1 Neglecting low season nest protection exacerbates female biased sea turtle hatchling 2 production through the loss of male producing nests.

- 3
- 4
- 5 Catherine E. Hart^{a,b*}, Luis Angel Tello-Sahagun^c, F. Alberto Abreu-Grobois^d, Alan A. Zavala-
- 6 Norzagaray^{c,a,b}, Marc Girondot^f, Cesar P. Ley-Quiñonez^{c,a,b}
- 7
- 8 ^aGrupo Tortuguero de las Californias A.C., La Paz, Baja California Sur, Mexico. E-mail:
- 9 cehart03@gmail.com
- 10 ^bInvestigación, Capacitación y Soluciones Ambientas y Sociales A.C. Tepic, Nayarit, Mexico.

11 ^cInstituto Politécnico Nacional, CIIDIR Unidad Sinaloa, Juan de Dios Bátiz Paredes No. 250,

- 12 Col. San Joachin, C.P. 81101, Guasave, Sinaloa, Mexico.
- 13 d'Laboratorio de Genética, Unidad Académica Mazatlán, Instituto de Ciencias del Mar y
- 14 Limnologia, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mazatlán, Sinaloa C.P. 82000, Mexico
- 15 ^eLaboratoire Écologie, Systématique et Évolution, Faculté des Sciences d'Orsay, Université
- 16 Paris-Sud, 91405 Orsay, France
- 17
- 18 *Corresponding author
- 19

20 Abstract

21

22 In the eastern Pacific, peak olive ridley sea turtle (*Lepidochelys olivacea*) nesting occurs 23 during the warmest months which coincide with the rainy season, yet as nesting takes 24 place year-round, the small proportion of the nests laid during dry-low season are exposed to contrasting environmental conditions. Most of the studies on Pacific coast sea turtles 25 26 have estimated sex ratios produced during the rainy-high season when the majority of 27 conservation activities take place. Thus, dry-low season nests have on the whole been overlooked. Here we compared sex ratios and hatchling fitness for offspring produced 28 29 during the dry and rainy seasons during 2015. We found that protected olive ridley clutches incubated during the dry-low season were exposed to lower temperatures, yielded 30

higher hatchling success, produced 100% male offspring and larger, heavier hatchlings with better locomotor abilities. Our results highlight the critical value of monitoring and protecting sea turtle nests beyond the peak season (when nests can be protected more efficiently) to include low season nests, albeit at much lower densities, but which by yielding higher proportions of males and with greater locomotor capacities may be the key to population viability and adaptation to anthropogenic climate change.

37

38 **1. Introduction**

39

Reproductive seasonality is present across species and phyla. Even in tropical regions
where climatic variations may be less apparent, species maintain some level of seasonal
pattern. In marine species, reproductive seasonality may be linked to marine productivity
(Afán et al. 2015), local environmental features and large-scale environmental cues.

44

In the eastern Pacific, peak olive ridley sea turtle (*Lepidochelys olivacea*) nesting occurs 45 46 during the warmest months which coincides with the rainy season. However, nesting can and does take place year-round, exposing the comparatively small number of nests laid in 47 the dry and cold months to environmental conditions that contrast with those of the 48 49 majority of nests incubating during the summer. For example, incubation temperature and humidity are markedly different between peak and low season. Temperature is one of the 50 51 critical factors for the successful embryonic development of sea turtles (Miller 1985), in 52 part because these species exhibit temperature-dependent sex determination (Mrosovsky and Pieau 1991; Broderick et al. 2000; Charruau and Hénaut 2012). Turtle embryos can 53 develop a thermal tolerance range of between 25°C and 35°C (Howard, Bell, and Pike 54 2014). However, olive ridley clutches can survive higher temperatures (>37.9°C) but only 55 56 over short durations but with detrimental effects on overall emergence success (Maulany, Booth, and Baxter 2012). Olive ridley turtles also present latitudinal variation in reported 57 pivotal temperatures which produce 50 per cent of each sex within a clutch (Costa Rica: 58 31°C (Wibbels, Rostal, and Byles 1998); Mexico: 29.9°C (Sandoval, Gómez-Muñoz, and 59 60 Porta-Gándara 2017). As incubation temperature rises above the pivotal within a sea turtle

clutch, the proportion of females increases to a point of producing all females. The opposite
is true as temperature falls below the pivotal and all-male production can occur in the
lower viable temperature scale. Additionally, rainfall is a factor that varies greatly between
seasons, especially in the tropics. Humidity within the nest environment influences
moisture uptake by embryos, resulting in longer incubation durations and larger hatchlings
(Delmas et al. 2007) and may also affect the sex ratio (Godfrey, Barreto, and Mrosovsky
1996; Wyneken and Lolavar 2015).

68

69 These factors make sea turtles particularly vulnerable to climate change (Fuller et al. 2013; 70 Refsnider and Janzen 2016) which is predicted to not only cause increased incubation temperatures but also in sea level (IPCC 2007). Additionally, storms which are expected to 71 72 become stronger and more frequent will further impact and modify turtle nesting habitat (L. Hawkes et al. 2009; L. A. Hawkes et al. 2013; Fuentes, Hamann, and Limpus 2010; 73 74 Fuentes, Limpus, and Hamann 2011). Nonetheless, a female turtle can influence 75 reproductive success through the choice of nesting location and depth at which she lays her 76 eggs (David T. Booth and Freeman 2006; Santidrián Tomillo et al. 2017). However, even 77 with the existence of female plasticity, sea turtles may have difficulty adapting to rapid climate change (L. Hawkes et al. 2009; Tilley et al. 2019). Olive ridleys may be the most 78 79 adept of sea turtles to cope with environmental change due to their multiple reproductive 80 strategies and observed flexibility in their degree of nesting site fidelity (Tripathy and 81 Pandav 2007) and therefore may be able to choose sites that are less impacted by 82 environmental change and which result in healthy offspring.

83

In recent years, phenotypical variation has been used to study the way changes in abiotic 84 conditions affect hatchling fitness (Fisher, Godfrey, and Owens 2014; Liles et al. 2019). In 85 warmer nests, hatchlings hatch sooner and consequently are smaller as less volk is 86 87 converted into tissue. Smaller hatchlings are slower during the crawl towards the ocean 88 and during initial displacement from coastal zones (David T. Booth and Evans 2011) when 89 compared with their larger counterparts. Larger hatchlings have the advantage of being too 90 large a prey for certain predators. Furthermore, hatchlings must be able to maintain a 24-91 72 hour frenzied swimming period upon entering the ocean. Larger hatchlings which are

92 stronger swimmers than smaller individuals could be more capable of avoiding the large
93 aggregations of predators offshore of the nesting beach. Turtles in poor condition upon
94 hatching have a reduced probability of avoiding predation (D. T. Booth et al. 2004;
95 Wyneken and Salmon 1992; D. T. Booth 2009). The phenotype has also been used to
96 evaluate the practice of nest relocation to hatcheries (Liles et al. 2019).

97

98 Since Mexico's 1990 ban on sea turtle use and consumption, multiple nesting beach 99 conservation programs have been created to protect clutches from illegal take and 100 predation. However, due to limited resources, many sea turtle conservation projects are 101 not able to continually monitor nesting beaches year-round. For species such as the olive ridley that nest along the Mexican Pacific, limits in resources forces conservation programs 102 103 to focus on the rainy season months when nesting is significantly higher (García, Ceballos, 104 and Adaya 2003), leaving nests laid during the dry season without protection. Dry season 105 nests are often not monitored or counted leading to an impression from regional reports 106 that nesting does not occur or is insignificant during this period. Registering dry season 107 nesting is extremely important as their different abiotic conditions may affect hatchling sex 108 ratio, phenotype, and fitness. Also, as sea turtle nesting seasons have been shown to shift in response to changes in temperatures (Weishampel et al. 2004; Pike et al. 2006; Witt et al. 109 110 2010), corresponding changes in phenology may be an adaptive strategy used by nesting turtles as a response to temperature increases due to climate change. 111

112

Nesting at Majahuas beach is part of the Playón de Mismaloya rookery which is notable for being the only known *arribada* rookery to collapse in the late 1970s due to high harvests of nesting females. The rookeries collapse resulted from a 99% reduction in nesting females (Abreu-Grobois and Plotkin 2008), which also resulted in the loss of genetic diversity (Rodríguez-Zárate, Rocha-Olivares, and Beheregaray 2013). Despite conservation efforts, there has been no *arribada* since the collapse. That said, solitary olive ridley nesting density is high in the area (García, Ceballos, and Adaya 2003).

