Proteinase-Activated Receptor 4 (PAR4) Activation Triggers Cell Membrane Blebbing through RhoA and β-arrestin.

Christina MG Vanderboor, Pierre E Thibeault, Kevin CJ Nixon, Robert Gros, Jamie Kramer and Rithwik Ramachandran.
Department of Physiology and Pharmacology, Schulich School of Medicine and Dentistry, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada.

Correspondence and request for materials should be directed to:

Rithwik Ramachandran,
Department of Physiology and Pharmacology,
Schulich School of Medicine and Dentistry,
University of Western Ontario, London,
Ontario, Canada.
519-661-2142
rramach@uwo.ca

Abstract

Proteinase-Activated Receptors (PARs) are a four-member family of G-protein coupled receptors that are activated via proteolysis. PAR4 is a member of this family that is cleaved and activated by the serine proteinases such as thrombin, trypsin and cathepsin-G. PAR4 is expressed in a variety of tissues and cell types including the platelets, vascular smooth muscle cells and neuronal cells. In studying PAR4 signaling and trafficking, we observed dynamic changes in the cell membrane with spherical membrane protrusions that resemble plasma membrane blebbing. Since non-apoptotic membrane blebbing is now recognized as an important regulator of cell migration, cancer cell invasion, and vesicular content release we sought to elucidate the signaling pathway downstream of PAR4 activation that leads to such events. Using a combination of pharmacological inhibition and CRISPR/Cas9 mediated gene editing approaches we establish that PAR4-dependent membrane blebbing occurs independently of the $G\alpha_{q/11}$ and $G\alpha_i$ signaling pathways and is dependent on signaling via the β-arrestin-1/-2 pathway and RhoA signaling. In order to gain a more comprehensive understanding of β-arrestin mediated signaling downstream of PAR4 and to guide future studies, we undertook RNAseq analysis of PAR4 activated genes in control cells and in cells lacking β-arrestin-1/-2. A list of differentially expressed genes was generated followed by Gene Ontology (GO) and enrichment analysis revealing PAR4 regulation of genes involved in processes including blood coagulation and circulation, cell-cell adhesion, sensory perception and neuron-neuron synaptic transmission, terms that relates back to known function of PAR4 and that are consistent with our finding of membrane blebbing triggered by PAR4 activation. Together these studies provide further mechanistic insight into PAR4 regulation of cellular function.

Introduction

Proteinase activated receptors (PARs) are a four-member family of G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs). PARs are unique among GPCRs, in being activated via proteolytic unmasking of a receptor activating 'tethered ligand', that interacts intramolecularly with the orthosteric ligand binding pocket to trigger signaling (Ramachandran *et al.*, 2012) . PAR4, the most recently identified member of this family (Xu *et al.*, 1998), is expressed in a variety of tissues and cell types including the platelets, vascular smooth muscle cells, neuronal cells, and some cancer cells.

Much work has been done to develop PAR1- and PAR4-targeted compounds as anti-platelet agents. Both PAR1 and PAR4 are expressed in human platelets and both of these receptors are activated by the coagulation cascade enzyme thrombin. Importantly though, PAR1 and PAR4 appear to serve different roles in the platelet activation process (Kahn *et al.*, 1999), with PAR1 the high affinity thrombin receptor playing an initiating role and the lower affinity thrombin receptor PAR4 serving to consolidate and propagate the clot (Kahn *et al.*, 1999). The PAR1 antagonist voropaxar (zontivity), while highly effective in reducing cardiovascular complications, exhibited significant side effects with an elevated risk for bleeding including in the brain (Morrow *et al.*, 2012), further spurring recent efforts to target PAR4. Recent work with small molecule PAR4 antagonists has supported the idea that PAR4 antagonists are effective in reducing platelet rich thrombus formation in human platelets *ex-vivo* (Wilson *et al.*, 2017) and in rodent and non-human primate models *in-vivo* (Wong *et al.*, 2017). In non-human primate models, PAR4 blockade was associated with low bleeding liability and had a markedly wider therapeutic window compared to the commonly used antiplatelet agent clopidogrel (Wong *et al.*, 2017).

In keeping with emerging literature for other GPCRs, we now know that activated PAR4 can directly couple to multiple G-protein signaling pathways including $G\alpha_{q/11}$ and the $G\alpha_{12/13}$ pathway (Woulfe, 2005; Kim *et al.*, 2006) but is thought not to engage $G\alpha_i$ dependent signaling pathways (Kim *et al.*, 2006). PAR4 can also recruit and signal through β-arrestins (Li *et al.*, 2011; Ramachandran *et al.*, 2017). In recent work, we identified a C-terminal motif in PAR4 that was critical for PAR4 signaling through the $G\alpha_{q/11}$ calcium signalling pathway and for recruiting β-arrestin-1/-2 (Ramachandran *et al.*, 2017). The mutant receptor with an 8-amino acid C-terminal deletion (dRS-PAR4) failed to internalize following activation with the PAR4 agonists thrombin or AYPGKF-NH$_2$ suggesting a role for β-arrestins in PAR4 trafficking. A pepducin targeting this C-terminal motif was also effective in attenuating PAR4 dependent platelet aggregation and thrombosis *in-vivo* (Ramachandran *et al.*, 2017). These recent findings point to the exciting possibility that it might be possible to therapeutically target PAR4 signaling in a pathway specific manner. The present study was spurred by our observations that PAR4 activation rapidly triggered the formation of dynamic membrane blebs, which were absent in dRS-PAR4 expressing cells.

Non-apoptotic plasma membrane blebbing is now recognized as a feature of various cellular processes including directional cellular migration during development, cancer cell migration and invasion, neuronal cell remodeling, and vesicular content release (Charras, 2008; Charras and Paluch, 2008; Charras *et al.*, 2008). Blebs are formed when the plasma membrane transiently detaches from the underlying actin filaments resulting in intracellular pressure-mediated spherical membrane protrusions (Charras *et al.*, 2008; Tinevez *et al.*, 2009). The reassembly of actin filaments limits the expansion of blebs and actin

polymerization while actomyosin contraction drives the retraction of the blebs (Charras *et*
al., 2008). The molecular signals that trigger the formation of membrane blebs are begining
to be understood and include signalling from cell surface receptor such as GPCRs and
receptor tyrosine kinases (Hagmann *et al.*, 1999; Lawrenson *et al.*, 2002; Godin and
Ferguson, 2010; Chen *et al.*, 2012; Laser-Azogui *et al.*, 2014). A role for multiple Rho
isoforms has also been described in regulating various aspects of bleb formation and
retraction (Pinner and Sahai, 2008; Aoki *et al.*, 2016; Gong *et al.*, 2018). Previous work has
described regulation of Rho signaling by GPCRs in both a G-protein- and β-arrestin-
dependent manner (Sah *et al.*, 2000; Barnes *et al.*, 2005; Anthony *et al.*, 2011).
Here we examine in detail the pathways leading from PAR4 to the formation of
membrane blebs. We find that inhibition of $G\alpha_{q/11}$ signaling had no effect on the formation
of PAR4 triggered membrane blebs, while blockade of β-arrestin-1/-2 or Rho-dependent
signaling significantly reduced blebbing.
107
108 **Materials and Methods**
109
Materials
DMEM, trypsin-EDTA, PBS, Penicillin-Streptomycin and sodium pyruvate were
purchased from Thermo Fisher (Waltham, MA). Peptide ligands were custom synthesized
by Genscript (Piscataway, NJ) at greater than 95% purity. Thrombin was purchased from
Calbiochem (Oakville, ON), coelanterazine-h was from Nanolight Technology (Pinetop,
AZ). All antibodies (anti-β-arrestin-1/-2, anti-RhoA, anti-actin, anti-rabbit-HRP) used in
this study were purchased from Cell Signaling Technologies. YM254890 was from Wako
chemicals (Richmond, VA), GSK269962 was from Tocris (Oakville, ON) and all other
chemicals were from Sigma-Aldrich (Oakville, ON).
119
Cell Culture and transfections
HEK-293 (ATTC) cells were maintained in DMEM (Gibco) with 10% fetal bovine serum
(Gibco), 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Gibco) and 1% sodium pyruvate (Gibco). Cells stably
expressing PAR4-YFP or PAR4-dRS-YFP were maintained in the above media
supplemented with 600 μg/mL of geneticin (Gibco). Cells were transiently transfected
using a modified calcium phosphate method (Ferguson and Caron, 2004). Experiments
were performed 48 hours post transfection. Primary rat smooth muscle cells were isolated
and cultured as previously described (Gros Robert *et al.*, 2006).
128
Creation of β-arrestin-1/-2 and RhoA knockout cells.
RhoA and Arrestin-1/-2 knock out HEK-293 cells (RhoA-KO HEK and β-arrestin-1/-2-KO
HEK respectively) were generated using CRISPR/Cas9 mediated gene targeting. Guides
targeting the β-arrestins or RhoA were designed using the design tool at
http://crispr.mit.edu. Gene specific guides (β-arrestin 1;
TGTGGACCACATCGACCTCG, β-arrestin 2; GCGGGACTTCGTAGATCACC and
RhoA; CGAGTTTGCGACTCGCGGAC, CGGTCCGCGAGTCGCAAACT,
GAGTCCAGCCTCTTCGCGCC, GACTCGCGGACCGGCGTCCC) were ligated into the
PX458 vector (a kind gift from Dr. Feng Zhang, MIT, Addgene plasmid # 48138), verified
by direct sequencing and transfected in HEK cells via the calcium phosphate method. 48
hours post transfection, GFP expressing single cells were flow sorted into individual wells

