Integrating the metabolic processes of *Escherichia coli* with virulence by decreasing glucose
availability, inhibiting the acetyl-CoA carboxylase gene *accA* with asRNA, and through the
quantification of the *luxs* gene

Tatiana Hillman1

1 Biological Sciences, TheLAB, Inc., Los Angeles, California, United States

Corresponding author:

Tatianna Hillman1

Email address: thillman@usc.edu

ABSTRACT

The study aims to demonstrate a possible link between bacterial cell metabolism and virulence
by combining the metabolic mechanisms of the gram-negative bacteria, *Escherichia coli*.
Glucose increases the proliferation of intestinal microflora. The bacterial microflora ferment
glucose into short-chain fatty acids and long-chain fatty acids. Bacteria synthesize long-chain
fatty acids for plasma membrane and biofilm formation. *Escherichia coli* was cultured in Luria
broth, enhanced with a high to a low concentration of glucose to increase the synthesis of acetyl-
CoA carboxylase. The 15mM sample yielded 4,210ng/µL of qPCR products measured for the
target gene *accA*. The 7.5mM sample produced a concentration equal to 375 ng/µL, and the
control sample measured an *accA* concentration of 196 ng/µL. The gene *accA*, one of four
subunits for the acetyl-CoA carboxylase enzyme, was suppressed by asRNA, producing a qPCR
product of 63 gene copies. Antisense RNA for *accA* reduced the amount of *luxs*, a vital gene
needed for propagating quorum-sensing signal molecules. The *luxs* gene, which is responsible
for releasing autoinducer-2 for intercellular quorum sensing, was reduced by the gene inhibition
of *accA* with asRNA. The increase in *luxs* transcription augments biofilm production in support
of spreading virulence. An antibiotic resistance test was performed that implanted disk
containing tetracycline, carbenicillin, and chloramphenicol unto cultures expressing antisense
RNA. Bacterial cells that were transformed with the antisense inducible vector, for inhibiting
accA, displayed more antibiotic susceptibility. The implications of the study advocate for
designing antibiotics that target bacterial cell metabolic processes to block bacterial antibiotic
resistance.

INTRODUCTION

Alexander Fleming discovered penicillin in 1928. His discovery began the use of antibiotics that saved many lives; however, with the overuse of antibiotics, the issue of antibiotic resistance has occurred in parallel. Between the 1960s and the 1980s, a profound formulation of many new and novel antibiotics were discovered [1]. The new and novel antibiotics could not supersede the vigorous evolution of bacterial antibiotic resistance. The three phases that occur after bacterial horizontal gene transfer for proliferating antibiotic resistance include: 1) the antibiotic genes received during conjugation code for the creation of efflux pumps; 2) the antibiotic-resistant genes enclosed inside the pre-antibiotic genes impede the antibiotic drugs attaching to the antibiotic gene target sites; 3) the antibiotic-resistant genes direct the ubiquitous destruction of antibiotic drugs through hydrolysis [2].

Fleming's discovery came after he observed a growth of mold that reduced the population of staphylococci cultured in a petri-dish [3]. He published his findings for the first antibiotic discovered called penicillin. More researchers, in 1940 began to publish a description for the methods of isolating large quantities of penicillin for clinical studies [4]. Due to the studies of penicillin conducted by the researchers from Oxford University, penicillin became a well-known and highly administered antibiotic in the year of 1945. Since penicillin first use in 1945, antibiotic resistance has amplified [5].

Bacteria can adapt to any and to multiple environments. Adding antibiotics to the environment of a bacterium pressures it to create gene mutations called antibiotic resistant genes. The bacterium also shares those antibiotic resistant genes with other bacteria. The process of natural selection is immensely active and central for the development of antibiotic resistance. For example, persister cells are the bacterial cells that survived its contact with antibiotics. The persister cells survive to initiate and spread antibiotic resistance after its exposure to antibiotics [6]. The bacteria of *A. baumanii* inhabit hospitals, is highly contagious, and develops antibiotic resistance through horizontal gene transfer. The *A. baumanii* bacterium cause 64% of urinary tract infections during the use of catheters [7].

Only a few of the novel antibiotics were available to treat many of the antibiotic-resistant bacterial infections. Therefore, there has been a reduction in the field of pharmaceutics to design more new and novel antibiotics. Therefore, more future research should investigate the processes, mechanisms, and dynamics of antibiotic resistance to increase the development of potent antibiotics. Antimicrobial resistance or AMR has led to the deaths of 700,000 annually, including an additional 10 million people who are approximated to die by 2050 [1]. However, generating novel antibiotics has not solved the problem of AMR since bacterial resistance has reduced the efficacy of every antibiotic administered in the clinical setting. There is a demand for more creative and advanced antibiotics to deal with this massive public health issue. The microbial formation of biofilm contributes to that spread of antibiotic resistant genes and bacteria.

The bacterial colonies within biofilms are profusely resistant to antibiotics, and the biofilm induces the continuance of chronic infections. Because the extracellular biofilm matrix prevents penetration of antibiotics, is mostly anaerobic, or lacking oxygen, bacteria within the biofilm are more tolerant of antibiotics [8]. Biofilms are an organization of bacterial groups

attached to a biotic or abiotic extracellular matrix. However, there is insufficient knowledge
about the effect of antibiotic-resistant processes linked to bacterial biofilms. Bacteria use the
formation of biofilm as a profitable survival strategy.

Biofilm allows bacterial cells to develop a high tolerance for antibiotics through
resistance. The biofilm formed consists of proteins, extracellular DNA, sugars, and is strongly
resistant to proration by antibiotics. The antibiotics administered can eradicate most of the
bacteria cells on the surface of the biofilm, but the bacteria embedded closer to the base of the
matrix remain [9]. Biofilm can endure and persist after antibiotic exposure due to many physical,
anatomical, and innate genetic factors. The pathogenic bacteria create many of the virulent
actions that increase biofilm production through quorum sensing or cell to cell communication.
Due to the cell-cell communication for biofilm formation, designing small molecules for
disturbing the quorum-sensing signals can inhibit biofilm development.

After researchers exposed bacterial cells within biofilm to the antibiotics to rifampicin-
and kanamycin-resistant mutants within immature biofilm communities, the results displayed
that each mutant amplified in growth without the presence of antibiotics. Researchers treated the
mutant cultures with kanamycin and found that the biofilm showed a reduction in antibiotic
resistance. However, after 250 generations, the resistant mutant bacteria increased in growth at
the surface and the base of the biofilm matrix [10]. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* can produce
reactive redox pigments. These pigments are called phenazines, which affect the expression of
genes, cause metabolic changes, and can alter the tolerance of the bacterial cells of antibiotics
[11]. Phenazines induce the survival of *P. aeruginosa* through its ability to modify biofilm
physiology. Phenazines increase the formation of the initial matrix, composed of
exopolysaccharide, which is needed for bacteria to attach and form a mature biofilm. The
Phenazines cause the production of efflux pumps and affect the downward cascade of the central
metabolic processes of *P. aeruginosa*. Researchers measured the bacterial mutant-survivors of
biofilm after exposure to antibiotics and a carbon compound. They found that after biofilms of *P.
aeruginosa* were cultured with succinate, a carbon component that enters the mid-central
metabolism of glucose, the phenazine could no longer protect bacterial cells from antibiotic
penetration and eradication.

Therefore, a bacteria's increased tendency to develop bacterial populations of biofilm is a
significant factor of virulence. For example, most patients suffering from a skin infection known
as *S. epidermidis* produce an active biofilm in vitro [12]. The exopolysaccharide matrix can
lessen the penetration of the deeper strata of the biofilm by antibiotics. Exposing bacteria to
antibiotics at the deeper layers of the biofilm forms resistant mutants of those bacteria [13]. After
administering more massive amounts of antibiotics for more extended periods, the biofilm
communities of bacteria can remain active, live, and survive.

Lux-S is necessary for the motility of bacteria and for forming a bacterial biofilm. Lux-S
is an S-ribosylhomocysteine lyase that produces autoinducer-2. Autoinducer-2 is a signaling
molecule released that induces the cell to cell communication called quorum sensing. Bacterial
cells communicate through an intercellular process called quorum sensing. Amplified Lux-S
expression increases the release of autoinducer-2, intensifies quorum sensing, and produces more
biofilm for spreading pathogenic bacteria. The expression of the gene *luxs* induces the release of
autoinducer-2. Quorum sensing is a type of communication between bacterial cells that occurs

through the release of small signaling molecules called autoinducers. Releasing the autoinducers
regulates the aggregation of cells and monitors genetic expression. The autoinducers of gram-
negative bacteria consist of homoserine lactones versus gram-positive bacteria that release
oligopeptides [14]. The luxs gene is essential for synthesizing and releasing autoinducer-2.
However, the metabolism of glucose by bacteria significantly affects the output of autoinducers
and the production of biofilm. The acetyl-CoA carboxylase enzyme catalyzes the biosynthesis of
long-chain fatty acids and hydrolyzes glucose into short-chain fatty acids. The acetyl-CoA
transferase synthesizes LCFAs through the alpha subunit of ACCase, or the ACCA subunit
transfers a carboxyl group from pyruvate to an acetyl-CoA, producing malonyl-CoA.

Lux-S produces signals for quorum sensing, increases biofilm formation, and spreads
virulence. Inhibiting the fatty-acid synthesis of bacterial cell metabolism can reduce Lux-S
expression and biofilm production. Increased metabolic fatty-acid synthesis can amplify Lux-S
expression as a virulent producer of biofilm, which can cause the persistence of antibiotic-
resistant bacteria. We do not entirely understand the exact mechanism that connects cell
metabolism with the bacterial pathogenesis of antibiotic resistance. The author suggests that
blocking the enzymatic activity of acetyl-CoA carboxylase may represent a potential aim for
overcoming antibiotic resistance.

The metabolic processes of bacteria may provide much potential for generating and
designing novel therapeutics as antibiotics that can reduce biofilm formation. For example,
CbrAB is a membrane-bound histidine kinase, and a part of the metabolic regulatory system
called the CbrAB/Crc system [15]. The CbrAB/Crc system shows a connection between bacterial
cell metabolic processes with antibiotic resistance [16]. A process called catabolite repression
control or Crc inhibits the translation of catabolite activities by targeting specific mRNAs. The
Crc protein targets mRNAs that are adjacent to ribosome binding sites [17]. Limited sources of
carbon compounds cause the activation of the CbrAB/Crc system. The CbrAB protein initiates
the expression of a small RNA termed *crcz*. The crcz alleviates the repression of the Crc-targeted
mRNAs. Inhibiting the *crc* gene lowers the growth rate of bacteria along with providing a higher
susceptibility to antibiotics [16].

Nutrient availability can determine the expression of metabolic genes and remove the
bacterial response of antibiotic resistance. In a study by Peng et al. (2015), bacteria cultured
without glucose or the non-polar amino acid of alanine were less susceptible to kanamycin, an
antibiotic. Only 9.5 ng/mL of the kanamycin was absorbed; however, adding alanine and glucose
to the cultures increased the uptake of kanamycin to 65-123 ng/mL and then to 113-231 ng/mL
[18]. Shu et al. (2018) performed a Michaelis-Menten kinetics experiment using multi-drug
efflux pumps while adding glutamate and acetate to growths of *Escherichia coli* [18]. They
confirmed that the *Escherichia coli* cells were more sensitive to gentamicin, which is an
antibiotic. Each of the previously mentioned studies displays a significant link between bacterial
metabolism and antibiotic resistance.

