Arg whose Gdm group is presumably mimicked by Gdm in Cdc3 (S2 Fig).

Analogous to our model of Cdc12 G-partner choice via slow GTP hydrolysis (58), we reasoned that

in a species with an active "Cdc3" GTPase, a transient "Cdc3"•GTP molecule might prefer to

dimerize with "Cdc10" in that species, and "Cdc3"•GDP might prefer to form a homodimer, bypassing "Cdc10" incorporation into septin complexes.

According to this model, because *Saccharomyces*, *Ashbya*, and *Kluyveromyces* species express catalytically dead Cdc3 molecules, only Cdc3•GTP exists in these cells, and only Cdc10-containing hetero-octamers are made. In *Aspergillus nidulans*, on the other hand, the Cdc3 homolog, AspB, possesses the catalytic Thr (S2 Fig), and this species is known to produce a mix of octamers containing AspD (the Cdc10 homolog) and AspD-less hexamers (59). We replaced the GTPase domain of ScCdc3 (residues 95-341) with that of AspB (residues 6-234; S3 Fig) and assessed Cdc10 incorporation into complexes by measuring the ratio of Cdc10-mCherry to Shs1-GFP in septin rings of living cells. Consistent with our model, Cdc10-mCherry incorporation was markedly reduced compared to cells with WT Cdc3 (Fig 8B), indicating that the GTPase properties of AspB were sufficient to confer *Aspergillus*-like pathways of septin hetero-oligomer assembly, i.e., frequent bypass of Cdc10 incorporation.

S. cerevisiae cells expressing the Cdc3-AspB chimera as the only source of Cdc3 were unable to proliferate at 37ºC (Fig 8C). We hypothesized that this defect reflects misfolding at high temperature of the Cdc3-AspB chimera to a conformation incapable of interacting with itself or with Cdc10, and searched for spontaneous suppressors of the TS phenotype. We obtained a suppressor in which proliferation at 37ºC was restored (Fig 8C). Sequencing the coding region of Cdc10 revealed a single nucleotide change causing the amino acid substitution Q265H (Fig 8D); the Cdc3-AspB chimera was unchanged. In most septin structures, His in this position makes a critical contact across the G interface with a highly conserved Trp residue in the "Sep4" motif (3) (Fig 8E), the same Trp we mutated in the *cdc3(W364A)* mutant (see Fig 2D). Since Gln replaces His here in ScCdc10 (and in the other fungal species with putatively GTPase-dead Cdc3 homologs; Table 2), the Cdc3•GTP–Cdc10•GDP interface must involve a different kind of interaction. We interpret these

findings as evidence that stable association of Cdc10•GDP with GDP-bound Cdc3-AspB, rather

than the Cdc3•GTP with which Cdc10 co-evolved, requires His–Trp contacts between Sep4 motifs

of the sort found in other dimers between two GDP-bound septins. Indeed, incorporation of Cdc10-

mCherry into septin rings was partially restored in *cdc3-aspB cdc10(Q265H)* cells (Fig 8B). Finally,

Gdm was unable to fully rescue the TS phenotype of the *cdc3-aspB CDC10*^{+} strain (Fig 8C), as

expected if the site of Gdm action is occluded by the α4 Arg present in the Cdc3-AspB chimera.

These data provide further support for the idea that during evolution ScCdc3 lost the ability to

hydrolyze GTP to GDP and, consequently, the option of assembling septin complexes without a

central Cdc10 homodimer.

Table 2. Co-variation among fungal species of the "catalytic Thr" in Cdc3 homologs and His in the Cdc10 *trans* loop 1.

Species	Amino acid at position corresponding to ScCdc3 Lys181	Amino acid at position corresponding to ScCdc10 Lys155	Amino acid at position corresponding to ScCdc10 Gln265
S. cerevisiae	Lys	Lys	Gln
A. gossypii	Lys	Lys	Gln
K. lactis	Lys	Lys	Gln
C. albicans	Ser	Gln	Gln
A. nidulans	Thr	His	His
C. cinerea	Thr	His	His
U. maydis	Thr	His	His
N. crassa	Thr	His	His
M. oryzae	Thr	His	His
F. graminearum	Thr	His	His
C. neoformans	Thr	His	His
S. pombe	Thr	His	His

The GTPase domains of Cdc3 and AspB are <50% identical, and differ at many more positions than

the five identified by our phylogenetic analysis as co-varying with the α4 Arg and the catalytic Thr

(S3 Fig). To ask if those five differences are sufficient to direct Cdc10 bypass during septin

assembly, we used CRISPR-Cas9 to cut the endogenous *CDC3* coding sequence and, via

homologous recombination, replace most of it with a "recoded" gene encoding the same polypeptide

sequence but using numerous synonymous codons, or with a similarly "recoded" gene additionally

encoding the five substitutions (P127E D128S K181T T302R Q306D). Recoding allowed us to

obtain transformants in which recombination occurred at the ends of our donor templates, rather

than in sequences immediately flanking the cut site, thus promoting incorporation of all five

substitutions. Nonetheless, we also obtained a transformant in which only two substitutions were

incorporated, T302R Q306D, and we included this strain in our subsequent analysis. The recoded

control strain and the *P127E D128S K181T T302R Q306D* and *T302R Q306D* mutant strains were

indistinguishable from the parental *CDC3*^{+} strain in terms of growth at all tested temperatures, and

also with regard to the relative amounts of Cdc10-mCherry incorporated into septin rings (S4 Fig).

Thus, although we cannot rule out the possibility that recoding with synonymous codons alters Cdc3

co-translational folding in some way that masks effects of the amino acid changes we introduced, it

appears that additional sequence changes are needed to "reverse evolution" and restore the ability

of Cdc3 to homodimerize robustly *in vivo*.

DISCUSSION

Septin G dimer partner selection by "tuning" the *trans* loop 1 His via α4 Arg or Gdm

We propose that Gdm binding adjacent to ScCdc3 Thr302 provides molecular contacts that position

His262 to interact in *trans* with an Asp residue from a septin Switch II loop in a "GTP state", such

as that provided by another Cdc3•GTP. The spontaneous mutation we previously found to promote

Cdc3 homodimerization (23) alters a *trans* loop 1 residue immediately adjacent to Cdc3 His262,

Gly261. Val in this position probably also "tunes" the configuration of His262 to favor Cdc3

homodimerization via interaction with Cdc3 Asp201. Specific configurations of the Switch II loop

clearly dictate G dimer partner choice, as mutating the residue corresponding to Cdc3 Asp210

promotes both stable Cdc3 heterodimerization with nucleotide-free Cdc10 (29) and biased selection

of Shs1 by Cdc12 (58). Mutating a nearby Asp in the Switch II loop of human SEPT7 also allows

homodimerization when only a non-hydrolyzable GTP analog is available (60). "Tuning" by Gdm via

cis interactions presumably occurs prior to G dimerization, and is likely a transient event: once the

trans loop 1 His has engaged either the Switch II Asp or nucleotide (or nearby where nucleotide

normally binds, in the case of nucleotide-free mutant Cdc10), the Arg–His interaction probably need

not persist post-dimerization. It follows that Gdm may not remain bound to Cdc3 following G

dimerization, and thus falls under a broad definition of a "pharmacological" or "chemical" chaperone.

Since in otherwise WT cells Gdm promotes bypass of mutant Cdc10 molecules with substitutions

in various locations in the G interface and nucleotide-binding pocket (S1 Fig), but not WT Cdc10

(Fig 2), additional contacts between WT Cdc10•GDP and Cdc3•GTP likely favor Cdc3–Cdc10

heterodimerization over Cdc3 homodimerization despite the presence of Gdm. Poorer GdnHCl

rescue of *cdc10(G44D)* (P-loop mutant) relative to *cdc10(D182N)* (G4 mutant) and *cdc10(G100E)*

(Switch II mutant) (S1 Fig) may reflect a comparatively better ability of Cdc10(G44D) molecules to,

despite temperature-induced misfolding, occupy the Cdc3 G interface and resist exclusion, thereby

interfering with hexamer assembly. Bound nucleotide itself may provide the key contacts that

distinguish WT Cdc10 from the mutants, with Cdc10(G44D) subunits being more successful than

the other mutants at binding nucleotide. By contrast, swapping the GTPase domain of Cdc3 for that

of AspB was sufficient to promote bypass of WT Cdc10 (Fig 8B), consistent with the idea that

Cdc3•Gdm only partially mimics the ancestral homodimer configuration. Finally, introducing into

Cdc3 the five residues (including the catalytic Thr and α4 Arg) that are found in Cdc3 homologs in

species that tolerate loss of the Cdc10 homolog was unable to drive exclusion of Cdc10 from septin

complexes (S4 Fig). Similarly, Cdc12 natively possesses four of these five residues (S3 Fig), yet

forcing septin hexamer assembly via non-native Cdc12 homodimers (by deleting *SHS1* and *CDC11*)

results in *S. cerevisiae* cells that, like *cdc10Δ*, cannot proliferate at 37ºC (23). Clearly GTPase

activity and the presence of these residues is insufficient for robust septin homodimerization, and

additional changes are likely key.

Insights into major events during septin evolution

Although septins are absent from land plants, protein transport across the outer envelope

membrane of chloroplasts is mediated by TOC complexes containing a small GTPase subunit,

Toc34, that shares high structural homology to septins. Others have noted (56) that the septin *trans*

loop 1 is in a very similar position to a Toc34 interface loop that makes key contacts with nucleotide

bound by the partner protein across a homodimer interface (S5 Fig). In both pea (*Pisum sativum*)

and *Arabidopsis thaliana* an Arg residue in the Toc α4 helix projects towards the homodimer

interface, to within 5 Å of the *trans* loop 1 equivalent (S5 Fig). Arg in this position is conserved

among all Toc34 homologs (S4 Fig). We conclude that Arg-mediated α4 helix tuning of the *trans*

loop 1 for specific dimerization events across the nucleotide-binding-pocket-containing interface is

an evolutionarily ancient mechanism that predated the appearance of septins *per se*.

Following loss of the α4 Arg in the fungal lineage giving rise to *S. cerevisiae*, *A. gossypii*, and *K.*

lactis, the amino acid at the position equivalent to ScCdc3 Thr302 diverged rapidly. Ser or Ala

appear in its place in other species within the genus *Saccharomyces*, which share >86% sequence

identity with ScCdc3 (S6 Fig). By comparison, within the genus *Candida* Arg is invariant among 6

species sharing as little as 35% identity with *C. albicans* Cdc3 (S6 Fig). These observations are

consistent with the notion that Thr in this position does not make specific structural contributions to

septin assembly.

One clear prediction from this model is that in the two fungal lineages distinguished by the presence

or absence of the Cdc3 "catalytic" Thr, the Cdc10 subunit should have evolved differently, to

recognize in one case only Cdc3•GTP and, in the other, either Cdc3•GTP and Cdc3•GDP. Our

unbiased identification of the *cdc10(Q265H)* mutation in cells expressing the Cdc3-AspB chimera

provided one example of how Cdc10 adapted to interacting with a Cdc3 subunit "fixed" in the GTP-

bound state. Others previously noted (39) that ScCdc10 is unusual among septins in that it has Lys

rather than His in the *trans* loop 1. If the residue in this position acts to promote interaction with a G

dimer partner in a specific nucleotide state, this may explain why Lys in this position is shared by AgCdc10 and KlCdc10, but not any of the Cdc10 homologs in the fungal species in which the Cdc3 homolog retains the "catalytic Thr" (Table 2). Co-variation of these residues likely reflects co-evolution. S7A Fig illustrates a possible pathway of such changes during septin evolution.