of a 96 well (Becton Dickinson FACSAria III). Clonal cells from individual wells were
screened by western blotting to identify cell lines which were deficient in β-arrestin 1/2 or
RhoA (Supplementary Figure 1).
143
Confocal microscopy
Cells were plated onto glass bottom 35 mm dishes (MatTek, Ashland, MA) and imaged
using Zeiss LSM 510 Meta NLO confocal microscope. Yellow fluorescent protein was
excited with 514 laser line and visualized with 535-560 filter set. mCherry fluorophore was
excited with 543 laser line and visualized with 560-590. Green fluorescent protein was
excited with 488 laser line and visualized with 530-560 filter set. Cell shape change
experiments were conducted as follows. Cells were treated with vehicle or inhibitor as
indicated in the figure legends and incubated at $37^{\circ}C$. Plates were then placed on a heated
stage on the microscope and PAR4 was activated with $AYPGKF\text{-}NH_2$ (30μM) or thrombin
(3U/ml). 6-12 images per dish were taken over 10 minutes. Images were analyzed by
manually counting cells displaying membrane protrusions or blebs versus cells that did not
display any membrane protrusions.
156
Bioluminescent Resonance Energy Transfer (BRET) assay for β-arrestin-1/-2
recruitment.
Bioluminescent resonance energy transfer was measured between c-terminally YFP tagged
PAR4 (Ramachandran *et al.*, 2017) and Renilla Luciferase (Rluc) tagged β-arrestin 1 or β-
arrestin 2 (a kind gift from Dr. Michel Bouvier, U de Montreal), following 20 minutes of
receptor activation as described in previous studies (Ramachandran *et al.*, 2009). Briefly,
PAR4-YFP (1 μg) and Rluc-β-arr1 and 2) (0.1μg) were transiently transfected in cells
plated in a six well plate for 24 hours. Cells were re-plated into white 96-well culture plates
and cultured for a further 24 hours. Interactions between PAR4 and β-arrestin-1/-2 were
detected by measuring the BRET ratio at timed intervals over 20 min following the addition
of 5 μM coelenterazine (Nanolight Technology, Pinetop, AZ) on a Mithras fluorescence
plate reader (Berthold) in luminescence mode using the appropriate filters.
169
RNASeq PAR4 transcriptome analysis in control and β-arrestin 1/2 knockout HEK-
293 cells.
HEK-293 cells or β-arrestin-1/-2-KO HEK cells stably expressing PAR4-YFP were treated
with 30μM AYPGKF-NH2 for 2 hours. RNA was extracted using an RNeasy mini kit
(Qiagen) and analyzed on a bioanalyzer (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA). Sequencing libraries
were generated using the ScriptSeq complete kit which incorporated the Ribo-Zero
ribosomal RNA (rRNA) removal technology. Sequencing was done on a NextSeq
(Illumina) at the London Regional Genomics Centre.
178
Sequencing Analysis
Raw sequencing reads were trimmed using Prinseq quality trimming (Schmieder and
Edwards, 2011) with a minimum base quality score of 30. Read quality was then assessed
using FastQC (http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc). Trimmed reads
were then aligned to the *Homo sapiens* reference genome (CRCh release 38) using the
STAR RNA-Seq aligner (Dobin *et al.*, 2013). An average of 28,049,697 and 20,706,493
high quality uniquely aligned reads with a maximum of four mismatches were obtained

from HEK-293 cells and β-arr-1/-2-KO-HEK cells respectively. (Table 1 and Figure S2 and
S3). The number of reads per gene was quantified by inputting reads that uniquely aligned
to the reference genome with a maximum of four mismatches into HTSeq-count (Anders *et*
al., 2015) with the - type flag indicating 'gene' (Table 1 and Figure S2 and S3). Genes
associated with rRNA and non-protein coding genes were removed from the analysis and
genes with no reads across all samples in the count tables were removed from analysis
leaving 18,615 genes for downstream analysis. Raw gene counts were normalized and
differential expression analysis between HEK-293 cells and β-arr-1/-2-KO-HEK cells with
and without the treatment of 30μM AYPGKF-NH$_2$ was performed using the R (R: A
language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for
Statistical Computing; 2017) package DESEQ2 (Love *et al.*, 2014). Differentially
expressed genes were defined as genes with a fold-change >2 and a Benjamini-Hochberg
adjusted p-value <0.05. Overlap between datasets was determined and visualized as a Venn
diagram using BioVenn (Zhang *et al.*, 2009). Gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis was
performed on upregulated and downregulated differentially expressed genes with the
Panther software (Mi *et al.*, 2017) on http://geneontology.org using the GO-SLIM function.
202
203 **Accession numbers**
204 The raw data for RNA-seq is available at the NCBI Gene Expression omnibus (GEO),
205 accession number GSE134713.
206
207 **Statistical Analysis.** Statistical analysis of data and curve fitting were done with Prism 7
208 software (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). Statistical significance was assessed using
209 the Student's t-test or Anova with Tukey's post-test.
210
Results
Activation of PAR4 elicits cell shape changes that are dependent on a c-terminal eight-
amino acid sequence.
Stimulation of plasma membrane localized PAR4-YFP in HEK-293 cells stably
expressing PAR4-YFP with the PAR4 specific peptide agonist AYPGKF-NH$_2$ resulted in
cell shape changes (Fig. 1A, B). PAR4 expressing cells treated with 30 μM AYPGKF-
NH$_2$, displayed protrusions forming at the plasma membrane, indicated by arrows (Fig.
1B). These structures resemble membrane blebs and began to form around 2 minutes post
agonist stimulation and lasted for up to 30 minutes in the presence of 30 μM AYPGKF-
NH$_2$. In order to further verify that the cytoskeletal changes were indeed membrane blebs,
we examined the effect of treating cells with Blebbistatin, a small molecule inhibitor of
myosin II ATPase (Cheung *et al.*, 2002; Straight *et al.*, 2003) which functions by locking
actin heads in a low actin affinity complex (Kovács *et al.*, 2004) and is reported to inhibit
non-apoptotic membrane blebbing. Incubation of cells with blebbistatin significantly
reduced the AYPGKF-NH$_2$ stimulated membrane bleb response in PAR4-YFP expressing
HEK-293 cells (15.37% +/- 4.14) (Fig. 1C-E) . In contrast, PAR4-YFP expressing cells
treated with DMSO vehicle control displayed membrane blebs with a mean of 82.25% +/-
8.25. In recent studies we described a mutant PAR4 receptor lacking eight amino acids
from the c-tail, dRS-PAR4-YFP (Ramachandran *et al.*, 2017). We observed that in contrast
to the wild type receptor, dRS-PAR4-YFP expressing cells display significantly less
blebbing in response to 30 μM AYPGKF-NH$_2$ treatment (Fig. 2A-C). 82.33% +/- 1.2 of

