Title: **Spatial variation in introgression along a toad hybrid zone in France**

Running title: **Gene flow variation along a toad hybrid zone**

Authors: I. van Riemsdijk[1,2*], J.W. Arntzen[1], G. Bucciarelli[3,4], E. McCartney-Melstad[3,4], M.

Rafajlović[5], P.A. Scott[3], E. Toffelmier[3,4], H. B. Shaffer[3,4], B. Wielstra[1,2]

Affiliations:

[1]Naturalis Biodiversity Centre, Leiden, the Netherlands

[2]Institute of Biology Leiden, Leiden University, Leiden, the Netherlands

[3]Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, UCLA, Los Angeles, California

[4]La Kretz Center for California Conservation Science, Institute of the Environment and

Sustainability, UCLA, Los Angeles, California

[5]Department of Marine Sciences, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden

*Correspondence: Isolde van Riemsdijk, isolde.vanriemsdijk@naturalis.nl

Abstract

The barrier effect is a restriction of gene flow between diverged populations by barrier genes.

Restriction of gene flow and asymmetric introgression over multiple transects indicates

species wide (genetic) adaptations, whereas transect-specific barrier loci may indicate local

adaptation to gene flow. Asymmetric introgression can be caused by selection, hybrid zone

movement, asymmetric reproductive isolation, or a combination of these. We study two

widely separated transects (northwest and southeast France) for the 900 km long hybrid zone

between *Bufo bufo* and *B. spinosus* toads, using ~1200 markers from restriction-site

associated DNA (RAD) sequencing data. Genomic and geographic clines were used to

identify outlier markers which show restricted or elevated introgression. Twenty-six barrier

markers are shared between transects (the union of 56 and 123 barrier markers identified in

each transect), which is more than would be expected by chance. However, the number of

barrier markers is twice as high in the southeast transect. In the northwest transect a high

amount of (asymmetric) introgression from *B. spinosus* into *B. bufo* is consistent with hybrid

zone movement or asymmetric reproductive isolation. In the southeast transect, introgression

is symmetric and consistent with a stable hybrid zone. Differences between transects may be

related to genetic sub-structure within *B. bufo*. A longer period of secondary contact in

southeast France appears to result in a relatively stronger barrier effect than in the northwest.

The *Bufo* hybrid zone provides an excellent opportunity to separate a general barrier to gene

flow from local reductions in gene flow.

Keywords: Asymmetric introgression; barrier genes; *Bufo bufo*; *Bufo spinosus*; replicate

transects; cline coupling.

Introduction

Hybrid zones provide an opportunity to study both the processes involved in, and the

outcomes of, speciation (Hewitt, 1988). Barrier genes, defined as genomic regions that

restrict gene flow and introgression between hybridizing populations, play a key role in

speciation (Ravinet et al., 2017). Barrier genes can comprise genes involved in divergent

ecological selection, mate choice, and genetic incompatibilities (Ravinet et al., 2017). Barrier

genes can create genomic heterogeneity, with relatively strong differentiation of these genes

themselves as well as the genomic regions surrounding them, which may prohibit complete

merging of the parental populations (Abbott et al., 2013; Barton, 2013; Ravinet et al., 2017).

The barrier effect is a reduction of effective migration rate of genetic material between

populations, relative to the dispersal of the individuals carrying those genes (Ravinet et al.,

2017). Barrier genes and their linked loci (together referred to as 'barrier markers' here) are

expected to show relatively steep transitions at species boundaries (Gompert, Parchman, &

Buerkle, 2012; Butlin & Smadja, 2018). This barrier effect is reinforced when the gene

frequency clines of multiple barrier genes become geographically coincident in a hybrid

zone, a phenomenon referred to as cline coupling (Butlin & Smadja, 2018). When the same

markers show such steep and co-distributed transitions along multiple, geographically distant

transects across a hybrid zone, it is most likely that the two hybridizing species evolved a

barrier effect across their entire species' range (Teeter et al., 2009; Larson, Andrés,

Bogdanowicz, & Harrison, 2013; Harrison & Larson, 2014; Larson, White, Ross, & Harrison,

2014).

Patterns of introgression can be indicative of different types of selection. Asymmetric

introgression in hybrid zones, where gene flow is more pronounced in one direction than in

the other, can be caused by hybrid zone movement, positive selection, asymmetric

reproductive isolation, or a combination of these. Hybrid zone movement occurs when one

species outcompetes or out-disperses the other, and can cause elevated introgression of many

neutral markers in the wake of the movement (Gay, Crochet, Bell, & Lenormand, 2008;

Excoffier, Foll, & Petit, 2009; Wielstra, Burke, Butlin, & Arntzen, 2017; Wielstra, Burke,

Butlin, Avcı, et al., 2017; Wielstra, 2019). Asymmetric pre- or postzygotic isolation involves

the successful reproduction of only certain combinations of individuals (e.g. hybrids can only

backcross with one of the parental species), and most of the introgression takes place on the

side of the hybrid zone where backcrossing is the most successful (Haldane, 1922; Hewitt,

1975; Barton, 2001; Devitt, Baird, & Moritz, 2011). As hybrid populations receive more

genes from the side of the hybrid zone where reproduction is most successful, asymmetric

reproductive isolation can be a competitive advantage, and thus result in hybrid zone

movement (Buggs, 2007). Adaptive introgression, on the other hand, would typically concern

only one or a few markers (Barton & Hewitt, 1985; Barton, 2001).

The use of multiple transects across the same hybrid zone provides the ability to

distinguish between general and local patterns of gene flow, and thus to identify pervading

processes causal in reproductive isolation. We study two unique transects at opposite ends of

a ca. 900 km long hybrid zone between the common toad, *Bufo bufo* (Linnaeus, 1758) and the

spined toad, *B. spinosus* Daudin, 1803, which runs diagonally across France from the Atlantic

coast in the north to the Mediterranean Sea in the south (Fig. 1; Arntzen et al., 2018). Given

the low dispersal of both species, the *Bufo* hybrid zone provides an opportunity to test the

consistency of patterns of restricted gene flow and elevated directional gene flow in

independent transects. Previous studies on the individual transects suggested that weak

asymmetric introgression may occur in both sections (Arntzen, de Vries, Canestrelli, &

Martínez-Solano, 2017; van Riemsdijk, Butlin, Wielstra, & Arntzen, 2018). Expanding on

this work, we use ~1200 nuclear markers, two to three orders of magnitude more than used in

previous studies, to assess genome wide patterns on introgression in this hybrid zone.

Assuming that reproductive isolation is consistent throughout the hybrid zone, we

hypothesise that the same barrier genes are consistently reducing gene flow between the two

species in both transects.

Material and Methods

3RAD sequencing

DNA extracts of 387 individual toads were reused from previous research (Arntzen et al.,

2016, 2017, 2018, in prep.). This included five reference sample sites each of presumably

pure *B. bufo* and *B. spinosus*, 11 sample sites in transect one in northwest France, and 12

sample sites in transect two in southwest France (Table S.1.; Fig. 1). For three presumably

pure individuals of each species, libraries were prepared in triplicate (6 individuals x 3 = 18)

to assess genotyping error rate after assembly (which was estimated to be 0.5%; Appendix 1).

