1

A novel role for *Eip74EF* in male reproduction in promoting sperm elongation and male fecundity

Sharif Chebbo[1], Sarah Josway[1], John M. Belote[2], and Mollie K. Manier[1*]

[1]Department of Biological Sciences, The George Washington University, Washington, DC, USA

[2]Department of Biology, Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY, USA

Correspondence: manier@gwu.edu, +1-202-994-0126

Running Head: *Eip74EF* promotes sperm length

Abbreviations:

Eip74EF - Ecdysone induced protein 74EF

GFP - green fluorescent protein

NCBI - National Center for Biotechnology Information

PAML - Phylogenetic Analysis by Maximum Likelihood

PBS - phosphate buffered saline

REML - restricted maximum likelihood

SR - seminal receptacle

ABSTRACT

Spermatozoa are the most morphologically variable cell type, yet little is known about genes

controlling natural variation in sperm shape. *Drosophila* fruit flies have evolved the longest

sperm known, which are evolving under postcopulatory sexual selection, driven by sperm

competition and cryptic female choice. Long sperm outcompete short sperm but primarily

when females have long seminal receptacles (SRs), the primary sperm storage organ. Thus,

selection on sperm length is mediated by SR length, and the two traits are coevolving across the

Drosophila lineage, driven by a genetic correlation and fitness advantage of long sperm and long

SR genotypes in both males and females. *Ecdysone induced protein 74EF* (*Eip74EF*) is rapidly

evolving under positive selection in *Drosophila*, and it is expressed during post-meiotic stages of

spermatogenesis, when spermatid elongation occurs. Partial knockdown of *Eip74EF* leads to

shorter sperm but does not affect SR length, suggesting that *Eip74EF* is involved in promoting

spermatid elongation but is not a genetic driver of male-female coevolution. We also found that

Eip74EF knockdown has opposing effects on fecundity in males and females, with an increase in

fecundity for males but a decrease in females, consistent with its documented role in oocyte

maturation. It is possible that knockdown males produce more sperm that are also shorter,

which would explain the increase in fecundity, but this hypothesis remains to be tested. Our

results document a novel function of *Eip74EF* in spermatogenesis and demonstrates that this

gene influences both male and female reproductive success.

Keywords: *Eip74EF*, fecundity, fitness, seminal receptacle, sperm competition

1. INTRODUCTION

Spermatozoa are arguably the most variable cell type among metazoans, exhibiting dramatic

variation in size and shape to encompass all possible permutations of presence or absence of a

head, midpiece, or flagellum (Pitnick, Hosken, & Birkhead, 2009). With all this morphological

variation, it is not surprising that sperm can evolve rapidly on short time scales (Pitnick, Miller,

Schneider, & Markow, 2003; Manier & Palumbi, 2008; Lüpold, Westneat, & Birkhead, 2011;

Stewart, Wang, & Montgomerie, 2016), with morphological differences emerging even over the

course of invasive expansion into new environments (Kahrl & Cox, 2017). Sperm morphology

can influence swimming speed (Blengini, Sergio, Gabriela, Giojalas, & Margarita, 2014; Lüpold,

Calhim, Immler, & Birkhead, 2009; Firman & Simmons, 2010; Fitzpatrick, Montgomerie,

Desjardins, Stiver, Kolm, & Balshine, 2009) and fertilization success (Bennison, Hemmings,

Slate, & Birkhead, 2015), and its rapid evolution has been credited to postcopulatory sexual

selection via sperm competition (Parker, 1970; Snook, 2005; Pitnick et al., 2009; Godwin,

Vasudeva, Michalczyk, Martin, Lumley, Chapman, & Gage, 2017) and cryptic female choice

(Eberhard 1986; Firman, Gasparini, Manier, & Pizzari, 2017).

