Three-dimensional chromatin interactions remain stable upon CAG/CTG repeat expansion

Gustavo A. Ruiz Buendía[1], Marion Leleu[2,3], Flavia Marzetta[3], Ludovica Vanzan[4,5], Jennifer Y. Tan[6], Ana C. Marques[6], Tuncay Baubec[7], Rabih Murr[4,5], Ioannis Xenarios[1], Vincent Dion[8*]

[1] Center for Integrative Genomics, University of Lausanne, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland.

[2] School of Life Sciences, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale, Lausanne, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland.

[3] Vital-IT Group, Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics, Lausanne, Switzerland.

[4] Department of Genetic Medicine and Development, University of Geneva Medical School, Geneva, Switzerland.

[5] Institute for Genetics and Genomics in Geneva (iGE3), University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland.

[6] Department of Computational Biology, University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland.

[7] Department of Molecular Mechanisms of Disease, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland.

[8] UK Dementia Research Institute at Cardiff University at Cardiff University, Hadyn Ellis Building, Maindy Road, CF24 4HQ, Cardiff, UK.

* Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to V.D. (email: dionv@cardiff.ac.uk)

Abstract

Expanded CAG/CTG repeats underlie thirteen neurological disorders, including myotonic dystrophy (DM1) and Huntington's disease (HD). Upon expansion, CAG/CTG repeat loci acquire heterochromatic characteristics. This observation raises the hypothesis that repeat expansion provokes changes to higher order chromatin folding and thereby affects both gene expression in *cis* and the genetic instability of the repeat tract. Here we tested this hypothesis directly by performing 4C sequencing at the *DMPK* and *HTT* loci from DM1 and HD patient-derived cells. Surprisingly, chromatin contacts remain unchanged upon repeat expansion at both loci. This was true for loci with different DNA methylation levels and CTCF binding. Repeat sizes ranging from 15 to 1,700 displayed strikingly similar chromatin interaction profiles. Our findings argue that extensive changes in heterochromatic properties are not enough to alter chromatin folding at expanded CAG/CTG repeat loci. Moreover, the ectopic insertion of an expanded repeat tract did not change three-dimensional chromatin contacts. We conclude that expanded CAG/CTG repeats have little to no effect on chromatin conformation.

Introduction

The genome is organized into hierarchical topologically associated domains (TADs) (*1*). This three-dimensional organization of chromatin in the nucleus has a profound impact on transcription, DNA replication, recombination, and repair (*2*, *3*). For instance, heterochromatic and euchromatic loci are spatially separated and display distinct three-dimensional (3D) chromatin interactions as gleaned by microscopy and chromosome conformation capture (3C)-based techniques (*2*, *4*, *5*). How this higher-order chromatin structure impinges on biological functions, what determines chromatin domain boundaries, and how it contributes to disease is unclear. Expanded CAG/CTG repeats loci (hereafter referred to according to their mRNA sequence) are ideal to address these questions because they cause diseases and they are associated with changes in local chromatin structure, transcriptional output, and genetic instability (*6*).

CAG/CTG repeats underlie 13 different neurological and neuromuscular disorders including myotonic dystrophy type 1 (DM1) and Huntington's disease (HD). They are part of a larger group of diseases caused by the expansion of short tandem repeats (STRs) (*7*, *8*). Disease-associated STRs (daSTRs) are genetically unstable, especially once they surpass a critical threshold of about 35 to 50 units. Their expansion is associated with extensive chromatin remodeling of the expanded loci (*6*, *9-17*). Two such examples are fragile X syndrome (FXS), caused by the expansion of a CGG repeat at the *FMR1* gene located on the X chromosome (*18-21*), and Friedreich's ataxia (FRDA), caused by a homozygous GAA expansion in the first intron of the *FXN* gene (*22*). In the case of FXS, expansions beyond 200 CGGs are associated with promoter silencing in *cis*. This locus accumulates high levels of heterochromatic marks including CpG methylation, H4K20me3, H3K9me2/3, and H3K27me3 while losing euchromatin-associated marks, such as H3 and H4 acetylation as well as H3K4me2 (*14*, *23-26*). In FRDA patient-derived cells, the *FXN* locus with a tendency towards heterochromatinization and loses CTCF binding in cis (*12*, *27-30*).

The shift from a euchromatic to a heterochromatic state upon repeat expansion has led to the hypothesis that there is a concurrent change in higher order chromatin folding. Indeed, 3C-based experiments have revealed an increase in the frequencies of 3D chromatin interactions surrounding expanded GAA and CGG repeats in patient-derived lymphoblastoid cell lines (LCLs) (*12*, *23*, *31*). This was interpreted as enhanced compaction around the *FXN* locus and as a rearrangement of chromatin contact domains at the *FMR1* locus in FXS cells, respectively. It was speculated that higher order chromatin folding might contribute to the silencing of the genes in

the vicinity of the expanded repeat (*12*, *23*, *31*). Importantly, daSTRs are found predominantly at TAD and sub-TAD boundaries, suggesting more generally that daSTR expansions may disrupt TADs (*31*). This may then contribute to gene silencing in cis and to the high levels of instability found at these sequences, ultimately altering disease progression (*31*).

The critical unknowns in this model are whether changes in higher-order chromatin structure are confined to CGG and GAA repeats or if this is general to daSTRs and whether changes in chromatin interactions cause alterations in gene expression and repeat instability. The later hypothesis is especially appealing in the context of the expanded CTG repeats in the 3'UTR of the *DMPK* gene in DM1 cells because, like expanded CGG and GAA repeat loci, this region undergoes heterochromatinization upon repeat expansion. The changes observed at the *DMPK* locus include the loss of CTCF binding and of a DNAseI hypersensitive site, an increase in DNA and H3K9 methylation, as well as a loss of acetylated histone marks around the repeat tract (*13*, *16*, *17*, *32-34*). Moreover, the ectopic introduction of an expanded CAG repeat in yeast, was sufficient to relocate the locus to the nuclear periphery in S-phase budding yeast cells leading to changes in repeat size (*35*). Importantly, expanded CAG/CTG repeats cause the majority of daSTR disorders. Together, these observations prompted us to test the hypothesis that chromatin conformation changes at expanded CAG/CTG repeats. We used 4C-seq in LCLs from DM1 and HD individuals and in HEK293-derived cells harboring an ectopic CAG repeat expansion. We found no evidence to support changes in chromatin interactions that would underlie the alterations in transcriptional output or genetic instability of these sequences. This was consistent in different cell types, genetic backgrounds, and in the presence of specific heterochromatin marks. We conclude that changes in higher order chromatin folding do not contribute to the pathogenesis of expanded CAG/CTG repeat disorders.

Results

Chromatin conformation is stable upon repeat expansion at the *HTT* locus

To assess whether chromatin contacts change upon CAG repeat expansion at the *HTT* locus, we used a series of HD patient-derived LCLs (GM02164, GM03620, and GM14044, referred to as HD-A, HD-B, HD-C respectively) as well as two lines from unaffected individuals (GM04604 and GM02180, UN-A and UN-B respectively). Their family relationships and their repeat sizes are found in Fig. 1A, S1A, and Table S1.

100 To determine chromatin conformation, we used 4C-seq (*36, 37*) because it maximizes resolution

101 at the loci of interest. This allows a high sensitivity to small changes in conformation that may be

102 missed by other 3C-based methods. For the *HTT* locus, we used 2 viewpoints on chromosome 4

103 within the gene body – 1 kb (HTT_d1) and 85 kb (HTT_d85) downstream of the CAG repeats. To

104 control for a potential effect of the pathology on genome-wide chromatin conformation, we also

105 used a viewpoint located near the *ACTA1* gene on chromosome 1. We obtained three replicates

106 of each 4C viewpoint and compared the DNA interaction profiles between the unaffected and HD

107 cell lines (Fig. 1B). Replicates from the same cell lines show good correlation in fragments with

108 more than 20 mapped reads (Fig. S2A-C).

109 We then identified fragments and regions that interact with the 4C viewpoint at frequencies higher

110 than expected (significant interactions, see methods). We also looked for regions that show

111 significant differences in interaction frequencies between patient-derived and unaffected cells

112 (differential interactions, see methods). To do so, we used two 4C-seq data analysis packages:

113 FourCSeq (*38*) and 4C-ker (*39*). We found that the interaction profiles were similar within a 2 Mb

114 region around the viewpoint (Fig. 1B, Fig. S3). Notably, the chromatin conformation remained

115 unaltered in HD-A, an HD patient cell line that has two expanded alleles (44/56 CAGs), as well

116 as in HD-B (18/70 CAGs) and HD-C (19/750 CAGs). The *ACTA1* viewpoint also produced

117 indistinguishable interaction profiles between unaffected and HD patient LCLs (Fig. 1C). We

118 identified few small regions displaying differential interactions, but they were mainly outside of

119 regions with significant interactions (Fig. 1BC). In addition, most regions of differential interactions

120 were not exclusive to HD patient cells, as they were also found in comparisons between the two

121 unaffected cell lines. This suggests that the minor changes in interaction frequencies are due to

122 factors other than the presence of the expanded repeat tract in *HTT,* e.g. the different genetic

123 backgrounds. Taken together, these results show that a CAG repeat expansion at the *HTT* locus

124 does not cause significant alterations in chromatin conformation.