For that reason, we tested the effects of extracellular nutrient availability on bacterial
gene expression. We altered the concentration of glucose in Luria-Bertani broth media. RNA
was extracted to test the yield of the gene expression of *Escherichia coli*. To study the
intracellular process, the gene expression of *accA*, a subunit of the acetyl-CoA carboxylase
enzyme, was quantified via real-time PCR. The ACCase complex is an essential enzyme for the

first step of the bacterial fatty acid synthesis. The cell metabolism within *Escherichia coli* cells induces the expression of the four genes, *accA, accB*, *accC*, and *accD*, which code for the translation of acetyl-CoA carboxylase. The four genes for acetyl-CoA carboxylase modify the composition of fatty acids and monitor the rate of production. The four genes also monitor the overexpression of ACCase by inserting one of the four subunits, activating antisense RNA expression [19]. When quantifying the activity of ACCase, the concentration of the gene *accA* was measured. We conjoined the exposure to glucose with antisense RNA inhibition.

We suppressed the *accA gene*, using antisense RNA transcripts, to measure the effects of genetic inhibition of the intracellular metabolic processes and recorded the subsequent RNA concentrations. The original bacterial protocell constrains foreign and movable DNA through the transcription of antisense RNA, which is complementary to its specific DNA target sequence [20]. The asRNAs bind to the sequences flanking the ribosome-binding site and the start codon of the target mRNAs [21]. The antisense RNA blocks the ribosomal detection by the RBS inhibiting translation [21]. In this study, antisense RNA obtained amplification through the PCR amplification of an antisense DNA sequence. The DNA flanked at the XhoI, and NcoI restriction sites converted the sequences into antisense RNAs. We implanted the PCR product into the pHN1257 plasmid through ligation (Fig 1). Also, *Escherichia coli* cells were induced into antisense expressing bacteria after transforming the competent bacteria cells with the recombinant IPTG-PT-asRNA plasmid called pHN1257. Real-Time PCR analysis was performed to determine the number of gene copies for *accA*.

197 **Figure 1 Plasmid Assembly and the Gene Silencing of *accA***

198 Plasmid Assembly and Gene Silencing the PCR products and the PTasRNA expression vector of
199 the plasmid pHN1257 were digested with the restriction enzymes XhoI (upstream) and NcoI
200 (downstream). After the restriction digestion, the antisense PCR product was ligated into the
201 IPTG-PTasRNA inducible vector of pHN1257 at the multiple cloning site.

206

Also, suppressing the *accA* gene can model the mechanism of the pathogenic bacterial
repression of gene transcription based on the availability of nutrients and any other physiological
change [22]. We measured the effects of extracellular and intracellular factors upon the
intercellular communication between cells by quantifying the gene copy number of *luxs*. We
altered the concentration of glucose and inhibited the *accA* to analyze the correlation between
glucose (extracellular), *accA* (intracellular), and *luxs (intercellular)* (Table. 1). An antibiotic
resistance test was performed that implanted disk containing tetracycline, carbenicillin, and
chloramphenicol unto bacterial cultures expressing antisense RNA. The author hypothesizes that
inhibiting *accA* while lessening glucose availability will decrease the *luxs* gene copy number as
quantified through qPCR. The author expects to determine an integration between extracellular
glucose, intracellular metabolic gene expression, the effect of the two preceding stages of
metabolism on intercellular cell-to-cell signaling, and pathogenesis. We further hypothesize that
inhibiting metabolic genes as *accA* can help to reduce antibiotic resistance. The purpose of the
study is to demonstrate the immense potential of targeting the metabolic gene, *accA,* for
overcoming antibiotic resistance.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

BACTERIAL CELL CULTURE AND RNA EXTRACTION

The *Escherichia coli* TOP10 strain of cells were cultured and plated onto 25 mL agar plates. The bacterial cells were inoculated into Luria-Bertani broth, enhanced with glucose concentrations of 200µM, 50µM, and 0µM. The cultures of 200, 50, and 0 micromolar (n=7) of glucose were measured for the dsDNA and the RNA OD260 concentrations and replicated seven times. Varied concentrations of glucose, including, 15mM, 7.5mM, 5mM, and control of glucose were added to LB liquid with *Escherichia coli* cells. The plasmid pHN1257 was utilized for assembly of the antisense expression vectors. The recombinant plasmid of pHN1257 for the expression of antisense RNA was plated with the kanamycin antibiotic and incubated at 37°C for 24 hrs. Cells grown on agar plates were inoculated into 4 mL of LB liquid media with (+) and (-) PTasRNA bacterial cells expression of asRNA for *accA* cultured with kanamycin. A sum of 20 RNA extractions were completed. A total of 3 mL of bacterial cell culture from the cells grown in the varying concentrations of glucose, the (+) asRNA, and the (-) asRNA cells were centrifuged at 4,000 x g for 10 minutes at 4°C. The medium was discarded, and the cells were resuspended in 100µL of lysozyme/TE buffer. The solution was vortexed for 30 seconds. Incubation occurred at 30°C for 10 minutes in a shaker-incubator. A lysis buffer of 350µL with 25mg of glass beads was added. The lysates were centrifuged for 5 minutes at maximum speed. RNA was extracted using HiBind RNA mini columns through RNA Wash Buffers I and II. The RNA was eluted with 50µL of DEPC water.

CDNA PREPARATION

The author used the OneScript Reverse Transcriptase cDNA Synthesis kit by Applied Biological Materials Inc. or ABM. Approximately, 1µg of RNA from each sample, high glucose, medium glucose, low glucose, and (-) glucose were added to 0.5µM oligonucleotides(dT) (10µM). For the asRNA and (-) RNA, one microgram of each total RNA extract was added to the initial primer/RNA and reaction mixture. The cDNA was also prepared for *luxs* quantification. The original primer/RNA mix consisted of 1µg of total RNA, 1µL of oligo(dt), 1µL of dNTP (10mM) mix, and nuclease-free water for a 20µL reaction solution. The mixture was heated to 65°C for 5 minutes and then incubated on ice for 1 minute. The reaction mixture of 4µL 5X RT buffer, 0.5µL of RNaseOFF, and 1µL OneScript RTase was added to the original primer/RNA mix in a 2µL microcentrifuge tube. The cDNA was synthesized by incubating the tube for 50 minutes at 42°C. The reaction was stopped at 85°C for 5 minutes and chilled on ice. The cDNA was stored at -20°C.

PCR

A Promega PCR MasterMix volume of 25µL was added to the upstream and downstream primers, each of 0.5µL specific for the *accA* gene target. PCR amplification was repeated as a triplicate. The concentrations of the two cDNA samples added to the PCR master mix were 190ng and 230ng plus nuclease-free water. A 2X and 1X upstream primer, 10µM 0.5–5.0µl0.1– 1.0µM, and a downstream primer, 10µM 0.5–5.0µl 0.1–1.0µM, DNA template 1–5µl less than 250ng, and nuclease-free water were mixed into a 50µl PCR reaction mixture. The thermocycler was set to denaturation of a 2-minute initial denaturation step at 95°C. Other subsequent

denaturation steps were between 30 seconds and 1 minute. The annealing step was optimized
with annealing conditions by performing the reaction starting approximately 5°C below the
calculated melting temperature of the primers and increasing the temperature in increments of
1°C to the annealing temperature. The annealing step was set at 30 seconds to 1 minute at 52°C.
For the extension reaction with Taq polymerase, there was 1 minute allowed for DNA to be
amplified at 72°C with a final extension of 5 minutes at 72–74°C. The PCR thermocycler
completed 40 cycles of amplification instead of 35 cycles.

AGAROSE GEL ELECTROPHORESIS AND PLASMID ASSEMBLY

The condition of the PCR products, without primer dimers and contamination, for the
target gene *accA,* were detected through agarose gel electrophoresis. The PCR products were
confirmed without primer dimers and contamination, the PCR products, and the PTasRNA
expression vector of the plasmid pHN1257 were digested with the restriction enzymes XhoI
(upstream) and NcoI (downstream) (New England Biolabs XhoI- catalog R0146S- 1,000 units
and NcoI- catalog R0193S- 1,000 units) (Fig. 1). Each microcentrifuge tube was placed in the
incubator for 30 minutes at 37°C. A heat block was heated to 90°C. The PCR products of the
accA gene were ligated into the pHN1257 plasmid by mixing 1µL of the DNA insert with 2µL of
the plasmids, adding 5µL of ligation mix, and then placing the tubes into a heat block of 90°C
for 15 minutes (Takara Ligation Kit 6023). Competent bacterial cells were transformed with the
pHN1257plasmid plus the antisense DNA insert of *accA,* for expressing (+) asRNA and (-)
asRNA, which is the control. The competent cells were incubated with the recombinant DNA for
45 minutes at 37oC.

REAL-TIME PCR

The author enhanced each bacterial sample with the glucose concentrations of 15mM,
7.5mM, 5mM, and 0mM (n=3). A triplicate of real-time PCR experiments was completed. The
cDNA from H-glucose, M-Glucose, L-glucose, and the control (-) glucose were diluted into a 1:8
serial dilution. A 10-fold dilution of standards was prepared for bacterial samples transformed
with (+) asRNA inducible plasmids and exposed to high to a low volume of glucose. The amount
of gene expression for *accA* and the target gene *luxs* were measured through absolute
quantification methods of qPCR. The diluted cDNA was pipetted into a transparent 96 well plate.
A 0.4µl of each primer pair mixture was pipetted into a microcentrifuge tube with 10µL of
SensiMix SYBR MasterMix, and with 1.2µL of DEPC water. The SYBR reaction mixture of
12µL was then pipetted into the wells with the diluted and undiluted cDNA. The optical film
sealed the plate. The PCR plates were centrifuged for 2 minutes at 2500 rpm to reposition all
liquids to the bottom of the wells. The real-time PCR Amplification Protocol (Roche Lightcycler
480 machine) began with a 3 min step at 95°C for 40 cycles with a step of 10 sec at 95°C, 45 sec
at 65°C, and 20 sec at 78°C with one step of 1 min at 95°C. One step of 1 min at 55°C for 10s
each started at 55°C with a 0.5°C increment at each step up to 95°C. For the Roche Lightcycler
480 machine, the 96-well clear Roche plates were used for SYBR green detection.

THE qPCR ABSOLUTE QUANTIFICATION OF LUX-S

Bacterial cells were transformed with the pHN1257 plasmid expressing asRNA to inhibit the genetic expression of the *accA* gene. Three replications of qPCR were performed for quantifying the gene copies of *luxs*. The author added 25µM and 5µM concentrations of glucose to the bacterial samples expressing antisense RNA for the inhibition of the gene *accA*. RNA was extracted from cells grown with 25µM glucose and 5µM of glucose. The cells grown with glucose in the medium were also transformed with antisense expressing IPTG-PT-asRNA inducible pHN1257plasmids. Cells were cultured without (-) glucose, but the expression of *accA* was also inhibited, (+) asRNA. A control, without (-) glucose and (-) asRNA, was compared to each sample. The number of gene copies for *luxs* was measured through the qPCR amplification protocol and absolute quantification (Roche Lightcycler 480 machine).