The 3RAD method (Graham et al., 2015; Glenn et al., 2016; Hoffberg et al., 2016; Bayona-

Vásquez et al., 2019) was used to obtain reduced representation genomic libraries. Two

restriction enzymes (CLA-*I* and Sbf-*I*) were used to cut 50 ng of genomic DNA from each

sample, while a third enzyme (MSP-*I*) was added to cleave and eliminate phosphorylated

adapter-adapter dimers. Internal barcodes were ligated to the resulting sticky ends and

external Illumina iTru5 and iTru7 primers, differing by $\geq$ 3 bp, were added to the internal

barcodes via an indexing PCR reaction (Glenn et al., 2016; Hoffberg et al., 2016; Bayona-

Vásquez et al., 2019). For PCR details see supplementary data (High-Throughput 3RAD

Protocol) in Bayona-Vásquez et al. (2019).

Upon individual library completion, DNA concentrations of seven libraries contained less

than the required concentration for equimolar pooling, even after repeating the library

preparation twice, thus the total volume of the library was included in the pool. All other

libraries were combined to achieve equimolar concentrations in the final pool. The fragments

in the pooled library were size selected for a range of 340-440 bp using a Pippin Prep (Sage

Science Inc. Beverly, MA), quantified using intercalating dye on a Victor multilabel plate

reader, and were sequenced on two lanes of an Illumina HiSeq 4000 PE100 at the Vincent J.

Coates Genomics Sequencing Laboratory in Berkeley, CA, USA.

Data clean-up and assembly

The average read count per sample was ~1.5 million paired-end reads (maximum 4.2 million

reads, Table S.2). Twelve samples had low raw read quantities (near or below 0.5 million

reads), including the seven samples with low DNA concentration, and were excluded.

Cutadapt v.1.14 (Martin, 2011) was used in three steps to remove 5' and 3' primers for each

internal barcode combination, remove the Illumina standard adapter, and carry out a read

quality control. Subsequently, ipyrad v.0.7.3 (Eaton, 2014) was used to assemble the reads.

Settings were: minimum read depth of six, maximum of eight heterozygous bases allowed per

consensus sequence, and heterozygous sites allowed across a maximum of 50% of the

samples (details in the supplements).

Population structure

Using a single randomly selected SNP per RAD fragment from the 50% dataset (which has

47.5% missing data) and the 90% dataset (which has 2.3% missing data), we first ran a

principal component analysis (PCA) to visualize genomic variation across both transects. We

used the package 'adegenet' v.2.1.1 in R (Jombart, 2008; Jombart & Ahmed, 2011), and

based the PCA on allele frequencies, replacing missing data with the mean of the total

dataset.

We further quantified population structure with Structure v.2.3.4 (Pritchard, Stephens, &

Donnelly, 2000) with the same datasets, for each transect separately. For both data sets, ten

independent Structure runs for two to ten genetic clusters (K) were completed with a burn in

of 10,000 MCMC steps followed by 25,000 MCMC steps under the admixture model using

StrAuto (Chhatre & Emerson, 2017). Convergence of the results was checked by

investigating log likelihood and admixture proportion stability (Benestan et al., 2016). The

results were summarized with CLUMPAK (Kopelman, Mayzel, Jakobsson, Rosenberg, &

Mayrose, 2015). The optimal value of K was determined with the Evanno method (Evanno,

Regnaut, & Goudet, 2005). Structure results were visualised using the R package

POPHELPER (Francis, 2017).

Diagnostic SNP selection

Diagnostic SNPs were determined based on the genotypes of the 37 *B. bufo* and 20 *B.*

spinosus individuals from the reference sample sites (Fig. 1; Table S.1, custom R script). In

order to verify whether there was bias from taxon-specific patterns of missing data, missing

data matrices were constructed with the 50% and 90% data sets, showing that data

missingness is slightly biased towards *B. spinosus*, which is expected, as it is the more

genetically diverse species of both (Fig. S.1) - so comparatively higher levels of allelic drop-

out from mutated restriction sites would be expected in this species. To avoid these possible

null-alleles, we removed all SNPs for which a locus was missing in all samples from the

reference sample sites of a species from the dataset with a maximum of 50% missing SNPs

per individual. We then selected all bi-allelic SNPs that were fixed for alternative

homozygous variants in the set of reference samples of each species. Finally, we selected one

random diagnostic SNP per fragment, resulting in 1,189 diagnostic SNPs. The percentage of

missing data in the dataset after diagnostic SNP selection was 25.7%.

Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium

We tested for signals of non-random mating success for each sample site in the dataset with

diagnostic SNPs by calculating heterozygote excess and deficit from Hardy-Weinberg

equilibrium with the R package 'genepop' based on the program GENEPOP v.1.0.5 (Rousset,

2008). Instead of the conservative Bonferroni correction, which accounts for the number of

tests performed in total, independence of tests was accounted for within markers (P_c for

N=1,189; Rice 1989; Narum 2006). Many markers with a significance level uncorrected for

repeated testing ($P < 0.05$) in the hybrid zone populations for both heterozygote excess and

deficit were present, but only 14 markers had a significant heterozygote deficit after

correction (Table S.3). These markers were excluded in the HZAR geographic cline fitting

analysis and admixture linkage disequilibrium calculations (see below).

Bayesian genomic cline outlier detection

To study genome-wide variation of introgression among admixed individuals we used the

Bayesian genomic cline model as implemented in the software BGC (Gompert & Buerkle,

2011, 2012; Gompert et al., 2012). The Bayesian genomic cline model is based on the

probability that an individual with a certain hybrid index (HI) inherited a gene variant at a

given locus from one species (φ; in this case *B. bufo*) or the other ($1 - \varphi$; *B. spinosus*). The

probability of *B. bufo* ancestry relative to expected (represented by the HI) is described by

cline parameter α. A positive α indicates an increase in the *B. bufo* ancestry probability and a

negative α indicates a decrease. The cline parameter β measures the genomic cline rate based

on ancestry for each locus. A positive β indicates an increased transition rate from a low to

high probability of *B. bufo* ancestry as a function of the HI, which implies there are less

heterozygotes for the marker than expected based on the HI, whereas a negative β indicates a

decrease in the transition rate, which implies there are more heterozygotes than expected

based on the HI (Gompert & Buerkle, 2011; Parchman et al., 2013). When more markers are

an outlier for α in one direction than the other, this points to genome wide asymmetric

introgression. When a marker is a negative outlier for cline parameter β, it is a candidate for a

barrier marker, especially when the geographic cline is narrow and located in the centre of the

hybrid zone.

The input files for parental genotypes included only individuals from the reference sample

sites, and the input file for admixed genotypes included individuals with an average

admixture proportion (Structure Q score) between 0.05 and 0.95, treated as a single

population (Fig. S.2). A single MCMC chain was run for 75,000 steps and samples were

taken from the posterior distribution every 5th step, following a burn-in of 25,000 steps.

Convergence was assessed (Fig. S.3), and we tested for outlier loci using 'estpost' to

summarise parameter posterior distributions (Gompert & Buerkle, 2011). Outlier loci were

established based on 99.9% confidence intervals of parameters.

Geographic cline analysis

Classic geographic equilibrium cline models were fitted using the R package 'HZAR'

(Derryberry, Derryberry, Maley, & Brumfield, 2014) for all diagnostic SNPs. For transect

one, sample sites 6 and 16, which are distant from the main axis of the transect, were

removed from the dataset. To determine distance between the sample sites, a custom R script

was used, and the directions of the transect axes were the same as in previous publications

(Arntzen et al., 2016, 2017). Transect two is situated next to the Rhone river, which is

considered a barrier to dispersal, and therefore the only logical direction of the transect is

parallel to the river. The shapes and positions of many clines can be summarised in the

expected cline, which can be represented by the HI (Polechová & Barton, 2011; Fitzpatrick,

2012). We thus also fitted clines for the HI of all non-outlier markers as determined by BGC,

as well as the HI of all heterozygote deficiency outliers ($\beta > 0$), to be able to compare the

general shape and positions of these marker categories in a geographical setting. For

example, the heterozygote deficiency outliers are expected to be geographically steep clines.