Fig. 1. Chromatin interactions of the *HTT* locus in unaffected and HD cells.
(A) Pedigree of HD patient cell lines used in this study along with unrelated unaffected and HD cell lines (UN-A and HD-C respectively). **(B)** 4C-seq chromatin interaction profiles (average of triplicate smoothed and normalized counts) from the HTT_d1 viewpoint (1 kb downstream of the CAG repeats - red central triangle) in two unaffected (UN-A and UN-B) and three HD patient cell lines (HD_A, HD_B, and HD_C). The top blue bar represents the *HTT* gene and the triangles represent the location of both *HTT* viewpoints. The interaction profiles for the HTT_d85 viewpoint (85 kb downstream of the CAG repeat) can be found in Fig. S3. **(C)** 4C-seq chromatin interaction profiles (average of triplicate smoothed and normalized counts) from the *ACTA1* viewpoint (central purple triangle). For panels B and C, high-interacting regions were called using 4C-ker and significant interactions were called using FourCSeq. Regions of differential interactions are marked with black bars below each 4C-seq track and labeled as "diff. int.". The top blue bar represents the *ACTA1* gene.

Chromatin conformation is stable upon repeat expansion at the *DMPK* locus

Repeat expansion at the *HTT* locus is not known to be associated with significant changes in histone marks and chromatin accessibility, which might cause changes in chromatin conformation. To determine whether our findings applied in a case where chromatin structure is altered around expanded CAG/CTG repeats, we studied four viewpoints in the *DMPK* gene. We

132   used two DM1 patient-derived LCLs (GM06077 and GM04648, DM1-A and DM1-B respectively).

133   The DM1-A cell line harbored one expanded *DMPK* allele with 1,700 CTGs and the DM1-B cell

134   line, 1,000 CTGs (Fig. 2A and S1B). We found that DM1-A cells had increased CpG methylation

135   levels at two CTCF binding sites flanking the repeats (Fig. S4B), with a concomitant loss of CTCF

136   binding at these sites (Fig. S4C). In DM1-B cells we observed normal methylation levels at both

137   CTCF binding sites and slightly reduced CTCF binding (Fig S4B). Thus, DM1-A cells displayed

138   molecular signatures of congenital DM1 (*40*), whereas DM1-B cells have adult onset DM1

139   characteristics (Table S1).

140   We performed 4C-seq on the unaffected and DM1 cell lines using four different 4C viewpoints at

141   distinct distances away from the *DMPK* CTG repeats (Fig. 2A). Replicates from the same cell

142   lines also showed good correlation in 4C fragment counts above 20 (Fig. S2C-G). Similar to the

143   HD scenario, we observed strikingly similar chromatin interaction profiles between unaffected and

144   DM1 samples for all four viewpoints in the *DMPK* region (Fig. 2B, Fig. S5). As expected, the

145   *ACTA1* viewpoint showed interaction profiles indistinguishable between unaffected and DM1 cell

146   lines (Fig. 2C). As with the *HTT* viewpoints, none of the *DMPK* or *ACTA1* viewpoints in DM1

147   patient cells had significant interactions that were also called as regions of differential interaction

148   (Fig. 2B-E). Taken together, we found no evidence for large-scale changes in chromatin

149   interactions at the *DMPK* locus driven by CTG expansions, despite the changes in CTCF

150   occupancy and DNA methylation levels.

Fig. 2. Chromatin interactions of the _DMPK_ locus in unaffected and DM1 cells.

(A) Pedigree of DM1 patient cell lines used in this study along with an unrelated unaffected cell line (UN-B). **(B)** 4C-seq chromatin interaction profiles (average of triplicate smoothed and normalized counts) from the DMPK_d11 viewpoint (11kb downstream of the CTG repeats - yellow triangle) in two unaffected (UN-A and UN-B) and two DM1 patient cell lines (DM1-A and DM1-B). The top blue bar represents the _DMPK_ gene and the triangles represent the location of the four _DMPK_ viewpoints. The profiles for the three other viewpoints can be found in Fig. S5. **(C)** 4C-seq chromatin interaction profiles (average of triplicate smoothed and normalized counts) from the _ACTA1_ viewpoint (central purple triangle) in two unaffected and two DM1 patient cell lines. The top blue bar represents the _ACTA1_ gene. For panels B and C, high-interacting regions were called using 4C-ker and significant interactions were called using FourCSeq. Regions of differential interactions are marked with black bars below each 4C-seq track and labeled as "diff. int.". **(D)** Circos plot of the significant interactions called with FourCSeq (nominal p-value < .05) from four different viewpoints surrounding the CTG repeats of _DMPK_ in the unaffected and DM1 cell lines (left and right respectively) (DMPK_u65 in blue, DMPK_u16 in green, DMPK_d11 in yellow, and DMPK_d73 in orange, 65 kb upstream, 16 kb upstream, 11 kb downstream, and 73 kb downstream, respectively). **(E)** Circos plot of the significant interactions called with FourCSeq (nominal p-value < .05) from the control _ACTA1_ viewpoint in unaffected and DM1 cell lines (left and right respectively).

151

152

153

154

Lack of allelic bias in chromatin interactions at expanded CAG/CTG repeats

155

156 DM1 and HD are both dominantly inherited disorders with individuals being heterozygous for the
157 expanded allele. Thus, one potential caveat in our data was that the presence of a normal-length
158 allele masks changes in 3D chromatin interactions made by the expanded allele. To evaluate this
159 possibility, we took advantage of the presence of at least one parental cell line for the DM1-A and
160 HD-B patient cell lines in our dataset (Fig. 1A and 2A) and identified allele-specific single
161 nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the 4C-seq data from these samples. We selected a subset
162 of the SNPs that could be assigned unambiguously to either the expanded or the normal allele
163 within 1 Mb of the 4C viewpoints (see methods). We reasoned that if chromatin contacts were
164 established without a systematic bias for either allele in DM1 and HD patient cells, the proportion
165 of 4C fragments in which the expanded chromosome had more reads than the normal-length one
166 would be close to 50%. We analyzed the sequencing coverage of the 4C data at these SNPs
167 positions and found that the viewpoints did not establish preferential contacts with a single
168 chromosome in neither the DM1-A nor HD-B patient cell lines (Table 1). These results are
169 consistent with the conclusion that chromatin interactions at both disease loci do not show allelic
170 bias. Together, these results corroborate the conclusion that expanded CAG/CTG repeats do not
171 significantly alter the DNA interactions at two expanded CAG/CTG repeat loci.

Table 1. Allele-specific interactions in 4C-seq data.

Cell line	Disease	Genomic region [1]	Total # of samples	# of samples with more normal chromosome reads	# of samples with more expanded chromosome reads	p-value [2]
DM1-A	DM1	ACTA1	6	1	5	0.22
		DMPK	28 *	18	9	0.09
HD-B	HD	ACTA1	5	2	3	1
		HTT	19	7	12	0.25

[1] 1Mb region around the 3'end of DMPK, 5' end of HTT, or the ACTA1 4C-seq viewpoint.

[2] p-value of an exact binomial test.

* 1 sample had the same number of reads in both alleles, thus it was not included

172

Chromatin conformation at an ectopic CAG repeat locus

173

174 It remained possible that the genetic background may have had a confounding effect on the
175 chromatin interactions made at expanded CAG/CTG repeat loci. To test this, we compared the
176 chromatin interactions of a hemizygous ectopic locus with either normal-length or expanded
177 CAG/CTG repeats in otherwise isogenic cell lines. We obtained two clonal populations of Flp-In
178 T-REx 293 cells that contain a single, stably integrated construct containing CAG repeats within

179 the intron of a GFP mini-gene (*41*, *42*). One cell line contained 15 CAGs (GFP(CAG)$_{15}$) whereas

180 the other had 270 CAGs (GFP(CAG)$_{270}$) which is within the pathogenic range for both DM1 and

181 HD. The reporter sports a doxycycline-inducible promoter. Using targeted locus amplification (*43*)

182 and TAIL PCR, we mapped the insertion site to the p-arm of chromosome 12, 1.2 Mb from the

183 telomere (Fig 3A). We performed 4C-seq in both cell lines with a viewpoint located 1 kb upstream

184 of the CAG repeats. The chromatin interaction profiles of this ectopic CAG locus were also very

185 similar between the 15 and 270 CAG repeat cells, with few regions of differential interactions

186 overlapping with those displaying significant interactions using 4Cker. By contrast, FourCSeq did

187 not detect any changes that were significant (Fig. 3B). We concluded that CAG repeats at an

188 ectopic site causes few changes to chromatin conformation.

189 Some studies suggest that transcription may help define topological domain boundaries (*44-47*).

190 To determine whether transcription through expanded CAG repeats could lead to changes in

191 chromosome conformation, we induced transcription by culturing the GFP(CAG)$_n$ cells with

192 doxycycline for five days. We observed that only 2 of 17 regions of differential interactions

193 overlapped fully or in part with high-interacting regions over a 2 Mb region using 4Cker.

194 FourCSeq, on the other hand, found no significant interactions (Fig. 3C). These results suggest

195 that an ectopic expanded CAG repeat tract is not enough to alter the chromosome conformation

196 significantly at this locus, regardless of the transcriptional status.