ANTIBIOTIC RESISTANCE TEST

Competent T7 bacteria cells were transformed with the inducible vector termed pHN1257 that expressed antisense RNA to inhibit the gene *accA*. The newly transformed bacteria cells were plated unto nutrient agar medium consisting of the antibiotic kanamycin. Three to five colonies from the pHN-1257-asRNA-*accA* bacterial transformants were selected and cultured in LB broth, enhanced with kanamycin, for 18 to 24 hours at 37 degrees Celsius in a shaking incubator. A control group that did not express asRNA for *accA* was cultured in LB broth overnight at 37 degrees Celsius. One hundred microliters of the pHN1257-asRNA-*accA* and from the control group were pipetted unto nutrient agar petri dishes (N=64) containing 50 micromolar of the kanamycin antibiotic for the sample of pHN1257-asRNA-*accA* . A swab was used to spread the 100 microliters of each experimental (with asRNA) and the control (without asRNA) unto the agar plates to form a lawn of bacterial growth. The six-millimeter sized disks contained 5 microliters of each the three consecutive antibiotics, including tetracycline, carbenicillin, and chloramphenicol. Each group of eight disks were prepared with tetracycline, carbenicillin, and chloramphenicol. The antibiotic disks were placed unto the agar plates that were divided into 4 or 8 sections. The bacterial cultures grew for 18-24 hours at 37ºC. The zone of inhibition for each of the disk (N=64) were measured in mm and compared to a chart for Antibiotic Resistance and Susceptibility Tests.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Figures were constructed with the Sigma Plot 14th edition, Microsoft excel, and the 8th edition of GraphPad Prism. The mean and standard deviations were calculated by Microsoft Excel and GraphPad Prism. The p-values and the ANOVA results were produced through GraphPad Prism. Standard error bars for each figure were added through Sigma Plot. The standard curves for the absolute quantification of the genes *accA* and *luxs* were generated through Microsoft excel with linear regression coefficients added and calculated for each standard curve for the absolute quantification of *accA* and *luxs*. The antibiotic resistance test was analyzed, given descriptive statistics, and generated paired-t test results through the OriginPro 2019B software. A t-test and a density graph for antibiotic susceptibility of pHN1257(+) asRNA for *accA* was produced through the OriginPro 2019B computer application. The p-value was calculated from the t-score and the degrees of freedom for the antisense versus the control of the bacterial growths' sensitivity to the antibiotics.

354   **TABLE 1 A Summary of the Bacterial Sample Treatments**

Bacterial Sample	Treatment	Concentration (mM/μM)
Gluc-200	glucose	200 μM
Gluc-50	glucose	50 μM
Gluc-0	glucose	0 μM
H-glu	glucose	15mM
L-Glu	glucose	5mM
M-Glu	glucose	7.5mM
Control-Glu	glucose	0mM
H-glu	glucose & (+) asRNA-*accA*	15mM
L-Glu	glucose & (+) asRNA-*accA*	5mM
M-Glu	glucose & (+) asRNA-*accA*	7.5 mM
Control-Glu	(+) asRNA-*accA*	0mM
(+) asRNA-*accA*	(+) asRNA	N/A
(-) asRNA-*accA*	(-) asRNA	N/A
25-glu- (+)asRNA-*accA*	glucose & (+) asRNA	25 μM
5-glu- (+)asRNA-*accA*	glucose and (+) asRNA	5 μM

| (+) asRNA-*accA* | (+) asRNA | N/A |
| (-) asRNA-*accA* | (-) asRNA | N/A |

A Summary of the Bacterial Sample Treatments Samples of *E. coli* were prepared with 200,
50, and 0 µM of glucose for dsDNA detection through an OD260 analysis. Bacterial colonies
were treated with 15, 5, 7.5, and 0 mM concentrations of glucose for RNA and qPCR product
quantification of the gene *accA*. The 25 µM and 5 µM of glucose bacterial samples were treated
with antisense RNA for *accA* inhibition and the qPCR products for *luxs* were measured. *E. coli*
colonies were provided with antisense RNA for *accA*, (+)asRNA, and cultured without antisense
RNA, (-)asRNA, for quantifying the number of gene copies for *luxs*.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

THE EFFECT OF GLUCOSE ON THE RNA CONCENTRATION AND THE
EXPRESSION OF ACCA

Hydrolytic enzymes of *Escherichia coli* split one glucose molecule into two pyruvates.
The acetyl-CoA carboxylase enzyme transfers a carboxyl group from the pyruvate to the acetyl-
CoA, producing malonyl-CoA (Fig 2). The acetyl-CoA carboxylase enzyme consists of four
subunits combined into the AccABCD complex. The *accA* gene codes for the ACCA subunit,
which is the transferase domain of the ACCase enzyme. From the results, the genetic expression
of *accA* was proportional to the increased glucose concentrations in *Escherichia coli* bacterial
samples. After culturing *Escherichia coli* with different levels of glucose, the RNA
concentrations for each sample measured were of 1392, 797, 608, and 199 ng/µL for the H-
Glucose, M-Glucose, the L-Glucose, and the control, respectively. The genes of *accA* and *accD*
are entirely independent of the *accBC* operon.

The overexpression of *accB* blocks the transcription of *accBC*; however, the
overexpression of *accA and accD* does not affect the activity of the *accBC* operon [23]. A high
level of glucose increases the availability of *accB*, and the transcription of the *accBC* operon is
significantly reduced even after exposure to a small amount of *accB*. Although a high glucose
concentration can stymie the production of *accBC*, the over-activated *accBC* operon did not
inhibit the production of *accA,* the target gene of study. The subunit ACCA encoded in the *accA*
gene may act as a more intense target for blocking bacterial cell fatty acid synthesis and
metabolism. The *Escherichia coli* TOP10 bacteria cells were grown in LB broth overnight at
37°C with 15mM (High-glucose), 7.5mM (Medium-glucose), 5mM (Low-glucose), and control
of glucose concentration (Fig 3). RNA was extracted from each sample and the concentrations
determined by the Implen Nanophotometer 250. The high-glucose sample produced the highest
amount of RNA compared to the medium, the low, and to the control. The RNA for each sample
was reverse transcribed into the first-strand cDNA, and absolute quantification with qPCR
measured the amount of the target gene, *accA,* of each sample.

Figure 2 Formation of Malonyl-CoA The hydrolysis of glucose molecules into two pyruvates yields the ACCase enzyme. The acetyl-CoA carboxyl transferase or the ACCA alpha subunit of the acetyl-CoA carboxylase complex, AccABCD, transfers a carboxyl group from the pyruvate to the acetyl-CoA to form malonyl-CoA. The malonyl-CoA enters the fatty acid synthesis pathway, elongating fatty acids into long-chain fatty acids of phospholipids necessary for structuring plasma membranes and for forming the initial extracellular matrix.

A) **Figure 3 Glucose concentration versus RNA** Glucose concentrations of 15mM, 7.5mM, 5mM, and the control samples each yielded RNA concentrations, in ng/µL, 1392, 797, 608, 179, respectively. A) The bacterial sample with 15mM glucose yielded the most substantial measure of RNA, noting a proportional relation between glucose and genetic expression of *E. coli*. B) The results display the qPCR results for 15mM, 7.5mM, 5mM, and the control. The samples with 15mM and 5mM glucose displayed the most genetic activity of *accA* transcription, measuring *accA* concentrations of 4,210 ng/µL and 1,500 ng/µL, respectively. The *accA* qPCR concentrations for 7.5mM *accA* equaled 372 ng/µL and a 196 ng/µL quantity for the control. C) The analysis displays the OD260 measure of DNA results for samples of *E. coli* grown in medium enhanced with 200µM, 50µM, 0µM of glucose.

A)

B)

c)

The RNA of each bacterial sample enhanced with glucose was extracted and the
concentrations determined (N=20, 335.4 ± 329.2). The high-glucose sample provided a crossing
point value or a Cp-value of 12.28, and the concentration of *accA* was 4.21E3 ng/µL. The Cp of
the sample of medium-glucose equaled 16.51 with a concentration of 3.75E2, and the low-
glucose Cp was 14.08 with a target gene concentration of 1.50E3 (Fig 3). The concentration of
accA by qPCR analysis, in ng/µL, included: 4210, 375, 1500, and 196 for H-glucose, M-glucose,
L-glucose, and for the control, respectively. The number of qPCR products ranged from 11-186,
5-18, and 0-79 gene copies for High-glucose, Medium-glucose, and the Low-glucose/control,
respectively (N=12, 48±38).

The control group produced a Cp value of 17.64 with a target gene concentration of
1.96E2. For the glucose concentrations of 200µM (n=7,173±72), 50 µM (n=7,116±105), and the
control (n=7, 157±64) compared to their dsDNA concentrations showed significant variability
(Fig 3). Comparing 200 µM to its control group, the difference between the samples was
statistically significant (p=0.038). The cells grown in 200µM yielded a more significant and
more abundant production of dsDNA than cells cultured as a control. After loading a 96-well
plate for qPCR with 8-fold dilution standards of each sample, the standards of each sample were
used to calculate a standard curve (Fig 3).

Vinals et al., (1999), found that a high glucose concentration blocks the absorption of
glucose as a result of its repression of glucose phosphorylation [24]. Ferraris et al. (1990),
measured the luminal glucose osmolarity and the concentrations in the small intestines. They
found that the luminal glucose concentrations in a range of 50mM to 500mM exhibited errors
[25]. The previous researchers measured glucose concentrations but did not recognize how
osmolality is affected by Na+ and K+ salts, amino acids, and peptides [25]. Ferrais et al. (1990),
discovered that the average of SI luminal osmolarities was approximately 100 mosmol/kg, which
were mainly hypertonic results. In the Ferrais et al. (1990) study, for an animal's diet, the SI
glucose concentrations averaged 0.4-24 mM and ranged with time over a large amount of an SI
region from 0.2 to 48 mM. There was a 60 percent reduction of 2-deoxyglucose uptake at 30 mM
glucose from their study [25]. The high glucose osmolality resulted in a reduced Vmax value for
2-deoxyglucose uptake with a constant Km, calculated from the Michaelis-Menten kinetic
enzyme equation [25]. It was also found that high concentrations of glucose lessened the
absorption of glucose in endothelial cells called ECV304 cell lines [24].