HEK-293 cells stably expressing wild type PAR4-YFP displayed membrane blebbing as
opposed to 8.2% +/- 2.35 dRS-PAR4-YFP expressing cells, indicating that PAR4 triggered
membrane blebbing required the activation of signaling pathways that are dependent on the
eight-amino acid sequence in the c-tail of PAR4. Previously, we established that dRS-
PAR4-YFP does not couple to $G\alpha_{q/11}$ and is unable to recruit β-arrestins in response to
thrombin or AYPGKF-NH$_2$ activation (Ramachandran *et al.*, 2017). Since this mutant
receptor is also unable to activate blebbing, we hypothesized that PAR4 cell shape changes
are $G\alpha_{q/11}$ and or β-arrestin dependent and examined the effect of blocking these pathways
on bleb formation.
241
PAR4-mediated cell shape change is $G\alpha_{q/11}$ and $G\alpha_i$ independent
In order to determine whether PAR4 triggered blebbing is $G\alpha_{q/11}$-dependent, we
treated cells with the potent and selective $G\alpha_{q/11}$ inhibitor YM-254890 (Taniguchi *et al.*,
2004). It is well established that GPCRs couple to $G\alpha_{q/11}$ to mobilize calcium and activate
protein kinase C (PKC) (Exton, 1996; Wettschureck and Offermanns, 2005). Activated
PKC translocation from the cytosol to the plasma membrane can be observed to monitor
this process (Dale *et al.*, 2001; Policha *et al.*, 2006). We employed this assay to visualize
the efficacy of YM-254890 in inhibiting $G\alpha_{q/11}$ signaling through PAR4. Cells were
transiently transfected with PAR4-mCherry and PKCβ1-GFP. PAR4 expression was
observed at the cell membrane and PKCβ1 expression was evident in the cytoplasm in
resting cells. Upon treatment with 30 μM AYPGKF-NH$_2$, PKCβ1-GFP translocated to the
membrane (Fig. 3A-C) and blebbing responses were seen as before. In cells treated with
100 nM YM-254890, PKCβ1-GFP failed to translocate to the membrane following
treatment with AYPGKF-NH$_2$. 100nM YM-254890 treated cells however maintained their
ability to bleb in response to AYPGKF-NH$_2$ (Fig. 3D-F). The role of $G\alpha_{q/11}$ in PAR4
mediated blebbing was further quantified in HEK-293 cells stably expressing PAR4-YFP
treated with either DMSO vehicle or YM-254890 prior to stimulation with 30 μM
AYPGKF-NH$_2$. Blebbing in vehicle treated cells was not significantly different from cells
treated with YM-254890, 81.0% +/- 4.5 and 77.0% +/- 2.5 respectively (Fig. 3G). This
data indicates that YM-254890 functionally blocks $G\alpha_{q/11}$ signaling as indicated by a lack
of PKCβ1 translocation but does not block cell shape changes mediate by PAR4 activation.
HEK-293 cells, transiently expressing PAR4-mCherry with PKCβ1-GFP, showed
that activation of PAR4-mCherry with AYPGKF-NH$_2$ causes a translocation of PKCβ1-
GFP from the cytosol to the plasma membrane (Fig. 4A, B). In contrast, HEK-293 cells
transiently expressing dRS-PAR4-mCherry with PKCβ1-GFP showed that activation of
dRS-PAR4-mCherry does not cause a redistribution of PKCβ1-GFP to the membrane (Fig.
4C, D). Although PKC activation is downstream of $G\alpha_{q/11}$, since dRS-PAR4 does not
activate PKC and does not elicit cell shape changes, we tested PKC for a potential role in
mediating PAR4 cell shape changes. HEK-293 cells stably expressing PAR4-YFP were
treated with the PKC inhibitor Gö6983 (Gschwendt *et al.*, 1996), prior to stimulation with
30 μM AYPGKF-NH$_2$ and visualization by confocal microscopy. There was no significant
difference in the number of DMSO vehicle treated cells displaying blebbing when
compared to cells treated with Gö6983 in response to AYPGKF-NH$_2$, 74.5% +/- 4.6 and
72.2% +/- 9.2 (Fig. 4G). This data then suggests that neither $G\alpha_{q/11}$ or PKC facilitate
PAR4 mediated cell shape changes.

After ruling out $G\alpha_{q/11}$ as a potential signaling partner for PAR4-mediated
membrane blebs, we tested $G\alpha_i$ recruitment as a potential regulator of these responses
through inhibition of $G\alpha_i$ signaling with pertussis toxin. HEK-293 cells stably expressing
PAR4-YFP were incubated with pertussis toxin (100 nM) for 18 hrs prior to stimulating the
cells with 30 μM AYPGKF-NH$_2$. We did not observe any reduction in the number of cells
that displayed blebbing when compared to cells incubated with vehicle control (saline),
76.3% +/- 4.7 and 78.0% +/- 3.4 respectively (Fig. 5A-C).
284
PAR4-mediated cell shape change is RhoA and ROCK dependent.
Since RhoA can be activated by a $G\alpha_{q/11}$ and β-arrestin-1 dependent mechanism
following activation of the angiotensin II type 1 receptor (Barnes *et al.*, 2005) and since
RhoA is also well-established as a regulator of actin cytoskeleton rearrangements (Barnes
et al., 2005; Aoki *et al.*, 2016), we examined whether PAR4-mediated blebbing was RhoA
and ROCK dependent. Treatment of PAR4-YFP expressing HEK-293 cells with the ROCK
specific inhibitor GSK269962 (Stavenger *et al.*, 2007) significantly reduced the number of
blebbing cells. 72.53% +/- 6.24 of DMSO vehicle control treated cells displayed blebbing
while only 15.6% +/- 6.28 of cells treated with GSK269962 (100 nM) displayed bleb
formation in response to 30μM AYPGKF-NH$_2$ (Fig. 6A-C). To further confirm the role of
RhoA in PAR4-mediated changes in the plasma membrane, a RhoA knock out HEK-293
cell line (RhoA-KO-HEK-293) was created by use of CRISPR/Cas9 targeting. RhoA-KO-
HEK-293 cells and control HEK-293 cells were transiently transfected with PAR4-YFP,
stimulated with 30 μM AYPGKF-NH$_2$ and imaged by confocal microscopy (Fig. 6D-F).
75% +/- 7.8 of control HEK-293 cells expressing PAR4-YFP and treated with AYPGKF-
NH$_2$ displayed membrane blebbing while RhoA-KO-HEK-293 expressing PAR4-YFP
show significantly fewer cells with blebs in response to agonist (22.8% +/- 6.2) (Fig. 6F).
Taken together these data indicate that stimulation of PAR4-YFP elicits cell shape changes
that are blocked by blebbistatin and by the ROCK inhibitor GSK269962. Further, these
cell shape changes do not occur in cells that do not express RhoA protein, suggesting that
this signaling pathway is a RhoA and ROCK dependent pathway.
To confirm that these cellular responses can also be recapitulated by a physiological
PAR4 agonist, we tested the ability of thrombin to elicit similar cell shape changes. Since
thrombin can also activate PAR1 which is endogenously expressed in HEK-293 cells we
conducted these experiments in PAR1 knockout HEK-293 cells (PAR1-KO-HEK) (Mihara
et al., 2016) stably expressing PAR4-YFP (PAR1-KO-HEK-PAR4-YFP). PAR1-KO-HEK-
PAR4-YFP cells were treated with 3 U/ml thrombin and visualized by confocal
microscopy. Treatment of cells with thrombin caused cell shape changes similar to what
was observed in HEK-293-PAR4-YFP cells treated with AYPGKF-NH$_2$ (Fig. 7A). PAR1-
KO-HEK-PAR4-YFP cells were then treated with blebbistatin prior to thrombin
stimulation (Fig. 7B). Blebbistatin significantly reduced the number of PAR1-KO-HEK-
PAR4-YFP cells displaying membrane blebs from 68.3% +/- 4.6 to 11.67% +/- 5.78 (Fig.
7D). Finally, PAR1-KO-HEK-PAR4-YFP cells were treated with GSK269962 prior to
stimulation with thrombin (Fig. 7C). GSK269962 (100 nM) significantly reduced the
number of cells that displayed blebbing in response to thrombin stimulation (Fig. 7D).
Together these data indicates that cell blebbing is triggered not only by the synthetic PAR4
activating peptide AYPGKF-NH$_2$, but also by one of the endogenous activators of PAR4,

thrombin, in a PAR1 null background. Cell shape changes mediated by thrombin activation
of PAR4 are also RhoA- and ROCK-dependent.
324
PAR4 mediated cell shape change is β-arrestin dependent