Fig. 3. Chromatin interactions of an expanded CAG repeat locus in isogenic cells.

(A) Diagram of the integration site of the CAG ectopic locus in GFP(CAG)$_n$ cells. (B) 4C-seq chromatin interaction profiles (average of triplicate smoothed and normalized counts) from the GFP viewpoint in GFP(CAG)$_{15}$ (top) and GFP(CAG)$_{270}$ (bottom). Cells were treated with doxycycline to induce transcription of the GFP mini-gene. (C) 4C-seq chromatin interactions from the GFP viewpoint in GFP(CAG)$_{15}$ (top) and GFP(CAG)$_{270}$ (top) when transcription was not induced.

Discussion

Here we showed that chromatin interactions remain stable at expanded CAG/CTG repeat loci. This was true for two disease loci and one transgene and in two different cell types. Our findings are also supported by allele-specific analysis of 4C-seq interactions. Furthermore, CpG methylation and CTCF binding at two sites flanking the CTG repeats of *DMPK* did not impact chromosome conformation. This is especially relevant because CTCF is a key architectural protein involved in the demarcation of TAD and sub-TAD boundaries (*48*). Additionally, when we inserted a hemizygous transgene with CAG repeats, we found that expanded CAG repeats did not induce a significant re-organization of the chromatin contacts established at this exogenous CAG/CTG repeat locus. These results further show that an expanded CAG/CTG repeat tract is not sufficient to change chromatin folding.

One possibility is that expanded CAG/CTG repeats lead to changes in chromatin conformation in specific cell types that are especially vulnerable in DM1 or HD, for example cardiomyocytes or

212 medium spiny neurons, but not in LCLs. However, that would complicate the hypothesis by adding
213 a requirement for a cell-type specific factors to mediate these changes. If such a factor exists,
214 our conclusion remains unaffectcted: that the expansion of CAG/CTG repeats is not enough to
215 effect changes in chromatin conformation.

216 Our findings are in contrast to the effect of expanded CGG and GAA repeats on chromosome
217 conformation (*12*, *23*, *31*). In FXS patient-derived B-lymphoblast and fibroblasts, expanded CGG
218 repeats in *FMR1* were correlated with heterochromatic characteristics, decreased CTCF binding,
219 and ultimately a disruption of a TAD boundary near the expanded repeats (*31*). The DM1 patient
220 cells used here, especially the congenital DM1 patient cell line, share similar changes in chromatin
221 marks to FXS patient cells. And yet, these factors did not amount to an alteration of the 3D
222 chromatin interactions at the expanded *DMPK* locus. There are important differences between
223 FXS/FRDA and DM1/HD that could account for the differences. Apart from the nucleotide
224 composition of the repeat tract themselves, the disease loci and thus their flanking sequences
225 are different. Further investigations are needed to understand what accounts for the differences.

226 It was speculated that the changes in chromatin conformation found at expanded CGG repeats
227 could lead to changes in repeat instability (*31*). Indeed, CGG repeat expansions beyond 200 units
228 are associated with promoter silencing, chromatin contact alterations and their instability is
229 lessened (*49*, *50*). By contrast, long GAA and CAG/CTG repeats are more unstable (*7*, *51*, *52*),
230 yet the chromosome conformation at expanded GAA repeats is altered but not at expanded
231 CAG/CTG repeats. Thus, there does not seem to be a correlation between changes in 3D
232 chromatin contacts and repeat instability. One possibility is that the effect of chromosome
233 conformation on repeat instability is repeat-type specific. Another is that chromosome
234 conformation is unrelated to the repeat instability and is neither a cause nor a consequence.
235 Similarly, changes in transcriptional output around expanded repeats may be unrelated to
236 chromatin conformation and rather be the consequence of changes in local chromatin marks, or
237 they may be specific to the repeat sequence.

238 The expanded CAG/CTG repeat loci in DM1 and HD provide an endogenous genomic substrate
239 to study the mechanisms necessary for the establishment of 3D chromatin domains in daSTRs
240 given the involvement of CTCF binding, CpG methylation, and other chromatin remodeling events
241 at these loci. Although CTCF is generally a major driver of genome organization, it is not the only
242 driver. There are cases where CTCF binding abrogation does not alter an evolutionarily
243 conserved TAD boundary, for instance at the *Firre* locus (*53*). Moreover, our results are in line

244 with recent evidence in *Drosophila* arguing that genome topology is not predictive of
245 transcriptional output genome-wide (*54*). Indeed, our data argue that changes in chromatin
246 topology are unlikely to underpin the molecular pathology of expanded CAG/CTG repeat
247 disorders.

248

249 **Materials and Methods**

250 **Cell lines**

251 All LCLs were obtained from the Coriell Institute for Medical Research Cell Repository. They were
252 grown at 37ºC and 5% CO_2 in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 15% FBS, 2 mM L-
253 glutamine, and 1% penicillin-streptomycin. Cells were counted and passaged every 3-4 days
254 depending on cell density. The GFP(CAG)$_{270}$ and GFP(CAG)$_{15}$ lines were previously
255 characterized (*41*). They were maintained at 37°C and 5% CO_2 in DMEM with Glutamax, 1%
256 penicillin-streptomycin, 15 µg mL^{-1} blasticidine, and 150 µg mL^{-1} hygromycin. Transcription of the
257 GFP mini-gene was activated by culturing GFP(CAG)$_n$ cells with doxycycline at a final
258 concentration of 2 µg mL^{-1} for five days.

259

260 **Repeat length determination and small-pool PCR**

261 Genomic DNA was isolated from each LCL using the NucleoSpin Tissue kit (Macherey-Nagel).
262 PCR products containing the CTG repeats from *DMPK* were amplified with primers oVIN-1252
263 and oVIN-1251 (Table S3). PCR products with the CAG repeats from *HTT* were produced with
264 primers oVIN-1333 and oVIN-1334 (Table S3). For normal-length alleles, several PCRs were set
265 up with Mango Taq (Bioline) and the products were gel-extracted and Sanger-sequenced with the
266 same primers used for the amplification. For expanded alleles, small-pool PCR was performed
267 based on a previously described protocol (*55*). Briefly, the same primers were used for the
268 amplification of expanded *DMPK* and *HTT* alleles with 1 ng of genomic DNA per PCR. The
269 products were run on an agarose gel and transferred to a nylon membrane. An oligo made up of
270 10 CAGs was used to obtain a radioactive probe used for the visualization of the expanded alleles.
271 The number of repeats reported here is an estimation of the modal number of repeats.

272

273 **Bisulfite sequencing**

274 Bisulfite sequencing was performed according to a previously described method (*40*). Bisulfite
275 conversion was performed with the EZ DNA Methylation kit (Zymo Research, D5001) using the
276 standard protocol. 200 ng of genomic DNA were used for bisulfite conversion at 50ºC for 12 hours.

277 Bisulfite-converted DNA was desulphonated, eluted, and immediately used for nested and hemi-
278 nested PCR amplification of the upstream and downstream CTCF binding sites respectively using
279 previously described primers (*40*). 50 ng of bisulfite-converted DNA were used for the first PCR,
280 and 3 μL of the products were used for the second PCR. The final amplicons were purified with
281 the NucleoSpin PCR Clean-up kit (Macherey-Nagel, 740609) and used for 2x250 bp paired-end
282 sequencing (Illumina). The primers used for both rounds of PCR are found in Table S3.
283 Sequencing reads were preprocessed using TrimGalore (github.com/FelixKrueger/TrimGalore)
284 with the following parameters: -q 20 --length 20 –paired. Reads were aligned using QuasR
285 (Gaidatzis et al 2015, PMID 25417205) to human reference (GRCh38) DNA sequences
286 corresponding to the amplified PCR products. DNA methylation calls for each CpG were extracted
287 using the qMeth() function in QuasR. DNA methylation frequencies were calculated as methylated
288 CpGs / total covered CpG x 100 and plotted in R.

289

290 **CTCF ChIP-qPCR**

291 Chromatin immunoprecipitation was performed according to the Diagenode AutoiDeal ChIP-
292 qPCR kit (Diagenode, C01010181) standard protocol. Samples of $6x10^6$ cells were sonicated
293 using the Diagenode Bioruptor Pico (Diagenode, B01060010), with 10 cycles of 30 sec "on" and
294 30 sec "off". Correct DNA fragmentation was verified by agarose gel electrophoresis.
295 Immunoprecipitation was performed with $4x10^6$ cells using a CTCF antibody (Diagenode,
296 C15410210) and the Diagenode IP Star Compact Automated System robot (Diagenode
297 B03000002). Results were analyzed using the StepOnePlus qPCR by Applied Biosystems
298 (ThermoFisher Scientific, 4376357) with Applied Biosystems SYBR™ Green PCR Mastermix
299 (ThermoFisher Scientific, 4309155). Primer sequences for qPCR are listed in Table S3.

300

301 **4C-seq**

302 4C library preparation was performed based on a previously described protocol (*56*). 10^7 cells
303 were crosslinked in 2% formaldehyde for 10 minutes at room temperature and quenched with
304 glycine to a final concentration 0.13 M. Cells were lysed for 15 minutes on ice in lysis buffer (50
305 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 0.5% NP-40, 1% Triton X-100). The first
306 digestion was performed at 37ºC overnight with 600 U of DpnII (NEB) followed by DpnII heat
307 inactivation. The first ligation was performed at 16ºC overnight with 50 U T4 DNA ligase (Thermo
308 Scientific) in 7 mL. Ligated samples were de-crosslinked with 30 μL Proteinase K (10 mg/mL) at
309 65ºC overnight followed RNAse A treatment and phenol-chloroform purification. The second
310 digestion was performed with 50 U of BfaI (NEB) at 37ºC overnight followed by BfaI heat

inactivation. The second ligation was performed at 16ºC overnight with 100 U T4 DNA ligase in 14 mL. 4C template samples were purified with phenol-chloroform and QIAquick PCR purification kit columns. 4C libraries were generated by amplifying 1 μg total of purified 4C template with 4C viewpoint primers, pooling reactions and purifying the PCR products with AMPure XP beads to exclude products less than 130 bp. Single-end sequencing of pooled 4C libraries was performed on Illumina HiSeq 2500.

4C-seq data analysis

Demultiplexing and mapping were performed using the BBCF HTSstation (*57*) according to (*58*). The 4C fragments surrounding the viewpoints (±2.5 kb) were excluded from the rest of the analysis. The demultiplexed reads were mapped to the human genome GRCh38 using bowtie2 (v 2.2) (*59*). Fragment counts were obtained using FourCSeq (v1.18.0) (*38*). The number of mapped reads for each sample is found in Table S2. For plotting the data, fragment counts which were normalized (reads per million) and smoothed with a running mean (window size = 5 fragments). The smoothed and normalized fragment counts were averaged among replicates of the same 4C library samples and visualized with gFeatBrowser (http://www.gfeatbrowser.com). For the FourCSeq analysis, we defined significant interactions as fragments with a z-score equal to or greater than 1.96 and a false-discovery rate of 0.1, using the following parameters: minCount=20 and fitFun="distFitMonotone" in getZScores function; zScoreThresh=1.96, fdrThresh = 0.1 in addPeaks function. 4C-ker (v0.0.0.9000) uses a Hidder-Markov Model that accounts for differences in coverage near 4C viewpoints to determine three types of domains: high-interacting, low-interacting, and non-interacting domains. For each viewpoint, we used k=5 in the nearBaitAnalysis function, and plotted the high-interacting regions. The difference between significant interactions called with FourCSeq and 4C-ker is expected given that FourCSeq usually identifies "peaks" of significantly interacting regions whereas 4C-ker identifies regions (*60*). The analysis of differential interactions was performed with the differentialAnalysis function of 4C-ker, which is based on the DESeq2 framework, using default parameters (including a p-value threshold of 0.5). The UN-A cell line was used as the reference condition for all comparisons.

4C SNP data analysis

We called SNPs from 4C-seq data from the DM1-A and HD-B patient-derived samples using GATK (v3.7.0) (*61*) and samtools/bcftools (v1.5 and v1.4.1 respectively) (*62*). Biallelic SNPs located within a 1 Mb region of the 3' end of *DMPK*, the 5' end of *HTT*, and the *ACTA1* 4C viewpoint were selected. Among these, only SNPs that could unambiguously inform which

345 parental allele they came from were retained for downstream analysis. This required a

346 homozygous genotype in at least one of the parents' cell lines. We validated a subset of these

347 SNPs by PCR amplification of the genomic region encompassing the variants followed by library

348 preparation and paired-end sequencing with Illumina Miseq nano 2 x 250 bp. We then analyzed

349 the sequencing coverage at allele-specific SNP positions from the 4C-seq data from DM1-A and

350 HD-B patient cell lines. For samples with at least 10 reads per SNP position, we counted the

351 number of times the expanded allele had more mapped reads than the normal allele. We applied

352 an exact binomial test to statistically assess whether the proportion of cases where the expanded

353 allele had more reads than the normal allele was significantly different to 0.5, which represented

354 the null hypothesis of no allelic bias.

355

Targeted Locus Amplification

357 Targeted locus amplification was performed based on a previously described protocol (*43*). 10^7

358 cells were crosslinked in 2% formaldehyde for 10 minutes at room temperature and quenched

359 with glycine to a final concentration of 275 mM. Cell were lysed for 5 minutes at room temperature

360 in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 0.5% NP-40, 1% Triton X-

361 100). Crosslinked samples were digested at 37°C overnight with 400 U NlaIII (NEB) followed by

362 NlaIII inactivation. Samples were ligated at room temperature for 2 hours with 20 U T4 DNA ligase

363 (Thermo Scientific) in 500 µL. Ligated samples were de-crosslinked with 5 µL Proteinase K (10

364 mg/mL) at 65°C overnight followed by RNAse A treatment and phenol-chloroform purification.

365 Samples then digested overnight at 37°C with 50 U NspI (NEB) followed by NspI inactivation. The

366 second ligation was performed overnight at 16°C with 100 U T4 DNA ligase in 14 mL. TLA

367 circularized templates were purified with QIAquick PCR purification kit columns. TLA libraries

368 were generated by amplifying 800 ng of purified TLA template with TLA viewpoint primers. Paired-

369 end sequencing of pooled TLA libraries was performed on Illumina HiSeq 4000. Reads were

370 mapped using a custom TLA analysis pipeline using the BWA mapping software (v0.7.17) (*63*).

371 First, reads were mapped to the human genome GRCh38. Then, unaligned sequences are

372 digested *in-silico* with the NlaIII restriction site and remapped to the genome. The combined

373 mapping results were used to determine the integration site.

374

References

376 1. J. H. Gibcus, J. Dekker, The hierarchy of the 3D genome. *Mol. Cell*. **49**, 773–782 (2013).

377 2. W. A. Bickmore, B. van Steensel, Genome architecture: domain organization of interphase
378   chromosomes. *Cell*. **152**, 1270–1284 (2013).

379 3. A. Pombo, N. Dillon, Three-dimensional genome architecture: players and mechanisms. *Nat. Rev.*
380   *Mol. Cell Biol.* **16**, 245–257 (2015).

381 4. D. G. Lupiáñez, M. Spielmann, S. Mundlos, Breaking TADs: How Alterations of Chromatin Domains
382   Result in Disease. *Trends Genet.* **32**, 225–237 (2016).

383 5. M. Spielmann, D. G. Lupiáñez, S. Mundlos, Structural variation in the 3D genome. *Nat. Rev. Genet.*
384   **19**, 453–467 (2018).

385 6. V. Dion, J. H. Wilson, Instability and chromatin structure of expanded trinucleotide repeats. *Trends*
386   *Genet.* **25**, 288–297 (2009).

387 7. A. López Castel, J. D. Cleary, C. E. Pearson, Repeat instability as the basis for human diseases
388   and as a potential target for therapy. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **11**, 165–170 (2010).

389 8. H. T. Orr, H. Y. Zoghbi, Trinucleotide repeat disorders. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* **30**, 575–621 (2007).

390 9. C. Sandi, M. Sandi, S. A. Virmouni, S. Al-Mahdawi, M. A. Pook, Epigenetic-based therapies for
391   Friedreich ataxia. *Front Genet.* **5** (2014), doi:10.3389/fgene.2014.00165.

392 10. D. Colak *et al.*, Promoter-Bound Trinucleotide Repeat mRNA Drives Epigenetic Silencing in Fragile
393   X Syndrome. *Science.* **343**, 1002–1005 (2014).

394 11. E. Tabolacci, P. Chiurazzi, Epigenetics, fragile X syndrome and transcriptional therapy. *Am. J. Med.*
395   *Genet. A.* **161A**, 2797–2808 (2013).

396 12. P. K. Chan *et al.*, Heterochromatinization induced by GAA-repeat hyperexpansion in Friedreich's
397   ataxia can be reduced upon HDAC inhibition by vitamin B3. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **22**, 2662–2675
398   (2013).

399 13. A. López Castel *et al.*, Expanded CTG repeat demarcates a boundary for abnormal CpG
400   methylation in myotonic dystrophy patient tissues. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **20**, 1–15 (2011).

401 14. D. Kumari, K. Usdin, The distribution of repressive histone modifications on silenced FMR1 alleles
402   provides clues to the mechanism of gene silencing in fragile X syndrome. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **19**,
403   4634–4642 (2010).

404 15. D. Kumari, K. Usdin, Chromatin remodeling in the noncoding repeat expansion diseases. *J. Biol.*
405   *Chem.* **284**, 7413–7417 (2009).

406 16. D. H. Cho *et al.*, Antisense transcription and heterochromatin at the DM1 CTG repeats are
407   constrained by CTCF. *Mol. Cell.* **20**, 483–489 (2005).

408 17. G. N. Filippova *et al.*, CTCF-binding sites flank CTG/CAG repeats and form a methylation-sensitive
409   insulator at the DM1 locus. *Nat. Genet.* **28**, 335–343 (2001).

410 18. A. J. Verkerk *et al.*, Identification of a gene (FMR-1) containing a CGG repeat coincident with a
411   breakpoint cluster region exhibiting length variation in fragile X syndrome. *Cell.* **65**, 905–914 (1991).

412 19. Y. H. Fu, D. Kuhl, A. Pizzuti, M. Pieretti, J. S. Sutcliffe, Variation of the CGG repeat at the fragile X
413   site results in genetic instability: resolution of the Sherman paradox. *Cell.* **67**, 1047–1058 (1991).

414 20. I. Oberlé *et al.*, Instability of a 550-base pair DNA segment and abnormal methylation in fragile X
415   syndrome. *Science.* **252**, 1097–1102 (1991).

416 21. J. P. Fryns, A. Kleczkowska, E. Kubien, H. Vandenberghe, Cytogenetic Findings in Moderate and
417   Severe Mental-Retardation - a Study of an Institutionalized Population of 1991 Patients. *Acta*
418   *Paediatr Scand Suppl.* **313**, 1–& (1984).

419 22. V. Campuzano *et al.*, Friedreich's ataxia: autosomal recessive disease caused by an intronic GAA

420   triplet repeat expansion. *Science*. **271**, 1423–1427 (1996).

421   23. N. Gheldof, T. M. Tabuchi, J. Dekker, The active FMR1 promoter is associated with a large domain
422   of altered chromatin conformation with embedded local histone modifications. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*
423   *U.S.A.* **103**, 12463–12468 (2006).

424   24. R. Pietrobono *et al.*, Molecular dissection of the events leading to inactivation of the FMR1 gene.
425   *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **14**, 267–277 (2005).

426   25. B. Coffee, F. P. Zhang, S. Ceman, S. T. Warren, D. Reines, Histone modifications depict an
427   aberrantly heterochromatinized FMR1 gene in fragile X syndrome. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **71**, 923–
428   932 (2002).

429   26. B. Coffee, F. Zhang, S. T. Warren, D. Reines, Acetylated histones are associated with FMR1 in
430   normal but not fragile X-syndrome cells. *Nat. Genet.* **22**, 98–101 (1999).

431   27. I. De Biase, Y. K. Chutake, P. M. Rindler, S. I. Bidichandani, Epigenetic silencing in Friedreich
432   ataxia is associated with depletion of CTCF (CCCTC-binding factor) and antisense transcription.
433   *PLoS ONE*. **4**, e7914 (2009).

434   28. S. Al-Mahdawi *et al.*, The Friedreich ataxia GAA repeat expansion mutation induces comparable
435   epigenetic changes in human and transgenic mouse brain and heart tissues. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **17**,
436   735–746 (2008).

437   29. E. Soragni *et al.*, Long intronic GAA*TTC repeats induce epigenetic changes and reporter gene
438   silencing in a molecular model of Friedreich ataxia. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **36**, 6056–6065 (2008).

439   30. E. Greene, L. Mahishi, A. Entezam, D. Kumari, K. Usdin, Repeat-induced epigenetic changes in
440   intron 1 of the frataxin gene and its consequences in Friedreich ataxia. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **35**,
441   3383–3390 (2007).

442   31. J. H. Sun *et al.*, Disease-Associated Short Tandem Repeats Co- localize with Chromatin Domain
443   Boundaries. *Cell*, 1–31 (2018).

444   32. J. R. Brouwer, A. Huguet, A. Nicole, A. Munnich, G. Gourdon, Transcriptionally Repressive
445   Chromatin Remodelling and CpG Methylation in the Presence of Expanded CTG-Repeats at the
446   DM1 Locus. *J Nucleic Acids*. **2013**, 567435–16 (2013).

447   33. T. R. Klesert *et al.*, Mice deficient in Six5 develop cataracts: implications for myotonic dystrophy.
448   *Nat. Genet.* **25**, 105–109 (2000).

449   34. A. D. Otten, S. J. Tapscott, Triplet repeat expansion in myotonic dystrophy alters the adjacent
450   chromatin structure. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **92**, 5465–5469 (1995).

451   35. X. A. Su, V. Dion, S. M. Gasser, C. H. Freudenreich, Regulation of recombination at yeast nuclear
452   pores controls repair and triplet repeat stability. *Genes Dev.* **29**, 1006–1017 (2015).

453   36. H. J. G. van de Werken *et al.*, Robust 4C-seq data analysis to screen for regulatory DNA
454   interactions. *Nat. Methods*. **9**, 969–972 (2012).

455   37. M. Simonis *et al.*, Nuclear organization of active and inactive chromatin domains uncovered by
456   chromosome conformation capture-on-chip (4C). *Nat. Genet.* **38**, 1348–1354 (2006).

457   38. F. A. Klein *et al.*, FourCSeq: analysis of 4C sequencing data. *Bioinformatics*. **31**, 3085–3091
458   (2015).

459   39. R. Raviram *et al.*, 4C-ker: A Method to Reproducibly Identify Genome-Wide Interactions Captured
460   by 4C-Seq Experiments. *PLoS Comput Biol*. **12**, e1004780–23 (2016).

461   40. L. Barbé *et al.*, CpG Methylation, a Parent-of-Origin Effect for Maternal-Biased Transmission of

462   Congenital Myotonic Dystrophy. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **100**, 488–505 (2017).

463   41. C. Cinesi, L. E. N. Aeschbach, Bin Yang, V. Dion, Contracting CAG/CTG repeats using the
464   CRISPR-Cas9 nickase. *Nat Commun.* **7**, 1–10 (2016).

465   42. B. A. Santillan, C. Moye, D. Mittelman, J. H. Wilson, GFP-based fluorescence assay for CAG
466   repeat instability in cultured human cells. *PLoS ONE.* **9**, e113952 (2014).

467   43. P. J. P. de Vree *et al.*, Targeted sequencing by proximity ligation for comprehensive variant
468   detection and local haplotyping. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **32**, 1019–1025 (2014).

469   44. A. R. Barutcu, B. J. Blencowe, J. L. Rinn, Differential contribution of steady-state RNA and active
470   transcription in chromatin organization. *EMBO Rep.*, e48068 (2019).

471   45. D. Racko, F. Benedetti, J. Dorier, A. Stasiak, Transcription-induced supercoiling as the driving force
472   of chromatin loop extrusion during formation of TADs in interphase chromosomes. *Nucleic Acids*
473   *Res.* **46**, 1648–1660 (2018).

474   46. T. B. Le, M. T. Laub, Transcription rate and transcript length drive formation of chromosomal
475   interaction domain boundaries. *EMBO J.* **35**, 1582–1595 (2016).

476   47. F. Le Dily *et al.*, Distinct structural transitions of chromatin topological domains correlate with
477   coordinated hormone-induced gene regulation. *Genes Dev.* **28**, 2151–2162 (2014).

478   48. M. Merkenschlager, E. P. Nora, CTCF and Cohesin in Genome Folding and Transcriptional Gene
479   Regulation. *Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet.* **17**, 17–43 (2016).

480   49. R. Adihe Lokanga, X.-N. Zhao, A. Entezam, K. Usdin, X inactivation plays a major role in the
481   gender bias in somatic expansion in a mouse model of the fragile X-related disorders: implications
482   for the mechanism of repeat expansion. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **23**, 4985–4994 (2014).

483   50. D. Wohrle, U. Salat, H. Hameister, W. Vogel, P. Steinbach, Demethylation, reactivation, and
484   destabilization of human fragile X full-mutation alleles in mouse embryocarcinoma cells. *Am. J.*
485   *Hum. Genet.* **69**, 504–515 (2001).

486   51. C. T. McMurray, Mechanisms of trinucleotide repeat instability during human development. *Nat.*
487   *Rev. Genet.* **11**, 786–799 (2010).

488   52. G. De Michele *et al.*, Parental gender, age at birth and expansion length influence GAA repeat
489   intergenerational instability in the X25 gene: pedigree studies and analysis of sperm from patients
490   with Friedreich's ataxia. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **7**, 1901–1906 (1998).

491   53. A. R. Barutcu, P. G. Maass, J. P. Lewandowski, C. L. Weiner, J. L. Rinn, A TAD boundary is
492   preserved upon deletion of the CTCF-rich Firre locus. *Nat Commun.* **9**, 1444 (2018).

493   54. Y. Ghavi-Helm *et al.*, Highly rearranged chromosomes reveal uncoupling between genome
494   topology and gene expression. *Nat. Genet.* **144**, 327 (2019).

495   55. V. Dion, Y. Lin, L. Hubert, R. A. Waterland, J. H. Wilson, Dnmt1 deficiency promotes CAG repeat
496   expansion in the mouse germline. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **17**, 1306–1317 (2008).

497   56. E. Splinter, E. de Wit, H. J. G. van de Werken, P. Klous, W. de Laat, Determining long-range
498   chromatin interactions for selected genomic sites using 4C-seq technology: from fixation to
499   computation. *Methods.* **58**, 221–230 (2012).

500   57. F. P. A. David *et al.*, HTSstation: a web application and open-access libraries for high-throughput
501   sequencing data analysis. *PLoS ONE.* **9**, e85879 (2014).

502   58. D. Noordermeer *et al.*, The dynamic architecture of Hox gene clusters. *Science.* **334**, 222–225
503   (2011).

59. B. Langmead, S. L. Salzberg, Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat. Methods*. **9**, 357–359 (2012).

60. C. Walter, D. Schuetzmann, F. Rosenbauer, M. Dugas, Benchmarking of 4C-seq pipelines based on real and simulated data. *Bioinformatics*. **46**, e91. (2019).

61. A. McKenna *et al.*, The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome Res.* **20**, 1297–1303 (2010).

62. H. Li, A statistical framework for SNP calling, mutation discovery, association mapping and population genetical parameter estimation from sequencing data. *Bioinformatics*. **27**, 2987–2993 (2011).

63. H. Li, R. Durbin, Fast and accurate long-read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics*. **26**, 589–595 (2010).

Acknowledgments

Funding

Author contributions

G.A.R.B. and V.D. designed the experiments, prepared the figures, and wrote the manuscript with input from all the authors. G.A.R.B. performed the experiments and data analysis. M.L. and G.A.R.B. performed the analysis of the 4C-Seq data. F.M. performed the SNP calling and G.A.R.B. performed the statistical analysis. J.Y.T. and A.C.M. helped with mapping the insertion site. L.V. and R.M. performed the CTCF ChIP-qPCR. G.A.R.B. performed the bisulfite sequencing experiments and T.B. analyzed the data. I.X. supervised G.A.R.B. together with V.D. and helped in designing the experiments.

Competing interests

540   The authors declare no competing interests.

541

542   **Data and material availability**

543   All sequencing data underlying this study will be shortly deposited in the SRA of NCBI. All data

544   presented here are available from the corresponding author.

545

546

547

548

549

Fig. S1. Repeat sizes of HD and DM1 patient cell lines.

(A) Small-pool PCR of DNA isolated from HD-A, HD-B, and HD-C cells (shown: 1 ng gDNA per PCR). Lanes labeled "-gDNA" are control PCRs with no gDNA. **(B)** Small-pool PCR of DNA isolated from DM1-A and DM1-B cells (shown: 1 ng gDNA per PCR). Lanes labeled "-gDNA" are control PCRs with no gDNA.

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566 **Fig. S2. Correlation between 4C library replicates of the *HTT*, *DMPK*, *ACTA1*, and GFP**

567 **viewpoints.**

568 Scatter plots comparing the mapped read counts per 4C fragment between replicates from UN-

569 A, UN-B, HD-A, HD-B, and HD-C cells (A-C); from UN-A, UN-B, DM1-A, and DM1-B cells (D-G);

570 and from GFP(CAG)$_{15}$ and GFP(CAG)$_{270}$ (H) separated by viewpoint: **(A)** HTT_d1 viewpoint (1

571 kb downstream of the CAG repeat), **(B)** HTT_d85 viewpoint (85 kb downstream of the CAG

572 repeat), **(C)** *ACTA1* viewpoint, **(D)** DMPK_d11 viewpoint (11 kb downstream of the CTG repeat),

573 **(E)** DMPK_u16 viewpoint (16 kb upstream of the CTG repeat), **(F)** *ACTA1* viewpoint, **(G)**

574 DMPK_u65 and DMPK_d73 viewpoints (65 kb upstream and 73 kb downstream of the CTG

575 repeat, respectively), and **(H)** GFP viewpoint in GFP(CAG)$_{15}$ and GFP(CAG)$_{270}$ cells with

576 transcription off (DMSO) or on (DOX).

577

578

Fig. S3. Chromatin interactions of the HTT_d85 viewpoint in unaffected and HD patient cells.

(A) 4C-seq chromatin interaction profiles from the HTT_d85 viewpoint (85 kb downstream of the CAG repeat) in two unaffected (UN-A and UN-B) and three HD LCLs (HD-A, HD-B, and HD-C). High-interacting regions were called using 4C-ker and significant interactions were called using FourCSeq. Regions of differential interactions are marked with black bars below each 4C-seq track and labeled as "diff. int.". The top blue bar represents the *HTT* gene. The triangles at the top represent the location of the two *HTT* viewpoints.

Fig. S4. Heterochromatinization of expanded *DMPK* alleles in DM1 patient cells.

(A) Schematic of the 3' UTR of *DMPK*. The PCR amplicons used for bisulfite sequencing and CTCF ChIP-qPCR are indicated in grey. The CTCF binding sites are represented by the yellow boxes. **(B)** CpG methylation levels of two CTCF binding sites directly flanking the CTG repeats of *DMPK* using bisulfite-sequencing (top: upstream site; bottom: downstream site). The specific CTCF binding sites are demarcated with yellow bars. **(C)** Chromatin immunoprecipitation of CTCF followed by qPCR at the cognate binding sites found in A and B. This was done in the UN-A, UN-B, DM1-A, and DM1-B LCLs (N=3 for all). CTCF was expected to be bound to the H19 gene, but not at two intergenic sites (neg 1 and neg 2). We normalized the enrichment at each site to neg 1. We found no significant differences when we normalized to the Neg2 site. The error bars represent the standard error of the mean.

Fig. S5. Chromatin interactions at DMPK_u65, DMPK_u16, and DMPK_d73 viewpoints in unaffected and DM1 patient cells.

(A) 4C-seq chromatin interaction profiles from the DMPK_u65 viewpoint (65 kb upstream of the CAG repeat) in two unaffected (UN-A and UN-B) and two DM1 LCLs (DM1-A and DM1-B). High-interacting regions were called using 4C-ker and significant interactions were called using FourCSeq. Regions of differential interactions are marked with black bars below each 4C-seq track and labeled as "diff. int.". The top blue bar represents the *DMPK* gene. The triangles at the top represent the location of the four DMPK viewpoints. **(B)** 4C-seq chromatin interaction profiles from the DMPK_u16 viewpoint (16 kb upstream of the CAG repeat) in two unaffected (UN-A and UN-B) and two DM1 LCLs (DM1-A and DM1-B). **(C)** 4C-seq chromatin interaction profiles from the DMPK_d73 viewpoint (73 kb downstream viewpoint in two unaffected (UN-A and UN-B) and two DM1 LCLs (DM1-A and DM1-B).

Table S1. Characteristics of cell lines used in this study.

Cell line	Code	Disease status [1]	Sex [1]	Age at sampling (years) [1]	Repeat locus	# of repeats in wt allele [2]	Sizing method	# of repeats in expanded allele [2]	Sizing method
GM04604	UN-A	unaffected	Male	NA	*DMPK*	5	Sanger sequencing	5	Sanger sequencing
					HTT	17	Sanger sequencing	25	Sanger sequencing
GM02180	UN-B	unaffected	Female	51	*DMPK*	11	Sanger sequencing	17	Sanger sequencing
					HTT	18	Sanger sequencing	20	Sanger sequencing
GM06077	DM1-A	DM1	Female	4	*DMPK*	5	Sanger sequencing	1700	sp-PCR
GM04648	DM1-B	DM1	Male	23	*DMPK*	5	Sanger sequencing	1000	sp-PCR
GM02164	HD-A	HD	Male	58	*HTT*	44	sp-PCR	56	sp-PCR
GM03620	HD-B	HD	Female	29	*HTT*	18	Sanger sequencing	70	sp-PCR
GM14044	HD-C	HD	Male	16	*HTT*	19	Sanger sequencing	750 *	sp-PCR

[1] Information obtained from the Coriell Institute Human Genetic Cell Repository.

[2] For sp-PCR, the number of repeats is an estimation of the modal number of repeats.

NA: not available

sp-PCR: small pool-PCR

Table S3. 4C-seq library statistics.

Sample	# of reads	# of mapped reads	% of total	# of reads mapped to cis chr	% of cis chr reads from total	# of reads mapped to valid 4C frags in cis chr	% of reads in 4C frags from total cis chr reads
DMPK_u65_GM04604_rep1	11'192'157	8'116'207	72.5	2'296'664	28.3	1'805'386	78.6
DMPK_u65_GM04604_rep2	1'688'853	1'035'697	61.3	606'020	58.5	513'147	84.7
DMPK_u65_GM02180_rep1	1'183'618	725'020	61.3	402'692	55.5	342'602	85.1
DMPK_u65_GM02180_rep2	1'461'840	888'805	60.8	466'817	52.5	406'381	87.1
DMPK_u65_GM06077_rep1	8'498'158	5'535'188	65.1	1'053'071	19	908'403	86.3
DMPK_u65_GM06077_rep2	1'586'296	966'005	60.9	574'031	59.4	515'578	89.8
DMPK_u65_GM04648_rep1	8'646'819	5'368'775	62.1	1'045'112	19.5	942'967	90.2
DMPK_u65_GM04648_rep2	2'167'574	1'221'577	56.4	668'154	54.7	588'914	88.1
DMPK_u16_GM04604_rep1	16'969'035	13'541'809	79.8	2'368'499	17.5	1'502'637	63.4
DMPK_u16_GM04604_rep2	8'471'505	1'910'469	22.6	611'665	32	391'201	64
DMPK_u16_GM04604_rep3	5'023'436	4'174'649	83.1	325'026	7.8	214'817	66.1
DMPK_u16_GM02180_rep1	4'967'776	3'272'865	65.9	1'156'773	35.3	807'405	69.8
DMPK_u16_GM02180_rep2	9'791'581	6'074'072	62	2'791'710	46	1'918'545	68.7
DMPK_u16_GM02180_rep3	3'499'397	2'404'710	68.7	743'880	30.9	494'040	66.4
DMPK_u16_GM06077_rep1	44'300'878	31'633'701	71.4	4'230'357	13.4	3'136'434	74.1
DMPK_u16_GM06077_rep2	7'516'556	2'615'231	34.8	791'991	30.3	512'797	64.7
DMPK_u16_GM06077_rep3	3'344'272	2'426'791	72.6	693'947	28.6	436'064	62.8

DMPK_u16_GM04648_rep1	14'372'890	11'367'448	79.1	1'616'380	14.2	1'080'070	66.8
DMPK_u16_GM04648_rep2	5'456'784	1'941'577	35.6	874'656	45	560'803	64.1
DMPK_u16_GM04648_rep3	8'354'943	5'260'739	63	2'365'753	45	1'549'651	65.5
DMPK_d11_GM04604_rep1	23'059'825	10'787'846	46.8	2'388'136	22.1	1'782'296	74.6
DMPK_d11_GM04604_rep2	8'042'866	717'825	8.9	355'974	49.6	251'617	70.7
DMPK_d11_GM04604_rep3	6'716'905	4'244'006	63.2	1'416'961	33.4	988'977	69.8
DMPK_d11_GM02180_rep1	4'296'953	866'253	20.2	442'187	51	330'779	74.8
DMPK_d11_GM02180_rep2	3'308'800	894'717	27	412'986	46.2	308'579	74.7
DMPK_d11_GM02180_rep3	7'282'955	4'517'085	62	2'175'116	48.2	1'518'060	69.8
DMPK_d11_GM06077_rep1	15'395'452	7'880'443	51.2	2'460'619	31.2	1'843'809	74.9
DMPK_d11_GM06077_rep2	774'586	109'054	14.1	56'680	52	41'488	73.2
DMPK_d11_GM06077_rep3	6'524'825	2'605'272	39.9	1'097'272	42.1	773'163	70.5
DMPK_d11_GM04648_rep1	8'560'029	4'972'802	58.1	1'413'824	28.4	979'700	69.3
DMPK_d11_GM04648_rep2	12'454'988	1'910'083	15.3	928'582	48.6	678'608	73.1
DMPK_d11_GM04648_rep3	8'259'430	3'846'132	46.6	1'529'463	39.8	1'063'240	69.5
DMPK_d73_GM04604_rep1	5'976'375	5'457'650	91.3	325'342	6	171'443	52.7
DMPK_d73_GM04604_rep2	4'710'733	2'209'035	46.9	816'530	37	507'446	62.1
DMPK_d73_GM02180_rep1	4'278'924	2'351'635	55	781'457	33.2	512'019	65.5
DMPK_d73_GM02180_rep2	6'211'002	4'265'102	68.7	1'463'307	34.3	918'581	62.8

DMPK_d73_GM06077_rep1	25'744'531	24'078'279	93.5	2'287'837	9.5	334'267	14.6
DMPK_d73_GM06077_rep2	5'923'808	2'670'770	45.1	871'927	32.6	565'589	64.9
DMPK_d73_GM04648_rep1	8'317'618	7'753'683	93.2	686'800	8.9	210'742	30.7
DMPK_d73_GM04648_rep2	13'833'430	8'816'046	63.7	3'351'872	38	2'184'265	65.2
ACTA1_d2_GM04604_rep1	17'462'688	9'831'359	56.3	5'908'533	60.1	4'186'550	70.9
ACTA1_d2_GM04604_rep2	20'016'202	13'201'152	66	9'429'955	71.4	6'749'626	71.6
ACTA1_d2_GM04604_rep3	14'370'212	9'150'078	63.7	6'493'921	71	4'854'805	74.8
ACTA1_d2_GM02180_rep1	4'445'588	2'894'160	65.1	2'047'454	70.7	1'448'565	70.7
ACTA1_d2_GM02180_rep2	9'724'309	6'455'364	66.4	4'490'505	69.6	3'238'325	72.1
ACTA1_d2_GM02180_rep3	14'471'204	9'623'984	66.5	6'832'538	71	5'111'636	74.8
ACTA1_d2_GM06077_rep1	11'677'329	7'881'675	67.5	4'502'685	57.1	3'148'013	69.9
ACTA1_d2_GM06077_rep2	20'390'273	13'386'906	65.7	9'414'304	70.3	6'751'808	71.7
ACTA1_d2_GM06077_rep3	6'528'425	4'468'502	68.4	3'101'465	69.4	2'186'147	70.5
ACTA1_d2_GM04648_rep1	28'697'528	18'150'768	63.2	9'891'236	54.5	7'190'802	72.7
ACTA1_d2_GM04648_rep2	6'247'015	4'135'124	66.2	2'850'483	68.9	2'025'028	71
ACTA1_d2_GM04648_rep3	7'615'653	5'226'254	68.6	3'625'835	69.4	2'493'472	68.8
ACTA1_d2_GM02164_rep1	4'982'938	3'226'684	64.8	2'262'970	70.1	1'598'786	70.6
ACTA1_d2_GM02164_rep2	14'175'182	9'482'669	66.9	6'432'203	67.8	4'689'799	72.9
ACTA1_d2_GM02164_rep3	8'985'756	6'149'600	68.4	4'314'765	70.2	3'032'024	70.3
ACTA1_d2_GM03620_rep1	3'392'689	2'252'717	66.4	1'594'521	70.8	1'125'432	70.6

ACTA1_d2_GM03620_rep2	10'153'899	6'911'566	68.1	4'682'012	67.7	3'412'228	72.9
ACTA1_d2_GM03620_rep3	10'314'868	6'898'449	66.9	4'788'393	69.4	3'452'374	72.1
ACTA1_d2_GM14044_rep1	3'864'340	2'522'916	65.3	1'719'045	68.1	1'218'110	70.9
ACTA1_d2_GM14044_rep2	13'566'864	8'987'115	66.2	6'029'854	67.1	4'498'420	74.6
ACTA1_d2_GM14044_rep3	10'730'254	7'441'130	69.3	5'128'011	68.9	3'821'275	74.5
HTT_d1_GM04604_rep1	4'212'566	2'457'650	58.3	1'404'970	57.2	1'103'125	78.5
HTT_d1_GM04604_rep2	10'749'708	1'832'850	17.1	888'698	48.5	639'666	72
HTT_d1_GM04604_rep3	5'921'707	3'530'799	59.6	2'010'544	56.9	1'556'448	77.4
HTT_d1_GM02180_rep1	2'641'610	1'678'631	63.5	975'311	58.1	687'885	70.5
HTT_d1_GM02180_rep2	4'261'764	2'775'669	65.1	1'331'970	48	972'583	73
HTT_d1_GM02180_rep3	6'713'195	3'878'698	57.8	2'112'225	54.5	1'644'256	77.8
HTT_d1_GM02164_rep1	1'547'564	1'006'519	65	550'667	54.7	378'050	68.7
HTT_d1_GM02164_rep2	6'426'843	4'114'843	64	2'049'276	49.8	1'475'404	72
HTT_d1_GM02164_rep3	4'561'062	2'649'147	58.1	1'529'524	57.7	1'141'625	74.6
HTT_d1_GM03620_rep1	2'799'272	1'795'212	64.1	1'031'035	57.4	704'440	68.3
HTT_d1_GM03620_rep2	5'475'190	3'487'162	63.7	1'558'114	44.7	1'137'993	73
HTT_d1_GM03620_rep3	7'098'155	3'663'907	51.6	2'006'314	54.8	1'576'201	78.6
HTT_d1_GM14044_rep1	2'595'315	1'668'384	64.3	945'665	56.7	659'221	69.7
HTT_d1_GM14044_rep2	6'212'554	3'953'292	63.6	1'666'102	42.1	1'189'773	71.4
HTT_d1_GM14044_rep3	5'199'603	2'755'357	53	1'459'570	53	1'128'283	77.3

HTT_d85_GM04604_rep1	3'626'944	2'088'253	57.6	1'300'447	62.3	850'403	65.4
HTT_d85_GM04604_rep2	4'387'441	2'691'863	61.4	1'062'983	39.5	637'080	59.9
HTT_d85_GM04604_rep3	4'022'177	2'243'116	55.8	1'343'423	59.9	882'695	65.7
HTT_d85_GM02180_rep1	2'884'811	1'333'135	46.2	773'017	58	467'647	60.5
HTT_d85_GM02180_rep2	4'805'661	3'283'731	68.3	1'132'457	34.5	719'942	63.6
HTT_d85_GM02180_rep3	3'937'446	2'278'255	57.9	1'335'882	58.6	909'086	68.1
HTT_d85_GM02164_rep1	2'065'583	773'630	37.5	440'336	56.9	279'660	63.5
HTT_d85_GM02164_rep2	4'271'456	2'674'960	62.6	1'320'008	49.3	846'436	64.1
HTT_d85_GM02164_rep3	3'365'643	1'762'199	52.4	1'048'467	59.5	674'809	64.4
HTT_d85_GM03620_rep1	3'134'531	654'436	20.9	397'137	60.7	245'017	61.7
HTT_d85_GM03620_rep2	3'232'273	2'024'049	62.6	978'716	48.4	577'818	59
HTT_d85_GM03620_rep3	4'074'032	2'290'232	56.2	1'356'058	59.2	898'455	66.3
HTT_d85_GM14044_rep1	2'057'402	798'621	38.8	453'565	56.8	276'126	60.9
HTT_d85_GM14044_rep2	5'576'017	2'543'284	45.6	956'326	37.6	583'814	61
HTT_d85_GM14044_rep3	3'538'845	2'077'028	58.7	1'191'091	57.3	772'206	64.8
GFP_CAG_15_DMSO_rep1	7'048'343	2'833'417	40.2	1'700'523	60	1'278'717	75.2
GFP_CAG_15_DMSO_rep2	7'487'213	2'887'212	38.6	1'638'799	56.8	1'284'684	78.4
GFP_CAG_15_DMSO_rep3	6'936'377	2'769'339	39.9	1'464'325	52.9	1'172'916	80.1
GFP_CAG_15_DOX_rep1	11'304'727	4'562'245	40.4	2'182'322	47.8	1'705'839	78.2

GFP_CAG_15_DOX_rep2	8'452'926	3'124'374	37	1'540'855	49.3	1'198'057	77.8
GFP_CAG_15_DOX_rep3	7'631'549	2'833'020	37.1	1'466'250	51.8	1'157'955	79
GFP_CAG_270_DMSO_rep1	8'320'728	3'099'916	37.3	1'907'976	61.5	1'512'580	79.3
GFP_CAG_270_DMSO_rep2	6'840'505	2'694'631	39.4	1'575'886	58.5	1'229'060	78
GFP_CAG_270_DMSO_rep3	7'338'988	2'673'561	36.4	1'569'149	58.7	1'225'397	78.1
GFP_CAG_270_DOX_rep1	9'301'866	3'679'030	39.6	2'078'639	56.5	1'620'884	78
GFP_CAG_270_DOX_rep2	8'353'878	3'289'609	39.4	1'735'082	52.7	1'333'717	76.9
GFP_CAG_270_DOX_rep3	7'577'290	3'045'799	40.2	1'588'724	52.2	1'267'573	79.8

Table S4. PCR primers used in this study.

Name	Application	Viewpoint / amplicon	Primer type	5' - 3' primer sequence	Reference
oVIN-1252	*DMPK* CTG repeats	-	Forward	CACTTTGCGAACCAACGATA	This study
oVIN-1251	*DMPK* CTG repeats	-	Reverse	GAGCGTGGGTCTCCGCCCAG	This study
oVIN-1333	*HTT* CAG repeats	-	Forward	CCGCTCAGGTTCTGCTTTTA	This study
oVIN-1334	*HTT* CAG repeats	-	Reverse	CAGGCTGCAGGGTTACCG	This study
oVIN-1180	4C-seq	ACTA1	Reading	AGAACAGGCCCTTGAGGGAT	This study
oVIN-1181	4C-seq	ACTA1	Non-reading	GGGCCATCTCCCACAGTTTG	This study
oVIN-1146	4C-seq	DMPK_d11	Reading	CCCAAAGTTGTCCCTCCTGG	This study
oVIN-1147	4C-seq	DMPK_d11	Non-reading	CCAGGCTGCCCAGTTTAACA	This study
oVIN-1158	4C-seq	DMPK_d73	Reading	GCTGAGAGATGGAGAAATGCAGA	This study
oVIN-1159	4C-seq	DMPK_d73	Non-reading	GTTGTTGGCTGAGGAAGGGG	This study
oVIN-1136	4C-seq	DMPK_u65	Reading	GACATTGCATGACAAGGGGGA	This study
oVIN-1137	4C-seq	DMPK_u65	Non-reading	ACTGACACAACACAAACCACAGA	This study
oVIN-1128	4C-seq	DMPK_u16	Reading	ACAAAGAGGCCTGTGATTGAGG	This study
oVIN-1129	4C-seq	DMPK_u16	Non-reading	CTGGGTGACCTGGGCTTCTA	This study
oVIN-1380	4C-seq	GFP	Reading	ATGGTGGCGACCGGTGGATC	This study
oVIN-1381	4C-seq	GFP	Non-reading	TCTTAGGCACCTTTGTCCTA	This study
oVIN-943	4C-seq	HTT_d1	Reading	TAGGCTTAGATGAGCAGATC	van de Werken, H. J. G., et al. (2012). Nature Methods, 9(10), 969–972.

oVIN-944	4C-seq	HTT_d1	Non-reading	GTCAGGCTTGCCAGAATAC	van de Werken, H. J. G., et al. (2012). Nature Methods, 9(10), 969–972.
oVIN-1030	4C-seq	HTT_d85	Reading	TGGTTGTACATTATGAGATC	van de Werken, H. J. G., et al. (2012). Nature Methods, 9(10), 969–972.
oVIN-1031	4C-seq	HTT_d85	Non-reading	AAAGGGTTAAATGTCCATCA	van de Werken, H. J. G., et al. (2012). Nature Methods, 9(10), 969–972.
oVIN-2582	TLA	GFP_d3	Reading	CACAAATCAGCGATTTCCAT	This study
oVIN-2583	TLA	GFP_d3	Non-reading	TCAGAAGCCATAGAGCCC	This study
oVIN-2590	TLA	GFP_u4	Reading	ACACAGGAAACAGCTATGAC	This study
oVIN-2589	TLA	GFP_u4	Non-reading	TCCACAGAATCAGGGGATAA	This study
oVIN-2103	Bisulfite seq PCR 1	upstream CTCF site	Forward	TGTYGTYGTTTTGGGTTGTATTG	Barbé, L., et al. (2017). American Journal of Human Genetics, 100(3), 488–505.
oVIN-2110	Bisulfite seq PCR 1	upstream CTCF site	Reverse	CAACATTCCYGACTACAAAAACCCTT	Barbé, L., et al. (2017). American Journal of Human Genetics, 100(3), 488–505.
oVIN-2107	Bisulfite seq PCR 1	downstream CTCF site	Forward	TTYGGTTAGGTTGAGGTTT	Barbé, L., et al. (2017). American Journal of Human Genetics, 100(3), 488–505.

oVIN-2108	Bisulfite seq PCR 1	downstream CTCF site	Reverse	TTAACAAAAACAAATTTCCC	Barbé, L., et al. (2017). American Journal of Human Genetics, 100(3), 488–505.
oVIN-2111	Bisulfite seq PCR 2	upstream CTCF site	Forward	TCGTCGGCAGCGTCAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAGGTT GTATTGGGTTGGTGGTTTA	Barbé, L., et al. (2017). American Journal of Human Genetics, 100(3), 488–505.
oVIN-2112	Bisulfite seq PCR 2	upstream CTCF site	Reverse	GTCTCGTGGGCTCGGAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAGCT ACAAAAACCCTTYGAACCC	Barbé, L., et al. (2017). American Journal of Human Genetics, 100(3), 488–505.
oVIN-2113	Bisulfite seq PCR 2	downstream CTCF site	Forward	TCGTCGGCAGCGTCAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAGTAA ATTGTAGGTTTGGGAAG	Barbé, L., et al. (2017). American Journal of Human Genetics, 100(3), 488–505.
oVIN-2114	Bisulfite seq PCR 2	downstream CTCF site	Reverse	GTCTCGTGGGCTCGGAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAGTT AACAAAAACAAATTTCCC	Barbé, L., et al. (2017). American Journal of Human Genetics, 100(3), 488–505.
oVIN-2443	CTCF ChIP qPCR	upstream CTCF site	Forward	AAGGACCCTTCGAGCCCC	This study
oVIN-2444	CTCF ChIP qPCR	upstream CTCF site	Reverse	AGTTCACAACCGCTCCGAG	This study
oVIN-2445	CTCF ChIP qPCR	downstream CTCF site	Forward	GCAAAAGCAAATTTCCCGAGT	This study
oVIN-2446	CTCF ChIP qPCR	downstream CTCF site	Reverse	AAACTGCAGGCCTGGGAA	This study
oVIN-2447	CTCF ChIP qPCR	H19 site 1	Forward	CCCATCTTGCTGACCTCAC	ChIPAb+ CTCF - ChIP Validated Antibody and Primer Set

oVIN-2448	CTCF ChIP qPCR	H19 site 1	Reverse	AGACCTGGGACGTTTCTGTG		ChIPAb+ CTCF - ChIP Validated Antibody and Primer Set
oVIN-2556	CTCF ChIP qPCR	H19 site 2	Forward	TGTGGATAATGCCCGACCTGAAGATCTG		Yao, H., et al., (2010). Genes & Development, 24(22), 2543–2555. http:// doi.org/10.1101/ gad.1967810
oVIN-2557	CTCF ChIP qPCR	H19 site 2	Reverse	ACGGAATTGGTTGTAGTTGTGGAATCGGAAGT		Yao, H., et al., (2010). Genes & Development, 24(22), 2543–2555. http:// doi.org/10.1101/ gad.1967810
oVIN-976	CTCF ChIP qPCR	negative 1	Forward	CCTTCAGAACCCTTCAGTGC		This study
oVIN-977	CTCF ChIP qPCR	negative 1	Reverse	ATCACACCTGTGGCTTCCTC		This study
oVIN-978	CTCF ChIP qPCR	negative 2	Forward	TGCTTTTCTAGGAGGTAGGTGTC		This study
oVIN-979	CTCF ChIP qPCR	negative 2	Reverse	AGCCCAGCAGAAAGGTCTTC		This study