120

Our goals were to 1) monitor nesting during a 12-month period; 2) compare incubation
temperatures for nests incubated during the dry and rainy season; 3) determine if hatching

- success varied between these two seasons; 4) estimate sex ratios produced in monitored
- nests; 5) determine if incubation season had an effect on hatchling fitness and phenotypes;
- and, 6) discuss the conservation implications of the results.
- 126

127 **2. Materials and methods**

128 2.1 Study site

129 Majahuas beach is located in Jalisco between $19^{\circ}50'41"N 105^{\circ}22'40"W$ and $19^{\circ}46'14"N$

130 105°19'38"W on the Pacific coast of Mexico. Majahuas is southernmost 11 km of the Playón

131 de Mismaloya sea turtle sanctuary. A RAMSAR mangrove wetland backs the beach.

132

The dry season lasts up to 8 months from November to June with a rainy season between
July to October. Mean annual rainfall varies between 748 to 1000 mm with a mean
temperature of 25°C (Bullock 1986).

136

137 2.2 Nest collection and incubation

138

We analyzed data collected by the fishing cooperative Roca Negra recorded during beach monitoring activities in 2015. Nests were protected via relocation to a hatchery (see below). We selected 71 nests at random (dry season: N = 37; rainy season: N = 34) for monitoring of incubation temperature and hatching success. Of these nests, 38 hatched and we conducted fitness tests on the hatchlings from these nests (dry season: N=28 nests; rainy season: N=10 nests).

145

146 Nests were collected during nightly beach patrols by either locating the recently laid nest 147 via tracks or by encountering the nesting turtle. On encountering a female, we waited until 148 she entered a trance-like state before taking morphometric measurements. Curved 149 carapace length (CCL) and curved carapace width (CCW) were taken using a metric tape 150 marked in 0.1 cm intervals. CCL was defined as the distance measured between the nuchal

scute and the outer border of the post-central scutes and CCW was taken from the widestpart of the carapace with the tape following the curvature of the carapace.

153

154 On locating a nest, the eggs were carefully removed from the egg chamber and counted. 155 Nest depth was measured by placing a pole across the top of the mouth of the nest and the 156 distance as taken from the pole to the bottom of the nest chamber. For each nest, we 157 recorded the beach section and zone where it was laid (Intertidal (beach face to the berm) 158 = A, Open beach (the berm to the vegetation line) = B and Beach (vegetation line to the 159 dune) = C). Eggs were transferred to a plastic bag and transported to the hatchery using a 160 quad bike located at km 2 of Majahuas beach. Each nest was reconstructed using a manual 161 tree planter to achieve a standardized depth of 45cm and then the nest chamber was 162 formed by hand to imitate the shape of a natural nest made by a female turtle. Eggs were 163 transferred into the artificially dug chamber and a temperature logger (HOBO UA-001-08, Onset USA) was placed in the center of each clutch before being covered with sand. 164 Temperature loggers measured 5.8 x 3.3 x 2.3 cm and were programed to measure the 165 hourly temperature (accuracy of ± 0.5 °C). Meteorological observations (daily maximum, 166 167 minimum and mean air temperature) were obtained from the Universidad Autonoma de 168 Mexico's Biological Research Center in Cuixmala, Jalisco from 1 January 2015 to 31 169 December 2015.

170

171 2.3 Hatchling phenotype and fitness

172

173 We selected 20 hatchlings at random upon emergence to partake in fitness tests and for phenotype measurements. When hatching success was too low to provide a total of 20 174 175 hatchlings, we conducted phenotype and fitness tests on those that were available. 176 Hatchlings were weighed using an electronic balance $(\pm 0.1 \text{ g})$ and their straight carapace 177 length (SCL), straight carapace width (SCW) and carapace depth were measured using an 178 electronic calliper (±0.1 mm). Crawling speed (cm s⁻¹) was recorded by measuring the time 179 taken by each hatchling to crawl along a raceway of 3 m, 100 mm wide dug into the 180 hatchery's sand. We assigned hatchlings that failed to move within 300 s of being placed on 181 the raceway to a failed to crawl category. We installed a LED light at one end of the raceway and care was taken to ensure that the track was flat. The time taken for hatchlings to selfright themselves was measured by placing the turtle upside down on their carapace and taking the time it took to right itself. This was repeated six times for each hatchling. If an individual took more than 60 s for any righting attempt, they were given a 5 s rest period on their plastron before the next attempt. After the tests, hatchlings were returned to the container with their siblings and then released into the ocean.

188

189 2.4 Sex ratio estimation

190

191 We used the R package *embryogrowth* v.6.4 (Girondot and Kaska 2014) to account for the 192 effects of varying field temperatures on the dynamics of embryonic development and 193 correctly identify the dates of the thermal sensitive period (TSP) when gonad 194 differentiation occurred. With this, mean incubation temperatures during the true TSP 195 were estimated for each nest and sex ratios derived using the thermal reaction norm for 196 the species (Abreu-Grobois et al., in review). Sex ratio estimates are presented as mean ± 197 SD unless stated otherwise.

198 2.4 Statistical analysis

199Reported statistics are arithmetic means \pm standard deviation (SD). All statistical were200analyzed using Minitab® 18.1. (Minitab Inc., State College, Pennsylvania, USA).201Kolmogorov-Sminov test was used as a normality test. Statistical test ANOVA with Tuckey's202method were carried out to examine mean differences among neonate fitness data203obtained. A simple linear regression model for correlating the size of the adult females with204number of eggs, and the incubation temperature with effect hatchling morphology. The *p*205*values* of ≤ 0.05 were used to determine significant differences.

206 **2.5 Ethics Statement**

207 Permits were granted in Mexico by Dirección General de Vida Silvestre/Secretaría para el

208 Medio Ambiente y los Recursos Naturales (SEMARNAT). Field Permits:

209 SGPA/DGVS/05366/15.

210

211 **3. Results**

- 212
- 213 **3.1 Nesting**
- 214

215 We registered a total of 1954 nests over 12 months (1st January 2015 - 30th December 216 2015). Nesting occurred year-round with highest levels registered in October when the 217 conservation project relocated 605 nests to the beach hatchery and lowest levels in May 218 (n = 22 nests) (Fig. 1a). The majority of nests (n = 1573, 80.5%) were laid in rainy season 219 while 19.5% of nests (n = 381) occurred during the dry season. Nesting was predominately 220 on beach berm or zone B where 79.3% of nests were laid (n = 1547 nests) (zone A: 7.3%, 221 143 nests; zone C: 13.4%, 261 nests). Proportionally a greater number of nests were laid in intertidal zone C during the dry season (9.0% n=34 nests) than during the rainy season 222 223 (6.9% n = 109). We measured 25 nesting females and found that the mean curved carapace 224 length (CCL) and width (CCW) was 67.6 cm (range: 63–76 cm) and 73.8 cm (range: 68–82 225 cm), respectively (Supplementary table 1). There was no significant relationship between 226 the size of the adult females and number of eggs laid ($R^2=0.26$; p=0.36) or size of hatchlings.

227

228 **3.2 Nest Temperature**

229

Nest temperatures presented significant seasonal differences ($F_{(1,69)}=143.26$; p<0.001) with those incubated during the dry season (29.09°C ± 0.52) being an mean of 3.89°C cooler than those incubated in the rainy season (32.98°C ± 0.58). Temperature within the 71 nests (Table 1) ranged between 22.8°C and 37.8°C. The most frequent temperature interval for dry season nests was 27-28°C with 24% of recorded values, while in the rainy season, the most frequent temperature interval was 33-34°C with 28% of records (fig. 1b).

236

237 Within the hatchery, mid-nest depth temperature was lower than atmospheric 238 temperature with tropical storms and hurricanes causing a visible drop in temperature 239 (Supplemental fig. 1). However, mean incubation temperature within nests was not found 240 to effect hatchling morphology (SCL ($R^2=0.32964$), SCW ($R^2=0.05564$), carapace depth 241 ($R^2=0.32831$), weight ($R^2=0.06795$) or locomotor ability (righting propensity ($R^2=0.01263$) 242 righting time ($R^2=0.01982$) or run speed ($R^2=0.01415$)).