Since the non-blebbing dRS-PAR4-YFP expressing cells are also deficient in β-
arrestin recruitment (Ramachandran *et al.*, 2017), we next examined the contribution of β-
arrestin-mediated signaling in PAR4 dependent cell membrane blebbing. To elucidate a
role for β-arrestins in PAR4 mediated cell shape change, a β-arrestin-1 and -2 double knock
out cell line (β-arr1/2-KO-HEK-293) was created using CRISPR/Cas9 targeting. β-arr1/2-
KO-HEK-293 cells transiently expressing PAR4-YFP (β-arr1/2-KO-HEK-293-PAR4-YFP)
were treated with 30 μM AYPGKF-NH$_2$ and visualized by confocal microscopy. A
significant reduction in the number of blebbing β-arr1/2-KO-HEK-293-PAR4-YFP
(46%+/-8.2) was observed when compared to control HEK-293 cells transiently expressing
PAR4-YFP (80.8%+/- 6.1)(Fig. 8A-C).
Since recent reports have questioned whether β-arrestin mediated signaling can
occur in the absence of G-protein activation (Grundmann *et al.*, 2018) we sought to
understand this requirement in the context of PAR4 signaling. Even though Gα$_{q/11}$ and Gα$_i$
recruitment was not implicated in PAR4 dependent membrane blebbing, we nevertheless
examined the effect of blocking these pathways on β-arrestin-1/-2 recruitment to PAR4. To
this end we blocked Gα$_{q/11}$ with the inhibitor YM-254890 (100 nM) and blocked Gα$_i$ with
pertussis toxin (100 nM) and examined their ability to disrupt β-arrestin recruitment to
PAR4-YFP. We employed a BRET assay to monitor interaction between PAR4-YFP and
β-arrestin-1-Rluc or β-arrestin 2-Rluc in response to 30, 100 and 300 μM AYPGKF-NH$_2$.
Treatment of cells with YM-254890 did not significantly reduce recruitment of β-arrestin 1
or β-arrestin 2 recruitment to PAR4 at 30 μM or 100 μM concentrations of AYPGKF-NH$_2$
but did significanctly reduce recruitment of both β-arrestin-1 and -2 at the 300 μM
AYPGKF-NH$_2$ (Fig. 8D) treatment condition. Treatment of cells with pertussis toxin had
no effect on β-arrestin recruitment at any of the concentration tested (Fig. 8E).
Finally, we tested a role for Gβγ signaling in PAR4-mediated cell shape change
using the Gβγ inhibitor gallein (10 μM). HEK-293 cells stably expressing PAR4-YFP were
incubated with gallein or DMSO vehicle control prior to treatment with 30 μM AYPGKF-
NH$_2$. Cells treated with gallein did not show a significant reduction in membrane blebbing
compared to DMSO treated cells, 61.75% +/- 4.5 and 85.28% +/- 5.2 (Fig. 9A-C). Cells
expressing PAR4-YFP and either β-arrestin-1-Rluc or β-arrestin-2-Rluc incubated with
gallein prior to treatment with AYPGKF-NH$_2$ at 30, 100 and 300 μM also retained their
ability to recruit β-arrestin-1 and -2 at all concentrations of AYPGKF-NH$_2$ (Fig. 9D).
Taken together these data show that PAR4-mediated cell shape changes is independent of
Gα$_{q/11}$, Gα$_i$ and Gβγ. Further inhibition of Gα$_i$ and Gβγ individually does not impede β-
arrestin recruitment to PAR4, while Gα$_{q/11}$ inhibition partially reduces β-arrestin
recruitment to PAR4.
362
PAR4 activation in rat primary aortic vascular smooth muscle cells leads to cell shape
changes.

In order to examine whether PAR4 activation triggered cell blebbing in cells that
endogenously express PAR4, we turned to the rat vascular smooth muscle cells. Vascular

smooth muscle cells are reported to express PAR4 (Bretschneider *et al.*, 2001; Dangwal *et*
al., 2011) and in our hands rat aortic smooth muscle cells from both WKY and SHR rats (a
kind gift from Dr. Robert Gros) expressed PAR4 (Fig. 10I). We labelled the smooth
muscle cell membrane with cell mask (ThermoFisher) and stimulated with 30 μM
AYPGKF-NH$_2$. Both WKY and SHR VSMC displayed cell shape changes resembling
membrane blebs in response to PAR4 agonist treatment (Fig 10A, E). In order to establish
whether the signaling mechanism for these cell shape changes was the same in both VSMC
and HEK-293 cells, we pretreated VSMC with blebbistatin and the ROCK inhibitor
GSK269962 prior to activating PAR4 with 30 μM AYPGKF-NH$_2$. 67.25 +/- 6.6% of
WKY cells display cell shape changes in response to PAR4 agonist, (Fig. 10A), which was
significantly reduced with treatment blebbistatin (9.0 +/- 3.1%) and with treatment with
GSK269962 (6.5 +/- 0.28%) (Fig. 10B-D). Consistent with these findings, 65.75 +/- 5.4%
of SHR cells also displayed blebbing following PAR4 activation and these responses were
significantly reduced with blebbistatin (14.5 +/- 1.9%) and with GSK269962, (15.5 +/-
3.1%) treatment (Fig. 10F-H). These data indicate that endogenous PAR4 activation elicits
membrane blebbing in VSMC that is ROCK dependent in keeping with our findings in the
HEK-293 cells exogenously expressing PAR4.
384
PAR4 mediated β-arrestin recruitment influences gene transcription.
β-arrestins are scaffold proteins that couple GPCRs to multiple signalling cascades
in a manner that is differential to heterotrimeric G-protein coupling (Laporte and Scott,
2019). Since PAR4-mediated membrane blebbing is β-arrestin-dependent, we sought to
take a complementary approach to explore PAR4-mediated β-arrestin-dependent pathways.
In order to do this, we compared the transcriptomes of HEK-293 and β-arr1/2-KO-HEK
cells activated with 30 μM AYPGKF-NH$_2$ for 3 hours as well as the transcriptomes of
untreated HEK-293 and β-arr1/2-KO-HEK cells transiently expressing PAR4-YFP.
Principal component analysis and hierarchical clustering of gene expression profiles shows
clear clustering of HEK-293 samples as well as treated and untreated β-arr1/2-KO-HEK
samples (Fig. S1A-D). Differential expression analysis between the HEK-293 cells and β-
arr1/2-KO-HEK cells yielded 2,764 and 3,155 significantly differentially expressed genes
(P_{adj} <0.05 and Fold-Change>2) between the HEK-293 and β-arr1/2-KO-HEK samples in
untreated and treated conditions, respectively. A total of 1,313 differentially expressed
genes were shared between the untreated and treated conditions (Fig. 11). Of note, even
though we see a depletion of β-arrestin protein in our β-arr1/2-KO-HEK cell line, we do
not see down regulation of β-arrestin-1 or -2 transcripts in the RNA seq profile. Gene
ontology (GO) enrichment analysis of the significantly upregulated genes in samples
treated with 30 μM AYPGKF-NH$_2$ (N=1506) revealed biological process terms related to
cell-cell adhesion, and G-protein coupled receptor signaling pathway which are consistent
with our finding of membrane blebbing triggered by PAR4 activation. (Table 1).
Additionally, GO terms relating to known functions of PAR4 including neuron-neuron
synaptic transmission, blood coagulation, blood circulation, and sensory perception were
also found to be enriched in upregulated genes (Table 1). GO analysis of the downregulated
genes (Treated only: N=336) revealed biological process terms related to intracellular
signal transduction. (Table 2). Taken together, these results suggest that β-arrestin-1/-2 are
important regulators of signaling and gene transcription following PAR4 activation. Genes

upregulated relating to cell-cell adhesion and GPCR signaling are consistent with our
finding of β-arrestin-dependent membrane blebbing following PAR4 activation.
414

Discussion
We have demonstrated that activation of PAR4 with thrombin or the synthetic
peptide AYPGKF-NH$_2$ causes a rapid cell shape change response in PAR4 transfected
HEK-293 cells or in vascular smooth muscle cells that endogenously express PAR4. Cell
shape changes are pharmacologically inhibited by blebbistatin and are consistent with
membrane bleb formation. We observed membrane blebs that formed within 2-5 minutes of
agonist treatment and lasted for upto 30 minutes. Membrane blebbing could be
pharmacologically inhibited by the ROCK inhibitor GSK269962 or through CRISPR/Cas9
mediated knockout of RhoA. CRISPR/Cas9 knockout of β-arrestin-1 and -2 partially
abolished PAR4-dependent mebrane blebbing. We further found PAR4-dependent
membrane bleb formation to be independent of Gα$_{q/11}$, Gα$_i$, Gβγ, and PKC. Overall, our
data suggest that RhoA-dependent membrane blebbing occurs downstream of PAR4
activation and β-arrestin recruitment.
Non-apoptotic cell membrane blebbing plays an important role in various
physiological and pathologlical processes. Various stimuli have been reported to trigger
bleb formation leading to cellular responses including enhanced cell motility, invasion, cell
locomotion, and regulation of cell polarity in embryonic development (Charras and Paluch,
2008; Fackler and Grosse, 2008; Ikenouchi and Aoki, 2016). Blebbing is also an important
regulator of wound healing, immune cell maturation, and inflammation. In this context, the
PAR family of GPCRs is well established as critical regulators of the innate immune
response to injury and infection. PARs also elicit cellular responses that allow coagulation
cascade enzymes such as thrombin and other serine proteinases to regulate various cellular
functions. PAR1 and PAR4 serve as the receptors for thrombin on human platelets, though
these receptors regulate different aspects of platelet activation (Coughlin, 1999; Kahn *et al.*,
1999; Ma *et al.*, 2005; Holinstat *et al.*, 2006; Voss *et al.*, 2007). PAR4 is described as the
low affinity thrombin receptor on human platelets. This lower affinity stems from the lack
of a hirudin like binding site for thrombin on PAR4, that is present on PAR1. In platelets,
PAR4 activation typically requires a much higher concentration of thrombin to be present
and PAR4 activation typically results in more sustained calcium signaling compared to
PAR1 dependent signaling (Covic *et al.*, 2000; Shapiro *et al.*, 2000).
Both PAR1 and PAR4 activation triggers platelet aggregation, with PAR4 signaling
critical for full platelet spreading and formation of stable aggregates. In rodents PAR4
serves as the sole thrombin receptor in platelets (Sambrano *et al.*, 2001). It has been
previously shown in Gα$_{q/11}$ knock out mice, that a thrombin activated Gα$_{q/11}$-mediated
calcium response is necessary for platelet aggregation (Offermanns *et al.*, 1997). However,
platelets from the mouse Gα$_{q/11}$ knockout retained the ability to change shape in response to
thrombin stimulation. Similar findings were reported in platelets treated with a small
molecule Gα$_{q/11}$ antagonist UBO-QIC showing that platelet aggregation was inhibited
without affecting shape change responses (Inamdar *et al.*, 2015). Consistent with these
findings, we have observed a cell shape change specific to PAR4 activation that is G$_{q/11}$-
independent and a RhoA-mediated phenomenon. Recent evidence suggests that PAR4
plays an important role in promoting platelet granule release and platelet-leukocyte
interactions (Rigg *et al.*, 2019), responses which also rely on a RhoA-mediated cytoskeletal

