LTD at amygdalocortical synapses as a novel mechanism for hedonic learning

Running title: Synaptic mechanisms for CTA learning

Melissa S. Haley, Stephen Bruno, Alfredo Fontanini and Arianna Maffei[*]

Affiliations. Dept. of Neurobiology and Behavior, SUNY – Stony Brook, Stony Brook, NY

Abstract: 150 words;
Introduction: 490 words;
Discussion: 1949 words
7 Figures;
Methods: 2549 words;

Keywords: insular cortex, LTD, learning, plasticity, taste, conditioned taste aversion

Contributions. All authors were involved in writing and commenting the manuscript.
M.S. Haley designed and performed the experiments, analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript.
S. Bruno performed immediate early genes immunohistochemistry and cell counting.
A. Fontanini obtained funding, designed the study and wrote the manuscript.
A. Maffei obtained funding, designed the study, supervised experiments and analysis, and wrote the manuscript.

Send correspondence to: Arianna Maffei, Dept. of Neurobiology and Behavior, Life Science Building Rm 536, SUNY – Stony Brook, Stony Brook, NY 11794-5230. E-mail: Arianna.maffei@stonybrook.edu

Authors' disclosure: Alfredo Fontanini is a member of the scientific advisory board of Sage Therapeutics, Boston, MA

Acknowledgments: This work was supported by NIH-NIDCD awards DC013770, DC015234 to A. M. and A. F. We wish to thank Dr. Antonello Bonci for useful discussions and feedback on the study.

Abstract

A novel, pleasant taste stimulus becomes aversive if associated with gastric malaise, a form of learning known as conditioned taste aversion (CTA). CTA is common to vertebrates and invertebrates, and is an important survival response: eating the wrong food may be deadly. Previous work reported that CTA depends on the gustatory portion of the insular cortex (GC) and the basolateral nucleus of the amygdala (BLA). However, the synaptic underpinnings of CTA are unknown. Results from molecular and pharmacological studies suggest that CTA is associated with long term potentiation in GC. Differently, experiments in vivo reported decreased activity of GC neurons following CTA. Here we assess the effect of CTA on efficacy and plasticity at the BLA-GC connection. We report that induction of long term depression (LTD) is sufficient to change the hedonic value of a taste stimulus. Our results demonstrate a direct role for amygdalocortical LTD in taste aversion learning.

Introduction

Sensory stimuli are perceived and processed according to their physicochemical and affective signatures. For example, taste perception depends on the chemical identity of a tastant, as well as on its pleasantness or aversiveness (i.e, its hedonic value). Hedonic value can be modulated by experience. Indeed, the affective value of a palatable gustatory stimulus changes if it becomes associated with gastric malaise, a process known as conditioned taste aversion (CTA (Garcia et al., 1955)). In laboratory settings, CTA can be induced in rodents by association of a sucrose solution with gastric malaise caused by an intraperitoneal (ip) injection of lithium chloride. In naïve animals, this association reliably produces a persistent hedonic shift capable of driving avoidance even after a single conditioning trial. Inactivation studies point to the involvement of the gustatory portion of the insular cortex (GC; (Braun et al., 1972; Flynn et al., 1991b; Yamamoto et al., 1995; Cubero et al., 1999)) and the basolateral amygdala (BLA; (Morris et al., 1999)) as key regions necessary for CTA. Evidence from these studies is further corroborated by experiments investigating the interaction between these two regions. In naïve animals, analysis of GC neurons' activity following inactivation of BLA indicates that amygdalar inputs to GC provide information about the affective dimension of taste stimuli (Piette et al., 2012). Recent studies suggest that the expression of aversive behaviors related to taste depend on the output of GC to the amygdala (Lavi et al., 2018; Schiff et al., 2018; Kayyal et al., 2019).

While the involvement of GC, BLA and their connections in CTA is well-established, the synaptic changes underlying this form of learning remain unclear. Different experimental approaches produced results that are hard to reconcile. In GC, analysis of molecular markers for synaptic plasticity was brought as evidence that CTA induced long term potentiation (LTP) of GC synapses (Rosenblum et al., 1993; Escobar and Bermudez-Rattoni, 2000; Berman and Dudai,

2001; Escobar et al., 2002; Gal-Ben-Ari and Rosenblum, 2011; Rodriguez-Duran et al., 2011;

Guzman-Ramos and Bermudez-Rattoni, 2012). Nevertheless, analysis of GC and BLA neurons'

spiking activity in awake rodents reported a decrease in firing rate in both regions following CTA

(Grossman et al., 2008) – a finding that is inconsistent with the hypothesized induction of LTP.

Here we use a combination of immediate early gene immunohistochemistry, patch clamp

electrophysiology, and optogenetic stimulation to study plasticity of amygdalocortical circuits in

CTA. We demonstrate that CTA reduces activity in GC, and that this decrease is accompanied by

long term depression at BLA synapses onto pyramidal neurons in layer 2/3 of GC. Finally, we

identify patterns of activity that induce LTD at the BLA-GC input and show that substituting the

gastric malaise with this pattern at BLA-GC terminal fields *in vivo* following sucrose consumption

is sufficient to reduce the preference for this tastant. Our results provide the first direct evidence

that CTA depends on LTD at amygdalocortical synapses, and that this plasticity alters the affective

dimension of a sensory stimulus.

Results

In order to investigate whether learning induces synaptic plasticity at amygdalocortical

synapses in GC, we trained animals in a conditioned taste aversion paradigm where novel,

palatable sucrose (0.1M in water) was paired with gastric malaise induced by an intraperitoneal

(ip) injection of lithium chloride (LiCl, 0.15M, 7.5mL/kg body weight; **Fig. 1a**). Animals were

placed on a water restriction schedule where they received 15 min access to water from a drinking

spout in an experimental chamber in the morning, followed by one-hour access to water in their

home cages 4 hours later. After four days of habituation, on day 5 and 7, the morning water was

replaced with 0.1M sucrose, and the animals received an ip injection of LiCl immediately after

consumption. In the control group, pseudo-conditioned animals were exposed to both the US and

CS, but they received the LiCl injection the night before sucrose access, and therefore did not form

an association between the gastric malaise and tastant. Learning was assessed on day 8 using a 2-

bottle test. Average fluid consumption across habituation days for each group was comparable,

and animals in both groups initially found the sucrose solution to be palatable, consuming more

on the first conditioning day compared to baseline water intake. (**Fig. 1b**; Baseline H_2O, Control:

4.29 ± 0.18 mL/day, N = 27; CTA: 4.21 ± 0.16 mL/day, N = 28, control vs. CTA, p = 0.75. C1

sucrose, Control: 6.58 ± 0.36mL, N=27, vs. H2O, $p < 10^{-8}$; CTA: 6.91 ± 0.31mL, N=28, vs. H2O,

$p < 10^{-10}$). However, this preference shifts in the CTA group following the LiCl injection, as

indicated by decreased consumption of sucrose on the second conditioning day, and a strong

preference for water at testing (**Fig. 1b**; 2-bottle test, Control, sucrose: 7.77 ± 0.34mL, water: 1.46

± 0.24mL, N = 27, $p < 10^{-13}$; CTA, sucrose: 0.65 ± 0.11mL, water: 4.65 ± 0.22mL, N = 28; $p < 10^{-14}$

^{14}). A significant difference in the aversion index (AI), calculated as ((water – sucrose)/total

volume) (**Fig. 1c**; water preferred for 0 < AI < 1; sucrose preferred if -1 < AI < 0), could be seen

in animals in which the exposure to the tastant was followed by an ip injection of LiCl (**Fig. 1c**,

CTA, AI: 0.75 ± 0.04, N = 28), compared to animals that received LiCl dissociated from the tastant

exposure (**Fig. 1c**, control, AI: -0.69 ± 0.04, N = 27; vs. CTA, $p < 10^{-31}$). Following the 2-bottle

test, brain tissue was harvested for either immunohistological processing or acute slice recording

experiments. To ensure that the 2-bottle test did not initiate CTA extinction, in a subset of rats we

repeated the 2-bottle test on two consecutive days (**Fig. 2a**). Results from the 2-bottle tests (**Fig.**

2b; Water, test 1: 5.41 ± 0.90 mL, test 2: 4.90 ± 1.02 mL, p = 0.57; Sucrose, test 1: 0.54 ± 0.15,

test 2: 0.47 ± 0.14 mL, p = 0.77) and aversion indices on test day and 24 hours later were

comparable (**Fig. 2b**; Test 1, AI: 0.77 ± 0.08; Test 2, AI: 0.74 ± 0.08; Test 1 vs Test 2: p = 0.71,

N = 8). These results indicate that in our paradigm, CTA was reliably induced, and the 2-bottle

test did not initiate an extinction process.

