Diffusion tubes: a method for the mass culture of ctenophores and other pelagic marine invertebrates.

Wyatt L. Patry[1]*, Mac Kenzie Bubel[1], Cypress Hansen[1], Thomas Knowles[1]

[1]Animal Care Division, Monterey Bay Aquarium, Monterey, California, United States of America

* Corresponding author

E-mail: wpatry@mbayaq.org

Abstract

The culture of pelagic marine invertebrates, especially the ctenophore *Mnemiopsis leidyi*, has been demonstrated in past studies dating back to the 1960's, however the *mass* culture of delicate pelagic invertebrates has remained elusive. By using a pair of acrylic tubes and enabling water diffusion between them, we have been able to reliably and cost effectively mass culture several genera of ctenophores (*Pleurobrachia*, *Hormiphora*, *Bolinopsis*, *Mnemiopsis*, and *Leucothea*), one species of siphonophore (*Nanomia*) and one species of larvacean (*Oikopleura*). The simple, compact method is effective enough to support two permanent exhibits of ctenophores at the

Monterey Bay Aquarium while minimizing live food culture requirements with the potential to

support further investigation of pelagic marine invertebrate ontogeny, ecology and genomics.

Introduction

Interest in ctenophore culture and other pelagic marine invertebrates as model organisms has

surged recently (Howes *et al.*, 2014; Jaspers *et al.*, 2015, Jaspers *et al.*, 2018, Martí-Solans *et al.*,

2015; Presnell and Browne, 2019). Basic ontogeny of ctenophores has been observed since at

least the turn of the 19th century by Chun, Agassiz and Mayer however maintaining cultures in

the laboratory remained elusive until later in the 20th century. Pioneering efforts in developing

rearing vessels for pelagic culture were made by Wulf Greve with his invention of the

planktonkreisel (Greve 1968 and 1970) and other variations such as the double cuvette design

(Greve, 1975). Greve achieved several generations of *Pleurobrachia pileus* in these studies. The

kreisel was later formalized by Hamner (1990) for use aboard ships and then adapted for use in

public aquariums by the Monterey Bay Aquarium (Raskoff *et al.*, 2003).

The method presented here originated at the Monterey Bay Aquarium in July 2015 to support the

temporary exhibition, *The Jellies Experience*, and while collaborating with W. E. Browne of

University of Miami on *Mnemiopsis leidyi* culture (Presnell *et al.* 2019). After successfully

culturing *Mnemiopsis*, we turned our focus to culturing other ctenophores such as *Pleurobrachia*

bachei and *Bolinopsis infundibulum*. The young cydippids of these species are so delicate that

they do not survive in traditional plankton kreisels. They are susceptible to being damaged by the

seawater flow in the tank and sticking to the outflow screen. Additionally, juveniles do not

survive in standing seawater dishes due to rapid accumulation of ammonia from waste, and high

mortality from being transferred into new seawater. Therefore, we needed a rearing tank with

minimal or no mechanical driven water flow, no outward pressure on the outflow screen, and the

ability to passively exchange new seawater. We experimented with rearing tanks featuring

seawater flow just outside of the outflow screen, such that seawater could passively exchange

without creating outward pressure on the screen. While these methods were effective at

eliminating buildup of nitrogenous wastes and minimizing forces on juvenile ctenophores caused

by seawater flow, the tank shapes were not appropriate for the swimming and feeding behavior

of the animals. In traditional rectangular aquaria, juveniles were observed actively consuming

prey at or near the surface and then sinking to the bottom, where significant biofouling occurs.

Initially we designed and constructed a cylindrical tank with more vertical space for feeding,

minimal biofouling surface area with mesh covering the bottom and secured in a pseudo-kreisel

such that water flowed up through the bottom. This initial design proved somewhat effective,

however juveniles still spent significant time contacting the bottom mesh. An improved design

providing even more vertical space, while reducing the volume of the tank was implemented.

Our final version utilizes a double cylinder configuration in which an elongated inner cylinder is

surrounded by an outer cylinder 'sleeve', affectionately referred to as a "cteno-tube" in the lab.

Over the past four years, we have experimented with this new double cylinder rearing tank

design and have refined a protocol for raising fragile pelagic ctenophores. We observed a

number of physical parameters including; feeding rates, biofouling, and analyzed fluid flow rates

within the 'cteno-tube' in relation to adult ctenophore yield. Here we present these results,

additional variations on the double-cylinder method and provide a best practices protocol for the

mass culture of pelagic ctenophores and other gelata.