Thirty maximum likelihood estimation searches were performed with random starting

parameters, followed by a trace analysis of 60,000 generations on all models with a delta

Akaike information criterion corrected for small-sample-size (dAICc) < 10. Fifteen model

variants were based on all possible combinations of trait intervals (allele frequency at the

ends of the transects; three types) and tail shape (five types). Even though markers were

restricted to be diagnostic, cline shapes sometimes were better described by clines with allele

frequencies at the end of the cline different from zero or one. Convergence was visually

assessed in trace plots (see supplemental material). To provide a measure of cline symmetry,

we used a custom R script to estimate the area underneath the cline tail towards the left (Q_{left})

and the right (Q_{right}) of the hybrid zone centre, up to the point where the HI reached a value of

0.05 or 0.95 (Fig. S.4, supplements).

Admixture linkage disequilibrium and effective selection

To assess the most recent inflow of parental genotypes, linkage disequilibrium can be used.

The first generation offspring of two diverged species will be heterozygous for all fixed

differences, resulting in complete admixture linkage disequilibrium (D'), rather than the usual

linkage disequilibrium resulting from selection, assortment, mutation, or drift (Barton &

Gale, 1993; Baird, 2015). Recombination during reproduction breaks down D', whereas

migration of parental (pure) individuals increases D' (Barton & Gale, 1993). When gene flow

into the hybrid zone is symmetric, the peak of D' in the hybrid zone centre follows a

Gaussian curve (Gay et al., 2008). Under hybrid zone movement, the peak is predicted to

shift ahead of the movement to the side of the hybrid zone, opposite to the tail of neutral

introgression where recombination has broken down the peak already (Gay et al., 2008;

Wang et al., 2011). The peak is expected to be more coincident with the tail of introgression

in a case of asymmetric reproductive isolation (Devitt et al., 2011). The position of the peak

of D' thus may be used to support the underlying process of asymmetric introgression.

Average effective selection on a locus (s*) is the selection pressure on a locus at the zone

centre due to direct selection and association with other loci. Admixture linkage

disequilibrium (*D*') based on the variance in hybrid index, which in turn allows the

calculation of lifetime dispersal distance weighted for pre- and post- metamorphosis (σ), and

s* following Barton & Gale (1993), were calculated using scripts from van Riemsdijk et al.

(2019). The data contained only markers in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium and markers not

indicated as outliers in BGC (832 and 652 loci) for each transect, because these markers

represent the presumably neutral portion of the genome (Table 1). We repeated the analysis

using only the markers that were heterozygote deficiency outliers (β > 0; 56 and 121 loci) to

represent the portion of the genome that experiences the highest barrier effect. Fixed

parameters were: a recombination rate of 0.4997, calculated following formula (6) from

Macholán et al. (2007), using the number of chiasmata per bivalent for *B. bufo* (1.95;

Wickbom, 1945) and the number of chromosomes for *B. bufo* (N = 22), a generation time

(sexual maturity) of 2.5 years for *Bufo* at the latitude of the hybrid zone (mean of 3 years in

females and 2 years in males; Hemelaar, 1988) and initial secondary contact 8,000 years ago

following Arntzen et al. (2016). The width of the hybrid zone was derived from a general

sigmoid cline model following HZAR (Derryberry et al., 2014), fitted to the HI, as tail shape

is not taken into consideration in these calculations (Barton & Gale 1993). Mean and 95%

confidence interval (CI) were based on 1,000 bootstrap replicates of the original genotype

dataset (with replacement, maintaining original sample size within sites). Following Gay et

al. (2008), we fitted a Gaussian curve through the estimates of *D*' and 95% confidence

intervals (CI) for the calculated parameters were derived from the bootstrap data.

Results

Data clean-up and assembly

We assembled two data sets in which the minimum number of individuals that must have

data for each locus to be retained was 194 (50% of the samples), and 349 (90%) of the 387

total samples to generate matrixes with different levels of missing data for downstream

analyses. These two datasets eventually contained 4,869 loci (39,750 SNPs), and 986 loci

(10,535 SNPs), respectively. The PCA plots for the 50% and 90% datasets are highly similar

(Fig. S.5). The structure results for both datasets showed that using a dataset with loci for

which at least 90% of individuals have data, returned qualitatively similar results as using the

dataset with more missingness, but more data (mean delta Structure Q score

difference/individual = 0.014; standard deviation = 0.016).

Samples that had libraries prepared and sequenced in triplicate were initially analysed as

part of the 50% missingness dataset. This showed that on average, pairwise *de novo*

assemblies for each individual contained 79.8 % of the same RAD-loci, and for overlapping

loci, differed at only 0.5% of the dataset-wide SNP calls. When manually inspected, the

differences of SNP calls were often missed heterozygous calls (e.g. A vs R). Overall, this

gives us confidence that our *de novo* assembly parameters strike a balance between read

depth and missingness. For example, one would expect, setting higher read depth thresholds

would result in fewer missed or erroneous SNP calls, but could also result in higher stochastic

missingness of RAD-loci for a given sequencing effort.

Population structure

The first axis (PC1, 26.8%) of the PCA appears to reflect the genetic difference between pure

B. bufo in the north (right) and pure *B. spinosus* in the south (left), with hybrids in the middle

(Fig. S.5). The second axis (PC2, 2.8%) separates the two transects. In Structure, the

preferred number of genetic clusters for transect one was K=3, and for transect two K=2 (Fig.

S.2). In both transects, the plot for two genetic clusters reflects differentiation between the

two species, with hybrids smoothly transitioning between the two, while the three-cluster

model in transect one places hybrids in a group of their own. At both K=2 and K=3, both

transects have superficially similar structure, and hybrid individuals never approach being

"pure" hybrid at K=3. In other words, hybrids are always shown to have ancestry that is

admixed between a 'hybrid' population and both parental species.

Bayesian genomic cline outlier detection

The dataset in the BGC analysis shows little bias in the proportion of observed hybrid indices

(HI; Fig. S.6). Transect one has significantly more markers with a reduced probability of *B.*

bufo ancestry ($\alpha < 0$, n = 151) than markers with an increased probability ($\alpha > 0$, n = 110, χ^2

test P = 0.0112; Table 1), relative to the hybrid index. Transect two has a nearly equal

number of markers with an increased or decreased probability of *B. bufo* ancestry ($\alpha > 0$, n =

174; $\alpha < 0$, n = 185, χ^2 test P = 0.5615). The number of markers with an outlier β in transect

one (heterozygote deficiency $\beta > 0$, n = 56 and heterozygote excess $\beta < 0$, n = 42) is about

half the number detected in transect two ($\beta > 0$, n= 123, and $\beta < 0$, n = 105). Of the RAD

markers which are positive or negative outliers for α or β, 22-61% are also outliers in transect

two (last column Table 1). Such overlap is unlikely if the two transects were completely

evolutionarily independent (Table 1). For example, the chance of the same 26 markers to act

as barrier markers in both transects by chance is close to zero (last row Table 1).

Geographic cline analysis

We verified that outlier markers for α or β in the BGC analysis are correlated to outlier

behaviour in the shape and position of their geographic cline, by plotting significant outliers

for the parameters α and β from BGC to the geographic cline parameters centre and width

determined with HZAR (Fig S.6). When a marker is an outlier for α, it would be expected

that the cline is shifted (centre) or has a different shape (e.g. width), or both, compared to the

genomic average (e.g. represented by the HI cline). When a marker is an outlier for

heterozygote deficiency ($\beta > 0$) it should also show a steep geographic cline, coincident with

the HI cline (green clines, Fig. 2, Fig. S.7). We refer to such heterozygote deficiency ($\beta > 0$)

markers as 'barrier markers'. Markers that are not genomic outliers (not an outlier for α nor

for β) are referred to as 'neutral markers'.