Were Cdc10-less hexamers specifically selected against during evolution of a fungal lineage? Compared to other closely-related fungi, *Ashbya*, *Kluyveromyces*, and *Saccharomyces* share little in common with regard to the cellular functions in which septins are known to operate, such as polarity, morphogenesis, cytokinesis, or sporulation. Hence it is hard to imagine how losing septin hexamers could provide a specific selective advantage to a common ancestor, though this may reflect our incomplete understanding of what septin hexamers do differently than septin octamers.

Many human cell types also natively produce both hexamers and octamers (61–65). Incorporation of a subunit from the SEPT3 group (SEPT3, SEPT9, or SEPT12) appears to confer functions to human hetero-octamers that hexamers lack, such as microtubule bundling (66), vesicle trafficking in neurons (67), directing proper spermatogenesis (68), and membrane abscission at the end of cytokinesis (69). Human and yeast septin octamers were thought to differ in where within the complex the "extra" subunits incorporate, with SEPT3-group septins like SEPT9 occupying the "terminal" positions at both octamer ends (65). However, two recent reports (70,71) suggest that in fact SEPT3-group septins form a central homodimer flanked by SEPT7, with SEPT6 and SEPT2 occupying the penultimate and terminal positions, respectively. In this "revised" organization, the GTPase-dead subunit position (the SEPT6 group) corresponds to that of Cdc12, and the Cdc3 position is occupied by SEPT7, an active GTPase (see S7B Fig). Notably, a mutation (S63N) that doubles SEPT7 GTPase activity in vitro shifts assembly in vivo from primarily hetero-octamers to smaller complexes (61), consistent with our model that septins in the GDP-bound state are prone to homodimerization across the G interface and exclusion of intervening subunits.

Cdc10 and SEPT9 fall into one ancient evolutionary clade, whereas Cdc3, Cdc12, SEPT6 and

SEPT7 all appear to have distinct origins (4). Thus the loss of septin GTPase activity occurred

multiple times independently. By demonstrating that GTPase activity facilitates promiscuity during

dimerization, and thereby expands the repertoire of septin hetero-oligomers, our findings provide

the first molecular rationale for the evolution of GTPase-dead septins: to narrow the variety of

building blocks available for higher-order assemblies. A similar logic may explain the most famous

example of loss of GTPase activity of a cytoskeletal protein, that of the α subunit of the tubulin

heterodimer.

Chemical rescue by guanidine hydrochloride *in vivo*

The ability of Gdm to occupy vacancies created by the mutation of Arg residues is well documented

in vitro for a wide variety of enzymes (40–42,55,72–77), but effects of GdnHCl on living cells were

limited to Gdm binding at sites in WT proteins or nucleic acids: in the ATP-binding pocket of Hsp104

and its relatives (78), in the intracellular pore of voltage-gated potassium channels (79), in the

enteroviral 2C protein (80), and, most recently, in bacterial riboswitches that sense intracellular Gdm

and induce a cellular response to detoxify it (81). The function of a rationally-designed Arg-mutant

kinase can be rescued *in vitro* by GdnHCl (82), and the same mutant is rescued by imidazole *in vivo*

(83), but our findings that GdnHCl can functionally replace an Arg in Cdc3 represent the first

documented case of "chemical rescue" by GdnHCl *in vivo*. In yeast cells cultured in 1 mM GdnHCl

the intracellular concentration is ~20 mM (84); under such conditions Gdm likely binds to hundreds

or thousands of proteins, with few adverse effects. Indeed, GdnHCl is approved by the Food and

Drug Administration of the USA for use in humans, and at low doses has few serious side effects

(85). Exploiting the ability of Gdm to replace Arg in living cells represents a compelling area for

future research, particularly as a possible therapeutic "pharmacoperone" (86). Finally, given the

evidence that bacteria evolved ways to manage intracellular Gdm (including actively exporting it

(87)), Gdm could, like the naturally occurring osmolyte trimethylamine N-oxide (88) and the protein

chaperone Hsp90 (89), influence evolution by buffering against the phenotypic consequences of

mutations.

 MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fungal strains and plasmids

The sources and genotypes for all fungal strains and plasmids are listed in the Supporting

Information (S1 Table). Primer and synthetic gene sequences are listed in S2 Table. Yeast were

transformed using the Frozen-EZ Yeast Transformation II Kit (Zymo Research). Genetic

manipulations were otherwise performed according to standard methods (90), except for the

creation of the *cdc3-aspB* chimera and the *CDC3(P127E D128S K181T T302R Q306D)* and

CDC3(T302R Q306D) strains and associated "recoded" control strain, which were made in yeast

strain JTY5397 using CRISPR-Cas9 cleavage of the *CDC3* locus and repair with PCR products as

donor templates, following an established protocol (91) and using plasmid pEM-CDC3-CRISPR1, a

gift of Ed Marcotte, which encodes Cas9 and a guide RNA targeting nucleotides 1039-1069 of the

CDC3 ORF (5' GATATTGTAGAGAACTACAG 3'). To create the donor template for the *cdc3-aspB*

chimera, a portion of the *aspB* coding sequence, the *aspB* plasmid pRL10, which was a gift of

Michelle Momany and is based on the yeast two-hybrid vector pGBKT7 (Takara Bio/Clontech), was

used as template for a PCR reaction with Q5 polymerase (New England Biolabs) and primers

Cdc3AspBfw and Cdc3AspBextend1 according to the polymerase manufacturer's instructions. The

resulting product, which included the sequence encoding part of AspB flanked by *CDC3* sequences,

was used as template for a second Q5 reaction with primers Cdc3AspBfw and

Cdc3GTPase_extend2, in order to extend the *CDC3* homology to span the site of the Cas9 cut. To

create the donor template for the *CDC3(P127E D128S K181T T302R Q306D)* strain and its

"recoded" control, an 870-nucleotide segment of the Cdc3 ORF corresponding to the GTPase

domain was synthesized (Integrated DNA Technologies) with multiple synonymous codons

replacing the native sequence (S2 Table), representing 67 nucleotide changes but no amino acid

substitutions. An otherwise identical sequence also including the *P127E D128S K181T T302R*

Q306D mutations was also synthesized (S2 Table). Donor template PCRs were done with Q5 and

primers G1KTTRQDfw and Cdc3recodere (S2 Table). Transformants were screened by PCR of the

CDC3 locus and subsequent sequencing. Low-copy, *LEU2*-marked *CDC3* or *CDC3-GFP* plasmids

were pFM831 (39) or pML109 (26). Plasmids YCpHLcdc3(T302R)-GFP and YCpHLcdc3(T302V)-

GFP were made via site-directed mutagenesis using template plasmid pML109 by Keyclone

Technologies (San Marcos, CA). Low-copy, *kanMX*-marked plasmid YCpK-Cdc10-1-GFP, encoding

cdc10(D182N)-GFP, was described previously (30).

Media and additives

S. cerevisiae media: Rich growth medium was YPD (1% yeast extract (#Y20020, Research

Products International Corp., Mount Prospect, IL), 2% peptone (#P20241, RPI Corp.), 2% dextrose

(#G32045, RPI Corp.)). Synthetic growth medium was based on YC (0.1 g/L Arg, Leu, Lys, Thr, Trp

and uracil; 0.05 g/L Asp, His, Ile, Met, Phe, Pro, Ser, Tyr and Val; 0.01 g/L adenine; 1.7 g/L Yeast

Nitrogen Base (YNB) without amino acids or ammonium sulfate; 5 g/L ammonium sulfate; 2%

dextrose) with individual components (from Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, or RPI Corp.) eliminated

as appropriate for plasmid selection. For solid media, agar (#A20030, RPI Corp.) was added to 2%.

For counterselection against *URA3*, 5-fluoro-orotic acid monohydrate (#F5050, United States

Biological, Salem, MA) was added to modified YC medium (1 g/L Pro in place of ammonium sulfate,

0.02 g/L uracil) to final 0.6 g/L. For counterselection against *LYS2*, α-aminoadipate (#A1374-09, US

Biological) at 2 g/L replaced ammonium sulfate in YC medium containing only YNB, uracil, His, Leu,

Lys, Met, Trp, and dextrose. G418 sulfate (Geneticin®, #G1000, US Biological) was added to YPD

at 200 μg/mL for selection of *kanMX*.

A. gossypii media: Ashbya strains were grown in 10 mL Ashbya Full Medium (AFM, 1% casein

peptone, 1% yeast casein extract, 2% dextrose and 0.1% myo-inositol) containing ampicillin (100

μg/mL), CloNAT (50 μg/mL) and G-418 (200 μg/mL). For imaging, cells were washed into 2X low-

fluorescence minimal medium (per liter: 3.4 g YNB without amino acids or ammonium sulfate, 2 g

Asp, 2 g myo-inositol, 40 g dextrose, 20 mg adenine, 21 g 3-(N-morpholino)propanesulfonic acid

(MOPS), pH adjusted to 7.0 with sodium hydroxide).

GdnHCl (#G4505, Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), ArgHCl (#A5131, Sigma Aldrich), aminoguanidine

HCl (#sc-202931, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) and N-ethylguanidine HCl (#sc-

269833, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) were dissolved in water.

Growth curves

Growth curves were generated using a Cytation 3 plate reader (BioTek, Winooski, Vermont) as

described previously (92) using a starting overnight culture of the *cdc10(D182N)* strain CBY06417

grown at 22°C prior to dilution into 200 µl YPD medium in each well.

Immunofluorescence

Immunofluoresence was performed as described previously (93) using an anti-Cdc11 primary

antibody (#sc7170, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) and Alexa-Fluor 488-labeled anti-

rabbit secondary antibody (#20012, Biotium, Inc., Fremont, CA) at a 1:5000 and 1:1000 dilution,

respectively.

Electron microscopy

Cells cultured at 37°C in YPD medium with 3 mM GdnHCl were collected by vacuum filtration and

vitrified by high pressure freezing using a HPM100 (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany)

apparatus. The frozen cells were cryo-substituted using a Leica ASF2 device in a medium

containing osmium tetroxide (1%), water (5%) and uranyl acetate (0.1%) in acetone using a protocol

described elsewhere (94). The cells were embedded into Epon before being sectioned into 50 nm

sections using an ultramicrotome UC6 (Leica) equipped with a 4.5 mm diamond knife (Diatome,

Hatfield, PA). The resulting sections were deposited onto copper electron microscopy grids (mesh

size of 100). The images were collected with a 120 kV Lab6 microscope (Technai Spirit, FEI,

Eindhoven, Netherlands) equipped with a CCD Quemesa camera (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan).

Fluorescence microscopy

All images of *S. cerevisiae* were captured using an EVOSfl all-in-one microscope (Advanced

Microscopy Group, Mill Creek, Washington) using a 60X objective and Texas Red, RFP, or GFP

LED/filter cubes, as described previously (30). Bud neck fluorescence was quantified using line

scans as described previously (30,58). Intensity values or ratios thereof were plotted using

GraphPad Prism 8.0, using the medium smoothing (kernel density) setting for violin plots. When

necessary for presentation, images were inverted and brightness- and contrast-adjusted using

Adobe Photoshop (Adobe Systems Incorporated, San Jose, California), always the same way for

every image of the same type.

Ashbya cells in minimal low-fluorescence medium were mounted onto 2% agarose gel pads and

the edges were sealed with Valap (a 1:1:1 mixture of vaseline, lanolin, and paraffin). Images were

acquired using a Zeiss Axioimager-M1 upright light microscope (Carl Zeiss, Jenna, Germany)

equipped with a Plan-Apochromat 63X/1.4 numerical aperture oil objective and an Exfo X-Cite 120

lamp. Fluorescence imaging was performed using Zeiss 38HE filter cubes (GFP). Images were

acquired using an Orca-AG charge-coupled device (CCD) camera driven by μManager.