Method

Materials and design

Diffusion tubes were constructed using two 0.9 m long acrylic tubes. The outer and inner tubes

had volumes of ~35 L and 28 L with inner diameters of 21.59 cm and 20 cm respectively, so that

one could be placed inside the other (an inner and outer cylinder); each cylinder has a thickness

of 0.3175 cm (Fig. 1). The bottom of the inner cylinder was fitted with 55 µm nylon screening

(Model# M55 PentairAES, Inc.) using silicone sealant (Dowsil 795 or 999-A) (Fig. 1c). This

inner cylinder was then placed on a 3 cm tall riser made of rigid plastic mesh (Model# N1020

PentairAES, Inc.) such that the top of the inner cylinder rises above the outer cylinder (Fig. 1a).

The outer cylinder was glued to a ~1 cm thick square acrylic baseplate, 15-20 cm^2, using acrylic

cement (Weld-On #16 Fast Set solvent cement). Rigid PVC tubing, 0.635 cm inner diameter,

(Part# 48855K41, McMaster-Carr) was placed in the outer cylinder, between tubes, providing

water flow near the bottom. Filtered seawater (5 µm) was pumped through the tubing at rates of

1.1, 2.2 and 4.5 Liters per minute (Lpm) based on ½, 1, and 2 theoretical turnovers of total

cylinder volume per hour. Three identical pairs of diffusion tubes were constructed and placed

on a wet table with recirculating filtered seawater (5 µm) such that water overflowed out of the

outer cylinder and on to the table (Fig. 2a). Diffusion between tubes through the 55 µm nylon

screening was observed by the addition of dye (McCormick blue food color) to the incoming

water. In the 4.5 Lpm treatment, flow was such that a slight suction vortex pulled the ctenophore

eggs into the bottom screen which prevented hatching. To resolve this, a PVC pipe tee fitting

(Part# 4881K47, McMaster-Carr) was added to the bottom of the inflow tube to reduce water

velocity and divert the incoming water away from directly underneath the screen, which proved

successful (Fig. 2b).

Characterizing physical parameters

A set of probes (Hach HQ40d with LDO probe) for measuring pH, dissolved oxygen, and

temperature was deployed within the inner cylinder of each set of tubes and reads were taken

every 12.7 cm at seven discrete depths. Tubes were allowed to equilibrate for at least 24 hours

prior to testing and the probes were lowered slowly to read depths using a simple pulley system.

A minimum settling time of two minutes at each depth was necessary to take accurate reads of

each parameter. We also used a dye to observe mixing/stratification within the tube and found

that the inflow tube caused flow disturbance resulting in water primarily diffusing in from the

opposite side of the tube inflow. In order to account for discrepancies caused by the inflow

tube's position on one side vs. the other, probe readings were established twice, once closest to

the inflow tube and once on the opposite side.

Methods of spawning and culturing

Three adult *Hormiphora californensis* were placed in each inner cylinder (Fig. 3a). To induce

spawning (Fig. 3b), the tubes were shrouded in a black, opaque cover for total darkness

overnight, followed by 2 hours of bright light the next morning (Kessil A360 Tuna Blue LED

aquarium light placed ~5 cm above the inner cylinder) (Pang & Martindale 2008). When eggs

were observed throughout the water column, usually ~12 hours post spawn or 24 hours after

setup, the adults were removed from the tubes using a spoon attached to a short pole or by slowly

swirling them to the surface.

Hatching of the eggs was observed 12 - 48 hours post spawning. Juvenile cydippids were fed on

the first day post hatch (dph). Each tube was given a standardized concentration (~30 nauplii mL$^-$

^{1}) of live *Parvocalanus crassirostris* (Reed Mariculture Inc.) nauplii mixed with live algae

(*Isochrysis galbana*, *Rhodomonas lens*, *Dunaliella tertiolecta*, or *Tetraselmis chuii*, Florida Aqua

Farms, Inc.) in a 1:1 ratio, both cultured in the lab. *P. crassirostris* nauplii were added at the

surface of the tube water column for six weeks using the following regimen: 25 mL 3 times per

week (Sunday, Tuesday, Thursday) for the first 14 days, increasing to 50 mL for the next 14

days, and 100 mL for the last 14 days.

The ctenophores were observed throughout the water column and sampled once a week for six

weeks to be photographed and measured. For photo documentation and measurement, 15 - 30

juvenile cydippids were randomly pipetted out of the water column down to 30 cm depth and

transferred to a glass crystallizing dish filled with filtered seawater for photographing under a

microscope (Zeiss Stereo Zoom V16, Canon EOS Rebel T5i). Each photograph was scaled and

measured using ImageJ 1.52A (Schneider et al., 2012).

When cydippids reached ~0.5 - 1 cm in diameter (~6 weeks post hatch) they were transferred

using widened disposable polyethylene pipettes (tips cut off, Transfer pipets 13-711-7M,

FisherBrand) or small polypropylene beakers (50 - 100 mL Nalgene Griffin low form beaker)

from the diffusion tubes to kreisel tanks (Raskoff et al., 2003) modified to provide for better

longevity of ctenophores. The kreisel screens were replaced with a polyethylene mesh with 4.0

mm openings (Model #N1670 PentairAES, Inc.). Slot openings in the supply boxes were reduced

in width using a single piece of 4 mm corrugated plastic (high-density polyethylene and

polypropylene) instead of two 6 mm pieces (Model #24244SC CorrugatedPlastics.Net). Pumps

were set to provide ~ 10 liters per minute (Lpm) of volumetric flow to the lower supply box and

~7.5 Lpm to the upper box (Model DCW-2000 Jebao, Inc.) using flow meters (Blue-White

Industries, Ltd, Model #F-44500L-8). The upper box provided ~0.8 Lpm of filtered seawater (5

μm) at 13°C. The diet was diversified to include 1 - 2 mysid shrimp (*Mysidopsis bahia*, Aquatic

Indicators, Inc.) per comb jelly, usually ~50 per kreisel and 200 mL of *P. crassirostris* adult

copepods, fed daily around 1200 PST.

Statistical analysis

Mean length data was analyzed using R(R Core Team, 2017). Growth rates were adapted to the

data using the R package Growthcurver(https://cran.r-project.org/package=growthcurver).

Descriptive statistics, Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA and Wilcoxon pairwise comparisons were made

using packages dplyr(https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=dplyr),

ggpubr(https://rpkgs.datanovia.com/ggpubr/) and ggplot2(http://ggplot2.tidyverse.org/).

Results

Physical parameters and depth profiling

Temperature

Under the highest turnover rate (4.5 Lpm), the water column exhibited a significant thermocline

within 12-24 cm of the surface. With a surface temperature between 16-17°C, the surface layer

was approximately 3-4 degrees warmer than the water below 24 cm in depth (Fig. 4a). This was

in agreement with dye observations, which indicated slower turnover in the top half of the water

column under the 4.5 Lpm treatment. Although the other two treatments exhibited less dramatic

thermoclines, the mean surface temperature exceeded the mean bottom temperature by at least

1.5 °C in all three treatments. Temperatures reported are the mean of the measurements taken on

the two opposite sides of the inner cylinder, nearest and farthest from the inflow line. No

significant difference was found between the near and far side measurements.

pH

pH was the most consistent of all parameters measured, ranging between 7.84 and 7.90 across all

flow rates and depths, with the low flow treatment yielding the lowest pH at the surface of the

water column (Fig. 4b). The low and high flow treatments exhibited slightly decreased pH at the

surface layer.

Dissolved Oxygen

Dissolved oxygen measurements exhibited no significant differences with regard to depth, flow

rate, or temperature. The high flow treatment showed slightly decreased dissolved oxygen at the

surface layer in conjunction with decreased pH, however the measurements were within the

standard error of the probe (Fig. 4c).

Culturing success

All three treatments were successful in raising >50 *H. californensis* over a six-week period. The

high flow (4.5 Lpm) treatment yielded the most cydippids (89), followed by medium flow (1.1

Lpm) (87) and low flow (2.2 Lpm) (75). High flow and low flow treatments reported the

following growth curves respectively, $K = 33.705$, $N = 0.026$, $r = 0.574$ and $K = 33.759$, $N =$

0.013, $r = 0.635$. Growthcurver failed to find a best fit for the medium flow treatment. The mean

cydippid length of each treatment varied little for the first 4 weeks of growth (each $p > 0.07$) and

then greatly increased during the fifth week (Fig. 5). Cydippids in the high flow treatment had

the highest mean lengths at the end of the experiment (Wilcoxon signed-rank test, $p = 0.00054$

and 1.3 E-6, low vs. high and medium vs. high respectively), while the medium treatment had

the smallest mean lengths (Fig. 6).

Discussion

Our method utilizes a cylindrical tank shape which maximizes the ratio of water volume to the

surface area of tank bottom, which has several important effects. By minimizing the bottom

biofouling area, contact with these fouled surfaces is greatly decreased, vertical swimming or

migration space for juveniles is maximized and a thermocline is produced where the juvenile

cydippids can feed furthest from the tank bottom. Another key feature of our design is the

passive exchange of seawater through the mesh which generates much lower water velocities

than in a traditional plankton kreisel. These lower flow rates allow delicate juvenile ctenophores

to develop in a very gentle environment while still facilitating the exchange of clean filtered

seawater. In contrast to traditional aquaria for gelatinous zooplankton, our method provides a

superior pelagic environment for young ctenophores and other gelata larvae that is inexpensive

and easily replicable.

Using a higher turnover rate generated a more pronounced vertical thermocline and resulted in

higher survival and significantly faster growth rates among the treatments. It is not clear why the

medium flow outperformed the low flow treatment; perhaps further replication would provide

resolution. Greater concentrations of *P. crassirostris* were observed at the surface of the inner

cylinder in the high flow treatment and this appeared to be optimal for ctenophore feeding,

helping to keep cydippids actively feeding near the surface and away from settled particulates at

the bottom of the inner cylinder. Fouling was limited to minor algal compaction on the bottom

mesh and cydippids did not appear to spend significant time there or become impacted.

Overall, fewer *H. californensis* were produced in this experiment than previous and subsequent

trials with the diffusion tubes. We believe this is due to the restricted quantities of food used.

When feeding quantity was increased from 50 mL to 100 mL at week 5 there was a notable

increase in growth rate across treatments. It is also possible that lower yields may reflect using

fewer parents (n = 3) than previous/subsequent trials (n = 10). Ultimately, we adopted a feeding

regime that doubled the amounts of copepod nauplii (see Conclusions). An F4 population was

achieved shortly after the experiment using 10 parents of the F3 population, yielding >600

cydippids.

Further development of this method has the potential for increased efficiency and enable use of

the tube method with new species of pelagic gelata. Changing cylinder height and width may

allow for more variation in thermocline profiles and vertical space, however taller tubes will

require more volumetric flow to the outer cylinder. For larger ctenophore species diffusion tubes

with larger diameters may have increased utility, for example *Leucothea pulchra* was

successfully cultured to F2 using diffusion tubes 2 m high and 0.35 m diameter with a flow of ~4

Lpm (Fig. 7). *Bolinopsis infundibulum* and *Nanomia bijuga* were grown using an inner cylinder

measuring 0.9 m high and 0.25 m diameter (outer cylinder was 0.9 m high and 0.30 m diameter)

(Fig. 8). Changing the mesh size may impact diffusion rates between the two tubes, thermocline

formation and perhaps be better fitted to a particular target species. For example, a 22 µm mesh

was originally used for *P. bachei* but we found the mesh fouled quickly. When replaced with 55

µm mesh, diffusion and flow performance were enhanced and fouling no longer a problem.

Conclusions

After four years of trials culturing various gelata using different sizes of the diffusion tubes, we

derived a standard procedure for mass culture of ctenophores from 1-10 parental adults using 1

m diffusion tubes (*Hormiphora* and *Pleurobrachia*) and a feeding regime based on results

yielding >100 adult ctenophores in 4-5 weeks was adopted as follows:

I.   To start a culture from wild collected adults, use 1 - 10 adult ctenophores depending on
desired genetic diversity. Adults should be fed a fish or mysid meal 24 hours prior to
spawning and again 1 hour before the dark period begins (Presnell et al., 2019).

II.   To induce spawning, place all adults in an equilibrated diffusion cylinder (for inner
diameter 20 cm, a flow rate of 4.5 Lpm), shrouded in opaque material to ensure complete
darkness for a 4-hour period (or overnight). Uncover the tube and expose to bright
lighting for at least 2 hours (Presnell et al., 2019).

III. When adults have spawned and eggs are visible in the water column, gently remove them
from the tube using ladles or dishes.

IV.   Eggs hatch within 12-48 hours, first feeding should ideally take place within the first 24
hours of hatching to ensure that cydippids are able to begin feeding immediately.

V.   Feeding schedule: see Table 1.

VI.   At 4-5 weeks post hatching the cydippids may be moved to a pseudo-kreisel or kreisel
tank. If cydippids have not achieved a total body size of 0.5 - 1 cm diameter by this time,
their residence in the tube may be increased to as long as 6 weeks. However uniformly
slow growth rates are a sign of a weak hatch, not enough food and/or significant
disruption in water flow/diffusion through the tube. As residence time increases in the
tube, so will impaction of the screen.

Gelatinous zooplankton require physically stable conditions to grow *en masse*. Our tube design
maximizes water exchange through an efficient and gentle diffusion profile, reduced fouling,
increased residence time of live prey, and significant total water turnover with very little or no
mechanical turbulence. The diffusion cylinder method can be a critical tool for laboratory use in

the cultivation of a variety of pelagic gelata species and thus contribute to a range of research in

these fragile organisms on population genetics, zooplankton plastivory, behavior and ecology.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. W.E. Browne for his enthusiastic collaboration, comments on draft manuscript and

support of ctenophore culturing here at the aquarium. The DEEP-C project for creating a joint

ctenophore project that introduced us to Dr. Browne and other ctenophore researchers. Shannon

Johnson for her comments on the draft manuscript. John Negrey for instrument calibration and

support. John Hoech, Paul Clarkson, Marcus Zevalkink, Sarah Halbrend, Evan Firl, the Drifters

gallery staff and volunteers that contributed their time and resources to this project.

Funding

Funding was provided by the Monterey Bay Aquarium Foundation.

References

Dunn CW, Hejnol A, Matus DQ, Pang K, Browne WE, Smith SA, Seaver E, Rouse GW, Obst

M, Edgecombe GD, Sørensen MV, Haddock SHD, Schmidt-Rhaesa A, Okusu A, Kristensen

RM, Wheeler WC, Martindale MQ, Giribet G. 2008. Broad phylogenomic sampling improves

resolution of the animal tree of life. Nature 452:745–749. DOI: 10.1038/nature06614.

Greve W. 1968. The☐planktonkreisel, a new device for culturing zooplankton. Marine Biology

1:201–203. DOI: 10.1007/BF00347112.

Greve W. 1970. Cultivation experiments on North Sea ctenophores. Helgoländer

Wissenschaftliche Meeresuntersuchungen 20:304–317. DOI: 10.1007/BF01609908.

Greve W. 1975. The "Meteor Planktonküvette": A device for the maintenance of

macrozooplankton aboard ships. Aquaculture 6:77–82. DOI: 10.1016/0044-8486(75)90090-3.

Gyllenberg G, Greve W. 1979. Studies on oxygen uptake in ctenophores. Annales Zoologici

Fennici 16:44–49.

Hamner WM. 1990. Design developments in the planktonkreisel, a plankton aquarium for ships

at sea. Journal of Plankton Research 12:397–402. DOI: 10.1093/plankt/12.2.397.

Hirota J. 1974. Quantitative natural history of Pleurobrachia bachei in La Jolla Bight. Fish. Bull

72:295–335.

Howes EL, Bednaršek N, Büdenbender J, Comeau S, Doubleday A, Gallager SM, Hopcroft RR,

Lischka S, Maas AE, Bijma J, Gattuso J-P. 2014. Sink and swim: a status review of thecosome

pteropod culture techniques. Journal of Plankton Research 36:299–315. DOI:

10.1093/plankt/fbu002.

Jaspers C, Marty L, Kiørboe T. 2018. Selection for life‐history traits to maximize population

growth in an invasive marine species. Global Change Biology 24:1164–1174. DOI:

10.1111/gcb.13955.

Jaspers C, Møller LF, Kiørboe T. 2015. Reproduction rates under variable food conditions and

starvation in Mnemiopsis leidyi□: significance for the invasion success of a ctenophore. Journal

of Plankton Research 37:1011–1018. DOI: 10.1093/plankt/fbv017.

Kassambara A. 2019. 'ggplot2' Based Publication Ready Plots. Available at

https://rpkgs.datanovia.com/ggpubr/ (accessed on 4 October 2019)

Martí-Solans J, Ferrández-Roldán A, Godoy-Marín H, Badia-Ramentol J, Torres-Aguila NP,

Rodríguez-Marí A, Bouquet JM, Chourrout D, Thompson EM, Albalat R, Cañestro C. 2015.

Oikopleura dioica culturing made easy: A Low-Cost facility for an emerging animal model in

EvoDevo: oikopleura low cost facility. genesis 53:183–193. DOI: 10.1002/dvg.22800.

Pang K, Martindale MQ. 2008. Mnemiopsis leidyi Spawning and Embryo Collection. Cold

Spring Harbor Protocols 2008:pdb.prot5085-pdb.prot5085. DOI: 10.1101/pdb.prot5085.

Presnell JS, Browne WE. 2019. Krüppel-like factor gene function in the ctenophore Mnemiopsis

suggests an ancient role in promoting cell proliferation in metazoan stem cell niches.

Developmental Biology. DOI: 10.1101/527002.

Presnell JS, Bubel M, Knowles T, Patry W, Browne WE. 2019. Multigenerational laboratory

culture of pelagic ctenophores with special focus on the invasive coastal lobate *Mnemiopsis*

leidyi. Nature Protocols, in revision.

R Core Team. 2017. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for

Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. Available at https://www.R-project.org/ (Accessed on 4

October 2019).

Raskoff KA, Sommer FA, Hamner WM, Cross KM. 2003. Collection and Culture Techniques

for Gelatinous Zooplankton. The Biological Bulletin 204:68–80. DOI: 10.2307/1543497.

Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW. 2012. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image

analysis. Nature Methods 9:671–675. DOI: 10.1038/nmeth.2089.

Sprouffske K, Wagner A. 2016. Growthcurver: an R package for obtaining interpretable metrics

from microbial growth curves. BMC bioinformatics. Available at

https://github.com/sprouffske/growthcurver (Accessed on 4 October 2019).

Wickham H. 2016. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-Verlag New York.

Available at http://ggplot2.tidyverse.org (Accessed on 4 October 2019).

Wickham H, François R, Henry L, Müller K. 2018. dplyr: A Grammar of Data Manipulation. R

package version 0.7.6. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=dplyr

Figure 1: Rendering and construction of the diffusion tubes. (A) both tubes are visible, with supply tubing at the top right. (B) outer tube has been removed to reveal the inner tube resting on the riser, made with rigid plastic mesh. Water supply tubing is entirely visible on the right, note how tubing does not reach to the bottom (~15 cm above the bottom plate) to avoid entrainment of eggs into the screen. (C) bottom portion of tube shown with plastic riser. A rubber band may be used to secure the mesh for gluing with silicone sealant, excess mesh can be cut off. Care should be taken when lowering the inner tube to avoid tearing the mesh on the riser. Riser should be cut to the exact diameter of the inner tube so the mesh does not rest on the riser.

Figure 2: Experimental setup. (A) three sets of diffusion cylinders on a wet table. (B) Tee fitting used to prevent entrainment of eggs on screen.

Figure 3: Spawning ctenophores in the tubes. (A) *Hormiphora californensis* spawning in the inner cylinder with a bright LED light. (B) *Pleurobrachia bachei* releasing sperm from the meridional canals beneath the ctene rows.

Figure 4: Depth profiles of each treatment for temperature, pH and dissolved oxygen.
Line is the mean of the two measurements taken on opposing sides of the inner tube.

Figure 5: Total length measured over 35 days. Average (solid line), minimum, and maximum (dotted lines) total lengths of *H. californensis* in low (A), medium (B), and high (C) flow treatments.

Figure 6: Pairwise comparison of means for low, medium, and high flow treatments at week 6.
The high and medium flow treatments ended with significantly greater mean lengths than the low flow treatment. The high flow treatment had the greatest mean lengths.

Figure 7: Taller diffusion tube setup (A) Two meter tall diffusion tubes for rearing *Leucothea pulchra* sitting inside of a 200 L reservoir. (B) three large adults were spawned to produce the F1 generation. (C) thermocline visible at the top of tube, 9 dph (D) various srages of F1 larval *L. pulchra* visible at the surface of the inner tube, 21 dph.

Figure 8: Shorter and wider diffusion tubes setup (A) 30 cm wide diffusion tubes used for *Bolinopsis infundibulum* and *Nanomia bijuga* culture. (B) larval *N. bijuga* at 35 days post settlment visible near the top of the tube (C) mature *B. infundibulum* spawning under bright light, the wider and shorter tube makes it easier to place and remove the adult lobates.