- 243
- 244 **3.3 Sex ratio**
- 245

246 We monitored the temperature inside 71 nests but were only able to estimate sex ratios in

- 247 57 due to 14 nests failing to hatch. All nests incubated in the dry season produced 100%
- 248 male hatchlings, whereas those incubated during the rainy season (hatched nests: n=31)
- 249 were female-biased with all but two nests producing 100% female offspring (Table 1).
- 250

251 **3.4 Hatchling morphology and locomotor performance**

252

253 Hatchling morphology was significantly affected by season, with dry season hatchlings 254 presenting both larger SCL, (Dry: 40.62 mm ± 1.82; Rainy: 40.15 mm ± 2.53; $F_{(1.758)}=7.16$; p=0.008) SCW (Dry: 32.84 mm ± 1.714; Rainy: 32.12 mm ± 2.104; $F_{(1.758)}=20.71$; p<0.001) 255 weight (Dry: 16.23g ± 1.686; Rainy: 14.93g ± 2.317; $F_{(1.758)}=64.55$; p<0.001) than those 256 hatched in rainy season. Significant differences in terrestrial locomotor performance were 257 258 observed between seasons ($F_{(1.758)}$ =60.17; p<0.001), with dry season hatchlings having faster mean crawl speed (0.97 cm s⁻¹ \pm 0.594) than those hatched in the rainy season (0.55 259 cm s⁻¹ \pm 0.359). Rainy season hatchlings also presented slower mean righting response (3.33) 260 261 s \pm 2.11) than those hatched in the dry season (3.87 s \pm 2.41) (Table 2). Overall hatching 262 success was 52.7% and presented a significant difference between dry season hatchling 263 success 74.3% and rainy season hatchling success 24.2% ($F_{(1.1952)}$ =38.08; p<0.001). Data for 264 each nest studied can be found in Supplementary table 2.

- 265
- 266 **4. Discussion**

267

- 268
- 269 Hatchling fitness
- 270

271 Seasonal effects were present in our study with dry season hatchlings having superior 272 locomotor abilities and larger body size and weight than their rainy season counterparts. 273 This is similar to other studies which have looked at the effect of nest temperature finding 274 that cooler nests produce larger hatchlings (Booth, Freeney & Shibata 2013; Maulny et al 275 2013; Wood et al 2014) that may be better equipped (larger carapaces and flippers) to 276 crawl and swim faster than their smaller counterparts from warmer nests (Ischer et al 277 2009). The phenotype and fitness advantages received from cooler incubation 278 temperatures highlights the importance of protecting dry season nests which occur when 279 nesting levels are low, as these nests produce have higher hatching success and the 280 resulting hatchlings may have increased chance of survival as they may be quicker to exit 281 predator rich coastal waters due to their larger size and better fitness characteristics.

282

Temperature is not the only factor that presents seasonal changes. Hatchlings entering the sea at different times of the year can encounter seasonal changes in oceanic circulation. Ocean currents can change in both intensity and direction. Therefore, neonates hatching at different times can end up in vastly different locations and be exposed to different conditions (Mansfield et al. 2017).

288

289 Hurricane season

290

291 Hurricane season runs from May 15th to November 30th in the Eastern North Pacific 292 (NHC), coinciding with peak olive ridley sea turtle nesting activity. The 2015 storm season 293 was particularly active with 22 storms registered for the east Pacific of which 13 were 294 hurricanes, six were tropical storms and three were tropical depressions. Seven storms 295 (Supplementary Fig 1) affected Majahuas nesting beach during this study. This resulted in 296 the loss of hundreds of nests due to beach erosion and wash-out of hatcheries. However, 297 these storms also have the effect of lowering incubation temperatures, which help lower 298 sand temperature in some cases below pivotal temperature. During August and much of 299 September sand temperature remained above 34°C which has been identified as the lethal 300 superior incubation temperature for some olive ridley populations. For example, when the 301 effects of Hurricane Kevin and Linda occurred within the same week a fall in mid-nest

depth temperature of 3°C (35°C to 32°C) occurred taking incubation temperatures out of
lethal limits.

- 304
- 305 Sex ratio
- 306

307 Dry season nests were estimated to be produce entirely male hatchlings, the increased 308 hatch rate and survival of males may help balance out female biased sex ratios at Majahuas 309 beach. Sandoval Espinoza (2012) estimated sex ratios for olive ridleys along the Mexican 310 Pacific coast and found that ratios varied greatly with beaches in Jalisco (Chalacatepec and 311 Playon de Mismaloya) producing 23% male sex ratios. For the Mexican Pacific, they 312 estimated that temperatures would have resulted in male hatchling throughout the study 313 period (July-Dec 2010) with 31% of males in September, 11% in August, 17% in October, 314 20% in November and 19% in December. They did not monitor temperatures in dry season. This is contrary to our results where the 2015 high rainy season temperatures 315 316 resulted in very low levels of male hatchling production.

317

318 When we compare our results with those of a study in 1993 (Valadez González, Silva Bátiz, 319 and Hernández Vázquez 2000) at a beach 5km north of Majahuas we find similar variations 320 in sex ratio with 100% females produced in October and 100% males in December. 321 However, the overall sex ratio of 7:3 in the 1993 in study is not the same as that found in 322 Majahuas during our research. Incubation period in 1993 (Valadez González, Silva Bátiz, 323 and Hernández Vázquez 2000) was 44 to 65 days, which is similar to our results where we 324 recorded the longest incubation duration in February (64.2d) and the shortest in August 325 (44.8d). However, temperature registered in the La Gloria beach hatchery ranged from 326 27°C ±0.10 (December) to 34°C ±0.36 (August) even when considering a higher temperature within nests due to metabolic heat (Sandoval et al. 2011) the 1993 study nests 327 328 would not have experienced the extreme superior temperatures (max 37.8°C) that we 329 registered within clutches. As expected from our 12-month study period, we registered 330 lower temperatures than in the La Gloria study which did not monitor temperature during 331 the dry winter season. Although Valadez-González et al. (2000) only recorded the hatchery

- 332 sand temperature at 12-hour intervals at nest depth but not from within clutches, the study
- allows us to compare our results with data taken two decades ago.
- 334

Benefits of low season nesting for females

336

337 Olive ridleys have been found to present the highest levels of multiple paternity in clutches 338 than in any other sea turtle species, this is especially prominent in *arribada* breeding 339 populations with 92% of nests having two or more fathers which led to the hypothesis that 340 population size has a dominant effect on multiple paternity (Jensen et al. 2006). Yet the 341 benefits of polyandry to female sea turtles have not been identified and multiple paternity was found to result in smaller clutches in Green turtles (Wright et al. 2013). Females that 342 343 nest in times of low abundance are likely to encounter fewer males and therefore, benefit 344 by a lower chance of multiple encounters with aggressive males (Jensen et al. 2006). 345 However, small solitary breeding populations have also been found to present high levels 346 of multiple paternity (Duran et al. 2015) and this could be a result of the low breeding and 347 feeding site fidelity (Plotkin 2010) as well as a result of sea turtle females' ability to store 348 sperm for over a multiple years. During surveys in the Mexican Pacific in 2010, (Zepeda-349 Borja et al. 2017) observed olive ridleys mating only during October (autumn).

350

351 Implications of current conservation effort

352

353 Concentrating effort and money on the peak nesting season may seem the best use of 354 limited funds however nests laid during peak nesting season have lower possibilities of 355 hatching than those laid in the low season due to lethally high temperatures and beach 356 erosion due to storms. When protected from predation in hatcheries, the comparatively 357 small number of nests laid in low season have higher hatch rates and produce male 358 hatchlings which are a rare occurrence during the high rainy season. Although in 2015 the 359 number of nests laid during low season represented just 19.5% of overall nesting, these 360 nests are of high conservation value as they produce the rarer sex and could help 361 population viability. It is important to note that patrols between February and May 2015 362 were limited due to mechanical problems with the projects quad bike on which patrols are

363 made of the 11 km beach. This resulted in shorter foot patrols during dry season and 364 therefore nesting levels may have been higher than those reported here. Despite this, our 365 study highlights the fact that viable nests are laid year-round and that these nests produce 366 valuable male hatchlings. The majority of these nests are left on the beach and are predated 367 by raccoons and coatis during the first night after laying.

368

369 CONCLUSION

370

371 Conservation projects that concentrate effort solely on peak sea turtle nesting season may
372 be inadvertently favouring the production of female hatchlings and leaving male producing
373 nests without protection from illegal take by humans as well as predation by animals.
374 Although it may be tempting to concentrate limited funds to peak season, winter nests are
375 of high value in areas such as Majahuas beach where summer nests do not produce male
376 offspring and are subject to erosion due to tropical storms and hurricanes.

377

Authors' contributions. CEH conceived the study, participated in data collection and analysis and drafted the manuscript; CPLQ carried out the statistical analyses and participated in writing the manuscript; LATS and CEH collected field data; MG analysed the sex ratio data. All authors gave final approval for publication.