Figure 1: Conditioned taste aversion learning differentially recruits EXC neurons in L2/3 aGC. (A)

Behavioral paradigm schematic. Top, red: CTA condition – animals (N = 28) received ip LiCl (7.5mL/kg

of 0.15M LiCl) after sucrose exposure. Bottom, blue: Control condition – animals received ip LiCl evening

prior to exposure to a sucrose solution. **(B)** Average fluid consumption across training days for each group

(outline: H_2O, solid: sucrose; top, red: CTA group, bottom, blue: control group). **(C)** Aversion index on test

day for control group (blue) and CTA group (red). **(D)** Representative image depicting region of interest

(ROI, L2/3 aGC, white box). Scale bar = 500μm. Red: immediate early gene; blue: Nissl counterstain. For

details on imaging procedures see extended Methods. **(E)** Representative images of c-Fos expression (top)

and EGR1 expression (bottom) following 2-bottle testing from control group (left) and CTA group (right).

Scale bar = 100μm. **(F)** Average counts of c-fos expressing (top, c-Fos: control, blue; CTA, red) and EGR1

expressing (bottom, EGR-1: control, blue; CTA, red) neurons normalized to the area of the ROI. * indicates

$p \leq 0.05$. Error bars ± SEM.

Expression of CTA learning results in decreased recruitment of L2/3 EXC neurons.

To begin assessing the effects of CTA on GC, we quantified neurons expressing the activity-dependent immediate early genes (IEGs) c-Fos and EGR1 one hour after the 2-bottle test (**Fig. 1d-f**). We focused our analysis on pyramidal neurons in layers 2/3 of GC, as our previous work showed that they receive a powerful input from BLA (Haley et al., 2016). There was a significant decrease in the number of c-Fos and EGR1 positive neurons in the CTA group versus control (**Fig. 1d-f**; c-Fos, control: 128.13 ± 9.46 positive nuclei/$0.1mm^2$, N = 6, CTA: 82.21 ± 11.73 positive nuclei/$0.1mm^2$, N = 6, $p < 0.01$; EGR1, control: 175.90 ± 15.65 positive nuclei/$0.1mm^2$, N = 6, CTA: 133.03 ± 10.10 positive nuclei/$0.1mm^2$, N = 6, $p < 0.05$), indicating that expression of the learned aversion to sucrose decreased GC neuronal activation. This effect is consistent with previous reports showing a reduction in GC spiking activity (Grossman et al., 2008).

Figure 2: Stability of CTA learning across testing days. (A) Training procedure to assess whether the 2-bottle test does not represent an extinction trial. Top: diagram of behavioral paradigm. **(B)** Left: Average fluid consumption across training session. Middle: Results from 2-bottle tests on two consecutive days. Right: Aversion indices on test day and 24 hours later, N = 8. * indicates $p \le 0.05$. Error bars $\pm$ SEM.

CTA learning does not affect the intrinsic excitability of L2/3 pyramidal neurons in GC but decreases spontaneous synaptic drive.

The decrease in the number of IEG-labeled neurons following CTA suggests that the associative learning between taste and malaise induced plastic changes in this region of GC, but does not indicate which form of plasticity these changes represent (Inberg et al., 2013; Gandolfi et al., 2017). Indeed, the effect could result from changes to the intrinsic properties of GC neurons, affecting their overall excitability and input/output function. Alternatively, the decrease in expression could be associated with reduced synaptic efficacy, either at specific inputs, such as BLA, or with altered overall synaptic drive onto these neurons.

We first assessed the effect of CTA on the input/output function of pyramidal neurons in L2/3 of GC. Rats were trained on the control or the CTA paradigm (**Fig. 1a**) starting at postnatal day 28 (P28). Following the 2-bottle test, acute slice preparations were obtained for whole-cell patch clamp recordings (Haley et al., 2016). Excitatory neurons were identified online based on their firing response to steady-state current injection, as well as their pyramidal morphology under DIC optics. Recorded neurons were filled with biocytin for post-hoc confirmation of morphology and location, and lack of immunostaining for the GABAergic neuronal marker, GAD-67. All recorded neurons included in the analysis were in L2/3, showed pyramidal morphology, and were negative for GAD67 (**Fig 3a**). To test for possible changes in input/output function, we quantified the frequency of action potentials as a function of the amplitude of injected steady state current steps (FI curve, increments of 50pA). Recordings were performed in the presence of ionotropic receptor blockers (20μm DNQX, 50μm AP5, 20μm picrotoxin) to assess intrinsic membrane properties. CTA did not affect the input resistance (IR) of GC neurons, did not change the action potential threshold (AP), and left the input/output curve unaltered, indicating a lack of changes in intrinsic

properties (**Fig. 3b, c**; input resistance, Control: 149.43 ± 12.98 MΩ, N = 4 rats; n = 19 neurons;

CTA: 139.26 ± 10.18 MΩ, p = 0.54, N = 4 rats, n = 19 neurons; AP threshold, Control: -36.38 ±

0.75 mV; CTA: -38.36 ± 0.98 mV, p = 0.10; FI slope, Control: 0.06 ± 0.01; CTA: 0.06 ± 0.01, p

= 0.91).

Figure 3: CTA learning does not affect intrinsic excitability but reduces excitatory synaptic drive

onto EXC neurons in L2/3 aGC. (A) Images of example recorded neurons from a control animal (top)

and CTA animal (bottom). Left: biocytin (green), middle: GAD-67 (magenta), right: Merge showing lack

of co-localization. Scale bar = 25μm. **(B)** Steady-state firing patterns of neurons from a. Left: control, blue;

right: CTA, red. **(C)** Average input resistance (left) and FI curves (right) for control group (blue) and CTA

group (red). **(D)** Left: Sample traces of sEPSCs (holding = -50mV) onto a control neuron (blue) and CTA

neuron (red). Right: Summary of EXC charge for control group (blue) and CTA group (red). **(E)** Cumulative

probability plots for sEPSC amplitude (left), and sEPSC frequency (right). Insets represent average values

for control group (blue) and CTA group (red).* indicates p ≤ 0.05. Error bars ± SEM.

These data indicate that following CTA expression, the decrease in the number of GC neurons

expressing IEGs is not related to learning-dependent plasticity of intrinsic membrane properties.

Next, we examined if the decreased IEG activation following CTA expression could result from

changes in synaptic drive. We performed patch clamp recordings in voltage clamp using a cesium

based internal solution that allowed for the isolation of spontaneous excitatory (sEPSCs) currents

by holding neurons at the reversal potential for chloride (-50mV in our experimental conditions)

(**Fig. 3d-e**).   Analysis of spontaneous synaptic events unveiled a significant decrease in the

cumulative amplitude distribution of spontaneous excitatory synaptic currents (**Fig. 3e**; sEPSCs:

K-S test, Control vs CTA: $p < 10^{-6}$; Control: N = 6 rats, n = 22 neurons; CTA: N = 5 rats, n = 22

neurons), but no change in their frequency (**Fig. 3e**; sEPSCs: K-S test, Control vs CTA: p = 0.3;

Control: N = 6, n = 22; CTA: N = 5, n = 22).

The decrease in amplitude of spontaneous events was sufficient to result in a significant reduction

in spontaneous excitatory synaptic charge (**Fig. 3d**; Control, EXC: 16.3 ± 1.0 pA/ms; N = 6 rats,

n = 22 neurons; CTA, EXC: 13.2 ± 0.07 pA/ms; Control vs CTA, EXC: p < 0.02).   Our results

exclude the possibility that CTA altered intrinsic excitability and point to decreased excitatory

synaptic transmission as a possible plasticity mechanism for this learning paradigm. Furthermore,

given that CTA-induced effects on synaptic drive were detectable in L2/3 pyramidal neurons

sampled across the extent of GC, it is highly unlikely for them to depend on selective plasticity on

a clustered subset of neurons (Wang et al., 2018b).

CTA learning decreases BLA input onto L2/3 pyramidal neurons in aGC

Previous work demonstrated that CTA induction is impaired by inactivation of either GC or

BLA (Braun et al., 1972; Bermudez-Rattoni and McGaugh, 1991; Morris et al., 1999), suggesting

that the connection between these regions may be a fundamental player in this form of learning.

The reduction in the distribution of large events we observed is consistent with the possibility that

CTA may affect afferent inputs onto GC neurons, as these typically have larger amplitudes than

recurrent events (Wang et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2018a). We therefore asked whether the BLA-

GC input onto pyramidal neurons may be affected by CTA. To do that, we used an optogenetic

approach to selectively activate BLA terminal fields while recording pyramidal neurons in the

superficial layers of GC. As extensively characterized in our previous study (Haley et al., 2016),

we injected AAV9.CAG.ChR2-Venus.WPRE.SV40 ((Petreanu et al., 2007); University of

Pennsylvania Vector Core; titer: 5.64^{12} particles/mL) in the BLA (2.1 mm posterior to bregma; 4.7

mm lateral to midline; 7.0 mm below the pia) of P14 rats. CTA training began 14 days after

surgery, at P28. The position of the injection site, and expression of the construct in BLA terminal

fields within GC were verified histologically (**Fig. 4a**). Lack of retrograde transport of the

construct was verified by the lack of backfilled somata in GC. Consistency of expression across

preparations was assessed as indicated in the Methods (**Fig. 4b**), and in our previous work (Wang

et al., 2013; Kloc and Maffei, 2014; Haley et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2018a). Following the 2-bottle

test, acute slices were prepared (1.5 mm to bregma) and patch clamp recordings were obtained

from visually identified L2/3 pyramidal neurons. Brief (5 ms) pulses of blue light (470 nm) were

delivered with an LED mounted on the fluorescence light path of an upright microscope and light-

evoked excitatory postsynaptic currents (BLA-EPSCs) were recorded. The monosynaptic nature

of BLA-EPSCs was verified as described in our previous work (Haley et al., 2016), and an

input/output curve for BLA-EPSCs was obtained using light stimuli of increasing intensity (0.2 –

4.4 mW, measured at the output of the objective). As shown in **Fig 4c**, BLA-EPSC amplitude was

reduced following CTA (**Fig. 4c**; Control: 160.0 ± 22.0 pA, N = 11; n = 29; CTA: 79.5 ± 9.82 pA,

N = 9; n = 27; p < 0.01), consistent with the reduction in spontaneous excitatory charge.