THE QPCR RESULTS OF THE ANTISENSE RNA INHIBITION OF THE TARGET
GENE ACCA

Ninety percent of the genome for Eukaryotes consists of non-coding regions or RNA that
will not translate into proteins. Antisense transcription is the purpose of the noncoding regions of
human cell DNA [26]. The non-coding transcripts are called natural antisense RNAs.
Researchers have recorded antisense activity in mice, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Drosophila*
melanogaster, and *Arabidopsis thaliana* [27]. The production of recombinant DNA required the
ligation of the PCR product of the gene insert, *accA,* into the plasmid pHN1257 (Fig. 1). The re-
engineered pHN1257 amplified the expression of antisense RNA. The PCR product and the
IPTG-PT-asRNAs plasmid of pHN1257 were cut with the restriction enzymes XhoI and NcoI.
The primers (Table. 2) each contained an extra 3 to 4 bps of nucleic acids to accompany the
sequences for XhoI and NcoI. The primers flanked the target DNA, which totaled to 150 base

pairs. The antisense sequence was constructed in a specific orientation in that the restriction sites,
which NcoI normally flanks the forward primer, reversed positions. The XhoI was repositioned
to flank the forward primer, and the Nco1 flanked the reverse primer, creating the antisense
sequence when inserted into the plasmid for pHN1257. The PCR product was amplified (n=3,
219 ± 171), and then ligated into the pHN1257-asRNA inducible vector.

Table 2 Primers for amplifying the *luxs* gene, antisense RNA for *accA,* and the *accA* gene.
463

Primers	DNA Sequence
XhoI+*accA* Forward	GAGATGAGTCTGAATTTCCTTGATT
NcoI+*accA* Reverse	TGGCAGTTCATCGCTTTTTTCAC
luxs Forward	CATACCCTGGAACATCTGTTTGC
luxs Reverse	AGTTCCTGCACTTTCAGCACATC
accA Forward	TCATCACCTTTATCGACACCCC
accA Reverse	TTCACTTTATCGCCCACGCC

The Primers for PCR Amplification and qPCR Analysis To produce antisense RNA for *accA*
inhibition, the restriction enzyme site for XhoI was sequenced with the forward primer for *accA*.
We flanked the restriction enzyme NcoI to the reverse primer for *accA*. We amplified the
antisense DNA sequence for the *accA* gene through PCR. The PCR product would become
inverted when inserted into the IPTG-PT-asRNA inducible pHN1257 plasmid, forming
recombinant DNA plasmids for asRNA transcription in vitro. The transformed competent
bacterial cells expressed the antisense RNA, and we extracted the RNA for qPCR analysis of the
accA gene. The primers for the *luxs* gene were applied to quantify the number of gene copies
from qPCR analysis for cells grown in glucose enhanced samples. We used the primers for the
accA gene for the quantification of the *accA* gene from the samples cultured with an increasing
gradient of glucose solutions.

The total RNA concentration for the control, (-) asRNA, equaled 739.44 ng/µL. The
control, (-) asRNA, yielded a miRNA concentration of 334.98 ng/µL (Fig 4). The RNA
concentration for transformed bacterial cells with the asRNA of *accA* pHN1257plasmid was
279.28ng/µL. The miRNA concentration of the cells with asRNA measured to 240.90 ng/µL.
The gene of *accA* was successfully suppressed by asRNA in vitro with 63 ng/ µL of the qPCR
measured for bacteria cells (n=3) transformed with the recombinant antisense pHN1257 plasmid
DNA (37.8 ± 35.6). The real-time PCR results for the bacterial cells without the antisense gene
target and insert yielded 421.69 ng/µL for *accA* (Fig 5). There was a 147 % difference between
cells not expressing asRNA versus cells transcribing the asRNA for *accA* (p=0.027). Inhibiting
or lessening ACCase activity limits the synthesis of lipids, causing many physical changes.
Antisense RNA expression can modify the consistency of fatty acids by upregulating the
production of the ACCase enzyme.

Figure 4 RNA Concentrations vs. Glucose Concentration A) The enhanced *E. coli* bacteria
cultures (n=3) with 200µM, 50µM, and a control of glucose (59±49). B) The 50µM of glucose in
a bacterial sample highest yield was 566ng/µL, for 200µM 117ng/µL of RNA was produced, and
the control measured to 76ng/µL of RNA.

A)

B)

Figure 5 The qPCR results for quantifying *accA* concentration and the gene copy number.

The RNA for each sample, 15mM, 7.5mM, 5mM, and the control, was reverse transcribed into
the first-strand cDNA, and absolute quantification with qPCR was used to measure the amount
of the target gene, *accA,* produced by each sample. A) High glucose had a Cp of 12.28, and the
concentration of *accA* was 4.21E3 ng/µL. The Cp of sample medium-glucose equaled 16.51 with
a concentration of 3.75E2, and the low-glucose Cp was 14.08 with a target gene concentration of
1.50E3. B) The gene of *accA* was successfully suppressed by asRNA in vitro, with 63 ng/µL
measured for bacteria cells transformed with the recombinant antisense pHN1257 plasmid DNA.
The bacterial cells with the pHN1257 plasmid but without the antisense gene target and insert
produced 421.69 ng/µL for *accA.* There was a 147% percent difference between cells not
expressing asRNA versus the cell transcription of the asRNA for *accA* (p=0.027).

A)

B)

During short-chain fatty acid synthesis, malonyl-CoA is formed from acetyl-CoA, using
energy from ATP and bicarbonate production [23]. The hydrolysis of glucose produces two
pyruvates. The pyruvates alter into acetyl-CoA, forming acetate (Table.3). Through the Wood-
Ljugdahl pathway, pyruvate loses two hydrogens, carbon dioxide loses oxygen, altered into
carbon monoxide, and a methyl group is added to the oxidation, synthesizing acetyl-CoA into
Acetate [28]. The production of butyrate occurs when two molecules of acetyl-CoA combine into
acetoacetyl-CoA. The transformation of acetoacetyl-CoA into butyryl-CoA produces butyrate
[25]. The succinate or acrylate pathway composes the propionate [29]. The
phosphoenolpyruvate, or PEP, is broken into pyruvates that metabolize with water to produce
succinate. The succinate is reduced into propionyl-CoA, forming propionate (Table. 3). Through
the acrylate pathway, lactate loses oxygen, forming propionate [28].

Table 3 Pathways for Biosynthesis of SCFAs from Carbohydrate Fermentation

Glucose	Glucose	Glucose
2 Pyruvates Lose a Hydrogen	2 Pyruvates Lose a Hydrogen	2 Pyruvates Lose 1 Hydrogen Gain 1 Hydrogen
Acetyl CoEnzyme A	Acetyl Coenzyme A	LACTATE Loses 1 Oxygen
B-hydroxybutyryl CoA Lose H2O	Phosphate Replaces The CoA	Creates Acryloyl-CoA
Crotonyl CoA Gains a Hydrogen	Creates Acetyl-Phosphate	Forms Propionyl-CoA
Butyryl CoA Phosphate Replaces The CoA	Phosphate added to ADP to make ATP	CoA in Propionyl-CoA Replaced with a Phosphate
Butyryl Phosphate formed The Phosphate moves to an ADP to form ATP **BUTYRATE**	**ACETATE**	Phosphate removed **PROPIONATE**

For synthesis of each SCFA, glucose is hydrolyzed into 2 molecules of pyruvate, which then
lose a hydrogen, forming Acetyl Coenzyme A. For butyrate the Acetyl coenzyme A loses a
water, forming B-hydroxy butyryl CoA, where it loses a hydrogen, and the CoA is replaced with
a Phosphate causing the removal of the phosphate. After the loss of the phosphate yields the
butyrate. Acetate forms when a phosphate group replaces the CoA in Acetyl Coenzyme A, and
then the phosphate added to an ADP produces ATP. Propionate can form when lactate loses
oxygen, giving Acryloyl-CoA, to convert into Propionyl-CoA that replaces the CoA with
phosphate, and then removed by a kinase to produce propionate.

When the ACCA subunit of ACCase transfers a carboxyl group to acetyl-CoA, converting into malonyl-CoA, the malonyl-CoA catalyzes the synthesis of the LCFAs (Fig 6). The fatty acid cycle synthesizes long chains of fatty acids for the formation of the bacterial plasma membranes and a thin-layered matrix for initial bacterial attachment to a biotic or abiotic surface. After bacteria attach to a surface, more growth occurs until a microcolony of bacterial cells form, and the gene *accA* codes for the subunit of the Acetyl-CoA Carboxylase complex. Acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACC) initiates the first step of fatty acid synthesis. An acyl-group or an ACP is added to the malonyl-CoA and converted into a ketoacyl-ACP. The Beta-ketoacyl-ACP is reduced, gains hydrogen, into Beta-hydroxyacyl-ACP. The Beta-hydroxyacyl-ACP is dehydrated, losing a molecule of water, to alter into an Enoyl-ACP, which forms into an Acyl-ACP by gaining two hydrogen protons.

563   **Figure 6 Long-Chain Fatty Acid Synthesis** An acyl-group or an ACP is added to the malonyl-
564   CoA and converted into a ketoacyl-ACP. The Beta-ketoacyl-ACP is reduced, gains hydrogen,
565   into Beta-hydroxyacyl-ACP. The Beta-hydroxyacyl-ACP is dehydrated, losing a molecule of
566   water, to alter into an Enoyl-ACP, which forms into an Acyl-ACP by gaining two hydrogen
567   protons.

QUANTIFICATION OF LUX-S GENE EXPRESSION

The gene *accA* is a component of the ACCA subunit of the ACCase complex, which functions as a transferase. The ACCA subdomain of ACCase is vital for catalyzing fatty acid synthesis. Lipid biosynthesis is responsible for increased quorum sensing and biofilm formation. After the hydrolysis of glucose into two pyruvate molecules, the alpha subunit, ACCA, transfers a carboxyl group to acetyl-CoA to produce malonyl-CoA. The malonyl-CoA enters the lipid biosynthesis pathway. The fatty acids produced to assist with secreting a more fluid membrane, stretching the span of the biofilm matrix. As the biofilm matrix expands the microcolonies of bacteria grow proportional to the size of the matrix. The increase in the number of colonies induces the release of autoinducers, which accrue into a display of bioluminescence. The bioluminescence is a signal of quorum sensing. The gene *luxs* is needed to produce autoinducer-2. *luxs* monitors the amount of biofilm, flagellum movement, and regulates the factors of virulence. The ACCA subunit catalyzes the synthesis of lipids required for inducing the release of AI-2 that are signals produced during quorum sensing.

The high levels of autoinducers initiate a positive feedback loop, switching all bacterial cells into producing quorum signals that create a bioluminescence [25]. The autoinducers compile in the surrounding environment of a bacterial cell as the bacterial population increases [11]. The bacteria sense the growth in the population and begin tracking the changes in the number of cells, which help to change the amount of genetic expression [30]. Quorum sensing between bacterial cells monitors the genes responsible for organizing the activities executed by different bacterial groups. Activities completed through quorum sensing include bioluminescence, spore formation, antibiotic excretion, creating a biofilm, and releasing factors for virulence [11]. Biofilm can increase the movement of pathogenic bacteria into the bloodstream [13].