- 382
- **Competing interests.** We declare we have no competing interests.
- 384
- Funding. No funding has been received for this article. The article was self-funded by CEH.
- 387 Acknowledgements.
- We are grateful for the support provided by personnel from the fishers' cooperative Boca
 Negra (Cooperativa Pesquera Roca Negra) during our time working on Majahuas beach.
 Jasiel Noé Juárez-Rábago.
- 391
- 392
- 393

394 FIGURE LEGEND

395

Figure 1. (a) Temporal distribution of nests protected at Majahuas beach during 2015 (b)

397 Temperature frequency registered in the centre of hatched clutches during incubation.

398

399 <u>TABLES</u>

400 Table 1. Results summary for data from 71 olive ridley clutches with ranges of incubation temperatures and401 estimated sex ratios (as proportion of males).

402

MJ1Dry18 Feb 61.0 79 0.22 0.0 4.6 (28.3 ± 1.6) MJ2Dry18 Feb 60.1 87 0.95 0.0 4.6 $(23.2.31.2)$ MJ3Dry18 Feb 59.5 100 0.62 0.0 4.5 $(23.7.32.9)$ MJ4Dry16 Feb 62.0 104 1.00 0.0 4.5 $(23.7.32.9)$ MJ4Dry16 Feb 61.6 93 0.85 0.0 5.5 $(23.7.32.9)$ MJ5Dry16 Feb 61.6 93 0.85 0.0 5.5 $(23.7.32.9)$ MJ6Dry16 Feb 61.6 93 0.85 0.0 5.5 $(23.7.32.9)$ MJ7Dry16 Feb 61.6 93 0.85 0.0 4.4 $(22.3.7.32.9)$ MJ6Dry16 Feb 61.6 93 0.85 0.0 4.5 $(23.7.32.9)$ MJ7Dry16 Feb 61.4 103 1.00 2.6 4.4 29.2 ± 2.5 MJ7Dry16 Feb 61.1 85 0.94 0.0 4.4 $(22.8.33.3)$ MJ8Dry16 Feb 62.6 77 0.13 0.0 6.3 $(23.1.30.5)$ MJ10Dry16 Feb 59.9 92 0.92 0.0 4.7 $(24.9.32.4)$ MJ10Dry19 Feb 57.6 157 0.90 1.8 5.2 $(23.4.34.3)$ MJ13Dry 22 Feb 62.5 <th>1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0</th>	1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0
MJ2 Dry 18 Feb 60.1 87 0.95 0.0 4.6 (23.6-32.9) MJ3 Dry 18 Feb 59.5 100 0.62 0.0 4.5 (28.9+2.1) (23.7-32.9) MJ4 Dry 16 Feb 62.0 104 1.00 0.0 4.5 (28.9+2.1) MJ5 Dry 16 Feb 61.6 93 0.85 0.0 5.5 (28.3-33.2) MJ6 Dry 16 Feb 60.4 103 1.00 2.6 4.4 (23.8-34.7) MJ7 Dry 16 Feb 61.1 85 0.94 0.0 4.4 (23.8-34.3) MJ8 Dry 16 Feb 60.3 96 0.85 0.0 4.6 (28.8+2.4 MJ9 Dry 16 Feb 62.6 77 0.13 0.0 6.3 (23.4-34.3) MJ0 Dry 16 Feb 59.9 92 0.92 0.0 4.7 (28.9+2.1) MJ10	1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0
MJ3Dry18 Feb59.5100 0.62 0.0 4.5 $(23.7-32.9)$ MJ4Dry16 Feb 62.0 104 1.00 0.0 4.5 $(23.7-32.9)$ MJ5Dry16 Feb 61.6 93 0.85 0.0 5.5 (28.8 ± 2.3) MJ6Dry16 Feb 61.6 93 0.85 0.0 5.5 $(23.3-33.0)$ MJ6Dry16 Feb 60.4 103 1.00 2.6 4.4 (29.2 ± 2.5) MJ7Dry16 Feb 61.1 85 0.94 0.0 4.4 $(22.8-33.3)$ MJ8Dry16 Feb 60.3 96 0.85 0.0 4.6 $(22.8-33.3)$ MJ9Dry16 Feb 62.6 77 0.13 0.0 6.3 27.8 ± 1.6 MJ10Dry16 Feb 59.9 92 0.92 0.0 4.7 $(24.0-32.8)$ MJ10Dry19 Feb 57.6 157 0.90 1.8 5.2 $(23.4-34.3)$ MJ13Dry 22 Feb 62.5 87 0.67 0.0 6.1 $(24.2-31.5)$ MJ14Dry 24 Feb 59.1 123 0.89 0.0 6.2 28.8 ± 2.3 MJ14Dry 24 Feb 59.1 123 0.89 0.0 6.2 $(23.4-34.3)$ MJ15Dry 24 Feb 59.1 123 0.89 0.0 6.2 $(23.0-31.1)$ MJ14Dry 25 Feb 5	1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0
MJ4Dry16 Feb62.01041.000.04.3(23.2-33.2)MJ5Dry16 Feb61.6930.850.05.5(23.3-33.0)MJ6Dry16 Feb60.41031.002.64.4 29.2 ± 2.5 MJ7Dry16 Feb61.1850.940.04.4 $(23.8-34.7)$ MJ7Dry16 Feb60.3960.850.04.6 $(28.8+3.4)$ MJ8Dry16 Feb62.6770.130.06.3 (27.8 ± 1.6) MJ9Dry16 Feb59.9920.920.04.7 (28.9 ± 2.1) MJ10Dry16 Feb59.9920.920.04.7 (28.9 ± 2.1) MJ11Dry19 Feb57.61570.901.85.2 $(21.4-32.8)$ MJ13Dry22 Feb62.5870.670.06.1 (28.5 ± 1.9) MJ14Dry24 Feb64.2740.500.07.9 (28.2 ± 1.9) MJ15Dry24 Feb59.11230.890.06.2 (28.8 ± 2.3) MJ16Dry25 Feb57.5940.800.05.9 (2333.2) MJ17Dry25 Feb57.5960.850.05.1 (29.1 ± 2.3) (23.9-33.2)MJ17Dry25 Feb57.5960.850.05.1 (29.1 ± 2.3) (23.9-33.2)MJ17 <t< td=""><td>1.0 1.0 1.0</td></t<>	1.0 1.0 1.0
MJSDry16 Feb61.6930.850.05.5 $(23.3-33.0)$ MJ6Dry16 Feb60.41031.002.64.4 29.2 ± 2.5 MJ7Dry16 Feb61.1850.940.04.4 29.0 ± 2.2 MJ8Dry16 Feb60.3960.850.04.6 $(23.8-33.3)$ MJ9Dry16 Feb62.6770.130.06.3 (27.8 ± 1.6) MJ10Dry16 Feb59.9920.920.04.7 $(24.0-32.8)$ MJ11Dry19 Feb57.61570.901.85.2 $(23.4-34.3)$ MJ13Dry22 Feb62.5870.670.06.1 $(24.2-31.5)$ MJ14Dry24 Feb64.2740.500.07.9 (28.2 ± 1.9) MJ15Dry25 Feb57.5940.800.05.9 28.8 ± 2.3 MJ17Dry25 Feb57.5960.850.05.1 (29.7 ± 3.2)	1.0 1.0
MJ6Dry16 Feb60.41031.002.64.4(23.8-34.7)MJ7Dry16 Feb61.1850.940.04.4(23.8-33.3)MJ8Dry16 Feb60.3960.850.04.628.8 \pm 2.4MJ9Dry16 Feb62.6770.130.06.327.8 \pm 1.6MJ10Dry16 Feb59.9920.920.04.728.9 \pm 2.1MJ11Dry19 Feb57.61570.901.85.229.1 \pm 2.7MJ13Dry22 Feb62.5870.670.06.128.8 \pm 2.5MJ14Dry24 Feb64.2740.500.07.928.8 \pm 2.5MJ15Dry24 Feb59.11230.890.06.228.8 \pm 2.5MJ16Dry25 Feb57.5940.800.05.928.8 \pm 2.3MJ17Dry25 Feb57.5960.850.05.129.1 \pm 2.3MJ17Dry25 Feb57.5960.8	1.0
MJ7Dry16 Feb61.185 0.94 0.0 4.4 $(23.8-33.3)$ MJ8Dry16 Feb 60.3 96 0.85 0.0 4.6 $(22.8-33.3)$ MJ9Dry16 Feb 62.6 77 0.13 0.0 6.3 $(23.8-33.3)$ MJ0Dry16 Feb 62.6 77 0.13 0.0 6.3 $(23.8-33.3)$ MJ10Dry16 Feb 59.9 92 0.92 0.0 4.7 $(23.8-31.5)$ MJ10Dry16 Feb 59.9 92 0.92 0.0 4.7 (23.9 ± 2.1) MJ11Dry19 Feb 57.6 157 0.90 1.8 5.2 $(23.4-34.3)$ MJ13Dry22 Feb 62.5 87 0.67 0.0 6.1 $(24.2-31.5)$ MJ14Dry24 Feb 64.2 74 0.50 0.0 7.9 $(23.0-31.1)$ MJ15Dry 24 Feb 59.1 123 0.89 0.0 6.2 28.8 ± 2.5 MJ16Dry 25 Feb 57.5 94 0.80 0.0 5.9 $(23.1-32.9)$ MJ17Dry 25 Feb 57.5 96 0.85 0.0 5.1 $(23.9-33.2)$ 29.1 ± 2.7 25 Feb 57.5 96 0.85 0.0 5.1 $(23.9-33.2)$ 29.1 ± 2.7 25 Feb 57.5 96 0.85 0.0 5.1 $(23.9-32.2)$ 29.1 ± 2.7 25 Feb 57.5 96	
MJ8Dry18 Feb60.3960.830.04.6(22.8-33.3)MJ9Dry16 Feb62.6770.130.06.327.8 ± 1.6MJ10Dry16 Feb59.9920.920.04.728.9 ± 2.1MJ11Dry19 Feb57.61570.901.85.229.1 ± 2.7MJ13Dry22 Feb62.5870.670.06.128.5 ± 1.9MJ14Dry24 Feb64.2740.500.07.928.2 ± 1.9MJ15Dry24 Feb59.11230.890.06.228.8 ± 2.5MJ16Dry25 Feb57.5940.800.05.928.8 ± 2.3MJ17Dry25 Feb57.5960.850.05.129.1 ± 2.3MJ17Dry25 Feb57.5960.850.05.1<	1.0
MJ9Dry16 Feb62.6770.130.06.3(23.1-30.5)MJ10Dry16 Feb59.9920.920.04.7 $\frac{28.9 \pm 2.1}{(24.0-32.8)}$ MJ11Dry19 Feb57.61570.901.85.2 $\frac{29.1 \pm 2.7}{(23.4-34.3)}$ MJ13Dry22 Feb62.5870.670.06.1 $\frac{28.5 \pm 1.9}{(24.2-31.5)}$ MJ14Dry24 Feb64.2740.500.07.9 $\frac{28.2 \pm 1.9}{(23.0-31.1)}$ MJ15Dry24 Feb59.11230.890.06.2 $\frac{28.8 \pm 2.5}{(24.0-33.1)}$ MJ16Dry25 Feb57.5940.800.05.9 $\frac{28.8 \pm 2.3}{(23.1-32.9)}$ MJ17Dry25 Feb57.5960.850.05.1 $\frac{29.1 \pm 2.3}{(23.9-33.2)}$ MJ17Dry25 Feb57.5960.850.05.1 $\frac{29.1 \pm 2.3}{(23.9-33.2)}$	1.0
MJ10Dry16 Feb59.992 0.92 0.0 4.7 $(24.0-32.8)$ MJ11Dry19 Feb57.6157 0.90 1.8 5.2 $(24.0-32.8)$ MJ13Dry22 Feb 62.5 87 0.67 0.0 6.1 (28.5 ± 1.9) MJ14Dry24 Feb 64.2 74 0.50 0.0 7.9 (28.2 ± 1.9) MJ15Dry24 Feb 59.1 123 0.89 0.0 6.2 28.8 ± 2.5 MJ16Dry25 Feb 57.5 94 0.80 0.0 5.9 28.8 ± 2.3 MJ17Dry 25 Feb 57.5 96 0.85 0.0 5.1 29.1 ± 2.3 $(23.0-31.1)$ 23.2 ± 1.9 $(23.0-31.1)$ $(23.0-31.1)$ $(23.0-31.1)$ $(23.0-31.1)$ MJ16Dry 25 Feb 57.5 94 0.80 0.0 5.9 28.8 ± 2.3 $(23.1-32.9)$ 29.1 ± 2.3 $(23.0-31.2)$ $(23.0-31.2)$ $(23.0-31.2)$ $(23.0-31.2)$ MJ17Dry 25 Feb 57.5 96 0.85 0.0 5.1 29.1 ± 2.3 $(23.0-32.2)$ $(23.0-32.2)$ $(23.0-32.2)$ $(23.0-32.2)$ $(23.0-32.2)$ $(23.0-32.2)$ MJ17Dry 25 Feb 57.5 96 0.85 0.0 5.1 29.2 ± 2.5 $(23.0-32.2)$ $(23.0-32.2)$ $(23.0-32.2)$ $(23.0-32.2)$ $(23.0-32.2)$ $(23.0-32.2)$ $(23.0-32.2)$ $(23.0-32.2)$ $(23.0-$	1.0
MJ11 Dry 19 Feb 37.6 137 0.90 1.8 3.2 (23.4-34.3) MJ13 Dry 22 Feb 62.5 87 0.67 0.0 6.1 $\frac{28.5 \pm 1.9}{(24.2-31.5)}$ MJ14 Dry 24 Feb 64.2 74 0.50 0.0 7.9 $\frac{28.2 \pm 1.9}{(23.0-31.1)}$ MJ15 Dry 24 Feb 59.1 123 0.89 0.0 6.2 $\frac{28.8 \pm 2.5}{(24.0-33.1)}$ MJ16 Dry 25 Feb 57.5 94 0.80 0.0 5.9 $\frac{28.8 \pm 2.3}{(23.1-32.9)}$ MJ17 Dry 25 Feb 57.5 96 0.85 0.0 5.1 $\frac{29.1 \pm 2.3}{(23.9-33.2)}$ MJ17 Dry 25 Feb 57.5 96 0.85 0.0 5.1 $\frac{29.1 \pm 2.3}{(23.9-33.2)}$	1.0
MJ13 Dry 22 Feb 62.5 87 0.67 0.0 6.1 (24.2-31.5) MJ14 Dry 24 Feb 64.2 74 0.50 0.0 7.9 $(23.0-31.1)$ MJ15 Dry 24 Feb 59.1 123 0.89 0.0 6.2 $(24.0-33.1)$ MJ16 Dry 25 Feb 57.5 94 0.80 0.0 5.9 $(23.1-32.9)$ MJ17 Dry 25 Feb 57.5 96 0.85 0.0 5.1 (29.1 ± 2.3) Q3.2 + 2.5 $(23.0-31.2)$ $(23.0-31.2)$ $(23.1-32.9)$ $(23.1-32.9)$ MJ17 Dry 25 Feb 57.5 96 0.85 0.0 5.1 (29.1 ± 2.3) $(23.9-33.2)$ $(23.9-33.2)$ $(23.9-33.2)$ $(23.9-33.2)$ $(23.9-33.2)$ $(23.9-33.2)$ MJ17 Dry 25 Feb 57.5 96 0.85 0.0 5.1 $(29.2 + 2.5)$	1.0
MJ14 Dry 24 Feb 64.2 74 0.50 0.0 7.9 (23.0-31.1) MJ15 Dry 24 Feb 59.1 123 0.89 0.0 6.2 28.8 ± 2.5 (24.0-33.1) MJ16 Dry 25 Feb 57.5 94 0.80 0.0 5.9 28.8 ± 2.3 (23.1-32.9)	1.0
MJ15 Dry 24 Feb 39.1 125 0.89 0.0 6.2 $(24.0-33.1)$ MJ16 Dry 25 Feb 57.5 94 0.80 0.0 5.9 (28.8 ± 2.3) MJ17 Dry 25 Feb 57.5 96 0.85 0.0 5.1 (29.1 ± 2.3) MJ17 Dry 25 Feb 57.5 96 0.85 0.0 5.1 $(23.9-33.2)$ 29.2 ± 2.5	1.0
MJ10 Dry 25 Feb 57.5 94 0.80 0.0 5.9 $(23.1-32.9)$ MJ17 Dry 25 Feb 57.5 96 0.85 0.0 5.1 (29.1 ± 2.3) $(23.9-33.2)$ $(23.9-33.2)$ $(23.9-33.2)$ $(23.9-33.2)$ $(23.9-33.2)$	1.0
MJ17 Dry 25 Feb 57.5 96 0.85 0.0 5.1 (23.9-33.2)	1.0
29.2 + 2.5	1.0
MJ18 Dry 25 Feb 57.3 84 0.61 0.7 4.8 (24.2-34.6)	1.0
MJ19 Dry 25 Feb 60.8 113 0.85 0.0 4.5 28.8 ± 1.9 (24.4-32.0)	1.0
MJ20 Dry 25 Feb 60.7 97 0.93 0.0 4.8 29.3 ± 2.4 (23.9-33.2)	1.0
MJ21 Dry 02 Mar 58.1 102 0.0 6.3 29.0 ± 2.2 (23.6-32.4)	1.0
MJ22 Dry 02 Mar 58.2 77 0.0 8.3 28.6 ± 2.2 (23.4-32.1)	1.0
MJ24 Dry 04 Mar 63.5 92 0.90 0.0 7.5 28.8 ± 2.1 (23.5-32.0)	1.0
MJ25 Dry 04 Mar 56.5 112 0.97 0.0 6.5 29.3 ± 2.3 (23.4-32.9)	1.0
MJ26 Dry 04 Mar 56.5 79 0.81 0.0 5.3 29.3 ± 2.1 (24.3-32.2)	1.0
MJ27 Dry 04 Mar 56.4 112 0.89 0.0 5.0 29.6 ± 2.3 (24.3-33.2)	1.0

MJ28	Dry	04 Mar	63.4	83	0.93	0.0	3.1	29.6 ± 1.9 (25.7-32.5)	1.0
MJ30	Dry	05 Mar	62.5	78	0.62	0.0	5.9	28.9 ± 1.7 (24.3-31.3)	1.0
MJ31	Dry	19 Mar	52.9	89	0.85	0.0	0.2	30.3 ± 1.6 (25.0-32.9)	1.0
MJ32	Dry	21 Mar	54.9	106	0.92	0.0	0.0	$\frac{29.8 \pm 1.7}{(26.3-32.8)}$	1.0
MJ33	Dry	21 Mar	54.8	64	0.61	0.0	0.2	$\begin{array}{r} (20.0 \ 0.21.0) \\ \hline 29.3 \pm 1.3 \\ (25.1-32.0) \end{array}$	1.0
MJ34	Dry	21 Mar	54.8	103	0.95	0.0	0.2	$\frac{(25.1-32.0)}{30.0 \pm 2.0}$ (25.5-33.3)	1.0
MJ35	Dry	21 Mar	56.4	85	0.95	0.0	0.1	29.4 ± 1.8	1.0
MJ36	Dry	21 Mar	57.8	128	0.86	3.2	0.3	$\frac{(25.2-32.5)}{29.7 \pm 2.3}$	1.0
MJ37	Dry	21 Mar	56.7	86	0.91	0.0	0.4	$(25.1-36.4) \\ 29.0 \pm 1.9$	1.0
			57.7	86	0.91	0.0	0.4	$(25.8-32.7) 29.0 \pm 1.4$	1.0
MJ38	Dry	22 Mar			0.00			$\frac{(25.0-31.9)}{29.6 \pm 1.7}$	
MJ39	Dry	22 Mar	53.8	76	0.89	0.0	0.2	(25.3-33.0) 30.1 ± 1.9	1.0
MJ40	Dry	22 Mar	51.0	107	0.93	0.0	0.1	$\frac{(25.3-33.4)}{33.5 \pm 1.6}$	1.0
MJ42	Rainy	02 Jun	47.7	92	0.54	41.1	0.0	(29.5-36.5)	0.0
MJ43	Rainy	02 Jun	47.7	81		5.2	0.0	32.2 ± 1.1 (30.0-34.2)	0.0
MJ44	Rainy	02 Jun	47.7	98		0.0	0.0	32.0 ± 1.0 (30.2-33.6)	0.0
MJ45	Rainy	03 Jun	47.7	123	0.60	0.1	0.0	32.0 ± 1.2 (29.0-34.1)	0.0
MJ47	Rainy	10 Jun	50.8	121	0.92	8.2	0.0	32.3 ± 1.3 (29.7-35.2)	0.0
MJ48	Rainy	20 Jun	46.5	90		50.1	0.0	33.6 ± 1.5 (28.2-35.6)	0.0
MJ49	Rainy	20 Jun	46.7	109	0.93	1.5	0.0	31.8 ± 1.2 (28.3-34.1)	1.0
MJ50	Rainy	21 Jun	46.5	97	0.88	50.9	0.0	33.7 ± 1.5 (28.5-35.9)	0.0
MJ51	Rainy	26 Jun	42	80		3.1	0.0	31.9 ± 1.3 (28.4-34.3)	1.0
MJ52	Rainy	26 Jun	52.6	103		53.9	0.0	33.7 ± 1.6 (27.8-36.6)	0.0
MJ53	Rainy	02 Jul	46.1	93	0.69	40.0	0.0	33.7 ± 1.9 (28.8-37.8)	0.0
MJ55	Rainy	02 Jul	46.7	91	0.41	16.0	0.0	32.7 ± 1.5 (28.3-34.9)	0.0
MJ57	Rainy	05 Jul	47.6	98		40.4	0.0	$ \begin{array}{r} 33.4 \pm 2.0 \\ (28.1-37.7) \end{array} $	0.0
MJ59	Rainy	05 Jul	49.7	106		11.4	0.0	$\frac{(20.1 57.7)}{32.6 \pm 1.5}$ (27.9-35.1)	0.0
MJ63	Rainy	14 Jul	46.2	105		17.1	0.0	$\frac{(27.9-35.1)}{33.5 \pm 0.7}$ (30.4-34.9)	0.0
MJ67	Rainy	14 Jul	59.6	109	0.25	0.0	0.0	32.0 ± 1.4	0.0
MJ69	Rainy	14 Jul	59.6	111		2.8	0.0	$(27.4-34.0)$ 32.5 ± 1.4 $(27.0, 34.4)$	0.0
MJ71	Rainy	23 Aug	47.9	100		27.5	0.0	$(27.9-34.4)$ 33.1 ± 1.5 $(20.0, 25.0)$	0.0
MJ73	Rainy	24 Aug	47.8	87		28.8	0.0	$(29.0-35.9)$ 33.2 ± 1.5 $(20.0, 26.0)$	0.0
MJ74	Rainy	24 Aug	47.8	86	0.69	28.2	0.0	$(29.0-36.0) \\ 33.1 \pm 1.6 \\ (22.0.2160)$	0.0
MJ75	Rainy	24 Aug	44.8	56	0.88	0.4	0.0	$\frac{(28.9-36.2)}{33.9 \pm 0.7}$	0.0
MJ75 MJ77	Rainy	24 Aug	Did not hatch	68	0.00	0.4	0.0	$(31.2-36.1) \\ 33.2 \pm 1.5$	NA
1419 / /	Kailiy	24 Aug		00	0.00	0.5	0.0	(29.0-36.7)	

MJ78	Rainy	24 Aug	Did not hatch	117	0.00	0.2	0.0	33.0 ± 1.5 (28.8-36.0)	NA
MJ79	Rainy	24 Aug	Did not hatch	120	0.00	0.3	0.0	33.2 ± 1.5 (29.2-36.0)	NA
MJ98	Rainy	25 Sep	Did not hatch	93	0.00	0.3	0.0	33.2 ± 1.8 (28.8-36.2)	NA
MJ99	Rainy	25 Sep	Did not hatch	66	0.00	0.4	0.0	33.3 ± 1.8 (28.6-36.3)	NA
MJ100	Rainy	25 Sep	Did not hatch	113	0.00	0.4	0.0	33.6 ± 2.0 (28.9-38.5)	NA
MJ101	Rainy	25 Sep	Did not hatch	87	0.00	0.3	0.0	33.1 ± 1.6 (29.1-35.9)	NA
MJ103	Rainy	25 Sep	Did not hatch	112	0.00	0.3	0.0	33.2 ± 1.5 (28.6-35.6)	NA
MJ105	Rainy	25 Sep	Did not hatch	92	0.00	0.2	0.0	32.8 ± 1.6 (28.3-35.3)	NA
MJ106	Rainy	25 Sep	Did not hatch	111	0.00	0.3	0.0	33.1 ± 1.7 (28.6-35.8)	NA
MJ107	Rainy	25 Sep	Did not hatch	98	0.00	0.3	0.0	33.2 ± 1.6 (28.9-35.8)	NA
MJ108	Rainy	25 Sep	Did not hatch	85	0.00	0.4	0.0	33.3 ± 1.7 (28.8-35.8)	NA
MJ110	Rainy	25 Sep	Did not hatch	93	0.00	0.4	0.0	33.5 ± 1.6 (29.5-36.0)	NA

403 404

Table 2. Mean temperature for 71 nests (37 in Dry season and 34 in Rainy season) and mean phenotype measurements (straight carapace length (SCL: mm), straight carapace width (SCW: mm) and weight (g)) and crawl speed and righting response for olive ridley sea turtle hatchlings from 38 nests at Majahuas beach by season (Rainy: n = 10; Dry: n = 28) in 2015.

Parameter	Dry		Rain	У	Statistical Test
	$Mean \pm SD$	Min-Max	$Mean \pm SD$	Min-Max	
Temperature (°C)	24.94 ± 1.858	22.80-	29.07 ± 0.807	27.80-	$F_{(1,69)}=143.26;$
Temperature (C)	(a)	28.50	(b)	32.00	p<0.001
Hatching Success	74.2 ± 2.97 (a)	0.000-	24.1 ± 3.56	0.00-92.70	$F_{(1,1952)}=38.08;$
(%)	74.2 ± 2.97 (a)	100.0	(b)	0.00-92.70	p<0.001
SCL (mm)	40.62 ± 1.823	34.00-	40.15 ± 2.535	30.00-	$F_{(1,758)}=7.16; p=0.008$
SCL (IIIII)	(a)	47.50	(b)	49.00	
SCW (mm)	32.84 ± 1.714	26.40-	32.12 ± 2.104	26.00-	$F_{(1,758)}=20.71;$
SCW (IIIII)	(a)	38.00	(b)	29.50	p<0.001
Weight (g)	16.23 ± 1.686	12.00-	14.93 ± 2.317	8.020-	$F_{(1,758)}=64.55;$
weight (g)	(a)	24.00	(b)	19.88	p<0.001
Crawl Speed (cm s	0.977 ± 0.594	0.132-	0.550 ± 0.359	0.086-	$F_{(1,758)}=60.17;$
¹)	(a)	3.600	(b)	1.597	p<0.001
Righting Response	3.870 ± 2.412	0.980-	3.336 ± 2.110	0.830-	$F_{(1,758)}=04.641;$
(s)	(a)	19.00	(b)	18.00	<i>p</i> =0.032
Righting Propensity	4.471 ± 2.174	0.000-	4.598 ± 2.139	0.000-	$F_{(1,758)}=0.352;$
Righting I Topelisity	(a)	6.000	(a)	6.000	<i>p</i> =0.553

N.B.: The statistical test used is the analysis of variance (ANOVA); statistical test data as mean \pm SD followed by Tukey's test in parentheses if significant differences were found. Hatching success data in percentage.

405

- 406
- 407
- 408
- 409

410 411 412 413 414 415 416 417	REFERENCES Abreu-Grobois, and Plotkin. 2008. <i>'Lepidochelys olivacea'</i> . The IUCN Red List of Threatened
418	Species. http://www.iucnredlist.org/details/11534/0.
419	Afán, Isabel, André Chiaradia, Manuela G. Forero, Peter Dann, and Francisco Ramírez. 2015.
420	'A Novel Spatio-Temporal Scale Based on Ocean Currents Unravels Environmental
421	Drivers of Reproductive Timing in a Marine Predator'. <i>Proceedings of the Royal</i>
422	Society B: Biological Sciences 282 (1810): 20150721.
423	https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2015.0721.
424	Booth, D. T. 2009. 'Swimming for Your Life: Locomotor Effort and Oxygen Consumption
425	during the Green Turtle (Chelonia Mydas) Hatchling Frenzy'. Journal of Experimental
426	<i>Biology</i> 212 (1): 50–55. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.019778.
427	Booth, D. T., E Burgess, J McCosker, and JM Lanyon. 2004. 'The Influence of Incubation
428	Temperature on Post-Hatching Fitness Characteristics of Turtles.' International
429	Congress Series 1275: 226–33.
430	Booth, David T., and Andrew Evans. 2011. 'Warm Water and Cool Nests Are Best. How
431	Global Warming Might Influence Hatchling Green Turtle Swimming Performance'.
432	Edited by Howard Browman. <i>PLoS ONE</i> 6 (8): e23162.
433	https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0023162.
434	Booth, David T., and Candida Freeman. 2006. 'Sand and Nest Temperatures and an Estimate
435	of Hatchling Sex Ratio from the Heron Island Green Turtle (<i>Chelonia Mydas</i>)
436	Rookery, Southern Great Barrier Reef'. <i>Coral Reefs</i> 25 (4): 629–33.
437	https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-006-0135-4.
438	Broderick, A. C., B. J. Godley, S. Reece, and J. R. Downie. 2000. 'Incubation Periods and Sex
439	Ratios of Green Turtles: Highly Female Biased Hatchling Production in the Eastern
440	Mediterranean'. Marine Ecology Progress Series 202: 273–281.

441 Bullock, S. H. 1986. 'Climate of Chamela, Jalisco, and Trends in the South Coastal Region of

442 Mexico'. Archives for Meteorology, Geophysics, and Bioclimatology, Series B 36 (3):

443 297–316. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02263135.

- 444 Charruau, Pierre, and Yann Hénaut. 2012. 'Nest Attendance and Hatchling Care in Wild
- 445 American Crocodiles (*Crocodylus acutus*) in Quintana Roo, Mexico'. *Animal Biology*

446 62 (1): 29–51. https://doi.org/10.1163/157075511X597629.

- 447 Delmas, Virginie, Emmanuelle Baudry, Marc Girondot, and ANNE-CAROLINE PREVOT-
- JULLIARD. 2007. 'The Righting Response as a Fitness Index in Freshwater Turtles'. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 91 (1): 99–109.
- 450 Duran, N., S.G. Dunbar, R.A. Escobar, and T.G. Standish. 2015. 'High Frequency of Multiple
- 451 Paternity in a Solitary Population of Olive Ridley Sea Turtles in Honduras'. *Journal of*
- 452 *Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 463 (February): 63–71.
- 453 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2014.10.023.
- 454 Fisher, Leah R., Matthew H. Godfrey, and David W. Owens. 2014. 'Incubation Temperature
 455 Effects on Hatchling Performance in the Loggerhead Sea Turtle (*Caretta Caretta*)'.
- 456 Edited by Geir Ottersen. *PLoS ONE* 9 (12): e114880.

457 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0114880.

- 458 Fuentes, M.M.P.B., M. Hamann, and C.J. Limpus. 2010. 'Past, Current and Future Thermal
- 459 Profiles of Green Turtle Nesting Grounds: Implications from Climate Change'.
- 460 Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 383 (1): 56–64.
- 461 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2009.11.003.

Fuentes, M.M.P.B., C.J. Limpus, and M. Hamann. 2011. 'Vulnerability of Sea Turtle Nesting
Grounds to Climate Change: VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT FRAMEWORK'. *Global Change Biology* 17 (1): 140–53. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02192.x.

465 Fuller, Wi, Bi Godley, Di Hodgson, Se Reece, Mi Witt, and Ac Broderick. 2013. 'Importance of

- 466 Spatio-Temporal Data for Predicting the Effects of Climate Change on Marine Turtle
- 467 Sex Ratios'. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 488 (August): 267–74.
- 468 https://doi.org/10.3354/meps10419.
- 469 García, Andrés, Gerardo Ceballos, and Ricardo Adaya. 2003. 'Intensive Beach Management
- 470 as an Improved Sea Turtle Conservation Strategy in Mexico'. *Biological Conservation*471 111 (2): 253–261.

- 472 Girondot, Marc, and Yakup Kaska. 2014. 'A Model to Predict the Thermal Reaction Norm for
- 473 the Embryo Growth Rate from Field Data'. *Journal of Thermal Biology* 45 (October):

474 96–102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtherbio.2014.08.005.

- Godfrey, Matthew H., R Barreto, and N. Mrosovsky. 1996. 'Estimating Past and Present Sex
 Ratios of Sea Turtles in Suriname'. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 74: 267–77.
- 477 Hawkes, La, Ac Broderick, Mh Godfrey, and Bj Godley. 2009. 'Climate Change and Marine
- 478 Turtles'. Endangered Species Research 7 (June): 137–54.
- 479 https://doi.org/10.3354/esr00198.
- 480 Hawkes, Lucy A., Annette C. Broderick, Matthew H. Godfrey, Brendan J. Godley, and
- 481 Matthew J. Witt. 2013. 'The Impacts of Climate Change on Marine Turtle
- 482 Reproductive Success'. In *Coastal Conservation*, edited by Brooke Maslo and Julie L.
- 483 Lockwood, 287–310. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

484 https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09781139137089.012.

- Howard, R, I Bell, and D.A. Pike. 2014. 'Thermal Tolerances of Sea Turtle Embryos: Current
 Understanding and Future Directions.' *Endangered Species Research* 26: 75–86.
 https://doi.org/10.3354/esr00636.
- 488 Jensen, M. P., F. A. Abreu-Grobois, J. Frydenberg, and V. Loeschcke. 2006. 'Microsatellites
- 489 Provide Insight into Contrasting Mating Patterns in Arribada vs. Non-Arribada Olive
- 490 Ridley Sea Turtle Rookeries: MULTIPLE PATERNITY IN OLIVE RIDLEY SEA
- 491
 TURTLES'. Molecular Ecology 15 (9): 2567–75. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365
- 492 294X.2006.02951.x.
- 493 Liles, Michael J., Tarla Rai Peterson, Jeffrey A. Seminoff, Alexander R. Gaos, Eduardo
 494 Altamirano, Ana V. Henríquez, Velkiss Gadea, et al. 2019. 'Potential Limitations of
- 495 Behavioral Plasticity and the Role of Egg Relocation in Climate Mitigation for a
- 496Thermally Sensitive Endangered Species.' *Ecology and Evolution* 9: 1603–22.
- 497 https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1002/ece3.4774.
- 498 Mansfield, Katherine L., Milagros L. Mendilaharsu, Nathan F. Putman, Maria A. G. dei
- 499 Marcovaldi, Alexander E. Sacco, Gustave Lopez, Thais Pires, and Yonat Swimmer.
- 5002017. 'First Satellite Tracks of South Atlantic Sea Turtle "Lost Years": Seasonal
- 501 Variation in Trans-Equatorial Movement'. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B:*
- 502 *Biological Sciences* 284 (1868). https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2017.1730.

503 Maulany, Risma I., David T. Booth, and Greg S. Baxter. 2012. 'Emergence Success and Sex 504 Ratio of Natural and Relocated Nests of Olive Ridley Turtles from Alas Purwo National Park, East Java, Indonesia'. Copeia 2012 (4): 738-47. 505 506 https://doi.org/10.1643/CH-12-088. Miller, Jeffrey Dean. 1985. 'Embryology of Marine Turtles'. In Biology of the Reptilia, 507 508 14:269–328. John Wiley and Sons. Mrosovsky, N., and C. Pieau. 1991. 'Transitional Range of Temperature, Pivotal 509 Temperatures and Thermosensitive Stages for Sex Determination in Reptiles'. 510 511 Amphibia-Reptilia 12 (2): 169–179. Pike, D.A., Antworth, R.L. & Stiner, J.C. (2006). Earlier nesting contributes to shorter nesting 512 513 seasons for the loggerhead sea turtle, Caretta caretta. J. Herpetol. 40, 91–94. 514 Plotkin, Pt. 2010. 'Nomadic Behaviour of the Highly Migratory Olive Ridley Sea Turtle 515 516 Lepidochelys olivacea in the Eastern Tropical Pacific Ocean'. Endangered Species 517 *Research* 13 (1): 33–40. https://doi.org/10.3354/esr00314. Refsnider, J.M., and F.J. Janzen. 2016. 'Temperature-Dependent Sex Determination under 518 519 Rapid Anthropogenic Environmental Change: Evolution at a Turtle's Pace?' Journal of Heredity 107: 61–70. https://doi.org/10.1093/jhered/esv053. 520 Rodríguez-Zárate, Clara J., Axayácatl Rocha-Olivares, and Luciano B. Beheregaray. 2013. 521 522 'Genetic Signature of a Recent Metapopulation Bottleneck in the Olive Ridley Turtle 523 (Lepidochelys olivacea) after Intensive Commercial Exploitation in Mexico'. *Biological Conservation* 168 (December): 10–18. 524 525 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2013.09.009. 526 Sandoval Espinoza, Sarahi. 2012. 'Proporción sexual en crías de tortuga *Lepidochelys* 527 olivacea en corrales de incubación del Pacífico Mexicano'. Doctorado, La Paz, Baja California Sur, Mexico: Instituto Politécnico Nacional - Centro Interdisiplinario de 528 529 Ciencias Marinas. 530 Sandoval, Sarahi, Victor Gómez-Muñoz, Joaquín Gutiérrez, and Miguel Ángel Porta-Gándara. 531 2011. 'Metabolic Heat Estimation of the Sea Turtle *Lepidochelys olivacea* Embryos'. *Journal of Thermal Biology* 36 (2): 138–41. 532 533 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtherbio.2010.12.007.

534 Sandoval, Sarahi, Victor M. Gómez-Muñoz, and Miguel Ángel Porta-Gándara. 2017.

- 535 'Expansion of the Transitional Range of Temperature for Sea Turtle *Lepidochelys*
- *olivacea* from Sex Ratio Data at Controlled Incubation Temperatures'. *Herpetology Notes* 10: 63–65.
- 538 Santidrián Tomillo, Pilar, Luis Fonseca, Frank V. Paladino, James R. Spotila, and Daniel Oro.
- 539 2017. 'Are Thermal Barriers" Higher" in Deep Sea Turtle Nests?' *PloS One* 12 (5):
 540 e0177256.
- Tilley, D, S Ball, J Ellick, B. J. Godley, N Weber, S. B. Weber, and A. C. Broderick. 2019. 'No
 Evidence of Fine Scale Thermal Adaptation in Green Turtles.' *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 514–515: 110–17.
- 544 Tripathy, Basudev, and Bivash Pandav. 2007. 'BEACH FIDELITY AND INTERNESTING
 545 MOVEMENTS OF OLIVE RIDLEY TURTLES (LEPIDOCHELYS OLIVACEA) AT
- 546 RUSHIKULYA, INDIA'. Herpetological Conservation and Biology, 6.
- 547 Valadez González, Carmen, Francisco de Asis Silva Bátiz, and Salvador Hernández Vázquez.
 548 2000. 'PROPORCIÓN SEXUAL EN CRÍAS DE LA TORTUGA MARINA *Lepidochelys*
- 549 *olivacea*, PRODUCIDA EN CORRAL DE INCUBACIÓN EN LA PLAYA DE ANIDACIÓN
- LA GLORIA, JALISCO, MÉXICO'. Boletín del Centro de Investigaciones Biológicos 34
 (3): 305–13.
- Witt MJ, Hawkes LA, Godfrey MH, Godley BJ, Broderick AC (2010) Predicting the impacts of
 climate change on a globally distributed species: the case of the loggerhead turtle.
 213:901-911
- Wibbels, Thane, David Rostal, and Richard Byles. 1998. 'High Pivotal Temperature in the
 Sex Determination of the Olive Ridley Sea Turtle, *Lepidochelys olivacea*, from Playa
 Nancite, Costa Rica'. *Copeia* 1998 (4): 1086. https://doi.org/10.2307/1447364.
- Weishampel, J. F., Bagley, D. A. and Ehrhart, L. M. (2004). Earlier nesting by loggerhead sea
 turtles following sea surface warming. Glob. Chang. Biol. 10, 1424-1427.
- 560
- Wright, Lucy I., Wayne J. Fuller, Brendan J. Godley, Andrew McGowan, Tom Tregenza, and
 Annette C. Broderick. 2013. 'No Benefits of Polyandry to Female Green Turtles'. *Behavioral Ecology* 24 (4): 1022–29. https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/art003.

564	Wyneken, Jeanette, and Alexandra Lolavar. 2015. 'Loggerhead Sea Turtle Environmental
565	Sex Determination: Implications of Moisture and Temperature for Climate Change
566	Based Predictions for Species Survival: ENVIRONMENTAL SEX DETERMINATION IN
567	LOGGERHEAD TURTLES'. Journal of Experimental Zoology Part B: Molecular and
568	Developmental Evolution 324 (3): 295–314. https://doi.org/10.1002/jez.b.22620.
569	Wyneken, Jeanette, and Michael Salmon. 1992. 'Frenzy and Postfrenzy Swimming Activity
570	in Loggerhead, Green, and Leatherback Hatchling Sea Turtles'. <i>Copeia</i> , 478–484.
571	Zepeda-Borja, Karen M, Christian D Ortega-Ortiz, Ernesto Torres-Orozco, and Aramis
572	Olivos-Ortiz. 2017. 'Spatial and Temporal Distribution of Sea Turtles Related to Sea
573	Surface Temperature and Chlorophyll-a in Mexican Central Pacific Waters'. <i>Revista</i>
574	de Biología Marina y Oceanografía 52 (2): 375–85. https://doi.org/10.4067/S0718-
575	19572017000200016.
576	