Within the *Drosophila* lineage, sperm length exhibits among the greatest range of

phenotypic variation within a clade, spanning over two orders of magnitude from 320 μm in *D.*

persimilis to 58,290 μm in *D. bifurca* (Pitnick, Markow, & Spicer, 1995). Moreover, in the obscura

group, males produce heteromorphic sperm, meaning that they come in two (Snook, 1997) or

three (Alpern, Asselin, & Moehring, 2019) different sizes within an ejaculate. Sperm length

evolution in *Drosophila* is mediated by both length of the female's primary sperm storage organ,

the seminal receptacle or SR (Miller & Pitnick, 2002; Lüpold, Reil, Manier, Zeender, Belote, &

Pitnick, *in review*), a genetic correlation with SR length (Lüpold, Manier, Puniamoorthy, Schoff,

Starmer, Buckley Luepold, Belote, & Pitnick, 2016), and moderate fitness advantage of a long

sperm genotype in both males and females (Zajitchek, Zajitschek, Josway, Al Shabeeb, Weiner,

& Manier, *in press*). As a result of this tight interaction between sperm length and SR length, the

two traits are coevolving both across species (Pitnick, Markow, & Spicer, 1999) and among

populations undergoing incipient speciation (Pitnick et al., 2003).

Little is known about the genetic basis of natural variation in sperm length, but we expect

genes controlling this trait to be rapidly evolving. In a screen for *Drosophila* genes showing

signatures of rapid adaptive evolution under positive selection, we identified *Ecdysone-induced*

protein 74EF (*Eip74EF*). This gene also has increased expression during post-meiotic stages of

spermatogenesis (https://mnlab.uchicago.edu/sppress/; Vibranovski, Lopes, Karr, & Long,

2009), when spermatid elongation occurs. *Eip74EF* is best understood in its roles in activating

programmed cell death of salivary glands during metamorphosis (Jiang, Lamblin, Steller, &

Thummel, 2000; Lee & Baehrecke, 2001) and promoting oocyte maturation (**Kozlova** &

Thummel, 2000). While it is expressed in testis (Vibranovsky et al., 2009), it has no known

function in spermatogenesis, and neither isoform Eip74A nor Eip74B is expressed in the sperm

proteome (Dorus, Busby, Gerike, Shabanowitz, Hunt, & Karr, 2006).

Here, we explored the role of *Eip74EF* in sperm length. Because sperm length and SR

length are genetically correlated (Lüpold et al., 2016) and coevolving (Pitnick et al., 1999; 2003),

we also measured SR length in *Eip74EF* knockdown females to look for pleiotropic effects on

both sperm length and SR length. We found that knockdown of *Eip74EF* resulted in shorter

sperm, so we also examined mating behavior and sperm competitive success in knockdown

males and fecundity in both males and females.

2.   MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Stocks and crosses

Eip74EF has only one major protein isoform expressed in adults, E74A (Liu, Landreh, Cao, Abe,

Hendriks, Kennerdell, Zhu, Wang, & Bonini, 2012). For this study, we used a hypomorphic

mutant of *Eip74EF* obtained from the Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center (stock #12619) that

has partial reduction of expression of E74A while retaining viability (Liu et al., 2012). The

mutant allele (*E74A^{BG01805}*) contains a *p(GT1)* transposon insertion in the sixth intron, within a

w^{1118} background. Experimental animals were reared from breeding vials containing 10 virgin

females and 20 virgin males that were transferred to new sugar-yeast-agar food vials daily for

four days, after which adults were discarded. Control animals were reared from breeding vials

containing 10 *E74A^{BG01805}* females and 20 *w^{1118}* males. Progeny were collected within 8-12 hours

of eclosion using light CO_2 anesthesia into single-sex vials at densities of 10 females or 20 males

per vial. For both experimental and control treatments, progeny from two replicate sets of

breeding vials out of four potential replicates per treatment were phenotyped for all

experiments.

For reproductive success experiments, competitor males derived from a Canton-S stock

expressing a 3xP3-GFP construct in eye. These Canton-S Protamine-GFP lines were generated

using the piggybac germline transformation system (Handler and Harrell, 1999). The GFP-

tagged Protamine-B construct was cut out of the *P*-element plasmid construct pW8/ProtB-GFP1

(Manier, Belote, Berben, Novikov, Stuart, & Pitnick, 2010) with *Kpn*I and *Bam*HI and subcloned

into the pBS/2xAsc vector, a modified pBlueScript-KS+ plasmid (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) in

which two *Asc*I sites, flanking the multiple cloning site, had been created by site-directed

mutagenesis. The insert was then cut out with *Asc*I and ligated into the single *Asc*I site of the

piggybac vector p3xP3/EGFPaf (Horn & Wimmer, 2000) to yield p3xP3-EGFP/ProtB-GFP. This

DNA was mixed with the helper plasmid pBΔSac (Handler & Harrell, 1999) and injected into

preblastoderm embyos from a Canton-S wild-type strain (generously provided by Mariana

Wolfner, Cornell University). Transformants were identified by green fluorescent pseudopupils

and ocelli. Two tagged lines were generated: *Canton-S pBac{3xP3-EGFP, ProtB-EGFP}27* and

Canton-S pBac{3xP3-EGFP, ProtB-EGFP}16B with the transgenes inserted on chromosome 2 and

chromosome 3, respectively. Although this stock (hereafter "Canton-S") contained a transgene,

the fluorescent eye and sperm markers were not specifically utilized in this series of

experiments.

2.2 Sperm measurements

To obtain sperm length measurements, seminal vesicles from 5-day-old ether-anesthetized

males were dissected into a large droplet of 1X phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) on a gelatin-

coated glass slide, using fine forceps. The seminal vesicle was ruptured and dragged several

times through the droplet to release and spread motile sperm. The slide was then dried down at

55 °C in a drying oven, fixed in 3:1 methanol:acetic acid, stained with DAPI (Molecular Probes),

and mounted under a coverslip in 80% glycerol in PBS and sealed with nail polish. We then

visualized sperm using darkfield microscopy at 200X and sperm heads using fluorescence

microscopy at 400X on a Nikon Ni-U microscope and captured images with a Zyla Andor

monochrome camera. Images were measured using the segmented line tool in ImageJ software

(https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/). Up to five sperm and sperm heads were measured for each of up

to 8 males across two replicates for both the mutant and control treatments.

2.3 SR length

Females were aged 5 days and then frozen in their food vials until dissection. Females were

then thawed, and thorax length was measured as a proxy for body size using a ruler reticle in a

Nikon SMZ-745 stereoscope at maximum magnification. The lower reproductive tract was

dissected with fine forceps into a droplet of 1X PBS, and the SR was carefully unraveled using a

fine insect pin. The sample was then mounted under a coverslip with clay on the corners to

allow compression of the sample into a single plane without overcompression. SRs were

immediately visualized at 100X or 200X under phase contrast microscopy, and images were

captured using a Canon EOS Rebel T3i camera. For each of two replicates for mutant and

control treatments, 10 females were measured.

2.4 Fitness

We evaluated the fitness consequences of *Eip74EF* knockdown by quantifying male and female

fecundity and male competitive fertilization success in . To measure fecundity, we housed 20

males or females per replicate individually with two Canton-S females or males, respectively,

for seven days, transferring triads (one focal individual and two mates) into new food vials

every two days, without anesthesia. We counted adult progeny that eclosed from all food vials.

This approach to counting progeny to assess fecundity does not account for effects of *Eip74EF*

on egg-to-adult viability, only on the total number of adult progeny that survived to

successfully eclose.

We assessed paternity success of mutant and control males by mating a *w1118* female first

with a Canton-S male and allowing her to remate with a mutant or control male. All matings

occurred in individual vials and were observed directly, recording copulation duration and day

of remating. We scored paternity using eye color, since females, mutant males, and control

males carried a recessive white-eye mutation, while Canton-S competitor males had a dominant

wild type eye color. Paternity was expressed as P2, or proportion of offspring sired by the

second male (here, mutant or control males).

2.5 Molecular evolution

We estimated the rate of positive selection on *Eip74EF* as a measure of adaptive molecular

divergence using DNA sequences from 22 *Drosophila* species downloaded from NCBI. The

codeml program in PAML v4.6 (Yang, 1997; 2007) compares a null model of neutral divergence

(M8) with a model of adaptive divergence under positive selection (M8a), based on the ratio

dN/dS, which compares the number of non-synonymous substitutions (dN) with the number of

synonymous substitutions (dS). $dN/dS = \omega$, and generally $\omega < 1$ points to purifying selection, ω

= 1 indicates neutrality, and $\omega > 1$ suggests positive selection. However, ω is rarely greater than

1, since multiple sites across multiple lineages are unlikely to be under positive selection for an

extended period. Thus, ω estimates are conservative indicators of positive selection on codons.

The critical values for this test are 2.71 at 5% and 5.41 at 1%, with a null distribution of point

mass 0 and χ^2_1 (Self & Liang, 1987).

2.6 Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed in R v.3.5.3 (R Core Team, 2013). Total sperm length and

sperm head length were analyzed using lmer within library lme4, with knockdown treatment as

a fixed effect, male identity nested within replicate as a random effect, and researcher identity

as a random effect, with restricted maximum likelihood (REML) parameter estimation. SR

length was found to be negatively correlated with body size (thorax length) (Pearson's product-

moment correlation, t_{42} = -2.514; P = 0.0159), so thorax length was included as a random effect in

a linear mixed model, with knockdown treatment and replicate as fixed effects, and thorax

length as a random effect. SR lengths were all measured by the same individual. Fecundity data

were analyzed using lmer with knockdown treatment as a fixed effect and replicate as a random

effect, with maximum likelihood parameter estimation, because there was only one random

effect. For all analyses implemented in lmer, P-values were estimated using a Type II Wald chi-

square test with Anova in the car library. Here, we report knockdown treatment effect sizes as

the *t*-value from the mixed model regression, along with the χ^2 and p-value from the Wald's

test.

From the sperm competition experiment, we also obtained remating rate (proportion of

females that remated), copulation duration, and day of remating. Remating rate was analyzed

using a chi-square test in two different ways: with replicates as categories (N = 4) and with

knockdown treatments as categories (N = 2), both yielding the same result. Copulation duration

was analyzed using lmer with knockdown treatment as a fixed effect and replicate as a random

effect, with maximum likelihood parameter estimation. We report results as for other lmer

analyses described above. For paternity success, we used logistic regression with a logit link

function and binomial error distribution (after ensuring no overdispersion in the data),

implemented using glm.

3.   RESULTS

Eip74EF is rapidly evolving under positive selection, with an M8 model estimate of -20882.5,

M8a of -20875.6, and a χ^2 of 13.80, well above the 1% critical threshold of 5.41. Knockdown of

Eip74EF resulted in shorter sperm (t = -5.341, χ^2_1 = 28.53, P < 0.0001; Figure 1a) but had no effect

on sperm head length (t = -1.48, χ^2_1 = 2.191, P = 0.139; Figure 1b) nor female SR length (t = 1.132,

χ^2_1 = 1.281, P = 0.258; Figure 1c). Disruption of *Eip74EF* led to an increase in male fecundity (t =

3.529, χ^2_1 = 12.45, P = 0.0004; Figure 2a) but a decrease in female fecundity (t = -10.17, χ^2_1 =

103.43, P < 0.0001; Figure 2b). In other words, female fecundity was adversely affected while

male fecundity was positively affected. In the sperm competition experiment, remating rate

(replicates as groups: χ^2_3 = 3.795, P = 0.284; treatment as groups: χ^2_1 = 0.976, P = 0.323), day of

remating (t = 0.336, χ^2_1 = 0.113, P = 0.737), copulation duration (t = 0.109, χ^2_1 = 0.012, P = 0.913),

and paternity success (P_2; z = 0.163, P = 0.871) were all unaffected by *Eip74EF* knockdown.

4.   DISCUSSION

We found that *Eip74EF* is evolving rapidly under positive selection, a surprising result given

that its roles in molting and metamorphosis, highly conserved processes, would suggest that it

should be under strong purifying selection. However, we identified a novel role for *Eip74EF*

during sperm morphogenesis, in the positive regulation of sperm length, a rapidly evolving

trait. *Eip74EF* is best understood as part of the ecdysone signaling pathway, with spikes in

expression associated with larval molts (Bodai, Zsindely, Gáspár, Kristó, Komonyi, & Boros,

2012) and puparium formation (Fletcher & Thummel, 1995). However, *Eip74EF* has also been

implicated in a wide variety of other developmental processes, including oogenesis (Kozlova &

Thummel, 2000), autophagic programmed cell death in salivary glands during metamorphosis

(Lee & Baehrecke, 2001), ageing-related processes in the brain (Liu et al., 2012), and silk

production in the silk moth *Bombyx mori* (Zhang, Cheng, Jin, Guo, Wu, Liu, Xu, Sun, Li, He, &

Xia, 2017). The ecdysone signaling pathway more generally influences spermatogenic cyst

differentiation through antagonistic interactions with EGF signaling (Qian, Dominado, Zoller,

Ng, Kudyba, Siddall, Hime, & Schulz, 2014), but it is unknown if *Eip74EF* is specifically

involved in this process.

Eip74EF is an E26 transformation specific (ETS) domain transcription factor, with little

known about the downstream genes that it regulates. We do know that Eip74EF is not present

in the sperm proteome (Dorus et al., 2006) and is therefore not directly involved in sperm

structure, motility, or physiology, but it may regulate expression of the sperm proteome. There

are two primary Eip74EF isoforms (A and B) whose expression is controlled by alternate

promoters and transcription start sites. However, both isoforms have overlapping functions in

larval development and metamorphosis (Bodai et al., 2012).

On the face of it, it is curious that knockdown of *Eip74EF* has opposing consequences in

males and females, with increased fecundity in males but decreased fecundity in females. The

result in females is more easily explained by the role that *Eip74EF* plays in oogenesis; without it,

oocyte maturation arrests at stage 9 (Kozlova & Thummel, 2000). In males, *Eip74EF* promotes

longer sperm but seemingly at a cost to male fecundity. There are a number of events between

mating and oviposition during which fecundity could ultimately be affected, including sperm

transfer, sperm storage, sperm retention in storage, ovulation, sperm release from storage,

fertilization, oviposition, and offspring viability to adulthood. It is possible that there is a trade-

off in *Eip74EF* mutants between producing longer sperm and producing more sperm, such that

because mutants produce shorter sperm, they are now able to produce more sperm. It is also

possible that more sperm are able to enter storage if they are shorter. *Eip74EF* is

transcriptionally active in male accessory glands (Flybase, modENCODE), but Eip74EF protein

was not identified in a recent proteomic screen as expressed in male accessory gland, much less

transferred to females (Sepil, Hopkins, Dean, Thézénas, Charles, Konietzny, Fischer, Kessler, &

Wigby, 2019).

Despite having shorter sperm, *Eip74EF* mutant males were not less competitive during

sperm competition. Previous work found that the long-sperm advantage only occurs when

mating with females with long SRs. We did not measure the sperm length of females used in the

paternity study, nor did we measure sperm length in the standard male competitors. It is

possible that the combinations of male sperm and female SR phenotypes were not conducive to

observing a fitness effect of *Eip74EF* under sperm competition. More controlled experiments in

which knockdown males competed against males of known sperm length within females of

known SR length would more clearly demonstrate a role for *Eip74EF* in sperm competition.

However, given the extensive work on the role of sperm length in sperm competition (Miller

and Pitnick, 2002; Lüpold, Manier, Berben, Smith, Daley, Buckley, Belote, & Pitnick, 2012; 2016;

in review; Manier, Belote, Starmer, Berben, Lüpold, Ala-Honkola, Collins, & Pitnick, 2013), we

can safely conclude that any genetic mechanism that promotes sperm length while leaving

fertility unaffected can be considered to be a "sperm competition gene".

What is driving the rapid evolution of *Eip74EF*? On an evolutionary level, it is selection

on sperm length, mediated by length of the SR. However, most reproductive proteins that are

rapidly evolving are ones that interact directly with female-derived molecules, such as gamete-

recognition proteins that mediate fertilization and seminal fluid proteins that modify and are

modified by molecules in the female reproductive tract (Wilburn & Swanson, 2016). Here, we

demonstrate rapid evolution of a protein that has no known direct interactions with female-

derived molecules, nor does it affect length of the SR, which does directly interact with sperm

length. Dorus et al. (2006) found that many sperm proteome proteins are well-conserved,

suggesting that structural proteins that form the building blocks of spermatozoa are evolving

slowly, while the molecular builders that regulate sperm morphogenesis evolve more rapidly.

Thus, the molecular secret to rapid evolution and the vast morphological diversity of sperm lies

not in its final structure but in its development, harkening back to the old adage of evo-devo:

we cannot understand the evolution of morphological diversity until we understand the

evolution of development.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Experimental assistance was provided by Michael DeNieu, Gary Hovsepian, Paul Kwon, Isvita

Marfatia, and Ponmali Photavath. This work was funded by NSF DEB-1257859 to MKM and

JMB, and the George Washington University Luther Rice Undergraduate Research and Harlan

Undergraduate Research Fellowships to SC.

REFERENCES

Alpern JHM, Asselin MM, Moehring AJ. 2019. Identification of a novel sperm class and its role

in fertilization in *Drosophila*. J Evol Biol 32: 259-266. https://doi.org/10.1111/jeb.13409

Bennison C, Hemmings N, Slate J, Birkhead T. 2015. Long sperm fertilize more eggs in a bird.

Proc R Soc B 282:20141897. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2014.1897

Blengini CS, Sergio N, Gabriela C, Giojalas LC, Margarita C. 2014. Variability in sperm form and

function in the context of sperm competition risk in two *Tupinambis* lizards. Ecol Evol

4:4080-4092. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.1262

Bodai L, Zsindely N, Gáspár R, Kristó I, Komonyi O, Boros IM. 2012. Ecdysone induced gene

expression is associated with acetylation of histone H3 lysine 23 in *Drosophila*

melanogaster. PLoS One 7:e40565. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0040565

Dorus S, Busby SA, Gerike U, Shabanowitz J, Hunt DF, Karr TL. 2006. Genomic and functional

evolution of the *Drosophila* sperm proteome. Nat Genet 38:1440-1445.

https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1915

Eberhard WG. 1986. Female Control: Sexual Selection By Cryptic Female Choice. Princeton:

Princeton University Press. p 1-472.

Firman RC, Gasparini C, Manier MK, Pizzari T. 2017. Postmating female control: 20 years of

cryptic female choice. Trends Ecol Evol 32:368-382.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2017.02.010

Firman RC, Simmons LW. 2010. Sperm midpiece length predicts sperm swimming velocity in

house mice. Biol Lett 6:513-516. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2009.1027

Fitzpatrick JL, Montgomerie R, Desjardins JK, Stiver KA, Kolm N, Balshine S. 2009. Female

promiscuity promotes the evolution of faster sperm in cichlid fishes. Proc Natl Acad Sci

U S A 106:1128-1132. https://doi.org/ 10.1073/pnas.0809990106

Fletcher JC, Thummel CS. 1995. The *Drosophila* E74 gene is required for the proper stage- and

tissue-specific transcription of ecdysone-regulated genes at the onset of metamorphosis.

Development 121:1411-1421.

Godwin JL, Vasudeva R, Michalczyk Ł, Martin OY, Lumley AJ, Chapman T, Gage MJG. 2017.

Experimental evolution reveals that sperm competition intensity selects for longer, more

costly sperm. Evol Lett 1-2:102-113. https://doi.org/10.1002/evl3.13

Handler AM, Harrell RA. 1999. Germline transformation of *Drosophila melanogaster* with the

piggyBac transposon vector. Insect Mol Biol 8:449–457. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-

2583.1999.00139.x

Horn C, Wimmer EA. 2000. A versatile vector set for animal transgenesis. Devel Genes Evol

210:630-637.

Jiang C, Lamblin A-FJ, Steller H, Thummel CS. 2000. A steroid-triggered transcriptional

hierarchy controls salivary gland cell death during *Drosophila* metamorphosis. Mol Cell

5:445-455. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1097-2765(00)80439-6

Kahrl AF, Cox RM. 2017. Consistent differences in sperm morphology and testis size between

native and introduced populations of three anolis lizard species. J Herpetol 51:532-537.

https://doi.org/10.1670/16-184

Kozlova T, Thummel CS. 2000. Steroid regulation of postembryonic development and

reproduction in *Drosophila*. Trends Endocrinol Metab 7:276-280.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S1043-2760(00)00282-4

Lee C-Y, Baehrecke EH. 2001. Steroid regulation of autophagic programmed cell death during

development. Development 128:1443-1455.

Liu N, Landreh M, Cao K, Abe M, Hendriks G-J, Kennerdell JR, Zhu Y, Wang L-S, Bonini NM.

2012. The microRNA miR-34 modulates ageing and neurodegeneration in *Drosophila*.

Nature 482:519-523. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10810

Lüpold S, Calhim S, Immler S, Birkhead TR. 2009. Sperm morphology and sperm velocity in

passerine birds. Proc R Soc B 276:1175-1181. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2008.1645

Lüpold S, Manier MK, Berben KS, Smith KJ, Daley BD, Buckley SH, Belote JM, Pitnick S. 2012.

How multivariate ejaculate traits determine competitive fertilization success in

Drosophila melanogaster. Curr Biol 22:1667-1672.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2012.06.059

Lüpold S, Manier MK, Puniamoorthy N, Schoff C, Starmer WT, Buckley Luepold SH, Belote JM,

Pitnick S. 2016. How sexual selection can drive the evolution of costly sperm

ornamentation. Nature 533:535-538. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature18005

Lüpold S, Reil JB, Manier MK, Zeender V, Belote JM, Pitnick S. *in review*. Female × male and

male × male interactions have limited influence on competitive fertilization in *Drosophila*

melanogaster. Evol Lett https://doi.org/10.1101/702720

Lüpold S, Westneat DF, Birkhead TR. 2011. Geographical variation in sperm morphology in the

red-winged blackbird (*Agelaius phoeniceus*). Evol Ecol 25:373–390.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10682-010-9410-5

Manier MK, Belote JM, Berben KS, Novikov D, Stuart WT, Pitnick S. 2010. Resolving

mechanisms of competitive fertilization success in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Science

328:354–357. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1187096

Manier MK, Belote JM, Starmer WT, Berben KS, Lüpold S, Ala-Honkola O, Collins WF, Pitnick

S. 2013. Postcopulatory sexual selection generates speciation phenotypes in Drosophila.

Curr Biol 23:1853-1862. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2013.07.086

Manier MK, Palumbi SR. 2008. Intraspecific divergence in sperm morphology of the green sea

urchin, *Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis*: implications for selection in broadcast

spawners. BMC Evol Biol 8:283. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-8-283

Miller GT, Pitnick S. 2002. Sperm-female coevolution in *Drosophila*. Science 298:1230-1233.

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1076968

Parker GA. 1970. Sperm competition and its evolutionary consequences in the insects. Biol Rev

45:525-567. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-185X.1970.tb01176.x

Pitnick S, Hosken DJ, Birkhead TR. 2009. Sperm morphological diversity. In: Birkhead TR,

Hosken DJ, Pitnick S, editors. Sperm biology: an evolutionary perspective. San Diego:

Academic Press. p 69-149.

Pitnick S, Markow TA, Spicer GS. 1995. Delayed male maturity is a cost of producing large

sperm in *Drosophila*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 92:10614-10618. https://doi.org/

10.1073/pnas.92.23.10614.

Pitnick S, Markow T, Spicer GS. 1999. Evolution of multiple kinds of female sperm storage

organs in *Drosophila*. Evolution 53:1804-1822. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-

5646.1999.tb04564.x

Pitnick S, Miller GT, Schneider K, Markow TA. 2003. Ejaculate-female coevolution in *Drosophila*

mojavensis. Proc R Soc B 270:1507-1512. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2003.2382

Qian Y, Dominado N, Zoller R, Ng C, Kudyba K, Siddall NA, Hime GR, Schulz C. 2014.

Ecdysone signaling opposes epidermal growth factor signaling in regulating cyst

differentiation in the male gonad of *Drosophila melanogaster*. Dev Biol 394:217-227.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2014.08.019

R Core Team. 2013. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for

Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. (http://www.R-project.org)

Self SG, Liang K-Y. 1987. Asymptotic properties of maximum likelihood estimators and

likelihood ratio tests under nonstandard conditions. J Am Stat Assoc 398:605-610.

https://doi.org/10.2307/2289471

Sepil I, Hopkins BR, Dean R, Thézénas M-L, Charles PD, Konietzny R, Fischer R, Kessler BM,

Wigby S. 2019. Quantitative proteomics identification of seminal fluid proteins in male

Drosophila melanogaster. Mol Cell Proteomics 18:S46-S58.

https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.RA118.000831

Snook RR. 1997. Is the production of multiple sperm types adaptive? Evolution 51:797-808.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1997.tb03662.x

Snook RR. 2005. Sperm in competition: not playing by the numbers. Trends Ecol Evol 20:46-53.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2004.10.011

Stewart KA, Wang R, Montgomerie R. 2016. Extensive variation in sperm morphology in a frog

with no sperm competition. BMC Evol Biol 16:1–11. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12862-

016-0601-8

Vibranovski MD, Lopes HF, Karr TL, Long M. 2009. Stage-specific profiling of *Drosophila*

spermatogenesis suggests that meiotic sex chromosome inactivation drives genomic

relocation of testis-expressed genes. PLoS Genet 5:e1000731.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000731

Wilburn DB, Swanson WJ. 2016. From molecules to mating: Rapid evolution and biochemical

studies of reproductive proteins. J Proteomics 135:12-25.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot.2015.06.007

Yang Z. 1997. PAML: a program package for phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood.

Comput Appl Biosci 13:555–556 (http://abacus.gene.ucl.ac.uk/software/paml.html).

https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/13.5.555

Yang Z. 2007. PAML 4: phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. Mol Biol Evol 24:1586–

1591. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msm088

Zajitschek S, Zajitschek F, Josway S, Al Shabeeb R, Weiner H, Manier MK. 2019. Costs and

benefits of giant sperm and sperm storage organs in *Drosophila melanogaster*. J Evol Biol

in press. https://doi.org/10.1101/652248

Zhang Q, Cheng T, Jin S, Guo Y, Wu Y, Liu D, Xu X, Sun Y, Li Z, He H, Xia Q. 2017. Genome-

wide open chromatin regions and their effects on the regulation of silk protein genes in

Bombyx mori. Sci Rep 7:12919. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-13186-6

FIGURE CAPTIONS

FIGURE 1 *E74A^BG01805* males had significantly shorter sperm ($P < 0.0001$) (a) with no difference

in sperm head length ($P = 0.139$) (b), and *E74A^BG01805* females had no change in SR length ($P =$

0.258) (c) relative to controls.

FIGURE 2 *E74A^BG01805* males had higher fecundity (P = 0.0004) (a), while *E74A^BG01805* females had

lower fecundity (P < 0.0001) (b).

FIGURE 3 The *E74A^BG01805* mutation had no effect on a male's ability to influence female

remating rate ($P = 0.323$) (a), female latency to remate in days ($P = 0.737$) (b), copulation

duration in minutes ($P = 0.913$) (c), and P_2 ($P = 0.871$) (d).

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

453