Therefore, there can exist a significant link between bacterial cell metabolism and virulence. By quantifying the measurement of gene copies for *luxs* while inhibiting *accA* with asRNA, the connection of metabolism with pathogenesis could be demonstrated (Fig 7). *Escherichia coli* cells were grown in 25µM and 5µM of glucose and transformed with antisense pHN1257expressing IPTG-PT-asRNA plasmids. Cell samples with 5µM glucose- (+) asRNA produced a high measure of the *luxs* gene. The gene copy number of *luxs* for (+) glucose/ (+) asRNA, and (+) asRNA were quantified. For the results, the (-) glucose-(+) asRNA sample produced a gene copy number of 199 and 2511 *luxs* copies for (-) glucose- (-) asRNA (n=3, 277 ± 37). For the 25µM glucose-(+) asRNA and 5µM glucose (+), asRNA produced 39,810 and 316,228 gene copies of *luxs*, respectively (Fig 8). The control samples, the (-) glucose-(-) asRNA, (n=3, $658,114 \pm 483,499$) and the 5µM glucose- (+) asRNA (n=3, 171571 ± 204574) exhibited the highest amount of expression for *luxs* with a $p<0.05$ ($p=0.025$) (Fig 8). Table 4 displays the ANOVA results of the qPCR gene copies for the *luxs* gene.

Figure 7 Gene Copies for *luxs* determined through the qPCR measurement

The qPCR procedure measured the number of gene copies for *luxs* A) (-) glucose-(+) asRNA
sample had a gene copy number of 199. B) There were 2511 *luxs* copies for (-) glucose-(-
)asRNA. The 5µM glucose-(+) asRNA yielded 316,228 gene copies of *luxs* D) The 25µM
glucose-(+)asRNA produced 39,810 gene copies.

A)   **B)**

619
620

621   **C)**   **D)**

622
623

Figure 8 The Total Production of *luxs* versus the Control Sample. The polar plot compares
the control sample for the qPCR quantification of the gene *luxs* after exposure to glucose and
antisense RNA in the form of the pHN1257-IPTG-asRNA expression plasmid. A) The control
compared to the asRNA sample, to the 25micromolar-glu-asRNA sample, and versus the 5µM-
glu-asRNA sample equaled the following polar coordinates (radius, theta): $(1x10^6, 180º)$, $(5x10^5,$
$60º)$, and $(6x10^5,68º)$, respectively. B) The control, 5, 25, and the asRNA micromolar samples
production of the *luxs* gene are displayed in the 3D contour graph as an intense red, purple/blue
for the 5µM-glu-asRNA, and purple for the asRNA including the 25µM-glu-asRNA sample. The
control sample yielded a higher measure of *luxs* with $1x10^6$ gene copies $(658,114 \pm 483,499)$.
The sample without glucose but with asRNA expression produced 199 gene copies of *luxs* (n=3,
277 ± 37).

A)

650
651
652   **B)**

ANOVA Results of qPCR Gene Copies

	luxs-glu/ (+) asRNA	*luxs*-glu/ (+) asRNA	(-)glu(-)asRNA	*acc A* (-) asRNA (+) glu/ (-) glu	*accA* (+) asRNA (+) glu/ (-) glu
1	2511	316228	1000000	186	100
2	2000	26915.4	1000000	79	32
3	7411	17783	39810	18	5
4	199	251	151286	7.4	3
n	4	4	4	4	4
X	1363.750	90294.350	547774.000	72.600	35.000
s	1074.010	151028.260	524165.009	81.920	45.306

X_{ave}	127907.940
total	19	1798315304447.048

source	df	SS	MS	F	P-value
treatments	4	905636341810.108	226409085452.527	3.8044	0.0250
error	15	892678962636.940	59511930842.463		
total	19	1798315304447.04			

667 **ANOVA Results of qPCR Gene Copies** the ANOVA results reveal an overall mean of 127907.940
668 for the total number of trials that yielded a total and a sum of gene copies through Real-Time
669 PCR absolute quantification. The sample without glucose and antisense RNA, (-) glucose-(-
670)asRNA, produced the highest amount of gene expression, for *luxs* and *accA* with 547774.000 as
671 a mean of the total number of gene copies yielded from the qPCR analysis (p=0.025).

The 5µM glucose- (+) asRNA sample expressed more of the *luxs* gene than the 25µM sample. Wang et al., (2005) found that adding 0.8 percent glucose to their bacterial culture and growth medium increased the activity at the promoter site of the *luxs* gene. A glucose concentration of 5µM results in a normal exogenous osmolarity surrounding bacterial cells within the microflora in the gut of the lower digestive tract [31]. We expected the overly saturated cells at 25µM to elevate the gene expression of *luxs*. However, the excess saturation of glucose at 25µM did not result in the amplified gene expression of *luxs*. Jesudhasan et al. (2010) proved that higher levels of autoinducer-2 did not lead to the increased gene expression of *luxs* in *Salmonella typhimurium* when cultured in high concentrations of glucose [29].

Glucose affected the rate of transcription, increased the production of nucleic acids, but the inhibition of *accA* with asRNA significantly suppressed gene expression. From the control to the 25 µM glucose exposure, bacteria cells transcribed DNA at an amplified rate (Fig 9). As the glucose concentration increased to 50 µM, the rate of transcription reached a sustained equilibrium with no new nucleic acid production or activity as the concentration approached 200 µM. The samples expressing asRNA inhibition of *accA* produced 16% of the total yield of nucleic acid. Samples not expressing asRNA inhibition yielded 83% of the total quantity of nucleic acid.

Moreover, by transforming *Escherichia coli* bacterial cells with IPTG-PT-asRNA inducible vectors, which targeted the gene *accA,* the gene expression of *luxs* gene also decreased. Because glucose delays the movement of the *luxs* mutant strain with bacterial overpopulation but does not inhibit bacterial growth, each (+) glucose- (+) asRNA bacterial colony appeared smaller and defected. Osaki et al. (2006) showed that *luxs* mutants could be smaller in diameter with 8.0 mm versus 12.3 mm in its wildtype [32]. The bacterial colonies expressing antisense RNA (5mm) were a smaller size versus cells without antisense RNA transcription (8mm) of *accA.* The cell samples with (+) asRNA transcription were found by many previous research studies to appear smaller in size[23].

Bacterial samples transformed with IPTG-PT-asRNA inducible pHN1257 plasmids and designed to inhibit the *accA* gene displayed the least measure of *luxs* expression. The (-) glucose- (+) asRNA sample exhibited the lowest amount of *luxs* expression of 199 gene copies (225 ± 37). As a result, the mutated *luxs* gene exhibits less expression that causes the biofilm to appear thinner, looser, and displaying an appearance of bacterial cells with defects. Lux-S forms a thicker and more viscous biofilm, which is a result of many nucleic acids released into the extracellular matrix of the biofilm.

Figure 9 The effect of glucose on the rate of transcription, inhibition with asRNA, and the
frequency of Nucleic Acid production. A) From the control to the 25 µM glucose exposure,
bacteria cells transcribed DNA at an amplified rate. As the glucose concentration increased to 50
micromolar, the rate of transcription reached a sustained equilibrium with no new nucleic acid
production or activity. B) The asRNA caused the gene inhibition of *accA.* The samples
expressing asRNA inhibition of *accA* produced 16% of the total yield of nucleic acid. Samples
not expressing asRNA inhibition yielded 83% of the total quantity of nucleic acid.

717
718 **A)**

719
B)

The ample amounts of DNA are released to maintain a more solid macromolecular matrix
and consistency of the biofilm [33]. Lux-S is required for AI-2 production and for regulating
gene expression in the early-log-growth phase. Pathogenic bacteria depend on biofilm formation
to attach to epithelial cells and tissues, spreading infectious diseases. Pathogenic bacteria infect
host cells by accumulating AI-2, exogenously, which then increases the amount and consistency
of the formation of biofilm [34]. The gene *luxs* codes for the flagella complex, biofilm creation,
and controls virulent factors. The *luxs* gene, when it is more expressed, causes the spread of
virulent bacteria through increasing the motility and movement of pathogenic bacteria. More
Lux-S expression, as a result of mutations in the *luxs* gene, produces increased amounts of
biofilm, assisting pathogenic bacteria to invade the mucosal layers and the epithelial cells of its
host, developing into a systemic infection.

The autoinducer-2 that accumulates monitors cell growth by permitting bacterial cells to
sense the excess AI-2 and then terminate population growth. The excess AI-2 distributes quorum

signals between bacterial cells, which notifies cells of limited nutrients, surface area, or space.
Autoinducer-2 requires the genetic expression and translation of *luxs* (Fig 8). When Lux-S and
autoinducer-2 are less regulated and overexpressed, infectious bacteria can propagate in stressful
environments with high acidity and salinity [27]. Kendall et al. (2008) demonstrated the ability
of *S. pyogenes* to adapt to acidic conditions as a result of an unregulated Lux-S/AI-2 system [25].

The *Vibrio fischeri* process of quorum sensing differs drastically compared to the
Escherichia Coli. *Vibrio fischeri* synthesizes AI-2 through an enzyme called an autoinducer
synthase or the LuxI that produces a hormone class of acyl-homoserine lactones, AHL, which is
the autoinducer $3OC_6$-homoserine lactone. In *Vibrio fischeri*, the AHL binds to the autoinducer
receptor called Lux-R for initiating a transcriptional activator of DNA [35]. After AHL is
produced, it passes through the cell's plasma membrane as the number of bacterial cells
increases, and then it stops diffusing after cell density reaches a threshold. When the AHL is
attached to the LuxR complex, the operon is activated, transcribing the genes that code for the
enzyme luciferase [35]. The LuxR-AHL bond releases, into the periphery of the cell, high levels
of quorum sensing molecules that stimulate the cell to cell communication between bacteria
species [31].

The *Vibrio fischeri* process of quorum sensing immensely differs in comparison to
Escherichia Coli because *Escherichia Coli* and many gram-negative bacteria regulate the influx
and output of autoinducer-2. In *Escherichia Coli*, the transporter complex called LsrABCD
regulates the uptake of autoinducer-2. The repressor of LsrABCD and the LsR is a kinase that is
a part of the quorum-sensing system of AI-2. The cyclic AMP/cyclicAMP receptor proteins
regulate the lsr operon. The expression of cyclic AMP/cyclic AMP receptor proteins occurs with
the positioning of *lsrK* and *lsrR* upstream of the lsr [36]. Lsrk that is a kinase located in the
cytoplasm phosphorylates AI-2 that then attaches to the *lsr* repressor. The activated and attached
AI-2 suppresses the *lsr* repressor LsrR. After the activity of the *lsr* repressor is inhibited, the
release of AI-2 increases via the autoinducer-activated expression of the Lux-S protein.

The development of biofilm that initiates infection requires the activation of the luxs
gene. Its excessive amplification and expression form a denser texture of biofilm [33]. Lux-S
monitors virulence through regulation of the process for generating biofilm in the nasopharynx in
mice with pneumonia, *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. Flagella expression increases with *luxs*
mutation in the lungs and bloodstream. The *luxs* mutants can infect the lungs or the bloodstream
more rapidly than its wild-type strain [35]. Flagellin increases inflammation by activating Toll-
Like Receptor Signaling or TLR5 pathways, which translates pro-inflammatory genes within the
Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase or the MAPK pathways. Flagella modulates virulence and
pathogenesis by allowing more rapid motility of bacteria, infecting the colonization of host cells,
and assisting infectious bacterial cells into entering the mucosal layers [37].