rearrangement (Moers *et al.*, 2003; Aslan and Mccarty, 2013). These findings raise the
interesting possibility that different signaling pathways may underlie PAR4 regulation of
distinct aspects of platelet activation and further study is required to fully elucidate the role
of different signaling cascades in mediating PAR4 responses in platelets. Our studies
suggest that in HEK cells the $G\alpha_{q/11}$ coupled pathway and the RhoA pathway, which in this
instance is likely downstream of $G\alpha_{12/13}$ coupling, can act independently and therefore may
be independent targets for pharmacological manipulation.

PAR4 expression has also been reported in other cell types involved in the response
to injury including endothelial cells and smooth muscle cells (Bretschneider *et al.*, 2001;
Hamilton *et al.*, 2001; Fujiwara *et al.*, 2005; Ritchie *et al.*, 2007). Here we demonstrate that
agonist stimulation of endogenous PAR4 in vascular smooth muscle cells leads to
membrane blebbing. This finding is consistent with a previous study which showed that
another GPCR AT1R mediates membrane blebbing by a RhoA-dependent mechanism in a
vascular smooth muscle cell line (Godin and Ferguson, 2010). RhoA is well established as
a mediator of changes in the plasma membrane actin cytoskeleton and in regulating GPCR
mediated cell shape change (Barnes *et al.*, 2005; Godin and Ferguson, 2010; Aoki *et al.*,
2016). Our findings add PAR4-dependent signaling to the cell surface signaling molecules
that can trigger this pathway.

β-arrestins are now well established as important molecular scaffolds linking
GPCRs to not only molecular endocytic partners to facilitate receptor endocytosis, but also
to second messenger signal cascades (Ferguson, 2001; Magalhaes *et al.*, 2012). For
example β-arrestins link GPCRs to p44/42 MAP kinase signalling from the endosome
(Luttrell *et al.*, 2001; Luttrell and Lefkowitz, 2002). PAR4 couples to both β-arrestin-1 and
β-arrestin-2 and PAR4-mediated phosphorylation of AKT in platelets is β-arrestin-2-
dependent (Li *et al.*, 2011). We explored β-arrestin-1/-2-dependent PAR4 signaling by
using RNA sequencing analysis in HEK cells and β-arrestin knock out HEK cells treated or
not with the PAR4 specific agonist peptide AYPGKF-NH$_2$. Our data shows that β-arrestins
can regulate PAR4-dependent gene transcription. Consistent with our finding that PAR4
mediates membrane bleb formation, we see an upregulation of gene ontology terms in cell-
cell adhesion, blood coagulation and blood circulation. It is well established that PAR4
activation regulates platelet response and links to gene ontology terms related to blood
coagulation are perhaps unsurprising but uncover an important link to β-arrestin in these
processes. Our RNAseq analysis uncovered a number of other additional signaling
pathways that could be linked to PAR4 and β-arrestin such as neuron-neuron synaptic
transmission (GO:0007270), natural killer cell activation (GO:0030101) and sensory
perception (GO:0007600). PAR4 expression has been reported in various neuronal and
immune cells and these data provide impetus to further examine the roles of PAR4 in these
cells (Henrich-Noack *et al.*, 2006; Russell *et al.*, 2009; Peng *et al.*, 2019). More broadly
were also interested in understanding if PAR4 signaling to β-arrestin could proceed
independently of G-protein coupling. Blocking $G\alpha_{q/11}$ partially inhibited β-arrestin
recruitment to PAR4 while blocking of $G\alpha_i$- or βγ-mediated signaling had no effect. It
however remains to be determined whether β-arrestin recruitment dependent signaling
downstream of PAR4 is truly independent of G-protein coupling or occurs following
$G\alpha_{12/13}$ activation.

In conclusion, we have uncovered a PAR4-mediated cellular response that is
independent of $G\alpha_{q/11}$ coupling and occurs downstream of RhoA activation and β-arrestin
signaling. These data provide further evidence for pathway selective signaling responses
through PAR4 and guide future development of PAR4 targeting strategies.

Figure Legends:

Figure 1. Activation PAR4 mediates a cell shape change response. Representative confocal micrographs, showing HEK-293 cell stably expressing PAR4-YFP (A, B) Cells were treated with 30 μM AYPGKF-NH$_2$ for 2 minutes prior to imaging. Size bars are 20 μm, arrows show cell shape change. HEK-293 cells stably expressing PAR4-YFP (C-E) were incubated in either DMSO (C) or 10 μM blebbistatin (B) for 15 minutes prior to a 2-minute treatment with 30 μM AYPGKF-NH$_2$ followed by confocal microscopy. Arrows show bleb formation, size bars are 20 μm. (E) graph shows mean +/- SEM, n=4, asterisk shows significantly different, Mann-Whitney, p<0.05.

Figure 2. Activation PAR4 mediates a cell shape change response is dependent on an 8 amino acid sequence.
HEK 293 transiently expressing dRS-PAR4-YFP (A-C) were treated with 30 μM AYPGKF-NH$_2$ for 2 minutes prior to imaging and scored for cell shape change or no change, graph shows mean +/- SEM, size bars are 20 μm, asterisks indicates statistically significant, t-test, N=3, p<0.005.

Figure 3. PAR4-mediated cell shape change is Gα$_{q/11}$-independent. HEK-293 cells transiently expressing PAR4-mCherry (not shown) with PKCβ1-GFP, were treated with DMSO followed by stimulation with 30 μM AYPGKF-NH$_2$ (A-C) or with 100 nM YM254890 for 20 minutes followed by stimulation with 30 μM AYPGKF-NH$_2$ (D-F). Arrows show bleb formation, size bars are 20 μm. Graph shows mean +/- SEM, n=3, not significantly different, t-test (G).

Figure 4. PAR4-mediated cell shape change is PKC-independent. HEK-293 cells transiently expressing either PAR4-mCherry (not shown) (A, B) or dRS-PAR4-mCherry (not shown) (C, D) with PKCβ1-GFP, were treated with 30 μM AYPGKF-NH$_2$ and imaged by confocal microscopy, size bars are 20 μm. HEK-293 cells stably expressing PAR4-YFP were incubated with DMSO (E) or with 100 nM GO6983 for 15 minutes (F) prior to 2 a minute stimulation with 30 μM AYPGKF-NH$_2$ and subsequent imaging by confocal microscopy. Cells were scored for blebbing or non-blebbing, graph shows mean +/- SEM, n=4, not significantly different, t-test (G).

Figure 5. PAR4-mediated cell shape change is Gα$_i$-independent. HEK-293 cells stably expressing PAR4-YFP were incubated in either DMSO (A) or 100 nM PTX (B) for 18 hours prior to 30 μM AYPGKF-NH$_2$ treatment for 2 minutes and confocal imaging. Arrows show bleb formation, size bars are 20 μm. Graphs shows mean +/- SEM, n=3, not significantly different, Mann-Whitney (C).

Figure 6. PAR4-mediated cell shape change is RhoA- and ROCK-dependent. HEK-293 cells stably expressing PAR4-YFP were incubated in either DMSO (A) or 100 nM GSK269962 for 1 hour (B) prior to a 2-minute treatment with 30 μM AYPGKF-NH$_2$ followed by confocal microscopy. Arrows show bleb formation, size bars are 20 μm. (C) graph shows mean +/- SEM, n=4, asterisk shows significantly different, Mann-Whitney,

p<0.05. HEK-293 cells and RhoA-KO HEK transiently expressing PAR4-YFP were stimulated with 30 μM AYPGKF-NH$_2$ for 2 minutes prior to confocal imaging (D, E). (F) Graph shows mean +/- SEM, n=4, asterisk shows significantly different, Mann-Whitney, p<0.05.