Furthermore, recordings in current clamp unveiled that the decreased amplitude of BLA-EPSCs

resulted in reduced BLA-evoked EPSPs (**Fig. 4c**; Control, BLA-EPSP: 5.82 ± 0.71 mV, N = 11, n

= 29; CTA, BLA-EPSP: 3.91 ± 0.44 mV; N = 9, n = 27; p < 0.05). As there was no change in

input resistance following CTA, the amplitude of BLA-EPSPs shows strong correlation with the

amplitude of BLA-EPSCs (**Fig. 4e**; Control: R = 0.77, R^2 = 0.60; CTA: R = 0.67 R^2 = 0.45; p =

0.43). Since BLA provides a powerful glutamatergic input to L2/3 neurons (Haley et al., 2016),

the decrease in BLA-EPSC amplitude strongly suggests that GC neurons are activated less

effectively by incoming inputs. This effect may explain the reduced expression of IEGs in **Fig. 1d**

and is consistent with reports of decreased GC neurons' activity *in vivo* (Grossman et al., 2008).

Figure 4: CTA decreases BLA input onto L2/3 pyramidal neurons in aGC. (A) Example of histological
verification of injection site and expression of opsin construct in BLA terminal fields in aGC. Left: Image
of ChR2-Venus expression at BLA injection site (scale bar = 500µm; BLA, basolateral nucleus; LA, lateral
nucleus); right: image of ChR2-Venus expression of BLA afferent fibers in aGC (scale bar = 250µm; green:
ChR2-Venus, magenta: Hoechst; gGC, granular GC; dGC, dysgranular GC; aGC, agranular GC). **(B)** Left:
Image of ChR2-VENUS expression in BLA afferent fiber in aGC. Scale bar = 250µm. Right: Calibration
curve of ChR2-VENUS intensity across lamina in aGC (± 1SD). **(C)** Left: Sample traces of optogenetically-
evoked BLA-EPSCs. Right: Summary of BLA-EPSC amplitude onto control neurons (blue) and CTA
neurons (red). **(D)** Left: Sample traces of optogenetically-evoked BLA-EPSPs. Right: Summary of BLA-
EPSP amplitude onto control neurons (blue) and CTA neurons (red). **(E)** Input-output plot of BLA-EPSCs
and BLA-EPSPs for control group (blue) and CTA group (red). * indicates p ≤ 0.05. Error bars ± SEM.

Induction of LTD at BLA-GC synapses is occluded following CTA learning.

We hypothesized that the decrease in BLA-EPSC may be evidence for induction of long term depression (LTD) at BLA-GC synapses onto L2/3 neurons. We first probed the capacity for plasticity by identifying a pattern of activity that could induce LTD at this input in control animals. We developed induction paradigms consistent with phasic and tonic patterns of BLA neuron activity recorded *in vivo* in awake rodents (Fontanini et al., 2009; Parsana et al., 2012). The effect of such activity patterns on spontaneous GC activity was reported in our previous study (Haley et al., 2016). Patch clamp recordings were obtained from L2/3 neurons in GC of slices obtained from control and CTA rats, prepared right after the 2-bottle test. Following acquisition of a 10min baseline recorded in voltage clamp at -70mV, we paired presynaptic 20Hz bursts of light pulses to activate BLA afferents with depolarization of the postsynaptic GC neuron in current clamp, then continued to record neurons in voltage clamp at -70mV for at least 40 min post-induction. This phasic induction pattern reliably induced LTD at BLA-GC synapses in 56.6% of the recordings from control rats (**Fig. 5b-d**; Control: LTD, % change: $36.63 \pm 5.12\%$, N = 8, n = 13/23). The remaining 43.4% of recordings showed either no change (**Fig. 5b**; Control: no change, 21.7%, N = 4, n = 5/23) or potentiation (**Fig. 5b**; Control: LTP, 21.7%, N = 2, n = 5/23), indicating that BLA-GC synapses are capable of expressing both synaptic depression and potentiation. LTD induced by phasic BLA terminal field activation did not show changes in paired pulse ratio (PPR) nor coefficient of variation (CV) (**Fig. 5c**; Control: PPR, pre: 1.48 ± 0.15; post: 1.20 ± 0.10, N = 8, n = 13, p = 0.09; CV, pre: 0.25 ± 0.04; post: 0.22 ± 0.03, N = 8, n = 13, p = 0.49), indicative of a postsynaptic site of expression (Malinow and Malenka, 2002; Kessels and Malinow, 2009), and consistent with the decrease in amplitude, but not frequency, of sEPSCs shown in **Fig. 3b**.

Figure 5. CTA learning occludes LTD induction onto L2/3 pyramidal neurons in aGC. (A) Schematic of 20 Hz plasticity induction paradigm. BLA terminal fields were activated with 20 bursts of 20 light pulses (5ms) at 20Hz delivered every 250ms (Top), while the postsynaptic neuron was depolarized subthreshold by injection of a 50pA current step (Bottom). **(B)** Distribution of the outcome of plasticity induction across all neurons recorded. Left: Control; right: CTA. **(C)** Sample traces of BLA-EPSCs (top) and population PPR (bottom, left,) and CV (bottom, right) before (gray) and after (blue) 20Hz plasticity induction in control group. **(D)** Time course of change in BLA-EPSC amplitude (top) and series resistance (bottom) following 20Hz LTD induction in control group. Boxes indicate pre- and post-induction epochs. **(E)** Sample traces of BLA-EPSCs (top) and summary of PPR (bottom, left) and CV (bottom, right) before (gray) and after (red) 20Hz plasticity induction in CTA group. **(F)** Time course of change in BLA-EPSC amplitude (top) and series resistance (bottom) following 20Hz LTP induction in CTA group. Boxes indicate pre- and post-induction epochs. * indicates $p \leq 0.05$. Error bars ± SEM.

We used this induction paradigm to perform an occlusion experiment, testing the possibility that if CTA has saturated LTD at BLA-GC synapses, further LTD induction will result in no additional depression (Rioult-Pedotti et al., 2000; Maffei et al., 2006; Crozier et al., 2007). LTD induction by phasic patterns of BLA terminal field activity in GC was indeed occluded by CTA (**Fig. 5e,f**): the proportion of recordings showing LTD in response to phasic BLA activity was reduced to 16.7% (from 56.6% in control, **Fig. 5b**; CTA: LTD, 16.7%, N = 4, n = 4/24; χ^2 p < 0.02), while that of recordings showing LTP increased to 50% (from 21.7% in control, **Fig. 5b,e-f**; CTA: LTP, % change: 58.76 ± 10.71%, N = 5, n = 12/24; χ^2 p < 0.01). The proportion of recordings in which induction produced no change in synaptic efficacy was not different from control (**Fig. 5b**; CTA: no change, 33.3%, N = 5, n = 8/24; χ^2 p = 0.13).

Similar to the control group, phasic plasticity induction in the CTA group had no effect on either paired pulse ratio or coefficient of variation, consistent with a postsynaptic site of plasticity expression (**Fig. 5e**; CTA: PPR, pre: 1.29 ± 0.16; post: 1.15 ± 0.16, N = 5, n = 12, p = 0.07; CV, pre: 0.30 ± 0.03; post: 0.25 ± 0.05, N = 5, n = 12, p = 0.40). These results strongly suggest that LTD at the BLA-GC synapse is associated with CTA learning.

To assess the specificity of the occlusion, we tested the effect of CTA on LTD induction with a different paradigm designed to mimic tonic BLA activity (Fontanini et al., 2009; Parsana et al., 2012) (**Fig. 6a**). Here, we paired presynaptic ramping light stimuli to tonically activate BLA afferents (Haley et al., 2016), with depolarization of the postsynaptic GC neuron. LTD induction with the tonic BLA activity regime was not occluded by CTA, resulting in LTD in 100% of the recordings in both control and CTA rats (**Fig. 6a-f**; % change, Control: -65.85 ± 6.59 %, N = 2, n = 7/7; CTA: -51.93 ± 11.60 %, N = 2, n = 5/5; control vs CTA: p = 0.66). This form of LTD was accompanied by a significant reduction in paired-pulse ratio, but no changes in coefficient of

variation (**Fig. 6c,e;** PPR: Control, pre: 1.20 ± 0.08; post: 0.93 ± 0.08, N = 2, n = 7, p < 0.03; CTA,

pre: 1.04 ± 0.02; post: 0.70 ± 0.15, N = 2, n = 5, p < 0.01; CV: Control, pre: 0.18 ± 0.02; post: 0.22

± 0.02, N = 2, n = 7, p = 0.08; CTA, pre: 0.19 ± 0.04; post: 0.21 ± 0.04, N = 2, n = 5, p = 0.34).

Figure 6: Occlusion of LTD following CTA is specific to BLA activity regime. (A) Schematic of tonic

induction paradigm. BLA terminal fields were activated with 6s ramping stimuli delivered every 250ms

(Top), while the postsynaptic neuron was depolarized subthreshold by injection of a 50pA current step

(Bottom). **(B)** Distribution of the outcome of plasticity induction across all neurons recorded. Left: Control;

right: CTA. **(C)** Sample traces of BLA-EPSCs (top) and population PPR (bottom, left,) and CV (bottom,

right) before (gray) and after (blue) 20Hz plasticity induction in control group. **(D)** Time course of change

in BLA-EPSC amplitude (top) and series resistance (bottom) following tonic LTD induction in control

group. Boxes indicate pre- and post-induction epochs. **(E)** Sample traces of BLA-EPSCs (top) and summary

of PPR (bottom, left) and CV (bottom, right) before (gray) and after (red) tonic plasticity induction in CTA

group. **(F)** Time course of change in BLA-EPSC amplitude (top) and series resistance (bottom) following

tonic LTD induction in CTA group. Boxes indicate pre- and post-induction epochs. * indicates $p \leq 0.05$.

Error bars $\pm$ SEM.

This decrease in PPR is consistent with a presynaptic site of plasticity expression, indicating that tonic BLA activity engages a distinct set of plasticity mechanisms that are not consistent with the changes in sEPSC amplitude we observed following CTA. These results strongly suggest that successful CTA learning is associated with postsynaptically expressed LTD induced by phasic activation of BLA afferents in GC.

Phasic activation of BLA terminal fields in GC *in vivo* eliminated sucrose preference.

In view of the selective occlusion of LTD induced by phasic BLA terminal field activation, we asked whether association of novel sucrose and phasic activation of BLA terminal fields in GC would be sufficient to change the hedonic value of the tastant. To do that, we injected the AAV9.CAG.ChR2-Venus.WPRE.SV40 construct ((Petreanu et al., 2007); University of Pennsylvania Vector Core) in BLA and implanted an optic fiber (400 μm) in GC (**Fig. 7a**). As control, a group of animals was injected with an AAV9.hSyn.eGFP.WPRE.bGH construct (University of Pennsylvania Vector Core) and implanted with the optic fiber in GC. Both rat groups were trained on a modified CTA paradigm in which the LiCl-induced malaise was substituted with phasic activation of BLA terminal fields (**Fig. 7c**). As shown in **Fig. 7c**, light activation of BLA terminal fields in GC was sufficient to induce a CTA in our experimental group. Whereas both groups showed an initial preference for sucrose over water (**Fig. 7c**; Baseline H_2O, Control: 6.87 $\pm$ 0.41 mL /day, N = 8; Opto: 7.23 $\pm$ 0.21 mL /day, N = 8, Control vs. Opto, p = 0.45. C1 sucrose, Control: 11.60 $\pm$ 1.01 mL, N = 8, vs. H2O, $p < 10^{-3}$; Opto: 11.10 $\pm$ 1.30 mL, N = 8, vs. H2O, p < 0.01), following phasic stimulation, this preference was eliminated in the Opto group, while a very strong preference for sucrose was maintained in the control group (**Fig 7c**; 2-bottle test: Control, sucrose: 12.03 $\pm$ 0.73 mL; water: 0.77 $\pm$ 0.12 mL; N = 8, $p < 10^{-6}$; Opto, sucrose: 7.91 $\pm$ 1.07;

water: 5.35 ± 1.18 mL; N = 8, p = 0.24). The AI measured following optogenetic induction of CTA

differed significantly from control (**Fig. 7d**; AI, Control: -0.88 ± 0.01, N = 8; Opto: -0.21 ± 0.15,

N = 8; p < 0.003), but was lower than that measured across all other CTA training conditions in

which LiCl was used to induce the malaise (AI, Opto, **Fig. 7d** vs. CTA, **Fig. 1c**, p < 10^{-3}).

Figure 7: BLA terminal field activation *in vivo* with the phasic 20 Hz pattern eliminated sucrose

preference. (A) Cartoon of experimental approach. AAV9.hSyn.eGFP.WPRE.bGH: (Control group) or

AAV9.CAG.ChR2-Venus.WPRE.SV40 (Opto group) were injected in BLA and an optic fiber (400 μm

diameter, coated with DiL) was implanted in aGC. **(B)** Correct positioning of injection site in BLA and of

optic fiber in aGC were verified histologically. Left, green: injection site of ChR2-Venus; magenta: Hoechst

counterstain; Right, green DiL indicating optic fiber tract; magenta: counterstain. White lines delineate

anatomical landmarks indicating the location of BLA (left) and GC (right) based on the rat brain atlas

(Paxinos and Watson, 1998). Scale bar = 500 μm. **(C)** Left: diagram of behavioral paradigm for CTA in

which the LiCl injection was substituted with 20 Hz optogenetic stimulation of BLA terminal fields in GC

following sucrose exposure. To control for non-specific effects of light stimulation, the training was

performed in 2 groups of rats, one injected with an AAV9 construct containing only the fluorescent tag

Venus (Control, N = 8 rats) and one injected with the ChR2-Venus construct (Opto, N = 8 rats). Average

fluid consumption across training days for each group (outline: H_2O, solid: sucrose; gray: Control group,

green: Opto group). **(D)** Right: average aversion index on test day for Control (gray) and Opto (green) rats.

* indicates p ≤ 0.05. Error bars ± SEM.

Nevertheless, phasic BLA terminal field stimulation alone changed the preference for the

sucrose solution over water, indicating that plasticity at the BLA-GC input is sufficient for altering

the hedonic value of a palatable taste stimulus.

Discussion

Our data demonstrate that CTA learning leads to reduced activation of the taste responsive portion

of the insular cortex and to an LTD-related reduction in strength of the BLA-GC connection. The

results also demonstrate that induction of LTD at amygdalocortical synapses onto L2/3 pyramidal

neurons is sufficient for changing the hedonic value of a taste stimulus. These findings, which

confirm the central role of the projection from BLA to GC in CTA, also reveal that circuit changes

following CTA learning do not depend, as previously thought, on strengthening of synaptic

connections, but rely on a significant decrease in synaptic input. Previous work reported substantial

change in the cortical representation of the conditioned tastant in GC following aversive learning

(Yasoshima and Yamamoto, 1998; Accolla et al., 2007; Grossman et al., 2008). Our work provides

novel evidence regarding the circuit mechanisms underlying this reorganization process and

identifies long term plastic changes associated with CTA.

CTA and immediate early gene expression

The behavioral paradigm we used consisted of two rounds of conditioning with taste-malaise

pairing to ensure reliable expression of aversion learning. As a readout of the aversive memory,

we used a 2-bottle test to determine volume consumption of the conditioned stimulus following

learning, and to compute the aversion index between control and CTA animals. The 2-bottle test

did not initiate an extinction process, as we show that the aversion index remained stable when

animals were tested on two consecutive days. Quantification of IEGs, as a proxy for GC neurons'

activity, showed reduced expression of both c-Fos and EGR1 following testing in CTA animals

compared to control. The decrease in IEG expression provides additional support as to the lack of

extinction initiation, as extinction has been shown to increase IEG expression in GC (Hadamitzky

et al., 2015).

In our paradigm, all animals, including controls, are exposed to sucrose twice. While for CTA

animals sucrose is always associated with a gastric malaise, in control animals sucrose is a safe,

palatable stimulus and animals consume it more than water. In control animals, the consumption

of sucrose on the second exposure is higher than the first, consistent with attenuation of neophobia

(Lin and Reilly, 2012) in control rats, an effect that is associated with a reduction in c-fos

expression in GC (Lin et al., 2012). In the CTA group, the consumption of sucrose at the second

exposure was significantly lower than in the first. The differences in IEG expression we report

may reflect a blunted GC activation to sucrose in CTA animals compared to control. Consistent

with this possibility, previous studies showed increased IEG expression in the insular cortex

following consumption of a novel tastant alone (saccharine), but not in response to a LiCl injection

alone (Wilkins and Bernstein 2006; Bernstein and Koh, 2007). Thus, our data support the

interpretation that the decrease in IEG expression are due to animals in the CTA group expressing

an aversion to sucrose, rather than the control group learning that sucrose is "safe". As c-fos

expression is considered a proxy for activity, our results are consistent with previously published

work showing reduced spiking activity of GC neurons in the palatability epoch following CTA

(Grossman et al., 2008; Piette et al., 2012). Thus, the aversive memory induced by our behavioral

paradigm was reliable, allowing us to assess the effects of CTA on the BLA-GC circuit.

CTA reduced excitatory synaptic drive onto GC neurons

Our analysis of synaptic and intrinsic properties of GC neurons allowed us to parse apart which of these components was responsible for the reduction in GC activity seen with CTA. We show that excitatory spontaneous synaptic charge onto GC pyramidal neurons is reduced following CTA, an effect that points to widespread modulation of synaptic transmission in GC, indicating that CTA affects the circuit in GC broadly. CTA altered synaptic drive and capacity for plasticity at BLA-GC synapses, effects consistent with chnges in the activation of GC. These results strongly suggest that decreased synaptic drive onto GC neurons underlie the CTA-dependent circuit reorganization reported in previous work (Accolla and Carleton, 2008) and explain the reduced firing rates of GC neurons following CTA (Grossman et al., 2008).

Analysis of spontaneous excitatory synaptic events revealed significant decreases in amplitudes, and no changes in frequency. These effects are consistent with a postsynaptic site of expression of CTA-dependent modifications of synaptic efficacy (Malinow and Malenka, 2002; Rumpel et al., 2005). Further confirmation of the postsynaptic site of expression comes from analysis of BLA-evoked responses onto GC pyramidal neurons. Following CTA, we observed a significant decrease in the amplitude of BLA-EPSCs and BLA-EPSPs, but no change in their paired pulse ratio. Furthermore, the lack of changes in intrinsic excitability indicate that the profound effects taste aversion learning has on GC pyramidal neurons is via modulations at specific synaptic inputs and not an alteration in intrinsic membrane properties. Together, this set of findings confirm the central role of the BLA-GC connection in CTA learning, and demonstrates a role for plasticity of BLA-evoked synaptic responses onto L2/3 pyramidal neurons in this form of hedonic learning.

Postsynaptically expressed LTD at amygdalocortical synapses as a mechanism for CTA

When we compared the effect of CTA on two different forms of LTD, induced by patterns of stimulation designed to mimic BLA neurons' activity in different behavioral states (Fontanini et al., 2009; Parsana et al., 2012), we found a selective occlusion of plasticity induced by a phasic pattern of activity. Phasic stimulation induced a postsynaptically expressed form of LTD in control rats, but LTP in the CTA group, suggesting that phasic LTD and CTA share common mechanisms. The occlusion was specific to the phasic induction pattern, as LTD induced with a tonic pattern of BLA terminal field activation, a form of LTD with a presynaptic site of expression, was not affected by CTA. These results point to postsynaptic LTD at BLA-GC synapses as a mechanism for taste aversion learning.

The underlying mechanisms of postsynaptically expressed LTP and LTD have been studied extensively. Signaling cascades leading to the regulation of AMPA receptors in the postsynaptic membrane are thought to mediate changes in synaptic efficacy (Malinow and Malenka, 2002; Kessels and Malinow, 2009). Current thinking associates learning with an increase in synaptic efficacy (Bliss and Collingridge, 1993; Rogan et al., 1997; Dityatev and Bolshakov, 2005), and changes in molecular markers for plasticity following CTA have been interpreted as indication of LTP expression (Shema et al., 2007; Gal-Ben-Ari and Rosenblum, 2011). However, many of the molecular mechanisms involved in CTA (Berman and Dudai, 2001; Gal-Ben-Ari and Rosenblum, 2011; Guzman-Ramos and Bermudez-Rattoni, 2012) can be engaged by both LTP and LTD (Yilmaz-Rastoder et al., 2011; Gandolfi et al., 2017; Li and Pozzo-Miller, 2019). Additional evidence in support of the interpretation that CTA should potentiate BLA input to GC came from studies based on BLA activation with drug infusions or tetanic electrical stimulation during CTA learning. Local field potential (LFP) recordings in GC of anesthetized rodents showed that the induction of LTP of the population signal evoked by tetanic stimulation of the amygdala was

occluded by CTA (Escobar and Bermudez-Rattoni, 2000; Escobar et al., 2002), suggesting that

plasticity at the BLA input to GC may play a role in this learning paradigm. However, this

experimental design does not assess whether the baseline response has been affected by CTA, nor

which component of the population signal has been modified by CTA. Furthermore, these data do

not indicate whether LTP at BLA-GC synapses may be sufficient for learning.

A key feature of our study that distinguishes it from previous work is the use of optogenetic

techniques to selectively activate BLA terminal fields within GC. By using this approach we

avoided the confound of recruiting BLA collaterals to other areas which inevitably occurs with

somatic activation of BLA neurons. Furthermore, our study is the first to examine baseline

synaptic transmission, and therefore may yield different results from field potential recordings,

which lack synaptic resolution, and are difficult to interpret as the circuit components that

contribute to the LFP trace in GC have not been described (Escobar and Bermudez-Rattoni, 2000;

Escobar et al., 2002; Rodriguez-Duran et al., 2011). No analysis of changes in synaptic efficacy

has been reported up to the present work. In view of our results demonstrating a reduction in the

efficacy of BLA glutamatergic synapses onto GC neurons following CTA, it is likely that in L2/3

pyramidal neurons, signaling mechanisms for LTD are recruited during CTA.

LTD at amygdalocortical synapses in GC is sufficient for the induction of CTA

Association of sucrose and phasic stimulation of BLA terminal fields in GC *in vivo* was sufficient

to induce a weak CTA, unveiled as a reduction in sucrose consumption during the 2-bottle test. In

these experiments, the phasic pattern of stimulation was delivered after sucrose in place of LiCl,

thus selectively activating the BLA-GC input in the absence of a gastric malaise. Our results

indicate that the pattern of activity for LTD at BLA-GC synapses is sufficient to change the

hedonic value of sucrose. While activation of BLA-GC terminal fields *in vivo* was sufficient for

changing the valence of sucrose, it did not induce a strong aversion. This effect was expected, as

LiCl induces a gastric malaise that is not recruited in animals that received light stimulation

through the optic fiber during CTA training. GC receives extensive visceral input (Cechetto and

Saper, 1987) which is not activated during BLA terminal field stimulation. Furthermore, previous

studies have shown no change in IEG expression in the insular cortex following LiCl-induced

illness, suggesting that processing of information about the US primarily occurs in other parts of

the central gustatory system (Wilkins and Bernstein 2006; Bernstein and Koh, 2007). Our findings

therefore suggest that the plasticity of BLA-GC input primarily modulates the valence of a tastant,

and that integration of other sources of information about the US may be necessary for eliciting a

strong aversion.

Recent work has identified a role for corticoamygdala projecting neurons in CTA (Lavi et al.,

2018; Kayyal et al., 2019), suggesting that this population of GC neurons is involved in the

acquisition and retrieval of the aversive taste memory. Whether the population of amydala-

projecting and amygdala-recipient neurons in GC overlap is currently unknown, but this study

raises interesting questions about how and when reciprocal connections between BLA and GC are

recruited in CTA. It is also unclear whether amygdalocortical neurons in GC project exclusively

to BLA, or also interact with other nodes of the CTA circuit.

Conclusion

LTD was proposed as a mechanism for learning in cerebellar circuits (Ito et al., 2014; Hirano et

al., 2016) and is thought to contribute to the control of alcohol seeking behavior in the basal ganglia

(Ma et al., 2018). In both circuits, LTD is induced at glutamatergic synapses onto GABAergic

neurons (Hansel and Linden, 2000; Kakegawa et al., 2018), providing a form of disinhibition to

the downstream synaptic targets. Our study focused on plasticity of BLA input onto excitatory

neurons in L2/3 of GC, strongly supporting a role for LTD at glutamatergic synapses onto

pyramidal neurons in hedonic learning.

To our knowledge, our results are the first to directly assess the effect of CTA on BLA-GC

synapses, and provide the first evidence for a direct link between LTD of amygdalocortical

transmission onto GC neurons and taste aversion learning. Taste circuits are highly conserved

among species, and changes in taste preference can be induced similarly in humans as well as

many other organisms, making CTA and the amygdalocortical system ideal models for

investigating neural mechanisms of hedonic learning. Our results link LTD at the BLA-GC input

to a change in taste preference, emphasizing how changes in synaptic efficacy underlie adaptive

shifts in hedonic value capable of influencing perception and behavior.

Methods

Long Evans rats of both sexes were used for this study. Animals were singly housed in a vivarium

on a 12h/12h light dark cycle with ad libitum access to food and water, except where otherwise

noted. Experiments were conducted during the light period. All surgical and experimental

procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Stony Brook

University and followed the guidelines of the National Institutes of Health.

Conditioned taste aversion training. Rats were placed on water restriction with free access to food

for a total of 8 days. Rats were habituated to a behavioral chamber where they had 15 min access

to a drinking spout with H_2O, followed by 1hour access to H_2O in their home cage four hours later.

The volume consumed was recorded daily throughout training (total volume (ml): juveniles, 12.58

± 0.38; adults, 16.88 ± 0.72), and rats' weight was monitored to ensure that it remained within 85% of initial weight. Four days of habituation training was sufficient to stabilize fluid intake levels (Habituation, **Fig. 1b**). This was followed by two conditioning trials, with a recovery day in between identical to the habituation days. The recovery day enabled us to confirm that the conditioning procedures did not affect thirst levels. For immunohistochemistry and slice electrophysiology, conditioning consisted of 15 min access to a drinking spout with 0.1 M sucrose, followed by an ip injection of 0.15 M LiCl (7.5 mL/kg) to induce gastric malaise. Rats in the control group received an ip LiCl injection (0.15 M) in the evening on day 4 and 6 of training. The injection was delivered approximately 16 hours before sucrose presentation to ensure lack of association between gastric malaise and sucrose consumption (**Fig 1a**, pseudo CTA). For experiments *in vivo*, conditioning consisted of 15 min access to a drinking spout with 0.1 M sucrose, followed by optogenetic stimulation of BLA terminal fields in GC. On the 8th training day, all groups engaged in a 2-bottle test to assess a preference for H_2O or sucrose. In a subset of experiments, the 2-bottle test was repeated on day 9, to assess whether the first test had initiated an extinction process (**Fig. 2**).

Immunohistochemistry. Detection of immediate early gene expression - One hour after the 2-bottle test, rats were anesthetized and intracardially perfused with PBS followed by 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS (4% PFA). The brain was dissected out and thin (50µm) coronal slices containing GC were cut with a fixed tissue vibratome (Leica VT1000). Sections were washed in PBS (3 x 10 min rinse), permeabilized and blocked in a solution containing 0.5% Triton X and 10% normal goat serum in PBS for 1 h, then incubated overnight at 4°C in a solution containing 0.1% Triton X and 3% normal goat serum in PBS, mouse anti-GAD67 (1:500, MilliporeSigma, MAB5406, monoclonal), and either rabbit anti-cFos (1:500, Cell Signaling, 2250S, monoclonal)

or rabbit anti-EGR1 (1:500, Cell Signaling, 4153S, monoclonal). Sections were then rinsed in PBS

(3 x 10 min) and incubated at 25°C for 2 h in a solution containing 0.1% Triton X and 3% normal

goat serum in PBS, goat anti-mouse Alexa Fluor-647 (1:500, Invitrogen, A21235), goat anti-rabbit

Alexa Fluor-568 (1:500, Invitrogen, A11011), and NeuroTrace 435/455 (1:1000, Invitrogen,

N21479). After a final wash in PBS (3 x 10 min), sections were mounted with Fluoromount-G

(Southern Biotech). Sections were imaged with a confocal microscope (Olympus Fluoview) at 20x

magnification. Four sections, spaced at 200 μm, were counted per animal for each IEG (cFos = 4,

EGR1 = 4) using ImageJ software by a person blind to experimental condition. Cell counts

represent the number of IEG-positive, GAD67-negative neurons, normalized by the counting area

(L2/3 aGC) for each section.

Recovery of recorded neurons in acute slice preparation - Recorded slices were fixed in 4% PFA

for 1 week. They were then washed in PBS (3 x 10 min rinse), permeabilized and blocked in a

solution containing 1% Triton X and 10% normal goat serum in PBS for 2 h, then slices were

incubated overnight at 4°C in a solution containing 0.1% Triton X and 3% normal goat serum in

PBS, streptavidin Alexa Fluor-568 conjugate (1:2000, Invitrogen, S11226), mouse anti-GAD67

(1:500, MilliporeSigma, MAB5406, monoclonal), and chicken anti-GFP (1:1000, Abcam,

ab13970, polyclonal). GC slices were then rinsed in PBS (3 x 10 min) and incubated at 25°C for

2 h in a solution containing 0.1% Triton X and 3% normal goat serum in PBS, goat anti-mouse

Alexa Fluor-647 (1:500, Invitrogen, A21235), goat anti-chicken Alexa Fluor-488 (1:500, Abcam,

ab150173), and Hoechst 33342 (1:5000, Invitrogen, H3570). BLA slices were incubated overnight

at 4°C in a solution containing 0.1% Triton X and 3% normal goat serum in PBS and chicken anti-

GFP (1:1000, Abcam, ab13970, polyclonal). They were then rinsed in PBS (3 x 10 min) and

incubated at 25°C for 2 h in a solution containing 0.1% Triton X and 3% normal goat serum in

PBS, goat anti-chicken Alexa Fluor-488 (1:500, Abcam, ab150173), and Hoechst 33342 (1:5000,

Invitrogen, H3570). Validation of injection sites and positioning of fiber optics - Following

behavioral training, animals were anesthetized and perfused intracardially with PBS followed by

4% PFA. Coronal slices containing BLA and GC were cut at 100 µm with a fixed tissue vibratome

(Leica VT1000). All sections were washed in PBS (3 x 10 min rinse) and incubated at 25°C for 1

h in a solution containing 0.1% Triton X and Hoechst 33342 (1:5000, Invitrogen, H3570). Sections

were mounted with Fluoromount-G (Southern Biotech) and the accuracy of viral injections and

fiber placements was assessed.

Electrophysiology. Acute coronal slices containing GC were obtained immediately following the

2-bottle test. Slice preparation was as described in our previous study (Haley et al., 2016). Briefly,

rats were anesthetized with isoflurane (Bell jar to effect) and rapidly decapitated. The brain was

dissected in ice cold, oxygenated artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF), and 300 µm coronal slices

containing GC were prepared using a fresh tissue vibratome (Leica VT1000) starting at 1.5 mm to

bregma. Patch clamp recordings were obtained from visually identified L2/3 pyramidal neurons

under DIC optics. Their identity was tested online with the injection of square current pulses (700

ms) to assess regular firing patterns, and post-hoc with immunohistochemistry aimed at

reconstructing neuron morphology, assessing location, and confirming lack of expression of the

GABA neuron marker GAD67. To assess possible changes in intrinsic excitability induced by

CTA, recordings were obtained in current clamp in the presence of fast synaptic receptor blockers

(in µM: DNQX, 20; APV, 50; picrotoxin, 20) and square current pulses of increasing amplitude

were injected to examine input resistance, action potential threshold, and frequency/intensity

curves. Input resistance was calculated from the linear portion of the voltage response to a -50 pA

current injection. Action potential threshold was calculated as the membrane voltage when the first

derivative of the voltage trace dV/dt = 20 V/s (Bekkers and Delaney, 2001). In a different set of

experiments, spontaneous synaptic activity was recorded in voltage clamp. For these experiments,

a cesium sulfate-based internal solution containing the sodium channel blocker QX314 (2 mM,

Tocris Bioscience) was used to stabilize recordings during prolonged depolarization. Spontaneous

excitatory synaptic currents were recorded at three different holding potentials around the reversal

potential for chloride (to record sEPSCs, in mV: -55, -50, -45). Current versus voltage functions

were used to identify the voltage that better isolated the current of interest, which were used for

analysis of charge and spontaneous events' amplitude and frequency (Maffei et al., 2004; Haley et

al., 2016). Total charge was calculated by integrating 5 s sections of the current trace. Evoked

EPSCs/EPSPs from stimulation of BLA terminal fields were obtained in voltage clamp and current

clamp using a potassium gluconate solution and holding neurons at -70mV. The monosynaptic

nature of BLA-EPSCs was verified as in our previous study (Haley et al., 2016). Event-triggered

average of light-evoked BLA-EPSCs and BLA-EPSPs was used to align BLA-EPSC and BLA-

EPSP onset and calculate the average amplitude of the light-evoked response. Latencies of BLA-

evoked responses were calculated from the onset of the phasic 5 ms light pulse. For plasticity

experiments, 2 LED pulses (5 ms, 10 Hz) were used to elicit BLA-EPSCs every 30 s. A brief (10

ms) 1 mV depolarization step was used to monitor series resistance (R_s). After a 10 min baseline,

either the phasic or tonic induction paradigm was applied in current clamp, after which BLA-

EPSCs were recorded for an additional 40 min. Neurons with R_s > 20 MΩ or that changed >10%

during recording were excluded from analysis.

Optogenetics. *Ex vivo* experiments: Rats (P14) were anesthetized intraperitoneally with a mixture

containing 70 mg/kg ketamine, 0.7 mg/kg acepromazine, and 3.5 mg/kg xylazine (KXA), and

mounted on a stereotaxic apparatus. Animals received an injection of the AAV9.CAG.ChR2-

Venus.WPRE.SV40 construct ((Petreanu et al., 2007); University of Pennsylvania Vector Core)

in the BLA using a nanoject pressure injector (Drummond Nanoject II; 100 nL volume containing

5.64^{12} particles/mL) as described in our previous study (Haley et al., 2016). The stereotaxic

coordinates for the injections were 2.1 mm posterior to bregma; 4.7 mm lateral to midline; 7.0 mm

below the pia. Rats were allowed to recover from surgery for 2 weeks before CTA training and

recordings. AAV9 was chosen because it is primarily transported anterogradely, and because

ChR2 expressed via AAV9 does not alter the short-term dynamics of evoked responses (Jackman

et al., 2014). The lack of backfilled somata in all of our GC our preparations further confirms

forward direction of transport and indicates that only BLA terminal fields in GC were activated by

light pulses. Consistency of ChR2-Venus expression across preparation was as previously reported

(Haley et al., 2016). Briefly, as shown in **Fig. 4b**, the intensity of the Venus signal in GC was

quantified across a 500um wide region of interest (ROI) spanning the cortical mantle from the pia

to L5/6 (included) across 10 preparations. The average and standard deviation of the fluorescent

signal were plotted as a function of depth. The expression of the Venus signal was then assessed

for all preparations used for electrophysiological recordings and compared to the calibration curve.

Only data obtained from preparations with a fluorescence profile within 1 standard deviation of

the average calibration curve were included in the analysis.

BLA-EPSCs were evoked with a 5ms light pulse delivered through the 40x objective mounted on

an upright microscope (Olympus BX51WI). The intensity, frequency and timing of the blue light

stimuli (470 nm) were controlled with an LED driver (ThorLabs). Intensity at the output was

verified with a light meter (Thor Labs, Range: 0.2 - 4.4 mW). Phasic stimulation for plasticity

induction was organized in 20 trains of 20-5 ms light pulses at 20 Hz delivered every 250 ms and

paired with depolarization of the postsynaptic neuron with a 50 pA current step. Tonic activation

of BLA terminal fields was achieved using a ramping light stimulus (which prevented inactivation of ChR2 channels (Lin et al., 2009). The duration of the ramping stimulus was 6 s from 0 to maximum (4.4 mW) light intensity and was controlled through the LED driver connected to an analog output of the amplifier. For plasticity induction, 10 ramping stimuli spaced 5 s were (**Fig. 6**) paired with depolarization of the postsynaptic neuron with a 50 pA current step.

In vivo experiments: Stimulation of BLA terminal fields *in vivo* was achieved through optical fibers (Doric lenses, 400 μm) chronically implanted in GC (1.4 mm anterior to bregma; 5 mm lateral to midline; 4.5 mm below the pia). Rats, weighing > 250 g were anesthetized intraperitoneally with the KXA cocktail described above and supplemented with 40% of the induction dose as needed to maintain surgical levels of anesthesia. The scalp was exposed and holes were drilled for anchoring screws, optical fibers, and viral injection. The AAV9.CAG.ChR2-Venus.WPRE.SV40 construct(Petreanu et al., 2007) (University of Pennsylvania Vector Core) was bilaterally injected in the BLA (3.0 mm posterior to bregma; 5 mm lateral to midline; 7.2 mm below the pia) using a nanoject pressure injector (Drummond Nanoject II; 200 nL volume containing 5.64^{12} particles/mL) as described above. Optical fibers (Doric Lenses, 400 μm) were coated with DiI for better detection of the optic fiber tract and implanted bilaterally to target GC and were secured in place with dental cement.

For these experiments, recovery from surgery was 4 weeks prior to CTA training. The injection site in BLA and the positioning of the optic fiber in GC were verified histologically for each preparation. Stimulation was controlled via the digital output of a Multi-patch clamp amplifier (HEKA) and software (Patchmaster) and delivered through the implanted optical fibers coupled to a blue laser (Shanghai Dream, 470 nm). Light intensity at the tip of the optic fiber was measured with a light meter (6.5 mW, ThorLabs) The induction paradigm *in vivo* had the same structure as

the phasic induction pattern used in slices (Trains of 20 pulses, in this case 10 ms long, at 20 Hz

delivered every 250 ms, repeated 100 times) Animals received photostimulation immediately after

exposure to the 0.1 M sucrose solution on conditioning days 1 and 2. A control group of rats

received a BLA injection of the AAV9.hSyn.eGFP.WPRE.bGH construct (University of

Pennsylvania Vector Core) to ensure that possible changes in sucrose preference were due to BLA

terminal field stimulation and not to unspecific effects of the light stimulation.

Data analysis. Data were acquired with a HEKA 4 channels amplifier with integrated acquisition

board. Data were sampled at 10 kHz. Patchmaster (WaveMetrix) was used as acquisition software.

Analysis was performed with custom procedures in Igor (WaveMetrix), Matlab, and Clampfit

(Molecular Devices). Data were tested for normality, then the appropriate statistical test was

chosen. Where appropriate, unpaired t-tests were applied, otherwise Mann-Whitney tests were

applied. Multiple comparisons were assessed with OneWay ANOVA followed by post-hoc tests

with Bonferroni correction. Cumulative distributions were compared using the 2-sample

Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) test. Linear regression analysis was used to determine correlations

between BLA-EPSC/BLA-EPSP amplitude (**Fig. 4e**, input/output function). For plasticity

induction experiments, paired t-tests were used to detect significant differences between BLA-

EPSC amplitude, PPR, and CV in the 10 min baseline period and in the 10 min period 30-40 min

post-induction. Differences in probability of LTD vs LTP induction following CTA were assessed

with χ^2 for contingency test. Significant differences were considered for $p < 0.05$.

Solutions. ACSF contained the following (mM): 126 NaCl, 3 KCl, 25 NaHCO3, 1 NaHPO4, 2

MgSO4, 2 CaCl2, 14 dextrose with an osmolarity of 315-325mOsm. The internal solution for

analysis of excitability and evoked synaptic responses was as follows (mM): 116 K-Glu, 4 KCl,

10 K-HEPES, 4 Mg-ATP, 0.3 Na-GTP, 10 Na-phosphocreatine, 0.4% biocytin (V_{rev} [Cl^{-1}] = −81

mV). The pH of the internal solution was adjusted to 7.35 with KOH and the osmolarity adjusted

to 295 mOsm with sucrose. The internal solution to assess spontaneous excitatory and inhibitory

charge and events contained (mM): 20 KCl, 100 Cs-sulfate, 10 K-HEPES, 4 Mg-ATP, 0.3 Na-

GTP, 10 Na-phosphocreatine, 3 QX-314 (Tocris Bioscience), 0.2% biocytin (Vrev [Cl−1] = −49.3

mV). *Drugs*. To assess the monosynaptic nature of BLA-EPSCs recordings were obtained in the

presence of (in μM): 1 TTX (Tocris Bioscience), 100 4-aminopyridine (4-AP) (Tocris Bioscience).

To determine possible changes in intrinsic excitability, the following blockers were bath applied

(in uM): 20 DNQX (Tocris Bioscience), and 50 APV (Tocris Bioscience), 20 picrotoxin (Tocris

Bioscience).

References

Accolla R, Carleton A (2008) Internal body state influences topographical plasticity of sensory
representations in the rat gustatory cortex. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 105:4010-4015.
Accolla R, Bathellier B, Petersen CC, Carleton A (2007) Differential spatial representation of taste
modalities in the rat gustatory cortex. J Neurosci 27:1396-1404.
Bekkers JM, Delaney AJ (2001) Modulation of excitability by alpha-dendrotoxin-sensitive potassium
channels in neocortical pyramidal neurons. J Neurosci 21:6553-6560.
Berman DE, Dudai Y (2001) Memory extinction, learning anew, and learning the new: dissociations in the
molecular machinery of learning in cortex. Science 291:2417-2419.
Bermudez-Rattoni F, McGaugh JL (1991) Insular cortex and amygdala lesions differentially affect
acquisition on inhibitory avoidance and conditioned taste aversion. Brain Res 549:165-170.
Bliss TV, Collingridge GL (1993) A synaptic model of memory: long-term potentiation in the
hippocampus. Nature 361:31-39.
Braun JJ, Slick TB, Lorden JF (1972) Involvement of gustatory neocortex in the learning of taste aversions.
Physiol Behav 9:637-641.
Cechetto DF, Saper CB (1987) Evidence for a viscerotopic sensory representation in the cortex and
thalamus in the rat. J Comp Neurol 262:27-45.
Crozier RA, Wang Y, Liu CH, Bear MF (2007) Deprivation-induced synaptic depression by distinct
mechanisms in different layers of mouse visual cortex. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104:1383-1388.
Cubero I, Thiele TE, Bernstein IL (1999) Insular cortex lesions and taste aversion learning: effects of
conditioning method and timing of lesion. Brain Res 839:323-330.
Dityatev AE, Bolshakov VY (2005) Amygdala, long-term potentiation, and fear conditioning.
Neuroscientist 11:75-88.
Escobar ML, Bermudez-Rattoni F (2000) Long-term potentiation in the insular cortex enhances conditioned
taste aversion retention. Brain Res 852:208-212.
Escobar ML, Alcocer I, Bermudez-Rattoni F (2002) In vivo effects of intracortical administration of
NMDA and metabotropic glutamate receptors antagonists on neocortical long-term potentiation
and conditioned taste aversion. Behav Brain Res 129:101-106.

Flynn FW, Grill HJ, Schulkin J, Norgren R (1991a) Central gustatory lesions: II. Effects on sodium appetite, taste aversion learning, and feeding behaviors. Behav Neurosci 105:944-954.

Flynn FW, Grill HJ, Schwartz GJ, Norgren R (1991b) Central gustatory lesions: I. Preference and taste reactivity tests. Behav Neurosci 105:933-943.

Fontanini A, Grossman SE, Figueroa JA, Katz DB (2009) Distinct subtypes of basolateral amygdala taste neurons reflect palatability and reward. J Neurosci 29:2486-2495.

Gal-Ben-Ari S, Rosenblum K (2011) Molecular mechanisms underlying memory consolidation of taste information in the cortex. Front Behav Neurosci 5:87.

Gandolfi D, Cerri S, Mapelli J, Polimeni M, Tritto S, Fuzzati-Armentero MT, Bigiani A, Blandini F, Mapelli L, D'Angelo E (2017) Activation of the CREB/c-Fos Pathway during Long-Term Synaptic Plasticity in the Cerebellum Granular Layer. Front Cell Neurosci 11:184.

Garcia J, Kimeldorf DJ, Koelling RA (1955) Conditioned aversion to saccharin resulting from exposure to gamma radiation. Science 122:157-158.

Grossman SE, Fontanini A, Wieskopf JS, Katz DB (2008) Learning-related plasticity of temporal coding in simultaneously recorded amygdala-cortical ensembles. J Neurosci 28:2864-2873.

Guzman-Ramos K, Bermudez-Rattoni F (2012) Interplay of amygdala and insular cortex during and after associative taste aversion memory formation. Rev Neurosci 23:463-471.

Hadamitzky M, Bosche K, Engler A, Schedlowski M, Engler H (2015) Extinction of conditioned taste aversion is related to the aversion strength and associated with c-fos expression in the insular cortex. Neuroscience 303:34-41.

Haley MS, Fontanini A, Maffei A (2016) Laminar- and Target-Specific Amygdalar Inputs in Rat Primary Gustatory Cortex. J Neurosci 36:2623-2637.

Hansel C, Linden DJ (2000) Long-term depression of the cerebellar climbing fiber--Purkinje neuron synapse. Neuron 26:473-482.

Hirano T, Yamazaki Y, Nakamura Y (2016) LTD, RP, and Motor Learning. Cerebellum 15:51-53.

Inberg S, Elkobi A, Edri E, Rosenblum K (2013) Taste familiarity is inversely correlated with Arc/Arg3.1 hemispheric lateralization. J Neurosci 33:11734-11743.

Ito M, Yamaguchi K, Nagao S, Yamazaki T (2014) Long-term depression as a model of cerebellar plasticity. Prog Brain Res 210:1-30.

Jackman SL, Beneduce BM, Drew IR, Regehr WG (2014) Achieving high-frequency optical control of synaptic transmission. J Neurosci 34:7704-7714.

Kakegawa W, Katoh A, Narumi S, Miura E, Motohashi J, Takahashi A, Kohda K, Fukazawa Y, Yuzaki M, Matsuda S (2018) Optogenetic Control of Synaptic AMPA Receptor Endocytosis Reveals Roles of LTD in Motor Learning. Neuron 99:985-998 e986.

Kayyal H, Yiannakas A, Kolatt Chandran S, Khamaisy M, Sharma V, Rosenblum K (2019) Activity of Insula to Basolateral Amygdala Projecting Neurons is Necessary and Sufficient for Taste Valence Representation. J Neurosci 39:9369-9382.

Kessels HW, Malinow R (2009) Synaptic AMPA receptor plasticity and behavior. Neuron 61:340-350.

Kloc M, Maffei A (2014) Target-specific properties of thalamocortical synapses onto layer 4 of mouse primary visual cortex. J Neurosci 34:15455-15465.

Lavi K, Jacobson GA, Rosenblum K, Luthi A (2018) Encoding of Conditioned Taste Aversion in Cortico-Amygdala Circuits. Cell Rep 24:278-283.

Li W, Pozzo-Miller L (2019) Differences in GluN2B-Containing NMDA Receptors Result in Distinct Long-Term Plasticity at Ipsilateral versus Contralateral Cortico-Striatal Synapses. eNeuro 6.

Lin JY, Reilly S (2012) Amygdala-gustatory insular cortex connections and taste neophobia. Behav Brain Res 235:182-188.

Lin JY, Lin MZ, Steinbach P, Tsien RY (2009) Characterization of engineered channelrhodopsin variants with improved properties and kinetics. Biophys J 96:1803-1814.

Lin JY, Roman C, Arthurs J, Reilly S (2012) Taste neophobia and c-Fos expression in the rat brain. Brain Res 1448:82-88.

Ma T, Cheng Y, Roltsch Hellard E, Wang X, Lu J, Gao X, Huang CCY, Wei XY, Ji JY, Wang J (2018)
Bidirectional and long-lasting control of alcohol-seeking behavior by corticostriatal LTP and LTD.
Nat Neurosci 21:373-383.

Maffei A, Nelson SB, Turrigiano GG (2004) Selective reconfiguration of layer 4 visual cortical circuitry
by visual deprivation. Nat Neurosci 7:1353-1359.

Maffei A, Nataraj K, Nelson SB, Turrigiano GG (2006) Potentiation of cortical inhibition by visual
deprivation. Nature 443:81-84.

Malinow R, Malenka RC (2002) AMPA receptor trafficking and synaptic plasticity. Annu Rev Neurosci
25:103-126.

Martinez-Moreno A, Rodriguez-Duran LF, Escobar ML (2011) Late Protein Synthesis-Dependent Phases
in CTA Long-Term Memory: BDNF Requirement. Front Behav Neurosci 5:61.

Morris R, Frey S, Kasambira T, Petrides M (1999) Ibotenic acid lesions of the basolateral, but not the
central, amygdala interfere with conditioned taste aversion: evidence from a combined behavioral
and anatomical tract-tracing investigation. Behav Neurosci 113:291-302.

Parsana AJ, Li N, Brown TH (2012) Positive and negative ultrasonic social signals elicit opposing firing
patterns in rat amygdala. Behav Brain Res 226:77-86.

Petreanu L, Huber D, Sobczyk A, Svoboda K (2007) Channelrhodopsin-2-assisted circuit mapping of long-
range callosal projections. Nat Neurosci 10:663-668.

Piette CE, Baez-Santiago MA, Reid EE, Katz DB, Moran A (2012) Inactivation of basolateral amygdala
specifically eliminates palatability-related information in cortical sensory responses. J Neurosci
32:9981-9991.

Rioult-Pedotti MS, Friedman D, Donoghue JP (2000) Learning-induced LTP in neocortex. Science
290:533-536.

Rodriguez-Duran LF, Castillo DV, Moguel-Gonzalez M, Escobar ML (2011) Conditioned taste aversion
modifies persistently the subsequent induction of neocortical long-term potentiation in vivo.
Neurobiol Learn Mem 95:519-526.

Rogan MT, Staubli UV, LeDoux JE (1997) Fear conditioning induces associative long-term potentiation in
the amygdala. Nature 390:604-607.

Rosenblum K, Meiri N, Dudai Y (1993) Taste memory: the role of protein synthesis in gustatory cortex.
Behav Neural Biol 59:49-56.

Rumpel S, LeDoux J, Zador A, Malinow R (2005) Postsynaptic receptor trafficking underlying a form of
associative learning. Science 308:83-88.

Schiff HC, Bouhuis AL, Yu K, Penzo MA, Li H, He M, Li B (2018) An Insula-Central Amygdala Circuit
for Guiding Tastant-Reinforced Choice Behavior. J Neurosci 38:1418-1429.

Shema R, Sacktor TC, Dudai Y (2007) Rapid erasure of long-term memory associations in the cortex by
an inhibitor of PKM zeta. Science 317:951-953.

Wang L, Kloc M, Gu Y, Ge S, Maffei A (2013) Layer-specific experience-dependent rewiring of
thalamocortical circuits. J Neurosci 33:4181-4191.

Wang L, Kloc M, Maher E, Erisir A, Maffei A (2018a) Presynaptic GABAA Receptors Modulate
Thalamocortical Inputs in Layer 4 of Rat V1. Cereb Cortex.

Wang L, Gillis-Smith S, Peng Y, Zhang J, Chen X, Salzman CD, Ryba NJP, Zuker CS (2018b) The coding
of valence and identity in the mammalian taste system. Nature 558:127-131.

Yamamoto T, Fujimoto Y, Shimura T, Sakai N (1995) Conditioned taste aversion in rats with excitotoxic
brain lesions. Neurosci Res 22:31-49.

Yasoshima Y, Yamamoto T (1998) Short-term and long-term excitability changes of the insular cortical
neurons after the acquisition of taste aversion learning in behaving rats. Neuroscience 84:1-5.

Yilmaz-Rastoder E, Miyamae T, Braun AE, Thiels E (2011) LTP- and LTD-inducing stimulations cause
opposite changes in arc/arg3.1 mRNA level in hippocampal area CA1 in vivo. Hippocampus
21:1290-1301.