Pathogenic bacteria determine the level of autoinducer signals specific for their species.
Pathogenic bacteria require a specific order and assortment of virulent genes to cause infection.
For example, the different residues or R-groups of amino acids within autoinducers bind to the
LUXR protein receptors in a conformation specific to the amino acid side chains within the
binding sites. The orientation of the AI to protein receptor binding sites produces varied types of
side-chain lengths and amino acid substitutions [38]. For example, pathogenic bacteria as
Enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* EPEC release intraspecies-type of signals that help to

colonize the small intestines because the small intestines are void of much commensal
microflora. The Enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* or EHEC pathogenic form of *Escherichia*
coli conducts signals with other diverse bacterial cell types and with host cells. The EHEC
communicates through quorum sensing with other colonic bacteria cells. The EHEC infects
epithelial cells through activating the genes called the Locus of Enterocyte Effacement or LEE.
The genes of LEE are required for A/E lesions to form when EHEC cells attach to and efface
from epithelial cells, amplifying the level of pathogenicity [35].

When the production of Lux-S is blocked, the intensity of disease-causing bacteria
changes. For example, the mutation of *luxs* in *the Haemophilus influenzae* increases virulence
that causes middle ear infections [39-Vidal]. The *A. pleuropneumoniae* and *M. haemolytica*
bacteria have autoinducer mutations that lessen virulence in mouse models. When inhibiting the
luxs gene, a reduction of pustule-creation occurs as compared to the parental wild type strain
[39]. However, autoinducer 3 is not dependent on the expression of the *luxs* gene. The *luxs*
genetic mutants impede the production of AI-3 [22-24]. As a result, the pathogenic Escherichia
coli, EHEC, collects signals from host cells in the form of hormones known as epinephrine. The
AI-3 can communicate with the transmembrane protein of the host cell called Qsec [40]. The two
components for quorum sensing also include Las and RhI that are quorum systems consisting of
activator proteins. The activator proteins direct the synthesis of the autoinducers. The proteins
called Las and RhI turn genes on or off based on the density of bacterial populations, sensing the
accumulation of autoinducers [41].

The bacteria called *P. aeruginosa* secretes two types of autoinducers called N-3-
oxododecanoyl, a homoserine lactone, (3-oxo C12-HSL), and N-butyryl-L-homoserine lactone
(C4-HSL). These autoinducers released can cause virulence in people infected by *P. aeruginosa*
by supplying virulent factors that help colonize and spread the infection [41]. Therefore, the
results present significant data for the effect of glucose and genetic inhibition of *accA* on the
production of the *luxs* gene, an essential component of bacterial virulence. The data demonstrates
that the genetic changes, as inhibiting the *accA* gene with antisense RNA, and the availability of
nutrients such as glucose, affected the production of *luxs*. Inhibition of the *luxs* gene can reduce
biofilm formation and decelerate the motility of bacteria, and then the lessened motility can
impede the spread of pathogenic bacteria.

ANTIBIOTIC RESISTANCE TEST

An antibiotic resistance test was performed to determine the susceptibility of antibiotics
from bacterial samples expressing antisense RNA inhibition of the gene *luxs*. The bacterial
samples expressing the pHN1257-asRNA-*accA* gene suppression were predominantly
susceptible to the antibiotic's tetracycline, carbenicillin, and chloramphenicol. Disks (N=64)
consisting of each of the three antibiotics were placed unto agar plates divided into 8 sections
(Fig 10). The zone of inhibition was measured in millimeters (Fig 11). For the experimental
group (with asRNA) the median was 20 mm for the measurement of the zone of inhibition.
Control groups (without asRNA) were mainly resistant to the antibiotics with a median of 6 mm
(Table. 5). A zone of inhibition or ZOI that measures less or equal to 14 for the tetracycline
antibiotic disks is considered resistant. The ZOI between 15-18, equal to, or greater than 19, are
intermediate or resistant to tetracycline, respectively. For chloramphenicol, a ZOI equal to/less
than 12, between 13-17, and equal to/less than 18 indicates resistance, intermediate

susceptibility, and susceptibility. The antibiotic carbenicillin demonstrates resistance,
intermediacy, and susceptibility at ZOIs of less than or equal to 19, between 20-22, and greater
than or equal to 23, respectively. Bacteria transformed with pHN1257-(+)asRNA-*accA* were
more susceptible to the antibiotic's tetracycline, carbenicillin, and chloramphenicol. Fifty-nine
percent of the experimental (+)asRNA-*accA* samples were sensitive to tetracycline,
chloramphenicol, and carbenicillin (21±4, SEM=0.7). The (+)asRNA-*accA* treatment group
displayed an intermediate sensitivity of 28% as 3% were resistant to antibiotics (13.75±10,
SEM=1.8). The control exhibited more resistance with 25%, 12.5%, and 53% of susceptibility,
intermediate, and resistance, respectively. The (+)asRNA-*accA* treatment group had an 82%
percent difference in susceptibility compared to the (-)asRNA-*accA* control group. The control
group showed a 77% difference in resistance when compared to the (+)asRNA-*accA*
experimental group (*p*=0.000554). A paired t-test was performed yielding a t-score of 3 and the
degrees of freedom equaling 31. The t-score and the DF were used to calculate the p-value.

The bacteria of *S. Aureus* translate a lysine at its 164th genomic position, forming an *S.*
Aureus that is resistant to the antibiotic called thailandamide. The thaillandamide also denatures
and deactivates the acetyl-CoA carboxylase enzyme with the ACCA transferase subunit. The
bacterium of *Salmonellae* also produces a mutation of the gene for *accA*. Other antibiotics as
capistruin and malleilactone are novel synthetic antibiotics that inhibit the transformation process
between bacterial cells. This blockage of bacterial transformation causes more production of
antibiotics. Another antibiotic called bactobulin can bind to and inhibit luxI-luxR proteins that
enable bacterial cell to cell communication through quorum sensing [42]. A molecule is released
that blocks ribosomal bacterial translation when the LuxI-R binds to the bactobulin. The
capistruin impedes RNA transcription by blocking the activity of RNA polymerase. The
malleilactone affects the release of quorum sensing molecules. Therefore, there is a need for
designing the types of novel bacterial antibiotics that can target the metabolic processes of
pathogenic bacteria. It is possible to conjoin the genetics that signal and regulate metabolic
processes with antibiotic development. The metabolic processes of bacteria exhibit a strong
complementary relationship with antibiotic resistance.

The bacterial uptake of antibiotics increases when the metabolic processes are regulated.
The element of carbon alone serves to induce the degradation of pathogenic bacteria by
amplifying antibiotic sensitivity. When carbon is present in the extracellular matrix of bacteria,
an increase in the protonmotive force or PMF increases with more NADH activity. The TCA
cycle is activated during the raised activity of NADH and PMF. For example, A new bacterium
called *V. natriegens* was used to quantify the effects of the influx and changes of glucose
molecules on bacterial metabolism. The measurements occurred during the exponential growth
stage of *V. natriegens*. Researchers labeled glucose molecules with Carbon-13. They collected
the data and fitted the results of the concentrations of acetate, the RNA ribose sugars, and the
Carbon-13 labeled glucose to a graphical linear regression model.

They found that there was an elevated level of glyosidic activity at 80± 0.5 and the
activity of phosphoglucose isomerase combined with glyceride 3-phosphate dehydrogenase
action increased with a standard deviation of 169 ± 1. There were also many alterations
demonstrated in the TCA cycle with a standard deviation of 17 ± 1 for the synthase of citrate and
for ketoglutarate a standard deviation of 7 ± 0.4 was measured [43]. The *V. natriegens* showed
that 50% of its energy, from glucose altered into ATP, was donated to the process of glycolysis

that aided the TCA cycle to produce 40% more energy. *V. natriegens* can also be used like *E.*
coli for cloning procedures that study the genetics of bacterial metabolic genes. Like *E. coli*, *V.*
natriegens use their energy from ATP production through the TCA cycle to proliferate its cells,
regulate its homeostasis, and to transport its metabolites as substrates. A test based on a list of
criteria for antibiotic resistance is also needed to measure and diagnose antibiotic resistant
bacteria in the environment [44]

TABLE 5 Antibiotic Resistance Test and the Diameter of the Zone of Inhibition

TET[1]- (+)asRNA-*accA* ***[2]* ZOI[3] (mm)		TET- (-)asRNA-*accA* ****[4]* ZOI (mm)	
21	S[5]	21	S
17	I[6]	24	S
17	I	20	S
20	S	16	I
21	S	17	I
19	S	16	I
20	S	17	I
18	I	14	R[7]
Carb[8]- (+)asRNA-*accA* ZOI (mm)		Carb- (-)asRNA-*accA* ZOI(mm)	

[1] Tetracycline
[2] **= Mean (21), SD(4), & SEM(0.7)-antisense
[3] ZOI=Zone of Inhibition
[4] ***=Mean (14), SD(10), & SEM(1.8)-control
[5] S=Susceptible
[6] I=Intermediate
[7] R=Resistant
[8] Carbenicillin

19,28	R, S	29, 6	S, R
21,21	I, I	6,6	R, R
20,23	I, S	6,31	R, S
17,22.5	R,	6,29	R, S
21,35	I, S	35,6	S, R
23,27	S, S	6,6	R, R
22,25	S, S	6,29	R, S
26,28	S, S	34,6	S, R
Chlor[9]- (+)asRNA-*accA* ZOI (mm)		Chlor- (-)asRNA-*accA* ZOI(mm)	
17	I	6	R
15	I	6	R
20	S	6	R
18	S	6	R
18	S	6	R
19.5	S	6	R

---

[9] Chloramphenicol

20	S	6	R
18	S	6	R

Antibiotic Resistance Test and the Diameter of the Zone of Inhibition The zone of inhibition
was measured in millimeters. Bacteria cultured in LB broth were pipetted unto the plates and
spread with a sterile swab. The diameter of ZOI that produced no bacterial growth encompassing
the antibiotic disks were measured. Disks that contained tetracycline, carbenicillin, and
chloramphenicol were placed unto nutrient agar plates. The data collected for the zone of
inhibition was compared to an antibiotic resistance chart to determine the antibiotic susceptibility
of bacteria expressing asRNA for the gene *accA*. Bacteria transformed with pHN1257-
(+)asRNA-*accA* were more susceptible to the antibiotic's tetracycline, carbenicillin, and
chloramphenicol. Fifty-nine percent of the experimental (+) asRNA-*accA* samples were sensitive
to tetracycline, chloramphenicol, and carbenicillin (21±4, SEM=0.7). The (+) asRNA-*accA*
treatment group displayed an intermediate sensitivity of 28% as 3% were resistant to antibiotics
(13.75±10, SEM=1.8). The control exhibited more resistance with 25%, 12.5%, and 53% of
susceptibility, intermediate, and resistance, respectively. The (+) asRNA-*accA* treatment group
had an 82% percent difference in susceptibility compared to the (-)asRNA-*accA* control group.
The control group showed a 77% difference in resistance when compared to the (+) asRNA-*accA*
experimental group (*p*=0.000554).