Figure 7. Thrombin-induced PAR4-mediated cell shape change is ROCK-dependent. PAR1 KO-PAR4-YFP-HEK 293 cells were incubated in either DMSO (A) or 10 μM blebbistatin for 15 minutes (B) or 100 nM GSK269962 for 1 hour (C) prior to a 2-minute treatment with 30 μM AYPGKF-NH$_2$ followed by confocal microscopy. Arrows show bleb formation, size bars are 20 μm. Graph shows mean +/- SEM, n=4, asterisk shows significantly different, Mann-Whitney, p<0.05 (D).

Figure 8. PAR4-mediated cell shape change is β-arrestin-dependent. HEK-293 cells (A) or β-arr1/2-KO-HEK transiently expressing PAR4-YFP (B) were stimulated in 30 μM AYPGKF-NH$_2$ for 2 minutes prior to confocal imaging. Arrows show bleb formation, size bars are 20 μm. (C) Graph shows mean +/- SEM, n=3, asterisk shows significantly different, t-test, P<0.05). HEK-293 cells transiently expressing PAR4-YFP with either β-arrestin 1-Rluc or β-arrestin 2-Rluc were incubated in DMSO or 100 nM YM254890 (D) or 100 nM PTX for 18 hours (E) for 20 minutes prior to testing in the BRET assay. Graph shows mean +/- SEM, n=4, asterisk shows significantly different, 2-way ANOVA.

Figure 9. PAR4-mediated cell shape change is G$_{\beta\gamma}$-independent. HEK-293 cells stably expressing PAR4-YFP were incubated in either DMSO (A) or 10 μM gallein for 20 minutes prior to 30 μM AYPGKF-NH$_2$ for 2 minutes and confocal imaging. Arrows show bleb formation, size bars are 20 μm. Graphs shows mean +/- SEM, n=4, not significantly different, Mann-Whitney (C). HEK-293 cells transiently expressing PAR4-YFP with either β-arrestin 1-Rluc or β-arrestin 2-Rluc were incubated in DMSO or with 10 μM gallein for 20 minutes (H) prior to testing in the BRET assay. Graph shows, mean +/- SEM, n=4, not significantly different 2-way ANOVA.

Figure 10. PAR4 mediates membrane blebs in vascular smooth muscle cells. Primary cultured vascular smooth muscle cells derived from Wistar Kyoto (WKY) (A-D) or from spontaneously hypertensive (SHR) (E-H) rats were pre-incubated for 10 minutes in cell mask to stain the plasma membrane, cells were then treated with 30 mM AYPGFK-NH$_2$ and imaged by confocal microscopy. WKY cells and SHR cells were pre-incubated with blebbistatin or with GSK269962 prior to stimulation with AYPGFK-NH$_2$ (B, C, F, G), arrows show bleb formation, size bars are 20 μm.   Images were scored for blebbing or non-blebbing (D and H), graph shows mean +/- SEM, n=4, asterisk shows significantly different, ANOVA, p<0.001.

Table 1: Differential PAR4-mediated gene regulation in β-arrestin-1/-2 knock out cells.
Gene ontology (GO) biological process terms for genes upregulated (A) and downregulated (B) genes in β-arr-1/-2-KO-HEK cells transiently expressing PAR4-YFP treated with 30 μM AYPGKF-NH$_2$ for 3 hours compared to HEK-293 cells under the same conditions. Top

596 ten significant terms (p<0.05) where the highest enrichment for biological process are
597 presented. Terms related to the membrane blebbing phenotype are in bold and terms related
598 to known functions of PAR4 are italicized.
599
Supplementary Figure 1. CRISPR/Cas9 knock out of of β-arrestin-1/-2 and RhoA in
HEK 293 cells.
HEK 293 cells were transiently transfected with either guide RNA specific to β-arrestin1
and β-arrestin 2, or four guide RNAs specific to RhoA. Cells expressing pSpCas9(BB)-
2A-GFP encoding guide RNAs were selected for using FACS Aria cell sorter and
subsequently knockout was determined by western blot.
606
Supplementary Figure 2. Knockout of β-arrestin-1/-2 results in differentially
expressed genes. (A) Principal component analysis biplot showing the first two principal
components (PCs) that account for most of the variance between samples. **(B)** Venn
diagram showing overlap of differentially expressed genes (P_{adj}<0.05 and Fold-Change>2)
in β-arrestin 1/2 knockout cells treated with PAR4 agonist (Treated) or with vehicle
(Untreated) when compared to similarly treated HEK 293 cells. **(C & D)** Volcano plots
showing significantly differentially expressed genes between β-arrestin 1/2 knockout cells
and HEK293 cells when treated with vehicle **(C)** or 30 μM AYPGKF-NH$_2$ **(D)**. Of the
differentially expressed genes, 666 were downregulated and unique to untreated cells, 336
were downregulated and unique to treated cells, and 636 were found to be downregulated in
both conditions. Additionally, 785 genes were upregulated and unique to untreated cells,
1506 were upregulated and unique to treated cells, and 667 were found to be upregulated in
both conditions.
620

References:

Anders S, Pyl PT, and Huber W (2015) HTSeq--a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. *Bioinforma Oxf Engl* **31**:166–169.

Anthony DF, Sin YY, Vadrevu S, Advant N, Day JP, Byrne AM, Lynch MJ, Milligan G, Houslay MD, and Baillie GS (2011) β-Arrestin 1 inhibits the GTPase-activating protein function of ARHGAP21, promoting activation of RhoA following angiotensin II type 1A receptor stimulation. *Mol Cell Biol* **31**:1066–1075.

Aoki K, Maeda F, Nagasako T, Mochizuki Y, Uchida S, and Ikenouchi J (2016) A RhoA and Rnd3 cycle regulates actin reassembly during membrane blebbing. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **113**:E1863-1871.

Aslan JE, and Mccarty OJT (2013) Rho GTPases in platelet function. *J Thromb Haemost* **11**:35–46.

Barnes WG, Reiter E, Violin JD, Ren XR, Milligan G, and Lefkowitz RJ (2005) beta-Arrestin 1 and Galphaq/11 coordinately activate RhoA and stress fiber formation following receptor stimulation. *J Biol Chem* **280**:8041–8050.

Bretschneider E, Kaufmann R, Braun M, Nowak G, Glusa E, and Schror K (2001) Evidence for functionally active protease-activated receptor-4 (PAR-4) in human vascular smooth muscle cells. *Br J Pharmacol* **132**:1441–1446.

Charras G, and Paluch E (2008) Blebs lead the way: how to migrate without lamellipodia. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **9**:730–736.

CHARRAS GT (2008) A short history of blebbing. *J Microsc* **231**:466–478.

Charras GT, Coughlin M, Mitchison TJ, and Mahadevan L (2008) Life and Times of a Cellular Bleb. *Biophys J* **94**:1836–1853.

Chen P, Douglas SD, Meshki J, and Tuluc F (2012) Neurokinin 1 receptor mediates membrane blebbing and sheer stress-induced microparticle formation in HEK293 cells. *PloS One* **7**:e45322.

Cheung A, Dantzig JA, Hollingworth S, Baylor SM, Goldman YE, Mitchison TJ, and Straight AF (2002) A small-molecule inhibitor of skeletal muscle myosin II. *Nat Cell Biol* **4**:83–88.

Coughlin SR (1999) How the protease thrombin talks to cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **96**:11023–11027.

Covic L, Gresser AL, and Kuliopulos A (2000) Biphasic kinetics of activation and signaling for PAR1 and PAR4 thrombin receptors in platelets. *Biochemistry* **39**:5458–5467.

656   Dale LB, Babwah AV, Bhattacharya M, Kelvin DJ, and Ferguson SS (2001) Spatial-
657   temporal patterning of metabotropic glutamate receptor-mediated inositol 1,4,5-
658   triphosphate, calcium, and protein kinase C oscillations: protein kinase C-dependent
659   receptor phosphorylation is not required. *J Biol Chem* **276**:35900–35908.

660   Dangwal S, Rauch BH, Gensch T, Dai L, Bretschneider E, Vogelaar CF, Schrör K, and
661   Rosenkranz AC (2011) High glucose enhances thrombin responses via protease-
662   activated receptor-4 in human vascular smooth muscle cells. *Arterioscler Thromb*
663   *Vasc Biol* **31**:624–633.

664   Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, Batut P, Chaisson M, and
665   Gingeras TR (2013) STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics*
666   **29**:15–21.

667   Exton JH (1996) Regulation of phosphoinositide phospholipases by hormones,
668   neurotransmitters, and other agonists linked to G proteins. *Annu Rev Pharmacol*
669   *Toxicol* **36**:481–509.

670   Fackler OT, and Grosse R (2008) Cell motility through plasma membrane blebbing. *J Cell*
671   *Biol* **181**:879–884.