The HI cline based on neutral markers in transect one (northwest France) shows a high

level of asymmetric introgression from *B. spinosus* into *B. bufo* by a fitted cline shape with a

tail ($Q_{left} = 15.0$, $Q_{right} = 8.8$), whereas transect two (southeast France) shows a pattern of

symmetric introgression by a cline shape without tails ($Q_{left} = 11.0$, $Q_{right} = 11.0$; Fig. 2, Table

S.4, Fig. S.8, Fig. S.9). The cline widths for neutral markers in both transects are similar (47

km and 49 km), and the cline shape in the centre is generally steep (Fig. 3). The HI clines for

barrier markers are symmetrical in both transects (Table S.4).

Admixture linkage disequilibrium

The admixture linkage disequilibrium (D') for neutral markers shows a peak in the centre of

the hybrid zone in both transects, although the amplitude of the peak in transect one is one

fifth of the peak in transect two (Fig. 2). As barrier markers are less likely to flow away from

the hybrid zone centre, the peak of D' for barrier markers may be more sensitive to shifts of

the hybrid zone centre. In transect one, barrier markers showed a peak with higher D' on the

B. bufo side of the hybrid zone, but displacement of the Gaussian curve was not significant;

as the AIC was nearly equal when constraining the peak of D' to the cline centre (435 km) as

when the peak was fitted unconstrained (resulting in a peak at 423 km). In transect two,

barrier markers showed a peak of D' in the centre of the hybrid zone.

The lower peak of D' in transect one corresponds to a lower number of steep clines

observed and underlies the lower estimates of effective selection against hybrids (s*) and

lifetime dispersal compared to transect two, and not to a difference in cline width as these are

comparable (see results section "geographic cline analysis" above). For transect one s* is

0.0022 (95% CI 0.0012-0.0034) whereas it is about an order of magnitude greater for transect

two, where s* is 0.0195 (95% CI 0.0143-0.0252; Table S.5). The s* based on barrier markers

for transect one is 0.0101 (95% CI 0.0054-0.0152) and for transect two 0.0344 (95% CI

0.0220-0.0470). Notably, the confidence intervals for both estimates of s* in each hybrid

zone do not overlap. We estimated the lifetime dispersal distance based on neutral markers

for transect one and two at 1.8 (95% CI 1.3-2.2) and 4.0 (95% CI 3.4-4.5) km per generation,

respectively.

Discussion

Asymmetry of introgression in northwest France

In northwest France (transect one), Bayesian genomic cline analysis indicates a significant

asymmetry in gene flow, with more alleles from *B. bufo* flowing into *B. spinosus*, than the

other way around (Table 1). On the other hand, a shift in the HI geographic cline based on

neutral markers, which is the baseline to determine outliers in the Bayesian genomic clines

analysis, shows asymmetric neutral introgression from *B. spinosus* into *B. bufo* (Fig. 2). The

combination of a high amount of possibly selective gene flow from *B. bufo* into *B. spinosus*,

and a tail of neutral introgression towards the north (from *B. spinosus* into *B. bufo*) could be

pointing to southward hybrid zone movement due to an advantage of *B. bufo* over *B.*

spinosus. A neutral tail of introgression towards the north was previously interpreted as a tail

of introgression in the wake of (past) hybrid zone movement, and the hybrid zone was

thought to have stabilised at a gradient of elevation (Arntzen et al., 2016). The pattern of

introgression currently observed, a tail towards the north with a coincident peak of admixture

linkage disequilibrium (D') is highly similar to the pattern observed in van Riemsdijk et al.

(2019). Alternative explanations for such asymmetric introgression could be asymmetric

reproductive isolation due to mating preferences or mitonuclear incompatibilities.

When studying single transects, often more than one potential explanation for asymmetric

introgression across a hybrid zone are reported. Providing solid proof of hybrid zone

movement or asymmetric reproductive isolation proves to be difficult (Buggs, 2007; Toews

& Brelsford, 2012; Brandvain, Pauly, May, & Turelli, 2014; Wielstra, 2019). Yet some

studies provide convincing support for one explanation over the other by combining multiple

lines of evidence. For example, in a group of Neotropical jacanas (*Jacana*), females of the

larger and more aggressive species more often mother hybrid offspring in sympatric regions,

which resulted in a shift of female body mass relative to the genetic cline centre (Lipshutz et

al., 2019). In crested newts (*Triturus*), the position of enclaves (distribution relicts),

predictions of distribution models, and genome-wide asymmetric introgression support

hybrid zone movement as a cause of asymmetric introgression (Wielstra & Arntzen, 2012;

Wielstra, Burke, Butlin, & Arntzen, 2017). For the *Bufo* hybrid zone, additional evidence in

the form of behavioural, breeding, distribution modelling or simulation studies may thus

provide stronger support for the cause of the asymmetric introgression observed in northwest

France.

Symmetry of introgression in southeast France

In southeast France (transect two), both genetic and genomic cline analyses reveal equal

amounts of introgression on both sides of the hybrid zone. Therefore, the zone appears to be

stable. Whilst the geography of the northwest transect is relatively homogeneous (e.g.

altitude, Fig. 1), the hybrid zone in southeast France locally runs in parallel to landscape

features (rivers and mountains) that are associated with the species range border (Arntzen et

al., 2018). Barrier genes may be coupled to a steep gradient of local adaptive genes between

two populations and stabilise a hybrid zone at an ecotone (Bierne, Welch, Loire, Bonhomme,

& David, 2011). In such a situation, the local steep environmental gradient may be repeated

in the vicinity of the hybrid zone centre (e.g. the presence of multiple hills in a row), but the

barrier effect may keep the locally adaptive genes locked in the hybrid zone (e.g. on one

hillside, Bierne et al., 2011). The hybrid zone in southeast France may be trapped at such a

steep gradient of locally adaptive markers.

A barrier to gene flow in **Bufo** *transects*

The number of barrier markers (as identified in the genomic cline analysis) in transect one

(northwest France; n=56) is approximately half that of transect two (southeast France;

n=123), and the estimated selection against hybrids in the neutral markers in the dataset is

significantly lower in transect one (s* is 0.0022) than in transect two (s* is 0.0195, Table 1,

Table S.5). As expected, the majority of the barrier markers as identified by the genomic

cline analysis also show a narrow geographical cline with a transition confined to the centre

of the hybrid zone. Our results thus support the idea that the higher the number of barrier

genes restricting gene flow is, the higher the overall effective selection against hybrids will

be (Barton, 1983; Barton & Gale, 1993; Bierne et al., 2011; Vines et al., 2016). It thus

appears that the barrier effect is less prominent in transect one than in transect two.

A difference in negative selection in different parts of the hybrid zones can be caused by

several biological processes, including differences in the length of secondary contact, a

difference in linkage of barrier genes and involvement of different genetic groups, as we

further discuss below. The barrier markers, with relatively steep clines, for which the

geographical cline centre is not fixed to the hybrid zone are mostly "shifted" towards the

south, from *B. bufo* into *B. spinosus* (Fig. 3). The transition of these few shifted barrier

markers is somewhere between the last sample location of the transect and the reference

populations, and more samples towards the south would be needed to determine their shape

and centre more precisely.

During postglacial expansion, secondary contact between *B. bufo* and *B. spinosus* is

suggested to first have established in the southeast of France and at a later point in the

northwest (Arntzen et al., 2017), closing the gap between the two species in a zipper-like

manner. As a consequence, cline coupling may have progressed further towards reproductive

isolation after secondary contact, and is still ongoing throughout the hybrid zone (Harrison &

Larson, 2016; Butlin & Smadja, 2018; Dagilis, Kirkpatrick, & Bolnick, 2019). Species

divergence with gene flow is predicted to result in more clustering of neutral and barrier loci

in certain genomic regions, than species divergence without gene flow, and in this way may

provide a stronger barrier against gene flow (Noor, Grams, Bertucci, & Reiland, 2001;

Rieseberg, 2001; Emelianov, Marec, & Mallet, 2004; Nosil, Funk, & Ortiz-Barrientos, 2009;

Yeaman & Whitlock, 2011; Harrison & Larson, 2016; Rafajlović, Emanuelsson,

Johannesson, Butlin, & Mehlig, 2016; Schumer et al., 2018). The barrier markers shared

across both transects may therefore be clustered in a few low-recombination regions, or

linked to the causal variant and maintained through linkage to loci under strong selection.

Whether the higher number of barrier markers observed in the southeast of France is due to

the age of the hybrid zone, and more specifically, due to the presence of a higher number of

barrier genes, or lower recombination rate surrounding barrier genes is not possible to

determine with the current dataset, without the aid of a linkage map or sequenced genome.

The two transects share 26 barrier markers (the union of 56 and 123 barrier markers

identified in each transect), which is unlikely to be the result of chance (see statistic test in

Materials & Methods, and details in Table 1). Overlap of markers involved in the restriction

of gene flow in multiple transects pointed to intrinsic similarities of a barrier effect in the

sunflower (*Helianthus*) hybrid zone, one of the first hybrid zones studied with multiple

transects, with gene flow restricted by genomic regions linked to pollen sterility and

chromosomal rearrangements (Rieseberg, Whitton, & Gardner, 1999; Buerkle & Rieseberg,

2001). Under laboratory conditions the barrier genes were also found to restrict gene flow,

which excluded the possibility of the involvement of external factors (Buerkle & Rieseberg,

2001). In field crickets (*Gryllus*) the same barrier genes restricted gene flow in two sections

of the hybrid zone and barrier genes were linked to intrinsic factors of prezygotic isolation

(Larson, Andrés, et al., 2013; Larson, Guilherme Becker, Bondra, & Harrison, 2013; Larson

et al., 2014). In both these examples, the overlap of barrier genes could be linked to processes

important for reproduction, which appear to have played a role in the initial isolation of two

species.

However, more often than not, the markers restricting introgression differ between

transects in the same hybrid zone (see for an overiew Harrison & Larson, 2016). In the well-

studied house mouse hybrid zone (*Mus*), patterns of restricted gene flow differ among

transects, suggesting also different genetic architectures of isolation between the two species

(Teeter et al., 2009). However, just as for *Bufo*, there were markers which show restricted

introgression in both transects in *Mus*, which were linked to hybrid sterility in laboratory

settings (Janoušek et al., 2012). A similar situation is observed in the *Bufo* hybrid zone.

Experimental studies are required to explore the functional roles of the barrier markers in the

Bufo hybrid zone.

***Intraspecific divergence within* B. bufo**

The *B. bufo* toads in northwest France possess an mtDNA clade that resided in a refugium in

the northern Balkans during the last glacial maximum (22,000 BP), whilst *B. bufo* in

southeast France carry mtDNA variants that survived in Italy, and in the northern and western

Balkans (Garcia-Porta et al., 2012; Recuero et al., 2012; Arntzen et al., 2017). Meanwhile, *B.*

spinosus individuals from France belong to a lineage derived from a single Iberian refugium

(Garcia-Porta et al., 2012; Recuero et al., 2012; Arntzen et al., 2017). The difference in

distance from these refugia to the current position of the hybrid zone may also have resulted

in a later point of contact in northwest France, compared to southeast France and, perhaps,

reduced opportunity for secondary contact in the northwest during previous interglacials.

Additionally, *B. bufo* shows structural differences in chromosome morphology across its

range, with all homogametic chromosomes observed in *B. bufo* in Russia (which presumably

belong to the same mitochondrial clade occurring in northwest France), and a heterogametic

pair of chromosomes in female *B. bufo* in Italy, whereas chromosome morphology within *B.*

spinosus appears to be uniform (Morescalchi, 1964; Birstein & Mazin, 1982; Pisanets et al.,

2009; Skorinov et al., 2018). Intraspecific mitochondrial and chromosome divergence in *B.*

bufo may thus be reflected by (other) nuclear genetic substructure. Yet, the Structure and

PCA results based on the total dataset, despite the inclusion of all 4,863 RAD markers, did

not indicate the presence of multiple genetic groups in our *B. bufo* samples. But, given our

sampling scheme (Fig. 1), this could be due to the lack of (pure) parental populations

belonging to such potential genetic groups (Rogers & Bohlender, 2015). A range-wide

phylogeographic study based on nuclear DNA is required to assess whether diverged

(nuclear) *B. bufo* groups are involved in the hybrid zone.

Evolution of hybrid zones

Long hybrid zones, such as the common toad and house mouse hybrid zones, appear to have

two evolutionary trends in common; 1) barrier markers shared between transects, reflecting

an overall barrier to gene flow along the entire hybrid zone, and 2) barrier markers specific to

individual transects. Hence, long hybrid zones can provide unique insights in the different

ways two lineages move towards speciation, or in the opposite direction, towards complete

merging (Teeter et al., 2009; Larson, Andrés, et al., 2013; Harrison & Larson, 2014; Larson

et al., 2014). The current study shows that the process of cline coupling, where additional

barrier genes are recruited and converge geographically towards the hybrid zone (Butlin &

Smadja 2018), may result in spatial variation in the set of barrier markers employed along the

length of the hybrid zone.

Conclusion

We find an overlap of barrier markers between two widely separated transects in the *Bufo*

hybrid zone, which indicates that a range wide barrier effect has evolved. The barrier effect is

strong enough to have prevented the two *Bufo* species from merging despite secondary

contact having been established about 8000 years ago (Arntzen et al., 2016; Arntzen, 2019).

However, we propose that potential genetic substructure within *B. bufo* complicates the

interpretation of overlap and differences between transects within this hybrid zone, and we

recommend that future research explores the presence of subgroups based on genome-wide

nuclear DNA data for a wider geographic range. The generation of a high-density linkage

map or reference genome will be helpful to infer patterns of linkage and barrier loci in more

detail. Laboratory crosses of individuals from the resulting intraspecific *B. bufo* groups and *B.*

spinosus could verify potential modes of (asymmetric) reproductive isolation (e.g. Malone &

Fontenot, 2008; Stöck et al., 2013; Brandvain et al., 2014). The *Bufo* hybrid zone provides an

excellent opportunity to separate a general barrier to gene flow from local reduction in gene

flow specific to individual transects.

Acknowledgements

We thank Frido Welker, Tara Luckau, Roger K. Butlin, the members of the Butlin laboratory

at the University of Sheffield, and the Allentoft laboratory at the Natural History Museum in

Copenhagen for support and discussion. The PhD position of IvR is supported by the

'Nederlandse Organisatie voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek' (NWO Open Programme

824.14.014). This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020

research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No.

655487. Part of this project was carried out by IR at the Shaffer laboratory in Los Angeles, at

the University of California. This study trip has been sponsored by the Leiden University

Fund / Swaantje Mondt Fonds (D7102). MR was funded by the Hasselblad Foundation Grant

to Female Scientists, a grant from the Swedish Research Council Formas, and by additional

grants from Swedish Research Councils (Formas and VR) to the Centre for Marine

Evolutionary Biology at the University of Gothenburg (www.cemeb.science.gu.se)

References

Abbott, R., Albach, D., Ansell, S., Arntzen, J. W., Baird, S. J. E., Bierne, N., … Zinner, D. (2013).
Hybridization and speciation. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, *26*, 229–246.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1420-9101.2012.02599.x
Arntzen, J. W. (2019). An amphibian species pushed out of Britain by a moving hybrid zone.
Molecular Ecology, 1–4.
Arntzen, J. W., de Vries, W., Canestrelli, D., & Martínez-Solano, I. (2017). Hybrid zone formation
and contrasting outcomes of secondary contact over transects in common toads. *Molecular*
Ecology, *26*, 5663–5675. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14273
Arntzen, J. W., McAtear, J., Butôt, R., & Martínez-Solano, I. (2018). A common toad hybrid zone

that runs from the Atlantic to the Mediterranean. *Amphibia-Reptilia*, *39*, 41–50. https://doi.org/10.1163/15685381-00003145

Arntzen, J. W., Trujillo, T., Butot, R., Vrieling, K., Schaap, O. D., Gutiérrez-Rodriquez, J., & Martinez-Solano, I. (2016). Concordant morphological and molecular clines in a contact zone of the common and spined toad (*Bufo bufo* and *B. spinosus*) in the northwest of France. *Frontiers in Zoology*, *13*, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12983-016-0184-7

Baird, S. J. E. (2015). Exploring linkage disequilibrium. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, *15*, 1017–1019. https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12424

Barton, N. H. (1983). Multilocus clines. *Evolution*, *37*, 454–471. https://doi.org/10.2307/2408260

Barton, N. H. (2001). The role of hybridization in evolution. *Molecular Ecology*, *10*, 551–568. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294X.2001.01216.x

Barton, N. H. (2013). Does hybridization influence speciation? *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, *26*, 267–269. https://doi.org/10.1111/jeb.12015

Barton, N. H., & Gale, K. S. (1993). Genetic analysis of hybrid zones. In R. G. Harrison (Ed.), *Hybrid zones and the evolutionary process* (pp. 13–45). New York: Oxford University Press.

Barton, N. H., & Hewitt, G. M. (1985). Analysis of hybrid zones. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, *16*, 113–148.

Bayona-Vásquez, N. J., Glenn, T. C., Kieran, T. J., Pierson, T. W., Hoffberg, S. L., Scott, P. A., … Faircloth, B. C. (2019). Adapterama III: Quadruple-indexed, double/triple-enzyme RADseq libraries (2RAD/3RAD). *PeerJ*, *7*, e7724. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.7724

Benestan, L. M., Ferchaud, A.-L., Hohenlohe, P. A., Garner, B. A., Naylor, G. J. P., Baums, I. B., … Luikart, G. (2016). Conservation genomics of natural and managed populations: building a conceptual and practical framework. *Molecular Ecology*, *25*, 2967–77. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13647

Bierne, N., Welch, J., Loire, E., Bonhomme, F., & David, P. (2011). The coupling hypothesis: why genome scans may fail to map local adaptation genes. *Molecular Ecology*, *20*, 2044–2072. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05080.x

Birstein, V. J., & Mazin, A. L. (1982). Chromosomal polymorphism of *Bufo bufo*: karyotype and C-banding pattern of *B. b. verrucosissima*. *Genetica*, *59*, 93–98. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00133292

Brandvain, Y., Pauly, G. B., May, M. R., & Turelli, M. (2014). Explaining Darwin's corollary to Haldane's rule: The role of mitonuclear interactions in asymmetric postzygotic isolation among toads. *Genetics*, *197*, 743–747. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.113.161133

Buerkle, C. A., & Rieseberg, L. H. (2001). Low intraspecific variation for genomic isolation between hybridizing sunflower species. *Evolution*, *55*, 684–691. https://doi.org/10.1554/0014-3820(2001)055[0684:livfgi]2.0.co;2

Buggs, R. J. A. (2007). Empirical study of hybrid zone movement. *Heredity*, *99*, 301–312. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.hdy.6800997

Butlin, R. K., & Smadja, C. M. (2018). Coupling, reinforcement, and speciation. *The American Naturalist*, *191*, 155–172. https://doi.org/10.1086/695136

Chhatre, V. E., & Emerson, K. J. (2017). StrAuto: automation and parallelization of STRUCTURE analysis. *BMC Bioinformatics*, *18*, 1–5. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-017-1593-0

Dagilis, A. J., Kirkpatrick, M., & Bolnick, D. I. (2019). The evolution of hybrid fitness during speciation. *PLoS Genetics*, *15*, e1008125.

Derryberry, E. P., Derryberry, G. E., Maley, J. M., & Brumfield, R. T. (2014). HZAR: hybrid zone analysis using an R software package. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, *14*, 652–663. https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12209

Devitt, T. J., Baird, S. J. E., & Moritz, C. (2011). Asymmetric reproductive isolation between terminal forms of the salamander ring species *Ensatina eschscholtzii* revealed by fine-scale genetic analysis of a hybrid zone. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, *11*. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-11-245

Eaton, D. A. R. (2014). PyRAD: assembly of de novo RADseq loci for phylogenetic analyses. *Bioinformatics*, *30*, 1844–1849. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu121

Emelianov, I., Marec, F., & Mallet, J. (2004). Genomic evidence for divergence with gene flow in host races of the larch budmoth. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *271*,

97–105. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2003.2574

Evanno, G., Regnaut, S., & Goudet, J. (2005). Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using
the software STRUCTURE: a simulation study. *Molecular Ecology*, *14*, 2611–2620.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2005.02553.x

Excoffier, L., Foll, M., & Petit, R. J. (2009). Genetic consequences of range expansions. *Annual*
Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, *40*, 481–501.
https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.39.110707.173414

Fitzpatrick, B. M. (2012). Estimating ancestry and heterozygosity of hybrids using molecular markers.
BMC Evolutionary Biology, *12*, 131. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-12-131

Francis, R. M. (2017). POPHELPER: an R package and web app to analyse and visualize population
structure. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, *17*, 27–32. https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12509

Garcia-Porta, J., Litvinchuk, S. N., Crochet, P. A., Romano, A., Geniez, P. H., Lo-Valvo, M., …
Carranza, S. (2012). Molecular phylogenetics and historical biogeography of the west-palearctic
common toads (*Bufo bufo* species complex). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, *63*, 113–
130. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2011.12.019

Gay, L., Crochet, P. A., Bell, D. A., & Lenormand, T. (2008). Comparing clines on molecular and
phenotypic traits in hybrid zones: a window on tension zone models. *Evolution*, *62*, 2789–2806.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2008.00491.x

Glenn, T. C., Nilsen, R. A., Kieran, T. J., Finger Jr., J. W., Pierson, T. W., Bentley, K. E., …
Faircloth, B. C. (2016). Adapterama I: universal stubs and primers for thousands of dual-indexed
Illumina libraries (iTru & iNext). *BioRxiv*.

Gompert, Z., & Buerkle, C. A. (2011). Bayesian estimation of genomic clines. *Molecular Ecology*,
20, 2111–2127. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05074.x

Gompert, Z., & Buerkle, C. A. (2012). bgc: software for Bayesian estimation of genomic clines.
Molecular Ecology Resources, *12*, 1168–1176. https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12009.x

Gompert, Z., Parchman, T. L., & Buerkle, C. A. (2012). Genomics of isolation in hybrids.
Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, *367*, 439–450.
https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2011.0196

Graham, C. F., Glenn, T. C., McArthur, A. G., Boreham, D. R., Kieran, T., Lance, S., … Somers, C.
M. (2015). Impacts of degraded DNA on restriction enzyme associated DNA sequencing
(RADSeq). *Molecular Ecology Resources*, *15*, 1304–15. https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-
0998.12404

Haldane, J. B. S. (1922). Sex ratio and unisexual sterility in hybrid animals. *Journal of Genetics*, *12*,
7–109. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02983075

Harrison, R. G., & Larson, E. L. (2014). Hybridization, introgression, and the nature of species
boundaries. *Journal of Heredity*, *105*, 795–809. https://doi.org/10.1093/jhered/esu033

Harrison, R. G., & Larson, E. L. (2016). Heterogeneous genome divergence, differential
introgression, and the origin and structure of hybrid zones. *Molecular Ecology*, *25*, 2454–2466.
https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13582

Hemelaar, A. (1988). Age, growth and other population characteristics of *Bufo bufo* from different
latitudes and altitudes. *Journal of Herpetology*, *22*, 369–388.

Hewitt, G. M. (1975). A sex-chromosome hybrid zone in the grasshopper *Podisma pedestris*
(Orthoptera: Acrididae). *Heredity*, *35*, 375–387. https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.1975.108

Hewitt, G. M. (1988). Hybrid zones - natural laboratories for evolutionary studies. *Trends in Ecology*
and Evolution, *3*, 158–167. https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-5347(88)90033-X

Hoffberg, S., Kieran, T., Catchen, J., Devault, A., Faircloth, B. C., Mauricio, R., & Glenn, T. C.
(2016). RADcap: sequence capture of dual-digest RADseq libraries with identifiable duplicates
and reduced missing data. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, *16*, 1264–1278.
https://doi.org/10.1111/jnc.13494

Janoušek, V., Wang, L., Luzynski, K., Dufková, P., Vyskočilová, M. M., Nachman, M. W., …
Tucker, P. K. (2012). Genome-wide architecture of reproductive isolation in a naturally
occurring hybrid zone between *Mus musculus musculus* and *M. m. domesticus*. *Molecular*
Ecology, *21*, 3032–3047. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05583.x

Jombart, T. (2008). adegenet: a R package for the multivariate analysis of genetic markers.
Bioinformatics, *24*, 1403–1405. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btn129

Jombart, T., & Ahmed, I. (2011). adegenet 1.3-1: new tools for the analysis of genome-wide SNP data. *Bioinformatics*, *27*, 3070–3071. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr521

Kopelman, N. M., Mayzel, J., Jakobsson, M., Rosenberg, N. A., & Mayrose, I. (2015). Clumpak: a program for identifying clustering modes and packaging population structure inferences across K. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, *15*, 1179–1191. https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12387

Larson, E. L., Andrés, J. A., Bogdanowicz, S. M., & Harrison, R. G. (2013). Differential introgression in a mosaic hybrid zone reveals candidate barrier genes. *Evolution*, *67*, 3653–3661. https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.12205

Larson, E. L., Guilherme Becker, C., Bondra, E. R., & Harrison, R. G. (2013). Structure of a mosaic hybrid zone between the field crickets *Gryllus firmus* and *G. pennsylvanicus*. *Ecology and Evolution*, *3*, 985–1002. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.514

Larson, E. L., White, T. A., Ross, C. L., & Harrison, R. G. (2014). Gene flow and the maintenance of species boundaries. *Molecular Ecology*, *23*, 1668–1678. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.12601

Lipshutz, S. E., Meier, J. I., Derryberry, G. E., Miller, M. J., Seehausen, O., & Derryberry, E. P. (2019). Differential introgression of a female competitive trait in a hybrid zone between sex-role reversed species. *Evolution*, *73*, 188–201. https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.13675

Macholán, M., Munclinger, P., Šugerková, M., Dufková, P., Bímová, B., Božíková, E., … Piálek, J. (2007). Genetic analysis of autosomal and X-linked markers across a mouse hybrid zone. *Evolution*, *61*, 746–771. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2007.00065.x

Malone, J. H., & Fontenot, B. E. (2008). Patterns of reproductive isolation in toads. *PLoS ONE*, *3*. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0003900

Martin, M. (2011). Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. *EMBnet.Journal*, *17*, 10. https://doi.org/10.14806/ej.17.1.200

Morescalchi, A. (1964). Il corredo cromosomico dei Bufonidi Italiani. *Bolletino Di Zoologia*, *31*, 827–836. https://doi.org/10.1080/11250006409441116

Narum, S. R. (2006). Beyond Bonferroni: less conservative analyses for conservation genetics. *Conservation Genetics*, *7*, 783–787. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10592-005-9056-y

Noor, M., Grams, K. L., Bertucci, L. A., & Reiland, J. (2001). Chromosomal inversions and the reproductive isolation of species. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *21*, 12084–12088. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.81.1.282

Nosil, P., Funk, D. J., & Ortiz-Barrientos, D. (2009). Divergent selection and heterogeneous genomic divergence. *Molecular Ecology*, *18*, 375–402. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2008.03946.x

Parchman, T. L., Gompert, Z., Braun, M. J., Brumfield, R. T., McDonald, D. B., Uy, J. A. C., … Buerkle, C. A. (2013). The genomic consequences of adaptive divergence and reproductive isolation between species of manakins. *Molecular Ecology*, *22*, 3304–3317. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.12201

Pisanets, E. M., Litvinchuk, S. N., Rosanov, J. M., Reminniy, V. Y., Pasynkova, R. A., & Suryadnaya, N. N. M. A. S. (2009). Common toads (Amphibia, Bufonidae, *Bufo bufo* complex) from the Ciscaucasia and north of the Caucasus: the new analysis of the problem. *Zbirnik Prats' Zool. Mus.*, *40*, 83–125.

Polechová, J., & Barton, N. (2011). Genetic drift widens the expected cline but narrows the expected cline width. *Genetics*, *189*, 227–235. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.111.129817

Pritchard, J. K., Stephens, M., & Donnelly, P. (2000). Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. *Genetics*, *155*, 945–959. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2007.01758.x

Rafajlović, M., Emanuelsson, A., Johannesson, K., Butlin, R. K., & Mehlig, B. (2016). A universal mechanism generating clusters of differentiated loci during divergence-with-migration. *Evolution; International Journal of Organic Evolution*, *70*, 1609–1621. https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.12957

Ravinet, M., Faria, R., Butlin, R. K., Galindo, J., Bierne, N., Rafajlović, M., … Westram, A. M. (2017). Interpreting the genomic landscape of speciation: finding barriers to gene flow. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, *30*, 1450–1477. https://doi.org/10.1111/jeb.13047

Recuero, E., Canestrelli, D., Vörös, J., Szabó, K., Poyarkov, N. A., Arntzen, J. W., … Martínez-Solano, I. (2012). Multilocus species tree analyses resolve the radiation of the widespread *Bufo*

bufo species group (Anura, Bufonidae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, *62*, 71–86.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2011.09.008
Rice, W. R. (1989). Analyzing tables of statistical tests. *Evolution*, *43*, 223–225.
Rieseberg, L. H. (2001). Chromosomal rearrangements and speciation. *TRENDS in Ecology &*
Evolution, *16*, 351–358. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-800049-6.00074-3
Rieseberg, L. H., Whitton, J., & Gardner, K. (1999). Hybrid zones and the genetic architecture of a
barrier to gene flow between two sunflower species. *Genetics*, *152*, 713–727.
Rogers, A. R., & Bohlender, R. J. (2015). Bias in estimators of archaic admixture. *Theoretical*
Population Biology, *100*, 63–78. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tpb.2014.12.006
Rousset, F. (2008). GENEPOP'007: a complete re-implementation of the GENEPOP software for
Windows and Linux. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, *8*, 103–106. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-
8286.2007.01931.x
Schumer, M., Xu, C., Powell, D. L., Durvasula, A., Skov, L., Holland, C., … Przeworski, M. (2018).
Natural selection interacts with recombination to shape the evolution of hybrid genomes.
Science, *660*, 656–660. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aar3684.Natural
Skorinov, D. V, Bolshakova, D. S., Donaire, D., Pasynkova, R. A., Litvinchuk, S. N., & Litvinchuk,
B. (2018). Karyotypic analysis of the spined toad, *Bufo spinosus* Daudin, 1803 (Aphibia:
Bufonidae). *Russian Journal of Herpetology*, *25*, 253–258. https://doi.org/10.30906/1026-2296-
2018-25-4-253-258
Stöck, M., Savary, R., Betto-Colliard, C., Biollay, S., Jourdan-Pineau, H., & Perrin, N. (2013). Low
rates of X-Y recombination, not turnovers, account for homomorphic sex chromosomes in
several diploid species of Palearctic green toads (*Bufo viridis* subgroup). *Journal of*
Evolutionary Biology, *26*, 674–682. https://doi.org/10.1111/jeb.12086
Teeter, K., Thibodeau, L. M., Gompert, Z., Buerkle, C. A., C.Nachman, M. W., & Tucker, P. K.
(2009). The variable genomic architecture of isolation between hybridizing species of house
mice. *Evolution*, *64*, 472–485. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2009.00846.x
Toews, D. P. L., & Brelsford, A. (2012). The biogeography of mitochondrial and nuclear discordance
in animals. *Molecular Ecology*, *21*, 3907–3930. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-
294X.2012.05664.x
van Riemsdijk, I., Butlin, R. K., Wielstra, B., & Arntzen, J. W. (2018). Testing an hypothesis of
hybrid zone movement for toads in France. *Molecular Ecology*, *28*, 1070–1083.
https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15005
Vines, T. H., Dalziel, A. C., Albert, A. Y. K., Veen, T., Schulte, P. M., & Schluter, D. (2016). Cline
coupling and uncoupling in a stickleback hybrid zone. *Evolution*, *70*, 1023–1038.
https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.12917
Wang, L., Luzynski, K., Pool, J. E., Janoušek, V., Dufková, P., Vyskočilová, M. M., … Tucker, P. K.
(2011). Measures of linkage disequilibrium among neighbouring SNPs indicate asymmetries
across the house mouse hybrid zone. *Molecular Ecology*, *20*, 2985–3000.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05148.x
Wickbom, T. (1945). Cytological studies on Dipnoi, Urodela, Anura, and Emys. *Hereditas*, *31*, 241–
346. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1601-5223.1945.tb02756.x
Wielstra, B. (2019). Historical hybrid zone movement: more pervasive than appreciated. *Journal of*
Biogeography, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.13600
Wielstra, B., & Arntzen, J. W. (2012). Postglacial species displacement in Triturus newts deduced
from asymmetrically introgressed mitochondrial DNA and ecological niche models. *BMC*
Evolutionary Biology, *12*, 1–12.
Wielstra, B., Burke, T., Butlin, R. K., & Arntzen, J. W. (2017). A signature of dynamic biogeography:
enclaves indicate past species replacement. *Proceedings of the Royal Society Biological*
Sciences, *284*, 1–6.
Wielstra, B., Burke, T., Butlin, R. K., Avcı, A., Üzüm, N., Bozkurt, E., … Arntzen, J. W. (2017). A
genomic footprint of hybrid zone movement in crested newts. *Evolution Letters*, *1*, 93–101.
https://doi.org/10.1002/evl3.9
Yeaman, S., & Whitlock, M. C. (2011). The genetic architecture of adaptation under migration-
selection balance. *Evolution*, *65*, 1897–1911. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2011.01269.x
762

763
Data Accessibility Statement

In- and output of analyses, and custom R scripts will be available at Dryad. Raw sequencing

data will be available at GenBank.

Author contributions

IvR, JWA, BS, and BW designed the study. IvR and JWA collected samples. IvR performed

the laboratory work and data assembly with contributions from GB, EMM, PS, and ET. IvR

analysed and interpreted the data with contributions from BS, BW, JWA, MR, and PS. IvR

wrote the manuscript with input from all authors.

Tables and figures

Figure 1: Overview map with a) the distribution of *Bufo bufo* and *B. spinosus*, with small squares indicating the
locations of map b) for transect one in northwest France (sample locations 6-16), and map c) for transect two in
southeast France (sample locations 6-17). The base map for panel b and c was downloaded from
https://www.mapsland.com

Figure 2: Geographic clines for the hybrid index (HI) and admixture linkage disequilbirium peaks (D') for
neutral markers (i.e. not assigned by BGC as an outlier in any category) according to the genomic clines
analysis for (a) transect one and (b) transect two, and for heterozygote deficiency markers ($\beta > 0$) for (c) transect
one and (d) for transect two. The x-axis shows distance along the transect, note that the axis for transect two is
half the length (200 km) of the axis for transect one (400 km). The y-axis on the left shows the HI (solid line),
and the y-axis on the right shows D' (dotted line and dots). The right y-axis for transect one is five times shorter
than for transect two. Solid dots show D' significantly different from zero, whilst open dots are not significantly
different from zero, based on 95% confidence intervals.
789

790
791
792 **Figure 3:** Geographic clines for markers showing barrier markers (green) for (a) transect one and (b) transect
793 two with frequency of the *B. spinosus* allele on the y-axis and distance along the transect on the x-axis. Inward
794 ticks on the top of the graph and notation near inward ticks on the top of the graph (P) refers to locations in Fig.
795 1.
796
797

798 **Table 1**: Bayesian genomic cline (BGC) results comparing significant outliers for transect one (T1) and transect
799 two (T2), and the markers which were outliers in both transects (overlap), where significance of outliers is based
800 on the exclusion of 0 in the 99.9% confidence interval (CI). The total number of markers analysed was 1,189.

Outlier	Biological interpretation	T1	T2	Overlap
$\alpha < 0$	Directional introgression from *B. bufo* into *B. spinosus*	151†	185[‡]	92[§]
$\alpha > 0$	Directional introgression from *B. spinosus* into *B. bufo*	110†	174[‡]	49[§]
$\beta < 0$	Heterozygote excess	50	105	11[¶]
$\beta > 0$	Heterozygote deficiency	56	123	26[§]

The significance tests are; (1) a Chi-squared comparing the values α outliers of only T1 and T2 to test if there is
a significant difference in the number of outliers between the transects. A 2x2 contingency Chi-squared (2) was
conducted to test if the overlap between the transects of both α and β outliers could be a coincidence, or is
unlikely to have occurred under a model of random resampling.
†Significant Chi-squared with 6.4406, df = 1, P = 0.0112
[‡]Not significant Chi-squared with 0.3371, df = 1, P = 0.5615
[§]Significant 2x2 contingency Chi-squared with 285.05, 91.629, 81.288, df = 1, $P < 2.2e^{-16}$
[¶]Significant 2x2 contingency Chi-squared with 10.331, df = 1, P = 0.001308