FIGURE 10 Zone of Inhibition for Antibiotic Susceptibility Test Bacteria that were
transformed with pHN1257-(+)asRNA-*accA* showed more susceptibility to the antibiotic disk,
containing tetracycline, chloramphenicol, and carbenicillin. Twenty-seven of the total 64
samples were susceptible antibiotics. Eighteen of the samples were resistant as 13 were only
intermediate. The ratio of (+)asRNA-*accA* to (-)asRNA-*accA* equaled 3:2. B) Within the range
of 20 to 22 mm of the ZOI for the (+)asRNA-*accA* expressing bacteria, the antibiotic
susceptibility showed a density of 0.01515. The control displayed less susceptibility at a 20 to 30
mm ZOI with a density of 0.007.

A)

Control

910
911 **(+)asRNA-*accA***

912
913 **CHLOR**   **CARB**   **TET**

915 **B)**

CONCLUSION

The overall purpose of the study was to confirm and identify the gene *accA* as a possible target for blocking bacterial antibiotic resistance. Through examining the role of *accA,* a link between bacterial cell metabolism and virulence was attempted and demonstrated. A cumulative perspective, integrating bacterial cell metabolism via the ACCA subunit with bacterial pathogenicity, may provide possible metabolic targets for designing new and potent antibiotics. We reviewed in this study, an ability to design antibiotics that target bacterial cell metabolic genes as *accA,* the binding sites of the ACCase enzyme, and the fatty acid synthesis pathway. Cell metabolism can reduce the formation of bacterial cell biofilm needed for spreading pathogenic bacteria by targeting the binding sites of the ACCase enzyme that limits the activity of the fatty acid cycle. The potent condition of a bacterial population's metabolic state can prevent bacterial susceptibility to antibiotics [16]. The results of decreased RNA expression and cell growth in the presence of antisense RNA inhibition of *accA* may serve as a model for blocking microbial metabolic processes that contribute to antibiotic resistance [45].

Escherichia coli cells were cultured in high glucose to a control concentration and showed a decreasing range of gene expression for the target gene, *accA.* The observation of the bacterial cells, grown in an increased amount of available glucose, showed the highest measure of transcription for *accA.* The effect of glucose extracellular of the bacteria, on the concentration of RNA, was measured by modulating the glucose concentration gradient. We determined the level of transcription for the intracellular molecules as the *accA* gene through qPCR. The *accA* gene was inhibited with antisense RNA, using an IPTG-inducible vector, pHN1257. There was a 138 percent difference in gene expression between cells transformed with antisense pHN1257 versus cells negative for antisense RNA during in vitro transcription. The re-engineered pHN1257 plasmid expressed antisense transcription in vitro (Fig 1). Also, treating bacteria cells that were transformed with asRNA for inhibiting *accA* demonstrated an increased bacterial susceptibility to the antibiotics of tetracycline, carbenicillin, and chloramphenicol.

The constructed plasmid of PHN257 consisted of flanking inverted repeats for the target DNA, rebuilding a paired double-stranded RNA terminus that inhibited the transcription of *accA.* The qPCR results confirmed the inhibition of *accA.* The qPCR results yielded 63 ng/µL for *accA* (+)asRNA versus 422 ng/µL for *accA* with (-)asRNA. The qPCR absolute quantification method determined the total sum of gene copies for luxs. By increasing the glucose concentration in increments, Wang et al. (2005) found that glucose increased the levels of autoinducer-2 of the culture as well [46]. The observations displayed the RNA concentration for bacterial cells, expressing asRNA of *accA,* included a concentration of 279.28 ng/µL. The miRNA concentration of the cells with asRNA measured to 240.90 ng/µL.

Hydrolysis of a glucose molecule into two pyruvate molecules catalyzes the fatty acid cycle. The acetyl-CoA Carboxyl transferase or the ACCA alpha subunit of the acetyl-CoA carboxylase complex, AccABCD, transfers a carboxyl group from the pyruvate to the acetyl-CoA to form malonyl-CoA. The malonyl-CoA enters the fatty acid synthesis pathway, elongating fatty acids into long-chain fatty acids of phospholipids necessary for structuring plasma membranes and for forming the initial extracellular matrix. The microcolonies attach, aggregate, and accumulate in the extracellular matrix. As the colonies accumulate into large populations, a release of autoinducer-2 molecules is triggered, causing certain bioluminescence

through an exchange of cell-to-cell quorum-sensing signals. The release of autoinducer-2 signals
cells to increase population growth or to preempt it. The release of AI-2 requires the gene
expression of *luxs*. The excess AI-2 distributes quorum signals between bacterial cells, which
notifies cells of limited nutrients, surface area, or space. If bacterial cells continue to accrue,
more biofilm is produced within the extracellular matrix, resulting in the dispersion of bacterial
cells. Biofilm is a potent virulent factor. Pathogenic bacteria can disperse from and cause a
systemic infection through excess biofilm production.

Also, the microflora can communicate with the host cells of the gastrointestinal tract
through a variety of chemical idioms [47]. A group of chemical molecules for bacterial language
expression and transfer includes homoserine lactones that are the most heavily studied. When a
bacterial infection occurs, homoserine lactones form and interrelate with the immune system
[48]. The rapid motility of spreading bacterial infection requires an increased release of quorum-
sensing signals that produce more biofilm. The production of biofilm induces resistance to
antimicrobial peptides. Biofilm maintains an intensive resistance to antibiotics as multi-drug
resistant pumps utilize biofilms for excreting antibiotics from bacteria. Mutations present in
genes that are a part of the metabolic pathway cause bacteria to become more susceptible to
antibiotic exposure.

The results supported the hypothesis. When decreasing the glucose concentration, while
suppressing the *accA* gene, led to an observed decrease in *luxs* expression. The author
hypothesized that decreasing the sum of glucose and suppressing *accA* gene expression would
decrease the expression of the *luxs* gene. The *luxs* gene is vital for quorum sensing and releasing
autoinducer-2. Increased expression of Lux-S produces more AI-2, which induces and initiates
quorum sensing between bacterial cells. The increased AI-2 molecules released triggers quorum
sensing for the amplified production of biofilm. Biofilm formation allows more pathogenic
bacteria cells to attach, proliferate, detach, and spread into a systemic infection. The bacteria
transformed with (+)asRNA-*accA*, for inhibiting *accA*, displayed antibiotic susceptibility to the
tetracycline, carbenicillin, and to the chloramphenicol. Targeting the *accA* gene, through its
inhibition, can increase the antibiotic sensitivity of resistant bacteria.

The *accA* gene translated into the acetyl-CoA carboxyl transferase enzyme transfers a
carboxyl group from pyruvate to the acetyl-CoA to form a malonyl-CoA. More glucose induces
more production of the acetyl-CoA carboxyl transferase. Increased glucose also causes more AI-
2 quorum-sensing signal molecules to accumulate. The malonyl-CoA enzyme is vital for
catalyzing the biosynthesis of long-chain fatty acids. The long-chain fatty acids are the building
blocks for biofilm formation. Therefore, a decrease of biofilm production can occur due to the
limited enzymatic activity of the ACCA transferase subunit via *accA* gene inhibition.

However, in the presence of glucose with antisense RNA transcription, *Escherichia coli*
cells continued to produce amplified amounts of *luxs* with 316,228 copies measured through
qPCR when enhanced with 5µM of glucose (n=3, 171571± 204574). The limitations of this
study include the contradictory results of the 5µM glucose-(+) asRNA sample for *luxs*. Adding a
5µM concentration of glucose to the LB broth culture increased the gene expression of *luxs*
during the *inhibition of the accA* gene. These contradictory and unexpected results may show
early signs of reduced efficacy of the inhibition of the *accA* gene in the presence of glucose.

The 5µM of glucose probably triggered increased expression in the *accD* gene and of the ACCD subunit, which was not inhibited by asRNA. The gene *accA* can continue to act as a potent metabolic target for suppressing antibiotic resistance. This study suggests that designing antibiotics could include targeting metabolic genes and enzymes. During the past 30 years, the formulation and discovery of potent antibiotics have dwindled [49]. However, there is a renewed focus for examining bacterial targets located in metabolic pathways. Zuberi et al. applied CRISPRi technology to inhibit the luxs gene in *E. coli* cells, and they found that biofilm formation depended upon the expression of luxs. They used Crystal violet to assess luxs effects on biofilm. Biofilm formation decreased as the expression of luxs was inhibited [50]. Hall et al. concluded that the cause of antibiotic resistance or the antibiotic sensitivity of biofilms may originate from a genetic source [51]. For future research, studying the gene expression, structure, and function of the ACCase complex needs further elucidation to design antibiotics that target bacterial-metabolic enzymes and genes.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Special thanks are given to the staff from TheLAB in Los Angeles, CA. They were responsible for ordering and providing all materials for this project. Many thanks are given for their commitment to providing tips and solutions for procedures. Thank you to their staff for helping me to frame the structure of this project. They were always available for answering questions and giving much guidance. Thank You!

REFERENCES

1. Calero-Cáceres, W., Ye, M., & Balcázar, J. L. Bacteriophages as environmental reservoirs of antibiotic resistance. *Trends in microbiology*. 2019.

2. Hillman, T. Current methods for inhibiting antibiotic-resistant bacteria by targeting bacterial cell metabolism and disrupting antibiotic elimination through the AcrAB-Tolc efflux pump. PeerJ Preprints 2019;7:e27840v1 https://doi.org/10.7287/peerj.preprints.27840v1

3. Lobanovska, M. and Pilla, G. Focus: Drug Development: Penicillin's Discovery and Antibiotic Resistance: Lessons for the Future? *The Yale journal of biology and medicine*, 2017; *90*(1), p.135.

4. Aminov, R. History of antimicrobial drug discovery: Major classes and health impact. *Biochemical pharmacology*, 2017;*133*, pp.4-19.

5. Landecker, H. Antibiotic resistance and the biology of history. *Body & Society*, 2016; *22*(4), pp.19-52.

6. Verstraeten, N., Knapen, W., Fauvart, M. and Michiels, J. A historical perspective on bacterial persistence. In *Bacterial Persistence* (pp. 3-13). Humana Press, New York, NY.2016

7. Eichenberger EM, Thaden JT. Epidemiology and Mechanisms of Resistance of Extensively Drug Resistant Gram-Negative Bacteria. Antibiotics. 2019 Jun;8(2):37.

8. Rojo-Molinero, E., Macià, M. D., & Oliver, A. Social behavior of antibiotic-resistant mutants
within Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilm communities. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 2019; *10*, 570.

9. Singh, N., Romero, M., Travanut, A., Monteiro, P. F., Jordana-Lluch, E., Hardie, K. R., ... &
Alexander, C. Dual bioresponsive antibiotic and quorum sensing inhibitor combination
nanoparticles for treatment of Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilms in vitro and ex vivo.
Biomaterials science. 2019.

10. France, M. T., Cornea, A., Kehlet-Delgado, H., & Forney, L. J. Spatial structure facilitates
the accumulation and persistence of antibiotic-resistant mutants in biofilms. *Evolutionary*
Applications, 2019; *12*(3), 498-507.