672   Ferguson SS (2001) Evolving concepts in G protein-coupled receptor endocytosis: the role
673   in receptor desensitization and signaling. *Pharmacol Rev* **53**:1–24.

674   Ferguson SSG, and Caron MG (2004) Green Fluorescent Protein-Tagged β-Arrestin
675   Translocation as a Measure of G Protein-Coupled Receptor Activation, in *G Protein*
676   *Signaling: Methods and Protocols* (Smrcka AV ed) pp 121–126, Humana Press,
677   Totowa, NJ.

678   Fujiwara M, Jin E, Ghazizadeh M, and Kawanami O (2005) Activation of PAR4 induces a
679   distinct actin fiber formation via p38 MAPK in human lung endothelial cells. *J*
680   *Histochem Cytochem* **53**:1121–1129.

681   Godin CM, and Ferguson SSG (2010) The angiotensin II type 1 receptor induces
682   membrane blebbing by coupling to Rho A, Rho kinase, and myosin light chain
683   kinase. *Mol Pharmacol* **77**:903–911.

684   Gong X, Didan Y, Lock JG, and Strömblad S (2018) KIF13A-regulated RhoB plasma
685   membrane localization governs membrane blebbing and blebby amoeboid cell
686   migration. *EMBO J* **37**.

687   Gros Robert, Ding Qingming, Chorazyczewski Jozef, Pickering J. Geoffrey, Limbird Lee
688   E., and Feldman Ross D. (2006) Adenylyl Cyclase Isoform–Selective Regulation of
689   Vascular Smooth Muscle Proliferation and Cytoskeletal Reorganization. *Circ Res*
690   **99**:845–852.

691   Grundmann M, Merten N, Malfacini D, Inoue Asuka, Preis P, Simon K, Rüttiger N, Ziegler
692   N, Benkel T, Schmitt NK, Ishida S, Müller I, Reher R, Kawakami K, Inoue Ayumi,

693   Rick U, Kühl T, Imhof D, Aoki J, König GM, Hoffmann C, Gomeza J, Wess J, and
694   Kostenis E (2018) Lack of beta-arrestin signaling in the absence of active G
695   proteins. *Nat Commun* **9**:341.

696   Gschwendt M, Dieterich S, Rennecke J, Kittstein W, Mueller HJ, and Johannes FJ (1996)
697   Inhibition of protein kinase C mu by various inhibitors. Differentiation from protein
698   kinase c isoenzymes. *FEBS Lett* **392**:77–80.

699   Hagmann J, Burger MM, and Dagan D (1999) Regulation of plasma membrane blebbing by
700   the cytoskeleton. *J Cell Biochem* **73**:488–499.

701   Hamilton JR, Frauman AG, and Cocks TM (2001) Increased expression of protease-
702   activated receptor-2 (PAR2) and PAR4 in human coronary artery by inflammatory
703   stimuli unveils endothelium-dependent relaxations to PAR2 and PAR4 agonists.
704   *Circ Res* **89**:92–98.

705   Henrich-Noack P, Riek-Burchardt M, Baldauf K, Reiser G, and Reymann KG (2006) Focal
706   ischemia induces expression of protease-activated receptor1 (PAR1) and PAR3 on
707   microglia and enhances PAR4 labeling in the penumbra. *Brain Res* **1070**:232–241.

708   Holinstat M, Voss B, Bilodeau ML, McLaughlin JN, Cleator J, and Hamm HE (2006)
709   PAR4, but not PAR1, signals human platelet aggregation via Ca2+ mobilization and
710   synergistic P2Y12 receptor activation. *J Biol Chem* **281**:26665–26674.

711   Ikenouchi J, and Aoki K (2016) Membrane bleb: A seesaw game of two small GTPases. -
712   PubMed - NCBI. *Small GTPases* **8**:85–89.

713   Inamdar V, Patel A, Manne BK, Dangelmaier C, and Kunapuli SP (2015) Characterization
714   of UBO-QIC as a Gαq inhibitor in platelets. *Platelets* **26**:771–778.

715   Kahn ML, Nakanishi-Matsui M, Shapiro MJ, Ishihara H, and Coughlin SR (1999)
716   Protease-activated receptors 1 and 4 mediate activation of human platelets by
717   thrombin. *J Clin Invest* **103**:879–887.

718   Kim S, Jin J, and Kunapuli SP (2006) Relative contribution of G-protein-coupled pathways
719   to protease-activated receptor-mediated Akt phosphorylation in platelets. *Blood*
720   **107**:947–954.

721   Kovács M, Tóth J, Hetényi C, Málnási-Csizmadia A, and Sellers JR (2004) Mechanism of
722   blebbistatin inhibition of myosin II. *J Biol Chem* **279**:35557–35563.

723   Laporte SA, and Scott MGH (2019) β-Arrestins: Multitask Scaffolds Orchestrating the
724   Where and When in Cell Signalling. *Methods Mol Biol Clifton NJ* **1957**:9–55.

725   Laser-Azogui A, Diamant-Levi T, Israeli S, Roytman Y, and Tsarfaty I (2014) Met-induced
726   membrane blebbing leads to amoeboid cell motility and invasion. *Oncogene*
727   **33**:1788–1798.

Lawrenson ID, Wimmer-Kleikamp SH, Lock P, Schoenwaelder SM, Down M, Boyd AW,
Alewood PF, and Lackmann M (2002) Ephrin-A5 induces rounding, blebbing and
de-adhesion of EphA3-expressing 293T and melanoma cells by CrkII and Rho-
mediated signalling. *J Cell Sci* **115**:1059–1072.

Li D, D'Angelo L, Chavez M, and Woulfe DS (2011) Arrestin-2 Differentially Regulates
PAR4 and ADP Receptor Signaling in Platelets. *J Biol Chem* **286**:3805–3814.

Love MI, Huber W, and Anders S (2014) Moderated estimation of fold change and
dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* **15**:550.

Luttrell LM, and Lefkowitz RJ (2002) The role of beta-arrestins in the termination and
transduction of G-protein-coupled receptor signals. *J Cell Sci* **115**:455–465.

Luttrell LM, Roudabush FL, Choy EW, Miller WE, Field ME, Pierce KL, and Lefkowitz
RJ (2001) Activation and targeting of extracellular signal-regulated kinases by beta-
arrestin scaffolds. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **98**:2449–2454.

Ma L, Perini R, McKnight W, Dicay M, Klein A, Hollenberg MD, and Wallace JL (2005)
Proteinase-activated receptors 1 and 4 counter-regulate endostatin and VEGF
release from human platelets. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **102**:216–220.

Magalhaes AC, Dunn H, and Ferguson SS (2012) Regulation of GPCR activity, trafficking
and localization by GPCR-interacting proteins. *Br J Pharmacol* **165**:1717–1736.

Mi H, Huang X, Muruganujan A, Tang H, Mills C, Kang D, and Thomas PD (2017)
PANTHER version 11: expanded annotation data from Gene Ontology and
Reactome pathways, and data analysis tool enhancements. *Nucleic Acids Res*
45:D183–D189.

Mihara K, Ramachandran R, Saifeddine M, Hansen KK, Renaux B, Polley D, Gibson S,
Vanderboor C, and Hollenberg MD (2016) Thrombin-Mediated Direct Activation
of Proteinase-Activated Receptor-2: Another Target for Thrombin Signaling. *Mol*
Pharmacol **89**:606–614.

Moers A, Nieswandt B, Massberg S, Wettschureck N, Gruner S, Konrad I, Schulte V,
Aktas B, Gratacap MP, Simon MI, Gawaz M, and Offermanns S (2003) G13 is an
essential mediator of platelet activation in hemostasis and thrombosis. *Nat Med*
9:1418–1422.

Morrow DA, Braunwald E, Bonaca MP, Ameriso SF, Dalby AJ, Fish MP, Fox KA, Lipka
LJ, Liu X, Nicolau JC, Ophuis AJ, Paolasso E, Scirica BM, Spinar J, Theroux P,
Wiviott SD, Strony J, and Murphy SA (2012) Vorapaxar in the secondary
prevention of atherothrombotic events. *N Engl J Med* **366**:1404–1413.

Offermanns S, Toombs CF, Hu Y-H, and Simon MI (1997) Defective platelet activation in
Gα q -deficient mice. *Nature* **389**:183.

Peng Q, Ratnasothy K, Boardman DA, Jacob J, Tung SL, McCluskey D, Smyth LA,
Lechler RI, Dorling A, and Lombardi G (2019) Protease Activated Receptor 4 as a
Novel Modulator of Regulatory T Cell Function. *Front Immunol* **10**.

Pinner S, and Sahai E (2008) PDK1 regulates cancer cell motility by antagonising
inhibition of ROCK1 by RhoE. *Nat Cell Biol* **10**:127–137.