Expression, purification and analysis of recombinant septins

BL21 Star™(DE3) *E. coli* cells (ThermoFisher Scientific # C601003) were transformed with plasmids

pMVB121 (encoding 6xHis-Cdc12) and pMVB133 (encoding untagged Cdc3 and Cdc11) and grown

overnight in 3 mL LB medium (per L: 10 g tryptone, 5 g yeast extract, 10 g NaCl) with ampicillin (50 μg/mL), chloramphenicol (34 μg/mL), and varying amounts of GdnHCl (from 0 mM to 96 mM) at 37ºC in glass culture tubes with agitation. Growth was assessed qualitatively and was equivalent for all cultures, so 100 mM was chosen as the concentration for subsequent experiments. 1-L LB cultures with ampicillin, chloramphenicol and with or without 100 mM GdnHCl were grown at 37ºC with shaking to OD_{600} between 0.6 and 1.0, at which point IPTG was added to 0.1 mM and the culture was incubated overnight. Cells were collected by centrifugation, resuspended in a minimal volume of lysis buffer (300 mM NaCl, 2 mM $MgCl_2$, 40 μM GTP, 1 mM EDTA, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 0.5% Tween-20, 12% glycerol, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0) and frozen by dripping into liquid nitrogen. Cells were thawed in 30 mL lysis buffer containing protease inhibitors (Complete EDTA-free, Roche #11836170001) and lysed with a French press. Lysates were clarified by centrifugation at 12,000xg at 4ºC and mixed with 200 μL of a slurry of Ni^{2+}-NTA agarose (Qiagen #30210) that had been equilibrated in lysis buffer. After rotating for 1 hour at 4ºC, beads were collected by centrifugation, washed two times with 5 mL of 300 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, 0.1% Tween-20, and 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0. The beads were poured into a column and the septin complex was eluted in fractions from the beads with 500 mM NaCl, 40 μM GTP, 500 mM imidazole, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0. Aliquots of fractions were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie staining, and imidazole was removed from the fraction containing the peak of septin complex via buffer exchange into 500 mM NaCl, 40 μM GTP, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0 using a PD-10 column (GE Healthcare LifeSciences # 17085101). For the complex produced in the presence of GdnHCl, 100 mM GdnHCl was included in all buffers. The purified complex in high salt was pre-cleared by centrifugation at 100,000xg for 1 hour at 4ºC. To initiate filament polymerization, 200 μL was diluted 5-fold with a low-salt buffer (40 μM GTP, 40 μM $MgCl_2$, 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0 with or without 100 mM GdnHCl) and incubated at 4ºC overnight before centrifugation at 100,000xg for 1 hour at 4ºC. The supernatant was carefully removed and the pelleted material was resuspended in SDS-PAGE

loading buffer. Molecular weight marker was Chameleon Duo Pre-stained Protein Ladder, LiCor

#928-60000.

Immunoblotting

SDS-PAGE gels were transferred to low-fluorescence polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (Bio-Rad

#162-0264) with a semi-dry transfer device (Bio-Rad # 1703940) according to the manufacturer's

instructions. The membrane was blocked with Odyssey Blocking Buffer (LiCor # 927-50000) and

incubated with anti-Cdc11 primary antibody (#sc7170, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA)

and Alexa-Fluor 488-labeled anti-rabbit secondary antibody (Anti Rabbit IgG DyLight 800, Cell

Signaling Technology #5257) at a 1:1,000 and 1:10,000 dilution, respectively. After washing, the

membrane was scanned with an infrared scanner (LiCor Odyssey).

***In silico* docking, structure prediction, and analysis**

Visualization and rendering of structures in PDB format was performed using MacPyMol

(Schrödinger, New York, NY). Residues within 5 Å of other residues were identified using the

"around" command. Structure prediction for ScCdc3 was performed using the I-TASSER server

(http://zhanglab.ccmb.med.umich.edu/I-TASSER/) (95) and ScCdc3 sequence truncated to amino

acids 116-411 to match the template model, SEPT2•GppNHp (PDB 3FTQ). Molecular docking was

performed using a local installation of AutoDock Vina (96) via Chimera 1.11

(http://www.rbvi.ucsf.edu/chimera) (97), using a search volume containing the entire "receptor"

model.

Sequence alignments

Multiple alignments were performed using the COBALT tool at the NCBI server

(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/cobalt/) or, for sequences of yeast species not available via

NCBI, using the "Fungal Alignment" function at the Yeast Genome Database (98). In some cases, sequences obtained via the Yeast Genome Database were aligned with other sequences via COBALT.

DNA sequencing

Genomic DNA from the *cdc3-AspB* suppressor strain was isolated as described previously and used as template in Q5 PCR reactions with primers 5'cdc3fw and 3'cdc3re, or 5'cdc10fw and 3'cdc10re performed according to the polymerase manufacturer's instructions. Following treatment with alkaline phosphatase and Exonuclease I (Thermo Scientific Fermentas), the purified PCR product was directly sequenced at the sequencing facility of the Barbara Davis Center for Childhood Obesity, with the same primers used for amplification and, for *CDC10*, with primer cdc10midfw. To confirm correct strain construction sequences of other loci were performed in an equivalent manner with appropriate primers (S2 Table).

Choice of sample sizes and statistical analysis

Sample sizes (*n*, number of cells) for fluorescence microscopy were chosen based on our ability to detect decreases in bud neck localization in our previous studies (30,58). Analysis of effect sizes and generation of effect size plots were performed using DABEST (data analysis with bootstrap-coupled estimation) (99) via the online server at http://www.estimationstats.com. Other violin plots were generated with Prism 8.0 (Graphpad) using the "medium" smoothing/kernel density setting.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Michelle Momany (University of Georgia, USA) for fruitful discussions about septin evolution and sharing unpublished reagents. The UCSF Chimera software package is developed by the Resource for Biocomputing, Visualization, and Informatics at the University of California, San

Francisco (supported by NIGMS P41-GM103311). We also acknowledge the PICT-IBiSA (Institut

Curie, Paris).

REFERENCES

1. Onishi M, Pringle JR. The nonopisthokont septins: How many there are, how little we know about
them, and how we might learn more. Methods Cell Biol. 2016;136:1–19.

2. Nishihama R, Onishi M, Pringle JR. New insights into the phylogenetic distribution and
evolutionary origins of the septins. Biol Chem. 2011 Aug;392(8–9):681–7.

3. Pan F, Malmberg RL, Momany M. Analysis of septins across kingdoms reveals orthology and new
motifs. BMC Evol Biol. 2007;7:103.

4. Auxier B, Dee J, Berbee ML, Momany M. Diversity of opisthokont septin proteins reveals
structural constraints and conserved motifs. BMC Evol Biol. 2019 07;19(1):4.

5. Mostowy S, Cossart P. Septins: the fourth component of the cytoskeleton. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.
2012 Mar;13(3):183–94.

6. Oh Y, Bi E. Septin structure and function in yeast and beyond. Trends Cell Biol. 2011
Mar;21(3):141–8.

7. Fung KYY, Dai L, Trimble WS. Cell and molecular biology of septins. Int Rev Cell Mol Biol.
2014;310:289–339.

8. Dolat L, Hu Q, Spiliotis ET. Septin functions in organ system physiology and pathology. Biol Chem.
2014 Feb;395(2):123–41.

9. Saarikangas J, Barral Y. The emerging functions of septins in metazoans. EMBO Rep. 2011
Nov;12(11):1118–26.

10. Nakahira M, Macedo JNA, Seraphim TV, Cavalcante N, Souza TACB, Damalio JCP, et al. A draft of
the human septin interactome. PloS One. 2010;5(11):e13799.

11. Versele M, Gullbrand B, Shulewitz MJ, Cid VJ, Bahmanyar S, Chen RE, et al. Protein-protein
interactions governing septin heteropentamer assembly and septin filament organization in
Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol Biol Cell. 2004 Oct;15(10):4568–83.

12. Farkasovsky M, Herter P, Voss B, Wittinghofer A. Nucleotide binding and filament assembly of
recombinant yeast septin complexes. Biol Chem. 2005 Jul;386(7):643–56.

13. Leipe DD, Wolf YI, Koonin EV, Aravind L. Classification and evolution of P-loop GTPases and
related ATPases. J Mol Biol. 2002 Mar 15;317(1):41–72.

14. Sirajuddin M, Farkasovsky M, Hauer F, Kühlmann D, Macara IG, Weyand M, et al. Structural
insight into filament formation by mammalian septins. Nature. 2007 Sep 20;449(7160):311–5.

15. Bertin A, McMurray MA, Grob P, Park S-S, Garcia G, Patanwala I, et al. Saccharomyces cerevisiae
septins: supramolecular organization of heterooligomers and the mechanism of filament
assembly. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008 Jun 17;105(24):8274–9.

16. Mitchison TJ, Field CM. Cytoskeleton: what does GTP do for septins? Curr Biol CB. 2002 Nov
19;12(22):R788-790.

17.   Pablo-Hernando ME, Arnaiz-Pita Y, Tachikawa H, del Rey F, Neiman AM, Vázquez de Aldana CR.
Septins localize to microtubules during nutritional limitation in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. BMC
Cell Biol. 2008;9:55.

18.   De Virgilio C, DeMarini DJ, Pringle JR. SPR28, a sixth member of the septin gene family in
Saccharomyces cerevisiae that is expressed specifically in sporulating cells. Microbiol Read Engl.
1996 Oct;142 (Pt 10):2897–905.

19.   Fares H, Goetsch L, Pringle JR. Identification of a developmentally regulated septin and
involvement of the septins in spore formation in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J Cell Biol. 1996
Feb;132(3):399–411.

20.   Heasley LR, McMurray MA. Roles of septins in prospore membrane morphogenesis and spore
wall assembly in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol Biol Cell. 2016 Feb 1;27(3):442–50.

21.   Garcia G, Finnigan GC, Heasley LR, Sterling SM, Aggarwal A, Pearson CG, et al. Assembly,
molecular organization, and membrane-binding properties of development-specific septins. J Cell
Biol. 2016 Feb 29;212(5):515–29.

22.   Kulak NA, Pichler G, Paron I, Nagaraj N, Mann M. Minimal, encapsulated proteomic-sample
processing applied to copy-number estimation in eukaryotic cells. Nat Methods. 2014
Mar;11(3):319–24.

23.   McMurray MA, Bertin A, Garcia G 3rd, Lam L, Nogales E, Thorner J. Septin filament formation is
essential in budding yeast. Dev Cell. 2011 Apr 19;20(4):540–9.

24.   Hartwell LH. Genetic control of the cell division cycle in yeast. IV. Genes controlling bud
emergence and cytokinesis. Exp Cell Res. 1971 Dec;69(2):265–76.

25.   McMurray MA. Assays for genetic dissection of septin filament assembly in yeast, from de novo
folding through polymerization. Methods Cell Biol. 2016;136:99–116.

26.   Nagaraj S, Rajendran A, Jackson CE, Longtine MS. Role of nucleotide binding in septin-septin
interactions and septin localization in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol Cell Biol. 2008
Aug;28(16):5120–37.

27.   Iwase M, Luo J, Bi E, Toh-e A. Shs1 plays separable roles in septin organization and cytokinesis in
Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics. 2007 Sep;177(1):215–29.

28.   Garcia G 3rd, Bertin A, Li Z, Song Y, McMurray MA, Thorner J, et al. Subunit-dependent
modulation of septin assembly: budding yeast septin Shs1 promotes ring and gauze formation. J
Cell Biol. 2011 Dec 12;195(6):993–1004.

29.   Weems AD, Johnson CR, Argueso JL, McMurray MA. Higher-Order Septin Assembly Is Driven by
GTP-Promoted Conformational Changes: Evidence From Unbiased Mutational Analysis in
Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics. 2014 Mar;196(3):711–27.

30.   Johnson CR, Weems AD, Brewer JM, Thorner J, McMurray MA. Cytosolic chaperones mediate
quality control of higher-order septin assembly in budding yeast. Mol Biol Cell. 2015 Feb 11;

31.   Sweeny EA, Shorter J. Mechanistic and Structural Insights into the Prion-Disaggregase Activity of
Hsp104. J Mol Biol. 2016 May 8;428(9 Pt B):1870–85.

32. Derkatch IL, Bradley ME, Zhou P, Chernoff YO, Liebman SW. Genetic and environmental factors
affecting the de novo appearance of the [PSI+] prion in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics. 1997
Oct;147(2):507–19.

33. Jung G, Masison DC. Guanidine hydrochloride inhibits Hsp104 activity in vivo: a possible
explanation for its effect in curing yeast prions. Curr Microbiol. 2001 Jul;43(1):7–10.

34. Erives AJ, Fassler JS. Metabolic and chaperone gene loss marks the origin of animals: evidence for
Hsp104 and Hsp78 chaperones sharing mitochondrial enzymes as clients. PloS One.
2015;10(2):e0117192.

35. Tuite MF, Mundy CR, Cox BS. Agents that cause a high frequency of genetic change from [psi+] to
[psi-] in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics. 1981 Aug;98(4):691–711.

36. Longtine MS, Bi E. Regulation of septin organization and function in yeast. Trends Cell Biol. 2003
Aug;13(8):403–9.

37. Bolanos-Garcia VM, Davies OR. Structural analysis and classification of native proteins from E.
coli commonly co-purified by immobilised metal affinity chromatography. Biochim Biophys Acta
BBA - Gen Subj. 2006 Sep 1;1760(9):1304–13.

38. Saito Y, Wada A. Comparative study of GuHCl denaturation of globular proteins. II. A
phenomenological classification of denaturation profiles of 17 proteins. Biopolymers. 1983
Sep;22(9):2123–32.

39. Sirajuddin M, Farkasovsky M, Zent E, Wittinghofer A. GTP-induced conformational changes in
septins and implications for function. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009 Sep 29;106(39):16592–7.

40. Baldwin E, Baase WA, Zhang X j, Feher V, Matthews BW. Generation of ligand binding sites in T4
lysozyme by deficiency-creating substitutions. J Mol Biol. 1998 Mar 27;277(2):467–85.

41. Dugdale ML, Vance ML, Wheatley RW, Driedger MR, Nibber A, Tran A, et al. Importance of Arg-
599 of β-galactosidase (Escherichia coli) as an anchor for the open conformations of Phe-601 and
the active-site loop. Biochem Cell Biol Biochim Biol Cell. 2010 Dec;88(6):969–79.

42. Phillips MA, Hedstrom L, Rutter WJ. Guanidine derivatives restore activity to carboxypeptidase
lacking arginine-127. Protein Sci Publ Protein Soc. 1992 Apr;1(4):517–21.

43. Vargas-Muñiz JM, Renshaw H, Richards AD, Lamoth F, Soderblom EJ, Moseley MA, et al. The
Aspergillus fumigatus septins play pleiotropic roles in septation, conidiation, and cell wall stress,
but are dispensable for virulence. Fungal Genet Biol FG B. 2015 Aug;81:41–51.

44. Hernández-Rodríguez Y, Hastings S, Momany M. The septin AspB in Aspergillus nidulans forms
bars and filaments and plays roles in growth emergence and conidiation. Eukaryot Cell. 2012
Mar;11(3):311–23.

45. Warenda AJ, Konopka JB. Septin function in Candida albicans morphogenesis. Mol Biol Cell. 2002
Aug;13(8):2732–46.

46. Kozubowski L, Heitman J. Septins enforce morphogenetic events during sexual reproduction and
contribute to virulence of Cryptococcus neoformans. Mol Microbiol. 2010 Feb;75(3):658–75.

47. Chen A, Xie Q, Lin Y, Xu H, Shang W, Zhang J, et al. Septins are involved in nuclear division,
morphogenesis and pathogenicity in Fusarium graminearum. Fungal Genet Biol FG B. 2016
Sep;94:79–87.

48. Berepiki A, Read ND. Septins are important for cell polarity, septation and asexual spore
formation in Neurospora crassa and show different patterns of localisation at germ tube tips.
PloS One. 2013;8(5):e63843.

49. An H, Morrell JL, Jennings JL, Link AJ, Gould KL. Requirements of fission yeast septins for complex
formation, localization, and function. Mol Biol Cell. 2004 Dec;15(12):5551–64.

50. Alvarez-Tabarés I, Pérez-Martín J. Septins from the phytopathogenic fungus Ustilago maydis are
required for proper morphogenesis but dispensable for virulence. PloS One. 2010;5(9):e12933.

51. Dagdas YF, Yoshino K, Dagdas G, Ryder LS, Bielska E, Steinberg G, et al. Septin-mediated plant cell
invasion by the rice blast fungus, Magnaporthe oryzae. Science. 2012 Jun 22;336(6088):1590–5.

52. Shioya T, Nakamura H, Ishii N, Takahashi N, Sakamoto Y, Ozaki N, et al. The Coprinopsis cinerea
septin Cc.Cdc3 is involved in stipe cell elongation. Fungal Genet Biol FG B. 2013 Oct;58–59:80–
90.

53. Rippert D, Heinisch JJ. Investigation of the role of four mitotic septins and chitin synthase 2 for
cytokinesis in Kluyveromyces lactis. Fungal Genet Biol FG B. 2016 Sep;94:69–78.

54. Helfer H, Gladfelter AS. AgSwe1p Regulates Mitosis in Response to Morphogenesis and Nutrients
in Multinucleated Ashbya gossypii Cells. Mol Biol Cell. 2006 Oct 1;17(10):4494–512.

55. Rynkiewicz MJ, Seaton BA. Chemical rescue by guanidine derivatives of an arginine-substituted
site-directed mutant of Escherichia coli ornithine transcarbamylase. Biochemistry. 1996 Dec
17;35(50):16174–9.

56. Weirich CS, Erzberger JP, Barral Y. The septin family of GTPases: architecture and dynamics. Nat
Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2008 Jun;9(6):478–89.

57. Pinto APA, Pereira HM, Zeraik AE, Ciol H, Ferreira FM, Brandão-Neto J, et al. Filaments and
fingers: Novel structural aspects of the single septin from Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. J Biol
Chem. 2017 Jun 30;292(26):10899–911.

58. Weems A, McMurray M. The step-wise pathway of septin hetero-octamer assembly in budding
yeast. eLife. 2017 25;6.

59. Hernández-Rodríguez Y, Masuo S, Johnson D, Orlando R, Smith A, Couto-Rodriguez M, et al.
Distinct Septin Heteropolymers Co-Exist during Multicellular Development in the Filamentous
Fungus Aspergillus nidulans. PloS One. 2014;9(3):e92819.

60. Zent E, Wittinghofer A. Human septin isoforms and the GDP-GTP cycle. Biol Chem. 2014
Feb;395(2):169–80.

61. Abbey M, Hakim C, Anand R, Lafera J, Schambach A, Kispert A, et al. GTPase domain driven
dimerization of SEPT7 is dispensable for the critical role of septins in fibroblast cytokinesis. Sci
Rep. 2016 Jan 28;6:20007.

62. Sellin ME, Holmfeldt P, Stenmark S, Gullberg M. Microtubules support a disk-like septin
arrangement at the plasma membrane of mammalian cells. Mol Biol Cell. 2011 Dec;22(23):4588–
601.

63. Sellin ME, Sandblad L, Stenmark S, Gullberg M. Deciphering the rules governing assembly order
of mammalian septin complexes. Mol Biol Cell. 2011 Sep;22(17):3152–64.

64. Sellin ME, Stenmark S, Gullberg M. Cell type-specific expression of SEPT3-homology subgroup
members controls the subunit number of heteromeric septin complexes. Mol Biol Cell. 2014
May;25(10):1594–607.

65. Kim MS, Froese CD, Estey MP, Trimble WS. SEPT9 occupies the terminal positions in septin
octamers and mediates polymerization-dependent functions in abscission. J Cell Biol. 2011 Nov
28;195(5):815–26.

66. Bai X, Bowen JR, Knox TK, Zhou K, Pendziwiat M, Kuhlenbäumer G, et al. Novel septin 9 repeat
motifs altered in neuralgic amyotrophy bind and bundle microtubules. J Cell Biol. 2013 Dec
23;203(6):895–905.

67. Karasmanis EP, Phan C-T, Angelis D, Kesisova IA, Hoogenraad CC, McKenney RJ, et al. Polarity of
Neuronal Membrane Traffic Requires Sorting of Kinesin Motor Cargo during Entry into Dendrites
by a Microtubule-Associated Septin. Dev Cell. 2018 Jul 16;46(2):204-218.e7.

68. Kuo Y-C, Shen Y-R, Chen H-I, Lin Y-H, Wang Y-Y, Chen Y-R, et al. SEPT12 orchestrates the
formation of mammalian sperm annulus by organizing core octameric complexes with other
SEPT proteins. J Cell Sci. 2015 Mar 1;128(5):923–34.

69. Estey MP, Ciano-Oliveira CD, Froese CD, Bejide MT, Trimble WS. Distinct roles of septins in
cytokinesis: SEPT9 mediates midbody abscission. J Cell Biol. 2010 Nov 15;191(4):741–9.

70. Soroor F, Kim MS, Palander O, Balachandran Y, Collins R, Benlekbir S, et al. Revised subunit order
of mammalian septin complexes explains their in vitro polymerization properties. bioRxiv. 2019
Mar 7;569871.

71. Mendonça DC, Macedo JN, Itri R, Guimaraes SL, Silva FLB da, Cassago A, et al. Repositioning
septins within the core particle. bioRxiv. 2019 Mar 8;569251.

72. Boehlein SK, Walworth ES, Richards NG, Schuster SM. Mutagenesis and chemical rescue indicate
residues involved in beta-aspartyl-AMP formation by Escherichia coli asparagine synthetase B. J
Biol Chem. 1997 May 9;272(19):12384–92.

73. Lehoux IE, Mitra B. Role of arginine 277 in (S)-mandelate dehydrogenase from Pseudomonas
putida in substrate binding and transition state stabilization. Biochemistry. 2000 Aug
22;39(33):10055–65.

74. Hung JE, Fogle EJ, Garg N, Chekan JR, Nair SK, van der Donk WA. Chemical rescue and inhibition
studies to determine the role of Arg301 in phosphite dehydrogenase. PloS One.
2014;9(1):e87134.

75. Goedl C, Nidetzky B. The phosphate site of trehalose phosphorylase from Schizophyllum
commune probed by site-directed mutagenesis and chemical rescue studies. FEBS J. 2008
Mar;275(5):903–13.

76. Barnett SA, Amyes TL, Wood BM, Gerlt JA, Richard JP. Activation of R235A mutant orotidine 5'-
monophosphate decarboxylase by the guanidinium cation: effective molarity of the cationic side
chain of Arg-235. Biochemistry. 2010 Feb 9;49(5):824–6.

77. Guillén Schlippe YV, Hedstrom L. Guanidine derivatives rescue the Arg418Ala mutation of
Tritrichomonas foetus IMP dehydrogenase. Biochemistry. 2005 Dec 20;44(50):16695–700.

78. Zeymer C, Werbeck ND, Schlichting I, Reinstein J. The molecular mechanism of Hsp100
chaperone inhibition by the prion curing agent guanidinium chloride. J Biol Chem. 2013 Mar
8;288(10):7065–76.

79. Kalia J, Swartz KJ. Elucidating the molecular basis of action of a classic drug: guanidine
compounds as inhibitors of voltage-gated potassium channels. Mol Pharmacol. 2011
Dec;80(6):1085–95.

80. Sadeghipour S, Bek EJ, McMinn PC. Selection and characterisation of guanidine-resistant mutants
of human enterovirus 71. Virus Res. 2012 Oct;169(1):72–9.

81. Nelson JW, Atilho RM, Sherlock ME, Stockbridge RB, Breaker RR. Metabolism of Free Guanidine
in Bacteria Is Regulated by a Widespread Riboswitch Class. Mol Cell. 2017 Jan 19;65(2):220–30.

82. Williams DM, Wang D, Cole PA. Chemical rescue of a mutant protein-tyrosine kinase. J Biol Chem.
2000 Dec 8;275(49):38127–30.

83. Qiao Y, Molina H, Pandey A, Zhang J, Cole PA. Chemical rescue of a mutant enzyme in living cells.
Science. 2006 Mar 3;311(5765):1293–7.

84. Jones GW, Song Y, Masison DC. Deletion of the Hsp70 chaperone gene SSB causes
hypersensitivity to guanidine toxicity and curing of the [PSI+] prion by increasing guanidine
uptake in yeast. Mol Genet Genomics MGG. 2003 Jun;269(3):304–11.

85. Oh SJ, Kim DS, Head TC, Claussen GC. Low-dose guanidine and pyridostigmine: relatively safe and
effective long-term symptomatic therapy in Lambert-Eaton myasthenic syndrome. Muscle Nerve.
1997 Sep;20(9):1146–52.

86. Conn PM, Smithson DC, Hodder PS, Stewart MD, Behringer RR, Smith E, et al. Transitioning
pharmacoperones to therapeutic use: in vivo proof-of-principle and design of high throughput
screens. Pharmacol Res. 2014 May;83:38–51.

87. Kermani AA, Macdonald CB, Gundepudi R, Stockbridge RB. Guanidinium export is the primal
function of SMR family transporters. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2018 20;115(12):3060–5.

88. Bandyopadhyay A, Saxena K, Kasturia N, Dalal V, Bhatt N, Rajkumar A, et al. Chemical chaperones
assist intracellular folding to buffer mutational variations. Nat Chem Biol. 2012 Jan 15;8(3):238–
45.

89. Lindquist S. Protein folding sculpting evolutionary change. Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol.
2009;74:103–8.

90. Amberg D, Burke D, Strathern J. Methods in Yeast Genetics: A Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory
Course Manual, 2005 Edition. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press; 2005.

91. Akhmetov A, Laurent JM, Gollihar J, Gardner EC, Garge RK, Ellington AD, et al. Single-step
Precision Genome Editing in Yeast Using CRISPR-Cas9. Bio-Protoc. 2018 Mar 20;8(6).

92. Schaefer RM, Heasley LR, Odde DJ, McMurray MA. Kinetic partitioning during de novo septin
filament assembly creates a critical G1 "window of opportunity" for mutant septin function. Cell
Cycle Georget Tex. 2016 Jul 11;0.

93. Pringle JR, Preston RA, Adams AE, Stearns T, Drubin DG, Haarer BK, et al. Fluorescence
microscopy methods for yeast. Methods Cell Biol. 1989;31:357–435.

94. Bertin A, Nogales E. Characterization of Septin Ultrastructure in Budding Yeast Using Electron
Tomography. Methods Mol Biol Clifton NJ. 2016;1369:113–23.

95. Zhang Y. I-TASSER server for protein 3D structure prediction. BMC Bioinformatics. 2008 Jan
23;9:40.

96. Trott O, Olson AJ. AutoDock Vina: improving the speed and accuracy of docking with a new
scoring function, efficient optimization, and multithreading. J Comput Chem. 2010 Jan
30;31(2):455–61.

97. Pettersen EF, Goddard TD, Huang CC, Couch GS, Greenblatt DM, Meng EC, et al. UCSF Chimera--a
visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. J Comput Chem. 2004
Oct;25(13):1605–12.

98. Saccharomyces Genome Database [Internet]. Available from: www.yeastgenome.org

99. Ho J, Tumkaya T, Aryal S, Choi H, Claridge-Chang A. Moving beyond P values: data analysis with
estimation graphics. Nat Methods. 2019;16(7):565–6.

100. Brachmann CB, Davies A, Cost GJ, Caputo E, Li J, Hieter P, et al. Designer deletion strains derived
from Saccharomyces cerevisiae S288C: a useful set of strains and plasmids for PCR-mediated
gene disruption and other applications. Yeast. 1998 Jan 30;14(2):115–32.

101. Li Z, Vizeacoumar FJ, Bahr S, Li J, Warringer J, Vizeacoumar FS, et al. Systematic exploration of
essential yeast gene function with temperature-sensitive mutants. Nat Biotechnol. 2011
Apr;29(4):361–7.

102. McMurray MA, Thorner J. Septin stability and recycling during dynamic structural transitions in
cell division and development. Curr Biol CB. 2008 Aug 26;18(16):1203–8.

103. Winzeler EA, Shoemaker DD, Astromoff A, Liang H, Anderson K, Andre B, et al. Functional
Characterization of the S. cerevisiae Genome by Gene Deletion and Parallel Analysis. Science.
L000   1999 Aug 6;285(5429):901–6.

L001
L002

Figure 1. GdnHCl rescues the temperature-sensitive defects of *cdc10(D182N)* mutants in a manner that does not require Hsp104 or Hsp78.

(A) Dilution series of yeast cells spotted on rich (YPD) agar medium with or without 3 mM GdnHCl and incubated at the indicated temperatures for 3 days before imaging. Strains were: BY4741, CBY07236, CBY06417, CBY08756, and CBY05110.

(B) As in (A), but only at 37°C and with strains of the indicated genotypes.

(C) Amino acid alignment of regions *S. cerevisiae* Hsp104 and Hsp78 with *Thermus thermophilus* ClpB, showing conservation of residues (in red) that contact Gdm in the ClpB crystal structure (PDB 4HSE).

(D) As in (A), but at 37°C and with BY4741 ("WT") or CBY06417 ("*cdc10(D182N)*") cells and the indicated concentrations of GdnHCl. The cells were streaked with a toothpick, rather than spotted from dilutions. (E) Growth curves for the *cdc10(D182N)* strain CBY06417 at 37°C in the indicated concentrations of GdnHCl. The optical density at 600 nm ("OD_{600}") was measured at 5-min intervals. Each line shows the mean for 12 replicate cultures in the same row of the 96-well plate. Duplicate rows were monitored for each GdnHCl concentration.

Figure 2. GdnHCl promotes exclusion of mutant Cdc10 molecules from higher-order septin assemblies.

(A) As in Figure 1B, but with the addition of *cdc10Δ* cells, and the cells were streaked with a toothpick, rather than spotted from dilutions.

(B) Cultures of the strains JTY3985 ("*CDC10-GFP*") or JTY3986 ("*cdc10(D182N)-GFP*") were grown overnight in liquid culture at the indicated temperatures with or without 3 mM GdnHCl and then imaged by microscopy with transmitted light (left images) or for GFP fluorescence (right images). Fluorescence images were inverted to improve visibility.

(C) Cells of the strain JTY4020 were cultured at 37°C with or without 5 mM GdnHCl and imaged as in (B), then linescans of bud neck fluorescence were performed for the indicated numbers of cells to quantify levels of Cdc10-mCherry (purple) or Cdc10(D182N)-GFP (green). For each data point, cytosolic signal was subtracted from bud neck signal; negative values thus indicate cytosolic signal greater than septin ring signal. In violin plots, solid lines indicate quartiles and dashed lines are medians.

(D) In strain JTY5104, the chromosomal sources of Cdc3 and Cdc10 are eliminated by deletion and Cdc3(W364A) and Cdc10 are supplied by *LYS2-* or *URA3*-marked plasmids, respectively. Following

introduction of *LEU2*-marked plasmid encoding WT Cdc3 (pFM831) or Cdc3-GFP (pML109), the transformants were passaged on medium with α-aminoadipate to select for loss of the *CDC3(W364A)* plasmid. These clones were then streaked, along with cells of the original strain JTY5104 carrying both plasmids ("*cdc10Δ CDC3(W364A)*"), on medium with 3 mM GdnHCl and 5-fluoro-orotic acid (FOA, to select for loss of the *CDC10* plasmid) and incubated for 4 days at 22°C.

Figure 3. GdnHCl promotes higher-order assembly by recombinant Cdc10-less septin hexamers produced heterologously in *E. coli*.

(A) SDS-PAGE and Coomassie staining of septin complexes purified from *E. coli* cells co-expressing Cdc3, Cdc11, and 6xHis-Cdc12 in high-salt buffer to prevent filament polymerization were diluted into low salt to allow polymerization, then filaments were pelleted by centrifugation. Equal amounts of samples prepared with or without 100 mM GdnHCl present during expression and throughout all subsequent steps were loaded. Band intensities for the low-salt pellet and supernatant ("Sup") fractions were determined by linescans of the gel image and are plotted to the right, color-coded with the labels above the gel lanes. At left, molecular weight markers.

(B) As in (A), but GdnHCl was added only after cell lysis. (C) Immunoblot for Cdc11 using one of the samples shown in (A).

Figure 4. Parallel *in silico* modeling and phylogenetic analysis independently point to Gdm binding near Thr302 and His262 in Cdc3.

(A) A model of the structure of Cdc3 based on SEPT2•GppNHp (PDB 3FTQ) was used for unbiased *in silico* docking of Gdm. The top 5 predicted sites of Gdm binding are shown (red), labeled with predicted free energies of binding, in kcal/mol. Thr302 is in blue, His262 in orange. "α4", α4 helix; "*t*L1", *trans* loop 1.

(B) Cartoon ribbon view of human septin G homodimer crystal structures showing selected sidechains. Red, residues corresponding to the non-conservative changes seen in the phylogenetic analysis in S2 Fig. Blue, conserved His residue of *trans* loop 1 and Asp residue of Switch II region. Orange, GTP analog. Red asterisk, Arg in α4 helix. "α4", α4 helix; "*t*L1", *trans* loop 1; "Sw1", Switch I; "Sw2", Switch II; "G1", G1 motif/P-loop.

Figure 5. GdnHCl rescues *cdc10Δ* in *A. gossypii*.

AgSHS1-GFP Agcdc10Δ strain AG135 was grown with shaking for 15 hours at 37ºC in complete

L067 (AFM) medium, after which the culture was split in two and GdnHCl was added to one aliquot to

L068 30 mM final concentration. Cells were imaged after an additional 3 hours growth with shaking.

L069 Purple rectangles indicate the approximate region enlarged at right ("Zoom"). Scale bar, 10 μm.

L070

L071 **Figure 6. Partial rescue of TS defects by GdnHCl derivatives.**

L072 (A) WT strain BY4741 was cultured overnight in rich (YPD) medium containing the indicated

L073 concentrations of urea, and the density of each culture was determined with a spectrophotometer.

L074 (B) BY4741 ("WT") or the *cdc10(D182N)* strain CBY06417 ("*cdc10*") were streaked on plates

L075 containing no or 0.64 M urea and incubated at the indicated temperature for 3 days before imaging.

L076 (C) Cells from saturated YPD cultures of strains used in (A) were plated to form a monolayer on the

L077 surface of a rich (YPD) agar plate, and a 1-cm-diameter filter disk spotted with 5 μL of the indicated

L078 drug was placed in the center of the plate. The plate was imaged after incubation at 37°C for 3 days.

L079 (D) As in Fig 1A, for strains of the indicated genotypes grown on medium containing 0 or 0.375 mM

L080 of each indicated drug.

L081 (E) As in Fig 4A, but zoomed into the area between Thr302 and His262, and including the Gdm

L082 derivatives aminoguanidine ("aGdm") and N-ethylguanidine ("eGdm").

L083 (F) As in (B), but with the addition of strain CBY06420 ("*cdc10(G100E)*") and with arginine

L084 hydrochloride instead of urea.

L085

L086 **Figure 7. Arg at position 302 in Cdc3 blocks rescue of *cdc10* by GdnHCl.**

L087 As in Fig 2D, strain JTY5104 was transformed with a plasmid encoding GFP-tagged Cdc3 (pML109)

L088 or derivatives in which the residue at position 302 was mutated from Thr to Val or Arg, followed by

L089 selection for loss of the plasmids encoding wild-type Cdc3 and Cdc10. Serial dilutions of the

L090 resulting strains were spotted on rich (YPD) medium at the indicated temperatures and containing

L091 GdnHCl where indicated, and imaged after 3 days.

L092

L093 **Figure 8. Reversing evolution to reactivate Cdc3 GTPase activity allows Cdc10 bypass**

L094 **during septin hetero-oligomerization.**

L095 (A) Strain MMY0130, which carries the mutations *cdc3(D210G) cdc10(D182N)*, was transformed

L096 with plasmid YCpK-Cdc10-1-GFP and cultured in rich (YPD) medium containing G-418 for plasmid

L097 selection in the presence or absence of GdnHCl. Bud neck fluorescence was measured as in Fig

L098 2B.

L099 (B) Haploid strains of the indicated genotypes expressing Cdc10-mCherry and Shs1-GFP were

L100 imaged as in (A) but in the absence of GdnHCl and for both mCherry and GFP fluorescence. For

L101 each cell, the ratio of mCherry to GFP signal was calculated; values are plotted on the left axis, with

L102 overlaid blue violin plots to show how the data are distributed, and median (dashed lines) and

L103 quartiles (solid lines) shown in green. The right axis shows the median difference ("effect size") for

L104 comparisons of the mutant strains against the shared wild-type control, plotted as bootstrap

L105 sampling distributions (gray violin plots). Each median difference is depicted as a block dot, with the

L106 value of that difference given in gray. Each 95% confidence interval is indicated by the ends of the

L107 vertical error bars. Strains were: MMY0342, MMY0343, and MMY0350.

L108 (C) Serial dilutions of the strains in (B) were spotted on rich (YPD) agar media with or without

L109 GdnHCl and incubated for 4 days at the indicated temperatures.

L110 (D) A portion of the *CDC10* coding sequence was amplified by PCR and sequenced. Asterisk in the

L111 chromatograph at left shows the mutation resulting in the amino acid change Q265H. Right,

L112 alignment of septin sequences surrounding the conserved Trp (bold) of the Sep4 motif and the His

L113 (bold) with which this Trp interacts across the G interface, as illustrated in (E). Red, Gln265 in wild-

L114 type Cdc10.

L115 (E) The Trp and His residues of the Sep4 motif in the SEPT2·GppNHp homodimer crystal structure

L116 (PDB 3FTQ).

L117

L118 **S1 Figure. GdnHCl rescues high-temperature septin function and neck filament assembly in**

L119 ***cdc10* mutants, related to Fig 1.**

L120 (A) Dilution series of cells of the indicated genotypes (strains BY4741, CBY06417, CBY06420, or

L121 CBY06424) spotted on YPD plates with or without 3 mM GdnHCl and incubated at 37° C.

L122 (B) anti-Cdc11 antibodies were used to stain *cdc10Δ* cells cultured at 37° C. Arrowhead, septin ring.

L123 (C) Cells of the *cdc10(D182N)-GFP* strain JTY3986 were cultured at 37° C in liquid YPD with 3 mM

L124 GdnHCl, cryo-fixed, cryo-substituted, sectioned, and stained with uranyl acetate prior to imaging by

L125 EM. Arrowheads indicate neck filaments tightly apposed to the plasma membrane.

L126

L127 **S2 Figure. Co-variation during evolution of Cdc3 residues clustered around the *trans* loop 1**

L128 **of the G dimerization interface identifies an Arg residue "missing" in species that poorly**

L129 **tolerate *cdc10Δ*, related to Fig 4.**

L130 Amino acid alignment of sequences of Cdc3 and its homologs in fungal species for which the

phenotypic consequences of deletion or mutation of *CDC10* have been characterized in relation to deletion or mutation of *CDC3*. Names of species and the protein homologous to Cdc3 are color-coded: blue, species for which mutation of the *CDC10* homolog is as severe as mutation of the *CDC3* homolog; orange, species for which mutation of *CDC10* is clearly less severe than mutation of the *CDC3* homolog. Non-CTE human septin sequences are shown below for comparison with crystal structures of those septins shown in Fig 4B. Shading or boxing indicates residues that are either the same in *S. cerevisiae*, *A. gossypii*, and *K. lactis* and something different in the other fungal species, and/or the same in the other species and something different in *S. cerevisiae*, *A. gossypii*, and *K. lactis*. Gray, conservative changes; red, non-conservative changes. Red box: position 302 in ScCdc3. Key structural features are indicated above each group of sequences; "α0", α0 helix; "α4", a4 helix; "Sw I", Switch I loop; "G4", G4 motif. Sc, *S. cerevisiae* (KZV09558); Ag, *A. gossypii* (NP_985658); Kl, *K. lactis* (CAH00343), Ca, *C. albicans* (EEQ42751); An, *A. nidulans* (AAB41233); Cn, *C. neoformans* (XP_012050631); Fg, *F. graminearum* (ESU11253); Nc, *N. crassa* (ESA42882); Sp, *S. pombe* (CAB11714); Um, *U. maydis* (KIS68154); Mo, *M. oryzae* (ELQ45022); Cc, *C. cinerea* (XP_001828599); HsSEPT2, *H. sapiens* SEPT2 (AAH33559); HsSEPT9, *H. sapiens* SEPT9 (AAH54004).

S3 Figure. Sequence of the Cdc3-AspB chimeric protein and comparison of key residues between Cdc3, AspB, and Cdc12, related to Fig 8.

Alignments of *S. cerevisiae* Cdc3 with *Aspergillus nidulans* AspB and the Cdc3-AspB chimera (above), and with *S. cerevisiae* Cdc12 and *A. nidulans* AspB (below). Red highlighting indicates positions that covary with the catalytic Thr in Cdc3 homologs, as in S2 Fig.

S4 Figure. Five substitutions in the GTPase domain of Cdc3 are insufficient to promote bypass of Cdc10 during septin assembly, related to Fig 8.

Strains of the indicated genotypes (JTY5397, MMY0361, MMY0362 and MMY0363) were grown to mid-logarithmic phase in liquid rich (YPD) medium and levels of Shs1-GFP and Cdc10-mCherry within septin rings were measured as in Fig 8B, and plotted in (A) with effect size (median difference) distributions plotted in (B).

S5 Figure. Contacts between α4 Arg and *trans* loop 1 are conserved in the distant septin relative Toc34, related to Fig 4.

L163   (A) Structures of homodimer interface of Toc34 from *Arabidopsis thaliana*i (GppNHp-bound, PDB

L164   3bb3, top) and *Pisum sativum* (GDP-bound, PDB 1h65, bottom) with nucleotide in orange, α4 Arg

L165   in red and nucleotide-contacting *trans* loop 1 ("TL1") residues in blue.

L166   (B) Sequence alignment of a region in and around the α4 helix of Toc34 homologs in various plant

L167   species, with identical and variable amino acids in black and red, respectively, and the α4 Arg in

L168   bold. *A. thaliania*, At (NP_196119); *A. lyrata*, Al (XP_002873181); *Camelina sativa*, Cs

L169   (XP_010423506); *Brassica napus*, Bn (CDX98810); *Noccaea caerulescens*, Nc (JAU73517); *B.*

L170   *rapa*, Br (XP_009130855); *Eutrema salsugineum*, Es (XP_006398957); *Vitis vinifera*, Vv

L171   (XP_002274573); *Eucalyptus grandis*, Eg (XP_010063039); *P. sativum*, Ps (Q41009); *Nicotiana*

L172   *tabacum*, Nt (XP_016456518); *Zea mays*, Zm (ACG34570).

L173

L174   **S6 Figure. Rapid drift of Cdc3 α4 Thr in species of the genus *Saccharomyces* and strict**

L175   **conservation of α4 Arg in *Candida* species, related to Fig 4.**

L176   Sequence alignments of a region surrounding ScCdc3 Thr302 (highlighted in yellow), with identical

L177   and variable amino acids in black and red, respectively. Percent sequence identity over the entirety

L178   of Cdc3, relative to ScCdc3 or CaCdc3, is given at left. Alignments of *Saccharomyces* species were

L179   performed using the Saccharomyces Genome Database (http://www.yeastgenome.org). Sc, *S.*

L180   *cerevisiae*; Sp, *S. paradoxus*; Sm, *S. mikatae*; Sa, *S. aboricola*; Sb, *S. bayanus*; Su, *S. uvarum*; Sk,

L181   *S. kudriavzevii*. *Candida* alignments were performed at the NCBI Blast server. Ca, *C. albicans*

L182   (EEQ42751); Cd, *C. dubliniensis* (CAX44656); Cm, *C. maltosa* (EMG46918); Cr, *C. tropicalis*

L183   (XP_002550438); Ct, *C. tenuis* (EGV60285); Cg, *C. glabrata* (CAG57768).

L184

L185   **S7 Figure. Model for the roles of key molecular features during the evolution of septin**

L186   **complexes, related to Fig 8.**

L187   (A) A model of the evolution of septin hetero-octamers in *S. cerevisiae* from an ancestral homo-

L188   oligomer. Stars indicate the number of phosphate groups on bound nucleotide. Abbreviations:

L189   "CTE", carboxy-terminal extension; "α4", Arg and Asp residues of the α4 helix; "Sw2", Switch II

L190   region; "*t*L1", trans loop 1".

L191   (B) Illustration of the "revised" order of septin subunits in human hetero-octamers and hetero-

L192   hexamers, including the predicted phosphorylation state of bound nucleotides, as indicated by the

L193   number of black stars. SEPT9 is given as an example SEPT3-group subunit.

L194

S1 Table

Fungal strains used in this study.

Name* (species)	Relevant genotype	Source/Reference
(S.c.)a	cdc10(D182N)-GFP::URA3 hsp78Δ::kanMX	This study
(S.c.)a	cdc10(D182N)-GFP::URA3 hsp104Δ::kanMX	This study
(S.c.)a	cdc10(D182N)-GFP::URA3 hsp78Δ::kanMX hsp104Δ::kanMX	This study
Ag135 (A.g., also called AgHPH14)	cdc10Δ::GEN1 SHS1-GFP–NAT1	(54)
BY4741 (S.c.)	MATa his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 ura3Δ0 met15Δ0	(100)
BY4742 (S.c.)	MATα his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 ura3Δ0 lys2Δ0	(100)
CBY05110 (S.c.)	BY4741 "cdc12-1" cdc12(G247E)::KanMX	(101)
CBY06417 (S.c.)	BY4741 "cdc10-1" cdc10(D182N)::KanMX	(101)
CBY06420 (S.c.)	BY4741 "cdc10-2" cdc10(G100E)::KanMX	(101)
CBY06424 (S.c.)	BY4741 "cdc10-5" cdc10(G44D)::KanMX	(101)
CBY06427 (S.c.)	BY4741 cdc11(G29D)::KanMX	(101)
CBY07236 (S.c.)	BY4741 "cdc3-3" cdc3(G365R)::KanMX	(101)
CBY08756 (S.c.)	BY4741 "cdc11-1" cdc11(G32E I142T)::KanMX	(101)
JTY3985 (S.c.)	BY4741 CDC10-GFP::URA3	(30)
JTY3986 (S.c.)	BY4741 cdc10(D182N)-GFP::URA3	(30)
JTY3992 (S.c.)	BY4741 CDC10-mCherry::KanMX	(102)
JTY3993 (S.c.)	BY4742 CDC10-mCherry::KanMX	(102)
JTY4013 (S.c.)	BY4742 hsp104Δ::kanMX	(103)
JTY4014 (S.c.)	BY4741 hsp104Δ::KanMX	(103)
JTY4020b (S.c.)	BY4743 CDC10-mCherry::kanMX/cdc10(D182N)-	This study
JTY4203 (S.c.)	cdc10Δ::kanMX [CDC10 URA3]	(23)
JTY5104 (S.c.)	cdc3Δ cdc10Δ [CDC3(W364A) LYS2] [CDC10 URA3]	(23)
JTY5397 (S.c.)	BY4741 CDC10-mCherry::kanMX SHS1-GFP::HIS3MX6	(58)
MMY0110 (S.c.)	cdc3(D210G) cdc10(D182N)	(29)
MMY0130 (S.c.)	cdc3(D210G) cdc10(D182N)	(29)
MMY0131 (S.c.)	cdc3(D210G)	(29)
(S.c.)	BY4742 hsp78Δ::kanMX	(103)
(S.c.)	BY4742 hsp104Δ::KanMX	(103)

MMY0343[c]	BY4741 *CDC10-mCherry::kanMX SHS1-GFP::HIS3MX6 cdc3(95-341)::aspB(6-234)*	This study
MMY0350[c]	BY4741 *cdc10(Q265H)-mCherry::kanMX SHS1-GFP::HIS3MX6 cdc3::aspB(6-234)*	This study
MMY0361[c]	BY4741 *CDC10-mCherry::kanMX SHS1-GFP::HIS3MX6 CDC3(P127E D128S K181T T302R Q306D)*	This study
MMY0362[c]	BY4741 *CDC10-mCherry::kanMX SHS1-GFP::HIS3MX6 CDC3"recoded"*	This study
MMY0363[c]	BY4741 *CDC10-mCherry::kanMX SHS1-GFP::HIS3MX6 CDC3(T302R Q306D)*	This study

L195 * Strains lacking names were generated by crossing other named strains or by selecting for loss of
L196 a plasmid from a named strain, and were used immediately thereafter. Their use in specific
L197 experiments in this study can be identified by their genotypes.
L198 [a] Made by mating JTY3986 with the appropriate *hsp* deletion strain, or by mating of the
L199 *cdc10(D182N) hsp78Δ* strain with JTY4013, followed by sporulation and dissection of tetrads, then
L200 identification of genotypes via testing of marker phenotypes or segregation thereof (*e.g.* 2:2
L201 segregation of G-418 resistance for *cdc10(D182N) hsp78Δ::kanMX hsp104Δ::kanMX*). Genotypes
L202 at other marker loci (*MAT*, *MET15*, *LYS2*, etc.) were not determined.
L203 [b] Made by mating JTY3993 with JTY3985.
L204 [c] Derived from JTY5397. See Methods section for details. Following confirmation of the integration
L205 via PCR and sequencing, spontaneous loss of plasmid pEM-CDC3-CRISPR1 was selected using
L206 5-FOA.
L207

S2 Table. Oligonucleotides used in this study.

Name	Sequence (5' -> 3')
Cdc3AspBfw	GGTATGGGCATCACCTCCTCTCAGAGCGAAAAGG GTCAAGTTCTGCCTGACCAACCGGAGATTAAGTTC ATTCGCCGGAAGCTAACCGGC
Cdc3AspBextend1	GGAACGGCCTCTCACTTGATTACCGCTATAATTTT CCACGATGTCGTTGGAACCAATAACGGCAAAAGG AACCTTCGACAT
Cdc3GTPase_extend2	TTTCAAAAGGTTGAAATCAGAGTGGTTGTCATTGT CCACTTCGATCACCCCCCATGGATAGGAACGGCC TCTCACTTG
5'cdc3fw	AACTGAACGATTACATCGGC
3'cdc3re	TGTTTGAAATTTTTATATGTC
5'cdc10fw	CACGGTTACTACAAGCACTCT
3'cdc10re	TCACCACCATTCTTATGAGA
cdc10midfw	CCAAATGGAAAGGAGTTGAGC
CDC3GTPaserecode	ATTCGTCGTCAAATCAATGGTTATGTAGGGTTTGC TAATTTGCCCAAGCAATGGCACAGAAGGTCCATAA AGAACGGATTCAGTTTCAATCTCCTATGTGTCGGC GAGAGCGGTATAGGTAAGACAACATTAATGAAAAC ATTATTTAATAACGATGATATTGAAGCCAATTTAGT CAAAGATTACGAAGAGGAACTTGCTAATGATCAGG AGGAAGAGGAGGGACAGGGCGAGGGACACGAGA ACCAGTCACAAGAGCAAAGGCACACTGTCAAAAT CAAGTCATATGAGTCGGTTATTGAAGAAAATGGTG TTAAACTGAATTTGAACGTGATCGATACTGAAGGA TTTGGTGATTTTTTAAATAATGATCAAAAATCATGG GACCCGATCATTAAGGAGATTGACTCACGTTTTGA CCAATACTTAGATGCGGAGAATAAAATCAATAGAC ATTCTATAAACGACAAAAGAATCCACGCATGTCTA TATTTCATTGAGCCTACAGGACACTACTTAAAGCC GCTGGACCTTAAGTTTATGCAGTCAGTATATGAGA AATGTAATTTGATTCCTGTAATTGCTAAATCAGACA TCTTAACAGATGAAGAGATATTATCCTTCAAGAAG AGAATTATGAACGATTTAATACAATCAAATATAGAG CTTTTCAAACCACCAATATACTCCAACGATGACGC CGAAAATAGCCACTTGTCCGAAAGACTATTTTCCT CCTTACCTTACGCAGTTATTGGTTCAAATGACATC GTGGAAAATTATAGCGGTAATCAAGTGAGAGGCC GTTCCTATCCATGGGGGGGTGATCGAAGTGGACAA TGACAACCACTCTGATTTCAACCTTTTGAAA
CDC3recode	ATTCGTCGTCAAATCAATGGTTATGTAGGGTTTGC TAATTTGCCCAAGCAATGGCACAGAAGGTCCATAA AGAACGGATTCAGTTTCAATCTCCTATGTGTCGGC CCTGATGGTATAGGTAAGACAACATTAATGAAAAC ATTATTTAATAACGATGATATTGAAGCCAATTTAGT CAAAGATTACGAAGAGGAACTTGCTAATGATCAGG AGGAAGAGGAGGGACAGGGCGAGGGACACGAGA

	ACCAGTCACAAGAGCAAAGGCACAAGGTCAAAAT CAAGTCATATGAGTCGGTTATTGAAGAAAATGGTG TTAAACTGAATTTGAACGTGATCGATACTGAAGGA TTTGGTGATTTTTTAAATAATGATCAAAAATCATGG GACCCGATCATTAAGGAGATTGACTCACGTTTTGA CCAATACTTAGATGCGGAGAATAAAATCAATAGAC ATTCTATAAACGACAAAAGAATCCACGCATGTCTA TATTTCATTGAGCCTACAGGACACTACTTAAAGCC GCTGGACCTTAAGTTTATGCAGTCAGTATATGAGA AATGTAATTTGATTCCTGTAATTGCTAAATCAGACA TCTTAACAGATGAAGAGATATTATCCTTCAAGAAG ACCATTATGAACCAGTTAATACAATCAAATATAGA GCTTTTCAAACCACCAATATACTCCAACGATGACG CCGAAAATAGCCACTTGTCCGAAAGACTATTTTCC TCCTTACCTTACGCAGTTATTGGTTCAAATGACAT CGTGGAAAATTATAGCGGTAATCAAGTGAGAGGC CGTTCCTATCCATGGGGGGTGATCGAAGTGGACA ATGACAACCACTCTGATTTCAACCTTTTGAAA
G1KTTRQDfw	ATTCGTCGTCAAATCAATGGTTATG
Cdc3recodere	TTTCAAAAGGTTGAAATCAGAGTGG

L209

Figure 1

A

no GdnHCl   3 mM GdnHCl

WT
cdc3(G365R)
cdc10(D182N)   27°C
cdc11(G32E)
cdc12(G247E)

WT
cdc3(G365R)
cdc10(D182N)   30°C
cdc11(G32E)
cdc12(G247E)

WT
cdc3(G365R)
cdc10(D182N)   34°C
cdc11(G32E)
cdc12(G247E)

WT
cdc3(G365R)
cdc10(D182N)   37°C
cdc11(G32E)
cdc12(G247E)

B

no GdnHCl   3 mM GdnHCl

cdc10(D182N)
hsp78Δ
hsp104Δ
cdc10(D182N) hsp78Δ
cdc10(D182N) hsp104Δ
cdc10(D182N) hsp78Δ hsp104Δ

C
Hsp104 181 GKLDPVIG...AIIEGVA 226
ClpB   167 GKLDPVIG...AIVEGLA 212
Hsp78 112 GKLDPVIG...ALIDGLA 157

D
no GdnHCl   0.375 mM GdnHCl

WT

cdc10
(D182N)

E
OD₆₀₀

0.375 mM
0.1875 mM
0.09375 mM
0 mM

Time (min)

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

A

-3.0

*t*L1

α4

Gdm
-3.1

-3.1

-3.6

-3.0

G interface

B

Sw2

Sw1

G1

*t*L1

α4

*

SEPT2•GppNHp

Sw2

Sw1

G1

*t*L1

α4

*

SEPT9•GTPγS

Figure 5

	Phase	Shs1-GFP	Zoom
Untreated			
GdnHCl Treated			

Figure 6

Figure 7

Figure 8

A

cdc3(D210G)
cdc10(D182N)
[cdc10(D182N)-GFP]

no GdnHCl

3 mM GdnHCl

B

C

	22°C	37°C	37°C + 3 mM GdnHCl
CDC3+ CDC10+			
cdc3-aspB CDC10+			
cdc3-aspB cdc10(Q265H)			

D

Cdc3   WGVIEVDNDNHSD
AspB   WGIIEVDNEEHCS
Cdc10   WSAINVEDINQCD
Cdc10(Q265H) WSAINVEDINHCD
AspD   WGVINVEDESHCE
Cdc12   WGLVEIENDSHCD
SEPT2   WGVVEVENPEHND

E

SEPT2•GppNHp homodimer

S1 Figure

A

B

αCdc11 IF

C

200 nm

S2 Figure

```
                      (        α0       )            ---G1---
ScCdc3     95 QINGYVGFANLPKQWHRRSIKNGFSFNLLCVGPDGIGKTTLMKTLFNN   142
AgCdc3     89 KISGYVGFANLPKQWHRKSIRRGFNLNLLCVGAKGLGKSTLINTLFNK   136
KlCdc3     74 IINGYVGFANLPKQWHRKSIRRGFNLNLLCVGYKGLGKSTLINTLFNR   121
CaCdc3     23 VLNGYVGFANLPKQWHRKSIRRGFSLNIMAIGESGLGKATLINTLFNR    70
CcAspB     40 KLMGYVGFANLPNQVHRKSVRKGFQFTCMVVGESGLGKSTLVNTLFNT    87
UmCdc3     29 KLMGYVGFANLPNQVHRKSVRKGFNFTAMVVGESGLGKSTLINTLFNT    76
NcCdc3     60 KLTGYVGFANLPNQWHRKSVRKGFNFNVMVVGESGLGKSTLVNTLFNT   107
AnAspB      8 KLTGYVGFANLPNQWHRKSVRKGFNFNVMVVGESGLGKSTLVNTLFNT    55
MoSep3     46 KLTGYVGFANLPNQWHRKSVRKGFNFNVMVVGESGLGKSTLVNTLFNT    93
FgCdc3    165 KLTGYVGFANLPNQWHRKSVRKGFNFNVMVVGESGLGKSTLVNTLFNT   212
CnCdc3     60 KLSSWVGFSNLPNQVHRRSVRRGFQFVTMVVGESGLGKSTLINTLFET   107
SpSpn1     71 QLNGYVGFASLPNQWHRRCVRQGFNFNVLVLGESGSGKSTLVNTLLNR   118
HsSEPT2    12 ETPGYVGFANLPNQVHRKSVKKGFEFTLMVVGESGLGKSTLINSLFLT    60
HsSEPT9   256 VDFGYVGIDSILEQMRRKAMKQGFEFNIMVVGQSGLGKSTLINTLFKS   303

              -Sw I--                     -G4-  (       α4        )
ScCdc3    179 RHKVKIKSYESVIEE ... 278 KCNLIPVIAKSDILTDEEILSFKKTIMNQLIQSNIEL
AgCdc3    169 ENKVKIETVTTEIEE ... 267 KCNLIPVIAKSDILTDEEIEHFKWTIKKQLDDAKVHL
KlCdc3    160 ENKVKIETVSAEIEE ... 258 KCNLIPVIAKSDILTDEEIVTFKATIKKQLEQANVQL
CaCdc3     89 DVSVKIKSTQAEIEE ... 187 KVNLIPVIAKSDTLTDEEILEFKHRILADISHQGIKI
CcAspB    103 PKTVAIESIGADIEE ... 201 KVNLIPVIAKADTLTDEEVAEFKQRILADIAHHNIHI
UmCdc3     92 PKTVAIESISSDIEE ... 190 KVNLIPVIAKSDTLTDEEIVSFKQRILNDIAHHKIEI
NcCdc3    124 PKTVTIQSISADIEE ... 222 KVNLIPVIAKSDTLTDDEIAAFKARILADIKYHKVQI
AnAspB     72 PKTVSIQSISADIEE ... 170 KVNLIPVIAKADTLTDDEISMFKKRILADIQHHSIQI
MoSep3    110 PKTVTIQSISADIEE ... 208 KVNLIPVIAKSDTLTDEEIFAFKQRILADIKHHKVQI
FgCdc3    229 PKTVTIQSISADIEE ... 327 KVNLIPVIAKADTLTDEEIAAFKSRILADIKHHGIQI
CnCdc3    123 GKTVQIESISADIEE ... 221 KVNLIPIIAKADTMTDDEIIAFKQRILADIAHYGIQI
SpSpn1    137 EPTVMINSSAVEIVE ... 235 KVNIIPIIAKADTLTDDELNFTKEMILRDIQYHNIRI
HsSEPT2    76 ERTVQIEASTVEIEE ... 174 KVNIVPVIAKADTLTLKERERLKKRILDEIEEHNIKI
HsSEPT9   319 PKTIEIKSITHDIEE ... 272 VVNIVPVIAKADTLTLEERVHFKQRITADLLSNGIDV

              |  C-terminal extension ->
ScCdc3    437 HEAKLAKLEIEMKTVF ... KEKLTKQLKALEDKK   516
AgCdc3    426 HEAKLAKLEAEMKAVF ... KEKLMKQLKALEEKK   502
KlCdc3    415 HEVKLAKLEAEMKAVF ... KDKLTSQLKALEEKK   491
CaCdc3    345 HEAKLAKMEAEMKSVF ... KDKLTKQIKLLEEKK   424
CcAspB    360 HEAKLAKMEAEMKMVF ... KDALEKQRAELEDKK   436
UmCdc3    349 HEARLRKMENEMKLVF ... RDALEKQRQELEEKR   425
NcCdc3    381 HEQKLAKMEAEMKMVF ... KEQLERQRLELEEKK   453
AnAspB    329 HEQKLAKMEAEMKMVF ... KEQLDRQRAELEEKK   400
MoSep3    367 HEQKLAKMEAEMKLVF ... KEQLDRQRAELEEKK   438
FgCdc3    486 HEQKLAKMEAEMKMVF ... KEQLDRQRLELEDKK   557
CnCdc3    380 HEAKLAKMEAEMKIVF ... KDALEKQKQELEDKR   456
SpSpn1    393 HEEKLMKMEAEMKTIF ... KAALEKQKADLIDHK   464
```

S3 Figure

```
Cdc3-AspB   1    MSLKEEQVSIKQDPEQEERQHDQFNDVQIKQESQDHDGVDSQYTNGTQNDDSERFEAAESDVKVEPGLGMGITSSQSEKG   80
AspB             --------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Cdc3        1    MSLKEEQVSIKQDPEQEERQHDQFNDVQIKQESQDHDGVDSQYTNGTQNDDSERFEAAESDVKVEPGLGMGITSSQSEKG   80

Cdc3-AspB   81   QVLPDQPEIKFIRRKLTGYVGFANLPNQWHRKSVRKGFNFNVMVVGESGLGKSTLVNTLFNTSL    YPPKERAGPSHD   156
AspB        1    -------MKNVVRRKLTGYVGFANLPNQWHRKSVRKGFNFNVMVVGESGLGKSTLVNTLFNTSL    YPPKERAGPSHD   69
Cdc3        81   QVLPDQPEIKFIRRQINGYVGFANLPKQWHRRSIKNGFSFNLLCVGPDGIGKTTLMKTLFNNDD[16]QEEEEGQGEGHE   172

Cdc3-AspB   157  IIPKTVSIQSISADIEENGVRLRLTVVDTPGFGDFVNNDD-SWRPIVENIEQRYDAYLEAENKVNRTNIIDNRIHAC     232
AspB        70   IIPKTVSIQSISADIEENGVRLRLTVVDTPGFGDFVNNDD-SWRPIVENIEQRYDAYLEAENKVNRTNIIDNRIHAC     145
Cdc3        173  NQSQ[4]KVKIKSYESVIEENGVKLNLNVIDTEGFGDFLNNDQKSWDPIIKEIDSRFDQYLDAENKINRHSINDKRIHAC   253

Cdc3-AspB   233  VYFIQPTGHSLKPLDIEVMRRLHTKVNLIPVIAKADTLTDDEISMFKKRILADIQHHSIQIFEGPRYELDDEETIAENQE   312
AspB        146  VYFIQPTGHSLKPLDIEVMRRLHTKVNLIPVIAKADTLTDDEISMFKKRILADIQHHSIQIFEGPRYELDDEETIAENQE   225
Cdc3        254  LYFIEPTGHYLKPLDLKFMQSVYEKCNLIPVIAKSDILTDEEILSFKKTIMNQLIQSNIELFKPPIYSNDDAENSHLSER   333

Cdc3-AspB   313  IMSKVPFAVIGSNDIVENYSGNQVRGRSYPWGVIEVDNDNHSDFNLLKNLLIKQFMEELKERTSKILYENYRSSKLAKLG   392
AspB        226  IMSKVPFAVVGANSEVATSDGRKVRGRSYPWGIIEVDNEEHCSFVKLRQMLIRTHMEELKEHTNNHLYENYRSDKLTQMG   305
Cdc3        334  LFSSLPYAVIGSNDIVENYSGNQVRGRSYPWGVIEVDNDNHSDFNLLKNLLIKQFMEELKERTSKILYENYRSSKLAKLG   413

Cdc3-AspB   393  IKQDNSVFKEFDPISKQLEEKTLHEAKLAKLEIEMKTVFQQKVSEKEKKLQKSETELFARHKEMKEKLTKQLKALEDKKK   472
AspB        306  VAQDPSVFKEVNPAVKQEEEDALHEQKLAKMEAEMKMVFQQKVAEKESKLKQSEDELYARHREMKEQLDRQRAELEEKKA   385
Cdc3        414  IKQDNSVFKEFDPISKQQEEKTLHEAKLAKLEIEMKTVFQQKVSEKEKKLQKSETELFARHKEMKEKLTKQLKALEDKKK   493

Cdc3-AspB   473  QLELSINSASPNVNHSPVPTKKKGF-LR*   500
AspB        386  RLE----SGRPLEEKG----KRKGFSLR*   405
Cdc3        494  QLELSINSASPNVNHSPVPTKKKGF-LR*   521
```

```
              ---G1---        -Sw I--      α4          )
ScCdc3   GPDGIGKTT ... RHKVKIK ... KKTIMNQLIQ
ScCdc12  GESGLGKTT ... RKTVEID ... KSRIRQVIEA
AnAspB   GESGLGKST ... PKTVSIQ ... KQRILADIKH
```

S4 Figure

A

A. thaliana Toc34

P. sativum Toc34

B

```
                          (           α4
At  160   LTHAQFSPPDGLNYNHFVSKRSNALL
Al  160   LTHAQFSPPDGLNYNSFVSRRSDALL
Cs  160   LTHAQFSPPDGLNYDLFVSRRSDALL
Bn  160   LSHAQFSPPDGLNYDLFVSRRSDALL
Nc  160   LTHAQFSPPDGLNYDLFVSRRSDALL
Br  160   LSHAQFSPPDGLNYDLFVSRRSDALL
Es  160   LSHAQFSPPDGLNYDLFVSRRSDALL
Vv  159   LTHAQLSPPDGLNYEDFFSKRSEALM
Eg  159   LTHAQLSPPDGLEYDLFFSKRSEALM
Ps  161   LTHAQFSPPDGLPYDEFFSKRSEALL
Nt  159   LSHAQLSPPDGLTYDEFTSQRSEALL
Zm  159   LTHAQLSPPDGIDYNDFFTRRSEALL
```

S6 Figure

```
Sc       AKSDILTDEEILSFKKTIMNQLIQSNIELFKP   317
Sp 95%   AKSDILTDEEILSFKKTIMNQLIQSNIELFKP   313
Sm 89%   AKSDILTDEEILNFKKAIMNQLIQSNIELFKP   313
Sa 87%   AKSDILTDEEILNFKKTIMNQLVQSDIKLFKP   312
Sb 86%   AKSDILTDEEILNFKKSIMGQLAQSNIELFKP   375
Su 86%   AKSDILTDEEILNFKKSIMGQLAQSNIELFKP   375
Sk 86%   AKSDILTDEEILNFKKAIMNQLIESNIKLFKP   313

Ca       AKSDTLTDEEILEFKHRILADISHQGIKIFKP   226
Cd 99%   AKSDTLTDEEILEFKHRILADISHQGIKIFKP   226
Cm 91%   AKSDTLTDEEILEFKHRILADITHQGIKIFQP   225
Cr 90%   AKSDTLTDEEILEFKHRILADITYQGIKIFKP   226
Ct 38%   AKSDTMSPVELDNFKSRIRDIIEAQDINIYTP   203
Cg 35%   SKADTLTAQELQLFKSRIRQVIEAQEIAIFTP   198
```