11. Schiessl, K. T., Hu, F., Jo, J., Nazia, S. Z., Wang, B., Price-Whelan, A., ... & Dietrich, L. E.
Phenazine production promotes antibiotic tolerance and metabolic heterogeneity in Pseudomonas
aeruginosa biofilms. *Nature communications*, 2019;*10*(1), 762.

12. Ardon, C. B., Prens, E. P., Fuursted, K., Ejaz, R. N., Shailes, J., Jenssen, H., & Jemec, G. B.
E. Biofilm production and antibiotic susceptibility of Staphylococcus epidermidis strains from
Hidradenitis Suppurativa lesions. *Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and*
Venereology, 2019;*33*(1), 170-177.

13. Soares, A., Caron, F., & Etienne, M. Commentary: tolerance and resistance of pseudomonas
aeruginosa biofilms to antimicrobial agents–how p. Aeruginosa can escape antibiotics. *Frontiers*
in Microbiology, 2019; *10*, 2164.

14. C.M. Waters, B.L. Bassler. Quorum sensing: cell-to-cell communication in bacteria. Annu.
Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 2005;21: 319-346.

15. Zhang, X. X., & Rainey, P. B. Dual involvement of CbrAB and NtrBC in the regulation of
histidine utilization in Pseudomonas fluorescens SBW25. *Genetics*, 2008; *178*(1), 185-195.

16. Martínez JL, Rojo F. Metabolic regulation of antibiotic resistance. FEMS microbiology
reviews. 2011 Sep 1;35(5):768-89.

17. Sonnleitner, E., Valentini, M., Wenner, N., el Zahar Haichar, F., Haas, D., & Lapouge, K.
Novel targets of the CbrAB/Crc carbon catabolite control system revealed by transcript
abundance in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *PloS one*, 2012; *7*(10), e44637.

18. Shu YB, Peng B, Li H, Cheng ZX, Zhang TT, Zhu JX, Li D, Li MY, Ye JZ, Du CC, Zhang
S. Pyruvate cycle increases aminoglycoside efficacy and provides respiratory energy in bacteria.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2018 Feb 13;115(7): E1578-87.

19. K. Ali, and T. Aruna. Recent advances in acetyl CoA carboxylase: a key enzyme of fatty acid
biosynthesis in plants. Intl JAppl Biol Pharmac Technol. 2016;264-277.

20. B.R. tenOever, The Evolution of Antiviral Defense Systems. Cell Host Microbe. 2016;
19:142–149. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2016.01.006.

21. N. Nakashima, T. Tamura. Conditional gene silencing of multiple genes with anti-
senseRNAs and generation of a mutator strain of Escherichia coli. Nucleic Acids Res. 2009;103
(37).

22. Francesc Vinals, Aachim Gross, Xavier Testar, Manuel Palacin, Peter Rosen, Antonio
Zorzano, High glucose concentrations inhibit glucose phosphorylation, but not glucose transport,
in human endothelial cells, Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Molecular Cell Research.
1999; 1450(2)119-129, ISSN 0167-4889

23. Siller, M., Janapatla, R.P., Pirzada, Z.A., Hassler, C., Zinkl, D. and Charpentier, E., 2008.
Functional analysis of the group A streptococcal luxS/AI-2 system in metabolism, adaptation to
stress, and interaction with host cells. BMC microbiology. 2008; 8(1), p.188.

24. R.P. Ferraris, S. Yasharpour, K.C.K. Lloid, R. Mirzayan, J.M. Diamond, (1990) Luminal
glucose concentration in the gut under normal conditions. Am. J. Physiol. 1990; 259, 820–837.

25. M.M. Kendall, D.A. Rasko, V. Sperandio. Global effects of the cell-to-cell signaling
molecule autoinducer-2, autoinducer-3, and epinephrine in a luxS mutant of enterohemorrhagic
Escherichia coli." Infection and Immunity. 2007; 75(10):4875-4884.

26. Labandeira-Rey, M., Janowicz, D.M., Blick, R.J., Fortney, K.R., Zwickl, B., Katz, B.P.,
Spinola, S.M. and Hansen, E.J. Inactivation of the Haemophilus ducreyi luxS gene affects the
virulence of this pathogen in human subjects. Journal of Infectious Diseases. 2009; 200(3):409-
416.

27. Osaki, T., Hanawa, T., Manzoku, T., Fukuda, M., Kawakami, H., Suzuki, H., Yamaguchi, H.,
Yan,X., Taguchi, H., Kurata, S. and Kamiya, S. Mutation of luxS affects motility and infectivity
of helicobacter pylori in gastric mucosa of a Mongolian gerbil model. Journal of medical
microbiology. 2006; 55(11):1477-1485.

28. U. Emilio. Shifts in metabolic hydrogen sinks in the methanogenesis-inhibited ruminal
fermentation: a meta-analysis. Frontiers in Microbiology. 2015; 6(37).

29. Jesudhasan, P.R., Cepeda, M.L., Widmer, K., Dowd, S.E., Soni, K.A., Hume, M.E., Zhu, J.
and Pillai, S.D. Transcriptome analysis of genes controlled by luxS/autoinducer-2 in
Salmonellaenterica serovar Typhimurium. Foodborne pathogens and disease.2010; 7(4):399-410.

30. S.T. Freestone, B.L. Bassler. Bacterial Quorum Sensing: Its Role in Virulence and
Possibilities for Its Control. Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Medicine. 2012; 2(11): a012427.
doi:10.1101/cshperspect. a012427.

31. J. Georg, R.H. Wolfgang. cis-antisense RNA, another level of gene regulation in bacteria.
Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews. 2011; 75(2): 286-300.

32. Vidal, J.E., Howery, K.E., Ludewick, H.P., Nava, P. and Klugman, K.P., 2013. Quorum-
sensing systems LuxS/autoinducer 2 and Com regulate Streptococcus pneumoniae biofilms in a
bioreactor with living cultures of human respiratory cells. Infection and immunity. 2013; 81(4):
1341-1353.

33. Trappetti, C., Potter, A.J., Paton, A.W., Oggioni, M.R. and Paton, J.C. LuxS mediatesiron-
dependent biofilm formation, competence, and fratricide in Streptococcus pneumoniae. Infection
and Immunity. 2011; 79(11):4550-4558.

34. Peng B, Su YB, Li H, Han Y, Guo C, Tian YM, Peng XX. Exogenous alanine and/or glucose
plus kanamycin kills antibiotic-resistant bacteria. Cell metabolism. 2015 Feb 3;21(2):249-62.

35. Vendeville, A., Winzer, K., Heurlier, K., Tang, C.M. and Hardie, K.R., Making' sense of
metabolism: autoinducer-2, LuxS, and pathogenic bacteria. Nature Reviews Microbiology. 2005;
3(5):383.

36. Li, J., Attila, C., Wang, L., Wood, T. K., Valdes, J. J., & Bentley, W. E. Quorum sensing in
Escherichia coli is signaled by AI-2/LsrR: effects on small RNA and biofilm architecture.
Journal of bacteriology, 2007; *189*(16), 6011-6020.

37. Yang, Y., Zhou, M., Hou, H., Zhu, J., Yao, F., Zhang, X., Zhu, X., Hardwidge, P.R. and Zhu,
G. Quorum-sensing gene luxS regulates flagella expression and Shiga-like toxin production in
F18abEscherichia coli. Canadian Journal of microbiology. 2014; 60(6):355-361.

38. A. Jayaraman, K.W. Thomas, Bacterial quorum sensing: signals, circuits, and implications
for biofilms and disease. Annu. Rev. Biomed. Eng. 2008; 10:145-167.

39. Vidal, J.E., Ludewick, H.P., Kunkel, R.M., Zahner, ¨ D. and Klugman, K.P. The
LuxSdependent quorum-sensing system regulates early biofilm formation by Streptococcus
pneumoniae strainD39. Infection and immunity. 2011; 79(10):4050-4060.

40. E.S. James, J.E. Cronan. Expression of two *Escherichia coli acetyl-CoA* carboxylase subunits
is autoregulated. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2004; 279(4): 2520-2527.

41. Tateda, K., Ishii, Y., Horikawa, M., Matsumoto, T., Miyairi, S., Pechere, J.C., Standiford,
T.J., Ishiguro, M. and Yamaguchi, K. The Pseudomonas aeruginosa autoinducer N-3-
oxododecanoylhomoserine lactone accelerates apoptosis in macrophages and neutrophils.
Infection and immunity. 2003; 71(10), pp.5785-5793.

42. Wozniak CE, Lin Z, Schmidt EW, Hughes KT, Liou TG. Thailandamide, a fatty acid
synthesis antibiotic that is coexpressed with a resistant target gene. Antimicrobial agents and
chemotherapy. 2018 Sep 1;62(9):e00463-18.
1142
1143   43. Long CP, Gonzalez JE, Cipolla RM, Antoniewicz MR. Metabolism of the fast-growing
1144   bacterium Vibrio natriegens elucidated by 13C metabolic flux analysis. Metabolic engineering.
1145   2017 Nov 1;44:191-7.
1146
44. Berendonk TU, Manaia CM, Merlin C, Fatta-Kassinos D, Cytryn E, Walsh F, Bürgmann H,
Sørum H, Norström M, Pons MN, Kreuzinger N. Tackling antibiotic resistance: the
environmental framework. Nature Reviews Microbiology. 2015 May;13(5):310.

45. Baquero F, Martínez JL. Interventions on metabolism: making antibiotic-susceptible bacteria.
mBio. 2017 Dec 29;8(6):e01950-17.

46. Wang, L., Hashimoto, Y., Tsao, C.Y., Valdes, J.J. and Bentley, W.E. Cyclic AMP (cAMP)
and cAMP receptor protein influence both synthesis and uptake of extracellular autoinducer 2 in
Escherichia coli. Journal of bacteriology. 2005; 187(6):2066-2076.

47. Telford, G., Wheeler, D., Williams, P., Tomkins, P.T., Appleby, P., Sewell, H., Stewart,
G.S., Bycroft, B.W. and Pritchard, D.I. The Pseudomonas aeruginosaquorum-sensing signal
moleculen(3-oxododecanoyl)-l-homoserine lactone has immunomodulatory activity. Infection
and immunity. 1998; 66(1):36-42.

48. Wilson, J. W., Schurr, M. J., LeBlanc, C. L., Ramamurthy, R., Buchanan, K. L., and
Nickerson, C. A. Mechanisms of bacterial pathogenicity. Postgraduate medical journal. 2002;
78(918):216-224.

49. Polyak SW, Abell AD, Wilce MC, Zhang L, Booker GW. Structure, function and selective
inhibition of bacterial acetyl-CoA carboxylase. Applied microbiology and biotechnology. 2012
Feb 1;93(3):983-92.

50. Zuberi A, Misba L, Khan AU. CRISPR interference (CRISPRi) inhibition of luxS gene
expression in E. coli: an approach to inhibit biofilm. Frontiers in cellular and infection
microbiology. 2017 May 26;7:214.

51. Hall CW, Mah TF. Molecular mechanisms of biofilm-based antibiotic resistance and
tolerance in pathogenic bacteria. FEMS microbiology reviews. 2017 Mar 25;41(3):276-301.

1170

1171

1172

1173

1174

1175

1176

1177

1178

1179