Policha A, Daneshtalab N, Chen L, Dale LB, Altier C, Khosravani H, Thomas WG,
Zamponi GW, and Ferguson SSG (2006) Role of angiotensin II type 1A receptor
phosphorylation, phospholipase D, and extracellular calcium in isoform-specific
protein kinase C membrane translocation responses. *J Biol Chem* **281**:26340–26349.

Ramachandran R, Mihara K, Mathur M, Rochdi MD, Bouvier M, DeFea K, and Hollenberg
MD (2009) Agonist-biased signaling via proteinase activated receptor-2: differential
activation of calcium and mitogen-activated protein kinase pathways. *Mol
Pharmacol* **76**:791–801.

Ramachandran R, Mihara K, Thibeault P, Vanderboor CM, Petri B, Saifeddine M, Bouvier
M, and Hollenberg MD (2017) Targeting a Proteinase-Activated Receptor 4 (PAR4)
Carboxyl Terminal Motif to Regulate Platelet Function. *Mol Pharmacol* **91**:287–
295.

Ramachandran R, Noorbakhsh F, Defea K, and Hollenberg MD (2012) Targeting
proteinase-activated receptors: therapeutic potential and challenges. *Nat Rev Drug
Discov* **11**:69–86.

Rigg RA, Healy LD, Chu TT, Ngo ATP, Mitrugno A, Zilberman-Rudenko J, Aslan JE,
Hinds MT, Vecchiarelli LD, Morgan TK, Gruber A, Temple KJ, Lindsley CW,
Duvernay MT, Hamm HE, and McCarty OJT (2019) Protease-activated receptor 4
activity promotes platelet granule release and platelet-leukocyte interactions.
Platelets **30**:126–135.

Ritchie E, Saka M, Mackenzie C, Drummond R, Wheeler-Jones C, Kanke T, and Plevin R
(2007) Cytokine upregulation of proteinase-activated-receptors 2 and 4 expression
mediated by p38 MAP kinase and inhibitory kappa B kinase beta in human
endothelial cells. *Br J Pharmacol* **150**:1044–1054.

Russell FA, Veldhoen VE, Tchitchkan D, and McDougall JJ (2009) Proteinase-activated
receptor-4 (PAR4) activation leads to sensitization of rat joint primary afferents via
a bradykinin B2 receptor-dependent mechanism. *J Neurophysiol* **103**:155–163.

Sah VP, Seasholtz TM, Sagi SA, and Brown JH (2000) The role of Rho in G protein-
coupled receptor signal transduction. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* **40**:459–489.

Sambrano GR, Weiss EJ, Zheng YW, Huang W, and Coughlin SR (2001) Role of thrombin
signalling in platelets in haemostasis and thrombosis. *Nature* **413**:74–78.

Schmieder R, and Edwards R (2011) Quality control and preprocessing of metagenomic
801   datasets. *Bioinformatics* **27**:863–864.

802   Shapiro MJ, Weiss EJ, Faruqi TR, and Coughlin SR (2000) Protease-activated receptors 1
803   and 4 are shut off with distinct kinetics after activation by thrombin. *J Biol Chem*
804   **275**:25216–25221.

805   Stavenger RA, Cui H, Dowdell SE, Franz RG, Gaitanopoulos DE, Goodman KB, Hilfiker
806   MA, Ivy RL, Leber JD, Marino Joseph P, Oh H-J, Viet AQ, Xu W, Ye G, Zhang D,
807   Zhao Y, Jolivette LJ, Head MS, Semus SF, Elkins PA, Kirkpatrick RB, Dul E,
808   Khandekar SS, Yi T, Jung DK, Wright LL, Smith GK, Behm DJ, Doe CP, Bentley
809   R, Chen ZX, Hu E, and Lee D (2007) Discovery of Aminofurazan-
810   azabenzimidazoles as Inhibitors of Rho-Kinase with High Kinase Selectivity and
811   Antihypertensive Activity. *J Med Chem* **50**:2–5.

812   Straight AF, Cheung A, Limouze J, Chen I, Westwood NJ, Sellers JR, and Mitchison TJ
813   (2003) Dissecting temporal and spatial control of cytokinesis with a myosin II
814   Inhibitor. *Science* **299**:1743–1747.

815   Taniguchi M, Suzumura K, Nagai K, Kawasaki T, Takasaki J, Sekiguchi M, Moritani Y,
816   Saito T, Hayashi K, Fujita S, Tsukamoto S, and Suzuki K (2004) YM-254890
817   analogues, novel cyclic depsipeptides with Gαq/11 inhibitory activity from
818   Chromobacterium sp. QS3666. *Bioorg Med Chem* **12**:3125–3133.

819   Tinevez J-Y, Schulze U, Salbreux G, Roensch J, Joanny J-F, and Paluch E (2009) Role of
820   cortical tension in bleb growth. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **106**:18581–18586.

821   Voss B, McLaughlin JN, Holinstat M, Zent R, and Hamm HE (2007) PAR1, but not PAR4,
822   activates human platelets through a Gi/o/phosphoinositide-3 kinase signaling axis.
823   *Mol Pharmacol* **71**:1399–1406.

824   Wettschureck N, and Offermanns S (2005) Mammalian G proteins and their cell type
825   specific functions. *Physiol Rev* **85**:1159–1204.

826   Wilson SJ, Ismat FA, Wang Z, Cerra M, Narayan H, Raftis J, Gray TJ, Connell S, Garonzik
827   S, Ma X, Yang J, and Newby DE (2017) PAR4 (Protease-Activated Receptor 4)
828   Antagonism With BMS-986120 Inhibits Human Ex Vivo Thrombus Formation.
829   *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* ATVBAHA.117.310104.

830   Wong PC, Seiffert D, Bird JE, Watson CA, Bostwick JS, Giancarli M, Allegretto N, Hua J,
831   Harden D, Guay J, Callejo M, Miller MM, Lawrence RM, Banville J, Guy J,
832   Maxwell BD, Priestley ES, Marinier A, Wexler RR, Bouvier M, Gordon DA,
833   Schumacher WA, and Yang J (2017) Blockade of protease-activated receptor-4
834   (PAR4) provides robust antithrombotic activity with low bleeding. *Sci Transl Med*
835   **9**:eaaf5294.

836   Woulfe DS (2005) REVIEW ARTICLES: Platelet G protein-coupled receptors in
837   hemostasis and thrombosis. *J Thromb Haemost* **3**:2193–2200.

Xu WF, Andersen H, Whitmore TE, Presnell SR, Yee DP, Ching A, Gilbert T, Davie EW,
and Foster DC (1998) Cloning and characterization of human protease-activated
receptor 4. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **95**:6642–6646.

Zhang D, LaFortune TA, Krishnamurthy S, Esteva FJ, Cristofanilli M, Liu P, Lucci A,
Singh B, Hung M-C, Hortobagyi GN, and Ueno NT (2009) Epidermal growth factor
receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor reverses mesenchymal to epithelial phenotype and
inhibits metastasis in inflammatory breast cancer. *Clin Cancer Res Off J Am Assoc*
Cancer Res **15**:6639–6648.

Figure 1:

848
849

Figure 2:

Figure: 3

Figure 4:

Figure 5:

857
858

Figure 6:

859
860

Figure: 7

861
862

Figure 8:

863
864
865

Figure 9:

866
867

Figure 10:

Table 1:

A

Gene Ontology Term	Fold Enrichment
Neuron-neuron synaptic transmission (GO:0007270)	7.69
Natural killer cell activation (GO:0030101)	5.45
Fertilization (GO:0009566)	4.68
Sensory perception of taste (GO:0050909)	4.11
Blood coagulation (GO:0007596)	3.1
Cell-cell adhesion (GO:0016337)	2.81
Sensory perception of chemical stimulus (GO:000760	2.8
Macrophage activation (GO:0042116)	2.78
Blood circulation (GO:0008015)	2.73
Sensory perception (GO:0007600)	2.63

B

Gene Ontology Term	Fold Enrichment
JNK cascade (GO:0007254)	7.88
Anatomical structure morphogenesis (GO:0009653)	3.85
Death (GO:0016265)	3.06
Cell death (GO:0008219)	3.06
Apoptotic process (GO:0006915)	3.01
Intracellular signal transduction (GO:0035556)	2.89
Immune system process (GO:0002376)	2.77
Cell cycle (GO:0007049)	2.36
Developmental process (GO:0032502)	2.22
Signal transduction (GO:0007165)	1.72

870
871

Supplemental Figure 1:

RhoA#1   CGAGTTTGCGACTCGCGGAC
RhoA#2   CGGTCCGCGAGTCGCAAACT
RhoA #3 GAGTCCAGCCTCTTCGCGCC
RhoA #4 GACTCGCGGACCGGCGTCCC

β-Arr 1   TGTGGACCACATCGACCTCG
β-Arr 2   GCGGGACTTCGTAGATCACC

872
873
874

Supplemental Figure 2: